

# Prepregnancy Counseling and Care for Persons of Childbearing Age with HIV

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Panel's Recommendations
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Discuss reproductive desires with <b>all persons of childbearing potential</b> on an ongoing basis throughout the course of their care <b>(AIII)</b>.</li><li>• Provide information about effective and appropriate contraceptive methods to people who do not currently desire pregnancy <b>(AI)</b>.</li><li>• During <b>prepregnancy</b> counseling, provide information on safe sex; ask about the use of alcohol, nicotine products, and drugs of abuse <b>(AII)</b>.</li><li>• Persons with HIV should attain maximum viral suppression before attempting conception, for their own health, to prevent sexual HIV transmission to partners without HIV <b>(AI)</b>, and to minimize the risk of <i>in utero</i> HIV transmission to the infant <b>(AI)</b>.</li><li>• When selecting or evaluating an antiretroviral (ARV) regimen for <b>persons of childbearing potential</b> with HIV, consider a regimen's effectiveness, a person's hepatitis B status, and the possible adverse outcomes for the <b>pregnant person and their fetus</b> <b>(AII)</b>. See <a href="#">Teratogenicity</a> and <a href="#">Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy</a> for more information. The Panel on Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission (the Panel) emphasizes the importance of counseling and shared decision-making regarding all ARV regimens for persons with HIV <b>(AIII)</b>.</li><li>• HIV infection does not preclude the use of any contraceptive method; however, drug-drug interactions between hormonal contraceptives, ARVs, and other medications should be considered (see <a href="#">Table 3</a>) <b>(AII)</b>.</li></ul>
<p><b>Rating of Recommendations:</b> A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional</p> <p><b>Rating of Evidence:</b> I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion</p>

## Overview

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG), and other national organizations recommend offering **all persons of childbearing potential** comprehensive family planning and the opportunity to receive **prepregnancy** counseling and care as a component of routine primary medical care. The purpose of **prepregnancy** care is to improve the health of each person before conception by identifying risk factors for adverse **outcomes for the pregnant person and their fetus**, tailoring education and counseling to individual needs, and treating or stabilizing medical conditions to optimize **outcomes for the pregnancy and the fetus/newborn**.<sup>1,2</sup> **Prepregnancy** care is not something that occurs in a single clinical visit; rather, it requires integrating ongoing care and interventions into primary care to address people's needs during the different stages of reproductive life. Integrating comprehensive family planning and **prepregnancy** care into routine health care visits is important because almost half of all pregnancies in the United States are unplanned. In **people with HIV, the proportion of all pregnancies that are**

unintended may be as high as 68%.<sup>3-6</sup> Providers should initiate and document a nonjudgmental conversation with all persons of reproductive age about their reproductive desires because they may be reluctant to bring up the subject themselves.<sup>7,8</sup> A meta-analysis of 50 studies found a 42% prevalence of fertility desire among persons with HIV. In a pooled analyses, fertility desire was associated with being on antiretroviral therapy (ART), male sex, age younger than 30, being married/cohabitating, a secondary education or higher, and being childless.<sup>9</sup> Health care providers who routinely care for persons of reproductive age with HIV play an important role in promoting pre-pregnancy health and informed reproductive decisions. However, even among providers who offer primary care to persons with HIV, the delivery of comprehensive reproductive counseling often falls short of the current guidelines.<sup>10-12</sup>

The fundamental principles of pre-pregnancy counseling and care are outlined in the CDC Preconception Care Work Group's [Recommendations to Improve Preconception Health and Health Care](#). In addition to the general components of pre-pregnancy counseling and care that are appropriate for all persons of reproductive age, persons with HIV have specific needs that should be addressed.<sup>13-16</sup>

- Discuss reproductive options; actively assess their pregnancy intentions on an ongoing basis throughout the course of care; and, when appropriate, make referrals to HIV and women's health specialists, including experts in reproductive endocrinology and infertility when necessary.
- Recognize that the primary treatment goal for persons with HIV who are planning a pregnancy should include sustained suppression of plasma viral load below the limit of detection before conception for their own health, to minimize the risk of perinatal HIV transmission, and to prevent sexual HIV transmission to a partner without HIV (see [Reproductive Options for Couples When One or Both Partners Have HIV](#)).
- Explain that persons with HIV who take ART as prescribed and who achieve and maintain an undetectable viral load have effectively no risk of transmitting HIV through sex, commonly known as Undetectable = Untransmittable or U=U. For more information, see [Let's Stop HIV Together](#) from CDC.
- Encourage sexual partners to receive HIV counseling and testing so that they can seek HIV care if they have HIV or seek advice about HIV prevention, including pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP), if they do not have HIV (see [Pre-exposure Prophylaxis \(PrEP\) to Reduce the Risk of Acquiring HIV During Periconception, Antepartum, and Postpartum Periods](#)).
- Ask about the use of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs of abuse. Provide or refer to evidence-based interventions for substance use disorder, including medication-assisted treatment for opiate use disorder (e.g., methadone, buprenorphine), and counsel patients on how to manage health risks (e.g., access to a syringe services program).
- Counsel on maintaining a healthy diet and healthy weight before and during pregnancy.
- Counsel people who are contemplating pregnancy to take a daily multivitamin that contains 400 mcg of folic acid to help prevent neural tube defects (NTDs). Individuals with a history of having a child with NTDs, a family history of NTDs, or on certain anti-epileptic medications and valproic acid, are candidates for receiving a higher dose (1000–4000 mcg) of folic acid. Higher doses of folate may also be considered for persons receiving trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole (TMP/SMX) who are trying to conceive, see Special Considerations in Pregnancy in [Pneumocystis Pneumonia](#).

