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Appendix C: Antiretroviral Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers

- This section was updated to revise the description of data on neural tube defects associated with the use of dolutegravir at conception.
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Introduction

As part of continuing efforts to be inclusive of transgender and gender diverse individuals assigned female sex at birth, the Panel on Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission (the Panel) has made revisions to incorporate gender inclusive language; for example, using “pregnant people” or “pregnant patients” versus “pregnant women” where appropriate. When reviewing data, results will be presented using the same terms used in the studies and publications being described, such as “pregnant women.” A new section has been added to address the unique needs of this population, Perinatal HIV Prevention for Transgender and Gender Diverse People Assigned Female Sex at Birth, in addition to content available in the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines (see Transgender People with HIV).

Maternal HIV Testing and Identification of Perinatal HIV Exposure

Section content has been updated to include a list of states with statutes or regulations that require repeat HIV testing in the third trimester and to recommend that this testing be offered to pregnant people who perceive themselves at increased risk for HIV infection.

Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis (PrEP) to Prevent HIV During Periconception, Antepartum, and Postpartum Periods

- Because HIV risk factors may be underreported, the Panel recommends that health care providers discuss PrEP with those with behaviors or experiences that can be associated with HIV, such as intimate partner violence and repeated post-exposure prophylaxis courses.
- The section has been reorganized to focus initially on clinical management of PrEP use with updated and additional content.

Prepregnancy Counseling and Care for Persons of Childbearing Age with HIV

- This section has been retitled in alignment with the transition from preconception care to prepregnancy care by the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists.
- Prepregnancy counseling and care has been updated to include vaccination to SARS-CoV-2 and considerations for the care of gender diverse and transgender individuals.
Reproductive Options for Couples When One or Both Partners Have HIV

- The section has been updated to address family building options for people with HIV across the spectrum of gender identity and sexual orientation.

General Principles Regarding Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy

- Recommendations and content about stopping antiretroviral (ARV) drugs during pregnancy have been incorporated into this section rather than presented in a separate section.

Teratogenicity

- Based on a study conducted in cynomolgus monkeys, suggesting that ibalizumab (IBA) may cause reversible immunosuppression in infants born to mothers exposed to IBA during pregnancy, expert consultation is recommended for guidance on monitoring and management of infants exposed to this drug based on the degree of immunosuppression observed.

Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy

- The Panel continues to recommend dolutegravir (DTG) as a Preferred ARV drug for pregnant people, irrespective of trimester, and for people who are trying to conceive. The most recent data from the Tsepamo study in Botswana indicate that, although the prevalence of infant neural tube defects (NTDs) with periconception use of DTG was higher than the prevalence of NTDs in infants born to women who were receiving efavirenz and women without HIV, the prevalence was not significantly increased compared with women with HIV receiving non-DTG ARV regimens at conception. Based on these and other data, the Panel has removed bulleted recommendations with DTG-specific cautions.

- Based on additional data about the use and safety of tenofovir alafenamide (TAF), the Panel now recommends TAF as a Preferred nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor for ARV regimens in people who are pregnant or are trying to conceive.

- Available data about weight gain with TAF and with DTG during pregnancy have been reviewed and incorporated in this section.

- Oral cabotegravir (CAB) and the new long-acting injectable regimen of CAB and rilpivirine (RPV) have been classified as Not Recommended for use in pregnancy and as Insufficient Data for persons who are trying to conceive or who become pregnant while on this regimen.

- Revisions have been made to the sections listed below to incorporate the Panel’s updated recommendations about ARV drugs during pregnancy and for people who are trying to conceive.

  - Pregnant People with HIV Who Have Never Received Antiretroviral Drugs (Antiretroviral Naive)
  - Table 4. What to Start: Initial Antiretroviral Regimens During Pregnancy for People Who Are Antiretroviral-Naive
  - Table 5. Situation-Specific Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs in Pregnant People and Nonpregnant People Who Are Trying to Conceive
  - Pregnant People with HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy
  - Appendix C: Antiretroviral Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers
Pregnant People with HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy

- Although no data exist on the use of two-drug oral ARV regimens during pregnancy (e.g., DTG plus lamivudine [3TC], DTG plus RPV), the component drugs are recommended for use in pregnancy. The Panel recommends that pregnant persons who present to care on DTG/3TC or DTG/RPV and have successfully maintained viral suppression can continue the two-drug regimen with more frequent viral load monitoring, every 1 to 2 months throughout pregnancy (CII).

- For people with HIV who have achieved virologic suppression and become pregnant while receiving ARV drugs with insufficient data about their use in pregnancy—such as bictegravir (BIC) or doravirine—clinicians should consider whether to continue or change the regimen, because a regimen change carries a risk for viral rebound at the time of the switch. If a decision is made with the patient to continue the same regimen, viral load should be monitored more frequently (i.e., every 1 to 2 months).

- Because data about the use of long-acting injectable CAB and RPV during pregnancy are extremely limited, the Panel recommends that pregnant persons who present to care on this regimen should be switched to a Preferred or Alternative three-drug ARV regimen recommended for use in pregnancy (CIII).

Monitoring During Pregnancy

- The section was updated to address the risk for weight gain and obesity that may be present with integrase inhibitor use during pregnancy and postpartum.

Hepatitis B Virus/HIV Coinfection

- The Panel added a recommendation to clarify that hepatitis B virus/HIV coinfection is not an independent indication for cesarean delivery (AIII).

Hepatitis C Virus/HIV Coinfection

- The Panel added a recommendation to clarify that hepatitis C virus/HIV coinfection is not an independent indication for cesarean delivery (AIII).

HIV-2 Infection and Pregnancy

- Now that TAF is a Preferred ARV drug for use in pregnancy, it has been added to recommended options in ARV regimens for the treatment of HIV-2 infection during pregnancy.

Acute HIV

- The Panel recommends DTG plus tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) or TAF with emtricitabine (FTC) or 3TC as the Preferred ARV regimen for pregnant people with acute HIV, irrespective of trimester (AII). Ritonavir-boosted darunavir (DRV/r) plus TDF or TAF with FTC or 3TC is an Alternative ARV regimen for pregnant people with acute HIV (AIII). See Table 4, Table 5, and Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy for more information.
• One of the following ARV regimens is recommended for people diagnosed with acute HIV infection when they are breastfeeding: BIC/TAF/FTC; DTG with TAF or TDF plus FTC or 3TC; boosted DRV with TAF or TDF plus FTC or 3TC (AIII) (see Acute and Recent [Early] HIV Infection in Guidelines for the Use of Antiretroviral Agents in Adults and Adolescents Living with HIV).

Perinatal HIV Prevention for Transgender and Gender Diverse People Assigned Female Sex at Birth

• This section provides an overview about the Panel’s recommendations regarding perinatal HIV prevention and treatment of HIV in pregnancy for transgender and gender diverse people assigned female sex at birth.

• The Panel has determined that, in most cases, it is appropriate to extrapolate its recommendations based on data in presumed cisgender women to all people assigned female sex at birth, including transgender and gender diverse people, with modification when indicated (e.g., drug interactions with gender-affirming hormones) (AIII).

• Patient-centered HIV and perinatal services should be provided using gender-affirming and shared decision-making approaches and models of care that address the unique and varied needs of transgender and gender diverse people and reduce barriers to ongoing engagement in care that can affect adherence to ARV therapy and the likelihood of viral suppression during prepregnancy, antepartum, and postpartum periods (AII).

• Health care providers should assess reproductive and parenting intentions and support access to appropriate contraception and perinatal HIV prevention services for transgender and gender diverse people (AIII).

• Some transgender and gender diverse patients may experience the onset or worsening of gender dysphoria and associated symptoms—such as depression—during prepregnancy, antepartum, and postpartum periods; health care providers should regularly assess patients’ comfort with their care and provide referrals for mental health or other support services as needed (AIII).

Intrapartum Care for People with HIV

• The Panel has clarified when viral load tests should be done to inform decisions about mode of delivery and need for intravenous zidovudine prophylaxis during labor, changing from “near delivery” to “within 4 weeks of delivery/anticipated delivery.”

Counseling and Managing Individuals with HIV in the United States Who Desire to Breastfeed

• Guidance about ARV prophylaxis for breastfeeding infants has been updated to address the provision of infant prophylaxis beyond the recommended time period of 4 weeks in an infant of a parent receiving ART with viral suppression, which is controversial; see Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection.
Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection

- The Panel has clarified when viral load tests should be done to inform decisions about ARV prophylaxis or presumptive HIV therapy for infants with perinatal HIV exposure, changing from “near delivery” to “within 4 weeks prior to delivery.”

- Table 9. Antiretroviral Drug Dosing Recommendations for Newborns has been updated to include abacavir (ABC) dosing recommendations for infants and nevirapine dosing for infants ≥32 to <34 weeks’ gestation at birth. The Panel does not recommend ABC for presumptive HIV therapy. However, in situations where ZDV is not available or the infant has ZDV-associated toxicity, ABC could be considered an alternative to ZDV. Because of ABC-associated hypersensitivity, negative testing for HLA-B5701 allele should be confirmed prior to administration of ABC.

Appendix B: Supplement: Safety and Toxicity of Individual Antiretroviral Agents in Pregnancy

- Table 11: Antiretroviral Drug Use in Pregnant People with HIV Infection: Pharmacokinetic and Toxicity Data in Human Pregnancy and Recommendations for Use in Pregnancy and the individual drug sections in Appendix B have been updated with new data for each drug, and a new section has been added for Cabotegravir.
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Introduction

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Recommendations regarding HIV screening in pregnancy, treatment of pregnant people with HIV infection, and the use of antiretroviral (ARV) drugs to prevent perinatal transmission of HIV have evolved considerably in the United States since the mid-1990s, reflecting changes in both the epidemic and the science of prevention and treatment. Current recommendations for universal prenatal HIV counseling and testing, antiretroviral therapy (ART) for all pregnant people with HIV, scheduled cesarean delivery for people with plasma HIV RNA >1,000 copies/mL near delivery, appropriate infant ARV management, and avoidance of breastfeeding have resulted in a dramatic decrease in the rate of perinatal transmission of HIV to 1% or less in the United States and Europe. In 2018, only 35 infants were born with perinatal HIV infection in the United States.

In response to this success, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention has developed a goal of eliminating perinatal HIV transmission in the United States, defined as reducing perinatal transmission to an incidence of <1 infection per 100,000 live births and to a rate of <1% among infants exposed to HIV. However, incomplete implementation of routine antenatal HIV testing and other recommended interventions remains a barrier to achieving this goal. Laws that promote universal HIV testing for pregnant women vary by jurisdiction, and prenatal testing coverage is higher in states with stronger regulations for testing all pregnant women. Testing coverage is also poorer in subgroups that are perceived by health care providers to be at low risk of HIV acquisition (e.g., married, white, non-Hispanic, or multiparous). Additionally, despite recommendations for repeat HIV testing in the third trimester for people at high risk of acquiring HIV and rapid maternal testing in labor or newborn testing when maternal HIV status is not known, implementation is incomplete, and many states do not have laws in place to require testing in such circumstances.

To address such challenges, many states and the District of Columbia have developed additional effective strategies to advance progress toward eliminating perinatal HIV transmission. To further support HIV prevention and reduction of perinatal HIV transmission, the Panel on Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission (the Panel) has now added guidance about the use of HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis in people at risk of HIV infection who are trying to conceive, pregnant, postpartum, or breastfeeding (see HIV Pre-exposure Prophylaxis (PrEP) to Prevent HIV During Periconception, Antepartum, and Postpartum Periods).

Every year, approximately 5,000 women with HIV give birth in the United States. In addition to primary prevention of HIV infection in people who can become pregnant, the best way to prevent HIV infection in infants is to focus on appropriate overall medical care for women, transgender men, and gender diverse individuals with HIV; this includes comprehensive reproductive health care, family planning and preconception care services, optimization of HIV treatment, and maintenance of care between pregnancies. A critical component of preventing perinatal HIV transmission is ensuring that a pregnant person with HIV receives ART that maximally suppresses viral replication as early as possible during pregnancy or, ideally, before conception.

A critical role of the Panel is to evaluate the many ARV drugs that are available for adults and assess the risks and benefits of using these drugs in people who are pregnant or are trying to conceive. The Office of AIDS Research Advisory Committee (OARAC)-sponsored Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents primarily considers efficacy and safety evidence when making recommendations for ART. Secondary considerations include characteristics that help
promote adherence, such as improved tolerability or convenience (e.g., whether a regimen is available as a fixed-dose combination with once-daily dosing). When considering which ARV drugs to recommend for use in people who are pregnant (or who may become pregnant), the Panel generally uses data from efficacy studies performed in nonpregnant adults; however, because drug exposure can change during pregnancy, data from direct pharmacokinetic (PK) studies in pregnant people are required.

In addition to considering direct evidence about short-term safety in pregnant people, the Panel also must make judgments about fetal safety. The Panel makes an initial assessment based on data from preclinical animal studies, analyses of reports to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, and all available post-marketing surveillance data. Robust evidence about fetal safety is not available at the time of drug licensure and remains limited for most licensed drugs. When strong evidence of fetal (or maternal) harm or unacceptable drug exposure exists, it is straightforward for the Panel to make recommendations against the use of a specific drug; however, this situation is unusual. More often, the Panel must make recommendations for ARV drugs for which there are insufficient PK data in pregnant people and/or inadequate safety information on fetal exposure early in pregnancy or during the periconception period. Policymakers, regulators, clinicians, and community advocates are striving to improve the availability of data on ARV drug exposure and safety in people who are pregnant or breastfeeding, or in those who are of reproductive potential.16-19

In the meantime, to ensure that pregnant people are not denied the best available ART—while acknowledging that some drugs have not yet been evaluated sufficiently for evidence of fetal or maternal harm—the Panel uses a graded approach to making recommendations for regimens to use during pregnancy. Selection of ARV drugs should be individualized according to the pregnant person’s ARV history, results of drug-resistance testing, and presence of comorbidities. In general, people who are already on a fully suppressive regimen when pregnancy occurs should continue their regimens. The Panel classifies ARV drugs for use in people who are pregnant or trying to conceive as preferred, alternative, insufficient data to recommend, not recommended except in special circumstances, and not recommended:

- **Preferred:** ARV regimens that are designated as Preferred in pregnancy are those that have been shown to be effective and durable in clinical trials in adults. Preferred regimens have acceptable toxicity and ease of use, pregnancy-specific PK data to guide dosing, and available data that suggest a favorable risk-benefit balance compared to other ARV options, incorporating outcomes for pregnant people, fetuses, or newborns. Some Preferred drugs may have minimal toxicity or teratogenicity risks that are offset by other advantages for people with HIV who are pregnant or who are trying to conceive.

- **Alternative:** Preferred ARV regimens for nonpregnant adults that do not meet the above criteria can be considered as options for Alternative regimens in pregnant people when available data on the use of these regimens in pregnancy are generally favorable, but still limited. Most Alternative drugs or regimens are associated with more concerns (or insufficient data) related to PK, dosing, tolerability, formulation, administration, or drug-drug interactions than those in the preferred category, but they are acceptable for use in pregnancy. They also may have known toxicity or potential teratogenicity risks that are offset by other advantages for people with HIV who are pregnant or who are trying to conceive.
• **Insufficient Data to Recommend:** Caution should be used when considering regimens that contain drugs with little or no pregnancy data. These regimens are considered to have Insufficient Data to Recommend for initiation in pregnancy, but no specific data exist that would support a recommendation to discontinue these regimens in people who become pregnant while taking them.

• **Not Recommended Except in Special Circumstances:** Some drugs are designated as Not Recommended Except in Special Circumstances because the Panel recognizes that in some situations, treatment-experienced pregnant people may need to initiate or continue drugs with limited safety and efficacy data or specific safety concerns to reach or maintain viral suppression.

• **Not Recommended:** Some drugs are designated as Not Recommended in pregnancy because they have inferior virologic efficacy, because PK data demonstrate low drug levels and a risk of viral rebound during pregnancy, or because there is evidence of serious maternal or fetal safety concerns.

The Panel systematically reviews all new information from the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, published studies, and other sources to update the drug recommendations. The Panel also coordinates with the Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents when there are concerns related to drug safety in pregnancy.

These guidelines update the December 2020 Recommendations for the Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy and Interventions to Reduce Perinatal HIV Transmission in the United States. The Panel, a working group of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) OARAC, develops these guidelines. The Panel collaborates with the companion NIH OARAC Panel on Antiretroviral Therapy and Medical Management of Children Living with HIV to jointly develop recommendations in overlapping areas (e.g., Maternal HIV Testing and Identification of Perinatal HIV Exposure, Diagnosis of HIV Infection in Infants and Children, Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection), as well as to ensure general harmony between the guidelines. Health care providers should discuss the information in these guidelines with pregnant people with HIV in order to make collaborative, informed decision-making regarding the use of ARV drugs during pregnancy, the use of scheduled cesarean delivery to reduce the risk of perinatal transmission of HIV, and the use of ARV drugs in infants who have been exposed to HIV.

The guidelines are structured to address the care of all pregnant individuals with HIV, their infants, and people who are trying to conceive. Many of the studies that informed these guidelines included only cisgender women and, as a result, data specifically relevant for transgender men and gender diverse people who are pregnant or are trying to conceive often are not available. The Panel continues to advocate for greater inclusion of transgender and gender diverse people in research.

When making recommendations, the Panel will strive for clarity about the appropriateness of extrapolating information from study populations of women to all people assigned female sex at birth. The Panel has begun to make changes in language throughout the guidelines to be inclusive of transgender and gender diverse individuals assigned female sex at birth. Gender-inclusive language is now used for recommendations and general content (e.g., using “pregnant people” or “pregnant patients” versus “pregnant women” when appropriate). The Guidelines will continue to refer to cisgender women as women and will refer to transgender and gender diverse individuals where indicated for specific content. When reviewing data, results will be presented using the same terms used in the studies and publications being described (i.e., women, pregnant women, transgender men, etc.). The Panel is committed to updating and maintaining gender inclusive language throughout the guidelines and has developed a new section to provide additional content, (Perinatal HIV Prevention Recommendations for the Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy and Interventions to Reduce Perinatal HIV Transmission in the United States A-3)
Recommendations for the Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy and Interventions to Reduce Perinatal HIV Transmission in the United States

for Transgender and Gender Diverse People Assigned Female Sex at Birth) in addition to content available in the Adult and Adolescent Guidelines (see Transgender People with HIV). The Panel recognizes the importance of the countless contributions to date of the many women who have shaped our current scientific knowledge base for perinatal HIV treatment, care, and prevention through their participation in research studies in the United States and internationally. Without them, this work and these guidelines would not be possible. At the same time, changes to incorporate gender inclusive language highlight the importance of providing care that addresses the needs of transgender and gender diverse populations and begins to close a gap in providing gender-affirming pregnancy-related care and perinatal HIV prevention services.

The recommendations in these guidelines are accompanied by discussions of common circumstances that occur in clinical practice and the factors that influence treatment considerations. The Panel recognizes that strategies to prevent perinatal transmission and concepts related to managing HIV in pregnant people are evolving rapidly, and the Panel will continue to consider new evidence and adjust recommendations accordingly. The current guidelines are available on the Clinical Info website. The National Perinatal HIV Hotline (1-888-448-8765) is a federally funded service that provides free clinical consultation to providers caring for women with HIV or at risk for HIV and their children, and it serves as a resource for obtaining expert consultation on individual cases.

The Panel’s recommendations are designed to ensure that women, transgender men, and gender diverse individuals who can become pregnant receive the full benefit of ART for their own health and to prevent perinatal transmission. However, the Panel recognizes that people have the right to make informed choices about treatment during pregnancy, even when their choices differ from their health care providers’ recommendations.

The current guidelines have been structured to reflect the management of an individual mother–infant pair and are organized into a brief discussion of prepregnancy care followed by principles for managing the care of pregnant persons and their infants during the antepartum, intrapartum, and postpartum periods. Although perinatal transmission of HIV occurs worldwide, these recommendations have been developed for use in the United States. Alternative antiretroviral drug recommendations may be appropriate in other countries (see the World Health Organization guidelines for more information).
### Guidelines Development Process

#### Table 1. Outline of the Guidelines Development Process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Goal of the Guidelines</strong></td>
<td>Provide guidance to HIV care practitioners in the United States on the optimal use of antiretroviral (ARV) agents to treat pregnant people with HIV, prevent HIV acquisition during pregnancy, and prevent perinatal HIV transmission in infants exposed to HIV.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Panel Members</strong></td>
<td>The Panel is composed of approximately 30 voting members who have expertise in managing the care of pregnant people with HIV (e.g., training in obstetrics/gynecology, infectious diseases, or women’s health), the pharmacology of ARV drugs during pregnancy, and the interventions for prevention of perinatal transmission (e.g., specialized training in pediatric HIV infection). The Panel also includes community representatives with knowledge of HIV infection in pregnant people and interventions for the prevention of perinatal transmission. The U.S. government representatives, appointed by their agencies, include at least one representative from each of the following Department of Health and Human Services agencies: the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), the Food and Drug Administration (FDA), the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA), and the National Institutes of Health (NIH). Members who do not represent U.S. government agencies are selected by Panel members after an open call for nominations. Each member serves on the Panel for a 3-year period, with an option for reappointment. The Panel also may include liaison members from the National Perinatal HIV Hotline, the American Academy of Pediatrics Committee on Pediatric AIDS, the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, the Society of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists of Canada, and the Canadian Pediatric and Perinatal Research Group. A list of all Panel members can be found in the Guidelines Panel Members section.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Financial Disclosures</strong></td>
<td>All members of the Panel submit an annual written financial disclosure that reports any association with manufacturers of ARV drugs or diagnostics used to manage HIV infection. See Financial Disclosure for a list of the latest disclosures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Users of the Guidelines</strong></td>
<td>Providers of care to pregnant people with HIV and infants who have been exposed to HIV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Developer</strong></td>
<td>The Panel on Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission—a working group of the Office of AIDS Research Advisory Council (OARAC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Funding Source</strong></td>
<td>Office of AIDS Research, NIH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Evidence for Recommendations</strong></td>
<td>The recommendations in these guidelines are generally based on studies published in peer-reviewed journals. On some occasions, particularly when new information may affect patient safety, unpublished data that were presented at major conferences or prepared by the FDA and/or manufacturers as warnings to the public may be used as evidence to revise the guidelines.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recommendation Grading</strong></td>
<td>See Table 2.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Recommended for the Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy and Interventions to Reduce Perinatal HIV Transmission in the United States*
### Method of Synthesizing Data
Each section of the guidelines is assigned to a small group of Panel members with expertise in the area of interest. A structured literature search is conducted by a technical assistance consultant and provided to the Panel working group. The members review and synthesize the available data and propose recommendations to the entire Panel. The Panel discusses all proposals during monthly teleconferences. Proposals are modified based on Panel discussions and then distributed, with ballots, to all Panel members. If substantive comments or votes against approval are made, the recommended changes and areas of disagreement are brought back to the full Panel (via email or teleconference) for review, discussion, and further modification to reach a final version that is acceptable to all Panel members. The recommendations in these final versions represent the consensus of Panel members and are included in the guidelines as official Panel recommendations.

### Other Guidelines
These guidelines focus on pregnant people with HIV and their infants. Other guidelines (all of which are available on the Clinical Info website) outline the use of ARV agents in nonpregnant adults and adolescents with HIV; use of ARV agents in infants and children with HIV; treatment and prevention of opportunistic infections (OIs) in adults and adolescents with HIV, including pregnant women; treatment and prevention of OIs in children who have been exposed to HIV or who have HIV infection; and treatment of people who experience occupational or nonoccupational exposure to HIV. Preconception management for nonpregnant people of reproductive potential is discussed briefly in this document. However, for a more detailed discussion of the issues surrounding the treatment of nonpregnant adults, please consult the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines and the Adult and Adolescent Opportunistic Infection Guidelines.

### Update Plan
The Panel meets monthly by teleconference to review data that may affect the content of the guidelines. Updates may be prompted by new drug approvals (or new indications, new dosing formulations, and/or changes in dosing frequency), significant new safety or efficacy data, or other information that may have a significant impact on the clinical care of patients. In the event of significant new data that may affect patient safety, the Panel may issue a warning announcement and recommendations on the Clinical Info website until the guidelines can be updated with appropriate changes.

### Public Comments
A 2-week public comment period follows the release of the updated guidelines on the Clinical Info website. The Panel reviews comments to determine whether additional revisions to the guidelines are indicated. The public also may submit comments to the Panel at any time at contactus@clinicalinfo.nih.gov.
Basis for Recommendations

The recommendations in these guidelines are based on scientific evidence and expert opinion. Each recommendation statement includes a letter (A, B, or C) that represents the strength of the recommendation and a Roman numeral (I, II, or III) that represents the quality of the evidence that supports the recommendation.

Table 2. Rating Scheme for Recommendations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strength of Recommendation</th>
<th>Quality of Evidence for Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A: Strong recommendation for the statement</td>
<td>I: One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B: Moderate recommendation for the statement</td>
<td>II: One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C: Optional recommendation for the statement</td>
<td>III: Expert opinion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Maternal HIV Testing and Identification of Perinatal HIV Exposure

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Panel’s Recommendations

- HIV testing is recommended as a standard of care for all sexually active people and should be a routine component of prepregnancy care (AII).

- All pregnant people should be tested as early as possible during each pregnancy (see Laboratory Testing for the Diagnosis of HIV Infection: Updated Recommendations and Recommended Laboratory HIV Testing Algorithm for Serum or Plasma Specimens from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [CDC]) (AII).

- Partners of all pregnant people should be referred for HIV testing when their status is unknown (AIII).

- Repeat HIV testing in the third trimester is recommended for pregnant people with negative initial HIV tests who are at increased risk of acquiring HIV, including those receiving care in facilities that have an HIV incidence of ≥1 case per 1,000 pregnant women per year, those who reside in jurisdictions with elevated HIV incidence (see Revised Recommendations for HIV Testing of Adults, Adolescents, and Pregnant Women in Health-Care Settings from CDC), or those who reside in states or territories that require third-trimester testing (AII).

- Repeat HIV testing is recommended for pregnant people with a sexually transmitted infection (STI) or with signs and symptoms of acute HIV infection, or ongoing exposure to HIV, as well as referral for initiation of pre-exposure prophylaxis if HIV testing is negative (AIII). See Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis (PrEP) to Prevent HIV During Periconception, Antepartum, and Postpartum Periods for more information.

- Expedited HIV testing should be performed during labor or delivery for people with undocumented HIV status and for those who tested negative early in pregnancy but are at increased risk of HIV infection and were not retested in the third trimester (AII). Testing should be available 24 hours a day, and results should be available within 1 hour. If results are positive, intrapartum antiretroviral (ARV) prophylaxis should be initiated immediately (AI).

- Pregnant people who were not tested for HIV before or during labor should undergo expedited HIV antibody testing during the immediate postpartum period (or their newborns should undergo expedited HIV antibody testing) (AII).

- When a pregnant person has a positive HIV test result during labor and delivery or postpartum, or when a newborn’s expeditied antibody test is positive, an appropriate infant ARV drug regimen should be initiated immediately, and the infant should not be breastfed while awaiting the results of supplemental HIV testing (AII). See Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or Perinatal HIV for guidance.

- Results of maternal HIV testing should be documented in the newborn’s medical record and communicated to the newborn’s primary care provider (AIII).

- HIV testing is recommended for infants and children in foster care and adoptees for whom maternal HIV status is unknown to identify perinatal HIV exposure and possible HIV infection (AIII) (see Diagnosis of HIV Infections in Infants and Children).
HIV Testing in Pregnancy

HIV infection should be identified before pregnancy (see Prepregnancy Counseling and Care for Persons of Childbearing Age with HIV) or as early as possible in pregnancy. In the United States, approximately 20% to 34% of infants with perinatal HIV exposure were born to people whose HIV diagnosis was not known before pregnancy. Early diagnosis provides the best opportunity to improve maternal health and pregnancy outcomes to prevent infant acquisition of HIV, to identify HIV infection, and to start therapy as soon as possible in infants who acquire HIV. Universal voluntary HIV testing is recommended as the standard of care for all pregnant people in the United States by the Panel on Antiretroviral Therapy and Medical Management of Children Living with HIV and the Panel on Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission (the Panels), the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), the American Academy of Pediatrics, the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, and the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force.

All HIV testing should be performed in a manner that is consistent with state and local regulations. CDC recommends the “opt-out” approach, which is allowed in many jurisdictions and involves notifying a pregnant person that HIV testing will be performed as part of routine care unless they choose not to be tested. The “opt-in” approach involves obtaining specific consent before testing, and this approach has been associated with lower testing rates. Despite the guidelines for universal HIV screening of pregnant people, recent studies indicate that fewer than 80% of women report having been tested for HIV during pregnancy. The mandatory newborn HIV testing approach, which has been adopted by several states, involves testing newborns with or without maternal consent. In some areas, this applies to all newborns; in others, it applies only to the infants of mothers who have declined prenatal or intrapartum testing.

Partners of pregnant people should be referred for HIV testing when their status is unknown, consistent with the 2006 CDC recommendations for HIV testing of all individuals in the United States. Testing will facilitate linkage to care if a partner is diagnosed with HIV infection. Because women are more susceptible to HIV acquisition during pregnancy and the postpartum period, clinicians also can initiate a discussion about preventive interventions, including pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP), for a pregnant person without HIV who is at risk for acquiring HIV. See Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis (PrEP) to Prevent HIV During Periconception, Antepartum, and Postpartum Periods for more information.

Clinicians should assess a pregnant person’s risk of acute HIV infection, particularly late in pregnancy, because people may receive a negative result for expedited or rapid HIV testing when they are in the window period (the window period lasts up to 15 days post-infection when using the combined antigen/antibody immunoassay and up to 28 days when using other assays). However,
during this period, the person with acute HIV will be viremic,12 with a high risk of perinatal transmission to the newborn. The HIV RNA assay can detect the presence of HIV as early as 10 days post-infection, so this test should be used when acute HIV infection is suspected. See Acute HIV Infection for more information.

Providers should be aware that gaps in maternal HIV testing do occur and can contribute to missed opportunities for preventing perinatal HIV transmission.13-16 Maternal HIV testing should be performed as early as possible during pregnancy, wherever a person seeks care (including emergency departments and prenatal clinics), to avoid missed opportunities to identify pregnant people with HIV. Repeat HIV testing should be performed in the third trimester for people who are at increased risk of acquiring HIV or who are living in areas of high HIV incidence, at the time of a diagnosis of a sexually transmitted infection (STI), or when they show symptoms and signs of possible acute HIV infection. Pregnant people with unknown or undocumented HIV status who present to care in labor should be tested during delivery or as soon as possible after delivery.13-16

Determining antenatal maternal HIV status enables—

- People with HIV to receive appropriate antiretroviral therapy (ART) and prophylaxis against opportunistic infections;
- Initiation of treatment in the identified people to maintain and improve their health and to decrease risk of HIV transmission to their fetus or infant and their partners;3,17,18
- Referral of partners for testing, which allows them to initiate either treatment if the results are positive or preventive interventions, including PrEP, if the results are negative if warranted (see Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis (PrEP) to Prevent HIV During Periconception, Antepartum, and Postpartum Periods);
- Provision of ART during pregnancy and labor and provision of an appropriate antiretroviral (ARV) drug regimen to the newborn to reduce the risk of perinatal transmission;
- Counseling of pregnant people with HIV about recommended modes of delivery based on individualized risks of perinatal transmission of HIV;19-21
- Counseling of pregnant people with HIV about the risks of HIV transmission through breast milk (in the United States, breastfeeding is not recommended for women with HIV [see Counseling and Managing Individuals with HIV in the United States Who Desire to Breastfeed]);22 and
- Early diagnostic evaluation of infants exposed to HIV (see Diagnosis of HIV Infection in Infants and Children), as well as testing of other children, to permit prompt initiation of ART and any indicated prophylaxis measures.2,23-25

New technology has made it possible to detect HIV earlier and has reduced the performance time for laboratory-based assays, which now can be completed in <1 hour. Accordingly, the Panels now base their recommendations for HIV testing on CDC’s 2014 Laboratory Testing for the Diagnosis of HIV Infection: Updated Recommendations.26 The guidelines recommend that clinicians initiate HIV testing with an immunoassay that is capable of detecting HIV-1 antibodies, HIV-2 antibodies, and HIV-1 p24 antigen (referred to as an antigen/antibody combination immunoassay). Individuals with a reactive antigen/antibody combination immunoassay should be tested further with an HIV-1/HIV-2 antibody differentiation assay (referred to as supplemental testing). Individuals with a reactive antigen/antibody combination immunoassay and a nonreactive differentiation test should be tested with a Food and Drug Administration–approved plasma HIV RNA assay to establish a diagnosis of
Discordant HIV testing results can be seen, requiring careful evaluation and often repeat tests. Early in HIV infection, before HIV seroconversion, the antigen-antibody screen will be negative and the HIV RNA assay will be positive. This is seen in acute infection because the HIV RNA assay is positive before the antigen/antibody screen. The test combination of a positive antigen-antibody screen, negative antibody differentiation assay, and positive HIV RNA assay also can be seen early in HIV infection because the IgG-based antibody differentiation assay is positive later in infection than the antigen capture or the IgM result in the antigen-antibody screen.

Clinicians should be aware that as more individuals undergo repeat HIV testing, the number of false-positive screens will increase. The combination of a positive antigen-antibody screen with a negative antibody differentiation assay and a negative HIV RNA assay is seen in people without HIV who have a false-positive antigen-antibody screen.

These examples should make it clear that for any positive HIV 1/2 antigen-antibody screen, an HIV RNA assay should be done because the HIV RNA assay is needed to resolve questions raised by discordant results on the antigen-antibody screen and the antibody differentiation assay.

The antigen/antibody combination immunoassay is the test of choice and can be done quickly (referred to as an expedited test), but it requires trained laboratory staff and, therefore, may not be available in some hospitals 24 hours a day. When this test is unavailable, initial testing should be performed by the most sensitive expedited or rapid test available. Every delivery unit needs to have access to an HIV test that can be done rapidly (i.e., in <1 hour) 24 hours a day. If the test result is positive, the test to confirm HIV infection should be performed as soon as possible (as with all initial assays with positive results). Older antibody tests have lower sensitivity in the context of recent acquisition of HIV than antigen/antibody combination immunoassays. Therefore, testing that follows the 2014 CDC algorithm should be considered if HIV risk cannot be ruled out. Results of maternal HIV testing should be documented in the newborn’s medical record and communicated to the newborn’s primary care provider.

**Repeat HIV Testing in the Third Trimester**

Repeat HIV testing during the third trimester, before 36 weeks gestation, is recommended (see [Acute HIV Infection](#)) for pregnant people with negative results on their initial HIV antibody tests who—

- Are at high risk of acquiring HIV (e.g., those who inject drugs or have sex with people who inject drugs, those who exchange sex for money or drugs, those who are sex partners of individuals with HIV, those who have had a new sex partner or more than one sex partner during the current pregnancy, or those who have a suspected or diagnosed STI during pregnancy); or
- Are receiving health care in facilities where prenatal screening identifies one or more pregnant women with HIV per 1,000 women screened, or reside in a jurisdiction that has a high incidence...
of HIV or AIDS in women between the ages of 15 and 45 years (see the 2006 CDC recommendations),\(^3,28\)

- Reside in states or territories with statutes or regulations that require third-trimester testing (Arizona, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Louisiana, Maryland, Nevada, New Jersey, North Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, West Virginia);\(^29\) or

- Have signs or symptoms of acute HIV (e.g., fever, lymphadenopathy, skin rash, myalgia, headaches, oral ulcers, leukopenia, thrombocytopenia, elevated transaminase levels).\(^3,28,30-32\)

In addition, third-trimester testing should be offered to pregnant people who perceive themselves as being at increased risk for HIV infection (regardless of whether or not they fit any of the above criteria). Pregnant people who decline testing earlier in pregnancy should be offered testing again during the third trimester. A n antigen/antibody combination immunoassay should be used because these tests have a higher sensitivity in the setting of acute HIV infection than older antibody tests.\(^26,33\)

When acute HIV infection is suspected during pregnancy, during the intrapartum period, or while breastfeeding, a plasma HIV RNA test result should be performed in conjunction with an antigen/antibody combination immunoassay. See A cute and Recent (Early) HIV Infection in the Adult and A dolescent A ntiretroviral G uidelines for more information.

Providers should be proactive in assessing a pregnant person’s HIV acquisition risk and implementing third-trimester HIV retesting when indicated. A study in Baltimore found that only 28% of women were retested for HIV despite the high incidence of HIV in Maryland and a high frequency of clinical risk factors.\(^16\) A study of data from 2007 to 2014 on children in Florida with perinatal HIV exposure found that perinatal HIV transmission was associated with poor or late prenatal care, diagnosis of maternal HIV during labor and delivery or after birth, and, in some cases, acute maternal infection (as indicated by negative results for initial tests).\(^34\) In a more recent study from a high-prevalence area in Florida, 91.7% of women had first- or second-trimester screening and, although only 82.2% had a third-trimester test, 89.3% of those without third-trimester screening had rapid testing upon admission.\(^35\)

Repeat HIV testing at other times during pregnancy also should be considered when clinically indicated. For example, repeat testing should be performed when a pregnant person presents with symptoms that are suggestive of an STI, a confirmed STI diagnosis, or symptoms or signs that are consistent with acute HIV infection.

**HIV Testing During Labor in People with Unknown HIV Status**

People in labor whose HIV status is undocumented and those who tested negative early in pregnancy but are at increased risk of HIV infection and were not retested in the third trimester should undergo expedited HIV testing to identify HIV infection in the mothers and HIV exposure in their infants. HIV testing during labor has been found to be feasible, accurate, timely, and useful both in ensuring prompt initiation of intrapartum maternal ARV for fetal/infant prophylaxis (see Intrapartum Care for

\(^{a}\) In 2004, these jurisdictions included Alabama, Connecticut, Delaware, the District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Louisiana, Maryland, Massachusetts, Mississippi, Nevada, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Pennsylvania, Puerto Rico, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, and Virginia. Since that time, advances in HIV screening, prevention, and treatment have affected HIV diagnoses among reproductive-aged women, and some of these jurisdictions may no longer meet this incidence criterion.
People with HIV) and in developing an appropriate ARV regimen for infants who are at high risk of perinatal HIV transmission (see Table 11). Policies and procedures must be in place to ensure that staff are prepared to provide patient education and expedited HIV testing, that appropriate ARV drugs are available whenever needed, and that follow-up procedures are in place for people who receive an HIV diagnosis and for their infants. Testing should be available 24 hours a day and, whenever possible, results should be available within 1 hour.

If the antigen/antibody combination immunoassay is not available, initial testing should be performed by the most sensitive expedited test available.

A positive expedited HIV test result must be followed by a supplemental test. Immediate initiation of maternal intravenous intrapartum zidovudine is recommended to prevent perinatal transmission of HIV pending the supplemental result (see Intrapartum Care for People with HIV). Pending results of supplemental maternal testing, infants should receive an ARV regimen that is appropriate for infants who are at high risk of perinatal HIV transmission as soon as possible (see Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or Perinatal HIV or contact the National Clinician Consultation Center Perinatal HIV Hotline). No further testing is required for specimens that are nonreactive (negative) on the initial immunoassay, unless acute HIV infection is suspected (see Acute HIV Infection).

HIV Testing During the Postpartum Period

People who have not been tested for HIV before or during labor should be offered expedited testing during the immediate postpartum period. Maternal testing should be done using the antigen/antibody combination immunoassay to screen for established and acute HIV; results should be obtained in <1 hour. If acute HIV infection is a possibility, then a plasma HIV RNA test should be sent, as well. When mothers are unavailable for testing, their newborns should undergo expedited HIV testing. Postnatal ARV drugs need to be initiated as soon as possible—ideally ≤6 hours after birth—to be effective in preventing perinatal transmission. When an initial HIV test is positive in mothers or infants, it is strongly recommended that clinicians initiate an ARV regimen that is appropriate for infants who are at high risk of perinatal HIV transmission and counsel the mothers against breastfeeding pending the results of supplemental testing, which should include a plasma HIV RNA test. Breast milk can be expressed while HIV diagnostic testing is being completed, but it should not be given to the infant until testing confirms that the mother is HIV negative (see Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or Perinatal HIV). If supplemental test results are negative and acute HIV is excluded, infant ARV drugs can be discontinued. In the absence of ongoing maternal HIV exposure, breastfeeding can be initiated. Consultation with a pediatric HIV specialist is strongly recommended if questions remain about the potential for acute maternal infection or ongoing maternal HIV exposure.

Infant HIV Testing When Maternal HIV Test Results Are Unavailable

When maternal HIV test results are unavailable (e.g., the mother has declined testing during pregnancy or for infants and children who are in foster care) or their accuracy cannot be evaluated (e.g., for infants and children who were adopted from countries where results are not reported in English), HIV testing of these infants or children is indicated to identify HIV exposure and possible infection. The choice of test will vary based on the age of the child (see Diagnosis of HIV Infection).
Mechanisms should be developed to facilitate prompt HIV screening for infants who have been abandoned and who are in the custody of the state.

**Acute Maternal HIV Infection During Pregnancy or Breastfeeding**

Women are more susceptible to HIV infection during pregnancy and the early postpartum period. Risk of HIV exposure should be assessed in all people who are considering becoming pregnant, as well as in all pregnant and postpartum people who previously tested negative for HIV, including those who are breastfeeding. People with risk factors for HIV acquisition before, during, and after pregnancy should receive prevention counseling and appropriate interventions, including PrEP if indicated. See [Prepregnancy Counseling and Care for Persons of Childbearing Age with HIV and Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis (PrEP) to Prevent HIV During Periconception, Antepartum, and Postpartum Periods](#) for more information. People who have acute HIV during pregnancy or lactation have an increased risk of perinatal transmission and secondary sexual transmission of HIV (see [Acute HIV Infection](#)). The antigen/antibody combination immunoassay will detect acute HIV infection earlier than other immunoassays—within approximately 15 days of acquisition. When acute HIV infection is suspected, a plasma HIV RNA test should be sent as well because virologic tests can detect the presence of HIV approximately 5 days earlier than the antigen/antibody combination immunoassay. People with possible acute HIV infection who are breastfeeding should cease breastfeeding immediately until HIV infection is confirmed or excluded. Breast milk can be expressed while HIV diagnostic testing is completed. Breastfeeding can resume if HIV infection is excluded and there is no ongoing risk. Care of pregnant or breastfeeding people with acute or early HIV, and their infants, should follow the recommendations in the Perinatal Guidelines (see [Acute HIV Infection](#) and [Guidance for Counseling and Managing Individuals with HIV in the United States Who Desire to Breastfeed](#)).

**Other Issues**

Clinicians should be aware of public health surveillance systems and regulations that may exist in their jurisdictions for reporting infants who have been exposed to HIV; this is in addition to mandatory reporting of people with HIV, including infants. Reporting infants who have been exposed to HIV allows the appropriate public health functions to be accomplished.
References


11. Thomson KA, Hughes J, Baeten JM, et al. Increased risk of HIV acquisition among women throughout pregnancy and during the postpartum period: a prospective per-coital-act analysis


43. Drake AL, Wagner A, Richardson B, John-Stewart G. Incident HIV during pregnancy and postpartum and risk of mother-to-child HIV transmission: a systematic review and
Pre-exposure Prophylaxis (PrEP) to Prevent HIV During Periconception, Antepartum, and Postpartum Periods

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Panel’s Recommendations

- Health care providers should offer and promote daily oral combination tenofovir disoproxil fumarate/emtricitabine (TDF/FTC) pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP), when indicated, for uninfected individuals who are trying to conceive or are pregnant, postpartum, or breastfeeding to prevent HIV acquisition (AII). Indications for PrEP include risk factors for acquiring HIV, such as condomless sex with a partner with HIV whose HIV-RNA level is detectable or unknown, recent sexually transmitted infection (STI), or injection drug use. Because risk factors may be underreported, health care providers should discuss PrEP with those with behaviors or experiences that can be associated with HIV, such as intimate partner violence, repeated post-exposure prophylaxis courses, or reporting feeling at risk for HIV acquisition.

- People who become pregnant while using TDF/FTC as PrEP can continue PrEP throughout their pregnancy. Risk for HIV acquisition should be reassessed, and people should be counseled regarding benefits and risks of PrEP use in pregnancy (AII).

- Providers should counsel patients about the benefits of PrEP to prevent HIV acquisition and perinatal transmission (AII) and about potential risks of PrEP during periconception, pregnancy, postpartum, and breastfeeding periods (BII).

- In cases when the individual's risk factor is one identified partner with HIV and that partner is on antiretroviral therapy (ART) with sustained viral suppression, condomless sexual intercourse is associated with effectively no risk of sexual HIV transmission when HIV viral load is suppressed (AI) (see Reproductive Options for Couples When One or Both Partners Have HIV).

- Providers should counsel patients about the importance of daily adherence to oral TDF/FTC PrEP to prevent HIV acquisition (AI). Patients should be counseled to continue additional protection for the first 20 days after initiating PrEP and for 28 days after last potential vaginal exposure (BII). No available data support on-demand PrEP use for people exposed to HIV through vaginal exposure.

- Providers should offer routine PrEP follow-up, including testing for HIV every 3 months and counseling on signs and symptoms of acute retroviral syndrome (AI) (see the Centers for Disease Prevention and Control Guidelines for HIV Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis and Maternal HIV Testing and Identification of Perinatal HIV Exposure). More frequent testing may be appropriate when clinically indicated (e.g., adherence challenges, nonstandard visit schedule).

Dapivirine vaginal ring and injectable cabotegravir have been shown to reduce the risk of HIV acquisition via receptive vaginal exposure, and cabotegravir has been approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for use as PrEP in people with exposure to HIV. However, safety data are limited for their use during conception, pregnancy, or breastfeeding. Oral tenofovir alafenamide (TAF)/FTC has not been demonstrated to be effective for HIV prevention in people with receptive vaginal exposure.

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion
HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) is the use of specific antiretroviral (ARV) drugs to prevent HIV acquisition. The use of combination tenofovir disoproxil fumarate/emtricitabine (TDF/FTC) as daily oral PrEP to reduce HIV acquisition was approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 2012. When taken as prescribed, TDF/FTC provides greater than 90 percent protection against acquiring HIV. Susceptibility to HIV acquisition is greater during the periconception period, throughout pregnancy, and through 6 months postpartum. A cute or recent HIV infection during pregnancy or breastfeeding is associated with an increased risk of perinatal HIV transmission (see A cute HIV Infection). The Panel on Treatment of HIV Infection During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission (the Panel) recommends that people without HIV who are planning to have a child or who are pregnant or breastfeeding should be offered TDF/FTC as PrEP or referred for PrEP care services when indicated to prevent HIV acquisition and potential perinatal HIV transmission. Although injectable cabotegravir was recently approved by the FDA for use as PrEP in adolescents and adults, it is not recommended for use in pregnancy or breastfeeding.

The guidance in this section focuses on the use of TDF/FTC as PrEP during periconception, antepartum, and postpartum periods (through 6 months postpartum and/or throughout breastfeeding). Most research on PrEP cited in this section was conducted with participants who self-identified as women (presumed to be predominantly cisgender women). However, patients with an intact uterus and ovaries who do not identify as women (i.e., transgender men, genderqueer, or nonbinary individuals) can become pregnant, give birth, and breastfeed or chestfeed. PrEP should be offered and promoted for all individuals with an indication for PrEP using a gender-affirming approach to care (see Transgender People with HIV in the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines).

Clinical Management of PrEP Use During Periconception, Antepartum, and Postpartum Periods

Initiating and Stopping PrEP

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) has issued guidelines about the use of TDF/FTC PrEP for people at risk of HIV through vaginal exposure. Data suggest that these guidelines miss some people who are at risk. Therefore, the Panel recommends that PrEP for HIV prevention should be discussed with individuals who—

- Have a history of bacterial sexually transmitted infection (STI);5,6
- Have infrequent condom use with one or more partners of unknown HIV status, especially within a high-prevalence sexual network;
- Are taking non-occupational post-exposure prophylaxis (nPEP) and anticipate ongoing risk or have used multiple courses of nPEP;7
- Engage in transactional sex;
- Have substance use disorder and/or substance use associated with sex;
- Have a partner with HIV without consistent virologic suppression;
- Have a history of experiencing intimate partner violence;8 or
- Have a partner with any of the factors listed above.

Given possible stigma associated with reporting several of these elements, the Panel also
recommends offering PrEP to those who feel at risk for HIV exposure or ask for PrEP.

When prescribing PrEP, clinicians should provide the following appropriate information and counseling:

- **TDF/FTC** is FDA approved for those weighing at least 35 kg.
- Counsel patients about potential risks and benefits of PrEP and all available strategies for reducing HIV acquisition risks during periconception, antepartum, and postpartum periods, including the use of PrEP for safer conception (see Reproductive Options for Couples When One or Both Partners Have HIV). People who become pregnant while using PrEP can continue PrEP throughout their pregnancy.
- **Explain that** condomless sex with a partner who has sustained viral suppression is associated with effectively no risk of HIV sexual transmission.9-12
- Although it is unknown how long PrEP needs to be taken before a person can be considered protected from vaginal HIV exposure, or how long it needs to be continued after last exposure, conservative guidance is to take daily oral TDF plus FTC for 20 days before considering an individual fully protected and to continue it for 28 days after last exposure.3
- Prescribe TDF/FTC as a once-daily, fixed-dose combination tablet, whenever possible. Provide counseling about the importance of adherence and suggest adherence supports, such as use of a pillbox (see Adherence Support below).
- Counsel that episodic or on-demand PrEP has not been shown to be effective for vaginal exposure.
- Counsel individuals who are taking PrEP about the symptoms associated with acute HIV infection and instruct them to contact their provider immediately for HIV testing and further evaluation if symptoms occur (see Acute HIV Infection). Patients experiencing symptoms of acute HIV infection should be instructed to use a condom during sex, stop attempts at conception, and stop breastfeeding.
- **PrEP does not protect against other STIs. Condom use is important for reducing risks of STI acquisition.**
- **Regularly assess and discuss ongoing needs for PrEP.**

Indications for PrEP use may change across the course of periconception, antepartum, and postpartum periods. Even after the postpartum period, HIV vulnerability may remain. In addition, people may have repeat pregnancies and, therefore, ongoing discussion regarding the possibility of pregnancy (planned or unplanned) and the need for PrEP should continue.

Of note, dapivirine vaginal ring and injectable cabotegravir reduce the risk of HIV acquisition via vaginal exposure, and cabotegravir has been approved by the FDA for use as PrEP in people with vaginal exposure to HIV.13-15 However, safety and pharmacokinetic data are limited for their use during conception, pregnancy, or breastfeeding. Oral tenofovir alafenamide (TAF)/FTC has not been tested to prevent HIV infection in people with vaginal exposure to HIV.

**Laboratory Testing**

Recommended laboratory testing for individuals receiving PrEP includes—
• HIV diagnostic testing with an antigen/antibody combination immunoassay at baseline and then every 3 months, or more frequently if indicated based on clinical symptoms.

• HIV testing for individuals taking PrEP during pregnancy should include HIV testing at entry into antenatal care, with re-testing in the second and third trimester. More frequent testing may be appropriate when clinically indicated (e.g., known adherence challenges) (see Maternal HIV Testing and Identification of Perinatal HIV Exposure).

• If HIV is documented in people receiving PrEP, they should be referred immediately to an HIV specialist, started on ART, and receive appropriate care to prevent perinatal transmission if pregnancy has occurred.

• Renal function testing is recommended at baseline and then every 6 months. TDF/FTC as PrEP should not be initiated in patients with a confirmed calculated creatinine clearance (CrCl) <60 mL/min. Clinicians should discontinue daily TDF/FTC as PrEP if a patient develops a confirmed calculated CrCl <50 mL/min.

• Testing for hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection should be performed before initiating PrEP. Individuals with no prior HBV infection who lack HBV immunity should be vaccinated if they have not received HBV vaccination or consider reimmunization if they have been vaccinated but still lack immunity. Individuals with chronic HBV should be monitored for possible hepatitis flares when PrEP is stopped.

• Pregnancy testing should be completed at baseline and then every 3 months for those who can become pregnant.

• Testing for STIs (gonorrhea, chlamydia, syphilis) is recommended at baseline and then every 3 months.

Additional information and details about recommended laboratory testing is available in the CDC HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis guidelines. Clinicians are encouraged strongly to register people who become pregnant while receiving PrEP with the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry.

**Adherence Support**

Adherence is particularly important to achieve effective drug concentrations in vaginal and cervical tissues and may be even more important in the second and third trimesters of pregnancy, when drug levels drop because of expanding volume of distribution and increased renal clearance. Studies in nonpregnant women demonstrate that it may take up to 20 days to reach maximum intracellular concentrations of tenofovir and/or FTC in cervicovaginal tissue, compared to only 7 days in anal tissues. Although pharmacokinetic data are limited in pregnant women, data suggest that pregnant women taking daily PrEP experience lower tenofovir drug levels; it remains unknown what drug level or number of pills per week correlates with protection for this population. The available data are limited and the CDC guidelines suggest 20 days are needed to achieve protective levels in cervicovaginal tissues, whereas World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines suggest that 7 days of oral PrEP use are needed to achieve systemic protection from vaginal receptive exposure to HIV.

Given the increased volume of distribution and concomitant lower levels of TDF/FTC in plasma, the Panel recommends continued use of other prevention strategies until PrEP has been taken for at least 20 days and protection against transmission can be assumed in pregnant or postpartum PrEP users. Six to seven doses a week (or daily dosing) are needed to maintain drug levels in cervicovaginal
tissue in nonpregnant women. When people initiate PrEP and have not yet reached protective drug levels or struggle with daily adherence, other strategies should be used to prevent HIV.

Before initiating PrEP, providers should assess barriers to PrEP adherence and address concerns regarding PrEP use during the periconception, antepartum, and postpartum periods. The decision to initiate PrEP should be reached using a shared decision-making process, and barriers to PrEP adherence should be addressed at each visit. Data suggest that some adherence challenges stem from adherence fatigue, low personal perceptions of risk, stigma, cost, misinformation about PrEP, peer perspectives, mental health challenges, and intimate partner violence.23-25 Based on barriers, providers can discuss strategies tailored to each patient’s needs to promote adherence and maximize benefits. Approaches include providing accurate information about the risks and benefits of PrEP, developing reminder strategies, and identifying supportive individuals as part of the health care team or the patient’s social network who can provide social support toward PrEP adherence. Just like HIV care, PrEP ideally should be delivered in a comprehensive manner and address social determinants of health—including how clients will make sure that PrEP and related services are affordable—and address housing instability, access to health insurance, and transportation because these factors have been shown to interfere with adherence. CDC provides PrEP resources for providers and consumers and a compendium of evidence-based PrEP support interventions.

**Contraception**

Contraception is an important component of reproductive health care for people receiving PrEP who do not want to become pregnant.9 No known significant drug–drug interactions exist between TDF and different modes of hormonal contraception used during periconception and the postpartum period.26-28 For additional information, refer to CDC’s U.S. Medical Eligibility Criteria for Contraceptive Use, 2016 and the most recent update regarding use of contraception by women at high risk of HIV infection.

**Background on Use of PrEP During Periconception, Antepartum, and Postpartum Periods**

Women account for nearly 20 percent of new HIV diagnoses in the United States, most via heterosexual transmission.29,30 PrEP is recommended for all people who are vulnerable to HIV acquisition.3,31 Although data about the use of PrEP among periconception, pregnant, and postpartum people are less robust than for nonpregnant people, PrEP is highly efficacious for women, and a large body of data from pregnant women using TDF/FTC as treatment for HIV and HBV suggests these agents are safe for pregnant and breastfeeding women and their infants.32-35

Susceptibility to HIV acquisition is greater during periconception, antepartum, and early postpartum periods through 6 months. Data suggest that people trying to conceive are at higher risk for HIV acquisition, likely due to increased condomless sex.36,37 The increase in HIV acquisition risk continues in pregnancy and is likely due to a combination of behavioral factors—such as no longer needing to use condoms for contraception—and biological factors that include increased innate and suppressed adaptive immunity, increased genital tract inflammation, alterations in the vaginal microbiome, decreased integrity of the vaginal epithelium, and both gross trauma and microtrauma to the genital tract during delivery.38-40 HIV incidence among women during pregnancy and postpartum is two to six times greater than outside of pregnancy.40-44 Two large HIV prevention studies conducted in Africa demonstrated that the probability of HIV acquisition per condomless sex act increases beginning in early pregnancy and peaks in the early postpartum period (in data analyzed...
from birth through 24 weeks postpartum in most studies). After adjustment for age, use of PrEP, and male partner HIV viral load, the probability of HIV acquisition was significantly higher throughout pregnancy and the postpartum period (adjusted relative risk 2.76; 95% CI, 1.58–4.81). In addition, people who acquire HIV while pregnant or breastfeeding are more likely to transmit HIV to their infant.

Despite the risks of HIV acquisition and known efficacy of PrEP, PrEP remains vastly underutilized among women, especially during pregnancy and breastfeeding. The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists and the WHO agree that all HIV prevention options, including PrEP, should be encouraged for people with HIV vulnerability, especially during pregnancy and breastfeeding, given the increased risk of HIV acquisition during pregnancy and the potential for perinatal transmission with seroconversion during pregnancy. For people with a sexual partner who is taking ART and virally suppressed, the risk of HIV acquisition is effectively zero. However, because viral suppression can be variable and not all people with HIV remain in care or maintain effective adherence, some partners of people with HIV may choose to use PrEP. People who inject drugs during pregnancy and postpartum also should be offered PrEP for prevention.

Efficacy of TDF/FTC as PrEP During Periconception, Pregnancy, and Postpartum Periods

Data from two randomized controlled trials that enrolled heterosexual-identifying men and women demonstrated the efficacy of TDF/FTC as PrEP to be 63 percent to 75 percent. In women with detectable drug levels (or taking PrEP), PrEP protected against 90 percent of incident transmissions. In a meta-analysis of all available clinical trial data, modeling suggested that if women adhere to at least 75 percent of doses, PrEP decreases HIV acquisition by 61 percent (relative risk 0.39; 95% CI, 0.25–0.60).

Although people planning for pregnancy were not enrolled in these clinical trials, subsequent data from demonstration projects suggest that PrEP uptake and adherence are high during periconception periods. In Kenya, 74 HIV-serodifferent couples—including 40 women without HIV—enrolled into a safer conception study. In the month preceding pregnancy confirmation, 81 percent of partners who were HIV negative were highly adherent to PrEP. In South Africa, 526 individuals (334 women and 192 men) from 334 partnerships were enrolled into a study to promote safer conception care. PrEP was initiated as part of safer conception care by 51 percent (n=22) of women without HIV in this study. No sexual or perinatal HIV transmission events were observed. In a small cohort of U.S. women using PrEP to prevent HIV infection during the periconception period, adherence was excellent, with 87% having intracellular tenofovir levels consistent with protection.

Pregnant people are interested in PrEP. In a survey of 200 pregnant women in Washington, D.C., 11 percent reported the intention to initiate PrEP during pregnancy, despite relatively low awareness of PrEP. Pregnant women identified PrEP safety, efficacy, and social network and medical provider support as key factors in PrEP intention. In Kenya, 9,736 pregnant and postpartum women were assessed for behavioral risk factors and willingness to initiate PrEP. Overall, 2,030 women (22%) initiated PrEP. In South Africa, an ongoing observational study of PrEP use in pregnancy observed that 414 (91%) of 455 enrolled women opted to start PrEP at their first antenatal visit.

Safety of TDF/FTC as PrEP for Women, Including Those Who Are Pregnant or...
**Breastfeeding**

Efficacy trials of TDF/FTC as PrEP excluded women who reported plans to become pregnant and/or were pregnant, but abundant data are available from (a) PrEP use during early pregnancy among women who are HIV negative, due to inadvertent exposure in clinical trials (e.g., pregnancy occurred and the study drug was discontinued once pregnancy was detected); (b) PrEP use during periconception, pregnancy, and breastfeeding from demonstration projects that included pregnant women and those planning for pregnancy; (c) tenofovir use during late pregnancy for HBV treatment in women who are HIV negative; and (d) use of tenofovir and FTC as ART by pregnant women with HIV. These data all indicate that TDF/FTC PrEP is safe for use during pregnancy.

A 2017 systematic review of 26 studies involving TDF and FTC exposure during pregnancy did not identify safety concerns that would limit the use of PrEP in pregnant or lactating women or require discontinuation of PrEP in women who become pregnant while still at continuing risk of HIV acquisition. In 2020, an additional systematic review examined five completed studies that included 1,042 PrEP-exposed pregnancies. Four of the five studies did not observe differences in pregnancy or perinatal outcomes associated with PrEP exposure. One study did find that PrEP-exposed infants had a lower z-score for length at 1 month of age; however, no difference was observed at 1 year. These studies all come from subanalyses of clinical trials. Because pregnant women were excluded from these trials, most of the data regarding PrEP exposure reflect early first-trimester exposures. The authors also noted that at least nine ongoing studies, to be completed by 2022, will provide data on more than 6,200 additional PrEP-exposed pregnancies and will assess perinatal, infant growth, and bone health outcomes. Currently available data suggest that the benefits of TDF/FTC as PrEP to prevent HIV outweigh any potential toxicities. PrEP should be promoted as an HIV prevention strategy during periconception, pregnancy, and postpartum periods.

Additional data and primary sources describing what is known about TDF and FTC on birth outcomes, renal and bone effects for women, and renal and bone effects for infants exposed to TDF/FTC *in utero* or while breastfeeding are available in the [Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate](https://example.com) and [Emtricitabine](https://example.com) sections of this guideline.
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Prepregnancy Counseling and Care for Persons of Childbearing Age with HIV

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Panel’s Recommendations

- Discuss reproductive desires with all persons of childbearing potential on an ongoing basis throughout the course of their care (AIII).
- Provide information about effective and appropriate contraceptive methods to people who do not currently desire pregnancy (AI).
- During prepregnancy counseling, provide information on safe sex; ask about the use of alcohol, nicotine products, and drugs of abuse (AII).
- Persons with HIV should attain maximum viral suppression before attempting conception, for their own health, to prevent sexual HIV transmission to partners without HIV (AI), and to minimize the risk of in utero HIV transmission to the infant (AI).
- When selecting or evaluating an antiretroviral (ARV) regimen for persons of childbearing potential with HIV, consider a regimen’s effectiveness, a person’s hepatitis B status, and the possible adverse outcomes for the pregnant person and their fetus (AII). See Teratogenicity and Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy for more information. The Panel on Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission (the Panel) emphasizes the importance of counseling and shared decision-making regarding all ARV regimens for persons with HIV (AIII).
- HIV infection does not preclude the use of any contraceptive method; however, drug-drug interactions between hormonal contraceptives, ARVs, and other medications should be considered (see Table 3) (AII).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

Overview

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG), and other national organizations recommend offering all persons of childbearing potential comprehensive family planning and the opportunity to receive prepregnancy counseling and care as a component of routine primary medical care. The purpose of prepregnancy care is to improve the health of each person before conception by identifying risk factors for adverse outcomes for the pregnant person and their fetus, tailoring education and counseling to individual needs, and treating or stabilizing medical conditions to optimize outcomes for the pregnancy and the fetus/newborn.12 Prepregnancy care is not something that occurs in a single clinical visit; rather, it requires integrating ongoing care and interventions into primary care to address people’s needs during the different stages of reproductive life. Integrating comprehensive family planning and prepregnancy care into routine health care visits is important because almost half of all pregnancies in the United States are unplanned. In people with HIV, the proportion of all pregnancies that are...
unintended may be as high as 68%. Providers should initiate and document a nonjudgmental conversation with all persons of reproductive age about their reproductive desires because they may be reluctant to bring up the subject themselves. A meta-analysis of 50 studies found a 42% prevalence of fertility desire among persons with HIV. In a pooled analyses, fertility desire was associated with being on antiretroviral therapy (ART), male sex, age younger than 30, being married/cohabitating, a secondary education or higher, and being childless. Health care providers who routinely care for persons of reproductive age with HIV play an important role in promoting prepregnancy health and informed reproductive decisions. However, even among providers who offer primary care to persons with HIV, the delivery of comprehensive reproductive counseling often falls short of the current guidelines.

The fundamental principles of prepregnancy counseling and care are outlined in the CDC Preconception Care Work Group’s Recommendations to Improve Preconception Health and Health Care. In addition to the general components of prepregnancy counseling and care that are appropriate for all persons of reproductive age, persons with HIV have specific needs that should be addressed.

- Discuss reproductive options; actively assess their pregnancy intentions on an ongoing basis throughout the course of care; and, when appropriate, make referrals to HIV and women’s health specialists, including experts in reproductive endocrinology and infertility when necessary.
- Recognize that the primary treatment goal for persons with HIV who are planning a pregnancy should include sustained suppression of plasma viral load below the limit of detection before conception for their own health, to minimize the risk of perinatal HIV transmission, and to prevent sexual HIV transmission to a partner without HIV (see Reproductive Options for Couples When One or Both Partners Have HIV).
- Explain that persons with HIV who take ART as prescribed and who achieve and maintain an undetectable viral load have effectively no risk of transmitting HIV through sex, commonly known as Undetectable = Untransmittable or U=U. For more information, see Let’s Stop HIV Together from CDC.
- Encourage sexual partners to receive HIV counseling and testing so that they can seek HIV care if they have HIV or seek advice about HIV prevention, including pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP), if they do not have HIV (see Pre-exposure Prophylaxis (PrEP) to Reduce the Risk of Acquiring HIV During Periconception, Antepartum, and Postpartum Periods).
- Ask about the use of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs of abuse. Provide or refer to evidence-based interventions for substance use disorder, including medication-assisted treatment for opiate use disorder (e.g., methadone, buprenorphine), and counsel patients on how to manage health risks (e.g., access to a syringe services program).
- Counsel on maintaining a healthy diet and healthy weight before and during pregnancy.
- Counsel people who are contemplating pregnancy to take a daily multivitamin that contains 400 mcg of folic acid to help prevent neural tube defects (NTDs). Individuals with a history of having a child with NTDs, a family history of NTDs, or on certain anti-epileptic medications and valproic acid, are candidates for receiving a higher dose (1000-4000 mcg) of folic acid. Higher doses of folate may also be considered for persons receiving trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole (TMP/SMX) who are trying to conceive, see Special Considerations in Pregnancy in Pneumocystis Pneumonia.
Educate and counsel about the risk factors for perinatal HIV transmission, the strategies to reduce those risks, and the potential effects of HIV or taking antiretroviral (ARV) drugs during pregnancy, on pregnancy course and outcomes. Discuss infant feeding options for persons with HIV, including the recommendation that persons with HIV in the United States do not breastfeed because of the risk of HIV transmission to their infants and the availability of safe and sustainable alternatives to infant feeding (see Counseling and Managing Individuals with HIV in the United States Who Desire to Breastfeed).

Support shared decision-making about ART. Educate and counsel on the factors that affect the selection of ARVs for persons who are trying to conceive, are pregnant, or postpartum. For more information, see Teratogenicity, updated guidance about the use of dolutegravir in pregnancy in Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy, Dolutegravir, and Appendix C: Antiretroviral Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers.

Consider the following factors when prescribing ART to persons of childbearing potential: the regimen’s effectiveness, an individual’s hepatitis B virus (HBV) status, the possible adverse outcomes for the pregnant person and their fetus, the likelihood of developing drug resistance, and the possible adverse outcomes for the mother and fetus. Use the prepregnancy period to modify the ARV regimen for persons who are contemplating pregnancy to optimize virologic suppression and minimize potential adverse effects (see Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy and Table 5).

Recognize that individuals with perinatally-acquired HIV may have special needs (e.g., psychosocial support, adherence support) (see Prenatal Care, Antiretroviral Therapy, and HIV Management in People with Perinatal-Acquired HIV Infection).

Recognize that transgender and gender diverse people who were assigned female sex at birth may have special needs. For transgender men attempting pregnancy, the use of testosterone may induce hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal suppression leading to decreased ovulation.

Evaluate and manage therapy-associated adverse effects (e.g., hyperglycemia, anemia, hepatotoxicity) that may affect maternal-fetal health outcomes.

Administer all vaccines as indicated (see Guidance for Vaccine Recommendations for Pregnant and Breastfeeding Women and 2013 IDSA Clinical Practice Guideline for Vaccination of the Immunocompromised Host), which includes vaccination for influenza, pneumococcus, HBV, tetanus, and SARS-CoV-2. All persons, including those with HIV, should receive Tdap (tetanus, diphtheria, and pertussis) vaccination during pregnancy.

Offer all persons who currently do not desire pregnancy a full range of contraceptive methods to help them achieve their fertility goals. Persons with HIV can use all available contraceptive methods, including hormonal contraception (e.g., pill, patch, ring, injection, implant) and intrauterine devices (IUDs). Providers should be aware of potential interactions between ARV drugs, hormonal contraceptives, and other medications that could lower contraceptive efficacy or increase the risk of such adverse effects as blood clots (see Table 3 below).

Offer emergency contraception as appropriate, including emergency contraceptive pills and IUD (see the ACOG Practice Bulletin on Emergency Contraception). Emergency contraceptive pills that contain estrogen and progestin and those that only contain levonorgestrel (LNG) may have interactions with ARV drugs that are similar to the ones observed with combined oral contraceptives. ACTG 5375 showed that doubling the dose of LNG from 1.5 mg to 3 mg successfully increased LNG exposure in women receiving efavirenz (EFV)-based ART. No
data are available on potential interactions between ARV drugs and ulipristal acetate, a progesterone receptor modulator; however, ulipristal acetate is metabolized predominantly by cytochrome P450 (CYP) 3A4, so interactions may occur (see the [HIV Drug Interaction Checker](#)).

- Optimize the health of people with HIV prior to pregnancy (e.g., ensure appropriate folate intake, test for **all** sexually transmitted infections and treat as indicated, consider the teratogenic potential of **all** prescribed medications, and consider switching to safer medications).

**Drug-Drug Interactions Between Hormonal Contraceptives and Antiretroviral Therapy**

Data on drug interactions between ARVs and hormonal contraceptives primarily come from drug labels and several studies on the pharmacokinetics (PKs) and pharmacodynamics among the different forms of contraception and ARVs. The contraceptive effectiveness of the levonorgestrel IUD is largely through local (i.e., intrauterine) release of levonorgestrel, not through systemic absorption. CDC’s [U.S. Medical Eligibility Criteria for Contraceptive Use](#) lists the levonorgestrel IUD as category 1 (no restrictions) in drug interactions with all ARVs in women who already have an IUD and category 1/2 (benefits outweigh risk) for those initiating the use of an IUD.

Hormonal contraceptives can be used with ARVs in persons with HIV without other contraindications. An alternative or additional contraceptive method may be recommended when drug interactions are known. For persons receiving darunavir/ritonavir (DRV/r)-based ART, an alternative or additional contraception may be considered because the area under the curve (AUC) for oral contraceptive hormones may be decreased. Cobicistat-boosted protease inhibitors (PIs) are contraindicated with drosperronone-containing hormonal contraceptives due to the potential for hyperkalemia. Depot medroxyprogesterone acetate (DMPA) can be used without restriction because of its relatively higher dose than other progesterone-based contraception, and limited studies have shown no significant interaction between DMPA and ARVs.

Several studies have shown that the use of EFV decreases the effectiveness of hormonal implants and hormonal vaginal rings. Although contraceptive implants (e.g., etonogestrel [ENG], LNG) generally can be used in people who are receiving ARVs, both PK and clinical data suggest that these implants have decreased efficacy when used with EFV-based regimens. Scarsi et al. reported that the geometric mean ratios of LNG concentrations (patients taking EFV-based ART vs. ART-naive patients) were 0.53 at 24 weeks and 0.43 at 48 weeks. Three pregnancies occurred in the EFV group (15%) between Week 36 and Week 48, whereas no pregnancies occurred in the ART-naive or nevirapine (NVP) groups.

In a study of 570 women with HIV in Eswatini, formerly known as Swaziland, who had LNG implants (i.e., Jadelle), none of the women on NVP- or lopinavir/ritonavir-based regimens (n = 208 and n = 13, respectively) became pregnant, whereas 15 women on EFV (n = 121; 12.4%) became pregnant. A study collected data from 5,153 women with HIV who were followed prospectively for 1 to 3 years. During the follow-up period, 9% of the women used implants (mostly LNG), 40% used injectables and 14% used oral contraceptives; 31% of these women took ART during the follow-up period, mostly NVP-containing (75%) or EFV-containing (15%) regimens. Among women who were not using contraception, pregnancy rates were 13.2 per 100 person-years for those who were on ART and 22.5 per 100 person-years for those who were not on ART. Implants greatly reduced the incidence of pregnancy among women on ART (adjusted hazard ratio [aHR] 0.06; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.01–0.45) and women who were not on ART (aHR 0.05; 95% CI, 0.02–0.11).
Injectables and oral contraceptives also reduced pregnancy risk but to lesser degrees. A potential lesser degree of effectiveness of these methods may be due to their greater dependence on user action, as compared to longer acting methods. ART use did not significantly diminish contraceptive effectiveness, although all methods showed non-significant reduced contraceptive effectiveness when people used EFV concurrently.52

In a retrospective study among 1,152 women with HIV using either EFV or NVP and ENG or LNG implants, 115 pregnancies occurred, yielding a pregnancy incidence rate of 6.32 (5.27–7.59), with a rate of 9.26 among ENG and 4.74 among LNG implant users, respectively. Pregnancy incidence rates did not differ between EFV- and NVP-based regimens (incidence rate ratio [IRR] = 1.00; 95% CI, 0.71–1.43). No pregnancies were recorded among women on PI-based regimens. Pregnancy rates of EFV- and NVP-containing regimens were similar at 6.4 (4.7–8.7) and 6.44 (5.13–8.07), respectively. Pregnancy rates differed by implant type with LNG implant users half as likely to become pregnant as ENG implant users (IRR = 0.51; 95% CI, 0.33–0.73, P > 0.01).53 A study of 42 women in Malawi (30 women with HIV on EFV and LNG, and 12 women without HIV on LNG) showed that EFV users had lower LNG concentrations that non-EFV users, and one-third of the EFV/LNG users had LNG concentrations <180 pg/mL, which is the suggested minimum level for efficacy. No pregnancies were reported over 60 women-years of follow-up.54

Genetic contributions also may influence observed drug–drug interactions between contraceptives and ARVs. In a study of 19 women not on ART (control group), 19 women on EFV, and 19 women on NVP with ENG implants, the women in the EFV group with cytochrome P450 2B6 (CYP2B6) 516 G>T were associated with 43% lower ENG minimum plasma concentration (Cmin) and 34% lower AUC from 0 to 24 h (AUC0–24) at 24 weeks. For patients on NVP, NR1I2 63396 C>T had lower ENG Cmin and 37% lower AUC0–24 at 24 weeks.45 Haas et al. reported that EFV reduced the median ENG level by at least 93% in CYP2B6 slow metabolizers versus 75% in normal and intermediate metabolizers. EFV reduced median ethinyl estradiol concentration by 75% in slow metabolizers and 41% in normal and intermediate metabolizers among women using hormonal vaginal contraceptive.59

Other medications, such as those for tuberculosis (TB) treatment and ARVs, also may have drug–drug interactions with contraceptives. A PK study of DMPA among women with HIV/TB coinfection who received EFV-based treatment and rifampicin-based TB treatment showed that among 42 evaluable women, five women (11.9%; 95% CI, 4.0–25.6%) had medroxyprogesterone acetate (MPA) <0.1 ng/mL at Week 12, the level above which ovulation is prevented; of these women, one had MPA <0.1 ng/mL at Week 10. The median clearance of MPA was higher in women on EFV compared with women with HIV who were not on ART, thus leading to subtherapeutic concentrations of MPA in 12% of women at Week 12.55 The authors suggest redosing DMPA more frequently, such as every 8 to 10 weeks.

Because data are limited on pregnancy rates among persons on different hormonal contraceptives and ARVs, some of the dosing recommendations in Table 3 are based on consensus expert opinion. Whenever possible, the recommendations are based on available data regarding PK interactions between ARVs and combined hormonal methods, DMPA, and LNG and ENG implants. The smallest decrease in PK for which an alternative method was recommended was a 14% decrease in norethindrone (with DRV/r). The Panel does not recommend any change in ethinyl estradiol dose in people who are receiving etravirine (ethinyl estradiol increased 22%) or rilpivirine (ethinyl estradiol increased 14%). In a secondary analysis of 85 cisgender women enrolled in HPTN 077, compared to women reporting no hormonal contraception (n=6), oral contraceptive use (n=18) was associated
with lower Cabotegravir-Long Acting (CAB-LA) peak concentration but was not associated with other PK parameters, suggesting this association is not likely to be clinically significant. No other hormonal contraceptive type (injectable, implants, and other) was associated with significant differences in CAB-LA PK parameters.\textsuperscript{56}

Another contraceptive vaginal ring containing segesterone/ethinyl estradiol (Annovera) has been approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration. No available drug-drug interaction studies with this contraceptive vaginal ring and ARV and CYP inducers/inhibitors are known. The contraceptive possibly could be metabolized in the same way as ENG and ethinyl estradiol in the NuvaRing. Our recommendation is extrapolated from what is known with the NuvaRing.
Table 3. Drug Interactions Between Antiretroviral Agents and Hormonal Contraceptives

Note: All recommendations in this table are based on consensus expert opinion. Additional information can be found in CDC’s [U.S. Medical Eligibility Criteria for Contraceptive Use, 2016](https://www.cdc.gov/reproductivehealth/pdf/contraceptive-methods/medical-eligibility-criteria-for-contraceptive-use-2016.pdf).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-Nucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitors (NNRTIs)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Efavirenz (EFV)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for COC/P/R, POPs, and Etonogestrel Implants</strong></td>
<td>Consider an alternative method (or a reliable method of barrier contraception) in addition to this method.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for DMPA</strong></td>
<td>No additional contraceptive protection is needed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels and Contraceptive’s Effects on ART and HIV</strong></td>
<td><strong>COC</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• No effect on EE concentrations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• ↓ active metabolites of norgestimate; LNG AUC ↓ 83% and norelgestromin AUC ↓ 64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Etonogestrel (inh COC) C24h ↓ 61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Etonogestrel ↓ 79%; EE ↓ 59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>DMPA</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• No effect on DMPA levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Etonogestrel Implant</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• ENG ↓ below 90 pg/mL in 60% of people on EFV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• ↓ 49% in Etonogestrel concentration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Etonogestrel AUC ↓ 63% to 82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>LNG Implant</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• ↓ 61% LNG concentration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• LNG AUC ↓ 47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• ↑ pregnancy incidence rate among women using LNG or ENG implants, more among ENG users</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• LNG AUC ↓ 40-73% over 30 months of use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>LNG Emergency Contraception (Oral dosing)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• LNG (emergency contraception) AUC ↓ 58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Cmax was 51% higher with 3 mg LNG (24.9 ng/mL) compared to 1.5 mg (15.1 ng/mL), and the 48-hour concentration was 66% higher (0.6 vs 0.3 ng/mL, respectively). Dose adjustment of LNG EC from 1.5 mg to 3 mg, successfully increased LNG exposure on EFV-based ART</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Vaginally Administered Etonogestrel/EE (Vaginal Ring)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Etonogestrel ↓ 93% in CYP2B6 slow metabolizers and ↓ 75% in normal and intermediate metabolizers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Changes in ARV Levels and/or Effects on HIV

#### COC
- No effect on Efavirenz concentrations\(^3\)\(^0\)
- Efavirenz C\(_{12h}\) ↓ 22%; was under therapeutic threshold in three of 16 subjects\(^3\)\(^6\)\(^1\)\(^6\)\(^2\)

#### DMPA
- No effect on HIV disease progression\(^2\)\(^7\)\(^6\)\(^1\)\(^6\)\(^2\)
- No effect on Efavirenz concentrations\(^2\)\(^7\)

#### LNG Implant
- No effect on HIV disease progression\(^4\)\(^1\)

### Clinical Studies

#### COC
- No difference in pregnancy rates\(^5\)\(^2\)
- Pregnancy rate was 13% higher in women using COCs and Efavirenz than in women using COCs alone\(^5\)\(^0\),\(^6\)\(^3\)
- Progesterone >3 ng/mL (a surrogate for ovulation) in three of 16 women\(^6\)\(^4\)
- No ovulations\(^3\)\(^\)\(^0\)

#### DMPA
- No increase in pregnancies\(^2\)\(^7\),\(^5\)\(^0\),\(^5\)\(^2\),\(^6\)\(^2\)
- Low endogenous progesterone, consistent with no ovulation\(^2\)\(^7\),\(^2\)\(^9\),\(^6\)\(^2\)

#### Etonogestrel Implant
- Pregnancy rate higher with Efavirenz compared with no ART but still lower with implants than with other hormonal methods of contraception\(^5\)\(^0\)
- Presumptive ovulation in 5%\(^5\)\(^9\)

#### Levonogestrel Implant
- 12% pregnancy rate\(^3\)\(^7\)
- 15% pregnancy rate\(^4\)\(^1\)
- Pregnancy rate higher with Efavirenz compared with no ART but still lower with implants than with other hormonal methods of contraception\(^5\)\(^0\)
- No increase in pregnancy rate\(^5\)\(^2\)

### Justification/Evidence for Recommendation

For COCs, some studies suggest higher pregnancy rate and ovulation rate and decreased progestin levels. Efavirenz may decrease, but clinical significance unclear.

For DMPA, evidence does not show effects on pregnancy rate, ovulation, or DMPA levels. Also, no effect on HIV disease progression or Efavirenz levels.

For implants, some studies suggest higher pregnancy rate and decreased hormone levels.
For vaginally-administered etonogestrel/EE, PK evaluation showed that etonogestrel levels were 79% lower and EE levels were 59% lower in participants on EFV than in controls after 21 days.  

**Etravirine (ETR)**

| Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for COC/P/R, POPs, DMPA, Etonogestrel Implants | No additional contraceptive protection is needed. |
| Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels and Contraceptive's Effects on ART and HIV | EE AUC ↑ 22% 65 |
| Clinical Studies | COC |
| | • No ovulations 65 |
| Justification/Evidence for Recommendation | For COCs, one study found no ovulations and no significant change in progestin levels. No data on POPs. |

**Nevirapine (NVP)**

| Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for COC/P/R, POPs, DMPA, Etonogestrel Implants | No additional contraceptive protection is needed. |
| Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels and Contraceptive's Effects on ART and HIV | EE AUC ↓ 29%; 66 no change in EE AUC 67 |
| Clinical Studies | COC |
| | • No significant effect on NVP levels 64,66,68 |
| | • No ovulations 64,67,72 |
| DMPA |
| | • No effect on HIV disease progression 27,61,62,69 |
| LNG Implant |
| | • No effect on HIV disease progression 41,70 |

**Changes in ARV Levels and/or Effects on HIV**

**COC:**
- No significant effect on NVP levels 64,66,68

**DMPA**
- No effect on HIV disease progression 27,61,62,68

**LNG Implant**
- No effect on HIV disease progression 41,70

**Clinical Studies**

| COC |
| • No increase in pregnancy rate 50,52,63,71,72 |
| • No ovulations 64,67,72 |

<p>| DMPA |
| • No increase in pregnancy rates 50,52,62,71 |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug/Implant Type</th>
<th>Justification/Evidence for Recommendation</th>
<th>Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for COC/P/R, POPs, DMPA, Etonogestrel Implant</th>
<th>Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels and Contraceptive's Effects on ART and HIV</th>
<th>Changes in ARV Levels and/or Effects on HIV</th>
<th>Clinical Studies</th>
<th>Justification/Evidence for Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Rilpivirine (oral RPV) | No additional contraceptive protection is needed. | No additional contraceptive protection is needed. | EE AUC ↑ 14%<sup>35</sup>  
No significant change on NE<sup>35</sup>  
**Changes in ARV Levels and/or Effects on HIV**  
**COC**  
  • No change in RPV levels compared to historical controls<sup>35</sup> | **Clinical Studies**  
  **COC**  
  • No change in progesterone<sup>36</sup> | For COCs, evidence does not show effects on ovulation or progestin levels. No change in RPV levels.  
No data on POPs. | |
| Doravirine (DOR) | No additional contraceptive protection is needed. | No additional contraceptive protection is needed. | No clinically significant interaction with EE and LNG<sup>73</sup> | N/A | No clinical data. | |
| Ritonavir (RTV)-Boosted Protease Inhibitors (PIs) | No additional contraceptive protection is needed. | No additional contraceptive protection is needed. | EE AUC ↓ 19%<sup>74</sup>  
Norgestimate AUC ↑ 85%<sup>74</sup>  
**POP** | | | |

**Justification/Evidence for Recommendation**  
For COCs, evidence does not show effects on pregnancy rate or ovulations. Evidence demonstrated small decrease in progestin levels. No effect on NVP levels.  
For DMPA, evidence does not show effects on pregnancy rate, ovulation, or DMPA levels. No effect on HIV disease progression.  
For implants, evidence does not show effects on pregnancy rate or HIV disease progression.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>• NE AUC ↑ 50%&lt;sup&gt;75&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vaginally-Administered Etonogestrel/EE</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Etonogestrel ↑ 71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• EE ↓ 38%&lt;sup&gt;57&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Clinical Studies**

N/A

**Justification/Evidence for Recommendation**

For COCs, increase in progestin levels seen in only one study. Using a COC with at least 35 mcg/day may decrease breakthrough bleeding.

For POPs, increase in progestin levels seen in only one study.

RTV inhibits CYP3A4, which may increase contraceptive hormone levels.

**Darunavir/Ritonavir (DRV/r)**

**Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for COC/P/R, POPs, and Etonogestrel Implants**

Can consider an alternative method (or a reliable method of barrier contraception) in addition to this method.

**Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for DMPA<sup>a</sup>**

No additional contraceptive protection is needed.

**Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels and Contraceptive's Effects on ART and HIV**

EE AUC ↓ 44%<sup>47</sup>

NE AUC ↓ 14%<sup>47</sup>

**Clinical Studies**

N/A

**Justification/Evidence for Recommendation**

For COCs, small decrease in progestin levels.

No data on POPs.

**Lopinavir/Ritonavir (LPV/r)**

**Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for COC/P/R, POPs, DMPA<sup>a</sup>, Etonogestrel Implants**

No additional contraceptive protection is needed.

**Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels and Contraceptive's Effects on ART and HIV**

EE AUC ↓ 55%<sup>26</sup>

NE AUC ↓ 17%

**Patch**

- EE AUC ↓ 45%<sup>26</sup>
- Norelgestromin AUC ↑ 83%<sup>26</sup>

**DMPA**

- DMPA AUC ↑ 46%<sup>39</sup>

**Etonogestrel Implant**

- Etonogestrel AUC ↑ 52%<sup>59</sup>

**Changes in ARV Levels and/or Effects on HIV**

**Patch**

- LPV/r ↓ 19%<sup>26</sup>

**DMPA**

- No effect on HIV disease progression<sup>39</sup>
### Clinical Studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Effect on ART and HIV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COC</td>
<td>Trend of increased pregnancy rate, but CIs overlap (^6^)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patch</td>
<td>Low serum progesterone consistent with no ovulations (n = 8) (^2^6^)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMPA</td>
<td>No pregnancies and no ovulations (^5^9^)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trend of increased pregnancy rate, but CIs overlap (^6^0^)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etonogestrel Implant</td>
<td>No increase in pregnancy rate (^5^0^)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LNG Implant</td>
<td>No increase in pregnancy rate (^3^7^,^5^0^)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Justification/Evidence for Recommendation

For COCs, nonsignificant increase in pregnancy rate. Small decrease in progestin level.
For patch, no ovulations and progestin levels increased.
For DMPA, evidence shows no effect on pregnancy rate or ovulations. Progestin levels increased.
For implants, evidence shows no effect on pregnancy rate. Progestin levels increased.

### Cobicistat (COBI)-Boosted Protease Inhibitors (PIs)

#### Atazanavir/Cobicistat (ATV/c)

- **Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for COC/P/R:** Contraindicated with drospirenone-containing hormonal contraceptives due to potential for hyperkalemia.
  
  Consider alternative or additional contraceptive method.

- **Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for POPs, DMPA, Etonogestrel Implants:** No additional contraceptive protection is needed.

- **Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels and Contraceptive's Effects on ART and HIV:**
  
  - Drosperone AUC ↑ 2.3-fold \(^4^4^\)
  
  - No change in LNG concentration
  
  - 25% decrease in EE C24 \(^4^3^\)

#### Darunavir/Cobicistat (DRV/c)

- **Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for COC/P/R:**
  
  Clinical monitoring is recommended when DRV/c is used in combination with drospirenone-containing COCs as a result of the potential for hyperkalemia.
  
  Consider alternative or additional contraceptive method.

- **Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for POPs, DMPA, Etonogestrel Implants:**
  
  No additional contraceptive protection is needed.

- **Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels and Contraceptive's Effects on ART and HIV:**
  
  Drosperone AUC ↑ 1.6-fold
| Clinical Studies | N/A |
| Justification/Evidence for Recommendation | No data on POPs. |

**Protease Inhibitors (PIs) without Ritonavir (RTV)**

### Atazanavir (ATV)

**Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for COC/P/R**
Prescribe oral contraceptive that contains no more than 30 mcg of EE or recommend alternative contraceptive method.

**Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for POPs, DMPA*, Etonogestrel Implants**
No additional contraceptive protection is needed.

**Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels and Contraceptive's Effects on ART and HIV**
- **COC**
  - EE AUC ↓ 30% \(^{44}\)
  - NE AUC ↑ 48% \(^{76}\)
  - NE AUC ↑ 110% \(^{76}\)

**Clinical Studies**
N/A

**Justification/Evidence for Recommendation**
- For COCs, increased concentrations of estrogen and progestin, but the only data available are from the product label.
- No data on POPs.

### CCR5 Antagonist

**Maraviroc (MVC)**

**Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for COC/P/R, POPs, DMPA*, Etonogestrel Implants**
No additional contraceptive protection is needed.

**Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels and Contraceptive's Effects on ART and HIV**
- **COC**
  - No significant effect on EE or LN \(^{77}\)

**Clinical Studies**
N/A

**Justification/Evidence for Recommendation**
- For COCs, no change in EE or progestin. No clinical data.
- No data on POPs.

### Integrase Strand Transfer Inhibitors (INSTIs)

**Bictegravir/Emtricitabine/Tenofovir Alafenamide (BIC/FTC/TAF)**

**Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for COC/P/R, POPs, DMPA*, Etonogestrel Implants**
No additional contraceptive protection is needed.

**Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels and Contraceptive's Effects on ART and HIV**
- No significant drug interactions with EE or norgestimate.

**Clinical Studies**
N/A

**Justification/Evidence for Recommendation**
- No clinical data.

**Dolutegravir (DTG)**

**Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for COC/P/R, POPs, DMPA*, Etonogestrel Implants**
No additional contraceptive protection is needed.

**Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels and Contraceptive's Effects on ART and HIV**
- **COC**
  - No significant effect on etonogestrel implants \(^{58}\)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical Studies</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Justification/Evidence for Recommendation</strong></td>
<td>For COCs, no change in EE or progestin. No clinical data. No data on POPs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Elvitegravir/Cobicistat (EVG/c)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for COC/P/R, POPs, DMPA&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;, Etonogestrel Implants</th>
<th>No additional contraceptive protection is needed.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels and Contraceptive's Effects on ART and HIV** | COC:  
- Norgestimate AUC ↑ 126%  
- EE AUC ↓ 25%<sup>78,79</sup> |
| Clinical Studies | N/A |
| **Justification/Evidence for Recommendation** | When administered as the four-drug regimen EVG/c/FTC/TDF, increases in progestin and a small decrease in EE were observed. No clinical data. No data on POPs. |

### Raltegravir (RAL)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for COC/P/R, POPs, DMPA&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;, Etonogestrel Implants</th>
<th>No additional contraceptive protection is needed.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels and Contraceptive's Effects on ART and HIV** | COC:  
- No change in EE  
- Norgestimate AUC ↑ 14%<sup>80</sup> |
| Clinical Studies | N/A |
| **Justification/Evidence for Recommendation** | For COCs, no change in EE and a small increase in progestin. No clinical data. No data on POPs. |

### Cabotegravir-Long Acting (CAB-LA)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for COC/P/R, POPs, DMPA&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;, Etonogestrel Implants</th>
<th>No additional contraceptive protection needed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels and Contraceptive's Effects on ART and HIV</strong></td>
<td>Oral contraceptive use was associated with ↓ CAB-LA C&lt;sub&gt;max&lt;/sub&gt; compared to women not on any hormonal contraception (GMR 0.75; 90% CI: 0.59-0.93; P=0.033). However, oral contraceptive use did not result in significant differences in other CAB-LA PK parameters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical Studies</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Justification/Evidence for Recommendation</strong></td>
<td>Although oral contraceptive use was associated with lower CAB-LA peak concentration, no other PK parameters seen suggesting the association is not likely to be clinically significant.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Because the hormonal levels achieved with DMPA are substantially higher than the levels that are required for contraception, any small reduction in hormonal level attributed to ARV drugs is unlikely to reduce contraceptive effectiveness.

**Key to Symbols:**

↑ = increase  
↓ = decrease
Key: ART = antiretroviral therapy; ARV = antiretroviral; ATV = atazanavir; ATV/c = atazanavir/cobicistat; ATV/r = atazanavir/ritonavir; AUC = area under the curve; BIC = bictegravir; C12h = concentration at 12 hours post-dose; C24h = concentration at 24 hours post-dose; CDC = Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; CI = confidence interval; Cmax = maximum plasma concentration; Cmin = minimum plasma concentration; COBI = cobicistat; COC/P/R = combined oral contraceptives/patch/ring; CYP = cytochrome P450; DMPA = depot medroxyprogesterone acetate; DOR = doravirine; DRV/c = darunavir/cobicistat; DRV/r = darunavir/ritonavir; DTG = dolutegravir; EE = ethinyl estradiol; EFV = efavirenz; ENG = etonogestrel; ETR = etravirine; EVG/c = elvitegravir/cobicistat; FTC = emtricitabine; INSTI = integrase strand transfer inhibitor; LNG = levonorgestrel; LPV/r = lopinavir/ritonavir; MVC = maraviroc; NE = norethindrone; NNRTI = non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor; NVP = nevirapine; PI = protease inhibitor; PI/r = protease inhibitor/ritonavir; PK = pharmacokinetic; POP = progesterone-only oral contraceptive pills; RAL = raltegravir; RPV = rilpivirine; RTV = ritonavir; TAF = tenofovir alafenamide; TDF = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate

Sources: Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents; Guidelines for the Use of Antiretroviral Agents in Adults and Adolescents Living with HIV. Department of Health and Human Services; Table 24a, Table 24b, and Table 24d
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Panel's Recommendations</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>For People Who Want to Conceive When One or Both Partners Have HIV</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Expert consultation is recommended to tailor guidance to an individual’s specific needs (AIII).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• People with HIV should achieve sustained viral suppression (e.g., two recorded measurements of plasma viral loads that are below the limits of detection at least 3 months apart) before attempting conception to maximize their health, prevent HIV sexual transmission (AI) and—for pregnant people with HIV—minimize the risk of HIV transmission to their infants (AI).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Both persons should be screened and treated for genital tract infections before attempting to conceive (AII).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• When people have different HIV statuses, sexual intercourse without a condom allows conception with effectively no risk of sexual HIV transmission to the person without HIV if the person with HIV is on antiretroviral therapy (ART) and has achieved sustained viral suppression (BII).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Additional guidance might be required in the following scenarios:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o The person with HIV has not achieved sustained viral suppression or their HIV viral suppression status is unknown,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Concerns exist that the person with HIV might be inconsistently adherent to ART during the periconception period, or</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o The provider wishes to share additional information regarding options to prevent sexual HIV transmission during the periconception period.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• In these circumstances, providers can choose to provide counseling about the following options:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Administration of antiretroviral pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) to the partner without HIV reduces the risk of sexual acquisition of HIV (AI) (see Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis [PrEP] to Prevent HIV During Periconception, Antepartum, and Postpartum Periods). When partners with different HIV statuses attempt conception, the partner without HIV can choose to take PrEP even if the partner with HIV has achieved viral suppression (CIII).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Consider advising timing condomless sex to coincide with ovulation (peak fertility) in order to reduce HIV transmission risk and to optimize the probability of conception (CIII).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Rating of Recommendations:** A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

**Rating of Evidence:** I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

The objective of this section is to provide guidance for safer conception and pregnancy while maximizing efforts to prevent HIV transmission to partners and infants. The section focuses on HIV prevention in the context of penile-vaginal intercourse to achieve pregnancy. The Panel on the Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission (the Panel) also appreciates the diversity of parenting desires within lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, queer, intersex, asexual, and gender nonconforming communities, as well as the importance of promoting family building while minimizing HIV transmission opportunities for people with HIV. Some of the
strategies for achieving pregnancy without penile-vaginal intercourse include gamete donation or surrogacy. When gamete donation and surrogacy occur through health care channels, HIV testing and viral load monitoring should be included within protocols. When conducted informally, the same tenets for prevention outlined below should apply. Clinicians also must consider that people of all gender identities may seek various options to build families, including adoption, and should be supported to do so with minimal risks of HIV transmission within the partnership or to a child.

For people who want to conceive when one or both partners have HIV, expert consultation is recommended so that approaches for safer conception can be tailored to their specific needs.

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) states that people with HIV who take antiretroviral therapy (ART) as prescribed and who maintain an undetectable viral load have effectively no risk of transmitting HIV through sex. When one or both partners have HIV, they should be counseled that once people with HIV have initiated ART and have maintained HIV viral suppression, condomless sex to achieve conception is associated with effectively no risk of HIV sexual transmission. HIV viral suppression can be demonstrated with two recorded measurements of plasma viral loads that are below the limits of detection and that were taken at least 3 months apart.

Before attempting to conceive, both partners should be screened for genital tract infections. Treatment of such infections is important because genital tract inflammation is associated with increased genital tract shedding of HIV.

If conception does not occur within 6 months, providers should pursue a workup for infertility, including a semen analysis. HIV, and possibly the use of antiretroviral (ARV) drugs, can be associated with a greater prevalence of semen abnormalities, such as low sperm count, low motility, a higher rate of abnormal forms, and low semen volume. Early evaluation is indicated because of concerns about higher rates of infertility among people with HIV. Coordination of care across multiple disciplines—including HIV primary care, OB/GYN (specifically reproductive endocrinology and infertility), case management, and peer and social support—is advised. Integration of reproductive health counseling, including counseling about pregnancy desires and/or prevention, is recommended.

People with Differing HIV Statuses

Before attempting conception, people with HIV should be on ART and should have achieved sustained viral suppression. The implications of initiating therapy before conception, the selection of ART for the person trying to conceive, and the need for adherence to achieve durable plasma viral loads below the limits of detection should be discussed with both partners. Consultation with an expert in HIV care is strongly recommended.

In two large studies that included heterosexual couples with differing HIV statuses (HPTN 052 [HIV Prevention Trials Network trial 052] and PARTNER [Partners of People on ART-A New Evaluation of the Risks] study), no genetically linked HIV transmissions occurred while the partner with HIV was virally suppressed. HPTN 052 was a randomized clinical trial designed to evaluate whether immediately initiating ART in people with CD4 T lymphocyte (CD4) cell counts of 350 to 550 cells/mm³ could prevent sexual transmission of HIV between couples with differing HIV statuses more effectively than delaying ART. Most of the participants were from Africa (54%), with 30% from Asia and 16% from North and South America. This study showed that initiating ART
earlier led to a 93% reduction in the rate of sexual transmission of HIV to the partner. During the
study, 877 participants with HIV delayed initiation of ART until their CD4 cell counts fell below
250 cells/mm^3, and 886 participants with HIV began ART immediately. Forty-six cases of HIV
infection were genetically linked to the partner with HIV during the study; 43 of these cases occurred
in couples where one partner delayed initiation of ART, and three cases occurred in couples where
one partner began immediate ART. No linked infections occurred between partners when the partner
with HIV had a viral load that was suppressed stably by ART. Thus, this randomized trial clearly
demonstrated that providing treatment to people with HIV can reduce the risk of HIV transmission to
their sexual partners. In addition, the PARTNER study—which studied 1,166 couples of differing
HIV statuses (mainly heterosexual couples and men who have sex with men) where the partner with
HIV was on suppressive ART and had sex without using a condom—reported no cases of
transmission after a median follow up of 1.3 years and approximately 58,000 condomless sex acts.

A prospective cohort study evaluated couples with differing HIV statuses who were planning to
conceive. Among 161 couples (133 couples included a male partner with HIV) where the partner
with HIV received suppressive ART for at least the previous 6 months and the couple opted for
natural conception, a total of 144 natural pregnancies occurred and 107 babies were born. No cases
of sexual (to partner) or vertical (to infant) transmission occurred.

For partners with differing HIV statuses where the partner with HIV is on ART and has achieved
sustained viral suppression, sexual intercourse without a condom allows conception with effectively
no risk of sexual transmission to the partner without HIV. It is not known how frequently viral load
testing should be conducted when a patient is relying on ART and viral suppression as a prevention
strategy. Enough evidence does not exist to determine the optimal schedule for viral load testing in
people with HIV who rely on this prevention strategy. Consider monitoring the viral load more
frequently in these individuals than the current treatment guidelines recommend.

Timing condomless sex to coincide with ovulation (peak fertility) to reduce HIV transmission risk
may optimize the probability of conception. The use of an ovulation kit is the optimal method for
identifying the most fertile time of the cycle.

**Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis (PrEP) and Other Options for Partners with Differing
HIV Statuses and Inconsistent and Unknown Viral Suppression**

For people with differing HIV statuses who attempt conception through sexual intercourse without a
condom when the partner with HIV has not been able to achieve viral suppression or when viral
suppression status is not known, administering PrEP to the partner without HIV is recommended to
reduce the risk of sexual transmission of HIV (see *Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis (PrEP) to Prevent HIV
During Periconception, Antepartum, and Postpartum Periods*). PrEP is the use of ARV medications
by a person without HIV to maintain blood and genital drug levels sufficient to prevent acquisition of
HIV. Only daily dosing of a combination of tenofovir disoproxil fumarate and emtricitabine (FTC)
currently is approved by the Food and Drug Administration for use as PrEP. Tenofovir alafenamide
and FTC as a combination drug also has been approved for PrEP in men but not in women.

**Adherence is critical.**

When a person with HIV wants to conceive and is in a relationship with a male partner who does not
have HIV, assisted insemination during the periovulatory period at home or in a provider’s office
with semen from the male partner is an option for conception. This eliminates the risk of HIV
transmission to the male partner.
When a man with HIV is in a relationship with a partner without HIV who wants to conceive, the use of donor sperm from a man without HIV is an option for conception that eliminates the risk of HIV transmission to the partner without HIV. When a man with HIV is in a relationship with a partner without HIV who wants to conceive, the use of sperm preparation techniques (e.g., “sperm washing” followed by testing the sample for HIV RNA), coupled with either intrauterine insemination or \textit{in vitro} fertilization with intracytoplasmic sperm injection, \textbf{is no longer routinely recommended.} The appropriate role of semen preparation techniques in the current context is unclear, particularly given their expense and technical requirements. These sperm preparation techniques largely were developed before studies had demonstrated the efficacy of ART and PrEP in decreasing the risk of HIV transmission to sexual partners without HIV. Assisted reproductive technologies might be useful in cases of male infertility or for couples who are using donor sperm or a surrogate parent.

\textbf{Men who have sex with men who have a partner with HIV may consider adoption or surrogacy. Women who have sex with women and have a partner with HIV may consider adoption or donor insemination.}

In addition to reducing the risk of HIV transmission between partners, starting ART before conception in people with HIV also can further reduce the risk of perinatal transmission.\textsuperscript{21} Evidence suggests that early and sustained control of HIV can decrease the risk of perinatal transmission,\textsuperscript{22,23} but it does not eliminate the risk completely.\textsuperscript{23} In addition, reports are mixed on the possible effects of ART on prematurity and low birthweight, with some data suggesting that such outcomes might be more frequent among women who are on ART at conception.\textsuperscript{24-28}

\textbf{Monitoring of Pregnant People Without HIV Who Have Partners with HIV}

People without HIV who present during pregnancy and indicate that their partners have HIV should be notified that HIV screening is recommended for all people who are pregnant and that they will receive an HIV test as part of the routine panel of prenatal tests unless they decline (this is the opt-out strategy; see \textit{Maternal HIV Testing and Identification of Perinatal HIV Exposure}). Pregnant people who test HIV seronegative and have partners with HIV should continue to be counseled regularly regarding consistent condom use to decrease their risk of sexual acquisition of HIV if the partner with HIV has not achieved sustained virologic suppression. They also should be counseled on the importance of their partners’ adherence to ART and the need to achieve sustained virologic suppression to reduce the risk of sexual transmission of HIV. The pregnant person without HIV may consider PrEP under several conditions as previously discussed (see \textit{Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis (PrEP) to Reduce the Risk of Acquiring HIV During Periconception, Antepartum, and Postpartum Periods}). Pregnant people without HIV also should be counseled regarding the symptoms of acute retroviral syndrome (i.e., fever, pharyngitis, rash, myalgia, arthralgia, diarrhea, headache) and the importance of seeking medical care and testing if they experience such symptoms. People with acute HIV infection during pregnancy or lactation are at high risk of transmitting HIV to their infants and should receive HIV testing with an HIV RNA polymerase chain reaction assay if acute HIV infection is suspected\textsuperscript{29-31} (see \textit{Acute HIV Infection}). Repeat HIV testing in the third trimester is recommended for pregnant people who initially test HIV negative but who are at increased risk of acquiring HIV (see \textit{Maternal HIV Testing and Identification of Perinatal HIV Exposure}). More frequent testing is indicated when a person’s partner has HIV; these persons should be tested every trimester.
**Monitoring of Men Without HIV Who Have Partners with HIV**

Men without HIV who are attempting pregnancy with partners who have HIV should continue to be counseled regularly on methods to prevent acquisition of HIV, including suppressive ART for the partner with HIV and PrEP for the one without HIV. The CDC recommends HIV testing every 3 months for the partner who does not have HIV while the partners are attempting to conceive without condoms. The National Perinatal HIV Hotline (888-448-8765) is a resource for a list of institutions that offer reproductive services for partners where one or both partners have HIV.

**Considerations When Both Partners Have HIV**

When both partners have HIV, both should be on ART with sustained viral suppression before attempting conception. The risk of HIV superinfection or infection with a resistant virus is negligible when both partners are on ART and have fully suppressed plasma viral loads.\(^{32}\)
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# Antepartum Care

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

## General Principles Regarding Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Panel’s Recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Initial evaluation of pregnant people with HIV should include an assessment of HIV disease status and plans to initiate, continue, or modify antiretroviral therapy (ART) (AI). The National Perinatal HIV Hotline (1-888-448-8765) provides free clinical consultation on all aspects of perinatal HIV care.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- All pregnant people with HIV should initiate ART as early in pregnancy as possible, regardless of their HIV RNA level or CD4 T lymphocyte count, to maximize their health and prevent perinatal HIV transmission and secondary sexual transmission (AI). Persons with HIV should maintain an HIV viral load that is below the limit of detection during pregnancy, postpartum, and throughout their lives (AII).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Antiretroviral (ARV) drug-resistance genotype evaluations or assays should be performed before starting ARV drug regimens in people who are ARV-naive (AI) or ARV-experienced (AIII) and before modifying ARV drug regimens (AII) in people whose HIV RNA levels are above the threshold for resistance testing (i.e., &gt;500 copies/mL to 1,000 copies/mL).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- In pregnant people who are not already receiving ART, ART should be initiated before results of drug-resistance testing are available because earlier viral suppression has been associated with lower risk of transmission. When ART is initiated before results are available, the regimen should be modified, if necessary, based on resistance assay results (AII).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- To minimize the risk of perinatal transmission, people with HIV should receive ART throughout pregnancy (including the intrapartum period), and neonates should receive appropriate ARV drugs (AI). See Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy and Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- People with HIV should be counseled on the known benefits and potential risks of all medications, including ARV drugs used during pregnancy and postpartum, as well as the importance of ART adherence (AIII).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- If an ARV drug regimen must be stopped during pregnancy (e.g., for severe toxicity), all ARV drugs should be stopped simultaneously, and a complete, effective ARV regimen should be reinitiated as soon as possible (AII).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Coordination of services among prenatal care providers, primary care and HIV specialty care providers, and, when appropriate, mental health and substance use disorder treatment services, intimate partner violence support services, and public assistance programs is essential to support and enable adherence to medication (AII).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Providers should initiate counseling about key intrapartum and postpartum considerations during pregnancy, including mode of delivery, lifelong HIV therapy, family planning and contraceptive options, infant feeding, infant ARV prophylaxis, and timing of infant diagnostic testing (AIII).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Rating of Recommendations:** A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

**Rating of Evidence:** I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion
In addition to the standard antenatal assessments for all pregnant people, the initial evaluation of persons with HIV should include an assessment of HIV disease status and recommendations for HIV-related medical care. This initial assessment should include the following:

- Review of prior HIV-related illnesses and past CD4 T lymphocyte (CD4) cell counts and plasma HIV RNA levels;
- Current CD4 count;
- Current plasma HIV RNA level;
- Assessment of the need for prophylaxis against opportunistic infections, such as *Pneumocystis jirovecii* pneumonia (see the Adult and A dolescent Opportunistic Infections Guidelines);
- Screening for hepatitis A virus (HAV), hepatitis C virus, and tuberculosis, in addition to standard screening for hepatitis B virus (HBV), see Hepatitis B Virus/HIV Coinfection and Hepatitis C Virus/HIV Coinfection;
- Screening for and treatment of sexually transmitted infections (STIs), such as syphilis, *Chlamydia trachomatis*, *Trichomonas vaginalis*, and *Neisseria gonorrhea*;1-3
- Assessment of the need for HAV, HBV, influenza, pneumococcus, Tdap, or SARS-CoV-2 immunizations;4,5
- Complete blood cell count and renal and liver function testing;
- HLA-B*5701 testing, if the use of abacavir is anticipated (see Table 11);
- History of prior and current antiretroviral (ARV) drug use, including prior ARV drug use for the prevention of perinatal transmission or treatment of HIV;
- Assessment of the patient’s self-affirmed gender identity, the pronouns they use, use of testosterone or other gender-affirming hormonal therapy, and potential interactions with ARV drugs5,7 (see Perinatal HIV Prevention for Transgender and Gender Diverse People Assigned Female Sex at Birth and Transgender People with HIV);
- History of adherence challenges and facilitators;
- Results of prior and current ARV drug-resistance tests;
- History of adverse effects or toxicities caused by previous ARV regimens;
- Screening for depression and anxiety (see Screening for Perinatal Depression);8
- Assessment of the need for supportive care (e.g., social services, mental health services, substance use disorder treatment services, smoking cessation services), as well as support to help ensure lifelong adherence to antiretroviral therapy (ART);
- Screening for intimate partner violence and assessment of the need for interventions or referrals for supportive care;
- Assessing the HIV status of sexual partner(s) and referral of partner(s) for HIV testing and ARV treatment or prophylaxis as needed (see Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis [PrEP] to Prevent HIV During Periconception, Antepartum, and Postpartum Periods); and
- Referral of children for HIV testing.
The National Perinatal HIV Hotline

The National Perinatal HIV Hotline (1-888-448-8765) is a federally funded service that provides free clinical consultation to providers who are caring for women with HIV and their infants.

