

Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis (PrEP) to Prevent HIV During Periconception, Antepartum, and Postpartum Periods

Updated: January 31, 2024

Reviewed: January 31, 2024

Panel's Recommendations
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Health care providers should discuss PrEP with all sexually active people without HIV, including individuals who are trying to conceive, pregnant, postpartum, or breastfeeding, to prevent HIV acquisition (AII); counseling should include the benefits of PrEP to prevent HIV acquisition and perinatal transmission (AI) and potential adverse effects of PrEP during periconception, pregnancy, postpartum, and breastfeeding periods (AII). Health care providers should offer PrEP to those who desire PrEP or have specific indications for PrEP (AII).• The preferred PrEP option for HIV prevention in people who have receptive vaginal sex during pregnancy and breastfeeding is tenofovir disoproxil fumarate/emtricitabine (TDF/FTC) (AII). TDF/FTC is currently the only U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved PrEP option with known safety and efficacy data during pregnancy and breastfeeding. People who become pregnant while using TDF/FTC as PrEP can continue PrEP throughout pregnancy and breastfeeding. Risk for HIV acquisition should be reassessed, and people should be counseled regarding the benefits and risks of PrEP use in pregnancy and during breastfeeding (AII).• Providers should counsel patients about the importance of daily adherence to oral TDF/FTC PrEP to prevent HIV acquisition (AI). Patients should be counseled to use additional HIV prevention strategies (e.g., condoms) for the first 20 days after initiating TDF/FTC PrEP (BII). For patients with a planned PrEP discontinuation, people should continue use for 7 to 28 days after their last potential vaginal exposure (BII). Given the lack of data, episodic or non-daily PrEP is not recommended for protection against vaginal exposure to HIV (AIII).• Providers should offer routine PrEP follow-up, including testing for HIV every 3 months and counseling on signs and symptoms of acute retroviral syndrome (AI) (see Center for Disease Control and Prevention's PrEP for the Prevention of HIV in the United States 2021 Update and Pregnancy and Postpartum HIV Testing and Identification of Perinatal and Postnatal HIV Exposure). Consider more frequent testing when clinically indicated (e.g., adherence challenges, nonstandard visit schedule).• Long-acting injectable cabotegravir (CAB-LA) is FDA-approved for people with vaginal exposure to HIV; however, for people with PrEP indications in pregnancy, CAB-LA dosing, efficacy, and safety remain unknown. If a person receiving cabotegravir (CAB) PrEP becomes pregnant, the limited available safety data and long half-life of CAB should be discussed with the patient with shared decision-making around ongoing PrEP use and options (AIII). Consider expert consultation.
<p>Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional</p> <p>Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion</p>

HIV **pre-exposure prophylaxis** (PrEP) is the use of specific antiretroviral (ARV) drugs to prevent HIV acquisition. Susceptibility to HIV acquisition is greater during the periconception period, throughout pregnancy, and through 6 months postpartum. Acute or recent HIV infection during pregnancy or breastfeeding is associated with an increased risk of perinatal HIV transmission (see [Early \[Acute and Recent\] HIV Infection](#)).^{1,2} The Panel on Treatment of HIV Infection During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission (the Panel) recommends that people without HIV who are planning to have a child or who are pregnant, postpartum, or breastfeeding should be

routinely counseled about PrEP for HIV prevention and offered PrEP or referred for PrEP services when indicated to prevent HIV acquisition and potential perinatal HIV transmission.³ In cases when the individual has a partner with HIV and that partner is on antiretroviral therapy (ART) with sustained viral suppression, HIV is not transmitted through condomless sexual intercourse (see [Reproductive Options When One or Both Partners Have HIV](#)).

The use of combination tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF)/emtricitabine (FTC) as daily oral PrEP to reduce HIV acquisition was approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 2012 and the use of cabotegravir (CAB) as long-acting injectable PrEP was approved in 2021. When taken as prescribed, TDF/FTC provides greater than 90% protection against HIV acquisition. The HIV Prevention Trials Network (HPTN) 084 study found CAB to be 89% more effective than TDF/FTC.⁴ Of the FDA-approved PrEP agents for people with receptive vaginal exposure, TDF/FTC is currently the only option with demonstrated safety in pregnancy and during breastfeeding. Tenofovir alafenamide (TAF)/FTC has not yet been studied for efficacy in people with vaginal exposure; therefore, TAF/FTC is not recommended for this population, including during pregnancy and postpartum.^{5,6} The guidance in this section focuses on the use of TDF/FTC as PrEP during periconception, antepartum, and postpartum periods (through 6 months postpartum and/or throughout breastfeeding). Information about other PrEP agents is included below in “What Is Known About Other PrEP Agents During Periconception, Antepartum, and Postpartum Periods?”