- Educate and counsel about the risk factors for perinatal HIV transmission, the strategies to reduce those risks, and the potential effects of HIV or taking antiretroviral (ARV) drugs during pregnancy, on pregnancy course and outcomes. Discuss infant feeding options for persons with HIV, including the recommendation that persons with HIV in the United States do not breastfeed because of the risk of HIV transmission to their infants and the availability of safe and sustainable alternatives to infant feeding (see [Counseling and Managing Individuals with HIV in the United States Who Desire to Breastfeed](#)).
- Support shared decision-making about ART. Educate and counsel on the factors that affect the selection of ARVs for persons who are trying to conceive, are pregnant, or postpartum. For more information, see [Teratogenicity](#), updated guidance about the use of dolutegravir in pregnancy in [Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy, Dolutegravir](#), and [Appendix C: Antiretroviral Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers](#).
- Consider the following factors when prescribing ART to persons of childbearing potential: the regimen's effectiveness, an individual's hepatitis B virus (HBV) status, the possible adverse outcomes for the pregnant person and their fetus, the likelihood of developing drug resistance, and the possible adverse outcomes for the mother and fetus.<sup>17-19</sup>
- Use the prepregnancy period to modify the ARV regimen for persons who are contemplating pregnancy to optimize virologic suppression and minimize potential adverse effects (see [Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy](#) and [Table 5](#)).
- Recognize that individuals with perinatally-acquired HIV may have special needs (e.g., psychosocial support, adherence support)<sup>20</sup> (see [Prenatal Care, Antiretroviral Therapy, and HIV Management in People with Perinatal-Acquired HIV Infection](#)).
- Recognize that transgender and gender diverse people who were assigned female sex at birth may have special needs.<sup>21</sup> For transgender men attempting pregnancy, the use of testosterone may induce hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal suppression leading to decreased ovulation.<sup>22</sup>
- Evaluate and manage therapy-associated adverse effects (e.g., hyperglycemia, anemia, hepatotoxicity) that may affect maternal-fetal health outcomes.
- Administer all vaccines as indicated (see [Guidance for Vaccine Recommendations for Pregnant and Breastfeeding Women](#) and [2013 IDSA Clinical Practice Guideline for Vaccination of the Immunocompromised Host](#)), which includes vaccination for influenza, pneumococcus, HBV, tetanus, and SARS-CoV-2. All persons, including those with HIV, should receive Tdap (tetanus, diphtheria, and pertussis) vaccination during pregnancy.
- Offer all persons who currently do not desire pregnancy a full range of contraceptive methods to help them achieve their fertility goals. Persons with HIV can use all available contraceptive methods, including hormonal contraception (e.g., pill, patch, ring, injection, implant) and intrauterine devices (IUDs).<sup>23</sup> Providers should be aware of potential interactions between ARV drugs, hormonal contraceptives, and other medications that could lower contraceptive efficacy or increase the risk of such adverse effects as blood clots (see [Table 3](#) below).
- Offer emergency contraception as appropriate, including emergency contraceptive pills and IUD (see [the ACOG Practice Bulletin on Emergency Contraception](#)). Emergency contraceptive pills that contain estrogen and progestin and those that only contain levonorgestrel (LNG) may have interactions with ARV drugs that are similar to the ones observed with combined oral contraceptives.<sup>24</sup> ACTG 5375 showed that doubling the dose of LNG from 1.5 mg to 3 mg successfully increased LNG exposure in women receiving efavirenz (EFV)-based ART.<sup>25</sup> No

data are available on potential interactions between ARV drugs and ulipristal acetate, a progesterone receptor modulator; however, ulipristal acetate is metabolized predominantly by cytochrome P450 (CYP) 3A4, so interactions may occur (see the [HIV Drug Interaction Checker](#)).

- Optimize the health of people with HIV prior to pregnancy (e.g., ensure appropriate folate intake, test for **all** sexually transmitted infections and treat as indicated, consider the teratogenic potential of **all** prescribed medications, and consider switching to safer medications).

## ***Drug-Drug Interactions Between Hormonal Contraceptives and Antiretroviral Therapy***

Data on drug interactions between ARVs and hormonal contraceptives primarily come from drug labels and several studies on the pharmacokinetics (PKs) and pharmacodynamics among the different forms of contraception and ARVs.<sup>24,26-46</sup> The contraceptive effectiveness of the levonorgestrel IUD is largely through local (i.e., intrauterine) release of levonorgestrel, not through systemic absorption. CDC's [U.S. Medical Eligibility Criteria for Contraceptive Use](#) lists the levonorgestrel IUD as category 1 (no restrictions) in drug interactions with all ARVs in women who already have an IUD and category 1/2 (benefits outweigh risk) for those initiating the use of an IUD.

Hormonal contraceptives can be used with ARVs in persons with HIV without other contraindications. An alternative or additional contraceptive method may be recommended when drug interactions are known. For persons receiving darunavir/ritonavir (DRV/r)-based ART, an alternative or additional contraception may be considered because the area under the curve (AUC) for oral contraceptive hormones may be decreased.<sup>47</sup> Cobicistat-boosted protease inhibitors (PIs) are contraindicated with drospirinone-containing hormonal contraceptives due to the potential for hyperkalemia.<sup>44</sup> Depot medroxyprogesterone acetate (DMPA) can be used without restriction because of its relatively higher dose than other progesterone-based contraception, and limited studies have shown no significant interaction between DMPA and ARVs.<sup>27,29,39,48</sup>

Several studies have shown that the use of EFV decreases the effectiveness of hormonal implants and hormonal vaginal rings. Although contraceptive implants (e.g., etonogestrel [ENG], LNG) generally can be used in people who are receiving ARVs, both PK and clinical data suggest that these implants have decreased efficacy when used with EFV-based regimens.<sup>37,49-51</sup> Scarsi et al. reported that the geometric mean ratios of LNG concentrations (patients taking EFV-based ART vs. ART-naïve patients) were 0.53 at 24 weeks and 0.43 at 48 weeks. Three pregnancies occurred in the EFV group (15%) between Week 36 and Week 48, whereas no pregnancies occurred in the ART-naïve or nevirapine (NVP) groups.<sup>41</sup>

In a study of 570 women with HIV in Eswatini, formerly known as Swaziland, who had LNG implants (i.e., Jadelle), none of the women on NVP- or lopinavir/ritonavir-based regimens (n = 208 and n = 13, respectively) became pregnant, whereas 15 women on EFV (n = 121; 12.4%) became pregnant.<sup>37</sup> A study collected data from 5,153 women with HIV who were followed prospectively for 1 to 3 years. During the follow-up period, 9% of the women used implants (mostly LNG), 40% used injectables and 14% used oral contraceptives; 31% of these women took ART during the follow-up period, mostly NVP-containing (75%) or EFV-containing (15%) regimens. Among women who were not using contraception, pregnancy rates were 13.2 per 100 person-years for those who were on ART and 22.5 per 100 person-years for those who were not on ART. Implants greatly reduced the incidence of pregnancy among women on ART (adjusted hazard ratio [aHR] 0.06; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.01–0.45) and women who were not on ART (aHR 0.05; 95% CI, 0.02–0.11).

Injectables and oral contraceptives also reduced pregnancy risk but to lesser degrees. A potential lesser degree of effectiveness of these methods may be due to their greater dependence on user action, as compared to longer acting methods. ART use did not significantly diminish contraceptive effectiveness, although all methods showed non-significant reduced contraceptive effectiveness when people used EFV concurrently.<sup>52</sup>

In a retrospective study among 1,152 women with HIV using either EFV or NVP and ENG or LNG implants, 115 pregnancies occurred, yielding a pregnancy incidence rate of 6.32 (5.27–7.59), with a rate of 9.26 among ENG and 4.74 among LNG implant users, respectively. Pregnancy incidence rates did not differ between EFV- and NVP-based regimens (incidence rate ratio [IRR] = 1.00; 95% CI, 0.71–1.43). No pregnancies were recorded among women on PI-based regimens. Pregnancy rates of EFV- and NVP-containing regimens were similar at 6.41 (4.70–8.73) and 6.44 (5.13–8.07), respectively. Pregnancy rates differed by implant type with LNG implant users half as likely to become pregnant as ENG implant users (IRR = 0.51; 95% CI, 0.33–0.73,  $P > 0.01$ ).<sup>53</sup> A study of 42 women in Malawi (30 women with HIV on EFV and LNG, and 12 women without HIV on LNG) showed that EFV users had lower LNG concentrations than non EFV users, and one-third of the EFV/LNG users had LNG concentrations  $<180$  pg/mL, which is the suggested minimum level for efficacy. No pregnancies were reported over 60 women-years of follow-up.<sup>54</sup>