How Antiretroviral Drugs Prevent Perinatal Transmission and Improve Maternal Health

All pregnant people with HIV should receive ART early in pregnancy, regardless of their viral load or CD4 count, to maximize their health and to prevent perinatal HIV transmission and secondary sexual transmission. ARV drugs are important for maintaining maternal health because they decrease the rate of HIV disease progression, reduce the risk of opportunistic diseases, and reduce the risk of maternal death.

ARV drugs reduce the risk of perinatal transmission of HIV in all pregnant people, regardless of their CD4 counts and HIV RNA levels. ARV drugs can reduce the risk of perinatal transmission through several mechanisms. Antenatal drug administration decreases maternal viral load in blood and genital secretions.9-11 Strict adherence to an ARV regimen is needed to achieve rapid and sustained viral suppression and minimize the risk of perinatal transmission. Although the risk of perinatal transmission in people with undetectable plasma HIV RNA levels appears to be extremely low, perinatal transmission has been reported among women on ART (see Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy).12-15 Studies have reported low-level cervicovaginal HIV RNA and DNA shedding in women who were on ART and who had undetectable plasma viral loads.16-18 Penetration of ARV drugs into the female genital tract varies by drug.19-22

Infant pre-exposure prophylaxis also should be used to prevent perinatal transmission because maternal viremia is not the only risk factor for perinatal HIV transmission. Pre-exposure prophylaxis is achieved by administering ARV drugs to the mother that cross the placenta and produce adequate systemic drug levels in the fetus. In addition, infant post-exposure prophylaxis is achieved by administering ARV drugs to the infant after birth, providing protection from cell-free or cell-associated virus that may have entered the fetal/infant systemic circulation during labor and delivery. The importance of the pre- and post-exposure components of prophylaxis in reducing the risk of perinatal HIV transmission is demonstrated by the reduced efficacy of interventions that involve administration of ARV drugs only during labor and/or to the newborns.23-30 Therefore, using a combination of preconception ART, confirmation of antepartum plasma viral load suppression, scheduled surgical delivery (if indicated based on most recent maternal plasma viral load), intrapartum continuation of the current regimen with the addition of intravenous zidovudine (if indicated, based on the most recent maternal plasma viral load), and infant ARV prophylaxis or presumptive HIV therapy is recommended to prevent perinatal transmission of HIV.

General Principles of Drug Selection

In general, the recommendations for the use of ART in people who are pregnant are the same as those for people who are not pregnant. However, the Perinatal Guidelines do differ from the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines in some instances where regimen selection has been modified based on concerns about specific drugs or limited experience with newer drugs during pregnancy (see Table 4 and Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy).
Clinicians and patients should discuss the substantial benefits of ARV drugs for maternal health and for reducing the risk of HIV transmission to infants; this helps put the potential risks of using these drugs into perspective (see Table 11 and Appendix B: Supplement: Safety and Toxicity of Individual Antiretroviral Agents in Pregnancy). Counseling of pregnant patients about ARV drug use should be directive and noncoercive, and providers should help patients make informed decisions regarding the use of ARV drugs.

Discussions with patients about initiation of ARV regimens should include information about the following:

- Maternal risk of disease progression and the benefits and risks of therapy for maternal health;31
- The benefits of ART for preventing perinatal transmission of HIV;13
- The benefits of using ART to achieve and maintain viral suppression, which reduce the risk of sexual transmission of HIV to partners who do not have HIV;32
- The need for strict adherence to the prescribed ARV drug regimen to avoid drug resistance, optimize health outcomes, and minimize the risk of perinatal HIV transmission;
- The potential adverse effects of ARV drugs for pregnant people, fetuses, and infants, including potential interactions with other medications the patient may already be receiving (see Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy);33-36 and
- The limited long-term outcome data for infants who were exposed to ARV drugs in utero, especially for newer ARV drugs.

In pregnant patients with HIV who are not receiving treatment currently, plasma HIV RNA levels should be measured and ART should be initiated. In patients with plasma HIV RNA levels above the threshold for standard genotypic resistance testing (i.e., >500 copies/mL to 1,000 copies/mL), ARV drug-resistance testing should be sent for analysis before starting ART; however, ART should be initiated before results of drug-resistance testing are available, because earlier viral suppression is associated with a lower risk of perinatal transmission.37,38 The ARV regimen can be modified, if necessary, based on resistance assay results39 (see Antiretroviral Drug Resistance and Resistance Testing in Pregnancy). Counseling should emphasize the importance of adherence to the ARV drug regimen to minimize the development of resistance and support the effectiveness of ART in achieving viral suppression. Patients with poor adherence during pregnancy are more likely to have detectable viral loads at delivery.40

Transplacental passage of ARV drugs is thought to be an important mechanism of infant pre-exposure prophylaxis. Thus, when selecting an ARV regimen for use during pregnancy, ARV drugs with high placental transfer should be included as a component of the ARV regimen (see Table 11).41-45

**Discontinuation of ARVs**

The Panel on Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission (the Panel) strongly recommends against discontinuing ART. However, if an ARV drug regimen must be stopped for any reason, all ARV drugs should be stopped simultaneously. ART should be reinitiated as soon as possible, whether the patient restarts the same regimen or initiates a new regimen. If an ARV drug that is known to have a long serum half-life (e.g., non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase
Recommendations for the Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy and Interventions to Reduce Perinatal HIV Transmission in the United States

inhibitors) must be stopped for more than a few days, clinicians should consider assessing the patient for rebound viremia after a new regimen is started, and viral suppression would be expected; if optimal viral suppression has not been achieved, potential drug resistance should be assessed.46

Temporary discontinuation of ARV drug regimens during pregnancy may be indicated in some situations, including cases of serious drug-related toxicity, pregnancy-induced hyperemesis that is unresponsive to antiemetics, or acute illnesses or planned surgeries that prevent a patient from taking oral medications. Possible toxicity or intolerance to a single ARV agent should prompt discussion about options for modifying rather than stopping an entire ARV regimen (see Pregnant People With HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy).46

Discontinuation of therapy could lead to an increase in viral load, with possible disease progression and decline in immune status for the mother and increased risk of in utero transmission of HIV. An analysis from a prospective cohort of 937 mother-child pairs from the Italian Registry for HIV infection in children found that interruption of ART during pregnancy, including interruption in the first and third trimesters, was independently associated with an increased rate of perinatal HIV transmission.47 During the first trimester, the median gestational age at interruption was 6 weeks, and length of time without therapy was 8 weeks (interquartile range [IQR] 7–11 weeks); in the third trimester, the median gestational age at interruption was 32 weeks, and length of time without therapy was 6 weeks (IQR 2–9 weeks). Although the perinatal transmission rate for the entire cohort was only 1.3%, transmission occurred in 4.9% of mother-child pairs with first-trimester interruption (95% confidence interval [CI], 1.9% to 13.2%; adjusted odds ratio [aOR] 10.33; \( P = 0.005 \)) and 18.2% of mother-child pairs with third-trimester interruption (95% CI, 4.5% to 72.7%; aOR 46.96; \( P = 0.002 \)).47

Patient Counseling and Coordination of Care

Coordination of services among prenatal care providers, primary care and HIV specialty care providers, mental health and substance use disorder treatment services, social services, and public assistance programs is essential to ensure that patients with HIV are well supported during all stages of their pregnancies and during the postpartum period. Medical care of pregnant people with HIV requires coordination and communication between HIV specialists and obstetric providers. General counseling should include current knowledge about risk factors for perinatal HIV transmission. Risk of perinatal transmission of HIV has been associated with potentially modifiable factors, including cigarette smoking, substance use disorders, alcohol consumption, and genital tract infections. Besides improving maternal health, cessation of cigarette smoking and drug use and treatment of STIs and other genital tract infections may reduce the risk of perinatal transmission. Patients should be screened for mental health conditions, assessed for the risk of intimate partner violence, and counseled about disclosure of their HIV status when needed.48 It is important to be aware that COVID-19 may increase the risk of depression, substance use, and intimate partner violence at a time when the frequency of in-person health care services has decreased (see Interim Guidance for COVID-19 and Persons with HIV). Fears of stigma and violence that could result from disclosure require comprehensive culturally informed services to assist pregnant and postpartum patients who are planning to disclose their status,49,50 and patients who have not disclosed their status require support to maintain privacy during telemedicine visits. Transgender and gender-diverse individuals may have specific concerns—such as the effects of stopping gender-affirming hormones during pregnancy or restarting them after they give birth—that should be assessed and addressed (see Perinatal HIV Prevention for Transgender and Gender Diverse People Assigned Female Sex at
Because testosterone is classified as a teratogen, patients should be instructed not to take this gender-affirming hormone when trying to conceive and during pregnancy. In addition, providers should counsel patients with HIV about what to expect during labor, delivery, and the postnatal period. This includes discussing the mode of delivery and the possible use of intrapartum zidovudine, as well as family planning and contraceptive options during the postpartum period. Providers also should discuss the possibility of simplifying a patient’s ARV regimen after delivery, which can help promote long-term adherence to ART. Discussions regarding the prevention of postnatal transmission to the neonate also should include recommendations about infant feeding, neonatal ARV prophylaxis, infant diagnostic HIV testing, and the avoidance of premastication of food (see Counseling and Managing Individuals with HIV in the United States Who Desire to Breastfeed).
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**Teratogenicity**

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

### Panel's Recommendations

- All cases of antiretroviral (ARV) drug exposure during pregnancy should be reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry (AIII).

- Based on multiple studies indicating no difference in rates of total birth defects for first-trimester exposure compared with later ARV drug exposures, persons can be counseled that ARV drugs during pregnancy generally do not increase the risk of birth defects (BIII). Providers should be aware that data on the risks of birth defects for many ARV drugs are limited and evolving.
  - With further data, the initial concerning signal for neural tube defects (NTDs) with dolutegravir (DTG) use during the preconception period has decreased substantially. Based on the available evidence, the Panel on Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal HIV Transmission (the Panel) recommends DTG as a Preferred drug for pregnant people, irrespective of trimester (AII), and for people who are trying to conceive (AIII).

- The Panel emphasizes the importance of counseling and informed decision-making regarding all ARV regimens for people with HIV (AIII). For additional information, see Appendix C: Antiretroviral Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers.

- Clinicians should discuss future reproductive plans and timing, the risks, and benefits of conceiving on specific ARV medications and the use of appropriate contraceptive options to prevent unintended pregnancies (AIII).

- Folic acid is known to prevent NTDs. All pregnant people and people who might conceive should take at least 400 mcg of folic acid daily (AII). For additional information, see Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy, Prepregnancy Counseling and Care for Individuals of Childbearing Age with HIV, and Pregnant People with HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy.

**Rating of Recommendations:** A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

**Rating of Evidence:** I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

### Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Reporting

Health care providers who are caring for pregnant people with HIV are advised strongly to report instances of prenatal exposure to antiretroviral (ARV) drugs (either single-drug exposure or exposure to a combination of ARV drugs) to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry (APR) as early in pregnancy as possible. This registry is an epidemiologic project to collect observational, nonexperimental data regarding ARV drug exposure during pregnancy for the purpose of assessing the potential teratogenicity of these drugs. Registry data is used to supplement animal toxicology studies and assist clinicians in weighing the potential risks and benefits of treatment for individual patients. The APR is a collaborative project of pharmaceutical manufacturers with an advisory committee that includes a teratologist, an infectious disease specialist, an epidemiologist, a biostatistician, and a group of obstetric, maternal–fetal medicine, and pediatric providers. The registry does not use patient names, and registry staff obtain birth outcome follow-up information from the reporting health care provider.
Antiretroviral Drugs and Birth Defects

The potential harm to the fetus from maternal ingestion of a specific drug depends not only on the drug itself, but also on the dose ingested, the gestational age of the fetus at exposure, the duration of exposure, interactions with other agents to which the fetus is exposed, and, to an unknown extent, the genetic makeup of the mother and fetus. Information regarding the safety of using certain drugs during pregnancy is derived from multiple sources, including animal toxicity data, anecdotal experience, registry data, randomized clinical trials, and observational studies.

Drug choice should be individualized and discussed with the people who are pregnant or are trying to conceive before treatment begins. Clinicians also must consider available data from preclinical and clinical testing of the individual drugs. Preclinical data include results of in vitro and animal in vivo screening tests for carcinogenicity, clastogenicity/mutagenicity, and reproductive and teratogenic effects. However, the predictive value of such tests for adverse effects in humans is unknown.

Data continue to be collected on the placental passage, pharmacokinetics, and safety of U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved ARV drugs administered during pregnancy, in addition to data on the long-term safety in infants who were exposed to these drugs in utero. However, the data remain somewhat limited, especially for newer drugs (see Appendix B: Supplement: Safety and Toxicity of Individual Antiretroviral Agents in Pregnancy). When analyzing registry data, data on birth outcomes from 200 infants who were exposed to an ARV drug during the first trimester are viewed as sufficient to detect a 2.2-fold increase in the risk of overall birth defects associated with that drug compared to the general population. A cohort of 1,000 is sufficient to detect a 1.5-fold increase in the risk of birth defects. The general U.S. population birth defect prevalence is 2.8%. However, data from a larger number of infants are required to detect an increased risk of specific birth defects with lower frequencies of occurrence, with the required number of infants who were exposed to an ARV drug increasing as the frequency of the defect in an unexposed population decreases.

It is important to consider potential confounding factors in studies of ARV drugs and birth defects. Several factors that are associated with HIV also may increase the risk of birth defects, such as exposure to folate antagonists (e.g., trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole), nutritional and folate status, and tobacco and alcohol use. Clinicians also should be aware of indication bias, which can occur when a patient's reason for taking a particular ARV drug is associated with an increased risk of birth defects, such as older age or more advanced disease.

Several studies of birth defects in fetuses and infants of women who received various ARV regimens during observational studies found no difference in rates of total birth defects between first-trimester drug exposures and later exposures. The APR conducts a primary analysis of prospective cases of ARV drug exposure during pregnancy provided by health care providers. In this analysis, the
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prevalence of birth defects was 2.8 per 100 live births among women with a first-trimester exposure to any ARV drug (310 of 10,950 exposures; 95% confidence interval [CI], 2.5–3.2). The prevalence of defects is not significantly different from that seen in women with an initial exposure during the second and/or third trimester (2.9 per 100 live births; prevalence ratio 0.99; 95% CI, 0.84–1.16).1

Although these studies are reassuring, an increased risk of specific abnormalities, particularly rare abnormalities, would not necessarily be detectable when looking only at the total number of birth defects. Furthermore, risk may be underestimated when defects are ascertained only after live births because this does not include more severe defects that result in stillbirths and terminations. Another limitation is that an increased risk that is associated with a specific ARV drug may be obscured when the analysis unit combines all ARV drugs together.

When considering whether a pregnant person should continue an effective antiretroviral regimen when they present in early pregnancy, the potential risk of viral rebound with switching regimens must be considered, as well as the specific or unknown risks for birth defects of the current drug regimen and stage of gestation.11 For additional information, see Pregnant People with HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy.

Specific Drugs

Appendix B: Supplement: Safety and Toxicity of Individual Antiretroviral Agents in Pregnancy provides detailed information about individual drugs with additional information about selected drugs summarized below.

Dolutegravir (DTG)

In May 2018, an unplanned interim evaluation of a National Institutes of Health–funded observational surveillance study of birth outcomes among pregnant women on antiretroviral therapy (ART) in Botswana revealed four cases of neural tube defects (NTDs) among infants born to 426 women (0.94%) who became pregnant while receiving a DTG-based regimen.12 These data were updated in a planned analysis in March 2019,13 April 2020, and again in March 2021. In the most recent analysis of the Tsepamo study in Botswana, nine NTDs were identified (0.15%) among 5,860 deliveries to women who were taking DTG around the time of conception; the defects included four instances of myelomeningocele, one of anencephaly, three of encephalocele, and one of iniencephaly. In comparison, 22 NTDs were found among 22,475 deliveries (0.10%) in which the mother was taking any ART that did not include DTG at conception, eight NTDs were found among 13,217 deliveries (0.06%) in which the mother was taking efavirenz (EFV) at conception, three NTDs were found among 5,535 deliveries (0.05%) in which the mother started treatment with DTG during pregnancy, and 97 NTDs were found among 144,967 deliveries (0.07%) to mothers without HIV.14 The prevalence of NTDs in infants who were exposed to DTG around the time of conception remains statistically higher than infants born to women without HIV (prevalence difference [PD] 0.09%; 95% CI, 0.01% to 0.23%) but not compared to infants exposed to any non-DTG ARV (PD 0.06%; 95% CI, −0.03% to 0.20%) around conception or infants exposed specifically to EFV (PD 0.09%; 95% CI, −0.00% to 0.23%) around conception.

In addition to this Botswana study, two other studies have included an internal comparator group and assessments of NTDs in stillbirths and terminations that have evaluated NTDs in infants who were exposed to DTG at conception. The first was a prospective study by the Ministry of Health and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) at 22 additional sites in Botswana that were not included in the Tsepamo study. This study identified one NTD among infants born to 152 women
who were receiving DTG at conception, compared to no NTDs among infants born to 381 women who were receiving other ARV drugs at conception and two NTDs among infants born to 2,328 women who did not have HIV (0.09%). The second study included prospective data from the APR, and it is worth noting that 75% of the data in the registry comes from North America, Europe, and Latin America, where most countries require folate fortification for food. The study found one case of an NTD among 382 live births (0.26%) of infants with periconception DTG exposure and no NTDs among 298 live births of infants with periconception elvitegravir (EVG) exposure and 327 live births of infants with periconception raltegravir (RAL) exposure. Two cases of NTD in pregnant women with unplanned pregnancies who conceived while taking DTG were reported from south Brazil. Unlike Brazil and the United States, Botswana does not have mandated food folate fortification, which can decrease NTD prevalence by half. More data are needed to delineate the risks of NTDs among infants born to people on integrase inhibitors periconceptionally in other geographical regions and countries with mandated food folate fortification.

No mechanism has been identified to explain the observed association between DTG exposure and NTDs, although several studies have evaluated the role of folate. A substudy of the ADVANCE trial evaluated serum folate levels among women by randomized arm and found that folate deficiency occurred less often in women who were receiving DTG, with 13.7% of women in the DTG plus tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) plus emtricitabine (FTC) arm and 5.4% of women in the DTG plus tenofovir alafenamide (TAF) plus FTC arm experiencing folate deficiency compared with 30% of those who received EFV (P < 0.001). Studies that have evaluated folate receptor antagonism by DTG in animal models and cell models have had conflicting results, and the clinical implications of these results are unclear. Additional studies are needed to clarify the role of folate and to explore other potential mechanisms.

The risk of NTDs decreases after early pregnancy, although it is not clear exactly when this period of increased risk ends. Most NTDs result from failure of neural tube closure. The neural tube closes by approximately 4 weeks postconception, or approximately 6 weeks after the last menstrual period in women with regular menses. Therefore, the risk period for a medication to cause NTDs is over by approximately 6 weeks gestational age. However, it is possible that two of the nine defects observed in the Botswana study (encephalocele) may have occurred by a different mechanism (a post-neurulation event) slightly after the neural tube had closed. The exact timing of development of encephalocele in humans is not well described; however, extrapolating from animal data, it is likely to occur before 6 weeks post-conception (8 weeks gestational age). Determining when the risk period for defects is over also depends on determining the gestational age accurately.

Other Integrase Strand Transfer Inhibitors

Limited data are available on the association between other integrase strand transfer inhibitors and birth defects. A retrospective case series evaluated data from nine institutions on 140 pregnancies in which the women received EVG during pregnancy, including 82 women who received the drug before conception and during the first trimester. Two defects were noted: one case of hydronephrosis in which exposure began before conception, and one case of an encephalocele in which a woman with periconceptional exposure to TDF plus FTC plus darunavir/ritonavir (DRV/r) was switched to atazanavir (ATV) plus EVG/cobicistat/FTC/TDF at 9 weeks because of drug side effects. Among 33 women who were exposed to EVG during the first trimester in the United Kingdom and Ireland, no defects were noted in 31 liveborn infants. In the APR, defects were reported in 11 of 371 infants (2.96%; 95% CI, 1.49% to 5.24%) born after first-trimester exposure to EVG; this does not represent an increased risk compared to the overall rate of defects in the
A review of the Gilead safety database, which included an earlier data set from the APR, reported 155 prospective periconception exposures to EVG with no NTDs.\textsuperscript{22} Review of a surveillance database in Canada found no NTDs among 28 infants with first-trimester exposures.\textsuperscript{10} Surveillance data from the United Kingdom and Ireland included 882 live births of infants with exposure to RAL, and birth defects were reported in 23 infants, a rate of 2.59\% (95\% CI, 1.65\% to 3.86\%); this rate is similar to the rate in the general population. No NTDs were reported.\textsuperscript{21} Among the 222 infants with periconception exposure to RAL, five defects were noted, including two heart defects, two limb defects, and one unspecified defect. In the APR, birth defects were reported in 15 of 486 infants (3.1\%; 95\% CI, 1.7\% to 5.0\%) with first-trimester exposure to RAL. This incidence is similar to the incidence seen in the overall population reported to the APR. A review performed by Merck researchers that included data from the company’s database, the previously noted APR data, and data from the United Kingdom, Ireland, and French pregnancy cohorts reported 456 periconception exposures to RAL with no NTDs.\textsuperscript{23}

The Panel on Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission continues to review and update its recommendations regarding the use of ARV drugs during pregnancy and at the time of conception (see \textit{Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy, Prepregnancy Counseling and Care for Individuals of Childbearing Age with HIV}, and the \textit{Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines}). The benefits and risks of ARV drugs—including the potential risk of NTDs—and the benefits and risks of changing ART should be discussed with patients who need to initiate ART during the first trimester or who are planning to become pregnant or are currently taking ART (see \textit{Appendix C: Antiretroviral Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers}). For additional guidance, please contact the National Perinatal HIV Hotline (1-888-448-8765).

\section*{Efavirenz}

EFV use during pregnancy has received increased scrutiny because of the results of a small study in nonhuman primates. Significant malformations were observed in 3 of 20 infant cynomolgus monkeys that received EFV from gestational days 20 to 150 at a dose similar to human therapeutic exposures.\textsuperscript{24} The malformations included anencephaly and unilateral anophthalmia in one monkey, microphthalmia in another, and cleft palate in the third.

Increased scrutiny of outcomes after EFV exposure has provided reassuring data. Sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to EFV have been monitored in the APR to rule out at least a 1.5-fold increase in the risk of overall birth defects and a twofold increase in risk of birth defects in the cardiovascular and genitourinary systems. Twenty-eight of 1,166 infants (2.4\%) with first-trimester exposures to EFV were found to have birth defects, including a single case of myelomeningocele and one case of anophthalmia and amniotic bands.\textsuperscript{1} A meta-analysis that included data from 23 studies reporting on 2,026 first-trimester exposures to ARV drugs found no increased risk of overall birth defects for infants born to women who were on EFV during the first trimester compared with those who were on other ARV drugs during the first trimester (relative risk [RR] 0.78; 95\% CI, 0.56–1.08). One NTD was observed, giving an incidence of 0.05\% (95\% CI, <0.01 to 0.28).\textsuperscript{25} The number of reported first-trimester EFV exposures in this meta-analysis is sufficient to rule out a twofold increase in low-incidence birth defects, such as NTDs. Incidence of NTDs in the general U.S. population is 0.02\% to 0.2\%.\textsuperscript{2,25} A more recent report from a South African pregnancy exposure registry of births at a single hospital found no increase in risk of congenital malformations with EFV...
exposure at conception (1 of 297, 0.3%) compared with infants born to women without HIV (29 of 7,532, 0.4%).

The Tsepamo study discussed above found eight NTDs among 13,217 live births and stillbirths (0.06%) to women who were on EFV at conception, which was nearly identical to the prevalence of NTDs among infants born to women without HIV (0.07). The study also found no increased risk of total major abnormalities identified on infant surface exam among women who were taking EFV around the time of conception compared with women without HIV (0.68% vs. 0.59%). In addition, a birth defect surveillance program in Uganda that used methods similar to those used in the Tsepamo study reported an NTD prevalence of 0.059% (95% CI, 0.001% to 0.118%) among infants born to women with HIV, 80% of whom were on EFV, and an NTD prevalence of 0.092% (95% CI, 0.068% to 0.116%) among infants born to women without HIV. Thus, the findings in monkeys have not been confirmed by human data, underscoring the need for well-designed studies to rapidly provide data on the safety of new drugs for use in pregnancy.

A recent report from the Surveillance Monitoring for ART Toxicities (SMARTT) study of the Pediatric HIV/AIDS Cohort Study (PHACS) network detected an increased rate of microcephaly in HIV-exposed but uninfected children exposed to EFV in utero. The relative risk of microcephaly in infants exposed to EFV in utero was 2.56 (95% CI, 1.22–5.37). In this study, microcephaly was defined as a z-score of less than −2 between 6 and 36 months of age or head size below the second percentile after 36 months. Only 4.7% of children had been exposed to EFV in utero. The relative risk of microcephaly was higher among children who had been exposed to EFV plus zidovudine (ZDV) and lamivudine (3TC) than among those who had been exposed to EFV plus TDF and FTC. Children with microcephaly had lower scores on neurodevelopmental assessments at ages 1 year and 5 years and a higher rate of neurodevelopmental impairment than those without microcephaly. Additional evaluation of the association between microcephaly and in utero EFV exposure is needed.

The EFV package insert advises women to avoid becoming pregnant while taking EFV and advises health care providers to avoid administering EFV during the first trimester of pregnancy because fetal harm may occur. However, with the data from Botswana on more than 13,000 periconception exposures, however, the data can rule out an increase in the risk of NTD in infants who were exposed to EFV. As a result, the Perinatal Guidelines do not restrict the use of EFV in pregnancy or in people who are planning to become pregnant; this is consistent with the British HIV Association and World Health Organization guidelines for use of ARV drugs in pregnancy, both of which note that EFV can be used throughout pregnancy. Importantly, people who become pregnant on EFV-containing regimens that are suppressive and tolerated should continue using those regimens.

Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate and Tenofovir Alafenamide

TDF has not demonstrated teratogenicity in rodents or monkeys. Data from the APR showed that 108 of 4,483 (2.4%) infants born to women with first-trimester TDF exposure had birth defects, which is similar to the incidence in the general population. A more recent meta-analysis of TDF use among women with HIV found no increase in the risk of congenital anomalies associated with the use of TDF (RR 1.03; 95% CI, 0.83–1.28). Clinical data with TAF are still limited. Among 526 first-trimester exposures to TAF reported to the APR, 22 (4.2%) defects were reported, which is not significantly higher than the incidence in the general population. No congenital abnormalities were reported among 117 infants exposed to TAF after 24 weeks gestation (mothers with hepatitis B).
Zidovudine

In a study from France that included 13,124 live births that occurred between 1994 and 2010, first-trimester ARV drug exposure was found in 5,388 infants (42%). The authors reported a significant adjusted association between first trimester ZDV exposure and congenital heart defects, primarily ventricular (58%) and atrial (18%) septal defects (adjusted odds ratio [aOR] 2.2; 95% CI, 1.3–3.7). Because fetal ultrasounds were conducted on all infants who were exposed to HIV, and because spontaneous closure of ventricular septal defects after birth is common, the clinical significance of the cardiac findings is uncertain. An analysis of 16,304 prospectively reported pregnancies compared the risk of ventricular septal defects and congenital heart defects in infants with prenatal exposure to ZDV-containing regimens and infants with prenatal exposure to ART regimens that did not contain ZDV. In contrast to the French study, this analysis found that the risk of these defects was similar between the two groups. A recent study that combined a meta-analysis and data from a Medicaid database of ART prescriptions and infant outcomes did not detect a significant increase in overall defects or heart defects among infants who had first-trimester ZDV exposure compared with infants who had exposure to other ART regimens during the first trimester (odds ratio [OR] for overall defects 1.11; 95% CI, 0.80–1.55; OR for cardiac defects 1.30; 95% CI, 0.63–2.71). Additionally, one study investigated echocardiographic parameters of left ventricular function and structure in 417 infants. Some of the infants had been exposed to HIV and ARV drugs but had not contracted HIV, while others had not been exposed to either HIV or ARV drugs. When these children were tested at ages 2 to 7 years, no clinically significant differences in left ventricular function and structure were found between the exposed and unexposed groups.

Atazanavir

In an analysis from the PHACS that included 2,580 live births, first-trimester ARV drug exposure overall was not associated with an increased risk of birth defects. However in an adjusted analyses, ATV was the only individual ARV drug for which first-trimester exposure, occurring in 222 infants, was associated with birth defects (primarily skin and musculoskeletal defects). In the APR, no increase was evident in the risk of birth defects with first-trimester ATV exposure among 1,447 births.

Rilpivirine

A report from the French Perinatal Cohort evaluated pregnancy outcomes among women receiving rilpivirine (RPV). Among 247 women receiving RPV at conception, livebirths occurred in 241 cases, with birth defects noted in 3.8% (95% CI, 1.6% to 7.7%), including three infants with heart defects, three with lower-limb malformations, and one with renal hypoplasia. Of note, viral rebound occurred in 20% of women who were changed to other regimens because of concerns regarding limited safety data and concerns about PK changes compared to none of the women maintained on RPV. In the APR, eight defects were reported among 557 first-trimester RPV exposures; 1.44% (95% CI, 0.62% to 2.81%) compared with a 2.72% total prevalence of birth defects in the U.S. population based on CDC surveillance.

Ibalizumab

A study conducted in cynomolgus monkeys suggests ibalizumab may cause reversible immunosuppression in infants born to mothers exposed to ibalizumab during pregnancy. Decreases in CD4+ T cells and B cells and increases in CD8+ T cells were observed within the first 4 weeks.
after birth; lymphocyte counts normalized by 3 months of age as ibalizumab concentrations waned. The clinical significance is not known, but based on these results, expert consultation is recommended for guidance on monitoring and management of exposed infants based on the degree of immunosuppression observed. Ibalizumab is not followed by the APR; FDA is requiring collection of prospective data in individuals exposed to ibalizumab during pregnancy to monitor maternal and infant outcomes.

Other Antiretroviral Drugs

In the APR, sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures have been monitored to detect at least a twofold increase in the risk of overall birth defects for cobicistat, DRV, didanosine (ddl), DTG, EVG, indinavir, RAL, RPV, stavudine, telbivudine, and TAF; however, no such increases have been detected to date. For abacavir, ATV, EFV, FTC, 3TC, lopinavir, nevirapine, ritonavir, TDF, and ZDV, sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures have been monitored to detect at least a 1.5-fold increase in the risk of overall birth defects and a twofold increase in the risk of birth defects in cardiovascular and genitourinary systems; no such increases have been detected to date. A modest (but statistically significant) increase in overall birth defect rates for ddl and nevirapine (NFV) is observed when data from the APR are compared with the U.S. population-based Metropolitan Atlanta Congenital Defects Program (MACDP) surveillance data. The lower bounds of the confidence intervals for ddl but not for NFV (2.88% and 2.68%, respectively) are slightly above the higher bound (2.72%) for the MACDP rate, but rates are not elevated compared with the Texas Birth Defect Registry rate of 4.17%, an additional comparator included in the APR. No specific pattern of defects has been detected with the use of either ddl or NFV, and the clinical relevance of this statistical finding is unclear. The APR will continue to monitor ddl and NFV for any signal or pattern of birth defects.
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Antiretroviral Drug Regimens and Maternal and Neonatal Outcomes
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Panel’s Recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Clinicians should be aware of a possible increased risk of adverse neonatal outcomes (e.g., preterm delivery) in pregnant people who are receiving antiretroviral therapy (ART). However, given the clear benefits of ART for both maternal health and the prevention of perinatal transmission, HIV treatment should not be withheld due to concern for adverse pregnancy outcomes (AII).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Rating of Recommendations:** A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

**Rating of Evidence:** I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

In this section, the Panel on Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission (the Panel) provides a summary of recently published data on antiretroviral therapy (ART) and adverse maternal and neonatal outcomes. Pregnant people with HIV, regardless of antiretroviral (ARV) drug use, may be at increased risk for adverse neonatal outcomes. These outcomes may include preterm delivery (PTD) (delivery before 37 weeks gestation), very preterm delivery (vPTD) (delivery before 32 weeks gestation), low birth weight (LBW) infants (those weighing <2,500 g), small-for-gestational-age (SGA) infants (those with a birth weight <10th percentile expected for gestational age), and stillbirth (delivery of a nonviable infant after 20 weeks). The gestational age cutoff used to define stillbirth in the studies described varies by gestational age from ≥20 weeks to ≥28 weeks. Limited data suggest a potential association between HIV infection and maternal complications of pregnancy, such as hypertensive disorders of pregnancy (HDP) (pregestational hypertension, gestational or pregnancy-induced hypertension, pre-eclampsia, and eclampsia). Some of the data described in this section include historical HIV treatment strategies—such as single-drug and two-drug ARV regimens—and older ARV drugs that are no longer commonly prescribed. For additional historical data related to this topic, please refer to the archived versions of this section. For information related to ART use and teratogenicity (i.e., their relation to birth defects), please refer to Teratogenicity and the individual drug sections in Appendix B and Table 11.

**Key Points**

Maternal ARV use for the prevention of perinatal HIV transmission, especially pre-conception or in the first trimester, may be associated with an increase in PTD. The Panel does not recommend that people with HIV stop ART before conception or in early pregnancy for the purpose of preventing PTD.

ART that contains boosted protease inhibitors (PI) may increase the risk of PTD. When PI-based regimens are indicated, the Panel recommends the use of darunavir/r (DRV/r) or atazanavir (ATV/r) rather than lopinavir/r (LPV/r).
Infants exposed to ART before birth may be at increased risk of being LBW or SGA. Maternal ARV use during pregnancy may be an indication for enhanced antenatal surveillance—such as ultrasounds—to evaluate for poor fetal growth.

Stillbirth is a rare outcome in resource-rich settings, and data related to stillbirth and ARV use are limited. The Panel cannot make a specific recommendation regarding the prevention of stillbirth among pregnant people with HIV.

Limited data suggest an association between HDP and maternal HIV, but no known interventions effectively reduce this risk. Providers should not withhold or adjust ART for the purpose of preventing HDP.

**Interpretation of Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes Data**

The association between ARV use and preterm birth, fetal growth restriction, and stillbirth has been an area of research for many years, with multiple studies that include conflicting results. Because these outcomes often occur without an identifiable cause, it can be difficult to establish a causal link with a medication in a single case. However, because these outcomes are relatively common, even a small increase in risk can have substantial public health impact.

Much of the conflicting data in earlier studies about ARV drugs and adverse pregnancy outcomes can be ascribed to the use of inappropriate comparison groups and failure to stratify the data by timing of ARV initiation (before or after conception). Potential associations between ART and adverse pregnancy outcomes are difficult to establish because of the challenge of finding appropriate comparator groups. People with HIV who do not receive ART in pregnancy are not an appropriate comparator because they have an increased risk of adverse outcomes due to their immunocompromised status. Comparing pregnant people on ART to pregnant people without HIV is confounded by HIV status. Growing evidence suggests that the risk of adverse outcomes varies by ARV drug, even within ARV drug classes. Risks of adverse outcomes also may depend on the timing of ART initiation. A suggested approach to evaluate ART and pregnancy outcomes is to use a comparative safety approach in which ARV regimens or ARV drug classes are compared with each other. Unfortunately, many available studies continue to use comparison groups of women without HIV and women with HIV who are not on ARVs or who are on a single-drug or two-drug ARV regimen, which are no longer recommended for treatment in pregnancy. More studies are needed to fully evaluate the association between the risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes and the use of specific ARV drugs, classes of ARVs, and ART.

**Preterm Delivery**

Several meta-analyses and systematic reviews are available to evaluate the potential association of ARV use and PTD. Three large meta-analyses did not demonstrate a significant association between ARV use and PTD. The sample sizes pooled for these meta-analyses ranged from 14 to 90 studies and included 11,224 to 37,877 women and/or infants. Most of the studies that were included in these meta-analyses were observational studies, and most were older studies that do not include some of the ART or ARV drug classes currently used.1–3 The meta-analysis by Kourtis et al. showed a modest but statistically significant increase in the risk for PTD in women who initiated ART before pregnancy or during the first trimester, compared with women who initiated ART during the second trimester or later (odds ratio [OR] 1.71; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.09–2.67).1 The meta-analysis by Nachega et al. compared pregnancy outcomes between women who received tenofovir...
disoproxil fumarate (TDF)-based regimens and women who received regimens that did not contain TDF. This study found no difference in the risk of PTD between these two groups. A network meta-analysis of seven randomized controlled trials (RCTs) evaluated seven different ART regimens and their associations with PTD (including spontaneous PTD in three trials), LBW (six trials), and SGA (two trials). An overall increase in PTD was associated with ART regimen zidovudine/lamivudine/lopinavir/ritonavir (ZDV/3TC/LPV/r) compared with ZDV single-drug regimen (n = 5,789; relative risk [RR] 1.43; 95% CI, 1.08–1.91), and, compared with ZDV/3TC/abacavir (ABC), ZDV/3TC/LPV/r was associated with an increased risk of spontaneous PTD (sPTD) (n = 991; RR 1.81; 95% CI, 1.21–2.71). No differences were observed in vPTD between the regimens evaluated (4 trials; n = 1,819).

Among the observational studies that reported an association between the use of ARVs and PTD, the RRs/ORs for PTD ranged from 1.2 to 3.4. In general, the studies reviewed in this section have controlled comprehensively for all factors that may be associated with PTD. A recent observational study that evaluated ARV use among women with HIV in British Columbia reduced confounding variables by excluding multigestation pregnancies and antiquated ARV regimens (single- and two-drug therapy, and triple nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor [NRTI] regimens). They determined that women with HIV were twice as likely to experience PTD as the general population. Compared with women who were not on ART during pregnancy, women who were on any ART were less likely to have sPTD (hazard ratio [HR] 0.54; 95% CI, 0.29–1.04), and the protective effect for each week of ART was cumulative (HR 0.98; 95% CI, 0.96–0.99). Neither preconception or first-trimester ARV use nor PI-based ART was associated with PTD.

**Preterm Delivery and Antiretroviral Therapy Exposure Before Pregnancy**

Some studies report an association between initiating ART before pregnancy and PTD, reporting RRs/ORs that range from 1.20 to 2.05. These studies were conducted in Asia, Europe, Latin America, Africa, and North America and included various ART (including no ART and single-drug, two-drug, and multidrug regimens). The association between PTD and ARV use prior to conception is attenuated in some multivariate analyses. An observational study of >2,000 women on multidrug ART did not show an association between ART initiation before pregnancy and PTD. Certain ART—such as regimens that contain LPV/r—may be associated more closely with PTD than other regimens.

**Antiretroviral Therapy Regimens That Are Associated with Preterm Delivery**

**Protease Inhibitor-Based Regimens**

The association between the use of PI-based ART and PTD has been investigated in multiple studies across Europe, North America, and Africa. The RRs/ORs of PTD reported in these studies range from 1.14 to 3.4. A small meta-analysis of 10 studies (eight prospective cohort studies, one RCT, and one surveillance study) demonstrated that the use of PI-based ART is associated with an increased risk of PTD, with an adjusted odds ratio (aOR) of 1.32 (95% CI, 1.04–1.6) and 47% (moderate heterogeneity). When evaluating the effects of initiating PI-based ART during the first and third trimesters of pregnancy, the pooled effect was not significant. Not all the studies reviewed for this section have identified an association between PI use and an increased risk of PTD. Seven studies did not demonstrate a significant association between PI-based ART and PTD. For example, a retrospective Canadian study of women who were on regimens that included unboosted PIs did not report increased rates of PTD among these women.
Regimens that include PIs boosted with ritonavir may be associated with an increased risk of PTD compared with unboosted PI regimens. Although more prospective data are needed, ART that contains LPV/r may increase the risk of PTD compared to regimens that contain other ritonavir-boosted PIs. Despite this potential association between the use of PI-based ART and PTD, some pregnant people may require PI-based regimens. In these cases, the Panel recommends the use of DRV/r or ATV/r over LPV/r.

Nucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitor–Based Regimens and Non-Nucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitor–Based Regimens

Fewer studies have evaluated the risk of PTD among women on non-PI-based regimens. A meta-analysis of 17 studies of women with HIV who were on ART (n = 37,877) compared those on TDF regimens with women who were on regimens that did not include TDF. TDF-based ART was associated with a modest reduction in the rate of PTD (RR 0.9; 95% CI, 0.81–0.99; I² = 59%); however, no significant difference in the risk of vPTD was observed between these two groups.2 Some observational studies have shown an association between the use of non-PI based regimens and PTD. When compared with women without HIV, South African women with HIV who were taking nevirapine (NVP)/emtricitabine (FTC)/TDF had higher rates of PTD (aOR 1.2; 95% CI, 1.0–1.5).22 When compared with women without HIV, women who were taking efavirenz (EFV)/FTC/TDF were at increased risk of PTD.25 As stated in the introduction, using women without HIV as a control group may be an inappropriate study design choice. Another study of South African women who received EFV/FTC/TDF did not show an increased risk of PTD, SGA infants, or LBW infants when these women were compared with women who were on NVP-based ART or other multidrug regimens.31

Integrase Strand Transfer Inhibitor–Based Regimens

Integrase strand transfer inhibitors (INSTIs) are preferred ARVs for HIV treatment. As INSTI use increases among people with HIV, INSTI exposure during pregnancy is observed more often.29,34,45,46 In the Tsepamo study, women who initiated EFV/FTC/TDF or dolutegravir (DTG)/FTC/TDF during pregnancy were at increased risk of PTD (aOR 1.2; 95% CI, 1.1–1.3) compared with women without HIV. However, when these regimens were compared with one another, no significant differences existed in the risk of PTD. A total of 845 women who received DTG/FTC/TDF were compared with 4,593 historical controls who received EFV/FTC/TDF, and no clear difference existed in the risk of PTD between these groups.24 Some of these historical controls were from a systematic review of six sources (two cohort studies, three databases, and one report). This systematic review was designed to evaluate pharmacokinetics of DTG during pregnancy and adverse pregnancy outcomes related to DTG exposure.47

In the International Maternal Pediatric Adolescent AIDS Clinical Trials (IMPAACT) 2010 trial comparing participants receiving EFV/TDF/FTC (n = 207), DTG/TDF/FTC (n = 202), and DTG/TAF/FTC (n = 208) in pregnancy, women on ART with EFV were more likely to experience PTD when compared to women on ART with DTG/TAF/FTC (12% vs. 6%; 95% CI, -11.8% to −0.9%; P = 0.02). The percentage of PTD was similar between DTG ART groups.48 A large observational study of preconception ART regimens containing DTG (n = 384) or EFV (n = 1,045) in Brazilian women did not demonstrate a difference in gestational age at delivery. In the analysis, 57 women in the DTG group included exposures to EFV.49 A French case-control observational study comparing women with HIV prescribed INSTI ART (n = 246) and DRV/r ART matched by ARV...
backbone (n = 246) did not demonstrate any differences in PTD when ART was initiated before (16.8% vs. 16.1%) and during pregnancy (12.8% vs. 11.2%).

**Birth Weight**

For the purpose of this section, abnormalities of birth weight related to ARV use are commonly reported as LBW infants (those weighing <2,500 g) or SGA infants (those with a birth weight <10th percentile expected for gestational age). LBW may be a reflection of preterm birth or growth restriction; SGA may be a reflection of growth restriction or constitutionally small infants. Given that LBW and SGA may be caused by different mechanisms, this section discusses studies that have reported LBW and SGA separately.

**Low Birth Weight**

Multiple studies have demonstrated an association between any ARV use and LBW infants. Reported rates of LBW among infants who were exposed to ART range from 7.4% to 36%. In a systematic review of 13 studies (nine observational studies and four RCTs) that compared ZDV single-drug therapy with non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NNRTI)- and PI-based regimens, the NNRTI- and PI-based regimens were associated with LBW infants.

In a network meta-analysis of six RCTs (n = 5,471), when compared to ZDV alone, ZDV/3TC/LPV/r was associated with the highest risk of LBW (RR 1.87; 95% CI, 1.58–2.2). In a single RCT of women prescribed DTG/TAF/FTC, DTG/TDF/FTC, or EFV/TDF/FTC, 12% of infants in the EFV group were LBW compared to 10% (DTG/TDF) and 6% (DTG/TAF) of infants exposed to DTG. These percentages mirror those reported from PTD in the previous section. An observational study that included 4,646 births reported an increased risk of LBW infants among women who received preconception FTC/TDF/LPV/r compared with those who received FTC/TDF/ATV/r (unadjusted risk ratio 1.97; 95% CI, 1.2–3.4). A secondary analysis of the MOTIVATE study (a behavioral intervention study in Kenya) reported that among 1,275 women with HIV prescribed ART (74% EFV/TDF/3TC and 4% a PI-based regimen), the percentage of LBW infants was similar between women starting ART before conception and after conception (3.3% and 4.4%, respectively).

**Small for Gestational Age**

Among infants born to women with HIV, the reported rates of SGA infants range from 7.3% to 31%. Two South African observational studies have reported women with HIV are more likely to deliver SGA infants compared to women without HIV. Three studies in Botswana reported a positive association between ARV use (for both PI-based and PI-sparing regimens) and SGA. When compared with FTC/TDF/EFV, both NVP-based and LPV/r-based ART were associated with an increased incidence of SGA. The percentage of SGA infants was similar among women with HIV randomized to DTG-based ART (TAF or TDF/FTC) or EFV-based ART (16%, 23%, and 21%, respectively). An observational cohort study of French women with HIV reported similar percentages of SGA infants born to women initiating ART containing RAL before and during pregnancy (3.7% as first-line ART and 6.2% as second-line ART).
In summary, the data are mixed regarding the effect of ARV use on birth weight. Given the potential for LBW or SGA infants, maternal use of ARV during pregnancy may be an indication for enhanced antenatal surveillance of fetal growth, especially in cases where ART was initiated preconception.

**Stillbirth**

Reported rates of stillbirth among women with HIV range from 0.5% to 11.4%. In a meta-analysis of 17 studies that included 37,877 women with HIV who were on ART, three studies included stillbirth outcomes. Women with HIV who were on TDF-based ART had a lower risk of stillbirth than those who were on other regimens (pooled RR 0.6; 95% CI, 0.43–0.84; I² = 72%). In a single RCT, the percentage of stillborn infants was nonsignificantly higher among pregnant women randomized to DTG-based ART (3.7% DTG/TAF and 5.2% DTG/TDF) compared to EFV-based ART (1.9%). An observational study of Brazilian women with HIV reported similar percentages of stillborn infants in women prescribed ART with DTG compared to EFV (1% in both groups).

Some studies have evaluated the association between time of ART initiation and the risk of stillbirth. Reported associations with ART initiation before and during pregnancy and stillbirth are mixed. Women with HIV who delivered in the United Kingdom and Ireland between 2007 and 2015 (n = 10,434), preconception ARV use was not associated with an increased risk of stillbirth. Women with HIV who delivered in Malawi from 2012 to 2015, 71% of whom were on ART preconception or during the first trimester, did not experience higher rates of stillbirth compared with the general population (2.5%, n = 8,380). Zash et al. reported that preconception use of ZDV/3TC/NVP was associated with a significantly increased rate of stillbirth compared with the use of FTC/TDF/EFV (adjusted relative risk 2.3; 95% CI, 1.6–3.3). An observational study of 1,275 pregnant women with HIV in Kenya (2015–2019) demonstrated women taking ART before conception had a similar incidence of stillbirth (2.7%) when compared to women taking ART after conception (2%). Most women in this cohort were prescribed TDF/3TC/EFV (71%) and only 4% were prescribed PI-based ART. In a case-control study of a longitudinal cohort of French women with HIV (n = 808), the incidence of stillbirth was not significantly different between pregnant women receiving INSTI-based ART with RAL, EVG, or DTG and those receiving DRV-based ART. In women receiving a RAL-based regimen, stillbirths did not differ based on timing of ART exposure (2.3% at conception vs. 1.1% during pregnancy).

When evaluating the association between the use of ARV and adverse pregnancy outcomes, more studies have examined PTD, LBW infants, and SGA infants than stillbirth. Given that stillbirth is a relatively rare outcome in resource-rich settings, data related to stillbirth and ARV use are limited.

**Maternal Outcomes**

**Hypertensive Disorders of Pregnancy**

Limited data suggest that women with HIV may have an increased risk of HDP. No studies have evaluated the effect of specific ARV drugs on HDP. A meta-analysis did not reveal a clear association between maternal HIV and HDP. An observational Italian study comparing women with HIV with women without HIV demonstrated an increased risk for both early-onset and late-onset pre-eclampsia (aOR 2.50; 95% CI, 1.51–4.15 and aOR 2.64; 95% CI, 1.82–3.85, respectively) as well as pre-eclampsia with severe features (aOR 2.03; 95% CI, 1.26–3.28). A secondary analysis of observational data from South Africa revealed that women with low CD4 counts (<200 cells/mm³)
on ART had an increased risk of maternal death from HDP compared with women not on ART during pregnancy (RR 1.15; 95% CI, 1.02–1.29). Among these women, those on ART before pregnancy and those who were not on ART before pregnancy had similar rates of HDP (15.7% and 14.9%, respectively). These authors also described that women with HIV were less likely to have HDP than women without HIV (OR 0.67; 95% CI, 0.48–0.93). In a South African observational cohort study (2013–2015) of women with and without HIV (n = 1,116), women with HIV were more likely to have hypertension at the first antenatal visit (adjusted relative risk 2.37; 95% CI, 1.29–4.35). Nearly half of all women in this cohort were obese (44% without HIV and 36% with HIV). Most women with HIV initiated ART at the first antenatal visit (73%), and the ART prescribed was TDF/3TC/EFV or TDF/FTC/EFV. Hypertension at the initial antenatal visit did not have increased risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes regardless of HIV status. A small U.S. observational study demonstrated that women with HIV (n = 85) were not more likely to experience HDP than women without HIV (n = 3,556). They observed higher rates of HDP among women on INSTIs (25%, n = 23) and NNRTIs (24%, n = 7) compared with women on PI-based ART (10%, n = 55). Preconception ARV use was associated with an increased risk of HDP.

Although these limited data may suggest an association between HDP and maternal HIV, no known interventions reduce this risk, and providers should not withhold ART in the setting of HDP.

Summary

Clinicians should be aware of a possible increased risk of adverse maternal and neonatal outcomes with the use of ARV for prevention of perinatal HIV infection. Given that ART has clear benefits for maternal health and reduces the risk of perinatal transmission, these agents should not be withheld due to concern for increased risk of adverse neonatal outcomes. Until more information is available, pregnant people with HIV who are receiving ART should continue using their provider-recommended regimens. Clinicians should monitor pregnant people with HIV for potential pregnancy complications, including PTD, LBW infants, and SGA infants. Monitoring may require additional prenatal visits and fetal ultrasounds; see Monitoring During Pregnancy for more information.
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Panel’s Recommendations

- When choosing an antiretroviral (ARV) drug regimen for use in pregnant people, providers and patients should consider multiple factors, including adverse effects, drug interactions, pharmacokinetics (PKs), convenience of the individual drugs and drug combinations in the regimen, available pregnancy safety and outcome data, virologic efficacy in nonpregnant adults, and the patient’s resistance test results and comorbidities (AIII).

- The same regimens that are recommended for the treatment of nonpregnant adults should be used in pregnant people when sufficient data suggest that appropriate drug exposure is achieved during pregnancy; clinicians should weigh the risks of adverse effects for pregnant people, fetuses, or infants against the benefits of these regimens and recognize that safety data of ARV drugs in pregnancy are often incomplete (AII). For more information, see Table 4 and Table 5.

- In most cases, people who present for obstetric care on fully suppressive ARV regimens should continue their current regimens (AIII).

- PK changes in pregnancy may lead to lower plasma levels of some ARV drugs and necessitate increased doses, more frequent dosing, boosting, more frequent viral load monitoring, or a change in ARV regimen; see Pregnant People with HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy (AII).

- The Panel emphasizes the importance of counseling and informed decision-making regarding all ARV regimens for people with HIV (AIII). For additional information, see Prepregnancy Counseling and Care for Persons of Childbearing Age with HIV, Teratogenicity, Appendix C: Antiretroviral Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers, and Table 4 and Table 5.

- After delivery, clinicians should discuss reproductive desires, the risks and benefits of conceiving on the current ARV regimen, and contraceptive options (AII). See Prepregnancy Counseling and Care for Persons of Childbearing Age with HIV and Postpartum Follow-Up for more information.

**Rating of Recommendations:** A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

**Rating of Evidence:** I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

This section provides an overview of the key clinical and pharmacokinetic (PK) issues that are relevant to the selection of specific antiretroviral (ARV) drugs for use in pregnancy. Additional recommendations for pregnant people with HIV who have never received antiretroviral therapy (antiretroviral therapy [ART]-naive), pregnant people who are currently receiving ART, and those who were previously on ART or who have used ARV drugs for prophylaxis can be found in the other sections that follow this overview. Table 4 provides specific information about recommended ARV drugs when initiating ART in pregnant people who ART-naive. The table also includes considerations for ARV regimen selection and modification in pregnant people who are treatment-experienced and people who are attempting to become pregnant. For recommendations about the use of ARV drugs in people of childbearing potential who are not actively trying to conceive, see the Guidelines for the Use of Antiretroviral Agents in Adults and Adolescents Living with HIV.
Table 5 consolidates situation-specific recommendations for the use of ARV drugs in people with HIV during conception and pregnancy into a single table for ease of reference. Table 5 includes recommendations for the use of ARV drugs in the following situations:

- Initiating ART in pregnant people who have never received ARV drugs;
- Continuing ART in people who become pregnant while on a fully suppressive regimen that has been well tolerated;
- Restarting ART in pregnant people who received ART or ARV drugs for prophylaxis in the past;
- Changing to a new ARV regimen in pregnant people whose current ART is not well tolerated and/or is not resulting in viral suppression; and
- Initiating or modifying ART in people who are trying to conceive.

Table 11 and Appendix B provide information about individual drugs, including dosing and PK data in pregnancy.

Pregnant people often are excluded from initial HIV clinical trials. As a result, data regarding the PKs, drug safety, and efficacy of new ARVs often are limited to nonpregnant adults. Efficacy of ARVs for treatment of pregnant people can be extrapolated from evidence of efficacy in nonpregnant adults, as long as direct PK evaluation in pregnant people demonstrates drug exposures in pregnancy that are within the effective range in nonpregnant adults. Similarly, ART regimens that result in viral suppression throughout pregnancy are likely to be effective in preventing vertical transmission of HIV. In contrast, ART safety cannot be extrapolated and should be evaluated directly in pregnant people. However, drugs with known benefits to people who are not pregnant should not be withheld during pregnancy unless they have known adverse effects to people who are pregnant, fetuses, or infants and these adverse effects outweigh the benefits to pregnant patients or adequate drug levels are not likely to be attained during pregnancy. Pregnancy and the potential for pregnancy should not preclude the use of optimal drug regimens. The decision about which ARV drugs to use during pregnancy should be made by patients after discussing the known and potential benefits and risks to themselves and their fetuses with their health care providers (see Appendix C: Antiretroviral Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers).

The Panel on Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal HIV Transmission (the Panel) reviews clinical trial data published in peer-reviewed journals and data prepared by manufacturers for U.S. Food and Drug Administration review that are related to the treatment of adult women with HIV, both those who are pregnant and those who are not. The durability, tolerability, and simplicity of a medication regimen are particularly important for ensuring adherence and preserving future treatment options. Regimen selection should be based on several factors that apply to all people who are pregnant, as well as factors that will vary for individual patients.

Pregnancy-related factors include—

- Potential short-term and long-term adverse effects on fetuses or newborns, such as possible risk of teratogenicity, preterm birth, or effects on growth and development.
• A vailable safety and outcome data on the use of the drug in pregnancy, including association with adverse pregnancy outcomes, such as preterm delivery;

• PK changes in pregnancy; and

• Potential adverse effects for the patient, especially those that may be exacerbated during pregnancy.

Individual-level factors include—

• Potential drug interactions with other medications;

• Results of resistance testing and the patient’s prior exposure to ARV drugs;

• Comorbidities;

• Ability of the patient to adhere to a regimen; and

• Convenience and patient preference.

The Panel uses information from several sources to develop recommendations on specific drugs or regimens for pregnant people. These sources include—

• Data from randomized clinical trials and prospective cohort studies that demonstrate durable viral suppression in pregnancy, as well as immunologic and clinical improvement;

• Incidence rates and descriptions of short-term and long-term drug toxicity of ARV regimens;

• Evidence from clinical studies on the risk of maternal toxicity, teratogenicity, adverse pregnancy outcomes, and adverse infant outcomes;

• Specific knowledge about drug tolerability and simplified dosing regimens;

• Known efficacy of ARV drug regimens in reducing perinatal transmission of HIV when data are available, evidence of high rates of viral suppression during pregnancy, or evidence of high rates of viral suppression in nonpregnant patients with PK (drug exposure) data in pregnancy demonstrating exposures similar to those in nonpregnant patients;

• PK (drug exposure) data during pregnancy;

• Data from animal teratogenicity studies; and

• Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry data and other post-marketing surveillance data.4

ARV drugs and drug combinations for use in pregnancy are categorized as follows:

• Preferred: Drugs or drug combinations are designated as Preferred for therapy in pregnant people when clinical trial data in adults have demonstrated efficacy and durability with acceptable toxicity and ease of use and when pregnancy-specific PK data are available to guide dosing. In addition, the available data must suggest a favorable risk-benefit balance for the drug
or drug combination compared to other ARV drug options; the assessment of risks and benefits should incorporate outcomes for pregnant people, fetuses, and infants. Some Preferred drugs or regimens may have minimal toxicity or teratogenicity risks that are offset by other advantages for people with HIV who are pregnant or trying to conceive.

- **Alternative:** Drugs or drug combinations are designated as Alternative options for therapy in pregnant people when clinical trial data in adults show efficacy and the data in pregnant individuals are generally favorable but limited. Most Alternative drugs or regimens are associated with more PK, dosing, tolerability, formulation, administration, or interaction concerns than those in the Preferred category, but they are acceptable for use in pregnancy. Some Alternative drugs or regimens may have known toxicity or teratogenicity risks that are offset by other advantages for people with HIV who are pregnant or trying to conceive.

- **Insufficient Data to Recommend:** The drugs and drug combinations in this category are approved for use in adults, but pregnancy-specific PK or safety data are too limited to make a recommendation for use in pregnant people. In some cases, it may be appropriate to continue using these drugs or drug combinations in patients who become pregnant on ART that has been well tolerated.

- **Not Recommended Except in Special Circumstances:** Although some drugs are not recommended for initial ART in ART-naive people because of specific safety concerns or very limited safety and efficacy data in pregnancy, there may be circumstances in which ART-experienced people need to initiate or continue using specific drugs to reach or maintain viral suppression.

- **Not Recommended:** Drugs and drug combinations listed in this category are not recommended for use in pregnancy because of inferior virologic efficacy or potentially serious maternal or fetal safety concerns. Drugs not recommended for initial therapy in ARV-naive populations, are also categorized as Not Recommended in pregnancy. This category includes drugs or drug combinations for which PK data demonstrate low drug levels and risk of viral rebound during pregnancy. Levels of these drugs are often low in late pregnancy (during the second and third trimesters) when risk for perinatal transmission is high if maternal viremia occurs. In some situations, it may be appropriate to continue using these drugs or drug combinations in people who become pregnant on fully suppressive ART that has been well tolerated, although viral load monitoring should be performed more frequently in these instances. See Pregnant People with HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy and Monitoring During Pregnancy.

Selection of ARV drugs should be individualized according to a pregnant patient’s specific ARV history, the results of drug-resistance assays, and the presence of comorbidities, as well as the individual patient’s preferences for balancing known and unknown risks and benefits. In people who are pregnant or are trying to conceive, ART that includes at least three agents is recommended. For people who are ARV-naive, an ARV regimen that includes two nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs) and an integrase strand transfer inhibitor (INSTI) or a ritonavir (RTV)-boosted protease inhibitor (PI) is preferred (Table 4).

In general, people who are already on a fully suppressive regimen when pregnancy occurs should continue their regimens. Key exceptions include regimens that involve medications that are not recommended for use in adults because of high risk for toxicity (e.g., didanosine [ddI], indinavir [IDV], stavudine [d4T], and treatment-dose RTV) or inferior virologic efficacy (nelfinavir [NFV]),
and drugs that should not be used during pregnancy because of PK concerns (see Table 4). For patients who have achieved viral suppression and become pregnant while receiving regimens with a potential increased risk of virologic failure during pregnancy due to PK concerns (e.g., cobicistat (COBI)-boosted regimens) or who are receiving regimens with insufficient data available in pregnancy (e.g., bictegravir [BIC], doravirine [DOR], or long-acting injectable cabotegravir and rilpivirine [CAB/RPV]), clinicians should consider whether to continue or change the ARV regimen, see Pregnant People with HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy. A regimen change carries a risk for viral rebound at the time of the switch. If a decision is made with the patient to continue the same regimen, viral load should be monitored more frequently (i.e., every 1–2 months).

People who are not fully suppressed and who are currently taking ART should be evaluated carefully for adherence and resistance, with every effort made to achieve rapid and full viral suppression through adherence interventions or medication changes (see Pregnant People Who Have Not Achieved Viral Suppression on Antiretroviral Therapy). When treating patients who have received ARV drugs previously but who are not currently taking ARV drugs, clinicians will need to take previous regimens and the potential for genotypic resistance into consideration. Specific recommendations for each type of patient are described in Table 5 and in the following sections: Pregnant People with HIV Who Have Never Received Antiretroviral Drugs, Pregnant People with HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy, and Pregnant People with HIV Who Have Previously Received Antiretroviral Treatment or Prophylaxis but Are Not Currently Receiving Any Antiretroviral Medications.

Balancing Risks and Benefits of ART in the Face of Limited Data

It is important to weigh the available data about risks and benefits of all Preferred and Alternative agents. These agents include dolutegravir (DTG), atazanavir/ritonavir (ATV/r), darunavir/ritonavir (DRV/r), and raltegravir (RAL) (Preferred), as well as efavirenz (EFV) and rilpivirine (RPV) (Alternative), and NRTI backbone drugs, including abacavir (ABC), lamivudine (3TC), emtricitabine (FTC), tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF), tenofovir alafenamide (TAF) (all Preferred), and zidovudine (ZDV) (Alternative). Of these, systematic birth-surveillance data are available only for TDF, FTC, 3TC, EFV, and DTG. Although early data raised concerns about risk for neural tube defects (NTDs) with DTG, and similar concerns have not been raised for other agents, data are too limited to identify or calculate the specific risks of rare birth defects, such as NTDs, associated with periconception use of other ARV drugs or their use during early pregnancy (see Teratogenicity, Dolutegravir, Elvitegravir, Raltegravir, and Bictegravir). To determine whether a drug carries an increased risk of a rare event—such as an NTD—more than 2,000 periconception exposures need to be monitored to rule out a threefold increase in risk. Clinicians are encouraged to submit to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry data for all patients who conceive while receiving ARV drugs or who receive ARV drugs during pregnancy.

The risk of other adverse pregnancy outcomes, many of which are more common than birth defects, also should be considered. For example, the use of PI s, particularly lopinavir/ritonavir (LPV/r), has been associated with an increased risk of preterm birth, which may lead to an increase in infant morbidity and mortality. In addition, data are needed on important clinical pregnancy outcomes, such as hypertension and weight gain (see Antiretroviral Drug Regimens and Maternal and Neonatal Outcomes). In the Tsepamo study in Botswana, the risks of adverse pregnancy outcomes other than NTDs were similar for women who received DTG-based regimens and women who received EFV-based regimens. Overall, data are extremely limited on the risks associated with using other
Preferred and Alternative ARV drugs preconception or in very early pregnancy; this lack of data does not indicate either the presence or absence of risk when using medications other than DTG and EFV. It remains critically important to counsel all patients on the potential risks and benefits of ARV drugs to promote informed, individual decision-making (see Appendix C: Antiretroviral Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers).

Pharmacokinetic Considerations for Antiretroviral Drugs

Physiologic changes that occur during pregnancy can affect drug absorption, distribution, biotransformation, and elimination; thereby also affecting requirements for drug dosing and, potentially, increasing the risk for virologic failure or drug toxicity. During pregnancy, gastrointestinal transit time becomes prolonged, and body water and fat increase throughout gestation. These changes are accompanied by increases in cardiac output, ventilation, and liver and renal blood flow. Plasma protein concentrations also decrease, which can reduce the total plasma drug levels but not necessarily the free or unbound plasma drug levels. Furthermore, renal sodium reabsorption increases, and changes occur in cellular transporters and drug metabolizing enzymes in the liver and intestine. Placental transport of drugs, compartmentalization of drugs in the embryo/fetus and placenta, biotransformation of drugs by the fetus and placenta, and elimination of drugs by the fetus can also affect drug PKs in the pregnant woman. In general, the PKs of NRTIs and non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTIs) are similar in pregnant and nonpregnant women (although PK data for etravirine [ETR] are limited). PI and INSTI PKs are more variable, particularly during the second and third trimesters. Currently available data on the PKs and dosing of ARV drugs in pregnancy are listed for each drug below and summarized in Table 1.

Nucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitors

Preferred NRTI combinations for use in ARV-naive pregnant women are abacavir (ABC) used in combination with lamivudine (3TC), and tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) or tenofovir alafenamide (TAF), used in combination with emtricitabine (FTC) or 3TC.

Abacavir plus lamivudine is the NRTI component in some Preferred regimens for nonpregnant adults. It offers the advantage of once-daily dosing and is well tolerated in pregnancy. Testing for the HLA-B*5701 allele should be performed and documented as negative before starting ABC, and women should be educated about symptoms of hypersensitivity reactions. Clinicians should determine whether a patient has hepatitis B virus (HBV)/HIV coinfection; for women with HBV/HIV coinfection, two NRTIs that are active against HBV should be chosen (e.g., TDF with FTC or 3TC) in place of ABC plus 3TC (see HBV/HIV Coinfection).

Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate or tenofovir alafenamide plus emtricitabine or lamivudine are the NRTI components in some Preferred regimens for nonpregnant adults. These combinations have several advantages, including reassuring PK data, extensive experience with use in pregnancy, once-daily dosing, enhanced activity against HBV, and less toxicity than ZDV plus 3TC. The efficacy and toxicity of TDF and TAF in pregnant patients are similar. In the International Maternal Pediatric Adolescent AIDS Clinical Trials (IMPAACT) 2010 trial, no differences were observed in viral suppression, grade 3 or higher adverse events, or estimated creatinine clearance among people randomized to initiate TDF/FTC (n = 215) versus TAF/FTC (n = 217) with DTG at >14 weeks gestational age. In this study, fewer adverse birth outcomes were observed with the TAF/FTC regimen than with the TDF/FTC regimens (24% vs. 33%, absolute risk difference −8.8%; 95% confidence interval (CI), −17.3% to −0.3%), which may be due to the higher proportion of
women with low gestational weight gain in the TDF/FTC arm (15.0% vs. 23.6%). However, more women in the TAF/FTC arm had high gestational weight gain than in the TDF/FTC arm. High gestational weight gain was not associated with adverse outcomes in this study, but modeling suggests that, over time, excess weight gain with regimens containing TAF and DTG may lead to increased pre-pregnancy weight and obesity-related adverse pregnancy outcomes. Additionally, there have been concerns about bone and growth abnormalities in infants who were exposed to TDF in utero, but the duration and clinical significance of study findings require further evaluation (see Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate).

Zidovudine plus lamivudine is an Alternative NRTI combination for ARV-naive pregnant people. Despite proven efficacy in preventing perinatal HIV transmission and extensive experience with use in pregnancy, this NRTI combination is classified as Alternative rather than Preferred because it requires twice-daily dosing and is associated with higher rates of mild-to-moderate adverse effects, including nausea, headache, and reversible maternal and neonatal anemia and neutropenia (see Zidovudine).

Pregnant people who are receiving didanosine or stavudine should be switched to Preferred or Alternative medications.

Integrase Strand Transfer Inhibitors

Dolutegravir (DTG) is a Preferred INSTI for pregnant people because there are sufficient data about the PK, efficacy, and safety of DTG when it is initiated during pregnancy. The Panel has reviewed all the data available as of October 2021 regarding DTG use preconception or during the first trimester of pregnancy. Based on these data, DTG is considered a Preferred drug for use throughout pregnancy and for people who are trying to conceive (see the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines).

Efficacy and tolerability. DTG is associated with higher rates of viral suppression, faster rates of viral load decline, greater tolerability, and a higher genetic barrier to drug resistance than other Preferred and Alternative agents. Two randomized clinical trials that compared DTG plus two NRTIs to EFV plus two NRTIs in ART-naive women who initiated therapy during pregnancy found that DTG-based ART produced more rapid viral suppression, with a greater proportion of women reaching an undetectable viral load (<50 copies/mL) at the time of delivery. Higher rates of viral suppression did not translate into statistically significantly lower rates of observed vertical transmission with DTG compared with EFV, even though transmission rates were low with both regimens and the studies were not powered to detect small differences. Programmatic data from Brazil also suggest higher rates of viral load suppression at delivery with DTG than with ATV/r.

Safety. The large Tsepamo birth-surveillance study in Botswana has shown that the risk of NTDs is lower than previously reported in preliminary data from the study. In this study, DTG exposure around the time of conception was associated with a small but statistically significant increase in the prevalence of infant NTDs in Botswana; see Teratogenicity. In the most recent analysis, the prevalence with preconception DTG (0.15%) was higher than the prevalence for NTDs in infants born to women who were receiving EFV (0.06%) and women without HIV (0.07%), the prevalence was not significantly increased compared with women with HIV receiving any non-DTG ARV regimen at conception (0.10%, prevalence difference [0.06% difference]; 95% CI, 0.03% to 0.20%); (see Teratogenicity).
If a causal association exists between the use of DTG and the occurrence of NTDs, mechanistic etiologies remain unknown, including whether folic acid deficiency is a mediating factor (thus, whether risk would be reduced by folic acid supplementation) and whether a similar risk may exist for other INSTIs. No link has been established between DTG use and impaired folate metabolism, nor does evidence exist that folate prevents DTG-associated NTDs. Folic acid is known to prevent NTDs in the general population. All pregnant people and people who might conceive should take at least 400 mcg of folic acid daily.

Pharmacokinetics. Although some PK studies have found that DTG levels during the third trimester are lower than a pre-specified target level and lower than levels assessed postpartum, other studies found these changes were not clinically relevant, and data regarding placental transfer and comparisons to levels in nonpregnant adults indicate that dose adjustments are not needed during pregnancy (see Dolutegravir). Furthermore, unbound plasma levels of DTG in pregnant women met the proposed 90% inhibitory concentration for unbound DTG.

Maternal health outcomes. As experience with DTG in pregnancy and the postpartum period accumulates, maternal weight gain during and after pregnancy is an important consideration. Substantial weight gain on DTG-based regimens has been observed in nonpregnant populations, especially among women and among people also receiving TAF. In pregnancy, DTG-associated weight gain also has been observed, but this may reflect better maternal health (e.g., lower rates of insufficient weight gain or weight loss during pregnancy with DTG-based ART). Some studies have seen greater weight gain during pregnancy with TAF/FTC/DTG (0.08 kg/week) and TDF/FTC/DTG (0.03–0.05 kg/week) than with TDF/FTC/EFV while others found no increased weight gain during pregnancy with DTG. However, the weekly weight gain during pregnancy in women on DTG- or EFV-based ART remained less than in women without HIV, less than recommended for the general population. Fewer adverse birth outcomes occurred with DTG, which may be explained by less insufficient weight gain with DTG-based regimens. Postpartum weight gain was greater in women receiving DTG than in those receiving EFV-based ART, but was similar to weight gain in postpartum women without HIV infection in the DolPHIN-2 (Dolutegravir in pregnant HIV mothers and their neonates) perinatal trial.

Raltegravir (RAL) is a Preferred INSTI for use in ARV-naive pregnant people, based on PK, safety, and other data on the use of RAL during pregnancy. Clinical trial and programmatic data demonstrate a more rapid viral decay and greater proportion of viral suppression at delivery with the use of RAL than with EFV or boosted PIs.

Although a once-daily formulation of RAL is approved for use in nonpregnant adults, PK data indicate low drug levels with once-daily dosing in pregnancy, twice-daily dosing remains the recommended dosing schedule.

Elvitegravir/cobicistat (EVG/c) is an INSTI for which data about use in pregnancy are currently limited. Data from the P1026 study and the PANNA study suggest that coadministration of EVG and COBI led to significantly lower levels of both drugs in the third trimester than in the postpartum period (levels in the third trimester were below the levels that are expected to lead to viral suppression). Viral breakthroughs did occur in the P1026 study, with only 74% of women maintaining viral suppression at delivery. Based on these data, EVG/c is Not Recommended for initial use in pregnancy. In a retrospective cohort of 134 women at nine tertiary care centers in the United States who received EVG at any time during pregnancy, viral suppression at delivery was 81% (88% among those who initiated EVG before pregnancy), and overall perinatal HIV...
transmission was 0.8%.\textsuperscript{56,60} In people already receiving EVG/c who become pregnant and are virally suppressed, continuation of the regimen with frequent viral load monitoring during the second and third trimester (e.g., every 1–2 months) can be considered, or the regimen can be switched to another \textit{Preferred} regimen during pregnancy. If pregnancy is planned, EVG/c can be changed and viral suppression on a new regimen confirmed before conception.

**Bictegravir** (BIC) is an INSTI that is recommended for initial use in nonpregnant adults. No data on BIC PKs in pregnancy have been published, and data on clinical outcomes in pregnancy are extremely limited; in an abstract presented by the manufacturer of BIC, no NTDs were reported among 18 women with prospectively reported periconception exposures.\textsuperscript{61}

**Cabotegravir** (CAB) is an INSTI that is part of a two-drug complete ART regimen available for use as a long-acting injectable formulation (in combination with long-acting injectable RPV) in nonpregnant adults who have RNA levels <50 copies/mL for at least 3 months, are on a stable antiretroviral (ARV) regimen, have no history of treatment failure, and have no known or suspected resistance. Therefore, CAB is \textbf{Not Recommended} for pregnant or nonpregnant people initiating or restarting ART or for those whose current regimen is not well tolerated and/or not fully suppressive. There are insufficient data for people who become pregnant on CAB or those planning to conceive because available pregnancy PK data are limited (n = 3), and data on clinical outcomes in pregnancy are extremely limited.\textsuperscript{62} The Panel recommends that people who conceive while taking long-acting injectable CAB and RPV switch to an oral ART regimen recommended for use during pregnancy; timing of the switch must take into account the long half-life of the long-acting injectable formulation (median 5.6–11.5 weeks) with persistence of the drug for up to 12 months.\textsuperscript{63} With the current dosing schedule of monthly intramuscular (IM) injections, change to an oral regimen should occur within 4 weeks of the last CAB and RPV IM doses.\textsuperscript{64} Dosing recommendations—including guidance for switching to an oral regimen—can be found in the prescribing information\textsuperscript{63,65} and the \textit{Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines}.

**Protease Inhibitors**

**Atazanavir/ritonavir** (ATV/r) and **darunavir/ritonavir** (DRV/r) are \textit{Preferred} PIs for use in ARV-naive pregnant people, based on efficacy studies in adults and experience with use in pregnancy. As with RAL, BIC, and EVG/c, data are limited to understand the risk of rare birth defects—such as NTDs—with these ARVs. Factors that affect the decision of which medication to use may include limitations in administering concomitant antacid, H2 blocker, or proton pump inhibitors (for ATV/r) and the requirement for twice-daily dosing (for DRV/r). Although the use of once-daily dosing for DRV/r is approved for nonpregnant adults, PK data are insufficient to support its use in pregnancy.\textsuperscript{66}

**Atazanavir** (ATV) is associated with increased indirect bilirubin levels, which theoretically may increase the risk of hyperbilirubinemia in neonates; however, pathologic elevations have not been seen in studies to date.\textsuperscript{67} In the analyses from the Pediatric HIV/AIDS Cohort Study (PHACS) and Surveillance Monitoring for ART Toxicity (SMARTT) study, \textit{in utero} exposure to atazanavir was associated with small but statistically significant reductions in language and social-emotional scores compared with exposure to other drugs.\textsuperscript{68} ATV exposure was also associated with the risk of late language emergence at 12 months but was no longer significant at 24 months.\textsuperscript{69,70} The clinical significance of these findings associated with \textit{in utero} ATV exposure is not known.

**Lopinavir/ritonavir** (LPV/r) is \textbf{Not Recommended} for initiation in pregnancy, except in special circumstances. There are extensive clinical experience and PK data for the use of LPV/r in
pregnancy, but it requires twice-daily dosing in pregnancy and frequently causes nausea and diarrhea; it also has been associated with an increased risk of preterm delivery and small-for-gestational-age infants (see Antiretroviral Drug Regimens and Maternal and Neonatal Outcomes). People who conceive on a suppressive, well-tolerated regimen that includes LPV/r should continue this regimen.

**Darunavir/cobicistat** (DRV/c) and **atazanavir/cobicistat** (ATV/c) are Not Recommended for use in pregnancy. PK studies suggest that low levels of both DRV and COBI occur in late pregnancy, and high rates of virologic failure have been observed in late pregnancy among women who were virally suppressed in early pregnancy. Levels of ATV were similarly lower in the second and third trimesters; it is anticipated that the virologic and transmission outcomes with ATV/c will be similar to those observed with DRV/c and EVG/c. In addition, once-daily dosing of DRV is Not Recommended for initial therapy in pregnancy. For people who become pregnant while receiving DRV/c or ATV/c and are virally suppressed, the regimen can be continued with frequent viral load monitoring during the second and third trimester (e.g., every 1–2 months), or the regimen can be switched to another Preferred regimen during pregnancy. For both DRV and ATV, COBI can be replaced by RTV as the pharmacologic booster, but careful attention must be paid to dosing of ATV (higher if used with TDF or antacids) and DRV (twice-daily dosing).

Current data suggest that with standard adult dosing, plasma concentrations of LPV, ATV, and DRV are reduced during the second and/or third trimesters. Dose adjustment is recommended for LPV/r and may be considered for ATV/r, but dose adjustment is not recommended for DRV/r (see Table 11). Specific dosing recommendations depend on the PI, an individual patient’s treatment experience, and use (if any) of concomitant medications with potential for drug interactions. Clinicians may consider therapeutic drug monitoring in specific situations.

Some older PIs—IDV, NFV, RTV (as the sole PI), and unboosted saquinavir or tipranavir— are not recommended for use in adults, and others—boosted or unboosted fosamprenavir, saquinavir/ritonavir and tipranavir/ritonavir— are not recommended for initial therapy in adults. These drugs are Not Recommended and should not be used in pregnant people because of concerns that include lower efficacy, toxicities, PK changes in pregnancy, and limited data and experience with use in pregnancy. See Table 4, as well as What Not to Use and Table 11 in the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, for details on individual ARV drugs, ARV drug combinations, and ARV regimens that are not recommended or should not be used in adults.

**Non-Nucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitors**

There are no Preferred NNRTIs for use in ARV-naive pregnant people.

Screening for both antenatal and postpartum depression is recommended for all pregnant patients. Because the use of some NNRTIs may increase the risk of depression and suicidality, this screening is particularly critical for patients on EFV- and RPV-containing regimens. Efavirenz (EFV) is an Alternative NNRTI for both pregnant and nonpregnant people who are ARV-naive. EFV may be suitable for people who desire a once-daily, fixed-dose combination regimen and who tolerate EFV without adverse effects. Although data on the use of EFV in pregnancy are reassuring with regard to NTDs and preventing vertical transmission of HIV, increased adverse birth outcomes have been observed (composite outcome of preterm delivery, small for gestational age, stillbirth, or spontaneous abortion) with TDF/FTC/EFV compared to TAF/FTC/DTG. Additionally,
adverse effects associated with EFV include dizziness, fatigue, rare and occasionally severe hepatotoxicity, vivid dreams and/or nightmares, and increased risk of suicidality.9,83,85-89

Although EFV was also originally considered to be associated with increased risk for NTDs, large meta-analyses and the data from Botswana described above have been reassuring that the risk of NTDs in infants with first-trimester EFV exposure is not greater than the risk in the general population.7,9,85,86,90 As a result, the Perinatal Guidelines do not restrict the use of EFV in pregnancy or in people who are planning to become pregnant; this is consistent with the British HIV Association Guidelines and the World Health Organization guidelines, both of which note that EFV can be used throughout pregnancy91 (see Teratogenicity and Pregnant People with HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy). An observational study reported a twofold increased risk of microcephaly among infants born to 141 women receiving EFV compared to women receiving other ARV drugs in the United States; although other factors—such as alcohol use, unintended pregnancy, gestational age at ART initiation, changes in ART practice patterns over time, and small numbers of women taking more recently recommended ARV drugs as comparators (e.g., DTG [n = 52], RAL [n = 167], and DRV [n = 254])—may have contributed to this association. Importantly, the Panel recommends that people who become pregnant on suppressive, EFV-containing regimens should continue using these regimens as is recommended for most regimens92 (see Table 4 and Table 5).

Rilpivirine (RPV) (oral) may be used as part of an ART regimen for nonpregnant adults with pretreatment HIV RNA <100,000 copies/mL and CD4 T lymphocyte (CD4) cell counts >200 cells/mm³. Sufficient data from use in pregnancy exist to recommend oral RPV as an Alternative agent for pregnant people who meet these same CD4 count and viral load criteria.5,56,93 Although PK data indicate that RPV plasma concentration is reduced during the second and third trimesters, the reduction is less than the reductions seen with EVG/c or DRV/c, and most women will have adequate exposure5; however, viral breakthroughs may be possible. Higher-than-standard doses of RPV have not been studied, so data are insufficient to recommend a dosing change in pregnancy. RPV must be taken with a meal, which may make it difficult to tolerate in pregnancy. With standard dosing of RPV, viral loads should be monitored frequently (e.g., every 1–2 months; see Monitoring During Pregnancy).

Long-acting injectable RPV, used in combination with long-acting injectable CAB is Not Recommended for pregnant people initiating or restarting ART or whose current regimen is not well-tolerated and/or not fully suppressive (see the cabotegravir section above). There are insufficient data for those who become pregnant on long-acting injectable RPV or those planning to conceive because pregnancy PK data are limited and very little data on clinical outcomes in pregnancy exist. The Panel recommends that people who conceive while taking long-acting injectable CAB and RPV switch to an oral ART regimen recommended for use during pregnancy; timing of the switch must take into account the long half-life for long-acting injectable RPV (median 13–28 weeks).63 With the current dosing schedule of monthly IM injections, change to an oral regimen should occur within 4 weeks of the last CAB and RPV IM doses.64 Dosing recommendations—including guidance for switching to an oral regimen—can be found in the prescribing information63,65 and the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines.

Nevirapine is Not Recommended for initial ART in ARV-naive pregnant people or for nonpregnant adults because of a greater potential for adverse effects, complex lead-in dosing, and a low barrier to resistance. Etravirine is Not Recommended for ARV-naive pregnant patients because it is not recommended for ARV-naive nonpregnant patients and because of insufficient safety and PK data on
the use of ETR during pregnancy. Available PK data in women who received ETR as part of clinical care suggest that a standard adult dose is appropriate during pregnancy; unlike other ARV drugs, ETR exposure is increased during pregnancy. However, it may be appropriate to initiate either of these ARV drugs in special circumstances, or it may be appropriate to continue using them in ART-experienced people who become pregnant on well-tolerated, fully suppressive regimens that include these drugs.

**Doravirine** has not yet been studied in pregnancy; data are insufficient to recommend its use in pregnancy.

**Entry, Attachment, and Fusion Inhibitors**

**Enfuvirtide** and **maraviroc (MVC)** are Not Recommended for initial ART in pregnancy because they are not recommended for initial ART in nonpregnant adults and because the safety and PK data for these drugs in pregnancy are limited. Available PK data in women who received MVC as part of clinical care suggest that a standard adult dose is appropriate during pregnancy, despite a decrease in MVC exposure during pregnancy (see **Maraviroc**). Use of these agents can be considered for pregnant people who have experienced virologic failure with several other classes of ARV drugs and for people who become pregnant on well-tolerated, suppressive regimens that include these drugs; however, data are insufficient to inform safety or dosing guidance for their use in pregnancy, these drugs should be used only after consulting HIV and obstetric specialists.

**Ibalizumab** is a humanized monoclonal antibody to the CD4 receptor. **Fostemsavir** is an attachment inhibitor. Both drugs are indicated for patients with multidrug-resistant HIV for whom no therapeutic alternatives may be available. However, no data exist on the use of these drugs in pregnancy.

**Pharmacologic Boosters**

Low-dose **ritonavir** as a pharmacologic booster for other PIs, as described above, is currently the preferred pharmacologic booster for use in pregnancy. **Cobicistat**-boosted ARV drugs (ATV, DRV, or EVG) are Not Recommended for use in pregnancy. As noted above, EVG, DRV, ATV, and COBI levels have been found to be significantly lower during the third trimester than during the postpartum period. However, for people who become pregnant while receiving COBI-boosted regimens and are virally suppressed, the regimen can be continued with frequent viral load monitoring during the second and third trimester (e.g., every 1–2 months), or the regimen can be switched to another Preferred regimen during pregnancy. See [Pregnant People with HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy](#) and [Monitoring During Pregnancy](#) for issues to address with patients when making decisions about whether to switch to another ARV regimen or continue the current regimen with frequent viral load monitoring.
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Pregnant People with HIV Who Have Never Received Antiretroviral Drugs (Antiretroviral Naive)

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Panel’s Recommendations

- Antiretroviral therapy (ART) is recommended for all pregnant people with HIV to reduce the risk of perinatal HIV transmission and to optimize the health of the pregnant person (AII). Initiating ART as soon as possible in pregnant people who have never received antiretroviral (ARV) drugs is recommended, based on data demonstrating that earlier virologic suppression is associated with a lower risk of perinatal HIV transmission (AII).

- The results of ARV drug-resistance studies should guide the selection of ARV regimens in people whose HIV RNA levels are above the threshold for resistance testing (i.e., >500 copies/mL to 1,000 copies/mL) (see Antiretroviral Drug Resistance and Resistance Testing in Pregnancy) (AII). However, ART initiation should not be delayed while awaiting results of resistance testing. When ART is initiated before the results of the drug-resistance assays are available, the ARV regimen should be modified, if necessary, based on the resistance assay results (AII).

- ARV regimens that are Preferred for the treatment of pregnant people with HIV who are ARV-naive include a dual-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor combination (abacavir plus lamivudine [3TC], tenofovir disoproxil fumarate plus either emtricitabine [FTC] or 3TC, or tenofovir alafenamide plus either FTC or 3TC) and either a ritonavir-boosted protease inhibitor (atazanavir/ritonavir or darunavir/ritonavir) or an integrase strand transfer inhibitor (dolutegravir [irrespective of trimester] or raltegravir) (see Table 4 and Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy) (AIII).

- The Panel on Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal HIV (the Panel) emphasizes the importance of counseling and informed decision-making with regard to all ARV regimens for pregnant people with HIV (AIII). See Appendix C: Antiretroviral Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers for more information.

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

Pregnant people with HIV should receive standard clinical, immunologic, and virologic evaluations. Consistent with the principles of HIV treatment for nonpregnant adults, clinicians should discuss treatment options with pregnant people and offer antiretroviral (ARV) regimens that contain at least three drugs. These regimens reduce the risk of perinatal HIV transmission and optimize the pregnant person’s health. Use of an ARV regimen that successfully reduces plasma HIV RNA to undetectable levels substantially lowers the risk of perinatal transmission of HIV, minimizes the need to consider elective cesarean delivery as an intervention to reduce the risk of transmission, and reduces the risk of ARV drug resistance.

Decisions about the timing and management of antiretroviral therapy (ART) in people who have not previously received ART should be guided by several key principles:
A suppressed viral load at the time of delivery markedly reduces the risk of perinatal HIV transmission.

In an analysis of 12,486 infants delivered by women with HIV between 2000 and 2011 in the United Kingdom and Ireland, the overall perinatal transmission rate declined from 2.1% in 2000 and 2001 to 0.46% in 2010 and 2011. The transmission risk was significantly lower in women with viral loads <50 copies/mL (0.09%) than in women with viral loads of 50 copies/mL to 399 copies/mL (1.0%), regardless of the type of ARV regimen used or the mode of infant delivery. The decline in perinatal transmission rates over time was attributed to the increasing number of women on ART at the time of conception and reductions in the proportion of women who either initiated ART late in pregnancy or who never received ART before delivery.

Initiating ART early increases the likelihood that a person will achieve viral suppression by the time of delivery, further reducing the risk of perinatal HIV transmission.

Although most perinatal HIV transmission events occur late in pregnancy or during delivery, recent analyses suggest that early control of viral replication may be important in preventing transmission. In the prospective multicenter French Perinatal Cohort, both maternal viral load at delivery and the timing of ART initiation were independently associated with perinatal HIV transmission rate. For women who had achieved viral loads <50 copies/mL at the time of delivery, transmission risk was 0.9% with third-trimester ART initiation, 0.5% with second-trimester initiation, 0.2% with first-trimester initiation, and 0% (of more than 2,500 infants) with preconception ART initiation. Regardless of when ART was initiated, perinatal transmission rate was higher for women with viral loads of 50 copies/mL to 400 copies/mL near delivery than for those with <50 copies/mL, and it was higher for people with viral loads >400 copies/mL at delivery (4.4% for women who initiated ART in the third trimester and who had viral loads >400 copies/mL at delivery). Other studies have similarly found lack of early and sustained viremic control to be strongly associated with increased risk of perinatal HIV transmission.

These data suggest that ART should be initiated as early as possible in ARV-naive pregnant people because early and sustained control of HIV viral replication is associated with a decreased risk of perinatal HIV transmission. Other studies have demonstrated that baseline viral load is significantly associated with the likelihood of viral suppression by the time of delivery; thus, prompt initiation of ART is particularly important in pregnant people who have high baseline viral loads.

The benefits of initiating ART early in pregnancy generally outweigh the risks.

The susceptibility of fetuses to the potential adverse effects of drugs is dependent on multiple factors, including the gestational age of the fetus at the time of medication exposure (see Teratogenicity and Antiretroviral Drug Regimens and Maternal and Neonatal Outcomes). The effects of taking ARV drugs during pregnancy are not fully known; however, in general, the data from observational studies on the incidence of birth defects among fetuses/infants of women who received ARV regimens during pregnancy have been reassuring. No differences have been found between the rates of birth defects among infants with first-trimester exposures to most ARV drugs and the rates among infants with later gestational exposures or the rates reported in the general population. See Teratogenicity for a more detailed discussion of the adverse events that are associated with the use of specific ARV drugs. The decision about when to initiate ART should be discussed by health care providers and
their patients. The discussion should include an assessment of the pregnant person’s health status, the risks and benefits to the individual’s health, and the potential risks and benefits to the fetus.

**ARV drugs further reduce perinatal HIV transmission risk through infant pre-exposure and post-exposure prophylaxis.**

ARV drugs reduce the risk of perinatal HIV transmission through a number of different mechanisms. Although lowering antenatal viral load is an important component of preventing HIV transmission in people with higher viral loads, ART use during pregnancy reduces transmission even in people with low viral loads. Additional mechanisms that reduce the risk of perinatal HIV transmission include pre-exposure prophylaxis and post-exposure prophylaxis for the infant.

The passage of an ARV drug across the placenta produces drug levels that inhibit viral replication in the fetus, effectively providing pre-exposure prophylaxis. This is particularly important during the birth process when intensive viral exposure can occur. All Preferred nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs), as well as dolutegravir (DTG) and raltegravir (RAL), are known to have high transplacental passage (see Table 11). ARV drugs administered to the infant after birth provide a form of post-exposure prophylaxis (see Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection).

Specific ARV regimens are Preferred for use in pregnancy.

The decision about which ARV drugs to use during pregnancy should be made by a pregnant person after discussing the known and potential benefits and risks to the individual and the fetus/infant with their health care provider.

All pregnant people with HIV should be counseled that the use of ART is recommended, regardless of viral load, to optimally reduce the risk of perinatal transmission. If, after counseling, a person chooses to forgo the use of ARV drugs during pregnancy, this decision should be re-addressed during subsequent medical appointments. The Perinatal HIV Hotline (1-888-448-8765) can provide information to assist with the discussion.

Table 4 and Table 5 outline the ARV regimens that are designated by the Panel on Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission as Preferred for treatment of pregnant people with HIV who have never received ARV drugs, people who are continuing or restarting ART in pregnancy, or people who are trying to conceive (see Pregnant People with HIV Who Have Previously Received Antiretroviral Treatment or Prophylaxis but Are Not Currently Receiving Any Antiretroviral Medications and Prepregnancy Counseling and Care for Persons of Childbearing Age with HIV). Drugs or drug combinations are designated as Preferred for therapy in pregnant people when clinical trial data in adults have demonstrated efficacy and durability with acceptable toxicity and ease of use, and when pregnancy-specific pharmacokinetic (PK) data are available to guide dosing. In addition, the available data must suggest a favorable risk-benefit balance for the drug or drug combination compared to other ARV drug options; the assessment of risks and benefits should incorporate outcomes for pregnant people, fetuses, and infants. Some Preferred drugs or regimens may have minimal toxicity or teratogenicity risks that are offset by other advantages for people with HIV who are pregnant or who are trying to conceive. Therefore, it is important for health care providers to read all the information on each drug in the Perinatal Guidelines before administering any of these medications to patients (see Appendix B: Supplement: Safety and Toxicity of Individual Antiretroviral Agents in Pregnancy) and provide appropriate patient counseling to support informed...
Preferred regimens include a dual-NRTI combination (abacavir plus lamivudine [3TC] or tenofovir disoproxil fumarate [TDF], or tenofovir alafenamide [TAF] plus emtricitabine [FTC] or 3TC) used with either a ritonavir-boosted protease inhibitor (PI; atazanavir/ritonavir [ATV/r] or darunavir/ritonavir) or an integrase strand transfer inhibitor (INSTI) DTG or RAL.

RAL or DTG has been suggested for use when ART is initiated late in pregnancy, particularly for people who have high viral loads, because of the ability of RAL and DTG to suppress viral load rapidly (a decrease of approximately 2 log_{10} copies/mL occurs by Week 2 of therapy with these drugs).\textsuperscript{21-25} In the Dolutegravir in Pregnant HIV Women and Their Neonates (DolPHIN 2) study, 268 ART-naive women in Uganda and South Africa were randomized to receive DTG plus two NRTIs or efavirenz (EFV) plus two NRTIs at a median gestational age of 31 weeks. At delivery, women in the DTG arm were significantly more likely to achieve viral loads of <50 copies/mL (74.1\% vs. 42.7\%; adjusted risk ratio 1.64 [1.31–2.06], \(P < 0.0001\)) than women in the EFV arm.\textsuperscript{26} Similarly, the International Maternal Pediatric Adolescent AIDS Clinical Trials (IMPAACT) 1081 trial randomized 408 ART-naive women in South America, Africa, Thailand, and the United States who presented late in pregnancy (20 to <37 weeks gestation) to receive RAL plus two NRTIs or EFV plus two NRTIs. Among 307 women in the primary efficacy analysis, 84\% in the EFV group and 94\% in the RAL group achieved a viral load of <200 copies/mL at or near delivery (absolute difference 10\% [95\% CI, 3\% to 18\%]; \(P = 0.0015\)); the difference primarily occurred among women enrolling later in pregnancy (interaction \(P = 0.040\)). The median time to achieve a viral load of <200 copies/mL was 8 days for women who received RAL-based ART and 15 days for women who received EFV-based ART. The decline in viral load was greater in the women who received RAL than in those who received EFV at 2, 4, and 6 weeks after initiation.\textsuperscript{27}

Resistance tests should be performed, but ART initiation should not be delayed while waiting for results.

Standard ARV drug-resistance testing should be performed before starting an ARV regimen when plasma HIV RNA levels are above the threshold for resistance testing (i.e., >500 copies/mL to 1,000 copies/mL). INSTI-resistance testing is not routinely recommended, but it should be performed for people who are at risk for INSTI resistance (e.g., people with partners who were treated with INSTIs, people who had prior treatment that included INSTIs; see \textit{Antiretroviral Drug Resistance and Resistance Testing in Pregnancy}). For details regarding genotypic and phenotypic resistance testing, see the \textit{Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines}. Given the association between earlier viral suppression and lower risk of perinatal HIV transmission, ART should be initiated as soon as possible in pregnant people who have never received ARV drugs without waiting for the results of resistance testing. The regimen can be modified, if required, when test results return. Either an INSTI-based or a PI-based ARV regimen can be considered when the results of resistance testing are not available to inform the selection of ARV drugs because clinically significant resistance to PIs and INSTIs is uncommon in ARV-naive individuals.

Regimens other than combination (three-drug) ART are not recommended.

The use of zidovudine (ZDV) monotherapy during pregnancy is not recommended because ART provides clear health benefits to the pregnant individual and helps prevent perinatal HIV transmission. In the past, the use of ZDV monotherapy during pregnancy for prophylaxis of perinatal transmission was an option for people who had low viral loads (i.e., <1,000 copies/mL) on no ARV drugs. Although the \textit{Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines} recommend some two-drug
ARV regimens in certain clinical circumstances, two-drug ARV regimens are not recommended for initiation of ART in ARV-naive pregnant people.

**ARV regimens can be modified postpartum.**

ARV regimens that were initiated during pregnancy can be modified after delivery. People may be able to use some simplified regimens that could not be used during pregnancy because the pregnancy, safety, and/or PK data for those regimens were insufficient. Decisions regarding which specific ARV agents to use postpartum should be made by patients after they have discussed their options with their HIV care providers. These decisions should take several factors into consideration, including the current adult ART recommendations, the patient’s plans for contraceptive use and future pregnancies, and individual adherence considerations and medication preferences (see General Principles Regarding Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy).
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Recommendations for initial antiretroviral therapy (ART) therapy during pregnancy are intended for people who have never received ART or antiretroviral (ARV) drugs for prophylaxis (i.e., people who are ARV-naive) and who show no evidence of significant resistance to regimen components (see Pregnant People with HIV Who Have Never Received Antiretroviral Drugs and Table 5).

In general, the Panel on Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission (the Panel) recommends that people who are already on fully suppressive ARV regimens when pregnancy occurs should continue to use those regimens, unless they are receiving an ARV drug or ARV regimen that is not recommended for use in adults or concerns exist about safety and inferior efficacy during pregnancy (see Table 5 and Pregnant People with HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy). Clinicians may need to consider additional factors when initiating ART in patients who previously received ART or ARV drugs for prophylaxis (see Pregnant People with HIV Who Have Previously Received Antiretroviral Treatment or Prophylaxis but Are Not Currently Receiving Any Antiretroviral Medications and Table 5).

Regimens are listed alphabetically within each drug class and recommendation category, so the order does not indicate a ranking of preference. In addition, except where noted below, the Panel makes no recommendation of one agent or regimen over another within each category (Preferred or Alternative). The table also indicates antiretroviral drugs or regimens that are available in fixed-dose combination (FDC) tablets. Patients and providers should make shared decisions about which ARV drugs to use during pregnancy after discussing the known and potential risks to pregnant people and their fetuses (see Appendix C: Antiretroviral Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers and Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy).

Note: For more information about the use of specific drugs and dosing in pregnancy, see Table 5, the individual drug sections in Appendix B, and Table 11.
## Preferred Initial Regimens in Pregnancy

Drugs or drug combinations are designated as **Preferred** for therapy during pregnancy when clinical trial data in adults have demonstrated efficacy and durability with acceptable toxicity and ease of use, and pregnancy-specific PK data are available to guide dosing. In addition, the available data must suggest a favorable risk-benefit balance for the drug or drug combination compared with other ARV drug options; the assessment of risks and benefits should incorporate maternal, pregnancy, fetal, and infant outcomes. Some **Preferred** drugs or regimens may have minimal toxicity or teratogenicity risks that are offset by other advantages for people with HIV who are pregnant or who are trying to conceive. Therefore, it is important to read all the information on each drug in the Perinatal Guidelines before administering any of these medications to patients (see Appendix B: Supplement: Safety and Toxicity of Individual Antiretroviral Agents in Pregnancy).

### Preferred Dual-NRTI Backbones

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regimen</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ABC/3TC</strong></td>
<td>Available as an FDC. Can be administered once daily. ABC <strong>should not be used</strong> in patients who test positive for HLA-B*5701 because of the risk of developing a hypersensitivity reaction. ABC/3TC administered with ATV/r or EFV is not recommended if pretreatment HIV RNA is &gt;100,000 copies/mL.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TAF/FTC</strong> or <strong>TAF plus 3TC</strong></td>
<td>TAF/FTC is available as an FDC. Either coformulated TAF/FTC or separate doses of TAF and 3TC can be administered once daily. When combined with DTG, the efficacy and toxicity of TAF/FTC and TDF/FTC for treatment of pregnant patients are similar, but TAF/FTC is associated with fewer adverse birth outcomes and slightly higher gestational weight gain.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TDF/FTC</strong> or <strong>TDF/3TC</strong></td>
<td>TDF/FTC is available as an FDC. Either coformulated TDF/FTC or separate doses of TDF and 3TC can be administered once daily. TDF has potential renal toxicity; thus, TDF-based, dual-NRTI combinations should be used with caution in patients with renal insufficiency.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Preferred INSTI Regimens

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regimen</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>DTG/ABC/3TC (FDC)</strong> or <strong>DTG plus a Preferred Dual-NRTI Backbone</strong></td>
<td>Administered once daily. The use of DTG/ABC/3TC requires HLA-B*5701 testing <strong>before starting therapy</strong> because this FDC contains ABC. INSTI-based regimens may be particularly useful when drug interactions or the potential for preterm delivery with a PI-based regimen are a concern. In nonpregnant adults, DTG is associated with lower rates of INSTI resistance than RAL; like RAL, DTG has been shown to rapidly decrease viral load in ARV-naive pregnant women who present to care later in pregnancy. DTG is the <strong>only Preferred</strong> agent recommended for the treatment of acute HIV infection during pregnancy. Either DTG or RAL is the <strong>Preferred</strong> agent for patients who present to care late in pregnancy. DTG is the only <strong>Preferred</strong> drug for pregnant patients with acute HIV (see Acute HIV Infection). Specific timing and/or fasting recommendations apply if DTG is taken with calcium or iron (e.g., in prenatal vitamins; see Table 11). The use of DTG at conception has been associated with a small increase in the risk of NTDs, but this was not seen when DTG was started during pregnancy. However, in the most recent data from Botswana, there was no longer a significant difference in NTDs with the use of DTG-containing compared to non-DTG containing ARV regimens at conception. This information should be discussed with patients to ensure informed decision-making. For more information, see Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy, Table 5, Teratogenicity, and Appendix C: Antiretroviral Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RAL plus a Preferred Dual-NRTI Backbone</strong></td>
<td>PK data are available for RAL in pregnancy when using the twice-daily formulation (400 mg twice daily), but data are not available for the once-daily 1,200 mg (2 x 600 mg) extended-release formulation “raltegravir HD.” Twice-daily dosing is required in pregnancy. RAL has been shown to produce rapid viral load decline to undetectable levels in women who present for initial therapy late in pregnancy and thus is a <strong>Preferred</strong> ARV option in this setting. However, RAL is an <strong>Alternative</strong> ARV for persons diagnosed with acute HIV during pregnancy (see Acute HIV Infection). INSTI-based regimens may be particularly useful when drug interactions or the potential for preterm delivery with PI-based regimens are a concern. Specific timing and/or fasting recommendations apply if RAL is taken with calcium or iron (e.g., in prenatal vitamins; see Table 11).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Preferred PI Regimens
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### ATV/r plus a Preferred Dual-NRTI Backbone

Once-daily administration. Extensive experience with use in pregnancy. Maternal hyperbilirubinemia; no clinically significant neonatal hyperbilirubinemia or kernicterus reported, but neonatal bilirubin monitoring is recommended. Cannot be administered with PPIs. Specific timing recommended for dosing with H2 blockers (see Table 11).

### DRV/r plus a Preferred Dual-NRTI Backbone

Must be used twice daily in pregnancy.

### Alternative Initial Regimens in Pregnancy

Drugs or drug combinations are designated as Alternative options for therapy during pregnancy when clinical trial data in adults show efficacy, and the data in pregnant individuals are generally favorable, but limited. Most Alternative drugs or regimens are associated with more PK, dosing, tolerability, formulation, administration, or interaction concerns than those in the Preferred category, but they are acceptable for use in pregnancy. Some Alternative drugs or regimens may have known toxicity or teratogenicity risks that are offset by other advantages for people with HIV who are pregnant or who are trying to conceive. Therefore, it is important to read all the information on each drug in the Perinatal Guidelines before administering any of these medications to patients (see Appendix B: Supplement: Safety and Toxicity of Individual Antiretroviral Agents in Pregnancy).

### Alternative Dual-NRTI Backbones

**ZDV/3TC**

Available as an FDC. Although not recommended for initial therapy in nonpregnant adults, ZDV/3TC is the NRTI combination with most experience for use in pregnancy. It has the disadvantages of requiring twice-daily administration and having the potential for hematologic toxicities and other toxicities.

### Alternative NNRTI Regimens

**EFV/TDF/FTC (FDC) or EFV/TDF/3TC (FDC) or EFV plus a Preferred Dual-NRTI Backbone**

Birth defects have been reported in primate studies of EFV, but no evidence has been found of an increased risk of birth defects in human studies and extensive experience in pregnancy; cautionary text remains in the package insert (see Teratogenicity, Efavirenz, and Table 11). These regimens are useful for patients who require treatment with drugs that have significant interactions with Preferred agents or who need the convenience of a coformulated, single-tablet, once-daily regimen and are not eligible for DTG or RPV. Screening for antenatal and postpartum depression is recommended. Higher rate of adverse events than some Preferred drugs.

**RPV/TDF/FTC (FDC) or RPV/TAF/FTC (FDC) or RPV (oral) plus a Preferred Dual-NRTI Backbone**

RPV is not recommended in patients with pretreatment HIV RNA >100,000 copies/mL or CD4 counts <200 cells/mm³. Do not use with PPIs. PK data are available for pregnant individuals, but there is relatively little experience with use in pregnancy. PK data suggest lower drug levels and risk of viral rebound in the second and third trimesters; if used, consider monitoring viral load more frequently. Should be taken with food. Available in a coformulated, single-tablet, once-daily regimen.

### Insufficient Data in Pregnancy to Recommend for Initial Regimens in People Who Are ART-Naive

These drugs are approved for use in adults but lack adequate pregnancy-specific PK or safety data.

**BIC/TAF/FTC (FDC)**

Limited data on the use of BIC in pregnancy.

**DOR**

No data on the use of DOR in pregnancy.

**IBA**

No data on the use of IBA in pregnancy.
### Not Recommended for Initial ART or Use in Pregnancy

These drugs and drug combinations are recommended for use in adults but **are not recommended** for use during pregnancy because of limited data about use in pregnancy and/or concerns about maternal or fetal safety or PK changes or inferior efficacy, including viral breakthroughs in the second and third trimester (see Table 5 and Table 11).

**Note:** When a pregnant person presents to care while virally suppressed on one of these drugs or drug combinations, providers should consider whether to continue their current regimen or switch to a recommended ARV regimen (see Pregnant People with HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy and Table 5).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug Combination</th>
<th>Concerns</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ATV/c</td>
<td>Limited data exist on the use of ATV with COBI in pregnancy. Substantial reductions in trough levels of ATV in the second and third trimesters have been reported when taken with COBI.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRV/c (FDC) or DRV/c/FTC/TAF (FDC)</td>
<td>Limited data exist on the use of DRV with COBI in pregnancy. Inadequate levels of both DRV and COBI in second and third trimester, as well as viral breakthroughs, have been reported.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVG/c/FTC/TAF (FDC) or EVG/c/FTC/TDF (FDC)</td>
<td>Limited data exist on the use of EVG with COBI (see above). Inadequate levels of both EVG and COBI in second and third trimester, as well as viral breakthroughs, have been reported. Specific timing and/or fasting recommendations apply, especially if taken with calcium or iron (e.g., in prenatal vitamins; see Table 11).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-acting injectable CAB plus RPV (co-packaged formulation)</td>
<td>Limited data on the use of CAB plus RPV in pregnancy. Not recommended for initial treatment for ARV naive adults or adolescents (pregnant or nonpregnant). Due to the long half-life of injectable CAB and RPV, drug levels may persist up to 12 months after the last dose.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Not Recommended for Initial ART in Pregnancy and Not Recommended, Except in Special Circumstances, for Treatment-Experienced People in Pregnancy

These drugs **are not recommended** for use in pregnant people who have never received ART. With the exception of NVP and LPV/r, data about the PKs, safety, and efficacy of these drugs during pregnancy are limited.

Some of these drugs also are categorized as not recommended except in special circumstances during pregnancy because the Panel recognizes that circumstances may exist in which patients who are ART-experienced may need to initiate or continue these drugs during pregnancy to reach or maintain viral suppression (see Table 5).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Concerns</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ETR</td>
<td>Not recommended for use in nonpregnant ART-naive populations. Data about the use of ETR in pregnancy are limited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTR</td>
<td>Not recommended for use in nonpregnant ART-naive populations. Data about the use of FTR in pregnancy are limited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPV/r plus a Preferred Dual-NRTI Backbone</td>
<td>Abundant experience and established PKs in pregnancy. Has been associated with an increased risk of preterm delivery (see Antiretroviral Drug Regimens and Maternal and Neonatal Outcomes). More nausea than with Preferred or Alternative agents. If LPV/r is used, it should be dosed twice daily in pregnancy; data suggest that once-daily LPV/r will not achieve sufficient plasma concentrations. Some experts recommend an increased dose of LPV/r in the second and third trimesters (see Table 11 and Lopinavir/Ritonavir).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVC</td>
<td>Not recommended for use in nonpregnant ART-naive populations. MVC requires tropism testing before use. Available PK data suggest that using the standard adult dose is appropriate for pregnant patients, although data about use in pregnancy are limited.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**NVP**
Not recommended because of the potential for adverse events, complex lead-in dosing, and low barrier to resistance. NVP should be used with caution when initiating ART in women with CD4 counts >250 cells/mm³. Use NVP and ABC together with caution; both can cause hypersensitivity reactions in the first few weeks after initiation.

