

Recommendations for the Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy *and* Interventions to Reduce Perinatal HIV Transmission in the United States



Developed by the HHS Panel on Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission—
A Working Group of the Office of AIDS Research Advisory Council (OARAC)

Visit the <https://clinicalinfo.hiv.gov/en/guidelines> website to access the most up-to-date guideline.

Register for e-mail notification of guideline updates at <https://cloud.connect.hhs.gov/HIV>.

How to Cite the Perinatal Guidelines:

Panel on Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission. Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs in Transmission in the United States. Available at **LINK**. Accessed (insert date) [include page numbers, table number, etc. if applicable]

It is emphasized that concepts relevant to HIV management evolve rapidly. The Panel has a mechanism to update recommendations on a regular basis, and the most recent information is available on the HIVinfo website (**LINK**).

What's New in the Guidelines

Text and references throughout the guidelines were updated to include new data and publications where relevant. These changes are highlighted in yellow in the PDF version of the guidelines. Major section revisions are summarized below.

March 17, 2022

Appendix C: Antiretroviral Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers

- This section was updated to revise the description of data on neural tube defects associated with the use of dolutegravir at conception.

December 30, 2021

Introduction

As part of continuing efforts to be inclusive of transgender and gender diverse individuals assigned female sex at birth, the Panel on Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission (the Panel) has made revisions to incorporate gender inclusive language; for example, using “pregnant people” or “pregnant patients” versus “pregnant women” where appropriate. When reviewing data, results will be presented using the same terms used in the studies and publications being described, such as “pregnant women.” A new section has been added to address the unique needs of this population, Perinatal HIV Prevention for Transgender and Gender Diverse People Assigned Female Sex at Birth, in addition to content available in the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines (see [Transgender People with HIV](#)).

Maternal HIV Testing and Identification of Perinatal HIV Exposure

Section content has been updated to include a list of states with statutes or regulations that require repeat HIV testing in the third trimester and to recommend that this testing be offered to pregnant people who perceive themselves at increased risk for HIV infection.

Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis (PrEP) to Prevent HIV During Periconception, Antepartum, and Postpartum Periods

- Because HIV risk factors may be underreported, the Panel recommends that health care providers discuss PrEP with those with behaviors or experiences that can be associated with HIV, such as intimate partner violence and repeated post-exposure prophylaxis courses.
- The section has been reorganized to focus initially on clinical management of PrEP use with updated and additional content.

Prepregnancy Counseling and Care for Persons of Childbearing Age with HIV

- This section has been retitled in alignment with the transition from preconception care to prepregnancy care by the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists.
- Prepregnancy counseling and care has been updated to include vaccination to SARS-CoV-2 and considerations for the care of gender diverse and transgender individuals.

Reproductive Options for Couples When One or Both Partners Have HIV

- The section has been updated to address family building options for people with HIV across the spectrum of gender identity and sexual orientation.

General Principles Regarding Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy

- Recommendations and content about stopping antiretroviral (ARV) drugs during pregnancy have been incorporated into this section rather than presented in a separate section.

Teratogenicity

- Based on a study conducted in cynomolgus monkeys, suggesting that ibalizumab (IBA) may cause reversible immunosuppression in infants born to mothers exposed to IBA during pregnancy, expert consultation is recommended for guidance on monitoring and management of infants exposed to this drug based on the degree of immunosuppression observed.

Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy

- The Panel continues to recommend dolutegravir (DTG) as a *Preferred* ARV drug for pregnant people, irrespective of trimester, and for people who are trying to conceive. The most recent data from the Tsepamo study in Botswana indicate that, although the prevalence of infant neural tube defects (NTDs) with periconception use of DTG was higher than the prevalence of NTDs in infants born to women who were receiving efavirenz and women without HIV, the prevalence was not significantly increased compared with women with HIV receiving non-DTG ARV regimens at conception. Based on these and other data, the Panel has removed bulleted recommendations with DTG-specific cautions.
- Based on additional data about the use and safety of [tenofovir alafenamide \(TAF\)](#), the Panel now recommends TAF as a *Preferred* nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor for ARV regimens in people who are pregnant or are trying to conceive.
- Available data about weight gain with TAF and with DTG during pregnancy have been reviewed and incorporated in this section.
- Oral cabotegravir (CAB) and the new long-acting injectable regimen of CAB and rilpivirine (RPV) have been classified as *Not Recommended* for use in pregnancy and as *Insufficient Data* for persons who are trying to conceive or who become pregnant while on this regimen.
- Revisions have been made to the sections listed below to incorporate the Panel's updated recommendations about ARV drugs during pregnancy and for people who are trying to conceive.
 - [Pregnant People with HIV Who Have Never Received Antiretroviral Drugs \(Antiretroviral Naive\)](#)
 - [Table 4. What to Start: Initial Antiretroviral Regimens During Pregnancy for People Who Are Antiretroviral-Naive](#)
 - [Table 5. Situation-Specific Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs in Pregnant People and Nonpregnant People Who Are Trying to Conceive](#)
 - [Pregnant People with HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy](#)
 - [Appendix C: Antiretroviral Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers](#)

Pregnant People with HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy

- Although no data exist on the use of two-drug oral ARV regimens during pregnancy (e.g., DTG plus lamivudine [3TC], DTG plus RPV), the component drugs are recommended for use in pregnancy. The Panel recommends that pregnant persons who present to care on DTG/3TC or DTG/RPV and have successfully maintained viral suppression can continue the two-drug regimen with more frequent viral load monitoring, every 1 to 2 months throughout pregnancy (**CII**).
- For people with HIV who have achieved virologic suppression and become pregnant while receiving ARV drugs with insufficient data about their use in pregnancy—such as bictegravir (BIC) or doravirine—clinicians should consider whether to continue or change the regimen, because a regimen change carries a risk for viral rebound at the time of the switch. If a decision is made with the patient to continue the same regimen, viral load should be monitored more frequently (i.e., every 1 to 2 months).
- Because data about the use of long-acting injectable CAB and RPV during pregnancy are extremely limited, the Panel recommends that pregnant persons who present to care on this regimen should be switched to a *Preferred* or *Alternative* three-drug ARV regimen recommended for use in pregnancy (**CIII**).

Monitoring During Pregnancy

- The section was updated to address the risk for weight gain and obesity that may be present with integrase inhibitor use during pregnancy and postpartum.

Hepatitis B Virus/HIV Coinfection

- The Panel added a recommendation to clarify that hepatitis B virus/HIV coinfection is not an independent indication for cesarean delivery (**AIII**).

Hepatitis C Virus/HIV Coinfection

- The Panel added a recommendation to clarify that hepatitis C virus/HIV coinfection is not an independent indication for cesarean delivery (**AIII**).

HIV-2 Infection and Pregnancy

- Now that TAF is a *Preferred* ARV drug for use in pregnancy, it has been added to recommended options in ARV regimens for the treatment of HIV-2 infection during pregnancy.

Acute HIV

- The Panel recommends DTG plus tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) or TAF with emtricitabine (FTC) or 3TC as the *Preferred* ARV regimen for pregnant people with acute HIV, irrespective of trimester (**AII**). Ritonavir-boosted darunavir (DRV/r) plus TDF or TAF with FTC or 3TC is an *Alternative* ARV regimen for pregnant people with acute HIV (**AIII**). See [Table 4](#), [Table 5](#), and [Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy](#) for more information.

- One of the following ARV regimens is recommended for people diagnosed with acute HIV infection when they are breastfeeding: BIC/TAF/FTC; DTG with TAF or TDF plus FTC or 3TC; boosted DRV with TAF or TDF plus FTC or 3TC (AIII) (see [Acute and Recent \[Early\] HIV Infection](#) in Guidelines for the Use of Antiretroviral Agents in Adults and Adolescents Living with HIV).

Perinatal HIV Prevention for Transgender and Gender Diverse People Assigned Female Sex at Birth

- This section provides an overview about the Panel’s recommendations regarding perinatal HIV prevention and treatment of HIV in pregnancy for transgender and gender diverse people assigned female sex at birth.
- The Panel has determined that, in most cases, it is appropriate to extrapolate its recommendations based on data in presumed cisgender women to all people assigned female sex at birth, including transgender and gender diverse people, with modification when indicated (e.g., drug interactions with gender-affirming hormones) (AIII).
- Patient-centered HIV and perinatal services should be provided using gender-affirming and shared decision-making approaches and models of care that address the unique and varied needs of transgender and gender diverse people and reduce barriers to ongoing engagement in care that can affect adherence to ARV therapy and the likelihood of viral suppression during prepregnancy, antepartum, and postpartum periods (AII).
- Health care providers should assess reproductive and parenting intentions and support access to appropriate contraception and perinatal HIV prevention services for transgender and gender diverse people (AIII).
- Some transgender and gender diverse patients may experience the onset or worsening of gender dysphoria and associated symptoms—such as depression—during prepregnancy, antepartum, and postpartum periods; health care providers should regularly assess patients’ comfort with their care and provide referrals for mental health or other support services as needed (AIII).

Intrapartum Care for People with HIV

- The Panel has clarified when viral load tests should be done to inform decisions about mode of delivery and need for intravenous zidovudine prophylaxis during labor, changing from “near delivery” to “within 4 weeks of delivery/anticipated delivery.”

Counseling and Managing Individuals with HIV in the United States Who Desire to Breastfeed

- Guidance about ARV prophylaxis for breastfeeding infants has been updated to address the provision of infant prophylaxis beyond the recommended time period of 4 weeks in an infant of a parent receiving ART with viral suppression, which is controversial; see [Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection](#).

Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection

- The Panel has clarified when viral load tests should be done to inform decisions about ARV prophylaxis or presumptive HIV therapy for infants with perinatal HIV exposure, changing from “near delivery” to “within 4 weeks prior to delivery.”
- Table 9. Antiretroviral Drug Dosing Recommendations for Newborns has been updated to include abacavir (ABC) dosing recommendations for infants and nevirapine dosing for infants ≥ 32 to < 34 weeks’ gestation at birth. The Panel does not recommend ABC for presumptive HIV therapy. However, in situations where ZDV is not available or the infant has ZDV-associated toxicity, ABC could be considered an alternative to ZDV. Because of ABC-associated hypersensitivity, negative testing for HLA-B5701 allele should be confirmed prior to administration of ABC.

Appendix B: Supplement: Safety and Toxicity of Individual Antiretroviral Agents in Pregnancy

- [Table 11: Antiretroviral Drug Use in Pregnant People with HIV Infection: Pharmacokinetic and Toxicity Data in Human Pregnancy and Recommendations for Use in Pregnancy](#) and the individual drug sections in Appendix B have been updated with new data for each drug, and a new section has been added for Cabotegravir.

Table of Contents

What's New in the Guidelines.....	i
Guidelines Panel Members.....	ix
Financial Disclosure.....	xi
Introduction.....	A-1
• Table 1. Outline of the Guidelines Development Process.....	A-5
• Table 2. Rating Scheme for Recommendations.....	A-7
Maternal HIV Testing and Identification of Perinatal HIV Exposure.....	A-10
Pre-exposure Prophylaxis (PrEP) to Prevent HIV During Periconception, Antepartum, and Postpartum Periods.....	A-22
Prepregnancy Counseling and Care for Persons of Childbearing Age with HIV.....	B-1
• Overview.....	B-1
• Table 3: Drug Interactions Between Antiretroviral Agents and Hormonal Contraceptives.....	B-7
• Reproductive Options for Couples When One or Both Partners Have HIV.....	B-24
Antepartum Care.....	
• General Principles Regarding Use of Antiretroviral Drugs during Pregnancy.....	C-1
• Teratogenicity.....	C-12
• Antiretroviral Drug Regimens and Maternal and Neonatal Outcomes.....	C-24
• Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs during Pregnancy.....	C-38
• Pregnant People with HIV Who Have Never Received Antiretroviral Drugs (Antiretroviral Naive).....	C-59
• Table 4. What to Start: Initial Combination Regimens for Antiretroviral-Naive Pregnant Women.....	C-67
• Table 5. Situation-Specific Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs in Pregnant People and Nonpregnant People Who Are Trying to Conceive.....	C-72
• Pregnant People with HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy.....	C-78
• Pregnant People with HIV Who Have Previously Received Antiretroviral Medications but are Not Currently Receiving Any Antiretroviral Medications.....	C-84
• Monitoring During Pregnancy.....	C-89
• Table 6. HIV-Related Laboratory Monitoring Schedule for Pregnant People.. with HIV.....	C-92
• Antiretroviral Drug Resistance and Resistance Testing in Pregnancy.....	C-102
• Pregnant People Who Have Not Achieved Viral Suppression on Antiretroviral Therapy.....	C-108
• Special Populations.....	
• HIV/Hepatitis B Virus Coinfection.....	C-116
• HIV/Hepatitis C Virus Coinfection.....	C-125
• HIV-2 Infection and Pregnancy.....	C-137
• Prenatal Care, Antiretroviral Therapy, and HIV Management in People with Perinatal HIV-Acquired HIV Infection.....	C-140
• Acute HIV Infection.....	C-150
• Perinatal HIV Prevention for Transgender and Gender Diverse People Assigned Female Sex at Birth....	C-158
Intrapartum Care for People with HIV.....	D-1
• Table 7. Intrapartum Care and Recommended Interventions to Prevent Perinatal HIV Transmission for People with HIV, Based on Maternal HIV RNA Levels at the Time of Delivery.....	D-12
Postpartum Follow-Up of People with HIV Infection.....	E-1
Counseling and Managing Individuals with HIV in the United States Who Desire to Breastfeed.....	E-11
• Breastfeeding and Strategies to Reduce Risk of HIV Transmission.....	E-12

• Safety of Maternal and Infant Use of ARV Drugs during Breastfeeding.....	E-13
• Approach to Counseling and Management.....	E-14
Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection.....	E-23
• Table 8. Newborn Antiretroviral Management According to Risk of HIV Infection in the Newborn.....	E-25
• Table 9. Newborn Antiretroviral Dosing Recommendations.....	E-27
Diagnosis of HIV Infection in Infants and Children.....	E-47
• Table 10. Recommended Virologic Testing Schedules for Infants Who Were Exposed to HIV According to Risk of Perinatal HIV Acquisition.....	E-51
Initial Postnatal Management of the Neonate Exposed to HIV.....	E-67
Long-Term Follow-Up of Infants Exposed to Antiretroviral Drugs.....	E-74
Appendix A: Review of Clinical Trials of Antiretroviral Interventions to Prevent Perinatal HIV Transmission.....	F-1
• Supplemental Table 1. Results of Major Studies on Antiretroviral Prophylaxis to Prevent Perinatal HIV Transmission.....	F-3
Appendix B: Supplement: Safety and Toxicity of Individual Antiretroviral Agents in Pregnancy.....	G-1
• Table 11. Antiretroviral Drug Use in Pregnant People with HIV Infection: Pharmacokinetic and Toxicity Data in Human Pregnancy and Recommendations for Use in Pregnancy.....	G-1
• NRTIs.....	
• Abacavir.....	G-30
• Emtricitabine.....	G-35
• Lamivudine.....	G-42
• Tenofovir AF.....	G-47
• Tenofovir DF.....	G-52
• Zidovudine.....	G-67
• NNRTIs.....	
• Doravirine.....	G-76
• Efavirenz.....	G-80
• Etravirine.....	G-91
• Nevirapine.....	G-95
• Rilpivirine.....	G-103
• PIs.....	
• Atazanavir.....	G-108
• Darunavir.....	G-117
• Lopinavir.....	G-123
• Entry Inhibitors.....	
• Fostemavir.....	G-133
• Ibalizumab.....	G-136
• Maraviroc.....	G-140
• Integrase Inhibitors.....	
• Bictegravir.....	G-144
• Cabotegravir.....	G-148
• Dolutegravir.....	G-153
• Elvitegravir.....	G-160

- Raltegravir.....G-164
- Pharmacoenhancers.....
 - Cobicistat.....G-173
 - Ritonavir.....G-180
- Archived Drugs.....G-185
 - Amprenavir.....G-186
 - Delavirdine.....G-187
 - Didanosine.....G-188
 - Enfuviritide.....G-191
 - Fosamprenavir.....G-196
 - Indinavir.....G-197
 - Nelfinavir.....G-200
 - Saquinavir.....G-203
 - Stavudine.....G-206
 - Tipranavir.....G-209
 - Zalcitabine.....G-211
- Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry.....G-212
- Appendix C: Antiretroviral Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers.....H-1**
- Appendix D: Acronyms.....I-1**

Members of the Panel on Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission

(Updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Revisions to the December 29, 2021 *Recommendations for the Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy and Interventions to Reduce Perinatal HIV Transmission in the United States* have been made by the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Panel on Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission (a Working Group of the Office of AIDS Research Advisory Council).