Genetic contributions also may influence observed drug-drug interactions between contraceptives and ARVs. In a study of 19 women not on ART (control group), 19 women on EFV, and 19 women on NVP with ENG implants, the women in the EFV group with cytochrome P450 2B6 (CYP2B6) 516 G>T were associated with 43% lower ENG minimum plasma concentration ( $C_{min}$ ) and 34% lower AUC from 0 to 24 h ( $AUC_{0-24}$ ) at 24 weeks. For patients on NVP, NR1I2 63396 C>T had lower ENG  $C_{min}$  and 37% lower  $AUC_{0-24}$  at 24 weeks.<sup>45</sup> Haas et al. reported that EFV reduced the median ENG level by at least 93% in CYP2B6 slow metabolizers versus 75% in normal and intermediate metabolizers. EFV reduced median ethinyl estradiol concentration by 75% in slow metabolizers and 41% in normal and intermediate metabolizers among women using hormonal vaginal ring contraceptive.<sup>59</sup>

Other medications, such as those for tuberculosis (TB) treatment and ARVs, also may have drug-drug interactions with contraceptives. A PK study of DMPA among women with HIV/TB coinfection who received EFV-based treatment and rifampicin-based TB treatment showed that among 42 evaluable women, five women (11.9%; 95% CI, 4.0–25.6%) had medroxyprogesterone acetate (MPA)  $<0.1$  ng/mL at Week 12, the level above which ovulation is prevented; of these women, one had MPA  $<0.1$  ng/mL at Week 10. The median clearance of MPA was higher in women on EFV compared with women with HIV who were not on ART, thus leading to subtherapeutic concentrations of MPA in 12% of women at Week 12.<sup>55</sup> The authors suggest redosing DMPA more frequently, such as every 8 to 10 weeks.

Because data are limited on pregnancy rates among persons on different hormonal contraceptives and ARVs, some of the dosing recommendations in Table 3 are based on consensus expert opinion. Whenever possible, the recommendations are based on available data regarding PK interactions between ARVs and combined hormonal methods, DMPA, and LNG and ENG implants. The smallest decrease in PK for which an alternative method was recommended was a 14% decrease in norethindrone (with DRV/r). The Panel does not recommend any change in ethinyl estradiol dose in people who are receiving etravirine (ethinyl estradiol increased 22%) or rilpivirine (ethinyl estradiol increased 14%). In a secondary analysis of 85 cisgender women enrolled in HPTN 077, compared to women reporting no hormonal contraception ( $n=6$ ), oral contraceptive use ( $n=18$ ) was associated

with lower Cabotegravir-Long Acting (CAB-LA) peak concentration but was not associated with other PK parameters, suggesting this association is not likely to be clinically significant. No other hormonal contraceptive type (injectable, implants, and other) was associated with significant differences in CAB-LA PK parameters.<sup>56</sup>

Another contraceptive vaginal ring containing segesterone/ethinyl estradiol (Annovera) has been approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration. No available drug-drug interaction studies with this contraceptive vaginal ring and ARV and CYP inducers/inhibitors are known. The contraceptive possibly could be metabolized in the same way as ENG and ethinyl estradiol in the NuvaRing. Our recommendation is extrapolated from what is known with the NuvaRing.

**Table 3. Drug Interactions Between Antiretroviral Agents and Hormonal Contraceptives**

**Note:** All recommendations in this table are based on consensus expert opinion. Additional information can be found in CDC’s [U.S. Medical Eligibility Criteria for Contraceptive Use, 2016](#).

Non-Nucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitors (NNRTIs)	
Efavirenz (EFV)	
Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for COC/P/R, POPs, and Etonogestrel Implants	Consider an alternative method (or a reliable method of barrier contraception) in addition to this method.
Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for DMPA <sup>a</sup>	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.
Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels and Contraceptive’s Effects on ART and HIV	<p><b>COC</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No effect on EE concentrations</li> <li>↓ active metabolites of norgestimate; LNG AUC ↓ 83% and norelgestromin AUC ↓ 64%<sup>30</sup></li> <li>Etonogestrel (in COC) C<sub>24h</sub> ↓ 61%<sup>36</sup></li> <li>Etonogestrel ↓ 79%; EE ↓ 59%<sup>57</sup></li> </ul> <p><b>DMPA</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No effect on DMPA levels<sup>27,29</sup></li> </ul> <p><b>Etonogestrel Implant</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>ENG ↓ below 90 pg/mL in 60% of people on EFV<sup>58</sup></li> <li>↓ 49% in Etonogestrel concentration<sup>46</sup></li> <li>Etonogestrel AUC ↓ 63% to 82%<sup>51,59</sup></li> </ul> <p><b>LNG Implant</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>↓ 61% LNG concentration<sup>46</sup></li> <li>LNG AUC ↓ 47%<sup>41</sup></li> <li>↑ pregnancy incidence rate among women using LNG or ENG implants, more among ENG users<sup>53</sup></li> <li>LNG AUC ↓ 40-73% over 30 months of use<sup>54</sup></li> </ul> <p><b>LNG Emergency Contraception (Oral dosing)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>LNG (emergency contraception) AUC ↓ 58%<sup>24</sup></li> <li>C<sub>max</sub> was 51% higher with 3 mg LNG (24.9 ng/mL) compared to 1.5 mg (15.1 ng/mL), and the 48-hour concentration was 66% higher (0.6 vs 0.3 ng/mL, respectively). Dose adjustment of LNG EC from 1.5 mg to 3 mg, successfully increased LNG exposure on EFV-based ART<sup>25</sup></li> </ul> <p><b>Vaginally Administered Etonogestrel/EE (Vaginal Ring)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Etonogestrel ↓ 93% in CYP2B6 slow metabolizers and ↓ 75% in normal and intermediate metabolizers<sup>60</sup></li> </ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>EE ↓ 75% in slow metabolizers and ↓ 41% in normal and intermediate metabolizers<sup>60</sup></b></li> </ul> <p><b>Changes in ARV Levels and/or Effects on HIV</b></p> <p><i>COC</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No effect on EFV concentrations<sup>30</sup></li> <li>• EFV C<sub>12h</sub> ↓ 22%; was under therapeutic threshold in three of 16 subjects<sup>36</sup></li> </ul> <p><i>DMPA</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No effect on HIV disease progression<sup>27,61,62</sup></li> <li>• No effect on EFV concentrations<sup>27</sup></li> </ul> <p><b>LNG Implant</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No effect on HIV disease progression<sup>41</sup></li> </ul>
<b>Clinical Studies</b>	<p><i>COC</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No difference in pregnancy rates<sup>52</sup></li> <li>• Pregnancy rate was 13% higher in women using COCs and EFV than in women using COCs alone<sup>50,63</sup></li> <li>• Progesterone &gt;3 ng/mL (a surrogate for ovulation) in three of 16 women<sup>64</sup></li> <li>• No ovulations<sup>30</sup></li> </ul> <p><i>DMPA</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No increase in pregnancies<sup>27,50,52,62</sup></li> <li>• Low endogenous progesterone, consistent with no ovulation<sup>27,29,62</sup></li> </ul> <p><b>Etonogestrel Implant</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Pregnancy rate higher with EFV compared with no ART but still lower with implants than with other hormonal methods of contraception<sup>50</sup></li> <li>• Presumptive ovulation in 5%<sup>59</sup></li> </ul> <p><b>Levonogestrel Implant</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 12% pregnancy rate<sup>37</sup></li> <li>• 15% pregnancy rate<sup>41</sup></li> <li>• Pregnancy rate higher with EFV compared with no ART but still lower with implants than with other hormonal methods of contraception<sup>50</sup></li> <li>• No increase in pregnancy rate<sup>52</sup></li> </ul>
<b>Justification/Evidence for Recommendation</b>	<p>For COCs, some studies suggest higher pregnancy rate and ovulation rate and decreased progestin levels. EFV may decrease, but clinical significance unclear.</p> <p>For DMPA, evidence does not show effects on pregnancy rate, ovulation, or DMPA levels. Also, no effect on HIV disease progression or EFV levels.</p> <p>For implants, some studies suggest higher pregnancy rate and decreased hormone levels.</p>