**T-20**
Not recommended for use in nonpregnant ART-naive populations.

**Note:** The following drugs and drug combinations (not listed above) should *not be used during pregnancy*; women who become pregnant while taking these medications should switch to a recommended regimen: d4T, ddl, FPV, FPV/r, IDV, IDV/r, NFV, RTV (as the sole PI), SQV, SQV/r, TPV, TPV/r, two-drug ARV regimens, or a three-NRTI ARV regimen (e.g., ABC/ZDV/3TC). See [Archived Drugs](archived_drugs) in the Perinatal Guidelines and [What Not to Use](what_not_to_use) in the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines for individual ARV drugs, ARV combinations, and ARV regimens that are not recommended or that should not be used in adults.

**Key:**
- 3TC = lamivudine; ABC = abacavir; ART = antiretroviral therapy; ARV = antiretroviral; ATV = atazanavir; d4T = stavudine; ddl = didanosine; DOR = doravirine; DRV = darunavir; DRV/c = darunavir/cobicistat; DRV/r = darunavir/ritonavir; EFV = efavirenz; ETR = etravirine; FDC = fixed-dose combination; FPV = fosamprenavir; FPV/r = fosamprenavir/ritonavir; FTC = emtricitabine; FTR = fostemsavir; IBA = ibalizumab; IDV = indinavir; IDV/r = indinavir/ritonavir; INSTI = integrase strand transfer inhibitor; LPV/r = lopinavir/ritonavir; MVC = maraviroc; NFV = nelfinavir; NNRTI = non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor; NRTI = nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor; NTD = neural tube defect; NVP = nevirapine; the Panel = the Panel on Treatment of Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission; PI = protease inhibitor; PPI = proton pump inhibitor; RAL = raltegravir; RPV = rilpivirine; RTV = ritonavir; SQV = saquinavir; SQV/r = saquinavir/ritonavir; T-20 = enfuvirtide; TAF = tenofovir alafenamide; TDF = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate; TPV = tipranavir; TPV/r = tipranavir/ritonavir; ZDV = zidovudine
# Table 5. Situation-Specific Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs in Pregnant People and Nonpregnant People Who Are Trying to Conceive

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

People should be given information about the benefits and risks of initiating an antiretroviral (ARV) regimen or making changes to an existing regimen during pregnancy or when trying to conceive so they can make informed decisions about their care (see Appendix C: Antiretroviral Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers). Patient autonomy and informed choice should be considered in all aspects of medical care, including HIV and obstetric care. These are primary guiding principles in all the Panel’s recommendations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ART Regimen Component</th>
<th>ART for Pregnant People Who Have Never Received ARV Drugs and Who Are Initiating ART for the First Time</th>
<th>Continuing ART for People Who Become Pregnant on a Fully Suppressive, Well-Tolerated Regimen</th>
<th>ART for Pregnant People Who Have Received ARV Drugs in the Past and Who Are Restarting ART&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>New ART Regimen for Pregnant People Whose Current Regimen Is Not Well Tolerated and/or Is Not Fully Suppressive&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>ART for Nonpregnant People Who Are Trying to Conceive&lt;sup&gt;a,b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Integrase Strand Transfer Inhibitor (INSTI) Drugs</strong>&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTG</td>
<td>Preferred</td>
<td>Continue</td>
<td>Preferred</td>
<td>Preferred</td>
<td>Preferred</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAL</td>
<td>Preferred</td>
<td>Continue</td>
<td>Preferred</td>
<td>Preferred</td>
<td>Preferred</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIC</td>
<td>Insufficient data</td>
<td>Insufficient data</td>
<td>Insufficient data</td>
<td>Insufficient data</td>
<td>Insufficient data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAB&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;&lt;br&gt;Oral (lead-in)&lt;br&gt;Long-acting (IM)</td>
<td>Not recommended</td>
<td>Insufficient data</td>
<td>Not recommended</td>
<td>Not recommended</td>
<td>Insufficient data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVG/c&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Not recommended</td>
<td>Continue with frequent viral load monitoring or consider switching</td>
<td>Not recommended</td>
<td>Not recommended</td>
<td>Not recommended</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Protease Inhibitor (PI) Drugs</strong>&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATV/r</td>
<td>Preferred</td>
<td>Continue</td>
<td>Preferred</td>
<td>Preferred</td>
<td>Preferred</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRV/r</td>
<td>Preferred</td>
<td>Continue</td>
<td>Preferred</td>
<td>Preferred</td>
<td>Preferred</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPV/r</td>
<td>Not recommended, except in special circumstances</td>
<td>Continue</td>
<td>Not recommended, except in special circumstances</td>
<td>Not recommended, except in special circumstances</td>
<td>Not recommended, except in special circumstances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ART Regimen Component</td>
<td>ART for Pregnant People Who Have Never Received ARV Drugs and Who Are Initiating ART for the First Time</td>
<td>Continuing ART for People Who Become Pregnant on a Fully Suppressive, Well-Tolerated Regimen</td>
<td>ART for Pregnant People Who Have Received ARV Drugs in the Past and Who Are Restarting ART&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>New ART Regimen for Pregnant People Whose Current Regimen Is Not Well Tolerated and/or Is Not Fully Suppressive&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>ART for Nonpregnant People Who Are Trying to Conceive&lt;sup&gt;a,b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATV/c&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Not recommended</td>
<td>Continue with frequent viral load monitoring or consider switching</td>
<td>Not recommended</td>
<td>Not recommended</td>
<td>Not recommended</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRV/c&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Not recommended</td>
<td>Continue with frequent viral load monitoring or consider switching</td>
<td>Not recommended</td>
<td>Not recommended</td>
<td>Not recommended</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-nucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitor (NNRTI) Drugs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFV</td>
<td>Alternative</td>
<td>Continue</td>
<td>Alternative</td>
<td>Alternative</td>
<td>Alternative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPV&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Alternative</td>
<td>Continue</td>
<td>Alternative</td>
<td>Alternative</td>
<td>Alternative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPV&lt;sup&gt;Long-acting (IM)&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Not recommended</td>
<td>Insufficient data</td>
<td>Not recommended</td>
<td>Not recommended</td>
<td>Insufficient data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOR</td>
<td>Insufficient data</td>
<td>Insufficient data</td>
<td>Insufficient data</td>
<td>Insufficient data</td>
<td>Insufficient data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETR&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Not recommended</td>
<td>Continue</td>
<td>Not recommended, except in special circumstances</td>
<td>Not recommended, except in special circumstances</td>
<td>Not recommended, except in special circumstances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NVP&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Not recommended</td>
<td>Continue</td>
<td>Not recommended, except in special circumstances</td>
<td>Not recommended, except in special circumstances</td>
<td>Not recommended, except in special circumstances</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NRTI Drugs<sup>c,h</sup>**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Preferred</th>
<th>Continue</th>
<th>Preferred</th>
<th>Preferred</th>
<th>Preferred</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABC&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Preferred</td>
<td>Continue</td>
<td>Preferred</td>
<td>Preferred</td>
<td>Preferred</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTC</td>
<td>Preferred</td>
<td>Continue</td>
<td>Preferred</td>
<td>Preferred</td>
<td>Preferred</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3TC</td>
<td>Preferred</td>
<td>Continue</td>
<td>Preferred</td>
<td>Preferred</td>
<td>Preferred</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDF</td>
<td>Preferred</td>
<td>Continue</td>
<td>Preferred</td>
<td>Preferred</td>
<td>Preferred</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZDV</td>
<td>Alternative</td>
<td>Continue</td>
<td>Alternative</td>
<td>Alternative</td>
<td>Alternative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAF</td>
<td>Preferred</td>
<td>Continue</td>
<td>Preferred</td>
<td>Preferred</td>
<td>Preferred</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ART Regimen Component</td>
<td>ART for Pregnant People Who Have Never Received ARV Drugs and Who Are Initiating ART for the First Time</td>
<td>Continuing ART for People Who Become Pregnant on a FullySuppressive, Well-Tolerated Regimen</td>
<td>ART for Pregnant People Who Have Received ARV Drugs in the Past and Who Are Restarting ART&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>New ART Regimen for Pregnant People Whose Current Regimen Is Not Well Tolerated and/or Is Not Fully Suppressive&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>ART for Nonpregnant People Who Are Trying to Conceive&lt;sup&gt;a,b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Entry, Attachment, and Fusion Inhibitor Drugs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBA</td>
<td>Insufficient data</td>
<td>Insufficient data</td>
<td>Insufficient data</td>
<td>Insufficient data</td>
<td>Insufficient data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVC&lt;sup&gt;9&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Not recommended</td>
<td>Continue</td>
<td>Not recommended, except in special circumstances</td>
<td>Not recommended, except in special circumstances</td>
<td>Not recommended, except in special circumstances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-20&lt;sup&gt;10&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Not recommended</td>
<td>Continue</td>
<td>Not recommended, except in special circumstances</td>
<td>Not recommended, except in special circumstances</td>
<td>Not recommended, except in special circumstances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTR</td>
<td>Insufficient data</td>
<td>Insufficient data</td>
<td>Insufficient data</td>
<td>Insufficient data</td>
<td>Insufficient data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fixed-Dose Combination (FDC) and Co-administered Regimens&lt;sup&gt;d,e,h&lt;/sup&gt;</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The individual drug component that is most responsible for the overall recommendation is indicated in parentheses.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABC/DTG/3TC&lt;sup&gt;i&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Preferred</td>
<td>Continue</td>
<td>Preferred</td>
<td>Preferred</td>
<td>Preferred</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFV/FTC/TDF</td>
<td>Alternative (EFV)</td>
<td>Continue</td>
<td>Alternative (EFV)</td>
<td>Alternative (EFV)</td>
<td>Alternative (EFV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFV/3TC/TDF</td>
<td>Alternative (EFV)</td>
<td>Continue</td>
<td>Alternative (EFV)</td>
<td>Alternative (EFV)</td>
<td>Alternative (EFV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTC/RPV/TDF&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Alternative (RPV)</td>
<td>Continue (RPV)</td>
<td>Alternative (RPV)</td>
<td>Alternative (RPV)</td>
<td>Alternative (RPV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIC/FTC/TAF</td>
<td>Insufficient data (BIC)</td>
<td>Insufficient data (BIC)</td>
<td>Insufficient data (BIC)</td>
<td>Insufficient data (BIC)</td>
<td>Insufficient data (BIC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOR/3TC/TDF</td>
<td>Insufficient data (DOR)</td>
<td>Insufficient data (DOR)</td>
<td>Insufficient data (DOR)</td>
<td>Insufficient data (DOR)</td>
<td>Insufficient data (DOR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTC/RPV/TAF</td>
<td>Alternative</td>
<td>Continue</td>
<td>Alternative</td>
<td>Alternative</td>
<td>Alternative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVG/c/FTC/TDF&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Not recommended (EVG/c)</td>
<td>Continue with frequent viral load monitoring or consider switching (EVG/c)</td>
<td>Not recommended (EVG/c)</td>
<td>Not recommended (EVG/c)</td>
<td>Not recommended (EVG/c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVG/c/FTC/TAF&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Not recommended (EVG/c)</td>
<td>Continue with frequent viral load monitoring or consider switching (EVG/c)</td>
<td>Not recommended (EVG/c)</td>
<td>Not recommended (EVG/c)</td>
<td>Not recommended (EVG/c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRV/c/FTC/TAF&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Not recommended (DRV/c)</td>
<td>Continue with frequent viral load monitoring or consider switching (DRV/c)</td>
<td>Not recommended (DRV/c)</td>
<td>Not recommended (DRV/c)</td>
<td>Not recommended (DRV/c)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### ART Regimen Component

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ART Regimen Component</th>
<th>ART for Pregnant People Who Have Never Received ARV Drugs and Who Are Initiating ART for the First Time</th>
<th>Continuing ART for People Who Become Pregnant on a Fully Suppressive, Well-Tolerated Regimen</th>
<th>ART for Pregnant People Who Have Received ARV Drugs in the Past and Who Are Restoring ART&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>New ART Regimen for Pregnant People Whose Current Regimen Is Not Well Tolerated and/or Is Not Fully Suppressive&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>ART for Nonpregnant People Who Are Trying to Conceive&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>DTG/3TC</strong>&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Not recommended</td>
<td>Continue with frequent viral load monitoring</td>
<td>Not recommended</td>
<td>Not recommended</td>
<td>Not recommended</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As a complete regimen&lt;sup&gt;i&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DTG/RPV</strong>&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Not recommended</td>
<td>Continue with frequent viral load monitoring</td>
<td>Not recommended</td>
<td>Not recommended</td>
<td>Not recommended</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As a complete regimen&lt;sup&gt;i&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IM CAB and RPV</strong>&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Not recommended</td>
<td>Insufficient data</td>
<td>Not recommended</td>
<td>Not recommended</td>
<td>Insufficient data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As a complete regimen&lt;sup&gt;i&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Do not initiate ARV regimens with components that have documented resistance or suspected resistance based on prior ARV exposure.

<sup>b</sup> This guidance is intended for people who are pregnant or trying to conceive. These recommendations are not intended for all people with HIV who might become pregnant.

<sup>c</sup> ABC plus 3TC, TDF plus FTC, TAF plus FTC, and TDF plus 3TC are preferred dual-NRTI backbones, and ZDV plus 3TC is an alternative dual-NRTI backbone for ARV regimens.

<sup>d</sup> The long-acting injectable formulations of CAB and RPV are available only as a co-packaged product. Co-administration of CAB plus RPV is a complete two-drug ART regimen for nonpregnant adults with HIV RNA levels <50 copies/mL for at least 3 months, on a stable ARV regimen, with no history of treatment failure, and no known or suspected resistance to CAB or RPV. Oral lead-in dosing with CAB and RPV for at least 28 days is used to assess tolerability before starting monthly long-acting IM injections. CAB plus RPV (oral or injectable) should not be administered with NRTIs or other ARV drugs. Oral and injectable CAB and injectable RPV are not recommended for use in pregnancy. The Panel recommends that people who conceive while taking long-acting injectable CAB plus RPV switch to an oral regimen recommended for use in pregnancy; timing of the switch must take into account the long half-life of the long-acting injectable formulations with persistence of the drug for up to 12 months. With the current dosing schedule of monthly injections, change to an oral regimen should occur within 4 weeks of the last CAB and RPV IM doses. Dosing recommendations, including guidance for switching to an oral regimen, can be found in the prescribing information<sup>2,3</sup> and the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines.

<sup>e</sup> DRV/c, EVG/c, and ATV/c are not recommended for use in pregnancy because of PK changes that pose a risk for low drug levels and viral rebound in the second and third trimesters. However, in cases where virologically suppressed pregnant people present to care on regimens that include these drugs, these drug combinations can be continued with frequent viral load monitoring or can be switched to a recommended or alternative agent. If concerns about switching exist, see Pregnant People with HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy. If the cobicistat pharmacologic booster is replaced with ritonavir for ATV and DRV, attention to dosing in pregnancy is critical; higher doses of ATV are required if coadministered with TDF or antacids, and twice-daily dosing is required for DRV, in the second and third trimesters.

<sup>f</sup> Although PK data indicate that RPV plasma concentration is reduced during the second and third trimesters, the reduction is less than the reductions seen with use of EVG/c or DRV/c. Higher-than-standard doses of RPV have not been studied, so data are insufficient to recommend a dose change in pregnancy. With standard dosing, viral load should be monitored more frequently.

<sup>g</sup> Although these drugs are not recommended for initial treatment in ART-naive pregnant people, in special circumstances ART-experienced people may need to continue or initiate ETR, NVP, MVC, and T-20 to maintain or achieve viral suppression. Safety and efficacy data are limited about the use of ETR, MVC, and T-20 in pregnancy. NVP is not recommended for ART-naive people, because it has a greater potential for adverse events than other NNRTIs, complex lead-in dosing, and a low barrier to resistance; however, if a pregnant person presents to care on a well-tolerated, NVP-containing regimen, it is likely that NVP will be safe and effective during pregnancy. See Table 4 and Nevirapine for more information.

<sup>h</sup> When using FDC tablets, refer to Table 11 and the drug sections in Appendix B for information about the dosing and safety of individual components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

---

<sup>1</sup> Recommendations for the Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy and Interventions to Reduce Perinatal HIV Transmission in the United States

<sup>C-75</sup>
Testing for HLA-B*5701 identifies patients who are at risk of developing hypersensitivity reactions while taking ABC; testing should be performed, and a patient should be documented as negative before initiating ABC.

Two-drug oral ARV regimens are not recommended for use in pregnancy due to lack of available data about use in pregnancy. However, pregnant persons who present to care on an oral DTG/3TC or DTG/RPV regimen with successfully maintained virologic suppression can continue it with more frequent viral load monitoring, every 1–2 months throughout pregnancy, because the component drugs are recommended for use in pregnancy.

The following drugs and drug combinations, which are not listed above, should not be used during pregnancy: If a person becomes pregnant while taking any of these medications, they should switch to a recommended regimen: d4T, ddl, FPV, FPV/r, IDV, IDV/r, NFV, RTV (as the sole PI), SQV, SQV/r, TPV, TPV/r, or a three-NRTI ARV regimen (e.g., ABC/ZDV/3TC).

See Archived Drugs in the Perinatal Guidelines and What Not to Use in the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines for individual ARV drugs, ARV combinations, and ARV regimens that are not recommended or that should not be used in adults. Refer to the table above and Table 4 for ARV regimens that are recommended for use in pregnancy.

Key:

Key: 3TC = lamivudine; ABC = abacavir; ART = antiretroviral therapy; ARV = antiretroviral; ATV = atazanavir; ATV/c = atazanavir/cobicistat; ATV/r = atazanavir/ritonavir; BIC = bictegravir; CAB = cabotegravir; d4T = stavudine; ddl = didanosine; DOR = doravirine; DRV = darunavir; DRV/c = darunavir/cobicistat; DRV/r = darunavir/ritonavir; DTG = dolutegravir; EFV = efavirenz; ETR = etravirine; EVG/c = elvitegravir/cobicistat; FDC = fixed-dose combination; FPV = fosamprenavir; FPV/r = fosamprenavir/ritonavir; FTC = emtricitabine; FTR = fostemsavir; IBA = ibalizumab; IDV = indinavir; IDV/r = indinavir/ritonavir; IM = intramuscular; IM CAB and RPV = long-acting intramuscular formulations of cabotegravir and rilpivirine; INSTI = integrase strand transfer inhibitor; LPV/r = lopinavir/ritonavir; MVC = maraviroc; NFV = nelfinavir; NRTI = non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor; NVP = nevirapine; PI = protease inhibitor; PK = pharmacokinetic; RAL = raltegravir; RPV = rilpivirine; RTV = ritonavir; SQV = saquinavir; SQV/r = saquinavir/ritonavir; T-20 = enfuvirtide; TAF = tenofovir alafenamide; TDF = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate; TPV = tipranavir; TPV/r = tipranavir/ritonavir; ZDV = zidovudine
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## Panel’s Recommendations

- **People with HIV who are receiving antiretroviral therapy (ART) and who present for pregnancy care should continue their ART during pregnancy, provided that the regimen is tolerated, safe, and effective in suppressing viral replication (defined as a regimen that maintains an HIV viral load less than lower limits of detection of the assay) (AII).**

- **When considering changes in ART during pregnancy, the Panel on Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission recommends patient counseling to support informed decision-making (AIII).** See Appendix C: Antiretroviral Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers.

- **Persons who present during pregnancy on drugs that are not recommended for use because of toxicity (e.g., stavudine, didanosine) should stop taking these drugs and be switched to other antiretroviral (ARV) drugs that are recommended for use during pregnancy (AIII).** See Table 5: Situation-Specific Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs in Pregnant People and Nonpregnant People Who Are Trying to Conceive for more information.

- **Although there are no data on the use of two-drug oral regimens during pregnancy (e.g., dolutegravir [DTG] plus lamivudine [3TC], DTG plus ritirivine [RPV]), the component drugs are recommended for use in pregnancy. Pregnant persons who present to care on DTG/3TC or DTG/RPV and have successfully maintained viral suppression can continue the two-drug regimen with more frequent viral load monitoring, every 1 to 2 months throughout pregnancy (CIII).**

- **Because data about the use of long-acting injectable cabotegravir (CAB) and RPV during pregnancy are extremely limited, pregnant persons who present to care on this regimen should be switched to one of the Preferred or Alternative three-drug ARV regimens (CIII).**

- **The use of atazanavir/cobicistat, darunavir/cobicistat, or elvitegravir/cobicistat regimens during pregnancy is associated with lower plasma drug exposures due to physiologic changes associated with pregnancy. These lower drug exposures pose an increased risk of virologic failure during the second and third trimesters of pregnancy (see Table 4 What to Start: Initial Antiretroviral Regimens During Pregnancy for People Who Are Antiretroviral-Naive and Table 5: Situation-Specific Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs in Pregnant People and Nonpregnant People Who Are Trying to Conceive). When a pregnant person presents to care on one of these regimens, providers should decide whether to continue the regimen or to switch to a different regimen that is recommended for use during pregnancy (BIII). If one of these regimens is continued, absorption should be optimized by taking the drugs with food, and viral load should be monitored frequently (i.e., every 1–2 months).**

- **If an ARV regimen is altered during pregnancy, drugs in the new regimen should include ARV drugs that are recommended for use in pregnancy (see Table 4 What to Start: Initial Antiretroviral Regimens During Pregnancy for People Who Are Antiretroviral-Naive and Table 5: Situation-Specific Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs in Pregnant People and Nonpregnant People Who Are Trying to Conceive) (BIII), and more frequent virologic monitoring is warranted (CIII).**

- **ARV drug-resistance testing should be performed to assist the selection of active drugs when changing ARV regimens in pregnant people who are experiencing virologic failure on ART and who have HIV RNA levels >500 copies/mL to 1,000 copies/mL (AII). In individuals who have HIV RNA levels >500 copies/mL but <1,000 copies/mL, testing may be unsuccessful but still should be considered (BII).** See Pregnant People Who Have Not Achieved Viral Suppression on Antiretroviral Therapy for more information.
Clinicians should discuss future reproductive plans and timing, the risks and benefits of conceiving on specific ARV medications, and use of appropriate contraceptive options to prevent unintended pregnancy (AIII).

**Rating of Recommendations:** A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

**Rating of Evidence:** I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

People who are taking antiretroviral therapy (ART) for HIV infection should continue their ART regimen during pregnancy, provided it is well tolerated, safe, and effective in suppressing viral replication. Discontinuing or altering therapy could cause an increase in viral load, leading to disease progression, a decline in immune status, and an increased risk of perinatal HIV transmission. Maintenance of viral suppression is paramount for both maternal health and the prevention of perinatal transmission. However, a change in ART may be indicated or considered in specific circumstances. The Panel on Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission (the Panel) emphasizes the importance of patient counseling and informed decision-making when changing ARV regimens during pregnancy. For additional information, see Appendix C: Antiretroviral Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers.

Persons with HIV who present during pregnancy on drugs that are not recommended for use because of toxicity (e.g., stavudine, didanosine) should stop taking these drugs and switch to other antiretroviral (ARV) drugs that are recommended for use in pregnancy (see Table 4: What to Start: Initial Combination Regimens for Antiretroviral-Naive Pregnant People and Table 5: Situation-Specific Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs in Pregnant People and Nonpregnant People Who Are Trying to Conceive).

No data exist on the use of oral two-drug regimens in pregnancy (e.g., dolutegravir [DTG] plus lamivudine and DTG plus rilpivirine [RPV]; if a pregnant person presents to care on these regimens and has successfully maintained viral suppression, the regimen can be continued without adding a third agent, but more frequent viral load (i.e., every 1–2 months) monitoring is recommended. For both regimens, there are data in nonpregnant persons showing noninferiority when compared to a standard three-drug regimen. Pharmacokinetics (PK) have been well described and are adequate in pregnancy, and the components of each of the two-drug oral regimens are recommended as Preferred or Alternative ARV drugs by the Panel.

Because there are insufficient data on pregnancy PK and safety data for cabotegravir (CAB), persons who become pregnant on long-acting injectable CAB and rilpivirine (RPV) should be switched to an oral regimen recommended for use in pregnancy for the remainder of the pregnancy. Timing of the switch must take into account the long half-life of the long-acting injectable formulation (median 5.6–11.5 weeks) with persistence of the drug for up to 12 months. With the current dosing schedule of monthly injections, change to an oral regimen should occur within 4 weeks of the last CAB and RPV injections. Dosing recommendations, including guidance for switching to an oral regimen, can be found in the prescribing information and the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines.

For persons with HIV who have achieved virologic suppression and become pregnant while receiving ARV drugs with insufficient data about their use in pregnancy—such as bictegravir or doravirine—clinicians should consider whether to continue or change the regimen because a regimen change carries a risk for viral rebound at the time of the switch. If a decision is made with the patient's agreement, it is critical to follow published guidelines for switching regimens to minimize the risk of viral rebound.
to continue the same regimen, viral load should be monitored more frequently (i.e., every 1–2 months).

The use of regimens containing atazanavir/cobicistat, darunavir/cobicistat, or elvitegravir/cobicistat (EVG/c), is associated with lower plasma drug exposures during the second and third trimesters of pregnancy due to the physiologic changes associated with pregnancy. These low drug exposures pose an increased risk of virologic failure in the second and third trimesters and potential perinatal HIV transmission. When a pregnant person presents to care on one of these regimens, providers should consider continuing the regimen with more frequent viral load monitoring or switching to a different regimen that is recommended for use during pregnancy (see Table 4: What to Start: Initial Combination Regimens for Antiretroviral-Naive Pregnant People and Table 5: Situation-Specific Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs in Pregnant People and Nonpregnant People Who Are Trying to Conceive).9-11 A recent multicenter, retrospective study of 134 pregnant women with HIV who received elvitegravir (EVG)-containing ART at any time during pregnancy reported that 81.3% of study participants had viral suppression at delivery (HIV RNA <40 copies/mL); among 68 women who initiated EVG before pregnancy and continued receiving EVG through delivery, the rate of viral suppression at delivery was 88.2%. The perinatal HIV transmission rate was 0.8% in this study.12 If one of these regimens is continued, absorption should be optimized by taking the drugs with food. Pregnant people who are taking regimens that include EVG/c should take ARV drugs and prenatal vitamins ≥2 hours apart. In addition, viral load should be monitored more frequently (e.g., every 1–2 months) in patients taking cobicistat-boosted regimens (see Monitoring During Pregnancy).10 Lack of viral suppression on subsequent testing indicates a need for a regimen change, and a pregnant person may need a scheduled cesarean delivery if the lack of suppression is detected late in pregnancy.

Although PK data indicate that RPV plasma concentration is reduced during the second and third trimesters of pregnancy, the reduction is less than the reductions seen with the cobicistat-containing regimens described above, and most pregnant people will have adequate exposure. Standard RPV dosing is recommended, and viral load should be monitored frequently (e.g., every 1–2 months; see Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy).

As newer, highly effective ARV drugs are approved by the Food and Drug Administration, people with HIV may present for prenatal care on ART regimens that include ARV drugs for which significant experience in pregnancy is lacking and PK and safety data are limited. If questions arise about specific drugs in an ART regimen, providers are encouraged to consult with an HIV perinatal specialist before discontinuing or altering a fully suppressive regimen that is well tolerated. In addition, more frequent virologic monitoring is warranted when an ARV regimen is altered during pregnancy. Because little is known about the use of newly approved drugs during pregnancy, providers should make every effort to report all ART exposures in pregnant people to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry.

Pregnant people with HIV who are on ART and who present for care during the first trimester should be counseled regarding the benefits and potential risks of receiving ARV drugs during this period. Providers should emphasize that continuing an effective ARV regimen is recommended, see Appendix C: Antiretroviral Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers and Teratogenicity.

Resistance testing should be performed when considering altering an ARV regimen in a pregnant person who is experiencing virologic failure and who has HIV RNA levels >1,000 copies/mL. In individuals who have HIV RNA levels >500 copies/mL but <1,000 copies/mL, testing may be
unsuccessful, but it still should be considered. The results can be used to select a new regimen with a greater likelihood of suppressing viral replication to undetectable levels.

During and after pregnancy, clinicians should discuss future reproductive plans and timing, risks and benefits of conceiving on specific ARV medications, and contraceptive options to prevent unintended pregnancy (see Prepregnancy Counseling and Care for Persons of Childbearing Age with HIV).
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Pregnant People with HIV Who Have Previously Received Antiretroviral Medications but Are Not Currently Receiving Any Antiretroviral Medications

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Panel’s Recommendations

- Obtain an accurate history of all prior antiretroviral (ARV) medications used for HIV treatment or prevention of HIV transmission, including virologic efficacy, patient’s tolerance of the medications, results of prior resistance testing, and problems with adherence (AIII).

- Choose and initiate an antiretroviral therapy (ART) regimen based on results of prior resistance testing, prior ARV drug use, concurrent medical conditions, and current recommendations for ART in pregnancy (see Table 5) (AII).

- If HIV RNA is above the threshold for standard genotypic drug resistance testing (i.e., >500 to 1,000 copies/mL), ARV drug-resistance testing should be performed prior to starting an ARV drug regimen (see Antiretroviral Drug Resistance and Resistance Testing in Pregnancy) (AIII).

- ART should be initiated prior to receiving results of current ARV resistance assays. ART should be modified based on the results of the resistance assay, if necessary (AII).

- If the ART regimen results in insufficient viral suppression, repeat resistance testing and assess other considerations, including adherence, food requirements, and drug interactions (AII).

- Consider consulting with an HIV treatment specialist when choosing an ART regimen for patients who previously received ARV drugs or modifying ART for those who are not fully suppressed (BIII).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

Pregnant people with HIV who are currently not receiving antiretroviral therapy (ART) may have received antiretroviral (ARV) drugs in the past for their own health and/or prevention of HIV transmission to their infant or their sexual partners (e.g., treatment as prevention). A small number of clinical trials and observational studies have generated information about the effectiveness of ART in individuals who previously received ART to prevent perinatal transmission of HIV.

There has been concern that prior, time-limited use of ART during pregnancy to prevent perinatal transmission may lead to resistance and, thus, reduced efficacy if these ARV drugs are used as a part of subsequent ART regimens. Standard genotyping has shown that the rates of resistance after time-limited use of ART appear to be low. Resistance seems to be a concern primarily in patients who received time-limited non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NNRTI)–based therapy. In a comparison between 5,372 ARV-naïve pregnant women and 605 women who previously had received ART in the pre-integrase strand transfer inhibitor (INSTI) era (but who were not being treated immediately before the current pregnancy), ARV-experienced women had a small but statistically significant increase in the risk of detectable viral load at delivery (adjusted odds ratio 1.27; 95% confidence interval, 1.01–1.60). However, this increased risk was seen in women who previously received NNRTI-based therapy, but not in those who previously received protease inhibitor (PI)–based therapies.
Both standard and sensitive genotyping techniques appear to show a low rate of resistance to PIs after pregnancy-limited use of PI-based ART, but these results reflect assessments in a small number of women. Increased risk of treatment failure has not been demonstrated with re-initiation of ART after time-limited use of ART for the prevention of perinatal transmission, especially when using ART regimens with a PI-based regimen or an INSTI. In the AIDS Clinical Trials Group (ACTG) A5227 study, 52 women who previously had received pregnancy-limited ART and who had no evidence of resistance were started on a fixed-dose combination of efavirenz/tenofovir disoproxil fumarate/emtricitabine once daily. After 6 months of therapy, 81% of these women achieved plasma viral loads that were below the limit of detection; the virologic suppression rate was not affected by the classes of previously used ARV drugs or whether women had received similar ART during one or more previous pregnancies. The data from the French Perinatal Cohort were used to assess the rates of virologic suppression among women who received PI-based ART; ARV-naive women and women who had received ART during previous pregnancies to prevent perinatal transmission had similar rates of viral load suppression at delivery.

ART is recommended worldwide for everyone with HIV, including all people with HIV during pregnancy and throughout their lives. Studies show the benefits of ART for pregnant people with higher CD4 T lymphocyte (CD4) cell counts (≥350 cells/mm³) and the potential harm of stopping ART after pregnancy in such people. The data from the Promoting Maternal and Infant Safety Everywhere (PROMISE) study (HAART Standard version) showed that women with CD4 counts ≥400 cells/mm³ who were randomized to continue ART postpartum had half the rate of World Health Organization stage 2 and 3 events as those who were randomized to discontinue ART. Furthermore, poor adherence was a common problem for women during the postpartum period in this study. Among women who were randomized to continue ART, 189 of 827 women (23%) had virologic failure. Of the 156 women with virologic failure who had resistance testing, 33% had at least one mutation and 12% had resistance to their current ART regimen. Mutations and resistance occurred more often in women who experienced virologic failure on NNRTI-based regimens. However, most women did not have resistance to their current ART, which suggests they were not taking their ART and highlights the importance of assessing barriers to medication adherence. When counseling patients about the benefits of taking ART during pregnancy and continuing therapy for life, health care providers should emphasize the health benefits of ART and the importance of adherence during the postpartum period (see Postpartum Follow-Up of People with HIV Infection).

Individuals may choose to discontinue ART for a variety of reasons, and the length of time off treatment before pregnancy may vary. A person’s HIV treatment history and all prior drug resistance test results should be considered when choosing ART regimens for pregnant people who previously have received treatment, even when the results of drug-resistance testing performed during the current pregnancy are not yet available. Interpretation of resistance testing can be complex because resistance testing is most accurate when performed while an individual is still taking ART or within 4 weeks of discontinuing treatment (see Antiretroviral Drug Resistance and Resistance Testing in Pregnancy). In the absence of selective drug pressure, resistant virus may revert to wild type; thus, a negative finding does not rule out the presence of archived resistant virus that could re-emerge once ART is restarted (see Antiretroviral Drug Resistance and Resistance Testing in Pregnancy). Therefore, when selecting a new ART regimen, all information—including regimens received, viral response, laboratory testing (including HLA-B*5701 screening results), any tolerance or adherence problems, food requirements, concomitant medications, prior medical conditions, and results of all prior resistance testing—should be considered. In general, ART should be initiated before receiving the results of ARV drug-resistance testing, especially because longer durations of ART during pregnancy have been associated with reduced perinatal transmission rates, compared with shorter treatment
ART should be modified, when necessary, based on subsequent resistance assay results. Careful monitoring of virologic response is essential (see Monitoring During Pregnancy).

A person may restart a previous ART regimen that successfully suppressed their viral load if the regimen was tolerated well and no evidence of resistance to that regimen is identified. Ideally, the regimen should also be recommended currently as a first-line or alternative regimen for initial ART in pregnancy (see Table 4: What to Start and Table 5). Drugs that are not recommended because of toxicity (stavudine, didanosine, treatment-dose ritonavir) should not be used; drugs that are not recommended for initial use because of concerns about viral breakthrough during pregnancy also should be avoided, if possible (see Table 5). Even experienced health care providers may have difficulty with the selection of appropriate ART for people who have advanced HIV disease, a history of extensive prior ART, or previous significant toxicity or nonadherence. In addition to obtaining genotypic resistance testing, it is strongly recommended that specialists in the treatment of HIV be consulted early in the pregnancy about the choice of a suitable ART regimen for such individuals.

If ART produces an insufficient viral response (e.g., <1–2 log₁₀ drop over 2–4 weeks), repeat resistance testing, including testing for resistance to INSTIs if indicated (see Antiretroviral Drug Resistance and Resistance Testing in Pregnancy), and assess medication adherence, food requirements, and potential drug interactions (including relevant pharmacokinetic studies when available) to inform potential regimen changes. Consultation with an HIV treatment specialist is recommended (see Pregnant People Who Have Not Achieved Viral Suppression on ART).
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## Monitoring During Pregnancy

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Panel's Recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• The plasma HIV RNA levels of pregnant people with HIV should be monitored at the initial antenatal visit (AI), 2 to 4 weeks after initiating (or changing) antiretroviral therapy (ART) (BI), monthly until RNA levels are undetectable (BIII), and then at least every 3 months during pregnancy (BIII). HIV RNA levels also should be assessed at approximately 34 to 36 weeks gestation to inform decisions about mode of delivery (see Intrapartum Care for People with HIV and to inform decisions about optimal management for the newborn (see Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection) (AIII).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• CD4 T lymphocyte (CD4) cell count should be measured at the initial antenatal visit (AI). Patients who have been on ART for ≥2 years and who have had consistent viral suppression and CD4 counts that are consistently &gt;300 cells/mm³ do not need to have their CD4 counts monitored after the initial antenatal visit during this pregnancy, per the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines (CIII). Patients who have been on ART for &lt;2 years, patients with CD4 counts &lt;300 cells/mm³, and patients with inconsistent adherence and/or detectable viral loads should have CD4 counts monitored every 3 months during pregnancy (CIII).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• HIV drug-resistance testing (genotypic testing and, if indicated, phenotypic testing) should be performed during pregnancy in those whose HIV RNA levels are above the threshold for resistance testing (i.e., &gt;500 copies/mL to 1,000 copies/mL) before—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Initiating ART in antiretroviral (ARV)-naive pregnant people who have not been previously tested for ARV drug resistance (AII);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Initiating ART in ARV-experienced pregnant people (including those who have received pre-exposure prophylaxis) (AIII); or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Modifying ARV regimens for people with HIV who become pregnant while receiving ARV drugs or people who have suboptimal virologic response to ARV drugs that were started during pregnancy (AII). See Antiretroviral Drug Resistance and Drug Resistance Testing in Pregnancy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• ART should be initiated in pregnant patients prior to receiving the results of ARV-resistance tests. ART should be modified, if necessary, based on the results of resistance testing (AII).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Laboratory testing to monitor complications of ARV drugs during pregnancy should be based on what is known about the adverse effects of the drugs an individual is receiving (AIII).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Pregnant people with HIV who are taking ART during pregnancy should undergo standard glucose screening (AIII). Some experts suggest performing glucose screening early in pregnancy for those who are receiving protease inhibitor (PI)-based regimens that were initiated before pregnancy, in accordance with recommendations for patients who are at risk for glucose intolerance (BIII). For more information on PIs, see Antiretroviral Drug Regimens and Maternal and Neonatal Outcomes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Amniocentesis, if clinically indicated, should be performed on pregnant people with HIV only after initiation of an effective ARV regimen and, ideally, when HIV RNA levels are undetectable (BIII). If a...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
pregnant person with detectable HIV RNA levels requires amniocentesis, consultation with an expert in
the management of HIV during pregnancy should be considered (BIII).

**Rating of Recommendations:** A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

**Rating of Evidence:** I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated
laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort
studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

**Viral Load and CD4 monitoring**

Viral loads should be monitored more frequently in pregnant individuals than in nonpregnant
individuals because of the importance of rapid and sustained viral suppression in preventing perinatal
HIV transmission, see Table 6 below. Individuals who are adherent to their antiretroviral therapy
(ART) and who do not harbor resistance mutations to the prescribed drugs should achieve viral
suppression within 8 to 12 weeks. Individuals with higher viral loads and lower CD4 T lymphocyte
(CD4) cell counts are more likely to require more time to achieve viral suppression than those with
lower viral loads and higher CD4 counts. In addition, those using integrase strand transfer inhibitors
(INSTIs) are more likely to achieve suppression in much shorter time frames. Most patients with
adequate viral response at 24 weeks of treatment have had at least a 1 log₁₀ viral load decrease within
1 to 4 weeks after starting therapy.

Viral load should be monitored in pregnant patients with HIV at the initial clinic visit, 2 to 4 weeks
after initiating or changing ART, monthly until undetectable, and at least every 3 months thereafter.
If adherence is a concern, especially during early pregnancy, more frequent monitoring is
recommended because of the increased risk of perinatal HIV transmission associated with detectable
HIV viremia during pregnancy. Similarly, pregnancy may reduce the drug exposure levels or the
efficacy of some drugs; patients who are taking these drugs may require a change in therapy or more
frequent viral load monitoring (see Table 4 and Table 5). More frequent viral load monitoring is
recommended for those who are receiving regimens containing rilpivirine or cobicistat-boosted
elitreviravir, atazanavir, or darunavir. Although increasing the frequency of viral load monitoring
may help detect viral rebound, this may be difficult to implement if visit attendance or access to viral
load monitoring is limited. In addition, viremia detected in late pregnancy may be challenging to
manage, requiring medication changes shortly before delivery (see Pregnant People with HIV Who
Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy).

Viral load also should be assessed at approximately 34 to 36 weeks gestation to inform decisions
about the mode of infant delivery and optimal treatment for newborns (see Intrapartum Care for
People with HIV).

In pregnant patients with HIV, CD4 count should be measured at the initial clinic visit (see Table 6
below). For patients who have been on ART for ≥2 years, have had consistent viral suppression and
CD4 counts that are consistently >300 cells/mm³, and are tolerating ART during pregnancy, CD4
count should be monitored consistently at the initial antenatal visit; CD4 counts do not need to be repeated
for these patients during this pregnancy, per the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines.
Patients who have been on ART for <2 years, patients with CD4 counts of <300 cells/mm³, and those
with inconsistent adherence and/or detectable viral loads should have CD4 counts monitored every 3
months during pregnancy. The safety of this approach is supported by research that demonstrates that patients who are stable on ART (defined as patients who have viral load levels <50 copies/mL and CD4 counts >500 cells/mm³ for 1 year) are highly unlikely to experience a CD4 count <350 cells/mm³ in the span of a year.13

**HIV Drug-Resistance Testing**

HIV drug-resistance testing should be performed in pregnant patients with HIV before starting or modifying ART if HIV RNA levels are above the threshold for standard resistance testing (i.e., >500 copies/mL to 1,000 copies/mL) (see Table 6 below). Genotypic testing should be performed. In cases of treatment-experienced individuals with suspected multidrug resistance on failing regimens, phenotypic testing should be additionally performed. See Drug-Resistance Testing in the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines and Antiretroviral Drug Resistance and Resistance Testing in Pregnancy for more information on resistance testing, including considerations regarding INSTI genotypic resistance testing. ART should not be delayed while waiting for resistance test results. If the results demonstrate resistance, then the regimen can be adjusted subsequently. HIV drug-resistance testing also should be performed on patients who are taking ART but who have suboptimal viral suppression (i.e., failure to achieve undetectable levels of virus during an appropriate time frame, as noted above) or who have sustained viral rebound to detectable levels after prior viral suppression on ART (see Pregnant People Who Have Not Achieved Viral Suppression on ART and Antiretroviral Drug Resistance and Resistance Testing in Pregnancy). Drug-resistance testing in the setting of virologic failure is most useful when it is performed while patients are receiving ARV drugs or within 4 weeks after discontinuing drugs. Even if more than 4 weeks have elapsed since the ARV drugs were discontinued, resistance testing can still provide useful information to guide therapy, although it may not detect all resistance mutations that were selected by previous ARV regimens.

**Other Laboratory Testing and Monitoring**

The laboratory tests that are used to monitor complications of ARV drugs during pregnancy should be chosen based on what is known about the adverse effects of the drugs a patient is receiving (see Table 6 below). For example, routine hematologic monitoring is recommended for patients who are receiving zidovudine-containing regimens, and routine renal monitoring is recommended for patients who are receiving tenofovir disoproxil fumarate. Liver function should be monitored in all patients who are receiving ART, ideally within 2 to 4 weeks after initiating or changing ARV drugs and approximately every 3 months thereafter or as needed for other clinical care. Hepatic dysfunction has been observed in pregnant women on PIs, and the use of any PI during pregnancy has been associated with higher rates of liver function test abnormalities than the rates observed with non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor-based ART. Hepatic steatosis and lactic acidosis in pregnancy have been related to the use of older nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors, such as stavudine, didanosine, and zidovudine. Pregnant women in general are more likely to have elevated levels of liver enzymes than their nonpregnant counterparts.14–16

Pregnancy itself increases the risk of glucose intolerance. In a recent meta-analysis, the pooled prevalence of gestational diabetes among women with HIV was 4.42% (95% confidence interval, 3.48% to 5.35%), with women in Asia demonstrating the highest prevalence (7.10%) and those in Africa demonstrating the lowest prevalence (3.19%). These rates do not appear to be higher than those in non-HIV populations.17,18 The majority of studies in pregnant women have not demonstrated an association between HIV infection and gestational diabetes,19–23 although some studies with
stringent definitions of gestational diabetes did show an increased risk of gestational diabetes in women who were taking PI-based regimens during pregnancy. Two studies reported higher odds of gestational diabetes in women who were receiving PI-based regimens, but another prospective study reported that pregnant women with HIV who received PI-containing regimens did not have a greater risk for glucose intolerance or insulin resistance than those who received regimens that did not contain a PI. Patients with HIV who are on ART during pregnancy should receive the standard glucose screening that is recommended for all pregnant women. However, some experts would perform glucose screening earlier in pregnancy for patients who are receiving PI-based ART that was initiated before pregnancy, in accordance with recommendations for patients with risk factors for glucose intolerance, such as obesity (see Table 6 below).

In addition to gestational diabetes risk with some ARV classes, risk for weight gain and obesity both during pregnancy and postpartum may be present with integrase inhibitor use, though existing evidence is inconclusive, with most published data in non-pregnant populations. Current guidelines from the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists as well as the National Academy of Medicine recommend that appropriate weight gain, diet, and exercise during pregnancy should be discussed with patients at initial antenatal visits and regularly thereafter.

Accurate estimation of date of delivery is critical when planning scheduled cesarean deliveries at 38 weeks gestation to prevent perinatal transmission in patients with HIV who have elevated HIV RNA viral loads (or when scheduling cesarean delivery or induction for an obstetric indication). Therefore, it is recommended that health care providers follow the current obstetric guidelines for gestational age dating by ultrasound.

Noninvasive methods of aneuploidy screening should be offered, using tests with high sensitivity and low false-positive rates as recommended by the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Non-invasive screening can be accomplished using any of the following—

- Cell-free DNA screening plus nuchal translucency; or
- Serum analyte screening alone or combined with nuchal translucency; or
- Ultrasonographic screening alone.

Patients with HIV who have indications for invasive testing during pregnancy (e.g., abnormal ultrasound or aneuploidy screening) should be counseled about the potential risk of perinatal HIV transmission along with other risks of the procedure so that they can make an informed decision about testing. Although the data on women who are receiving ART are still somewhat limited, the risk of perinatal HIV transmission does not appear to increase with the use of amniocentesis or other invasive diagnostic procedures in women who have virologic suppression on ART. This is in contrast to the era before effective ART, during which invasive procedures, such as amniocentesis and chorionic villus sampling (CVS), were associated with a twofold to fourfold increase in the risk of perinatal transmission of HIV. Although no transmissions occurred among 159 reported cases of amniocentesis or other invasive diagnostic procedures performed in women who were on effective ART, a small increase in the risk of transmission cannot be ruled out. Some experts consider CVS and cordocentesis too risky to offer to patients with HIV, and they recommend limiting invasive procedures to amniocentesis.

At a minimum, pregnant patients should receive effective ART before undergoing any invasive prenatal testing. In addition, they ideally should have undetectable HIV RNA levels at the time of the...
procedure, and every effort should be made to avoid inserting the needle through, or very close to, the placenta. If a patient with detectable HIV RNA levels requires amniocentesis, consultation with an expert in the management of HIV during pregnancy should be considered (see Intrapartum Care for People with HIV).
Table 6. HIV-Related Laboratory Monitoring Schedule for Pregnant People with HIV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Laboratory Test</th>
<th>Timepoint or Frequency of Testing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Entry Into Antenatal Care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV RNA Levels</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4 Count</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resistance Testing</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Standard Glucose Screening
- For patients on ART

### LFTs for Patients on ART
- ✓
- ✓
- ✓
- ✓

**With additional testing as clinically indicated**

### Monitoring for ARV-Specific Toxicities
- Refer to the recommendations in the package inserts for the individual ARV drugs.

---

**a** For additional information see Laboratory Monitoring in the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines.

**b** The plasma HIV RNA levels of pregnant people with HIV should be monitored at the initial antenatal visit (AII), 2 to 4 weeks after initiating (or changing) antiretroviral therapy (ART) (BIII), monthly until RNA levels are undetectable (BIII), and then at least every 3 months during pregnancy (BIII). Obtain an HIV RNA level at the time of ART initiation or modification if a recent result within 2 weeks prior is not available.

**c** More frequent viral load monitoring (every 1–2 months) may be indicated for patients who are taking ARVs that have been shown to have reduced drug levels in the second and third trimesters and are at risk for loss of viral suppression, e.g., cobicistat, elvitegravir, or rilpivirine (see Table 4 and Table 5 and Pregnant People with HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy).

**d** CD4 T lymphocyte (CD4) cell count should be measured at the initial antenatal visit (AII). Patients who have been on ART for ≥2 years and who have had consistent viral suppression and CD4 counts that are consistently ≥300 cells/mm³ do not need to have their CD4 counts monitored after the initial antenatal visit during this pregnancy, per the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines (CIII). Patients who have been on ART for <2 years, patients with CD4 counts <300 cells/mm³, and patients with inconsistent adherence and/or detectable viral loads should have CD4 counts monitored every 3 months during pregnancy (CIII).

**e** ARV drug-resistance testing (genotypic testing and, if indicated, phenotypic testing) should be performed in patients whose HIV RNA levels are above the threshold for standard resistance testing (i.e., >500 copies/mL to 1,000 copies/mL) before—
- Initiating ART in ARV-naive pregnant patients who have not been previously tested for ARV drug resistance (AII);
- Initiating ART in ARV-experienced pregnant patients (AIII); or
- Modifying ARV regimens for patients who become pregnant while receiving ARV drugs or patients who have suboptimal virologic response to ARV drugs that were started during pregnancy (AII).

ART should be initiated in pregnant patients prior to receiving the results of ARV-resistance tests. ART should be modified, if necessary, based on the results of resistance testing (BIII).
Patients who are taking ART during pregnancy should undergo standard glucose screening (AIII). Some experts suggest performing glucose screening early in pregnancy for patients who are receiving protease inhibitor (PI)-based regimens that were initiated before pregnancy, in accordance with recommendations for patients who are at risk for glucose intolerance (BIII). For more information on PIs, see Combination Antiretroviral Drug Regimens and Maternal and Neonatal Outcomes.

Laboratory testing to monitor complications of ARV drugs during pregnancy should be based on what is known about the adverse effects of the drugs a woman is receiving (AIII).

Key: ART = antiretroviral therapy; ARV = antiretroviral; CD4 = CD4 T lymphocyte; LFT = liver function test; PI = protease inhibitor
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### Antiretroviral Drug Resistance and Resistance Testing in Pregnancy

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Panel's Recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• HIV drug-resistance testing (genotypic and, if indicated, phenotypic) should be performed in persons with HIV whose HIV RNA levels are above the threshold for resistance testing (i.e., &gt;500 to 1,000 copies/mL) before—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Initiating antiretroviral therapy (ART) in antiretroviral (ARV)-naive pregnant persons who have not been previously tested for ARV resistance (AII),</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Initiating ART in ARV-experienced pregnant persons (including those who have received pre-exposure prophylaxis) (AIII), or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Modifying ARV regimens for those who are newly pregnant and receiving ARV drugs or who have suboptimal virologic response to the ARV drugs started during pregnancy (AII).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Phenotypic resistance testing is indicated for treatment-experienced persons on failing regimens who are thought to have multidrug resistance (BIII).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• ART should be initiated in pregnant persons before receiving results of ARV-resistance testing; ART should be modified, if necessary, based on the results of resistance assays (AII).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• If the use of an integrase strand transfer inhibitor (INSTI) is being considered and INSTI resistance is a concern, providers should supplement standard resistance testing with a specific INSTI genotypic resistance assay (AIII). INSTI resistance may be a concern if—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o A patient received prior treatment that included an INSTI, or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o A patient has had a sexual partner on INSTI therapy who was not virologically suppressed or with unknown viral load.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Documented zidovudine (ZDV) resistance does not affect the indications for use of intrapartum intravenous ZDV (see Intrapartum Care for People with HIV) (BIII).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Choice of ARV regimen for an infant born to a person with known or suspected drug resistance should be determined in consultation with a pediatric HIV specialist, preferably before delivery (see Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection) (AIII).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Pregnant persons with HIV should be given ART to maximally suppress viral replication, which is the most effective strategy for preventing development of resistance and minimizing risk of perinatal transmission (AII).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• All pregnant and postpartum individuals should be counseled about the importance of adherence to prescribed ARV medications to reduce the risk of developing resistance (AII).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Rating of Recommendations:** A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

**Rating of Evidence:** I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion
Indications for Antiretroviral Drug-Resistance Testing in Pregnant Persons with HIV

Identification of baseline resistance mutations allows for the selection of more effective and durable antiretroviral (ARV) regimens. HIV genotypic drug-resistance testing is recommended for persons with HIV who have HIV RNA levels above the threshold for resistance testing (i.e., >500 to 1,000 copies/mL) before—

- Initiating antiretroviral therapy (ART) in ARV-naive pregnant patients who have not been previously tested for ARV resistance,
- Initiating ART in ARV-experienced pregnant patients (including those who have received pre-exposure prophylaxis [PrEP]), or
- Modifying ARV regimens for those who are newly pregnant and receiving ARV drugs or who have suboptimal virologic response to ARV drugs that were initiated during pregnancy.

It is also important to obtain a comprehensive history of ARV drug use, including ARVs used for HIV PrEP. In most settings, the results of resistance testing guide the selection of the initial ARV regimen. However, ART should be initiated in ARV-naive pregnant persons or ARV-experienced individuals who are not presently on ART without waiting for the results of resistance testing because earlier viral suppression is associated with lower risk of perinatal transmission. The regimen can be modified, if required, when test results return.

Integrase strand transfer inhibitors (INSTIs) are used increasingly in ART regimens for pregnant people. Resistance to INSTIs is generally uncommon among ARV-naive individuals in the United States. INSTI resistance was detected in 2.4% (95% CI, 1.5% to 3.6%) of ART-naive persons and 9.6% (95% CI, 8.3% to 11.0%) of ART-experienced persons with HIV in North Carolina and in 2.9% of ART-naive participants from an HIV clinic in Santa Clara County, California. The prevalence of INSTI resistance increased slightly from 0.0% in 2004 to 1.4% (P = 0.04) in 2013 in Washington, D.C. A polymorphism or a substitution associated with INSTI resistance was found in 1.4% of INSTI-naive persons in 16 clinical trials.

The development of INSTI resistance is infrequent among people who receive INSTI-based ART (only 1.5% to 3.8% of people develop resistance). A modeling study found that testing for INSTI resistance at ART initiation was not cost effective and did not improve clinical outcomes. Routine INSTI-resistance testing generally is not indicated in pregnant persons. However, such testing can be considered when a patient received prior treatment that included an INSTI or when a patient has had a sexual partner on INSTI therapy who was not virologically suppressed or with unknown viral load.

HIV drug-resistance genotype testing detects mutations that confer resistance to protease inhibitors (PIs), nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs), non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTIs), and INSTIs. Phenotypic resistance testing is reserved generally for cases of complex NRTI-resistance patterns in patients with limited treatment options and is recommended for treatment-experienced persons on failing regimens with suspected multidrug resistance (see Drug-Resistance Testing in the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines). At some institutions, testing for INSTI resistance may have to be ordered separately.
Incidence and Significance of Antiretroviral Drug Resistance in Pregnancy

The development of ARV drug resistance is one of the major factors leading to therapeutic failure in individuals with HIV. In addition, pre-existing resistance to a drug in an ARV regimen may diminish the regimen’s efficacy in preventing perinatal transmission. Maternal drug resistance can be transmitted to the fetus, which can limit treatment options for the infant. Resistance to ARV drugs appears to be more common in women who acquired HIV perinatally than in other women with HIV. The complexities of managing pregnant people with perinatally acquired HIV warrant consultation with an expert in HIV. See Prenatal Care, Antiretroviral Therapy, and HIV Management in People with Perinatal-Acquired HIV Infection for more information.

Several factors that are unique to pregnancy may increase the risk of developing resistance. Problems—such as nausea and vomiting—in early pregnancy may compromise adherence, increasing the risk of developing resistance in those receiving ARV drugs. Pharmacokinetic changes during pregnancy (e.g., increased plasma volume and renal clearance) may lead to subtherapeutic drug levels, increasing the risk that resistance will develop.

Managing Antiretroviral Resistance During Pregnancy

The most effective way to prevent the development of ARV drug resistance in pregnancy is to follow recommendations for resistance testing and viral load monitoring and to support adherence to an effective ARV regimen that achieves maximal viral suppression (see Monitoring During Pregnancy). Management of pregnant people who have received ART or ARV prophylaxis previously, including resistance testing, is discussed in Pregnant People with HIV Who Have Previously Received Antiretroviral Medication but Are Not Currently Receiving Any Antiretroviral Medications. Inadequate adherence and viral resistance should be considered when there is a suboptimal virologic response or viral rebound to an ARV regimen (see Pregnant People Who Have Not Achieved Viral Suppression on Antiretroviral Therapy). Because studies have shown that adherence to ART may worsen during the postpartum period, arrangements should be made during pregnancy for appropriate postpartum follow-up and adherence support to prevent loss of virologic control and the development of resistance (see Postpartum Follow-Up of People with HIV).

Zidovudine Resistance During Pregnancy

Those who have documented zidovudine (ZDV) resistance and who did not receive ZDV as part of their antepartum regimen should still receive intravenous (IV) ZDV during labor when indicated. IV ZDV is indicated for patients with HIV RNA >1,000 copies/mL or unknown HIV viral load near delivery (see Intrapartum Care for People with HIV). A patient’s normal ARV regimen should be continued orally during labor to the extent possible. The rationale for including ZDV intrapartum when a patient is known to harbor virus with ZDV resistance is based on several factors. Only wild-type virus appears to be transmitted to infants by mothers who have mixed populations of wild-type virus and virus with low-level ZDV resistance. Other studies have suggested that drug-resistance mutations may diminish viral fitness and possibly decrease transmissibility. The efficacy of ZDV prophylaxis appears to be based not only on a reduction in maternal HIV viral load, but also on the use of PrEP and post-exposure prophylaxis in the infant. ZDV crosses the placenta readily and has a high cord-to-maternal-blood ratio. In addition, ZDV is metabolized to the active triphosphate within the placenta, which may provide additional protection against transmission. ZDV penetrates the central nervous system better than other recommended nucleoside analogues; this may help eliminate a potential reservoir for transmitted HIV in the infant. ZDV’s unique characteristics and
its proven record in reducing perinatal transmission support the recommendation to administer intrapartum IV ZDV when indicated, even in the presence of known ZDV resistance.

The optimal prophylactic regimen for newborns of persons with drug-resistant virus is unknown. Therefore, ARV prophylaxis for infants born to persons with known or suspected drug-resistant virus should be determined with the help of a pediatric HIV specialist, preferably before delivery (see Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection). No evidence exists that neonatal prophylaxis regimens that have been customized to address maternal drug resistance are more effective than standard neonatal prophylaxis regimens.
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Pregnant People Who Have Not Achieved Viral Suppression on Antiretroviral Therapy

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Panel’s Recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Because a pregnant person’s antenatal viral load correlates with the risk of perinatal transmission of HIV, suppression of HIV RNA to undetectable levels should be achieved as rapidly as possible (AII).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• For pregnant people who have not achieved viral suppression (after an adequate period of treatment):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Assess medication adherence, tolerability, dosing, potential problems with drug absorption, adherence to food requirements, and possible drug interactions. (see Adherence to the Continuum of Care in the Adult and Adolescent Guidelines).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Perform HIV drug resistance testing if HIV RNA level is above the threshold for resistance testing (&gt;500 to 1,000 copies/mL (AII)).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Consult an HIV treatment expert and consider possible antiretroviral regimen modification (AIII).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Please see Intrapartum Care for People with HIV for guidance about use of intrapartum intravenous zidovudine prophylaxis and scheduled cesarean delivery for pregnant people who have not achieved viral suppression on antiretroviral therapy (AII).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

Virologic suppression is defined as a confirmed HIV RNA level that is below the lower limits of detection of an ultrasensitive assay. Virologic failure is the inability to achieve or maintain an HIV RNA level of <200 copies/mL. Baseline HIV RNA levels have been shown to affect the time to viral suppression in both pregnant and nonpregnant individuals, and no difference in time to viral response has been observed between pregnant and nonpregnant women.1,2 In women with HIV who participated in three prospective studies from seven African countries and who became pregnant after initiating antiretroviral therapy (ART), incident pregnancy did not affect time to viral suppression or time to virologic failure.3

HIV RNA levels should be assessed 2 to 4 weeks after an antiretroviral (ARV) drug regimen is initiated or changed to provide an initial assessment of the regimen’s effectiveness.4 With use of integrase strand transfer inhibitors (INSTIs) as part of an ARV regimen, a decrease of approximately one hundred-fold in HIV RNA levels can be expected by Week 2 of therapy.4,5 In the United Kingdom, a multicenter, retrospective observational study of women initiating ART during pregnancy found that higher baseline viral load was the only independent factor associated with faster first-phase HIV RNA half-life decay, and that lower viral load on Day 14 after starting ART was associated with an increased likelihood of achieving an undetectable plasma viral load by 36 weeks gestation.6
Suppression of HIV RNA to undetectable levels should be achieved as rapidly as possible in
pregnancy, because maternal antenatal HIV RNA level correlates with the risk of perinatal
transmission, as well as maternal HIV progression. In addition, an analysis from the Women's
Interagency HIV Study cohort found that higher viral loads were associated with an increased risk of
pregnancy loss through miscarriage or stillbirth. However, a report from the HIV Outpatient Study
noted that among 119 pregnancies that were analyzed between 2005 and 2015, 33 women (27.7%)
were not virally suppressed (HIV RNA >500 copies/mL) at the end of pregnancy. Failure to achieve
virologic suppression remains a common problem for pregnant people in the United States.

Causes of Detectable Viremia

Lack of virologic suppression is frequently associated with inadequate adherence; barriers to
adherence should be addressed when the viral load does not decline as expected. (See Adherence to the Continuum of Care in the Adult and Adolescent Guidelines.) A systematic review and meta-analysis of ART adherence during and after pregnancy in low-, middle-, and high-income countries (27% of studies were from the United States) found that only 73.5% of pregnant women achieved adequate (>80%) ART adherence. Factors that can contribute to suboptimal adherence in pregnancy include depression, a lack of HIV seropositive status disclosure, adverse drug reactions, a history of intimate partner violence, a lack of prior experience with taking ART, and a lack of knowledge about the role of ART in preventing perinatal transmission. Other factors that have been associated with lack of viral suppression in pregnancy, and likely associated with difficulties with adherence, include unintended pregnancy and social and economic vulnerabilities (e.g., living in the U.S. for less than 5 years with no family/friends’ support, neighborhood exposures to crime), as well as poor engagement in prenatal care. Other potential causes of detectable viremia include drug-drug interactions and lack of attention to food requirements with some ARV agents (e.g., rilpivirine, darunavir) that affect adequate drug absorption.

The lack of virologic suppression by late pregnancy may indicate virologic failure, but it may also
represent inadequate time on ART. In a retrospective multicenter cohort of 378 pregnant women,
77.2% of women achieved HIV RNA <50 copies/mL by delivery; success in achieving viral
suppression varied by baseline HIV RNA level. In women with baseline HIV RNA levels
<10,000 copies/mL, the gestational age of their infants at ART initiation did not affect the likelihood
of achieving viral suppression up to 26.3 weeks gestation. In women with baseline HIV RNA levels
>10,000 copies/mL, however, delaying ART initiation past 20.4 weeks significantly reduced the
likelihood of achieving maximal suppression at delivery. Among 1,070 ART-naïve pregnant women
with HIV who participated in the prospective cohort study IMPAACT P1025, initiating ART at
>32 weeks gestation also was associated with a significantly higher risk of having a viral load
>400 copies/mL at delivery. A report from the French Perinatal Cohort found no perinatal
transmission of HIV among 2,651 infants born to women who received ART before conception,
continued ART throughout pregnancy, and delivered with a plasma HIV RNA <50 copies/mL (with
an upper limit for the 95% confidence interval [CI] of 0.1%). In the entire cohort of 8,075 mother-
infant pairs that were followed from 2000 through 2011, HIV RNA level and timing of ART
initiation were independently associated with perinatal transmission of HIV in a logistic regression
analysis. A recent cross-sectional analysis of 10,052 pregnant women with HIV receiving antenatal
care (ANC) in public facilities in South Africa reported that failure to achieve viral suppression (HIV
RNA <50 copies/mL) was associated primarily with late registration for ANC and late initiation of
ART.
The response to ART also may be affected by other factors. Pregnant people with acute HIV generally have high viral loads and strategies to accelerate viral decline may be considered in these patients, though these strategies should be discussed with HIV treatment experts (see Acute HIV Infection). In a population-based surveillance study in the United Kingdom and Ireland that compared 70 pregnancies in 45 women with perinatally acquired HIV and 184 pregnancies in 118 women with non-perinatally-acquired HIV, perinatally-acquired HIV in the mother was a risk factor for detectable viral load near delivery; this finding reflects complex clinical, psychosocial, adherence, and resistance issues. Among 2,123 births that occurred between 2007 and 2015 and were reported in the Surveillance Monitoring of ART Toxicities Study, as part of the Pediatric HIV/AIDS Cohort Study, women with perinatally-acquired HIV had a higher perinatal transmission rate (1.1%; 95% CI, 0.3% to 4.3% vs. 0.4%; 95% CI, 0.2% to 1.0%) and higher likelihood of having HIV RNA >1,000 copies/mL close to delivery than women with non-perinatally acquired HIV. If needed, ARV regimens should be optimized in consultation with HIV treatment experts, and other possible contributing factors should be considered (see Prenatal Care, Antiretroviral Therapy, and HIV Management in People with Perinatally Acquired HIV Infection).

Managing Lack of Viral Suppression

A three-pronged approach is indicated for evaluating and managing pregnant people on ARV regimens who have lack of suppression of HIV RNA, taking time on treatment into account. The three approaches are—

- Assessing adherence, tolerability, correct dosing, or potential problems with absorption (e.g., nausea/vomiting, use of gastroesophageal reflux disease medications, coadministration of prenatal vitamins and iron with INSTIs, lack of attention to food requirements);
- Ordering ARV drug resistance tests if plasma HIV RNA is above the threshold for resistance testing (generally >500 copies/mL); and
- Considering modifying the ARV regimen (see Pregnant People with HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy and Table 5).

Evaluation of and support for adherence during pregnancy are critical to achieving and maintaining maximal viral suppression. Access to and promotion of pre-pregnancy counseling and family planning services to reduce unintended pregnancy and help those with HIV achieve their childbearing aspirations, as well as early attention to the special need for adherence support among immigrant communities affected by HIV and others with adverse neighborhood exposures, are critical to achieving and maintaining maximal viral suppression. In a retrospective cohort, group prenatal care for pregnant women living with HIV as compared to individual care showed promise in achieving viral suppression by the time of delivery (adjusted odds ratio [aOR] = 2.29; 95% CI, 0.94-5.55; \( P = 0.068 \)).

Before modifying an ARV regimen, consult an expert in clinical care for ARV-experienced adults. This is particularly important in cases where a drug regimen must be modified due to resistance or adverse effects. Regimen simplification may be considered to promote better adherence. Other possible interventions include adherence education, treating problems that may interfere with drug absorption (e.g., vomiting), ensuring that a patient is taking ART in accordance with food requirements, and directly observing drug administration in the home or hospital setting (see Table 10).

Recommendations for the Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy and Interventions to Reduce Perinatal HIV Transmission in the United States
In a study from the French Perinatal cohort among 1,797 women with HIV RNA level <50 copies/mL before 14 weeks gestation, change in ARV regimen in 411 women due to safety concerns based on existing guidelines at the time of pregnancy did not result in loss of virologic control. However, among 662 pregnancies that were followed in Italy between 2001 and 2008, treatment modification during pregnancy was independently associated with HIV RNA level >400 copies/mL in late pregnancy (aOR 1.66; 95% CI, 1.07–2.57; P = 0.024). This highlights the importance of using potent and well-tolerated regimens during pregnancy to maximize effectiveness and minimize the need to modify treatment. The findings also highlight the importance of not changing effective ARV regimens in people who become pregnant while taking ART (see Pregnant People with HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy).

The Role of INSTIs in People with Detectable HIV RNA Levels During Pregnancy

The INSTI class of drugs has been associated with rapid viral load reduction. Both raltegravir (RAL) and dolutegravir (DTG) are now Preferred ARV drugs in people trying to conceive and for use throughout pregnancy, and should be strongly considered in people who present late in pregnancy and, specifically, in those who present with high viral loads (see Table 4). The use of RAL or DTG also has been suggested as a fourth ARV drug in ART-naive people with high viral loads, but there is limited evidence of a benefit in this situation (see Pregnant People with HIV Who Have Never Received Antiretroviral Drugs, Table 4, and Table 5). Adding RAL or another INSTI to a three-drug ARV regimen also has been suggested in the setting of lack of viral suppression due to known or suspected drug-resistant mutations or nonadherence. However, the efficacy and safety of this approach during pregnancy have not been evaluated in clinical trials. The available data come from case series and two retrospective cohorts, and most of these data focus on the use of RAL. A recent prospective cohort study from Thailand enrolled 154 pregnant women with HIV. These women had either started ART at ≥32 weeks gestation (73% of women) or were receiving ART and had plasma HIV RNA levels >1,000 copies/mL at 32 to 38 weeks gestation (27% of women). These women received a standard, three-drug ARV regimen plus RAL intensification until delivery. The median gestational age at entry was 34 weeks (interquartile range [IQR] 33–36 weeks) and median duration of treatment was 21 days (IQR 8–34 days). The proportion of women with HIV RNA levels of <50 copies/mL and <1,000 copies/mL at delivery was 45% and 76%, respectively; 83% of those who were ART-naive had HIV RNA <1,000 copies/mL at delivery compared with 60% of those who were already on ART but who had not achieved virologic suppression. The overall perinatal transmission rate in this high-risk group of women was 3.9% (95% CI, 1.4% to 8.2%). Six instances of perinatal transmission occurred in this group; three of those instances occurred in utero.

In cases where treatment failure is attributed to nonadherence and/or drug resistance, concerns exist that the addition of a single agent may further increase the risk of resistance and lead to the potential loss of future effectiveness of this agent. In addition, when poor adherence is the reason that the patient has not achieved or maintained virologic suppression, it is unclear that adding a new drug to the existing regimen will improve adherence. Currently, data are insufficient to recommend adding an INSTI to a failing ARV regimen for people in late pregnancy. However, after reviewing a patient’s full treatment history and drug resistance results, a clinician may consider using an INSTI as part of a new regimen for pregnant people who are experiencing virologic failure on a non-INSTI ARV regimen.
Viral Rebound in Late Pregnancy

A recent retrospective study of 318 pregnant women addressed the risk of viral rebound in pregnancy among women who received ART for \( \geq 4 \) weeks and who had had \( \geq 1 \) prior undetectable viral load. Nineteen women (6%) had viral rebound (HIV RNA \( >50 \) copies/mL) within 1 month before delivery; six of these 19 women had viral loads above 1,000 copies/mL. Significant predictors of viral rebound included cocaine use and testing positive for hepatitis C virus RNA.\(^1\) Viral load testing is currently recommended at 34 to 36 weeks gestation for delivery planning; providers may consider repeat testing subsequently in selected patients who are at increased risk for viral rebound.

Intrapartum Management of People with a Lack of Viral Suppression

Please see Intrapartum Care for People with HIV for guidance about the use of intrapartum intravenous zidovudine prophylaxis and scheduled cesarean delivery for pregnant persons who have not achieved viral suppression on ART.
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Special Populations: Hepatitis B Virus/HIV Coinfection

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Panel’s Recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• All pregnant people with HIV should be screened during each pregnancy for hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection, unless they are already known to have HBV/HIV coinfection or have serologic documentation of HBV immunity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• All pregnant people with HIV who screen negative for HBV infection and lack HBV immunity (i.e., HBV surface antigen negative, HBV core antibody negative, and HBV surface antibody negative) should promptly receive the HBV vaccine series (AII).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• All pregnant people with chronic HBV infection who have not already received the hepatitis A virus (HAV) vaccine series should be screened for immunity to HAV. If they screen negative for HAV antibodies (either IgG or total antibody [IgG and IgM]), they should receive the HAV vaccine series (AIII).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• After delivery, people with HBV/HIV coinfection should continue antiretroviral regimens that include drugs with anti-HBV activity: tenofovir disoproxil fumarate or tenofovir alafenamide plus lamivudine or emtricitabine (AII).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Pregnant people with HBV/HIV coinfection who are receiving antiretroviral therapy (ART) should be counseled about signs and symptoms of liver toxicity, and liver transaminases should be assessed 1 month after initiating ART and at least every 3 months thereafter during pregnancy (BIII).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• For pregnant people with HBV/HIV coinfection who discontinue medications with anti-HBV activity, frequent monitoring of liver function tests for potential exacerbation of HBV infection is recommended, with prompt re-initiation of treatment for HBV when a flare is suspected (BIII).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• HBV/HIV coinfection is not an independent indication for cesarean delivery (see Intrapartum Care for People with HIV (AIII)).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Within 12 hours of birth, infants born to people with HBV should receive hepatitis B immune globulin and the first dose of the HBV vaccine series (AI).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

The management of hepatitis B virus (HBV)/HIV coinfection in pregnancy is complex, and consultation with an expert in HIV and HBV coinfection is strongly recommended. For additional information on HBV and HIV, see Hepatitis B Virus/HIV Coinfection in the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Hepatitis B Virus Infection in the Adult and Adolescent Opportunistic Infection Guidelines, and Hepatitis B Virus in Guidelines for the Prevention and Treatment of Opportunistic Infections in HIV-Exposed and HIV-Infected Children.
Screening and Vaccination

Everyone with HIV should be screened for HBV at entry into general HIV care. For guidance on screening for hepatitis C virus (HCV), see Hepatitis C Virus/HIV Coinfection. All pregnant people with HIV should be screened for HBV during each pregnancy, unless they are known to have HBV/HIV coinfection or to have serologic documentation of HBV immunity. Screening for HBV should include hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg), hepatitis B core antibody (anti-HBc or HBcAb), and hepatitis B surface antibody (anti-HBs or HBsAb). People who test positive for HBsAg should have follow-up testing to evaluate liver function, prothrombin time, and levels of HBV DNA, HB e antigen, and HB e antibody.¹

To prevent transmission of HIV and HBV from people with HBV/HIV coinfection to their sex partners, their sexual contacts should be counseled and tested for HIV and HBV. All HBV-susceptible contacts should then receive the HBV vaccine series; all sex partners who do not have HIV infection should be counseled about the benefits of condom use, pre-exposure prophylaxis, and having a sex partner with undetectable HIV (U=U) in preventing HIV transmission. For information on testing and prevention of HIV transmission to sex partners, see Reproductive Options for Couples When One or Both Partners Have HIV and the Let’s Stop HIV Together resources from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).¹–⁴ For more information specifically about preventing HBV transmission, see the CDC guidelines on pre-exposure prophylaxis and the Hepatitis B Virus Infection section of the Adult and Adolescent Opportunistic Infection Guidelines.

Pregnant people with HIV who screen negative for HBV (i.e., HBsAg negative, anti-HBc negative, and anti-HBs negative) or who lack HBV immunity (i.e., anti-HBs negative) should promptly receive the HBV vaccine series. People with HIV who have remote HBV infection and who have only current anti-HBc antibody detected (i.e., they test negative for HBV DNA, HBsAg, and anti-HBs) may have lost immunity to HBV and should be vaccinated.¹ A assessment of anti-HBs titers 1 to 2 months after the vaccine series and management of non-responders should be conducted in pregnant people with HIV/HBV coinfection in the same way as recommended for non-pregnant people with HIV/HBV coinfection; see Hepatitis B Virus Infection in the Adult and Adolescent Opportunistic Infection Guidelines.¹ No evidence exists that the HBV vaccine causes adverse effects in developing fetuses or newborns; current vaccines contain noninfectious HBsAg and are recommended for use in pregnancy for people with HIV.⁵,⁶

A positive test for anti-HBc alone can be a false positive, especially in regions of low HBV prevalence; alternatively, it may signify remote infection with subsequent loss of anti-HBs antibodies or longstanding chronic HBV infection with loss of surface antigen (this is known as “occult” HBV infection, which can be confirmed by detection of HBV DNA) (see Hepatitis B Virus Infection section of the Adult and Adolescent Opportunistic Infection Guidelines).⁷,⁸ Incidence of HBV viremia with the isolated anti-HBc pattern ranges from 1% to 30% in patients with HIV, depending on the population sampled.⁹ The clinical significance of isolated anti-HBc is unknown.¹⁰,¹¹ Some experts recommend that individuals with HIV infection and anti-HBc alone be tested for HBV DNA to inform decisions about vaccination for HBV and treatment with antiretroviral (ARV) drugs that have specific activity against HBV.¹² In areas where the prevalence of HBV is low, patients with isolated anti-HBc should be vaccinated with one standard dose of HBV vaccine, and anti-HBs titers should be checked 1 to 2 months after vaccination. If the anti-HBs titer is >100 IU/mL, no further vaccination is needed. If the titer is <100 IU/mL, the patient should receive a complete HBV vaccine series, followed by anti-HBs testing (see Hepatitis B Virus Infection section of the Adult and Adolescent Opportunistic Infection Guidelines).¹³ Pregnant people with HIV who have isolated anti-
HBc and occult HBV infection typically have very low levels of HBV DNA and are thought to be at extremely low risk of transmitting HBV to their infants.\textsuperscript{1,13}

Pregnant people who have HBV infection and who have not already received the hepatitis A virus (HAV) vaccine series also should be screened for HAV using antibody testing for immunoglobulin G (IgG) (note that some laboratories provide only a combined IgG and immunoglobulin M [IgM] HAV titer, which is acceptable). Individuals with chronic HBV have an added risk of hepatic decompensation from acute infection with HAV. Pregnant people with chronic HBV infection who have not already received the HAV vaccine series and who are not immune to HAV should receive the HAV vaccine series. Responses to the HAV vaccine are reduced in persons with HIV who have CD4 counts <200 cells/mm\textsuperscript{3}. Antibody response should be assessed in such persons 1 month after the HAV vaccine series is complete. If HAV antibody immunoglobulin (HAV Ab IgG) is negative, these persons should be revaccinated when the CD4 count is >200 cells/mm\textsuperscript{3}.\textsuperscript{1} Pregnant people who received the HAV vaccine series when their CD4 count was \textgtrless 200 cells/mm\textsuperscript{3} do not need to be revaccinated for HAV, because they are likely protected (even if their HAV IgG levels are undetectable using commercially available assays). Although the safety of HAV vaccination during pregnancy has not been directly evaluated, the HAV vaccine contains inactivated HAV, and the theoretical risk to the developing fetus is expected to be low.\textsuperscript{5}

**HBV/HIV Coinfection in Pregnancy**

A study of 4,236 pregnant women with HIV in France who were followed between 2005 and 2013 found that the prevalence of HBV (HBSAg positive) was 6.2%; HBV/HIV coinfection was six times more frequent in pregnant women who were born in sub-Saharan Africa than in those who were born in France.\textsuperscript{14} HBV/HIV coinfection was not associated with preterm delivery, lower CD4 counts, or detectable HIV viral load in this cohort.\textsuperscript{14} In a retrospective, multivariable analysis of response to ART in 1,462 pregnancies among Italian women with HIV (12% of the women had HBV/HIV coinfection), women with only HIV had better CD4 responses on ART during pregnancy than women with HBV/HIV coinfection.\textsuperscript{15} However, no differences in maternal and infant outcomes were observed between women with HBV/HIV coinfection and women with only HIV.

**Therapy for HIV and HBV in Pregnancy**

A n ARV regimen that includes drugs that are active against both HIV and HBV is recommended for all individuals with HBV/HIV coinfection, including all pregnant people. Initiation of ART may be associated with activation of HBV and development of immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome, particularly in persons with high HBV DNA levels and severe liver disease.\textsuperscript{1,16}

The use of ARV drugs with anti-HBV activity during pregnancy in people with HBV mono-infection lowers HBV viremia and lowers the risk of HBV transmission to the infant. High maternal HBV DNA levels are strongly correlated with perinatal HBV transmission and with failures of HBV passive-active immunoprophylaxis.\textsuperscript{17-20} All pregnant people with HIV/HBV coinfection should be receiving an ARV regimen that includes tenofovir and either lamivudine (3TC) or emtricitabine (FTC), which will reduce HBV viremia and, thus, lower the risk of HBV transmission to the infant.

Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF), tenofovir alafenamide (TAF), 3TC, and FTC all have activity against both HIV and HBV. All these drugs are preferred nucleoside and nucleotide reverse transcriptase inhibitors for use during pregnancy in people with HBV/HIV coinfection.\textsuperscript{21} (see
Consultation with an expert in HIV and HBV is strongly recommended when providing care for pregnant people with HBV/HIV coinfection who continues to have detectable HBV DNA viremia, despite receiving an ARV regimen that includes two anti-HBV nucleotide or nucleoside analogues.

Several other antiviral agents have activity against HBV, including entecavir, adefovir, and telbivudine. However, these drugs have not been well evaluated in pregnancy, with too few exposures to assess overall risk. They are currently not recommended for pregnant people with HBV/HIV coinfection.22

Interferon alfa and pegylated interferon alfa are also not recommended for use during pregnancy, and they should be used only if the potential benefits outweigh the potential risks. Although interferons are not teratogenic, they are abortifacient at high doses in monkeys and should not be used in pregnancy because of their direct antigrowth and antiproliferative effects.23

Cases of exposure during pregnancy to any of the ARV drugs and HBV drugs listed above should be reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry (online or by telephone at 1-800-258-4263).

**Monitoring People With HBV/HIV Coinfection During Pregnancy**

Prior to initiating ARV drugs that are active against HBV, a baseline HBV DNA level should be measured. After initiating therapy, HBV DNA should be monitored every 12 weeks to ensure adequate response to therapy (see Hepatitis B Virus Infection in the Adult and Adolescent Opportunistic Infection Guidelines).

Following initiation of ART, an elevation in hepatic enzymes can occur in pregnant people with HBV/HIV coinfection—particularly those with low CD4 counts at the time of treatment initiation—as a result of an immune-mediated flare in HBV disease triggered by immune reconstitution with effective HIV therapy. HBV infection can also increase the hepatotoxic risk of certain ARV drugs, specifically protease inhibitors. Pregnant people with HBV/HIV coinfection should be counseled about signs and symptoms of liver toxicity, and transaminase levels should be assessed 1 month after initiating ARV drugs and at least every 3 months thereafter. If hepatotoxicity occurs, it may be necessary to consider substituting a less hepatotoxic regimen or, if clinical symptoms or significant elevations of transaminases occur, drugs may need to be temporarily discontinued. Differentiating between the effects of drug toxicity and a flare in HBV disease caused by immune reconstitution often can be difficult, and consultation with an expert in HIV and HBV coinfection is strongly recommended.

Discontinuing anti-HBV agents may lead to reactivation of HBV, resulting in hepatocellular damage. If anti-HBV drugs are discontinued, serum transaminase levels should be monitored every 6 weeks for 3 months, then every 3 to 6 months thereafter, with prompt re-initiation of HBV treatment if a flare is suspected.1

**Mode of Delivery**

Decisions concerning mode of delivery of the infant in a pregnant woman with HBV/HIV coinfection should be based on standard obstetric and HIV-related indications alone (see Intrapartum).
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Care for People with HIV. Currently, the guidelines for women with HBV mono-infection do not recommend performing a cesarean delivery to prevent perinatal transmission of HBV.\(^{24-26}\)

**Evaluating and Managing Infants Who Were Exposed to HBV**

Within 12 hours of birth, all infants born to people with HBV infection, including those with HBV/HIV coinfection, should receive hepatitis B immune globulin (HBIG) and the first dose of the HBV vaccination series to prevent perinatal transmission of HBV. For infants weighing \(\geq 2,000\) g at birth, the second and final doses of the vaccine series should be administered at age 1 to 2 months and 6 months, respectively. For infants with birth weights <2,000 g, do not count the birth dose as part of the vaccine series, and administer three additional doses at ages 1 month, 2 to 3 months, and 6 months.\(^{27,28}\) This regimen is >95% effective in preventing HBV infection in these infants. Maternal ART that includes NAs with anti-HBV activity will result in low or suppressed HBV viral loads near delivery, which should further reduce the risk of perinatal HBV transmission in people with HBV/HIV coinfection.\(^{29,30}\)

Infant postvaccination testing for anti-HBs and HBsAg should be performed after completing the vaccine series, between the ages of 9 months and 18 months. Serologic testing should not be performed before age 9 months; this delay helps avoid detecting anti-HBs from HBIG that was administered during infancy and maximizes the likelihood of detecting late HBV infection. Anti-HBc testing of infants is not recommended, because passively acquired maternal anti-HBc might be detected in infants aged \(\leq 24\) months who were born to mothers with HBV. HBsAg-negative infants with anti-HBs levels >10 mIU/mL are protected and need no further medical management. HBsAg-negative infants with anti-HBs levels <10 mIU/mL should be revaccinated with a single dose of HBV vaccine and receive postvaccination serologic testing 1 to 2 months later. Infants whose anti-HBs levels remain <10 mIU/mL following single-dose revaccination should receive two additional doses of HBV vaccine to complete the second series, followed by post-vaccination serologic testing at 1 to 2 months after the final dose.\(^{31}\)
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### Panel’s Recommendations

- All pregnant people with HIV should be screened during the current pregnancy for hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection (AIII).
  - HCV screening should be repeated later in pregnancy in persons who initially screen negative for HCV but who have persistent or new risk factors for HCV (e.g., new or ongoing injection or intranasal substance use) (AIII).

- All pregnant people with HIV also should be tested for hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection (see [Hepatitis B Virus/HIV Coinfection](#)) (AIII).

- Pregnant people with HCV infection who have not already received the hepatitis A virus (HAV) vaccine series should be screened for immunity to HAV (AIII). If they screen negative for HAV antibodies (either immunoglobulin G [IgG] or total antibody [IgG and immunoglobulin M]), they should receive the HAV vaccine series (AIII).

- Currently, treatment of HCV during pregnancy is not recommended (unless part of an approved experimental protocol) because of the lack of safety data on the use of HCV direct-acting antiviral agents in persons who are pregnant. If considering initiating HCV treatment in a pregnant person with HCV/HIV coinfection, consultation with an expert in HIV and HCV is strongly recommended (AIII).

- Recommendations for antiretroviral therapy (ART) during pregnancy are the same for all people with HIV, including those who have HCV coinfection (AIII).

- Pregnant people with HCV/HIV coinfection who are receiving ART should be counseled about the signs and symptoms of liver toxicity, and hepatic transaminases should be assessed 1 month following initiation of ART and at least every 3 months thereafter during pregnancy (BIII).

- People with HCV should be strongly considered for HCV treatment with direct-acting antiviral agents postpartum (AII).

- In people with HCV infection, HCV RNA should be evaluated after delivery to assess for spontaneous clearance of HCV infection, particularly as they are being considered for initiation of HCV therapy postpartum (BII).

- **HCV/HIV coinfection is not an independent indication for cesarean delivery** (see [Intrapartum Care for People with HIV](#)) (AIII).

- Infants born to people with HCV/HIV coinfection should be evaluated for HCV infection (AIII). Decisions regarding the specific type of assays to use for HCV screening in children and the timing of those assays should be made after consultation with an expert in pediatric HCV infection (AIII).

### Rating of Recommendations:

- A = Strong
- B = Moderate
- C = Optional

### Rating of Evidence:

- I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints
- II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes
- III = Expert opinion

The management of hepatitis C virus/HIV coinfection in pregnancy is complex, and none of the approved HCV direct-acting antivirals (DAAs) have been evaluated fully for use in persons who are...
pregnant; thus, consultation with an expert in HIV and HCV infection is strongly recommended when managing HCV during pregnancy.

For additional information on HCV and HIV, see Hepatitis C Virus in the Pediatric Opportunistic Infection Guidelines, Hepatitis C Virus/HIV Coinfection in the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, and Hepatitis C Virus in the Adult and Adolescent Opportunistic Infection Guidelines. The American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD), the Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA), and the International Antiviral Society–USA maintain updated information about treating patients with HCV/HIV coinfection. The guidelines are available online at HCVguidelines.org.

Screening

All pregnant people with HIV should be screened at entry into general HIV care and during each pregnancy for HCV infection, unless they are known to have HCV/HIV coinfection. If they are known to have a history of HCV infection, consultation with an expert is recommended for follow-up testing and/or referral for treatment postpartum, as appropriate.

The primary reasons for HCV testing during pregnancy are—

- To identify pregnant persons with HCV/HIV coinfection at a time when they are engaged with the health care system, so that HCV treatment can be offered after delivery (ideally before a subsequent pregnancy);
- To monitor for HCV-related hepatotoxicity, which has been associated with the use of antiretroviral (ARV) drugs in women with HCV/HIV coinfection;¹
- To monitor for preterm birth, which has been associated with HCV/HIV coinfection in pregnant women;²⁻⁵ and
- To ensure appropriate follow-up and evaluation of infants who were exposed to HCV.

The observed prevalence for HCV infection was 2% to 12% in European cohorts of pregnant women with HIV⁴ and 3.8% among women with HIV in New York State.⁶ Although data about secular trends in HCV among women with HIV in the United States are limited, the prevalence of HCV among women of childbearing age and children aged <2 years in the general population has increased substantially in recent years, partly because of the ongoing opioid epidemic.⁵,⁷⁻¹³

The Society for Maternal–Fetal Medicine and the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists recommend repeating HCV testing later in pregnancy for individuals who initially screen negative for HCV but who have persistent risk factors for HCV or who develop new risk factors for HCV infection (e.g., new or ongoing use of injected or intranasal substance use).¹⁴ The partners of all people with HCV/HIV coinfection should be referred for both HIV and hepatitis counseling and testing to prevent the sexual transmission of HIV and HCV; however, HCV is transmitted infrequently via heterosexual sex. People who do not share injection equipment have a very low risk of horizontal transmission of HCV. Partners who do not have HIV infection should be counseled about the benefits of starting oral pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) to prevent HIV acquisition (see Prepregnancy Counseling and Care for Persons of Childbearing Age with HIV).

Newly available DAAs have improved HCV therapy dramatically; it is now possible to cure HCV infection in most patients.¹⁵ Current HCV treatment guidelines recommend therapy for nearly all patients with HCV infection.¹⁵ However, the management of HCV/HIV coinfection during pregnancy is
complex. A Phase 1 study is now evaluating the safety and pharmacokinetics (PKs) of ledipasvir/sofosbuvir in pregnancy. Safety data of DAA s in pregnancy are still limited. Ribavirin, although it is no longer commonly used for the treatment of HCV, is contraindicated in pregnancy. If considering HCV treatment for a pregnant person, consultation with an expert in HIV and HCV is strongly recommended.

Screening for chronic HCV infection using a sensitive immunoassay for HCV antibodies is recommended for all individuals with HIV, including those who are pregnant. All pregnant people in the United States should be screened for HCV at each pregnancy, except in settings where the prevalence of HCV infection is <0.1%. False-negative anti-HCV immunoassay results can occur in individuals with HIV, but this is uncommon with the more sensitive immunoassays. If HCV infection is suspected despite a negative HCV antibody screen, a commercially available diagnostic quantitative plasma HCV RNA assay can be performed. Individuals who have a positive HCV antibody test should undergo confirmatory testing for HCV RNA with this quantitative assay. Many laboratories now perform reflex RNA testing for individuals who test positive for HCV antibodies. Pregnant people also should be tested for HCV RNA when they have indeterminate or negative serologic test results for HCV but are suspected of having HCV infection because of elevated aminotransaminase levels or risk behaviors (e.g., a history of injection drug use).

Because of the added risk of hepatic decompensation from acute infection with any viral hepatitis, people with HCV infection also should be screened for both hepatitis A virus (HAV) and hepatitis B virus (HBV). People with chronic HCV infection who have not already received the HAV vaccine series should be screened for immunity to HAV (either immunoglobulin G [IgG] alone or IgG and immunoglobulin M together). If they screen negative for HAV antibodies, they should receive the HAV vaccine series. In patients with CD4 T lymphocyte (CD4+) cell counts <200 cells/mm³, antibody responses to the HAV vaccine should be assessed 1 month after the patient completes the vaccination series; those who are HAV antibody IgG negative should be revaccinated when the CD4 count is >200 cells/mm³. People with HCV/HIV coinffection who screen negative for HBV and lack HBV immunity (i.e., they are hepatitis B surface antigen [HBsAg] negative, hepatitis B core antibody negative, and hepatitis B surface antibody negative [HBsAb]) should receive the HBV vaccine series. Women with HCV/HIV coinfec tion who are HBsAb negative despite receiving the HBV vaccine series may benefit from revaccination (see Hepatitis B Virus/HIV Coinfection).

Impact of HCV/HIV Coinfection on Progression and Perinatal Transmission of Both Viruses

Although the HCV viral load tends to peak in the third trimester, pregnancy does not appear to influence the course of HCV infection clinically. People with chronic HCV generally do well during pregnancy, provided that they have not progressed to decompensated cirrhosis.

Hepatitis C Virus Transmission to the Infant

About 6% of infants born to women with HCV acquire HCV infection. In most studies of women with HCV/HIV coinfection who are not receiving treatment for either infection, the incidence of perinatal HCV transmission is approximately twofold higher among women with HCV/HIV coinfection (7% to 20% transmission risk) than among women with HCV monoinfection. These higher transmission rates likely are related to the higher levels of HCV viremia observed in patients with HCV/HIV coinfection and/or on other HIV-related impacts on HCV disease activity. Early and sustained control of HCV viremia with ART, however, could reduce the risk of HCV transmission to infants.
European study of perinatal HCV transmission found that the use of effective ART for HIV was associated with a strong trend toward reduced rates of HCV transmission (odds ratio [OR] 0.26; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.07–1.01). In an Italian cohort, HCV transmission occurred in 9% of infants born to women with HCV/HIV coinfection, most of whom were on ART. No HCV transmissions occurred in infants born to women with HCV viral loads of <5 log IU/mL.

**HIV Transmission to the Infant**

In the absence of ART, maternal HCV/HIV coinfection can increase the risk of perinatal HIV transmission. The risk of perinatal HIV transmission can be reduced in pregnant people with HCV/HIV coinfection by following the standard recommendations for ART for all women with HIV.

**Impact of Hepatitis C Virus on HIV Management**

Data are limited on the optimal management of pregnant people with HCV/HIV coinfection. Recommendations on the use of ART during pregnancy for treating HIV and preventing perinatal HIV transmission are the same for people with HCV/HIV coinfection as for those with HIV monoinfection (see [General Principles Regarding Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy](#)). In one Canadian study, HCV/HIV coinfection was associated with an increased risk of HIV viral load increases near delivery among women who were on previously effective ART. Although the authors suggest that additional factors (e.g., adherence) may have played a role, these findings support the need to follow recommendations for HIV RNA monitoring during pregnancy.

**Hepatitis C Virus–Specific Therapy in Pregnancy**

Several DAA regimens have been approved for the treatment of HCV. At present, all currently available DAA s lack sufficient safety data to be recommended for use during pregnancy, but general considerations for treatment are presented in this section.

When determining the optimal regimen for an individual patient, clinicians must consider many factors, including HCV genotype, prior treatment experience, and stage of liver disease (e.g., compensated or decompensated cirrhosis). The following main classes of DAAs are currently available in the United States:

- **NS5A inhibitors**: elbasvir, ledipasvir, pibrentasvir, velpatasvir
- **NS5B nucleoside polymerase inhibitors**: sofosbuvir
- **NS3/4A protease inhibitors (PIs)**: glecaprevir, grazoprevir, voxilaprevir

In the past, most anti-HCV therapy included both interferon and ribavirin. Interferons are not recommended for use in pregnancy because they are abortifacient at high doses in monkeys and have direct antigrowth and antiproliferative effects. Pegylated interferon is used rarely for treatment of HCV. DAA regimens with ribavirin are indicated for certain regimens and patient populations. Any treatment regimens that include ribavirin are contraindicated for use during pregnancy because of the teratogenic and embryocidal effects observed in all animal species exposed to ribavirin.

Ribavirin-associated defects in animals include limb abnormalities, craniofacial defects, anencephaly, and anophthalmia. The risk of teratogenicity persists for up to 6 months following ribavirin cessation and also applies to pregnancies of partners of men taking ribavirin.

---
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DAAs are not yet recommended for use in pregnancy because of the lack of PK and safety data; one small PK study investigating the use of ledipasvir/sofosbuvir in pregnant women with HCV alone demonstrated 100% virologic suppression and no safety concerns. Similarly, a small case series of 15 pregnant women treated with ledipasvir/sofosbuvir reported 100% virologic suppression at 12 weeks and no early safety concerns in the women or their infants. An open-label, Phase 1 study of ledipasvir-sofosbuvir started between 23 and 24 weeks gestation in eight women with genotype 1 HCV infection showed that ledipasvir and sofosbuvir exposures were similar in the pregnant women versus the nonpregnant reference group and the drug combination was safe. Pregnant people with HCV/HIV coinfection should be started on HCV treatment with DAAs postpartum. Drug interactions exist between the DAA anti-HCV drugs and ARV drugs that may produce clinically significant changes in serum levels of both ARV drugs and anti-HCV medications. For detailed information on the interactions between ARV drugs and anti-HCV drugs, see the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, the Adult and Adolescent Opportunistic Infection Guidelines, HCVGuidelines.org, and the HEP Drug Interaction Checker.

**Monitoring People with HCV/HIV Coinfection During Pregnancy**

Hepatic enzyme levels can increase after ART is initiated in people with HCV/HIV coinfection—particularly in those with low CD4 counts at treatment initiation—as a result of an immune-mediated flare in HCV disease triggered by immune reconstitution with ART. In patients with HIV, HCV coinfection may increase the hepatotoxic risk of certain ARV agents, specifically PIs and nevirapine. HCV monoinfection may increase the risk of intrahepatic cholestasis of pregnancy; this risk also is higher among individuals with HCV/HIV coinfection than among individuals with HIV infection alone. Pregnant patients with HCV/HIV coinfection should be counseled about the signs and symptoms of liver toxicity, and transaminase levels should be assessed 1 month after initiating ART and then every 3 months. If hepatic toxicity occurs, a clinician may need to consider initiating a less hepatotoxic drug regimen, and, if clinical symptoms or significant elevations of transaminases occur, drugs may need to be discontinued temporarily. Differentiating between drug toxicity and a flare of HCV disease that is associated with immune reconstitution can be difficult; therefore, consulting an expert in HCV/HIV coinfection is recommended.

HCV RNA levels can fluctuate during pregnancy and postpartum, with frequent increases in HCV RNA levels during pregnancy followed by a drop in the postpartum period. Spontaneous clearance of HCV can occur postpartum. As a result, the AASLD and the IDSA recommend that women have their HCV RNA re-evaluated after delivery, particularly if they are being assessed for initiation of therapy with DAAs.

Rates of preterm delivery are high among individuals with HCV/HIV coinfection. In an Italian cohort of mostly ART-treated women with HCV/HIV coinfection, preterm delivery occurred in 41% of women overall. The rate of preterm delivery was not significantly different among women with lower or higher HCV RNA levels: 29% among women with HCV RNA <5 log IU/mL and 43% among women with HCV RNA >5 log IU/mL. However, women with preterm delivery had significantly higher levels of HCV RNA than those who delivered at term. A study of 4,236 pregnant women with HIV reported a higher risk of preterm delivery in women with HCV coinfection (OR 3.0; 95% CI, 1.6–5.7) than in women with HIV alone. A study of 339 HIV/HCV coinfected pregnant women from Spain demonstrated a 50% rate of preterm delivery.

Infants born to women with HCV also were more likely to have low birth weights (defined as weighing <2,500 g) than those born to women without HCV (23 vs. 8%, P < 0.01).
HCV infection in pregnancy may be associated with increased risks for gestational diabetes, small-for-gestational-age infants, and low birth weight infants.\textsuperscript{9,48} Although no obstetric guidelines currently suggest that persons with HCV infection should be monitored more frequently for diabetes, preterm birth, or fetal growth during pregnancy,\textsuperscript{49} knowledge of these increased risks may inform clinical care.\textsuperscript{14}

**Mode of Delivery**

The majority of studies of scheduled cesarean delivery in women with HCV infection (with or without HIV coinfection) have found that the procedure does not reduce the risk of perinatal HCV transmission.\textsuperscript{34,50-52} Thus, the general recommendations for mode of delivery are the same for people with HCV/HIV coinfection as for those with HIV infection alone (see \textit{Intrapartum Care for People with HIV}).

**Evaluation of Infants Exposed to Hepatitis C Virus**

Infants born to people with HCV/HIV coinfection should be assessed for chronic HCV infection. A HCV antibody test should be performed after age 18 months, when the maternal anti-HCV antibody level has waned.\textsuperscript{53} Sensitivity of HCV RNA testing is low at birth, and viremia can be intermittent or infection may resolve spontaneously;\textsuperscript{9,54,55} thus, HCV RNA testing should not be performed before age 2 months, and a single negative test is not conclusive evidence of lack of infection.\textsuperscript{56} Uptake of HCV testing is very low for infants who were exposed to HCV;\textsuperscript{57} therefore, it is important for providers to counsel patients about the need for pediatric follow-up and testing during the first few years of life.\textsuperscript{5,58-61} The \textit{Pediatric Opportunistic Infection Guidelines} provide further details about the diagnostic evaluation of infants who were exposed to HCV.
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**HIV-2 Infection and Pregnancy**

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Panel's Recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• HIV-2 infection should be considered in pregnant people who are from— or who have partners who are from—countries in which the virus is endemic and who have positive results on an HIV-1/HIV-2 antibody or HIV-1/HIV-2 antigen/antibody immunoassay. They should be tested with a supplemental HIV-1/HIV-2 antibody differentiation assay. If they have only HIV-2 infection, the test will be negative for HIV-1 antibodies and positive for HIV-2 antibodies <strong>(AII)</strong>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Pregnant people with HIV-2 infection should be treated based on the guidelines for HIV-1 mono-infection, but using antiretroviral (ARV) drugs that are active against HIV-2. Non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors and enfuvirtide are not active against HIV-2 and <strong>should not be used</strong> <strong>(AIII)</strong>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• No randomized clinical trials have been performed to address when to start treatment or what the optimal treatment is for HIV-2 infection <strong>(AIII)</strong>. A regimen with two nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs) and integrase strand transfer inhibitors or certain boosted protease inhibitors is recommended for all pregnant people with HIV-2 infection <strong>(AIII)</strong>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Dolutegravir, raltegravir, darunavir/ritonavir, or lopinavir/ritonavir plus a dual-NRTI backbone of abacavir plus lamivudine (3TC), or tenofovir disoproxil fumarate or tenofovir alafenamide plus emtricitabine or 3TC are recommended for treating HIV-2 mono-infection in pregnant people and in people who are trying to conceive <strong>(AIII)</strong>. Zidovudine (ZDV) plus 3TC can be used as an alternative dual-NRTI backbone. See <strong>Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy</strong> and <strong>Appendix C: Antiretroviral Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers</strong>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• As with HIV-1, the possibility of hepatitis B virus/HIV-2 coinfection should be considered when choosing an ARV regimen to treat HIV-2 <strong>(AI)</strong> (see <strong>Hepatitis B Virus/HIV Coinfection</strong>).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• All infants born to people with HIV-2 infection (without HIV-1 infection) should receive the 4-week ZDV prophylactic regimen <strong>(BIII)</strong> (see <strong>Table 8</strong> and <strong>Table 9</strong>).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• In the United States, where safe infant formula is readily available, breastfeeding is <strong>not recommended</strong> for infants born to people with HIV-2 infection <strong>(AIII)</strong>.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Rating of Recommendations:** A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional  
**Rating of Evidence:** I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

HIV-2 infection is endemic in West African countries, including Burkina Faso, Cape Verde, The Gambia, Ghana, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Ivory Coast, Liberia, Mali, Mauritania, Niger, Nigeria, Sao Tome, Senegal, Sierra Leone, and Togo. It is also endemic in Angola, Mozambique, and parts of India. It also occurs in countries—such as France and Portugal—that have large numbers of immigrants from these regions.

HIV-2 remains rare in the United States. According to the National HIV Surveillance System, 327,700 diagnoses of HIV were recorded in the United States from 2010 to 2017, of which 198 (0.06%) met the criteria for HIV-2 (HIV-2 mono-infection, n = 102; dual HIV-1 and HIV-2, n = 11; probable but unconfirmed HIV-2, n = 85). Among these cases, 99 women had diagnoses of...
confirmed or probable HIV-2, and nine of these women had evidence of pregnancy at or after their diagnosis. No perinatal HIV-2 transmissions were reported. HIV-2 infection should be suspected in pregnant people who are from—or who have partners from—countries in which the disease is endemic and who have positive results on an HIV-1/HIV-2 antibody or HIV-1/HIV-2 antigen/antibody immunoassay. They should be tested with a supplemental HIV-1/HIV-2 antibody differentiation immunoassay. If they have only HIV-2 infection, the test will be negative for HIV-1 antibodies and positive for HIV-2 antibodies. In rare instances, a person may have dual infection with HIV-1 and HIV-2, and both tests will be positive.

In 2014, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) released a new HIV testing algorithm. The first step in this algorithm is performing an HIV-1/HIV-2 antigen/antibody combination assay on serum or plasma (e.g., Abbott Architect HIV Ag/A b combo assay, BioRad GS Combo Ag/A b EIA, Alere Determine). This test does not distinguish between HIV-1 antibodies and HIV-2 antibodies. Specimens that are reactive on this test must be tested with a Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved antibody assay to distinguish HIV-1 antibodies from HIV-2 antibodies. The FDA-approved HIV-2 antibody supplemental test Geenius (Bio-Rad Laboratories) is used as part of the CDC-recommended HIV laboratory testing algorithm.

Viral load assays for HIV-2 are not commercially available, but they may be available under research protocols. The University of Washington and the New York State Department of Health, Wadsworth Center also offer HIV-2 viral load assays. The University of Washington accepts specimens forwarded from laboratories, such as Quest Diagnostics. All HIV-2 cases should be reported to the HIV surveillance program of the state or local health department, which can arrange for additional confirmatory testing for HIV-2 by the CDC. No validated genotype or phenotype resistance assays are available in the United States. HIV-2 genotypic resistance assays are available for research use only at the University of Washington. European experts developed a rule set and an automated tool for HIV-2 drug resistance analyses that is freely available online.

HIV-2 has a longer asymptomatic phase than HIV-1, with a slower progression to AIDS. However, without effective antiretroviral therapy (ART), HIV-2 will progress to AIDS and death in the majority of individuals over time. The most common mode of HIV-2 transmission is through heterosexual sex. HIV-2 is less infectious than HIV-1, with a fivefold lower rate of sexual transmission and 20-fold to 30-fold lower rate of perinatal transmission. Several studies confirm that rates of perinatal transmission of HIV-2 are low with and without interventions (0% to 4%), which may be a result of reduced plasma viral loads and less cervical viral shedding in women with HIV-2 infection than in women with HIV-1 infection. HIV-2 infection does not protect against HIV-1, and dual infection, which carries the same prognosis as HIV-1 mono-infection, can occur.

**Recommended Antiretroviral Therapy for Pregnant People with HIV-2 Infection**

Pregnant people with HIV-2 infection should be treated according to the guidelines for patients with HIV-1 mono-infection, although clinicians should make sure that the chosen antiretroviral (ARV) regimen is also appropriate for treatment of HIV-2. Once treatment is started, ART should be continued postpartum as is recommended for all patients with HIV-1. A systematic review analyzed data collected from 1996 to 2012 on treatment outcomes among nonpregnant patients with HIV-2. The review reported a heterogeneity of treatment outcomes among patients who initiated ART, especially in resource-limited settings. Non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTIs) and enfuvirtide are not active against HIV-2 and should not be used for treatment or...
The integrase strand transfer inhibitors (INSTIs) raltegravir (RAL), elvitegravir, dolutegravir (DTG), and bictegravir are effective against HIV-2. Although DTG may be able to rescue a failing RAL-based regimen in a person with HIV-2 infection, a study has reported the emergence of DTG-resistance mutations in people with HIV-2 infection. The CCR5 antagonist maraviroc appears to be active against some strains of HIV-2, although no approved assays exist to determine HIV-2 co-receptor tropism. HIV-2 drug resistance has been documented with various ARV drugs. Among 47 ART-naive persons with HIV-2, ultradeep sequencing showed that three people displayed plasma viruses with a resistance-associated mutation (RAM) above the 20% detection threshold, with a prevalence of transmitted drug resistance for nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs) of 7.9% (95% confidence interval, 0.0% to 16.5%). No RAM above the 20% detection threshold was found for protease inhibitors (PIs) or INSTIs.

HIV-2 has variable susceptibility to PIs, with lopinavir (LPV) and darunavir (DRV) having the most activity.

The care of pregnant people with HIV-2 mono-infection has been based on expert opinion. A regimen with two NRTIs and an INSTI or a ritonavir(r)-boosted PI currently is recommended for all pregnant people with HIV-2 infection. The following regimens can be used to treat HIV-2, based on the available efficacy and safety data on these drugs from clinical trials of pregnant people with HIV-1 infection:

- DTG, RAL, darunavir/ritonavir, or lopinavir/ritonavir plus a dual-NRTI backbone of abacavir plus lamivudine (3TC), or tenofovir disoproxil fumarate or tenofovir alafenamide plus entricitabine or 3TC are the recommended regimens for treating HIV-2 mono-infection in pregnant people and people who are trying to conceive. See Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy and Appendix C: Antiretroviral Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers.
- Zidovudine (ZDV) plus 3TC can be used as an alternative dual-NRTI backbone.
- NNRTIs should not be used because they are not active against HIV-2.

When monitoring the plasma viral loads and CD4 T lymphocyte (CD4) cell counts in pregnant people with HIV-2 infection, clinicians should follow the guidelines outlined for people with HIV-1 infection (see Monitoring During Pregnancy). However, disease progression can occur in the setting of undetectable HIV-2 plasma viral load. Patients who have HIV-2 plasma viral loads that are below the limits of detection should still have routine CD4 counts and clinical monitoring (see Plasma HIV-1 RNA (Viral Load) and CD4 Count Monitoring in the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines).

No data are available to address whether treatment should be continued after pregnancy in people with HIV-2 mono-infection. To date, no randomized trials have addressed the question of an optimal treatment strategy for HIV-2 infection, although clinical trials are underway. The Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines recommend that all patients with HIV-2 infection should be treated using the guidelines provided for patients with HIV-1 infection.

All infants born to people with HIV-2 (who do not have HIV-1) should receive a 4-week ZDV prophylaxis regimen (see Table 8 and Table 9). The possible risks and benefits of ARV prophylaxis should be discussed with the mothers. As noted above, rates of perinatal transmission of HIV-2 are lower with and without interventions, and it is unclear whether infants born to people with undetectable HIV-2 viral loads will benefit from ARV prophylaxis. However, monitoring maternal HIV-2 plasma
viral loads and receiving the results in a timely manner can be difficult because plasma samples must be sent to the University of Washington or the New York State Department of Health. Therefore, the Panel recommends that all infants born to mothers with HIV-2 receive prophylaxis. The use of ZDV prophylaxis is recommended in this clinical situation because nevirapine lacks activity against HIV-2.