Members of the Panel	
Elaine J. Abrams, MD	Columbia University, New York, NY
Jean Anderson, MD	Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD
Martina L. Badell, MD	Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA
Brookie M. Best, PharmD, MAS	University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA Rady Children's Hospital—San Diego, San Diego, CA
Danielle Campbell, MPH	Los Angeles Women's HIV/AIDS Task Force, Los Angeles, CA
Rana Chakraborty, MD, MS, PhD	Mayo Clinic College of Medicine, Rochester, MN
Susan E. Cohn, MD, MPH	Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, IL
Susan Cu-Uvin, MD	Alpert School of Medicine, Brown University, Providence, RI
Patricia M. Flynn, MD	St. Jude Children's Research Hospital, Memphis, TN
Jennifer Jao, MD, MPH	Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, IL
Susana Keeshin, MD	University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT
Gweneth B. Lazenby, MD, MSCR	Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston, SC
Judy Levison, MD, MPH	Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX
Lynn Matthews, MD, MPH	University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL
Lynne M. Mofenson, MD	Elizabeth Glaser Pediatric AIDS Foundation, Washington, DC
Florence Momplaisir, MD, MSPH	University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA
Fatima Y. Prioleau, MA	Brooklyn, NY
Anna Powell, MD, MS^a	Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD
Lisa Rahangdale, MD, MPH	University of North Carolina School of Medicine, Chapel Hill, NC
Rachel K. Scott, MD, MPH	MedStar Washington Hospital Center, MedStar Health Research Institute, Washington, DC Georgetown University, Washington, DC
Jeanne Sheffield, MD	Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD
William R. Short, MD, MPH	University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA
Fatoumatta Sissoho	Windsor Mill, MD
Stephen A. Spector, MD	University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA Rady Children's Hospital—San Diego, San Diego, CA
Rodney Wright, MD, MS	Montefiore Medical Center, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, NY
Rebecca Zash, MD	Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA

^a American Congress of Obstetricians and Gynecologists liaison

Panel Chair/Executive Secretary	
Nahida Chakhtoura, MD, MsGH	National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD

Panel Co-Chairs	
Andrea Ciaranello, MD, MPH	Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA
Mark Mirochnick, MD	Boston Medical Center, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA

Ex Officio Members	
Isabelle Boucoiran, MD, MSc ^b	Society of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists of Canada, Montreal, Quebec, Canada
Fatima Kakkar, MPH ^c	Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Sainte-Justine, Montreal, Quebec, Canada
Lealah Pollock, MD, MS	National Perinatal HIV Hotline, San Francisco, CA

^b Society of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists of Canada liaison

^c Canadian Pediatric & Perinatal AIDS Research Group liaison

Members from the United States Government	
Michelle Chevalier, MD, MPH, FACPM	Office of the Global AIDS Coordinator and Health Diplomacy, Washington, DC
Athena P. Kourtis, MD, PhD, MPH	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA
Steve Nesheim, MD	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA
George K. Siberry, MD, MPH	United States Agency for International Development (USAID), Washington, DC
Prabha Viswanathan, MD	Food and Drug Administration, Silver Spring, MD
Ronald Wilcox, MD	Health Resources and Services Administration, Rockville, MD

Panel Consultant	
Deborah Storm, MSN, PhD	Fairfield, CA. Formerly François-Xavier Bagnoud Center, School of Nursing, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Newark, NJ (retired November 1, 2016)

Panel Coordinator	
Maria Nkwanzu, MPH	The Scientific Consulting Group, Gaithersburg, MD

Financial Disclosure List for Members of the Health and Human Services Panel on Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission

(Reporting Period: December 2020 to December 2021)

Name	Panel Status	Company	Relationship
Abrams, Elaine J.	M	None	N/A
Anderson, Jean	M	Gilead	Stockholder
Badell, Martina L.	M	None	N/A
Best, Brookie M.	M	PPD	DSMB
		C&R Research, Inc.	DSMB
Boucoiran, Isabelle	ExOM	None	N/A
Campbell, Danielle	M	None	N/A
Chakhtoura, Nahida	ES	None	N/A
Chakraborty, Rana	M	None	N/A
Chevalier, Michelle	HHS	None	N/A
Ciaranello, Andrea	CC	None	N/A
Cohn, Susan E.	M	None	N/A
Cu-Uvin, Susan	M	AIDS Malignancy Consortium	DSMB
		UpToDate	Section Writer
			Royalties
Flynn, Patricia M.	M	Merck	Safety Monitoring Committee
		UpToDate	Author
Jao, Jennifer	M	None	N/A
Kakkar, Fatima	ExOM	None	N/A
Keeshin, Susana	M	None	N/A
Kourtis, Athena P.	HHS	None	N/A
Lazenby, Gweneth B.	M	None	N/A
Levison, Judy	M	None	N/A
Matthews, Lynn	M	Gilead	Research Support
Mirochnick, Mark	CC	ViiV	Research Support
		Merck	Research Support
		Gilead	Research Support
Mofenson, Lynne M.	M	None	N/A
Momplaisir, Florence	M	None	N/A
Nesheim, Steve	HHS	None	N/A
Pollock, Lealah	ExOM	None	N/A
Prioleau, Fatima Y.	M	None	N/A
Powell, Anna	M	UpToDate	Author
Rahangdale, Lisa	M	None	N/A

Name	Panel Status	Company	Relationship
Scott, Rachel K.	M	ViiV	Research Support
		GSK	Research Support
		Gilead	Research Support
Sheffield, Jeanne	M	None	N/A
Short, William R.	M	ViiV	Consultant
		Janssen	Consultant
Siberry, George K.	M	None	N/A
Sissoho, Fatoumatta	M	None	N/A
Spector, Stephen A.	M	J&J	Stockholder
Storm, Deborah	C	Merck	Stockholder
		Eli Lilly and Company	Stockholder
		Roche	Stockholder
Viswanathan, Prabha	HHS	None	N/A
Wilcox, Ronald	HHS	None	N/A
Wright, Rodney	M	None	N/A
Zash, Rebecca	M	None	N/A

Key: C = Consultant; CC = Panel Co-Chairs; DSMB = Data Safety Monitoring Board; ES = Executive Secretary; ExOM = Ex Officio Member; HHS = Member from Department of Health and Human Services; M = Member; N/A = Not applicable; NVO = Nonvoting Observer

Introduction

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Recommendations regarding HIV screening in pregnancy, treatment of pregnant people with HIV infection, and the use of antiretroviral (ARV) drugs to prevent perinatal transmission of HIV have evolved considerably in the United States since the mid-1990s, reflecting changes in both the epidemic and the science of prevention and treatment. Current recommendations for universal prenatal HIV counseling and testing,¹ antiretroviral therapy (ART) for all pregnant people with HIV, scheduled cesarean delivery for people with plasma HIV RNA >1,000 copies/mL near delivery, appropriate infant ARV management, and avoidance of breastfeeding have resulted in a dramatic decrease in the rate of perinatal transmission of HIV to 1% or less in the United States and Europe.^{2,3} In 2018, only 35 infants were born with perinatal HIV infection in the United States.⁴

In response to this success, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention has developed a goal of eliminating perinatal HIV transmission in the United States, defined as reducing perinatal transmission to an incidence of <1 infection per 100,000 live births and to a rate of <1% among infants exposed to HIV.⁵ However, incomplete implementation of routine antenatal HIV testing and other recommended interventions remains a barrier to achieving this goal.^{3,6} Laws that promote universal HIV testing for pregnant women vary by jurisdiction, and prenatal testing coverage is higher in states with stronger regulations for testing all pregnant women.⁷⁻⁹ Testing coverage is also poorer in subgroups that are perceived by health care providers to be at low risk of HIV acquisition (e.g., married, white, non-Hispanic, or multiparous).^{10,11} Additionally, despite recommendations for repeat HIV testing in the third trimester for people at high risk of acquiring HIV and rapid maternal testing in labor or newborn testing when maternal HIV status is not known, implementation is incomplete, and many states do not have laws in place to require testing in such circumstances.^{12,13} To address such challenges, many states and the District of Columbia have developed additional effective strategies to advance progress toward eliminating perinatal HIV transmission.¹⁴ To further support HIV prevention and reduction of perinatal HIV transmission, the Panel on Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission (the Panel) has now added guidance about the use of HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis in people at risk of HIV infection who are trying to conceive, pregnant, postpartum, or breastfeeding (see [HIV Pre-exposure Prophylaxis \(PrEP\) to Prevent HIV During Periconception, Antepartum, and Postpartum Periods](#)).

Every year, approximately 5,000 women with HIV give birth in the United States.¹⁵ In addition to primary prevention of HIV infection in people who can become pregnant, the best way to prevent HIV infection in infants is to focus on appropriate overall medical care for women, transgender men, and gender diverse individuals with HIV; this includes comprehensive reproductive health care, family planning and preconception care services, optimization of HIV treatment, and maintenance of care between pregnancies. A critical component of preventing perinatal HIV transmission is ensuring that a pregnant person with HIV receives ART that maximally suppresses viral replication as early as possible during pregnancy or, ideally, before conception.

A critical role of the Panel is to evaluate the many ARV drugs that are available for adults and assess the risks and benefits of using these drugs in people who are pregnant or are trying to conceive. The Office of AIDS Research Advisory Committee (OARAC)-sponsored Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents primarily considers efficacy and safety evidence when making recommendations for ART. Secondary considerations include characteristics that help

promote adherence, such as improved tolerability or convenience (e.g., whether a regimen is available as a fixed-dose combination with once-daily dosing). When considering which ARV drugs to recommend for use in people who are pregnant (or who may become pregnant), the Panel generally uses data from efficacy studies performed in nonpregnant adults; however, because drug exposure can change during pregnancy, data from direct pharmacokinetic (PK) studies in pregnant people are required.

In addition to considering direct evidence about short-term safety in pregnant people, the Panel also must make judgments about fetal safety. The Panel makes an initial assessment based on data from preclinical animal studies, analyses of reports to the [Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry](#), and all available post-marketing surveillance data. **Robust evidence about fetal safety is not available at the time of drug licensure and remains limited for most licensed drugs.**

When strong evidence of fetal (or maternal) harm or unacceptable drug exposure exists, it is straightforward for the Panel to make recommendations against the use of a specific drug; however, this situation is unusual. More often, the Panel must make recommendations for ARV drugs for which there are insufficient PK data in pregnant people and/or inadequate safety information on fetal exposure early in pregnancy or during the periconception period. Policymakers, regulators, clinicians, and community advocates are striving to improve the availability of data on ARV drug exposure and safety in people who are pregnant or breastfeeding, or in those who are of reproductive potential.¹⁶⁻¹⁹

In the meantime, to ensure that pregnant people are not denied the best available ART—while acknowledging that some drugs have not yet been evaluated sufficiently for evidence of fetal or maternal harm—the Panel uses a graded approach to making recommendations for regimens to use during pregnancy. **Selection of ARV drugs should be individualized according to the pregnant person’s ARV history, results of drug-resistance testing, and presence of comorbidities. In general, people who are already on a fully suppressive regimen when pregnancy occurs should continue their regimens. The Panel classifies ARV drugs for use in people who are pregnant or trying to conceive as preferred, alternative, insufficient data to recommend, not recommended except in special circumstances, and not recommended:**

- *Preferred:* ARV regimens that are designated as *Preferred* in pregnancy are those that have been shown to be effective and durable in clinical trials in adults. *Preferred* regimens have acceptable toxicity and ease of use, pregnancy-specific PK data to guide dosing, and available data that suggest a favorable risk-benefit balance compared to other ARV options, incorporating outcomes for pregnant people, fetuses, or newborns. Some *Preferred* drugs may have minimal toxicity or teratogenicity risks that are offset by other advantages for people with HIV who are pregnant or who are trying to conceive.
- *Alternative:* Preferred ARV regimens for nonpregnant adults that do not meet the above criteria can be considered as options for *Alternative* regimens in pregnant people when available data on the use of these regimens in pregnancy are generally favorable, but still limited. Most *Alternative* drugs or regimens are associated with more concerns (or insufficient data) related to PK, dosing, tolerability, formulation, administration, or drug-drug interactions than those in the preferred category, but they are acceptable for use in pregnancy. They also may have known toxicity or potential teratogenicity risks that are offset by other advantages for people with HIV who are pregnant or who are trying to conceive.

- *Insufficient Data to Recommend:* Caution should be used when considering regimens that contain drugs with little or no pregnancy data. These regimens are considered to have *Insufficient Data to Recommend* for initiation in pregnancy, but no specific data exist that would support a recommendation to discontinue these regimens in people who become pregnant while taking them.
- *Not Recommended Except in Special Circumstances:* Some drugs are designated as *Not Recommended Except in Special Circumstances* because the Panel recognizes that in some situations, treatment-experienced pregnant people may need to initiate or continue drugs with limited safety and efficacy data or specific safety concerns to reach or maintain viral suppression.
- *Not Recommended:* Some drugs are designated as *Not Recommended* in pregnancy because they have inferior virologic efficacy, because PK data demonstrate low drug levels and a risk of viral rebound during pregnancy, or because there is evidence of serious maternal or fetal safety concerns.

The Panel systematically reviews all new information from the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, published studies, and other sources to update the drug recommendations. The Panel also coordinates with the Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents when there are concerns related to drug safety in pregnancy.

These guidelines update the December 2020 Recommendations for the Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy and Interventions to Reduce Perinatal HIV Transmission in the United States. The Panel, a working group of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) OARAC, develops these guidelines. The Panel collaborates with the companion NIH OARAC Panel on Antiretroviral Therapy and Medical Management of Children Living with HIV to jointly develop recommendations in overlapping areas (e.g., [Maternal HIV Testing and Identification of Perinatal HIV Exposure](#), [Diagnosis of HIV Infection in Infants and Children](#), [Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection](#)), as well as to ensure general harmony between the guidelines. Health care providers should discuss the information in these guidelines with pregnant people with HIV in order to make collaborative, informed decision-making regarding the use of ARV drugs during pregnancy, the use of scheduled cesarean delivery to reduce the risk of perinatal transmission of HIV, and the use of ARV drugs in infants who have been exposed to HIV.

The guidelines are structured to address the care of all pregnant individuals with HIV, their infants, and people who are trying to conceive. Many of the studies that informed these guidelines included only cisgender women and, as a result, data specifically relevant for transgender men and gender diverse people who are pregnant **or are trying to conceive** often are not available. The Panel continues to advocate for greater inclusion of transgender and gender diverse people in research. **When making recommendations, the Panel will strive for clarity about the appropriateness of extrapolating information from study populations of women to all people assigned female sex at birth. The Panel has begun to make changes in language throughout the guidelines to be inclusive of transgender and gender diverse individuals assigned female sex at birth. Gender-inclusive language is now used for recommendations and general content (e.g., using “pregnant people” or “pregnant patients” versus “pregnant women” when appropriate). The Guidelines will continue to refer to cisgender women as women and will refer to transgender and gender diverse individuals where indicated for specific content. When reviewing data, results will be presented using the same terms used in the studies and publications being described (i.e., women, pregnant women, transgender men, etc.). The Panel is committed to updating and maintaining gender inclusive language throughout the guidelines and has developed a new section to provide additional content, ([Perinatal HIV Prevention](#)**

[for Transgender and Gender Diverse People Assigned Female Sex at Birth](#)) in addition to content available in the Adult and Adolescent Guidelines (see [Transgender People with HIV](#)). The Panel recognizes the importance of the countless contributions to date of the many women who have shaped our current scientific knowledge base for perinatal HIV treatment, care, and prevention through their participation in research studies in the United States and internationally. Without them, this work and these guidelines would not be possible. At the same time, changes to incorporate gender inclusive language highlight the importance of providing care that addresses the needs of transgender and gender diverse populations and begins to close a gap in providing gender-affirming pregnancy-related care and perinatal HIV prevention services.

The recommendations in these guidelines are accompanied by discussions of common circumstances that occur in clinical practice and the factors that influence treatment considerations. The Panel recognizes that strategies to prevent perinatal transmission and concepts related to managing HIV in pregnant people are evolving rapidly, and the Panel will continue to consider new evidence and adjust recommendations accordingly. The current guidelines are available on the [Clinical Info website](#). The [National Perinatal HIV Hotline](#) (1-888-448-8765) is a federally funded service that provides free clinical consultation to providers caring for women with HIV or at risk for HIV and their children, and it serves as a resource for obtaining expert consultation on individual cases.

The Panel's recommendations are designed to ensure that women, transgender men, and gender diverse individuals who can become pregnant receive the full benefit of ART for their own health and to prevent perinatal transmission. However, the Panel recognizes that people have the right to make informed choices about treatment during pregnancy, even when their choices differ from their health care providers' recommendations.

The current guidelines have been structured to reflect the management of an individual mother–infant pair and are organized into a brief discussion of prepregnancy care followed by principles for managing the care of pregnant persons and their infants during the antepartum, intrapartum, and postpartum periods. Although perinatal transmission of HIV occurs worldwide, these recommendations have been developed for use in the United States. Alternative [antiretroviral drug recommendations](#) may be appropriate in other countries (see the [World Health Organization guidelines](#) for more information).