	For vaginally-administered etonogestrel/EE, PK evaluation showed that etonogestrel levels were 79% lower and EE levels were 59% lower in participants on EFV than in controls after 21 days. <sup>57</sup>
<b>Etravirine (ETR)</b>	
Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for COC/P/R, POPs, DMPA <sup>a</sup> , Etonogestrel Implants	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.
Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels and Contraceptive's Effects on ART and HIV	EE AUC ↑ 22% <sup>65</sup> No significant effect on NE <sup>65</sup>
Clinical Studies	COC <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No ovulations<sup>65</sup></li> </ul>
Justification/Evidence for Recommendation	For COCs, one study found no ovulations and no significant change in progestin levels. No data on POPs.
<b>Nevirapine (NVP)</b>	
Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for COC/P/R, POPs, DMPA <sup>a</sup> , Etonogestrel Implants	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.
Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels and Contraceptive's Effects on ART and HIV	EE AUC ↓ 29%, <sup>66</sup> no change in EE AUC <sup>67</sup> NE AUC ↓ 18% <sup>66</sup> Etonogestrel (in COC) C <sub>24h</sub> ↓ 22% <sup>36</sup> <b>DMPA</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No significant change<sup>27</sup></li> </ul> <b>LNG Implant</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>LNG AUC ↑ 35%<sup>41</sup></li> <li>↑ pregnancy incidence rate among women using LNG or ENG implants, more among ENG users.<sup>53</sup></li> </ul> <b>Changes in ARV Levels and/or Effects on HIV</b> <b>COC:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No significant effect on NVP levels<sup>64,66,68</sup></li> </ul> <b>DMPA</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No effect on HIV disease progression<sup>27,61,62,69</sup></li> </ul> <b>LNG Implant</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No effect on HIV disease progression<sup>41,70</sup></li> </ul>
Clinical Studies	COC <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No increase in pregnancy rate<sup>50,52,63,71,72</sup></li> <li>No ovulations<sup>64,67,72</sup></li> </ul> <b>DMPA</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No increase in pregnancy rates<sup>50,52,62,71</sup></li> </ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Low serum progesterone, consistent with no ovulation<sup>27</sup></li> </ul> <p><b>Etonogestrel Implant</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No increase in pregnancy rate<sup>50</sup></li> </ul> <p><b>LNG Implant</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No increase in pregnancy rate<sup>37,41,50,52,70</sup></li> </ul>
<b>Justification/Evidence for Recommendation</b>	<p>For COCs, evidence does not show effects on pregnancy rate or ovulations. Evidence demonstrated small decrease in progestin levels. No effect on NVP levels.</p> <p>For DMPA, evidence does not show effects on pregnancy rate, ovulation, or DMPA levels. No effect on HIV disease progression.</p> <p>For implants, evidence does not show effects on pregnancy rate or HIV disease progression.</p>
<b>Rilpivirine (oral RPV)</b>	
<b>Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for COC/P/R, POPs, DMPA<sup>a</sup>, Etonogestrel Implants</b>	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.
<b>Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels and Contraceptive's Effects on ART and HIV</b>	<p>EE AUC ↑ 14%<sup>35</sup></p> <p>No significant change on NE<sup>35</sup></p> <p><b>Changes in ARV Levels and/or Effects on HIV</b></p> <p><i>COC</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No change in RPV levels compared to historical controls<sup>35</sup></li> </ul>
<b>Clinical Studies</b>	<p><i>COC</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No change in progesterone<sup>35</sup></li> </ul>
<b>Justification/Evidence for Recommendation</b>	<p>For COCs, evidence does not show effects on ovulation or progestin levels. No change in RPV levels.</p> <p>No data on POPs.</p>
<b>Doravirine (DOR)</b>	
<b>Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for COC/P/R, POPs, DMPA<sup>a</sup>, Etonogestrel Implants</b>	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.
<b>Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels and Contraceptive's Effects on ART and HIV</b>	No clinically significant interaction with EE and LNG <sup>73</sup>
<b>Clinical Studies</b>	N/A
<b>Justification/Evidence for Recommendation</b>	No clinical data.
<b>Ritonavir (RTV)-Boosted Protease Inhibitors (PIs)</b>	
<b>Atazanavir/Ritonavir (ATV/r)</b>	
<b>Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for COC/P/R, POPs, DMPA<sup>a</sup>, Etonogestrel Implants</b>	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.
<b>Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels and Contraceptive's Effects on ART and HIV</b>	<p>EE AUC ↓ 19%<sup>74</sup></p> <p>Norgestimate AUC ↑ 85%<sup>74</sup></p> <p><b>POP</b></p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• NE AUC ↑ 50%<sup>75</sup></li> </ul> <p><b>Vaginally-Administered Etonogestrel/EE</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Etonogestrel ↑ 71%</li> <li>• EE ↓ 38%<sup>57</sup></li> </ul>
Clinical Studies	N/A
Justification/Evidence for Recommendation	<p>For COCs, increase in progestin levels seen in only one study. Using a COC with at least 35 mcg/day may decrease breakthrough bleeding.</p> <p>For POPs, increase in progestin levels seen in only one study.</p> <p>RTV inhibits CYP3A4, which may increase contraceptive hormone levels.</p>
<b>Darunavir/Ritonavir (DRV/r)</b>	
Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for COC/P/R, POPs, and Etonogestrel Implants	Can consider an alternative method (or a reliable method of barrier contraception) in addition to this method.
Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for DMPA <sup>a</sup>	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.
Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels and Contraceptive's Effects on ART and HIV	<p>EE AUC ↓ 44%<sup>47</sup></p> <p>NE AUC ↓ 14%<sup>47</sup></p>
Clinical Studies	N/A
Justification/Evidence for Recommendation	<p>For COCs, small decrease in progestin levels.</p> <p>No data on POPs.</p>
<b>Lopinavir/Ritonavir (LPV/r)</b>	
Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for COC/P/R, POPs, DMPA <sup>a</sup> , Etonogestrel Implants	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.
Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels and Contraceptive's Effects on ART and HIV	<p>EE AUC ↓ 55%<sup>26</sup></p> <p>NE AUC ↓ 17%</p> <p><b>Patch</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• EE AUC ↓ 45%<sup>26</sup></li> <li>• Norelgestromin AUC ↑ 83%<sup>26</sup></li> </ul> <p><b>DMPA</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• DMPA AUC ↑ 46%<sup>39</sup></li> </ul> <p><b>Etonogestrel Implant</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Etonogestrel AUC ↑ 52%<sup>59</sup></li> </ul> <p><b>Changes in ARV Levels and/or Effects on HIV</b></p> <p><i>Patch</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• LPV/r ↓ 19%<sup>26</sup></li> </ul> <p><i>DMPA</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No effect on HIV disease progression<sup>39</sup></li> </ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No change in LPV/r levels<sup>39</sup></li> </ul>
Clinical Studies	<p><b>COC</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Trend of increased pregnancy rate, but CIs overlap<sup>50</sup></li> </ul> <p><b>Patch</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Low serum progesterone consistent with no ovulations (n = 8)<sup>26</sup></li> </ul> <p><b>DMPA</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No pregnancies and no ovulations<sup>39</sup></li> <li>Trend of increased pregnancy rate, but CIs overlap<sup>50</sup></li> </ul> <p><b>Etonogestrel Implant</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No increase in pregnancy rate<sup>50</sup></li> </ul> <p><b>LNG Implant</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No increase in pregnancy rate<sup>37,50</sup></li> </ul>
Justification/Evidence for Recommendation	<p>For COCs, nonsignificant increase in pregnancy rate. Small decrease in progestin level.</p> <p>For patch, no ovulations and progestin levels increased.</p> <p>For DMPA, evidence shows no effect on pregnancy rate or ovulations. Progestin levels increased.</p> <p>For implants, evidence shows no effect on pregnancy rate. Progestin levels increased.</p>
<b>Cobicistat (COBI)-Boosted Protease Inhibitors (PIs)</b>	
<b>Atazanavir/Cobicistat (ATV/c)</b>	
Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for COC/P/R	<p><b>Contraindicated</b> with drospirenone-containing hormonal contraceptives due to potential for hyperkalemia.</p> <p>Consider alternative or additional contraceptive method.</p>
Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for POPs, DMPA <sup>a</sup> , Etonogestrel Implants	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.
Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels and Contraceptive's Effects on ART and HIV	<p>Drospirenone AUC ↑ 2.3-fold<sup>44</sup></p> <p>No change in LNG concentration</p> <p>25% decrease in EE C24<sup>43</sup></p>
Clinical Studies	N/A
Justification/Evidence for Recommendation	No data on POPs.
<b>Darunavir/Cobicistat (DRV/c)</b>	
Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for COC/P/R	<p>Clinical monitoring is recommended when DRV/c is used in combination with drospirenone-containing COCs as a result of the potential for hyperkalemia.</p> <p>Consider alternative or additional contraceptive method.</p>
Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for POPs, DMPA <sup>a</sup> , Etonogestrel Implants	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.
Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels and Contraceptive's Effects on ART and HIV	Drospirenone AUC ↑ 1.6-fold