No data exist on the impact of scheduled cesarean delivery on HIV-2 perinatal transmission. The risk to infants from breastfeeding is lower for HIV-2 than for HIV-1, but breastfeeding should be avoided in the United States and other countries where safe infant formula is readily available.16

Infants born to mothers with HIV-2 should be tested for HIV-2 infection with HIV-2-specific virologic assays at time points similar to those used for HIV-1 testing, see Diagnosis of HIV Infection in Infants and Children.32 Quantitative HIV-2 plasma RNA viral load testing for clinical care is available from the University of Washington8 and the New York State Department of Health.9 Antibody testing of infants (e.g., with the Bio-Rad Laboratories Multispot HIV-1/HIV-2 test) also can be performed at age 18 months to confirm clearance of HIV-2 antibodies.
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Prenatal Care, Antiretroviral Therapy, and HIV Management in People with Perinatally-Acquired HIV Infection

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Panel’s Recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• The management of prenatal care and general principles of antiretroviral therapy (ART) and HIV management do not differ between pregnant people with perinatally-acquired HIV (PHIV) and those with non-perinatally acquired HIV (AII).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Using the same guiding principles that are used for heavily ART-experienced adults, optimal ARV regimens should be selected based on resistance testing, ART treatment history, and pill burden (AII).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Consultation with experts in HIV and pregnancy is recommended when the presence of extensive drug resistance warrants the use of antiretroviral drugs for which there is limited experience in pregnancy (AIII).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Pregnant people with PHIV warrant enhanced focus on adherence interventions during pregnancy and after delivery (AII).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

With the availability of potent antiretroviral therapy (ART), morbidity and mortality have significantly declined in individuals with HIV, including those with perinatally-acquired HIV (PHIV). Most of the women with PHIV have reached childbearing age, and many are becoming pregnant. A significant number of these pregnancies are unplanned.1–3 The components of prenatal care and general principles of ART and HIV management do not differ between pregnant people with PHIV and those with non-perinatally acquired HIV (NPHIV), who acquired HIV through other routes of transmission. However, the reproductive health care needs and the prevention of perinatal transmission in people with PHIV pose unique challenges. Adherence to ART is often a major challenge for people with PHIV. In addition, because most of them are still adolescents and young adults, they may be at higher risk of certain pregnancy complications, such as preterm delivery, small-for-gestational-age (SGA) infants, low birth weight, and preeclampsia.4–9 However, in some studies, the risk of premature delivery tends to be similar among women with PHIV and women with NPHIV after adjusting for age.10

Because women with PHIV have extensive ART experience,8 optimal antiretroviral (ARV) regimens should be selected using the same guiding principles as for ART-experienced adults; in particular, the ARV regimen should be selected on the basis of resistance testing, pill burden, and the patient’s specific ART history and preferences. Because individuals who acquired HIV perinatally have the potential to develop complex drug-resistance mutation patterns, clinicians may consider performing phenotypic resistance testing when resistance testing is indicated during pregnancy. Regimens that optimize dosing intervals and minimize pill burden should be considered. Regimens should be constructed using ARV drugs that are recommended for use in pregnancy whenever possible. However, in many cases, the presence of extensive drug resistance may warrant the use of ARV
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People with PHIV experience prolonged HIV infection, have received multiple ARV regimens—including suboptimal monotherapy or dual-therapy regimens received as children—and are more likely to harbor drug-resistant virus. As many as 30% to 70% of pregnant women with PHIV have evidence of HIV drug resistance.8,11-13 Despite these factors, many studies have shown that the risk of perinatal transmission does not appear to be increased in this population, as long as these women receive appropriate prenatal management and achieve viral suppression.8,13,16 However, in an analysis of data from SMARTT PHACS (Surveillance Monitoring for ART Toxicities Study—Pediatric HIV/AIDS Cohort Study) that included 2,123 births from 2007 to 2015, pregnant women with PHIV had a higher perinatal HIV transmission rate (1.1%; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.3% to 4.3%) than pregnant women with NPHIV (0.4%; 95% CI, 0.2% to 1.0%); this higher rate was associated with a greater likelihood of detectable maternal viral load at delivery.19 Women with PHIV are more likely to have detectable viral loads at delivery, lower CD4 T lymphocyte counts, and genotypic drug resistance than women with NPHIV; these factors can have implications during labor and delivery.8,13,16,19,20 Several studies have suggested that pregnant women with PHIV are more likely to have a cesarean delivery in order to prevent HIV transmission; cesarean deliveries are most commonly indicated in these women due to a lack of viral load suppression.11,16 Cesarean delivery in these young people raises concerns for increased risk of adverse obstetric outcomes if repeated cesarean deliveries are required for future pregnancies.

Evidence from studies is conflicting as to whether women with PHIV have higher rates of preterm and SGA infants than women with NPHIV.21-23 Several studies have demonstrated no associations between perinatally-acquired HIV status and preterm birth, SGA infants, or low birth weight.8,13,21,22,24 Other studies with smaller sample sizes have reported conflicting results:

- A case series reported high rates of preterm birth (31%) among women with PHIV.11
- Jao et al. reported a fourfold increased risk for SGA births among women with PHIV compared to those with NPHIV.9
- Munjal et al. reported earlier gestational age at delivery and lower average birth weights in infants born to women with PHIV compared to those with NPHIV.16

Women with PHIV also have poor rates of retention in care and viral suppression for up to 2 years postpartum.25 In a retrospective analysis of 37 pregnancies among women with PHIV and 40 pregnancies among age-matched women with NPHIV who delivered during the same time period, the viral load declines achieved during pregnancy in women with PHIV were not sustained during postpartum follow-up, in contrast to the age-matched comparison group. Another study found that, during 4 years of follow-up postpartum, there were four deaths due to AIDS-related complications among women with PHIV but none among the women with NPHIV.16 Although genotypic mutations were more common in women with PHIV, loss of viral suppression that resulted in postpartum disease progression was more likely to be related to adherence difficulties, highlighting the need for adherence interventions after delivery.

Psychosocial challenges in PHIV may be magnified by the presence of a lifelong chronic illness, high rates of depression,26 and, frequently, the loss of one or both parents. Attention to developmentally appropriate adherence counseling is critical. A systematic review and meta-analysis of 50 eligible studies on ART adherence in individuals with HIV aged 12 years to 24 years old...
reported 62.3% adherence overall among youth with HIV. Youth from U.S. studies had the lowest average rate of adherence at 53%. In a 2014 study of 1,596 people with PHIV who were living in New York City, only 61% were virally suppressed. The authors attributed poor ART adherence to social, behavioral, and developmental factors. A history of depression also has been associated with nonadherence to ART among pregnant women with PHIV. Focused attention on diagnosis and treatment of depression during the preconception period may lead to better medication adherence. Self-motivation and social support were key to achieving medication adherence in a study of adolescents with HIV in the United Kingdom.

Studies have noted reduced rates of retention in care and viral suppression among pediatric and adolescent persons with HIV who are transitioning to adult health care. Among adolescents with PHIV, pregnancy may create additional complications in the transition from pediatric/adolescent HIV care to adult care due to the complexity of navigating an adult health care system with multiple providers. However, pregnancy also may be an opportune time for a young person to transition to adult care. There is a need to identify, develop or adapt, and implement culturally sensitive and women- and patient-centered interventions for improving HIV care continuum outcomes of pregnant and postpartum people with HIV. Coordination of care across multiple disciplines, including HIV primary care, OB/GYN, and perinatal case management, is advised. Integration of reproductive health counseling and family planning services—including consistent counseling on condom use, sexually transmitted infection testing and prevention, optimal pregnancy spacing, and developmentally appropriate skill building to support disclosure—as indicated, is recommended.
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Panel's Recommendations

- When acute HIV infection is suspected in pregnancy or during breastfeeding, a plasma HIV RNA test should be obtained in conjunction with an antigen/antibody immunoassay test (AII). See Acute and Recent (Early) HIV Infection in the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention HIV testing algorithm for more information.

- Repeat HIV testing in the third trimester is recommended for pregnant people with initial negative HIV test results who are at increased risk of acquiring HIV, including those receiving care in facilities that have an HIV incidence of ≥1 case per 1,000 pregnant women per year, those who reside in jurisdictions with elevated HIV incidence (see Revised Recommendations for HIV Testing of Adults, Adolescents, and Pregnant Women in Health-Care Settings), or those who reside in states that require third-trimester testing (see Maternal HIV Testing and Identification of Perinatal HIV Exposure) (AII).

- All pregnant and breastfeeding people with acute or recent HIV infection should start antiretroviral therapy (ART) as soon as possible to reduce the risk of vertical HIV transmission, with the goal of rapidly suppressing plasma HIV RNA below detectable levels (AI).

- In people with acute HIV infection, baseline genotypic resistance testing should be performed simultaneously with initiation of ART, and the regimen should be adjusted, if necessary, to optimize virologic response (AII).

- Dolutegravir (DTG) plus tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) or tenofovir alafenamide (TAF) with emtricitabine (FTC) or lamivudine (3TC) is the Preferred ART regimen for pregnant people with acute HIV, irrespective of trimester (see Table 4, Table 5, Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy, and Appendix C: Antiretroviral Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers) (AII).

- Ritonavir boosted darunavir (DRV/r) plus TDF or TAF with FTC or 3TC is an Alternative ART regimen for pregnant people with acute HIV (AIII). See Table 4, Table 5, and Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy for more information.

- One of the following regimens is recommended for people diagnosed with acute HIV infection when they are breastfeeding: bictegravir (BIC)/TAF/FTC; DTG with TAF or TDF plus FTC or 3TC; or boosted darunavir (DRV) with TAF or TDF plus FTC or 3TC (AIII). See Acute and Recent (Early) HIV Infection in the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines for more information.

- People who receive a diagnosis of acute HIV infection when they are breastfeeding should be counseled to discontinue breastfeeding immediately to reduce the risk of postnatal HIV transmission to the infant (AII).

- The Panel on Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission emphasizes the importance of counseling and informed decision-making regarding all antiretroviral (ARV) regimens for people with HIV (AIII).

- Providers should inform individuals starting ART of the importance of strict adherence to rapidly achieve and maintain viral suppression (AIII).

- Infants born to people who received a diagnosis of acute HIV infection during pregnancy or breastfeeding are at high risk of acquiring HIV infection and should receive an ARV regimen that is appropriate for this elevated risk (see Table 8 in Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection) (AII). Consulting a pediatric HIV specialist regarding appropriate infant management is strongly recommended (see Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection).
Women may have an increased risk of HIV infection during pregnancy and breastfeeding. Persons who are at risk for acquiring HIV during pregnancy and the postpartum period should consider using interventions that prevent HIV acquisition, such as oral daily pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP). For more information, see Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis (PrEP) to Prevent HIV During Periconception, Antepartum, and Postpartum Periods.

Risk of Perinatal Transmission After Maternal Acute HIV Infection

A cute or recent HIV infection during pregnancy or breastfeeding is associated with an increased risk of perinatal HIV transmission, and a significant proportion of pediatric infections can be attributed to maternal acute infection. Among 10,308 pregnant women with HIV who delivered live infants from 2005 to 2010 in 15 areas of the United States that conducted enhanced perinatal surveillance, 124 women (1.2%) seroconverted during pregnancy. The rate of perinatal transmission was eight times higher among women who seroconverted during pregnancy (12.9%) than among those who seroconverted before pregnancy (1.6%) \((P < 0.0001)\). Similarly, among 108 new perinatal HIV infections that were identified between 2006 and 2013 in the United Kingdom, 23 (21.3%) were associated with a concurrent maternal seroconversion. The high rate of transmission in people with acute infection likely is related to the high viral loads in plasma, breast milk, and the genital tract that are present during acute infection; in addition, acute HIV infection symptoms can be nonspecific, which results in missed opportunities to diagnose and implement interventions that can reduce the risk of perinatal transmission.

Diagnosis of Acute HIV Infection During Pregnancy

A cute HIV infection is the phase of HIV disease that occurs immediately after acquisition, which typically is characterized by high viremia detected by the presence of HIV RNA or p24 antigen. Anti-HIV antibodies are not detectable early during this phase of HIV infection (see Acute and Recent (Early) HIV Infection section of the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guideline). Recent HIV infection generally is considered the phase of HIV disease \(\leq 6\) months after infection, during which anti-HIV antibodies develop and become detectable.

Health care providers should maintain a high level of suspicion of acute HIV infection in patients who are pregnant or breastfeeding and have clinical signs and symptoms that are compatible with acute infection. Even when patients do not report high-risk behaviors, it is still possible that their sexual partners are practicing high-risk behaviors without their knowledge. An estimated 40% to 90% of patients with acute HIV infection will experience symptoms of acute retroviral syndrome, which is characterized by fever, lymphadenopathy, pharyngitis, skin rash, myalgias/arthritis, headache, diarrhea, oral ulcers, and other symptoms. Providers often do not recognize acute HIV infection because the symptoms are similar to those of other common illnesses, and also some individuals with acute HIV infection may be asymptomatic.
When acute HIV infection is suspected during pregnancy or breastfeeding, a quantitative or qualitative plasma HIV RNA test should be obtained in conjunction with an antigen/antibody immunoassay test. Guidance for HIV testing recommends using a Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved antigen/antibody combination (fourth-generation) immunoassay that detects HIV-1 and HIV-2 antibodies and HIV-1 p24 antigen for initial testing. These tests are used to screen for established infection with HIV-1 or HIV-2 and for acute HIV-1 infection. More specific guidance on HIV testing can be found in the *A cute and Recent (Early) HIV Infection* section of the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) HIV testing algorithm, and the *M aternal HIV Testing and Identification of Perinatal HIV Exposure* section.

Recent infection also can be detected by repeat HIV testing later in pregnancy in people whose initial HIV test was negative. A report from the Mother-Infant Rapid Intervention at Delivery (MIRIAD) study found that 6 of 54 women (11%) whose HIV was identified with rapid HIV testing during labor had acute or recent infection. Repeat testing during the third trimester is recommended for pregnant women who are known to be at risk of HIV infection, who receive care in facilities with an HIV incidence of ≥1 case per 1,000 pregnant women per year, or who reside in jurisdictions with elevated HIV incidence or with statutes and regulations that require third trimester testing (see *P renatal and Perinatal Human Immunodeficiency Virus Testing, Revised Recommendations for HIV Testing of Adults, Adolescents, and Pregnant Women in Health-Care Settings*, the CDC HIV testing algorithm, and *M aternal HIV Testing and Identification of Perinatal HIV Exposure*). Implementation of the recommendation for repeat HIV testing later in pregnancy has varied. A retrospective cohort study at a large metropolitan hospital in a high-prevalence jurisdiction reported that repeat prenatal HIV testing was performed in only 28.4% of women. At a large, urban tertiary hospital in Florida, 82% of women were retested in the third trimester.

**Antiretroviral Therapy for People with Acute or Recent HIV Infection During Pregnancy**

A cute or recent HIV infection during pregnancy and breastfeeding is associated with a high risk of vertical transmission of HIV. Therefore, all pregnant people with acute or recent HIV infection should start antiretroviral therapy (ART) as soon as possible, with the goal of preventing perinatal transmission by rapid suppression of plasma HIV RNA below detectable levels. Baseline genotypic resistance testing should be performed to guide adjustment of an optimal antiretroviral (ARV) drug regimen. Data from the United States and Europe demonstrate that in 6% to 19% of patients, transmitted virus may be resistant to ≥1 ARV drugs. If results of resistance testing are already available or the source virus’s resistance pattern is known, that information can be used to guide the selection of the drug regimen.

A regimen that includes dolutegravir (DTG) plus tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) or tenofovir alafenamide (TAF) plus emtricitabine (FTC) or lamivudine (3TC) should be initiated in pregnant people with acute HIV infection (see *Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy, Table 4, Table 5*, and *Appendix C: Antiretroviral Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers*). DTG is associated with higher rates of virologic suppression, faster rates of viral load decline, and a higher genetic barrier to drug resistance than other *Preferred* and *Alternative* agents. DTG plus TDF (or tenofovir alafenamide) plus FTC (or 3TC) is one of the recommended ARV regimens for treatment of acute and early infection in nonpregnant adults. In the case that a patient cannot receive DTG (e.g., intolerance, potential transmitted resistance, etc.), an *Alternative* regimen of darunavir/r (administered twice daily during pregnancy) plus TDF or TAF plus FTC or 3TC (see *Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy and Interventions to Reduce Perinatal HIV Transmission in the United States* C-152...
Table 4 and Table 5) is recommended for treatment of acute infection during pregnancy. TDF or TAF plus FTC or 3TC are Preferred nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NRTI) backbones for treatment of acute infection. The efficacy and toxicity of TDF and TAF in pregnant patients are similar. In the International Maternal Pediatric Adolescent AIDS Clinical Trials (IMPAACT) 2010 trial, no differences were observed in viral suppression, grade 3 or higher adverse events, or estimated creatinine clearance among people randomized to initiate TDF/FTC (n = 215) versus TAF/FTC (n = 217) with DTG at >14 weeks gestational age. A bacavir (ABC) is not recommended for empiric treatment of acute infection unless the patient previously tested negative for the HLA-B*5701 gene variant; using TDF or TAF rather than ABC will avoid delays in ART initiation while awaiting HLA-B*5701 test results.

Several studies have demonstrated that the use of DTG-based regimens is associated with shorter time to viral suppression compared with other ARV regimens. Although no data are available to inform the treatment of acute HIV during pregnancy, two studies in pregnant women demonstrated more rapid viral decline on DTG-based regimens than on efavirenz (EFV)-based ART. In the DOLPHIN 2 study (dolutegravir in pregnant HIV mothers and their neonates), 268 ART-naive pregnant women in Uganda and South Africa with a median gestational age of 31 weeks were randomized to receive either DTG plus two NRTIs or EFV plus two NRTIs. At delivery, women in the DTG arm were significantly more likely to have achieved HIV RNA <50 copies/mL than those in the EFV arm (74% vs. 43%, respectively; adjusted risk ratio 1.66 [95% confidence interval, 1.3–2.1]; P < 0.0001). More recently, in the IMPAACT 2010 trial, 643 pregnant women, 14–28 weeks gestation, were assigned randomly to receive DTG plus FTC and TDF, DTG plus FTC and TAF, or EFV plus FTC and TDF. At delivery, 395 (98%) of 405 participants in the combined DTG-containing groups had viral suppression, HIV-1 RNA <200 copies per mL, compared with 182 (91%) of 200 participants in the EFV plus FTC and TDF group. Furthermore, participants assigned to a DTG-containing group had a significantly shorter time to viral suppression than those in the EFV-containing group.

People who are diagnosed with acute HIV during breastfeeding should discontinue breastfeeding and start ART as soon as possible. ART options and management should follow guidance outlined in A acute and Recent (E arly) HIV in the A dult and A dolescent A ntiretroviral G uidelines. One of the following ART regimens is recommended: Bictegravir (BIC)/TAF/FTC; DTG with TAF or TDF plus FTC or 3TC; or boosted darunavir (DRV) with TAF or TDF plus FTC or 3TC.

Obstetrical and Neonatal Considerations

When acute HIV infection is diagnosed during pregnancy, and particularly when it is documented in late pregnancy, cesarean delivery may be necessary when there is insufficient time to fully suppress a patient’s viral load (see Intrapartum Care for People with HIV). When acute HIV infection is diagnosed during breastfeeding, breastfeeding should be discontinued immediately. In nursing mothers with suspected seroconversion, breastfeeding should be interrupted immediately, and it should not resume if infection is confirmed (see Counseling and Managing Individuals with HIV in the United States Who Desire to Breastfeed). Patients can continue to express and store breast milk while awaiting confirmation of infection status.

Given the high risk of transmission to the infant with acute maternal infection, an infant should receive an ARV regimen that is appropriate for this elevated risk when acute HIV infection is diagnosed during pregnancy or breastfeeding (see Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection). Consulting a pediatric HIV specialist regarding
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appropriate infant management is strongly recommended. All people who receive a diagnosis of acute infection should be asked whether they know the HIV status of their partner. HIV testing of the sexual partners of all pregnant people who test HIV positive should be encouraged, and PrEP should be offered to partners who test HIV negative.
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Perinatal HIV Prevention for Transgender and Gender Diverse People Assigned Female Sex at Birth

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• The Panel on Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission has determined that, in most cases, it is appropriate to extrapolate its recommendations based on data in presumed cisgender women to all people assigned female sex at birth, including transgender and gender diverse people, with modification when indicated (e.g., drug interactions with gender-affirming hormones) (AIII).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Patient-centered HIV and perinatal services should be provided using gender-affirming and shared decision-making approaches and models of care that address the unique and varied needs of transgender and gender diverse people and reduce barriers to ongoing engagement in care that can affect adherence to antiretroviral therapy and the likelihood of viral suppression during prepregnancy, antepartum, and postpartum periods (AII).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Patients should be asked about the pronouns they use and language preferences, including how they want to be referred to as a parent (e.g., the baby’s mother, father, or by another name) and terms they prefer to use for sexual and reproductive anatomy and examinations (e.g., breast exams, pelvic exams) (AIII).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Health care providers should assess reproductive and parenting intentions and support access to appropriate contraception and perinatal HIV prevention services for transgender and gender diverse people (AIII).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Prepregnancy care for transgender and gender diverse people should incorporate shared decision-making that addresses needs related to gender identity, with consideration of the potential risks and benefits of gender-affirming pharmacologic treatment in relation to pregnancy (AIII).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Some transgender and gender diverse patients may experience the onset or worsening of gender dysphoria and associated symptoms—such as depression—during prepregnancy, antepartum, and postpartum periods; health care providers should regularly assess patients’ comfort with their care and provide referrals for mental health or other support services as needed (AIII).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For additional information, see Transgender People with HIV in the Guidelines for the Use of Antiretroviral Agents in Adults and Adolescents Living with HIV.

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

It is important for health care providers to be aware that not all people who become pregnant identify as women or female. Because many transgender and gender diverse people retain their reproductive organs, pregnancy can occur, and some may desire pregnancy at some point in their lifetime.1-3 This section provides an overview of recommendations from the Panel on Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission (the Panel) regarding perinatal HIV prevention and treatment of HIV in pregnancy for transgender and gender diverse people assigned female sex at birth. The Panel uses the terms transgender and gender diverse people assigned female sex at birth to include people who do not identify as cisgender women while acknowledging individual preferences and ongoing changes in the terminology used to describe this population. The Panel aims to make the guidelines inclusive of transgender and gender diverse people by incorporating inclusive language, considering the appropriateness of existing Panel recommendations for the care of transgender and female sex at birth.
gender diverse individuals who were assigned female sex at birth, and adding relevant recommendations and content. Additional information is available in the Adult and Adolescent Guidelines (see Transgender People with HIV), guidance from the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists about health care for transgender and gender diverse individuals, standards of care developed by the World Professional Association for Transgender Health and guidelines for primary and gender-affirming care developed by the Center of Excellence for Transgender Health at the University of California - San Francisco.

Perinatal HIV Prevention and Care of Transgender and Gender Diverse People During Prepregnancy, Antepartum, and Postpartum Periods

The evidence ratings of Panel recommendations about the use of antiretroviral drugs during pregnancy and other interventions for reducing perinatal HIV transmission are based on data from studies of reproductive-aged women and pregnant women whose gender identity was not reported. Research into the fertility, pregnancy-related, and perinatal HIV prevention needs of transgender and gender diverse people is in early stages, and descriptions of pregnancy-related care are limited. After consideration, the Panel has decided it is often appropriate to extrapolate existing recommendations to transgender and gender diverse people assigned female sex at birth and to provide additional content and recommendations, when data are available, to address the unique and varied needs of this population if indicated. This approach is consistent with other guidelines for primary care, family planning, and HIV care of transgender and gender diverse people.

Health care providers should periodically assess the reproductive and parenting desires and intentions of transgender and gender diverse patients and support access to contraception and perinatal HIV prevention services. Transgender and gender diverse people assigned female sex at birth who are receiving gender-affirming hormones—such as testosterone—should be counseled about the need for contraception to avoid unintended pregnancy and about potential risks before trying to become pregnant, since testosterone is not an approved or reliable contraceptive and is teratogenic. For people wanting to conceive, prepregnancy planning and care provides an opportunity to address HIV prevention—including HIV testing and pre-exposure prophylaxis—for those who are HIV negative. For people with HIV, it provides an opportunity to optimize antiretroviral therapy (ART) and viral suppression before pregnancy. It also enables providers to identify and address transgender and gender diverse people’s concerns about the relationships between pregnancy or parenthood and their gender identity and gender-affirming medical interventions, such as hormones or surgeries. For additional information, see Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis (PrEP) to Prevent HIV During Periconception, Antepartum, and Postpartum Periods; Prepregnancy Counseling and Care for Persons of Childbearing Age with HIV; and Reproductive Options for Couples When One or Both Partners Have HIV.

Selection and management of ART for transgender and gender diverse people with HIV should follow General Principles Regarding Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy and Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy. The potential for drug interactions should be considered and discussed with patients who plan to start or resume hormonal therapy postpartum (see Table 17. Potential Interactions Between the Drugs Used in Gender-Affirming Hormone Therapy and Antiretroviral Drugs in Transgender People with HIV).
Gender-Affirming Care

Health care providers should work to develop patient-centered approaches that assess and address the gender affirmation needs of transgender and gender diverse individuals in all health care settings.9,11 Gender affirmation encompasses processes and interventions that recognize and support a person’s gender identity and expression.13 Gender-affirming care may include psychosocial support, hormone therapy, surgery, and other interventions.11 Gender affirmation—including medical interventions, such as hormonal therapy—has been shown to improve mental health outcomes and quality of life in transgender individuals.14-17 A prospective evaluation of the effects of medical gender affirmation on HIV-related outcomes—including viral suppression—is currently in process.18 A national needs assessment found that transgender and gender diverse people with HIV were more likely to be virally suppressed when they worked with HIV care providers who affirmed their gender (e.g., providers who use their chosen name and pronoun[s]).8,19 Language is important for inclusivity and for providing respectful, affirming health care.9,20 Patients should be asked about the pronouns they use and language preferences, including how they (and their partners) want to be referred to as parents (i.e., the baby’s mother, father, or by another name). Clinicians should also ask patients about terminology they use for sexual and reproductive anatomy (e.g., breasts, vagina) and examinations (e.g., breast exams, pelvic exams) because terms may vary.20

Health care providers should be aware that although transgender and gender diverse patients may adjust well to pregnancy, some patients may experience and require support for the onset or worsening of gender dysphoria and associated symptoms during prepregnancy, antepartum, and postpartum periods. Gender dysphoria and associated symptoms may be precipitated or exacerbated by the need to stop or delay the use of testosterone for pharmacologic gender affirmation when trying to conceive and during pregnancy.10,11 Gender dysphoria refers to the distress that results from incongruence between a person’s sex assigned at birth and their gender identity21 and is manifested by a range of symptoms, such as a sense of unease, depression, and anxiety.22 Gender dysphoria can be reduced when a person receives affirmation for their gender identity through various interventions that include interpersonal approaches—such as adaptations made in women-centered clinic environments and procedures—and medical interventions, such as hormones.11,22 During the postpartum period, some patients may need referrals to a hormonal therapy prescriber or a specialist in transgender medicine.
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Intrapartum Care for People with HIV

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

### Panel's Recommendations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HIV Testing for Pregnant People with Unknown HIV Status in Labor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pregnant people who present in labor with unknown HIV status and people with increased risk of HIV infection who were not retested in the third trimester should undergo expedited antigen/antibody HIV testing (AII). See Maternal HIV Testing and Identification of Perinatal HIV Exposure for more information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• If results are positive, an HIV-1/HIV-2 antibody differentiation test and an HIV-1 RNA assay should be done as soon as possible, and intravenous (IV) zidovudine (ZDV) should be initiated pending the result of the differentiation test (AII).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• If acute HIV infection is suspected or if a person has had recent HIV exposure, an HIV RNA assay also should be done at the time of expedited antigen/antibody testing (AII). See Acute HIV Infection.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Intrapartum Antiretroviral Therapy (ART), ZDV Prophylaxis, and Mode of Delivery for Pregnant People with HIV

- See Table 7 Intrapartum Care and Recommended Interventions to Prevent Perinatal HIV Transmission for Pregnant People with HIV Based on HIV RNA Levels at the Time of Delivery below.

- Patients should continue taking their antepartum ART on schedule during labor and before scheduled cesarean delivery (AIII).

- For individuals with HIV RNA >1,000 copies/mL or unknown HIV RNA near the time of delivery (within 4 weeks of delivery)
  - Intrapartum IV ZDV should be administered in the following situations based on laboratory and clinical information near the time of delivery: (a) HIV RNA >1,000 copies/mL, (b) unknown HIV RNA, (c) known or suspected lack of adherence since the last HIV RNA result, or (d) a positive expedited antigen/antibody HIV test result during labor (AII). Begin IV ZDV when patients present in labor or at least 3 hours prior to scheduled cesarean delivery (AII).
  - When HIV RNA is >1,000 copies/mL or is unknown near the time of delivery, scheduled cesarean delivery at 38 weeks gestation is recommended to minimize perinatal HIV transmission, irrespective of administration of antepartum ART (AII).
  - Management of patients originally scheduled for cesarean delivery because of HIV RNA >1,000 copies/mL who present in labor or with ruptured membranes must be individualized at the time of presentation (BII). In these circumstances, evidence is insufficient to determine whether cesarean delivery reduces the risk of perinatal HIV transmission. Consultation with an expert in perinatal HIV (e.g., telephone consultation with the National Perinatal HIV/AIDS Clinical Consultation Center at 1-888-448-8765) may be helpful in rapidly developing an individualized delivery plan.

- For individuals receiving ART with HIV RNA ≤1,000 copies/mL near the time of delivery (within 4 weeks of delivery)
  - IV ZDV is not required for people who meet ALL of the following three criteria: (1) are receiving ART, (2) have HIV RNA <50 copies/mL **within 4 weeks of delivery**, and (3) are adherent to their ARV regimen (BII).
  - IV ZDV may be considered for people with HIV RNA ≥50 copies/mL and ≤1,000 copies/mL **within 4 weeks of delivery** (BII). Data are insufficient to determine whether administration of IV ZDV to people with HIV RNA levels between 50 copies/mL and 1,000 copies/mL provides any additional protection against perinatal HIV transmission.
transmission. This decision can be made on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration their recent ART adherence and preferences and involving expert consultation if needed (CII).

- Scheduled cesarean delivery performed solely for prevention of perinatal HIV transmission in those receiving ART with HIV RNA ≤1,000 copies/mL near the time of delivery is not recommended given the low rate of perinatal transmission in this group (AII).

- In pregnant people with HIV RNA levels ≤1,000 copies/mL, if scheduled cesarean delivery or induction of labor is indicated for non-HIV-related reasons, it should be performed at the standard time for obstetric indications (AII). Labor should not be induced to prevent perinatal HIV transmission.

- In pregnant people on ART with HIV RNA ≤1,000 copies/mL, duration of ruptured membranes is not associated with an increased risk of perinatal transmission and is not an indication for cesarean delivery to prevent HIV transmission (BII).

Other Intrapartum Management Considerations (see Table 7 below)

- Fetal scalp electrodes for fetal monitoring should be avoided, particularly when maternal HIV RNA is not suppressed (≥50 copies/mL) or is unknown, because of the potential risk of HIV transmission (BIII). See Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection.

- Artificial rupture of membranes and operative vaginal delivery with forceps or a vacuum extractor should follow standard obstetric indications but should be avoided if possible in those with HIV RNA ≥50 copies/mL (BIII).

- The ARV regimen a patient is receiving should be taken into consideration when using methergine to treat excessive postpartum bleeding caused by uterine atony.
  - In patients who are receiving a cytochrome P450 (CYP) 3A4 enzyme inhibitor (e.g., a protease inhibitor or cobicistat), methergine should be used only if no alternative treatments for postpartum hemorrhage are available and the need for pharmacologic treatment outweighs the risks. If methergine is used, it should be administered at the lowest effective dose for the shortest possible duration (BIII).
  - In patients who are receiving a CYP3A4 enzyme inducer—such as nevirapine, efavirenz, or etravirine—additional uterotonic agents may be needed because of the potential for decreased methergine levels and inadequate treatment effect (BIII).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

Overview of Intrapartum Care for Pregnant People with HIV

Pregnant people with HIV require specialized care during labor and delivery to optimize maternal health outcomes and to prevent perinatal HIV transmission. Documentation of HIV status should be assessed in all people during labor, and HIV testing should be offered to those with unknown or undocumented HIV status, recent HIV exposure, and/or signs of acute HIV (see Maternal HIV Testing and Identification of Perinatal HIV Exposure and Acute HIV Infection). Because maternal HIV RNA level is linked directly to the risk of perinatal HIV transmission, the Panel on Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission (Panel) recommends viral load testing throughout pregnancy and specifically at approximately 36 weeks gestation (or within 4 weeks of anticipated delivery) to inform decisions about intrapartum care. The risk of perinatal HIV transmission is reduced to very low levels in pregnant people receiving antiretroviral therapy (ART) who have documented viral suppression (<50 copies/mL) near delivery. Panel recommendations
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about intrapartum care to prevent HIV transmission are based on maternal HIV RNA levels and encompass continuation of maternal ART, intrapartum intravenous (IV) zidovudine (ZDV) during labor and delivery, scheduled cesarean delivery, and other intrapartum management considerations. Table 7 provides an overview of the Panel’s recommendations for intrapartum care based on maternal HIV RNA. These recommendations are discussed in the following sections.

Pregnant People Who Present in Labor Without Documentation of HIV Status

All pregnant people without documentation of HIV status at the time of labor should be screened for HIV with expedited testing unless they decline (i.e., “opt-out” screening) (see Maternal HIV Testing and Identification of Perinatal HIV Exposure). Expedited repeat HIV testing also is recommended for those who present in labor and tested negative for HIV in early pregnancy, but are at increased risk of HIV infection and were not retested in the third trimester. Factors that may increase the risk of infection include diagnosis of a sexually transmitted infection, illicit drug use, exchange of sex for money or drugs, multiple sexual partners during pregnancy, a sexual partner who is at risk of HIV infection or who is known to have HIV, signs or symptoms of acute HIV infection, or living in a region with an elevated incidence of HIV in women of childbearing age. Those who test positive on the initial HIV test during labor should be presumed to have HIV until follow-up testing clarifies their HIV status. To prevent perinatal HIV transmission, intrapartum IV ZDV should be started immediately, as discussed below, and patients should not initiate breastfeeding until HIV infection is ruled out definitively. For additional information, see Postpartum Follow-up of People with HIV, Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection, and Table 9.

Initial testing for HIV should be done with a Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved antigen/antibody combination immunoassay that detects HIV-1 and HIV-2 antibodies. No further testing is required for specimens that are nonreactive on the initial immunoassay, unless the patient has had recent HIV exposure or acute infection is suspected, in which case, an HIV RNA assay should be obtained (see Maternal HIV Testing and Identification of Perinatal HIV Exposure). Patients with an initial positive antigen/antibody combination immunoassay result should be tested with an FDA-approved antibody immunoassay that differentiates HIV-1 antibodies from HIV-2 antibodies and an HIV RNA assay to screen for both acute and chronic HIV-1 infection (see Revised Recommendations for HIV Testing of Adults, Adolescents, and Pregnant Women in Health-Care Settings and the resource page for laboratory testing for HIV from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention). If the follow-up antibody test result is negative, results of the HIV RNA test should be reviewed to rule out acute infection as a cause of the initial positive test result before ART is stopped (see Acute HIV Infection). Those with a high level of HIV-1 RNA and a negative HIV-1/HIV-2 antibody differentiation test most likely have acute HIV infection. If both the HIV-1 RNA and the HIV-1/HIV-2 antibody differentiation test are negative, the initial HIV test result may have been a false positive.

Expedited HIV testing should be available on a 24-hour basis at all facilities with a maternity service and/or neonatal intensive care unit. Statutes and regulations regarding expedited testing vary from state to state (see State Laws That Address High-Impact HIV Prevention Efforts).

Intrapartum Continuation of Antenatal Antiretroviral Drugs

ART is recommended for the treatment of HIV and prevention of perinatal HIV transmission in all pregnant people with HIV, regardless of CD4 T lymphocyte (CD4) cell count and HIV RNA (viral...
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Pregnant people should continue their antepartum antiretroviral (ARV) regimen on schedule as much as possible during the intrapartum period to maintain maximal virologic suppression and to minimize the chance of developing drug resistance. When cesarean delivery is planned, oral medications can be administered preoperatively with sips of water. Medications that must be taken with food for absorption can be taken with liquid dietary supplements, contingent on consultation with the attending anesthesiologist during the preoperative period. If the maternal ARV drug regimen must be interrupted temporarily (i.e., for <24 hours) during the peripartum period, all drugs should be stopped and reinstated simultaneously to minimize the chance that resistance will develop.

**Decisions Regarding the Use of Intrapartum Intravenous Zidovudine**

Intrapartum administration of IV ZDV provides antiretroviral pre-exposure prophylaxis at a time when infants are at increased risk of exposure to maternal blood and body fluids. Although the PACTG 076 ZDV regimen included a continuous IV infusion of ZDV during labor for all women, decisions regarding the use of IV ZDV during labor are now based on maternal ART, HIV RNA level, and adherence considerations (see Table 7 below). IV ZDV also is recommended for those with an initial diagnosis of HIV during labor and pregnant people with HIV whose HIV RNA level is unknown.

Current evidence indicates that intrapartum IV ZDV reduces perinatal HIV transmission for women with HIV RNA >1,000 copies/mL who are on ART, but the benefits for women with HIV RNA ≤1,000 copies/mL are less clear. Using data from 1997 to 2010, the French Perinatal Cohort Study evaluated the association between IV ZDV and perinatal HIV transmission based on HIV RNA levels in >11,000 pregnant women with HIV who were on ART (72% of the women received triple-ARV regimens). The majority of the women (95%) received IV intrapartum ZDV. Among women with HIV RNA ≥1,000 copies/mL whose infants received only ZDV for prophylaxis, the risk of perinatal HIV transmission was significantly higher without maternal IV ZDV (10.2%) than with maternal IV ZDV (2.5%; P < 0.01), but this difference was not observed if the neonate received a combination prophylaxis of two or more ARV drugs (4.8% with IV ZDV vs. 4.1% without IV ZDV, P = 0.83). Among women with HIV RNA <1,000 copies/mL at delivery, transmission rates did not differ significantly between those who received IV ZDV (0.6%, 47 of 8,132 infants) and those who did not (0 of 369 infants, P > 0.20).

In a European cohort of infants who were considered to be at high risk of perinatal HIV transmission, lack of IV ZDV during labor was associated with transmission on univariate analysis, but not after the results were adjusted for maternal HIV RNA and other factors (adjusted odds ratio with IV ZDV was 0.79; 95% confidence interval, 0.55–1.15; P = 0.23). In a cohort of 717 women who delivered between 1996 and 2008 in Miami, not receiving IV ZDV during labor (n = 67) was not associated with an increased risk of perinatal HIV transmission. The majority of these women were receiving ART (89%) and had HIV RNA <1,000 copies/mL (75%) at delivery.

Based on available data, the Panel recommends that IV ZDV should continue to be administered to pregnant people with HIV RNA >1,000 copies/mL within 4 weeks of delivery (or to people with HIV who have unknown HIV RNA levels within 4 weeks of delivery), regardless of their antepartum ARV regimen. Although not required, administration of intrapartum IV ZDV may be considered for individuals with HIV RNA levels ≥50 copies/mL and ≤1,000 copies/mL or in people for whom there are concerns about adherence to or tolerance of their ARV regimens in late pregnancy. Specifically, patients who have not been able to maintain an undetectable viral load consistently throughout the third trimester, patients who have had challenges consistently participating in prenatal care, and
patients with ongoing psychosocial factors that raise additional concerns about adherence should be considered potential candidates for intrapartum IV ZDV despite a viral load <1,000 copies/mL. Many experts think the data are insufficient to determine whether administration of intrapartum IV ZDV to pregnant people with HIV RNA between 50 copies/mL and 1,000 copies/mL provides any additional protection against perinatal transmission. However, the transmission risk is slightly higher (approximately 1% to 2%) when HIV RNA is in the range of 50 copies/mL to 999 copies/mL than when it is <50 copies/mL (transmission risk is ≤1%).

IV ZDV is not required for individuals who meet ALL of the following three criteria: (1) are receiving ART, (2) have HIV RNA <50 copies/mL within 4 weeks of delivery, and (3) are adherent to their ARV regimen. However, a study showing that 6 percent of women with suppressed HIV RNA levels during pregnancy had viral load rebound near delivery highlights the importance of using clinical judgement when making the decision to use intrapartum IV ZDV, regardless of the patient’s viral load. The additional benefit of IV ZDV in women who are receiving ART and are virally suppressed (HIV RNA <50 copies/mL) has not been evaluated in randomized clinical trials.

If a patient has known or suspected ZDV resistance, intrapartum use of IV ZDV still is recommended in patients with HIV RNA >1,000 copies/mL near delivery unless a documented history of hypersensitivity exists. This intrapartum use of the drug is recommended because of its proven record in reducing the risk of perinatal HIV transmission, even in the presence of maternal resistance to the drug (see Antiretroviral Drug Resistance and Resistance Testing in Pregnancy).

**Administration of Intrapartum IV ZDV**

Intrapartum IV ZDV is recommended for individuals with HIV RNA >1,000 copies/mL or unknown HIV RNA near the time of delivery or when they present in labor. In those with HIV RNA >1,000 copies/mL who are undergoing a scheduled cesarean delivery for prevention of perinatal HIV transmission, IV ZDV administration should begin at least 3 hours before the scheduled cesarean delivery; pregnant people should receive a 1-hour loading dose of ZDV at 2 mg/kg followed by a continuous IV ZDV infusion of 1 mg/kg for 2 hours (minimum of 3 hours total). This recommendation is based on a pharmacokinetic (PK) study in which ZDV was administered orally during pregnancy and as a continuous infusion during labor. Maternal ZDV levels were measured at baseline, after the initial IV loading dose, and then every 3 to 4 hours until delivery. ZDV levels were also measured in cord blood. Systemic and intracellular ZDV levels increased from baseline but appeared to stabilize after 3 hours of infusion; cord blood ZDV levels were associated with maternal levels and maternal infusion duration. If cesarean delivery is being performed for other indications and maternal viral load is ≤1,000 copies/mL near the time of delivery, administering IV ZDV is not required.

Because unscheduled cesarean delivery is performed for both maternal and fetal indications, when an unscheduled cesarean delivery is indicated in a patient who has a viral load >1,000 copies/mL, consideration can be given to shortening the interval between initiation of IV ZDV administration and delivery. For example, some experts recommend administering the 1-hour loading dose of IV ZDV and not waiting to complete additional administration before proceeding with delivery when an expedited delivery is indicated.
Use of Oral Intrapartum ZDV

In some international studies, oral (rather than IV) ZDV has been administered during labor. Data are limited on the PKs of oral versus IV ZDV during labor. In studies of oral dosing in labor, ZDV levels were lower than they were with IV dosing, and PK parameters suggested erratic absorption during labor.12,13 Therefore, IV administration is recommended over oral administration in the United States for individuals with HIV RNA >1,000 copies/mL near delivery. In situations where IV administration is not possible, clinicians can consider administering oral ZDV using a 600-mg loading dose and then ZDV 400 mg every 3 hours,13 although no benefit of using this approach has been proven.

Transmission and Mode of Delivery

Current Recommendations on Mode of Delivery

Scheduled cesarean delivery, defined as cesarean delivery performed before the onset of labor and before rupture of membranes (ROM), is recommended at 38 weeks gestation for prevention of perinatal HIV transmission in individuals with HIV RNA levels >1,000 copies/mL near delivery and for those with unknown HIV RNA levels. Although most studies do not specify the exact time that the HIV RNA levels closest to delivery were measured, the Panel recommends viral load testing at approximately 36 weeks gestation or within 4 weeks of anticipated delivery to inform decisions about mode of delivery and optimal treatment of the newborn. The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) recommends that pregnant people with HIV RNA >1,000 copies/mL be counseled regarding the potential benefits of scheduled cesarean delivery.14

Recommendations for cesarean delivery to prevent perinatal HIV transmission were based initially on findings from a multicenter, randomized clinical trial15 and a large individual patient data meta-analysis16 that were conducted before the availability of viral load information, when most women with HIV received either no ARV drugs or ZDV as a single drug. The HIV RNA threshold of 1,000 copies/mL for decisions about mode of delivery was based largely on data from a 1999 report of the Women and Infants Transmission Study, a large prospective cohort study that reported no cases of perinatal HIV transmission among 57 women with HIV RNA levels <1,000 copies/mL.17 Results of studies conducted since then have been extrapolated to make current recommendations about the mode of delivery in an era when ART is recommended for all pregnant people and viral load information is readily available.

In a report on births to women with HIV in the United Kingdom and Ireland between 2000 and 2011, perinatal transmission rates in women on ART with HIV RNA <1,000 copies/mL who had a planned cesarean delivery (13 of 3,544 women; 0.3%) were not significantly different from those in women who had a planned vaginal delivery (6 of 2,238 women; 0.3%).9 Similarly, data from the French Perinatal Cohort showed no difference in transmission rates between vaginal delivery and planned cesarean delivery among women with suppressed viral loads on ART (0.3% in both groups of women).18 Among 290 deliveries in women with HIV in Finland from 1993 to 2013, 75.4 percent of women delivered vaginally, 12.5 percent delivered by elective cesarean, and 12.5 percent delivered by emergency cesarean; 80 percent had HIV RNA <50 copies/mL. No perinatal HIV transmissions occurred across the delivery methods.19 For preterm deliveries in women with HIV RNA <1,000 copies/mL, an analysis of data from the French Perinatal Cohort found that transmission rates were slightly higher among planned vaginal deliveries than among planned cesarean deliveries, but the
number of women with viral loads <400 copies/mL was low, and the differences across viral load levels were not statistically significant.\textsuperscript{18}

Given the low perinatal HIV transmission rates achievable with the use of maternal ART, the benefit of scheduled cesarean delivery is difficult to evaluate for people who are virally suppressed. It is unclear whether scheduled cesarean delivery confers any additional benefit in reducing transmission. No evidence to date suggests any benefit from scheduled cesarean delivery in people who have been receiving ART for several weeks and who are virally suppressed at or near delivery. Furthermore, evidence exists that complication rates for cesarean deliveries are higher in women with HIV than in women without HIV.\textsuperscript{20} Therefore, decisions about mode of delivery for pregnant people receiving ART with HIV RNA levels \(\leq 1,000\) copies/mL should be individualized based on discussion between an obstetrician and a pregnant person. Pregnant people should be informed that no evidence indicates that a scheduled cesarean delivery performed solely for prevention of perinatal HIV transmission is of any benefit in people receiving ART with HIV RNA \(\leq 1,000\) copies/mL and, therefore, is not routinely recommended for these people.

**Timing of Delivery**

For the general obstetric population, ACOG recommends that a scheduled cesarean delivery not be performed before 39 weeks gestation because of the risk of iatrogenic prematurity.\textsuperscript{21,22} However, when cesarean delivery is indicated to prevent transmission of HIV, ACOG recommends scheduling cesarean delivery at 38 weeks gestation to decrease the likelihood of onset of labor or ROM before delivery.\textsuperscript{14} Gestational age should be determined by best obstetrical dating criteria, including last menstrual period and early ultrasound for dating purposes. A mniocentesis to document lung maturity should be avoided when possible in pregnant people with HIV.

Among 1,194 infants born to mothers with HIV, 9 (1.6%) born vaginally and 18 (4.4%) delivered by scheduled cesarean had respiratory distress syndrome (RDS) \((P < 0.001)\). No statistically significant association existed between mode of delivery and infant RDS in an adjusted model that included infant gestational age and birth weight.\textsuperscript{23} Although newborn complications may be increased with planned cesarean delivery at <39 weeks gestation, the benefits of planned cesarean delivery at 38 weeks generally are thought to outweigh the risks if the procedure is performed to prevent HIV transmission.

In pregnant people with HIV RNA \(\leq 1,000\) copies/mL, cesarean delivery is not recommended to prevent perinatal HIV transmission. The Panel recommends that pregnant people should be delivered according to standard obstetric indications; **labor should not be induced at 38 weeks for prevention of perinatal HIV transmission**. When scheduled cesarean delivery is performed in pregnant people with HIV RNA \(\leq 1,000\) copies/mL for an indication other than preventing HIV transmission, cesarean delivery should be scheduled based on ACOG guidelines for women without HIV. A comparison of 613 women (with HIV RNA levels \(< 1,000\) copies/mL) who delivered vaginally at 38 to 40 weeks gestation and 303 women who delivered vaginally at \(\geq 40\) weeks gestation demonstrated no difference (0.3% vs. 0.5%) in perinatal HIV transmission by estimated gestational age at delivery, which suggests that women without an indication for scheduled cesarean delivery for prevention of perinatal HIV transmission should be delivered according to standard obstetric indications.\textsuperscript{24}
**Cesarean Delivery for People Presenting Late in Pregnancy**

People with HIV who present late in pregnancy and are not receiving ARV drugs may not have HIV RNA results available before delivery. Without current therapy, HIV RNA levels are unlikely to be ≤1,000 copies/mL at baseline. Even when ART is initiated immediately, reduction in plasma HIV RNA to undetectable levels may take several weeks, depending on the baseline viral load and kinetics of viral decay for a particular drug regimen (see Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy: Overview and Pregnant People Who Have Not Achieved Viral Suppression on Antiretroviral Therapy). In this instance, scheduled cesarean delivery is likely to provide additional benefit in reducing the risk of perinatal transmission of HIV, unless viral suppression can be documented before 38 weeks gestation. Although some experts would recommend a cesarean delivery in a person who has virologic suppression for a brief period (e.g., <2 weeks), given this scenario, many others would support a vaginal delivery as long as the person’s plasma HIV RNA level was <1,000 copies/mL by the day of delivery. No data are available to address the management of an elite controller (i.e., someone who has previously maintained an undetectable HIV RNA level without ART) who presents in labor and is not receiving ART; however, in this setting, it would appear reasonable to administer IV ZDV and allow for vaginal delivery (CIII).

**Risk of Maternal Complications with Cesarean Delivery**

Administration of perioperative antimicrobial prophylaxis is recommended for all pregnant people to decrease maternal infectious morbidity associated with cesarean delivery. Most studies performed in the era before routine ART was recommended demonstrated that women with HIV have higher rates of postoperative complications (mostly infectious) than women without HIV and that their risk of complications is related to degree of immunosuppression and the receipt of suppressive ART. A Cochrane review of six studies in women with HIV concluded that urgent cesarean delivery was associated with the highest risk of postpartum morbidity, scheduled cesarean delivery was intermediate in risk, and vaginal delivery had the lowest risk of morbidity. Complication rates in women with HIV in most studies were within the range reported in populations of women without HIV with similar risk factors and not of sufficient frequency or severity to outweigh the potential benefit of reduced perinatal HIV transmission.

A U.S. study of nationally representative data from a large administrative database demonstrated that—even in the era of ART— infectious complications, surgical trauma, prolonged hospitalization, and in-hospital deaths remain higher among women with HIV than among women without HIV. The rate of any complication associated with cesarean delivery was 117 per 1,000 deliveries among women with HIV and 67 per 1,000 deliveries among women without HIV. A meta-analysis of primarily observational studies in women with HIV also reported higher morbidity with elective cesarean delivery than with vaginal delivery (odds ratio [OR] 3.12) and no reduction in perinatal HIV transmission among the mothers on ART. Therefore, pregnant people with HIV should be counseled regarding the specific risks associated with undergoing cesarean delivery in the setting of HIV infection.

In addition, caution should be exercised in proceeding with a cesarean delivery in circumstances without clear evidence of benefit, especially in younger people who are likely to have additional pregnancies and perhaps multiple cesarean deliveries. The risks of abnormal placentation (e.g., placenta previa, placenta accreta, placenta increta, placenta percreta), bowel and bladder injury, and intrapartum hemorrhage increase as the number of cesarean deliveries a person has had increases.
These risks should be considered and discussed with the pregnant person before proceeding with a cesarean delivery.\textsuperscript{44,45}

**Managing Individuals Who Present in Early Labor or with Ruptured Membranes**

Most studies have shown a similar risk of perinatal HIV transmission for cesarean delivery performed for obstetric indications after labor and membrane rupture as for vaginal delivery. In one study, the HIV transmission rate was similar in women undergoing emergency cesarean delivery and those delivering vaginally (1.6% vs. 1.9%, respectively).\textsuperscript{2} Although a 2001 meta-analysis found that a longer duration of ruptured membranes was associated with an increased risk of perinatal HIV transmission,\textsuperscript{46} it is not clear how soon after the onset of labor or the ROM that the benefit of cesarean delivery is lost for women with HIV RNA $>1,000$ copies/mL.\textsuperscript{47} Later data on the association between the duration of ROM and perinatal HIV transmission in the era of ART and viral load measurement are reassuring. A prospective cohort study of 707 pregnant women in Ireland showed that among 493 women on ART with HIV RNA levels $<1,000$ copies/mL, no cases of perinatal transmission occurred among those with membranes ruptured for up to 25 hours. Only a viral load of $>10,000$ copies/mL was an independent risk factor for perinatal transmission.\textsuperscript{8}

In a large, prospective, population-based surveillance study in the United Kingdom and Ireland that evaluated data on 2,116 pregnancies between 2007 to 2012, no difference was observed in perinatal HIV transmission between women with a ROM duration of $\geq 4$ hours (0.64%) and those with a ROM duration of $<4$ hours (0.34%). Among women with HIV RNA $<50$ copies/mL, the transmission rate for a ROM duration $\geq 4$ hours was 0.14 percent and did not differ from the rate for a ROM duration of $<4$ hours (0.12%). The median duration of ROM was 3 hours 30 minutes (interquartile range [IQR] 1–8 hours). The infants in this study were delivered at term—vaginally or by emergency cesarean delivery—to women with HIV who were on ART; the majority of women (89%) had HIV RNA $<50$ copies/mL and only 1 percent of them had HIV RNA $\geq 1,000$ copies/mL. Among preterm infants, no transmissions occurred during 163 deliveries where the maternal viral load was $<50$ copies/mL.\textsuperscript{48}

Because it is not clear whether cesarean delivery after onset of labor reduces the risk of perinatal HIV transmission in individuals with HIV RNA $>1,000$ copies/mL, management of patients originally scheduled for cesarean delivery who present in labor or with ruptured membranes must be individualized at the time of presentation. In these circumstances, consultation with an expert in perinatal HIV may be helpful. Because the delivery plan in the setting of labor must be made quickly, telephone consultation via a 24-hour, 7-day-a-week hotline (e.g., the National Perinatal HIV/AIDS Clinical Consultation Center at 1-888-448-8765) may provide assistance in rapidly developing an individualized plan.

If spontaneous ROM occurs at $>34$ weeks gestation before labor or early in labor in individuals whose HIV RNA level is $\leq 1,000$ copies/mL, interventions to decrease the interval to delivery (e.g., administration of oxytocin) should be considered based on obstetric considerations. When membrane rupture occurs before 34 weeks gestation, decisions about timing of delivery should be based on best obstetric practices, considering risks to the infant of prematurity and of HIV transmission. Steroids should be given, when appropriate, to accelerate fetal lung maturity because no data exist to suggest that these recommendations need to be altered for pregnant people with HIV.
Other Intrapartum Management

Obstetric Procedures

Obstetric procedures that increase the risk of fetal exposure to maternal blood—such as invasive fetal monitoring—have been associated with an increased risk of perinatal transmission in some studies, primarily those performed in the pre-ART era.\(^49\) Data are limited on the use of fetal scalp electrodes during labor in women who are receiving suppressive ART and who have an undetectable viral load. The use of fetal scalp electrodes for fetal monitoring is an additional source of perinatal HIV exposure for the infant and should be avoided in the setting of maternal HIV infection when possible. If a fetal scalp electrode is used, some Panel members would manage the infant as being at high risk of perinatal HIV transmission even when the mother is virally suppressed (HIV RNA <50 copies/mL). See Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection.

Based on data discussed in the previous section (see Managing Individuals Who Present in Early Labor or with Ruptured Membranes), artificial ROM can be performed for standard obstetric indications in people with HIV RNA <50 copies/mL who are on ART and are virally suppressed. Artificial ROM should be avoided in people with HIV RNA ≥50 copies/mL, unless there is a clear obstetric indication. Although no data exist about the risks of perinatal HIV transmission with intrauterine pressure catheters, clinicians may use them with caution when indicated.

Delayed cord clamping has been associated with improved iron stores in both term and preterm infants, as well as a lower incidence of necrotizing enterocolitis and intraventricular hemorrhage in preterm infants born to mothers without HIV. ACOG now recommends delaying cord clamping for 30 to 60 seconds after birth in vigorous term and preterm infants.\(^53\)-\(^55\) In the setting of HIV infection, a recent study of 64 mother-infant pairs in which 32 infants had early cord clamping (performed <30 seconds after birth) and 32 infants had delayed cord clamping (performed 120 seconds after birth) found that mean hemoglobin levels at 24 hours of life were significantly higher in the delayed cord clamping group (\(P = 0.05\)). This difference persisted at 1 month of age (\(P < 0.05\)), despite differential prescribing of iron supplementation to infants with anemia. All mothers were on stable ARV regimens. During 18 months of follow-up, no HIV transmissions were reported and the risk of jaundice or polycythemia in infants with delayed cord clamping did not increase.\(^56\)

Intrapartum Epidural Use and Pharmacologic Interactions with ARV Drugs

Ritonavir (RTV) inhibition of cytochrome P450 (CYP) 3A4 decreases the elimination of fentanyl by 67 percent. This raises concerns about a possible increased risk of respiratory depression, particularly with patient-controlled analgesia during labor, in patients who are receiving regimens that contain RTV. However, a pharmacokinetic simulation study suggested that even with maximal clinical dosing regimens of epidural fentanyl over 24 hours, RTV-induced CYP3A4 inhibition is unlikely to produce the plasma fentanyl concentrations that are associated with a decrease in minute ventilation.\(^57\) This suggests that epidural anesthesia can be used safely regardless of a patient’s ARV regimen.

Operative Vaginal Delivery

In the past, before data from the era of ART was available, HIV was considered a relative contraindication to operative vaginal delivery with forceps or vacuum device. Peters et al. reviewed
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the deliveries of 9,072 women with HIV in the United Kingdom between 2008 and 2016. The percentage of women with viral suppression was 80 percent for the deliveries from 2007 through 2011 and 90 percent for those from 2012 through 2014. Among the 3,023 of 3,663 vaginal deliveries with data as to whether forceps or vacuum device were used, 249 (8.2%) involved operative delivery (5.6% using forceps, 2.4% using vacuum device, 0.1% using both forceps and vacuum device, and 0.2% device type unknown). Among the 222 infants with known HIV status at 18 months of age, one case of HIV transmission with multiple possible causes was reported and not enough evidence existed to confirm intrapartum transmission. The study authors concluded that operative delivery is a safe option for women who are virally suppressed. Based on these data, the Panel recommends that operative delivery with forceps or a vacuum extractor should follow standard obstetric indications but should be avoided, if possible, when HIV RNA is ≥50 copies/mL. No data from the ART era address the risk of perinatal HIV transmission associated with episiotomy or with vaginal or perineal tears in the absence of maternal viremia; indications for episiotomy should be the same as they are for pregnant people without HIV (e.g., a need for expedited vaginal delivery, a need for operative vaginal delivery, shoulder dystocia).

**Postpartum Hemorrhage, ARV Drugs, and Methergine Use**

Oral or parenteral methergine or other ergot alkaloids often are used as first-line treatment for postpartum hemorrhage caused by uterine atony. However, methergine should not be coadministered with drugs that are potent CYP3A4 enzyme inhibitors, including protease inhibitors (PIs). Concomitant use of ergotamines with PIs and/or cobicistat (COBI) has been associated with exaggerated vasoconstrictive responses. When uterine atony results in excessive postpartum bleeding in people who are receiving PIs or COBI, methergine should be used only if alternative treatments—such as prostaglandin F2-alpha, misoprostol, or oxytocin—are unavailable or are contraindicated. If no alternative medications are available and the need for pharmacologic treatment outweighs the risks, methergine should be used at the lowest effective dose for the shortest possible duration. In contrast, additional uterotonic agents may be needed when using other ARV drugs that are CYP3A4 inducers (e.g., nevirapine, efavirenz, etravirine) because of the potential for decreased methergine levels and inadequate treatment effect. No known drug–drug interactions limit the adjunctive use of tranexamic acid in this setting.
Table 7. Intrapartum Care and Recommended Interventions to Prevent Perinatal HIV Transmission for Pregnant People with HIV Based on HIV RNA Levels at the Time of Delivery

All individuals with HIV should be receiving antiretroviral therapy (ART) or initiate ART in pregnancy as early as possible to suppress HIV RNA to undetectable levels (<50 copies/mL).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HIV RNA at Time of Delivery</th>
<th>Intrapartum ART</th>
<th>Intrapartum IV ZDV</th>
<th>Mode of delivery</th>
<th>Artificial rupture of membranes</th>
<th>Induction of labor</th>
<th>IUPC</th>
<th>Fetal scalp electrodes for fetal monitoring</th>
<th>Operative delivery with forceps or a vacuum extractor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assessed at 36 Weeks Gestation or Within 4 Weeks of Delivery with No Concerns Regarding ART Adherence</td>
<td>Pregnant people should take their prescribed ART on schedule as much as possible during labor and before scheduled cesarean delivery (CIII). In general, ARV regimens are initiated postpartum for people diagnosed with HIV during labor.</td>
<td>Not required (BII).</td>
<td>Normal vaginal delivery (AII).</td>
<td>Per standard obstetric indications (BII).</td>
<td>Per standard obstetric indications, including use of pitocin. Pregnant people with HIV RNA ≤1,000 copies/mL should NOT be routinely induced at 38 weeks.</td>
<td>Data not available for pregnant people with HIV; use IUPC with caution and only if clear obstetric indications exist.</td>
<td>Avoid, particularly when maternal viral load is not suppressed (≥50 copies/mL) or is unknown, because of the potential risk of HIV transmission (BIII). See Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection.</td>
<td>Per standard obstetric indications (BIII).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;50 copies/mL and on ART with No Concerns About Adherence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥50 to ≤1,000 copies/mL</td>
<td>Not required but may be considered (CII); many experts recommend.</td>
<td>Normal vaginal delivery (AII).</td>
<td>Scheduled cesarean delivery at 38 weeks (AII).</td>
<td>Avoid if possible (BIII).</td>
<td>Not applicable, cesarean delivery recommended.</td>
<td>Avoid if possible in people with detectable or unknown viral load who are not receiving a cesarean delivery (BIII).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1,000 copies/mL</td>
<td>Yes, recommended (AII).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If HIV RNA at Time of Delivery is unknown, patients should be managed as if unknown levels were >1,000 copies/mL. Center of Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). MMWR. Nov 29, 2013; 62(51):1131–1135. [810]
HIV RNA at Time of Delivery
Assessed at 36 Weeks Gestation or Within 4 Weeks of Delivery with No Concerns Regarding ART Adherencea

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HIV RNA at Time of Delivery</th>
<th>&lt;50 copies/mL and on ART with No Concerns About Adherence</th>
<th>≥50 to ≤1,000 copies/mL</th>
<th>&gt;1,000 copies/mL</th>
<th>Unknown HIV RNA ART Adherence Concerns Not Receiving ART HIV Diagnosis in Labor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Delayed cord clamping</td>
<td>Per standard obstetric indications and care.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of methergine</td>
<td>Because of potential drug interactions with some ARV drugs, consider a patient's ARV regimen when treating postpartum bleeding caused by uterine atony (BIII).f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for postpartum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hemorrhage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infant ARVs and</td>
<td>See Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection, Table 8, Table 9, Postpartum Follow-Up of People with HIV, and Counseling and Managing Individuals with HIV in the United States Who Desire to Breastfeed.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>infant feeding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: ART = antiretroviral therapy; ARV = antiretroviral; CYP3A4 = cytochrome P450 3A4; HIV = human immunodeficiency virus; IUPC = intrauterine pressure catheter; IV = intravenous; RNA = ribonucleic acid; ZDV = zidovudine

a Assess ART adherence at every visit and upon presentation for delivery.

b Begin IV ZDV when patients present in labor or at least 3 hours before a cesarean delivery using a 1-hour loading dose of ZDV at 2 mg/kg followed by a continuous ZDV infusion of 1 mg/kg for at least 2 hours (AII).

c Scheduled cesarean delivery performed solely for prevention of perinatal HIV transmission in people receiving ART with HIV RNA ≤1,000 copies/mL is not recommended given the low rate of perinatal transmission in this group (AII). In people with HIV RNA levels ≤1,000 copies/mL, if scheduled cesarean delivery or induction is indicated, it should be performed at the standard time for obstetric indications (AII).

d Provide individualized care. If HIV RNA is >1,000 copies/mL or unknown, evidence is insufficient to determine whether cesarean delivery reduces the risk of perinatal HIV transmission for people who present in spontaneous labor or with ruptured membranes. Management of people originally scheduled for cesarean delivery because of HIV who present in labor must be individualized at the time of presentation (BII). In these circumstances, consultation with an expert in perinatal HIV (e.g., telephone consultation with the National Perinatal HIV/AIDS C inical Consultation Center at 1-888-448-8765) may be helpful in rapidly developing an individualized plan.

e In pregnant people on ART with suppressed viral load (HIV RNA <50 copies/mL), duration of ruptured membranes is not associated with an increased risk of perinatal transmission and is not an indication for cesarean delivery to prevent HIV transmission (BII).

f Consider drug interactions with ART when treating postpartum bleeding caused by uterine atony. In people who are receiving a cytochrome P450 3A4 enzyme inhibitor (e.g., a protease inhibitor, cobicistat), methergine should be used only if no alternative treatments for postpartum hemorrhage are available and the need for pharmacologic treatment outweighs the risks. If methergine is used, it should be administered at the lowest effective dose for the shortest possible duration (BIII). In people who are receiving a CYP3A4 enzyme inducer—such as nevirapine, efavirenz, or etravirine—additional uterotonic agents may be needed because of the potential for decreased methergine levels and inadequate treatment effect (BIII).

**Rating of Recommendations:** A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

**Rating of Evidence:** I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion
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### Panel's Recommendations

- **Antiretroviral therapy (ART)** is currently recommended for all individuals with HIV to reduce the risk of disease progression and to prevent the sexual transmission of HIV (**AI**).

- ART should be continued after delivery (**AI**). Any plans for modifying ART after delivery should be made in consultation with the individual and their HIV care provider, ideally before delivery, taking into consideration the recommended regimens for nonpregnant adults (**AIII**) and plans for future pregnancies.

- Because the immediate postpartum period poses unique challenges to ART adherence, arrangements for new or continued supportive services should be made before hospital discharge (**AII**).

- People with a positive expedited HIV antibody test during labor should receive confirmatory testing. If testing confirms HIV infection, ART should be offered, and they should be given a supply of ART before hospital discharge to prevent treatment interruption (**AII**). Immediate linkage to HIV care and comprehensive follow-up also is needed (**AII**).

- Infants of people who have HIV newly diagnosed in the intrapartum period should begin presumptive HIV therapy and a supply of ART for their infants should be provided before hospital discharge (**AII**) (see Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection).

- Breastfeeding **is not recommended** for people in the United States who have confirmed HIV or are presumed to have HIV as long as safer infant feeding alternatives are available (**AI**). People who desire to breastfeed should receive evidence-based counseling on infant feeding options (**AIII**) (see Counseling and Managing Individuals with HIV in the United States Who Desire to Breastfeed).

- Infant feeding counseling—including a discussion of potential barriers to formula feeding—should begin during the prenatal period; this information should be reviewed after delivery (**AIII**).

- Clinicians should discuss future reproductive plans and timing, as well as the risks and benefits of conceiving while on specific antiretroviral (ARV) medications and the use of appropriate contraceptive options to prevent unintended pregnancy (**AII**).

- Contraceptive counseling should involve shared decision-making and should start during the prenatal period; a contraceptive plan should be developed before hospital discharge, as desired by the patient (**AII**).

#### Rating of Recommendations

- **A** = Strong; **B** = Moderate; **C** = Optional

#### Rating of Evidence

- **I** = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints
- **II** = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes
- **III** = Expert opinion

The postpartum period provides an opportunity to review and optimize a patient’s health care. Comprehensive medical care and supportive services are particularly important for people with HIV and their families, who often face multiple medical and social challenges. Components of comprehensive care include the following services, as needed:

- Primary care, gynecologic/obstetric care, and HIV specialty care;
- Pediatric and pediatric HIV specialty care for the infant;
- Family planning services;
• Mental health services;
• Substance abuse treatment;
• Supportive services;
• Coordination of care through case management for the patient, their child (or children), and other family members; and
• Prevention of secondary transmission for partners with differing HIV status, including counseling on the use of condoms, antiretroviral therapy (ART) to maintain virologic suppression in the partner who has HIV (i.e., treatment as prevention), and the potential use of pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) by the partner who does not have HIV (see Pre-exposure Prophylaxis (PrEP) to Prevent HIV During Periconception, Antepartum, and Postpartum Periods).

Supportive services should be tailored to the individual’s needs and can include screening for intimate partner violence; case management; child care; respite care; assistance with basic needs, such as housing, food, and transportation; peer counseling; and legal and advocacy services. Ideally, these services should begin before pregnancy and continue throughout pregnancy and the postpartum period.

During the postpartum period, immediate linkage to care, comprehensive medical assessment, counseling, and follow-up are required for all people with HIV and particularly for those who have a positive HIV test during labor or at delivery. The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists recommends that all people have contact with their obstetrician-gynecologists within 3 weeks postpartum and that postpartum care be provided as an ongoing process based on a woman’s individual needs, rather than as a single postpartum visit.3 People with HIV, particularly those who struggle with ART adherence, should have a follow-up appointment with the health care provider who manages their HIV care—whether that is an obstetrician or an HIV health care provider—within 2 to 4 weeks after hospital discharge. People who have difficulty attending in-person appointments should consider telemedicine visits.

When care is not co-located or not within the same health care system, a case manager can facilitate care coordination. People who are receiving case management are more likely to have viral suppression and be retained in care.2 Alternative models of HIV care delivery—such as HIV-adapted group prenatal care—have been associated with higher retention in HIV care for women in the postpartum period.3 It is especially critical to ensure continuity of ART between the antepartum and postpartum periods. People with HIV newly diagnosed in the intrapartum period should receive ART, and presumptive HIV therapy should be initiated immediately for the newborn before hospital discharge. Special hospital programs may need to be established to support dispensing ART to mothers before discharge.

Transgender and gender diverse people who were female sex assigned at birth may have additional needs for support and linkage to care during the postpartum period (see Perinatal HIV Prevention for Transgender and Gender Diverse People Assigned Female Sex at Birth).4-6

Postpartum Maternal Antiretroviral Therapy

ART should be continued postpartum. Decisions about any changes to an ART regimen after delivery should be made after discussion between the individual and their HIV care provider, ideally before delivery. When providing counseling about postpartum ART, health care providers should

Recommendations for the Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy and Interventions to Reduce Perinatal HIV Transmission in the United States
consider the person’s desire for future planned or potential for unplanned pregnancies in the context of the person’s anticipated ART regimen, choice of contraceptive, and the potential for any drug-drug interactions during the postpartum period that were not an issue during pregnancy (see Prepregnancy Counseling and Care for Persons of Childbearing Age with HIV and Appendix C: Antiretroviral Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers). Some ART regimens that are recommended for nonpregnant adults may not be recommended for use during pregnancy or for people who are trying to conceive (see the Adult and Adolescent Guidelines). See Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy, Table 4, Table 5, Teratogenicity, and Combination Antiretroviral Drug Regimens and Maternal and Neonatal Outcomes for additional information and specific recommendations regarding regimens for use during pregnancy and when trying to conceive.

ART is currently recommended for all individuals with HIV to reduce the risk of disease progression and to prevent secondary transmission of HIV. The Strategic Timing of AntiRetroviral Treatment (START) and Temprano trials were randomized clinical trials that demonstrated that early ART can reduce the risk of disease progression even in individuals with CD4 T lymphocyte cell counts >500 cells/mm³, and the HIV Prevention Trials Network (HPTN) 052 randomized clinical trial demonstrated that early ART can reduce the risk of sexual transmission of HIV to a discordant partner by 93%. According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), people with HIV who take ART as prescribed and achieve and maintain an undetectable viral load have effectively no risk of transmitting HIV through sex (i.e., Undetectable = Untransmittable).

Helping people with HIV understand the need for lifelong ART is a priority during postpartum care. Several studies have demonstrated significant decreases in ART adherence postpartum. During the postpartum period, people may have difficulty with medical appointment follow-up—including appointment adherence—which can affect ART adherence. Systematic monitoring of retention in HIV care is recommended for all individuals with HIV, but special attention is warranted during the postpartum period.

**Maternal Adherence to ART and Postpartum Depression**

Symptoms of depression have been associated with lower ART adherence and viral suppression during pregnancy and the postpartum period. Furthermore, postpartum depression is common among people with HIV. The U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommends screening all postpartum people for postpartum depression using a validated tool (e.g., the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale); such screening is especially important for people with HIV who appear to be at increased risk for postpartum depression and poor ART adherence during the postpartum period. People should be counseled that postpartum physical and psychological changes (and the stresses and demands of caring for a new baby) may make adherence more difficult and that additional support may be needed during this period.

Poor adherence has been shown to be associated with virologic failure, development of ARV drug resistance, and decreased long-term effectiveness of ART. In people who achieve viral suppression by the time of delivery, postpartum ART simplification to once-daily, coformulated regimens—which are often the preferred initial regimens for nonpregnant adults—could promote adherence during this challenging time. Efforts to maintain adequate adherence during the postpartum period may ensure effectiveness of therapy (see Adherence to the Continuum of Care in the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines). For people who are continuing ART and who
received increased protease inhibitor (PI) doses during pregnancy, available data suggest that doses can be reduced to standard doses immediately after delivery.

Secondary Sexual Transmission and Contraception

The postpartum period is a critical time for addressing safer sex practices to reduce secondary transmission of HIV to partners, and clinicians should begin discussing these practices with the patient during the prenatal period. Topics for discussion during counseling on prevention of secondary transmission to the partner without HIV should include condom use, ART for the partner with HIV to maintain viral suppression below the limit of detection, and the potential use of PrEP by the partner who does not have HIV. With full, sustained viral suppression in the person with HIV—with or without reliable PrEP use by the partner—HIV is sexually untransmittable (see Reproductive Options for Couples When One or Both Partners Have HIV).

It is important to integrate comprehensive family planning and preconception care into all health care visits, with special attention given to these topics during the routine prenatal and postpartum visits. Lack of breastfeeding is associated with earlier return of fertility. Ovulation returns as early as 6 weeks postpartum, and it can occur earlier in some people, even before resumption of menses, putting them at risk of pregnancy soon after delivery. If a long-acting reversible contraceptive (LARC)—such as an injectable, implant, or intrauterine device (IUD)—is desired by the patient, it should be inserted before hospital discharge or during the routine postpartum visit. If the insertion of a LARC is postponed until the postpartum visit, intramuscular depot medroxyprogesterone acetate (DMPA-IM) is a contraceptive option that can be given to avoid unplanned pregnancy in the interim, particularly if the postpartum appointment is missed or delayed. Interpregnancy intervals of <18 months have been associated with an increased risk of poor perinatal and maternal outcomes in women without HIV. Given the stresses and demands of caring for a new baby, people may be more receptive to the use of effective contraception, yet they are simultaneously at higher risk of nonadherence to contraception and, thus, unintended pregnancy.

The potential for drug–drug interactions between several ARV drugs and hormonal contraceptives is discussed in Prepregnancy Counseling and Care for Persons of Childbearing Age with HIV and Table 3. A systematic review conducted for the World Health Organization summarized the research on hormonal contraception, IUD use, and risk of HIV infection and concluded that women with HIV can use all forms of contraception. This is consistent with the CDC recommendations advocating access to a broad range of effective contraceptive methods, including combined hormonal contraceptives, progestin-only pills, depot medroxyprogesterone acetate (DMPA), and implants.

Infant Feeding

A voidance of breastfeeding has been and continues to be a standard recommendation for people with HIV in the United States. Maternal ART dramatically reduces but does not eliminate the risk of HIV transmission via breast milk, and safe infant feeding alternatives are readily available. Other concerns include the potential for drug toxicity in the neonate or, should HIV transmission occur, the risk that the infant will develop ARV drug resistance due to subtherapeutic drug levels in breast milk. However, clinicians should be aware that people may face social, familial, and personal pressures to consider breastfeeding despite this recommendation; such pressures may be particularly problematic for people from cultures where breastfeeding is important because they may fear that formula feeding would reveal their HIV status. It is therefore important to address these possible barriers to
formula feeding during the antenatal period (see Counseling and Managing Individuals with HIV in the United States Who Desire to Breastfeed).

People who have an initial rapid positive HIV test during labor or after delivery should not breastfeed unless a confirmatory HIV test is negative. For detailed guidance on maternal HIV testing, see Maternal HIV Testing and Identification of Perinatal HIV Exposure. If HIV infection is confirmed, a full health assessment is warranted, including counseling related to newly diagnosed HIV infections, a discussion of the need for lifelong ART, an assessment of the need for opportunistic infection prophylaxis, and an evaluation for associated medical conditions. The newborn should receive appropriate testing and ARV drug management. Other children and partner(s) should be referred for HIV testing. Similarly, people with HIV should be made aware of the risks of HIV transmission via premastication of infant food (i.e., by a mother prechewing or prewarming the food in her mouth). It is not yet known whether there is a risk of HIV transmission with premastication of food when the mother’s viral load is below the limit of detection.

Lactation Inhibition

For people who do not breastfeed (as recommended for people with HIV), symptoms related to breast engorgement can be very unpleasant in the days following labor and delivery. Supportive measures—such as using acetaminophen or ibuprofen for pain control, alternating hot and cold compresses on the breasts, or wearing a tight-fitting bra—can help relieve symptoms related to breast engorgement.1 Although pharmacologic options for lactation inhibition generally are not used in the United States, recent data suggest cabergoline may be appropriate for some people.45,46 Cabergoline is a dopamine agonist/ergot derivative that reduces the production of prolactin; however, it is not approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration for lactation inhibition. Cabergoline is contraindicated for women with hypertension— including pregnancy-induced hypertension, preeclampsia, or eclampsia— or liver disease and for women being treated with antipsychotics or those who have a history of puerperal psychosis.47 Bromocriptine, another dopamine agonist, is no longer used for lactation inhibition because of serious cardiovascular and neurologic complications associated with its use.48
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Counseling and Managing Individuals with HIV in the United States Who Desire to Breastfeed

(Last updated December 30, 2021; Last reviewed: December 30, 2021)

Panel’s Recommendations

- In the United States, infant formula feeding is a safe alternative to breastfeeding in individuals with HIV. Breastfeeding presents an ongoing risk of HIV exposure after birth, because suppressive maternal antiretroviral therapy significantly reduces but does not eliminate the risk of HIV transmission through breastfeeding. Therefore, breastfeeding is not recommended for individuals with HIV in the United States (AII).

- Individuals who have questions about breastfeeding or who desire to breastfeed should receive patient-centered, evidence-based counseling on infant feeding options (AIII).

- Individuals with HIV who choose to breastfeed should be supported in risk-reduction measures to minimize the risk of HIV transmission to their infants (BIII).

- Clinicians are encouraged to consult the National Perinatal HIV Hotline (1-888-448-8765) if they have questions regarding individuals with HIV who desire to breastfeed (AIII).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

The standard recommendation for individuals with HIV in the United States is to avoid breastfeeding, because—

- Maternal antiretroviral therapy (ART) reduces but does not eliminate the risk of HIV transmission via breast milk;

- Safe and affordable infant feeding alternatives are usually readily accessible in the United States;

- The postpartum period can be a challenging time to be fully adherent to ART; and

- Safety data on most modern antiretroviral (ARV) regimens during breastfeeding are scarce.

The recommendations for infant feeding by individuals with HIV in the United States differ from those in many low- and middle-income countries where cost limits access to formula, and where inadequate quantities of formula and/or unsafe water mixed into formula are associated with high rates of infant mortality. People in some areas of the United States also may have limited access to safe water and/or financial constraints to obtaining formula. Infant replacement feeding using formula (or formula powder mixed with safe water), banked breast milk, or a properly screened HIV-negative surrogate remain the only ways to eliminate the risk of breast milk–associated HIV transmission. However, individuals may face environmental, social, familial, and personal pressures to consider breastfeeding, despite the risk of HIV transmission via breast milk. Among 93 U.S. clinicians who provide specialty care to women with HIV and completed a survey, one-third of the providers were aware that...
women in their care breastfed their infants after being advised not to do so. A survey of 15 treatment centers in Germany showed that the number of women with HIV who had opted to breastfeed increased between 2009 and 2020.

Qualitative studies of mothers with HIV in Canada found that many factors affected a woman’s decision to breastfeed her infant; these included social, cultural, and emotional factors and concerns about HIV-related stigma. Some women, especially those from a country or cultural background where breastfeeding is the norm, feared that not breastfeeding would lead to disclosure of their HIV status. Focus groups held in Canada elucidated the importance of offering the choice to formula feed or breastfeed, especially among women who had immigrated from other countries where they had been encouraged to breastfeed.

Breastfeeding has maternal and infant benefits; thus, an exclusive focus on the risk of perinatal HIV transmission via breastfeeding fails to acknowledge the advantages that may be lost by prohibiting breastfeeding for individuals with HIV. Hence, multiple experts and community organizations have called for a patient-centered, risk-reduction approach to shared decision-making on infant feeding options for women with HIV in high-income countries.

This section of the guidelines is intended to provide tools to help providers counsel individuals with HIV on the potential risks of HIV transmission that are associated with breastfeeding and to provide a risk-reduction approach for those who choose to breastfeed, despite intensive counseling. It is not intended to be an endorsement of breastfeeding, nor to imply that breastfeeding is recommended for individuals with HIV in the United States.

**Breastfeeding and Strategies to Reduce Risk of HIV Transmission**

Both the evidence regarding the risk of HIV transmission via breastfeeding and the strategies to reduce this type of transmission come from studies conducted in low- and middle-income countries, where rates of infant mortality are high and many families do not have access to safe water and affordable formula. Without maternal ART and infant ARV prophylaxis, the risk of a breastfeeding infant’s acquiring HIV from a mother with HIV is 15% to 20% over 2 years.

Studies have shown that maternal ART throughout pregnancy and breastfeeding as well as infant ARV prophylaxis during breastfeeding can reduce, but not eliminate, the risk of breast milk–associated HIV transmission. However, most of these studies provided ARV drugs to women or their infants only through 6 months postpartum and collected limited data on maternal plasma HIV viral load during breastfeeding.

As ART has become more widely available for women during pregnancy and the postpartum period, studies have evaluated HIV transmission during breastfeeding among women who initiated ART earlier in pregnancy and who continued ART longer than women in previous studies. Among more than 500 mothers who were on ART in the Mma Bana study, two cases of HIV transmission via breastfeeding occurred. In these cases, maternal plasma and breast milk HIV RNA levels were <50 copies/mL at 1 month and 3 months postpartum. The PROMISE trial, which included more than 2,400 women with CD4 T lymphocyte cell counts ≥350 cells/mm³, compared the efficacy of prolonged infant ARV prophylaxis with nevirapine (NVP) to maternal ART in preventing HIV transmission during breastfeeding. Both
treatments continued through cessation of breastfeeding or 18 months postpartum, whichever came first. This study reported estimated transmission rates of 0.3% at 6 months and 0.6% at 12 months in both arms. A secondary analysis of the PROMISE trial demonstrated an association between maternal viral load and HIV transmission among mother–baby pairs in the maternal ART arm but not in the infant ARV prophylaxis arm. Two infants in the maternal ART arm acquired HIV despite maternal viral load measured as nondetected or detected but less than 40 copies/mL on the date that the infants’ first samples tested positive for HIV RNA. Two cases of HIV transmission during breastfeeding were reported among 186 infants born during a study in Tanzania; the first occurred in the infant of a mother who had a high viral load 1 month after delivery, and the second occurred after a mother discontinued ART. No cases of HIV transmission were reported among infants who were born to virally suppressed mothers who remained in care.

Prior to the current accessibility of ART in low-income countries, studies demonstrated that exclusive breastfeeding during the first 6 months of life is associated with lower rates of HIV transmission than mixed feeding (a term used to describe infants fed breast milk plus other liquid or solid foods, including formula). After 6 months, when complementary foods are required for adequate infant nutrition, demand for breast milk decreases and gradual weaning can occur. Rapid weaning over several days is not recommended, because increased HIV shedding into breast milk and an increased rate of HIV transmission during rapid weaning were observed in studies from low-income countries that were conducted before ART was widely accessible for breastfeeding women. Currently, not enough data exist to determine whether exclusive breastfeeding or mixed feeding has an impact on perinatal transmission in the context of effective ART.

Safety of Maternal and Infant Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Breastfeeding

The non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTIs) NVP, efavirenz, and etravirine have been detected in breast milk; however, the levels of these ARV drugs that have been detected in breast milk are lower than those seen in maternal plasma. Among protease inhibitors (PIs), lopinavir (LPV), nelfinavir, ritonavir, indinavir, and atazanavir have been found in very low concentrations in breast milk, with little to no drug detectable in the blood of the breastfed infant. Nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs) show more variability than PIs and NNRTIs. Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) concentrations are very low in breast milk, and the drug is undetectable in the blood of the breastfed infant. Emtricitabine and lamivudine (3TC) have more accumulation in breast milk and can sometimes be detected in the blood of the breastfed infant (in 19% and 36% of infants, respectively). A sub-analysis of the Breastfeeding, Antiretrovirals, and Nutrition (BAN) study confirmed higher levels of the NRTIs zidovudine (ZDV) and 3TC in breast milk than in plasma, in contrast to NNRTIs and PIs. The study demonstrated that higher drug concentrations in the maternal plasma and breast milk compartments were associated with lower levels of the virus in both compartments and a lower incidence of viral transmission during breastfeeding. Data on the transfer of integrase strand transfer inhibitors to breast milk in humans are limited; data do show that dolutegravir is found in breast milk at levels that are about 3% of those seen in maternal plasma. For more details on the passage of ARV drugs into breast milk, see the individual drug sections in Appendix B.
A systematic data review showed a decrease in maternal bone mineral content among breastfeeding mothers who were receiving TDF-based ART compared to mothers who received no ART, but whether this condition persisted after discontinuation of breastfeeding was not known.\textsuperscript{40} The clinical significance of the reduced bone mineral density is uncertain. Subsequent studies in Africa have shown TDF-based ART to be associated with a decrease in bone mineral density during lactation. In one study, bone mineral density decline through 74 weeks postpartum was greater in breastfeeding women with HIV receiving TDF than in women with HIV receiving ZDV-based ART.\textsuperscript{41} A second study comparing bone mineral density in women with HIV receiving TDF-based ART to women without HIV showed accelerated loss during lactation, with only partial recovery by 3 months after cessation of lactation.\textsuperscript{42}

In infants, serious adverse events that are associated with the use of ART by breastfeeding mothers appear to be relatively uncommon. In two studies that compared the efficacy of maternal ART (ZDV-based ART in one study and TDF-based ART in the other) to infant NVP prophylaxis with no maternal ART during breastfeeding for prevention of postnatal HIV transmission, no significant differences in adverse events were observed between study arms.\textsuperscript{22,27} One study reported that anemia occurred more frequently among infants who were exposed to ZDV-based ART during breastfeeding than among infants who were not exposed to ART.\textsuperscript{43} An infant who acquires HIV while breastfeeding is at risk for developing ARV drug resistance due to subtherapeutic drug levels in breast milk.\textsuperscript{44,45}

Likewise, the rates of serious adverse events among infants who receive extended ARV prophylaxis during breastfeeding are low. In one study, the rate of adverse events in infants receiving 6 months of NVP was not significantly different from the rate in infants receiving placebo. A second study that compared two infant ARV prophylaxis regimens (lopinavir/ritonavir vs. 3TC) found no significant difference between the rates of adverse events among infants receiving the two regimens.\textsuperscript{22-24,27} Studies to date have examined only short-term adverse events, and few data are available on whether there might be long-term consequences of these drug exposures.

**Approach to Counseling and Management**

Infant formula feeding is a safe alternative to breastfeeding in individuals with HIV, and banked donor breast milk or a properly screened HIV-negative surrogate remain the only completely reliable methods of preventing HIV transmission via breast milk. The Panel on Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission (the Panel) recommends that individuals with HIV in the United States not breastfeed their infants. However, patient-centered counseling on infant feeding must balance maternal psychosocial concerns, the health benefits of breastfeeding for the infant, and the risk of HIV transmission. Similarly, the British HIV guidelines recommend using formula as the safest approach to infant feeding, but they suggest supporting women who opt to breastfeed.\textsuperscript{17} Providers should initiate counseling with a nonjudgmental inquiry about infant feeding early in pregnancy, and then engage the mother by offering joint problem-solving and shared decision-making. One approach is to say, “In the United States, we recommend formula feeding to avoid the risk of HIV transmission to your baby through breast milk. Do you have any questions or concerns about this?” For those who are considering breastfeeding, the Panel recommends engaging each individual privately in a nonjudgmental conversation about the motivation behind the desire to breastfeed and potential barriers to formula feeding (e.g., lack of disclosure or cultural issues), as well as consulting with the clinician(s) who will be managing the infant’s
care. Infant feeding intentions should be assessed throughout pregnancy among persons who have expressed interest in or uncertainty about breastfeeding.

If, despite counseling, an individual decides to breastfeed, risk-reduction measures should be taken to reduce the possibility of HIV transmission. Ideally, an individual with HIV who chooses to breastfeed should be adherent to their ARV regimen, should maintain a suppressed viral load during pregnancy, and should be engaged fully in their own care.46 Risk-reduction measures may include the following:

- Supporting maternal ART adherence and engagement in care during pregnancy and throughout breastfeeding, as well as identifying antenatal or postpartum depression early.

- Documenting consistent viral suppression before delivery and throughout breastfeeding. This can be accomplished by monitoring maternal plasma viral loads approximately every 2 months during breastfeeding. Plasma viral loads also should be monitored whenever nonadherence to ART is suspected. If maternal viral load becomes detectable, consult an expert immediately and consider weaning the infant.

- Breastfeeding exclusively for up to 6 months postpartum, followed by breastfeeding in combination with the introduction of complementary foods. However, this recommendation is based on studies of exclusive breastfeeding and nonexclusive breastfeeding that were completed before effective ART was widely available. In the context of maternal ART and viral suppression, it is not known whether infants who need formula supplementation (e.g., for hypoglycemia or inadequate weight gain) are at increased risk of HIV acquisition.

- Developing a plan for weaning with input from the family and providers. Rapid weaning over a few days is not recommended, but data on weaning are lacking for infants born to women who are receiving ART and who are virologically suppressed.

- Establishing a plan for infant ARV prophylaxis. Provision of infant ARV prophylaxis beyond the recommended time period of 4 weeks is controversial in breastfeeding infants of mothers receiving ART who are virally suppressed (see Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection). The most extensively studied ARV prophylaxis in breastfeeding infants is daily NVP, which has been shown to be safe and effective when used for extended prophylaxis in infants whose mothers were not receiving ART.22,23,28 However, in the HIV Prevention Trials Network (HPTN) 046 trial, which evaluated different durations of infant NVP prophylaxis during breastfeeding among mothers who were receiving suppressive ART, no difference was observed in postnatal HIV transmission between infants receiving extended NVP prophylaxis and those receiving placebo. This suggests a lack of benefit of extended infant ARV prophylaxis if the mother is on ART and virally suppressed.23 Despite the lack of data to support treating both the mother and infant during breastfeeding, some experts feel more comfortable continuing infant ARV prophylaxis (using NVP) during breastfeeding and for 1 week to 4 weeks after weaning, even when the mother is receiving suppressive ART.47

- Monitoring the infant for HIV acquisition during breastfeeding and for a period of time after cessation of breastfeeding.48 A proposed approach to infant monitoring would include virologic HIV testing at the standard time points (birth, 14–21 days, 1–2 months, and 4–6 months), and then every 3 months throughout breastfeeding, followed by
monitoring at 4 to 6 weeks, 3 months, and 6 months after cessation of breastfeeding (see the Breastfeeding subsection in Diagnosis of HIV in Infants and Children).

- Promptly identifying and treating maternal mastitis and infant thrush. Both conditions increase the risk of HIV transmission through breastfeeding. Experts in the United States recommend that milk from the affected breast be pumped and discarded until mastitis resolves.

In the unlikely event of HIV transmission via breastfeeding, prompt initiation of a full ARV regimen for the infant is recommended (see What to Start in the Guidelines for the Use of Antiretroviral Agents in Pediatric HIV Infection). Drug-resistance testing should be done on the infant’s viral isolate. If resistance is identified, the ARV regimen can be adjusted appropriately.

The immediate postpartum period poses unique challenges to adherence to medical care and ART. Although it has been shown that people with undetectable viral loads cannot transmit HIV through sexual contact, not enough data exist currently to say the same for transmission through breastfeeding. Many questions remain as to the mechanism for breast milk-associated HIV transmission in the cases where it has occurred. HIV RNA in cell-free breast milk may be controlled with ART, but cell-associated HIV (usually measured by HIV DNA) may provide a latent reservoir of HIV that is capable of causing perinatal transmission via breastfeeding, even among women on ART. Close follow-up and enhanced support services should be considered for people who are planning to breastfeed (see Postpartum Follow-Up of People with HIV). Clinicians who are caring for an individual with HIV who is considering breastfeeding should consult with an expert and feel free to call the National Perinatal HIV Hotline (1-888-448-8765).
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Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December, 30 2021)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Panel’s Recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• All newborns who were exposed perinatally to HIV should receive postpartum antiretroviral (ARV) drugs to reduce the risk of perinatal transmission of HIV (AI).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Newborn ARV regimens administered at doses that are appropriate for the infant's gestational age should be initiated as close to the time of birth as possible, preferably within 6 hours of delivery (AII).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• A newborn’s ARV regimen should be determined based on maternal and infant factors that influence the risk of perinatal transmission of HIV (AII). The uses of ARV regimens in newborns include the following:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o ARV Prophylaxis: The administration of one or more ARV drugs to a newborn without documented HIV infection to reduce the risk of perinatal acquisition of HIV.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Presumptive HIV Therapy: The administration of a three-drug ARV regimen to newborns who are at highest risk of perinatal acquisition of HIV. Presumptive HIV therapy is intended to be preliminary treatment for a newborn who is later documented to have HIV, but it also serves as prophylaxis against HIV acquisition for those newborns who are exposed to HIV in utero, during the birthing process, or during breastfeeding and who do not acquire HIV.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o HIV Therapy: The administration of a three-drug ARV regimen at treatment doses (called antiretroviral therapy [ART]) to newborns with documented HIV infection (see Diagnosis of HIV Infection in Infants and Children).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• A 4-week zidovudine (ZDV) ARV prophylaxis regimen can be used in newborns whose mothers received ART during pregnancy and had viral suppression within 4 weeks prior to delivery (defined as a confirmed HIV RNA level &lt;50 copies/mL) and for whom maternal adherence is not of concern (BII).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Newborns at high risk of perinatal acquisition of HIV should begin presumptive HIV therapy (see Table 9 for recommended regimens). Newborns at high risk of HIV acquisition include those born to people with HIV who—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Have not received antepartum ARV drugs (AI), or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Have received only intrapartum ARV drugs (AI), or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Have received antepartum ARV drugs but who did not achieve viral suppression (defined as a confirmed HIV RNA level &lt;50 copies/mL) within 4 weeks of delivery (AII), or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Have primary or acute HIV infection during pregnancy (AII).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Presumptive HIV therapy should be administered to infants of mothers who have primary or acute HIV infection while breastfeeding (AII).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• If a patient presents with unknown HIV status and has a positive expedited HIV test during labor or shortly after delivery, the infant should begin presumptive HIV therapy (AII). If supplemental maternal testing is negative, the infant’s ARV regimen should be discontinued (AII).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• For newborns with HIV infection, ART should be initiated (AI).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The use of ARV drugs other than ZDV, lamivudine, and nevirapine cannot be recommended for any indication in premature newborns (&lt;37 weeks gestational age) because of the lack of dosing and safety data (BII).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Providers with questions about ARV management of perinatal HIV exposure should consult the National Perinatal HIV Hotline (1-888-448-8765), which provides free clinical consultation on all aspects of perinatal HIV, including newborn care (AIII).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
General Considerations for Antiretroviral Management of Newborns Exposed to HIV or Born with HIV

All newborns with perinatal exposure to HIV should receive antiretroviral (ARV) drugs during the neonatal period to reduce the risk of perinatal HIV transmission, with selection of the appropriate ARV regimen guided by the level of transmission risk. HIV transmission can occur in utero, intrapartum, or during breastfeeding.

Maternal viral load is the most important risk factor for HIV transmission to a newborn. Newborns are at an increased risk for transmission when their mothers do not receive antiretroviral therapy (ART) during pregnancy, when mothers start antepartum treatment late in pregnancy, or when antepartum treatment does not result in viral suppression (defined as a confirmed HIV RNA level <50 copies/mL). Higher maternal viral load, especially in late pregnancy, correlates with higher risk of transmission. A spectrum of transmission risk depends on these and other maternal and infant factors, including mode of delivery, gestational age at delivery, and maternal health status.

Historically, the use of ARV drugs in the newborn period was referred to as ARV prophylaxis because it primarily focused on protection against newborn perinatal acquisition of HIV. More recently, clinicians have begun to identify newborns at highest risk for HIV acquisition and initiate three-drug ARV regimens as presumptive treatment of HIV. In this section, the following terms will be used:

- **ARV Prophylaxis:** The administration of ARV drugs to a newborn without documented HIV infection to reduce the risk of HIV acquisition. ARV prophylaxis includes administration of a single agent—usually zidovudine (ZDV)—as well as combinations of two or three ARV drugs.

- **Presumptive HIV Therapy:** The administration of a three-drug ARV regimen to newborns at highest risk of HIV acquisition. Presumptive HIV therapy is intended to be early treatment for a newborn who is later documented to have acquired HIV, but it also serves as ARV prophylaxis against HIV acquisition for those newborns who are exposed to HIV in utero, during the birthing process, or during breastfeeding and who do not acquire HIV.

- **HIV Therapy:** The administration of a three-drug ARV regimen to newborns with documented HIV infection (see Diagnosis of HIV Infection in Infants and Children).

The terms ARV prophylaxis and presumptive HIV therapy describe the clinician’s intent when prescribing ARV drugs, which may lead to an overlap between these two terms. For example, a presumptive HIV therapy regimen also provides ARV prophylaxis for a newborn. However, two-drug (or sometimes three-drug) ARV prophylaxis regimens, notably those that use prophylactic doses rather than therapeutic doses of nevirapine (NVP), are not considered presumptive HIV therapy.

The interval during which newborn ARV prophylaxis or presumptive HIV therapy can be initiated and still be beneficial is undefined; however, most studies support providing ARV drugs as early as possible after delivery.¹⁻⁶
Table 8 provides an overview of neonatal ARV management recommendations according to the risk of perinatal HIV transmission to the newborn, and Table 9 summarizes the recommendations for ARV drug dosing in newborns. Additional information about dose selection for newborns, including premature infants (<37 weeks’ gestational age), can be found in the Pediatric Antiretroviral Guidelines. Information about infants born to people with HIV-2 infection is available in HIV-2 Infection and Pregnancy and Table 8. In addition, the National Perinatal HIV Hotline (1-888-448-8765) is a federally funded service that provides free clinical consultation on difficult cases to providers who are caring for pregnant people with HIV and their newborns, and consultants can provide referrals to local or regional pediatric HIV specialists.

Table 8. Neonatal Antiretroviral Management According to Risk of HIV Infection in the Newborn

Drug selection and dosing considerations are related to the age and gestational age of the newborn. Consultation is available through the National Perinatal HIV Hotline (1-888-448-8765).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of Perinatal HIV Transmission Risk</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Neonatal ARV Management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Low Risk of Perinatal HIV Transmission</strong></td>
<td>Mothers who received ART during pregnancy with viral suppression (defined as a confirmed HIV RNA level &lt;50 copies/mL) <strong>within 4 weeks prior to delivery</strong> and no concerns related to adherence</td>
<td>ZDV for 4 weeks(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>High Risk of Perinatal HIV Transmission(^a,b)</strong></td>
<td>Mothers who did not receive antepartum ARV drugs</td>
<td>Presumptive HIV therapy using either ZDV, 3TC, and NVP (treatment dose) or ZDV, 3TC, and RAL administered from birth up to 6 weeks(^d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mothers who received only intrapartum ARV drugs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mothers who received antepartum ARV drugs but did not have viral suppression (defined as a confirmed HIV RNA level &lt;50 copies/mL) <strong>within 4 weeks prior to delivery</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mothers with acute or primary HIV infection during pregnancy or breastfeeding (in which case, the mother <strong>should immediately discontinue breastfeeding</strong>)(^c)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Presumed Newborn HIV Exposure</strong></td>
<td>Mothers with unconfirmed HIV status who have at least one positive HIV test at delivery or postpartum or Mothers whose newborns have a positive HIV antibody test</td>
<td>ARV management as described above for newborns with a high risk of perinatal HIV transmission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Infant ARV drugs should be discontinued immediately if supplemental testing confirms that the mother does not have HIV.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of Perinatal HIV Transmission Risk</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Neonatal ARV Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newborn with HIV*</td>
<td>Positive newborn HIV virologic test/NAT</td>
<td>Three-drug ARV regimen using treatment doses. Refer to the What to Start in the Pediatric Antiretroviral Guidelines for specific treatment recommendations.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a ZDV prophylaxis regimen is recommended for infants born to mothers with HIV-2 mono-infection, see HIV-2 Infection and Pregnancy. If the mother has HIV-1 and HIV-2 infection, the infant ARV regimen should be based on the determination of low or high risk of HIV-1 transmission as described in the above table. Because HIV-2 is not susceptible to NVP, RAL should be considered for infants at high risk of perinatal HIV-2 transmission. See text for evidence that supports the use of presumptive HIV therapy and a two-drug ARV prophylaxis regimen.

b See Intrapartum Care for People with HIV for guidance on indications for scheduled cesarean delivery and intrapartum intravenous ZDV to reduce the risk of perinatal HIV transmission for mothers with an elevated viral load at delivery.

c Most Panel members would opt to administer presumptive HIV therapy to infants whose mothers had acute HIV during pregnancy because of the higher risk for in utero transmission. If acute HIV is diagnosed during breastfeeding, the mother should immediately discontinue breastfeeding.

d The optimal duration of presumptive HIV therapy in newborns who are at a high risk for perinatal HIV transmission is unknown. If possible, newborns who are at a high risk for HIV acquisition should receive ZDV for 6 weeks. Additional medications—such as 3TC, RAL, or NVP—may need to be administered for 2 to 6 weeks; the recommended duration for these drugs varies depending on infant HIV NAT results, maternal viral load at the time of delivery, and additional risk factors for HIV transmission. Consultation with an expert in pediatric HIV is recommended when selecting a therapy duration because this decision should be based on case-specific risk factors and interim infant HIV NAT results. The two-drug regimen used in the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development-HIV Prevention Trials Network (HPTN) 040/Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group (PACTG) 1043 for infants who were at a high risk for HIV acquisition is described in the text (see the Two-Drug Antiretroviral Prophylaxis section).

e Infant ART should be initiated without waiting for the results of confirmatory HIV NAT testing, given the low likelihood of a false-positive HIV NAT. However, the specimen for confirmatory HIV testing should be obtained prior to ART initiation.

Note: ARV drugs should be initiated as close to the time of birth as possible, preferably within 6 hours of delivery. See Table 9 for dosing specifics.

Key: 3TC = lamivudine; ART = antiretroviral therapy; ARV = antiretroviral; NAT = nucleic acid test; NVP = nevirapine; Panel = Panel on Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission; RAL = raltegravir; ZDV = zidovudine
Table 9. Antiretroviral Drug Dosing Recommendations for Newborns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Newborns at Low Risk of Perinatal HIV Transmission</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recommended Regimen</strong></td>
<td><strong>Recommended Duration</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZDV</td>
<td>ZDV administered for 4 weeks at the doses listed below.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Newborns at High Risk of Perinatal HIV Transmission</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recommended Regimen</strong></td>
<td><strong>Recommended Duration</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three-drug HIV therapy: ZDV plus 3TC plus (NVP or RAL)</td>
<td>ZDV administered for 6 weeks, with no increase to the 12-mg/kg dose unless the infant has confirmed HIV infection (see ZDV dosing recommendations below). Dosing for 3TC, NVP, and RAL is described below.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Newborns with HIV Infection | **Recommended Regimen** | **Lifelong Duration Recommended**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Refer to Pediatric Antiretroviral Guidelines for specific treatment recommendations.</td>
<td>Lifelong therapy in accordance with current treatment guidelines. The ARV regimen should be individualized based on the infant's age and clinical determinants. Refer to the Pediatric Antiretroviral Guidelines for specific treatment recommendations.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Drug Doses by Gestational Age at Birth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ZDV</td>
<td>≥35 Weeks’ Gestation at Birth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Birth to Age 4 Weeks:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• ZDV 4 mg/kg per dose orally twice daily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age &gt;4 Weeks:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• ZDV 12 mg/kg per dose orally twice daily; only make this dose increase for infants with confirmed HIV infection.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Simplified Weight-Band Dosing for Newborns Aged ≥35 Weeks’ Gestation from Birth to 4 Weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Weight Band</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 to &lt;3 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 to &lt;4 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 to &lt;5 kg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>≥30 to &lt;35 Weeks’ Gestation at Birth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Birth to Age 2 Weeks</td>
<td>ZDV 2 mg/kg per dose orally twice daily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age 2 Weeks to 6 to 8 Weeks</td>
<td>ZDV 3 mg/kg per dose orally twice daily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age &gt;6 to 8 Weeks</td>
<td>ZDV 12 mg/kg per dose orally twice daily; make this dose increase only for infants with confirmed HIV infection.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### ABC

**Note:** ABC is not approved by the FDA for use in neonates and infants aged <1 month. However, dosing recommendations have been modeled using PK simulation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ABC</th>
<th>≥37 Weeks’ Gestation at Birth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Birth to 1 Month:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• ABC 2 mg/kg per dose orally twice daily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age 1 Month to &lt;3 Months:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• ABC 4 mg/kg per dose orally twice daily</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3TC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3TC</th>
<th>≥32 Weeks’ Gestation at Birth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Birth to Age 4 Weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• 3TC 2 mg/kg per dose orally twice daily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age &gt;4 Weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• 3TC 4 mg/kg per dose orally twice daily</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### NVP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NVP</th>
<th>≥37 Weeks’ Gestation at Birth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Birth to Age 4 Weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• NVP 6 mg/kg per dose orally twice daily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age &gt;4 Weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• NVP 200 mg/m² BSA per dose orally twice daily; only make this dose increase for infants with confirmed HIV infection.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NVP</th>
<th>≥34 to &lt;37 Weeks’ Gestation at Birth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Birth to Age 1 Week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• NVP 4 mg/kg per dose orally twice daily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age 1 to 4 Weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• NVP 6 mg/kg per dose orally twice daily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age &gt;4 Weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• NVP 200 mg/m² BSA per dose orally twice daily; only make this dose increase for infants with confirmed HIV infection.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NVP</th>
<th>≥32 to &lt;34 Weeks’ Gestation at Birth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Birth to Age 2 Weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• NVP 2 mg/kg per dose orally twice daily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age 2 to 4 Weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• NVP 4 mg/kg per dose orally twice daily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age 4 to 6 Weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• NVP 6 mg/kg per dose orally twice daily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age &gt;4 Weeks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
a The optimal duration of presumptive HIV therapy in newborns who are at a high risk for perinatal HIV transmission is unknown. If possible, newborns who are at a high risk for HIV acquisition should receive ZDV for 6 weeks. Additional medications—such as 3TC, RAL, or NVP—may need to be administered for 2 to 6 weeks; the recommended duration for these drugs varies based on infant HIV nucleic acid test (NAT) results, maternal viral load at the time of delivery, and additional risk factors for HIV transmission. Consultation with an expert in pediatric HIV is recommended when selecting a therapy duration because this decision should be based on case-specific risk factors and interim infant HIV NAT results. The two-drug regimen used in NICHD-HPTN 040/PACTG 1043 for infants who were at a high risk for HIV acquisition is described in the text (see the Two-Drug Antiretroviral Prophylaxis section).

b For ARV management after the first 6 weeks of life, see the Pediatric Antiretroviral Guidelines.

c ABC is approved by the FDA for use in children aged ≥3 months when administered as part of an ARV regimen. ABC also has been reported to be safe in infants and children ≥1 month of age. More recently, an ABC dosing recommendation using PK simulation models has been endorsed by the WHO using weight-band dosing for full-term infants from birth to 1 month of age.

---

**RAL**

**Note:** If the mother has taken RAL 2 to 24 hours prior to delivery, the neonate’s first dose of RAL should be delayed until 24 to 48 hours after birth; additional ARV drugs should be started as soon as possible.7

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>≥37 Weeks’ Gestation at Birth and Weighing ≥2 kg*</th>
<th>Birth to Age 6 Weeks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Body Weight</strong></td>
<td><strong>Volume (Dose) of RAL 10 mg/mL Suspension</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth to 1 Week: Once-Daily Dosing</td>
<td>Approximately 1.5 mg/kg per dose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 to &lt;3 kg</td>
<td>0.4 mL (4 mg) once daily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 to &lt;4 kg</td>
<td>0.5 mL (5 mg) once daily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 to &lt;5 kg</td>
<td>0.7 mL (7 mg) once daily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 to 4 Weeks: Twice-Daily Dosing</td>
<td>Approximately 3 mg/kg per dose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 to &lt;3 kg</td>
<td>0.8 mL (8 mg) twice daily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 to &lt;4 kg</td>
<td>1 mL (10 mg) twice daily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 to &lt;5 kg</td>
<td>1.5 mL (15 mg) twice daily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 to 6 Weeks: Twice-Daily Dosing</td>
<td>Approximately 6 mg/kg per dose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 to &lt;4 kg</td>
<td>2.5 mL (25 mg) twice daily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 to &lt;6 kg</td>
<td>3 mL (30 mg) twice daily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 to &lt;8 kg</td>
<td>4 mL (40 mg) twice daily</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

---
Abacavir in Appendix A: Pediatric Antiretroviral Drug Information for additional information about the use of ABC between birth and 1 month of age. At this time, the Panel does not recommend ABC as part of a presumptive HIV therapy regimen. However, in situations where ZDV is not available or the infant has ZDV-associated toxicity, ABC could be considered an alternative to ZDV. This substitution should be considered in circumstances where increased risk of ZDV toxicity may exist, such as in infants with anemia or neutropenia. Because of ABC-associated hypersensitivity, negative testing for HLA-B5701 allele should be confirmed prior to administration of ABC.

