

Panel's Recommendations

- In children who have severe or life-threatening toxicity (e.g., a hypersensitivity reaction), all antiretroviral (ARV) drugs should be stopped immediately (**AIII**). Once symptoms of toxicity have resolved, ARV therapy should be resumed with substitution of a different ARV drug or drugs for the offending agent(s) (**AII***).
- When modifying therapy because of toxicity or intolerance to a specific drug in children with virologic suppression, changing one drug in a multidrug regimen is permissible, if possible an agent with a different toxicity and adverse effect profile should be chosen (**AI***).
- The toxicity and the medication presumed to be responsible should be documented in the medical record of the patient and the caregiver and patient should be advised of the drug-related toxicity (**AIII**).
- In general, dose reduction is not recommended option for management of ARV toxicity (**AII***).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials in children[†] with clinical outcomes and/or validated endpoints; I* = One or more randomized trials in adults with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints with accompanying data in children[†] from one or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies in children[†] with long-term outcomes; II* = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational studies in adults with long-term clinical outcomes with accompanying data in children[†] from one or more similar nonrandomized trials or cohort studies with clinical outcome data; III = Expert opinion

[†] Studies that include children or children/adolescents but not studies limited to post-pubertal adolescents

Medication Toxicity or Intolerance

The overall benefits of viral suppression and improved immune function as a result of effective antiretroviral therapy (ART) far outweigh the risks associated with the adverse effects (AEs) of some antiretroviral (ARV) drugs. AEs have been reported, however, with the use of all ARV drugs. Currently recommended ARV regimens are associated with fewer serious and intolerable AEs than regimens used in the past. In the mid-1990s when combination ART was introduced, AEs were among the most common reasons for switching or discontinuing therapy and for medication nonadherence (see [Adverse Effects of Antiretroviral Agents](#) in the [Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines](#)).¹ In recent clinical trials, however, <10% of ARV-treated patients had treatment-limiting AEs.²⁻¹¹

The incidence of some longer-term complications of ART (e.g., bone or renal toxicity, dyslipidemia, accelerated cardiovascular disease) might be underestimated, because most clinical trials enroll a select group of patients based on highly specific inclusion criteria, and the duration of participant follow-up is relatively short.^{4,5,12-14} To achieve sustained viral suppression over a child's lifetime, both short- and long-term ART toxicities must be anticipated. The clinician must consider potential AEs and issues with medication palatability when selecting an ARV regimen, as well as the individual child's comorbidities, concomitant medications, and prior history of drug intolerance or viral resistance.

The AEs caused by ARV drugs can vary from mild, more common symptoms (e.g., gastrointestinal intolerance, fatigue) to infrequent, but severe and life-threatening, illness. Drug-related toxicity can be acute (i.e., occurring soon after a drug has been administered), subacute (i.e., occurring within 1 to 2 days of administration), or late (i.e., occurring after prolonged drug administration). For a few ARV medications, pharmacogenetic markers that are associated with the risk of early toxicity have been identified; however, the only marker that is routinely screened for is HLA-B*5701, a marker for abacavir hypersensitivity.¹⁵ For certain children aged <3 years who require treatment with efavirenz, an additional pharmacogenetic marker, cytochrome P450 (CYP) 2B6 genotype, should be assessed in an attempt to prevent toxicity (see the [Efavirenz](#) in Appendix A: Pediatric Antiretroviral Drug Information).¹⁵⁻¹⁹ For agents such as efavirenz, therapeutic ranges for plasma concentrations, as determined by therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM), may

indicate the need for dose reduction or modification of ART in patients who experience central nervous system (CNS) AEs.