Guidelines Development Process

Table 1. Outline of the Guidelines Development Process

Topic	Comment
Goal of the Guidelines	Provide guidance to HIV care practitioners in the United States on the optimal use of antiretroviral (ARV) agents to treat pregnant people with HIV, prevent HIV acquisition during pregnancy , and prevent perinatal HIV transmission in infants exposed to HIV.
Panel Members	<p>The Panel is composed of approximately 30 voting members who have expertise in managing the care of pregnant people with HIV (e.g., training in obstetrics/gynecology, infectious diseases, or women’s health), the pharmacology of ARV drugs during pregnancy, and the interventions for prevention of perinatal transmission (e.g., specialized training in pediatric HIV infection). The Panel also includes community representatives with knowledge of HIV infection in pregnant people and interventions for the prevention of perinatal transmission.</p> <p>The U.S. government representatives, appointed by their agencies, include at least one representative from each of the following Department of Health and Human Services agencies: the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), the Food and Drug Administration (FDA), the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA), and the National Institutes of Health (NIH). Members who do not represent U.S. government agencies are selected by Panel members after an open call for nominations. Each member serves on the Panel for a 3-year period, with an option for reappointment. The Panel also may include liaison members from the National Perinatal HIV Hotline, the American Academy of Pediatrics Committee on Pediatric AIDS, the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, the Society of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists of Canada, and the Canadian Pediatric and Perinatal Research Group. A list of all Panel members can be found in the Guidelines Panel Members section.</p>
Financial Disclosures	All members of the Panel submit an annual written financial disclosure that reports any association with manufacturers of ARV drugs or diagnostics used to manage HIV infection. See Financial Disclosure for a list of the latest disclosures.
Users of the Guidelines	Providers of care to pregnant people with HIV and infants who have been exposed to HIV
Developer	The Panel on Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission—a working group of the Office of AIDS Research Advisory Council (OARAC)
Funding Source	Office of AIDS Research, NIH
Evidence for Recommendations	The recommendations in these guidelines are generally based on studies published in peer-reviewed journals. On some occasions, particularly when new information may affect patient safety, unpublished data that were presented at major conferences or prepared by the FDA and/or manufacturers as warnings to the public may be used as evidence to revise the guidelines.
Recommendation Grading	See Table 2.

Topic	Comment
<p>Method of Synthesizing Data</p>	<p>Each section of the guidelines is assigned to a small group of Panel members with expertise in the area of interest. A structured literature search is conducted by a technical assistance consultant and provided to the Panel working group. The members review and synthesize the available data and propose recommendations to the entire Panel. The Panel discusses all proposals during monthly teleconferences. Proposals are modified based on Panel discussions and then distributed, with ballots, to all Panel members. If substantive comments or votes against approval are made, the recommended changes and areas of disagreement are brought back to the full Panel (via email or teleconference) for review, discussion, and further modification to reach a final version that is acceptable to all Panel members. The recommendations in these final versions represent the consensus of Panel members and are included in the guidelines as official Panel recommendations.</p>
<p>Other Guidelines</p>	<p>These guidelines focus on pregnant people with HIV and their infants. Other guidelines (all of which are available on the Clinical Info website) outline the use of ARV agents in nonpregnant adults and adolescents with HIV; use of ARV agents in infants and children with HIV; treatment and prevention of opportunistic infections (OIs) in adults and adolescents with HIV, including pregnant women; treatment and prevention of OIs in children who have been exposed to HIV or who have HIV infection; and treatment of people who experience occupational or nonoccupational exposure to HIV. Preconception management for nonpregnant people of reproductive potential is discussed briefly in this document. However, for a more detailed discussion of the issues surrounding the treatment of nonpregnant adults, please consult the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines and the Adult and Adolescent Opportunistic Infection Guidelines.</p>
<p>Update Plan</p>	<p>The Panel meets monthly by teleconference to review data that may affect the content of the guidelines. Updates may be prompted by new drug approvals (or new indications, new dosing formulations, and/or changes in dosing frequency), significant new safety or efficacy data, or other information that may have a significant impact on the clinical care of patients. In the event of significant new data that may affect patient safety, the Panel may issue a warning announcement and recommendations on the Clinical Info website until the guidelines can be updated with appropriate changes.</p>
<p>Public Comments</p>	<p>A 2-week public comment period follows the release of the updated guidelines on the Clinical Info website. The Panel reviews comments to determine whether additional revisions to the guidelines are indicated. The public also may submit comments to the Panel at any time at contactus@clinicalinfo.nih.gov.</p>

Basis for Recommendations

The recommendations in these guidelines are based on scientific evidence and expert opinion. Each recommendation statement includes a letter (**A**, **B**, or **C**) that represents the strength of the recommendation and a Roman numeral (**I**, **II**, or **III**) that represents the quality of the evidence that supports the recommendation.

Table 2. Rating Scheme for Recommendations

Strength of Recommendation	Quality of Evidence for Recommendation
A: Strong recommendation for the statement B: Moderate recommendation for the statement C: Optional recommendation for the statement	I: One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints II: One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes III: Expert opinion

References

1. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force, Owens DK, Davidson KW, et al. Screening for HIV infection: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. *JAMA*. 2019;321(23):2326-2336. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31184701>.
2. Peters H, Francis K, Sconza R, et al. UK mother-to-child HIV transmission rates continue to decline: 2012-2014. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2017;64(4):527-528. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28174911>.
3. Nesheim SR, FitzHarris LF, Mahle Gray K, Lampe MA. Epidemiology of perinatal HIV transmission in the United States in the era of its elimination. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2019;38(6):611-616. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30724833>.
4. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Diagnoses of HIV infection in the United States and dependent areas, 2019: special focus profiles. 2019. Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/library/reports/hiv-surveillance/vol-32/content/special-focus-profiles.html#Children-13>
5. Nesheim S, Taylor A, Lampe MA, et al. A framework for elimination of perinatal transmission of HIV in the United States. *Pediatrics*. 2012;130(4):738-744. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22945404>.
6. Andrews MM, Storm DS, Burr CK, et al. Perinatal HIV service coordination: closing gaps in the HIV care continuum for pregnant women and eliminating perinatal HIV transmission in the United States. *Public Health Rep*. 2018;133(5):532-542. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30096026>.
7. FitzHarris LF, Johnson CH, Nesheim SR, et al. Prenatal HIV testing and the impact of state HIV testing laws, 2004 to 2011. *Sex Transm Dis*. 2018;45(9):583-587. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29485541>.
8. Salvant Valentine S, Poulin A. Consistency of state statutes and regulations with centers for disease control and prevention's 2006 perinatal HIV testing recommendations. *Public Health Rep*. 2018;133(5):601-605. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30096022>.
9. Olakunde BO, Pharr JR, Adeyinka DA. HIV testing among pregnant women with prenatal care in the United States: an analysis of the 2011-2017 National Survey of Family Growth. *Int J STD AIDS*. 2020;31(7):680-688. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32538331>.
10. Koumans EH, Harrison A, House LD, et al. Characteristics associated with lack of HIV testing during pregnancy and delivery in 36 U.S. states, 2004-2013. *Int J STD AIDS*. 2018;29(12):1225-1233. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29969977>.
11. Aslam MV, Owusu-Edusei K, Nesheim SR, Gray KM, Lampe MA, Dietz PM. Trends in women with an HIV diagnosis at delivery hospitalization in the United States, 2006-2014. *Public Health Rep*. 2020;135(4):524-533. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32649273>.

12. Salvant Valentine S, Caldwell J, Tailor A. Effect of CDC 2006 revised HIV testing recommendations for adults, adolescents, pregnant women, and newborns on state laws, 2018. *Public Health Rep.* 2020;135(1_suppl):189S-196S. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32735201>.
13. Szlachta-McGinn A, Aserlind A, Duthely L, et al. HIV screening during pregnancy in a U.S. HIV epicenter. *Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol.* 2020;2020:8196342. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32454582>.
14. Gnanashanmugam D, Rakhmanina N, Crawford KW, et al. Eliminating perinatal HIV in the United States: mission possible? *AIDS.* 2019;33(3):377-385. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30475262>.
15. Nesheim SR, FitzHarris LF, Lampe MA, Gray KM. Reconsidering the number of women with HIV Infection who give birth annually in the United States. *Public Health Rep.* 2018;133(6):637-643. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30265616>.
16. Mofenson LM, Pozniak AL, Wambui J, et al. Optimizing responses to drug safety signals in pregnancy: the example of dolutegravir and neural tube defects. *J Int AIDS Soc.* 2019;22(7):e25352. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31298496>.
17. Task Force on Research Specific to Pregnant Women and Lactating Women. Report to secretary, health and human services congress 2018. Available at: https://www.nichd.nih.gov/sites/default/files/2018-09/PRGLAC_Report.pdf.
18. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER), Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER). Postapproval pregnancy safety studies guidance for industry. 2019. Available at: <https://www.fda.gov/media/124746/download>.
19. Abrams EJ, Mofenson LM, Pozniak A, et al. Enhanced and timely investigation of ARVs for use in pregnant women. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr.* 2020 (Online ahead of print). Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33298793>.

Maternal HIV Testing and Identification of Perinatal HIV Exposure

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Panel's Recommendations

- HIV testing is recommended as a standard of care for all sexually active people and should be a routine component of **prepregnancy** care **(AII)**.
- All pregnant people should be tested as early as possible during each pregnancy (see [Laboratory Testing for the Diagnosis of HIV Infection: Updated Recommendations](#) and [Recommended Laboratory HIV Testing Algorithm for Serum or Plasma Specimens](#) from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [CDC]) **(AII)**.
- Partners of all pregnant people should be referred for HIV testing when their status is unknown **(AIII)**.
- Repeat HIV testing in the third trimester is recommended for pregnant people with negative initial HIV tests who are at increased risk of acquiring HIV, including those receiving care in facilities that have an HIV incidence of ≥ 1 case per 1,000 pregnant women per year, those who reside in jurisdictions with elevated HIV incidence (see [Revised Recommendations for HIV Testing of Adults, Adolescents, and Pregnant Women in Health-Care Settings](#) from CDC), or those who reside in states or territories that require third-trimester testing **(AII)**.
- Repeat HIV testing is recommended for pregnant people with a sexually transmitted infection (STI) or with signs and symptoms of acute HIV infection, or ongoing exposure to HIV, as well as referral for initiation of pre-exposure prophylaxis if HIV testing is negative **(AIII)**. See [Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis \(PrEP\) to Prevent HIV During Periconception, Antepartum, and Postpartum Periods](#) for more information.
- Expedited HIV testing should be performed during labor or delivery for people with undocumented HIV status and for those who tested negative early in pregnancy but are at increased risk of HIV infection and were not retested in the third trimester **(AII)**. Testing should be available 24 hours a day, and results should be available within 1 hour. If results are positive, intrapartum antiretroviral (ARV) prophylaxis should be initiated immediately **(AI)**.
- Pregnant people who were not tested for HIV before or during labor should undergo expedited HIV antibody testing during the immediate postpartum period (or their newborns should undergo expedited HIV antibody testing) **(AII)**.
- When a pregnant person has a positive HIV test result during labor and delivery or postpartum, or when a newborn's expedited antibody test is positive, an appropriate infant ARV drug regimen should be initiated immediately, and the **infant should not be** breastfed while awaiting the results of supplemental HIV testing **(AII)**. See [Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or Perinatal HIV](#) for guidance.
- Results of maternal HIV testing should be documented in the newborn's medical record and communicated to the newborn's primary care provider **(AIII)**.
- HIV testing is recommended for infants and children in foster care and adoptees for whom maternal HIV status is unknown to identify perinatal HIV exposure and possible HIV infection **(AIII)** (see [Diagnosis of HIV Infections in Infants and Children](#)).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials in children[†] with clinical outcomes and/or validated endpoints; I = One or more randomized trials in adults with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints with accompanying data in children[†] from one or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies in children[†] with long-term outcomes; II* = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational studies in adults with long-term clinical outcomes with accompanying data in children[†] from one or more similar nonrandomized trials or cohort studies with clinical outcome data; III = Expert opinion*

[†]Studies that include children or children and adolescents, but not studies limited to post-pubertal adolescents

HIV Testing in Pregnancy

HIV infection should be identified before pregnancy (see [Prepregnancy Counseling and Care for Persons of Childbearing Age with HIV](#)) or as early as possible in pregnancy. In the United States, approximately 20% to 34% of infants with perinatal HIV exposure were born to people whose HIV diagnosis was not known before pregnancy.¹ Early diagnosis provides the best opportunity to improve maternal health and pregnancy outcomes to prevent infant acquisition of HIV, to identify HIV infection, and to start therapy as soon as possible in infants who acquire HIV. Universal voluntary HIV testing is recommended as the standard of care for all pregnant people in the United States by the Panel on Antiretroviral Therapy and Medical Management of Children Living with HIV and the Panel on Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission (the Panels), the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), the American Academy of Pediatrics, the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, and the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force.²⁻⁶

All HIV testing should be performed in a manner that is consistent with state and local regulations. CDC recommends the “opt-out” approach, which is allowed in many jurisdictions and involves notifying a pregnant person that HIV testing will be performed as part of routine care unless they choose not to be tested.³ The “opt-in” approach involves obtaining specific consent before testing, and this approach has been associated with lower testing rates.^{7,8} Despite the guidelines for universal HIV screening of pregnant people, recent studies indicate that fewer than 80% of women report having been tested for HIV during pregnancy.^{9,10} The mandatory newborn HIV testing approach, which has been adopted by several states, involves testing newborns with or without maternal consent. In some areas, this applies to all newborns; in others, it applies only to the infants of mothers who have declined prenatal or intrapartum testing.

Partners of pregnant people should be referred for HIV testing when their status is unknown, consistent with the [2006 CDC recommendations](#) for HIV testing of all individuals in the United States. Testing will facilitate linkage to care if a partner is diagnosed with HIV infection. Because women are more susceptible to HIV acquisition during pregnancy and the postpartum period,¹¹ clinicians also can initiate a discussion about preventive interventions, including [pre-exposure prophylaxis \(PrEP\)](#), for a pregnant person without HIV who is at risk for acquiring HIV. See [Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis \(PrEP\) to Prevent HIV During Periconception, Antepartum, and Postpartum Periods](#) for more information.

Clinicians should assess a pregnant person’s risk of acute HIV infection, particularly late in pregnancy, because people may receive a negative result for expedited or rapid HIV testing when they are in the window period (the window period lasts up to 15 days post-infection when using the combined antigen/antibody immunoassay and up to 28 days when using other assays). However,

during this period, the person with acute HIV will be viremic,¹² with a high risk of perinatal transmission to the newborn. The HIV RNA assay can detect the presence of HIV as early as 10 days post-infection, so this test should be used when acute HIV infection is suspected. See [Acute HIV Infection](#) for more information.

Providers should be aware that gaps in maternal HIV testing do occur and can contribute to missed opportunities for preventing perinatal HIV transmission.¹³⁻¹⁶ Maternal HIV testing should be performed as early as possible during pregnancy, wherever a person seeks care (including emergency departments and prenatal clinics), to avoid missed opportunities to identify pregnant people with HIV. Repeat HIV testing should be performed in the third trimester for people who are at increased risk of acquiring HIV or who are living in areas of high HIV incidence, at the time of a diagnosis of a sexually transmitted infection (STI), or when they show symptoms and signs of possible acute HIV infection. Pregnant people with unknown or undocumented HIV status who present to care in labor should be tested during delivery or as soon as possible after delivery.¹³⁻¹⁶

Determining antenatal maternal HIV status enables—

- People with HIV to receive appropriate antiretroviral therapy (ART) and prophylaxis against opportunistic infections;
- Initiation of treatment in the identified people to maintain and improve their health and to decrease risk of HIV transmission to their fetus or infant and their partners;^{3,17,18}
- Referral of partners for testing, which allows them to initiate either treatment if the results are positive or preventive interventions, including PrEP, if the results are negative if warranted (see [Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis \(PrEP\) to Prevent HIV During Periconception, Antepartum, and Postpartum Periods](#));
- Provision of ART during pregnancy and labor and provision of an appropriate antiretroviral (ARV) drug regimen to the newborn to reduce the risk of perinatal transmission;
- Counseling of pregnant people with HIV about recommended modes of delivery based on individualized risks of perinatal transmission of HIV;¹⁹⁻²¹
- Counseling of pregnant people with HIV about the risks of HIV transmission through breast milk (in the United States, breastfeeding is not recommended for women with HIV [see [Counseling and Managing Individuals with HIV in the United States Who Desire to Breastfeed](#)]);²² and
- Early diagnostic evaluation of infants exposed to HIV (see [Diagnosis of HIV Infection in Infants and Children](#)), as well as testing of other children, to permit prompt initiation of ART and any indicated prophylaxis measures.^{2,23-25}

New technology has made it possible to detect HIV earlier and has reduced the performance time for laboratory-based assays, which now can be completed in <1 hour. Accordingly, the Panels now base their recommendations for HIV testing on CDC's 2014 [Laboratory Testing for the Diagnosis of HIV Infection: Updated Recommendations](#).²⁶ The guidelines recommend that clinicians initiate HIV testing with an immunoassay that is capable of detecting HIV-1 antibodies, HIV-2 antibodies, and HIV-1 p24 antigen (referred to as an antigen/antibody combination immunoassay). Individuals with a reactive antigen/antibody combination immunoassay should be tested further with an HIV-1/HIV-2 antibody differentiation assay (referred to as supplemental testing). Individuals with a reactive antigen/antibody combination immunoassay and a nonreactive differentiation test should be tested with a Food and Drug Administration–approved plasma HIV RNA assay to establish a diagnosis of

acute HIV infection (see CDC's [Recommended Laboratory HIV Testing Algorithm for Serum or Plasma Specimens](#)).

Discordant HIV testing results can be seen, requiring careful evaluation and often repeat tests. Early in HIV infection, before HIV seroconversion, the antigen-antibody screen will be negative and the HIV RNA assay will be positive. This is seen in acute infection because the HIV RNA assay is positive before the antigen/antibody screen. The test combination of a positive antigen-antibody screen, negative antibody differentiation assay, and positive HIV RNA assay also can be seen early in HIV infection because the IgG-based antibody differentiation assay is positive later in infection than the antigen capture or the IgM result in the antigen-antibody screen.