The NVP doses for infants ≥34 to <37 weeks gestation at birth and infants ≥37 weeks gestation at birth are not yet approved by the FDA. The FDA also has not approved a dose of NVP for infants aged <1 month. The doses for infants ≥32 to <34 weeks gestation at birth are based on modeling and might underestimate potential toxicity in infants of 32 to <34 weeks gestational age because the doses used to develop the model were lower than the doses now recommended. See the Two-Drug Antiretroviral Prophylaxis section in the text for prophylactic NVP dosing if using the NICHD-HPTN 040/PACTG 1043 prophylaxis regimen. See Nevirapine in Appendix A: Pediatric Antiretroviral Drug Information for additional information about dosing.

RAL dosing is increased at 1 week and 4 weeks of age because metabolism by UGT1A1 is low at birth and increases rapidly during the next 4 to 6 weeks of life. No dosing information is available for preterm infants or infants weighing <2 kg at birth. In infants with HIV infection, twice-daily RAL can be replaced with once-daily DTG at >4 weeks of age (see Dolutegravir and What to Start in the Pediatric Antiretroviral Guidelines).

Key: 3TC = lamivudine; ABC = abacavir; ARV = antiretroviral; BSA = body surface area; DTG = dolutegravir; FDA = U.S. Food and Drug Administration; IV = intravenous; NICHD-HPTN 040/PACTG 1043 = Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development–HIV Prevention Trials Network 040/Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group 1043; NVP = nevirapine; the Panel = the Panel on Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission; PK = pharmacokinetic; RAL = raltegravir; UGT = uridine diphosphate glucotransferase; WHO = World Health Organization; ZDV = zidovudine
Recommendations for Antiretroviral Drugs in Specific Clinical Situations

In this section and Table 8, the Panel on Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission (the Panel) presents available data and recommendations for management of newborns with documented HIV and newborns born to mothers who—

- Received antepartum ARV drugs and achieved effective viral suppression (defined as a confirmed HIV RNA level <50 copies/mL) within 4 weeks prior to delivery
- Are at high risk for transmitting HIV to their newborns, including mothers who—
  - Did not receive antepartum ARV drugs, or
  - Received only intrapartum ARV drugs, or
  - Received antepartum ARV drugs but do not have effective viral suppression (defined as a confirmed HIV RNA level <50 copies/mL) within 4 weeks prior to delivery
- Had acute or primary HIV infection during pregnancy or breastfeeding
- Have unknown HIV status
- Have known ARV drug-resistant virus

Newborns Born to Mothers Who Achieved Viral Suppression on Antepartum Antiretroviral Drugs

The risk of HIV acquisition in newborns born to people who received ART during pregnancy and labor and who had undetectable viral load near or at the time of delivery is <1%. In the Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group (PACTG) 076 study, ZDV alone reduced the incidence of perinatal HIV transmission by 66%, and ZDV is recommended as prophylaxis for neonates whose mothers received ART that resulted in consistent viral suppression during pregnancy. The optimal minimum duration of neonatal ZDV prophylaxis has not been established in clinical trials. A 6-week ZDV regimen was studied in newborns in PACTG 076. However, the evidence that supports a reduced duration of ZDV prophylaxis in infants born to women who were suppressed virologically during pregnancy and at the time of delivery is mounting. In the United Kingdom and many other European countries, a 2-week neonatal ZDV prophylaxis regimen is recommended for infants born to women who have a very low risk of HIV transmission. These women have been on ART for longer than 10 weeks and have had at least two documented maternal HIV viral loads <50 copies/mL at least 4 weeks apart and have viral loads <50 copies/mL at or after 36 weeks' gestation. A 4-week course of ZDV is recommended if any of these criteria are not fulfilled but the maternal viral load is <50 copies/mL at or after 36 weeks' gestation. Compared with the 6-week ZDV regimen, 2 to 4 weeks on this regimen has been reported to allow earlier recovery from anemia in otherwise healthy newborns. The Swiss Federal Office of Public Health does not recommend infant ARV prophylaxis for infants of women with regular follow-up, ART use during pregnancy, and where maternal viral load is <50 copies/mL, ideally sustained throughout pregnancy, but at least at the last two consecutive measurements before delivery where viral load testing is performed at least 4 weeks apart and the last viral load is measured after week 36 of pregnancy.

Currently, the Panel recommends a 4-week neonatal ZDV prophylaxis regimen for newborns if the mother achieved viral suppression (defined as a confirmed HIV RNA level <50 copies/mL) on ART during pregnancy within 4 weeks of delivery and maternal adherence is not of concern. Some Panel
members are supportive of the shorter 2-week ZDV regimen, as recommended by the British HIV Association and implemented in the United Kingdom and other European countries, in cases where there is very low risk of HIV transmission as defined above. Dosing recommendations for ZDV are available for premature newborns, and an intravenous preparation of ZDV is available. Table 9 shows recommended neonatal ZDV dosing based on gestational age and birthweight.

**Newborns Born to Mothers Who Received No Antepartum Antiretroviral Drugs, Who Received Intrapartum Antiretroviral Drugs Only, Who Received Antiretroviral Drugs and Were Not Virally Suppressed Near Delivery, or Who Acquired HIV During Pregnancy or Breastfeeding**

The Panel recommends that all newborns born to mothers who do not have viral suppression (defined as a confirmed HIV RNA level <50 copies/mL) within 4 weeks prior to delivery, who received only intrapartum ARV drugs, or who received no ARV drugs during pregnancy are at high risk for HIV acquisition and should receive presumptive HIV therapy. Primary or acute HIV infection during pregnancy also is associated with an increased risk of perinatal transmission of HIV. Infants born to people who acquired HIV during pregnancy should receive presumptive HIV therapy (see [Acute HIV Infection](#)). The experience with these two strategies is described below.

**Presumptive HIV Therapy**

Early effective treatment of HIV infection in infants restricts the viral reservoir size, reduces HIV genetic variability, and modifies the immune response. Because of these potential benefits of early ART, the Panel recommends a three-drug ARV presumptive HIV therapy regimen consisting of ZDV, lamivudine (3TC), and either NVP (at treatment dose) or raltegravir (RAL) for newborns at high risk of perinatal acquisition of HIV.

Although no clinical trials have compared the safety and efficacy of presumptive ART with single-drug or two-drug regimens, emerging data suggest that early presumptive HIV therapy has not been associated with serious adverse events. In the International Maternal, Pediatric, Adolescent AIDS Clinical Trials (IMPAACT) P1115, 438 neonates who were at least 34 weeks gestational age at birth and enrolled within 48 hours of birth received a presumptive HIV therapy regimen containing two nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs) (97% received ZDV and 3TC) and NVP dosed at 6 mg/kg twice daily for term neonates (≥37 weeks gestational age) or 4 mg/kg twice daily for 1 week and 6 mg/kg twice daily therapy for preterm neonates (34 to <37 weeks gestational age). Among the study participants, 7% reported Division of AIDS (DAIDS) Grade 3 or 4 adverse events at least possibly related to ART. These Grade 3 or 4 events included 6% with neutropenia and 1% with anemia. The Early Infant Treatment Study in Botswana initiated ART consisting of NVP 6 mg/kg twice daily, ZDV, and 3TC at <7 days gestational age in 40 infants who were ≥35 weeks gestational age and ≥2 kg at birth with HIV infection. Eighteen percent of these infants had Grade 3 or 4 hematologic toxicity, mostly neutropenia. Similar findings have been reported from other smaller studies of presumed HIV therapy or early treatment of confirmed HIV infection. In a prospective cohort in Thailand, infants who received a presumptive HIV therapy regimen that contained ZDV, 3TC, and NVP were more likely to have Grade 2 or higher anemia at 1 and 2 months of life compared with infants who received ZDV alone (48.5% vs. 32.3%; P = 0.02). However, no difference was found in the incidence of severe anemia (Grade 3) between the two groups. Additionally, in a Canadian study, nonspecific signs and symptoms (e.g., vomiting, diarrhea, rash, jitteriness, irritability) that were potentially attributable to medication-related adverse effects were
reported among the newborns who received presumptive HIV therapy but not among those who received ZDV only (10.2% vs. 0%; \( p < 0.001 \)). Infants were more likely to discontinue presumptive HIV therapy prematurely than a regimen of ZDV alone (9.5% vs. 2.1%; \( p = 0.01 \)).

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention recommends a three-drug ARV regimen for HIV-postexposure prophylaxis following occupational and nonoccupational HIV exposure. HIV acquisition risk in these circumstances is often lower than for newborns who are at high risk for HIV acquisition. The pharmacokinetic (PK) and safety data of presumptive HIV therapy have provided reassuring evidence for its use in the neonatal period. Although the use of NVP to prevent perinatal HIV transmission has been found to be safe in neonates and newborns of low birthweight, these prophylaxis-dose regimens target trough drug levels that are at least 10-fold lower than targeted therapeutic levels. However, recent studies of therapeutic doses of NVP and RAL have established safe doses that achieve targeted PK parameters.

At this time, if a presumptive HIV therapy regimen is required, the Panel recommends using a combination of ZDV, 3TC, and NVP (treatment dose) or ZDV, 3TC, and RAL (see Table 8 and Table 9). The optimal duration of presumptive HIV therapy in newborns at high risk of perinatal HIV transmission is unknown. Some Panel members opt to discontinue additional medications if infant birth nucleic acid test (NAT) results are negative, whereas others would continue presumptive HIV therapy for 2 to 6 weeks depending on the risk of HIV transmission. In all cases, ZDV should be continued for 6 weeks. If HIV infection is confirmed and the infant is receiving NVP, NVP should be replaced with an integrase strand transfer inhibitor or a boosted protease inhibitor. Information about selecting an agent and recommended dosing can be found in What to Start in the Pediatric Antiretroviral Guidelines.

New dosing recommendations for abacavir (ABC) in neonates based on IMPAACT P1106 trial and two observational European and African cohorts are now available from the World Health Organization (WHO). ABC is not approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for use in neonates and infants aged <3 months. However, a 2 mg/kg per dose twice-daily dose has been modeled using PK simulation and is endorsed by WHO using weight-band dosing for full-term infants from birth through 1 month of age. Limited observational data suggested safety of ABC when initiated in neonates <1 month of age (see Abacavir in the Pediatric Antiretroviral Guidelines). At this time, the Panel does not recommend ABC as part of a presumptive HIV therapy regimen. However, in situations where ZDV is not available or the infant has ZDV-associated toxicity, ABC could be considered an alternative to ZDV. This substitution should be considered in circumstances where increased risk of ZDV toxicity may exist, such as in infants with anemia or neutropenia. It also is suggested that negative testing for HLA-B5701 allele be confirmed prior to administration of ABC. Consulting an expert in pediatric HIV is recommended when selecting a therapy duration based on case-specific risk factors and interim HIV NAT results.

Two-Drug Antiretroviral Prophylaxis

To date, the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development-HIV Prevention Trials Network 040/Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group 1043 (NICH-HPTN 040/PACTG 1043) trial is the only randomized clinical trial of multi-ARV prophylaxis in newborns at high risk of HIV acquisition. In this study, 1,746 formula-fed infants born to women with HIV who did not receive any ARV drugs during pregnancy were randomized to receive one of three newborn prophylaxis regimens: the standard 6-week ZDV regimen; 6 weeks of ZDV plus three doses of NVP given during the first week of life (first dose given at birth or within 48 hours of birth,
second dose 48 hours after the first dose, and third dose 96 hours after the second dose); and 6 weeks of ZDV plus 2 weeks of 3TC plus nelfinavir (NFV).

Forty-one percent of the mothers received ZDV during labor. The risk of intrapartum transmission was significantly lower in the two-drug and three-drug arms (2.2% and 2.5%, respectively, vs. 4.9% for 6 weeks of ZDV alone; \( P = 0.046 \) for each experimental arm vs. ZDV alone).<sup>3</sup> The NICHD-HPTN 040/PACTG 1043 regimen was associated with NRTI resistance in 3 of 53 participants (5.7%) with \textit{in utero} infection who were treated with ZDV alone and in 6 of 33 participants (18.2%) who were treated with ZDV plus NVP \( (P > 0.05) \). In addition, the third drug in the three-arm regimen was NFV, which has highly variable PKs in this age group and did not reach the NFV target plasma concentration in 46% of study participants.<sup>46</sup>

Although transmission rates with the two regimens were similar, neutropenia was significantly more common with the three-drug regimen than with the two-drug or ZDV-alone regimens (27.5% vs. 14.9% vs. 16.4%; \( P < 0.001 \) for both comparisons). For newborns who are at a high risk for HIV acquisition, the two-drug regimen used in NICHD-HPTN 040/PACTG 1043 is an option for preventing HIV transmission in infants aged \( \geq 32 \) weeks’ gestation with a birthweight of \( \geq 1.5 \) kg. This two-drug regimen consists of 6 weeks of ZDV plus three doses of the prophylactic dose of NVP, with the NVP doses given within 48 hours of birth, 48 hours after the first dose, and 96 hours after the second dose. The prophylactic doses are NVP 12 mg per dose orally for infants weighing >2 kg and NVP 8 mg per dose orally for infants weighing 1.5 kg to 2 kg. \textbf{These are the actual doses, not the milligram per kilogram doses.} ZDV dosing is shown in Table 9.

Choosing Between Presumptive HIV Therapy and Two-Drug Antiretroviral Prophylaxis

Because a spectrum of transmission risk depends on maternal viral load and other maternal and infant factors and no randomized trials have compared the safety and efficacy of presumptive HIV therapy and two-drug ARV prophylaxis, experts have differing opinions about when to initiate presumptive HIV therapy and when to initiate two-drug prophylaxis. For instance, among people who received ARV drugs during pregnancy but who have a detectable viral load within 4 weeks prior to delivery, the level of maternal viremia that would prompt the use of a two-drug ARV prophylaxis regimen or presumptive HIV therapy is not definitively known.

In two large observational studies of women on combination antenatal ARV drugs, perinatal transmission rates were 0.05% and 0.3% when the mother had a viral load <50 copies/mL at delivery. Rates of transmission in these studies increased to 1.1% and 1.5 percent when viral load was 50 to 399 copies/mL and 2.8% and 4.1% when viral load was >400 copies/mL.<sup>47,48</sup> Although most Panel members would recommend initiating presumptive HIV therapy with any detectable level of viremia \textit{within 4 weeks prior to delivery}, others may opt for a two-drug prophylaxis regimen if maternal viral load was less than 200 to 400 copies/mL. Emerging data about the lack of serious safety issues associated with presumptive HIV therapy in newborns is reassuring, even though mild-to-moderate adverse events may occur more frequently.

In summary, in scenarios where the infant is at high risk for HIV transmission, most Panel members recommend presumptive HIV therapy. In some situations, a two-drug ARV prophylaxis regimen may be considered (see Two-Drug Antiretroviral Prophylaxis in this section). Choosing between these regimens will depend on the clinician’s assessment of the likelihood of HIV transmission, and a decision should be made after weighing the risks and benefits of the proposed regimen and discussing these transmission prevention strategies with the parents.
Consulting an expert in pediatric HIV or the National Perinatal HIV Hotline (1-888-448-8765) is recommended when selecting a regimen based on case-specific risk factors.

**Newborns Born to Mothers with Unknown HIV Status Who Present in Labor**

Expedited HIV testing is recommended during labor for people with unknown HIV status; if testing is not performed during labor, it should be performed as soon as possible after birth for the mothers and/or their newborns (see Maternal HIV Testing and Identification of Perinatal HIV Exposure). Expedited test results should be available within 60 minutes. If maternal or infant expedited testing is positive, the newborn should begin presumptive HIV therapy immediately without waiting for the results of supplemental tests. Expedited HIV testing should be available on a 24-hour basis at all facilities with a maternity service and/or neonatal intensive care unit or special care or newborn nursery.

A positive initial test result in mothers or newborns should be presumed to indicate maternal HIV until supplemental testing clarifies maternal and newborn status. If appropriate test results for a mother (or newborn) are negative, newborn ARV drugs can be discontinued. Clinicians should be aware of their state laws because not all states allow HIV testing in infants without parental consent.

A nursing mother who is suspected of having HIV based on an initial positive antibody or antibody/antigen test result should discontinue breastfeeding immediately until HIV is confirmed or ruled out. Pumping and temporarily discarding or freezing breast milk can be recommended. If HIV is ruled out, breastfeeding can resume. If HIV is confirmed, breastfeeding should be discontinued permanently.49

**Newborns Born to Mothers with Antiretroviral Drug-Resistant Virus**

The optimal ARV regimen for newborns born to mothers with ARV drug-resistant virus is unknown. Although some studies have suggested that ARV drug-resistant virus may have decreased replicative capacity (reduced viral fitness) and transmissibility,50 perinatal transmission of multidrug-resistant virus does occur.51-56 Whether resistant virus in the mother increases the antepartum/intrapartum risk of HIV acquisition by the infant also is unknown. A recently reported secondary analysis of data from the NICHD-HPTN 040/PACTG 1043 study demonstrated that the risk of perinatal transmission was not related to the presence of drug resistance mutations in mothers who had not received ARV drugs before the start of the study (adjusted odds ratio 0.8; 95% confidence interval, 0.4–1.5).56 Maraviroc (MVC) was approved recently for infants ≥2 kg and may provide an additional treatment option for newborns of mothers carrying multidrug-resistant HIV-1 that remains CCR5-trophic.57 However, the lack of data about MVC as prophylaxis or treatment in infants and the risk of drug interactions will limit its role for routine use in neonates. The ARV regimen for newborns born to mothers with known or suspected drug resistance should be determined in consultation with a pediatric HIV specialist before delivery or through consultation via the National Perinatal HIV Hotline (1-888-448-8765). Additionally, no evidence exists that shows that neonatal prophylaxis regimens customized based on presence of maternal drug resistance are more effective than standard neonatal prophylaxis regimens.

**Newborns with HIV Infection**

Until recently, neonatal ARV regimens were designed for prophylaxis against perinatal HIV transmission and were intended to be as simple as possible for practical use. There was little reason...
to develop ARV regimens for the treatment of neonates because the long turnaround times to receive HIV NAT results meant that neonatal infections, in general, were not diagnosed during the first weeks of life. HIV NAT results are now available within a few days, and HIV in newborns is being diagnosed as early as the first days of life in many centers. A positive HIV NAT must be repeated to confirm HIV. However, ART initiation should not be delayed while waiting for the results of the confirmatory HIV NAT, given the low likelihood of a false-positive HIV NAT. **A confirmatory specimen should be obtained prior to ART initiation.** To date, evidence that early treatment (before age 2 weeks) will lead conclusively to prolonged remission or better outcomes in newborns with HIV is lacking.

Information regarding the safety of early treatment of HIV in newborns has been reported from two studies. In the IMPAACT P1115 study, 54 infants with HIV began presumptive HIV therapy between 0.4 and 40 hours of life. Grade 3 or 4 related events—most of which were hematologic—occurred in 22 of 54 infants (41%) through 52 weeks of the study. Forty infants with HIV in Botswana began treatment with NVP plus ZDV plus 3TC at a median age of 2 days (range 1–5 days) and transitioned to lopinavir/ritonavir (LPV/r) plus ZDV plus 3TC at approximately 2 weeks of age. These infants had minimal toxicity during the first 12 weeks of treatment. Only one instance of Grade 3 neutropenia was reported, and no instances of Grade 3 or 4 anemia were reported.

Earlier diagnosis of HIV in newborns and the increasing use of presumptive HIV therapy in newborns at high risk for HIV acquisition have necessitated the investigation of dosing and the safety of ARV drugs in term and preterm newborns. Although data are still incomplete, especially for preterm newborns, PK and safety profiles of ARV drugs are increasingly available. As already noted, the recommended neonatal ARV doses for prophylaxis and for treatment are the same, with the important exception of NVP (see the Pediatric Antiretroviral Guidelines).

Sufficient data exist to provide dosing recommendations for the treatment of HIV in neonates using the following medications (see the Pediatric Antiretroviral Guidelines):

- From birth in term and preterm newborns: ZDV, 3TC, NVP
- From birth in term newborns: emtricitabine, RAL, MVC, ABC
- From age 2 weeks in term newborns: LPV/r
- From age 4 weeks in term newborns: DTG

Dosing recommendations for premature newborns are available for ZDV, 3TC, and NVP only. Neonatal dosing advice—including dosing advice for premature newborns—is summarized in Table 9. For more detailed information about neonatal dosing recommendations and considerations when using these drugs, please see the Pediatric Antiretroviral Guidelines. Consultation with an expert in pediatric HIV is recommended to assist with management of infants born at <32 weeks gestation.

**Newborns of Mothers Who Receive an HIV Diagnosis While Breastfeeding**

People with suspected HIV (e.g., a positive initial screening test) should discontinue breastfeeding immediately until HIV is ruled out. Pumping and temporarily discarding or freezing breast milk can be recommended to mothers who are suspected of having HIV but whose HIV serostatus is not yet confirmed and who want to continue to breastfeed. If HIV is ruled out, breastfeeding can resume. Breastfeeding **is not recommended** for people with confirmed HIV in the United States, including...
those receiving ART (see Counseling and Managing Individuals with HIV in the United States Who Desire to Breastfeed).

The risk of HIV acquisition associated with breastfeeding depends on multiple newborn and maternal factors, including maternal viral load and CD4 T lymphocyte (CD4) cell count. Newborns of people who develop acute HIV while breastfeeding are at greater risk of acquiring HIV than those whose mothers have chronic HIV infection because acute HIV infection is accompanied by a rapid increase in viral load and a corresponding decrease in CD4 count.

Other than discontinuing breastfeeding, optimal strategies for managing a newborn who was breastfed by a mother with HIV (often because the mother just learned of her own HIV diagnosis) have yet to be defined. Some Panel members would consider the use of postexposure prophylaxis in newborns for 4 to 6 weeks after cessation of breastfeeding. Postexposure prophylaxis, however, is less likely to be effective in this circumstance than with other nonoccupational exposures because the exposure to breast milk is likely to have occurred over a prolonged period rather than during a single exposure to the virus.

Several studies of newborns who were breastfed by women with chronic HIV infection in low-resource settings have shown that a newborn’s daily regimen of NVP, 3TC, LPV/r, or NVP plus ZDV can reduce the risk of postnatal infection during breastfeeding. See Counseling and Managing Individuals with HIV in the United States Who Desire to Breastfeed for additional information. No trials have evaluated the use of multidrug regimens to prevent transmission after cessation of breastfeeding in mothers with acute HIV infection.

Given the higher risk of postnatal transmission from a person with acute HIV infection who is breastfeeding, an alternative approach favored by some Panel members is to offer presumptive HIV therapy until the infant’s HIV status can be determined. If the infant’s initial HIV NAT is negative, the optimal duration of presumptive HIV therapy is unknown. A 28-day course may be reasonable based on current recommendations for nonoccupational HIV exposure. When making decisions about ARV management, clinicians should consult a pediatric HIV specialist and counsel the parents on the potential risks and benefits of a particular treatment strategy. The National Perinatal HIV Hotline (1-888-448-8765) can provide referrals to local or regional pediatric HIV specialists.

Newborns exposed to HIV during breastfeeding should be tested for HIV infection prior to initiating presumptive HIV therapy, as well as 4 to 6 weeks and 4 to 6 months after diagnosis of maternal HIV infection and cessation of breastfeeding. An additional virologic test should be performed 2 to 4 weeks after discontinuing presumptive HIV therapy (see Diagnosis of HIV Infection in Infants and Children). If an HIV-exposed newborn is already receiving an ARV prophylaxis regimen other than presumptive HIV therapy and is found to have HIV, prophylaxis should be discontinued and treatment for HIV should be initiated. Resistance testing should be performed, and the ART should be modified if needed (see the Pediatric Antiretroviral Guidelines).

**Short-Term Antiretroviral Drug Safety**

Newborn prophylaxis with ZDV has been associated with only minimal toxicity, primarily transient hematologic toxicity (mainly anemia), which generally resolves by age 12 weeks (see Initial Postnatal Management of the Neonate Exposed to HIV). Data on toxicities in newborns who were exposed to multiple ARV drugs are limited.
Other than ZDV, 3TC is the NRTI with the most clinical experience for neonatal prophylaxis. In
early studies, neonatal exposure to combination ZDV/3TC therapy was limited, in general, to
1 week\(^1\) or 2 weeks.\(^5\) Six weeks of ZDV/3TC exposure in newborns also has been reported.
These studies suggest that hematologic toxicity may be greater with ZDV/3TC than with ZDV alone,
although the newborns in these studies also had in utero exposure to maternal HIV therapy that may
have contributed to the toxicity.

In a French study, more cases of severe anemia and neutropenia were observed in newborns who
were exposed to 6 weeks of ZDV/3TC prophylaxis plus maternal antepartum ZDV/3TC than in a
historical cohort of newborns who were exposed only to maternal and newborn ZDV. A nemia was
reported in 15% of newborns, and neutropenia was reported in 18% of newborns who were exposed
to ZDV/3TC, with 2% of newborns requiring blood transfusion and 4% requiring treatment
discontinuation for toxicity.\(^71\) Similarly, in a Brazilian study of maternal antepartum ZDV/3TC and
6-week newborn ZDV/3TC prophylaxis, neonatal hematologic toxicity was common, with anemia
seen in 69% and neutropenia seen in 13% of newborns.\(^72\)

Recent data from the IMPAACT P1106 trial and two observational European and African cohorts
provided reassuring data on the safety of ABC in infants when initiated at <3 months of age,
including infants with weight <3 kg.\(^73-75\) See the Abacavir section of the Pediatric Antiretroviral
Guidelines for additional information. At this time, the Panel suggests using ABC as an alternative to
ZDV in certain situations and after negative HLA-B5701 allele testing.

Experience with other NRTI drugs for neonatal prophylaxis is more limited.\(^76,77\) Hematologic and
mitochondrial toxicity may be more common with exposure to multiple NRTI drugs than with
exposure to a single NRTI.\(^71,78-81\)

In rare cases, chronic multiple-dose NVP prophylaxis in pregnant women has been associated with
severe and potentially life-threatening rash and hepatic toxicity.\(^82\) These toxicities have not been
observed in newborns receiving prophylactic dosing with single-dose NVP or the two-drug ZDV
regimen plus three doses of NVP in the first week of life used in NICHD-HPTN 040/PACTG 1043
or in breastfeeding newborns receiving NVP prophylaxis daily for 6 weeks to 18 months to prevent
transmission of HIV via breast milk.\(^5,64-66,68,83\)

The FDA approved infant dosing of RAL for term neonates aged ≥37 weeks’ gestation at birth and
weighing ≥2 kg. Dosing information for RAL is not available for preterm or low-birthweight infants.
PK modeling studies in infants with birthweight <2.5 kg with gestational age at birth ranging from
32.7 to 40 weeks suggests that prematurity reduces RAL clearance, and a modified dosing regimen
may be needed to avoid elevated plasma RAL concentrations.\(^84\) Infant RAL dosing needs to be
increased at 1 week and 4 weeks of age. RAL is metabolized by uridine diphosphate
glucuronosyltransferase (UGT) 1A1, the same enzyme responsible for the elimination of bilirubin.
UGT enzyme activity is low at birth, and RAL elimination is prolonged in neonates. In addition,
bilirubin and RAL may compete for albumin binding sites, and extremely elevated neonatal plasma
RAL concentrations could pose a risk of kernicterus.\(^40\) IMPAACT P1110 is a Phase 1, multicenter
trial that enrolled full-term neonates who were exposed to HIV and who were at risk for acquiring
perinatal HIV-1 infection, with or without in utero RAL exposure. Daily RAL was safe and well
tolerated during the first 6 weeks of life. Infants were treated for ≤6 weeks from birth and followed
for 24 weeks. Only one episode of Grade 4 neutropenia, possibly related to RAL, was reported.
Among infants with RAL exposure (infants whose mothers received RAL within 2 to 24 hours before
delivery), the first dose of RAL should be delayed for 24 to 48 hours after birth. See the Raltegravir section of the Pediatric Antiretroviral Guidelines for additional information.
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Diagnosis of HIV Infection in Infants and Children

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Panel’s Recommendations

• Virologic assays (i.e., HIV RNA or HIV DNA nucleic acid tests [NATs]) that directly detect HIV must be used to diagnose HIV in infants and children aged <18 months with perinatal and postnatal HIV exposure; HIV antibody and HIV antigen/antibody tests should not be used (AII).

• Plasma HIV RNA or cell-associated HIV DNA NATs are generally equally recommended (AII). However, the results of plasma HIV RNA NAT or plasma HIV RNA/DNA NAT can be affected by antiretroviral therapy (ART), or by antiretroviral (ARV) drugs administered to the infant as prophylaxis or presumptive HIV therapy.

• An assay that detects HIV non-B subtype viruses or Group O infections (e.g., an HIV RNA NAT or a dual-target total DNA/RNA test) is recommended for use in infants and children who were born to mothers with known or suspected non-B subtype virus or Group O infections (AII). If a mother of an infant acquired HIV outside of the United States and has had repeated undetectable HIV RNA by standard testing, consultation with a clinical virologist on more sensitive HIV nucleic acid testing may be indicated.

• Virologic diagnostic testing (see Table 10 below) is recommended for all infants with perinatal HIV exposure at the following ages:
  o 14 to 21 days (AII)
  o 1 to 2 months (AII)
  o 4 to 6 months (AII)

• For infants who are at high risk of perinatal HIV infection, virologic diagnostic testing is recommended at birth (AII) and at 2 to 6 weeks after ARV drugs are discontinued (BII).

• A positive virologic test should be confirmed as soon as possible by a repeat virologic test (AII).

• Definitive exclusion of HIV infection in non-breastfed infants is based on two or more negative virologic tests conducted after infants have completed ARV prophylaxis or presumptive HIV therapy, with one negative test obtained at age ≥1 month and one at age ≥4 months, or two negative HIV antibody tests from separate specimens that were obtained at age ≥6 months (AII).

• No additional HIV testing of any kind (e.g., HIV RNA or HIV DNA NAT, HIV antibody, HIV antigen/antibody) is needed routinely for non-breastfed infants who meet the criteria for definitive exclusion of HIV and who have had no known or suspected HIV exposure after birth.

• Infants with potential HIV exposure after birth (e.g., from breastfeeding, premasticated feeding, sexual abuse, contaminated blood products, percutaneous exposure) who are aged <18 months require additional testing using HIV RNA/DNA NAT assays to establish their HIV status. Infants aged ≥18 months who have these potential exposures require HIV antigen/antibody testing.

• Age-appropriate HIV testing also is recommended for infants and children with signs and/or symptoms of HIV, even in the absence of documented or suspected HIV exposure.

• HIV antibody (or HIV antigen/antibody) tests are recommended for diagnostic testing in children with non-perinatal exposure only or in children with perinatal exposure aged ≥24 months (AII).
When acute HIV infection is suspected, additional testing with an HIV NAT may be necessary to diagnose HIV infection (AII).

**Note:** The National Clinician Consultation Center provides consultations on issues related to the management of perinatal HIV infection (1-888-448-8765; 24 hours a day, 7 days a week).

**Rating of Recommendations:** A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

**Rating of Evidence:** I = One or more randomized trials in children† with clinical outcomes and/or validated endpoints; I* = One or more randomized trials in adults with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints with accompanying data in children† from one or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies in children† with long-term outcomes; II* = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational studies in adults with long-term clinical outcomes with accompanying data in children† from one or more similar nonrandomized trials or cohort studies with clinical outcome data; III = Expert opinion

† Studies that include children or children/adolescents, but not studies limited to post-pubertal adolescents

### Diagnosis of HIV in Infants and Children

HIV can be diagnosed definitively by virologic testing in most non-breastfed infants with perinatal HIV exposure by age 1 to 2 months and in almost all infants with HIV by age 4 to 6 months. Antibody tests, including the antigen/antibody combination immunoassays (sometimes referred to as fourth- and fifth-generation tests), do not establish the presence of HIV in infants because of transplacental transfer of maternal HIV antibodies; therefore, a virologic test must be used. Positive virologic tests (i.e., nucleic acid tests [NATs]—a class of tests that includes HIV RNA and HIV DNA polymerase chain reaction [PCR] assays and related RNA qualitative or quantitative assays) indicate likely HIV infection. Plasma HIV RNA or cell-associated HIV DNA NATs are generally equally recommended. However, both tests can be affected by maternal antiretroviral therapy through transplacental transfer of antiretroviral (ARV) drugs from the pregnant person to fetus or by ARV drugs administered to the infant as prophylaxis or presumptive HIV therapy. In contrast, qualitative HIV proviral DNA PCR assays from whole blood detecting cell-associated virus often are less affected by ARVs.

A positive HIV test result should be confirmed as soon as possible by repeat virologic testing, because false-positive results can occur with both RNA and DNA assays. For additional information on the diagnosis of Group M non-subtype B, Group O HIV-1 infections, and HIV-2 infections, see the relevant sections below and the HIV Sequence Database. Newer real-time HIV RNA PCR assays and the qualitative diagnostic RNA assay are better at detecting non-subtype B HIV infection and Group O strains than older RNA assays. (See Clinical and Laboratory Monitoring of Pediatric HIV Infection.) One example is the COBAS® AmpliPrep/COBAS® TaqMan-HIV-1 qualitative test (a dual-target DNA/RNA, sometimes called total nucleic acid or TNA test), which also can identify non-subtype B and Group O infections. A ntigen/antibody combination immunoassays that detect HIV-1/2 antibodies and HIV-1 p24 antigen are not recommended for diagnosis of HIV infection in infants. In the first months of life, the antigen component of antigen/antibody tests is less sensitive than an HIV NAT, and antibody tests should not be used for HIV diagnosis in infants and children <18 months of age. Children with perinatal HIV exposure who are aged 18 to 24 months occasionally have residual maternal HIV antibodies; definitive confirmation of HIV infection in children in this age group who remain HIV antibody–positive should be based on a NAT (see Diagnostic Testing in Children with Perinatal HIV Exposure in Special Situations below). Diagnosis in children aged >24 months relies primarily on
HIV antibody and antigen/antibody tests (see Diagnostic Testing in Children with Non-Perinatal HIV Exposure or Children with Perinatal HIV Exposure Aged >24 Months below). An infant who has a positive HIV antibody test but whose mother’s HIV status is unknown (see Maternal HIV Testing and Identification of Perinatal HIV Exposure) should be assumed to have been exposed to HIV. The infant should undergo HIV diagnostic testing, as described in Timing of Diagnostic Testing in Infants with Perinatal HIV Exposure below, and receive ARV prophylaxis or presumptive HIV therapy as soon as possible. For ARV management of newborns who have been exposed to HIV and newborns with HIV infection (including those who do not yet have confirmed infection), see Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or Perinatal HIV.

Timing of Diagnostic Testing in Infants with Perinatal HIV Exposure

Confirmation of HIV infection is based on the results of positive virologic tests from two separate blood samples in infants and children younger than 18 months. Table 10 below summarizes the timing of recommended virologic diagnostic testing for infants based on HIV transmission risk. Infants at high risk on presumptive HIV therapy may require testing at additional time points compared to infants at low risk of transmission. The risk of transmission is determined based on whether a mother is receiving ART and virally suppressed.

HIV infection can be presumptively excluded in non-breastfed infants with two or more negative virologic tests (one at age ≥2 weeks and one at age ≥4 weeks) or one negative virologic test (i.e., negative NAT [RNA or DNA]) at age ≥8 weeks, or one negative HIV antibody test at age ≥6 months. Definitive exclusion of HIV infection in a non-breastfed infant is based on two or more negative virologic tests (i.e., negative NATs [RNA or DNA]), one at age ≥1 month and one at age ≥4 months, or two negative HIV antibody tests from separate specimens obtained at age ≥6 months.

For both presumptive and definitive exclusion of HIV infection, a child must have no other laboratory evidence (i.e., no positive virologic test results or low CD4 T lymphocyte [CD4] cell count/percent) or clinical evidence of HIV infection and must not be breastfeeding. No additional HIV testing of any kind (e.g., NAT, antibody, antigen/antibody) is needed routinely for non-breastfed infants who meet the criteria for definitive exclusion of HIV and who have had no known or suspected HIV exposure after birth.

Pneumocystis jirovecii pneumonia (PCP) prophylaxis is recommended for infants with indeterminate HIV infection status starting at age 4 to 6 weeks until they are determined to be definitively or presumptively without HIV. Thus, PCP prophylaxis can be avoided or discontinued if HIV infection is presumptively excluded (see Initial Postnatal Management of the Neonate Exposed to HIV and Pneumocystis jirovecii Pneumonia in the Pediatric Opportunistic Infection Guidelines).

Virologic Testing at Birth for Newborns at High Risk of Perinatal HIV Transmission

Virologic testing at birth should be considered for newborns who are at high risk of perinatal HIV transmission, such as infants born to women with HIV who—
• Did not receive prenatal care;
• Received no antepartum ARVs or only intrapartum ARV drugs;
• Initiated ART late in pregnancy (during the late second or third trimester);
• Received a diagnosis of acute HIV infection during pregnancy or in labor; and/or
• Had detectable HIV viral loads (≥50 copies/mL) close to the time of delivery, including those who received ART and did not have sustained viral suppression.

All infants at high risk of perinatal HIV transmission should have specimens obtained for HIV testing at birth before initiating an ARV drug regimen; however, presumptive HIV therapy should not be delayed.

Blood samples from the umbilical cord should not be used for diagnostic evaluation because of the potential for contamination with maternal blood.

Virologic testing at birth is critical for early HIV diagnosis (see When to Initiate Therapy in Antiretroviral-Naive Children in the Pediatric Antiretroviral Guidelines). Infants who have a positive virologic test result at or before age 48 hours are considered to have early (intrauterine) infection, whereas non-breastfed infants who have a negative virologic test result during the first week of life and subsequently have positive test results are considered to have late (intrapartum) infection. Testing at birth also might be considered in instances when there are concerns that a newborn at low risk of perinatal HIV transmission may be lost to follow-up without testing.

Virologic Testing at Age 14 to 21 Days

The diagnostic sensitivity of virologic testing increases rapidly by age 2 weeks, and early identification of infection permits transition from presumptive HIV therapy to treatment doses of ART (see When to Initiate Therapy in Antiretroviral-Naive Children in the Pediatric Antiretroviral Guidelines).

Virologic Testing at Age 1 to 3 Months

Testing performed at age 1 to 3 months is intended to maximize the likelihood of detecting HIV infection in infants. In the HIV Prevention Trials Network 040 study, 93 of 140 infants with HIV (66.4%) were identified at birth. Infants who received negative test results in the first 7 days of life received an HIV diagnosis when the next diagnostic test was performed at 3 months of age. For infants at high risk of perinatal HIV transmission, the Panel on Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission suggests performing an additional virologic test 2 to 6 weeks after ARV drugs are discontinued (i.e., at age 8–12 weeks), given the increased risk of infection and concern that ARV prophylaxis, particularly combination ARV prophylaxis or presumptive HIV therapy, may reduce the sensitivity of diagnostic testing. In these situations, many experts recommend one test at age 4 to 6 weeks to allow prompt diagnosis of HIV in infants with an additional test at 8 to 12 weeks of life (i.e., 2–6 weeks after cessation of prophylaxis or presumptive HIV therapy) to capture additional cases (see Table 10 below). For infants at low risk of HIV transmission, a single test obtained at 1 to 2 months of age may be timed to occur 2 to 4 weeks after cessation of ARV prophylaxis.
An infant with two negative virologic test results (one at age ≥14 days and the other at age ≥4 weeks), or one negative test result at age ≥8 weeks at least 2 weeks after discontinuing ARV prophylaxis/presumptive therapy, can be viewed as presumptively HIV uninfected, assuming the child has not had a positive prior virologic test result or clinical evidence indicative of HIV infection, and is not breastfed.

**Virologic Testing at Age 4 to 6 Months**

Infants with HIV exposure who have had negative virologic assays at age 14 to 21 days and at age 1 to 2 months, who have no clinical evidence of HIV infection, and who are not breastfed should be retested at age 4 to 6 months for definitive exclusion of HIV infection.

**Table 10. Recommended Virologic Testing Schedules for Infants Who Were Exposed to HIV According to Risk of Perinatal HIV Acquisition**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Infants at High Risk</th>
<th>Age at HIV NAT Testing for Infants at High Risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Criteria for Infants at High Risk</strong></td>
<td><strong>Birth</strong>&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infants born to mothers with HIV who—</td>
<td>14–21 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Did not receive prenatal care;</td>
<td>1-2 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Received no antepartum ARVs or only intrapartum ARV drugs;</td>
<td>2–3 months&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Initiated ART late in pregnancy (during the late second or third trimester);</td>
<td>4–6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Received a diagnosis of acute HIV infection during pregnancy or in labor; and/or</td>
<td>All infants at high risk of perinatal HIV transmission should have specimens obtained for HIV testing at birth before initiating an ARV drug regimen; however, presumptive HIV therapy should not be delayed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Had detectable HIV viral loads (≥50 copies/mL) close to the time of delivery, including those who received ART but did not achieve sustained viral suppression.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Infants at Low Risk</th>
<th>Age at HIV NAT Testing for Infants at Low Risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Criteria for Infants at Low Risk</strong></td>
<td>14–21 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infants born to mothers who—</td>
<td>1-2 months&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Received ART during pregnancy;</td>
<td>4–6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Had sustained viral suppression (usually defined as &lt;50 copies/mL); and</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Were adherent to their ARV regimens.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>This table summarizes standard time points for HIV virologic diagnostic testing of infants who are not breastfeeding. For information about HIV testing time points for infants born to women with HIV who opt to breastfeed after comprehensive counseling see the Breastfeeding subsection of this chapter below and *Counseling and Managing Individuals with HIV in the United States Who Desire to Breastfeed*.

<sup>b</sup>For high-risk infants, virologic diagnostic testing is recommended at birth. For infants treated with multiple ARVs in the first 2 to 4 weeks of life, additional virologic testing is recommended 2 to 6 weeks after ARV drugs are discontinued (i.e., at 8–12 weeks of life).

<sup>c</sup>For low-risk infants, test may be timed to occur at least 2 weeks after cessation of ARV prophylaxis.
Antibody Testing at Age 6 Months and Older

Two or more negative results of HIV antibody tests that were performed in non-breastfed infants at age \( \geq 6 \) months also can be used to exclude HIV infection definitively in children with no clinical or virologic laboratory-documented evidence of HIV infection.\(^{26,27}\)

Antibody Testing at Age 18 to 24 Months to Document Seroreversion

In general, no additional HIV testing of any kind (e.g., NAT, antibody, antigen/antibody) is needed routinely for non-breastfed infants who meet the criteria for definitive exclusion of HIV and who have had no known or suspected HIV exposure after birth. However, infants with potential HIV exposure after birth (e.g., breastfeeding, premasticated feeding, sexual abuse, contaminated blood products, percutaneous exposure) who are aged <18 months require additional testing using HIV RNA/DNA NAT assays to establish their HIV status. Infants aged \( \geq 18 \) months of age who have these potential exposures require HIV antigen/antibody testing.

In a study from 2012, the median age at seroreversion was 13.9 months.\(^{28}\) Although the majority of infants who do not have HIV will serorevert by age 15 months to 18 months, late seroreversion after 18 months has been reported (see Diagnostic Testing in Children with Perinatal HIV Exposure in Special Situations below). Factors that might influence the time to seroreversion include maternal disease stage and assay sensitivity.\(^{28-31}\)

Diagnostic Testing in Children with Perinatal HIV Exposure in Special Situations

Late Seroreversion (Aged \( \leq 24 \) Months)

Non-breastfed children with perinatal HIV exposure, no other HIV transmission risk factor, and no clinical or virologic laboratory evidence of HIV infection may have residual HIV antibodies up to age 24 months. These children are called late seroreverters.\(^{28-31}\) In one study, 14% of children with HIV exposure did not have HIV seroreverted after age 18 months.\(^{28}\) More recent data from Thailand associated late seroreversion with the antenatal use of protease inhibitors in pregnant women with HIV. In this study, late seroreversion also was associated with the use of fourth-generation combination antigen/antibody immunoassays.\(^{32}\) These children may have had positive immunoassay results, but supplemental antibody test results indicated indeterminate HIV status. In such cases, repeat antibody testing at a later date confirmed seroreversion. Due to the possibility of residual HIV antibodies, virologic testing (i.e., with a NAT) is necessary to exclude definitively or confirm HIV infection in children with perinatal HIV exposure who have a positive HIV antibody (or antigen/antibody) test at age 18 months to 24 months. Virologic testing will distinguish late-seroreverting children who do not have HIV but have residual antibodies from children who have antibodies due to underlying HIV infection. **Appropriate HIV testing also is recommended for infants and children with signs and/or symptoms of HIV, even in the absence of documented or suspected HIV exposure.**
Postnatal HIV Infection in Children with Perinatal HIV Exposure and Prior Negative Virologic Test Results for Whom There Are Additional HIV Transmission Risks

In contrast to late seroreverters, in rare situations, postnatal HIV infections have been reported in children with HIV exposure who had prior negative HIV virologic test results. This occurs in children who acquire HIV through an additional risk factor after completion of testing (see Diagnostic Testing in Children with Non-Perinatal HIV Exposure or Children with Perinatal HIV Exposure Aged >24 Months below).

Suspicion of HIV-2 or Non-Subtype B HIV-1 Infections with False-Negative Virologic Test Results

Children with non-subtype B HIV-1 and children with HIV-2 may have false-negative virologic tests but persistent positive immunoassay results.33-35 The diagnostic approach in these situations is discussed below in Virologic Assays to Diagnose Group M Non-Subtype B and Group O HIV-1 Infections and in Virologic Assays to Diagnose HIV-2 Infections.

Diagnostic Testing in Children with Non-Perinatal HIV Exposure or Children with Perinatal HIV Exposure Aged >24 Months

Breastfeeding

People with HIV should be encouraged to avoid breastfeeding.36 Monitoring of infants born to people with HIV who opt to breastfeed after comprehensive counseling should include immediate HIV diagnostic virologic testing with a NAT at the following time points: birth, 14 to 21 days, 1 to 2 months, and 4 to 6 months (see Table 10 above).37 Many experts then recommend testing every 3 months throughout breastfeeding, followed by monitoring at 4 to 6 weeks, 3 months, and 6 months after cessation of breastfeeding. Clinicians caring for a person with HIV who is considering breastfeeding should consult with an expert and, if necessary, the Perinatal HIV Hotline (1-888-448-8765). For more information, see Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection and Counseling and Managing Individuals with HIV in the United States Who Desire to Breastfeed.

Premastication

Receipt of solid food that has been premasticated or prewarmed (in the mouth) by a caregiver with HIV is associated with risk of HIV transmission.38-43 If this occurs in children with perinatal HIV exposure aged ≤24 months with prior negative virologic tests, it will be necessary for such children to undergo virologic diagnostic testing because they may have residual maternal HIV antibodies (see Diagnostic Testing in Children with Perinatal HIV Exposure in Special Situations above).

Additional Routes of HIV Transmission

Additional routes of HIV transmission in children include sexual abuse, receipt of contaminated blood products, and needlestick with contaminated needles. It may be difficult to obtain a history of HIV exposure. Therefore, age-appropriate HIV testing is recommended for infants and children with signs and/or symptoms of HIV infection, even in the absence of documented or suspected perinatal...
or non-perinatal HIV exposure. Acquisition of HIV in older children is possible through accidental needlestick injuries, sexual transmission, or injection drug use. Medical procedures performed in settings with inadequate infection control practices may pose a potential risk; although tattooing or body piercing presents a potential risk of HIV transmission, no reported cases of HIV transmission from these activities have been documented.45

**Diagnostic Testing**

Diagnosis of HIV-1 infection in infants and children with non-perinatal HIV exposure only or in children with perinatal HIV exposure who are aged >24 months relies primarily on HIV antibody and antigen/antibody tests.1,46 Food and Drug Administration (FDA)–approved diagnostic tests include—

- Antigen/antibody combination immunoassays, which detect HIV-1/2 antibodies and HIV-1 p24 antigen. These tests are recommended for initial testing to screen for established infection with HIV-1 or HIV-2 and for acute HIV-1 infection. However, p24 antigen from HIV-1 non-B strains, HIV-1 non-M strains, and HIV-2 strains may not be detected.47 Recent data suggest that the use of immunoassays and rapid diagnostic test combination algorithms that have limited HIV antigen breadth may not be adequate for diagnosis of HIV infection in children following early treatment with ART.48

- HIV-1/HIV-2 antibody differentiation immunoassay, which differentiates HIV-1 antibodies from HIV-2 antibodies. This immunoassay is recommended for supplemental testing.

- HIV-1 NAT. A NAT always is indicated as an additional test to diagnose acute HIV infection.

The diagnosis of HIV-2 in children with non-perinatal exposure only or in children with perinatal exposure aged >24 months relies on the 2014 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)/Association of Public Health Laboratories laboratory testing guidelines. These guidelines recommend using an HIV-1/HIV-2 antibody differentiation immunoassay that distinguishes between HIV-1 and HIV-2 antibodies for supplemental testing. When used as a supplemental test, the results of the HIV-1 Western blot are more ambiguous than those of the HIV-1/HIV-2 antibody differentiation immunoassay; >60% of individuals with HIV-2 are misclassified as having HIV-1 by the HIV-1 Western blot.7,49 All HIV-2 cases should be reported to the HIV surveillance program of the state or local health department; additional HIV-2 DNA PCR testing can be arranged by a local public health laboratory or by CDC if an HIV-1/HIV-2 antibody differentiation immunoassay is inconclusive. HIV-2 DNA PCR testing may be necessary for definitive diagnosis, although this assay is not commercially available.50,51

**Virologic Assays to Diagnose HIV in Infants Younger Than 18 Months with Perinatal HIV-1 Exposure**

**HIV RNA Assays**

HIV quantitative RNA assays detect extracellular viral RNA in plasma. Their specificity has been shown to be 100% at birth and at ages 1 month, 3 months, and 6 months and is comparable to the specificity of HIV DNA PCR.25 Testing at birth will detect HIV RNA in infants who acquire HIV in utero and not in those who acquire HIV from exposure during delivery or immediately before delivery (i.e., during the intrapartum period). Studies have shown that HIV RNA assays identify 25% to 58% of infants with HIV infection from birth through the first week of life, 89% at age 1 month,
and 90% to 100% by age 2 months to 3 months. These results are similar to the results of HIV DNA PCR for early diagnosis of HIV.\textsuperscript{3,25,52}

The sensitivity of HIV RNA assays is affected by maternal antenatal ART or ARV drugs administered to the infant as prophylaxis or presumptive therapy.\textsuperscript{33} In one study, the sensitivity of HIV RNA assays was not associated with the type of maternal ART or infant ARV prophylaxis, but HIV RNA levels at 1 month were significantly lower in infants with HIV who were receiving multidrug prophylaxis. In contrast, the median HIV RNA levels were high by age 3 months in both groups after stopping prophylaxis.\textsuperscript{25} Between 2010 and 2016, a significant decline in baseline viremia was noted in South Africa’s Early Infant Diagnosis program, with loss of detectability documented among some infants with HIV. This decline may have reflected the administration of various prophylactic ARV regimens during those years.\textsuperscript{54} Further studies are necessary to evaluate the sensitivity of HIV RNA assays during receipt of multidrug ARV prophylaxis or presumptive HIV therapy in infants whose mothers also received antenatal ART.

An HIV quantitative RNA assay can be used as a confirmatory test for infants who have an initial positive HIV DNA PCR test result. In addition to providing virologic confirmation of infection status, an HIV RNA measurement assesses baseline viral load. An HIV genotype can be performed on the same sample to guide initial ARV treatment in an infant with HIV. HIV RNA assays may be more sensitive than HIV DNA PCR for detecting non-subtype B HIV (see Virologic Assays to Diagnose Group M Non-Subtype B and Group O HIV-1 Infections below).

The HIV qualitative RNA assay (APTIMA HIV-1 RNA Qualitative Assay) is an alternative diagnostic test that can be used for infant testing. It is the only qualitative RNA test that is approved by the FDA.\textsuperscript{23,33-58}

**HIV DNA PCR and Related Assays**

HIV DNA PCR is a sensitive technique that is used to detect intracellular HIV viral DNA in peripheral blood mononuclear cells. The specificity of the HIV DNA PCR is 99.8% at birth and 100% at ages 1 month, 3 months, and 6 months. Studies have shown that HIV DNA PCR assays identify 20% to 55% of infants with HIV infection from birth through the first week of life, with the same caveat as for RNA testing—testing at birth detects only \textit{in utero} HIV infection and not infection in those infants who acquire HIV during the intrapartum period. This percentage increases to >90% by age 2 weeks to 4 weeks and to 100% at ages 3 months and 6 months.\textsuperscript{23,25,52}

Two studies provided data on diagnostic testing at different time points in infants with confirmed HIV infection, including those who had negative test results at birth. One study noted that among 47 infants with HIV infection who had negative DNA PCR test results at birth, 68% were identified during the period of neonatal ART prophylaxis at 4 to 6 weeks; by 3 months, all 47 infants were identified.\textsuperscript{24} Another study from Cape Town evaluated the sensitivity of HIV DNA assays within 8 days of life, during and after initiating ART in infants with HIV. The infants had been exposed to a combination of maternal ART \textit{in utero} and ARV drugs for prophylaxis and treatment. In seven infants who achieved virologic suppression (defined as a continuous downward trend in plasma HIV RNA, with <100 copies/mL after 6 months), total HIV DNA continued to decay over 12 months. The authors noted that one infant had undetectable HIV DNA after 6 days on treatment, another had undetectable HIV DNA after 3 months, and a third had undetectable HIV DNA after 4 months, suggesting that rapid decline of HIV-1 RNA and DNA may complicate definitive diagnosis.\textsuperscript{59} More recent studies from the same authors suggest that ART initiation within the first week of life reduces
persistence of long-lived infected cells and that delaying ART initiation is associated with slower decay of infected cells. A data set of 38,043 infants from the Western Cape province of South Africa who were tested at a median age of 45 days of life showed that infants who received the World Health Organization Option B+ ARV regimen had fewer indeterminate DNA PCR results than infants who were receiving older ARV regimens. A group of South African investigators reported similar findings in a study of a cohort of 5,743 neonates from Johannesburg who were exposed to HIV.

The AMPLICOR® HIV-1 DNA test has been used widely for diagnosis of HIV in infants born to mothers with HIV-1 infection since it was introduced in 1992. However, it is no longer commercially available in the United States. The sensitivity and specificity of noncommercial HIV-1 DNA tests that use individual laboratory reagents may differ from the sensitivity and specificity of an FDA-approved commercial test. The COBAS® AmpliPrep/COBAS® TaqMan® HIV-1 version 2.0 qualitative test (which detects both HIV-1 RNA and proviral DNA in plasma, whole blood, and dried blood spots) may be used for HIV diagnosis in infants, but it is not approved by the FDA. These considerations underscore the importance of testing with HIV NATs at 4 months—well after neonatal ARV prophylaxis or presumptive HIV therapy has stopped.

Other Issues

Virologic Assays to Diagnose Group M Non-Subtype B and Group O HIV-1 Infections

Although HIV-1 Group M subtype B is the predominant viral subtype found in the United States, multiple subtypes and recombinant forms also are found in the United States. Data from the CDC National HIV Surveillance System (NHSS) showed that the number of non-U.S.-born children with HIV has exceeded the number of U.S.-born children with HIV since 2011, with 65.5% of non-U.S.-born children with HIV born in sub-Saharan Africa and 14.3% in Eastern Europe. In an evaluation of infants who received a perinatal HIV infection diagnosis in New York State in 2001 and 2002, 16.7% of infants had acquired a non-subtype B strain of HIV, compared with 4.4% of infants born in 1998 and 1999. Among a group of 40 children who visited a pediatric HIV clinic in Rhode Island between 1991 and 2012, 14 (35%) acquired HIV with non-B HIV-1 subtypes. All 14 children were either born outside the United States or their parents were of foreign origin. In an analysis of 1,277 unique sequences collected in Rhode Island from 2004 to 2011, 8.3% were non-B subtypes (including recombinant forms). Twenty-two percent of participants with non-B subtypes formed transmission clusters, including individuals with perinatally acquired infection. In an analysis of 3,895 HIV-1 sequences that were collected between July 2011 and June 2012 in the United States, 5.3% were determined to be non-B subtypes (including recombinant forms).

Evolving immigration patterns may be contributing to local and regional increases in HIV-1 subtype diversity. Non-Subtype B viruses predominate in other parts of the world, such as subtype C in regions of Africa and India and subtype CRF01 in much of Southeast Asia. Group O HIV strains are seen in West-Central Africa. Non-subtype B and Group O strains may be seen in countries with links to these geographical regions. The geographical distribution of HIV groups is available at the HIV Sequence Database.

Real-time HIV RNA PCR assays and the qualitative diagnostic RNA assay are better at detecting non-subtype B HIV infection and the less-common Group O strains than older RNA assays (see Clinical and Laboratory Monitoring of Pediatric HIV Infection). An example includes the COBAS®
AmplicPrep/COBAS® TaqMan® HIV-1 qualitative test (a dual-target DNA/RNA test), which also can identify non-subtype B and Group O infections.\textsuperscript{10,11}

Thus, a real-time PCR assay, qualitative RNA assay, or a dual-target total DNA/RNA test should be used for infant testing instead of a DNA PCR assay when evaluating an infant born to a mother whose HIV infection is linked to an area that is endemic for non-subtype B HIV or Group O strains, such as Africa or Southeast Asia. Another indication is when initial testing is negative using an HIV DNA PCR test and non-subtype B or Group O perinatal exposure is suspected. Two negative HIV antibody test results obtained at age ≥6 months provide further evidence to rule out HIV infection definitively. Clinicians should consult with an expert in pediatric HIV infection; state or local public health departments or CDC may be able to assist in obtaining referrals for diagnostic HIV testing.

**Chimeric Antigen Receptor T-Cell and Lentiviral-Based Gene Therapy May Give Rise to False-Positive HIV NAT Results**

Chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T-cell immunotherapy is a major advancement in cancer therapeutics, including for pediatric B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (B-ALL). Reprogramming of T cells is achieved by using gammaretroviral or lentiviral vectors. Recent reports indicate that these vectors may interfere with long terminal repeat genomes in HIV NAT results and, thus, produce false-positive results. As CAR T-cell therapy becomes more widely available for multiple indications, it will be important for clinicians to recognize that routine HIV-1 NAT results may give rise to false results. In addition, lentiviral vector-based gene therapy as treatment for severe combined immunodeficiency can give rise to false-positive HIV NAT results. Laboratories should, therefore, have appropriate alternate HIV-1 NAT resulting platforms made available for this emerging patient population.\textsuperscript{74-78}

**Virologic Assays to Diagnose HIV-2 Infections**

HIV-2 infection is endemic in Angola; Mozambique; West African countries, including Benin, Burkina Faso, Cape Verde, the Gambia, Ghana, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Ivory Coast, Liberia, Mali, Mauritania, Niger, Nigeria, Sao Tome, Senegal, Sierra Leone, and Togo; and parts of India.\textsuperscript{79-81} HIV-2 infection also is well documented in France and Portugal, which have large numbers of immigrants from these regions.\textsuperscript{82,83} HIV-1 and HIV-2 coinfection may occur, but this rarely is described outside areas where HIV-2 is endemic. HIV-2 is rare in the United States. Although accurately diagnosing HIV-2 can be difficult, it is clinically important because HIV-2 strains are resistant to several ARV drugs that were developed to suppress HIV-1.\textsuperscript{84-86} (See [HIV-2 Infection and Pregnancy](#).)

A mother should be suspected of having HIV-2 if her infection is linked to an area that is endemic for HIV-2 infection or if her HIV test results are suggestive of HIV-2 infection (i.e., the mother has a positive initial HIV 1/2 immunoassay test result and HIV-1 RNA viral loads that are at or below the limit of detection). The current recommendation is to use an HIV-1/HIV-2 antibody differentiation immunoassay for supplemental testing.\textsuperscript{1} Between 2010 and 2017, an increase in the number of HIV-1/HIV-2 differentiation test results was reported to the CDC’s NHSS. More than 99.9% of all HIV infections identified in the United States were categorized as HIV-1, and the number of HIV-2 diagnoses (mono-infection or dual-infection) remained extremely low (<0.03% of all HIV infections).\textsuperscript{87}
Infant testing with HIV-2-specific DNA PCR tests should be performed at time points similar to those used for HIV-1 testing when evaluating an infant born to a mother with known or suspected HIV-2 infection. HIV-2 DNA PCR testing can be arranged by the HIV surveillance program of the state or local health department through their public health laboratory, or the CDC, because this assay is not commercially available. Clinicians should consult with an expert in pediatric HIV infection when caring for infants with suspected or known exposure to HIV-2.
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Initial Postnatal Management of the Neonate Exposed to HIV

(Received for publication October 20, 2021; last revised December 30, 2021)

Panel’s Recommendations

- All newborns who were perinatally exposed to HIV should receive appropriate antiretroviral (ARV) drugs as soon as possible, preferably within 6 hours, after delivery (see Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or Perinatal HIV) (AI).
- A complete blood count and differential should be performed on newborns as a baseline evaluation (BIII).
- Infants who are found to have hematologic abnormalities may need to discontinue ARV drugs. Clinicians should base the decision to discontinue ARV drugs on the individual needs of the patient. Consultation with an expert in pediatric HIV infection is advised if early discontinuation of ARV drugs is considered (CIII).
- When determining the timing for subsequent monitoring of hematologic parameters in infants, clinicians need to consider the infant’s baseline hematologic values, gestational age at birth, and clinical condition; whether the infant is receiving zidovudine (ZDV), other ARV drugs, or certain concomitant medications; and the specific ARV drugs used in the mother’s antepartum drug regimen (CIII).
- Hemoglobin and neutrophil counts should be remeasured 4 weeks after initiating an ARV regimen that contains ZDV and lamivudine (AI).
- Virologic tests are required to diagnose HIV infection in infants aged <18 months (see Diagnosis of HIV Infection in Infants and Children) (AII).
- To prevent Pneumocystis jirovecii pneumonia (PCP), all infants born to mothers with HIV should begin PCP prophylaxis at age 4 to 6 weeks, after completing their ARV prophylaxis or an empiric HIV therapy regimen, unless there is adequate test information to presumptively exclude HIV infection (see the Pediatric Opportunistic Infections Guidelines) (AII).
- Health care providers should inquire routinely about infant feeding plans and/or breastfeeding desires, as well as the use of pre-masticated (pre-chewed or pre-warmed) food. Counseling against pre-mastication and discussion of safe infant feeding options should be provided (see Counseling and Managing Individuals with HIV in the United States Who Desire to Breastfeed) (AIII).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

Postnatal Management of the Neonate Exposed to HIV

Following birth, infants who were exposed to HIV should have a detailed physical examination, and a thorough maternal history should be obtained. Pregnant people with HIV may have coinfections with other pathogens that can be transmitted from mother to child, such as cytomegalovirus, Zika virus, herpes simplex virus, hepatitis B, hepatitis C, syphilis, toxoplasmosis, or tuberculosis. Infants born to mothers with such coinfections should undergo the appropriate evaluations to exclude the possibility of transmission of additional infectious agents. The routine primary immunization schedule for children should be followed for infants born to mothers with HIV. The schedule may need to be modified for infants with known HIV infection (see the Pediatric Opportunistic Infections Guidelines for more information).
Infants should be monitored for the toxicities that are associated with the antiretroviral (ARV) drugs to which they were exposed *in utero* or the ARV drugs that they are receiving for the prevention of perinatal HIV transmission (see [Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or Perinatal HIV](#)). Comprehensive care also includes appropriate HIV diagnostic testing and infant feeding support to assist mothers in abstaining from breastfeeding. No evidence is available to enable the Panel on Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention to of Perinatal Transmission (Panel) to assess whether any changes in routine bathing practices or timing of circumcision are indicated for newborns with perinatal HIV exposure.

**Hematologic Toxicity**

A complete blood count and differential should be performed before initiating ARV drugs in newborns who were exposed to HIV (see [Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or Perinatal HIV](#)). Decisions about the timing of hematologic monitoring after birth depend on several factors, including the infant’s baseline hematologic values, gestational age at birth, and clinical condition; the infant’s ARV drugs and concomitant medications; and the maternal antepartum ARV drug regimen.

Older studies have shown that anemia is the primary hematologic complication in neonates who received a 6-week postnatal prophylaxis regimen with zidovudine (ZDV).\(^1\) Some experts remeasure hemoglobin and neutrophil counts routinely after 4 weeks of ZDV prophylaxis and/or when the results of diagnostic HIV polymerase chain reaction (PCR) tests are obtained. Data are limited and somewhat mixed on infants who received ZDV in combination with other ARV drugs. Higher rates of hematologic toxicity have been observed in infants who received ZDV plus lamivudine (3TC) and other combination infant ARV regimens—such as ZDV plus 3TC plus nevirapine (NVP)—than in those who received ZDV alone.\(^2\)\(^-\)\(^6\) Although a recent study from Thailand observed significantly higher Grade 2 anemia at age 1 month in high-risk infants who received ZDV plus 3TC plus NVP compared to low-risk infants who received ZDV alone, these differences did not persist past 2 months of age. In addition, a recent study from the European Pregnancy and Paediatric HIV Cohort Collaboration (EPPICC) evaluated 1,836 infants who were exposed to HIV but uninfected (HEU) and who were receiving ARV drugs. The presence of Grade 3 or 4 anemia in the first 6 months of life was not associated with the infants’ ARV regimens (adjusted odds ratio [aOR] 1.04 for one-drug regimens; \(P = 0.879\); aOR 1.60 for three-drug vs. two-drug regimens; \(P = 0.277\)). Likewise, the presence of Grade 3 or 4 neutropenia in the first 6 months of life was not associated with the infants’ ARV regimens ([aOR 1.33 for one-drug regimens; \(P = 0.330\)] aOR 1.98 for three-drug vs. two-drug regimens; \(P = 0.113\)).\(^7\) Hemoglobin level and neutrophil count testing should be repeated 4 weeks after initiating ARV drugs and/or at the time that diagnostic HIV PCR testing is done in infants who receive regimens that contain ZDV and 3TC.\(^5\)\(^,\)\(^6\)

Older studies previously have shown that the association between *in utero* exposure to maternal ARV drugs and anemia and/or neutropenia in infants was greater in infants with *in utero* exposure to combination ARV drug regimens than in infants with exposure to ZDV alone.\(^8\)\(^-\)\(^10\) In the Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group (PACTG), Protocol 316, where 77% of mothers received antenatal combination therapy, significant Grade 3 or higher anemia was noted in 13% of infants, and significant Grade 3 or higher neutropenia was noted in 12% of infants. Some experts recommend more intensive hematologic monitoring in infants who were exposed to combination ARV drug regimens *in utero* or during the neonatal period. These tests should be performed at birth and when diagnostic HIV PCR tests also are obtained.
Infants who are found to have hematologic abnormalities may need to discontinue ARV drugs. Clinicians should base the decision to discontinue ARV drugs on the individual needs of the patient. Considerations include the extent of the abnormality, whether related symptoms are present, the duration of ARV drugs received by the infant, and the risk of HIV infection (as assessed by maternal history of ARV drugs, maternal viral load near delivery, and mode of delivery). A 4-week ZDV regimen has been reported to result in earlier recovery from anemia in HIV-exposed but otherwise healthy infants than the 6-week ZDV regimen. A 4-week (instead of a 6-week) ZDV neonatal regimen is recommended when the mother has received standard antiretroviral therapy (ART) during pregnancy and has had consistent viral suppression and appropriate adherence; the shorter regimen may mitigate the risk of anemia in HEU (see Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or Perinatal HIV).12

**Hyperbilirubinemia**

Hyperbilirubinemia has been observed in HIV-exposed infants receiving raltegravir (RAL) through 6 weeks of life. The International Maternal Pediatric Adolescent AIDS Clinical Trials Network (IMPAACT) P1110 study reported Grade 3 to Grade 4 levels of increased bilirubin in 3 of 52 infants. However, no bilirubin levels exceeded 16 mg/dL, and no infants required phototherapy or other clinical treatment for hyperbilirubinemia. RAL at extremely high levels may displace unconjugated bilirubin from albumin, increasing the potential risk of bilirubin-induced neurologic dysfunction. Because of the possible risk of hyperbilirubinemia, serum total and direct bilirubin measurement may be considered in infants receiving RAL.

**Hyperlactatemia**

Hyperlactatemia has been reported in infants with *in utero* exposure to ARV drugs, but it appears to be transient and, in most cases, asymptomatic. Routine measurement of serum lactate to assess for potential mitochondrial toxicity is not recommended in asymptomatic neonates because the clinical relevance of hyperlactatemia is unknown and the value of lactate levels as a predictive measure of toxicity appears to be poor. However, serum lactate measurement should be considered for infants who develop severe clinical symptoms of unknown etiology, particularly neurologic symptoms. ARV drugs should be discontinued in cases where infants develop symptoms or when serum lactate levels are significantly abnormal (i.e., levels >5 mmol/L). An expert in pediatric HIV infection should be consulted about initiating alternative ARV regimens or the discontinuation of ARV drugs.

**Prophylaxis Against Pneumocystis jirovecii Pneumonia**

To prevent *Pneumocystis jirovecii* pneumonia, all infants born to mothers with HIV should begin trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole prophylaxis at age 4 to 6 weeks, after completing the infant ARV regimen, unless adequate virologic test information exists to presumptively exclude HIV infection (see the *Pneumocystis jirovecii* Pneumonia section of the Pediatric Opportunistic Infections Guidelines). With appropriate follow-up to support the recommended diagnostic testing schedule, most infants with perinatal HIV exposure do not require trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole prophylaxis because HIV can be presumptively excluded by the time their infant ARV regimen is completed (see Diagnosis of HIV Infection in Infants and Children).
**HIV Testing of the Infant**

All infants who were perinatally exposed to HIV require virologic HIV testing (i.e., HIV RNA or HIV DNA nucleic acid tests) to diagnose or exclude HIV infection. For a detailed discussion of HIV testing, including types of tests and the recommended HIV testing schedule, see *Diagnosis of HIV Infection in Infants and Children*.

**Infant Feeding Practices and Risk of HIV Transmission**

In the United States, it is recommended that people with HIV refrain from breastfeeding their infants because safe infant feeding alternatives are available. Maternal ART is likely to reduce free virus in breast milk, but cell-associated virus (intracellular HIV DNA) remains unaffected and may continue to pose a transmission risk. However, clinicians should be aware that some individuals may face considerable social, familial, and personal pressures to breastfeed despite this recommendation (see *Counseling and Managing Individuals with HIV in the United States Who Desire to Breastfeed*). It is important to address a woman’s desire to breastfeed and potential barriers to formula feeding as early as possible in the antenatal period.

Some HIV transmission events that occurred in later infancy are thought to have resulted from feeding infants solid food that had been pre-masticated (pre-chewed or pre-warmed) by caregivers with HIV. Phylogenetic comparisons of virus from cases and suspected sources, as well as supporting clinical history, identified the practice of feeding pre-masticated foods to infants as a potential risk factor for HIV transmission. Health care providers should routinely inquire about pre-mastication, instruct caregivers with HIV not to perform this feeding practice, and advise on safer feeding options.
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Long-Term Follow-Up of Infants Exposed to Antiretroviral Drugs

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Panel’s Recommendations

- Children with in utero or neonatal exposure to antiretroviral (ARV) drugs who develop significant organ system abnormalities of unknown etiology, particularly of the nervous system or heart, should be evaluated for potential metabolic dysfunction (CIII).
- It is important that the long-term medical record of a child without HIV includes information about in utero and neonatal ARV exposure (BIII).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional
Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

Beginning in the 1990s, long-term monitoring and outcomes studies, as well as ongoing surveillance and research, have been conducted to assess whether in utero exposure to antiretroviral (ARV) drugs may pose later risks to children's health. These studies include children without HIV infection who are born to mothers with HIV (e.g., the Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trial Group [PACTG] Late Outcomes Study and the Surveillance Monitoring for ART Toxicities [SMARTT] study from the Pediatric HIV/AIDS Cohort Study [PHACS]). Participation of children and their parents in observational studies provides an essential contribution to the research needed to monitor and identify long-term health outcomes following in utero HIV and ARV exposure. Available evidence does not permit definitive conclusions about whether in utero exposure to HIV and ARV agents might affect immune function, infectious morbidity, growth, cardiometabolic health, neurodevelopment, mitochondrial function, or cancer risk from infancy through adulthood. Furthermore, long-term investigation of potential HIV- and/or ARV-related toxicities is required, especially as antiretroviral therapy (ART) for pregnant people with HIV evolves. It is important to include information about perinatal exposure to HIV and ARV agents in the long-term medical record of a child without HIV in the event that the child develops unusual symptoms later in life or if adverse late effects of HIV or ARV exposure in children without HIV are identified in the future.1-3

Potential Increased Morbidity and Mortality

In general, the risks for increased morbidity and mortality are greater in infants who are HIV exposed but uninfected (HEU) than in infants who are HIV unexposed and uninfected (HUU). These differences are more pronounced in infants from low- and middle-income countries than in infants from high-income countries.4 Higher rates of morbidity and mortality were observed in infants and children in Botswana who were HEU than in those who were HUU, with the strongest predictors of 24-month mortality being HEU status and formula feeding.5,6 In a meta-analysis, all-cause mortality risk was higher in infants and children who were HEU than in those who were HUU.7 Further research is needed to confirm these results and to elucidate an immunologic basis for the increased susceptibility of infants and children who were HEU to invasive infections.8
Potential Immunologic Dysfunction and Infectious Morbidity

The potential long-term impact of HIV/ARV exposure on the immune system of an infant without HIV is unclear. In a recent meta-analysis, infants who were HEU had a 50% and 70% increased risk for diarrhea and pneumonia, respectively, compared with infants who were HUU in the first 6 months of life, though recent studies in South Africa have not shown increased infectious morbidity at 3 to 5 years of life. The French Perinatal Cohort Group has observed an increased risk of serious bacterial infections with encapsulated organisms in HEU infants born to mothers with HIV with low CD4 T lymphocyte (CD4) cell counts near the time of delivery. In the United States, rates of infection-related hospitalizations in the first 2 years of life have been found to be higher among infants who were HEU than in infants who were HUU, with respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) and parainfluenza playing a potential role in these differences.

Potential Adverse Growth and Metabolic Outcomes

Similar to patterns of overall morbidity and mortality in infants who were HEU, the effect of in utero HIV/ARV exposure on infant and child growth largely has differed between low- and high-income settings. Among studies that compared growth in children who were HEU with those who were HUU, a Nigerian study reported compromised growth in those who were HEU. Studies from South Africa, Malawi, and Uganda documented persistently lower weight-for-age z-scores (WAZ) and length-for-age z-scores (LAZ) in early childhood, as well as higher rates of stunting (length or height for age z-score < -2) in those who were HEU. A mong studies that included only children who were HEU, a large study in Ethiopia demonstrated that maternal ART at conception was associated with higher rates of stunting in children who were HEU. The Sanitation Hygiene Infant Nutrition Efficacy (SHINE) trial from Zimbabwe reported a similar trend of increased stunting in infants who were HEU. These changes may reflect disruption to the growth hormone axis in infants who were HEU compared with infants who were HUU. However, in a large Danish study of postnatal growth through 5 years of life, no significant differences in WAZ after 2 weeks of life or LAZ after 6 months of life were noted between children who were HEU and a matched comparator group of children who were HUU. Furthermore, the PHACS SMARTT study in the United States noted above-average weight in children who were HEU compared with children in the general pediatric population. This positive relationship may carry potential long-term cardiometabolic risk for children from high-income settings who were HEU. PHACS SMARTT has found high rates of obesity in children and adolescents who were HEU, and obese children and adolescents who were HEU have a greater risk for systolic and diastolic hypertension than obese children and adolescents in the general pediatric population. Although early derangements in fuel utilization and intermediary metabolism have been
described in infants who were HEU in the United States and Africa, the significance of these findings on long-term metabolic health remains unclear.41-43

Potential Neurodevelopmental Outcomes

Studies investigating whether the risk for poor neurodevelopmental outcomes is higher in children who were HEU than in those who were HUU have not been conclusive.44-48 The heterogeneity of study populations and study designs may further complicate the interpretation of conflicting results from different studies. Several studies found no differences in early neurodevelopment between children who were HEU and those who were HUU.48-50 However, some studies reported an increased risk for poorer neurodevelopmental outcomes in children who were HEU.45,47,49-53 Some studies evaluated whether maternal factors or in utero ARV drug exposure contributed to adverse neurodevelopmental outcomes among children who were HEU. Although delayed infant neurodevelopment was associated with maternal viremia in one study54 and with in utero efavirenz exposure in another,55 many studies have not identified associations between maternal ARV use and infant neurodevelopment.52,54,56-58 In the PHACS SMARTT study, children who were HEU with in utero exposure to efavirenz had a greater risk of microcephaly than those without in utero efavirenz exposure (see Teratogenicity). Neurodevelopmental assessments at ages 1 year and 5 years demonstrated that children who were HEU with microcephaly had lower mean scores and a higher prevalence of neurodevelopmental impairment than children who were HEU without microcephaly.59,60 At present, no definitive evidence shows an association between in utero exposure to specific ARV drugs and poorer neurodevelopmental outcomes.61

Potential Mitochondrial Toxicity

Nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NRTI) drugs induce some degree of mitochondrial dysfunction, reflecting varying affinity for mitochondrial gamma DNA polymerase. This affinity can interfere with mitochondrial replication, resulting in mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) depletion and dysfunction.62-64 A aberrant histological morphology of mitochondria, mtDNA mutations, alterations in mtDNA levels in cord blood mononuclear cells, and even aneuploidy in cord blood cells have all been described in neonates and young children exposed in utero to NRTI drugs.2,65-67 The degree to which these documented mitochondrial abnormalities are clinically relevant is unknown, but they are outweighed significantly by the robust, proven efficacy of maternal and infant ARV prophylaxis in preventing perinatal HIV transmission.2,68

Evidence of clinically apparent effects of mitochondrial toxicity also is conflicting. Although earlier studies from the French Perinatal Study Group cohort noted a significantly increased incidence of clinical effects reflecting either established or possible mitochondrial dysfunction—including seizures, cognitive and motor delays, abnormal neuroimaging, hyperlactatemia, cardiac dysfunction, and two deaths (21 of 2,644 infants vs. 0 of 1,748 infants with and without exposure to in utero ARV drugs, respectively; \( P < 0.002 \))69,70—low rates of hyperlactatemia (3.4%) have been documented among infants who were HEU born to mothers with HIV in the United States who were receiving ART during pregnancy.71 In addition, further clinical studies from the United States and Europe did not corroborate findings from the French studies.72-78 Some small alterations in mtDNA and oxidative phosphorylation enzyme activities were documented in stored specimens from children who were HEU in the U.S. PACTG 219/219C trial, but the clinical significance of these observations is unknown.79,80
Mitochondrial dysfunction should be considered in children without HIV but with perinatal exposure to ARV drugs who present with clinical findings of unknown etiology, particularly neurologic findings.

**Potential Cancer Risk and Exposure to Nucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitor Drugs**

Animal studies have reported potential transplacental genotoxicity of nucleoside analogue therapy in monkeys, and micro-nucleated erythrocytes have been identified in infants with *in utero* nucleoside analogue exposure. A report from the French Perinatal Cohort described 21 cancers among 15,163 children without HIV (median age 9.9 years) exposed *in utero* to HIV and at least one NRTI drug. Among the NRTIs studied, didanosine (which is no longer recommended) potentially was associated with risk of cancer. In a study in the United States, four cancer diagnoses occurred among 3,087 children exposed to HIV; the number of cancer cases did not differ significantly from the number of cases expected based on national reference rates. Continued follow-up of children who were HIV and ARV exposed but uninfected is needed to evaluate the potential risk of cancer as these children age into adulthood.

**Conclusion**

In the United States, ongoing evaluation of the early and late effects of *in utero* exposure to ARV drugs and of infant feeding practices is occurring in the PHACS SMARTT study, natural history studies, and HIV/AIDS surveillance conducted by state health departments, as well as the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. It is critical that studies to evaluate potential adverse effects of *in utero* drug exposure continue to be supported given the fast pace at which newly developed ARV drugs are being made available to pregnant people who have HIV. HIV surveillance databases from states that require HIV reporting provide an opportunity to collect population-based information concerning *in utero* exposure to ARV drugs. To the extent permitted by federal law and regulations, the data from these confidential registries can be compared with information from birth defects and cancer registries to identify potential adverse outcomes of *in utero* ARV drug exposure.
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One of the major achievements in HIV research was the demonstration by the PACTG 076 clinical trial that administering zidovudine to pregnant women and their infants could reduce the risk of perinatal transmission by nearly 70%.

Following the results of PACTG 076, researchers began to explore the development of shorter, less expensive prophylactic regimens that are more applicable in resource-constrained settings. In addition, multiple studies have tried to determine the optimal regimens for reducing the risk of postnatal transmission during breastfeeding.

More recently, in the context of recommendations for universal antiretroviral therapy (ART), studies have also explored the efficacy of universal ART during pregnancy and breastfeeding. This Appendix provides a table summarizing the results of major studies of antiretroviral (ARV) interventions used to prevent perinatal transmission (see Supplemental Table 1) and a brief discussion of lessons learned. In many cases, a direct comparison of results from these trials is not possible because the studies involved diverse patient populations from different geographic locations, with differing viral subtypes and infant feeding practices. However, some generalizations are relevant to understanding the use of ARV drugs for prevention of perinatal transmission in both resource-limited and resource-rich countries. Furthermore, these studies have provided critical information elucidating the risks, timing, and mechanisms of perinatal transmission.

### ART is more effective antenatally in reducing perinatal transmission than a single-drug prophylactic regimen.

ARV drugs are highly effective at preventing perinatal transmission, even in women living with advanced HIV.

Efficacy has been demonstrated for a number of short-course ARV regimens, including zidovudine alone, zidovudine plus lamivudine, single-dose nevirapine, and single-dose nevirapine combined with either short-course zidovudine or zidovudine/lamivudine.

In general, combination regimens are more effective than single-drug regimens in reducing the risk of perinatal transmission. In addition, administering ARV drugs during the antepartum, intrapartum, and postpartum periods is a more effective approach for preventing perinatal transmission than administering ARV drugs during only the antepartum and intrapartum periods or the intrapartum and postpartum periods.

Almost all trials in resource-limited countries have included oral intrapartum prophylaxis, with varying durations of maternal antenatal and/or infant (and sometimes maternal) postpartum prophylaxis. Regimens with antenatal components, including those starting as late as 36 weeks’ gestation, can reduce the risk of perinatal transmission, even when these regimens are lacking an infant prophylaxis component. However, longer-duration antenatal zidovudine prophylaxis that begins at 28 weeks’ gestation is more effective than shorter-duration zidovudine prophylaxis that begins at 35 weeks’ gestation. The Perinatal HIV Prevention Trial (PHTP) -5 trial demonstrated that women who received <8 weeks of prophylaxis during pregnancy had a significantly greater risk of perinatal transmission than women who received longer durations of prophylaxis.

The Promoting Maternal and Infant Survival Everywhere (PROMISE) study was a large randomized clinical trial that demonstrated the superiority of ART over zidovudine-based prophylaxis for prevention of transmission in women with CD4 T lymphocyte (CD4) cell counts >350 cells/mm^3. Pregnant women were randomized to one of three study arms:

- Zidovudine plus single-dose nevirapine at delivery plus postpartum tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF)/emtricitabine tail
- Zidovudine plus lamivudine plus lopinavir/ritonavir (LPV/r)
- TDF plus emtricitabine plus LPV/r

The rate of perinatal transmission through 1 week of life was significantly lower among women receiving ART.
(0.5%, 9 infections among 1,710 infants) than among those randomized to receive zidovudine plus single-dose nevirapine plus postpartum TDF/emtricitabine tail (1.8%, 25 infections among 1,386 infants).

Regimens that do not include maternal ARV therapy during pregnancy have been evaluated because some women may lack antenatal care and present for prenatal care for the first time when they go into labor. Regimens that include only intrapartum and postpartum drug administration also have been shown to be effective in reducing the risk of perinatal transmission.4-6 However, without continued infant post-exposure prophylaxis, intrapartum pre-exposure prophylaxis alone with nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor drugs (zidovudine/lamivudine) is not effective in reducing the risk of transmission.5 The South African Intrapartum Nevirapine Trial (SAINT) trial demonstrated that intrapartum/postpartum zidovudine/lamivudine and single-dose intrapartum/newborn nevirapine are similar in efficacy and safety.6

**Combination infant ARV prophylaxis is recommended in the United States for infants at high risk for HIV acquisition.**

Delayed maternal HIV diagnosis or delayed presentation for pregnancy care may result in missing the opportunity to provide maternal ARV drugs during pregnancy or labor. In the absence of maternal therapy, the standard infant prophylaxis regimen of 6 weeks of zidovudine was effective in reducing the risk of HIV transmission compared with no prophylaxis, based on epidemiological data in resource-rich countries.19 A trial in Malawi in breastfeeding infants demonstrated that adding 1 week of zidovudine therapy to infant single-dose nevirapine reduced risk of transmission by 36% compared with infant single-dose nevirapine alone.7

To define the optimal infant prophylaxis regimen in the absence of maternal antepartum ARV drug administration in a formula-fed population of infants such as in the United States, the NICHD-HPTN 040/ P1043 (NCT00099359) clinical trial compared three infant ARV regimens in formula-fed infants born to mothers who did not receive ARV drugs during the current pregnancy:

- **Standard 6 weeks of zidovudine alone**
- 6 weeks of zidovudine plus three doses of nevirapine given in the first week of life (first dose given within 48 hours of birth, second dose given 48 hours after first dose, third dose given 96 hours after second dose)
- 6 weeks of zidovudine plus lamivudine and nelfinavir given from birth through age 2 weeks.20

The study demonstrated that both the dual- and triple-combination regimens reduced the risk of intrapartum transmission by approximately 50% compared with infant prophylaxis with zidovudine alone, although there was more hematologic toxicity with the triple regimen (see Supplemental Table 1). Based on these data, combination ARV prophylaxis is now recommended in the United States for infants born to women who are at increased risk for transmission (see Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection).

**Single-dose intrapartum nevirapine is not recommended for women in the United States who are receiving standard recommended antenatal ARV prophylaxis.**

PACTG 316 (a clinical trial conducted in the United States, Europe, Brazil, and the Bahamas) demonstrated that adding single-dose nevirapine to combination antenatal ARV prophylaxis for non-breastfeeding women with very low viral loads at the time of delivery did not offer significant benefit.21 Thus, adding single-dose intrapartum nevirapine is not recommended for women in the United States who are receiving standard recommended antenatal ARV prophylaxis (see Intrapartum Antiretroviral Therapy/Prophylaxis).

**Breastfeeding by women with HIV infection is not recommended in the United States.**

Breastfeeding by women living with HIV (including those receiving ARV drugs) is not recommended in the United States, where replacement feeding is affordable, feasible, acceptable, sustainable, and safe, and the risk of infant mortality due to diarrheal and respiratory infections is low.22

Clinical trials in resource-limited settings have demonstrated that both infant prophylaxis (daily infant nevirapine, lamivudine, and LPV/r) during breastfeeding and maternal triple-drug prophylaxis during breastfeeding decrease the risk of postnatal infection (see Supplemental Table 1).22-31 The PROMISE trial...
was a large, randomized clinical trial that demonstrated that daily infant nevirapine and maternal ART have similar safety and efficacy for prevention of perinatal transmission during breastfeeding in women with CD4 cell counts ≥350 cells/mm³. At 6 to 14 days postpartum, the study randomized participants to receive either infant nevirapine or maternal ART until 18 months after delivery or breastfeeding cessation. The rates of perinatal transmission were similar (0.58%, 5 infections among 1,211 infants receiving nevirapine vs. 0.57%, 7 infections among 1,219 infants whose mothers received ART), both strategies were safe, and infant HIV-1–free survival was high across both arms (97.7% with infant nevirapine vs. 97.1% with maternal ART at 24 months).

Hypothetically, maternal triple-drug prophylaxis may be less effective than infant prophylaxis if the maternal regimen is first started postpartum or late in pregnancy, because it takes several weeks to months to achieve full viral suppression in breast milk. Importantly, although prophylaxis significantly lowers the risk of postnatal infection, neither infant nor maternal postpartum ARV prophylaxis eliminates the risk of HIV transmission through breast milk. Therefore, breastfeeding is not recommended for women living in the United States (including those receiving combination ARV drug regimens). Finally, both infant nevirapine prophylaxis and maternal ART during breastfeeding may be associated with the development of ARV drug resistance in infants who acquire HIV despite prophylaxis; multiclass drug resistance has been described in breastfeeding infants with HIV despite maternal triple-drug prophylaxis.

### Supplemental Table 1. Results of Major Studies on Antiretroviral Interventions to Prevent Perinatal HIV Transmission (page 1 of 7)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Name; Location(s); Mode of Infant Feeding</th>
<th>Antiretroviral Drugs</th>
<th>Antepartum and Intrapartum Interventions</th>
<th>Postpartum Interventions</th>
<th>Perinatal Transmission Rate and Efficacy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PACTG 076; United States, France; Formula feeding</td>
<td>ZDV vs. placebo</td>
<td>Long (from 14 weeks) IV IP</td>
<td>Long (6 weeks); infant only</td>
<td>Perinatal transmission at 18 months was 8.3% in ZDV arm vs. 25.5% in placebo arm (68% efficacy).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDC Short-Course ZDV Trial; Thailand; Formula feeding</td>
<td>ZDV vs. placebo</td>
<td>Short (from 36 weeks) Oral IP</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Perinatal transmission at 6 months was 9.4% in ZDV arm vs. 18.9% in placebo arm (50% efficacy).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DITRAME (ANRS 049a) Trial; Ivory Coast, Burkina Faso; Breastfeeding</td>
<td>ZDV vs. placebo</td>
<td>Short (from 36 weeks) Oral IP</td>
<td>Short (1 week); mother only</td>
<td>Perinatal transmission at 6 months was 18.0% in ZDV arm vs. 27.5% in placebo arm (38% efficacy). Perinatal transmission at 15 months was 21.5% in ZDV arm vs. 30.6% in placebo arm (30% efficacy). Perinatal transmission was 22.5% in ZDV arm vs. 30.2% in placebo arm in pooled analysis at 24 months (26% efficacy).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDC Short-Course ZDV Trial; Ivory Coast; Breastfeeding</td>
<td>ZDV vs. placebo</td>
<td>Short (from 36 weeks) Oral IP</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Perinatal transmission at 3 months was 16.5% in ZDV arm vs. 26.1% in placebo arm (37% efficacy). Perinatal transmission was 22.5% in ZDV arm vs. 30.2% in placebo arm in pooled analysis at 24 months (26% efficacy).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PETRA Trial; South Africa, Tanzania, Uganda; Breastfeeding and formula feeding</td>
<td>AP/IP/PP ZDV plus 3TC vs. IP/PP ZDV plus 3TC vs. IP-only ZDV plus 3TC vs. Placebo</td>
<td>Short (from 36 weeks) Oral IP</td>
<td>Short (1 week); mother and infant</td>
<td>Perinatal transmission at 6 weeks was 5.7% for AP/IP/PP ZDV plus 3TC, 8.9% for IP/PP ZDV plus 3TC, 14.2% for IP-only ZDV plus 3TC, and 15.3% for placebo (efficacy compared with placebo: 63%, 42%, and 0%, respectively). Perinatal transmission at 18 months was 14.9% for AP/IP/PP ZDV plus 3TC, 18.1% for IP/PP ZDV plus 3TC, 20.0% for IP-only ZDV plus 3TC, and 22.2% for placebo (efficacy compared with placebo: 34%, 18%, and 0%, respectively).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Name; Location(s); Mode of Infant Feeding</th>
<th>Antiretroviral Drugs</th>
<th>Antepartum and Intrapartum Interventions</th>
<th>Postpartum Interventions</th>
<th>Perinatal Transmission Rate and Efficacy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HIVNET 012 Trial; Uganda; Breastfeeding</td>
<td>SD NVP vs. ZDV</td>
<td>No AP ARV drugs Oral IP:</td>
<td>SD NVP within 72 hours of birth; infant only vs. ZDV for 1 week; infant only</td>
<td>Perinatal transmission at 6–8 weeks was 11.8% in NVP arm vs. 20.0% in ZDV arm (42% efficacy) and 15.7% in NVP arm vs. 25.8% in ZDV arm at 18 months (41% efficacy).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAINT Trial; South Africa; Breastfeeding and formula feeding</td>
<td>SD NVP vs. ZDV plus 3TC</td>
<td>No AP ARV drugs Oral IP:</td>
<td>SD NVP within 48 hours of birth; mother and infant vs. ZDV plus 3TC for 1 week; mother and infant</td>
<td>Perinatal transmission at 8 weeks was 12.3% in SD NVP arm vs. 9.3% in ZDV plus 3TC arm (difference not statistically significant, ( P = 0.11 )).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHPT-1; Thailand; Formula feeding</td>
<td>4 ZDV regimens with different durations of AP and infant PP administration; no placebo</td>
<td>Long (from 28 weeks) or short (from 36 weeks) Oral IP</td>
<td>Long (6 weeks) or short (3 days); infant only</td>
<td>Perinatal transmission rate was 10.5% in the short-short arm. This arm was stopped at interim analysis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHPT-2; Thailand; Formula feeding</td>
<td>ZDV alone vs. ZDV plus maternal and infant SD NVP vs. ZDV plus maternal SD NVP</td>
<td>ZDV from 28 weeks Oral IP:</td>
<td>ZDV for 1 week with or without SD NVP; infant only</td>
<td>Perinatal transmission rate was 10.5% in the short-short arm. This arm was stopped at interim analysis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PACTG 316 Trial; Bahamas, Belgium, Brazil, France, Germany, Italy, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, United Kingdom, United States; Formula feeding</td>
<td>SD NVP vs. placebo among women already receiving ZDV alone (23%) or ZDV plus other ARV drugs (77% combination therapy)</td>
<td>Nonstudy ARV regimen Oral IP:</td>
<td>Placebo vs. SD NVP within 72 hours of birth plus nonstudy ARV drugs (ZDV); infant only</td>
<td>Perinatal transmission rate was 10.5% in the short-short arm. This arm was stopped at interim analysis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DITRAME Plus (ANRS 1201.0) Trial; Ivory Coast; Breastfeeding and formula feeding</td>
<td>Open label, ZDV plus SD NVP</td>
<td>ZDV from 36 weeks Oral IP:</td>
<td>SD NVP plus ZDV for 1 week; infant only</td>
<td>ZDV-alone arm was stopped because the rate of perinatal transmission was higher in this arm than in the ZDV/NVP arm (6.3% vs. 1.1%, respectively). In arms in which the mother received SD NVP, the perinatal transmission rate did not differ significantly whether the infant received SD NVP or not (2.0% vs. 2.8%, respectively).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DITRAME Plus (ANRS 1201.1) Trial; Ivory Coast; Breastfeeding and formula feeding</td>
<td>Open label, ZDV plus 3TC plus SD NVP</td>
<td>ZDV plus 3TC from 32 weeks (stopped at 3 days PP) Oral IP:</td>
<td>SD NVP plus ZDV for 1 week; infant only</td>
<td>Perinatal transmission at 6 weeks was 6.5% (95% CI, 3.9% to 9.1%); perinatal transmission for historical control group receiving short ZDV (98% of whom were breastfed) was 12.8%.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Name; Location(s); Mode of Infant Feeding</th>
<th>Antiretroviral Drugs</th>
<th>Antepartum and Intrapartum Interventions</th>
<th>Postpartum Interventions</th>
<th>Perinatal Transmission Rate and Efficacy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NVAZ Trial; Malawi; Breastfeeding</td>
<td>Neonatal SD NVP vs. SD NVP plus ZDV</td>
<td>No AP or IP ARV drugs</td>
<td>SD NVP with or without ZDV for 1 week; infant only</td>
<td>Perinatal transmission at 6–8 weeks was 15.3% in SD NVP plus ZDV arm vs. 20.9% in SD NVP-only arm. Perinatal transmission rates at 6–8 weeks among infants without HIV at birth were 7.7% and 12.1%, respectively (36% efficacy).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postnatal NVP plus ZDV Trial; Malawi; Breastfeeding</td>
<td>Neonatal SD NVP vs. SD NVP plus ZDV</td>
<td>No AP ARV Oral IP: SD NVP</td>
<td>SD NVP with or without ZDV for 1 week; infant only</td>
<td>Perinatal transmission at 6–8 weeks was 16.3% in NVP plus ZDV arm vs. 14.1% in SD NVP-only arm (difference not statistically significant). Perinatal transmission rates at 6–8 weeks among infants without HIV at birth were 6.5% and 16.9%, respectively.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-Exposure Infant Prophylaxis; South Africa; Breastfeeding and formula feeding</td>
<td>Neonatal SD NVP vs. ZDV for 6 weeks</td>
<td>No AP or IP ARV drugs</td>
<td>SD NVP vs. ZDV for 6 weeks</td>
<td>For formula-fed infants only, perinatal transmission at 6 weeks was 14.3% in SD NVP arm vs. 14.1% in ZDV arm (not significant, ( P = 0.30 )). For breastfed infants only, perinatal transmission was 12.2% in SD NVP arm vs. 19.6% in ZDV arm (( P = 0.03 )).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mashi; Botswana; Breastfeeding and formula feeding</td>
<td>Initial: Short-course ZDV with/without maternal and infant SD NVP and with/without breastfeeding</td>
<td>First Randomization: ZDV from 34 weeks Oral IP: ZDV plus either SD NVP or placebo</td>
<td>Second Randomization: Breastfeeding plus ZDV (infant) 6 months plus SD NVP; infant only, vs.</td>
<td>Initial Design: In formula-feeding arm, perinatal transmission at 1 month was 2.4% in maternal and infant SD NVP arm vs. 8.3% in placebo arm (( P = 0.05 )). In breastfeeding plus infant ZDV arm, perinatal transmission at 1 month was 8.4% in SD NVP arm vs. 4.1% in placebo arm (difference not statistically significant). Revised Design: Perinatal transmission at 1 month was 4.3% in maternal plus infant SD NVP arm vs. 3.7% in maternal placebo plus infant SD NVP arm (no significant difference; no interaction with mode of infant feeding). Perinatal transmission at 7 months was 9.1% in breastfeeding plus ZDV arm vs. 5.6% in formula-feeding arm; mortality at 7 months was 4.9% in breastfeeding plus ZDV arm vs. 9.3% in formula-feeding arm; HIV-free survival at 18 months was 15.6% in the breastfeeding plus ZDV arm vs. 14.2% in the formula-feeding arm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWEN; Uganda, Ethiopia, India; Breastfeeding</td>
<td>SD NVP vs. NVP for 6 weeks</td>
<td>No AP ARV drugs Oral IP: SD NVP</td>
<td>Infant SD NVP vs. NVP for 6 weeks</td>
<td>Postnatal infection in infants Without HIV at Birth: Perinatal transmission at 6 weeks was 5.3% in SD NVP arm vs. 2.5% in extended NVP arm (risk ratio 0.54, ( P = 0.009 )). Perinatal transmission at 6 months was 9.0% in SD NVP arm vs. 6.9% in extended NVP arm (risk ratio 0.80, ( P = 0.16 )). HIV-free survival was significantly lower in extended NVP arm at both 6 weeks and 6 months of age.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Name; Location(s); Mode of Infant Feeding</th>
<th>Antiretroviral Drugs</th>
<th>Antepartum and Intrapartum Interventions</th>
<th>Postpartum Interventions</th>
<th>Perinatal Transmission Rate and Efficacy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PEPI-Malawi Trial; Malawi;</strong>&lt;sup&gt;23&lt;/sup&gt; Breastfeeding</td>
<td>SD NVP plus ZDV for 1 week (control) vs. 2 extended infant regimens (NVP or NVP/ZDV) for 14 weeks</td>
<td>No AP ARV drugs Oral IP: • SD NVP (if mother presents in time)</td>
<td>Infant SD NVP plus ZDV for 1 week (control) vs. Control plus NVP for 14 weeks vs. Control plus NVP/ZDV for 14 weeks</td>
<td>Postnatal Infection in Infants Without HIV at Birth: • Perinatal transmission at 6 weeks was 5.1% in control arm vs. 1.7% in extended NVP arm (67% efficacy) and 1.6% in extended NVP/ZDV arm (69% efficacy). • Perinatal transmission at 9 months was 10.6% in control arm vs. 5.2% in extended NVP arm (51% efficacy) and 6.4% in extended NVP/ZDV arm (40% efficacy). No significant difference in perinatal transmission between the extended prophylaxis arms; however, more hematologic toxicity with NVP/ZDV.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MITRA; Tanzania;</strong>&lt;sup&gt;26&lt;/sup&gt; Breastfeeding</td>
<td>Infant 3TC for 6 months (observational)</td>
<td>ZDV/3TC from 36 weeks through labor</td>
<td>Maternal ZDV/3TC for 1 week; infant 3TC for 6 months</td>
<td>Perinatal transmission at 6 months was 4.9% (postnatal perinatal transmission between 6 weeks and 6 months was 1.2%).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Kisumu Breastfeeding Study; Kenya;</strong>&lt;sup&gt;29&lt;/sup&gt; Breastfeeding</td>
<td>Maternal triple-drug prophylaxis (observational)</td>
<td>ZDV/3TC/NVP (NFV if CD4 count &gt;250 cells/mm&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;) from 34 weeks through labor</td>
<td>Maternal ZDV/3TC/NVP (NFV if CD4 count &gt;250 cells/mm&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;) for 6 months, infant SD NVP</td>
<td>Perinatal transmission at 6 months was 5.0% (postnatal perinatal transmission between 7 days and 6 months was 2.6%).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MITRA-PLUS; Tanzania;</strong>&lt;sup&gt;25&lt;/sup&gt; Breastfeeding</td>
<td>Maternal triple-drug prophylaxis (observational)</td>
<td>ZDV/3TC/NVP (NFV if CD4 count &gt;200 cells/mm&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;) from 34 weeks through labor</td>
<td>Maternal ZDV/3TC/NVP (NFV if CD4 count &gt;200 cells/mm&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;) for 6 months, infant SD NVP</td>
<td>Perinatal transmission at 6 months was 5.0% (postnatal perinatal transmission between 6 weeks and 6 months was 0.9%), not significantly different from 6-month infant prophylaxis in MITRA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Kesho Bora; Multi-African;</strong>&lt;sup&gt;28&lt;/sup&gt; Breastfeeding primarily</td>
<td>AP ZDV/SD NVP with no postnatal prophylaxis vs. Maternal triple-drug prophylaxis in women with CD4 counts 200–500 cells/mm&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Arm 1: ZDV/3TC/LPV/r</td>
<td>Arm 1: Maternal ZDV/3TC/LPV/r for 6 months, infant SD NVP plus ZDV for 1 week Arm 2: • Maternal ZDV/3TC for 1 week (no further postnatal prophylaxis), infant SD NVP plus ZDV for 1 week (no further postnatal prophylaxis)</td>
<td>Perinatal transmission at birth was 1.8% with maternal triple-drug prophylaxis (Arm 1) vs. 2.5% with ZDV/SD NVP (Arm 2), not significantly different. In women with CD4 counts 350–500 cells/mm&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;, perinatal transmission at birth was 1.7% in both arms. Perinatal transmission at 12 months was 5.4% with maternal triple-drug prophylaxis (Arm 1) vs. 9.5% with ZDV/SD NVP (with no further postnatal prophylaxis after 1 week) (Arm 2) (P = 0.029).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mma Bana; Botswana;</strong>&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; Breastfeeding</td>
<td>Compared 2 maternal triple-drug prophylaxis regimens in women with CD4 counts &gt;200 cells/mm&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Arm 1: ZDV/3TC/ABC Arm 2: ZDV/3TC/LPV/r From 26 weeks through labor</td>
<td>Arm 1: Maternal ZDV/3TC/ABC for 6 months, infant SD NVP plus ZDV for 4 weeks Arm 2: • Maternal ZDV/3TC/LPV/r for 6 months, infant SD NVP plus ZDV for 4 weeks</td>
<td>Perinatal transmission at 6 months overall was 1.3%: 2.1% in ZDV/3TC/ABC Arm 1 vs. 0.4% in ZDV/3TC/LPV/r Arm 2 (P = 0.53).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Supplemental Table 1. Results of Major Studies on Antiretroviral Interventions to Prevent Perinatal HIV Transmission (page 5 of 7)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Name; Location(s); Mode of Infant Feeding</th>
<th>Antiretroviral Drugs</th>
<th>Antepartum and Intrapartum Interventions</th>
<th>Postpartum Interventions</th>
<th>Perinatal Transmission Rate and Efficacy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>BAN; Malawi; Breastfeeding</strong></td>
<td>Postpartum maternal triple-drug prophylaxis vs. infant NVP in women with CD4 counts ≥250 cells/mm³</td>
<td>No AP drugs IP Regimens</td>
<td>Arm 1 (Control): Maternal ZDV/3TC for 1 week; infant SD NVP plus ZDV/3TC for 1 week</td>
<td>Postnatal Infection in Infants Without HIV at 2 Weeks:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Arm 2: ZDV/3TC plus SD NVP</td>
<td>Arm 2: Control as above, then maternal ZDV/3TC/LPV/r for 6 months</td>
<td>• Perinatal transmission at 28 weeks was 5.7% in control Arm 1, 2.9% in maternal triple-drug prophylaxis Arm 2 (P = 0.009 vs. control), and 1.7% in infant NVP Arm 3 (P &lt; 0.001 vs. control).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Arm 3: ZDV/3TC plus SD NVP</td>
<td>Arm 3: Control as above, then infant NVP for 6 months</td>
<td>• Perinatal transmission at 48 weeks was 7.0% in control Arm 1, 4.0% in maternal triple-drug prophylaxis Arm 2 (P = 0.0273 vs. control), and 4% in infant NVP Arm 3 (P = 0.0027 vs. control).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No significant difference between maternal triple-drug prophylaxis (Arm 2) and infant NVP (Arm 3) (P = 0.12 at 28 weeks and P = 0.426 at 48 weeks).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HPTN 046; South Africa, Tanzania, Uganda, Zimbabwe; Breastfeeding</strong></td>
<td>Postpartum prophylaxis to prevent breast milk transmission of HIV with 6 weeks of infant NVP vs. 6 months of infant NVP</td>
<td>AP drugs allowed if required for maternal health</td>
<td>All infants received daily NVP from birth through age 6 weeks. Arm 1: Daily infant NVP from 6 weeks through 6 months</td>
<td>In infants without HIV at age 6 weeks, the 6-month infant HIV infection rate was 1.1% (0.3% to 1.8%) in the extended NVP arm vs. 2.4% (1.3% to 3.6%) in the placebo arm (P = 0.048).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Arm 2: Daily infant placebo from 6 weeks through 6 months</td>
<td>18-month postnatal infection rates were 2.2% (1.1% to 3.3%) in the extended NVP arm vs. 3.1% (1.9% to 4.4%) in the placebo arm (P = 0.28). HIV infection and mortality rates did not differ between arms at any age through 18 months.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>At infant randomization at age 6 weeks, 29% of mothers in each arm were receiving a triple-drug ARV regimen for the treatment of HIV.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>For mothers receiving triple-drug ARV regimens at the time of randomization, in infants without HIV at age 6 weeks, the 6-month infant HIV infection rate was 0.2% and not statistically different from the rates seen in the extended NVP arm (0.5%) and placebo arm (0%).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>For mothers with CD4 counts &gt;350 cells/mm³ who were not receiving triple-drug ARV regimens, in infants without HIV at age 6 weeks, the 6-month infant HIV infection rate was 0.7% (0% to 1.5%) in the extended NVP arm vs. 2.8% (1.3% to 4.4%) in the placebo arm (P = 0.014).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Supplemental Table 1. Results of Major Studies on Antiretroviral Interventions to Prevent Perinatal HIV Transmission (page 6 of 7)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Name; Location(s); Mode of Infant Feeding</th>
<th>Antiretroviral Drugs</th>
<th>Antepartum and Intrapartum Interventions</th>
<th>Postpartum Interventions</th>
<th>Perinatal Transmission Rate and Efficacy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NICHD-HPTN 040/PACTG 1043 Trial; Brazil, Argentina, South Africa, United States; Formula feeding</td>
<td>Infant prophylaxis with 6 weeks of ZDV vs. 6 weeks of infant ZDV plus 3 doses of NVP in first week of life vs. 6 weeks of infant ZDV plus 2 weeks 3TC/NFV</td>
<td>No AP drugs if mother presented early enough, IV ZDV during labor through delivery</td>
<td>Arm 1 (Control): • Infant ZDV for 6 weeks</td>
<td>IP HIV transmission among infants with negative HIV test at birth: 4.8% (3.2% to 7.1%) with ZDV (Arm 1) vs. 2.2% (1.2% to 3.9%) with ZDV plus NVP (Arm 2) (P = 0.046 compared with Arm 1) vs. 2.4% (1.4% to 4.3%) with ZDV plus 3TC/NFV (Arm 3) (P = 0.046 compared with Arm 1). Overall HIV transmission rates, including in utero infection: 11.0% (8.7% to 14.0%) with ZDV (Arm 1) vs. 7.1% (5.2% to 9.6%) with ZDV plus NVP (Arm 2) (P = 0.035 compared with Arm 1) vs. 7.4% (5.4% to 9.9%) with ZDV plus 3TC/NFV (Arm 3) (P = 0.035 compared with Arm 1). Grade 3 or 4 neutropenia more frequent in ZDV/3TC/NFV Arm 3 (70 infants) than in ZDV-alone Arm 1 (33 infants) or ZDV/NVP Arm 2 (32 infants) (P &lt; 0.001).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANRS 12174 Trial; Burkina Faso, South Africa, Uganda, Zambia; Breastfeeding</td>
<td>Compared 2 infant ARV prophylaxis regimens during breastfeeding; infants tested PCR-negative at birth and were born to mothers with CD4 counts &gt;350 cells/mm$^3$</td>
<td>As per standard of care</td>
<td>Arm 1: • Daily infant LPV/r from 1 week through 50 weeks of age</td>
<td>Postnatal Infection in Infants Without HIV at Birth: • Postnatal transmission at age 50 weeks was 1.4% (0.70–2.76) in Arm 1 vs. 1.5% (0.80–2.91) in Arm 2 (P = 0.83). • HIV-free survival was 96.5% (84.6–97.7) in Arm 1 vs. 96.3% (94.4–97.5) in Arm 2 (P = 0.85).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROMOTE; Uganda; Breastfeeding</td>
<td>Compared 2 triple-ARV regimens; no CD4 restriction</td>
<td>Arm 1: • ZDV/3TC/LPV/r • ZDV/3TC/EFV • ARVs started at 12–28 weeks’ gestation and continued through labor</td>
<td>Randomized regimen continued postpartum through 1 year of breastfeeding</td>
<td>HIV-free survival was 92.9% in the LPV/r arm vs. 97.2% in the EFV arm (P = 0.10). Only 2 of 374 liveborn infants acquired infection, both in the LPV/r arm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROMISE; India, Malawi, South Africa, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia, Zimbabwe; Breastfeeding and formula feeding (antepartum component)</td>
<td>Compared ZDV prophylaxis and 2 ART regimens during pregnancy among women at &gt;14 weeks’ gestation and with CD4 counts ≥350 cells/mm$^3$</td>
<td>Arm 1: • ZDV during pregnancy plus SD NVP plus FTC at delivery</td>
<td>Arm 1: • TDF/FTC tail continued for 6–14 days postpartum</td>
<td>Infant HIV Infection Rates by Age 14 Days Arm 1: • 1.8% (25/1,386) Arm 2: • 0.5% (7/1,385) Arm 3: • 0.6% (2/325) Combined ART arms vs. ZDV arm difference in perinatal transmission risk: -1.3% (95% CI, -2.1% to -0.4%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Name; Location(s); Mode of Infant Feeding</th>
<th>Antiretroviral Drugs</th>
<th>Antepartum and Intrapartum Interventions</th>
<th>Postpartum Interventions</th>
<th>Perinatal Transmission Rate and Efficacy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PROMISE; India, Malawi, South Africa, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia, Zimbabwe; Breastfeeding (postpartum component)</td>
<td>Compared infant NVP and maternal ART during breastfeeding among infants born to women with CD4 counts ≥350 cells/mm³</td>
<td>This was a postpartum study. intervention only. Eligible women included women enrolled in PROMISE antepartum (see above) and women who received no ARV drugs during pregnancy.</td>
<td>Arm 1: Mothers received TDF plus FTC plus LPV/r Arm 2: Once-daily infant NVP Regimens were continued until 42 days after last breastmilk exposure or age 18 months, whichever came first.</td>
<td>Infant Infection Rates: Arm 1: 0.57% (7/1,219) Arm 2: 0.58% (7/1,211) Rates of Infant HIV-1-Free Survival at 24 Months Arm 1: 97.1% Arm 2: 97.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Key to Acronyms:**
- 3TC = lamivudine
- ABC = abacavir
- AP = antepartum
- ARV = antiretroviral
- ART = antiretroviral therapy
- CD4 = CD4 T lymphocyte
- CDC = Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
- CI = confidence interval
- EFV = efavirenz
- FTC = emtricitabine
- IP = intrapartum
- IV = intravenous
- LPV/r = lopinavir/ritonavir
- NFV = nelfinavir
- NVP = nevirapine
- PCR = polymerase chain reaction
- PP = postpartum
- SD = single-dose
- TDF = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate
- ZDV = zidovudine
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## Appendix B: Supplement: Safety and Toxicity of Individual Antiretroviral Agents in Pregnancy

### Table 11. Antiretroviral Drug Use in Pregnant People with HIV: Pharmacokinetic and Toxicity Data in Human Pregnancy and Recommendations for Use in Pregnancy

(Updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

**Note:** When using fixed-dose combination (FDC) tablets, refer to other sections in Appendix B and Table 11 in the Perinatal Guidelines for information about the dosing and safety of individual drug components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Dosing Recommendationsa</th>
<th>Use in Pregnancy</th>
<th>Last Reviewed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>NRTIs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRTIs interfere with HIV reverse transcriptase by competitive inhibition. Nucleoside analogue drugs require three intracellular phosphorylation steps to form the triphosphate nucleoside, which is the active drug moiety. The nucleotide analogue tenofovir contains a monophosphate component attached to the adenine base and requires only two phosphorylation steps to form the active moiety.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Abacavir (ABC)** 
Ziagen 
(ABC/3TC) 
Epzicom 
(ABC/DTG/3TC) 
Triumeq 
(ABC/3TC/ZDV) 
Trizivir | ABC (Ziagen)d Tablet  
• 300 mg  
Oral Solution  
• 20 mg/mL  
ABC/3TC (Epzicom)d  
• ABC 600 mg/3TC 300-mg tablet  
ABC/DTG/3TC (Triumeq)  
• ABC 600 mg/DTG 50 mg/3TC 300-mg tablet  
ABC/3TC/ZDV (Trizivir)d | Standard Adult Doses  
ABC (Ziagen)  
• ABC 300 mg twice daily or ABC 600 mg once daily, without regard to food  
ABC/3TC (Epzicom)  
• One tablet once daily without regard to food  
ABC/DTG/3TC (Triumeq)  
• One tablet once daily without regard to food  
ABC/3TC/ZDV (Trizivir)  
• One tablet twice daily without regard to food  
**Pregnancy**  
PKs in Pregnancy  
• PKs not significantly altered in pregnancy.  
Dosing in Pregnancy | High placental transfer to fetus,b  
No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects).  
HSRs occur in approximately 5% to 8% of nonpregnant individuals. A small percentage of reactions are fatal, and these fatal reactions are usually associated with re-challenge. Rate of reactions during pregnancy is unknown. Testing for HLA-B*5701 identifies patients at risk of reactions, and a patient’s status should be documented as negative before initiating ABC. Patients should be educated regarding symptoms of HSR.  
December 30, 2021 |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name (Abbreviation)</th>
<th>Trade Name</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Dosing Recommendationsa</th>
<th>Use in Pregnancy</th>
<th>Last Reviewed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABC 300 mg/3TC 150 mg/ZDV 300-mg tablet</td>
<td>• No change in dose indicated. For guidance about use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., 3TC, ZDV, DTG).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Emtricitabine (FTC)**

- Emtriva
- FTC (Emtriva) Capsule
  - 200 mg
- Oral Solution
  - 10 mg/mL

- FTC/EFV/TDF (Atripla)  
  - FTC 200 mg/EFV 600 mg/TDF 300-mg tablet

- FTC/BIC/TAF (Biktarvy)
  - FTC 200 mg/BIC 50 mg/TAF 25-mg tablet

- FTC/RPV/TDF (Complera)
  - FTC 200 mg/RPV 25 mg/TDF 300-mg tablet

- FTC/TAF (Descovy)
  - FTC 200 mg/TAF 25-mg tablet

- FTC/EVG/c/TAF (Genvoya)
  - FTC 200 mg/TAF 25-mg tablet

**Standard Adult Doses**

- FTC (Emtriva) — Capsule
  - FTC 200 mg once daily without regard to food

- FTC (Emtriva) — Oral Solution
  - FTC 240 mg (24 mL) once daily without regard to food

- FTC/EFV/TDF (Atripla)
  - One tablet once daily at or before bedtime
  - Take on an empty stomach to reduce or mitigate side effects.