Most research on PrEP cited in this section was conducted with participants who self-identified as women (presumed to be predominantly cisgender women). However, individuals who do not identify as women (i.e., transgender men, genderqueer or nonbinary individuals) can become pregnant, give birth, and breast/chestfeed. PrEP should be offered and promoted for all individuals with an indication for PrEP using a gender-affirming approach to care (see [Perinatal HIV Prevention for Transgender and Gender-Diverse People Assigned Female at Birth](#) and [Transgender People with HIV in the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines](#)). Patients should be asked about their gender identity, including the pronouns they use, how they want to be referred to as a parent (e.g., birth parent, mother, father, another name), and terms they prefer to use (e.g., breastfeeding, chestfeeding).

Clinical Management of PrEP Use During Periconception, Antepartum, and Postpartum Periods

Initiating PrEP

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) provides guidelines (see [PrEP for the Prevention of HIV in the United States 2021 Update](#)) for discussing PrEP with all adults and adolescents who have sex or inject drugs.³ The Panel recommends that PrEP be discussed with all people without HIV who are planning for pregnancy and/or sexually active and those who are pregnant or postpartum. To address underutilization of PrEP and disparities in PrEP use among women,⁷ it is important for clinicians and clinical programs to consider strategies such as provider education, standard protocols, clinic champions, and resource tools to optimize implementation and reduce barriers to accessing PrEP.⁸⁻¹¹ PrEP can be prescribed to those who request it and is also specifically indicated for individuals who—

- Have a history of bacterial sexually transmitted infection (STI), including gonorrhea, syphilis, or chlamydia^{12,13};

- Have infrequent condom use with one or more partners of unknown HIV status, especially within a sexual network **with high HIV prevalence**;
- Are taking non-occupational post-exposure prophylaxis (nPEP) and anticipate ongoing indications for prevention, or have used multiple courses of nPEP¹⁴;
- Engage in transactional sex;
- Have substance use disorder and/or substance use associated with sex;
- Have a partner with HIV with **unknown or inconsistent** virologic suppression;
- Have a history of experiencing intimate partner violence¹⁵; *or*
- Have a partner with any of the factors listed above.

Providers should counsel people with HIV whose partners may have indications for PrEP about benefits and indications for PrEP. When prescribing PrEP, clinicians should:

- Counsel individuals about potential risks and benefits of PrEP and all available strategies for reducing HIV acquisition risks during periconception, antepartum, and postpartum periods (see [Reproductive Options When One or Both Partners Have HIV](#)). People who become pregnant while using TDF/FTC PrEP can continue PrEP throughout their pregnancy.
- Explain that condomless sex with a partner who has sustained viral suppression is associated with no risk of HIV sexual transmission.¹⁶⁻¹⁹
- **Explain that** although it is unknown how long PrEP needs to be taken in order to be protected from vaginal HIV exposure, daily oral TDF/FTC **must be taken** for **at least 20 days** before **drug levels are high in cervicovaginal tissues**. Therefore, the Panel recommends **20 days of PrEP before** considering an individual fully protected **from HIV acquisition via vaginal exposure**. See Time to Protection below for more details.
- Counsel about the importance of adherence and suggest adherence supports, such as use of a pillbox (see Adherence Support below).
- Counsel that episodic, “**2-1-1**,” “on-demand,” and/or non-daily PrEP has not been **evaluated** for vaginal exposure.
- Counsel individuals who are taking PrEP about the symptoms associated with acute HIV infection and instruct them to contact their provider immediately for HIV testing and further evaluation if symptoms occur (see [Early \[Acute and Recent\] HIV Infection](#)). Individuals experiencing symptoms of acute HIV infection should be advised to use a condom during sex, stop attempts at conception, and stop breastfeeding.
- **Explain that** PrEP does not protect against other STIs. Condom use is important for reducing STI acquisition.
- Regularly assess and discuss ongoing needs for PrEP.
- Inquire about partner status and offer partner HIV testing.
- **Be aware that** additional prescribing details, including for same day PrEP start and PrEP follow-up via telehealth, are offered in the CDC Guidelines for PrEP (see [PrEP for the Prevention of HIV in the United States 2021 Update](#)).³

- For people who become pregnant while receiving PrEP, clinicians are encouraged strongly to register them with the [Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry](#). The purpose of the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry is to detect any major teratogenic effect involving any of the registry drugs to which pregnant people are exposed, including ARV drugs used for PrEP.

An individual's indications for PrEP may change across periconception, antepartum, and postpartum periods. Therefore, discussions regarding the need for PrEP should be ongoing.