	EE AUC ↓ 30% <sup>44</sup>
Clinical Studies	N/A
Justification/Evidence for Recommendation	No data on POPs.
<b>Protease Inhibitors (PIs) without Ritonavir (RTV)</b>	
<b>Atazanavir (ATV)</b>	
Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for COC/P/R	Prescribe oral contraceptive that contains no more than 30 mcg of EE or recommend alternative contraceptive method.
Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for POPs, DMPA <sup>a</sup> , Etonogestrel Implants	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.
Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels and Contraceptive's Effects on ART and HIV	COC <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• EE AUC ↑ 48%<sup>76</sup></li> <li>• NE AUC ↑ 110%<sup>76</sup></li> </ul>
Clinical Studies	N/A
Justification/Evidence for Recommendation	For COCs, increased concentrations of estrogen and progestin, but the only data available are from the product label. No data on POPs.
<b>CCR5 Antagonist</b>	
<b>Maraviroc (MVC)</b>	
Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for COC/P/R, POPs, DMPA <sup>a</sup> , Etonogestrel Implants	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.
Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels and Contraceptive's Effects on ART and HIV	COC <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No significant effect on EE or LN<sup>77</sup></li> </ul>
Clinical Studies	N/A
Justification/Evidence for Recommendation	For COCs, no change in EE or progestin. No clinical data. No data on POPs.
<b>Integrase Strand Transfer Inhibitors (INSTIs)</b>	
<b>Bictegravir/Emtricitabine/Tenofovir Alafenamide (BIC/FTC/TAF)</b>	
Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for COC/P/R, POPs, DMPA <sup>a</sup> , Etonogestrel Implants	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.
Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels and Contraceptive's Effects on ART and HIV	No significant drug interactions with EE or norgestimate.
Clinical Studies	N/A
Justification/Evidence for Recommendation	No clinical data.
<b>Dolutegravir (DTG)</b>	
Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for COC/P/R, POPs, DMPA <sup>a</sup> , Etonogestrel Implants	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.
Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels and Contraceptive's Effects on ART and HIV	COC <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No significant effect on etonogestrel implants<sup>58</sup></li> </ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No significant effect on norgestimate or EE</li> <li>• No change in DTG AUC<sup>40</sup></li> </ul>
Clinical Studies	N/A
Justification/Evidence for Recommendation	For COCs, no change in EE or progestin. No clinical data. No data on POPs.
<b>Elvitegravir/Cobicistat (EVG/c)</b>	
Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for COC/P/R, POPs, DMPA <sup>a</sup> , Etonogestrel Implants	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.
Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels and Contraceptive's Effects on ART and HIV	<p>COC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Norgestimate AUC ↑ 126%</li> <li>• EE AUC ↓ 25%<sup>78,79</sup></li> </ul>
Clinical Studies	N/A
Justification/Evidence for Recommendation	When administered as the four-drug regimen EVG/c/FTC/TDF, increases in progestin and a small decrease in EE were observed. No clinical data. No data on POPs.
<b>Raltegravir (RAL)</b>	
Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for COC/P/R, POPs, DMPA <sup>a</sup> , Etonogestrel Implants	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.
Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels and Contraceptive's Effects on ART and HIV	<p>COC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No change in EE</li> <li>• Norgestimate AUC ↑ 14%<sup>80</sup></li> </ul>
Clinical Studies	N/A
Justification/Evidence for Recommendation	For COCs, no change in EE and a small increase in progestin. No clinical data. No data on POPs.
<b>Cabotegravir-Long Acting (CAB-LA)</b>	
Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for COC/P/R, POPs, DMPA <sup>a</sup> , Etonogestrel Implants	No additional contraceptive protection needed
Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels and Contraceptive's Effects on ART and HIV	Oral contraceptive use was associated with ↓ CAB-LA C <sub>max</sub> compared to women not on any hormonal contraception (GMR 0.75;90%CI:0.59-0.93; P=0.033), However, oral contraceptive use did not result in significant differences in other CAB-LA PK parameters.
Clinical Studies	N/A
Justification/Evidence for Recommendation	Although oral contraceptive use was associated with lower CAB-LA peak concentration, no other PK parameters PK parameters seen suggesting the association is not likely to be clinically significant.