Clinicians should be aware that as more individuals undergo repeat HIV testing, the number of false-positive screens will increase. The combination of a positive antigen-antibody screen with a negative antibody differentiation assay and a negative HIV RNA assay is seen in people without HIV who have a false-positive antigen-antibody screen.

These examples should make it clear that for any positive HIV 1/2 antigen-antibody screen, an HIV RNA assay should be done because the HIV RNA assay is needed to resolve questions raised by discordant results on the antigen-antibody screen and the antibody differentiation assay.

The antigen/antibody combination immunoassay is the test of choice and can be done quickly (referred to as an expedited test), but it requires trained laboratory staff and, therefore, may not be available in some hospitals 24 hours a day. When this test is unavailable, initial testing should be performed by the most sensitive expedited or rapid test available. Every delivery unit needs to have access to an HIV test that can be done rapidly (i.e., in <1 hour) 24 hours a day. If the test result is positive, the test to confirm HIV infection should be performed as soon as possible (as with all initial assays with positive results). Older antibody tests have lower sensitivity in the context of recent acquisition of HIV than antigen/antibody combination immunoassays. Therefore, testing that follows the 2014 CDC algorithm should be considered if HIV risk cannot be ruled out. Results of maternal HIV testing should be documented in the newborn's medical record and communicated to the newborn's primary care provider.

Repeat HIV Testing in the Third Trimester

Repeat HIV testing during the third trimester, before 36 weeks gestation, is recommended (see [Acute HIV Infection](#))²⁷ for pregnant people with negative results on their initial HIV antibody tests who—

- Are at high risk of acquiring HIV (e.g., those who inject drugs or have sex with people who inject drugs, those who exchange sex for money or drugs, those who are sex partners of individuals with HIV, those who have had a new sex partner or more than one sex partner during the current pregnancy,³ or those who have a suspected or diagnosed STI during pregnancy),²⁸ or
- Are receiving health care in facilities where prenatal screening identifies one or more pregnant women with HIV per 1,000 women screened, or reside in a jurisdiction that has a high incidence

of HIV or AIDS in women between the ages of 15 and 45 years (see the [2006 CDC recommendations](#));^{3,28}

- Reside in states or territories with statutes or regulations that require third-trimester testing (Arizona, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Louisiana, Maryland, Nevada, New Jersey, North Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, West Virginia);²⁹ or
- Have signs or symptoms of acute HIV (e.g., fever, lymphadenopathy, skin rash, myalgia, headaches, oral ulcers, leukopenia, thrombocytopenia, elevated transaminase levels).^{3,28,30-32}

In addition, third-trimester testing should be offered to pregnant people who perceive themselves as being at increased risk for HIV infection (regardless of whether or not they fit any of the above criteria). Pregnant people who decline testing earlier in pregnancy should be offered testing again during the third trimester. An antigen/antibody combination immunoassay should be used because these tests have a higher sensitivity in the setting of acute HIV infection than older antibody tests.^{26,33} When acute HIV infection is suspected during pregnancy, during the intrapartum period, or while breastfeeding, a plasma HIV RNA test result should be performed in conjunction with an antigen/antibody combination immunoassay. See [Acute and Recent \(Early\) HIV Infection](#) in the [Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines](#) for more information.

Providers should be proactive in assessing a pregnant person's HIV acquisition risk and implementing third-trimester HIV retesting when indicated. A study in Baltimore found that only 28% of women were retested for HIV despite the high incidence of HIV in Maryland and a high frequency of clinical risk factors.¹⁶ A study of data from 2007 to 2014 on children in Florida with perinatal HIV exposure found that perinatal HIV transmission was associated with poor or late prenatal care, diagnosis of maternal HIV during labor and delivery or after birth, and, in some cases, acute maternal infection (as indicated by negative results for initial tests).³⁴ In a more recent study from a high-prevalence area in Florida, 91.7% of women had first- or second-trimester screening and, although only 82.2% had a third-trimester test, 89.3% of those without third-trimester screening had rapid testing upon admission.³⁵

Repeat HIV testing at other times during pregnancy also should be considered when clinically indicated. For example, repeat testing should be performed when a pregnant person presents with symptoms that are suggestive of an STI, a confirmed STI diagnosis, or symptoms or signs that are consistent with acute HIV infection.

HIV Testing During Labor in People with Unknown HIV Status

People in labor whose HIV status is undocumented and those who tested negative early in pregnancy but are at increased risk of HIV infection and were not retested in the third trimester should undergo expedited HIV testing to identify HIV infection in the mothers and HIV exposure in their infants. HIV testing during labor has been found to be feasible, accurate, timely, and useful both in ensuring prompt initiation of intrapartum maternal ARV for fetal/infant prophylaxis (see [Intrapartum Care for](#)

^a In 2004, these jurisdictions included Alabama, Connecticut, Delaware, the District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Louisiana, Maryland, Massachusetts, Mississippi, Nevada, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Pennsylvania, Puerto Rico, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, and Virginia. Since that time, advances in HIV screening, prevention, and treatment have affected HIV diagnoses among reproductive-aged women, and some of these jurisdictions may no longer meet this incidence criterion.

[People with HIV](#)) and in developing an appropriate ARV regimen for infants who are at high risk of perinatal HIV transmission (see [Table 11](#)).^{2-4,23,31,36,37}

Policies and procedures must be in place to ensure that staff are prepared to provide patient education and expedited HIV testing, that appropriate ARV drugs are available whenever needed, and that follow-up procedures are in place for people who receive an HIV diagnosis and for their infants. Testing should be available 24 hours a day and, whenever possible, results should be available within 1 hour.

If the antigen/antibody combination immunoassay is not available, initial testing should be performed by the most sensitive expedited test available.

A positive expedited HIV test result must be followed by a supplemental test.²⁶ Immediate initiation of maternal intravenous intrapartum zidovudine is recommended to prevent perinatal transmission of HIV pending the supplemental result (see [Intrapartum Care for People with HIV](#)).^{2-4,6,23,31} Pending results of supplemental maternal testing, infants should receive an ARV regimen that is appropriate for infants who are at high risk of perinatal HIV transmission as soon as possible (see [Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or Perinatal HIV](#) or contact the [National Clinician Consultation Center](#) Perinatal HIV Hotline). No further testing is required for specimens that are nonreactive (negative) on the initial immunoassay, unless acute HIV infection is suspected (see [Acute HIV Infection](#)).²⁶

HIV Testing During the Postpartum Period

People who have not been tested for HIV before or during labor should be offered expedited testing during the immediate postpartum period. Maternal testing should be done using the antigen/antibody combination immunoassay to screen for established and acute HIV; results should be obtained in <1 hour. If acute HIV infection is a possibility, then a plasma HIV RNA test should be sent, as well. When mothers are unavailable for testing, their newborns should undergo expedited HIV testing.^{2,23,31} Postnatal ARV drugs need to be initiated as soon as possible—ideally ≤6 hours after birth—to be effective in preventing perinatal transmission. When an initial HIV test is positive in mothers or infants, it is strongly recommended that clinicians initiate an ARV regimen that is appropriate for infants who are at high risk of perinatal HIV transmission and counsel the mothers against breastfeeding pending the results of supplemental testing, which should include a plasma HIV RNA test. Breast milk can be expressed while HIV diagnostic testing is being completed, but it should not be given to the infant until testing confirms that the mother is HIV negative (see [Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or Perinatal HIV](#)). If supplemental test results are negative and acute HIV is excluded, infant ARV drugs can be discontinued. In the absence of ongoing maternal HIV exposure, breastfeeding can be initiated. Consultation with a pediatric HIV specialist is strongly recommended if questions remain about the potential for acute maternal infection or ongoing maternal HIV exposure.

Infant HIV Testing When Maternal HIV Test Results Are Unavailable

When maternal HIV test results are unavailable (e.g., the mother has declined testing during pregnancy) or for infants and children who are in foster care) or their accuracy cannot be evaluated (e.g., for infants and children who were adopted from countries where results are not reported in English), HIV testing of these infants or children is indicated to identify HIV exposure and possible infection.² The choice of test will vary based on the age of the child (see [Diagnosis of HIV Infection](#)

[in Infants and Children](#)). Mechanisms should be developed to facilitate prompt HIV screening for infants who have been abandoned and who are in the custody of the state.

Acute Maternal HIV Infection During Pregnancy or Breastfeeding

Women are more susceptible to HIV infection during pregnancy and the early postpartum period.³⁸ Risk of HIV exposure should be assessed in all people who are considering becoming pregnant, as well as in all pregnant and postpartum people who previously tested negative for HIV, including those who are breastfeeding. People with risk factors for HIV acquisition **before, during, and after pregnancy** should receive prevention counseling and appropriate interventions, including PrEP if indicated.^{38,39} (See [Pregnancy Counseling and Care for Persons of Childbearing Age with HIV](#) and [Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis \(PrEP\) to Prevent HIV During Periconception, Antepartum, and Postpartum Periods](#) for more information. People who have acute HIV during pregnancy or lactation have an increased risk of perinatal transmission and secondary sexual transmission of HIV (see [Acute HIV Infection](#)).^{27,40-43} The antigen/antibody combination immunoassay will detect acute HIV infection earlier than other immunoassays—within approximately 15 days of acquisition. When acute HIV infection is suspected, a plasma HIV RNA test should be sent as well because virologic tests can detect the presence of HIV approximately 5 days earlier than the antigen/antibody combination immunoassay. People with possible acute HIV infection who are breastfeeding should cease breastfeeding immediately until HIV infection is confirmed or excluded.²² Breast milk can be expressed while HIV diagnostic testing is completed. Breastfeeding can resume if HIV infection is excluded and there is no ongoing risk. Care of pregnant or breastfeeding people with acute or early HIV, and their infants, should follow the recommendations in the Perinatal Guidelines (see [Acute HIV Infection](#) and [Guidance for Counseling and Managing Individuals with HIV in the United States Who Desire to Breastfeed](#)).

Other Issues

Clinicians should be aware of public health surveillance systems and regulations that may exist in their jurisdictions for reporting infants who have been exposed to HIV; this is in addition to mandatory reporting of people with HIV, including infants. Reporting infants who have been exposed to HIV allows the appropriate public health functions to be accomplished.

References

1. Nesheim SR, FitzHarris LF, Mahle Gray K, Lampe MA. Epidemiology of perinatal HIV transmission in the United States in the era of its elimination. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2019;38(6):611-616. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30724833>.
2. American Academy of Pediatrics Committee on Pediatric AIDS. HIV testing and prophylaxis to prevent mother-to-child transmission in the United States. *Pediatrics*. 2008;122(5):1127-1134. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18977995>.
3. Branson BM, Handsfield HH, Lampe MA, et al. Revised recommendations for HIV testing of adults, adolescents, and pregnant women in health-care settings. *MMWR Recomm Rep*. 2006;55(RR-14):1-17; quiz CE11-14. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16988643>.
4. Chou R, Cantor AG, Zakher B, Bougatsos C. Screening for HIV in pregnant women: systematic review to update the 2005 U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendation. *Ann Intern Med*. 2012;157(10):719-728. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23165663>.
5. American College of Obstetrics and Gynecology: Committee on Obstetric Practice, HIV Expert Work Group. ACOG Committee opinion No. 752: prenatal and perinatal human immunodeficiency virus testing. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2018;132(3):e138-e142. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30134428>.
6. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force, Owens DK, Davidson KW, et al. Screening for HIV infection: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. *JAMA*. 2019;321(23):2326-2336. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31184701>.
7. Boer K, Smit C, van der Flier M, de Wolf F, Athena Cohort Study Group. The comparison of the performance of two screening strategies identifying newly-diagnosed HIV during pregnancy. *Eur J Public Health*. 2011;21(5):632-637. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21051473>.
8. Yudin MH, Moravac C, Shah RR. Influence of an “opt-out” test strategy and patient factors on human immunodeficiency virus screening in pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2007;110(1):81-86. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17601900>.
9. Olakunde BO, Pharr JR, Adeyinka DA. HIV testing among pregnant women with prenatal care in the United States: an analysis of the 2011–2017 National Survey of Family Growth. *Int J STD AIDS*. 2020;31(7):680-688. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32538331>.
10. Koumans EH, Harrison A, House LD, et al. Characteristics associated with lack of HIV testing during pregnancy and delivery in 36 U.S. states, 2004–2013. *Int J STD AIDS*. 2018;29(12):1225-1233. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29969977>.
11. Thomson KA, Hughes J, Baeten JM, et al. Increased risk of HIV acquisition among women throughout pregnancy and during the postpartum period: a prospective per-coital-act analysis

- among women with HIV-infected partners. *J Infect Dis*. 2018;218(1):16-25. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29514254>.
12. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and Association of Public Health Laboratories. Laboratory testing for the diagnosis of HIV infection: updated recommendations. June 27, 2014. Available at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.15620/cdc.23447>.
 13. Whitmore SK, Taylor AW, Espinoza L, Shouse RL, Lampe MA, Nesheim S. Correlates of mother-to-child transmission of HIV in the United States and Puerto Rico. *Pediatrics*. 2012;129(1):e74-81. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22144694>.
 14. Ezeanolue EE, Pharr JR, Hunt A, Patel D, Jackson D. Why are children still being infected with HIV? Impact of an integrated public health and clinical practice intervention on mother-to-child HIV transmission in Las Vegas, Nevada, 2007–2012. *Ann Med Health Sci Res*. 2015;5(4):253-259. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26229713>.
 15. Taylor AW, Nesheim SR, Zhang X, et al. Estimated perinatal HIV infection among infants born in the United States, 2002–2013. *JAMA Pediatr*. 2017;171(5):435-442. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28319246>.
 16. Liao C, Golden WC, Anderson JR, Coleman JS. Missed opportunities for repeat HIV testing in pregnancy: implications for elimination of mother-to-child transmission in the United States. *AIDS Patient Care STDS*. 2017;31(1):20-26. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27936863>.
 17. Cohen MS, Chen YQ, McCauley M, et al. Prevention of HIV-1 infection with early antiretroviral therapy. *N Engl J Med*. 2011;365(6):493-505. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21767103>.
 18. Baggaley RF, White RG, Hollingsworth TD, Boily MC. Heterosexual HIV-1 infectiousness and antiretroviral use: systematic review of prospective studies of discordant couples. *Epidemiology*. 2013;24(1):110-121. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23222513>.
 19. Jamieson DJ, Read JS, Kourtis AP, Durant TM, Lampe MA, Dominguez KL. Cesarean delivery for HIV-infected women: recommendations and controversies. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2007;197(3 Suppl):S96-100. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17825656>.
 20. Tubiana R, Le Chenadec J, Rouzioux C, et al. Factors associated with mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 despite a maternal viral load <500 copies/mL at delivery: a case-control study nested in the French perinatal cohort (EPF-ANRS CO1). *Clin Infect Dis*. 2010;50(4):585-596. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20070234>.
 21. Townsend CL, Cortina-Borja M, Peckham CS, de Ruiter A, Lyall H, Tookey PA. Low rates of mother-to-child transmission of HIV following effective pregnancy interventions in the United Kingdom and Ireland, 2000–2006. *AIDS*. 2008;22(8):973-981. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18453857>.

22. Committee on Pediatric AIDS. Infant feeding and transmission of human immunodeficiency virus in the United States. *Pediatrics*. 2013;131(2):391-396. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23359577>.
23. Havens PL, Mofenson LM, American Academy of Pediatrics Committee on Pediatric AIDS. Evaluation and management of the infant exposed to HIV-1 in the United States. *Pediatrics*. 2009;123(1):175-187. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19117880>.
24. Hegazi A, Forsyth S, Prime K, BASHH Adolescent Special Interest Group. Testing the children of HIV-infected parents: 6 years on from 'don't forget the children.' *Sex Transm Infect*. 2015;91(2):76-77. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25316913>.
25. Panel on Opportunistic Infections in HIV-Infected Adults and Adolescents. Guidelines for the prevention and treatment of opportunistic infections in adults and adolescents with HIV: recommendations from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the National Institutes of Health, and the HIV Medicine Association of the Infectious Diseases Society of America. 2019. Available at: https://clinicalinfo.hiv.gov/sites/default/files/guidelines/documents/Adult_OI.pdf.
26. Branson BM, Owen SM, Wesolowski LG, et al. Laboratory testing for the diagnosis of HIV infection: updated recommendations. Vol. ed.: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2014. Available at: <https://stacks.cdc.gov/view/cdc/23447>.
27. Birkhead GS, Pulver WP, Warren BL, Hackel S, Rodríguez D, Smith L. Acquiring human immunodeficiency virus during pregnancy and mother-to-child transmission in New York: 2002–2006. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2010;115(6):1247-1255. Available at <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20502297>.
28. American College of Obstetricians Gynecologists, Committee on Obstetric Practice HIV Expert Work Group. ACOG Committee opinion no. 752: prenatal and perinatal human immunodeficiency virus testing. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2018;132(3):e138-e142. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30134428>.
29. Salvant Valentine S, Caldwell J, Tailor A. Effect of CDC 2006 revised HIV testing recommendations for adults, adolescents, pregnant women, and newborns on state laws, 2018. *Public Health Rep*. 2020;135(1_suppl):189S-196S. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32735201>.
30. Sansom SL, Jamieson DJ, Farnham PG, Bulterys M, Fowler MG. Human immunodeficiency virus retesting during pregnancy: costs and effectiveness in preventing perinatal transmission. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2003;102(4):782-790. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14551009>.
31. American College of Obstetrics: Gynecology Committee on Obstetric Practice. ACOG Committee opinion no. 418: prenatal and perinatal human immunodeficiency virus testing: expanded recommendations. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2008;112(3):739-742. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18757690>.