The most common acute and chronic AEs that are associated with currently recommended ARV drugs or drug classes are presented in the Management of Medication Toxicity or Intolerance tables. The following tables include information on common causative drugs, estimated frequency of occurrence, timing of symptoms, risk factors, potential preventive measures, and suggested clinical management strategies. These tables also include selected references that provide further information about these toxicities in pediatric patients:

- [Table 15a. Central Nervous System Toxicity](#)
- [Table 15b. Dyslipidemia](#)
- [Table 15c. Gastrointestinal Effects](#)
- [Table 15d. Hematologic Effects](#)
- [Table 15e. Hepatic Events](#)
- [Table 15f. Insulin Resistance, Asymptomatic Hyperglycemia, Diabetes Mellitus](#)
- [Table 15g. Lactic Acidosis](#)
- [Table 15h. Lipodystrophies and Weight Gain](#)
- [Table 15i. Nephrotoxic Effects](#)
- [Table 15j. Osteopenia and Osteoporosis](#)
- [Table 15k. Rash and Hypersensitivity Reactions](#)

As more new ARV drugs are approved for use in children, many of the older ARV drugs **are no longer recommended** because of the toxicities associated with these agents. The following older ARV drugs are, therefore, not included in the Management of Medication Toxicity or Intolerance tables:

- Didanosine
- Enfuvirtide
- Fosamprenavir
- Indinavir
- Saquinavir
- Stavudine
- Tipranavir

Information on the toxicities that are associated with these agents can be found in archived versions of the [toxicity tables](#) and [archived drug sections](#). Because peripheral nervous system toxicity is primarily associated with some of the older drugs that were removed from the toxicity tables (e.g., didanosine, stavudine, d4T), that toxicity table has also been [archived](#).

Management

ART-associated AEs can range from acute and potentially life-threatening to chronic and insidious. Serious life-threatening events (e.g., a hypersensitivity reaction [HSR] due to abacavir, symptomatic hepatotoxicity, severe cutaneous reactions) require the immediate discontinuation of all ARV drugs and re-initiation of an alternative regimen without overlapping toxicity. Toxicities that are not life threatening (e.g., urolithiasis caused by atazanavir, renal tubulopathy caused by tenofovir disoproxil fumarate) usually can be managed by substituting another ARV agent for the presumed causative agent without interrupting ART. Other chronic, non-life-threatening AEs (e.g., dyslipidemia) can be addressed either by switching the suspected causative agent for another agent or by managing the AE with additional pharmacological or nonpharmacological interventions, **such as lifestyle modification.**

Management strategies must be individualized for each child, taking into account the severity of the toxicity,

the child's viral suppression status, and the available ARV drug options. Clinicians should anticipate the appearance of common, self-limited AEs and reassure patients that many AEs will resolve after the first few weeks of ART. For example, when initiating therapy with boosted protease inhibitors (PIs), many patients experience gastrointestinal AEs such as nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, and abdominal pain. Instructing patients to take PIs with food may help minimize these AEs. Some patients may require antiemetic and antidiarrheal agents for symptom management. CNS AEs are encountered commonly when initiating therapy with efavirenz. Symptoms can include dizziness, drowsiness, vivid dreams, or insomnia. Patients should be instructed to take efavirenz-containing regimens at bedtime, on an empty stomach to help minimize these AEs. Patients should be advised that these AEs usually diminish within 2 to 4 weeks of initiating therapy in most people; however, they may persist for months in some patients and may require a medication change.²⁰ In addition, mild rash can be ameliorated with drugs such as antihistamines. Addressing AEs is essential, as continued use of an ARV agent that a patient finds intolerable may lead the patient to stop their treatment, risking viral rebound and the development of resistance.

In patients who experience intolerable AEs from ART, every attempt should be made to identify the offending agent and to replace the drug with another effective agent as soon as possible.^{7,21} For mild to moderate toxicities, changing to a drug with a different toxicity profile might be sufficient, and discontinuation of all therapy might not be required. When interrupting a non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NNRTI)-based regimen, many experts will stop the NNRTI for 7 to 14 days before stopping the dual nucleoside analogue reverse transcriptase backbone, due to the long half-life of NNRTI drugs. However, patients who have a severe or life-threatening toxicity (e.g., HSR—see [Hypersensitivity Reaction, Table 15k](#)) should stop all components of the drug regimen simultaneously, regardless of drug half-life. Once the offending drug or alternative cause for the AE has been determined, planning can begin for:

- Resuming therapy with a new ARV regimen that does not contain the offending drug, *or*
- Resuming therapy with the original regimen, if the event is attributable to another cause.

All drugs in the ARV regimen should then be started simultaneously, rather than one at a time, while observing the patient for AEs.