- FTC/BIC/TAF (Biktarvy)
  - One tablet once daily with or without food

- FTC/RPV/TDF (Complera)
  - One tablet once daily with food

- FTC/TAF (Descovy)
  - One tablet once daily with or without food

- FTC/EVG/c/TAF (Genvoya)
  - One tablet once daily with food

- FTC/DRV/c/TAF (Stribild)
  - One tablet once daily with food

- FTC/EVG/c/TDF (Stribild)
  - One tablet once daily with food

**Use in Pregnancy**

- High placental transfer to fetus.  
  - No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects).

- If patient has HBV/HIV coinfection, it is possible that an HBV flare may occur if the drug is stopped; see Hepatitis B Virus/HIV Coinfection.

**Last Reviewed**

- December 30, 2021
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Dosing Recommendations(^a)</th>
<th>Use in Pregnancy</th>
<th>Last Reviewed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **FTC/RPV/TAF (Odefsey)**            | • FTC 200 mg/ EVG 150 mg/ COBI 150 mg/ TAF 10-mg tablet | FTC/DRV/c/TAF (Symtuza)  
• One tablet once daily with food  
FTC/TDF (Truvada)  
• One tablet once daily without regard to food | Pregnancy  
*PKs in Pregnancy*  
• PKs of FTC are not significantly altered in pregnancy.  
*Dosing in Pregnancy*  
• No change in dose indicated.  
For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., TDF, TAF, EFV, RPV, DRV, EVG, BIC, COBI). | December 30, 2021 |
| **FTC/EVG/c/TDF (Stribild)**        | • FTC 200 mg/ EVG 150 mg/ COBI 150 mg/ TDF 300-mg tablet | | | |
| **FTC/DRV/c/TAF (Symtuza)**         | • FTC 200 mg/ DRV 800 mg/ COBI 150 mg/ TAF 10-mg tablet | | | |
| **FTC/TDF (Truvada)**               | • FTC 200 mg/ TDF 300-mg tablet | | | |
| **Lamivudine (3TC) Epivir**         | 3TC (Epivir)\(^d\)  
• Tablets  
• 150 mg  
• 300 mg  
*Oral Solution*  
• 10 mg/mL | 3TC (Epivir)  
• 3TC 150 mg twice daily or 300 mg once daily, without regard to food | High placental transfer to fetus.\(^b\)  
No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects).  
If patient has HBV/HIV coinfection, it is possible that an HBV flare may occur if the drug is stopped; see [Hepatitis B Virus/HIV Coinfection](#). | December 30, 2021 |
<p>| <strong>(3TC/TDF)</strong>                       | 3TC/TDF (Cimduo) | 3TC/TDF (Cimduo) | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name (Abbreviation)</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Dosing Recommendations</th>
<th>Use in Pregnancy</th>
<th>Last Reviewed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cimduo</strong></td>
<td>• 3TC 300 mg/ TDF 300-mg tablet</td>
<td>• One tablet once daily without regard to food</td>
<td>3TC products that were developed specifically for treatment of HBV (e.g., Epivir-HBV) contain a lower dose of 3TC that is not appropriate for treatment of HIV.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3TC/ZDV) Combivir</td>
<td>• 3TC/ZDV (Combivir)• 3TC 150 mg/ ZDV 300-mg tablet</td>
<td>• One tablet twice daily without regard to food</td>
<td>3TC/ZDV (Combivir)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3TC/DOR/TDF) Delstrigo</td>
<td>• 3TC/DOR/TDF (Delstrigo)• 3TC 300 mg/ DOR 100 mg/ TDF 300-mg tablet</td>
<td>• One tablet once daily without regard to food</td>
<td>3TC/DOR/TDF (Delstrigo)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3TC/DTG) Dovato</td>
<td>• 3TC/DTG (Dovato)• 3TC 300 mg/ DTG 50-mg tablet</td>
<td>• One tablet once daily without regard to food</td>
<td>3TC/DTG (Dovato)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3TC/ABC) Epzicom</td>
<td>• 3TC/ABC (Epzicom)• 3TC 300 mg/ ABC 600-mg tablet</td>
<td>• One tablet once daily without regard to food</td>
<td>3TC/ABC (Epzicom)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3TC/EFV/TDF) Symfi</td>
<td>• 3TC/EFV/TDF (Symfi)• 3TC 300 mg/ EFV 600 mg plus TDF 300-mg tablet</td>
<td>• One tablet once daily on an empty stomach and preferably at bedtime</td>
<td>3TC/EFV/TDF (Symfi or Symfi Lo)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3TC/EFV/TDF) Symfi Lo</td>
<td>• 3TC/EFV/TDF (Symfi Lo)• 3TC 300 mg/ EFV 400 mg/ TDF 300-mg tablet</td>
<td></td>
<td>3TC/EFV/TDF (Symfi or Symfi Lo)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3TC/TDF) Temixys</td>
<td>• 3TC/TDF (Temixys)• 3TC 300 mg/ TDF 300-mg tablet</td>
<td></td>
<td>3TC/TDF (Temixys)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3TC/ABC/DTG) Triumeq</td>
<td>• 3TC/ABC/DTG (Triumeq)• 3TC 300 mg/ TDF 300-mg tablet</td>
<td></td>
<td>3TC/ABC/DTG (Triumeq)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generic Name (Abbreviation)</td>
<td>Formulation</td>
<td>Dosing Recommendations&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Use in Pregnancy</td>
<td>Last Reviewed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3TC/ABC/ZDV) Trizivir</td>
<td>3TC 300 mg/ABC 600 mg/DTG 50-mg tablet</td>
<td>One tablet once daily without regard to food</td>
<td>3TC/ABC/ZDV (Trizivir)</td>
<td>December 30, 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Note: Generic products are available for some formulations.</td>
<td>3TC/ABC/ZDV (Trizivir)&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>One tablet twice daily without regard to food</td>
<td>PKs not significantly altered in pregnancy.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3TC 150 mg/ABC 300 mg/ZDV 300-mg tablet</td>
<td>One tablet twice daily without regard to food</td>
<td>Dosing in Pregnancy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No change in dose indicated.</td>
<td>PKs not significantly altered in pregnancy.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., ABC, DOR, DTG, EFV, TDF, ZDV).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TAF (Vemlidy) Tablet</td>
<td>One tablet once daily with food</td>
<td>TAF (Vemlidy)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenofovir Alafenamide (TAF)</td>
<td>TAF 25 mg</td>
<td>One tablet once daily with or without food</td>
<td>TAF/BIC/FTC (Biktarvy)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vemlidy</td>
<td>TAF/BIC/FTC (Biktarvy)</td>
<td>One tablet once daily with or without food</td>
<td>TAF/BIC/FTC (Biktarvy)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TAF 25 mg/FTC 200-mg tablet</td>
<td>One tablet once daily with or without food</td>
<td>TAF/BIC/FTC (Biktarvy)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TAF/FTC (Descovy)</td>
<td>Same dose (TAF 25 mg) can be used with or without PK enhancers.</td>
<td>TAF/FTC (Descovy)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TAF 25 mg/FTC 200-mg tablet</td>
<td>Same dose (TAF 25 mg) can be used with or without PK enhancers.</td>
<td>TAF/FTC (Descovy)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TAF/EVG/c/FTC (Genvoya)</td>
<td></td>
<td>TAF/EVG/c/FTC (Genvoya)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Insufficient data to assess for teratogenicity in humans. No evidence of teratogenicity in rats. Renal function should be monitored because of the potential for renal toxicity.

<sup>b</sup>Low placental transfer to fetus.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name (Abbreviation)</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Dosing Recommendationsa</th>
<th>Use in Pregnancy</th>
<th>Last Reviewed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate</td>
<td><strong>TDF (Viread)</strong></td>
<td>Standard Adult Doses</td>
<td>High placental transfer to fetus.b No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects). Studies in monkeys (at doses approximately twofold higher than those for human therapeutic use) show decreased fetal growth and reduction in fetal bone porosity within 2 months of starting maternal therapy. Human</td>
<td>December 30, 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Tablet: 300 mg</td>
<td>• TDF 300 mg once daily without regard to food</td>
<td>• Powder 8 mg/kg daily (up to a maximum of TDF 300 mg). Take with food.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Powder: 40 mg/1 g oral powder</td>
<td>• TDF 8 mg/kg daily (up to a maximum of TDF 300 mg). Take with food.</td>
<td>• No change in dose indicated. For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., BIC, COBI, DRV, EVG, FTC, RPV).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pregnancy PKs in Pregnancy Plasma PKs not significantly altered in pregnancy.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate</td>
<td><strong>TDF/FTC (Viread)</strong></td>
<td><strong>TAF/FTC/RPV (Odefsey)</strong></td>
<td>One tablet once daily with food</td>
<td>December 30, 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Tablet: 300 mg</td>
<td>• TDF 25 mg/ FTC 200 mg/ RPV 25-mg tablet</td>
<td>One tablet once daily with food</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(TDF/EFV/FTC)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symtuza</td>
<td><strong>TAF/DRV/c/FTC (Symtuza)</strong></td>
<td><strong>TAF/DRV/c/FTC (Symtuza)</strong></td>
<td>One tablet once daily with food</td>
<td>December 30, 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Tablet: 300 mg</td>
<td>• TDF 25 mg/ FTC 200 mg/ RPV 25-mg tablet</td>
<td>One tablet once daily with food</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genvoya</td>
<td><strong>TAF/FTC/RPV (Odefsey)</strong></td>
<td><strong>TAF/FTC/RPV (Odefsey)</strong></td>
<td>One tablet once daily with food</td>
<td>December 30, 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(TAF/FTC/RPV)</td>
<td>• Tablet: 300 mg</td>
<td>• TDF 25 mg/ FTC 200 mg/ RPV 25-mg tablet</td>
<td>One tablet once daily with food</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odefsey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symtuza</td>
<td><strong>TAF/DRV/c/FTC (Symtuza)</strong></td>
<td><strong>TAF/DRV/c/FTC (Symtuza)</strong></td>
<td>One tablet once daily with food</td>
<td>December 30, 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(TAF/DRV/c/FTC)</td>
<td>• Tablet: 300 mg</td>
<td>• TDF 25 mg/ FTC 200 mg/ RPV 25-mg tablet</td>
<td>One tablet once daily with food</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate</td>
<td><strong>TDF (Viread)</strong></td>
<td><strong>TAF/FTC/RPV (Odefsey)</strong></td>
<td>One tablet once daily with food</td>
<td>December 30, 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Tablet: 300 mg</td>
<td>• TDF 25 mg/ FTC 200 mg/ RPV 25-mg tablet</td>
<td>One tablet once daily with food</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate</td>
<td><strong>TDF/FTC (Viread)</strong></td>
<td><strong>TAF/FTC/RPV (Odefsey)</strong></td>
<td>One tablet once daily with food</td>
<td>December 30, 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(TDF/EFV/FTC)</td>
<td>• Tablet: 300 mg</td>
<td>• TDF 25 mg/ FTC 200 mg/ RPV 25-mg tablet</td>
<td>One tablet once daily with food</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Recommendations for the Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy and Interventions to Reduce Perinatal HIV Transmission in the United States**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Dosing Recommendationsa</th>
<th>Use in Pregnancy</th>
<th>Last Reviewed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Atripla (TDF/3TC)                      | TDF/EFV/FTC (Atripla)  
- TDF 300 mg/EFV 600 mg/FTC 200 mg tablet  
- One tablet once daily at or before bedtime. Take on an empty stomach to reduce side effects. | TDF/EFV/FTC (Atripla)  
- One tablet once daily at or before bedtime. Take on an empty stomach to reduce side effects. | Studies demonstrate no consistent link to low birth weight, but data are conflicting about potential effects on growth outcomes later in infancy. |  |
| Cimduo (TDF/FTC/RPV) Complera         | TDF/3TC (Cimduo)  
- TDF 300 mg/3TC 300 mg tablet  
- One tablet once daily without regard to food | TDF/3TC (Cimduo)  
- One tablet once daily without regard to food | If patient has HBV/HIV coinfection, an HBV flare may occur if TDF is stopped; see Hepatitis B Virus/HIV Coinfection. |  |
| Delstrigo (TDF/DOR/3TC) Delstrigo     | TDF/DOR/3TC (Delstrigo)  
- TDF 300 mg/DOR 100 mg/3TC 300 mg tablet  
- One tablet once daily without regard to food | TDF/DOR/3TC (Delstrigo)  
- One tablet once daily without regard to food | Renal function should be monitored because of potential for renal toxicity. |  |
| Stribild (TDF/EVG/c/FTC) Stribild     | TDF/EVG/c/FTC (Stribild)  
- TDF 300 mg/EVG 150 mg/Cobi 150 mg/FTC 200 mg tablet  
- One tablet once daily with food | TDF/EVG/c/FTC (Stribild)  
- One tablet once daily with food |  |  |
| Symfi (TDF/EFV/3TC) Symfi              | TDF/EFV/3TC (Symfi)  
- TDF 300 mg/EFV 600 mg/3TC 300 mg tablet  
- One tablet once daily on an empty stomach and preferably at bedtime | TDF/EFV/3TC (Symfi or Symfi Lo)  
- One tablet once daily on an empty stomach and preferably at bedtime |  |  |
| Symfi Lo (TDF/EFV/3TC) Symfi Lo       | TDF/EFV/3TC (Symfi Lo)  
- TDF 300 mg/EFV 400 mg/3TC 300 mg tablet  
- One tablet once daily on an empty stomach and preferably at bedtime |  |  |  |
| Temixys (TDF/3TC) Temixys             | TDF/3TC (Temixys)  
- TDF 300 mg/3TC 300 mg tablet |  |  |  |
| **Generic Name**  
| **(Abbreviation)**  
| **Trade Name** |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| **Formulation**  
| **Dosing Recommendations**  
| **Use in Pregnancy** |
| **Temixys**  
(TDF/FTC)  
*Truvada* |
| • TDF 300 mg/3TC 300 mg tablet |
| • TDF 300 mg/FTC 200 mg tablet |
| • One tablet once daily without regard to food |
| **Pregnancy PKs in Pregnancy** |
| • AUC is lower in third trimester than postpartum, but trough levels are adequate. |
| **Dosing in Pregnancy** |
| • No change in dose is indicated. |
| Note: Generic products are available for some formulations. |
| **Zidovudine**  
(ZDV)  
*Retrovir* |
| **Capsule**  
ZDV (Retrovir)  
• 100 mg |
| **Tablet**  
• 300 mg |
| **Oral Solution**  
• 10 mg/mL |
| **IV Solution**  
• 10 mg/mL |
| **ZDV/3TC (Combivir)**  
• ZDV 300 mg/3TC 150-mg tablet |
| **Standard Adult Doses**  
ZDV (Retrovir) |
| • ZDV 300 mg twice daily or ZDV 200 mg three times a day without regard to food |
| • Patients in active labor should receive ZDV 2 mg/kg IV as a loading dose, followed by ZDV 1 mg/kg/hour continuous infusion from beginning of active labor until delivery |
| **Use in Pregnancy**  
High placental transfer to fetus.  
No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects).  
**Last Reviewed**  
December 30, 2021 |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Generic Name</strong> (Abbreviation) Trade Name</th>
<th><strong>Formulation</strong></th>
<th><strong>Dosing Recommendationsa</strong></th>
<th><strong>Use in Pregnancy</strong></th>
<th><strong>Last Reviewed</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Trizivir</strong></td>
<td>ZDV/ABC/3TC (Trizivir) • ZDV 300 mg/ ABC 300 mg/ 3TC 150-mg tablet</td>
<td>• One tablet twice daily without regard to food</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Note:</strong> Generic products are available for all formulations.</td>
<td><strong>Pregnancy</strong></td>
<td><strong>PKs in Pregnancy</strong></td>
<td>PKs not significantly altered in pregnancy.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Dosing in Pregnancy</strong></td>
<td>No change in dose indicated.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., ABC, 3TC).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NNRTI</strong></td>
<td><strong>Doravirine (DOR) Pifelto</strong></td>
<td>DOR (Pifelto) • 100 mg tablet</td>
<td>Standard Adult Doses DOR (Pifelto) • DOR 100 mg once daily with or without food</td>
<td>No human in vivo data are available on the placental transfer of DOR, but passage is noted in an ex vivo model. Insufficient data are available to assess for teratogenicity in humans. No evidence exists of teratogenicity in rats or rabbits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(DOR/3TC/TDF) Delstrigo</td>
<td>DOR/3TC/TDF (Delstrigo) • DOR 100 mg/ 3TC 300 mg/ TDF 300 mg tablet</td>
<td>DOR/3TC/TDF (Delstrigo) • One tablet once daily with or without food</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Pregnancy</strong></td>
<td><strong>PKs in Pregnancy</strong></td>
<td>No PK studies in human pregnancy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Dosing in Pregnancy</strong></td>
<td>Insufficient data to make dosing recommendations.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>For guidance about the use of combination ARV drug products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other drug components (i.e., 3TC, TDF).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Efavirenz (EFV)</strong></td>
<td>EFV (Sustiva)d Capsules • 50 mg</td>
<td>Standard Adult Doses EFV (Sustiva) • EFV 600 mg once daily at or before bedtime</td>
<td>Moderate placental transfer to fetus.b The FDA advises women to avoid becoming pregnant while taking EFV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Recommendations for the Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy and Interventions to Reduce Perinatal HIV Transmission in the United States**

---

*Note: Generic products are available for all formulations.*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name (Abbreviation)</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Dosing Recommendations&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Use in Pregnancy</th>
<th>Last Reviewed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sustiva (EFV/FTC/TDF) Atripla</td>
<td>200 mg Tablet, 600 mg EFV/FTC/TDF (Atripla) EFV 600 mg/FTC 200 mg/TDF 300-mg tablet</td>
<td>• Take on an empty stomach to reduce side effects.</td>
<td>and advises health care providers to avoid administration during the first trimester of pregnancy because fetal harm may occur. However, the data on more than 7,900 periconception EFV exposures from Botswana rule out a threefold or greater increased risk of NTDs. As a result, the current Perinatal Guidelines do not restrict the use of EFV in pregnant women or in women who are planning to become pregnant. This is consistent with both the British HIV Association and WHO guidelines for use of ARV drugs in pregnancy.</td>
<td>December 30, 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustiva (EFV/3TC/TDF) Symfi</td>
<td>600 mg EFV/3TC/TDF (Symfi) EFV 600 mg/3TC 300 mg/TDF 300-mg tablet</td>
<td>• One tablet once daily at or before bedtime • Take on an empty stomach to reduce side effects.</td>
<td>EFV should be continued in pregnant women who are on a virally suppressive, EFV-based regimen, because ARV drug changes during pregnancy may be associated with loss of viral control and an increased risk of perinatal transmission (see Pregnant People with HIV Who are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustiva (EFV/3TC/TDF) Symfi Lo</td>
<td>400 mg EFV/3TC/TDF (Symfi Lo) EFV 400 mg/3TC 300 mg/TDF 300-mg tablet</td>
<td>• One tablet once daily on an empty stomach and preferably at bedtime</td>
<td>Placental transfer varies; it is usually in the moderate-to-high categories, ranging 0.19–4.25.&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; Insufficient data to assess for teratogenicity in humans. No evidence of teratogenicity in rats or rabbits.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etravirine (ETR) Intelence</td>
<td>Tablets 25 mg, 100 mg, 200 mg</td>
<td>• 200 mg twice daily with food</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etravirine (ETR) Intelence</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pregnancy PKs in Pregnancy • AUC is decreased during the third trimester compared with postpartum, but nearly all third trimester participants exceeded target exposure. Dosing in Pregnancy • No change in dose is indicated. For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., 3TC, FTC, TDF).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Generic products are available for some formulations.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name (Abbreviation)</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Dosing Recommendations&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Use in Pregnancy</th>
<th>Last Reviewed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nevirapine (NVP) Viramune Viramune XR</td>
<td>dispersed in a glass of water.</td>
<td><strong>NVP (Viramune) Tablet</strong>  1. 200 mg&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;  Oral Suspension  2. 50 mg/5 mL&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;  <strong>Viramune XR Tablets</strong>  1. 100 mg  2. 400 mg&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td><strong>Standard Adult Doses</strong>  1. NVP 200 mg once daily (using Viramune immediate release) for a 14-day lead-in period; thereafter, NVP 200 mg twice daily or 400 mg (using Viramune XR tablet) once daily, without regard to food.  2. Repeat lead-in period if therapy is discontinued for &gt;7 days.  3. In patients who develop mild-to-moderate rash without constitutional symptoms during the lead-in period, continue lead-in dosing until rash resolves, but administer for ≤28 days total.</td>
<td><strong>High placental transfer to fetus.&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</strong>  No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects and twofold increase in cardiovascular and genitourinary defects).  An increased risk of symptomatic liver toxicity exists when first initiating therapy in women with CD4 counts ≥250/mm&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;. Liver toxicity is often associated with a rash and can be fatal. Pregnancy does not appear to increase this risk.  NVP should be initiated in pregnant people with CD4 counts ≥250 cells/mm&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt; only if benefit clearly outweighs risk. A potential increased risk of life-threatening hepatotoxicity exists in pregnant people with high CD4 counts. Elevated transaminase levels at baseline may increase the risk of NVP toxicity.  Patients who become pregnant while taking NVP-containing regimens and who are tolerating their regimens well can continue taking those regimens, regardless of their CD4 counts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rilpivirine (RPV) Edurant (RPV/FTC/TDF)</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>RPV (Edurant) Tablets</strong>  1. 25 mg  <strong>RPV/FTC/TDF (Complera)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Standard Adult Doses</strong>  1. RPV 25 mg once daily with food</td>
<td><strong>Moderate-to-high placental transfer to fetus.&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</strong>  No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out two-fold increase in overall birth defects).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Dosing Recommendations: Standard Adult Doses

<sup>b</sup> Use in Pregnancy: High placental transfer to fetus.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name (Abbreviation)</th>
<th>Trade Name</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Dosing Recommendations</th>
<th>Use in Pregnancy</th>
<th>Last Reviewed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complera (RPV/DTG)</td>
<td>Juluca</td>
<td>RPV 25 mg/ FTC 200 mg/ TDF 300-mg tablet</td>
<td>One tablet once daily with food</td>
<td>Two-drug regimens (e.g., the RPV/DTG FDC) are not recommended for use in pregnancy.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(RPV/FTC/TAF)</td>
<td>Odefsey</td>
<td>RPV 25 mg/ DTG 50-mg tablet</td>
<td>One tablet once daily with food</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(CAB and RPV)</td>
<td>Cabenuva</td>
<td>RPV 25 mg/ FTC 200 mg/ TAF 25-mg tablet</td>
<td>One tablet once daily with food</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CAB and RPV is a two-drug co-packaged product for IM injection.**

**Pregnancy**

**PKs in Pregnancy**
- RPV PKs are highly variable during pregnancy. RPV AUC and trough concentrations are 20% to 50% lower in pregnancy than postpartum. Although most pregnant women exceeded target exposure, those with detectable viral loads had lower RPV troughs.

**Dosing in Pregnancy**
- Although RPV plasma concentration is reduced during pregnancy, higher-than-standard doses have not been studied, and not enough data are available to recommend a dosing change during pregnancy. Pregnant women receiving standard dosing should have their viral loads monitored more frequently than women who are not receiving RPV.

For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., DTG, FTC, TAF, TDF).
Pis block the activity of the protease enzyme, which is required to assemble new HIV viral particles that are capable of infecting new cells.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name (Abbreviation)</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Dosing Recommendations&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Use in Pregnancy</th>
<th>Last Reviewed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Atazanavir (ATV)** Reyataz | ATV (Reyataz) Capsules  
• 100 mg (generic product only)  
• 150 mg<sup>d</sup>  
• 200 mg<sup>d</sup>  
• 300 mg<sup>d</sup>  
Oral Powder  
• 50-mg packet | **Standard Adult Doses**  
**In ARV-Naive Patients Without RTV Boosting**  
• ATV 400 mg once daily with food; ATV without RTV boosting **is not recommended** when used with TDF, H2-receptor antagonists, PPIs, or during pregnancy.  
**In ARV-Naive Patients With RTV Boosting**  
• ATV/r 300 mg/100 mg once daily with food  
• When combined with EFV in ARV-naive patients: ATV/r 400 mg/100 mg once daily with food | Low placental transfer to fetus<sup>b</sup>  
No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects)  
Must be given with RTV boosting in pregnancy.  
Effect of **in utero** ATV exposure on infant indirect bilirubin levels is unclear. Nonpathologic elevations of neonatal bilirubin have been observed in some, but not all, clinical trials to date.  
Oral powder (but not capsules) contains phenylalanine, which can be harmful to patients with phenylketonuria.  
Use of ATV/c **is not recommended** during pregnancy. See **Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy, Table 4 and Table 5** for discussions about avoiding the use of ATV/c during pregnancy. | December 30, 2021 |
| **(ATV/c) Evotaz** | ATP/c (Evotaz)  
• ATV 300 mg/COBI 150-mg tablet | **Powder Formulation**  
• Oral powder is taken with RTV once daily with food at the same recommended adult dose as the capsules.  
**ATV/c (Evotaz)**  
• One tablet once daily with food | | |
### Pregnancy

**PKs in Pregnancy**

- **ATV (Reyataz)**
  - ATV concentrations are reduced during pregnancy, and they are further reduced when ATV is given concomitantly with TDF or an H2-receptor antagonist.

- **ATV/c (Evotaz)**
  - Use of ATV/c is not recommended during pregnancy, because ATV trough concentrations are 80% to 85% lower than the ATV concentrations seen in nonpregnant adults.

**Dosing in Pregnancy**

- **ATV (Reyataz)**
  - Use of unboosted ATV is not recommended during pregnancy.
  - Use of ATV is not recommended during pregnancy for ARV-experienced patients who are taking TDF and an H2-receptor antagonist.
  - Use of an increased dose (ATV/r 400 mg/100 mg once daily with food) during the second and third trimesters results in plasma ATV concentrations equivalent to those seen in nonpregnant adults receiving standard dosing. Although some experts recommend increased ATV dosing in all patients during the second and third trimesters of pregnancy, the package insert recommends increased ATV dosing only for ARV-experienced pregnant women in the second and third trimesters who are also receiving either TDF or an H2-receptor antagonist.

- **ATV/c (Evotaz)**
  - Insufficient data to make dosing recommendation in pregnancy (see COBI)
  - For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., COBI).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Dosing Recommendations&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Use in Pregnancy</th>
<th>Last Reviewed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Darunavir (DRV) Prezista**           | **DRV (Prezista)**  
Tablet  
- 75 mg  
- 150 mg  
- 600 mg  
- 800 mg  
Oral Suspension  
- 100 mg/mL  
**DRV/c (Prezobix)**  
- DRV/c 800 mg/150 mg tablet  
**DRV/c/FTC/TAF (Symtuza)**  
- DRV 800 mg/COBI 150 mg/FTC 200 mg/TAF 10 mg tablet  | **Standard Adult Doses**  
**ARV-Naive Patients**  
- DRV/r 800 mg/100 mg once daily with food  
- DRV/c 800 mg/150 mg once daily with food  
**ARV-Experienced Patients If Patient Has No DRV Resistance Mutations**  
- DRV/r 800 mg/100 mg once daily with food  
- DRV/c 800 mg/150 mg once daily with food  
**ARV-Experienced Patients If Any DRV Resistance Mutations Are Present**  
- DRV/r 600 mg/100 mg twice daily with food  
**DRV/c (Prezobix)**  
- One tablet once daily with food  
**DRV/c/FTC/TAF (Symtuza)**  
- One tablet once daily with food  | Low placental transfer to fetus.<sup>b</sup>  
No evidence of teratogenicity in mice, rats, or rabbits. No evidence of human teratogenicity.  
Must be boosted with low-dose RTV.  
The Panel **does not recommend** once-daily dosing with DRV/r during pregnancy or the use of DRV/c during pregnancy. If a DRV/c regimen is continued during pregnancy, viral load should be monitored frequently. | **December 30, 2021** |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Dosing Recommendationsa</th>
<th>Use in Pregnancy</th>
<th>Last Reviewed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lopinavir/Ritonavir (LPV/r) Kaletra</td>
<td>LPV/r (Kaletra) Tablets • LPV/r 200 mg/50 mg • LPV/r 100 mg/25 mg Oral Solution • Each 5 mL contains LPV/r 400 mg/100 mge</td>
<td>• Increased, twice-daily DRV dose (DRV/r 800 mg/100 mg with food) during pregnancy does not result in an increase in DRV exposure and is not recommended. For guidance about use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., Cobi, FTC, TAF).</td>
<td>• Low placental transfer to fetus.b No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects). Oral solution contains 42% alcohol and 15% propylene glycol and is not recommended for use in pregnancy. Once-daily LPV/r dosing is not recommended during pregnancy.</td>
<td>December 30, 2021</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:**
- a: Dosing recommendations are subject to change based on the latest research and clinical practice guidelines.
- b: PKs in Pregnancy: With twice-daily dosing, LPV exposure is reduced in pregnant women who receive standard adult doses; increasing the dose by 50% results in exposure equivalent to that seen in nonpregnant adults receiving standard doses.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name (Abbreviation)</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Dosing Recommendationsa</th>
<th>Use in Pregnancy</th>
<th>Last Reviewed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Entry Inhibitors</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fostemsavir (FTR)</td>
<td>FTR (Rukobia) Extended-Release Tablet</td>
<td>• No PK data are available for once-daily dosing in pregnancy. <strong>Dosing in Pregnancy</strong> • Once-daily dosing <strong>is not recommended</strong> during pregnancy. • Some experts recommend that an increased dose (i.e., LPV/r 600 mg/150 mg twice daily without regard to meals or LPV/r 500 mg/125 mg twice daily without regard to meals) should be used in the second and third trimesters, especially in PI-experienced pregnant women and women who start treatment during pregnancy with a baseline viral load &gt;50 copies/mL. • When standard dosing is used, monitor virologic response and, if possible, LPV drug levels.</td>
<td></td>
<td>December 30, 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibalizumab-uiyk (IBA)</td>
<td>IBA (Trogarzo) IV Solution</td>
<td>No human data are available regarding placental passage. A study in rats demonstrates placental passage of temsavir or other metabolites. Insufficient data to assess for teratogenicity in humans. No evidence of teratogenicity in rats or rabbits.</td>
<td></td>
<td>December 30, 2021</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Recommendations for the Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy and Interventions to Reduce Perinatal HIV Transmission in the United States*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Generic Name</strong> (Abbreviation)</th>
<th><strong>Formulation</strong></th>
<th><strong>Dosing Recommendations</strong></th>
<th><strong>Use in Pregnancy</strong></th>
<th><strong>Last Reviewed</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Maraviroc** (MVC) **Selzentry** | Tablets | **Standard Adult Doses**  
- MVC 300 mg twice daily with or without food.  
- MVC should be used only for patients with CCR5-tropic virus (and no X4-tropic virus).  

**Dose Adjustments**  
- Increase to MVC 600 mg twice daily when used with the potent CYP3A inducers EFV, ETR, and rifampin.  
- Decrease to MVC 150 mg twice daily when used with CYP3A inhibitors, which includes all PIs except TPV/r and itraconazole.  

**Pregnancy**  
**PKs in Pregnancy**  
- A PK study in human pregnancy demonstrated a 20% to 30% overall decrease in MVC AUC, but C<sub>trough</sub> exceeded the recommended minimum concentration of 50 ng/mL.  

**Dosing in Pregnancy**  
- Moderate placental transfer to fetus.  
- No evidence of teratogenicity in rats or rabbits; insufficient data to assess teratogenicity in humans. | Based on data in cynomologus monkeys with in utero exposure, potential exists for reversible immunosuppression (CD4 T cell and B cell lymphocytopenia) in infants born to mothers exposed to IBA during pregnancy.  
The FDA requires collection of prospective data in individuals exposed to IBA during pregnancy to monitor maternal and pregnancy outcomes, including adverse effects on the developing fetus, neonate, and infant.  
Insufficient data to assess for teratogenicity in humans. | December 30, 2021 |
### INSTIs

INSTIs, the viral enzyme that catalyzes the two-step process that inserts HIV DNA into the genome of the host cell.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name (Abbreviation)</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Dosing Recommendationsa</th>
<th>Use in Pregnancy</th>
<th>Last Reviewed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bictegravir/Emtricitabine/Tenofovir Alafenamide (BIC/FTC/TAF)</strong></td>
<td>BIC/FTC/TAF (Biktarvy)</td>
<td>• BIC 50 mg/FTC 200 mg/TAF 25 mg tablet&lt;br&gt;• BIC 30 mg/FTC 120 mg/TAF 15 mg tablet</td>
<td>More data are needed to characterize the placental passage of BIC.</td>
<td>December 30, 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cabotegravir (CAB)</strong></td>
<td>CAB</td>
<td>• CAB 30-mg tablets for oral administration&lt;br&gt;• CAB 200 mg/mL suspension for IM injection</td>
<td>No human data are available regarding placental passage.</td>
<td>December 30, 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cabotegravir (CAB RPV)</strong></td>
<td>CAB RPV</td>
<td>• CAB 200 mg/mL suspension for IM injection&lt;br&gt;• RPV 300 mg/mL suspension for IM injection</td>
<td>Insufficient data to assess for teratogenicity in humans. No evidence of teratogenicity in rats or rabbits.</td>
<td>December 30, 2021</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Adjusting the standard adult MVC dose for concomitant use with ARV drugs seems appropriate.**

---

**Note:**

- BIC is available only as part of an FDC tablet.
- CAB RPV is a two-drug co-packaged product for IM injection.

**Biktarvy**

- **BIC 50 mg/FTC 200 mg/TAF 25 mg tablet**
- **BIC 30 mg/FTC 120 mg/TAF 15 mg tablet**

**CAB**

- **CAB 30-mg tablets for oral administration**
- **CAB 200 mg/mL suspension for IM injection**

**CAB RPV**

- **CAB 200 mg/mL suspension for IM injection**
- **RPV 300 mg/mL suspension for IM injection**

**CAB (Vocabria)**

- **CAB 30-mg tablet once daily with or without food**

**Pregnancy**

- **PK in Pregnancy**
  - No PK studies in human pregnancy

**Dosing in Pregnancy**

- Insufficient data to make dosing recommendations

For guidance about use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., FTC, TAF).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Dosing Recommendations&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Use in Pregnancy</th>
<th>Last Reviewed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAB 600 mg (3 mL) injections every 2 months thereafter</td>
<td>CAB RPV (Cabenuva) Loading Dose to Be Given on Last Day of Oral Therapy</td>
<td>CAB 600 mg (3 mL) and RPV 900 mg (3 mL), given as two separate injections in separate ventrogluteal sites</td>
<td>Patients should be monitored for ~10 minutes for post-injection reactions. A 23-gauge, 1.5-inch IM needle is recommended for the injection and is provided in the packaging. Longer, 2-inch needles should be used in patients with BMIs &gt;30 kg/m².</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generic Name (Abbreviation)</td>
<td>Formulation</td>
<td>Dosing Recommendations&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Use in Pregnancy</td>
<td>Last Reviewed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dolutegravir (DTG) Tivicay</td>
<td>DTG (Tivicay)</td>
<td>One 50 mg tablet once daily, without regard to food</td>
<td>High placental transfer to fetus.&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>December 30, 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DTG (Tivicay PD)</td>
<td>One 50 mg tablet once daily, without regard to food</td>
<td>No evidence of teratogenicity in rats or rabbits. The most recent data from Botswana indicates the prevalence of NTDs in infants born to pregnant women with HIV receiving DTG at conception is no longer statistically different than in those receiving other antiretrovirals.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTG film-coated tablets and DTG dispersible tablets are not bioequivalent and are not interchangeable.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DTG is a Preferred antiretroviral drug for use during pregnancy, irrespective of trimester, and for people who are trying to conceive (see Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy and Table 5).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DTG/3TC (Dovato)</td>
<td>One tablet once daily, without regard to food</td>
<td>To maximize DTG absorption, doses should not be administered within 2 hours of ingesting any preparation that contains such minerals as iron or calcium, including prenatal vitamins.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTG/3TC (Dovato)</td>
<td>DTG 50 mg/3TC 300 mg tablet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTG/RPV (Juluca)</td>
<td>DTG 50 mg/ RPV 25 mg tablet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTG/ABC/3TC (Triumeq)</td>
<td>DTG 50 mg/ ABC 600 mg/ 3TC 300 mg tablet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTG/ABC/3TC (Triumeq)</td>
<td>DTG 50 mg/ ABC 600 mg/ 3TC 300 mg tablet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Standard Adult Doses

**In ARV-Naive or ARV-Experienced (but INSTI-Naive) Patients**

DTG (Tivicay)

- One 50 mg tablet once daily, without regard to food

DTG (Tivicay PD)

- Six 5 mg tablets (30 mg) dissolved in water once daily, without regard to food

DTG/3TC (Dovato)

- One tablet once daily, without regard to food

DTG/RPV (Juluca)

- One tablet once daily, with food

DTG/ABC/3TC (Triumeq)

- One tablet once daily, without regard to food

**In ARV-Naive or ARV-Experienced (but INSTI-Naive) Patients Who Are Also Receiving EFV, FPV/r, TPV/r, or Rifampin**

DTG (Tivicay)

- One 50 mg tablet twice daily, without regard to food

DTG (Tivicay PD)

- Six 5 mg tablets (30 mg) dissolved in water twice daily, without regard to food
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name (Abbreviation)</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Dosing Recommendations&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Use in Pregnancy</th>
<th>Last Reviewed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Elvitegravir (EVG) | EVG/c/FTC/TAF (Genvoya) | **In INSTI-Experienced Patients**  
DTG (Tivicay)  
• One tablet twice daily, without regard to food  
**Pregnancy**  
PKs in Pregnancy  
• AUC may be decreased during the third trimester compared with postpartum, but exposures during pregnancy are well above those needed to inhibit viral replication.  
Dosing in Pregnancy  
• No change in dose indicated.  
For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., ABC, 3TC, RPV). | Evidence of high placental transfer of EVG and low transfer of COBI.<sup>b</sup>  
Insufficient data to assess for teratogenicity in humans. No evidence of teratogenicity in rats or rabbits.  
EVG/c is not recommended for use in pregnancy. For persons who become pregnant while taking EVG/c, consider frequent viral load monitoring or switching to a more effective, recommended regimen. If a woman continues taking a regimen that contains EVG/c, doses should be administered with a meal and should not be administered within 2 hours of ingesting any preparation that contains minerals, | December 30, 2021 |
|   | EVG/c/FTC/TDF (Stribild) | **Standard Adult Doses**  
Genvoya and Stribild  
• One tablet once daily with food  
**Pregnancy**  
PKs in Pregnancy  
• PK studies in women who received EVG/c demonstrated significant reduction in EVG plasma exposure during pregnancy.  
Dosing in Pregnancy  
• EVG plasma concentrations are reduced with use of standard adult doses during pregnancy; however, higher-than-standard doses of EVG have not been studied. Insufficient data are available to recommend a dose for use in pregnancy.  
For guidance about use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., COBI, FTC, TAF). | | |
|   |  |  |  |  |

<sup>a</sup>For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., ABC, 3TC, RPV).

<sup>b</sup>As of October 2017, the single-drug formulation of EVG (Vitekta) is no longer available.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Dosing Recommendations(^a)</th>
<th>Use in Pregnancy</th>
<th>Last Reviewed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Raltegravir (RAL) Isentress           | RAL (Isentress) Film-Coated Tablets  
• 400 mg  
Chewable Tablets  
• 25 mg  
• 100 mg  
RAL (Isentress HD) Film-Coated Tablets  
• 600 mg | **Standard Adult Doses**  
**In Patients Who Are Not Receiving Rifampin**  
• RAL 400 mg, film-coated tablets twice daily without regard to food  
• Two RAL 600 mg, film-coated tablets (1,200 mg) once daily without regard to food for ARV-naive patients or patients who are already virologically suppressed on an initial regimen of RAL 400 mg twice daily  
• Chewable tablets and oral suspension doses are not interchangeable with either film-coated tablets or each other.  
**In Patients Who Are Receiving Rifampin**  
• Two RAL 400 mg, film-coated tablets (800 mg) twice daily without regard to food. | High placental transfer to fetus.\(^b\)  
No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects).  
There is a case report of markedly elevated liver transaminases with RAL use in late pregnancy. Severe, potentially life-threatening, and fatal skin and HSRs have been reported in nonpregnant adults.  
RAL chewable tablets contain phenylalanine.  
To maximize RAL absorption, doses should not be administered within 2 hours of ingestion of any preparation containing minerals—such as iron or calcium—including prenatal vitamins. | December 30, 2021 |

\(^a\) Such as iron or calcium, including prenatal vitamins.

\(^b\) Decreased drug concentrations in the third trimester are not of sufficient magnitude to warrant a change in dosing.

Dosing in Pregnancy  
• No change in dose is indicated.  
• Once-daily dosing (i.e., two RAL 600 mg, film-coated tablets) should not be used in pregnant individuals until more information is available.
### Pharmacoenhancers
Pharmacoenhancers reduce the metabolism of antiretroviral drugs and prolong their presence in plasma, allowing for more convenient dosing regimens.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pharmacoenhancer</th>
<th>Drug Combinations</th>
<th>Standard Adult Doses</th>
<th>Pregnancy PKs in Pregnancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COBI (Tybost)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evotaz (ATV/c)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genvoya (EVG/c/FTC/TAF)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prezcobix (DRV/c)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stribild (EVG/c/FTC/TDF)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symtuza (DRV/c/FTC/TAF)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**COBI (Tybost)**
- Tablet:
  - COBI 150 mg

**ATV/c (Evotaz)**
- ATV 300 mg/COBI 50-mg tablet

**EVG/c/FTC/TAF (Genvoya)**
- EVG 150 mg/COBI 150 mg/FTC 200 mg/TAF 10-mg tablet

**DRV/c (Prezcobix)**
- DRV 800 mg/COBI 150-mg tablet

**EVG/c/FTC/TDF (Stribild)**
- EVG 150 mg/COBI 150 mg/FTC 200 mg/TDF 300-mg tablet

**DRV/c/FTC/TAF (Symtuza)**
- DRV 800 mg/COBI 150 mg/FTC 200 mg/TAF 10-mg tablet

COBI (Tybost)
- When used as an alternative PK booster with ATV or DRV, the dose is one tablet once daily with food.

ATV/c (Evotaz)
- One tablet once daily with food

EVG/c/FTC/TAF (Genvoya)
- One tablet once daily with food

DRV/c (Prezcobix)
- One tablet once daily with food

EVG/c/FTC/TDF (Stribild)
- One tablet once daily with food

DRV/c/FTC/TAF (Symtuza)
- One tablet once daily with food

**Pregnancy**

**PKs in Pregnancy**
- Based on limited data, COBI exposure and its pharmacoenhancing effect on ATV, DRV, and EVG are reduced markedly in pregnancy.
- When coadministered with COBI, TAF exposure is not significantly different between pregnancy and the postpartum period.
**Recommendations for the Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy and Interventions to Reduce Perinatal HIV Transmission in the United States**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Dosing in Pregnancy</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>• Although COBI exposure is reduced markedly during pregnancy, higher-than-standard doses have not been studied. The Panel recommends RTV as the preferred pharmacoenhancer for PIs and INSTIs during pregnancy until more data are available on COBI activity during pregnancy.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., FTC, TAF, TDF, ATV, DRV, EVG).</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Ritonavir (RTV) Norvir** | 
| **RTV (Norvir) Capsules** | 
| • RTV 100 mg | 
| **Tablets** | 
| • RTV 100 mg | 
| **Oral Solution** | 
| • RTV 80 mg/mL | 
| **Powder** | 
| • RTV 100 mg/sachet | 
| **LPV/r (Kaletra) Tablets** | 
| • LPV/r 200 mg/50 mg | 
| • LPV/r 100 mg/25 mg | 
| **Oral Solution** | 
| • Each 5 mL contains LPV/r 400 mg/100 mg | 

| **Standard Adult Dose of RTV (Norvir) When Used as PK Booster for Other PIs** | 
| **• RTV 100–400 mg per day in one or two divided doses (refer to other PI sections for specific dosing recommendations)** | 
| **Tablet** | 
| • Take with food | 
| **Capsule or Oral Solution** | 
| • To improve tolerability, take with food, if possible. | 

| **Standard Adult Doses of LPV/r (Kaletra)** | 
| **• LPV/r 400 mg/100 mg twice daily, or** | 
| **• LPV/r 800 mg/200 mg once daily** | 
| **Tablets** | 
| • Take without regard to food. | 
| **Oral Solution** | 
| • Take with food. | 

| **With EFV or NVP in PI-Naive or PI-Experienced Patients** | 
| **• LPV/r 500 mg/125 mg tablets twice daily without regard to meals (use a combination of two LPV/r 200 mg/50 mg tablets and one LPV/r 100 mg/25 mg tablet), or** | 

| **Low placental transfer to fetus.**

No evidence of increased risk of human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects).

RTV should only be used as low-dose booster for other PIs.

RTV oral solution contains 43% alcohol and, therefore, is not recommended for use during pregnancy because no safe level of alcohol exposure during pregnancy is known. LPV/r oral solution contains 42% alcohol and 15% propylene glycol and is not recommended for use in pregnancy.

Once-daily LPV/r dosing is not recommended during pregnancy.

December 30, 2021
• **LPV/r 520 mg/130 mg oral solution (6.5 mL) twice daily with food**

**Pregnancy**

**PKs in Pregnancy**

- Lower RTV levels are seen during pregnancy than during postpartum, which may reduce the pharmacologic enhancing effect of RTV in pregnancy.

**RTV Dosing in Pregnancy**

- No dose adjustment is necessary when RTV is used as booster.

**LPV/r Dosing in Pregnancy**

- Once-daily dosing is not recommended during pregnancy.
  - Some experts recommend that an increased dose (i.e., LPV/r 600 mg/150 mg twice daily without regard to meals or LPV/r 500 mg/125 mg twice daily without regard to meals) should be used in the second and third trimesters of pregnancy, especially in patients who are PI-experienced and in those who start treatment during pregnancy with a baseline viral load >50 copies/mL.
  - When standard dosing is used, monitor virologic response and, if possible, LPV drug levels.

For guidance about use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., LPV/r).

---

*a* Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 11).

*b* Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

- **High:** >0.6
- **Moderate:** 0.3–0.6
- **Low:** <0.3

*c* Only indicated for use in chronic HBV virus infection in adults.

*d* Generic product available

---

Recommendations for the Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy and Interventions to Reduce Perinatal HIV Transmission in the United States
Nucleoside and Nucleotide Analogue Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitors

Nucleoside and nucleotide reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs) interfere with HIV reverse transcriptase by competitive inhibition. Nucleoside analogue drugs require three intracellular phosphorylation steps to form the triphosphate nucleoside, which is the active drug moiety. The nucleotide analogue tenofovir contains a monophosphate component attached to the adenine base and requires only two phosphorylation steps to form the active moiety.

For information regarding the nucleoside analogue drug class and potential mitochondrial toxicity in pregnant women and infants, see Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy and Long-Term Follow-Up of Infants Exposed to Antiretroviral Drugs.

Abacavir (Ziagen, ABC)
Emtricitabine (Emtriva, FTC)
Lamivudine (Epivir, 3TC)
Tenofovir Alfenamide (Vemlidy, TAF)
Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate (Viread, TDF)
Zidovudine (Retrovir, AZT, ZDV)

Didanosine and stavudine are no longer recommended for use in pregnant women. Zalcitabine is not available in the United States. Information on these drugs can be found in the Archived Drugs section.

Non-Nucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitors

Non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTIs) interfere with HIV reverse transcriptase by binding directly to the enzyme.

Doravirine (Pifeltro, DOR)
Efavirenz (Sustiva, EFV)
Etravirine (Intelence, ETR)
Nevirapine (Viramune, NVP)
Rilpivirine (Edurant, RPV)

Delavirdine is no longer available in the United States. Information on this drug can be found in the Archived Drugs section.

Protease Inhibitors

Protease inhibitors (PIs) block the activity of the protease enzyme, which is required to assemble new HIV viral particles that are capable of infecting new cells.

Using PIs during pregnancy may increase the risk of adverse maternal and neonatal outcomes; see Combination Antiretroviral Drug Regimens and Maternal and Neonatal Outcomes for more information.

Atazanavir (Reyataz, ATV)
Darunavir (Prezista, DRV)
Lopinavir/Ritonavir (Kaletra, LPV/r)
Fosamprenavir, indinavir, nelfinavir, saquinavir, and tipranavir are no longer recommended for use in pregnant women. Amprenavir is no longer available in the United States. Information on these drugs can be found in the Archived Drugs section.

**Entry and Attachment Inhibitors**

Entry and attachment inhibitors block viral binding or fusion of HIV to host cells.

- Fostemsavir (Rukobia, FTR)
- Ibalizumab-uiyk (Trogarzo, IBA)
- Maraviroc (Selzentry, MVC)

Enfuvirtide is not recommended for use in pregnant women. Information on this drug can be found in the Archived Drugs section.

**Integrase Inhibitors**

Integrase inhibitors block integrase, the viral enzyme that catalyzes the two-step process that inserts HIV DNA into the genome of the host cell.

- Bictegravir (BIC)
- Dolutegravir (Tivicay, DTG)
- Elvitegravir (EVG)
- Raltegravir (Isentress, RAL)

For information regarding the possible increased risk of neural tube defects in infants born to women who were receiving dolutegravir at the time of conception, see Teratogenicity and Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy.

**Pharmacoenhancers**

Pharmacoenhancers reduce the metabolism of antiretroviral drugs and prolong their presence in plasma, allowing for more convenient dosing regimens.

- Cobicistat (Tybost, COBI)
- Ritonavir (Norvir, RTV)
Abacavir (Ziagen, ABC)

(last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

Abacavir (ABC) has been found to be mutagenic and clastogenic in some in vitro and in vivo assays. In long-term carcinogenicity studies in mice and rats, malignant tumors of the preputial gland of males and the clitoral gland of females were observed in both species, and malignant hepatic tumors and nonmalignant hepatic and thyroid tumors were observed in female rats. The tumors were seen in rodents at exposures that were 6 to 32 times those observed in humans who received the recommended dose.1

Reproduction/Fertility

No effect of ABC on reproduction or fertility in male and female rodents has been seen at doses of up to 500 mg/kg per day. These doses produced exposures in rodents that were about eight times the exposures observed in humans who received the recommended dose. Exposures in this study were based on body surface area.

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

Rats treated with a dose of ABC 1,000 mg/kg during organogenesis showed signs of developmental toxicity (i.e., decreased fetal body weight and reduced crown-rump length) and had an increased incidence of fetal anasarca and skeletal malformations. This dose produced exposures in rats that were about 35 times those seen in humans who received the recommended dose; exposure was based on area under the concentration-time curve. An increased number of resorptions and an increased incidence of stillbirths occurred among pregnant rats that received ABC 500 mg/kg once daily, beginning at embryo implantation and ending when the pups were weaned. Decreased fetal body weights also were observed, and the offspring had persistently low body weights throughout their lives. However, in rabbits, no evidence of drug-related developmental toxicity and no increase in fetal malformations were observed at doses of ABC up to 700 mg/kg. These doses produced exposures in rabbits that were about 8.5 times the exposures seen in humans who received the recommended dose.1

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

ABC crosses the placenta and is excreted into the breast milk of lactating rats.1

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

In pregnant women, pharmacokinetic (PK) studies of ABC 300 mg twice daily2 and ABC 600 mg once daily3 showed that the PKs during pregnancy are equivalent to the PKs observed during the postpartum period. A population PK study (analyzing 266 plasma samples from 150 pregnant women) found no effect of any covariate (including age, body weight, pregnancy, or gestational age) on ABC PKs.4 Thus, no dose adjustment for ABC is needed during pregnancy.
Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Placental transfer of ABC is high, with ratios of ABC concentration in cord blood to ABC concentration in maternal plasma at delivery of approximately 1.0.\(^2,5\) In the M ma B ana study,\(^6\) the median breast-milk-to-plasma ratio for ABC was 0.85 in the 15 women tested at 1 month postpartum, and the drug was detected in the plasma of one out of nine breastfeeding infants whose mothers were receiving ABC.

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

The Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry has monitored sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to ABC to detect at least a 1.5-fold increase in the risk of overall birth defects and at least a twofold increase in the risk of cardiovascular and genitourinary defects (which are the more common classes of birth defects in the general population). No such increase in the risk of birth defects has been observed with ABC. Among the cases of first-trimester ABC exposure that have been reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, the prevalence of birth defects was 3.1\(^%\) (43 infants out of 1,368 live births; 95\(^%\) confidence interval, 2.3\(^%\) to 4.2\(^%\)) compared with a 2.7\(^%\) total prevalence in the U.S. population, based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance.\(^7\) First-trimester exposure to ABC was not associated with birth defects in the Surveillance Monitoring for ART Toxicities (SMARTT) study (adjusted odds ratio [aOR] 0.94, 0.53–1.65),\(^8\) in the French Perinatal Cohort (aOR 1.01, 0.73–1.41),\(^9\) or in a series of 897 births to women with HIV in Spain between 2000 and 2009 (aOR 0.99, 0.34–2.87).\(^10\)

Pregnancy outcomes were similar between pregnant women who received an ABC/lamivudine (3TC) backbone (n = 252) and women who received a tenofovir disoproxil fumarate/emtricitabine backbone (n = 661) in the Italian National Program on Surveillance on Antiretroviral Treatment in Pregnancy. However, total cholesterol levels were higher in the group that received ABC.\(^11\)

Ten percent of participants (711 pregnancies) received ABC plus 3TC in the European Pregnancy Paediatric HIV Cohort Collaboration (EPPICC) Study Group. The proportions of preterm deliveries and small-for-gestational-age infants that occurred among women who received ABC were similar to those seen among women who received other antiretroviral drugs.\(^12\)

Other Safety Information

Serious hypersensitivity reactions (HSRs) have been associated with ABC therapy in nonpregnant adults, but these reactions rarely have been fatal; symptoms include fever, skin rash, fatigue, and gastrointestinal symptoms, such as nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, or abdominal pain. ABC should not be restarted following an HSR because more severe symptoms will occur within hours and may include life-threatening hypotension and death. Patients who test positive for HLA-B*5701 are at the highest risk of HSRs and should not receive ABC; HLA-B*5701 screening should be done before initiating ABC. Two meta-analyses have confirmed the association between this genotype and the HSR.\(^13,14\)

After adjusting for birth cohort and other factors, the Pediatric HIV/AIDS Cohort Study (PHACS)/SMARTT study (which followed participants for a median of 2.4 years) reported no increases in the likelihood of metabolic, cardiac, neurological, growth and development, or neurodevelopmental adverse events among infants whose mothers took ABC during pregnancy.\(^15\)
Excerpt from Table 11

**Note:** When using fixed-dose combination (FDC) tablets, refer to other sections in Appendix B and Table 11 in the Perinatal Guidelines for information about the dosing and safety of individual drug components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name (Abbreviation)</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Dosing Recommendations</th>
<th>Use in Pregnancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Abacavir</strong> (ABC)</td>
<td><strong>abc</strong></td>
<td><strong>Standard Adult Doses</strong></td>
<td><strong>High placental transfer to fetus.</strong>&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ziagen</strong> (ABC/3TC)</td>
<td><strong>abc</strong></td>
<td><strong>ABC (Ziagen)</strong></td>
<td>No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects) .</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Epzicom</strong> (ABC/DTG/3TC)</td>
<td><strong>abc</strong></td>
<td><strong>ABC/3TC (Epzicom)</strong></td>
<td>HSRs occur in approximately 5% to 8% of nonpregnant individuals. A small percentage of reactions are fatal, and these fatal reactions are usually associated with re-challenge. Rate of reactions during pregnancy is unknown. Testing for HLA-B*5701 identifies patients at risk of reactions, and a patient's status should be documented as negative before initiating ABC. Patients should be educated regarding symptoms of HSR.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Triumeq</strong> (ABC/3TC/ZDV)</td>
<td><strong>abc</strong></td>
<td><strong>ABC/3TC/ZDV (Triumeq)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Trizivir</strong> (ABC/3TC/3TC)</td>
<td><strong>abc</strong></td>
<td><strong>ABC/3TC/3TC (Trizivir)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Generic products are available for some formulations.

<sup>a</sup> Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 11)

<sup>b</sup> Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

**High:** >0.6  
**Moderate:** 0.3–0.6  
**Low:** <0.3

<sup>d</sup> Generic product available

**Key:** 3TC = lamivudine; ABC = abacavir; ARV = antiretroviral; DTG = dolutegravir; FDC = fixed-dose combination; HSR = hypersensitivity reaction; PK = pharmacokinetic; ZDV = zidovudine
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Emtricitabine (Emtriva, FTC)  
(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

Emtricitabine (FTC) was neither mutagenic nor clastogenic in a series of in vitro and animal in vivo screening tests. In long-term carcinogenicity studies of oral FTC, no drug-related increases in tumor incidence were found at doses up to 26 times in mice or 31 times in rats than the exposures seen in humans who received the therapeutic dose.¹

Reproduction/Fertility

FTC had no observable effect on reproduction or fertility at doses that produced systemic drug exposures (as measured by area under the curve [AUC]) that were approximately 60-fold higher in female and male mice and 140-fold higher in male rats than human exposure at the recommended therapeutic dose.¹

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

No fetal variations or malformations were observed following maternal FTC doses that produced systemic drug exposures that were 60-fold higher in mice or 120-fold higher in rabbits than those observed in humans who received the recommended dose.¹

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

FTC has been shown to cross the placenta in mice and rabbits; the average fetal/maternal drug concentration ratio was 0.4 in mice and 0.5 in rabbits.²

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

In the International Maternal, Pediatric, Adolescent AIDS Clinical Trials (IMPAACT) P1026s study, FTC exposure was modestly lower during the third trimester (geometric mean, 8.0 mcg•h/mL; 90% confidence interval [CI], 7.1–8.9 mcg•h/mL) than during the postpartum period (9.7 mcg•h/mL; 90% CI, 8.6–10.9 mcg•h/mL). Fifty-eight percent of pregnant women (15 of 26 women) met the AUC target (≤30% reduction from typical exposure for nonpregnant historical controls) compared to 95% of postpartum women (21 of 22 women). Trough FTC levels also were lower during pregnancy (C24h geometric mean concentration [GMC] 58 ng/mL; 90% CI, 37–63 ng/mL) than during the postpartum period (C24h GMC 85 ng/mL; 90% CI, 70–100 ng/mL).³ Similar differences in pharmacokinetic parameters of FTC were found among women during pregnancy or after delivery in the Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group (PACTG) 394 study⁴ and in a European study.⁵,⁶ The increase in FTC clearance during pregnancy correlated with the normal pregnancy-related increase in glomerular filtration rate.⁶ These changes are not believed to be large enough to warrant a dose adjustment during pregnancy.
Placental and Breast Milk Passage

FTC has been shown to have high placental transfer in pregnant women. In a study of 15 women who received FTC during pregnancy, the mean cord blood-to-maternal-plasma ratio was 1.2 (90% CI, 1.0–1.5). In eight women who were given a single dose of FTC 600 mg with tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) 900 mg, the median cord blood FTC concentration was 717 ng/mL (range, 21–1,072 ng/mL), and the median cord blood-to-maternal-plasma ratio was 0.85 (range, 0.46–1.07).

FTC is excreted into human milk. Among women in Uganda and Nigeria who were taking first-line antiretroviral therapy that contained FTC 200 mg, FTC concentrations in breast milk peaked later than they did in maternal plasma (at 4–8 hours, compared with 2–4 hours) and were threefold higher than maternal plasma concentrations. FTC was detectable in three infants (19%). In a study in Ivory Coast, five women with HIV who exclusively breastfed their newborn infants were given FTC 400 mg, TDF 600 mg, and nevirapine 200 mg at onset of labor, followed by FTC 200 mg and TDF 300 mg once daily for 7 days postpartum. The median minimal and maximal concentrations of FTC in breast milk were 177 ng/mL and 679 ng/mL, respectively (interquartile ranges [IQR], 105–254 ng/mL and 658–743 ng/mL, respectively), well above the estimated FTC 50% inhibitory concentration (IC50) for HIV-1. In a study of 50 women without HIV who received daily oral FTC 200 mg and TDF 300 mg as pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP), median peak and trough breast milk concentrations of FTC were 212.5 ng/mL (IQR 140.0–405.0 ng/mL) and 183.0 ng/mL (IQR 113.0–250.0 ng/mL), respectively. FTC was detectable in 47 of 49 infants at a median concentration of 13.2 ng/mL (IQR 9.3–16.7 ng/mL), corresponding to estimated daily infant ingestion of a 31.9 mcg/kg dose (IQR 21.0–60.8 mcg/kg) of FTC or 0.5% of the daily dose for treating infants.

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

A study of pregnancies conducted during an HIV PrEP trial randomized participants without HIV to receive placebo, TDF, or TDF plus FTC. No increase in the incidence of congenital anomalies was observed in the TDF plus FTC arm. No overall difference was observed between the rate of pregnancy loss in the TDF plus FTC arm and the rate of pregnancy loss in the TDF arm of this PrEP study.

In the U.S. Pediatric HIV/AIDS Cohort Study (PHACS) Surveillance Monitoring for ART Toxicities (SMARTT) cohort study, FTC exposure was not associated with an increase in specific or overall birth defect risk. In a large French cohort, FTC exposure in the first trimester was associated with lower risk of birth defects. The Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry has monitored sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to FTC to detect at least a 1.5-fold increased risk of overall birth defects and at least a two-fold increase in cardiovascular and genitourinary defects (the most common classes of birth defects in the general population). No such increase in the risk of birth defects has been observed with FTC. Among the cases of first-trimester FTC exposure that have been reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, the prevalence of birth defects was 2.6% (104 of 3,952 live births; 95% CI, 2.2% to 3.2%) compared with a total prevalence of 2.7% in the U.S. population, based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance.
Other Safety Information

In the U.S. PHACS/SMARTT cohort study, after adjusting for birth cohort and other factors, maternal use of FTC did not increase the likelihood of adverse metabolic, growth and development, cardiac, neurological, or neurodevelopmental outcomes.\textsuperscript{14}
### Excerpt from Table 11

**Note:** When using fixed-dose combination (FDC) tablets, refer to other sections in Appendix B and Table 11 in the Perinatal Guidelines for information about the dosing and safety of individual drug components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name (Abbreviation)</th>
<th>Trade Name</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Dosing Recommendationsa</th>
<th>Use in Pregnancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emtricitabine (FTC)</td>
<td>Emtriva</td>
<td>Capsule&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>200 mg</td>
<td>High placental transfer to fetus&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(FTC/EFV/TDF)</td>
<td>Atripla</td>
<td>Oral Solution</td>
<td>10 mg/mL</td>
<td>No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(FTC/BIC/TAF)</td>
<td>Biktarvy</td>
<td>FTC 200 mg/EFV 600 mg/TDF 300-mg tablet</td>
<td></td>
<td>If patient has HBV/HIV coinfection, it is possible that an HBV flare may occur if the drug is stopped; see Hepatitis B Virus/HIV Coinfection.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(FTC/RPV/TDF)</td>
<td>Complera</td>
<td>FTC 200 mg/RPV 25 mg/TDF 300-mg tablet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(FTC/TAF)</td>
<td>Descovy</td>
<td>FTC 200 mg/TAF 25-mg tablet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(FTC/EVG/c/TAF)</td>
<td>Genvoya</td>
<td>FTC 200 mg/EVG 150 mg/COBI 150 mg/TAF 10-mg tablet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(FTC/RPV/TAF)</td>
<td>Odefsey</td>
<td>FTC 200 mg/RPV 25 mg/TAF 25-mg tablet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(FTC/EVG/c/TDF)</td>
<td>Stribild</td>
<td>FTC 200 mg/EVG 150 mg/COBI 150 mg/TDF 300-mg tablet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(FTC/DRV/c/TAF)</td>
<td>Symtuza</td>
<td>FTC 200 mg/DRV 800 mg/COBI 150 mg/TAF 10-mg tablet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(FTC/TDF)</td>
<td>Truvada&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>FTC 200 mg/TDF 300-mg tablet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Standard Adult Doses**
- FTC (Emtriva) – Capsule
- FTC 200 mg once daily without regard to food

- FTC (Emtriva) – Oral Solution
- FTC 240 mg (24 mL) once daily without regard to food

- FTC/EFV/TDF (Atripla)<sup>d</sup>
  - One tablet once daily at or before bedtime
  - Take on an empty stomach to reduce or mitigate side effects.

- FTC/BIC/TAF (Biktarvy)
  - One tablet once daily with or without food

- FTC/RPV/TDF (Complera)
  - One tablet once daily with or without food

- FTC/TAF (Descovy)
  - One tablet once daily with food

- FTC/EVG/c/TAF (Genvoya)
  - One tablet once daily with or without food

- FTC/RPV/TAF (Odefsey)
  - One tablet once daily with food

- FTC/EVG/c/TDF (Stribild)
  - One tablet once daily with food

- FTC/DRV/c/TAF (Symtuza)
  - One tablet once daily with food

- FTC/TDF (Truvada)<sup>d</sup>
  - One tablet once daily without regard to food

**Pregnancy**

**PKs in Pregnancy**
- PKs of FTC are not significantly altered in pregnancy.

**Dosing in Pregnancy**
- No change in dose indicated.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name (Abbreviation)</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Dosing Recommendations(^a)</th>
<th>Use in Pregnancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., TDF, TAF, EFV, RPV, DRV, EVG, BIC, COBI).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 11).

\(^b\) Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

- **High:** >0.6
- **Moderate:** 0.3–0.6
- **Low:** <0.3

\(^d\) Generic product is available.

**Key:**
- BIC = bictegravir
- COBI = cobicistat
- DRV/c = darunavir/cobicistat
- EFV = efavirenz
- EVG/c = elvitegravir/cobicistat
- FDC = fixed-dose combination
- FTC = emtricitabine
- HBV = hepatitis B virus
- PK = pharmacokinetic
- RPV = rilpivirine
- TAF = tenofovir alafenamide
- TDF = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate
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Lamivudine (Epivir, 3TC)

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

Lamivudine (3TC) was found to have weak mutagenic activity in one in vitro assay, but no evidence of in vivo genotoxicity was found in rats at 35 to 45 times the exposure observed in humans who received the standard dose. Long-term animal studies have shown no evidence of carcinogenicity at exposures that were 10 times (in mice) and 58 times (in rats) the exposure seen in humans who received the standard dose.1

Reproduction/Fertility

In rats that received 3TC in doses up to 4,000 mg/kg per day, which produced plasma levels 47 to 70 times those seen in humans who received the standard dose, no evidence was found of impaired fertility and no effects on the offspring’s survival, growth, or development up to the time of weaning.1

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

No evidence exists of 3TC-induced teratogenicity in rats and rabbits at plasma concentrations of 3TC that are 35 times those seen in human plasma. Early embryo lethality was seen in rabbits at exposures that were similar to human therapeutic exposure, but no early embryo lethality was seen in rats with 3TC exposures that were 35 times the exposure observed in humans who received the standard dose.1

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

In studies of pregnant rats, 3TC was transferred to the fetus through the placenta.1

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

In an analysis of specimens obtained from 228 pregnant women in the antepartum (114), intrapartum (123) and postpartum (47) periods in which all participants received standard once-daily or twice-daily 3TC doses,2 women had a 22% higher apparent clearance rate during pregnancy than in the postpartum period, but the resulting lower 3TC exposure in pregnant women was not subtherapeutic and was relatively close to exposure reported previously for nonpregnant adults.2 Thus, no dose adjustment is necessary for 3TC during pregnancy.

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

3TC readily crosses the placenta in humans, achieving cord blood concentrations comparable to maternal plasma concentrations.3 In a study of 123 mother-infant pairs, the placental transfer, expressed as the fetal-to-maternal area under the curve (AUC) ratio, was 0.86. The 3TC amniotic fluid accumulation, expressed as the amniotic fluid-to-fetal AUC ratio, was 2.9.2 Urinary excretion of 3TC by the fetus can cause 3TC to accumulate in the amniotic fluid.4
3TC is excreted into human breast milk. In a study in Kenya of 67 nursing mothers who received a combination regimen of zidovudine, 3TC, and nevirapine, the median breast milk 3TC concentration was 1,214 ng/mL and the median ratio of 3TC concentration in breast milk to the concentration in plasma was 2.56. In infants who were exposed to 3TC only via breast milk, the median plasma 3TC concentration was 23 ng/mL (inhibitory concentration 50% [IC50] of 3TC against wild-type HIV = 0.6–21 ng/mL). In a separate study of breastfeeding women in Malawi who were receiving 3TC in combination with tenofovir disoproxil fumarate and efavirenz, concentrations of 3TC in breast milk were higher than those in maternal plasma at 1 month (3.29-fold higher) and 12 months (2.35-fold higher) after delivery. Infant plasma levels at ages 6 and 12 months, on the other hand, revealed median 3TC concentrations of only 2.5 ng/mL (with an interquartile range [IQR] of 2.5–7.6) and 0 ng/mL (with an IQR of 0–2.5), respectively. Lower 3TC exposure in these older infants is attributable to increased renal clearance with age.

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

Based on prospective reports to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry (APR), the FDA has concluded that no difference exists between the overall risk of birth defects for lamivudine compared with the background birth defect rate in the United States. In a large French cohort, 3TC exposure during the first trimester was associated with an increased risk of overall birth defects (adjusted odds ratio = 1.37; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.06–1.73), but not of a defect in any specific organ system or of a specific birth defect. However, the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry has monitored sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to 3TC to detect at least a 1.5-fold increase in the risk of overall birth defects and at least a two-fold increase in the risk of cardiovascular and genitourinary defects (the more common classes of birth defects in the general population). No such increase in the risk of birth defects has been observed with 3TC. Among the cases of first-trimester 3TC exposure that have been reported to the APR, the prevalence of birth defects was 3.1% (169 of 5,433 live births; 95% CI, 2.7% to 3.6%) compared with a 2.7% total prevalence in the U.S. population, based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance.

An analysis of Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry data demonstrated a lower risk of spontaneous abortions, induced abortions, and preterm births with use of lamivudine-containing regimens than with use of antiretroviral regimens that do not include lamivudine.

Other Safety Information

In a large U.S. cohort study of infants without HIV born to women with HIV, 3TC exposure during pregnancy was not associated with increased risk of adverse infant outcomes in any of the growth, hearing, language, neurology, neurodevelopment, metabolic, hematologic/clinical chemistry, and blood lactate domains assessed.
Excerpt from Table 11

Note: When using fixed-dose combination (FDC) tablets, refer to other sections in Appendix B and Table 11 in the Perinatal Guidelines for information about the dosing and safety of individual drug components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name (Abbreviation)</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Dosing Recommendations&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Use in Pregnancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Lamivudine (3TC)            | 3TC (Epivir)<sup>d</sup> Tablets  
• 150 mg  
• 300 mg  
Oral Solution  
• 10 mg/mL | Standard Adult Doses  
3TC (Epivir)  
• 3TC 150 mg twice daily or 300 mg once daily, without regard to food  
3TC/TDF (Cimduo)  
• One tablet once daily without regard to food  
3TC/ZDV (Combivir)  
• One tablet twice daily without regard to food  
3TC/DOR/TDF (Delstrigo)  
• One tablet once daily without regard to food  
3TC/DTG (Dovato)  
• One tablet once daily without regard to food  
3TC/ABC (Epzicom)  
• One tablet once daily without regard to food  
3TC/EFV/TDF (Symfi or Symfi Lo)  
• One tablet once daily on an empty stomach and preferably at bedtime  
3TC/TDF (Temixys)  
• One tablet once daily without regard to food  
3TC/ABC/DTG (Triumeq)  
• One tablet once daily without regard to food  
3TC/ABC/ZDV (Trizivir)  
• One tablet twice daily without regard to food  
**Pregnancy PKs in Pregnancy**  
High placental transfer to fetus.<sup>b</sup>  
No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects).  
If patient has HBV/HIV coinfection, it is possible that an HBV flare may occur if the drug is stopped; see Hepatitis B Virus/HIV Coinfection.  
3TC products that were developed specifically for treatment of HBV (e.g., Epivir-HBV) contain a lower dose of 3TC that is not appropriate for treatment of HIV. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Dosing Recommendations&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Use in Pregnancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• PKs not significantly altered in pregnancy.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Dosing in Pregnancy</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• No change in dose indicated.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., ABC, DOR, DTG, EFV, TDF, ZDV).</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 11).

<sup>b</sup> Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

**High:** >0.6

**Moderate:** 0.3-0.6

**Low:** <0.3

<sup>d</sup> Generic formulation available

**Key:** 3TC = lamivudine; ABC = abacavir; ARV = antiretroviral; DOR = doravirine; DTG = dolutegravir; EFV = efavirenz; FDC = fixed-dose combination; HBV = hepatitis B virus; PK = pharmacokinetic; TDF = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate; ZDV = zidovudine
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Tenofovir Alafenamide (Vemlidy, TAF)

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Tenofovir alafenamide (TAF) is an orally bioavailable form of tenofovir (TFV). TAF is available as a 25 mg single drug tablet for use in hepatitis B and at a dose of 25 mg in several fixed-dose combination tablets without cobicistat for use in HIV. FDC tablets containing 10 mg TAF boosted with cobicistat are also available, but these regimens are not recommended in pregnancy and are therefore not reviewed in this section. For information about tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF), see the TDF section.

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

TAF and TDF are both prodrugs of TFV. TAF is converted rapidly to TFV, and TFV exposure in rats and mice is lower after TAF administration than TDF administration. Carcinogenicity studies for TFV were performed with TDF, but given the lower TFV exposure with TAF, the associated carcinogenicity is assumed to be commensurate or lower. Long-term oral carcinogenicity studies of TFV in mice and rats were carried out at TFV exposures that were 167 times (in mice) and 55 times (in rats) the TFV exposures observed in humans who received the recommended doses of TAF; in female mice, liver adenomas were increased.1,2

Reproduction/Fertility

Reproduction studies have been performed at TAF exposures that in rats were similar to and in rabbits were 53 times higher than the exposure seen in humans who received the recommended dose. These studies revealed no evidence of impaired fertility or mating performance associated with TAF administration.1,2

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

No effects on early embryonic development were seen when TAF was administered to male or female rats at doses that produced exposures that were 62 times the exposure seen in humans who received the therapeutic dose.1,2

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Rat studies demonstrated secretion of TFV in breast milk after administration of TDF, but whether TAF is present in animal milk is not known.1

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

TAF pharmacokinetics (PK) do not appear to be significantly affected by pregnancy, and TAF exposures appear adequate in the second and third trimesters. TAF PK were evaluated as part of International Maternal Pediatric Adolescent AIDS Clinical Trials Network (IMPAACT) P1026s in 27 women who were taking TAF 25 mg.3 Antepartum TAF exposures were 33% to 43% lower compared to postpartum, but comparable with exposures in nonpregnant adults. A nother report
described TAF PK in 17 women who were taking TAF 25 mg boosted with either cobicistat or ritonavir; plasma exposures for TAF during pregnancy were similar to those seen postpartum.\textsuperscript{4}

*Placental and Breast Milk Passage*

Very limited data exist on the TAF levels in placental and breast milk. One study found that TAF was below the assay limit of quantification (<3.9 ng/mL) in 43 of 44 cord blood samples tested and all infant washout samples; maternal plasma TAF concentrations at delivery were measurable in only 4 of the 45 paired samples.\textsuperscript{3} No data are available on the breast milk passage of TAF in humans.

*Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes*

The data from the IMPAACT 2010, a randomized trial of dolutegravir (DTG) containing ART regimens in pregnancy, found lower composite adverse outcomes in the group receiving DTG+FTC/TAF (TAF with emtricitabine [FTC] and DTG) than in the group receiving DTG+FTC/TDF (TDF with FTC and DTG) or efavirenz [EFV]+FTC/TDF (TDF with EFV and FTC), although it is noteworthy that the DTG+FTC/TAF arm of the trial had higher maternal weight gain than the other two arms and a lower neonatal mortality compared with the arm receiving EFV+FTC/TDF (3.7% vs. 1.9%).\textsuperscript{5}

The Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry has monitored sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to TAF to detect at least a two-fold increase in the risk of overall birth defects. However, no such increase in the risk of birth defects has been observed with TAF. Among the cases of first-trimester TAF exposure that have been reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, the prevalence of birth defects was 4.2% (22 of 526 live births; 95% confidence interval, 2.64% to 6.27%), not statistically significantly higher than the total prevalence in the U.S. population, according to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention birth defects surveillance system Metropolitan Atlanta Congenital Defects Program (2.7%; 95% CI: 2.7-2.8) or the Texas Birth Defects Registry (4.2%; 95% CI: 4.15-4.19).\textsuperscript{6}
### Excerpt from Table 11

**Note:** When using fixed-dose combination (FDC) tablets, refer to other sections in Appendix B and Table 11 in the Perinatal Guidelines for information about the dosing and safety of individual drug components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name (Abbreviation)</th>
<th>Trade Name</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Dosing Recommendationsa</th>
<th>Use in Pregnancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tenofovir Alafenamide (TAF)</td>
<td>Vemlidy</td>
<td>TAF (Vemlidy) Tablet • 25 mg</td>
<td>Standard Adult Doses TAF (Vemlidy) • One tablet once daily with food</td>
<td>Low placental transfer to fetus. Low placental transfer to fetus.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(TAF/BIC/FTC) Biktarvy</td>
<td></td>
<td>TAF/BIC/FTC (Biktarvy) • TAF 25 mg/BIC 50 mg/FTC 200-mg tablet</td>
<td>TAF/BIC/FTC (Biktarvy) • One tablet once daily with or without food</td>
<td>Insufficient data to assess for teratogenicity in humans. No evidence of teratogenicity in rats.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(TAF/FTC) Descovy</td>
<td></td>
<td>TAF/FTC (Descovy) • TAF 25 mg/FTC 200-mg tablet</td>
<td>TAF/FTC Descovy) • One tablet once daily with or without food</td>
<td>Renal function should be monitored because of the potential for renal toxicity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(TAF/EVG/c/FTC) Genvoya</td>
<td></td>
<td>TAF/EVG/c/FTC (Genvoya) • TAF 10 mg/EVG 150 mg/COBI 150 mg/FTC 200-mg tablet</td>
<td>Same dose (TAF 25 mg) can be used with or without PK enhancers.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(TAF/FTC/RPV) Odefsey</td>
<td></td>
<td>TAF/FTC/RPV (Odefsey) • TAF 25 mg/FTC 200 mg/RPV 25-mg tablet</td>
<td>TAF/EVG/c/FTC (Genvoya) • One tablet once daily with food</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(TAF/DRV/c/FTC) Symtuza</td>
<td></td>
<td>TAF/DRV/c/FTC (Symtuza) • TAF 10 mg/DRV 800 mg/COBI 150 mg/FTC 200-mg tablet</td>
<td>TAF/FTC/RPV (Odefsey) • One tablet once daily with food</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Pregnancy**

- **PKs in Pregnancy**
  - Plasma PKs not significantly altered in pregnancy.
- **Dosing in Pregnancy**
  - No change in dose indicated.

For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., BIC, COBI, DRV, EVG, FTC, RPV).

---

a Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 11).

b Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

**High:** >0.6

**Recommendations for the Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy and Interventions to Reduce Perinatal HIV Transmission in the United States**

G-49
**Moderate:** 0.3–0.6

**Low:** <0.3

**Key:** ARV = antiretroviral; BIC = bictegravir; COBI = cobicistat; DRV/c = darunavir/cobicistat; EVG/c = elvitegravir/cobicistat; FDC = fixed-dose combination; FTC = emtricitabine; PK = pharmacokinetic; RPV = rilpivirine; TAF = tenofovir alafenamide
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**Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate (Viread, TDF)**

(last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) is an orally bioavailable form of tenofovir (TFV). For information about tenofovir alafenamide (TAF), see the TAF section.

**Animal Studies**

**Carcinogenicity**

TFV was mutagenic in one of two in vitro assays and has shown no evidence of clastogenic activity. Long-term oral carcinogenicity studies of TFV were carried out at 16 times (in mice) and 5 times (in rats) the exposure seen in humans who received the standard dose. In female mice, the incidence of liver adenomas was increased at exposures that were 16 times those observed in humans who received therapeutic doses. In rats, no evidence was observed of carcinogenicity at exposures up to five times those observed in humans who received the therapeutic dose.  

**Reproduction/Fertility**

Reproduction studies have been performed using doses of TFV up to 14 times (in rats) and 19 times (in rabbits) the human dose, based on body surface area comparisons. The use of TFV was not associated with impaired fertility or harm to the fetus in these studies. No effects were observed on fertility, mating performance, or early embryonic development when TFV was administered (at a dose of 600 mg/kg per day, equivalent to 10 times the human dose based on body surface area) to male rats for 28 days before mating, and to female rats from 15 days before mating through Day 7 of gestation. However, an alteration of the estrous cycle in female rats was observed.  

**Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes**

Fetal monkeys with chronic, high-level exposure to TFV that was equivalent to 25 times the area under the concentration-time curve (AUC) achieved with therapeutic dosing in humans had lower fetal circulating insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-1, higher IGF binding protein-3 levels, and lower body weights than TFV-unexposed fetal monkeys. A slight reduction in fetal bone porosity also was observed in TFV-exposed fetal monkeys. These effects were observed within 2 months of maternal treatment.  

**Placental and Breast Milk Passage**

Intravenous administration of TFV to pregnant cynomolgus monkeys resulted in a cord blood-to-maternal plasma ratio of 0.17, demonstrating that TFV crosses the placenta.  

**Human Studies in Pregnancy**

**Pharmacokinetics**

In a retrospective population pharmacokinetic (PK) study of 46 pregnant women and 156 nonpregnant women who were receiving combination regimens that included TDF, pregnant women had a 39% higher apparent clearance of TFV than nonpregnant women. Apparent clearance decreased slightly but significantly with increasing age. In a prospective PK study of
37 women who received TDF-based combination therapy during pregnancy and postpartum, the percentage of women with TFV AUC that exceeded the target of 1.99 μg•hour/mL (the 10th percentile in nonpregnant adults) was lower at 30 to 36 weeks gestation (27 of 37 women [73%]) than at 6 to 12 weeks postpartum (27 of 32 women [84%]). TFV trough levels and AUC were 17% lower during the third trimester compared to postpartum. The median weight of the women below the target exposure (97.9 kg) was significantly higher than the median weight of the women who met the target exposure (74.2 kg).\(^4\) In a population PK study of 46 women who underwent intensive PK evaluations during the second and third trimesters of pregnancy, higher weight and lower serum creatinine were independently associated with higher apparent oral clearance.\(^5\)

In another study of 34 women who received TDF plus emtricitabine (FTC) in the third trimester and postpartum, TFV AUC, peak concentration, and trough concentration were all about 25% lower in pregnant women than in postpartum women, but these decreased exposures were not associated with virologic failure.\(^6\) In a study that compared TFV PKs in pregnant women receiving antiretroviral therapy (ART) containing TDF and a ritonavir-boosted protease inhibitor (PI/r) with women receiving ART with TDF but without PI/r, pregnant women in the PI/r group had higher TFV exposures (TFV AUC\(_{0-24h}\) 2.41 vs. 1.84 μg • h/mL; \(P < 0.01\)).\(^7\)

In a study of women who did not have HIV and who were using TDF as part of pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP), intracellular concentrations of tenofovir diphosphate (TFV-DP) in pregnant women were about 70% of those in nonpregnant women, even after adjusting for adherence.\(^8\)

In pregnant women who had hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection but did not have HIV infection, the estimated geometric mean TFV AUC\(_{0-24h}\) was 20% lower during pregnancy (95% confidence interval [CI], 19% to 21%) than during the postpartum period. No cases of perinatal HBV transmission were observed in this study.\(^9\)

Thus, in light of only modestly lower TFV exposure during pregnancy without evidence of adverse impact on virologic efficacy, standard dosing of TDF during pregnancy continues to be recommended.

**Placental and Breast Milk Passage**

In studies of pregnant women who were receiving chronic TDF, the cord blood-to-maternal-plasma ratio of TFV ranged from 0.60 to 1.03, indicating high placental transfer.\(^4\,^6\,^10\) Intracellular TFV concentrations were detected in the peripheral blood mononuclear cells from cord blood in all infants after a single maternal dose of TDF 600 mg with FTC 400 mg, but intracellular TFV-DP was detectable in only 2 of 36 infants (5.5%).\(^11\)

In a study of 50 breastfeeding women without HIV who received TDF/FTC (under directly observed therapy for 10 days) as PrEP, median peak and trough time-averaged TFV breast milk concentrations were similar at 3.2 ng/mL (interquartile range [IQR] 2.3–4.7) and 3.3 ng/mL (IQR 2.3–4.4), respectively. The infant plasma TFV concentration was unquantifiable (<0.31 ng/mL) in 46 of 49 infants (94%); in the three infants with detectable TFV concentrations, the level was 0.9 ng/mL in two and 17.4 ng/mL in one. Based on this study’s results, the median TFV dose ingested through breast milk was estimated to be 0.47 mcg/kg, or <0.01% of the proposed daily pediatric dose of TDF 6 mg/kg.\(^12\) In a study of 59 breastfeeding women with HIV who received TDF/lamivudine (3TC)/efavirenz (EFV) in Uganda and Nigeria, no infant had detectable TFV in plasma after observed dosing.\(^13\)
Reproduction/Fertility

In a retrospective analysis of 7,275 women who were receiving ART (1,199 of whom were receiving regimens that contained TDF), women who used TDF had a slightly lower pregnancy rate than women who did not use TDF. In contrast, in a trial in Kenya and Uganda in which participants who did not have HIV but whose sexual partners had HIV (serodiscordant heterosexual couples) were randomized to receive daily TDF, TDF/FTC, or placebo for PrEP, pregnancy incidence was not significantly different among the arms: Pregnancy incidence per 100 patient-years was 10.0 among women assigned to receive TDF (P = 0.22 vs. placebo), and 8.8 among those assigned to receive TDF/FTC (P = 0.39 vs. placebo).

Teratogenicity

In a study of 431 pregnancies that occurred during an HIV PrEP trial in which women who did not have HIV were randomized to receive placebo, TDF, or TDF plus FTC, no difference was observed in risk of congenital anomalies between the TDF-containing arms and placebo arms. No association was seen between maternal TDF use and the occurrence of birth defects among offspring in three large U.S. cohorts of children born to women with HIV: Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group (PACTG) 219/219C (n = 2,202, with 214 first-trimester TDF exposures), the P1025 protocol (n = 1,112, with 138 first-trimester TDF exposures), and Pediatric HIV/AIDS Cohort Study (PHACS) (n = 2,580, with 431 first-trimester TDF exposures). In the French Perinatal Cohort, no association was found between birth defects and the use of TDF, with a power of 70% for an odds ratio of 1.5 (n = 13,124, with 823 first-trimester TDF exposures).

Finally, the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry has monitored sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to TDF to detect at least a 1.5-fold increased risk of overall birth defects and to detect at least a twofold increase in the risk of birth defects in the cardiovascular and genitourinary systems (the more common classes of birth defects in the general population). No such increase in the risk of birth defects has been observed with TDF. Among the cases of first-trimester TDF exposure that have been reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, the prevalence of birth defects was 2.4% (108 of 4,483 live births; 95% CI, 2.0% to 2.9%), compared with a total prevalence of 2.7% in the U.S. population, based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance.

In summary, no evidence exists that the use of TDF increases the risk of birth defects.

Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

Overall Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

In an observational study in Botswana of >11,000 births among women with HIV who received ART during pregnancy and gave birth between August 2014 and August 2016, the risk of any adverse birth outcome (i.e., stillbirth, neonatal death, preterm delivery or very preterm delivery, small for gestational age [SGA] or very small for gestational age) was lower in women who received TDF/FTC/EFV than in women who received any other regimen (TDF/FTC plus nevirapine [NVP], adjusted relative risk [ARR] 1.15; TDF/FTC plus lopinavir/ritonavir [LPV/r], ARR 1.31; zidovudine [ZDV]/3TC plus NVP, ARR 1.30; ZDV/3TC plus LPV/r, ARR 1.21). Furthermore, among infants who were exposed to ART from conception, TDF/FTC/EFV was associated with lower risk for adverse birth outcomes than other ART regimens.
See the TAF section for discussion of Virologic Efficacy and Safety of ART Combinations with TAF/TDF, EFV, and DTG (VESTED) trial findings suggesting that TDF, compared to TAF, may be associated with a higher rate of adverse pregnancy outcomes when DTG regimens are started in pregnancy.22

Fetal Growth Effects

In the PHACS study from the United States, 449 of the 2,029 infants (21%) who were exposed to HIV but who were uninfected had in utero exposure to TDF. TDF-exposed infants and infants without exposure to TDF had similar rates of low birth weight (LBW) and SGA and similar newborn length-for-age and head circumference-for-age z-scores (LAZ and HCAZ, respectively).23 In the P1025 study (a different U.S. cohort study), maternal TDF use similarly was not associated with differences in body size parameters at birth.24 In a combined analysis of data from 4,646 births that occurred during the PHACS and P1025 studies, no differences were observed in the risks of LBW infants (<2,500 g) and very LBW infants (<1,500 g) for women who received TDF/3TC plus LPV/r and those who received ZDV/3TC plus LPV/r during pregnancy.25 In the European Pregnancy and Paediatric HIV Cohort Collaboration (EPPICC) cohort study, the use of TDF similarly was not associated with SGA infants.26 However, in a Dutch study of 74 HIV-exposed infants (including 9 with in utero TDF exposure), maternal TDF use was linked to an increased risk of LBW (<2,500 g).27

In the largely Africa-based Promoting Maternal and Infant Survival Everywhere (PROMISE) trial, pregnant women with HIV but without advanced disease or immunosuppression (defined as CD4 T lymphocyte counts ≥350 cells/mm³) were randomized at ≥14 weeks gestation (with a median of 26 weeks gestation) to receive ZDV alone, ZDV/3TC plus LPV/r (ZDV-based ART), or TDF/FTC plus LPV/r (TDF-based ART). The TDF-based ART arm and ZDV-based ART arms showed no significant differences in the incidence of LBW infants (<2,500 g; 16.9% vs. 20.4%, P = 0.3).28 In the large observational study in Botswana, the use of TDF/FTC/EFV was associated with a lower risk of SGA infants than all other regimens.21 A fetal ultrasound study in South Africa demonstrated no association between duration of maternal TDF use and long-bone (femur and humerus) growth in the infant.29 This same research group also demonstrated that the duration of in utero TFV exposure was not related to infant length at birth.30

Additionally, a placebo-controlled trial of TDF 300 mg that was initiated at 28 weeks gestation in Thai women with HBV (but not HIV) permits an assessment of the potential impact of TDF on birth outcomes when TDF is used in pregnancy without other antiviral drugs and outside the context of maternal HIV infection. In this study, 322 deliveries resulted in 323 live births (including 2 twin pairs and 1 stillbirth in the TDF arm). No difference was observed in birth weights between infants born to women who received TDF and those who received placebo: median birth weight was 3,028 g in the TDF arm and 3,061 g in the placebo arm.31

Finally, in the VESTED trial, SGA at birth was more common in the DTG plus TDF/FTC arm (45 of 200 infants [23%]) than in the DTG plus TAF/FTC arm (33 of 202 infants [16%]), but this difference was not statistically significant (P > 0.05).22

In summary, the available evidence does not indicate a link between maternal TDF use and LBW or SGA.

Preterm Delivery

Recommendations for the Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy and Interventions to Reduce Perinatal HIV Transmission in the United States
In the PROMISE trial, no significant differences were observed between the TDF-based ART arm and the ZDV-based ART arms in the incidence of preterm delivery (delivery at <37 weeks; 18.5% vs. 19.7%, \( P = 0.77 \)). However, TDF-based ART was associated with higher rates of very preterm delivery (delivery before 34 weeks; 6.0% vs. 2.6%, \( P = 0.04 \)) and early infant death (4.4% vs. 0.6%, \( P = 0.001 \)) than ZDV-based ART.\(^{28}\) The greater number of early infant deaths was likely attributable to poor outcomes for very preterm infants in the settings where the trial took place, but the higher rate of very preterm delivery in the TFV-based ART arm remains unexplained. Subsequent analyses demonstrated persistence of this association even after adjustment for multiple well-established clinical, demographic, and obstetrical risk factors.\(^{32}\) Potential explanations include a lower than expected very preterm delivery rate in the ZDV-based ART arm or increased TFV exposure due to coadministration with LPV/r (LPV/r doses were increased in late pregnancy). However, investigators were unable to demonstrate a relationship between maternal TFV-DP levels and very preterm delivery/early neonatal death.\(^{33}\)

In contrast to the PROMISE trial results, the use of ZDV/3TC plus LPV/r was associated with a higher risk of preterm birth, very preterm birth, and neonatal death than TDF/FTC/EFV in the large observational study in Botswana.\(^{34}\) A higher risk of preterm delivery was observed, however, among women who started treatment with TDF/FTC/EFV in the year prior to conception than among women who started the same regimen late in the second trimester (adjusted risk ratio 1.33; 95% CI, 1.04–1.7).\(^{21}\)

In a combined analysis of data from 4,646 births that occurred during the PHACS and P1025 studies, women who received TDF/3TC plus LPV/r and those who received ZDV/3TC plus LPV/r during pregnancy had no significant differences in the risks of preterm delivery overall (defined as a gestational age of <37 weeks) or very preterm delivery (<34 weeks).\(^{25}\) Among women with HIV who became pregnant and started ART while enrolled in serodiscordant couple PrEP studies, preterm birth (defined as live birth at <37 weeks gestation) occurred less frequently among women who received TDF (adjusted prevalence rate ratio [aP RR] 0.34; \( P = 0.02 \)), and no difference was observed in the rates of neonatal death (aP RR 0.68; \( P = 0.6 \)).\(^{35}\)

Additionally, in the trial of TDF 300 mg in Thai women with HBV (but not HIV), no difference was observed in the frequency of preterm delivery between the TDF and placebo arms. Preterm delivery occurred for 8 of 162 infants (5%) in the TDF arm (with none at <35 weeks), and 13 of 160 infants (8%) experienced preterm delivery in the placebo arm, including 3 infants (2%) who were delivered between 32 and 34 weeks gestation.\(^{31}\)

However, in an observational, multicenter Canadian study of 2,787 mother-infant pairs in which the mothers received ART during pregnancy, the rate of preterm delivery (defined as delivery at <37 weeks) was significantly higher in mothers who received TDF-containing ART than in mothers who received ART that did not contain TDF (19.4% vs. 15.2%, \( P = 0.024 \)). This higher rate of preterm delivery was not associated with whether the regimen also included a protease inhibitor, non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor, or integrase strand transfer inhibitor.\(^{36}\)

Finally, in the VESTED trial, a higher number of preterm births was observed in the DTG plus TDF/FTC arm (19 of 202 participants [9%]) than in the DTG plus TAF/FTC arm (12 of 208 [6%]), but this difference did not reach statistical significance (\( P = 0.16 \)).\(^{22}\)
In all, some concern remains regarding a link between maternal TDF use and preterm birth, but the evidence is mixed; the role of concomitant medications and other cofactors and/or confounders requires further investigation.

Other Safety Data

Maternal Safety Outcomes

In a United Kingdom cohort of 71 pregnant women who were receiving TDF, retrospective analysis of serum creatinine and estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) measured throughout pregnancy and 6 weeks after delivery revealed no decline in renal function during pregnancy and normal renal function (>90 mL/min) at 6 weeks postpartum (one woman’s postpartum eGFR was 60 mL/min).37

In the Thai trial in which pregnant women received TDF or placebo from a gestational age of 28 weeks to 2 months postpartum to prevent HBV transmission, no significant effect of maternal TDF use on maternal bone mineral density (BMD) was observed 1 year after delivery.38

In a substudy of the PROMISE trial, however, BMD declined significantly more in the women assigned to TDF-containing ART than in women assigned to no ART (infant NVP arm) at the lumbar spine (mean difference −2.85%; 95% CI, −4.03 to −1.70; P < 0.001) and in the total hip (mean difference −2.29%; 95% CI, −3.20 to −1.39; P < 0.001).39

In a Ugandan study that compared serial BMD measures during and after lactation in women with HIV receiving TDF-based ART and in a reference group of women without HIV, decreases in total hip areal BMD were significantly greater in the HIV group than in the reference group (−5.9% vs. −4.3%, group×time point interaction, P = 0.008). In addition, areal BMD did not return to baseline values in the women with HIV but did in the reference group for total hip (−3.1% vs. +0.1%, P = 0.0008) and for whole body (less head) (−2.4% vs. −0.1%, P = 0.002).40

Infant Safety Outcomes

In the U.S. PHACS/Surveillance Monitoring for ART Toxicities (SMARTT) cohort study, after adjusting for birth cohort and other factors, maternal use of TDF led to no increase in the likelihood of adverse infant metabolic, growth and developmental, cardiac, neurological, or neurodevelopmental outcomes.41

In the Development of Antiretroviral Therapy in Africa (DART) trial in Uganda and Zimbabwe, no differences were observed in infant mortality between infants born to mothers who received TDF during pregnancy and those born to mothers who received other ARV drugs.42

Infant Growth Effects

In the U.S. PHACS study, no differences were observed at birth in rates of LBW, SGA, or newborn LAZ and HCAZ between infants who were exposed to combination drug regimens that contained TDF versus regimens that did not contain TDF; however, at age 1 year, infants exposed to combination regimens with TDF had a slightly but significantly lower adjusted mean LAZ and HCAZ than those without TDF exposure (LAZ: −0.17 vs. −0.03, P = 0.04; HCAZ: 0.17 vs. 0.42, P = 0.02). No difference was observed in weight-for-age z-score (WAZ). No significant differences were observed between infants with and without TDF exposure at age 1 year when defining low LAZ or HCAZ as ≤1.5 z-score. Thus, these slightly lower mean LAZ and HCAZ scores at age 1 year are
of uncertain significance. In the U.S. P1025 study, maternal TDF use similarly was not associated with differences in body size parameters at birth; however, among the 1,496 infants who were followed for 6 months, TDF exposure after the first trimester was associated with being underweight (WAZ <5%) at age 6 months (odds ratio [OR] 2.06; 95% CI, 1.01–3.95; \( P = 0.04 \)) when compared to no exposure.

A Kenyan cohort study also found an association between maternal TDF use (compared to ART without TDF) and lower infant 6-week WAZ despite no difference in infant weight at birth; however, TDF exposure was not associated with infant WAZ differences at age 9 months, and no associations were found with any other infant anthropometric measures at the 6-week or 9-month time points. In the Dutch study of 74 HIV-exposed infants, maternal TDF use was linked to lower 6-month HAZ and WAZ after adjusting for differences in birth weight and prematurity.

On the other hand, results from a South African study demonstrated that the duration of \textit{in utero} TFV exposure was not related to infant length at birth or to linear growth through the first 48 weeks of life. In the DART trial, no differences were observed in infant growth rates between infants born to mothers who received TDF during pregnancy and those born to mothers who received other ARV drugs.

Finally, in the placebo-controlled trial that involved Thai women with HBV (but not HIV) who initiated TDF at 28 weeks gestation, no difference was observed in growth outcomes at age 6 months between infants in the maternal TDF arm and infants in the placebo arm.

The evidence is inconsistent regarding the association between maternal TDF use during pregnancy and transient, small growth delays during the first year of life. These delays are of uncertain clinical significance.

\textbf{Infant Bone Effects}

In a cross-sectional study of 68 children aged 1 to 6 years who were exposed to HIV (but who were not infected) and who had \textit{in utero} exposure to combination regimens that contained TDF \((n = 3)\) or that did not contain TDF \((n = 35)\), quantitative bone ultrasound measures and bone metabolism marker levels were similar for both groups. Another study evaluated whole-body dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry scans performed within 4 weeks of birth among 74 infants who were exposed to \(>8\) weeks of TDF \textit{in utero} and 69 infants with no TDF exposure. The adjusted mean whole-body bone mineral content (BMC) was significantly lower in the TDF group \((-6.5 \text{ g}; P = 0.004,\) as was the whole-body-less-head BMC \((-2.6 \text{ g}; P = 0.056)). In a small, randomized trial that enrolled pregnant women in China with HBV/HIV coinfection, BMD and BMC at age 6 months were not significantly lower in 14 TDF-exposed infants than in 13 infants who were not exposed to TDF.

On the other hand, in the randomized PROMISE trial, no difference was observed in BMC between infants whose mothers received LPV/r-based ART with TDF and those whose mothers received LPV/r-based ART with ZDV. In addition, in the Thai trial in which women with HBV (but not HIV) received TDF or placebo from a gestational age of 28 weeks to 2 months postpartum to prevent HBV transmission, no significant effect of maternal TDF use was observed on infant BMD at age 1 year.

A study of 136 infants in Malawi whose mothers received TDF/FTC/EFV during pregnancy (with no control group for comparison) documented low-grade, transient abnormalities of serum phosphate and serum creatinine at ages 6 and 12 months.
The impact of maternal TDF use on infant bone mineral status remains uncertain and requires further longitudinal evaluation.
Excerpt from Table 11

Note: When using fixed-dose combination (FDC) tablets, refer to other sections in Appendix B and Table 11 in the Perinatal Guidelines for information about the dosing and safety of individual drug components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Dosing Recommendations</th>
<th>Use in Pregnancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate (TDF) Viread</td>
<td>TDF (Viread) • Tablet: 300 mg • Powder: 40 mg/1 g oral powder</td>
<td>Standard Adult Doses TDF (Viread) • Tablet: TDF 300 mg once daily without regard to food • Powder: TDF 8 mg/kg daily (up to a maximum of TDF 300 mg). Take with food.</td>
<td>High placental transfer to fetus.¹ No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects). Studies in monkeys (at doses approximately twofold higher than those for human therapeutic use) show decreased fetal growth and reduction in fetal bone porosity within 2 months of starting maternal therapy. Human studies demonstrate no consistent link to low birth weight, but data are conflicting about potential effects on growth outcomes later in infancy. If patient has HBV/HIV coinfection, an HBV flare may occur if TDF is stopped; see Hepatitis B Virus/HIV Coinfection. Renal function should be monitored because of potential for renal toxicity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(TDF/EFV/FTC) Atripla</td>
<td>TDF/EFV/FTC (Atripla) • TDF 300 mg/EFV 600 mg/FTC 200 mg tablet</td>
<td>TDF/EFV/FTC (Atripla) • One tablet once daily at or before bedtime. Take on an empty stomach to reduce side effects.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(TDF/3TC) Cimduo</td>
<td>TDF/3TC (Cimduo) • TDF 300 mg/3TC 300 mg tablet</td>
<td>TDF/3TC (Cimduo) • One tablet once daily without regard to food</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(TDF/FTC/RPV) Complera</td>
<td>TDF/FTC/RPV (Complera) • TDF 300 mg/FTC 200 mg/RPV 25 mg tablet</td>
<td>TDF/FTC/RPV (Complera) • One tablet once daily with food</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(TDF/DOR/3TC) Delstrigo</td>
<td>TDF/DOR/3TC (Delstrigo) • TDF 300 mg/DOR 100 mg/3TC 300 mg tablet</td>
<td>TDF/DOR/3TC (Delstrigo) • One tablet once daily without regard to food</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(TDF/EVG/c/FTC) Stribild</td>
<td>TDF/EVG/c/FTC (Stribild) • TDF 300 mg/EVG 150 mg/Cobi 150 mg/FTC 200 mg tablet</td>
<td>TDF/EVG/c/FTC (Stribild) • One tablet once daily with food</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(TDF/EFV/3TC) Symfi</td>
<td>TDF/EFV/3TC (Symfi) • TDF 300 mg/EFV 600 mg/3TC 300 mg tablet</td>
<td>TDF/EFV/3TC (Symfi or Symfi Lo) • One tablet once daily with food</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(TDF/EFV/3TC) Symfi Lo</td>
<td>TDF/EFV/3TC (Symfi Lo) • TDF 300 mg/EFV 400 mg/3TC 300 mg tablet</td>
<td>TDF/EFV/3TC (Symfi or Symfi Lo) • One tablet once daily on an empty stomach and preferably at bedtime</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(TDF/3TC) Temixys</td>
<td>TDF/3TC (Temixys) • TDF 300 mg/3TC 300 mg tablet</td>
<td>TDF/3TC (Temixys) • One tablet once daily without regard to food</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(TDF/FTC) Truvada</td>
<td>TDF/FTC (Truvada) • TDF 300 mg/FTC 200 mg tablet</td>
<td>TDF/FTC (Truvada) • TDF 300 mg once daily without regard to food</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Generic products are available for some formulations.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name (Abbreviation)</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Dosing Recommendations(^a)</th>
<th>Use in Pregnancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TDF/FTC (Truvada)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Pregnancy</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>PKs in Pregnancy</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• AUC is lower in third</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>trimester than postpartum,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>but trough levels are</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>adequate.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Dosing in Pregnancy</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• No change in dose is</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>indicated.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>For guidance about the use of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>combination products in</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>pregnancy, please see the</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>specific sections on other</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>components (i.e., 3TC, COBI,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DOR, EFV, EVG, FTC, RPV).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 11).