32. Richey LE, Halperin J. Acute human immunodeficiency virus infection. *Am J Med Sci*. 2013;345(2):136-142. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23095473>.
33. Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents. Guidelines for the use of antiretroviral agents in adults and adolescents living with HIV. 2021. Available at: <https://clinicalinfo.hiv.gov/en/guidelines/adult-and-adolescent-arv/whats-new-guidelines>.
34. Trepka MJ, Mukherjee S, Beck-Sague C, et al. Missed opportunities for preventing perinatal transmission of human immunodeficiency virus, Florida, 2007–2014. *South Med J*. 2017;110(2):116-128. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28158882>.
35. Szlachta-McGinn A, Aserlind A, Duthely L, et al. HIV screening during pregnancy in a U.S. HIV epicenter. *Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol*. 2020;2020:8196342. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32454582>.
36. Yee LM, Miller ES, Statton A, et al. Sustainability of statewide rapid HIV testing in labor and delivery. *AIDS Behav*. 2018;22(2):538-544. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28986656>.
37. Scott RK, Crochet S, Huang CC. Universal rapid human immunodeficiency virus screening at delivery: a cost-effectiveness analysis. *Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol*. 2018;2018:6024698. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29731602>.
38. Thomson KA, Hughes J, Baeten JM, et al. Increased risk of HIV acquisition among women throughout pregnancy and during the postpartum period: a prospective per-coital-act analysis among women with HIV-infected partners. *J Infect Dis*. 2018;218(1):16-25. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29514254>.
39. Graybill LA, Kasaro M, Freeborn K, et al. Incident HIV among pregnant and breast-feeding women in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *AIDS*. 2020;34(5):761-776. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32167990>.
40. Lockman S, Creek T. Acute maternal HIV infection during pregnancy and breast-feeding: substantial risk to infants. *J Infect Dis*. 2009;200(5):667-669. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19627246>.
41. Taha TE, James MM, Hoover DR, et al. Association of recent HIV infection and in-utero HIV-1 transmission. *AIDS*. 2011;25(11):1357-1364. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21572305>.
42. Humphrey JH, Marinda E, Mutasa K, et al. Mother to child transmission of HIV among Zimbabwean women who seroconverted postnatally: prospective cohort study. *BMJ*. 2010;341:c6580. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21177735>.
43. Drake AL, Wagner A, Richardson B, John-Stewart G. Incident HIV during pregnancy and postpartum and risk of mother-to-child HIV transmission: a systematic review and

meta-analysis. *PLoS Med.* 2014;11(2):e1001608. Available at <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24586123>.

Pre-exposure Prophylaxis (PrEP) to Prevent HIV During Periconception, Antepartum, and Postpartum Periods

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Panel's Recommendations
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Health care providers should offer and promote daily oral combination tenofovir disoproxil fumarate/emtricitabine (TDF/FTC) pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP), when indicated, for uninfected individuals who are trying to conceive or are pregnant, postpartum, or breastfeeding to prevent HIV acquisition (AII). Indications for PrEP include risk factors for acquiring HIV, such as condomless sex with a partner with HIV whose HIV-RNA level is detectable or unknown, recent sexually transmitted infection (STI), or injection drug use. Because risk factors may be underreported, health care providers should discuss PrEP with those with behaviors or experiences that can be associated with HIV, such as intimate partner violence, repeated post-exposure prophylaxis courses, or reporting feeling at risk for HIV acquisition.• People who become pregnant while using TDF/FTC as PrEP can continue PrEP throughout their pregnancy. Risk for HIV acquisition should be reassessed, and people should be counseled regarding benefits and risks of PrEP use in pregnancy (AII).• Providers should counsel patients about the benefits of PrEP to prevent HIV acquisition and perinatal transmission (AI) and about potential risks of PrEP during periconception, pregnancy, postpartum, and breastfeeding periods (AII).• In cases when the individual's risk factor is one identified partner with HIV and that partner is on antiretroviral therapy (ART) with sustained viral suppression, condomless sexual intercourse is associated with effectively no risk of sexual HIV transmission when HIV viral load is suppressed (AI) (see Reproductive Options for Couples When One or Both Partners Have HIV).• Providers should counsel patients about the importance of daily adherence to oral TDF/FTC PrEP to prevent HIV acquisition (AI). Patients should be counseled to continue additional protection for the first 20 days after initiating PrEP and for 28 days after last potential vaginal exposure (BII). No available data support on-demand PrEP use for people exposed to HIV through vaginal exposure.• Providers should offer routine PrEP follow-up, including testing for HIV every 3 months and counseling on signs and symptoms of acute retroviral syndrome (AI) (see the Centers for Disease Prevention and Control Guidelines for HIV Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis and Maternal HIV Testing and Identification of Perinatal HIV Exposure). More frequent testing may be appropriate when clinically indicated (e.g., adherence challenges, nonstandard visit schedule). <p>Dapivirine vaginal ring and injectable cabotegravir have been shown to reduce the risk of HIV acquisition via receptive vaginal exposure, and cabotegravir has been approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for use as PrEP in people with exposure to HIV. However, safety data are limited for their use during conception, pregnancy, or breastfeeding. Oral tenofovir alafenamide (TAF)/FTC has not been demonstrated to be effective for HIV prevention in people with receptive vaginal exposure.</p>
<p>Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional</p> <p>Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion</p>

HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) is the use of specific antiretroviral (ARV) drugs to prevent HIV acquisition. The use of combination tenofovir disoproxil fumarate/emtricitabine (TDF/FTC) as daily oral PrEP to reduce HIV acquisition was approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 2012. When taken as prescribed, TDF/FTC provides greater than 90 percent protection against acquiring HIV. Susceptibility to HIV acquisition is greater during the periconception period, throughout pregnancy, and through 6 months postpartum. Acute or recent HIV infection during pregnancy or breastfeeding is associated with an increased risk of perinatal HIV transmission (see [Acute HIV Infection](#)).^{1,2} The Panel on Treatment of HIV Infection During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission (the Panel) recommends that people without HIV who are planning to have a child or who are pregnant or breastfeeding should be offered TDF/FTC as PrEP or referred for PrEP care services when indicated to prevent HIV acquisition and potential perinatal HIV transmission.³ Although injectable cabotegravir was recently approved by the FDA for use as PrEP in adolescents and adults, it is not recommended for use in pregnancy or breastfeeding.

The guidance in this section focuses on the use of TDF/FTC as PrEP during periconception, antepartum, and postpartum periods (through 6 months postpartum and/or throughout breastfeeding). Most research on PrEP cited in this section was conducted with participants who self-identified as women (presumed to be predominantly cisgender women). However, patients with an intact uterus and ovaries who do not identify as women (i.e., transgender men, genderqueer, or nonbinary individuals) can become pregnant, give birth, and breastfeed or chestfeed. PrEP should be offered and promoted for all individuals with an indication for PrEP using a gender-affirming approach to care (see [Transgender People with HIV](#) in the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines).

Clinical Management of PrEP Use During Periconception, Antepartum, and Postpartum Periods

Initiating and Stopping PrEP

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) has issued [guidelines](#) about the use of TDF/FTC PrEP for people at risk of HIV through vaginal exposure.³ Data suggest that these guidelines miss some people who are at risk.⁴ Therefore, the Panel recommends that PrEP for HIV prevention should be discussed with individuals who—

- Have a history of bacterial sexually transmitted infection (STI);^{5,6}
- Have infrequent condom use with one or more partners of unknown HIV status, especially within a high-prevalence sexual network;
- Are taking non-occupational post-exposure prophylaxis (nPEP) and anticipate ongoing risk or have used multiple courses of nPEP;⁷
- Engage in transactional sex;
- Have substance use disorder and/or substance use associated with sex;
- Have a partner with HIV without consistent virologic suppression;
- Have a history of experiencing intimate partner violence;⁸ or
- Have a partner with any of the factors listed above.

Given possible stigma associated with reporting several of these elements, the Panel also

recommends offering PrEP to those who feel at risk for HIV exposure or ask for PrEP.

When prescribing PrEP, clinicians should provide the following appropriate information and counseling:

- TDF/FTC is FDA approved for those weighing at least 35 kg.
- Counsel patients about potential risks and benefits of PrEP and all available strategies for reducing HIV acquisition risks during periconception, antepartum, and postpartum periods, including the use of PrEP for safer conception (see [Reproductive Options for Couples When One or Both Partners Have HIV](#)). People who become pregnant while using PrEP can continue PrEP throughout their pregnancy.
- Explain that condomless sex with a partner who has sustained viral suppression is associated with effectively no risk of HIV sexual transmission.⁹⁻¹²
- Although it is unknown how long PrEP needs to be taken before a person can be considered protected from vaginal HIV exposure, or how long it needs to be continued after last exposure, conservative guidance is to take daily oral TDF plus FTC for 20 days before considering an individual fully protected and to continue it for 28 days after last exposure.³
- Prescribe TDF/FTC as a once-daily, fixed-dose combination tablet, whenever possible. Provide counseling about the importance of adherence and suggest adherence supports, such as use of a pillbox (see Adherence Support below).
- Counsel that episodic or on-demand PrEP has not been shown to be effective for vaginal exposure.
- Counsel individuals who are taking PrEP about the symptoms associated with acute HIV infection and instruct them to contact their provider immediately for HIV testing and further evaluation if symptoms occur (see [Acute HIV Infection](#)). Patients experiencing symptoms of acute HIV infection should be instructed to use a condom during sex, stop attempts at conception, and stop breastfeeding.
- PrEP does not protect against other STIs. Condom use is important for reducing risks of STI acquisition.
- Regularly assess and discuss ongoing needs for PrEP.

Indications for PrEP use may change across the course of periconception, antepartum, and postpartum periods. Even after the postpartum period, HIV vulnerability may remain. In addition, people may have repeat pregnancies and, therefore, ongoing discussion regarding the possibility of pregnancy (planned or unplanned) and the need for PrEP should continue.

Of note, dapivirine vaginal ring and injectable cabotegravir reduce the risk of HIV acquisition via vaginal exposure, and cabotegravir has been approved by the FDA for use as PrEP in people with vaginal exposure to HIV.¹³⁻¹⁵ However, safety and pharmacokinetic data are limited for their use during conception, pregnancy, or breastfeeding. Oral tenofovir alafenamide (TAF)/FTC has not been tested to prevent HIV infection in people with vaginal exposure to HIV.

Laboratory Testing

Recommended laboratory testing for individuals receiving PrEP includes—

- HIV diagnostic testing with an antigen/antibody combination immunoassay at baseline and then every 3 months, or more frequently if indicated based on clinical symptoms.
- HIV testing for individuals taking PrEP during pregnancy should include HIV testing at entry into antenatal care, with re-testing in the second and third trimester. More frequent testing may be appropriate when clinically indicated (e.g., known adherence challenges) (see [Maternal HIV Testing and Identification of Perinatal HIV Exposure](#)).
- If HIV is documented in people receiving PrEP, they should be referred immediately to an HIV specialist, started on ART, and receive appropriate care to prevent perinatal transmission if pregnancy has occurred.
- Renal function testing is recommended at baseline and then every 6 months. TDF/FTC as PrEP should not be initiated in patients with a confirmed calculated creatinine clearance (CrCl) <60 mL/min. Clinicians should discontinue daily TDF/FTC as PrEP if a patient develops a confirmed calculated CrCl <50 mL/min.
- Testing for hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection should be performed before initiating PrEP. Individuals with no prior HBV infection who lack HBV immunity should be vaccinated if they have not received HBV vaccination or consider reimmunization if they have been vaccinated but still lack immunity. Individuals with chronic HBV should be monitored for possible hepatitis flares when PrEP is stopped.¹⁶
- Pregnancy testing should be completed at baseline and then every 3 months for those who can become pregnant.
- Testing for STIs (gonorrhea, chlamydia, syphilis) is recommended at baseline and then every 3 months.

Additional information and details about recommended laboratory testing is available in the [CDC HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis guidelines](#). Clinicians are encouraged strongly to register people who become pregnant while receiving PrEP with the [Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry](#).

Adherence Support

Adherence is particularly important to achieve effective drug concentrations in vaginal and cervical tissues and may be even more important in the second and third trimesters of pregnancy, when drug levels drop because of expanding volume of distribution and increased renal clearance. Studies in nonpregnant women demonstrate that it may take up to 20 days to reach maximum intracellular concentrations of tenofovir and/or FTC in cervicovaginal tissue, compared to only 7 days in anal tissues.¹⁷⁻¹⁹ Although pharmacokinetic data are limited in pregnant women, data suggest that pregnant women taking daily PrEP experience lower tenofovir drug levels; it remains unknown what drug level or number of pills per week correlates with protection for this population.^{20,21} The available data are limited and the CDC guidelines suggest 20 days are needed to achieve protective levels in cervicovaginal tissues, whereas World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines suggest that 7 days of oral PrEP use are needed to achieve systemic protection from vaginal receptive exposure to HIV.^{3,22}

Given the increased volume of distribution and concomitant lower levels of TDF/FTC in plasma, the Panel recommends continued use of other prevention strategies until PrEP has been taken for at least 20 days and protection against transmission can be assumed in pregnant or postpartum PrEP users. Six to seven doses a week (or daily dosing) are needed to maintain drug levels in cervicovaginal

tissue in nonpregnant women. When people initiate PrEP and have not yet reached protective drug levels or struggle with daily adherence, other strategies should be used to prevent HIV.

Before initiating PrEP, providers should assess barriers to PrEP adherence and address concerns regarding PrEP use during the periconception, antepartum, and postpartum periods. The decision to initiate PrEP should be reached using a shared decision-making process, and barriers to PrEP adherence should be addressed at each visit. Data suggest that some adherence challenges stem from adherence fatigue, low personal perceptions of risk, stigma, cost, misinformation about PrEP, peer perspectives, mental health challenges, and intimate partner violence.²³⁻²⁵ Based on barriers, providers can discuss strategies tailored to each patient's needs to promote adherence and maximize benefits. Approaches include providing accurate information about the risks and benefits of PrEP, developing reminder strategies, and identifying supportive individuals as part of the health care team or the patient's social network who can provide social support toward PrEP adherence. Just like HIV care, PrEP ideally should be delivered in a comprehensive manner and address social determinants of health—including how clients will make sure that PrEP and related services are affordable—and address housing instability, access to health insurance, and transportation because these factors have been shown to interfere with adherence. CDC provides [PrEP resources for providers and consumers](#) and a [compendium of evidence-based PrEP support interventions](#).

Contraception

Contraception is an important component of reproductive health care for people receiving PrEP who do not want to become pregnant.⁹ No known significant drug–drug interactions exist between TDF and different modes of hormonal contraception used during periconception and the postpartum period.²⁶⁻²⁸ For additional information, refer to CDC's [U.S. Medical Eligibility Criteria for Contraceptive Use, 2016](#) and the [most recent update](#) regarding use of contraception by women at high risk of HIV infection.