When therapy is changed because of toxicity or intolerance in a patient with virologic suppression, agents with different toxicity and AE profiles should be chosen when possible.^{22–25} Clinicians should have comprehensive knowledge of the toxicity profile of each agent before selecting a new regimen. In the event of drug intolerance, changing a single drug in a multidrug regimen is only permissible for patients whose viral loads are undetectable.

In general, dose reduction is not a recommended strategy for toxicity management, as inadequate ARV drug levels may lead to decreased virologic efficacy. TDM is not routinely recommended; however, it may be used in the management of a child with mild or moderate toxicity, if the toxicity is thought to be the result of a drug concentration exceeding the normal therapeutic range.^{26,27} An expert in the management of pediatric HIV should be consulted when considering dose reduction based on the results of TDM. Dose reduction after TDM has been studied most extensively with efavirenz, since increased CNS toxicity has clearly been associated with higher levels of efavirenz (see the [Efavirenz](#) section in Appendix A: Pediatric Antiretroviral Drug Information).

To summarize, management strategies for drug intolerance include:

- Symptomatic treatment of mild-to-moderate, transient AEs.
- Switching one drug for another drug that is active against a patient's virus (e.g., changing to abacavir for zidovudine-related anemia or to a PI or integrase strand transfer inhibitor for efavirenz-related CNS symptoms) see [Modifying Antiretroviral Regimens in Children with Sustained Virologic Suppression on Antiretroviral Therapy](#)
- Using dose reduction, guided by TDM, after consulting with an expert in pediatric HIV.

References

1. Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents. Guidelines for the use of antiretroviral agents in adults and adolescents living with HIV. 2019. Available at: <http://aidsinfo.nih.gov/contentfiles/lvguidelines/AdultandAdolescentGL.pdf>.
2. Tukei VJ, Asiimwe A, Maganda A, et al. Safety and tolerability of antiretroviral therapy among HIV-infected children and adolescents in Uganda. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2012;59(3):274-280. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22126740>.
3. Arrow Trial team, Kekitiinwa A, Cook A, et al. Routine versus clinically driven laboratory monitoring and first-line antiretroviral therapy strategies in African children with HIV (ARROW): a 5-year open-label randomised factorial trial. *Lancet*. 2013;381(9875):1391-1403. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23473847>.
4. Barlow-Mosha L, Eckard AR, McComsey GA, Musoke PM. Metabolic complications and treatment of perinatally HIV-infected children and adolescents. *J Int AIDS Soc*. 2013;16:18600. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23782481>.
5. Purswani M, Patel K, Kopp JB, et al. Tenofovir treatment duration predicts proteinuria in a multiethnic United States cohort of children and adolescents with perinatal HIV-1 infection. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2013;32(5):495-500. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23249917>.
6. Shubber Z, Calmy A, Andrieux-Meyer I, et al. Adverse events associated with nevirapine and efavirenz-based first-line antiretroviral therapy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *AIDS*. 2013;27(9):1403-1412. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23343913>.
7. Fortuin-de Smidt M, de Waal R, Cohen K, et al. First-line antiretroviral drug discontinuations in children. *PLoS One*. 2017;12(2):e0169762. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28192529>.
8. Nachman S, Alvero C, Acosta EP, et al. Pharmacokinetics and 48-week safety and efficacy of raltegravir for oral suspension in human immunodeficiency virus type-1-infected children 4 weeks to 2 years of age. *J Pediatric Infect Dis Soc*. 2015;4(4):e76-83. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26582887>.
9. Viani RM, Alvero C, Fenton T, et al. Safety, Pharmacokinetics and efficacy of dolutegravir in treatment-experienced HIV-1 infected adolescents: 48-week results from IMPAACT P1093. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2015;34(11):1207-13. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26244832>.
10. Levy ME, Griffith C, Ellenberger N, et al. Outcomes of integrase inhibitor-based antiretroviral therapy in a clinical cohort of treatment-experienced children, Adolescents and young adults with HIV infection. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2020;39(5):421-428. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32176183>.
11. Abo YN, Refsum E, Mackie N, Lyall H, Tudor-Williams G, Foster C. Paediatric integrase inhibitor use in a real-life setting: a single-centre cohort experience 2009-2018. *Clin Drug Investig*. 2019;39(6):585-590. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30976998>.
12. Hill A, Hughes SL, Gotham D, Pozniak AL. Tenofovir alafenamide versus tenofovir disoproxil fumarate: is there a true difference in efficacy and safety? *J Virus Erad*. 2018;4(2):72-79. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29682298>.
13. Ryom L, Lundgren JD, El-Sadr W, et al. Cardiovascular disease and use of contemporary protease inhibitors: the D:A:D international prospective multicohort study. *Lancet HIV*. 2018;5(6):e291-e300. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29731407>.
14. Aupibul L, Namwongprom S, Sudjaritruk T, Ounjaijean S. Metabolic syndrome, biochemical markers, and body composition in youth living with perinatal HIV infection on antiretroviral treatment. *PLoS One*. 2020;15(3):e0230707. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32226033>.
15. Asensi V, Collazos J, Valle-Garay E. Can antiretroviral therapy be tailored to each human immunodeficiency virus-infected individual? Role of pharmacogenomics. *World J Virol*. 2015;4(3):169-177. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26279978>.