\(^b\) Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

**High:** >0.6

**Moderate:** 0.3–0.6

**Low:** <0.3

\(^d\) Generic product is available.

**Key:** 3TC = lamivudine; ARV = antiretroviral; AUC = area under the curve; COBI = cobicistat; DOR = doravirine; EFV = efavirenz; EVG/c = elvitegravir/cobicistat; FTC = emtricitabine; HBV = hepatitis B virus; PK = pharmacokinetic; RPV = rilpivirine; TDF = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate
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**Zidovudine (Retrovir, ZDV)**

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

**Animal Studies**

**Carcinogenicity**

Zidovudine (ZDV) was shown to be mutagenic in two *in vitro* assays and clastogenic in one *in vitro* assay and two *in vivo* assays, but not cytogenic in a single-dose *in vivo* rat study. Long-term carcinogenicity studies of ZDV have been performed in mice and rats.\(^1\) In mice, seven late-appearing (>19 months) vaginal neoplasms (five nonmetastasizing squamous cell carcinomas, one squamous cell papilloma, and one squamous polyp) occurred in animals given the highest dose. One late-appearing squamous cell papilloma occurred in the vagina of an animal given an intermediate dose. No vaginal tumors were found in animals given the lowest dose. In rats, two late-appearing (>20 months), nonmetastasizing vaginal squamous cell carcinomas occurred in animals given the highest dose. No vaginal tumors occurred at the low or middle dose in rats. No other drug-related tumors were observed in either sex in either species. At doses that produced tumors in mice and rats, the estimated drug exposure (as measured by area under the curve concentration [AUC]) was approximately three times (in mice) and 24 times (in rats) the estimated human exposure at the recommended therapeutic dose of ZDV 100 mg every 4 hours. The predictive value of rodent carcinogenicity studies for adverse effects in humans is unknown.\(^2\)

Two trans-placental carcinogenicity studies were conducted in mice.\(^3,4\) In one study, ZDV was administered at doses of 20 mg/kg per day or 40 mg/kg per day from gestational day 10 through parturition and lactation, with postnatal dosing continuing in offspring for 24 months.\(^4\) The drug doses administered in this study produced ZDV exposures approximately three times the estimated exposure for humans who receive the recommended dose. After 24 months, an increase in the incidence of vaginal tumors was noted, with no increase in the incidence of tumors in the liver, lung, or any other organ in either gender. These findings are consistent with results of the standard oral carcinogenicity study in mice, as described earlier. In a second study, ZDV was administered at the maximum tolerated doses of 12.5 mg per day or 25 mg per day (approximately 1,000 mg/kg of nonpregnant body weight or approximately 450 mg/kg of term body weight) to pregnant mice from days 12 to 18 of gestation.\(^3\) An increase in the number of tumors in the lung, liver, and female reproductive tracts was observed in the offspring of mice receiving the higher dose of ZDV.

**Reproduction/Fertility**

ZDV had no effect on fertility when it was administered to male and female rats at doses up to seven times the usual adult dose based on body surface area; in this instance, fertility was judged by rates of conception. ZDV has been shown to have no effect on reproduction or fertility in rodents. A dose-related cytotoxic effect on preimplantation mouse embryos can occur, with inhibition of blastocyst and post-blastocyst development observed at ZDV concentrations similar to levels achieved with human therapeutic doses.\(^5\)

**Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes**

In animal reproduction studies, administration of oral ZDV to female rats prior to mating and throughout gestation resulted in embryotoxicity at doses that produced systemic exposures
(expressed as AUC) approximately 33 times higher than the exposures observed in humans who received the recommended clinical dose. However, no embryotoxicity was observed in pregnant rats during organogenesis at exposures that were approximately 117 times higher than clinical exposures. Embryotoxicity occurred in pregnant rabbits that received oral ZDV during organogenesis at doses that produced exposures approximately 108 times higher than the exposure observed in humans who received the recommended dose. No embryotoxicity was observed at doses that produced exposures approximately 23 times higher than the exposures observed in humans who received the recommended dose of ZDV.

In an additional teratology study in rats, a dose of ZDV 3,000 mg/kg per day (which was very near the median lethal oral dose in rats of 3,683 mg/kg) caused marked maternal toxicity and an increase in the incidence of fetal malformations. This dose resulted in peak ZDV plasma concentrations that were 350 times peak human plasma concentrations (estimated AUC in rats at this dose level was 300 times the daily AUC in humans given 600 mg per day). No evidence of teratogenicity was seen in this experiment at doses of ZDV 600 mg/kg per day or less.

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

ZDV pharmacokinetics (PKs) are not significantly altered by pregnancy, and standard adult doses are recommended during pregnancy. A population PK analysis that evaluated oral and intravenous (IV) ZDV doses during pregnancy and labor found high fetal exposure to ZDV with current IV intrapartum dosing regimens. Simulations suggested that reduced intrapartum ZDV dosing regimens might provide lower, but still adequate, fetal ZDV exposures. However, standard dosing of IV ZDV during labor continues to be recommended for women with unknown or elevated viral loads. In pregnant women, as with nonpregnant adults, intracellular ZDV triphosphate concentrations do not vary with plasma concentrations, over a wide range of plasma ZDV concentrations.

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

ZDV rapidly crosses the human placenta, achieving cord blood-to-maternal-plasma ratios of about 0.80. The ratio of ZDV in amniotic fluid to ZDV in maternal plasma is 1.5. ZDV is excreted into human breast milk, with breast milk-to-maternal-plasma ZDV concentration ratios ranging from 0.44 to 1.35. No ZDV was detectable in the plasma of nursing infants who were exposed to ZDV only via breast milk.

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

In Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group 076 (PACTG 076), the incidence of minor and major congenital abnormalities was similar between groups that received either ZDV or placebo, and no specific patterns of defects were seen. Similarly, no increase in the incidence of birth defects was detected among infants enrolled in the large observational cohorts PACTG 219/219C and P1025. A previous report from the Women and Infants Transmission Study described a 10-fold increase in the risk of hypospadias among infants who were exposed to ZDV, but this finding was not confirmed in a more detailed analysis. In the Pediatric HIV/AIDS Cohort Study/Surveillance Monitoring for ART Toxicities Study (PHACS/SMARTT) cohort, no association was identified between first-trimester exposure to ZDV and congenital anomalies.
In the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to ZDV have been monitored to be able to detect at least a 1.5-fold increase in risk of overall birth defects and a twofold increase in risk of defects in the more common classes, including the cardiovascular and genitourinary systems. No such increase in the risk of birth defects has been observed in infants who were exposed to ZDV. With first-trimester ZDV exposure, the prevalence of birth defects was 3.2% (136 of 4,225 births; 95% confidence interval [CI], 2.7% to 3.8%), compared with a total prevalence in the U.S. population of 2.72%, based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance. Similarly, a series of 897 infants exposed to HIV born in Spain during 2000 through 2009 reported no increase in the incidence of birth defects among infants with first-trimester ZDV exposure (adjusted odds ratio [aOR] 1.21, 0.56–2.63). A Bayesian analysis that combined a meta-analysis with data from Medicaid Analytic eXtract found no association between ZDV exposure during the first trimester and most congenital malformations.

The French Perinatal Cohort reported that first-trimester ZDV exposure was associated with congenital heart defects (1.5% of 3,262 exposures vs. 0.7% of nonexposures; aOR 2.2, 95% CI, 1.5–3.2). However, an analysis of cardiac defects among all prenatal ZDV-exposed infants in the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry (n = 13,073) reported no difference in the prevalence of ventricular septal defect and congenital heart defects among infants exposed to ZDV-containing regimens (nine of 4,000 infants exposed during the first trimester, rate 0.23; 22 of 9,047 infants with later exposure, rate 0.24, P = 1.00) and regimens that did not contain ZDV (two of 1,839 infants exposed during the first trimester, rate 0.11; three of 538 infants with later exposure, rate 0.56, P = 0.08).

In the ANRS 135 PRIMEVA trial, mothers were randomized to receive antepartum treatment with ZDV plus lamivudine plus lopinavir/ritonavir (LPV/r) or LPV/r alone. Female infants of women in the first group had a higher left ventricular shortening fraction at 1 month and increased posterior wall thickness at 1 year, suggestive of myocardial remodeling, when compared to infants whose mothers received LPV/r alone. In a study that performed fetal echocardiography on 42 fetuses who had been exposed to HIV but who were not infected and 84 fetuses who had not been exposed to HIV, infants born to mothers who received ZDV were more likely to have thicker myocardial walls and smaller left ventricular caviities than other infants, regardless of HIV exposure. Maternal ZDV treatment was the only factor significantly associated with fetal cardiac changes. A study by the same authors reported the presence of hypertrophic myocardium and signs of increased mitochondrial content in the cord blood of infants who had been exposed to HIV. In this study, both conditions were associated with maternal use of ZDV during pregnancy. A small follow-up study by the same authors identified hypertension among infants with in utero exposure to ZDV.

Cancer has been observed no more frequently among ZDV-exposed infants than among other HIV-exposed or HIV-unexposed infants in a long-term follow-up study for the original PACTG 076 study, in prospective cohort studies, and in matches between HIV surveillance and cancer registries.

Other Safety Information

In the placebo-controlled perinatal trial PACTG 076, no difference in disease progression was seen between women who received ZDV and those who received a placebo during 4 years of follow-up postpartum.
No differences in immunologic, neurologic, or growth parameters were seen between PACTG 076 infants with in utero ZDV exposure and those who received a placebo during nearly 6 years of follow-up.\textsuperscript{14,28}

Mitochondrial dysfunction in mothers and infants who were exposed to nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs) during pregnancy has been described in some, but not all, case reports, case series, prospective cohorts, and surveillance systems. The result of the dysfunction, although fatal in a few cases, is more often asymptomatic and self-limited (e.g., leukopenia, anemia). At present, the risk of NRTI-associated mitochondrial dysfunction in these mother-infant pairs is a recognized possibility; however, this risk does not outweigh the clear benefit of these drugs in preventing perinatal HIV transmission.\textsuperscript{2}

The PHACS/SMARTT cohort used a “trigger-based design” in which several domains (e.g., metabolic) had predetermined “triggers.” Children meeting the definition of a trigger were further investigated to determine if they had met the definition of a “case” in that domain. The study found that after adjusting for birth cohort and other factors, ZDV use was associated with an increased risk of meeting the study’s definition of a metabolic case (adjusted relative risk 1.69; 95% CI, 1.08-2.64).\textsuperscript{33,34}
Excerpt from Table 11

**Note:** When using fixed-dose combination (FDC) tablets, refer to other sections in Appendix B and Table 11 in the Perinatal Guidelines for information about the dosing and safety of individual drug components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name (Abbreviation)</th>
<th>Trade Name</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Dosing Recommendations&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Use in Pregnancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zidovudine (ZDV)</td>
<td>Retrovir</td>
<td>ZDV (Retrovir)</td>
<td>Standard Adult Doses</td>
<td>High placental transfer to fetus.&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ZDV/3TC)</td>
<td>Combivir</td>
<td>Capsule 100 mg</td>
<td>ZDV (Retrovir)</td>
<td>No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ZDV/ABC/3TC)</td>
<td>Trizivir</td>
<td>Tablet 300 mg</td>
<td>ZDV 300 mg twice daily or ZDV 200 mg three times a day without regard to food</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Note: Generic products are available for all formulations.</td>
<td>Oral Solution 10 mg/mL</td>
<td>Patients in active labor should receive ZDV 2 mg/kg IV as a loading dose, followed by ZDV 1 mg/kg/hour continuous infusion from beginning of active labor until delivery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IV Solution 10 mg/mL</td>
<td>ZDV/3TC (Combivir)</td>
<td>One tablet twice daily without regard to food</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ZDV/3TC (Combivir)</td>
<td>ZDV 300 mg/3TC 150-mg tablet</td>
<td>ZDV/3TC (Combivir)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ZDV/ABC/3TC (Trizivir)</td>
<td>ZDV 300 mg/ABC 300 mg/3TC 150-mg tablet</td>
<td>ZDV/ABC/3TC (Trizivir)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 11).

<sup>b</sup> Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

- **High:** >0.6
- **Moderate:** 0.3–0.6
- **Low:** <0.3

**Key:** 3TC = lamivudine; ABC = abacavir; ARV = antiretroviral; FDC = fixed-dose combination; IV = intravenous; PK = pharmacokinetic; ZDV = zidovudine
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Doravirine (Pifeltro, DOR)

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

Doravirine (DOR) was not carcinogenic in long-term oral carcinogenicity studies in mice and rats at exposures up to six times and seven times, respectively, the exposure seen in humans who received the recommended dose. A statistically significant incidence of thyroid parafollicular cell adenoma and carcinoma was observed among female rats that received the high dose (which produced the sevenfold increase in exposure) of DOR; however, the incidence was similar to the incidence observed among historical controls that did not receive DOR. DOR was not genotoxic in a battery of \textit{in vitro} or \textit{in vivo} mutagenicity assays.\textsuperscript{1}

Reproduction/Fertility

In rats, DOR did not affect fertility, reproductive performance, or early embryonic development at exposures (based on area under the curve [AUC]) that were approximately seven times the exposure seen in humans who received the recommended dose.\textsuperscript{1}

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

No adverse embryo-fetal effects were observed in rats and rabbits at DOR exposures (based on AUC) that were approximately nine times (in rats) and eight times (in rabbits) the exposures seen in humans who received the recommended dose. Similarly, no adverse developmental findings were reported in a prenatal/postnatal study in rats at DOR exposures that were approximately nine times the exposure seen in humans who received the recommended dose.\textsuperscript{1}

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Embryo-fetal studies in rats and rabbits demonstrate placental passage of DOR. Fetal plasma concentrations observed on gestation Day 20 were up to 40% (in rabbits) and 52% (in rats) of maternal concentrations. DOR was excreted into the milk of lactating rats at concentrations that were approximately 1.5 times the maternal concentrations measured 2 hours postdose on lactation Day 14.\textsuperscript{1}

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

No pharmacokinetic studies of DOR in pregnant women have been reported.

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Placental transfer of DOR was noted in an \textit{ex vivo} dually perfused human cotyledon model with a median (interquartile range [IQR] 25–75) fetal transfer rate of 16% (12% to 18%).\textsuperscript{2}

No data are available on breast milk passage of DOR in humans.
Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

The Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry has monitored prospectively four patients treated with DOR during the first trimester and one patient treated with DOR during the second and third trimester; one infant with first trimester exposure was noted to have a birth defect. These data are insufficient to make conclusions regarding the safety of DOR during pregnancy.
Excerpt from Table 11

Note: When using fixed-dose combination (FDC) tablets, refer to other sections in Appendix B and Table 11 in the Perinatal Guidelines for information about the dosing and safety of individual drug components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name (Abbreviation)</th>
<th>Trade Name</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Dosing Recommendations</th>
<th>Use in Pregnancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Doravirine (DOR) Pifeltro (DOR/3TC/TDF) Delstrigo</td>
<td>DOR (Pifeltro): • 100 mg tablet</td>
<td>Standard Adult Doses DOR (Pifeltro): • DOR 100 mg once daily with or without food</td>
<td>No human in vivo data are available on the placental transfer of DOR, but passage is noted in an ex vivo model. Insufficient data are available to assess for teratogenicity in humans. No evidence exists of teratogenicity in rats or rabbits.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DOR/3TC/TDF (Delstrigo): • DOR 100 mg/3TC 300 mg/TDF 300 mg tablet</td>
<td>DOR/3TC/TDF (Delstrigo): • One tablet once daily with or without food</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pregnancy PKs in Pregnancy: • No PK studies in human pregnancy.</td>
<td>Dosing in Pregnancy: • Insufficient data to make dosing recommendations.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>For guidance about the use of combination ARV drug products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other drug components (i.e., 3TC, TDF).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 11).

Key: 3TC = lamivudine; ARV = antiretroviral; DOR = doravirine; PK = pharmacokinetic; TDF = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate
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Efavirenz (Sustiva, EFV)

(last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

Efavirenz (EFV) was neither mutagenic nor clastogenic in the majority of in vitro and animal in vivo screening tests. A study that evaluated the genotoxicity of EFV in mice noted DNA damage in brain cells after daily dosing for 36 days; no damage was seen in liver, heart, or peripheral blood cells.1 Long-term animal carcinogenicity studies with EFV have been completed in mice and rats. No increase in tumor incidence above background was observed in male mice at systemic drug exposures that were approximately 1.7-fold higher than the exposures seen in humans who received standard therapeutic doses. In female mice, an increase in tumor incidence above background was seen for hepatocellular adenomas and carcinomas and pulmonary alveolar/bronchiolar adenomas. No increase in tumor incidence above background was observed in male and female rats with systemic EFV exposures that were lower than those seen in humans who received therapeutic doses.2

Reproduction/Fertility

EFV has had no observable effects on reproduction or fertility in rodents.2

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

An increase in fetal resorption was observed in female rats at EFV doses that produced peak plasma concentrations and area under the curve (AUC) values less than or equal to those in humans who received the recommended dose of EFV 600 mg once daily. EFV produced no reproductive toxicities when given to pregnant rabbits at doses that produced peak plasma concentrations similar to those achieved in humans who received EFV 600 mg once daily. AUC values in these rabbits were approximately half of the values seen in humans who received EFV 600 mg once daily.2

Central nervous system malformations and cleft palate were observed in 3 of 20 infant monkeys born to pregnant cynomolgus monkeys that received EFV between gestational Day 20 and gestational Day 150 at a dose of EFV 60 mg/kg per day. This dose resulted in plasma concentrations that were 1.3 times that of systemic human therapeutic exposure, with fetal umbilical venous drug concentrations that were approximately 0.7 times the maternal values.3 The malformations included anencephaly and unilateral anophthalmia in one fetus, microphthalmia in another fetus, and cleft palate in a third fetus.2

A study in pregnant and lactating rats exposed to EFV found that perinatal exposure to EFV provoked cell death, significant changes in cytoarchitecture, and disturbances in serotonergic and dopaminergic innervation in the medial prefrontal cortex of adult offspring.4

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

EFV readily crosses the placenta in rats, rabbits, and primates, producing cord blood concentrations that are similar to the concentrations observed in maternal plasma. Maternal and fetal blood concentrations in pregnant rabbits and cynomolgus monkeys are equivalent, while fetal concentrations in rats exceeded maternal concentrations.2
Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics/Pharmacogenomics

In an intensive sampling pharmacokinetic (PK) study of 25 pregnant women who received EFV during the third trimester, EFV clearance was increased slightly and trough levels were decreased compared with levels measured postpartum. These differences are not of sufficient magnitude to warrant dose adjustment during pregnancy. A review of this study and four others that measured EFV concentrations in pregnant women found that EFV concentrations were not affected significantly by pregnancy and that high rates of HIV RNA suppression at delivery were achieved with EFV-based regimens.

Two more recent studies demonstrated commensurate pregnancy and postpartum EFV exposure. In a PK study of 42 pregnant women who received EFV 600 mg once daily, EFV exposure was similar during pregnancy and postpartum. EFV PK data were available for 15 women during their second trimester, 42 women during their third trimester, and 40 women postpartum. EFV AUC during the third trimester (60 mcg•h/mL) was similar to the AUC observed in nonpregnant adults (58 mcg•h/mL). EFV drug levels in the second trimester were lower than postpartum values, but they remained within 80 percent to 125 percent of postpartum values. Viral loads at delivery were <400 copies/mL and <50 copies/mL for 96.7 percent and 86.7 percent of women, respectively. A study in 19 pregnant women in Ghana similarly found that PK parameters—specifically, maximum (peak) plasma drug concentration (Cmax), minimum plasma drug concentration (Cmin), area under the plasma concentration-time curve over the last 24 hours (AUC0-24h), and apparent clearance (CL/F)—were similar in pregnancy and postpartum. Pregnancy and postpartum geometric mean ratios for EFV Cmax, Cmin, AUC0-24h, and CL/F were 1.10 (95% confidence interval [CI], 0.93–1.31), 0.88 (95% CI, 0.67–1.17), 0.84 (95% CI, 0.71–0.98), and 1.20 (95% CI, 1.02–1.40), respectively.

In an open-label, two-center study in the United Kingdom and Uganda, 25 pregnant women with HIV who were virally suppressed (defined as a viral load <50 copies/mL) on a regimen that included EFV 600 mg once daily had their dose reduced to EFV 400 mg in the third trimester. PK parameters, AUC0-24h, and plasma concentrations at 24 hours post-dose were slightly lower in the third trimester than during the postpartum period but generally remained within the therapeutic range; all participants maintained viral suppression.

A PK modeling study was conducted using pooled data from seven studies of women who were taking regimens that included EFV. The study included an analysis of 1,968 PK samples, 774 of which were collected during pregnancy. This analysis predicted that the reduced EFV dose of 400 mg would generate median EFV AUC24h and C12h during the third trimester that were 91 percent and 87 percent, respectively, of the values observed among nonpregnant women. A more recent physiologically based pharmacokinetic (PBPK) modeling study evaluated EFV exposure in the third trimester in women with extensive, intermediate, and poor cytochrome P450 2B6 (CYP2B6) metabolism. The model predicted about a twofold increase in drug clearance in the third trimester when compared with clearance prior to pregnancy—resulting in subtherapeutic concentrations of EFV in the third trimester in 57 percent of extensive metabolizers. These results suggest that the recommended reduction in EFV dose from 600 mg to 400 mg may not provide therapeutic drug levels in extensive metabolizers during the third trimester and that clinical trials to evaluate the effectiveness of a 400-mg dose of EFV in the third trimester—especially in extensive metabolizers—are indicated prior to a dose adjustment in pregnancy.
In a pharmacogenomics study, nonpregnant individuals with the CY P2B6 516 TT genotype had greater than threefold increases in both short-term and long-term EFV exposure, as measured by drug levels in plasma and hair. This suggests that drug levels could vary significantly with CY P2B6 polymorphisms.12,13 The frequency of this allele varies among different ethnic populations, with a prevalence of 3.4 percent in white people, 6.7 percent in Hispanic people, and 20 percent in African Americans.5

**Placental and Breast Milk Passage**

In a PK study of 42 pregnant women who received EFV 600 mg once daily, EFV readily crossed the placenta, and infant elimination half-life was more than twice that of maternal participants. The cord blood-to-maternal-plasma concentration ratio was 0.67 (range 0.36–0.95). Among 23 infants for whom washout data were available, median elimination half-life was 65.6 hours (interquartile range, 40.6–129 hours). Viral loads at delivery were <400 copies/mL and <50 copies/mL for 96.7 percent and 86.7 percent of women, respectively.7

In a study of 25 mother–infant pairs, the median EFV cord blood-to-maternal-blood concentration ratio was 0.49 (range 0.37–0.74).5 In a study of 13 women in Rwanda, EFV was given during the third trimester and for 6 months after delivery.14 EFV concentrations were measured in maternal plasma, breast milk, and infant plasma. EFV concentration was significantly higher in maternal plasma than in skim breast milk (with a mean breast milk-to-maternal-plasma concentration ratio of 0.54) and higher in skim breast milk than in infant plasma (with a mean skim breast-milk-to-newborn-plasma concentration ratio of 4.08). The mean infant plasma EFV concentration was 860 ng/mL, 13.1 percent of mean maternal plasma concentrations. All infants had detectable plasma concentrations of EFV, and 8 of 13 newborns had plasma EFV concentrations that were less than the minimum therapeutic concentration of 1,000 ng/mL that is recommended for treatment of adults with HIV.

In a study of 51 women in Nigeria who received EFV 600 mg once daily, the median milk-to-maternal-plasma concentration ratio was 0.82 (range 0.51–1.1) and the median infant EFV concentration was 178 ng/mL (range 88–340 ng/mL).15 In a study of 56 mother–infant pairs in which the mothers received EFV-based therapy during pregnancy and breastfeeding, infant plasma drug concentration levels at delivery and hair drug concentration levels at age 12 weeks suggested moderate in utero transfer of EFV during pregnancy and breastfeeding, with approximately one-third of transfer occurring postpartum (40% cumulative transfer, with 15% of transfer occurring during breastfeeding).16 All mothers and infants had detectable EFV plasma levels at 0, 8, and 12 weeks, and mean infant-to-maternal-hair concentration at 12 weeks postpartum was 0.40 for EFV. No data are currently available about the safety and PK of EFV in neonates.

**Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes**

In pregnancies with prospectively reported exposure to EFV-based regimens in the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry through January 2021, birth defects were observed in 28 of 1,166 live births with first-trimester exposure (2.4%; 95% CI, 1.6% to 3.5%).17 Although these data provide sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to rule out a 1.5-fold or greater increase in the risk of overall birth defects and a twofold increase in cardiovascular and genitourinary defects, the low incidence of neural tube defects (NTDs) in the general population means that a larger number of exposures is still needed to be able to definitively rule out an increased risk of this specific defect. Prospective reports
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to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry of defects after first-trimester EFV exposure have documented one NTD case (0.9%), which is consistent with the expected background prevalence.17

In a meta-analysis of 23 studies that was designed to update the 2013 World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines for antiretroviral therapy (ART) in low- and middle-income countries, 44 infants with birth defects among 2,026 live births to women who received EFV during the first trimester were observed. The pooled proportion of overall birth defects was 1.63 percent (95% CI, 0.78% to 2.48%).18 The rate of overall birth defects was similar among women who received EFV-containing regimens and women who received regimens that did not contain EFV during the first trimester (pooled relative risk [RR] 0.78; 95% CI, 0.56–1.08). A cross all births, one NTD (myelomeningocele) was observed, giving a point prevalence of 0.05 percent (95% CI, <0.01–0.28), which is within the range reported in the general population. However, the number of reported first-trimester EFV exposures was insufficient to rule out a significant increase in low-incidence birth defects, such as NTDs. The incidence of NTDs in the general U.S. population is 0.06 percent to 0.07 percent.19

A French study of 13,124 live births between 1994 and 2010 included an analysis of 372 infants born after first-trimester exposure to EFV.20 In the primary analysis, which used the European Surveillance of Congenital Anomalies and Twins (EUROCAT) classification system, no increase in the incidence of birth defects was detected among infants with first-trimester EFV exposure compared to those without exposure to EFV during pregnancy (adjusted odds ratio 1.16; 95% CI, 0.73–1.85). A secondary analysis that used the modified Metropolitan Atlanta Congenital Defect Program classification (used by the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry), found an association between first-trimester EFV exposure and neurologic defects, but none of the four defects that were reported during this study (ventricular dilatation with anomalies of the white substance, partial agenesis of the corpus callosum, subependymal cyst, and pachygyria) were NTDs, and none had similar embryologic origins.21

Recently, Zash et al. reported on the outcomes of a large birth surveillance study in Botswana. Among 7,959 deliveries to women who were taking EFV around the time of conception, three NTDs were observed (0.04%; 95% CI, 0.01% to 0.11%), which is similar to the rate of NTDs observed among infants born to 89,372 women without HIV (0.08%; 95% CI, 0.06% to 0.10%).22 This study adds to available data on first-trimester EFV exposure, providing strong evidence against an elevated risk of NTDs in infants who were exposed to EFV. The South African Pregnancy Exposure Registry similarly found no association between first-trimester use of EFV-based ART regimens and congenital malformations.23

The U.S. Food and Drug Administration continues to advise women to avoid becoming pregnant while taking EFV and to advise health care providers to avoid administering EFV during the first trimester, because fetal harm may occur. However, the data on more than 7,900 periconception exposures to EFV from Botswana are sufficient to rule out a threefold or greater increased risk of NTDs with the use of EFV. As a result, the Perinatal Guidelines do not restrict the use of EFV during pregnancy or in women who are planning to conceive; this is consistent with the British HIV Association guidelines and WHO guidelines for use of antiretroviral (ARV) drugs in pregnancy, both of which note that EFV can be used throughout pregnancy.24-26 EFV should be continued in pregnant women who are receiving a virologically suppressive, EFV-based regimen, because ARV drug changes during pregnancy may be associated with loss of viral control and an increased risk of perinatal HIV transmission.27
A recent report from the Surveillance Monitoring for ART Toxicities (SMARTT) study of the Pediatric HIV/AIDS Cohort Study (PHACS) network detected an increased rate of microcephaly in HIV-exposed but uninfected children with in utero EFV exposure. The relative risk of microcephaly in infants with in utero EFV exposure was 2.56 (95% CI, 1.22–5.37). In this study, microcephaly was defined as a z-score of less than −2 between 6 and 36 months of age or head size below the second percentile after 36 months.28 Only 4.7 percent of children had been exposed to EFV in utero. The relative risk of microcephaly was higher among children who had been exposed to EFV plus zidovudine and lamivudine than among those who had been exposed to EFV plus tenofovir disoproxil fumarate and emtricitabine. Children with microcephaly had lower scores on neurodevelopmental assessments at ages 1 year and 5 years and a higher rate of neurodevelopmental impairment than those without microcephaly. Additional evaluation of the association between microcephaly and in utero EFV exposure is needed (see the Teratogenicity section).

A study of Botswana HIV-exposed but uninfected children evaluated the association between neurodevelopmental deficits and the timing of initial in utero EFV exposure. Adjusted mean scores for the 126 children in the EFV-exposed group were lower than for the 367 children in the EFV-unexposed group on Bayley Scales of Infant and Toddler Development, Third Edition (BSID-III) Receptive Language (21.5 vs. 22.5; \( P = 0.05 \)); Developmental Milestones Checklist (DMC) Locomotor (30.7 vs. 32.0; \( P < 0.01 \)) and Fine Motor scales (17.8 vs. 19.2; \( P < 0.01 \)); and Profile of Social Emotional Development (PSED) (11.7 vs. 9.9; \( P = 0.02 \)); however, scores for the first group were higher on the DMC Language scale (17.6 vs. 16.5; \( P = 0.01 \)). Earlier (vs. later) EFV exposure was associated with lower scores on the BSID-III Receptive Language scale (20.7 vs. 22.2; \( P = 0.02 \)). Consistent with findings from other trials, HIV-exposed but uninfected children exposed in utero to EFV-based ART may be at higher risk for neurodevelopmental and social-emotional deficits than HIV-exposed but uninfected children exposed to non-EFV-based ART.29 An additional prospective study of a cohort of 3,747 HIV-exposed but uninfected children found that children exposed to EFV at any time during pregnancy had a higher risk of neurodevelopmental abnormalities (adjusted relative risks [aRR] 1.53; 95% CI, 0.94–2.51). This association was stronger when comparing EFV exposure at conception to no exposure during pregnancy (aRR 1.92; 95% CI, 1.09–3.36) and considering follow-up and case diagnosis only through age 2 (aRR 2.14; 95% CI, 1.11–4.12).30

Safety

The Promoting Maternal and Infant Survival Everywhere (PROMISE) trial randomized ART-naive antepartum and postpartum women with HIV, CD4 >350, and ALT <2.5 the upper limit of normal (ULN) to different ART regimens. The study found that 2.5 percent of the 2,435 women randomized to EFV-based regimens developed severe hepatotoxicity, and 3 percent of women with severe hepatotoxicity developed liver-related mortality.31

Drug–Drug Interactions

PK interactions between EFV and the progestin component of some hormonal contraceptives may decrease the efficacy of emergency contraception, combined oral contraceptive pills, progestin-only pills, and progestin implants and may increase the risk of contraceptive failure.32-36 (see Prepregnancy Counseling and Care for Persons of Childbearing Age with HIV and Table 3).
Excerpt from Table 11

**Note:** When using fixed-dose combination (FDC) tablets, refer to other sections in Appendix B and Table 11 in the Perinatal Guidelines for information about the dosing and safety of individual drug components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name (Abbreviation)</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Dosing Recommendationsa</th>
<th>Use in Pregnancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Efavirenz** (EFV) Sustiva | EFV (Sustiva)⁴ Capsules: • 50 mg • 200 mg Tablet • 600 mg | **Standard Adult Doses EFV (Sustiva)** • EFV 600 mg once daily at or before bedtime • Take on an empty stomach to reduce side effects. **EFV/FTC/TDF (Atripla)** • One tablet once daily at or before bedtime • Take on an empty stomach to reduce side effects. | Moderate placental transfer to fetus.b
| (EFV/FTC/TDF) Atripla | EFV 600 mg/FTC 200 mg/TDF 300-mg tablet | | The FDA advises women to avoid becoming pregnant while taking EFV and advises health care providers to avoid administration during the first trimester of pregnancy because fetal harm may occur. However, the data on more than 7,900 periconception EFV exposures from Botswana rule out a threefold or greater increased risk of NTDs. As a result, the current Perinatal Guidelines do not restrict the use of EFV in pregnant women or in women who are planning to become pregnant. This is consistent with both the British HIV Association and WHO guidelines for use of ARV drugs in pregnancy. EFV should be continued in pregnant women who are on a virally suppressive, EFV-based regimen, because ARV drug changes during pregnancy may be associated with loss of viral control and an increased risk of perinatal transmission (see Pregnant People with HIV Who are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy). |
| (EFV/3TC/TDF) Symfi | EFV 600 mg/3TC 300 mg/TDF 300-mg tablet | | |
| (EFV/3TC/TDF) Symfi Lo | EFV 400 mg/3TC 300 mg/TDF 300-mg tablet | | |
| Note: Generic products are available for some formulations. |

**a** Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 11).

**b** Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood-to-maternal-plasma drug ratio:

---
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**High:** > 0.6  
**Moderate:** 0.3–0.6  
**Low:** < 0.3  

\(^d\) Generic product is available.

**Key:** 3TC = lamivudine; ARV = antiretroviral; AUC = area under the curve; EFV = efavirenz; FDA = U.S. Food and Drug Administration; FTC = emtricitabine; NTDs = neural tube defects; PK = pharmacokinetic; TDF = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate; WHO = World Health Organization
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**Etravirine (Intelenz, ETR)**

*(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)*

**Animal Studies**

**Carcinogenicity**

Etravirine (ETR) was neither mutagenic nor clastogenic in a series of *in vitro* and animal *in vivo* screening tests.\(^1\) ETR was evaluated for carcinogenic potential in mice and rats for up to approximately 104 weeks. Because of intolerance of the formulation, the areas under the curve (AUC) for ETR were 0.6-fold in mice and 0.2-fold to 0.7-fold in rats compared with the typical AUC in humans receiving standard dosing. In rats and male mice, no significant findings were noted. In female mice, increased incidences of hepatocellular carcinoma and those of hepatocellular adenomas or carcinomas combined were observed. Whether these liver tumor findings in mice are relevant to humans is unclear.\(^1\)

**Reproduction/Fertility**

ETR had no effect on fertility and early embryonic development when tested in pregnant rats at doses that produced systemic drug exposures equivalent to those observed in humans who received the recommended dose of ETR 400 mg per day.\(^1\)

**Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes**

Animal reproduction studies in rats and rabbits revealed no evidence of fetal toxicity or altered development at systemic exposures equivalent to those seen in humans who received the recommended dose of ETR 400 mg per day.\(^1\)

**Human Studies in Pregnancy**

**Pharmacokinetics**

ETR pharmacokinetics (PK) in pregnant women have been reported in two studies. Ramgopal et al. found approximately 1.1-fold to 1.4-fold increases in total ETR AUC, C\(_{\text{min}}\), and C\(_{\text{max}}\) during the second (n = 13) and third trimesters (n = 10) compared with the levels in the same women postpartum (n = 10). The differences in unbound ETR concentrations were less pronounced, with least-squares mean ratios of approximately 0.9 to 1.2.\(^2\) Similarly, Mulligan et al. found 1.3-fold to 1.9-fold increases in total ETR AUC, C\(_{\text{min}}\), and C\(_{\text{max}}\) during the third trimester (n = 13) compared with the levels in the same women postpartum (n = 8).\(^3\) ETR was well tolerated in both of these studies. ETR is a substrate for cytochrome P450 (CY P) 2C19 metabolism, and the increase in ETR exposure during pregnancy is consistent with the previously observed decrease in CY P2C19 activity during pregnancy.\(^4\)

**Placental and Breast Milk Passage**

In seven mother–infant pairs, the median ratio of ETR concentration in cord-blood-to-ETR concentration in maternal plasma at delivery was 0.52 (with a range of 0.19–4.25).\(^3\) In another study, the median ratio of cord-blood-to-maternal plasma concentration in 10 mother–infant pairs was 0.32 (with a range of 0.19–0.63).\(^2\) Placental passage of ETR was described in a report on the use of ETR,
darunavir/ritonavir, and enfuvirtide in a woman who gave birth to twins. Cord-blood ETR levels were 414 ng/mL in Twin 1 and 345 ng/mL in Twin 2 (maternal plasma ETR concentration at delivery was not reported).5

Plasma and breast milk concentrations were measured on postpartum Days 5 and 14 in eight women who began taking ETR on postpartum Day 1.6 Plasma PK were similar between Days 5 and 14 and were similar to the published PK parameters of ETR in nonpregnant adults. ETR AUC_0-12h in breast milk was higher in mature milk (collected on Day 14) than in colostrum and/or transitional milk (collected on Day 5): 12,954 ± 10,200 ng•h/mL versus 4,372 ± 3,016 ng•h/mL (P = 0.046). Median ETR concentrations in plasma and breast milk on Day 5 were 300 ng/mL and 241 ng/mL, respectively (within-subject breast milk concentration/plasma concentration ratio was 109%). Median plasma and breast milk concentrations on Day 14 were 197 ng/mL and 798 ng/mL, respectively (within-subject breast milk concentration/plasma concentration ratio was 327%). The maximum ETR concentration in breast milk was significantly higher than the maximum concentration in plasma (1,245 ± 1,159 ng/mL vs. 531 ± 336 ng/mL, P = 0.04). Two women had detectable HIV RNA in breast milk on Day 14 despite having suppressed plasma viral loads. ETR concentrations in the plasma and breast milk of these women were similar to those observed in women with undetectable HIV RNA in breast milk. ETR penetrates well and may accumulate in breast milk.

**Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes**

In eight reported cases of ETR use in pregnancy, no maternal, fetal, or neonatal toxicities were noted.5,7 One infant was born with a small accessory auricle on the right ear but no other malformations, and no birth defects were noted in the other children.5 Seventy-three live births of infants who were exposed to ETR during the first trimester have been reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry; among these infants, only one birth defect has been reported. These data are insufficient to draw conclusions about the risk of birth defects among infants who were exposed to ETR.8
**Excerpt from Table 11**

*Note:* When using fixed-dose combination (FDC) tablets, refer to other sections in Appendix B and Table 11 in the Perinatal Guidelines for information about the dosing and safety of individual drug components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name (Abbreviation)</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Dosing Recommendations</th>
<th>Use in Pregnancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Etravirine (ETR) Intelence</td>
<td>Tablets</td>
<td><strong>Standard Adult Doses</strong></td>
<td>Placental transfer varies; it is usually in the moderate-to-high categories, ranging 0.19–4.25.(^b) Insufficient data to assess for teratogenicity in humans. No evidence of teratogenicity in rats or rabbits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• 25 mg</td>
<td>• 200 mg twice daily with food</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• 100 mg</td>
<td><strong>Pregnancy PK in Pregnancy</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• 200 mg</td>
<td>• PK data in pregnancy suggest 1.2-fold to 1.6-fold increases in ETR exposure during pregnancy.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>For patients who are unable to swallow tablets whole, the tablets may be dispersed in a glass of water.</td>
<td><strong>Dosing in Pregnancy</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• No change in dose is indicated.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 11).

\(^b\) Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

- **High:** >0.6
- **Moderate:** 0.3–0.6
- **Low:** <0.3

**Key:** ARV = antiretroviral; ETR = etravirine; PK = pharmacokinetic
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Nevirapine (Viramune, NVP)

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

Nevirapine (NVP) showed no evidence of mutagenic or clastogenic activity in a battery of \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo} studies. Hepatocellular adenoma and carcinomas are increased at all doses of NVP in mice and rats; however, given the lack of genotoxic activity of NVP, it is unclear if this is relevant to humans.\textsuperscript{1}

Reproduction/Fertility

Female rats showed impaired fertility at systemic NVP exposures comparable to human therapeutic exposures.\textsuperscript{1}

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

In studies of rats and rabbits, no teratogenic effects of NVP have been observed other than a significant decrease in fetal weight in rats at systemic concentrations 50\% higher than human therapeutic exposure.\textsuperscript{1}

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

The pharmacokinetics (PKs) studies of NVP in pregnant women who received NVP as part of antiretroviral therapy (ART) during pregnancy demonstrate varied results. A study of 26 women during their second and third trimesters did not find altered PK parameters compared to the postpartum period;\textsuperscript{2} however, two other studies found up to 30\% lower exposure in pregnancy.\textsuperscript{3,4} No dose adjustment is recommended currently for NVP during pregnancy.

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

NVP demonstrates rapid and effective placental transfer, achieving near-equivalent concentrations in maternal and cord blood (cord blood–to–maternal plasma ratio ranges from 0.60 to 1.02).\textsuperscript{5,6}

NVP also has been shown to be excreted into human breast milk, with breast milk–to–maternal plasma ratios of 0.27 to 0.6 and detectable NVP concentrations in breastfeeding infants.\textsuperscript{7-9}

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

The Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry has monitored sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to NVP to detect at least a 1.5-fold increase in risk of overall birth defects and a twofold increase in risk of birth defects in the cardiovascular and genitourinary systems (the most common classes of birth defects in the general population). No such increase in the risk of birth defects has been observed with NVP. Among the cases of first-trimester NVP exposure that have been reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, the prevalence of birth defects was 3.0\% (35 of 1,171 live births;
95% confidence interval [CI], 2.1% to 4.1%) compared with a total prevalence of 2.7% in the U.S. population, based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance.10 Similarly, the French Perinatal Cohort reported no association between exposure to NVP and birth defects, with 71% power to detect a 1.5-fold increase.11 During 2013 and 2014, at one KwaZulu hospital, one-time nurse-performed exams found a significantly higher risk ratio of total congenital malformations in infants with first-trimester NVP exposure (relative risk [RR] = 9.28, 2.27–37.94); 2 out of 52 infants with NVP exposure vs. 29 out of 7,592 without NVP exposure.12

Other Safety Information

The risk of NVP-associated severe, life-threatening, and (in some cases) fatal hepatotoxicity—including fulminant and cholestatic hepatitis; hepatic necrosis; hepatic failure; and severe, life-threatening hypersensitivity skin reactions, including Stevens-Johnson syndrome—ranges from 0.04% to 0.40%.1,13 The greatest risk of severe rash or hepatic events occurs during the first 6 to 18 weeks of therapy, although the risk of toxicity continues past this period and patients should be monitored regularly for signs of toxicity.

Incidence of severe NVP-associated skin rash has been reported to be 5.5 times to 7.3 times more common in women than men. In 17 clinical trials of NVP therapy, women with CD4 counts >250 cells/mm³ were 9.8 times more likely to experience symptomatic, often rash-associated, NVP-related hepatotoxicity than women with lower CD4 counts.13 Higher CD4 counts also have been associated with an increased risk of severe, NVP-associated skin rash.14

Rates of hepatotoxicity and rash similar to those in U.S. studies have been seen in international cohorts of nonpregnant women, although not all studies have reported an association between rates of hepatotoxicity and rash and CD4 counts >250 cells/mm³. Some researchers have suggested that genetic variation in drug metabolism polymorphisms (e.g., cytochrome P450 Family 2 Subfamily B Member 6 [CYP2B6] variants), tumor necrosis factor receptor–associated factor (TRAF) proteins, and immune human leukocyte antigen loci may be associated with a higher risk of NVP-associated adverse events and that the relationship between genetic variants and adverse events may vary by race.15-18 Published literature reports rash and hyperbilirubinemia in infants exposed to NVP through breast milk.1

Data are conflicting regarding the increased risk of hepatotoxicity in pregnant women receiving NVP.19 In a systematic review of 20 studies that included 3,582 pregnant women from 14 countries who initiated NVP while pregnant, the pooled proportion of women who experienced a severe hepatotoxic event was 3.6% (95% CI, 2.4% to 4.8%), and the proportion of women who experienced severe rash was 3.3% (95% CI, 2.1% to 4.5%); overall, 6.2% of women stopped taking NVP because of an adverse event (95% CI, 4.0% to 8.4%).20 These results were comparable to published frequencies in the general adult population and comparable to frequencies in nonpregnant women within the same cohorts; publications by Ouyang and colleagues echo these results.21,22 In contrast, an analysis of data collected in the United Kingdom and Ireland from 2000 to 2011 evaluated 3,426 women, one-quarter of whom were pregnant, and found that pregnant women who were taking efavirenz, maraviroc, or NVP had an increased risk of liver enzyme elevation.23

Two systematic reviews and a small case-control study additionally indicate that pregnancy appears to increase the risk of cutaneous events, such as Stevens-Johnson syndrome. The systematic review discussed above also reported nonsignificant trends toward increased risk of cutaneous events (odds ratio [OR] 1.1; 95% CI, 0.8–1.6) and severe cutaneous adverse events in pregnant women with CD4
counts $\geq 250$ cell/mm$^3$ (OR 1.4; 95% CI, 0.8–2.4). A systematic review reported a significant association between increased toxicity risk and the initiation of NVP-based ART therapy in pregnant women with CD4 counts $\geq 250$ cells/mm$^3$. A case-control study (6 cases, 30 controls) in South Africa reported that pregnancy increased the risk of Stevens-Johnson syndrome (OR 14.28; $P = 0.006$; 95% CI, 1.54–131.82). NVP (as a component of a combination regimen) should be initiated in pregnant people with CD4 counts $\geq 250$ cells/mm$^3$ only if the benefit clearly outweighs the risk. Pregnant patients with CD4 counts $<250$ cells/mm$^3$ can receive NVP-based regimens, and patients who become pregnant while taking NVP and who are tolerating their regimens well can continue using those regimens, regardless of their CD4 counts.

Because pregnancy itself can mimic some of the early symptoms of hepatotoxicity (i.e., pregnancy-related nausea and vomiting), health care providers caring for pregnant patients who are receiving NVP should be aware of this potential complication. Frequent and careful monitoring of clinical symptoms and hepatic transaminases (i.e., alanine aminotransferase [ALT] and aspartate aminotransferase [AST]) is necessary, particularly during the first 18 weeks of therapy. Some clinicians measure serum transaminases at baseline, every 2 weeks for the first month, and then monthly for the first 18 weeks; in patients with pre-existing liver disease, monitoring should be performed more frequently when initiating therapy and monthly thereafter. Transaminase levels should be checked in all patients who develop a rash while receiving NVP. Patients who develop suggestive clinical symptoms accompanied by elevation in serum transaminase levels (ALT and/or AST) or who have asymptomatic but severe transaminase elevations should stop taking NVP and not receive the drug in the future.

In a retrospective study at eight government hospitals in Botswana, women who received ART regimens that contained NVP were more likely to experience certain adverse events than women on ART regimens that did not contain NVP, including hypertension (30% vs. 16%), severe hypertension (3.3% vs. 1.2%), gestational hypertension (18% vs. 10%), and early gestational hypertension (12% vs. 7%).
**Excerpt from Table 11**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name (Abbreviation)</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Dosing Recommendations</th>
<th>Use in Pregnancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nevirapine (NVP)</td>
<td>NVP (Viramune) Tablet</td>
<td>Standard Adult Doses</td>
<td>High placental transfer to fetus.(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• 200 mg(^a)</td>
<td>NVP 200 mg once daily (using Viramune immediate release) for a 14-day lead-in period; thereafter, NVP 200 mg twice daily or 400 mg (using Viramune XR tablet) once daily, without regard to food.</td>
<td>No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects and twofold increase in cardiovascular and genitourinary defects).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oral Suspension</td>
<td>Repeat lead-in period if therapy is discontinued for &gt;7 days.</td>
<td>An increased risk of symptomatic liver toxicity exists when first initiating therapy in women with CD4 counts (\geq 250/\text{mm}^3). Liver toxicity is often associated with a rash and can be fatal. Pregnancy does not appear to increase this risk.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• 50 mg/5 mL(^a)</td>
<td>In patients who develop mild-to-moderate rash without constitutional symptoms during the lead-in period, continue lead-in dosing until rash resolves, but administer for (\leq 28) days total.</td>
<td>NVP should be initiated in pregnant people with CD4 counts (\geq 250/\text{mm}^3) only if benefit clearly outweighs risk.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Viramune XR Tablets</td>
<td>Pregnancy PKs in Pregnancy</td>
<td>A potential increased risk of life-threatening hepatotoxicity exists in pregnant people with high CD4 counts. Elevated transaminase levels at baseline may increase the risk of NVP toxicity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• 100 mg</td>
<td>• PKs of immediate-release tablets not significantly altered in pregnancy.</td>
<td>Patients who become pregnant while taking NVP-containing regimens and who are tolerating their regimens well can continue taking those regimens, regardless of their CD4 counts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• 400 mg(^a)</td>
<td>• No data available on extended-release formulations in pregnancy.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dosing in Pregnancy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• No change in dose indicated.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the* Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 11*).
Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

**High:** > 0.6  
**Moderate:** 0.3–0.6  
**Low:** < 0.3

d Generic formulation available.

**Key:** ARV = antiretroviral; CD4 = CD4 T lymphocyte; NVP = nevirapine; PK = pharmacokinetic; XR = extended release
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**Rilpivirine (Edurant, RPV)**

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

**Animal Studies**

**Carcinogenicity**

Rilpivirine (RPV) was neither mutagenic nor clastogenic in a series of *in vitro* and *in vivo* screening tests. RPV was not carcinogenic in rats when administered at doses that resulted in drug exposures that were three times higher than those seen in humans who received the recommended 25-mg dose of RPV once daily. Hepatocellular neoplasms were observed in both male and female mice at doses that produced exposures 21 times higher than human therapeutic exposure; however, whether these hepatocellular findings in mice are relevant to humans is unclear.¹

**Reproduction/Fertility**

No effect on fertility was observed when RPV was administered to rats at a dose of 400 mg/kg per day, which produced systemic drug exposure that was 40 times the exposure seen in humans who received the recommended dose.¹

**Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes**

Rat and rabbit dams treated with RPV during pregnancy showed no evidence of embryonic or fetal toxicity, and reproductive function was unaffected. RPV exposures were 15 times higher (in rats) and 70 times higher (in rabbits) than the exposures observed in humans who received the recommended dose of RPV 25 mg once daily. When rats were administered RPV 400 mg/kg per day through lactation, no drug-related adverse effects were seen in the offspring.¹

**Placental and Breast Milk Passage**

Studies in lactating rats and their offspring indicate that RPV is present in rat milk.¹

**Human Studies in Pregnancy**

**Pharmacokinetics**

A study that presented pharmacokinetic (PK) and safety data from 32 pregnant women with HIV found that median RPV area under the curve concentration (AUC) and trough concentration ($C_{trough}$) were about 20% to 30% lower in the second and third trimesters than in the postpartum period. Median RPV $C_{trough}$ were significantly lower at 14 visits where the women had detectable HIV RNA (30 ng/mL) than at 62 visits where they had undetectable HIV RNA (63 ng/mL). Ninety percent of women had $C_{trough}$ above the protein-adjusted 90% maximal effective concentration ($EC_{90}$) for RPV. PK parameters between participants were highly variable in this study.² Another study in 16 pregnant women with HIV similarly found that exposure was approximately 50% lower in the third trimester than in the postpartum period, with 4 of the 16 women having $C_{trough}$ below the target levels during pregnancy.³ Schalkwijk et al. recommended the use of therapeutic drug monitoring during the third trimester.³ Furthermore, they recommended that providers remind patients to take RPV doses with meals. A third study reported that total RPV exposure decreased by approximately 30%, and unbound RPV levels decreased by 22% to 25% during pregnancy in 15 women compared with the
RPV exposures seen in the same women postpartum. Cervicovaginal fluid RPV concentrations were described in a study of 24 women who took RPV daily during pregnancy and postpartum. RPV steady-state concentrations in the cervicovaginal fluid of these women were similar to the concentrations seen in their plasma. The RPV cervicovaginal fluid-to-plasma AUC ratio was higher during pregnancy than postpartum. Although RPV plasma concentration is reduced during pregnancy, higher-than-standard doses of RPV have not been studied, and not enough data are available to recommend a dosing change during pregnancy. In the ANRS-EPF French Perinatal Cohort, 184 virologically suppressed women who switched to RPV-free regimens during pregnancy had a higher risk of viral rebound compared with 63 women who continued RPV during pregnancy (20% vs. 0%, \( P = 0.046 \)). Delivery outcomes were similar between groups. For considerations regarding switching antiretroviral drugs during pregnancy, see Pregnant People with HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy. Pregnant women who receive the standard dose of RPV should have their viral loads monitored more frequently than women who are not receiving RPV (see Monitoring During Pregnancy).

RPV concentrations have been reported in three women who discontinued intramuscular injections of long-acting RPV during pregnancy. In this limited sample, plasma RPV concentrations were similar in pregnant and non-pregnant women who discontinued long-acting RPV. No studies have been conducted of the pharmacokinetics of cabotegravir (CAB) and RPV with ongoing intramuscular injections during pregnancy. See Cabotegravir for data about CAB.

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

One of the PK and safety studies described above included data on RPV concentration at delivery for 21 mother-infant pairs, with a median cord blood RPV plasma concentration of 29.2 ng/mL (range, <10.0 to 101.5 ng/mL), a median maternal delivery plasma RPV concentration of 55.2 ng/mL (range, <10.0 to 233.8 ng/mL), and a median cord blood-to-maternal-plasma ratio of 0.55 (range, 0.3 to 0.8). Osiyemi et al. found that the median ratio of cord blood-to-maternal-plasma concentration of total RPV in eight women was 0.55 (range, 0.43–0.98). Similarly, Schalkwijk et al. found a median cord blood-to-maternal-plasma ratio of 0.5 (range, 0.35–0.81) in five women. An ex vivo human cotyledon perfusion model also showed that RPV crosses the placenta, with fetal transfer rates ranging from 17% to 37%. No data exist on whether RPV is excreted in breast milk in humans.

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

The Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry had monitored sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to RPV to detect at least a two-fold increase in the risk of overall birth defects. No such increase in the risk of birth defects has been observed with RPV. Among the cases of first-trimester exposures to RPV that have been reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, the prevalence of birth defects was 1.4% (8 infants out of 557 live births; 95% confidence interval, 0.6% to 2.8%) compared with a 2.7% total prevalence in the U.S. population, according to Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance.
Excerpt from Table 11

Note: When using fixed-dose combination (FDC) tablets, refer to other sections in Appendix B and Table 11 in the Perinatal Guidelines for information about the dosing and safety of individual drug components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name (Abbreviation)</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Dosing Recommendationsa</th>
<th>Use in Pregnancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Rilpivirine (RPV) Edurant   | RPV (Edurant) Tablets  
• 25 mg  
RPV/FTC/TDF (Complera)  
• RPV 25 mg/FTC 200 mg/TDF 300-mg tablet  
RPV/DTG (Juluca)  
• RPV 25 mg/DTG 50-mg tablet  
RPV/FTC/TAF (Odefsey)  
• RPV 25 mg/FTC 200 mg/TAF 25-mg tablet | Standard Adult Doses  
RPV (Edurant)  
• RPV 25 mg once daily with food  
RPV/FTC/TDF (Complera)  
• One tablet once daily with food  
RPV/DTG (Juluca)  
• One tablet once daily with food  
RPV/FTC/TAF (Odefsey)  
• One tablet once daily with food | Moderate-to-high placental transfer to fetus.b  
No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out two-fold increase in overall birth defects).  
Two-drug regimens (e.g., the RPV/DTG FDC) are not recommended for use in pregnancy. |

(CAB and RPV) Cabenuva  
CAB and RPV is a two-drug co-packaged product for IM injection.

PKs in Pregnancy  
RPV PKs are highly variable during pregnancy. RPV AUC and trough concentrations are 20% to 50% lower in pregnancy than postpartum. Although most pregnant women exceeded target exposure, those with detectable viral loads had lower RPV troughs.

Dosing in Pregnancy  
Although RPV plasma concentration is reduced during pregnancy, higher-than-standard doses have not been studied, and not enough data are available to recommend a dosing change during pregnancy. Pregnant women receiving standard dosing should have their viral loads monitored.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name (Abbreviation)</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Dosing Recommendations(^a)</th>
<th>Use in Pregnancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>monitored more frequently than women who are not receiving RPV. For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., DTG, FTC, TAF, TDF).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 11).

\(^b\) Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

- **High:** >0.6
- **Moderate:** 0.3–0.6
- **Low:** <0.3

**Key:** ARV = antiretroviral; AUC = area under the curve; CAB = cabotegravir; DTG = dolutegravir; FDC = fixed-dose combination; FTC = emtricitabine; IM = intramuscular; PK = pharmacokinetic; RPV = rilpivirine; TAF = tenofovir alafenamide; TDF = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate
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**Atazanavir (Reyataz, ATV)**

*(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)*

**Animal Studies**

**Carcinogenicity**

In *in vitro* and *in vivo* assays, atazanavir (ATV) shows evidence of clastogenicity but not mutagenicity. Two-year carcinogenicity studies in mice and rats were conducted with ATV. In female mice, the incidence of benign hepatocellular adenomas increased at systemic exposures that were 2.8-fold to 2.9-fold higher than those seen in humans who received the recommended therapeutic dose (ATV 300 mg boosted with ritonavir [RTV] 100 mg once daily). There was no increase in the incidence of tumors in male mice at any dose and no significant increase in the incidence of neoplasms in rats at systemic exposures up to 1.1-fold (in males) or 3.9-fold (in females) higher than those seen in humans who received the recommended therapeutic dose.1

**Reproduction/Fertility**

No effect of ATV on reproduction or fertility in male and female rodents was observed at drug exposure levels (as measured by area under the curve [AUC]) that were 0.9-fold (in males) and 2.3-fold (in females) higher than the exposures achieved in humans who received the recommended therapeutic dose.1

**Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes**

In animal reproduction studies, no evidence of teratogenicity was observed in offspring born to animals that had systemic ATV exposure levels (as measured by AUC) that were 0.7 times (in rabbits) and 1.2 times (in rats) those observed in humans who received the recommended therapeutic dose. In developmental toxicity studies in rats, maternal dosing (through pregnancy and lactation) that produced systemic ATV exposure that was 1.3 times the human exposure resulted in reversible neonatal growth retardation. However, offspring were unaffected at lower maternal doses that produced systemic drug exposures equivalent to those observed in humans who received the recommended therapeutic dose.1 A separate study demonstrated an association between maternal protease inhibitor (PI) use (including the use of ATV) and lower progesterone levels, which correlated with lower birthweight in mice.2,3 **Maternal administration of ATV (with tenofovir disoproxil fumarate [TDF]/emtricitabine [FTC] or abacavir/lamivudine) was associated with delayed postnatal (infant) growth and neurodevelopment in mice.**4

**Placental and Breast Milk Passage**

ATV maternal-to-fetal (transplacental) transfer is reduced, possibly because ATV is a substrate of the p-glycoprotein, which is an ATP-binding cassette transporter responsible for drug efflux across the placenta.5

ATV is excreted in the milk of lactating rats. Maternal ATV use in rats that produced systemic ATV exposure that was 1.3 times the human exposure was associated with neonatal growth restriction that reversed after weaning.1

---

*Recommendations for the Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy and Interventions to Reduce Perinatal HIV Transmission in the United States*
Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

Several studies have investigated the pharmacokinetics (PKs) and virologic outcomes of using atazanavir/ritonavir (ATV/r) during pregnancy. Overall, most pregnant women achieved undetectable HIV RNA at the time of delivery in these studies.\(^6\)–\(^{11}\)

In studies that evaluated full PK profiles of daily ATV/r 300 mg/100 mg during pregnancy, the ATV AUC was lower during pregnancy than the ATV AUC reported in other studies of nonpregnant adults with HIV.\(^7\)\(^{,}\)\(^{9}\)\(^{,}\)\(^{10}\)\(^{,}\)\(^{12}\) In one of the studies, no difference was observed in the ATV AUC during pregnancy and postpartum, but the AUC at both times was lower than the AUC observed in historic, nonpregnant controls with HIV.\(^9\) In the other studies, the ATV AUC was lower during pregnancy than it was in the same patients postpartum and in nonpregnant control populations.\(^7\)\(^{,}\)\(^{8}\)\(^{,}\)\(^{10}\)\(^{,}\)\(^{12}\) Intracellular ATV levels in women taking ATV/r 300 mg/100 mg appear stable throughout pregnancy.\(^{13}\) Genetic variants appear to partially explain the interpatient variability in third-trimester ATV exposure seen in pregnant women who receive ATV/r.\(^{14}\)

ATV/r combined with TDF and FTC provides a complete, once-daily antiretroviral therapy regimen for use during pregnancy. However, the ATV AUC of pregnant women in the third trimester who received concomitant TDF was 30% lower than the ATV AUC of women who were not receiving concomitant TDF, an effect similar to that seen in nonpregnant adults.\(^{10}\)\(^{,}\)\(^{12}\) The magnitude of the increase in ATV AUC postpartum relative to ATV AUC in the third trimester in women taking concomitant TDF was similar to that in women not taking concomitant TDF.\(^{10}\) On the other hand, a smaller PK study demonstrated that concomitant TDF did not result in a lower ATV AUC or a higher risk of ATV trough concentrations <0.15 mg/L (the target trough concentration for antiretroviral-naive patients) in pregnant women during their third trimester.\(^{15}\) In a therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) study of 103 women (most of whom were African) in Paris, the proportions of women with an ATV trough concentration of <0.15 mg/L were similar for women who did and women who did not take concomitant TDF.\(^{11}\)

In studies that evaluated the use of once-daily ATV/r 400 mg/100 mg during pregnancy,\(^7\)\(^{,}\)\(^{8}\) pregnant women who received this increased dose without TDF had an ATV AUC that was equivalent to the ATV AUC seen in historic, nonpregnant controls with HIV who received the standard ATV 300-mg dose without TDF. Pregnant women who received the increased ATV 400-mg dose with TDF had an ATV AUC equivalent to that seen in nonpregnant patients with HIV who received the standard ATV 300-mg dose with TDF.\(^7\)\(^{,}\)\(^{8}\) Although some experts recommend an increased dose of ATV for all patients during the second and third trimesters of pregnancy, the package insert recommends the use of an increased dose of ATV during the second and third trimesters only for antiretroviral-experienced pregnant women who also are receiving either TDF or an H2-receptor antagonist. TDM of ATV in pregnancy may also be useful.\(^{16}\) For additional details about interactions between concomitant medications, please see Drug-Drug Interactions in the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines.

The pharmaco-enhancing effect of cobicistat (COBI) on ATV is impacted during pregnancy. Pregnant women who received ATV boosted with COBI had trough ATV concentrations that were 80% and 85% lower during the second and third trimesters, respectively, than historic ATV trough concentrations in nonpregnant adults with HIV.\(^{17}\) Concomitant use of ATV and COBI is not

---
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**Recommended** during pregnancy because of these substantial reductions in drug exposures (see Cobicistat).\(^{18}\)

**Placental and Breast Milk Passage**

In studies of women receiving ATV/r combination therapy during pregnancy, cord blood ATV concentration averaged 13% to 21% of maternal serum levels at delivery.\(^{1,9,10}\)

In a study of three women, the median ratio of breast milk ATV concentration to plasma ATV concentration was 0.13.\(^{19}\)

**Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes**

In a multicenter study that evaluated a U.S. cohort of children who were exposed to HIV but who did not contract HIV, first-trimester ATV exposure was associated with increased odds of congenital anomalies of the skin (adjusted odds ratio [aOR] 5.24; \(P = 0.02\)) and the musculoskeletal system (aOR 2.55; \(P = 0.007\)).\(^{20}\) On the other hand, there was no association between first-trimester ATV exposure and birth defects in a French cohort, although this study had <50% power to detect an aOR of 1.5.\(^{21}\) The Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry has monitored sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to ATV in humans to be able to detect at least a 1.5-fold increase in the risk of overall birth defects and at least a twofold increase in the risk of cardiovascular and genitourinary defects (the most common classes of birth defects in the general population). No such increase in the risk of birth defects has been observed with ATV. Among the cases of first-trimester ATV exposure that have been reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, the prevalence of birth defects was 2.3% (33 of 1,447 live births; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.6% to 3.2%) compared with a 2.7% total prevalence in the U.S. population, based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance.\(^{22}\)

Please see Antiretroviral Drug Regimens and Maternal and Neonatal Outcomes for a discussion of the potential association between the use of boosted PIs and preterm delivery.

**Other Safety Data**

Elevation in indirect (unconjugated) bilirubin that can be attributed to ATV-related inhibition of the hepatic uridine diphosphate glucuronosyltransferase (UGT) enzyme occurs frequently during treatment with ATV, including during pregnancy.\(^{23}\) It is unknown whether elevated maternal indirect bilirubin throughout pregnancy has any effects on the fetus. Dangerous or pathologic postnatal elevations in bilirubin have not been reported in infants born to mothers who received ATV during pregnancy.\(^{1,7,9,10,24-26}\) In some studies, neonatal bilirubin elevations that require treatment with phototherapy occur more frequently after prenatal ATV exposure. However, decisions to use phototherapy are subjective, and guidelines for phototherapy vary across countries, making it difficult to compare the severity of hyperbilirubinemia among patients within a study and across different studies.\(^{24,25}\) Elevated neonatal bilirubin in neonates exposed to ATV is not associated with UGT-1 genotypes that have been linked to decreased UGT function.\(^{26}\)

In an evaluation of neurodevelopmental outcomes in 374 infants aged 9 to 15 months who were exposed to HIV but who did not contract HIV, the adjusted mean scores on the language and socialemotional domains of the Bayley-III test were significantly lower for infants with perinatal exposure to ATV than for infants who were exposed to other drugs.\(^{27,28}\) In a study of language assessments among 792 children aged 1 to 2 years who were exposed to HIV but who did not contract HIV,
children with ATV exposure had an increased risk of late language emergence at age 12 months (aOR 1.83; 95% CI, 1.10–3.04) compared to children without ATV exposure, but this association was not significant at 24 months.\textsuperscript{29}

Hypoglycemia (glucose <40 mg/dL) that could not be attributed to maternal glucose intolerance, difficult delivery, or sepsis was reported in three of 38 ATV-exposed infants who had glucose samples collected during the first day of life. All three hypoglycemic infants’ glucose samples were adequately collected and processed in a timely fashion.\textsuperscript{1} This report of infant hypoglycemia is similar to a prior report in which two of 14 infants who were exposed to PIs (i.e., nelfinavir, saquinavir, or indinavir) developed hypoglycemia during the first day of life; both infants with hypoglycemia had been exposed to nelfinavir.\textsuperscript{30}
Excerpt from Table 11

**Note:** When using fixed-dose combination (FDC) tablets, refer to other sections in Appendix B and Table 11 in the Perinatal Guidelines for information about the dosing and safety of individual drug components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Dosing Recommendations</th>
<th>Use in Pregnancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Atazanavir (ATV) Reyataz              | ATV (Reyataz) Capsules  
• 100 mg (generic product only)  
• 150 mg
d  
• 200 mg
d  
• 300 mg
d  
Oral Powder  
• 50-mg packet  
ATV/c (Evotaz)  
• ATV 300 mg/COBI  
150-mg tablet | **Standard Adult Doses**  
In ARV-Naive Patients Without RTV Boosting  
• ATV 400 mg once daily with food; ATV without RTV boosting is not recommended when used with TDF, H2-receptor antagonists, PPIs, or during pregnancy.  
In ARV-Naive Patients With RTV Boosting  
• ATV/r 300 mg/100 mg once daily with food  
• When combined with EFV in ARV-naive patients: ATV/r 400 mg/100 mg once daily with food  
In ARV-Experienced Patients  
• ATV 300 mg plus RTV 100 mg once daily with food  
• Do not use with PPIs or EFV.  
In ARV-Experienced Patients Who Are Receiving an H2-Receptor Antagonist  
• ATV/r 300/100 mg once daily with food  
In ARV-Experienced Patients Who Are Receiving an H2-Receptor Antagonist and TDF  
• ATV/r 400 mg/100 mg once daily with food  
Powder Formulation  
• Oral powder is taken with RTV once daily with food at the same recommended adult dose as the capsules.  
ATV/c (Evotaz)  
• One tablet once daily with food | Low placental transfer to fetus.  
No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects).  
Must be given with RTV boosting in pregnancy.  
Effect of in utero ATV exposure on infant indirect bilirubin levels is unclear. Nonpathologic elevations of neonatal bilirubin have been observed in some, but not all, clinical trials to date.  
Oral powder (but not capsules) contains phenylalanine, which can be harmful to patients with phenylketonuria.  
Use of ATV/c is not recommended during pregnancy. See Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy, Table 4 and Table 5 for discussions about avoiding the use of ATV/c during pregnancy. |

Pregnancy PKs in Pregnancy  
ATV (Reyataz)  
• ATV concentrations are reduced during pregnancy, and they are further reduced when ATV is given concomitantly with TDF or an H2-receptor antagonist.  
ATV/c (Evotaz)  
• Use of ATV/c is not recommended during pregnancy, because ATV trough concentrations are 80% to 85% lower than...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Dosing Recommendations&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Use in Pregnancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>the ATV concentrations seen in nonpregnant adults.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Dosing in Pregnancy</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ATV (Reyataz)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Use of unboosted ATV <strong>is not recommended</strong> during pregnancy.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Use of ATV <strong>is not recommended</strong> during pregnancy for ARV-experienced patients who are taking TDF and an H2-receptor antagonist.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Use of an increased dose (ATV/r 400 mg/100 mg once daily with food) during the second and third trimesters results in plasma ATV concentrations equivalent to those seen in nonpregnant adults receiving standard dosing. Although some experts recommend increased ATV dosing in all patients during the second and third trimesters of pregnancy, the package insert recommends increased ATV dosing only for ARV-experienced pregnant women in the second and third trimesters who are also receiving either TDF or an H2-receptor antagonist.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ATV/c (Evotaz)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Insufficient data to make dosing recommendation in pregnancy (see COBI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., COBI).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<sup>a</sup> Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 11).

<sup>b</sup> Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

- **High**: >0.6
- **Moderate**: 0.3–0.6
- **Low**: <0.3

<sup>d</sup> Generic product is available.

**Key:** ARV = antiretroviral; ATV = atazanavir; ATV/c = atazanavir/cobicistat; ATV/r = atazanavir/ritonavir; COBI = cobicistat; EFV = efavirenz; FDC = fixed-dose combination; PK = pharmacokinetic; PPI = proton pump inhibitor; RTV = ritonavir; TDF = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate
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**Darunavir (Prezista, DRV)**

_Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021_

**Animal Studies**

**Carcinogenicity**

Darunavir (DRV) was neither mutagenic nor clastogenic in a series of _in vitro_ and _in vivo_ screening tests. A dose-related increase in the incidence of hepatocellular adenomas and carcinomas was observed in both male and female mice and rats, as was an increase in thyroid follicular cell adenomas in male rats. The observed hepatocellular findings in rodents are considered to be of limited relevance to humans. Repeated administration of DRV to rats caused hepatic microsomal enzyme induction and increased thyroid hormone elimination; this predisposed rats, but not humans, to thyroid neoplasms. At the highest tested doses, the systemic exposures to DRV (based on area under the curve [AUC]) were between 0.4-fold and 0.7-fold higher in mice and 0.7-fold and onefold higher in rats than the exposures observed in humans who received the recommended therapeutic doses of darunavir/ritonavir (DRV/r) 600 mg/100 mg twice daily or DRV/r 800 mg/100 mg once daily.1

**Reproduction/Fertility**

No effects on fertility or early embryonic development were seen in rats that received DRV.1

**Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes**

No embryotoxicity or teratogenicity was seen in rats that experienced DRV exposures (based on AUC) that were threefold higher than those seen in humans who received recommended DRV/r doses; likewise, no embryotoxicity or teratogenicity was seen in mice and rabbits that experienced DRV exposures that were less than onefold those seen in humans who received the recommended DRV/r doses. Administering DRV alone or with ritonavir to female rats during lactation resulted in a reduction in pup weight gain during a rat prenatal and postnatal development study. DRV/r is not recommended for pediatric patients aged <3 years because of the toxicity and mortality observed in juvenile rats dosed with DRV up to 23 to 26 days of age.1

**Placental and Breast Milk Passage**

No animal studies of placental passage of DRV have been reported. Passage of DRV into breast milk has been noted in rats.1

**Human Studies in Pregnancy**

**Pharmacokinetics**

Several studies of the pharmacokinetics (PKs) of DRV/r during pregnancy have been completed.2-5 DRV plasma AUC during the third trimester, compared with postpartum, was reduced by 17% to 26% with DRV/r 600 mg/100 mg twice-daily dosing and by 33% to 39% with DRV/r 800 mg/100 mg once-daily dosing.2-6 DRV trough concentration during the third trimester, compared with postpartum, was reduced by 8% to 12% with DRV/r 600 mg/100 mg twice-daily dosing and by 42% to 58% with DRV/r 800 mg/100 mg once-daily dosing.2-5

Three studies measured DRV protein binding during pregnancy. One study found no change in DRV
protein binding during the third trimester. The other two studies reported decreased unbound DRV concentrations during pregnancy that were not considered clinically significant.2,4,5 Because of the low DRV trough levels that occur with once-daily dosing, twice-daily dosing of DRV is recommended during pregnancy, especially for antiretroviral-experienced patients.3,7 The U.S. Food and Drug Administration recommends the use of once-daily DRV/r 800 mg/100 mg dosing only for pregnant people who are virally suppressed on a stable, once-daily DRV/r regimen before pregnancy and whose adherence or ability to tolerate a regimen may be compromised by a switch to twice-daily DRV/r.1 After reviewing the available evidence, the Panel on Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission does not recommend once-daily dosing of DRV/r in pregnancy. Because use of 800-mg DRV doses administered twice daily did not increase DRV exposure in pregnant women, the Panel recommends use of twice-daily 600 mg DRV dosing during pregnancy.6

Data are available from two studies describing the PK and safety of cobicistat (COBI) boosting of DRV during pregnancy. In both studies, darunavir/cobicistat (DRV/c) 800 mg/150 mg was administered during pregnancy.8,9 In a study of seven pregnant women with HIV who were treated with DRV/c, no drug-related adverse events were observed. When PK parameters during the second and third trimesters were compared to postpartum PK parameters, total DRV AUC was reduced by 56% and 50%, and trough concentration was reduced by 92% and 89%, respectively. Unbound DRV concentrations decreased during the second and third trimesters of pregnancy compared to postpartum, with AUC 45% and 40% lower and trough concentration 92% and 88% lower, respectively. COBI exposures were lower during pregnancy, with reductions during the second and third trimesters of 63% and 49% for AUC and 83% and 83% for trough concentration, compared to postpartum. Six of seven participants remained virally suppressed during pregnancy. One woman who was not virally suppressed was found to be nonadherent to treatment, based on pill count. No infants born to study mothers contracted HIV.9 On the basis of these data, the package insert for the fixed-dose combination (FDC) of DRV/c was edited to include a statement saying that this product is not recommended for use in pregnant women because of substantially lower exposures of DRV and COBI during pregnancy.10 These findings are consistent with the larger PK study which included data from 29 pregnant women who received DRV/c as part of clinical care and showed that when PK parameters during the second and third trimesters were compared with postpartum PK parameters in these women, total DRV AUC was reduced by 33% and 48%, respectively, and DRV trough concentrations were reduced by 71% and 75%, respectively.8

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

In an ex vivo human cotyledon perfusion model, the mean fetal transfer rate of DRV was 15%.11 In five studies that reported data from between 6 and 14 subjects each, the median ratio of DRV concentration in cord blood-to-DRV concentration in maternal delivery plasma ranged from 13% to 24%.2-4,9,12 No data are available that describe the breast milk passage of DRV in humans.

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

The Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry has monitored sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to DRV to allow detection of at least a twofold increase in the risk of overall birth defects. No such increase in the risk of birth defects has been observed with DRV. Among cases of first-trimester DRV exposure that have been reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, the prevalence of birth defects was 3.7% (24 of 643 live births; 95% confidence interval, 2.4% to 5.5%) compared with a 2.7% total prevalence in the U.S. population, based on the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance.13
### Excerpt from Table 110

**Note:** When using fixed-dose combination (FDC) tablets, refer to other sections in Appendix B and Table 11 in the Perinatal Guidelines for information about the dosing and safety of individual drug components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Dosing Recommendations</th>
<th>Use in Pregnancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Darunavir (DRV) Prezista               | DRV (Prezista) Tablet  
• 75 mg  
• 150 mg  
• 600 mg  
• 800 mg  
Oral Suspension  
• 100 mg/mL  
DRV/c (Prezcobix)  
• DRV 800 mg/COBI 150 mg/FTC 200 mg/TAF 10 mg tablet  
DRV/c/FTC/TAF (Symtuza)  
• DRV 800 mg/COBI 150 mg/FTC 200 mg/TAF 10 mg tablet | Standard Adult Doses  
ARV-Naive Patients  
• DRV/r 800 mg/100 mg once daily with food  
• DRV/c 800 mg/150 mg once daily with food  
ARV-Experienced Patients If Patient Has No DRV Resistance Mutations  
• DRV/r 800 mg/100 mg once daily with food  
• DRV/c 800 mg/150 mg once daily with food  
ARV-Experienced Patients If Any DRV Resistance Mutations Are Present  
• DRV/r 600 mg/100 mg twice daily with food  
• DRV/c (Prezcobix)  
• One tablet once daily with food  
• DRV/c/FTC/TAF (Symtuza)  
• One tablet once daily with food | Low placental transfer to fetus.  
No evidence of teratogenicity in mice, rats, or rabbits. No evidence of human teratogenicity.  
The Panel does not recommend once-daily dosing with DRV/r during pregnancy or the use of DRV/c during pregnancy. If a DRV/c regimen is continued during pregnancy, viral load should be monitored frequently.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name (Abbreviation)</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Dosing Recommendations&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Use in Pregnancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Increased, twice-daily DRV dose (DRV/r 800 mg/100 mg with food) during pregnancy does not result in an increase in DRV exposure and is not recommended. For guidance about use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., COBI, FTC, TAF).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 11).

<sup>b</sup> Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood-to-maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

- **High:** >0.6
- **Moderate:** 0.3–0.6
- **Low:** <0.3

**Key:** ARV = antiretroviral; COBI = cobicistat; DRV = darunavir; DRV/c = darunavir/cobicistat; DRV/r = darunavir/ritonavir; FTC = emtricitabine; PK = pharmacokinetic; RTV = ritonavir; TAF = tenofovir alafenamide
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**Lopinavir/Ritonavir (Kaletra, LPV/r)**

*(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)*

**Animal Studies**

**Carcinogenicity**

Neither lopinavir (LPV) nor ritonavir (RTV) was found to be mutagenic or clastogenic in a battery of *in vitro* and *in vivo* assays. The lopinavir/ritonavir (LPV/r) combination was administered to mice and rats for ≤104 weeks. Results showed an increased incidence of benign hepatocellular adenomas and an increased combined incidence of hepatocellular adenomas plus carcinoma in male and female mice and in male rats at doses of approximately 1.6 times to 2.2 times (in mice) and 0.5 times (in rats) the exposure seen in humans who received the recommended therapeutic dose of LPV/r 400 mg/100 mg (exposure was based on area under the concentration-time curve over 24 hours curve [AUC]_{0–24hr} measurement). No other benign or malignant neoplasms were significantly increased in mice or rats given LPV/r.¹

**Reproduction/Fertility**

No effects on fertility were observed in male and female rats that received LPV and RTV at a 2:1 ratio. These rats experienced exposures that were approximately 0.7-fold (for LPV) and 1.8-fold (for RTV) the exposures seen in humans who received the recommended therapeutic dose.¹

**Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes**

No teratogenicity has been reported in studies where LPV/r was administered to pregnant rats and rabbits. In rats treated with a maternally toxic dosage (LPV/r 100 mg/kg and 50 mg/kg per day), embryonic and fetal developmental toxicities (i.e., early resorption, decreased fetal viability, decreased fetal body weight, increased incidence of skeletal variations, and skeletal ossification delays) were observed. Drug exposure in the pregnant rats was 0.7-fold (for LPV) and 1.8-fold (for RTV) the exposures observed in humans who received the recommended therapeutic dose. In a perinatal and postnatal study in rats, a decrease in survival of pups between birth and postnatal day 21 occurred with exposure to LPV/r 40 mg/kg and 20 mg/kg per day or greater. In rabbits, no embryonic or fetal developmental toxicities were observed with a maternally toxic dose, when drug exposure was 0.6-fold (for LPV) and one-fold (for RTV) the exposures seen in humans who received the recommended therapeutic dose.¹ In a study of pregnant rats receiving chronic administration of zidovudine (ZDV), LPV, and RTV, maternal body weight gain was significantly reduced compared to weight gain in rats that received no antiretroviral (ARV) drugs, but no adverse effects were observed in fetuses.² In pregnant mice, the use of RTV, LPV, and atazanavir was associated with significantly lower progesterone levels than those seen in mice who received no ARV drugs, and the lower progesterone levels directly correlated with lower fetal weight.³

**Placental and Breast Milk Passage**

No information is available on placental transfer of LPV in animals.¹
Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

The original capsule formulation of LPV/r has been replaced by a heat-stable tablet formulation that has improved bioavailability characteristics and does not have to be administered with food.\(^4\,5\) Pharmacokinetic (PK) studies of standard adult LPV/r doses (400 mg/100 mg twice a day) that used either the capsule or tablet formulations in pregnant women have demonstrated a reduction in LPV plasma concentrations during pregnancy of around 30% compared with those seen in nonpregnant adults.\(^6\,8\) A further 33% reduction in LPV exposure was demonstrated in food-insecure, malnourished pregnant women in Uganda compared to well-nourished, historical pregnant controls. The authors attributed this reduction to decreased bioavailability of LPV.\(^9\) Increasing the dose of LPV/r during pregnancy to 600 mg/150 mg using the tablet formulation results in LPV plasma concentrations that are equivalent to those seen in nonpregnant adults who received standard doses.\(^10\,11\)

Clinical experience suggests that most, but not all, pregnant women who receive standard LPV/r tablet dosing during pregnancy will have trough LPV concentrations that exceed 1.0 mcg/mL, the usual target for trough concentration in therapeutic drug monitoring programs for ARV-naive subjects. However, higher trough concentrations are recommended for protease inhibitor (PI)-experienced subjects, and some PI-experienced women who take the standard LPV/r dose during pregnancy will not achieve these concentrations.\(^4\,7\) A population PK study of LPV/r in 154 pregnant women demonstrated that body weight influences LPV clearance and volume of distribution; larger women (>100 kg) or women who missed a dose were at higher risk for subtherapeutic trough concentrations when taking the standard dose during pregnancy.\(^12\) Another population PK study in 84 pregnant women and 595 nonpregnant adults found no significant difference between the LPV concentrations observed in pregnant women who were taking the more bioavailable tablet formulation and those seen in nonpregnant adults taking the original capsule formulation.\(^13\) In one study of 29 women, LPV plasma protein binding was reduced during pregnancy, but the resulting increase in free (unbound) drug was insufficient to make up for the reduction in total plasma LPV concentration associated with pregnancy.\(^14\) In a study of 12 women, total LPV exposure was significantly decreased throughout pregnancy, but the AUC and concentration at 12 hours post dose (C\(_{12h}\)) for unbound LPV did not differ throughout pregnancy, even with an increased dose of LPV/r 500 mg/125 mg. Modeling of these data showed that standard dosing should be effective during pregnancy in people with susceptible virus.\(^15\,16\) A population PK study found a 39% increase in total LPV clearance during pregnancy, but measured unbound LPV concentrations in pregnancy were within the range of those simulated in nonpregnant adults.\(^17\) Bonafe et al. randomized 32 pregnant women to receive the standard dose and 31 pregnant women to receive the 600 mg/150 mg dose of LPV/r at gestational ages between 14 and 33 weeks. No differences in adverse events were seen between groups. In women with baseline viral loads >50 copies/mL, 45% of women in the standard dose group had plasma viral loads >50 copies/mL during the last 4 weeks of pregnancy, compared to 10.5% of women in the increased dose group (\(P = 0.01\)). In women with baseline viral loads <50 copies/mL, no difference was seen between groups in viral load measurements during the last 4 weeks of pregnancy.\(^18\)

These studies have led some experts to support the use of an increased dose of LPV/r during the second and third trimesters of pregnancy, especially in patients who are PI-experienced and women who start treatment during pregnancy with a baseline viral load >50 copies/mL. If possible, when standard doses of LPV/r are used during pregnancy, virologic response and LPV drug concentrations...
should be monitored. Instead of using three adult tablets (LPV/r 200 mg/50 mg each) to increase the
dose of LPV/r to 600 mg/150 mg during pregnancy, clinicians may consider using two adult tablets
and one pediatric LPV/r tablet (100 mg/25 mg) to provide a dose of LPV/r 500 mg/125 mg.\textsuperscript{15} Once-
daily dosing of LPV/r is not recommended in pregnancy because no data exist to address whether
once-daily dosing produces adequate drug levels.

\textbf{Placental and Breast Milk Passage}

LPV crosses the human placenta; in the P1026s PK study (a Phase IV PK study of selected ARV
drugs used in pregnant women with HIV), the average ratio of LPV concentration in cord blood to
LPV concentration in maternal plasma at delivery was $0.20 \pm 0.13$. In contrast, in a study of
51 mother–infant pairs in Uganda in which the mother received LPV/r during pregnancy and
breastfeeding, infant LPV plasma levels at delivery and LPV hair levels at age 12 weeks suggested
significant \textit{in utero} transfer: 41\% of infants had detectable plasma LPV concentrations at birth,
and mean infant-to-maternal-hair concentrations at 12 weeks postpartum were 0.87 for LPV.\textsuperscript{19}
However, transfer during breastfeeding was not observed, and no infant had detectable plasma
LPV levels at 12 weeks. LPV concentrations in human breast milk are very low to undetectable, and
LPV concentrations in breastfeeding infants whose mothers received LPV are not clinically
significant.\textsuperscript{19–24}

\textbf{Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes}

The French Perinatal Cohort found no association between birth defects and LPV or RTV use with
85\% power to detect a 1.5-fold increase.\textsuperscript{25} The Pediatric HIV/AIDS Cohort Study found no
association between LPV and congenital anomalies.\textsuperscript{26} Surveillance data from the United Kingdom
and Ireland during a 10-year period showed that among the infants born after 4,609 LPV-exposed
pregnancies, 134 infants had an identified birth defect, resulting in an overall congenital abnormality
rate of 2.9\%. This rate is comparable to rates of congenital abnormalities observed in populations
without HIV.\textsuperscript{27} The Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry has monitored sufficient numbers of first-
trimester exposures to LPV/r to detect at least a 1.5-fold increase in risk of overall birth defects and
at least a twofold increase in risk of birth defects in the cardiovascular and genitourinary systems (the
more common classes of birth defects in the general population). No such increase in the risk of birth
defects has been observed with LPV/r. Among cases of first-trimester exposure to LPV/r reported to
the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, the prevalence of birth defects was 2.1\% (30 infants out of
1,439 live births; 95\% confidence interval, 1.4\% to 3.0\%) compared with a 2.7\% total prevalence in
the U.S. population based on the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance.\textsuperscript{28}

In the Promoting Maternal and Infant Survival Everywhere (PROMISE) study, administering LPV/r
with ZDV plus lamivudine (3TC) or with tenofovir disoproxil fumarate plus 3TC resulted in
transmission rates that were lower than those seen with ZDV alone; however, the use of these LPV/r-
containing regimens increased the incidence of low birth weight (<2,500 g).\textsuperscript{29} Compared to ZDV
alone, ZDV plus 3TC plus LPV/r was associated with increased rates of preterm delivery
(<37 weeks). The Surveillance Monitoring for ART Toxicities (SMARTT) cohort of the Pediatric
HIV/AIDS Cohort Study (PHACS) also found an increased rate of preterm birth among women who
received PI-based ARV therapy, although not with specific individual drugs.\textsuperscript{30} Similarly, a study in
China found that women who received PI-based regimens had higher rates of preterm birth than
those who received non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NNRTI)-based regimens.\textsuperscript{31} In the
United Kingdom/Ireland National Study of HIV in Pregnancy and Childhood, 2,368 out of
6,073 women had taken LPV/r during their pregnancies; after adjusting for other factors, the use of
LPV/r carried a greater risk of preterm delivery than the use of NNRTI-based regimens. For a more detailed discussion of ARV drug regimens and adverse pregnancy outcomes, please refer to Antiretroviral Drug Regimens and Maternal and Neonatal Outcomes.

Other Safety Information

LPV/r oral solution contains 42.4% (volume/volume) alcohol and 15.3% (weight/volume) propylene glycol and is not recommended for use during pregnancy. Reduced hepatic metabolic function and kidney excretory function in newborns can lead to accumulation of LPV and of alcohol and propylene glycol, resulting in adverse events (e.g., serious cardiac, renal, metabolic, or respiratory problems). For more information about LPV/r use in newborns, refer to the Lopinavir/Ritonavir section in the Pediatric Antiretroviral Guidelines.
Excerpt from Table 11

**Note:** When using fixed-dose combination (FDC) tablets, refer to other sections in Appendix B and Table 11 in the Perinatal Guidelines for information about the dosing and safety of individual drug components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name (Abbreviation)</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Dosing Recommendationsa</th>
<th>Use in Pregnancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lopinavir/Ritonavir (LPV/r)</td>
<td><strong>Kaletra</strong></td>
<td><strong>Standard Adult Doses</strong></td>
<td>Low placental transfer to fetus.¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaletra</td>
<td>Tablets</td>
<td>• LPV/r 200 mg/50 mg</td>
<td>No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• LPV/r 100 mg/25 mg</td>
<td>Oral solution contains 42% alcohol and 15% propylene glycol and is not recommended for use in pregnancy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Oral Solution</strong></td>
<td>Each 5 mL contains LPV/r 400 mg/100 mg</td>
<td>Once-daily LPV/r dosing is not recommended during pregnancy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Pregnancy PKs in Pregnancy</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• With twice-daily dosing, LPV exposure is reduced in pregnant women who receive standard adult doses; increasing the dose by 50% results in exposure equivalent to that seen in nonpregnant adults receiving standard doses.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• No PK data are available for once-daily dosing in pregnancy.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generic Name (Abbreviation)</td>
<td>Formulation</td>
<td>Dosing Recommendations&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Use in Pregnancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Dosing in Pregnancy</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Once-daily dosing <strong>is not recommended</strong> during pregnancy.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Some experts recommend that an increased dose (i.e., LPV/r 600 mg/150 mg twice daily without regard to meals or LPV/r 500 mg/125 mg twice daily without regard to meals) should be used in the second and third trimesters, especially in PI-experienced pregnant women and women who start treatment during pregnancy with a baseline viral load &gt;50 copies/mL.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• When standard dosing is used, monitor virologic response and, if possible, LPV drug levels.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 11).<sup>b</sup>

<sup>b</sup> Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

- **High:** >0.6
- **Moderate:** 0.3–0.6
- **Low:** <0.3

<sup>**G**eneric formulation available**</sup>

**Key:** EFV = efavirenz; FDC = fixed-dose combination; LPV = lopinavir; LPV/r = lopinavir/ritonavir; NVP = nevirapine; PI = protease inhibitor; PK = pharmacokinetic; RTV = ritonavir
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**Fostemsavir (Rukobia, FTR)**

_Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021_

Fostemsavir (FTR) is a prodrug of the active drug temsavir, a gp120-directed attachment inhibitor.

**Animal Studies**

_Carcinogenicity_

Temsavir was not genotoxic or mutagenic _in vitro._

_Reproduction/Fertility_

FTR did not adversely affect the fertility of male or female rats at temsavir exposures approximately 10 times (males) and 186 times (females) higher than those achieved in humans at the recommended dose.

_Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes_

No adverse embryo-fetal effects were observed in rats and rabbits at temsavir exposures of approximately 180 times (rats) and 30 times (rabbits) the exposure in humans at the recommended dose. Maternal toxicity and increased embryonic death were observed in rabbits at temsavir exposures approximately 60 times those in humans. In a rat study conducted at drug exposures approximately 200 times those in humans, fetal abnormalities (cleft palate, open eyes, shortened snout, microstomia, misaligned mouth/jaw, and protruding tongue) and reductions in fetal body weights occurred in the presence of maternal toxicity.

_Placental and Breast Milk Passage_

When FTR was administered to pregnant rats, FTR-related drug materials (e.g., temsavir or metabolites) crossed the placenta and were detectable in fetal tissue. Temsavir is excreted in rat milk and was present at concentrations similar to those measured in maternal plasma on Day 11 postpartum.

**Human Studies in Pregnancy**

_Pharmacokinetics_

No pharmacokinetic studies of FTR have been reported in pregnant women.

_Placental and Breast Milk Passage_

No data are available on placental or breast milk passage of FTR in humans.

_Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes_

No data are available to inform the risk for birth defects following exposure to FTR.
### Excerpt from Table 11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name (Abbreviation)</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Dosing Recommendations[^a]</th>
<th>Use in Pregnancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Fostemsavir** 
  *(FTR)* Rukobia | Extended-Release Tablet: 600 mg   | **Standard Adult Doses** 
  *(FTR)* Rukobia:  
  • FTR 600 mg twice daily with or without food | No human data are available regarding placental passage. A study in rats demonstrates placental passage of temsavir or other metabolites. Insufficient data to assess for teratogenicity in humans. No evidence of teratogenicity in rats or rabbits. |
|                             |                                   | **Pregnancy**                |                  |
|                             |                                   | **PK in Pregnancy:**         |                  |
|                             |                                   | • No PK studies in human pregnancy |                  |
|                             |                                   | **Dosing in Pregnancy:**     |                  |
|                             |                                   | • Insufficient data to make dosing recommendation |                  |

[^a]: Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the [Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 11](https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/pdf/library/guidelines/adult-adolescent/2022/adult-adolescent-cdc-positive/2022-adult-adolescent-children-guidance.pdf)).

**Key:** ARV = antiretroviral; FTR = fostemsavir; PK = pharmacokinetic
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Ibalizumab-uiyk (Trogarzo, IBA)

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

Carcinogenicity and mutagenicity studies of ibalizumab-uiyk (IBA) have not been conducted.¹

Reproduction/Fertility

Reproductive toxicology studies of IBA have not been conducted.¹

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

Results from an enhanced pre- and post-natal development (ePPND) study conducted in cynomolgus monkeys suggest IBA may cause reversible immunosuppression in infants born to mothers exposed to this drug during pregnancy. Decreases in CD4 T lymphocyte (CD4) T cells and B cells and increases in CD8 T cells were observed within the first 4 weeks after birth in cynomolgus monkeys with in utero exposure; lymphocyte counts returned to near normal levels by 3 months of age in these infant monkeys. No data are available for human infants with in utero exposure. However, based on these animal data, immune phenotyping of the peripheral blood, including CD4 lymphocyte T cell and B cell counts, is recommended for infants with in utero exposure to IBA. If immune suppression is observed, expert consultation also is recommended to provide guidance on monitoring and management (e.g., need for antibiotic or immunoprophylaxis) of exposed infants based on the degree of immunosuppression observed. The safety of administering live or live-attenuated vaccines in exposed infants who have significant immune suppression is unknown. Of note, no malformations or premature births were observed in the ePPND study.¹

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

No data are available on placental or breast milk passage of IBA in animals.

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

No pharmacokinetic studies of IBA in pregnant women have been reported.

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

No data are available on placental or breast milk passage of IBA in humans. However, because monoclonal antibodies are transported across the placenta during pregnancy, IBA has the potential to be transmitted from the mother to the developing fetus. Human immunoglobulin G also is present in human milk, although published data indicate that antibodies in breast milk do not enter the neonatal or infant circulation system in substantial amounts.¹
Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

No data are available on the risk of birth defects in infants born to women who received IBA during pregnancy.

The Food and Drug Administration requires collection of prospective data in individuals exposed to IBA during pregnancy to monitor maternal and pregnancy outcomes, including adverse effects on the developing fetus, neonate, and infant.
### Excerpt from Table 11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Dosing Recommendations&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Use in Pregnancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Ibalizumab--uiyk (IBA) Trogarzo | IV Solution: 150 mg/mL | **Standard Adult Doses**  
• IBA 2,000 mg loading dose, followed by IBA 800 mg maintenance doses administered every 2 weeks  

**Pregnancy**  
**PKs in Pregnancy:**  
• No PK studies in human pregnancy.  

**Dosing in Pregnancy:**  
• Insufficient data to make dosing recommendations. | No human data are available, but placental transfer of IBA, a monoclonal antibody, is possible and documented in monkeys.  

Based on data in cynomologus monkeys with in utero exposure, potential exists for reversible immunosuppression (CD4 T cell and B cell lymphocytopenia) in infants born to mothers exposed to IBA during pregnancy.  

The FDA requires collection of prospective data in individuals exposed to IBA during pregnancy to monitor maternal and pregnancy outcomes, including adverse effects on the developing fetus, neonate, and infant.  

Insufficient data to assess for teratogenicity in humans. |

---

<sup>a</sup> Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 11).

**Key:** ARV = antiretroviral; FDA = Food and Drug Administration; IBA = ibalizumab-uiyk; IV = intravenous; PK = pharmacokinetic
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Maraviroc (Selzentry, MVC)

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

Maraviroc (MVC) was neither mutagenic nor clastogenic in a series of in vitro and animal in vivo screening tests. Long-term carcinogenicity studies of MVC in rats showed no drug-related increases in tumor incidence at exposures that were approximately 11 times those observed in humans who received the therapeutic dose.

Reproduction/Fertility

No adverse effects were observed on the fertility of male or female rats at doses of MVC that produced exposures (based on area under the curve [AUC]) up to 20-fold higher than those seen in humans given the recommended 300-mg, twice-daily dose.

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

In animal reproduction studies, no evidence of adverse developmental outcomes was observed in animals that received MVC. During organogenesis in the rat and rabbit, systemic exposures to MVC (based on AUC) were approximately 20 times (in rats) and five times (in rabbits) the exposure seen in humans given the recommended 300-mg, twice-daily dose. In a rat prenatal and postnatal development study, maternal MVC AUC was about 14 times the exposure observed in humans given the recommended 300-mg, twice-daily dose.1

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

A study in rhesus macaques showed that single-dose MVC had poor placental transfer and rapid clearance from infant monkeys’ blood.2 Studies in lactating rats indicate that MVC is secreted extensively into rat milk.1

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

A U.S.-European intensive pharmacokinetic (PK) study measured 12-hour PK profiles in the third trimester and at least 2 weeks postpartum of 18 women who were taking MVC as part of clinical care.3 Sixty-seven percent of the women in the study were taking MVC 150 mg twice daily with a protease inhibitor, 11% took MVC 300 mg twice daily, and 22% took an alternative regimen. The geometric mean ratio for third-trimester AUC versus postpartum AUC was 0.72 (90% confidence interval [CI], 0.60–0.88); the geometric mean ratio for maximum MVC concentration in the third trimester versus maximum MVC concentration postpartum was 0.70 (90% CI, 0.58–0.85). Despite an overall 30% decrease in MVC AUC during pregnancy and a 15% decrease in trough concentration (Ctrough), Ctrough exceeded the minimum target concentration of 50 ng/mL in all participants except for one woman, who had a Ctrough below 50 ng/mL during both pregnancy and the postpartum period. These data suggest that the standard adult dose adjusted for concomitant antiretroviral (ARV) drugs
is appropriate in pregnancy. A review of interactions between ARV drugs and oral contraceptives found that it is safe to coadminister oral contraceptives with MVC.4

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

In a study of six mother–infant pairs, the median ratio of MVC concentration in cord blood to MVC concentration in maternal plasma was 0.33 (with a range of 0.03–0.56), indicating moderate placental transfer.3 An ex vivo human placental cotyledon perfusion model demonstrated minimal placental passage of MVC.5 This may be due to the activity of multiple transporters (e.g., multidrug resistance-associated protein 1, organic anion transporting polypeptide 1A2, organic anion transporting polypeptide 1B3) that drive MVC away from fetal circulation into placental tissue, as demonstrated in a closed-circuit perfusion study of MVC across human placental cotyledon.6 Whether MVC is secreted into human milk is unknown.

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

In a prospective study, 30 cases of first-trimester exposure to MVC have been reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry to date,7 and other first-trimester exposure data are available.8 Data are still insufficient, however, to determine the risk of birth defects for infants who were exposed to MVC.

Other Safety Information

A retrospective study from an English–Irish cohort of 857 pregnant women showed an increased rate of hepatotoxicity among the 492 women who started ARV therapy during pregnancy.9 MVC, efavirenz, and nevirapine were associated with an increased risk of liver enzyme elevation during pregnancy; the adjusted hazard ratio for MVC was 4.19 (1.34–13.1, P = 0.01). In a model that used human placental BeWo cells, MVC inhibited transplacental passage of two fluorescent organic cations, suggesting that it might influence placental drug transfer and cause drug–drug interactions.10
## Excerpt from Table 11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name (Abbreviation)</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Dosing Recommendationsa</th>
<th>Use in Pregnancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maraviroc (MVC) Selzentry</td>
<td>Tablets</td>
<td><strong>Standard Adult Doses</strong></td>
<td>Moderate placental transfer to fetus.b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• MVC 300 mg twice daily with or without food.</td>
<td>No evidence of teratogenicity in rats or rabbits; insufficient data to assess teratogenicity in humans.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• MVC should be used only for patients with CCR5-tropic virus (and no X4-tropic virus).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Dose Adjustments</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Increase to MVC 600 mg twice daily when used with the potent CYP3A inducers EFV, ETR, and rifampin.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Decrease to MVC 150 mg twice daily when used with CYP3A inhibitors, which includes all PIs except TPV/r and itraconazole.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Pregnancy</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>PKs in Pregnancy</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• A PK study in human pregnancy demonstrated a 20% to 30% overall decrease in MVC AUC, but C\textsubscript{trough} exceeded the recommended minimum concentration of 50 ng/mL.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Dosing in Pregnancy</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Adjusting the standard adult MVC dose for concomitant use with ARV drugs seems appropriate.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(a\) Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 11).

\(b\) Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

- **High**: >0.6
- **Moderate**: 0.3–0.6
- **Low**: <0.3

**Key:** ARV = antiretroviral; AUC = area under the curve; CCR5 = C-C chemokine receptor type 5; C\textsubscript{trough} = trough concentration; CYP = cytochrome P; EFV = efavirenz; ETR = etravirine; MVC = maraviroc; PI = protease inhibitor; PK = pharmacokinetic; TPV/r = tipranavir/ritonavir
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1. Maraviroc (Selzentry) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2020. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2020/022128Orig1s019,208984Orig1s002lbl.pdf.


**Bictegravir (BIC)**

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

**Animal Studies**

**Carcinogenicity**

Bictegravir (BIC) has not been shown to be genotoxic or mutagenic in vitro.\(^1\)

**Reproduction/Fertility**

BIC did not affect fertility, reproductive performance, or embryonic viability in male and female rats at exposures (based on area under the curve [AUC]) that were 29 times higher than those observed in humans who received the recommended dose.\(^1\)

**Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes**

No adverse embryo-fetal effects were observed in rats and rabbits at BIC exposures (based on AUC) of up to about 36 times (in rats) and 0.6 times (in rabbits) the exposures observed in humans who received the recommended dose. Spontaneous abortion, increased clinical signs (e.g., fecal changes, thin body, cold to touch), and decreased body weight were observed in rabbits at a maternally toxic dose (i.e., 1,000 mg/kg per day, which produced an exposure approximately 1.4 times higher than the exposure observed in humans who received the recommended dose).\(^1\)

**Placental and Breast Milk Passage**

No data are available on placental passage of BIC. In a prenatal and postnatal development study conducted in rats, BIC was detected in the plasma of nursing rat pups on postnatal Day 10, likely due to the presence of BIC in milk.\(^1\)

**Human Studies in Pregnancy**

**Pharmacokinetics**

Limited information about the pharmacokinetics (PKs) of BIC in pregnancy are presented in a case series describing two pregnant individuals of whom one had PK data during pregnancy and postpartum. This patient’s AUC, C\text{trough}, and C\text{max} were 35%, 49%, and 19% lower, respectively, at 33 weeks gestation compared to 6 weeks postpartum. The patient remained virologically suppressed through delivery. The generalizability of these findings is unknown at this time.\(^2\)

**Placental and Breast Milk Passage**

Data regarding placental transfer of BIC are limited and provide mixed results. Data from two patients treated with BIC during pregnancy demonstrated high placental transfer; the umbilical cord-to-maternal plasma ratio was 1.49 in one patient 20 hours after BIC dosing and 1.42 in another patient 7 hours after BIC dosing.\(^2\) However, placental transfer was found to be low in an ex vivo dually perfused human cotyledon model with a median (interquartile range 25–75) maternal-to-fetal ratio of 7% (6% to 9.5%).\(^3\) Additional data are needed to refine our understanding of placental passage of BIC. No data are available on breast milk passage of BIC in humans.
Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

The Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry has prospectively monitored 140 pregnancies in women treated with BIC during periconception or pregnancy, including 91 infants with periconception exposure, 9 infants with later first-trimester exposure, and 40 infants with exposure in the second or third trimester. Three birth defects, including one central nervous system defect that was not a neural tube defect or encephalocele, have been reported to date. However, these data are insufficient to make conclusions regarding the safety of BIC during pregnancy.4
Excerpt from Table 11

**Note:** When using fixed-dose combination (FDC) tablets, refer to other sections in Appendix B and Table 11 in the Perinatal Guidelines for information about the dosing and safety of individual drug components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name (Abbreviation)</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Dosing Recommendationsa</th>
<th>Use in Pregnancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bictegravir/Emtricitabine/ Tenofovir Alafenamide (BIC/FTC/TAF)</td>
<td>BIC/FTC/TAF (Biktarvy)</td>
<td>Standard Adult Doses</td>
<td>More data are needed to characterize the placental passage of BIC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Note:</strong> BIC is available only as part of an FDC tablet.</td>
<td>- BIC 50 mg/FTC 200 mg/TAF 25 mg tablet</td>
<td>- One tablet of BIC 50 mg/FTC 200 mg/TAF 25 once daily with or without food</td>
<td>Insufficient data exist to assess for teratogenicity in humans. No evidence of teratogenicity in rats or rabbits exists.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- BIC 30 mg/FTC 120 mg/TAF 15 mg tablet</td>
<td><strong>Pregnancy</strong></td>
<td>BIC can be taken with food at the same time as any preparation containing iron or calcium—including prenatal vitamins—but should not be administered within 2 hours of these preparations when taken on an empty stomach. BIC can be taken at least 2 hours before or 6 hours after antacids containing aluminum or magnesium.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>PK in Pregnancy</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- No PK studies in human pregnancy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Dosing in Pregnancy</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Insufficient data to make dosing recommendations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>For guidance about use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., FTC, TAF).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines Appendix B, Table 11).*

**Key:** ARV = antiretroviral; BIC = bictegravir; FDC = fixed-dose combination; FTC = emtricitabine; PK = pharmacokinetics; TAF = tenofovir alafenamide
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**Cabotegravir (Vocabria, CAB)**  
**Cabotegravir Rilpivirine (Cabenuva, CAB RPV)**

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

**Animal Studies**

**Carcinogenicity**

Cabotegravir (CAB) was neither mutagenic nor clastogenic in a series of *in vitro* and animal *in vivo* screening tests. Long-term carcinogenicity studies of CAB in mice did not show any carcinogenic potential at systemic exposures that were sevenfold (in females) or eightfold (in males) greater than human exposure at the recommended dose. In rats, no drug-related increases in tumor incidence were observed at CAB exposures up to approximately 26 times higher than those in humans at the recommended dose.1

CAB was not genotoxic in the bacterial reverse mutation assay, mouse lymphoma assay, or in the *in vivo* rodent micronucleus assay.2 See Rilpivirine for data about rilpivirine (RPV).

**Reproduction/Fertility**

In rats, no effects on fertility were observed at CAB exposures (area under the curve) at least 20 times greater than the exposure in humans at recommended doses. See Rilpivirine for data about RPV.

**Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes**

Studies in pregnant rats showed that CAB crosses the placenta and can be detected in fetal tissue. Treatment of rat dams with CAB during pregnancy and postpartum had no effects on fetal viability, although a minor decrease was observed in fetal body weight with exposures 28 times those seen in humans at the recommended dose. No drug-related fetal toxicities were observed with rat dam exposures approximately 13 times those seen in humans at the recommended dose, and no fetal malformations were observed at any rat dam dose. A delay in the onset of parturition and increases in the number of stillbirths and neonatal deaths were seen with exposure of rat dams to CAB at 28 times the human exposure with recommended doses, but not with exposure at 13 times the human exposure with recommended doses.

No drug-related fetal toxicities were observed after CAB exposures of rabbit dams of up to approximately 0.7 times those seen in humans at the recommended dose.3 See Rilpivirine for data about RPV.

**Placental and Breast Milk Passage**

Studies in lactating rats and their offspring indicate that CAB is present in rat milk. See Rilpivirine for data about RPV.
Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

No studies have been conducted on the pharmacokinetics (PKs) of CAB and RPV with ongoing intramuscular (IM) injections during pregnancy. Clinical trial data reported to date are limited to pregnant women who stopped receiving CAB injections once pregnancy was recognized and began an alternative oral antiretroviral regimen throughout the remainder of their pregnancies. CAB PK data are available for three pregnant women after cessation of injections. In two of these women, both of whom maintained typical weight through delivery, CAB concentrations were predicted to remain therapeutic. Also, the rate of decline in concentrations during pregnancy in these two women was similar to the rate of decline in non-pregnant adults. The third pregnant woman had a faster rate of decline in CAB concentrations than expected; this woman had a low body mass index (BMI) (15.3 kg/m2), and her low body fat may have had altered absorption from the long-acting depot injection site.3

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Median (interquartile range 25–75) CAB maternal-to-fetal concentration ratio assessed using an ex vivo, dually perfused human cotyledon model was 10% (5–16), suggesting low placental transfer.4 No data are available describing breast milk passage of CAB in humans. See Rilpivirine for data about RPV.