Laboratory Testing

Recommended laboratory testing for individuals receiving PrEP includes—

- HIV diagnostic testing with an antigen/antibody combination immunoassay at baseline. For people exposed to antiretrovirals as PrEP, delays can be seen in antigen/antibody detection during acute infection.²⁰ Therefore, the CDC recommends antigen/antibody combination immunoassay as well as HIV-RNA testing every 3 months, or more frequently if indicated based on clinical symptoms, for people taking tenofovir-containing PrEP regimens.
- HIV testing (i.e., antigen/antibody combination immunoassay, as well as HIV-RNA testing) for individuals taking PrEP during pregnancy should include HIV testing at entry into antenatal care, with re-testing in the second and third trimester. More frequent testing may be appropriate when clinically indicated (e.g., known adherence challenges) (see [Pregnancy and Postpartum HIV Testing and Identification of Perinatal and Postnatal HIV Exposure](#)).
- In the case of documented new HIV infection, discontinuation of PrEP and immediate referral to an HIV specialist for [initiation of ART are recommended](#). If the patient is pregnant, they should receive appropriate care to prevent perinatal transmission. See [Early \(Acute and Recent\) HIV Infection and Pregnant People with HIV Who Have Previously Received Antiretroviral Medications but Are Not Currently on Antiretroviral Medications](#).
- Renal function testing at baseline and then every 12 months for people <50 years of age and/or with estimated creatinine clearance (CrCl) over 90 mL/min. Otherwise, renal function should be monitored every 6 months. TDF/FTC as PrEP should not be initiated in patients with a confirmed calculated CrCl <60 mL/min. Clinicians should discontinue daily TDF/FTC as PrEP if a patient develops a confirmed calculated CrCl <50 mL/min.
- Testing for hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection for patients initiating PrEP, but PrEP initiation need not be delayed while awaiting results. Individuals with no prior HBV infection who lack HBV immunity should be vaccinated if they have not received HBV vaccination, or consider reimmunization if they have been vaccinated but still lack immunity. Individuals with chronic HBV should be counseled regarding the risk for possible hepatitis flares when tenofovir-based PrEP is stopped.²¹
- Pregnancy testing at baseline and then as indicated.
- Testing for STIs (gonorrhea, chlamydia, syphilis) at baseline. Testing every 6 months is recommended for gonorrhea and syphilis for women. Annual testing for chlamydia is also recommended. [More frequent testing can be offered as clinically indicated](#).
- [Reference to](#) additional information and details about recommended laboratory testing in the CDC's [PrEP for the Prevention of HIV Infection in the United States 2021 Update](#), as needed.

Time to Protection

High adherence to daily pills is required to achieve effective drug concentrations of TDF and FTC in vaginal and cervical tissues. Studies in nonpregnant women demonstrate that it may take up to 20 days to reach maximum intracellular concentrations of tenofovir and/or FTC in cervicovaginal tissue, compared to only 7 days in anal tissues.²²⁻²⁴ Although pharmacokinetic (PK) data are limited in pregnant women, they suggest that pregnant women taking daily PrEP experience lower tenofovir drug levels.^{25,26} Given the increased volume of distribution and concomitant lower levels of TDF/FTC in plasma, the Panel recommends continued use of other prevention strategies until PrEP has been taken for at least 20 days and protection against transmission can be assumed in pregnant or postpartum PrEP users. Six or seven doses per week (or daily dosing) are needed to maintain drug levels in cervicovaginal tissue in nonpregnant women.²⁷ When people initiate PrEP and have not yet reached protective drug levels or struggle with daily adherence, other strategies (e.g., condoms) should be used to prevent HIV.

For people planning to discontinue daily oral PrEP, ongoing use for 7 to 28 days after last HIV exposure is recommended. This time frame aligns with recommendations for post-exposure prophylaxis.²⁸

Adherence Support

Before initiating PrEP, providers should assess barriers to PrEP adherence and address concerns regarding PrEP use during the periconception, antepartum, and postpartum periods. Opportunities to promote adherence and mitigate barriers to adherence should be addressed at each visit. Data suggest that some adherence challenges stem from adherence fatigue, low perceptions of personal risk, stigma, cost, misinformation about PrEP, peer perspectives, mental health challenges, and intimate partner violence.²⁹⁻³¹ Based on barriers, providers can discuss strategies tailored to each patient's needs to promote adherence and maximize benefits. Approaches include providing accurate information about the risks and benefits of PrEP, developing reminder strategies, and identifying supportive individuals as part of the health care team or the patient's social network who can provide social support toward PrEP adherence. PrEP services are ideally delivered in a comprehensive manner and address social determinants of health—including how clients will access PrEP and related services—and address housing instability, access to health insurance, and transportation because these factors have been shown to interfere with adherence. The CDC provides a [PrEP webpage](#) and a [PrEP chapter](#).

Contraception

Contraception is an important component of reproductive health care for people receiving PrEP who do not want to become pregnant.¹⁶ No known significant drug–drug interactions exist between TDF and different modes of hormonal contraception used during periconception and the postpartum period.³²⁻³⁴ For additional information, refer to CDC's [U.S. Medical Eligibility Criteria for Contraceptive Use, 2016](#) and [Update to U.S. Medical Eligibility Criteria for Contraceptive Use, 2016: Updated Recommendations for the Use of Contraception Among Women at High Risk for HIV Infection](#).