<sup>a</sup> Because the hormonal levels achieved with DMPA are substantially higher than the levels that are required for contraception, any small reduction in hormonal level attributed to ARV drugs is unlikely to reduce contraceptive effectiveness.

**Key to Symbols:**

↑ = increase

↓ = decrease

**Key:** ART = antiretroviral therapy; ARV = antiretroviral; ATV = atazanavir; ATV/c = atazanavir/cobicistat; ATV/r = atazanavir/ritonavir; AUC = area under the curve; BIC = bictegravir; C<sub>12h</sub> = concentration at 12 hours post-dose; C<sub>24h</sub> = concentration at 24 hours post-dose; CDC = Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; CI = confidence interval; C<sub>max</sub> = maximum plasma concentration; C<sub>min</sub> = minimum plasma concentration; COBI = cobicistat; COC/P/R = combined oral contraceptives/patch/ring; CYP = cytochrome P450; DMPA = depot medroxyprogesterone acetate; DOR = doravirine; DRV/c = darunavir/cobicistat; DRV/r = darunavir/ritonavir; DTG = dolutegravir; EE = ethinyl estradiol; EFV = efavirenz; ENG = etonogestrel; ETR = etravirine; EVG/c = elvitegravir/cobicistat; FTC = emtricitabine; INSTI = integrase strand transfer inhibitor; LNG = levonorgestrel; LPV/r = lopinavir/ritonavir; MVC = maraviroc; NE = norethindrone; NNRTI = non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor; NVP = nevirapine; PI = protease inhibitor; PI/r = protease inhibitor/ritonavir; PK = pharmacokinetic; POP = progesterone-only oral contraceptive pills; RAL = raltegravir; RPV = rilpivirine; RTV = ritonavir; TAF = tenofovir alafenamide; TDF = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate

**Sources:** Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents; [Guidelines for the Use of Antiretroviral Agents in Adults and Adolescents Living with HIV](#). Department of Health and Human Services; [Table 24a](#), [Table 24b](#), and [Table 24d](#)

## References

1. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. ACOG committee opinion no. 762: pre-pregnancy counseling. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2019;133(1):e78-e89. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30575679>.
2. Elwood C, Kennedy VL, Loutfy M, Poliquin V, Boucoiran I, Yudin MH. The Canadian HIV pregnancy planning guidelines: what pregnancy care providers need to know about HIV transmission and pre-conception considerations. *J Obstet Gynaecol Can.* 2021;43(7):884-887. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33272875>.
3. Salters K, Loutfy M, de Pokomandy A, et al. Pregnancy incidence and intention after HIV diagnosis among women living with HIV in Canada. *PLoS One.* 2017;12(7):e0180524. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28727731>.
4. Aebi-Popp K, Mercanti V, Voide C, et al. Neglect of attention to reproductive health in women with HIV infection: contraceptive use and unintended pregnancies in the Swiss HIV Cohort Study. *HIV Med.* 2018;19(5):339-346. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29336516>.
5. Sutton MY, Zhou W, Frazier EL. Unplanned pregnancies and contraceptive use among HIV-positive women in care. *PLoS One.* 2018;13(5):e0197216. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29771940>.
6. Dude AM, Miller ES, Garcia PM, Yee LM. Unintended pregnancy and viral suppression in pregnant women living with HIV. *Am J Obstet Gynecol MFM.* 2021;3(2):100300. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33359637>.
7. Rahangdale L, Stewart A, Stewart RD, et al. Pregnancy intentions among women living with HIV in the United States. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr.* 2014;65(3):306-311. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24525467>.
8. Matthews LT, Beyeza-Kashesya J, Cooke I, et al. Consensus statement: supporting safer conception and pregnancy for men and women living with and affected by HIV. *AIDS Behav.* 2018;22(6):1713-1724. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28501964>.
9. Yan X, Du J, Ji G. Prevalence and factors associated with fertility desire among people living with HIV: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One.* 2021;16(3):e0248872. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33735265>.
10. Gokhale RH, Bradley H, Weiser J. Reproductive health counseling delivered to women living with HIV in the United States. *AIDS Care.* 2017;29(7):928-935. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28114813>.
11. Tanner AE, Chambers BD, Philbin MM, et al. The intersection between women's reproductive desires and HIV care providers' reproductive health practices: a mixed methods analysis. *Matern Child Health J.* 2018;22(9):1233-1239. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30008042>.

12. Teodoro N, Fu A, Ohly NT, Shalev N, Matseoane-Peterssen D, Westhoff CL. Long-acting reversible contraception knowledge, attitudes and use among HIV-infected and -uninfected women and their providers. *Contraception*. 2019;100(4):269-274. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31226320>.
13. Lampe MA. Human immunodeficiency virus-1 and preconception care. *Matern Child Health J*. 2006;10(5 Suppl):S193-195. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16832609>.
14. Aaron EZ, Criniti SM. Preconception health care for HIV-infected women. *Top HIV Med*. 2007;15(4):137-141. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17721000>.
15. Anderson J. Women and HIV: motherhood and more. *Curr Opin Infect Dis*. 2012;25(1):58-65. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22156896>.
16. Jones D, Chakhtoura N, Cook R. Reproductive and maternal healthcare needs of HIV infected women. *Curr HIV/AIDS Rep*. 2013;10(4):333-341. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23918674>.
17. Cotter AM, Garcia AG, Duthely ML, Luke B, O'Sullivan MJ. Is antiretroviral therapy during pregnancy associated with an increased risk of preterm delivery, low birth weight, or stillbirth? *J Infect Dis*. 2006;193(9):1195-1201. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16586354>.
18. Tuomala RE, Shapiro DE, Mofenson LM, et al. Antiretroviral therapy during pregnancy and the risk of an adverse outcome. *N Engl J Med*. 2002;346(24):1863-1870. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12063370>.
19. Stek AM. Antiretroviral medications during pregnancy for therapy or prophylaxis. *Curr HIV/AIDS Rep*. 2009;6(2):68-76. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19358777>.
20. Byrne L, Sconza R, Foster C, Tookey PA, Cortina-Borja M, Thorne C. Pregnancy incidence and outcomes in women with perinatal HIV infection. *AIDS*. 2017;31(12):1745-1754. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28590327>.
21. American College of Obstetricians Gynecologists' Committee on Gynecologic Practice, American College of Obstetricians Gynecologists' Committee on Health Care for Underserved Women. Health care for transgender and gender diverse individuals: ACOG committee opinion, number 823. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2021;137(3):e75-e88. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33595253>.
22. Taub RL, Ellis SA, Neal-Perry G, Margaret AS, Prager SW, Micks EA. The effect of testosterone on ovulatory function in transmasculine individuals. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2020;223(2):229 e221-229 e228. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32044312>.
23. Centers for Disease C, Prevention. Update to CDC's U.S. medical eligibility criteria for contraceptive use, 2010: revised recommendations for the use of hormonal contraception