Background on Use of PrEP During Periconception, Antepartum, and Postpartum Periods

Women account for nearly 20 percent of new HIV diagnoses in the United States, most via heterosexual transmission.^{29,30} PrEP is recommended for all people who are **vulnerable to HIV acquisition**.^{3,31} Although data about the use of PrEP among periconception, pregnant, and postpartum people are less robust than for nonpregnant people, PrEP is highly efficacious for women, and a large body of data from pregnant women using TDF/FTC as treatment for HIV and HBV suggests these agents are safe for pregnant and breastfeeding women and their infants.³²⁻³⁵

Susceptibility to HIV acquisition is greater during periconception, antepartum, and early postpartum periods through 6 months. Data suggest that people trying to conceive are at higher risk for HIV acquisition, likely due to increased condomless sex.^{36,37} The increase in HIV acquisition risk continues in pregnancy and is likely due to a combination of behavioral factors—such as no longer needing to use condoms for contraception—and biological factors that include increased innate and suppressed adaptive immunity, increased genital tract inflammation, alterations in the vaginal microbiome, decreased integrity of the vaginal epithelium, and both gross trauma and microtrauma to the genital tract during delivery.³⁸⁻⁴⁰ HIV incidence among women during pregnancy and postpartum is two to six times greater than outside of pregnancy.⁴⁰⁻⁴⁴ Two large HIV prevention studies conducted in Africa demonstrated that the probability of HIV acquisition per condomless sex act increases beginning in early pregnancy and peaks in the early postpartum period (in data analyzed

from birth through 24 weeks postpartum in most studies). After adjustment for age, use of PrEP, and male partner HIV viral load, the probability of HIV acquisition was significantly higher throughout pregnancy and the postpartum period (adjusted relative risk 2.76; 95% CI, 1.58–4.81).⁴⁵ In addition, people who acquire HIV while pregnant or breastfeeding are more likely to transmit HIV to their infant.⁴⁶⁻⁴⁸

Despite the risks of HIV acquisition and known efficacy of PrEP,³³⁻³⁵ PrEP remains vastly underutilized among women,^{49,50} especially during pregnancy and breastfeeding. The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists³¹ and the WHO²² agree that all HIV prevention options, including PrEP, should be encouraged for people with HIV vulnerability, especially during pregnancy and breastfeeding, given the increased risk of HIV acquisition during pregnancy and the potential for perinatal transmission with seroconversion during pregnancy. For people with a sexual partner who is taking ART and virally suppressed, the risk of HIV acquisition is effectively zero.⁹⁻¹¹ However, because viral suppression can be variable and not all people with HIV remain in care or maintain effective adherence, some partners of people with HIV may choose to use PrEP.⁵¹ People who inject drugs during pregnancy and postpartum also should be offered PrEP for prevention.^{3,31,52}

Efficacy of TDF/FTC as PrEP During Periconception, Pregnancy, and Postpartum Periods

Data from two randomized controlled trials that enrolled heterosexual-identifying men and women demonstrated the efficacy of TDF/FTC as PrEP to be 63 percent to 75 percent. In women with detectable drug levels (or taking PrEP), PrEP protected against 90 percent of incident transmissions.⁵³ In a meta-analysis of all available clinical trial data, modeling suggested that if women adhere to at least 75 percent of doses, PrEP decreases HIV acquisition by 61 percent (relative risk 0.39; 95% CI, 0.25–0.60).⁵⁴

Although people planning for pregnancy were not enrolled in these clinical trials, subsequent data from demonstration projects suggest that PrEP uptake and adherence are high during periconception periods. In Kenya, 74 HIV-serodifferent couples—including 40 women without HIV—enrolled into a safer conception study. In the month preceding pregnancy confirmation, 81 percent of partners who were HIV negative were highly adherent to PrEP.⁵⁵ In South Africa, 526 individuals (334 women and 192 men) from 334 partnerships were enrolled into a study to promote safer conception care. PrEP was initiated as part of safer conception care by 51 percent (n=22) of women without HIV in this study. No sexual or perinatal HIV transmission events were observed.⁵⁶ In a small cohort of U.S. women using PrEP to prevent HIV infection during the periconception period, adherence was excellent, with 87% having intracellular tenofovir levels consistent with protection.⁵⁷

Pregnant people are interested in PrEP. In a survey of 200 pregnant women in Washington, D.C., 11 percent reported the intention to initiate PrEP during pregnancy, despite relatively low awareness of PrEP. Pregnant women identified PrEP safety, efficacy, and social network and medical provider support as key factors in PrEP intention.⁵⁸ In Kenya, 9,736 pregnant and postpartum women were assessed for behavioral risk factors and willingness to initiate PrEP. Overall, 2,030 women (22%) initiated PrEP.⁵⁹ In South Africa, an ongoing observational study of PrEP use in pregnancy observed that 414 (91%) of 455 enrolled women opted to start PrEP at their first antenatal visit.⁶⁰

Safety of TDF/FTC as PrEP for Women, Including Those Who Are Pregnant or

Breastfeeding

Efficacy trials of TDF/FTC as PrEP excluded women who reported plans to become pregnant and/or were pregnant, but abundant data are available from (a) PrEP use during early pregnancy among women who are HIV negative, due to inadvertent exposure in clinical trials (e.g., pregnancy occurred and the study drug was discontinued once pregnancy was detected); (b) PrEP use during periconception, pregnancy, and breastfeeding from demonstration projects that included pregnant women and those planning for pregnancy; (c) tenofovir use during late pregnancy for HBV treatment in women who are HIV negative; and (d) use of tenofovir and FTC as ART by pregnant women with HIV. These data all indicate that TDF/FTC PrEP is safe for use during pregnancy.

A 2017 systematic review of 26 studies involving TDF and FTC exposure during pregnancy did not identify safety concerns that would limit the use of PrEP in pregnant or lactating women or require discontinuation of PrEP in women who become pregnant while still at continuing risk of HIV acquisition.³² In 2020, an additional systematic review examined five completed studies that included 1,042 PrEP-exposed pregnancies.⁶¹ Four of the five studies did not observe differences in pregnancy or perinatal outcomes associated with PrEP exposure. One study did find that PrEP-exposed infants had a lower z-score for length at 1 month of age; however, no difference was observed at 1 year. These studies all come from subanalyses of clinical trials. Because pregnant women were excluded from these trials, most of the data regarding PrEP exposure reflect early first-trimester exposures. The authors also noted that at least nine ongoing studies, to be completed by 2022, will provide data on more than 6,200 additional PrEP-exposed pregnancies and will assess perinatal, infant growth, and bone health outcomes. Currently available data suggest that the benefits of TDF/FTC as PrEP to prevent HIV outweigh any potential toxicities. PrEP should be promoted as an HIV prevention strategy during periconception, pregnancy, and postpartum periods.

Additional data and primary sources describing what is known about TDF and FTC on birth outcomes, renal and bone effects for women, and renal and bone effects for infants exposed to TDF/FTC *in utero* or while breastfeeding are available in the [Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate](#) and [Emtricitabine](#) sections of this guideline.

References

1. Humphrey JH, Marinda E, Mutasa K, et al. Mother to child transmission of HIV among Zimbabwean women who seroconverted postnatally: prospective cohort study. *BMJ*. 2010;341:c6580. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21177735>.
2. Morrison S, John-Stewart G, Egessa JJ, et al. Rapid antiretroviral therapy initiation for women in an HIV-1 prevention clinical trial experiencing primary HIV-1 infection during pregnancy or breastfeeding. *PLoS One*. 2015;10(10):e0140773. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26469986>.
3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. US public health service: preexposure prophylaxis for the prevention of HIV infection in the United States—2017 update: a clinical practice guideline. 2018. Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/pdf/risk/prep/cdc-hiv-prep-guidelines-2017.pdf>.
4. Calabrese SK, Willie TC, Galvao RW, et al. Current US guidelines for prescribing HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) disqualify many women who are at risk and motivated to use PrEP. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2019;81(4):395-405. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30973543>.
5. LaLota M, Beck DW, Metsch LR, et al. HIV seropositivity and correlates of infection among heterosexually active adults in high-risk areas in South Florida. *AIDS Behav*. 2011;15(6):1259-1263. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21153433>.
6. Peterman TA, Newman DR, Maddox L, Schmitt K, Shiver S. Risk for HIV following a diagnosis of syphilis, gonorrhoea or chlamydia: 328,456 women in Florida, 2000-2011. *Int J STD AIDS*. 2015;26(2):113-119. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24713228>.
7. Heuker J, Sonder GJ, Stolte I, Geskus R, van den Hoek A. High HIV incidence among MSM prescribed postexposure prophylaxis, 2000-2009: indications for ongoing sexual risk behaviour. *AIDS*. 2012;26(4):505-512. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22156963>.
8. Li Y, Marshall CM, Rees HC, Nunez A, Ezeanolue EE, Ehiri JE. Intimate partner violence and HIV infection among women: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Int AIDS Soc*. 2014;17:18845. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24560342>.
9. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Evidence of HIV treatment and viral suppression in preventing the sexual transmission of HIV. 2018. Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/pdf/risk/art/cdc-hiv-art-viral-suppression.pdf>.
10. Rodger AJ, Cambiano V, Bruun T, et al. Risk of HIV transmission through condomless sex in serodifferent gay couples with the HIV-positive partner taking suppressive antiretroviral therapy (PARTNER): final results of a multicentre, prospective, observational study. *Lancet*. 2019;393(10189):2428-2438. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31056293/>.
11. Baza MB, Jerónimo A, Ríó I, et al. Natural conception is safe for HIV-serodiscordant couples with persistent suppressive antiretroviral therapy for the infected partner. *J Womens Health*

- (*Larchmt*). 2019;28(11):1555-1562. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31329519/>.
12. Bhatt SJ, Douglas N. Undetectable equals untransmittable (U = U): implications for preconception counseling for human immunodeficiency virus serodiscordant couples. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2020;222(1):53.e51-53.e54. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31526794/>.
 13. Delany-Moretlwe S, Hughes J, Bock P, et al. Long-acting injectable cabotegravir is safe and effective in preventing HIV infection in cisgender women: interim results from HPTN 084. Presented at: HIVR4P, An IAS Conference; 2021. Virtual Conference. Available at: <https://programme.hivr4p.org/Abstract/Abstract/1479>.
 14. Nel A, van Niekerk N, Kapiga S, et al. Safety and efficacy of a dapivirine vaginal ring for HIV prevention in women. *N Engl J Med*. 2016;375(22):2133-2143. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27959766>.
 15. Baeten JM, Palanee-Phillips T, Brown ER, et al. Use of a vaginal ring containing dapivirine for HIV-1 prevention in women. *N Engl J Med*. 2016;375(22):2121-2132. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26900902>.
 16. Lampe MA, Smith DK, Anderson GJ, Edwards AE, Nesheim SR. Achieving safe conception in HIV-discordant couples: the potential role of oral preexposure prophylaxis (PrEP) in the United States. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2011;204(6):488 e481-488. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21457911>.
 17. Seifert SM, Glidden DV, Meditz AL, et al. Dose response for starting and stopping HIV preexposure prophylaxis for men who have sex with men. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2015;60(5):804-810. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25409469/>.
 18. Louissaint NA, Cao YJ, Skipper PL, et al. Single dose pharmacokinetics of oral tenofovir in plasma, peripheral blood mononuclear cells, colonic tissue, and vaginal tissue. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses*. 2013;29(11):1443-1450. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23600365>.
 19. Seifert SM, Chen X, Meditz AL, et al. Intracellular tenofovir and emtricitabine anabolites in genital, rectal, and blood compartments from first dose to steady state. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses*. 2016;32(10-11):981-991. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27526873>.
 20. Stranix-Chibanda L, Anderson PL, Kacaneck D, et al. Tenofovir diphosphate concentrations in dried blood spots from pregnant and postpartum adolescent and young women receiving daily observed pre-exposure prophylaxis in sub-Saharan Africa. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2020. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33341883>.
 21. Pyra M, Anderson PL, Hendrix CW, et al. Tenofovir and tenofovir-diphosphate concentrations during pregnancy among HIV-uninfected women using oral preexposure prophylaxis. *AIDS*. 2018;32(13):1891-1898. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29894385>.

22. World Health Organization. Preventing HIV during pregnancy and breastfeeding in the context of PrEP technical brief. Geneva, Switzerland: 2017.
23. Corneli A, Perry B, McKenna K, et al. Participants' explanations for nonadherence in the FEM-PrEP clinical trial. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2016;71(4):452-461. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26536315/>.
24. Calabrese SK, Dovidio JF, Tekeste M, et al. HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis stigma as a multidimensional barrier to uptake among women who attend planned parenthood. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2018;79(1):46-53. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29847480/>.
25. Aaron E, Blum C, Seidman D, et al. Optimizing delivery of HIV preexposure prophylaxis for women in the United States. *AIDS Patient Care STDS*. 2018;32(1):16-23. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29323558/>.
26. Truvada (emtricitabine and tenofovir disoproxil fumarate) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2020. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2020/021752s0611bl.pdf.
27. University of Liverpool. Drug interaction checker lite. 2020. Available at: https://www.hiv-druginteractions.org/drug_queries/new.
28. Tarleton J, Chen BA, Meyn LA, Hendrix CW, Marzinke MA, Achilles SL. Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic impacts of depot medroxyprogesterone acetate use on HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis in women. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2020;85(2):182-188. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32568766>.
29. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. HIV surveillance supplemental report, 2018 2020. Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/library/reports/hiv-surveillance.html>.
30. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. HIV in the United States: at a glance. 2020. Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/pdf/statistics/overview/cdc-hiv-us-ataglance.pdf>.
31. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. ACOG committee opinion no 595: committee on gynecologic practice: preexposure prophylaxis for the prevention of human immunodeficiency virus. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2014;123(5):1133-1136. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24785877/>.
32. Mofenson LM, Baggaley RC, Mameletzis I. Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate safety for women and their infants during pregnancy and breastfeeding. *Aids*. 2017;31(2):213-232.
33. Baeten JM, Donnell D, Ndase P, et al. Antiretroviral prophylaxis for HIV prevention in heterosexual men and women. *N Engl J Med*. 2012;367(5):399-410. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22784037>.
34. Thigpen MC, Kebaabetswe PM, Paxton LA, et al. Antiretroviral preexposure prophylaxis for heterosexual HIV transmission in Botswana. *N Engl J Med*. 2012; 2;367(5):423-34. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22784038>.

35. Murnane PM, Celum C, Mugo N, et al. Efficacy of preexposure prophylaxis for HIV-1 prevention among high-risk heterosexuals: subgroup analyses from a randomized trial. *AIDS*. 2013;27(13):2155-2160. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24384592/>.
36. Brubaker SG, Bukusi EA, Odoyo J, Achando J, Okumu A, Cohen CR. Pregnancy and HIV transmission among HIV-discordant couples in a clinical trial in Kisumu, Kenya. *HIV Med*. 2011;12(5):316-321. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21205129/>.
37. Tang H, Wu Z, Mao Y, Cepeda J, Morano J. Risk factor associated with negative spouse HIV seroconversion among sero-different couples: a nested case-control retrospective survey study in 30 counties in rural China. *PLoS One*. 2016;11(10):e0164761. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27741292/>.
38. Groer M, El-Badri N, Djeu J, Harrington M, Van Eepoel J. Suppression of natural killer cell cytotoxicity in postpartum women. *Am J Reprod Immunol*. 2010;63(3):209-213. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20055786/>.
39. Hapgood JP, Kaushic C, Hel Z. Hormonal contraception and HIV-1 acquisition: biological mechanisms. *Endocr Rev*. 2018;39(1):36-78. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29309550/>.
40. Mugo NR, Heffron R, Donnell D, et al. Increased risk of HIV-1 transmission in pregnancy: a prospective study among African HIV-1-serodiscordant couples. *AIDS*. 2011;25(15):1887-1895. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21785321>.
41. Keating MA, Hamela G, Miller WC, Moses A, Hoffman IF, Hosseinipour MC. High HIV incidence and sexual behavior change among pregnant women in Lilongwe, Malawi: implications for the risk of HIV acquisition. *PLoS One*. 2012;7(6):e39109. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22768063/>.
42. Moodley D, Esterhuizen TM, Pather T, Chetty V, Ngaleka L. High HIV incidence during pregnancy: compelling reason for repeat HIV testing. *AIDS*. 2009;23(10):1255-1259. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19455017/>.
43. Gray RH, Li X, Kigozi G, et al. Increased risk of incident HIV during pregnancy in Rakai, Uganda: a prospective study. *Lancet*. 2005;366(9492):1182-1188. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16198767/>.
44. Graybill LA, Kasaro M, Freeborn K, et al. Incident HIV among pregnant and breast-feeding women in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *AIDS*. 2020;34(5):761-776. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32167990/>.
45. Thomson KA, Hughes J, Baeten JM, et al. Increased risk of HIV acquisition among women throughout pregnancy and during the postpartum period: a prospective per-coital-act analysis among women with HIV-infected partners. *J Infect Dis*. 2018;218(1):16-25. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29514254>.
46. Stover J, Glaubius R, Mofenson L, et al. Updates to the Spectrum/AIM model for estimating key HIV indicators at national and subnational levels. *AIDS*. 2019;33 Suppl 3(Suppl 3):S227-s234. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31805028/>.

47. Drake AL, Wagner A, Richardson B, John-Stewart G. Incident HIV during pregnancy and postpartum and risk of mother-to-child HIV transmission: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS Med*. 2014;11(2):e1001608. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24586123/>.
48. Birkhead GS, Pulver WP, Warren BL, Hackel S, Rodríguez D, Smith L. Acquiring human immunodeficiency virus during pregnancy and mother-to-child transmission in New York: 2002-2006. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2010;115(6):1247-1255. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20502297/>.
49. Smith C, Forster JE, Levin MJ, et al. Serious adverse events are uncommon with combination neonatal antiretroviral prophylaxis: a retrospective case review. *PLoS One*. 2015;10(5):e0127062. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26000984>.
50. Fruhauf T, Coleman JS. A missed opportunity for U.S. perinatal human immunodeficiency virus elimination: pre-exposure prophylaxis during pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2017;130(4):703-709. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28885420/>.
51. Choudhury B, Stadnyk M, Freire Jijon D, et al. Pregnancy among hiv-serodiscordant couples: case report of vertical transmission and retrospective case series. *Curr HIV Res*. 2021;19(3):269-276. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33390144>.
52. Choopanya K, Martin M, Suntharasamai P, et al. Antiretroviral prophylaxis for HIV infection in injecting drug users in Bangkok, Thailand (the Bangkok Tenofovir Study): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase 3 trial. *Lancet*. 2013;381(9883):2083-2090. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23769234>.
53. Donnell D, Baeten JM, Bumpus NN, et al. HIV protective efficacy and correlates of tenofovir blood concentrations in a clinical trial of PrEP for HIV prevention. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2014;66(3):340-348. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24784763/>.
54. Hanscom B, Janes HE, Guarino PD, et al. Brief report: preventing HIV-1 infection in women using oral preexposure prophylaxis: a meta-analysis of current evidence. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2016;73(5):606-608. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27846073/>.
55. Heffron R, Ngure K, Velloza J, et al. Implementation of a comprehensive safer conception intervention for HIV-serodiscordant couples in Kenya: uptake, use and effectiveness. *J Int AIDS Soc*. 2019;22(4):e25261. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30957420/>.
56. Schwartz SR, Bassett J, Mutunga L, et al. HIV incidence, pregnancy, and implementation outcomes from the Sakh'umndeni safer conception project in South Africa: a prospective cohort study. *Lancet HIV*. 2019;6(7):e438-e446. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31160268/>.
57. Leech AA, Biancarelli D, Aaron E, et al. HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis for conception among HIV serodiscordant couples in the United States: a cohort study. *AIDS Patient Care STDS*. 2020;34(7):295-302. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32639209>.
58. Scott RK, Hull SJ, Richards RC, Klemmer K, Salmoran F, Huang JC. Awareness, acceptability, and intention to initiate HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis among pregnant women.