Background on Use of PrEP During Periconception, Antepartum, and Postpartum Periods

Women account for nearly 20% of new HIV diagnoses in the United States yet only account for 7% of people prescribed PrEP.^{35,36} PrEP is recommended for all people with potential exposure to HIV.^{3,37} Although data about the use of PrEP among periconception, pregnant, and postpartum people are less robust than for nonpregnant people,³⁸ PrEP is highly efficacious for women, and a large body of data from pregnant women using TDF/FTC as treatment for HIV and HBV suggests these agents are safe for pregnant and breastfeeding women and their infants.³⁹⁻⁴²

Susceptibility to HIV acquisition is greater during periconception, antepartum, and early postpartum periods through 6 months. Data suggest that people trying to conceive are at higher risk for HIV acquisition, likely due to increased condomless sex.^{43,44} The increase in HIV acquisition risk continues in pregnancy and is likely due to a combination of behavioral factors—such as no longer needing to use condoms for contraception—and biological factors that include increased innate and suppressed adaptive immunity, increased genital tract inflammation, alterations in the vaginal microbiome, decreased integrity of the vaginal epithelium, and both gross trauma and microtrauma to the genital tract during delivery.⁴⁵⁻⁴⁷ HIV incidence among women during pregnancy and postpartum is two to six times greater than **HIV incidence** outside of pregnancy.⁴⁷⁻⁵¹ Two large HIV prevention studies conducted in African countries demonstrated that the probability of HIV acquisition per condomless sex act increases beginning in early pregnancy and peaks in the early postpartum period (in data analyzed from birth through 24 weeks postpartum in most studies). After adjustment for age, use of PrEP, and male partner HIV viral load, the probability of HIV acquisition was significantly higher throughout pregnancy and the postpartum period (adjusted relative risk 2.76; 95% **confidence interval** [CI], 1.58–4.81).⁵² In addition, people who acquire HIV while pregnant or breastfeeding are more likely to transmit HIV to their infant.⁵³⁻⁵⁵

For people who become pregnant while receiving PrEP, including drugs not yet approved for PrEP during pregnancy (e.g., long-acting injectable CAB [CAB-LA], TAF), clinicians are strongly encouraged to register them with the [Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry](#) as early in pregnancy as possible.

Efficacy of **Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate/Emtricitabine** as PrEP During Periconception, Pregnancy, and Postpartum Periods

Data from two randomized controlled trials that enrolled heterosexual-identifying men and women demonstrated the efficacy of TDF/FTC as PrEP to be 63% to 75%. In women with detectable drug levels (or taking PrEP), PrEP protected against 90% of incident transmissions.⁵⁶ In a meta-analysis of all available clinical trial data, modeling suggested that if women adhere to at least 75% of doses, PrEP decreases HIV acquisition by 61% (relative risk 0.39; 95% CI, 0.25–0.60).⁵⁷

Although people planning for pregnancy were not enrolled in these clinical trials, subsequent data suggest that PrEP uptake and adherence are high during periconception periods.⁵⁸⁻⁶¹

Safety of [Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate/Emtricitabine](#) as PrEP for Women, Including Those Who Are Pregnant or Breastfeeding

Currently available data suggest that the benefits of TDF/FTC as PrEP to prevent HIV [during periconception, pregnancy, and breastfeeding periods](#) outweigh any potential toxicities. PrEP should be promoted as an HIV prevention strategy during periconception, pregnancy, and postpartum periods.^{39,62} Additional data and primary sources describing what is known about TDF and FTC on birth outcomes, renal and bone effects for women, and renal and bone effects for infants exposed to TDF/FTC *in utero* or while breastfeeding are available in the [Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate](#) and [Emtricitabine](#) sections of these guidelines.

What Is Known About Other PrEP Agents During Periconception, Antepartum, and Postpartum Periods?

Efficacy studies evaluating TAF/FTC as PrEP in people with vaginal exposure have not been completed. Therefore, the Panel does not recommend TAF/FTC as PrEP for this population, including during pregnancy and postpartum. Additionally, TDF/FTC PK data cannot be readily extrapolated to TAF/FTC.^{5,6}

Long-acting injectable CAB-LA is FDA-approved for use as PrEP in adults and adolescents. Data on the PK of CAB injections initiated or continued during pregnancy are not available; thus, the optimal dose and dosing interval during pregnancy are unknown. Limited PK tail data and safety data are reassuring for individuals who became pregnant on CAB-LA⁶³⁻⁶⁹ (see [Cabotegravir section](#)). For people with PrEP indications who are planning for pregnancy, the optimal time to conceive after stopping injections is unknown.^{69,70}