16. Sinxadi PZ, Leger PD, McIlleron HM, et al. Pharmacogenetics of plasma efavirenz exposure in HIV-infected adults and children in South Africa. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2015;80(1):146-156. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25611810>.
17. Bolton Moore C, Capparelli EV, Samson P, et al. CYP2B6 genotype-directed dosing is required for optimal efavirenz exposure in children 3-36 months with HIV infection. *AIDS*. 2017;31(8):1129-1136. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28323755>.
18. Gallien S, Journot V, Lorient MA, et al. Cytochrome 2B6 polymorphism and efavirenz-induced central nervous system symptoms : a substudy of the ANRS ALIZE trial. *HIV Med*. 2017;18(8):537-545.; Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28145050>.
19. Soeria-Atmadja S, Osterberg E, Gustafsson LL, et al. Genetic variants in CYP2B6 and CYP2A6 explain interindividual variation in efavirenz plasma concentrations of HIV-infected children with diverse ethnic origin. *PLoS One*. 2017;12(9):e0181316. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28886044>.
20. Wynberg E, Williams E, Tudor-Williams G, Lyall H, Foster C. Discontinuation of efavirenz in paediatric patients: why do children switch? *Clin Drug Investig*. 2018;38(3):231-238. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29181714>.
21. Strehlau R, Shiao S, Arpadi S, et al. Substituting abacavir for stavudine in children who are virally suppressed without lipodystrophy: Randomized Clinical Trial in Johannesburg, South Africa. *J Pediatric Infect Dis Soc*. 2018;7(3):e70-e77. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29373687>.
22. Valantin MA, Bittar R, de Truchis P, et al. Switching the nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor backbone to tenofovir disoproxil fumarate + emtricitabine promptly improves triglycerides and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol in dyslipidaemic patients. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2010;65(3):556-561. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20053692>.
23. Murnane PM, Strehlau R, Shiao S, et al. Switching to efavirenz versus remaining on ritonavir-boosted lopinavir in HIV-infected children exposed to nevirapine: long-term outcomes of a randomized trial. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2017;65(3):477-485. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28419200>.
24. Raffi F, Esser S, Nunnari G, Perez-Valero I, Waters L. Switching regimens in virologically suppressed HIV-1-infected patients: evidence base and rationale for integrase strand transfer inhibitor (INSTI)-containing regimens. *HIV Med*. 2016;17 Suppl 5:3-16. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27714978>.
25. Jao J, Yu W, Patel K, et al. Improvement in lipids after switch to boosted atazanavir or darunavir in children/adolescents with perinatally acquired HIV on older protease inhibitors: results from the Pediatric HIV/AIDS Cohort Study. *HIV Med*. 2018;19(3):175-183. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29159965>.
26. Vo TT, Varghese Gupta S. Role of cytochrome P450 2B6 pharmacogenomics in determining efavirenz-mediated central nervous system toxicity, treatment outcomes, and dosage adjustments in patients with human immunodeficiency virus infection. *Pharmacotherapy*. 2016;36(12):1245-1254. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27779789>.
27. Engelbrecht AE, Wiesner L, Norman J, Rabie H, Decloedt EH. Pediatric antiretroviral therapeutic drug monitoring: a five and a half year experience from a South African tertiary hospital. *J Trop Pediatr*. 2020;66(4):385-394. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31754710>.