AIDS Care. 2021;1-13. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33874801>.

59. Kinuthia J, Pintye J, Abuna F, et al. Pre-exposure prophylaxis uptake and early continuation among pregnant and post-partum women within maternal and child health clinics in Kenya: results from an implementation programme. *Lancet HIV*. 2020;7(1):e38-e48. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31813837/>.
60. Davey DLJ, Bekker LG, Mashele N, Gorbach P, Coates TJ, Myer L. PrEP retention and prescriptions for pregnant women during COVID-19 lockdown in South Africa. *Lancet HIV*. 2020;e735. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32758479/>.
61. Joseph Davey DL, Pintye J, Baeten JM, et al. Emerging evidence from a systematic review of safety of pre-exposure prophylaxis for pregnant and postpartum women: where are we now and where are we heading? *J Int AIDS Soc*. 2020;23(1):e25426. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31912985/>.

Prepregnancy Counseling and Care for Persons of Childbearing Age with HIV

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Panel's Recommendations
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Discuss reproductive desires with all persons of childbearing potential on an ongoing basis throughout the course of their care (AIII).• Provide information about effective and appropriate contraceptive methods to people who do not currently desire pregnancy (AI).• During prepregnancy counseling, provide information on safe sex; ask about the use of alcohol, nicotine products, and drugs of abuse (AII).• Persons with HIV should attain maximum viral suppression before attempting conception, for their own health, to prevent sexual HIV transmission to partners without HIV (AI), and to minimize the risk of <i>in utero</i> HIV transmission to the infant (AI).• When selecting or evaluating an antiretroviral (ARV) regimen for persons of childbearing potential with HIV, consider a regimen's effectiveness, a person's hepatitis B status, and the possible adverse outcomes for the pregnant person and their fetus (AII). See Teratogenicity and Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy for more information. The Panel on Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission (the Panel) emphasizes the importance of counseling and shared decision-making regarding all ARV regimens for persons with HIV (AIII).• HIV infection does not preclude the use of any contraceptive method; however, drug-drug interactions between hormonal contraceptives, ARVs, and other medications should be considered (see Table 3) (AII).
<p>Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional</p> <p>Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion</p>

Overview

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG), and other national organizations recommend offering **all persons of childbearing potential** comprehensive family planning and the opportunity to receive **prepregnancy** counseling and care as a component of routine primary medical care. The purpose of **prepregnancy** care is to improve the health of each person before conception by identifying risk factors for adverse **outcomes for the pregnant person and their fetus**, tailoring education and counseling to individual needs, and treating or stabilizing medical conditions to optimize **outcomes for the pregnancy and the fetus/newborn**.^{1,2} **Prepregnancy** care is not something that occurs in a single clinical visit; rather, it requires integrating ongoing care and interventions into primary care to address people's needs during the different stages of reproductive life. Integrating comprehensive family planning and **prepregnancy** care into routine health care visits is important because almost half of all pregnancies in the United States are unplanned. In **people with HIV**, the proportion of all pregnancies that are

unintended may be as high as 68%.³⁻⁶ Providers should initiate and document a nonjudgmental conversation with all persons of reproductive age about their reproductive desires because they may be reluctant to bring up the subject themselves.^{7,8} A meta-analysis of 50 studies found a 42% prevalence of fertility desire among persons with HIV. In a pooled analyses, fertility desire was associated with being on antiretroviral therapy (ART), male sex, age younger than 30, being married/cohabitating, a secondary education or higher, and being childless.⁹ Health care providers who routinely care for persons of reproductive age with HIV play an important role in promoting prepregnancy health and informed reproductive decisions. However, even among providers who offer primary care to persons with HIV, the delivery of comprehensive reproductive counseling often falls short of the current guidelines.¹⁰⁻¹²

The fundamental principles of prepregnancy counseling and care are outlined in the CDC Preconception Care Work Group's [Recommendations to Improve Preconception Health and Health Care](#). In addition to the general components of prepregnancy counseling and care that are appropriate for all persons of reproductive age, persons with HIV have specific needs that should be addressed.¹³⁻¹⁶

- Discuss reproductive options; actively assess their pregnancy intentions on an ongoing basis throughout the course of care; and, when appropriate, make referrals to HIV and women's health specialists, including experts in reproductive endocrinology and infertility when necessary.
- Recognize that the primary treatment goal for persons with HIV who are planning a pregnancy should include sustained suppression of plasma viral load below the limit of detection before conception for their own health, to minimize the risk of perinatal HIV transmission, and to prevent sexual HIV transmission to a partner without HIV (see [Reproductive Options for Couples When One or Both Partners Have HIV](#)).
- Explain that persons with HIV who take ART as prescribed and who achieve and maintain an undetectable viral load have effectively no risk of transmitting HIV through sex, commonly known as Undetectable = Untransmittable or U=U. For more information, see [Let's Stop HIV Together](#) from CDC.
- Encourage sexual partners to receive HIV counseling and testing so that they can seek HIV care if they have HIV or seek advice about HIV prevention, including pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP), if they do not have HIV (see [Pre-exposure Prophylaxis \(PrEP\) to Reduce the Risk of Acquiring HIV During Periconception, Antepartum, and Postpartum Periods](#)).
- Ask about the use of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs of abuse. Provide or refer to evidence-based interventions for substance use disorder, including medication-assisted treatment for opiate use disorder (e.g., methadone, buprenorphine), and counsel patients on how to manage health risks (e.g., access to a syringe services program).
- Counsel on maintaining a healthy diet and healthy weight before and during pregnancy.
- Counsel people who are contemplating pregnancy to take a daily multivitamin that contains 400 mcg of folic acid to help prevent neural tube defects (NTDs). Individuals with a history of having a child with NTDs, a family history of NTDs, or on certain anti-epileptic medications and valproic acid, are candidates for receiving a higher dose (1000–4000 mcg) of folic acid. Higher doses of folate may also be considered for persons receiving trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole (TMP/SMX) who are trying to conceive, see Special Considerations in Pregnancy in [Pneumocystis Pneumonia](#).

- Educate and counsel about the risk factors for perinatal HIV transmission, the strategies to reduce those risks, and the potential effects of HIV or taking antiretroviral (ARV) drugs during pregnancy, on pregnancy course and outcomes. Discuss infant feeding options for persons with HIV, including the recommendation that persons with HIV in the United States do not breastfeed because of the risk of HIV transmission to their infants and the availability of safe and sustainable alternatives to infant feeding (see [Counseling and Managing Individuals with HIV in the United States Who Desire to Breastfeed](#)).
- Support shared decision-making about ART. Educate and counsel on the factors that affect the selection of ARVs for persons who are trying to conceive, are pregnant, or postpartum. For more information, see [Teratogenicity](#), updated guidance about the use of dolutegravir in pregnancy in [Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy, Dolutegravir](#), and [Appendix C: Antiretroviral Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers](#).
- Consider the following factors when prescribing ART to persons of childbearing potential: the regimen's effectiveness, an individual's hepatitis B virus (HBV) status, the possible adverse outcomes for the pregnant person and their fetus, the likelihood of developing drug resistance, and the possible adverse outcomes for the mother and fetus.¹⁷⁻¹⁹
- Use the prepregnancy period to modify the ARV regimen for persons who are contemplating pregnancy to optimize virologic suppression and minimize potential adverse effects (see [Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy](#) and [Table 5](#)).
- Recognize that individuals with perinatally-acquired HIV may have special needs (e.g., psychosocial support, adherence support)²⁰ (see [Prenatal Care, Antiretroviral Therapy, and HIV Management in People with Perinatal-Acquired HIV Infection](#)).
- Recognize that transgender and gender diverse people who were assigned female sex at birth may have special needs.²¹ For transgender men attempting pregnancy, the use of testosterone may induce hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal suppression leading to decreased ovulation.²²
- Evaluate and manage therapy-associated adverse effects (e.g., hyperglycemia, anemia, hepatotoxicity) that may affect maternal-fetal health outcomes.
- Administer all vaccines as indicated (see [Guidance for Vaccine Recommendations for Pregnant and Breastfeeding Women](#) and [2013 IDSA Clinical Practice Guideline for Vaccination of the Immunocompromised Host](#)), which includes vaccination for influenza, pneumococcus, HBV, tetanus, and SARS-CoV-2. All persons, including those with HIV, should receive Tdap (tetanus, diphtheria, and pertussis) vaccination during pregnancy.
- Offer all persons who currently do not desire pregnancy a full range of contraceptive methods to help them achieve their fertility goals. Persons with HIV can use all available contraceptive methods, including hormonal contraception (e.g., pill, patch, ring, injection, implant) and intrauterine devices (IUDs).²³ Providers should be aware of potential interactions between ARV drugs, hormonal contraceptives, and other medications that could lower contraceptive efficacy or increase the risk of such adverse effects as blood clots (see [Table 3](#) below).
- Offer emergency contraception as appropriate, including emergency contraceptive pills and IUD (see [the ACOG Practice Bulletin on Emergency Contraception](#)). Emergency contraceptive pills that contain estrogen and progestin and those that only contain levonorgestrel (LNG) may have interactions with ARV drugs that are similar to the ones observed with combined oral contraceptives.²⁴ ACTG 5375 showed that doubling the dose of LNG from 1.5 mg to 3 mg successfully increased LNG exposure in women receiving efavirenz (EFV)-based ART.²⁵ No

data are available on potential interactions between ARV drugs and ulipristal acetate, a progesterone receptor modulator; however, ulipristal acetate is metabolized predominantly by cytochrome P450 (CYP) 3A4, so interactions may occur (see the [HIV Drug Interaction Checker](#)).

- Optimize the health of people with HIV prior to pregnancy (e.g., ensure appropriate folate intake, test for **all** sexually transmitted infections and treat as indicated, consider the teratogenic potential of **all** prescribed medications, and consider switching to safer medications).

Drug-Drug Interactions Between Hormonal Contraceptives and Antiretroviral Therapy

Data on drug interactions between ARVs and hormonal contraceptives primarily come from drug labels and several studies on the pharmacokinetics (PKs) and pharmacodynamics among the different forms of contraception and ARVs.^{24,26-46} The contraceptive effectiveness of the levonorgestrel IUD is largely through local (i.e., intrauterine) release of levonorgestrel, not through systemic absorption. CDC's [U.S. Medical Eligibility Criteria for Contraceptive Use](#) lists the levonorgestrel IUD as category 1 (no restrictions) in drug interactions with all ARVs in women who already have an IUD and category 1/2 (benefits outweigh risk) for those initiating the use of an IUD.

Hormonal contraceptives can be used with ARVs in persons with HIV without other contraindications. An alternative or additional contraceptive method may be recommended when drug interactions are known. For persons receiving darunavir/ritonavir (DRV/r)-based ART, an alternative or additional contraception may be considered because the area under the curve (AUC) for oral contraceptive hormones may be decreased.⁴⁷ Cobicistat-boosted protease inhibitors (PIs) are contraindicated with drospirenone-containing hormonal contraceptives due to the potential for hyperkalemia.⁴⁴ Depot medroxyprogesterone acetate (DMPA) can be used without restriction because of its relatively higher dose than other progesterone-based contraception, and limited studies have shown no significant interaction between DMPA and ARVs.^{27,29,39,48}

Several studies have shown that the use of EFV decreases the effectiveness of hormonal implants and hormonal vaginal rings. Although contraceptive implants (e.g., etonogestrel [ENG], LNG) generally can be used in people who are receiving ARVs, both PK and clinical data suggest that these implants have decreased efficacy when used with EFV-based regimens.^{37,49-51} Scarsi et al. reported that the geometric mean ratios of LNG concentrations (patients taking EFV-based ART vs. ART-naïve patients) were 0.53 at 24 weeks and 0.43 at 48 weeks. Three pregnancies occurred in the EFV group (15%) between Week 36 and Week 48, whereas no pregnancies occurred in the ART-naïve or nevirapine (NVP) groups.⁴¹

In a study of 570 women with HIV in Eswatini, formerly known as Swaziland, who had LNG implants (i.e., Jadelle), none of the women on NVP- or lopinavir/ritonavir-based regimens (n = 208 and n = 13, respectively) became pregnant, whereas 15 women on EFV (n = 121; 12.4%) became pregnant.³⁷ A study collected data from 5,153 women with HIV who were followed prospectively for 1 to 3 years. During the follow-up period, 9% of the women used implants (mostly LNG), 40% used injectables and 14% used oral contraceptives; 31% of these women took ART during the follow-up period, mostly NVP-containing (75%) or EFV-containing (15%) regimens. Among women who were not using contraception, pregnancy rates were 13.2 per 100 person-years for those who were on ART and 22.5 per 100 person-years for those who were not on ART. Implants greatly reduced the incidence of pregnancy among women on ART (adjusted hazard ratio [aHR] 0.06; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.01–0.45) and women who were not on ART (aHR 0.05; 95% CI, 0.02–0.11).

Injectables and oral contraceptives also reduced pregnancy risk but to lesser degrees. A potential lesser degree of effectiveness of these methods may be due to their greater dependence on user action, as compared to longer acting methods. ART use did not significantly diminish contraceptive effectiveness, although all methods showed non-significant reduced contraceptive effectiveness when people used EFV concurrently.⁵²

In a retrospective study among 1,152 women with HIV using either EFV or NVP and ENG or LNG implants, 115 pregnancies occurred, yielding a pregnancy incidence rate of 6.32 (5.27–7.59), with a rate of 9.26 among ENG and 4.74 among LNG implant users, respectively. Pregnancy incidence rates did not differ between EFV- and NVP-based regimens (incidence rate ratio [IRR] = 1.00; 95% CI, 0.71–1.43). No pregnancies were recorded among women on PI-based regimens. Pregnancy rates of EFV- and NVP-containing regimens were similar at 6.41 (4.70–8.73) and 6.44 (5.13–8.07), respectively. Pregnancy rates differed by implant type with LNG implant users half as likely to become pregnant as ENG implant users (IRR = 0.51; 95% CI, 0.33–0.73, $P > 0.01$).⁵³ A study of 42 women in Malawi (30 women with HIV on EFV and LNG, and 12 women without HIV on LNG) showed that EFV users had lower LNG concentrations than non EFV users, and one-third of the EFV/LNG users had LNG concentrations <180 pg/mL, which is the suggested minimum level for efficacy. No pregnancies were reported over 60 women-years of follow-up.⁵⁴

Genetic contributions also may influence observed drug-drug interactions between contraceptives and ARVs. In a study of 19 women not on ART (control group), 19 women on EFV, and 19 women on NVP with ENG implants, the women in the EFV group with cytochrome P450 2B6 (CYP2B6) 516 G>T were associated with 43% lower ENG minimum plasma concentration (C_{\min}) and 34% lower AUC from 0 to 24 h (AUC_{0-24}) at 24 weeks. For patients on NVP, NR1I2 63396 C>T had lower ENG C_{\min} and 37% lower AUC_{0-24} at 24 weeks.⁴⁵ Haas et al. reported that EFV reduced the median ENG level by at least 93% in CYP2B6 slow metabolizers versus 75% in normal and intermediate metabolizers. EFV reduced median ethinyl estradiol concentration by 75% in slow metabolizers and 41% in normal and intermediate metabolizers among women using hormonal vaginal ring contraceptive.⁵⁹

Other medications, such as those for tuberculosis (TB) treatment and ARVs, also may have drug-drug interactions with contraceptives. A PK study of DMPA among women with HIV/TB coinfection who received EFV-based treatment and rifampicin-based TB treatment showed that among 42 evaluable women, five women (11.9%; 95% CI, 4.0–25.6%) had medroxyprogesterone acetate (MPA) <0.1 ng/mL at Week 12, the level above which ovulation is prevented; of these women, one had MPA <0.1 ng/mL at Week 10. The median clearance of MPA was higher in women on EFV compared with women with HIV who were not on ART, thus leading to subtherapeutic concentrations of MPA in 12% of women at Week 12.⁵⁵ The authors suggest redosing DMPA more frequently, such as every 8 to 10 weeks.

Because data are limited on pregnancy rates among persons on different hormonal contraceptives and ARVs, some of the dosing recommendations in Table 3 are based on consensus expert opinion. Whenever possible, the recommendations are based on available data regarding PK interactions between ARVs and combined hormonal methods, DMPA, and LNG and ENG implants. The smallest decrease in PK for which an alternative method was recommended was a 14% decrease in norethindrone (with DRV/r). The Panel does not recommend any change in ethinyl estradiol dose in people who are receiving etravirine (ethinyl estradiol increased 22%) or rilpivirine (ethinyl estradiol increased 14%). In a secondary analysis of 85 cisgender women enrolled in HPTN 077, compared to women reporting no hormonal contraception ($n=6$), oral contraceptive use ($n=18$) was associated

with lower Cabotegravir-Long Acting (CAB-LA) peak concentration but was not associated with other PK parameters, suggesting this association is not likely to be clinically significant. No other hormonal contraceptive type (injectable, implants, and other) was associated with significant differences in CAB-LA PK parameters.⁵⁶

Another contraceptive vaginal ring containing segesterone/ethinyl estradiol (Annovera) has been approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration. No available drug-drug interaction studies with this contraceptive vaginal ring and ARV and CYP inducers/inhibitors are known. The contraceptive possibly could be metabolized in the same way as ENG and ethinyl estradiol in the NuvaRing. Our recommendation is extrapolated from what is known with the NuvaRing.