CDC guidance notes that CAB for PrEP may be initiated or continued in people who become pregnant while receiving injections when anticipated benefits outweigh the risks. For people with PrEP indications in pregnancy, as CAB dosing, efficacy, and other details are still unknown, TDF/FTC is preferred for initiation during pregnancy given the more robust safety and efficacy data.^{39,42,71,72} If a person receiving CAB for PrEP becomes pregnant, the limited available safety data and long half-life of CAB should be discussed, and the patient and provider should engage in shared decision-making about whether to continue CAB or switch to TDF/FTC. Expert consultation may be beneficial to make the best decision about continuing or discontinuing CAB for PrEP in light of evolving data and knowledge. Important considerations include the following:

- Given the long half-life of injectable CAB, exposure from dosing during pre-pregnancy/early pregnancy is likely to continue throughout the pregnancy; thus, the benefit of stopping CAB at pregnancy is uncertain.
- CAB has structural similarities to other ARV drugs (e.g., dolutegravir) for which there are reassuring safety profiles in pregnancy.
- If CAB is stopped during pregnancy and HIV exposure is ongoing, TDF/FTC as PrEP and additional strategies for HIV prevention should be offered.

The dapivirine vaginal ring also reduces the risk of HIV acquisition via receptive vaginal exposure but has been permanently withdrawn from the FDA approval process.⁷³ Oral TAF/FTC has not yet been demonstrated to be effective for HIV prevention in people with receptive vaginal exposure.

References

1. Humphrey JH, Marinda E, Mutasa K, et al. Mother to child transmission of HIV among Zimbabwean women who seroconverted postnatally: prospective cohort study. *BMJ*. 2010;341:c6580. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21177735>.
2. Morrison S, John-Stewart G, Egessa JJ, et al. Rapid antiretroviral therapy initiation for women in an HIV-1 prevention clinical trial experiencing primary HIV-1 infection during pregnancy or breastfeeding. *PLoS One*. 2015;10(10):e0140773. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26469986>.
3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Preexposure prophylaxis for the prevention of HIV infection in the United States. 2021. Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/pdf/risk/prep/cdc-hiv-prep-guidelines-2021.pdf>
4. Marzinke MA, Delany-Moretlwe S, Agyei Y, et al. Long-acting injectable PrEP in women: laboratory analysis of HIV infections in HPTN 084. Presented at The 11th International AIDS Society Conference on HIV Science; July 18–21, 2022., Year; Virtual. Available at: https://theprogramme.ias2021.org/PAGMaterial/PPT/3311_4874/210708_HPTN_084_Poster_final.pdf.
5. Chou R, Spencer H, Bougatsos C, et al. Preexposure prophylaxis for the prevention of HIV: updated evidence report and systematic review for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. *JAMA*. 2023;330(8):746-763. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/37606667>.
6. Food and Drug Administration. Antimicrobial Drugs Advisory Committee meeting announcement. 2019. Available at: <https://www.fda.gov/advisory-committees/advisory-committee-calendar/august-7-2019-antimicrobial-drugs-advisory-committee-meeting-announcement-08072019-08072019>
7. Allen DC, Rabionet SE, Popovici I, Zorrilla CD. Acknowledging and addressing the gender disparity in pre-exposure prophylaxis use for HIV prevention. *J Pharm Health Serv Res*. 2022;13(3):168-171. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/36247367>.
8. Brant AR, Dhillon P, Hull S, et al. Integrating HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis into family planning care: a RE-AIM Framework evaluation. *AIDS Patient Care STDS*. 2020;34(6):259-266. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32484743>.
9. Hoover KW, Kourtis AP, Smith DK. U.S. Black women and human Immunodeficiency virus preexposure prophylaxis Implementation. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2022;140(1):106-109. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/35849466>.
10. Mizuno Y, Gelaude DJ, Crepaz N, et al. Health care providers' views on clinic infrastructure and practice models that may facilitate HIV preexposure prophylaxis