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

No congenital anomalies, preterm birth, or drug-related maternal or neonatal adverse events have been reported to date in the four live births of infants from mothers who conceived while receiving IM injections of CAB and RPV. See Rilpivirine for additional information about oral RPV.
Excerpt from Table 11

Note: When using fixed-dose combination (FDC) tablets, refer to other sections in Appendix B and Table 11 in the Perinatal Guidelines for information about the dosing and safety of individual drug components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name (Abbreviation)</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Dosing Recommendations</th>
<th>Use in Pregnancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cabotegravir (CAB)</td>
<td>CAB</td>
<td>Standard Adult Doses</td>
<td>No human data are available regarding placental passage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vocabria (oral)</td>
<td>CAB 30-mg tablets for oral administration</td>
<td>Oral Lead-in Therapy</td>
<td>Insufficient data to assess for teratogenicity in humans. No evidence of teratogenicity in rats or rabbits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apretude (injection) (CAB RPV)</td>
<td>CAB 200 mg/mL suspension for IM injection</td>
<td>CAB (Vocabria)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cabenuva</td>
<td>CAB RPV</td>
<td>One 30-mg tablet once daily in combination with RPV (Edurant) 25 mg once daily taken with a meal for 4 weeks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CAB 200 mg/mL suspension for IM injection</td>
<td>CAB (Apretude)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RPV 300 mg/mL suspension for IM injection</td>
<td>Initiation:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• CAB 600 mg (3 mL) injection given 1 month apart for 2 consecutive months on the last day of an oral lead-in if used or within 3 days</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Continuation Therapy:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• CAB 600 mg (3 mL) injections every 2 months thereafter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CAB RPV (Cabenuva)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Loading Dose to Be Given on Last Day of Oral Therapy:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• CAB 600 mg (3 mL) and RPV 900 mg (3 mL), given as two separate injections in separate ventrogluteal sites</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Continuation Therapy:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• CAB 400 mg (2 mL) and RPV 600 mg (2 mL), given as two separate injections in separate ventrogluteal sites once a month with allowance for a +/- 7-day administration window</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Patients should be monitored for ~10 minutes for post-injection reactions.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generic Name (Abbreviation)</td>
<td>Formulation</td>
<td>Dosing Recommendations&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Use in Pregnancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade Name</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

23-gauge, 1.5-inch IM needle is recommended for the injection and is provided in the packaging. Longer, 2-inch needles should be used in patients with BMIs >30 kg/m².

**Pregnancy**

*PKs in Pregnancy*

- No PK studies in human pregnancy

*Dosing in Pregnancy*

- Insufficient data to make dosing recommendations

For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., RPV).

<sup>a</sup> Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 11).

<sup>b</sup> Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

- **High:** >0.6
- **Moderate:** 0.3–0.6
- **Low:** <0.3

**Key:** ARV = antiretroviral; BMI = body mass index; CAB = cabotegravir; FDC = fixed-dose combination; IM = intramuscular; PK = pharmacokinetic; RPV = rilpivirine
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**Dolutegravir (Tivicay, Tivicay PD, DTG)**

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

**Animal Studies**

**Carcinogenicity**

Dolutegravir (DTG) has not been shown to be genotoxic or mutagenic in vitro. No carcinogenicity was detected in 2-year, long-term studies in mice at DTG exposures that were up to 14-fold higher than the exposures achieved in humans with systemic exposure to the recommended dose. In addition, no carcinogenicity was detected in rats at DTG exposures up to 10-fold higher in males and 15-fold higher in females than the exposures seen in humans who received the recommended dose.1

**Reproduction/Fertility**

DTG did not affect fertility in male and female rats and rabbits at doses that produced exposures (based on area under the curve [AUC]) that were approximately 27-fold higher than that achieved in humans who received the recommended dose.1

**Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes**

Studies of DTG in rats and rabbits have shown no evidence of developmental toxicity, teratogenicity, or effects on reproductive function.1

**Placental and Breast Milk Passage**

Studies in rats have demonstrated that DTG crosses the placenta and is excreted into breast milk.1

**Human Studies in Pregnancy**

**Pharmacokinetics**

DTG pharmacokinetics (PK) in human pregnancy have been reported in three studies and a series of case reports.2-8 In a safety and PK study of 29 pregnant women in the United States, DTG plasma concentrations were lower during pregnancy than postpartum, with DTG AUC reduced by 21% during pregnancy. Although trough concentrations were reduced by 34% during the third trimester compared to postpartum, trough concentrations during pregnancy were well above 0.064 μg/mL, the 90% effective concentration for DTG. DTG was well tolerated by these pregnant women. During the third trimester, HIV-1 RNA was below 50 copies/mL in 27 of 29 participants, and no infants acquired HIV.6 Similar reductions in DTG exposure were seen in a study of 15 European pregnant women, with DTG AUC reduced by 14% and minimum concentration (Cmin) by 26% during pregnancy compared to postpartum. DTG was well tolerated, and all participants had viral load below 50 copies/mL during the third trimester.8

In contrast, PK sampling during pregnancy and the early postpartum period of 17 African women who were receiving DTG showed a small reduction in DTG maximum concentration (Cmax) and no differences in the 24-hour concentration (C24h) and AUC from 0 to 24 hours (AUC0-24h) when geometric mean ratios in pregnancy were compared to the postpartum period. However, postpartum sampling was performed at a median of 10 days postpartum, when maternal physiology had not yet
fully returned to the nonpregnant state.\textsuperscript{7} In the case reports, DTG was used safely and effectively in individual pregnant women and plasma exposures were adequate.\textsuperscript{2-5}

**Placental and Breast Milk Passage**

Placental transfer of DTG in an ex vivo perfusion model was high, with a mean fetal-to-maternal concentration ratio of 0.6.\textsuperscript{9} In two in vivo PK studies, the median DTG cord blood-to-maternal-plasma concentration ratios were 1.21 and 1.25.\textsuperscript{6,7} High placental transfer of DTG has also been reported in several of the case reports.\textsuperscript{2-5} In 17 breastfeeding mothers, the median ratio of DTG in breast milk to maternal plasma was 0.03. Their infants had a median maximum DTG concentration of 66.7 ng/mL (range 21–654 ng/mL) and a median minimum concentration of 60.9 ng/mL (range 16.3–479 ng/mL) at a median age of 10 days (range 7–18 days). The geometric mean ratio of infant plasma to maternal plasma DTG concentrations in these 17 mothers–infant pairs was 0.03.\textsuperscript{7}

**Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes**

The Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry has monitored sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to DTG to detect at least a twofold increase in the risk of overall birth defects. No such increase in the risk of birth defects has been observed with DTG. Among the cases of first-trimester DTG exposure that have been reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry as of January 31, 2021, the prevalence of birth defects was 3.3\% \textsuperscript{(10 of 576 live births; 95\% confidence interval, 2.0–5.1)}.\textsuperscript{10} Supplemental data from the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry on central nervous system (CNS) birth defect outcomes in 956 live births to women who were exposed to DTG during periconception or pregnancy reported 5 infants with CNS birth defects: 2 of 475 infants with periconception exposure, 1 of 99 infants with exposure in the later first trimester, and 2 of 380 infants with second- or third-trimester exposure. One of the CNS defects was a neural tube defect (NTD) in an infant with periconception exposure; no encephalocele defects were reported.\textsuperscript{10}

In the U.S. PK study in pregnant women discussed above, birth abnormalities were reported in 7 of 29 infants: 3 with normal variants; 1 with total anomalous pulmonary venous return (DTG was initiated at 16 weeks gestation); 1 with a polycystic right kidney (DTG was initiated at 11 weeks gestation); 1 with an isolated left renal cyst (DTG was initiated at 12 weeks gestation); and 1 with jitteriness and chin tremors (DTG was initiated at 28 weeks gestation).\textsuperscript{6} DTG was initiated at 28 weeks gestation or later in the PK study in African women discussed above, and no congenital anomalies were observed among 28 live births.\textsuperscript{7} In reviews of clinical experience with pregnant women who received DTG, birth defects were noted in 4 infants born to 81 European women, in 2 infants born to 66 women from the United States, and in no infants born to 116 women from Botswana who received DTG during the first trimester.\textsuperscript{11-13} No increased incidence of birth defects or adverse perinatal outcomes was observed in 57 French women receiving DTG during pregnancy compared to matched controls who did not receive integrase strand transfer inhibitors during pregnancy.\textsuperscript{14}

In July 2019, a report from a National Institutes of Health–funded surveillance study of birth outcomes among pregnant women in Botswana who were receiving antiretroviral therapy found that DTG exposure at the time of conception was associated with a slightly higher rate of NTDs than other types of antiretroviral drug exposure (0.30\% vs. 0.10\%).\textsuperscript{15} Expanded and ongoing surveillance of birth outcomes in Botswana among pregnant women receiving antiretrovirals between April 1, 2019, and March 2021, revealed a prevalence of NTDs with DTG use at conception of 0.15\% and a decrease in the NTD prevalence difference between women with HIV receiving DTG and those...
receiving other antiretrovirals from 0.20% in the initial report to 0.05%—a difference that is not statistically significant. Unlike in the United States, folate fortification of food is not standard practice in Botswana, and it is unknown how folate levels may affect the possible association between periconceptual DTG exposure and NTDs.
Excerpt from Table 11

**Note:** When using fixed-dose combination (FDC) tablets, refer to other sections in Appendix B and Table 11 in the Perinatal Guidelines for information about the dosing and safety of individual drug components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name (Abbreviation)</th>
<th>Trade Name</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Dosing Recommendations</th>
<th>Use in Pregnancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dolutegravir (DTG)</td>
<td>Tivicay</td>
<td>DTG (Tivicay)</td>
<td>• DTG 10 mg, 25 mg, and 50 mg film-coated tablets</td>
<td>High placental transfer to fetus.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tivicay PD</td>
<td>DTG (Tivicay PD)</td>
<td>• DTG 5 mg dispersible tablet for oral suspension</td>
<td>No evidence of teratogenicity in rats or rabbits. The most recent data from Botswana indicates the prevalence of NTDs in infants born to pregnant women with HIV receiving DTG at conception is no longer statistically different than in those receiving other antiretrovirals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(DTG/3TC)</td>
<td>DTG film-coated tablets and DTG dispersible tablets are not bioequivalent and are not interchangeable.</td>
<td>DTG (Tivicay) • One 50 mg tablet once daily, without regard to food</td>
<td>DTG is a Preferred antiretroviral drug for use during pregnancy, irrespective of trimester, and for people who are trying to conceive (see Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy and Table 5).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dovato</td>
<td>DTG/3TC (Dovato)</td>
<td>• DTG 50 mg/3TC 300 mg tablet</td>
<td>To maximize DTG absorption, doses should not be administered within 2 hours of ingesting any preparation that contains such minerals as iron or calcium, including prenatal vitamins.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(DTG/RPV)</td>
<td>DTG/RPV (Juluca)</td>
<td>• DTG 50 mg/RPV 25 mg tablet</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Juluca</td>
<td>DTG/ABC/3TC (Triumeq)</td>
<td>• DTG 50 mg/ABC 600 mg/3TC 300 mg tablet</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(DTG/ABC/3TC)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Triumeq</td>
<td>Standard Adult Doses</td>
<td>In ARV-Naive or ARV-Experienced (but INSTI-Naive) Patients</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DTG (Tivicay)</td>
<td>• One 50 mg tablet once daily, without regard to food</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DTG (Tivicay PD)</td>
<td>• Six 5 mg tablets (30 mg) dissolved in water once daily, without regard to food</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DTG/3TC (Dovato)</td>
<td>• One tablet once daily, without regard to food</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DTG/RPV (Juluca)</td>
<td>• One tablet once daily, with food</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DTG/ABC/3TC (Triumeq)</td>
<td>• One tablet once daily, without regard to food</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>In ARV-Naive or ARV-Experienced (but INSTI-Naive) Patients Who Are Also Receiving EFV, FPVr, TPVr, or Rifampin</td>
<td>DTG (Tivicay) • One 50 mg tablet twice daily, without regard to food</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DTG (Tivicay PD)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Six 5 mg tablets (30 mg) dissolved in water twice daily, without regard to food</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>In INSTI-Experienced Patients</td>
<td>DTG (Tivicay)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generic Name (Abbreviation)</td>
<td>Formulation</td>
<td>Dosing Recommendations&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Use in Pregnancy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• One tablet twice daily, without regard to food</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Pregnancy</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• AUC may be decreased during the third trimester compared with postpartum, but exposures during pregnancy are well above those needed to inhibit viral replication.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Dosing in Pregnancy</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• No change in dose indicated.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., ABC, 3TC, RPV).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency. For details, see the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 11.

<sup>b</sup> Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

- **High:** >0.6
- **Moderate:** 0.3–0.6
- **Low:** <0.3

**Key:** 3TC = lamivudine; ABC = abacavir; ARV = antiretroviral; AUC = area under the curve; DTG = dolutegravir; EFV = efavirenz; FPV/r = fosamprenavir/ritonavir; INSTI = integrase strand transfer inhibitor; NTD = neural tube defect; PK = pharmacokinetic; RPV = rilpivirine; TPV/r = tipranavir/ritonavir
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Elvitegravir (EVG)

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

In long-term studies of elvitegravir (EVG), no carcinogenicity was detected at exposures that were 14-fold higher in mice and rats and 27-fold higher in rats than those achieved in humans during systemic exposure to the recommended doses.¹

Reproduction/Fertility

EVG did not affect fertility in male and female rats at approximately 16-fold and 30-fold higher exposures than those seen in humans who received standard doses. Fertility was normal in the offspring of these rats.¹

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

Studies have shown no evidence of teratogenicity and no effect on reproductive function in rats and rabbits receiving EVG.¹

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

No data are available on the placental transfer of EVG in nonhuman primates. Studies in rats have demonstrated that EVG is secreted in breast milk.¹

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

Pharmacokinetic (PK) and safety data from 30 pregnant U.S. women with HIV who received a fixed-dose combination (FDC) of EVG, cobicistat (COBI), emtricitabine, and tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) have been published. EVG exposure (based on area under the curve [AUC]) was 24% lower during the second trimester and 44% lower during the third trimester than during the postpartum period. EVG trough concentration (C₂₄₉₈) was 81% lower during the second trimester and 89% lower during the third trimester than during the postpartum period. COBI AUC was 54% to 57% lower and C₂₄₉₈ was 72% to 76% lower during the second and third trimesters, respectively, than during the postpartum period. EVG AUC failed to reach the exposure target of 23 mcg•h/mL (the 10th percentile for nonpregnant adults) in 50% of women during the second trimester and 55% of women during the third trimester; 12% of women reached the exposure target during the postpartum period. Plasma HIV RNA at delivery was <50 copies/mL in 19 of 25 women (76%) for whom data were available.² In a European study that evaluated the PK of EVG administered with COBI in 14 pregnant women, EVG AUC was reduced by 34%, and trough concentration was reduced by 77% during the third trimester compared with the postpartum period. EVG trough concentration was below the EC₉₀ (0.13 mg/L) in 85% of women in the third trimester and in none, postpartum. Two women experienced virologic failure during the third trimester and were switched to alternative regimens.³
Two case reports of EVG and COBI PKs, safety, and efficacy in individual pregnant women found similar reductions in EVG and COBI exposure during pregnancy, although viral loads in both women remained undetectable throughout pregnancy.4,5 One case report described unbound EVG concentrations and found that the unbound fraction was 0.3% during pregnancy and 0.5% at 6 months postpartum. Reductions in both total EVG concentration and unbound EVG concentration increase the risk of suboptimal exposure.5

Because studies have reported reduced EVG exposure when pregnant women receive FDC tablets that contain EVG and COBI, the prescribing information for these products has been changed to indicate that these formulations are not recommended for use in pregnancy and should not be initiated in pregnancy; frequent viral load monitoring or use of an alternative regimen is recommended for individuals who become pregnant while receiving these formulations.1,6 If these formulations are used in pregnancy to maximize absorption, they should be administered with a meal and should not be administered within 2 hours of intake of preparations containing minerals, such as iron or calcium, including prenatal vitamins.6

**Placental and Breast Milk Passage**

Placental passage of EVG has been evaluated in two studies. A U.S. study of EVG PK and safety observed that EVG crossed the placenta well, with a median cord-to-maternal-plasma ratio of 0.91 in 15 women. The median EVG elimination half-life in neonates was 7.6 hours, similar to that in nonpregnant adults. COBI concentrations were low in cord blood and were not detected in the plasma of any neonates.2 A European study reported similar results, with a median cord blood-to-maternal delivery plasma ratio of 0.75 in seven women.3 No data are available on human breast milk transfer of EVG.

**Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes**

The Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry has monitored sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to EVG to allow the detection of at least a two-fold increase in the risk of overall birth defects. No such increase in the risk of birth defects has been observed with EVG.7 Among the cases of first-trimester EVG exposure, the prevalence of birth defects was 3% (11 of 371 live births; 95% confidence interval, 1.5% to 5.24%) compared with a 2.7% total prevalence in the U.S. population, according to Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance.7 The Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry reported supplemental data for central nervous system (CNS) birth defect outcomes among 441 live births with exposure to EVG during periconception (n = 343) or pregnancy (late first trimester, n = 27; second or third trimester, n = 70). The Registry reported one CNS birth defect with exposure to EVG during periconception that was not a neural tube or an encephalocele defect.7

In the largest prospective PK and safety study of EVG in pregnancy, which included data on 26 live-born infants, congenital anomalies were reported in two infants: one infant with amniotic band syndrome, microcephaly, and intrauterine growth restriction and one infant with ulnar postaxial polydactyly (supernumerary digit).2 In a retrospective report of 137 infants in the U.S. who were born to mothers who received EVG during pregnancy, two birth defects were noted: one case of hydronephrosis and one case of encephalocele. Two cases of intrauterine fetal demise among the 134 pregnancies also were included in this report.8
Excerpt from Table 11

Note: When using fixed-dose combination (FDC) tablets, refer to other sections in Appendix B and Table 11 in the Perinatal Guidelines for information about the dosing and safety of individual drug components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Dosing Recommendationsa</th>
<th>Use in Pregnancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elvitegravir (EVG)</td>
<td>EVG/c/FTC/TAF (Genvoya)</td>
<td>Standard Adult Doses</td>
<td>Evidence of high placental transfer of EVG and low transfer of COBI.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• EVG 150 mg/COBI 150 mg/FTC 200 mg/TAF 10-mg tablet</td>
<td>Genvoya and Stribild</td>
<td>Insufficient data to assess for teratogenicity in humans. No evidence of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EVG/c/FTC/TDF (Stribild)</td>
<td></td>
<td>teratogenicity in rats or rabbits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• EVG 150 mg/COBI 150 mg/FTC 200 mg/TDF 300-mg tablet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EVG/c is not recommended for use in pregnancy. For persons who become</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>pregnant while taking EVG/c, consider frequent viral load monitoring or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>switching to a more effective, recommended regimen. If a woman continues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>taking a regimen that contains EVG/c, doses should be administered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>with a meal and should not be administered within 2 hours of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ingesting any preparation that contains minerals, such as iron or calcium,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>including prenatal vitamins.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 11).

b Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

High: >0.6
Moderate: 0.3–0.6
Low: <0.3

Key: ARV = antiretroviral; COBI = cobicistat; EVG = elvitegravir; EVG/c = elvitegravir/cobicistat; FDC = fixed-dose combination; FTC = emtricitabine; PK = pharmacokinetic; TAF = tenofovir alafenamide; TDF = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate
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**Raltegravir (Isentress, RAL)**

*(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)*

**Animal Studies**

**Carcinogenicity**

Raltegravir (RAL) was neither mutagenic nor clastogenic in a series of *in vitro* and animal *in vivo* screening tests. Long-term carcinogenicity studies of RAL in mice did not show any carcinogenic potential at systemic exposures that were 1.8-fold (in females) or 1.2-fold (in males) greater than human exposure at the recommended dose. Treatment-related squamous cell carcinoma of the nose/nasopharynx was observed in female rats with prolonged exposures threefold higher than seen in humans who received the recommended adult dose. These tumors were possibly the result of local irritation and inflammation due to local deposition and/or aspiration of the drug in the mucosa of the nose/nasopharynx during dosing. No tumors of the nose/nasopharynx were observed in rats with systemic exposures that were 1.7-fold (in males) or 1.4-fold (in females) greater than the exposure observed in humans who received the recommended dose of RAL.¹

**Reproduction/Fertility**

RAL had no adverse effects on the fertility of male or female rats at exposures up to threefold higher than the exposures seen in humans who received the recommended adult dose.

**Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes**

No treatment-related effects on embryonic/fetal survival or fetal weights were observed in studies where RAL was administered to rats and rabbits at doses that produced systemic exposures approximately threefold to fourfold higher than the exposures seen in humans who received the recommended daily dose. In rabbits, no treatment-related external, visceral, or skeletal changes were observed. However, treatment-related increases in the incidence of supernumerary ribs were seen in rats with RAL exposures that were threefold higher than the exposure seen in humans who received the recommended daily dose.¹

**Placental and Breast Milk Passage**

Placental transfer of RAL was demonstrated in both rats and rabbits. In pregnant rats given a dose of RAL 600 mg/kg per day, mean fetal blood concentrations were approximately 1.5-fold to 2.5-fold higher than the concentrations in maternal plasma at 1 hour and 24 hours postdose, respectively. However, in rabbits, the mean drug concentration in fetal plasma was approximately 2% of the mean maternal plasma concentration at both 1 hour and 24 hours after a maternal dose of 1,000 mg/kg per day.¹

RAL is secreted in the milk of lactating rats. At a maternal dose of RAL 600 mg/kg per day, the mean drug concentration in milk was about threefold higher than the mean drug concentration in maternal plasma. No effects in rat offspring were attributable to RAL exposure through breast milk.¹
Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

RAL pharmacokinetics (PKs) were evaluated in 42 pregnant women in the International Maternal Pediatric Adolescent AIDS Clinical Trials Network (IM PA ACT) P1026s study, a Phase IV prospective PK study of selected antiretroviral (ARV) drugs during pregnancy and postpartum. RAL PKs in pregnant women showed extensive variability that was similar to the variability seen in nonpregnant women. Median RAL area under the curve (AUC) was reduced by approximately 50% during pregnancy. No significant difference was seen between third-trimester trough concentrations and postpartum trough concentrations. Plasma HIV RNA levels were <400 copies/mL in 92% of women at delivery. Given the high rates of virologic suppression and the lack of a clear relationship between RAL concentration and virologic effect in nonpregnant adults, no change in dosing was recommended during pregnancy.2 In a study of 22 women with paired third-trimester and postpartum data from the Pharmacokinetics of newly developed Antiretroviral agents in HIV-infected pregnant women (PANNA) Network, a network of European centers that collect PK data in pregnant women with HIV, the geometric mean ratios (GMRs) of third-trimester/postpartum values were 0.71 for AUC from 0 to 12 hours (AUC0–12h) (90% confidence interval [CI] 0.53–0.96), 0.82 for maximum concentration (Cmax) (range 0.55–1.253), and 0.64 for concentration 12 hours after dose (C12h) (range 0.34–1.22). One patient was below the target C12h in the third trimester, and no patients were below the threshold postpartum. No change in dosing during pregnancy was recommended based on these data.3 In a single-center, observational study of pregnant women who were started on RAL as part of intensification of an ARV regimen or as part of a triple-ARV regimen, the RAL C12h in the second and third trimester were similar to historical data in a nonpregnant population.4

A population PK model of once-daily 1,200-mg RAL pooled 11 PK studies (n = 221) with the primary target for efficacy set as the lower bound of the 90% confidence interval (CI) of the trough concentration >0.75. The simulated trough GMR for once-daily 1,200-mg RAL was 0.51 (CI=0.41–0.63), falling below the primary target for efficacy and supporting the current recommendation against daily RAL dosing in pregnancy.5

Caution is advised when RAL is coadministered with atazanavir, a uridine diphosphate glucuronosyltransferase A1 inhibitor, because this combination can result in elevated levels of RAL in nonpregnant adults with no medical conditions.6

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

A n ex vivo study of term placetas from normal pregnancies reported high bidirectional transfer of RAL across the placenta.7

In vivo human studies have confirmed that RAL readily crosses the placenta. In the IM PA ACT P1026s study, the ratio of cord blood-to-maternal-plasma RAL concentrations was 1.5.2 In the P1097 study, the median ratio of cord blood-to-maternal-delivery plasma RAL concentrations was 1.48 (with a range of 0.32–4.33), and in the PANNA study it was 1.21.3,8 In the above-mentioned single-center, observational study of pregnant women who were started on RAL as part of intensification of an ARV regimen or as part of a triple-ARV regimen, the cord blood-to-maternal-plasma RAL concentration ratio was 1.03.4 Other case reports have shown cord blood-to-maternal-blood drug level ratios of 1.00 to 1.06.9-11 In three cases of preterm delivery at 29 to 33 weeks gestation (in two
of these cases, RAL was added to the maternal ARV regimen shortly before anticipated preterm delivery), cord blood-to-maternal-plasma ratios ranged from 0.44 to 1.88.\textsuperscript{12}

RAL secretion in human breast milk is largely unstudied. A single case study demonstrated low RAL transfer into breast milk and little accumulation.\textsuperscript{13}

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

In a retrospective study of 703 women in the French Perinatal Cohort who received RAL during pregnancy, rates of birth defects among infants born to women who were on RAL at conception were slightly higher than those born to women who initiated RAL later in pregnancy (6.4\% vs. 2.3\%, \(P = 0.04\)). When compared with matched controls, RAL exposure at conception was not associated significantly with birth defects, and no specific pattern of birth defects emerged during the study. No differences in other perinatal outcomes between groups were observed.\textsuperscript{14} Merck reviewed data on 456 periconception exposures to RAL and found no instances of neural tube defects; this review included data from the Merck database, the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, and the U.K./Ireland and French pregnancy cohorts.\textsuperscript{15}

The IMPAACT P1081 study randomized 408 antiretroviral therapy-naive women in Africa, South America, Thailand, and the United States who presented late in pregnancy to receive RAL plus two nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs) or efavirenz plus two NRTIs. Both regimens were well tolerated, with similar rates of stillbirth and preterm birth among women and similar rates of serious adverse events among women and infants; a significantly larger proportion of women on a RAL-containing regimen achieved a viral load less than 200 copies per mL at or near delivery.\textsuperscript{16} In multiple case reports and case series that involved 4, 5, and 14 pregnant women who were treated with RAL in combination with two or three other ARV drugs because of persistent viremia or late presentation, RAL was well tolerated and led to rapid reduction in HIV RNA levels.\textsuperscript{17-23}

The Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry has monitored sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to RAL to detect at least a twofold increase in the risk of overall birth defects, but no such increase has been observed. Among the cases of first-trimester RAL exposure that have been reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, the prevalence of birth defects was 3.1\% (15 of 486 live births; 95\% CI, 1.7\%–5.0\%), compared with a 2.7\% total prevalence in the U.S. population, based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance.\textsuperscript{24,25} Supplemental data from the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry about central nervous system birth defect outcomes among 824 live births with exposure to RAL during periconception (n = 327) or pregnancy (later first trimester n = 95; second or third trimester n = 399) reported one central nervous system birth defect with exposure to RAL in the late first trimester, but this was not a neural tube or encephalocele defect.\textsuperscript{24}

Safety

In the P1026s study, the P1081 study, and the PANNA study, RAL was well tolerated, with no treatment-related serious adverse events observed in pregnant women.\textsuperscript{2,3,16} However, in one case report, 10-fold to 23-fold increases in maternal liver transaminase levels were reported after initiation of RAL. Resolution occurred when RAL was discontinued.\textsuperscript{26} Drug levels were not measured.

One case of drug reaction has been reported in a postpartum woman with eosinophilia and systemic symptoms syndrome with extensive pulmonary involvement. The drug reaction resolved with discontinuation of RAL. Such reactions have been reported in nonpregnant adults who were
Because RAL is highly protein bound to albumin, concern exists about displacement of bilirubin from albumin in the neonate, which potentially could increase the risk of neonatal hyperbilirubinemia. In an in vitro study, RAL had minimal effect on bilirubin–albumin binding at concentrations of 5 µM and 10 µM, caused a small but statistically significant increase in unbound bilirubin at 100 µM, and caused potentially harmful increases at 500 µM and 1,000 µM. These data suggest that the effect of RAL on neonatal bilirubin binding is unlikely to be clinically significant at the typical peak concentrations that are reached in adults who receive the recommended dose (adult concentrations with standard RAL doses had a geometric mean C_{max} of 4.5 µM, a median C_{max} of 6.5 µM, and a maximum observed C_{max} of 10.2 µM). In the P1097 study, one of 19 infants (5.3%) received phototherapy for treatment of hyperbilirubinemia, but this was judged to be unrelated to maternal RAL use. In a retrospective study of 31 pregnant women who received a standard dose of RAL as part of a standard ARV regimen or as part of an intensification regimen late in pregnancy (at a median gestational age of 34 weeks), mild elevation of transaminase levels was reported in 35% of neonates.

receiving RAL, and these reactions should be taken into consideration when making a differential diagnosis of fever in patients on RAL during pregnancy or the postpartum period. In a study of 155 nonpregnant adults with HIV (mean age 49.2 years) who initiated RAL-containing therapy, skeletal muscle toxicity occurred in 23.9% of participants, and isolated creatine kinase (CK) elevation was reported in 21.3% of participants. These instances of CK elevation were Grade 1 or 2 and self-limiting. Fewer than 3% of patients complained of myalgia or muscle weakness. Skeletal muscle toxicity and CK elevation were associated significantly with prior use of zidovudine, higher baseline CK levels, and a higher body mass index.

Because RAL is highly protein bound to albumin, concern exists about displacement of bilirubin from albumin in the neonate, which potentially could increase the risk of neonatal hyperbilirubinemia. In an in vitro study, RAL had minimal effect on bilirubin–albumin binding at concentrations of 5 µM and 10 µM, caused a small but statistically significant increase in unbound bilirubin at 100 µM, and caused potentially harmful increases at 500 µM and 1,000 µM. These data suggest that the effect of RAL on neonatal bilirubin binding is unlikely to be clinically significant at the typical peak concentrations that are reached in adults who receive the recommended dose (adult concentrations with standard RAL doses had a geometric mean C_{max} of 4.5 µM, a median C_{max} of 6.5 µM, and a maximum observed C_{max} of 10.2 µM). In the P1097 study, one of 19 infants (5.3%) received phototherapy for treatment of hyperbilirubinemia, but this was judged to be unrelated to maternal RAL use. In a retrospective study of 31 pregnant women who received a standard dose of RAL as part of a standard ARV regimen or as part of an intensification regimen late in pregnancy (at a median gestational age of 34 weeks), mild elevation of transaminase levels was reported in 35% of neonates.
### Excerpt from Table 11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Dosing Recommendations&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Use in Pregnancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Raltegravir (RAL) Isentress, Isentress HD | RAL (Isentress) Film-Coated Tablets:  
- 400 mg  
Chewable Tablets:  
- 25 mg  
- 100 mg  
RAL (Isentress HD) Film-Coated Tablets:  
- 600 mg | Standard Adult Doses  
In Patients Who Are Not Receiving Rifampin:  
- RAL 400 mg, film-coated tablets twice daily without regard to food  
- Two RAL 600 mg, film-coated tablets (1,200 mg) once daily without regard to food for ARV-naive patients or patients who are already virologically suppressed on an initial regimen of RAL 400 mg twice daily  
- Chewable tablets and oral suspension doses are not interchangeable with either film-coated tablets or each other.  
In Patients Who Are Receiving Rifampin:  
- Two RAL 400 mg, film-coated tablets (800 mg) twice daily without regard to food.  
Pregnancy PKs in Pregnancy:  
- Decreased drug concentrations in the third trimester are not of sufficient magnitude to warrant a change in dosing.  
Dosing in Pregnancy:  
- No change in dose is indicated.  
- Once-daily dosing (i.e., two RAL 600 mg, film-coated tablets) should not be used in pregnant individuals until more information is available. | High placental transfer to fetus.<sup>b</sup>  
No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects).  
There is a case report of markedly elevated liver transaminases with RAL use in late pregnancy. Severe, potentially life-threatening, and fatal skin and HSRs have been reported in nonpregnant adults.  
RAL chewable tablets contain phenylalanine.  
To maximize RAL absorption, doses should not be administered within 2 hours of ingestion of any preparation containing minerals—such as iron or calcium—including prenatal vitamins. |

<sup>a</sup> Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 11).

<sup>b</sup> Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:
High: >0.6
Moderate: 0.3-0.6
Low: <0.3

Key: ARV = antiretroviral; HD = high dose; HSR = hypersensitivity reaction; PK = pharmacokinetic; RAL = raltegravir
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**Cobicistat (Tybost, COBI)**

*(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)*

### Animal Studies

**Carcinogenicity**

No increases in tumor incidence were seen in male and female mice at cobicistat (COBI) exposures that were 7 and 16 times the exposure observed in humans who received the recommended dose. In rats, an increased incidence of follicular cell adenomas and/or carcinomas in the thyroid gland was observed at doses up to twice the typical human exposure. The follicular cell findings are considered rat-specific and not relevant to humans.\(^1\)

**Reproduction/Fertility**

COBI did not affect fertility in male or female rats.\(^1\)

**Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes**

Studies in pregnant rats and rabbits have shown no evidence of teratogenicity, even with rat COBI exposures that were 1.4 times higher than the recommended human exposure and rabbit COBI exposures that were 3.3 times higher than the recommended human exposure.\(^1\)

**Placental and Breast Milk Passage**

No information is available on placental passage of COBI. Studies in rats have shown that COBI is secreted in breast milk.\(^2\)

### Human Studies in Pregnancy

**Pharmacokinetics**

COBI pharmacokinetics (PKs) have been described in pregnant and postpartum women who were taking concomitant elvitegravir (EVG), atazanavir (ATV), and darunavir (DRV). In a study of 30 pregnant women who were receiving elvitegravir/cobicistat (EVG/c), the area under the curve (AUC) for COBI was 44% lower in the second trimester and 59% lower in the third trimester than during the postpartum period. Trough COBI concentrations (24 hours post-dose) were 60% lower in the second trimester and 76% lower in the third trimester than during the postpartum period. Trough COBI concentrations were below the assay quantitation limit (<10 ng/mL) in 65% of women during the second trimester, 73% of women during the third trimester, and 24% of postpartum women.\(^3\)

Another study of 14 women taking EVG/c reported COBI AUC reduced by 49% during pregnancy.\(^4\)

Two other studies have described decreases of similar magnitudes in COBI exposures when COBI is coadministered with DRV in pregnant women.\(^5,6\) In one of these studies, COBI AUC was decreased by 63% in the second trimester and 49% in the third trimester compared to the AUC postpartum. Trough COBI concentrations decreased by 83% in both the second and third trimesters.

The pharmacoenhancing effect of COBI on EVG was impacted during pregnancy; EVG AUC was reduced by 44% and trough concentrations were reduced by 89% in the third trimester when compared to postpartum AUC and trough concentrations. EVG apparent oral clearance during
pregnancy and postpartum was associated negatively with COBI AUC.\textsuperscript{3} Similarly, another study reported that EVG trough concentrations were reduced by 77% in the third trimester, with 85% of women having EVG troughs below the effective concentration (EC\textsubscript{90}).\textsuperscript{4}

The pharmacoenhancing effect of COBI on DRV and ATV also was reduced during pregnancy. Two studies have described DRV exposures with COBI boosting in pregnancy. In a study of 29 pregnant women, the AUC of DRV was reduced by 53% in the second trimester and 56% in the third trimester compared to postpartum.\textsuperscript{6} In a smaller study of seven pregnant women, DRV AUC was reduced by 56% in the second trimester and 50% in the third trimester compared to postpartum. This study also reported unbound DRV concentrations, and unbound DRV AUC was 45% and 40% lower during the second and third trimesters, respectively. The effect on DRV trough concentrations was more pronounced, with both total and unbound concentrations showing essentially identical decreases of 92% (in the second trimester) and 88% to 89% (in the third trimester) when compared to postpartum trough concentrations. One of six women in this study experienced virologic failure during the third trimester, and virologic failure continued through the postpartum period.\textsuperscript{5} Trough ATV concentrations were 80% and 85% lower in the second and third trimesters, respectively, compared to historical ATV trough concentrations in nonpregnant adults with HIV.\textsuperscript{7} Because of these substantial reductions in drug exposures during pregnancy, use of COBI-boosted EVG, DRV, or ATV is not recommended for patients starting or changing regimens during pregnancy.\textsuperscript{8-10}

One study evaluated tenofovir alafenamide (TAF) exposure in pregnant women when TAF was administered as a daily 10-mg dose with COBI 150 mg. No differences were seen between TAF exposure during pregnancy and TAF exposure postpartum in the same women. The authors concluded that no dose adjustment is needed during pregnancy for TAF when it is coadministered with COBI.\textsuperscript{11} However, TAF 10 mg with COBI is available only in fixed-dose combination products that also include either DRV or EVG, which are not recommended for use during pregnancy. Another study described TAF exposure in pregnant women when administered as a 25-mg dose with a pharmacoenhancer (either RTV 100 mg or COBI 150 mg). TAF exposures during pregnancy and postpartum did not differ.\textsuperscript{12}

**Placental and Breast Milk Passage**

A study in 10 pregnant women who received EVG/c found a median ratio of cord blood to maternal plasma COBI concentrations of 0.09. This study also found measurable concentrations of COBI in placental tissue and cord blood peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC), with a cord blood-to-maternal PBMC ratio of 0.49.\textsuperscript{13} In another study, median maternal plasma cobicistat concentration at delivery in 15 pregnant women was 172 ng/mL and cobicistat was quantifiable in cord blood from 7 of their deliveries with a median cord blood-to-maternal plasma ratio of 0.09.\textsuperscript{3} In 27 neonates born to mothers who were receiving EVG/c, COBI was below the assay quantitation limit of 10 ng/mL in all washout PK samples taken between 2 hours and 9 days post-delivery.\textsuperscript{3} No data are available on breast milk passage of COBI in humans.

**Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes**

The Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry has monitored sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to COBI to detect at least a two-fold increase in the risk of overall birth defects in the general population. However, no such increase in the risk of birth defects has been observed with COBI. Among cases of first-trimester exposure to COBI that have been reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, the prevalence of birth defects was 3.6% (17 of 473 live births; 95% confidence
interval, 2.11% to 5.69%) compared with a 2.7% total prevalence in the U.S. population, based on the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance.2
**Excerpt from Table 11**

**Note:** When using fixed-dose combination (FDC) tablets, refer to other sections in Appendix B and Table 11 in the Perinatal Guidelines for information about the dosing and safety of individual drug components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name (Abbreviation)</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Dosing Recommendationsa</th>
<th>Use in Pregnancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cobicistat (COBI) Tybost</td>
<td>COBI (Tybost) Tablet: • COBI 150 mg</td>
<td>Standard Adult Doses COBI (Tybost): • When used as an alternative PK booster with ATV or DRV, the dose is one tablet once daily with food.</td>
<td>Low placental transfer to fetusb No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out two-fold increase in overall birth defects). Use of COBI-boosted ATV, DRV, or EVG is not recommended in pregnancy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ATV/c) Evotaz</td>
<td>ATV/c (Evotaz): • ATV 300 mg/COBI 150-mg tablet</td>
<td>ATV/c (Evotaz): • One tablet once daily with food</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(EVG/c/FTC/TAF) Genvoya</td>
<td>EVG/c/FTC/TAF (Genvoya): • EVG 150 mg/COBI 150 mg/FTC 200 mg/TAF 10-mg tablet</td>
<td>EVG/c/FTC/TAF (Genvoya): • One tablet once daily with food</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(DRV/c) Prezcobix</td>
<td>DRV/c (Prezcobix): • DRV 800 mg/COBI 150-mg tablet</td>
<td>DRV/c (Prezcobix): • One tablet once daily with food</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(EVG/c/FTC/TDF) Stribild</td>
<td>EVG/c/FTC/TDF (Stribild): • EVG 150 mg/COBI 150 mg/FTC 200 mg/TDF 300-mg tablet</td>
<td>EVG/c/FTC/TDF (Stribild): • One tablet once daily with food</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(DRV/c/FTC/TAF) Symtuza</td>
<td>DRV/c/FTC/TAF (Symtuza): • DRV 800 mg/COBI 150 mg/FTC 200 mg/TAF 10-mg tablet</td>
<td>DRV/c/FTC/TAF (Symtuza): • One tablet once daily with food</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Pregnancy**

**PKs in Pregnancy:**
- Based on limited data, COBI exposure and its pharmacoenhancing effect on ATV, DRV, and EVG are reduced markedly in pregnancy.
- When coadministered with COBI, TAF exposure is not significantly different between pregnancy and the postpartum period.

**Dosing in Pregnancy:**
- Although COBI exposure is reduced markedly during
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Dosing Recommendations(^a)</th>
<th>Use in Pregnancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>pregnancy, higher-than-standard doses have not been studied. The Panel recommends RTV as the preferred pharmacoenhancer for PIs and INSTIs during pregnancy until more data are available on COBI activity during pregnancy. For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., FTC, TAF, TDF, ATV, DRV, EVG).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 11).  

\(^b\) Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:  

**High:** >0.6  
**Moderate:** 0.3–0.6  
**Low:** <0.3  

**Key:** ARV = antiretroviral; ATV = atazanavir; ATV/c = atazanavir/cobicistat; COBI = cobicistat; DRV = darunavir; DRV/c = darunavir/cobicistat; EVG = elvitegravir; EVG/c = elvitegravir/cobicistat; FDC = fixed-dose combination; FTC = emtricitabine; INSTIs = integrase strand transfer inhibitors; PIs = protease inhibitors; PK = pharmacokinetic; RTV = ritonavir; TAF = tenofovir alafenamide; TDF = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate
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Ritonavir (Norvir, RTV)

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

Ritonavir (RTV) was neither mutagenic nor clastogenic in a series of in vitro and in vivo screening tests. In male mice, a dose-dependent increase in adenomas of the liver and combined adenomas and carcinomas of the liver was observed at RTV doses of 50 mg/kg per day, 100 mg/kg per day, or 200 mg/kg per day; exposure (based on area under the curve) in male mice at the highest dose was approximately 0.3-fold the exposure observed in male humans who received the recommended therapeutic dose. No carcinogenic effects were observed in female mice at exposures that were 0.6-fold the exposures observed in women who received the recommended therapeutic dose. No carcinogenic effects were observed in rats at exposures up to 6% of the recommended therapeutic human exposure.1

Reproduction/Fertility

RTV has had no observed effect on reproductive performance or fertility in rats at drug exposures that were 40% (in males) and 60% (in females) of the exposures achieved with human therapeutic dosing; higher doses were not feasible because of hepatic toxicity in the rodents.1

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

No RTV-related teratogenicity has been observed in rats or rabbits. Developmental toxicity—including early resorptions, decreased body weight, ossification delays, and developmental variations (e.g., wavy ribs, enlarged fontanelles)—was observed in rats; however, these effects occurred only at maternally toxic dosages (with exposures equivalent to 30% of human therapeutic exposures). In addition, a slight increase in cryptorchidism was noted in rats at exposures equivalent to 22% of human therapeutic exposures. In rabbits, developmental toxicity (i.e., resorptions, decreased litter size, decreased fetal weight) also was observed only at maternally toxic doses (1.8 times human therapeutic exposure based on body surface area).1

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Transplacental passage of RTV has been observed in rats with fetal tissue-to-maternal serum ratios >1.0 at 24 hours post-dose in midgestational and late-gestational fetuses.

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

RTV concentrations were lower during pregnancy than during the postpartum period when RTV was administered to pregnant women with HIV at doses sufficient for HIV suppression (500 mg or 600 mg twice daily), in combination with zidovudine and lamivudine.2 RTV concentrations also are reduced during pregnancy compared with postpartum when the drug is used at a low dose (100 mg) to boost the concentrations of other protease inhibitors, but RTV is an effective booster of the protease inhibitors lopinavir (LPV), atazanavir (ATV), and darunavir (DRV) in pregnant women.3-5 In contrast, the newer booster, cobicistat, is not an effective booster of protease inhibitors in pregnant women, and its use is not recommended during pregnancy.6

Recommendations for the Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy and Interventions to Reduce Perinatal HIV Transmission in the United States
Placental and Breast Milk Passage

In a human placental perfusion model, the clearance index of RTV was very low, with little accumulation in the fetal compartment and no accumulation in placental tissue. In a Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group trial 354 Phase 1 study of pregnant women and their infants, transplacental passage of RTV was minimal, with an average cord blood-to-maternal plasma concentration ratio of 5.3%. In a study of cord-blood samples from six women who were treated with RTV during pregnancy, the cord-blood concentration was less than the assay limit of detection in five of the women and was only 0.38 μg/mL in the remaining woman. In contrast, in a study of hair and plasma RTV concentrations in 51 mother–infant pairs after lopinavir/ritonavir was administered to the mothers during pregnancy and postpartum, hair and plasma concentrations over time suggested moderate in utero transfer of lopinavir, but negligible transfer via breastfeeding.

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

The Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry has monitored sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to RTV to detect at least a 1.5-fold increase in the risk of overall birth defects and at least a twofold increase in the risk of cardiovascular and genitourinary defects (the most common classes of birth defects in the general population). No such increase in the risk of birth defects has been observed with RTV. Among the cases of first-trimester RTV exposure that have been reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, the prevalence of birth defects was 2.4% (81 of 3,453 live births; 95% confidence interval, 1.9% to 2.9%), compared with a total prevalence of 2.7% in the U.S. population, according to Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance.
Excerpt from Table 11

Note: When using fixed-dose combination (FDC) tablets, refer to other sections in Appendix B and Table 11 in the Perinatal Guidelines for information about the dosing and safety of individual drug components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name (Abbreviation)</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Dosing Recommendations*</th>
<th>Use in Pregnancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ritonavir (RTV) Norvir</td>
<td>RTV (Norvir) Capsules: • RTV 100 mg</td>
<td>Standard Adult Dose of RTV (Norvir) When Used as PK Booster for Other PIs: • RTV 100-400 mg per day in one or two divided doses (refer to other PI sections for specific dosing recommendations)</td>
<td>Low placental transfer to fetus. No evidence of increased risk of human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects). RTV should only be used as low-dose booster for other PIs. RTV oral solution contains 43% alcohol and, therefore, is not recommended for use during pregnancy because no safe level of alcohol exposure during pregnancy is known. LPV/r oral solution contains 42% alcohol and 15% propylene glycol and is not recommended for use in pregnancy. Once-daily LPV/r dosing is not recommended during pregnancy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(LPV/r) Kaletra</td>
<td>Tablets: • RTV 100 mg</td>
<td>Tablet: • Take with food</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oral Solution: • RTV 80 mg/mL</td>
<td>Capsule or Oral Solution: • To improve tolerability, take with food, if possible.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Powder: • RTV 100 mg/sachet</td>
<td><strong>Standard Adult Doses of LPV/r (Kaletra):</strong> • LPV/r 400 mg/100 mg twice daily, or • LPV/r 800 mg/200 mg once daily</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LPV/r Tablets: • LPV/r 200 mg/50 mg</td>
<td>Tablets: • Take without regard to food.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• LPV/r 100 mg/25 mg</td>
<td>Oral Solution: • Take with food.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oral Solution: • Each 5 mL contains LPV/r 400 mg/100 mg</td>
<td><strong>With EFV or NVP in PI-Naive or PI-Experienced Patients:</strong> • LPV/r 500 mg/125 mg tablets twice daily without regard to meals (use a combination of two LPV/r 200 mg/50 mg tablets and one LPV/r 100 mg/25 mg tablet), or • LPV/r 520 mg/130 mg oral solution (6.5 mL) twice daily with food</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Pregnancy PKs in Pregnancy:</strong> • Lower RTV levels are seen during pregnancy than during postpartum, which may reduce the pharmacoenhancing effect of RTV in pregnancy.</td>
<td><strong>RTV Dosing in Pregnancy:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Recommendations for the Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy and Interventions to Reduce Perinatal HIV Transmission in the United States**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name (Abbreviation)</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Dosing Recommendations&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Use in Pregnancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- No dose adjustment is necessary when RTV is used as booster. <strong>LPV/r Dosing in Pregnancy:</strong> - Once-daily dosing is not recommended during pregnancy. - Some experts recommend that an increased dose (i.e., LPV/r 600 mg/150 mg twice daily without regard to meals or LPV/r 500 mg/125 mg twice daily without regard to meals) should be used in the second and third trimesters of pregnancy, especially in patients who are PI-experienced and in those who start treatment during pregnancy with a baseline viral load &gt;50 copies/mL. - When standard dosing is used, monitor virologic response and, if possible, LPV drug levels. For guidance about use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., LPV/r).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the *Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 1*).

<sup>b</sup> Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

- **High:** >0.6
- **Moderate:** 0.3–0.6
- **Low:** <0.3

**Key:** ARV = antiretroviral; EFV = efavirenz; FDC = fixed-dose combination; LPV/r = lopinavir/ritonavir; NVP = nevirapine; PI = protease inhibitor; PK = pharmacokinetic; RTV = ritonavir
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G-184
Archived Drugs

Overview
The Archived Drugs section provides access to the last updated versions of drug sections that are no longer being reviewed by the Panel on Treatment of Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission (the Panel). Archived Drugs includes older antiretroviral drugs that are no longer available in the United States or that the Panel does not recommend for use in pregnant women. These drugs may have unacceptable toxicities, inferior virologic efficacy, or a high pill burden, or there may be pharmacologic concerns or a limited amount of data on the use of these drugs in pregnant women.

Amprenavir
Delavirdine
Didanosine
Enfuviritide
Fosamprenavir
Indinavir
Nelfinavir
Saquinavir
Stavudine
Tipranavir
Zalcitabine
**Amprenavir (Agenerase)**

Last Updated: November 7, 2007; Last Reviewed: November 7, 2007

Amprenavir is classified as FDA pregnancy category C and is no longer available in the United States.

**Animal Studies**

*In vitro* screening tests for carcinogenicity have been negative. An increase in benign hepatocellular adenomas and hepatocellular carcinomas was observed in male mice and rats at the highest doses evaluated, which produced systemic exposures in mice 2-fold and in rats 4-fold higher than systemic exposure in humans receiving therapeutic doses of amprenavir. Female mice and rats were not affected.

**Carcinogenicity**

No effect has been seen on reproductive performance, fertility, or embryo survival in rats at exposures about twice those of human therapeutic exposure.

**Reproduction/Fertility**

In pregnant rabbits, administration of amprenavir resulting in systemic exposures about one-twentieth of that observed with human therapeutic exposure was associated with abortions and an increased incidence of minor skeletal variations resulting from deficient ossification of the femur, humerus trochlea, and humerus. In rat fetuses, thymic elongation and incomplete ossification of bones were also attributed to amprenavir at systemic exposures about one-half that associated with the recommended human dose. Reduced body weights of approximately 10% – 20% were observed in offspring of rodents administered amprenavir from Day 7 of gestation to Day 22 of lactation (exposures approximately twice that observed with the human therapeutic dose). However, the subsequent development of the offspring, including fertility and reproductive performance, was not affected by maternal administration of amprenavir.

**Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes**

Whether amprenavir crosses the placenta is unknown. Amprenavir is excreted in the milk of lactating rats; it is not known if it is excreted in human milk.

**Placental and Breast Milk Passage**

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Whether amprenavir crosses the placenta is unknown. Amprenavir is excreted in the milk of lactating rats; it is not known if it is excreted in human milk.

**Human Studies in Pregnancy**

There have been limited studies of amprenavir in pregnant women and no studies in neonates. Amprenavir oral solution contains high levels of excipient propylene glycol in the oral solution vehicle; this is not true for the capsular formulation. Propylene glycol is metabolized by the alcohol and aldehyde dehydrogenase enzyme pathway. Some patients, including infants and children below the age of 4 years, pregnant women, patients with hepatic or renal failure, and patients treated with disulfiram or metronidazole, are not able to adequately metabolize and eliminate propylene glycol, thereby leading to its accumulation and potential adverse events. Thus, while the capsule formulation of amprenavir may be used in pregnancy, amprenavir oral solution is contraindicated in pregnant women and infants and in children under the age of 4 years.
**Delavirdine (Rescriptor)**

Last Updated: November 7, 2007; Last Reviewed: November 7, 2007

Delavirdine is classified as FDA pregnancy category C and is no longer available in the United States.

**Animal Studies**

*Carcinogenicity*

*In vitro* screening tests for carcinogenicity have been negative. In rats, delavirdine was noncarcinogenic at all doses studied. In mice, delavirdine was associated with an increase in hepatocellular adenoma and carcinoma in both males and females and urinary bladder tumors in males at systemic exposures 0.5- to 3-fold higher than human exposure at therapeutic doses for female mice and at exposures 0.2- to 4-fold higher in male mice.

*Reproduction/Fertility*

Delavirdine does not impair fertility in rodents.

*Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes*

Delavirdine is teratogenic in rats; doses of 50 to 200 mg/kg/day during organogenesis caused ventricular septal defects.

Exposure of rats to doses approximately 5 times human therapeutic exposure resulted in marked maternal toxicity, embryotoxicity, fetal developmental delay, and reduced pup survival.

Abortions, embryotoxicity, and maternal toxicity were observed in rabbits at doses approximately 6 times human therapeutic exposure.

*Placental and Breast Milk Passage*

Whether delavirdine crosses the placenta is unknown. Delavirdine is excreted in the milk of lactating rats; however, it is unknown if the drug is excreted in human breast milk.

**Human Studies in Pregnancy**

Delavirdine has not been evaluated in HIV-infected pregnant women. In premarketing clinical studies, the outcomes of seven unplanned pregnancies were reported: three resulted in ectopic pregnancies, three resulted in healthy live births, and one infant was born prematurely with a small muscular ventricular septal defect to a patient who received approximately 6 weeks of treatment with delavirdine and zidovudine early in the course of pregnancy.
**Didanosine (Videx, ddI)**  
(Last updated December 7, 2018; last reviewed December 7, 2018)

Didanosine is classified as Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Pregnancy Category B.¹

Didanosine is not recommended for use in pregnant women with HIV due to its toxicity.

**Animal Studies**

*Carcinogenicity*

Didanosine is both mutagenic and clastogenic in several *in vitro* and *in vivo* assays. Long-term animal carcinogenicity screening studies of 0.7 times to 1.7 times human exposure in mice and 3 times human exposure in rats have been negative.¹

*Reproduction/Fertility*

At approximately 12 times the estimated human exposure, didanosine was slightly toxic to female rats and their pups during mid and late lactation. These rats showed reduced food intake and body weight gains; however, the physical and functional development of the offspring was not impaired and there were no major changes in the F2 generation.

**Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes**

No evidence of teratogenicity or toxicity was observed in pregnant rats and rabbits with exposures of didanosine that were 12 times and 14 times, respectively, the exposures seen in humans.

**Placental and Breast Milk Passage**

A study in rats showed that didanosine and/or its metabolites are transferred to the fetus through the placenta.

**Human Studies in Pregnancy**

*Pharmacokinetics*

A Phase 1 study (PACTG 249) of didanosine was conducted in 14 pregnant women with HIV who were enrolled at gestational age 26 to 36 weeks and treated through 6 weeks postpartum.² The drug was well tolerated during pregnancy by the women and the fetuses. Pharmacokinetic (PK) parameters after oral administration were not significantly affected by pregnancy, and dose modification from the usual adult dosage is not needed.

*Placental and Breast Milk Passage*

Placental transfer of didanosine was low-moderate in a Phase 1/2 safety and PK study.² This was confirmed in a study of 100 pregnant women with HIV who were receiving nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs), generally as part of a two- or three-drug combination antiretroviral (ARV) regimen. At the time of delivery, cord-to-maternal-blood ratio for didanosine (n = 10) was 0.38 (range 0.0–2.0). In 15 of 24 samples (62%), cord blood concentrations for didanosine were below the limits of detection.³

It is not known whether didanosine is excreted in human breast milk.

*Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes*

The French Perinatal Cohort reported that head and neck birth defects were associated with first-trimester exposure to didanosine (0.5%, adjusted odds ratio [aOR] 3.4, 95% CI, 1.1–10.4, \(P = 0.04\)).⁴ Though the PHACS/SMARTT cohort found no association between any individual NRTIs and birth defects, after adjusting for birth cohort and other factors, didanosine administered in combination with stavudine was associated with an overall increase in congenital abnormalities;⁵ it should be noted that the combination of didanosine and stavudine should not be used in pregnant women with HIV (or anyone with HIV) because of a higher risk of toxicity.

Among 897 births to women with HIV in a Spanish cohort, there was no significant difference between the rate of birth defects after first-trimester exposure and the rate of birth defects after second- and third-trimester exposure (odds ratio [OR] 0.61, 95% CI, 0.16–2.27).⁶ In the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, sufficient
numbers of first-trimester exposures to didanosine in humans have been monitored to be able to detect at least a 2-fold increase in the risk of overall birth defects.\textsuperscript{7} Among cases of first-trimester didanosine exposure reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, prevalence of birth defects was 4.68\% (20 of 427 births; 95\% CI, 2.88\% to 7.14\%) compared with 2.72\% in the U.S. population, based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance.\textsuperscript{7} All defects were reviewed in detail by the Registry, and no pattern of defects was discovered. The rate and types of defects will continue to be closely monitored.

Safety

Lactic acidosis, fatal in some cases, has been described in pregnant women receiving the combination of didanosine and stavudine along with other ARV agents;\textsuperscript{8-10} the FDA and Bristol-M\textsuperscript{e}yers Squibb have issued a warning to health care professionals that pregnant women may be at increased risk of fatal lactic acidosis when prescribed didanosine and stavudine in combination.

The PHACS/SMARTTT cohort found that after adjusting for birth cohort and other factors, didanosine administered in combination with stavudine was associated with the occurrence of neurodevelopmental disability. However, there was no increase in the likelihood of adverse events in the following domains with didanosine alone: metabolic, growth and development, cardiac, neurological, neurodevelopmental, behavior, language, and hearing.\textsuperscript{11,12} As noted above, the combination of didanosine and stavudine should not be used in pregnant women with HIV (or anyone with HIV) because of a high risk of toxicity.

In a multivariate analysis of the association between \textit{in utero} ARV exposure and risk of cancer in HIV-exposed, uninfected infants, the French Perinatal Study reported a 5.5-fold (95\% CI, 2.1–14.4) increase in cancer incidence with first-trimester didanosine exposure.\textsuperscript{13}

Excerpt from Table 8\textsuperscript{a}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name (Abbreviation</th>
<th>Trade Name</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Dosing Recommendations</th>
<th>Use in Pregnancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Didanosine (ddI)</td>
<td>Videx</td>
<td>Buffered Tablets (Non-EC):</td>
<td>• No longer available</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10 mg/mL oral solution</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Videx EC</td>
<td>Capsules:</td>
<td>125 mg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>125 mg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>200 mg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>250 mg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>400 mg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Generic Delayed-Release Capsules:</td>
<td>200 mg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>250 mg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>400 mg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a} Individual ARV drug dosages may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the \textit{Adult and Adolescent Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 10}).

\textsuperscript{b} Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

- \textit{High}: \textgreater 0.6
- \textit{Moderate}: 0.3–0.6
- \textit{Low}: <0.3

\textit{Key to Acronyms}: ARV = antiretroviral; d4T = stavudine; ddI = didanosine; EC = enteric coated; FDC = fixed-dose combination; PK = pharmacokinetic; TDF = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate

\textit{Recommendations for the Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy and Interventions to Reduce Perinatal HIV Transmission in the United States}
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Enfuvirtide (Fuzeon, T-20)
(Last updated December 7, 2018; last reviewed December 7, 2018)

Enfuvirtide is classified as Food and Drug Administration Pregnancy Category B.

Animal Studies
Carcinogenicity
Enfuvirtide was neither mutagenic nor clastogenic in a series of in vitro and animal in vivo screening tests. Long-term animal carcinogenicity studies of enfuvirtide have not been conducted.

Reproduction/Fertility
Reproductive toxicity has been evaluated in rats and rabbits. Enfuvirtide produced no adverse effects on the fertility of male or female rats at doses up to 30 mg/kg/day administered SQ (a dose that is 1.6 times the maximum recommended adult human daily dose on a body surface area basis).

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes
Studies in rats and rabbits have shown no evidence of teratogenicity and no effect on reproductive function with enfuvirtide.1

Placental and Breast Milk Passage
A study in rats revealed no evidence of harm to the fetus when enfuvirtide was administered in doses up to 27 times the adult human daily dose on a body surface area basis. A separate study in rabbits likewise revealed no harm to the fetus from enfuvirtide doses that were up to 3.2 times the adult human daily dose. Studies of radiolabeled enfuvirtide administered to lactating rats indicated radioactivity in the milk; however, it is not known if this reflected radiolabeled enfuvirtide or metabolites (amino acid and peptide fragments) of enfuvirtide.1

Human Studies in Pregnancy
Pharmacokinetics
Data on the use of enfuvirtide during human pregnancy are limited to case reports of a small number of women treated with the drug.2-9

Placental and Breast Milk Passage
In vitro and in vivo studies suggest that enfuvirtide does not readily cross the human placenta. Minimal placental passage of enfuvirtide was reported in published studies that included a total of eight peripartum patients and their neonates. These findings were supported by data from an ex vivo human placental cotyledon perfusion model.2,5,10-12

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes
In the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry and in a national cohort of pregnant women with HIV infection in Italy, insufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to enfuvirtide in humans have been monitored to be able to make a risk determination.13,14
Excerpt from Table 8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name (Abbreviation)</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Dosing Recommendations</th>
<th>Use in Pregnancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enfuvirtide (T-20) Fuzeon</td>
<td>T-20 (Fuzeon) Injectable: • Supplied as lyophilized powder. Each vial contains 108 mg of T-20; reconstitute with 1 mL of sterile water for injection for SQ delivery of approximately 90 mg/1 mL.</td>
<td>T-20 is indicated for advanced HIV disease and must be used in combination with other ARV drugs to which the patient's virus is susceptible, as determined by resistance testing.</td>
<td>Minimal to low placental transfer to fetus. No data on human teratogenicity.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Individual ARV drug dosages may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the Adult and Adolescent Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 10).

Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

- **High:** >0.6
- **Moderate:** 0.3–0.6
- **Low:** <0.3

Key to Acronyms: ARV = antiretroviral; PK = pharmacokinetic; SQ = subcutaneous; T-20 = enfuvirtide
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**Fosamprenavir (Lexiva, FPV)**

(Last updated December 7, 2018; last reviewed December 7, 2018)

Fosamprenavir is classified as Food and Drug Administration Pregnancy Category C. Fosamprenavir **should not** be used during pregnancy.

**Animal Studies**

*Carcinogenicity*

Fosamprenavir and amprenavir were neither mutagenic nor clastogenic in a series of *in vitro* and animal *in vivo* screening tests. Carcinogenicity studies of fosamprenavir showed an increase in the incidence of hepatocellular adenomas and hepatocellular carcinomas at all doses tested in male mice and at the highest dose tested in female mice. In rats, the incidence of hepatocellular adenomas and thyroid follicular cell adenomas increased in males at all doses and in females at the two highest doses. Repeat dose studies in rats produced effects consistent with enzyme activation, which predisposes rats, but not humans, to thyroid neoplasms. In rats there was an increase in the risk of interstitial cell hyperplasia at higher doses and an increase in the risk of uterine endometrial adenocarcinoma at the highest dose tested. The incidence of endometrial findings was slightly increased over concurrent controls but was within background range for female rats. Thus, the relevance of the incidence of uterine endometrial adenocarcinomas is uncertain. Exposures in the carcinogenicity studies were 0.3 to 0.7 times (in mice) and 0.7 to 1.4 times (in rats) those seen in humans given fosamprenavir 1400 mg twice daily. Exposures were 0.2 to 0.3 times (in mice) and 0.3 to 0.7 times (in rats) those seen in humans given fosamprenavir 1400 mg once daily plus ritonavir 200 mg once daily or 0.1 to 0.3 times (in mice) and 0.3 to 0.6 times (in rats) those seen in humans given fosamprenavir 700 mg plus ritonavir 100 mg twice daily.1

*Reproduction/Fertility*

No impairment of fertility or mating was seen in rats given doses that produced exposures that were three to four times the exposure seen in humans who were given fosamprenavir alone, or exposures that were similar to those seen in humans who received both fosamprenavir and ritonavir. No effect was seen on the development or maturation of sperm in rats at these doses.

*Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes*

Administration of fosamprenavir to pregnant rats and rabbits produced no major effects on embryofetal development; however, the incidence of abortion was increased in rabbits that were administered fosamprenavir. A administration of amprenavir to pregnant rabbits was associated with abortions and an increased incidence of minor skeletal variations from deficient ossification of the femur, humerus, and trochlea. A administration of fosamprenavir to pregnant rats at doses that produced twice the exposure typically seen in humans was associated with a reduction in pup survival and body weights. Female offspring had an increased time to successful mating, an increased length of gestation, a reduced number of uterine implantation sites per litter, and reduced gestational body weights compared to controls.

*Placental and Breast Milk Passage*

Amprenavir is excreted in the milk of lactating rats.

**Human Studies in Pregnancy**

*Pharmacokinetics*

Data on the use of fosamprenavir in pregnant women are limited. Fosamprenavir pharmacokinetic (PK) data have been reported in 26 women during pregnancy and postpartum. Following standard dosing with fosamprenavir 700 mg and ritonavir 100 mg twice daily, the fosamprenavir area under the curve and 12-hour trough concentration were somewhat lower during pregnancy and higher postpartum, compared to historical data. Fosamprenavir exposure during pregnancy appeared to be adequate for patients without protease inhibitor resistance mutations.2 For the postpartum period, potential PK interactions with hormonal contraceptives should be taken into account (see Table 3 in Preconception Counseling and Care).
**Placental and Breast Milk Passage**

In a small study of women who received fosamprenavir during pregnancy, the median amprenavir concentration in cord blood was 0.27 µg/mL (with a range of 0.09–0.60 µg/mL), and the median ratio of amprenavir concentration in cord blood to that in maternal plasma at the time of delivery was 0.24 (with a range of 0.06–0.93).2 A second small study in pregnancy yielded a similar mean ratio of amprenavir concentration in cord blood to that in maternal plasma at the time of delivery of 0.27 (95% confidence interval 0.24, 0.30).3 Whether amprenavir is excreted in human breast milk is unknown.

**Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes**

Two birth defects out of 109 live births with first-trimester exposure and two birth defects out of 36 live births with second- or third-trimester exposure have been reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry. These numbers are insufficient to draw conclusions regarding the risk of birth defects.4

---

### Excerpt from Table 8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name (Abbreviation)</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Dosing Recommendations</th>
<th>Use in Pregnancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fosamprenavir (FPV)</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Standard Adult Doses</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lexiva (a prodrug of amprenavir)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Note: Must be combined with low-dose RTV boosting in pregnancy.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPV (Lexiva) Tablets: • 700 mg</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>ARV-Naive Patients:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral Suspension: • 50 mg/mL</td>
<td></td>
<td>• FPV 1400 mg twice daily without food, or</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• FPV 1400 mg plus RTV 100 or 200 mg once daily without food, or</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• FPV 700 mg plus RTV 100 mg twice daily without food</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI-Experienced Patients:</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Once-daily dosing is not recommended</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• FPV 700 mg plus RTV 100 mg twice daily without food</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coadministered with EFV:</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>PK in Pregnancy:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• With RTV boosting, AUC is reduced during the third trimester. However, exposure is greater during the third trimester with boosting than in nonpregnant adults without boosting, and trough concentrations achieved during the third trimester were adequate for patients without PI resistance mutations.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Dosing in Pregnancy:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Use of unboosted FPV or once-daily FPV with RTV boosting is not recommended during pregnancy. No change is indicated in standard boosted twice-daily dose (FPV 700 mg plus RTV 100 mg twice daily without food).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

* Individual ARV drug dosages may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the Adult and Adolescent Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 10).

* Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

  - High: >0.6
  - Moderate: 0.3–0.6
  - Low: <0.3

**Key to Acronyms:**

- ARV = antiretroviral
- AUC = area under the curve
- EFV = efavirenz
- FPV = fosamprenavir
- PI = protease inhibitor
- PK = pharmacokinetic
- RTV = ritonavir

---
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Indinavir (Crixivan, IDV)

(Last updated December 7, 2018; last reviewed December 7, 2018)

Indinavir is classified as Food and Drug Administration Pregnancy Category C. Given the availability of effective alternative antiretroviral (ARV) drugs, indinavir is not recommended for use in pregnant women.

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

Indinavir is neither mutagenic nor clastogenic in both in vitro and in vivo assays. No increased incidence of any tumor types occurred during long-term studies in mice. At the highest dose studied in rats (640 mg/kg/day or 1.3-fold higher than systemic exposure at human therapeutic doses), thyroid adenomas were seen in male rats.1

Reproduction/Fertility

No effect of indinavir has been seen on reproductive performance, fertility, or embryo survival in rats.1

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

There has been no evidence of teratogenicity or treatment-related effects of indinavir on embryonic/fetal survival or fetal weights in rats, rabbits, or dogs at exposures comparable to, or slightly greater than, therapeutic human exposure. Developmental toxicity in rats, which manifested as an increase in supernumerary and cervical ribs, was observed at doses comparable to those administered to humans. No treatment-related external or visceral changes were observed in rats. No treatment-related external, visceral, or skeletal changes were seen in rabbits (fetal exposure was limited, approximately 3% of maternal levels) or dogs (fetal exposure approximately 50% of maternal levels). Indinavir was administered to rhesus monkeys during the third trimester (at doses up to 160 mg/kg twice daily) and to neonatal rhesus monkeys (at doses up to 160 mg/kg twice daily). When administered to neonates, indinavir caused an exacerbation of the transient physiologic hyperbilirubinemia seen in this species after birth; serum bilirubin values were approximately four-fold greater than those seen in controls receiving indinavir 160 mg/kg twice daily. A similar exacerbation did not occur in neonates after in utero exposure to indinavir during the third trimester. In rhesus monkeys, fetal plasma drug levels were approximately 1% to 2% of maternal plasma drug levels approximately 1 hour after maternal dosing with indinavir at 40, 80, or 160 mg/kg twice daily.1

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Significant placental passage of indinavir occurs in rats and dogs, but only limited placental transfer occurs in rabbits. Indinavir is excreted in the milk of lactating rats at concentrations slightly greater than maternal levels.1

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

The optimal dosing regimen for use of indinavir in pregnant patients has not been established. Two studies of the pharmacokinetics (PKs) of unboosted indinavir (800 mg taken 3 times/day) during pregnancy demonstrated significantly lower indinavir plasma concentrations during pregnancy than postpartum.2,3 Use of unboosted indinavir is not recommended in pregnant patients with HIV because of the substantially lower antepartum concentrations and the limited experience in this patient population.

Several studies have investigated the use of indinavir/ritonavir (IDV/r) during pregnancy. In an intensive PK study of 26 pregnant Thai women receiving IDV/r 400/100 mg twice daily, indinavir plasma concentrations were significantly lower during pregnancy than postpartum. The median trough indinavir concentration was 0.13 µg/mL; 24% of subjects had trough concentrations below 0.10 µg/mL, the target trough concentration used in therapeutic drug monitoring programs; and 81% of subjects had RNA viral loads <50 copies/mL at delivery.4 In a study of pregnant French women receiving IDV/r 400 mg/100 mg twice a day, the median
indinavir trough concentration was 0.16 µg/mL, 18% of subjects had trough concentrations below 0.12 µg/mL, and 93% of subjects had HIV RNA levels <200 copies/mL at delivery. In a small study of two patients who received IDV/r 800 mg/200 mg twice daily, third-trimester indinavir area under the curve exceeded that for historical non-pregnant controls. The available data are insufficient to allow for definitive dosing recommendations for use of IDV/r during pregnancy.

**Placental and Breast Milk Passage**

Transplacental passage of indinavir was minimal in studies of pregnant women who received unboosted indinavir. In a study of pregnant Thai women receiving IDV/r, median indinavir concentration in cord blood was 0.12 µg/mL, median maternal plasma delivery concentration was 0.96 µg/mL, and the median ratio between indinavir concentrations in cord blood and maternal plasma at delivery was 0.12. In one woman taking IDV/r 600 mg/200 mg twice daily, indinavir concentrations in breast milk were 90% to 540% of plasma concentrations over the first 5 days after delivery.

**Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes**

Although the French Perinatal Cohort reported an association of head and neck birth defects with first trimester exposure to indinavir (3 defects in 350 first-trimester exposures, 0.9%), the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry has not observed an increase in birth defects with use of indinavir. A mong cases of first-trimester indinavir exposure reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, prevalence of birth defects was 2.4% (7 of 289 births; 95% CI, 1.0% to 4.9%) compared with a total prevalence of 2.76% in the U.S. population, according to Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance.

### Excerpt from Table 8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name (Abbreviation)</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Dosing Recommendations</th>
<th>Use in Pregnancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Indinavir (IDV)</strong> Crixivan</td>
<td>IDV (Crixivan) Capsules: • 200 mg • 400 mg</td>
<td><strong>Standard Adult Dose</strong>&lt;br&gt;<strong>Without RTV Boosting:</strong>&lt;br&gt;• IDV 800 mg every 8 hours, taken 1 hour before or 2 hours after meals; may be taken with skim milk or a low-fat meal.&lt;br&gt;<strong>With RTV Boosting:</strong>&lt;br&gt;• IDV 800 mg plus RTV 100 mg twice daily without regard to meals&lt;br&gt;<strong>PK in Pregnancy:</strong>&lt;br&gt;• IDV exposure markedly reduced when administered without RTV boosting during pregnancy. IDV exposure is low with IDV 400 mg/RTV 100 mg dosing during pregnancy; no PK data available on alternative boosted dosing regimens in pregnancy.&lt;br&gt;<strong>Dosing in Pregnancy:</strong>&lt;br&gt;• Use of unboosted IDV is not recommended during pregnancy.</td>
<td>Minimal placental transfer to fetus.&lt;br&gt;No evidence of human teratogenicity in cases reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry (can rule out 2-fold increase in overall birth defects).&lt;br&gt;Must be given as low-dose, RTV-boosted regimen in pregnancy. Theoretical concern regarding increased indirect bilirubin levels, which may exacerbate physiologic hyperbilirubinemia in neonates. Minimal placental passage mitigates this concern. Given the available alternative ARVs, IDV is not recommended for treatment of pregnant women in the United States.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*Individual ARV drug dosages may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the Adult and Adolescent Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 10).*

*Placental transfer categories are determined by the mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

| High: >0.6 | Moderate: 0.3–0.6 | Low: <0.3 |

**Key to Acronyms:** ARV = antiretroviral; IDV = indinavir; PK = pharmacokinetic; RTV = ritonavir
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Nelfinavir (Viracept, NFV)
(Last updated December 7, 2018; last reviewed December 7, 2018)

Nelfinavir is classified as Food and Drug Administration Pregnancy Category B. Nelfinavir should not be used during pregnancy.

Animal Studies
Carcinogenicity
Nelfinavir was neither mutagenic nor clastogenic in a series of in vitro and animal in vivo screening tests. However, incidence of thyroid follicular cell adenomas and carcinomas was increased over baseline in male rats receiving nelfinavir doses of 300 mg/kg/day or higher (which produced exposures that were equal to a systemic exposure observed in humans who received therapeutic doses) and female rats receiving nelfinavir 1000 mg/kg/day (which produced a systemic exposure 3-fold higher than the exposure seen in humans who received therapeutic doses).\(^1\)

Reproduction/Fertility
Nelfinavir has had no observable effect on reproductive performance, fertility, or embryo survival in rats at exposures comparable to human therapeutic exposure.\(^1\) Additional studies in female rats indicated that exposure to nelfinavir from mid-pregnancy through lactation had no effect on the survival, growth, and development of the offspring to weaning. Maternal exposure to nelfinavir also did not affect subsequent reproductive performance of the offspring.

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes
No evidence of teratogenicity has been observed in pregnant rats at exposures that were comparable to human exposure and in rabbits with exposures that were significantly less than human exposure.\(^1\)

Human Studies in Pregnancy
Pharmacokinetics
A Phase 1/2 safety and pharmacokinetic (PK) study (PACTG 353) of nelfinavir administered in combination with zidovudine and lamivudine was conducted in pregnant women with HIV and their infants.\(^2\) In the first nine pregnant women enrolled in the study, nelfinavir administered at a dose of 750 mg three times daily produced drug exposures that were variable and generally lower than those reported in nonpregnant adults with both twice-daily and three-times-daily dosing. Therefore, the study was modified to evaluate an increased dose of nelfinavir given twice daily (1250 mg twice daily), which resulted in adequate levels of the drug in pregnancy. However, in two other small studies of women given nelfinavir 1250 mg twice daily during the second and third trimesters, drug concentrations in both those trimesters were somewhat lower than those seen in nonpregnant women.\(^3,4\)

A PK study evaluated 25 women at 30 to 36 weeks’ gestation and 12 women at 6 to 12 weeks postpartum who received the nelfinavir 625-mg tablet formulation, given as 1250 mg twice daily. Peak nelfinavir levels and area under the curve were lower during the third trimester than postpartum.\(^5\) Only 16% of women (4 of 25) during the third trimester and 8% of women (1 of 12) postpartum had trough values greater than the suggested minimum trough of 800 ng/mL; however, viral load was <400 copies/mL in 96% of women in the third trimester and 86% postpartum. In a follow up study, use of an increased dose of 1875 mg twice daily after 30 weeks gestation resulted in nelfinavir exposures during the third trimester that were equivalent to those seen with 1250 mg twice daily postpartum.\(^5\)

Placental and Breast Milk Passage
In PACTG 353, transplacental passage of nelfinavir was minimal.\(^2\) In addition, in a study of cord blood samples from 38 women who were treated with nelfinavir during pregnancy, the cord blood nelfinavir concentration was less than the assay limit of detection in 24 women (63%), and the cord blood concentration was low (with a median of 0.35 μg/mL) in the remaining 14 women.\(^7\) Among 20 mother-infant pairs in the
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Netherlands, the cord blood-to-maternal-plasma ratio for nelfinavir was 0.14 compared to 0.67 for nevirapine and 0.24 for lopinavir.8

Nelfinavir also has low breast milk passage. In a PK study conducted in Kisumu, Kenya, concentrations of nelfinavir and its active metabolite, M8, were measured in maternal plasma and breast milk from 26 mothers who received nelfinavir as part of antiretroviral therapy and from plasma samples collected from their 27 infants at birth, 2, 6, 14, and 24 weeks.9 Peak nelfinavir concentrations were recorded in maternal plasma and breast milk at 2 weeks. Median breast milk-to-plasma ratio was 0.12 for nelfinavir and 0.03 for its active metabolite (i.e., M8). Nelfinavir and M8 concentrations were below the limit of detection in 20 of 28 (71%) infant plasma dried blood spots tested from nine infants over time points from delivery though 24 weeks. Overall transfer to breast milk was low and resulted in nonsignificant exposure to nelfinavir among breastfed infants through age 24 weeks.

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

In the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to nelfinavir have been monitored to be able to detect at least a 1.5-fold increased risk of overall birth defects and a two-fold increased risk of birth defects in the cardiovascular and genitourinary systems. No such increase in birth defects has been observed with exposure to nelfinavir. Among cases of first-trimester nelfinavir exposure reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, prevalence of birth defects was 3.9% (47 of 1,212 births; 95% CI, 2.9% to 5.1%) compared with a 2.7% total prevalence in the U.S. population, based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance.10

In the U.S. PHACS/SMARTT cohort study, after adjusting for birth cohort and other factors, maternal use of nelfinavir led to no increase in the likelihood of adverse metabolic, growth/development, cardiac, neurological, or neurodevelopmental outcomes.11

Excerpt from Table 8a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name (Abbreviation)</th>
<th>Trade Name</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Dosing Recommendations</th>
<th>Use in Pregnancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nelfinavir (NFV) Viracept</td>
<td></td>
<td>NFV (Viracept): Tablets: • 250 mg • 625 mg (tablets can be dissolved in a small amount of water) Powder for Oral Suspension: • 50 mg/g</td>
<td>Standard Adult Dose: • NFV 1250 mg twice daily, or • NFV 750 mg 3 times daily with food PK in Pregnancy: • Lower NFV exposure was observed during the third trimester than postpartum in women receiving NFV 1250 mg twice daily; however, adequate drug levels are generally achieved during pregnancy, although levels are variable in late pregnancy. Dosing in Pregnancy: • NFV 750 mg 3 times daily with food is not recommended during pregnancy. No change in standard dose (NFV 1250 mg twice daily with food) indicated.</td>
<td>NFV should not be used during pregnancy. Minimal to low placental transfer to fetus.ª No evidence of human teratogenicity; can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects and 2-fold increase in risk of cardiovascular and genitourinary birth defects. Contains aspartame; should not be used in individuals with phenylketonuria.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ª Individual antiretroviral drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the Adult and Adolescent Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 10).

ª Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

High: >0.6

Moderate: 0.3–0.6

Low: <0.3

Key to Acronyms: NFV = nelfinavir; PK = pharmacokinetic
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**Saquinavir (Invirase, SQV)**

(Last updated December 7, 2018; last reviewed December 7, 2018)

Saquinavir is classified as Food and Drug Administration Pregnancy Category B. Saquinavir should not be used during pregnancy.

**Animal Studies**

*Carcinogenicity*

Saquinavir was neither mutagenic nor clastogenic in a series of *in vitro* and animal *in vivo* screening tests. Carcinogenicity studies found no indication of carcinogenic activity in rats and mice given saquinavir for approximately 2 years at doses that produced plasma exposures approximately 29% (in rats) and 65% (in mice) of those obtained in humans who received the recommended clinical dose boosted with ritonavir.

**Reproduction/Fertility**

Saquinavir has had no observable effects on reproductive performance, fertility, or embryo survival in rats. Because of the limited bioavailability of saquinavir in animals, the maximum plasma exposures achieved in rats were approximately 26% of those obtained in humans who received the recommended clinical dose boosted with ritonavir.

**Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes**

No evidence of embryotoxicity or teratogenicity of saquinavir has been found in rabbits or rats. Because of the limited bioavailability of saquinavir in animals and/or dosing limitations, the plasma exposures (measured as area under the curve [AUC] values) were approximately 29% (in rats) and 21% (in rabbits) of those obtained in humans who received the recommended clinical dose boosted with ritonavir.

**Placental and Breast Milk Passage**

Placental transfer of saquinavir in rats and rabbits was minimal. Saquinavir is excreted in the milk of lactating rats.

**Human Studies in Pregnancy**

*Pharmacokinetics*

Studies have investigated saquinavir pharmacokinetics (PK) during pregnancy using 800 mg to 1200 mg of the original hard-gel capsule formulation and ritonavir 100 mg. Saquinavir exposures were reduced in pregnant adults compared to nonpregnant adults, but the majority of subjects achieved adequate Cmin. The PKs of saquinavir when using the current 500-mg tablets at a dose of saquinavir/ritonavir 1000 mg/100 mg twice daily have been studied in pregnant women in two studies. One study performed intensive sampling on pregnant women with HIV at 20 weeks’ gestation (n = 16), 33 weeks’ gestation (n = 31), and 6 weeks postpartum (n = 9). PK parameters were comparable during pregnancy and postpartum. The second study performed intensive sampling in 14 pregnant women at 24 and 34 weeks’ gestation and 6 weeks postpartum. Saquinavir AUC was similar during the second trimester and postpartum. Although there was a 50% reduction in saquinavir AUC during the third trimester compared to postpartum, no participant experienced loss of virologic control and all but one maintained adequate third-trimester trough levels of saquinavir. An observational study analyzed saquinavir concentrations in samples that were collected as part of clinical care between 11 and 13 hours after dosing with the tablet formulation (saquinavir/ritonavir 1000 mg/100 mg) in pregnant women with HIV during the third trimester (n = 20) and at delivery (n = 5). Saquinavir plasma concentrations averaged around 1.15 mg/L and exceeded 0.1 mg/L, the usual trough drug concentration target for saquinavir, in all but one subject.

Placental transfer of saquinavir in rats and rabbits was minimal. Saquinavir is excreted in the milk of lactating rats.
blood concentration of saquinavir was less than the assay limit of detection in samples from all of the women in the study.\textsuperscript{9} It is not known whether saquinavir is excreted in human milk.

\textit{Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes}

Only 182 cases of first-trimester saquinavir exposure have been reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry. Without more data, the prevalence of birth defects among infants exposed to saquinavir cannot be accurately calculated.\textsuperscript{10}

\textit{Other Safety Information}

One study of 42 pregnant women who received antiretroviral therapy that included saquinavir/ritonavir reported abnormal transaminase levels in 13 women (31\%) within 2 to 4 weeks of treatment initiation, although the abnormalities were mild (toxicity Grade 1–2 in most women, Grade 3 in one woman).\textsuperscript{11} In a study of 62 pregnant women on a regimen that included saquinavir/ritonavir, one severe adverse event occurred (maternal Grade 3 hepatotoxicity).\textsuperscript{6}

In the U.S. PHACS/SMARTT cohort study, after adjusting for birth cohort and other factors, maternal use of saquinavir led to no increase in the likelihood of adverse metabolic, growth/development, cardiac, or neurological outcomes. Late language emergence was more likely among saquinavir-exposed infants at 1 year (odds ratio 2.72; 95\% CI, 1.09–6.91, $P = 0.03$), but not at 2 years. No significant differences were observed for other neurodevelopmental outcomes.\textsuperscript{12}

\textbf{Excerpt from Table 8}\textsuperscript{a}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name \n\hspace{1em} (Abbreviation) Trade Name</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Dosing Recommendations</th>
<th>Use in Pregnancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Saquinavir \n(SQV) \nInvirase \n\textbf{Note:} Must be combined with low-dose RTV for PK boosting</td>
<td>SQV (Invirase) \nTablet: \n• 500 mg \nCapsule: \n• 200 mg</td>
<td>Standard Adult Dose: \n• SQV 1000 mg plus RTV 100 mg twice a day with food or within 2 hours after a meal \nPK in Pregnancy: \n• Based on limited data, SQV exposure may be reduced in pregnancy, but this effect is not sufficient to warrant a dose change. \nDosing in Pregnancy: \n• No change in dose indicated.</td>
<td>SQV should not be used during pregnancy. Contraindicated in patients with pre-existing cardiac conduction system disease. Baseline ECG recommended before starting, because PR and/or QT interval prolongations have been observed. Low placental transfer to fetus.\textsuperscript{b} Insufficient data to assess for teratogenicity in humans. No evidence of teratogenicity in rats or rabbits. Must be boosted with low-dose RTV.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a} Individual ARV drug dosages may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the Adult and Adolescent Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 10).

\textsuperscript{b} Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

\textbf{High:} >0.6 \hspace{1em} \textbf{Moderate:} 0.3–0.6 \hspace{1em} \textbf{Low:} <0.3

\textbf{Key to Acronyms:} ECG = electrocardiogram; PK = pharmacokinetic; RTV = ritonavir; SQV = saquinavir
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**Stavudine (Zerit, d4T)**

(Last updated December 7, 2018; last reviewed December 7, 2018)

Stavudine is classified as Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Pregnancy Category C.

Stavudine **is not recommended** for use in pregnant women with HIV due to its toxicity.

**Animal Studies**

*Carcinogenicity*

Stavudine is clastogenic in *in vitro* and *in vivo* assays but not mutagenic in *in vitro* assays. In 2-year carcinogenicity studies in mice and rats, stavudine was noncarcinogenic at doses that produced exposures 39 times (in mice) and 168 times (in rats) the human exposure observed at the recommended therapeutic dose. At higher levels of exposure (250 times [in mice] and 732 times [in rats] the human exposure seen at therapeutic doses), benign and malignant liver tumors occurred in mice and rats, and urinary bladder tumors occurred in male rats.\(^1\)

*Reproduction/Fertility*

Stavudine has no demonstrated effect on reproduction or fertility in rodents. No evidence of impaired fertility was seen in rats with exposures (based on \(C_{\text{max}}\)) up to 216 times the exposures observed following a clinical dosage of stavudine 1 mg/kg/day.\(^1\) A dose-related cytotoxic effect has been observed on preimplantation mouse embryos, with inhibition of blastocyst formation occurring at a concentration of 100 \(\mu\)M and inhibition of post-blastocyst development occurring at 10 \(\mu\)M.\(^2\)

*Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes*

No evidence of teratogenicity was noted in rats or rabbits with stavudine exposures (based on \(C_{\text{max}}\)) up to 399 times and 183 times, respectively, the exposures seen at a clinical dosage of stavudine 1 mg/kg/day. In rat fetuses, the incidence of a common skeletal variation—unossified or incomplete ossification of sternebra—increased at 399 times human exposure (i.e., the exposure in adult humans who received a standard dose), although no effect was observed at 216 times human exposure. A slight post-implantation loss was noted at 216 times human exposure, with no effect noted at approximately 135 times human exposure. An increase in early rat neonatal mortality (birth to day 4) occurred at 399 times human exposure, although survival of neonates was unaffected at approximately 135 times human exposure.\(^1\)

*Placental and Breast Milk Passage*

A study in rats showed that stavudine is transferred to the fetus through the placenta. The concentration in fetal tissue was approximately one-half the concentration in maternal plasma.\(^1\) In primates (pig-tailed macaques), the ratio of fetal plasma concentrations/maternal plasma concentrations was approximately 0.80.\(^3\)

Stavudine is excreted into the breast milk of lactating rats.\(^1\)

**Human Studies in Pregnancy**

*Pharmacokinetics*

In a Phase 1/2 short-term safety and pharmacokinetic (PK) study of combination stavudine and lamivudine in pregnant women living with HIV and their infants (PACTG 332), both drugs were well tolerated, with maternal stavudine PK parameters similar to those seen in nonpregnant adults.\(^4\)

*Placental and Breast Milk Passage*

Stavudine crosses the human placenta, resulting in cord blood concentration/maternal blood concentration ratios of 1.0 to 1.3.\(^3\) Stavudine also crosses into human breast milk, resulting in breast milk concentration/maternal plasma concentration ratios of 1.0 to 1.76. Concentrations in nursing infants were negligible.\(^6,7\)

---
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Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

No association was found between first-trimester exposure to stavudine and birth defects in a large French cohort study that had 70% power to detect an increased adjusted odds ratio of 1.5.8 In the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to stavudine in humans have been monitored to be able to detect at least a two-fold increased risk of overall birth defects. No such increase in birth defects has been observed with stavudine. Among cases of first-trimester stavudine exposure reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, the prevalence of birth defects was 2.6% (21 of 811 births; 95% CI, 1.6% to 3.9%) compared with a total prevalence in the U.S. population of 2.7%, based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance.9

Other Safety Data

Cases of lactic acidosis, including some fatal cases, have been described in pregnant women receiving the combination of didanosine and stavudine along with other antiretroviral (ARV) agents.10-12 The FDA and Bristol-Meyers Squibb issued a warning to health care professionals that pregnant women may be at increased risk of fatal lactic acidosis when prescribed didanosine and stavudine in combination (see Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy and Long-Term Follow-Up of Infants Exposed to Antiretroviral Drugs). Didanosine and stavudine should not be prescribed together for pregnant women.

In a U.S. cohort study evaluation of the safety of ARV drugs used during pregnancy, children without HIV born to women with HIV who received didanosine plus stavudine during the pregnancy had an increased risk of both adverse neurodevelopmental (relative risk [RR] of 12.40; 95% CI, 5.29–29.08) and language (RR of 4.84, 95% CI, 1.14–20.51) outcomes compared to children whose mothers did not receive these drugs during pregnancy.13

Stavudine is not recommended for use in pregnant women with HIV due to its toxicity.

Excerpt from Table 8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name (Abbreviation)</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Dosing Recommendations</th>
<th>Use in Pregnancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stavudine (d4T) Zerit</td>
<td>d4T (Zerit) Capsules: • 15 mg • 20 mg • 30 mg • 40 mg Oral Solution: • 1 mg/mL following reconstitution</td>
<td>Standard Adult Doses&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; Body Weight ≥60 kg: • 40 mg twice daily without regard to meals Body Weight &lt;60 kg: • 30 mg twice daily without regard to meals Dosing in Pregnancy: • No change in dose indicated. PK in Pregnancy: • PK not significantly altered in pregnancy.</td>
<td>d4T is not recommended for pregnant women. High placental transfer.&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 2-fold increase in overall birth defects). Lactic acidosis, sometimes fatal, has been reported in pregnant women receiving ddl and d4T together.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Individual ARV drug dosages may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see Adult and Adolescent Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 10).

<sup>b</sup> Placental transfer categories—Mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

High: >0.6 Moderate: 0.3–0.6 Low: <0.3

<sup>c</sup> WHO recommends maximum dose of 30 mg twice daily regardless of weight.

Key to Acronyms: ARV = antiretroviral; d4T = stavudine; ddl = didanosine; PK = pharmacokinetic; WHO = World Health Organization
References


Tipranavir (Aptivus, TPV)
(Last reviewed December 7, 2018; last updated December 7, 2018)

Tipranavir is classified as Food and Drug Administration Pregnancy Category C. Tipranavir should not be used during pregnancy.

Animal Studies
Carcinogenicity
Tipranavir was neither mutagenic nor clastogenic in a battery of five screening tests, both in vitro and, in animals, in vivo. Long-term carcinogenicity studies of tipranavir have been conducted in mice and rats. Mice were administered tipranavir doses ranging from 30 to 300 mg/kg/day, with or without ritonavir 40 mg/kg/day; all doses resulted in systemic exposures below those seen in humans receiving the recommended dose. Incidence of benign hepatocellular adenomas, combined adenomas/carcinomas, and hepatocellular carcinoma was increased in both male and female mice receiving tipranavir/ritonavir (TPV/r). The clinical relevance of the carcinogenic findings in mice is unknown. Rats were administered doses ranging from 30 to 300 mg/kg/day tipranavir, with or without ritonavir. No drug-related findings were observed in male rats. At the highest dose of tipranavir (approximately equivalent to exposure in humans at the recommended therapeutic dose), an increased incidence of benign follicular cell adenomas of the thyroid gland was observed in female rats. This finding is probably not relevant to humans, because thyroid follicular cell adenomas are considered a rodent-specific effect secondary to enzyme induction.\(^1\)

Reproduction/Fertility
Tipranavir had no effect on fertility or early embryonic development in rats at exposure levels that are similar to human exposure levels at the recommended clinical dose (TPV/r 500 mg/200 mg administered twice daily).\(^1\)

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes
No teratogenicity was detected in studies of pregnant rats and rabbits with exposure levels that were approximately 1.1-fold and 0.1-fold human exposure levels. Fetal toxicity (decreased ossification and body weights) was observed in rats exposed to 400 mg/kg/day or more of tipranavir (~0.8-fold human exposure). Fetal toxicity was not seen in rats and rabbits at levels of 0.2-fold and 0.1-fold human exposures. In rats, no adverse effects on development occurred at exposure levels of 40 mg/kg/day (~0.2-fold human exposure), but growth inhibition in pups and maternal toxicity were observed at 400 mg/kg/day (~0.8-fold human exposure).\(^1\)

Placental and Breast Milk Passage
No animal studies of placental or breast milk passage of tipranavir have been reported.

Human Studies in Pregnancy
Pharmacokinetics
No studies of tipranavir have been completed in pregnant women or neonates.

Placental and Breast Milk Passage
It is unknown if tipranavir passes through the placenta or breast milk in humans. A single case report described relatively high levels of tipranavir in the third trimester and relatively high placental transfer (0.41), as measured by cord blood.\(^2\)

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes
The five first-trimester exposures to tipranavir that have been monitored to date in the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry are insufficient to draw conclusions regarding the risk of birth defects.\(^3\)
Excerpt from Table 8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Dosing Recommendations</th>
<th>Use in Pregnancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tipranavir (TPV) Aptivus</td>
<td>TPV (Aptivus) Capsules: 250 mg Oral Solution: 100 mg/mL</td>
<td>Standard Adult Dose: • TPV/r 500 mg/200 mg twice daily With RTV Tablets: • Take with food. With RTV Capsules or Solution: • Take without regard to food; however, administering with food may help make the dose more tolerable. Dosing in Pregnancy: • Insufficient data to make dosing recommendation PK in Pregnancy: • Limited PK data in human pregnancy</td>
<td>TPV should not be used during pregnancy. Moderate placental transfer to fetus reported in 1 patient.b Insufficient data to assess teratogenicity in humans. No evidence of teratogenicity in rats or rabbits. Must be given as low-dose, RTV-boosted regimen.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Must be combined with RTV for PK boosting

- Individual ARV drug dosages may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the Adult and Adolescent Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 10).
- Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:
  - **High:** >0.6
  - **Moderate:** 0.3–0.6
  - **Low:** <0.3

Key to Acronyms: PK = pharmacokinetic; RTV = ritonavir; TPV = tipranavir; TPV/r = tipranavir/ritonavir
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Zalcitabine (HIVID, ddC)

Last Updated: November 7, 2007; Last Reviewed: November 7, 2007

Zalcitabine is classified as FDA pregnancy category C and is no longer available in the United States.

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

High doses of zalcitabine (more than 1,000 times that of human therapeutic exposure) have been associated with the development of thymic lymphomas in rodents.

Reproduction/Fertility

No effect of zalcitabine on reproduction or fertility in rodents has been seen. However, there is a dose-related cytotoxic effect on preimplantation mouse embryos, with inhibition at a zalcitabine concentration of 100 μM; no inhibition of postblastocyst development was observed.1

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

Teratogenicity (hydrocephalus) occurred in rats given very high doses (more than 1,000 times the maximally recommended human exposure) of zalcitabine.

Developmental toxicity, consisting of decreased fetal weight and skeletal defects, has been seen in rodents at moderate to high zalcitabine doses. Cytotoxic effects were observed on rat fetal thymocytes at zalcitabine concentrations as low as 10 μM (approximately 100 times human therapeutic exposure).

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

In primate and placental perfusion studies, zalcitabine crosses the placenta (fetal-to-maternal drug ratio approximately 0.50 to 0.60).2 In rodents, zalcitabine concentrates in the fetal kidney and a relatively small proportion (approximately 20%) reaches the fetal brain. It is unknown if zalcitabine is excreted in breast milk.

Human Studies in Pregnancy

No studies of zalcitabine have been conducted in pregnant women or neonates.
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**Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry**  (Last updated March 28, 2014; last reviewed March 28, 2014)

The Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry (APR) is an epidemiologic project to collect observational, non-experimental data on antiretroviral (ARV) drug exposure during pregnancy for the purpose of assessing the potential teratogenicity of these drugs. Registry data will be used to supplement animal toxicology studies and assist clinicians in weighing the potential risks and benefits of treatment for individual patients. The registry is a collaborative project of the pharmaceutical manufacturers with an advisory committee of obstetric and pediatric practitioners.

It is strongly recommended that health care providers who are treating HIV-infected pregnant women and their newborns report cases of prenatal exposure to ARV drugs (either alone or in combination) to the APR. The registry does not use patient names and birth outcome follow-up is obtained from the reporting physician by registry staff.

**Referrals should be directed to:**

Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry  
Research Park  
1011 Ashes Drive  
Wilmington, NC 28405  
Telephone: 1–800–258–4263  
Fax: 1–800–800–1052  
[http://www.APRegistry.com](http://www.APRegistry.com)
Decision-making About Antiretroviral Drugs for People Who Are Pregnant or Are Trying to Conceive

This guide summarizes information, based on currently available data, to support counseling about the use of antiretroviral (ARV) drugs and antiretroviral therapy (ART) options during pregnancy for people who are pregnant or are trying to conceive. Patients should be counseled about the benefits and potential risks of ARV drugs in order to promote informed, individual decision-making.

For people who are pregnant or are trying to conceive, effective ART with sustained viral suppression maximizes their health and the prevention of perinatal HIV transmission. The risk of perinatal HIV transmission is reduced to the lowest levels (<1%) in people with HIV who initiate ART prior to conception and have sustained viral suppression to undetectable levels throughout pregnancy.

Before, during, and after pregnancy, clinicians and patients should discuss future childbearing desires and plans, the potential benefits and risks of conceiving while taking specific ARV medications, and contraceptive options to prevent unintended pregnancy.

When discussing risks of birth defects, it is important to point out that the overall risk of neural tube defects (NTDs) in the United States is low in the general population because of mandatory food folate fortification. A background risk of NTDs exists, regardless of the ARV regimen used or a person’s HIV status in pregnancy. In the United States, the background risk of NTDs in the general population is 0.07%, or 7 infants with NTDs per 10,000 pregnancies. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) notes that 3,000 pregnancies are affected by infant NTDs every year in the United States. Most NTDs occur before the neural tube closes at 4 weeks postconception (approximately 6 weeks after the last menstrual period), often before a person is known to be pregnant. After 6 weeks’ gestation, the additional risk of NTDs developing is thought to be much less likely. Folic acid supplementation should be encouraged for all people trying to conceive and in early pregnancy (see Prepregnancy Counseling and Care for Persons of Childbearing Age with HIV).

General Antiretroviral Counseling for People Who Are Pregnant or Are Trying to Conceive

- Provide information to help patients understand and consider the benefits, advantages, disadvantages, and potential risks associated with the use of the individual ARV drug they are currently receiving or will be initiating when making decisions about their ARV regimen. These factors include dosing frequency, side effects or tolerability issues, and adverse pregnancy outcomes (e.g., preterm delivery, birth defects). Refer to Table 4, Table 5, Teratogenicity, and Antiretroviral Drug Regimens and Maternal and Neonatal Outcomes for additional information.

- Explain to patients that not enough is known about the safety of using certain ARV drugs around the time of conception or during pregnancy or about the need for dosing changes during pregnancy.
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- Pregnancy because studies in pregnancy are limited. It is important to emphasize that a lack of data does not indicate the absence or presence of risk; rather, it means that we do not have all the information about all the possible effects when using these drugs during pregnancy.

- Explain to patients that changes in ART during pregnancy can lead to an increase in viral load, which increases the risk of perinatal HIV transmission; this viral rebound may affect choices for future ARV regimens because of the possible development of drug resistance.

- Counsel patients who are receiving ARVs that are not Preferred or Alternative options for use during pregnancy about the risks and benefits of continuing their current ART or switching to another ARV regimen. Discuss and consider the feasibility of switching to another ARV drug, the tolerability of each drug, the ability to maintain viral suppression, the risk of perinatal HIV transmission, and the risk of potential adverse outcomes. Panel recommendations about the continuation of specific ARV drugs are summarized in Table 5 and in Pregnant People with HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy.

- Patients who are trying to conceive should receive information about the use of specific ARV regimens during pregnancy to enable them to make informed decisions before they become pregnant.

Clinicians are encouraged to report all cases of ARV drug exposure during pregnancy or in patients who conceived while receiving ARV drugs to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry.

Antiretroviral Drugs That Are Recommended for Use in Pregnancy

- When making recommendations, the Panel considers available data about a drug’s effectiveness in nonpregnant adults and adolescents, tolerability, and ease of use, as well as pregnancy-specific data about potential risks—such as birth defects, pre-term birth, and pharmacokinetic (PK) changes—that could affect effectiveness and dosing. Some ARV drugs recommended for use in nonpregnant adults—such as bictegravir—are not recommended for use in pregnancy because there are insufficient data about their use in pregnancy.

- Preferred ARV drug options for use in ARV regimens for people who are pregnant or are trying to conceive include dolutegravir (DTG), raltegravir, atazanavir/ritonavir (ATV/r), or darunavir/ritonavir (DRV/r) used in combination with two Preferred nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs): abacavir plus lamivudine (3TC) or emtricitabine (FTC), tenofovir disoproxil fumarate plus 3TC or FTC, or tenofovir alafenamide (TAF) plus 3TC or FTC. DTG-based regimens are Preferred for people with Acute HIV during pregnancy. A moderate amount of data about pregnancy outcomes and birth defects exist for each of these drugs and drug combinations. Although these data are reassuring, it is important to note that a rigorous, systematic birth surveillance program that includes large numbers of women with periconceptional exposure is available only for DTG and efavirenz (EFV).

- EFV and rilpivirine (RPV) are recommended as Alternative ARV drug options in pregnancy. Alternative drugs may have more limited data on use in pregnancy than Preferred drugs.

---

a Raltegravir requires twice-daily dosing during pregnancy and has a lower barrier to resistance than DTG; DRV/r also requires twice-daily dosing in pregnancy.
(e.g., RPV) or may be associated with more PKs, dosing, tolerability, drug interaction, or resistance concerns than those in the Preferred category, but they are acceptable for use in pregnancy. Zidovudine is an Alternative NRTI for use in pregnancy.

- Early data from a study in Botswana showed that the use of DTG around the time of conception was associated with a very small increase in the prevalence of infant NTDs. Later, additional data have shown a modest decline in the prevalence of NTDs with the use of DTG at conception. In the most recent analysis of data through March 2021, the prevalence of NTDs among infants born to women on DTG at conception did not differ significantly from those born to women receiving non-DTG regimens. Data from available studies have not shown an increase in the prevalence of NTDs in infants born to women who initiated DTG during pregnancy.

- The risk of other adverse pregnancy outcomes, many of which are more common than birth defects, also should be discussed. ARV regimens that contain ritonavir-boosted protease inhibitors may increase the risk of preterm delivery.

- Recommendations regarding the use of specific ARV agents or ARV regimens often change as more information on the safety, tolerability, and PK changes of these drugs in pregnancy becomes available. With the availability of additional data, the Panel now recommends TAF as a Preferred NRTI for ARV regimens in pregnancy.

- Cobicistat-boosted regimens (atazanavir/cobicistat, darunavir/cobicistat, or elvitegravir/cobicistat) are not recommended for use during pregnancy. PK studies suggest increased drug metabolism and lower therapeutic drug levels of cobicistat-boosted ARVs during pregnancy. Patients who choose to continue one of these regimens should have more frequent viral load monitoring (i.e., every 1–2 months). It is also important to reinforce the need to follow the instructions for taking the regimen to optimize absorption (e.g., taking certain drugs with or without food, avoiding antacids or divalent cation-containing vitamins).

- If an ARV regimen is changed during pregnancy, drugs in the new regimen should include those that are recommended for use in pregnancy (see Table 4 and Table 5), and viral load should be monitored more frequently (i.e., every 1–2 months).

- For additional information, see Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy, Table 4, and Table 5.
## Appendix D: Acronyms

(Last updated October 26, 2016; last reviewed October 26, 2016)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym/Abbreviation</th>
<th>Full Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3TC</td>
<td>lamivudine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABC</td>
<td>abacavir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACOG</td>
<td>American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT</td>
<td>alanine aminotransferase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anti-HBc</td>
<td>anti-hepatitis B core antibody</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anti-HBS</td>
<td>hepatitis B surface antibody</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOR</td>
<td>adjusted odds ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP</td>
<td>antepartum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ART</td>
<td>antiretroviral therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARV</td>
<td>antiretroviral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST</td>
<td>aspartate aminotransferase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATV</td>
<td>atazanavir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATV/r</td>
<td>atazanavir/ritonavir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC</td>
<td>area under the curve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZT</td>
<td>zidovudine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BID</td>
<td>twice daily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>body mass index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBC</td>
<td>complete blood count</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4</td>
<td>CD4 T lymphocyte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDC</td>
<td>Centers for Disease Control and Prevention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI</td>
<td>confidence interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C&lt;sub&gt;max&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>maximum plasma concentration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C&lt;sub&gt;min&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>minimum plasma concentration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNS</td>
<td>central nervous system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COBI</td>
<td>cobicistat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVS</td>
<td>chorionic villus sampling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CY P</td>
<td>cytochrome P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CY P&lt;sub&gt;3A4&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>cytochrome P&lt;sub&gt;450&lt;/sub&gt; 3A 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d4T</td>
<td>stavudine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ddl</td>
<td>didanosine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM PA</td>
<td>depot medroxyprogesterone acetate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRV</td>
<td>darunavir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRV/r</td>
<td>darunavir/ritonavir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSM B</td>
<td>Data and Safety Monitoring Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTG</td>
<td>dolutegravir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC</td>
<td>enteric coated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECG</td>
<td>electrocardiogram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFV</td>
<td>efavirenz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMS</td>
<td>ethyl methane sulfonate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETR</td>
<td>etravirine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVG</td>
<td>elvitegravir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDA</td>
<td>Food and Drug Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDC</td>
<td>fixed drug combination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPV</td>
<td>fosamprenavir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPV/r</td>
<td>fosamprenavir/ritonavir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTC</td>
<td>emtricitabine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gp</td>
<td>glycoprotein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAV</td>
<td>hepatitis A virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBIG</td>
<td>hepatitis B immune globulin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBsAg</td>
<td>hepatitis B surface antigen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBV</td>
<td>hepatitis B virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCV</td>
<td>hepatitis C virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HELLP</td>
<td>hemolysis, elevated liver enzymes, and low platelets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HGC</td>
<td>hard gel capsule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR</td>
<td>hazard ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRSA</td>
<td>Health Resources and Services Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSR</td>
<td>hypersensitivity reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC₅₀</td>
<td>inhibitory concentration 50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDV</td>
<td>indinavir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDV/r</td>
<td>indinavir/ritonavir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGF</td>
<td>insulin-like growth factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgG</td>
<td>Immunoglobulin G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP</td>
<td>intrapartum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQR</td>
<td>interquartile range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRIS</td>
<td>immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IUD</td>
<td>intrauterine device</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>intravenous/intravenously</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPV</td>
<td>lopinavir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPV/r</td>
<td>lopinavir/ritonavir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAC</td>
<td>Mycobacterium avium complex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mtDNA</td>
<td>mitochondrial DNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVC</td>
<td>maraviroc</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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NFV nelfinavir
NIH National Institutes of Health
NNRTI non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor/non-nucleoside analogue reverse transcriptase inhibitor
NRTI nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor/nucleoside analogue reverse transcriptase inhibitor
NtRTI nucleotide analogue reverse transcriptase inhibitor
NVP nevirapine
OC oral contraceptive
OI opportunistic infection
OR odds ratio
The Panel The Panel on Treatment of HIV-Infected Pregnant Women and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission
PCP Pneumocystis jirovecii pneumonia
PCR polymerase chain reaction
PI protease inhibitor
PK pharmacokinetic
PO orally
PP postpartum
PPI proton pump inhibitor
PrEP pre-exposure prophylaxis
PTD preterm delivery
RAL raltegravir
RDS respiratory distress syndrome
RPV rilpivirine
RR relative risk
RTV ritonavir
SD single dose
SQ subcutaneous
SQV saquinavir
SQV/r saquinavir/ritonavir
STD sexually transmitted disease
T20 enfuvirtide
TAF tenofovir alafenamide
TDF tenofovir disoproxil fumarate
TDM therapeutic drug monitoring
TID three times daily
TPV tipranavir
TPV/r tipranavir/ritonavir
UGT uridine diphosphate glucuronosyltransferase
WHO World Health Organization
ZDV zidovudine