- among women at high risk for HIV infection or infected with HIV. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2012;61(24):449-452. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22717514>.
24. Carten ML, Kiser JJ, Kwara A, Mawhinney S, Cu-Uvin S. Pharmacokinetic interactions between the hormonal emergency contraception, levonorgestrel (Plan B), and Efavirenz. *Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol.* 2012;2012:137192. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22536010>.
  25. Scarsi KK, Smeaton LM, Podany AT, et al. PK of dose-adjusted emergency contraception with EFV-based ART in ACTH 5375. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2021. Virtual Conference.
  26. Vogler MA, Patterson K, Kamemoto L, et al. Contraceptive efficacy of oral and transdermal hormones when co-administered with protease inhibitors in HIV-1-infected women: pharmacokinetic results of ACTG trial A5188. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr.* 2010;55(4):473-482. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20842042>.
  27. Cohn SE, Park JG, Watts DH, et al. Depo-medroxyprogesterone in women on antiretroviral therapy: effective contraception and lack of clinically significant interactions. *Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 2007;81(2):222-227. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17192768>.
  28. Hoyt MJ, Storm DS, Aaron E, Anderson J. Preconception and contraceptive care for women living with HIV. *Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol.* 2012;2012:604183. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23097595>.
  29. Nanda K, Amaral E, Hays M, Viscola MA, Mehta N, Bahamondes L. Pharmacokinetic interactions between depot medroxyprogesterone acetate and combination antiretroviral therapy. *Fertil Steril.* 2008;90(4):965-971. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17880953>.
  30. Sevinsky H, Eley T, Persson A, et al. The effect of efavirenz on the pharmacokinetics of an oral contraceptive containing ethinyl estradiol and norgestimate in healthy HIV-negative women. *Antivir Ther.* 2011;16(2):149-156. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21447863>.
  31. Robinson JA, Jamshidi R, Burke AE. Contraception for the HIV-positive woman: a review of interactions between hormonal contraception and antiretroviral therapy. *Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol.* 2012;2012:890160. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22927715>.
  32. Tseng A, Hills-Nieminen C. Drug interactions between antiretrovirals and hormonal contraceptives. *Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol.* 2013;9(5):559-572. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23425052>.

33. Landolt NK, Phanuphak N, Ubolyam S, et al. Efavirenz, in contrast to nevirapine, is associated with unfavorable progesterone and antiretroviral levels when co-administered with combined oral contraceptives. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2012;62(5):534-539. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23187949>.
34. Atrio J, Stanczyk FZ, Neely M, Cherala G, Kovacs A, Mishell DR, Jr. Effect of protease inhibitors on steady-state pharmacokinetics of oral norethindrone contraception in HIV-infected women. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2014;65(1):72-77. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24025339>.
35. Crauwels HM, van Heeswijk RP, Buelens A, Stevens M, Hoetelmans RM. Lack of an effect of rilpivirine on the pharmacokinetics of ethinylestradiol and norethindrone in healthy volunteers. *Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 2014;52(2):118-128. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24161160>.
36. Landolt NK, Phanuphak N, Ubolyam S, et al. Significant decrease of ethinylestradiol with nevirapine, and of etonogestrel with efavirenz in HIV-positive women. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2014;66(2):e50-52. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24608892>.
37. Perry SH, Swamy P, Preidis GA, Mwanyumba A, Motsa N, Sarero HN. Implementing the jadelle implant for women living with HIV in a resource-limited setting in sub-Saharan Africa: concerns for drug interactions leading to unintended pregnancies. *AIDS*. 2014;28(5). Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24401645>.
38. Thurman AR, Anderson S, Doncel GF. Effects of hormonal contraception on antiretroviral drug metabolism, pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics. *Am J Reprod Immunol*. 2014;71(6):523-530. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24521428>.
39. Luque AE, Cohn SE, Park JG, et al. Depot medroxyprogesterone acetate in combination with a twice-daily lopinavir-ritonavir-based regimen in HIV-infected women showed effective contraception and a lack of clinically significant interactions, with good safety and tolerability: results of the ACTG 5283 study. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2015;59(4):2094-2101. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25624326>.
40. Song IH, Borland J, Chen S, Wajima T, Peppercorn AF, Piscitelli SC. Dolutegravir has no effect on the pharmacokinetics of oral contraceptives with norgestimate and ethinyl estradiol. *Ann Pharmacother*. 2015;49(7):784-789. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25862012>.
41. Scarsi KK, Darin KM, Nakalema S, et al. Unintended pregnancies observed with combined use of the levonorgestrel contraceptive implant and efavirenz-based antiretroviral therapy: a three-arm pharmacokinetic evaluation over 48 weeks. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2016;62(6):675-682. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26646680>.

42. Nanda K, Stuart GS, Robinson J, Gray AL, Tepper NK, Gaffield ME. Drug interactions between hormonal contraceptives and antiretrovirals. *AIDS*. 2017;31(7):917-952. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28060009>.
43. Elliot ER, Bisdomini E, Penchala SD, Khoo S, Nwokolo N, Boffito M. Pharmacokinetics (PK) of ethinylestradiol/levonorgestrel co-administered with atazanavir/cobicistat. *HIV Res Clin Pract*. 2019:1-10. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31335301>.
44. Majeed SR, West S, Ling KH, Das M, Kearney BP. Confirmation of the drug-drug interaction potential between cobicistat-boosted antiretroviral regimens and hormonal contraceptives. *Antivir Ther*. 2020;24(8):557-566. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31933482>.
45. Neary M, Chappell CA, Scarsi KK, et al. Effect of patient genetics on etonogestrel pharmacokinetics when combined with efavirenz or nevirapine ART. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2019;74(10):3003-3010. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31299074>.
46. Patel RC, Stalter RM, Thomas KK, et al. A pharmacokinetic and pharmacogenetic evaluation of contraceptive implants and antiretroviral therapy among women in Kenya and Uganda. *AIDS*. 2019;33(13):1995-2004. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31306173>.
47. Sekar VJ, Lefebvre E, Guzman SS, et al. Pharmacokinetic interaction between ethinyl estradiol, norethindrone and darunavir with low-dose ritonavir in healthy women. *Antivir Ther*. 2008;13(4):563-569.
48. Weinberg A, Park JG, Bosch R, et al. Effect of depot medoxyprogesterone acetate on immune functions and inflammatory markers of HIV-infected women. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2016;71(2):137-145. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26413850>.
49. Leticee N, Viard JP, Yamgnane A, Karmochkine M, Benachi A. Contraceptive failure of etonogestrel implant in patients treated with antiretrovirals including efavirenz. *Contraception*. 2012;85(4):425-427. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22036046>.
50. Patel RC, Onono M, Gandhi M, et al. Pregnancy rates in HIV-positive women using contraceptives and efavirenz-based or nevirapine-based antiretroviral therapy in Kenya: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet HIV*. 2015;2(11):e474-482. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26520927>.
51. Chappell CA, Lamorde M, Nakalema S, et al. Efavirenz decreases etonogestrel exposure: a pharmacokinetic evaluation of implantable contraception with antiretroviral therapy. *AIDS*. 2017;31(14):1965-1972. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28692531>.