- (PrEP) prescribing: a qualitative meta-synthesis. *Health Promot Pract.* 2022;23(6):999-1014. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34549652>.
11. Ramakrishnan A, Sales JM, McCumber M, et al. Human immunodeficiency virus pre-exposure prophylaxis knowledge, attitudes, and self-efficacy among family planning providers in the southern United States: bridging the gap in provider training. *Open Forum Infect Dis.* 2022;9(11):ofac536. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/36349276>.
 12. LaLota M, Beck DW, Metsch LR, et al. HIV seropositivity and correlates of infection among heterosexually active adults in high-risk areas in South Florida. *AIDS Behav.* 2011;15(6):1259-1263. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21153433>.
 13. Peterman TA, Newman DR, Maddox L, et al. Risk for HIV following a diagnosis of syphilis, gonorrhoea or chlamydia: 328,456 women in Florida, 2000–2011. *Int J STD AIDS.* 2015;26(2):113-119. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24713228>.
 14. Heuker J, Sonder GJ, Stolte I, et al. High HIV incidence among MSM prescribed postexposure prophylaxis, 2000–2009: indications for ongoing sexual risk behaviour. *AIDS.* 2012;26(4):505-512. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22156963>.
 15. Li Y, Marshall CM, Rees HC, et al. Intimate partner violence and HIV infection among women: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Int AIDS Soc.* 2014;17:18845. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24560342>.
 16. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Evidence of HIV treatment and viral suppression in preventing the sexual transmission of HIV. 2022. Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/risk/art/evidence-of-hiv-treatment.html>
 17. Rodger AJ, Cambiano V, Bruun T, et al. Risk of HIV transmission through condomless sex in serodifferent gay couples with the HIV-positive partner taking suppressive antiretroviral therapy (PARTNER): final results of a multicentre, prospective, observational study. *Lancet.* 2019;393(10189):2428-2438. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31056293>.
 18. Baza MB, Jerónimo A, Río I, et al. Natural conception is safe for HIV-serodiscordant couples with persistent suppressive antiretroviral therapy for the infected partner. *J Womens Health (Larchmt).* 2019;28(11):1555-1562. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31329519>.
 19. Bhatt SJ, Douglas N. Undetectable equals untransmittable (U = U): implications for preconception counseling for human immunodeficiency virus serodiscordant couples. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2020;222(1):53.e51-53.e54. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31526794>.

20. Marzinke MA, Grinsztejn B, Fogel JM, et al. Characterization of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection in cisgender men and transgender women who have sex with men receiving injectable cabotegravir for HIV prevention: HPTN 083. *J Infect Dis.* 2021;224(9):1581-1592. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33740057>.
21. Lampe MA, Smith DK, Anderson GJ, et al. Achieving safe conception in HIV-discordant couples: the potential role of oral preexposure prophylaxis (PrEP) in the United States. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2011;204(6):488 e481-488. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21457911>.
22. Seifert SM, Glidden DV, Meditz AL, et al. Dose response for starting and stopping HIV preexposure prophylaxis for men who have sex with men. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2015;60(5):804-810. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25409469>.
23. Louissaint NA, Cao YJ, Skipper PL, et al. Single dose pharmacokinetics of oral tenofovir in plasma, peripheral blood mononuclear cells, colonic tissue, and vaginal tissue. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses.* 2013;29(11):1443-1450. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23600365>.
24. Seifert SM, Chen X, Meditz AL, et al. Intracellular tenofovir and emtricitabine anabolites in genital, rectal, and blood compartments from first dose to steady state. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses.* 2016;32(10-11):981-991. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27526873>.
25. Stranix-Chibanda L, Anderson PL, Kacanek D, et al. Tenofovir diphosphate concentrations in dried blood spots from pregnant and postpartum adolescent and young women receiving daily observed pre-exposure prophylaxis in sub-Saharan Africa. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2020. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33341883>.
26. Pyra M, Anderson PL, Hendrix CW, et al. Tenofovir and tenofovir-diphosphate concentrations during pregnancy among HIV-uninfected women using oral preexposure prophylaxis. *AIDS.* 2018;32(13):1891-1898. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29894385>.
27. Anderson PL, Marzinke MA, Glidden DV. Updating the adherence-response for oral emtricitabine/tenofovir disoproxil fumarate for human immunodeficiency virus pre-exposure prophylaxis among cisgender women. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2023;76(10):1850-1853. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/36645796>.
28. Rutstein SE, Smith DK, Dalal S, et al. Initiation, discontinuation, and restarting HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis: ongoing implementation strategies. *Lancet HIV.* 2020;7(10):e721-e730. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32861269>.

29. Corneli A, Perry B, McKenna K, et al. Participants' explanations for nonadherence in the FEM-PrEP clinical trial. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2016;71(4):452-461. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26536315>.
30. Calabrese SK, Dovidio JF, Tekeste M, et al. HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis stigma as a multidimensional barrier to uptake among women who attend planned parenthood. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2018;79(1):46-53. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29847480>.
31. Aaron E, Blum C, Seidman D, et al. Optimizing delivery of HIV preexposure prophylaxis for women in the United States. *AIDS Patient Care STDS*. 2018;32(1):16-23. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29323558/>.
32. Truvada ((emtricitabine and tenofovir disoproxil fumarate) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2020. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2020/021752s061lbl.pdf.
33. University of Liverpool. Drug interaction checker lite. 2020. Available at: https://www.hiv-druginteractions.org/drug_queries/new
34. Tarleton J, Chen BA, Meyn LA, et al. Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic impacts of depot medroxyprogesterone acetate use on HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis in women. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2020;85(2):182-188. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32568766>.
35. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. HIV surveillance supplemental report, 2018. 2020. Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/library/reports/hiv-surveillance.html>
36. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. HIV in the United States: at a glance. 2020. Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/pdf/statistics/overview/cdc-hiv-us-ataglance.pdf>
37. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. ACOG committee opinion no 595: committee on gynecologic practice: preexposure prophylaxis for the prevention of human immunodeficiency virus. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2014;123(5):1133-1136. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24785877>.
38. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force, Barry MJ, Nicholson WK, et al. Preexposure prophylaxis to prevent acquisition of HIV: US Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. *JAMA*. 2023;330(8):736-745. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/37606666>.
39. Mofenson LM, Baggaley RC, Mameletzis I. Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate safety for women and their infants during pregnancy and breastfeeding. *AIDS*. 2017;31(2):213-232. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27831952>.

40. Baeten JM, Donnell D, Ndase P, et al. Antiretroviral prophylaxis for HIV prevention in heterosexual men and women. *N Engl J Med*. 2012;367(5):399-410. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22784037>.
41. Thigpen MC, Kebaabetswe PM, Paxton LA, et al. Antiretroviral preexposure prophylaxis for heterosexual HIV transmission in Botswana. *N Engl J Med*. 2012. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22784038>.
42. Murnane PM, Celum C, Mugo N, et al. Efficacy of preexposure prophylaxis for HIV-1 prevention among high-risk heterosexuals: subgroup analyses from a randomized trial. *AIDS*. 2013;27(13):2155-2160. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24384592>.
43. Brubaker SG, Bukusi EA, Odoyo J, et al. Pregnancy and HIV transmission among HIV-discordant couples in a clinical trial in Kisumu, Kenya. *HIV Med*. 2011;12(5):316-321. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21205129>.
44. Tang H, Wu Z, Mao Y, et al. Risk factor associated with negative spouse HIV seroconversion among sero-different couples: a nested case-control retrospective survey study in 30 counties in rural China. *PLoS One*. 2016;11(10):e0164761. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27741292>.
45. Groer M, El-Badri N, Djeu J, et al. Suppression of natural killer cell cytotoxicity in postpartum women. *Am J Reprod Immunol*. 2010;63(3):209-213. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20055786>.
46. Hapgood JP, Kaushic C, Hel Z. Hormonal contraception and HIV-1 acquisition: biological mechanisms. *Endocr Rev*. 2018;39(1):36-78. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29309550>.
47. Mugo NR, Heffron R, Donnell D, et al. Increased risk of HIV-1 transmission in pregnancy: a prospective study among African HIV-1-serodiscordant couples. *AIDS*. 2011;25(15):1887-1895. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21785321>.
48. Keating MA, Hamela G, Miller WC, et al. High HIV incidence and sexual behavior change among pregnant women in Lilongwe, Malawi: implications for the risk of HIV acquisition. *PLoS One*. 2012;7(6):e39109. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22768063>.
49. Moodley D, Esterhuizen TM, Pather T, et al. High HIV incidence during pregnancy: compelling reason for repeat HIV testing. *AIDS*. 2009;23(10):1255-1259. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19455017>.
50. Gray RH, Li X, Kigozi G, et al. Increased risk of incident HIV during pregnancy in Rakai, Uganda: a prospective study. *Lancet*. 2005;366(9492):1182-1188. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16198767>.

51. Graybill LA, Kasaro M, Freeborn K, et al. Incident HIV among pregnant and breast-feeding women in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *AIDS*. 2020;34(5):761-776. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32167990>.
52. Thomson KA, Hughes J, Baeten JM, et al. Increased risk of HIV acquisition among women throughout pregnancy and during the postpartum period: a prospective per-coital-act analysis among women with HIV-infected partners. *J Infect Dis*. 2018;218(1):16-25. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29514254>.
53. Stover J, Glaubius R, Mofenson L, et al. Updates to the Spectrum/AIM model for estimating key HIV indicators at national and subnational levels. *AIDS*. 2019;33 Suppl 3(Suppl 3):S227-s234. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31805028>.
54. Drake AL, Wagner A, Richardson B, John-Stewart G. Incident HIV during pregnancy and postpartum and risk of mother-to-child HIV transmission: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS Med*. 2014;11(2):e1001608. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24586123>.
55. Birkhead GS, Pulver WP, Warren BL, et al. Acquiring human immunodeficiency virus during pregnancy and mother-to-child transmission in New York: 2002-2006. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2010;115(6):1247-1255. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20502297>.
56. Donnell D, Baeten JM, Bumpus NN, et al. HIV protective efficacy and correlates of tenofovir blood concentrations in a clinical trial of PrEP for HIV prevention. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2014;66(3):340-348. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24784763>.
57. Hanscom B, Janes HE, Guarino PD, et al. Brief report: preventing HIV-1 infection in women using oral preexposure prophylaxis: a meta-analysis of current evidence. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2016;73(5):606-608. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27846073>.
58. Heffron R, Ngunjiri K, Velloza J, et al. Implementation of a comprehensive safer conception intervention for HIV-serodiscordant couples in Kenya: uptake, use and effectiveness. *J Int AIDS Soc*. 2019;22(4):e25261. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30957420>.
59. Leech AA, Biancarelli D, Aaron E, et al. HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis for conception among HIV serodiscordant couples in the United States: a cohort study. *AIDS Patient Care STDS*. 2020;34(7):295-302. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32639209>.
60. Matthews LT, Atukunda EC, Owembabazi M, et al. High PrEP uptake and objective longitudinal adherence among HIV-exposed women with personal or partner plans for