52. Pyra M, Heffron R, Mugo NR, et al. Effectiveness of hormonal contraception in HIV-infected women using antiretroviral therapy. *AIDS*. 2015;29(17):2353-2359. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26544706>.
53. Pfitzer A, Wille J, Wambua J, et al. Contraceptive implant failures among women using antiretroviral therapy in western Kenya: a retrospective cohort study. *Gates Open Res*. 2019;3:1482. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32051928>.
54. Tang JH, Davis NL, Corbett AH, et al. Effect of efavirenz on levonorgestrel concentrations among Malawian levonorgestrel implant users for up to 30 months of concomitant use: a subanalysis of a randomized clinical trial. *Contracept X*. 2020;2:100027. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33364598>.
55. Mngqibisa R, Kendall MA, Dooley K, et al. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of depot medroxyprogesterone acetate in African women receiving treatment for human immunodeficiency virus and tuberculosis: potential concern for standard dosing frequency. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2020;71(3):517-524. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31504342>.
56. Blair CS, Li S, Chau G, et al. Brief report: hormonal contraception use and cabotegravir pharmacokinetics in HIV-uninfected women enrolled in HPTN 077. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2020;85(1):93-97. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32452972>.
57. Scarsi KK, Cramer YS, Rosenkranz SL, et al. Antiretroviral therapy and vaginally administered contraceptive hormones: a three-arm, pharmacokinetic study. *Lancet HIV*. 2019;6(9):e601-e612. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31498109>
58. Bishop IJ, Gertz AM, Simon B, et al. Etonogestrel concentrations among contraceptive implant users in Botswana using and not using dolutegravir-based antiretroviral therapy. *Contraception*. 2020;102(3):174-179. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32387328>.
59. Vieira CS, Bahamondes MV, de Souza RM, et al. Effect of antiretroviral therapy including lopinavir/ritonavir or efavirenz on etonogestrel-releasing implant pharmacokinetics in HIV-positive women. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2014;66(4):378-385. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24798768>.
60. Haas DW, Cramer YS, Godfrey C, et al. Pharmacogenetic interactions between antiretroviral drugs and vaginally administered hormonal contraceptives. *Pharmacogenet Genomics*. 2020;30(3):45-53. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32106141>.
61. Polis CB, Curtis KM. Use of hormonal contraceptives and HIV acquisition in women: a systematic review of the epidemiological evidence. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2013;13(9):797-808. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23871397>.
62. Watts DH, Park JG, Cohn SE, et al. Safety and tolerability of depot medroxyprogesterone acetate among HIV-infected women on antiretroviral therapy: ACTG A5093. *Contraception*. 2008;77(2):84-90. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18226670>.

63. Clark RA, Theall K. Population-based study evaluating association between selected antiretroviral therapies and potential oral contraceptive failure. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2004;37(1):1219-1220. Available at: <https://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15319685>.
64. Landolt NK, Phanuphak N, Ubolyam S, et al. Efavirenz, in contrast to nevirapine, is associated with unfavorable progesterone and antiretroviral levels when coadministered with combined oral contraceptives. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2013;62(5):534-539. Available at: <https://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23187949>.
65. Scholler-Gyure M, Kakuda TN, Woodfall B, et al. Effect of steady-state etravirine on the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of ethinylestradiol and norethindrone. *Contraception*. 2009;80(1):44-52. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19501215>.
66. Mildvan D, Yarrish R, Marshak A, et al. Pharmacokinetic interaction between nevirapine and ethinyl estradiol/norethindrone when administered concurrently to HIV-infected women. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2002;29(5):471-477. Available at: <https://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11981363>.
67. Stuart GS, Moses A, Corbett A, et al. Combined oral contraceptives and antiretroviral PK/PD in Malawian women: pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of a combined oral contraceptive and a generic combined formulation antiretroviral in Malawi. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2011;58(2):e40-43. Available at: <https://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21921726>.
68. Muro E, Droste JA, Hofstede HT, Bosch M, Dolmans W, Burger DM. Nevirapine plasma concentrations are still detectable after more than 2 weeks in the majority of women receiving single-dose nevirapine: implications for intervention studies. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2005;39(4):419-421. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16010163>.
69. Day S, Graham SM, Masese LN, et al. A prospective cohort study of the effect of depot medroxyprogesterone acetate on detection of plasma and cervical HIV-1 in women initiating and continuing antiretroviral therapy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2014;66(4):452-456. Available at: <https://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24798764/>.
70. Hubacher D, Liku J, Kiarie J, et al. Effect of concurrent use of anti-retroviral therapy and levonorgestrel sub-dermal implant for contraception on CD4 counts: a prospective cohort study in Kenya. *J Int AIDS Soc*. 2013;16:18448. Available at: <https://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23458102/>.
71. Myer L, Carter RJ, Katyal M, Toro P, El-Sadr WM, Abrams EJ. Impact of antiretroviral therapy on incidence of pregnancy among HIV-infected women in Sub-Saharan Africa: a cohort study. *PLoS Med*. 2010;7(2):e1000229. Available at: <https://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20161723>.

72. Nanda K, Delany-Moretlwe S, Dube K, et al. Nevirapine-based antiretroviral therapy does not reduce oral contraceptive effectiveness. *AIDS*. 2013;27 Suppl 1:S17-25. Available at: <https://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24088680>.
73. Khalilieh SG, Yee KL, Sanchez RI, et al. Doravirine and the potential for CYP3A-mediated drug-drug interactions. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2019;63(5):e02016-02018. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30783000>.
74. Zhang J, Chung E, Yones C, et al. The effect of atazanavir/ritonavir on the pharmacokinetics of an oral contraceptive containing ethinyl estradiol and norgestimate in healthy women. *Antivir Ther*. 2011;16(2):157-164. Available at: <https://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21447864>.
75. DuBois BN, Atrio J, Stanczyk FZ, Cherala G. Increased exposure of norethindrone in HIV+ women treated with ritonavir-boosted atazanavir therapy. *Contraception*. 2015;91(1):71-75. Available at: <https://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25245190>.
76. Atazanavir (Reyataz) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2020. Available at: [https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\\_docs/label/2020/021567s044,206352s0081bl.pdf](https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2020/021567s044,206352s0081bl.pdf).
77. Abel S, Russell D, Whitlock LA, Ridgway CE, Muirhead GJ. Effect of maraviroc on the pharmacokinetics of midazolam, lamivudine/zidovudine, and ethinyloestradiol/levonorgestrel in healthy volunteers. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2008;65 Suppl 1:19-26. Available at: <https://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18333862>.
78. Stribild (elvitegravir, cobicistat, emtricitabine, tenofovir disoproxil fumarate) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2020. Available at: [https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\\_docs/label/2020/203100s0351bl.pdf](https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2020/203100s0351bl.pdf).
79. Genvoya (elvitegravir, cobicistat, emtricitabine, and tenofovir alafenamide) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2021. Available at: [https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\\_docs/label/2021/207561s0251bl.pdf](https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2021/207561s0251bl.pdf).
80. Anderson MS, Hanley WD, Moreau AR, et al. Effect of raltegravir on estradiol and norgestimate plasma pharmacokinetics following oral contraceptive administration in healthy women. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2011;71(4):616-620. Available at: <https://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21395656>.