- pregnancy in rural Uganda: A cohort study. *PLoS Med.* 2023;20(2):e1004088. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/36795763>.
61. Schwartz SR, Bassett J, Mutunga L, et al. HIV incidence, pregnancy, and implementation outcomes from the Sakh'umndeni safer conception project in South Africa: a prospective cohort study. *Lancet HIV.* 2019;6(7):e438-e446. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31160268>.
 62. Joseph Davey DL, Pintye J, Baeten JM, et al. Emerging evidence from a systematic review of safety of pre-exposure prophylaxis for pregnant and postpartum women: where are we now and where are we heading? *J Int AIDS Soc.* 2020;23(1):e25426. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31912985>.
 63. Delany-Moretlwe S, Hughes JP, Bock P, et al. Cabotegravir for the prevention of HIV-1 in women: results from HPTN 084, a phase 3, randomised clinical trial. *Lancet.* 2022;399(10337):1779-1789. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35378077>.
 64. Sinead Delany-Moretlwe JH, Xu Guo, Brett Hanscom, Craig W. Hendrix, Jennifer Farrow, Rebecca H. Berhanu, Alex R. Rinehart, Susan L. Ford, James F. Rooney, Adeola Adeyeye, Raphael J. Landovitz, Myron S. Cohen, Mina C. Hosseinipour, Mark Marzinke. Evaluation of CAB-LA safety and PK in pregnant women in the blinded phase of HPTN 084,,. Presented at: CROI; 2022. Virtual. Available at: <https://www.croiconference.org/abstract/evaluation-of-cab-la-safety-and-pk-in-pregnant-women-in-the-blinded-phase-of-hptn-084/>.
 65. Delany-Moretlwe S, Hughes JP, Guo X, et al. Evaluation of CAB-LA safety and PK in pregnant women in the blinded phase of HPTN 084. Type Presented at The Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections 12-16 February,2022, Year; Virtual. Available at: <https://www.hptn.org/sites/default/files/inline-files/HPTN%20084%20evaluation%20of%20CAB%20LA%20safety%20and%20pk%20CROI%202022%20FINAL.pdf>.
 66. Patel P, Thiagarajah S, Ford S, et al. Cabotegravir pharmacokinetic tail in pregnancy and neonatal outcomes. Abstract 775. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections 2020. Boston, MA. Available at: <https://www.croiconference.org/abstract/cabotegravir-pharmacokinetic-tail-in-pregnancy-and-neonatal-outcomes>.
 67. Patel P, Ford SL, Baker M, et al. Pregnancy outcomes and pharmacokinetics in pregnant women living with HIV exposed to long-acting cabotegravir and rilpivirine in clinical trials. *Open Forum Infectious Diseases.* 2021;8:S534. Available at: https://academic.oup.com/ofid/article/8/Supplement_1/S534/6450485.
 68. Patel P, Ford SL, Baker M, et al. Pregnancy outcomes and pharmacokinetics in pregnant women living with HIV exposed to long-acting cabotegravir and rilpivirine in clinical

- trials. *HIV Med.* 2023;24(5):568-579. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/36411596>.
69. Sahloff EG, Hamons N, Baumgartner K, Duggan JM. Is long-acting cabotegravir a pre-exposure prophylaxis option for women of childbearing potential? *Open Forum Infect Dis.* 2022;9(7):ofac230. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/35836749>.
 70. Brooks KM, Scarsi KK, Mirochnick M. Antiretrovirals for human immunodeficiency virus treatment and prevention in pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol Clin North Am.* 2023;50(1):205-218. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/36822704>.
 71. Baeten JM, Donnell D, Ndase P, et al. Antiretroviral prophylaxis for HIV prevention in heterosexual men and women. *N Engl J Med.* 2012;367(5):399-410. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22784037>.
 72. Thigpen MC, Kebaabetswe PM, Paxton LA, et al. Antiretroviral preexposure prophylaxis for heterosexual HIV transmission in Botswana. *N Engl J Med.* 2012;367(5):423-434. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22784038>.
 73. Gollub EL, Vaughan R. U.S. Women need the dapivirine Ring, too: FDA as structural barrier to HIV prevention for women. *AIDS Educ Prev.* 2022;34(4):311-324. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/35994576>.