Table 3. Drug Interactions Between Antiretroviral Agents and Hormonal Contraceptives

Note: All recommendations in this table are based on consensus expert opinion. Additional information can be found in CDC’s [U.S. Medical Eligibility Criteria for Contraceptive Use, 2016](#).

Non-Nucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitors (NNRTIs)	
Efavirenz (EFV)	
Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for COC/P/R, POPS, and Etonogestrel Implants	Consider an alternative method (or a reliable method of barrier contraception) in addition to this method.
Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for DMPA ^a	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.
Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels and Contraceptive’s Effects on ART and HIV	<p>COC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No effect on EE concentrations • ↓ active metabolites of norgestimate; LNG AUC ↓ 83% and norelgestromin AUC ↓ 64%³⁰ • Etonogestrel (in COC) C_{24h} ↓ 61%³⁶ • Etonogestrel ↓ 79%; EE ↓ 59%⁵⁷ <p>DMPA</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No effect on DMPA levels^{27,29} <p>Etonogestrel Implant</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ENG ↓ below 90 pg/mL in 60% of people on EFV⁵⁸ • ↓ 49% in Etonogestrel concentration⁴⁶ • Etonogestrel AUC ↓ 63% to 82%^{51,59} <p>LNG Implant</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ↓ 61% LNG concentration⁴⁶ • LNG AUC ↓ 47%⁴¹ • ↑ pregnancy incidence rate among women using LNG or ENG implants, more among ENG users⁵³ • LNG AUC ↓ 40-73% over 30 months of use⁵⁴ <p>LNG Emergency Contraception (Oral dosing)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • LNG (emergency contraception) AUC ↓ 58%²⁴ • C_{max} was 51% higher with 3 mg LNG (24.9 ng/mL) compared to 1.5 mg (15.1 ng/mL), and the 48-hour concentration was 66% higher (0.6 vs 0.3 ng/mL, respectively). Dose adjustment of LNG EC from 1.5 mg to 3 mg, successfully increased LNG exposure on EFV-based ART²⁵ <p>Vaginally Administered Etonogestrel/EE (Vaginal Ring)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Etonogestrel ↓ 93% in CYP2B6 slow metabolizers and ↓ 75% in normal and intermediate metabolizers⁶⁰

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • EE ↓ 75% in slow metabolizers and ↓ 41% in normal and intermediate metabolizers⁶⁰ <p>Changes in ARV Levels and/or Effects on HIV</p> <p><i>COC</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No effect on EFV concentrations³⁰ • EFV C_{12h} ↓ 22%; was under therapeutic threshold in three of 16 subjects³⁶ <p><i>DMPA</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No effect on HIV disease progression^{27,61,62} • No effect on EFV concentrations²⁷ <p>LNG Implant</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No effect on HIV disease progression⁴¹
Clinical Studies	<p>COC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No difference in pregnancy rates⁵² • Pregnancy rate was 13% higher in women using COCs and EFV than in women using COCs alone^{50,63} • Progesterone >3 ng/mL (a surrogate for ovulation) in three of 16 women⁶⁴ • No ovulations³⁰ <p>DMPA</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No increase in pregnancies^{27,50,52,62} • Low endogenous progesterone, consistent with no ovulation^{27,29,62} <p>Etonogestrel Implant</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pregnancy rate higher with EFV compared with no ART but still lower with implants than with other hormonal methods of contraception⁵⁰ • Presumptive ovulation in 5%⁵⁹ <p>Levonogestrel Implant</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 12% pregnancy rate³⁷ • 15% pregnancy rate⁴¹ • Pregnancy rate higher with EFV compared with no ART but still lower with implants than with other hormonal methods of contraception⁵⁰ • No increase in pregnancy rate⁵²
Justification/Evidence for Recommendation	<p>For COCs, some studies suggest higher pregnancy rate and ovulation rate and decreased progestin levels. EFV may decrease, but clinical significance unclear.</p> <p>For DMPA, evidence does not show effects on pregnancy rate, ovulation, or DMPA levels. Also, no effect on HIV disease progression or EFV levels.</p> <p>For implants, some studies suggest higher pregnancy rate and decreased hormone levels.</p>

	For vaginally-administered etonogestrel/EE, PK evaluation showed that etonogestrel levels were 79% lower and EE levels were 59% lower in participants on EFV than in controls after 21 days. ⁵⁷
Etravirine (ETR)	
Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for COC/P/R, POPs, DMPA ^a , Etonogestrel Implants	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.
Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels and Contraceptive's Effects on ART and HIV	EE AUC ↑ 22% ⁶⁵ No significant effect on NE ⁶⁵
Clinical Studies	COC <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No ovulations⁶⁵
Justification/Evidence for Recommendation	For COCs, one study found no ovulations and no significant change in progestin levels. No data on POPs.
Nevirapine (NVP)	
Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for COC/P/R, POPs, DMPA ^a , Etonogestrel Implants	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.
Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels and Contraceptive's Effects on ART and HIV	EE AUC ↓ 29%; ⁶⁶ no change in EE AUC ⁶⁷ NE AUC ↓ 18% ⁶⁶ Etonogestrel (in COC) C _{24h} ↓ 22% ³⁶ DMPA <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No significant change²⁷ LNG Implant <ul style="list-style-type: none"> LNG AUC ↑ 35%⁴¹ ↑ pregnancy incidence rate among women using LNG or ENG implants, more among ENG users.⁵³ Changes in ARV Levels and/or Effects on HIV COC: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No significant effect on NVP levels^{64,66,68} DMPA <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No effect on HIV disease progression^{27,61,62,69} LNG Implant <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No effect on HIV disease progression^{41,70}
Clinical Studies	COC <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No increase in pregnancy rate^{50,52,63,71,72} No ovulations^{64,67,72} DMPA <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No increase in pregnancy rates^{50,52,62,71}

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Low serum progesterone, consistent with no ovulation²⁷ <p>Etonogestrel Implant</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No increase in pregnancy rate⁵⁰ <p>LNG Implant</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No increase in pregnancy rate^{37,41,50,52,70}
Justification/Evidence for Recommendation	<p>For COCs, evidence does not show effects on pregnancy rate or ovulations. Evidence demonstrated small decrease in progestin levels. No effect on NVP levels.</p> <p>For DMPA, evidence does not show effects on pregnancy rate, ovulation, or DMPA levels. No effect on HIV disease progression.</p> <p>For implants, evidence does not show effects on pregnancy rate or HIV disease progression.</p>
Rilpivirine (oral RPV)	
Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for COC/P/R, POPs, DMPA^a, Etonogestrel Implants	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.
Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels and Contraceptive's Effects on ART and HIV	<p>EE AUC ↑ 14%³⁵</p> <p>No significant change on NE³⁵</p> <p>Changes in ARV Levels and/or Effects on HIV</p> <p><i>COC</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No change in RPV levels compared to historical controls³⁵
Clinical Studies	<p><i>COC</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No change in progesterone³⁵
Justification/Evidence for Recommendation	<p>For COCs, evidence does not show effects on ovulation or progestin levels. No change in RPV levels.</p> <p>No data on POPs.</p>
Doravirine (DOR)	
Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for COC/P/R, POPs, DMPA^a, Etonogestrel Implants	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.
Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels and Contraceptive's Effects on ART and HIV	No clinically significant interaction with EE and LNG ⁷³
Clinical Studies	N/A
Justification/Evidence for Recommendation	No clinical data.
Ritonavir (RTV)-Boosted Protease Inhibitors (PIs)	
Atazanavir/Ritonavir (ATV/r)	
Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for COC/P/R, POPs, DMPA^a, Etonogestrel Implants	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.
Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels and Contraceptive's Effects on ART and HIV	<p>EE AUC ↓ 19%⁷⁴</p> <p>Norgestimate AUC ↑ 85%⁷⁴</p> <p><i>POP</i></p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NE AUC ↑ 50%⁷⁵ <p>Vaginally-Administered Etonogestre/EE</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Etonogestrel ↑ 71% • EE ↓ 38%⁵⁷
Clinical Studies	N/A
Justification/Evidence for Recommendation	<p>For COCs, increase in progestin levels seen in only one study. Using a COC with at least 35 mcg/day may decrease breakthrough bleeding.</p> <p>For POPs, increase in progestin levels seen in only one study.</p> <p>RTV inhibits CYP3A4, which may increase contraceptive hormone levels.</p>
Darunavir/Ritonavir (DRV/r)	
Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for COC/P/R, POPs, and Etonogestrel Implants	Can consider an alternative method (or a reliable method of barrier contraception) in addition to this method.
Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for DMPA ^a	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.
Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels and Contraceptive's Effects on ART and HIV	<p>EE AUC ↓ 44%⁴⁷</p> <p>NE AUC ↓ 14%⁴⁷</p>
Clinical Studies	N/A
Justification/Evidence for Recommendation	<p>For COCs, small decrease in progestin levels.</p> <p>No data on POPs.</p>
Lopinavir/Ritonavir (LPV/r)	
Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for COC/P/R, POPs, DMPA ^a , Etonogestrel Implants	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.
Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels and Contraceptive's Effects on ART and HIV	<p>EE AUC ↓ 55%²⁶</p> <p>NE AUC ↓ 17%</p> <p>Patch</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • EE AUC ↓ 45%²⁶ • Norelgestromin AUC ↑ 83%²⁶ <p>DMPA</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DMPA AUC ↑ 46%³⁹ <p>Etonogestrel Implant</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Etonogestrel AUC ↑ 52%⁵⁹ <p>Changes in ARV Levels and/or Effects on HIV</p> <p><i>Patch</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • LPV/r ↓ 19%²⁶ <p><i>DMPA</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No effect on HIV disease progression³⁹

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No change in LPV/r levels³⁹
Clinical Studies	<p>COC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Trend of increased pregnancy rate, but CIs overlap⁵⁰ <p>Patch</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Low serum progesterone consistent with no ovulations (n = 8)²⁶ <p>DMPA</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No pregnancies and no ovulations³⁹ Trend of increased pregnancy rate, but CIs overlap⁵⁰ <p>Etonogestrel Implant</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No increase in pregnancy rate⁵⁰ <p>LNG Implant</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No increase in pregnancy rate^{37,50}
Justification/Evidence for Recommendation	<p>For COCs, nonsignificant increase in pregnancy rate. Small decrease in progestin level.</p> <p>For patch, no ovulations and progestin levels increased.</p> <p>For DMPA, evidence shows no effect on pregnancy rate or ovulations. Progestin levels increased.</p> <p>For implants, evidence shows no effect on pregnancy rate. Progestin levels increased.</p>
Cobicistat (COBI)-Boosted Protease Inhibitors (PIs)	
Atazanavir/Cobicistat (ATV/c)	
Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for COC/P/R	<p>Contraindicated with drospirenone-containing hormonal contraceptives due to potential for hyperkalemia.</p> <p>Consider alternative or additional contraceptive method.</p>
Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for POPs, DMPA ^a , Etonogestrel Implants	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.
Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels and Contraceptive's Effects on ART and HIV	<p>Drospirenone AUC ↑ 2.3-fold⁴⁴</p> <p>No change in LNG concentration</p> <p>25% decrease in EE C24⁴³</p>
Clinical Studies	N/A
Justification/Evidence for Recommendation	No data on POPs.
Darunavir/Cobicistat (DRV/c)	
Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for COC/P/R	<p>Clinical monitoring is recommended when DRV/c is used in combination with drospirenone-containing COCs as a result of the potential for hyperkalemia.</p> <p>Consider alternative or additional contraceptive method.</p>
Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for POPs, DMPA ^a , Etonogestrel Implants	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.
Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels and Contraceptive's Effects on ART and HIV	Drospirenone AUC ↑ 1.6-fold

	EE AUC ↓ 30% ⁴⁴
Clinical Studies	N/A
Justification/Evidence for Recommendation	No data on POPs.
Protease Inhibitors (PIs) without Ritonavir (RTV)	
Atazanavir (ATV)	
Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for COC/P/R	Prescribe oral contraceptive that contains no more than 30 mcg of EE or recommend alternative contraceptive method.
Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for POPs, DMPA ^a , Etonogestrel Implants	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.
Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels and Contraceptive's Effects on ART and HIV	COC <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • EE AUC ↑ 48%⁷⁶ • NE AUC ↑ 110%⁷⁶
Clinical Studies	N/A
Justification/Evidence for Recommendation	For COCs, increased concentrations of estrogen and progestin, but the only data available are from the product label. No data on POPs.
CCR5 Antagonist	
Maraviroc (MVC)	
Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for COC/P/R, POPs, DMPA ^a , Etonogestrel Implants	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.
Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels and Contraceptive's Effects on ART and HIV	COC <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No significant effect on EE or LN⁷⁷
Clinical Studies	N/A
Justification/Evidence for Recommendation	For COCs, no change in EE or progestin. No clinical data. No data on POPs.
Integrase Strand Transfer Inhibitors (INSTIs)	
Bictegravir/Emtricitabine/Tenofovir Alafenamide (BIC/FTC/TAF)	
Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for COC/P/R, POPs, DMPA ^a , Etonogestrel Implants	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.
Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels and Contraceptive's Effects on ART and HIV	No significant drug interactions with EE or norgestimate.
Clinical Studies	N/A
Justification/Evidence for Recommendation	No clinical data.
Dolutegravir (DTG)	
Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for COC/P/R, POPs, DMPA ^a , Etonogestrel Implants	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.
Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels and Contraceptive's Effects on ART and HIV	COC <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No significant effect on etonogestrel implants⁵⁸

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No significant effect on norgestimate or EE No change in DTG AUC⁴⁰
Clinical Studies	N/A
Justification/Evidence for Recommendation	For COCs, no change in EE or progestin. No clinical data. No data on POPs.
Elvitegravir/Cobicistat (EVG/c)	
Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for COC/P/R, POPs, DMPA ^a , Etonogestrel Implants	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.
Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels and Contraceptive's Effects on ART and HIV	<p>COC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Norgestimate AUC ↑ 126% EE AUC ↓ 25%^{78,79}
Clinical Studies	N/A
Justification/Evidence for Recommendation	When administered as the four-drug regimen EVG/c/FTC/TDF, increases in progestin and a small decrease in EE were observed. No clinical data. No data on POPs.
Raltegravir (RAL)	
Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for COC/P/R, POPs, DMPA ^a , Etonogestrel Implants	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.
Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels and Contraceptive's Effects on ART and HIV	<p>COC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No change in EE Norgestimate AUC ↑ 14%⁸⁰
Clinical Studies	N/A
Justification/Evidence for Recommendation	For COCs, no change in EE and a small increase in progestin. No clinical data. No data on POPs.
Cabotegravir-Long Acting (CAB-LA)	
Dosing Recommendation/Clinical Comment for COC/P/R, POPs, DMPA ^a , Etonogestrel Implants	No additional contraceptive protection needed
Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels and Contraceptive's Effects on ART and HIV	Oral contraceptive use was associated with ↓ CAB-LA C _{max} compared to women not on any hormonal contraception (GMR 0.75; 90% CI: 0.59-0.93; P=0.033), However, oral contraceptive use did not result in significant differences in other CAB-LA PK parameters.
Clinical Studies	N/A
Justification/Evidence for Recommendation	Although oral contraceptive use was associated with lower CAB-LA peak concentration, no other PK parameters PK parameters seen suggesting the association is not likely to be clinically significant.

^a Because the hormonal levels achieved with DMPA are substantially higher than the levels that are required for contraception, any small reduction in hormonal level attributed to ARV drugs is unlikely to reduce contraceptive effectiveness.

Key to Symbols:

↑ = increase

↓ = decrease

Key: ART = antiretroviral therapy; ARV = antiretroviral; ATV = atazanavir; ATV/c = atazanavir/cobicistat; ATV/r = atazanavir/ritonavir; AUC = area under the curve; BIC = bictegravir; C_{12h} = concentration at 12 hours post-dose; C_{24h} = concentration at 24 hours post-dose; CDC = Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; CI = confidence interval; C_{max} = maximum plasma concentration; C_{min} = minimum plasma concentration; COBI = cobicistat; COC/P/R = combined oral contraceptives/patch/ring; CYP = cytochrome P450; DMPA = depot medroxyprogesterone acetate; DOR = doravirine; DRV/c = darunavir/cobicistat; DRV/r = darunavir/ritonavir; DTG = dolutegravir; EE = ethinyl estradiol; EFV = efavirenz; ENG = etonogestrel; ETR = etravirine; EVG/c = elvitegravir/cobicistat; FTC = emtricitabine; INSTI = integrase strand transfer inhibitor; LNG = levonorgestrel; LPV/r = lopinavir/ritonavir; MVC = maraviroc; NE = norethindrone; NNRTI = non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor; NVP = nevirapine; PI = protease inhibitor; PI/r = protease inhibitor/ritonavir; PK = pharmacokinetic; POP = progesterone-only oral contraceptive pills; RAL = raltegravir; RPV = rilpivirine; RTV = ritonavir; TAF = tenofovir alafenamide; TDF = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate

Sources: Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents; [Guidelines for the Use of Antiretroviral Agents in Adults and Adolescents Living with HIV](#). Department of Health and Human Services; [Table 24a](#), [Table 24b](#), and [Table 24d](#)

References

1. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. ACOG committee opinion no. 762: pre-pregnancy counseling. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2019;133(1):e78-e89. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30575679>.
2. Elwood C, Kennedy VL, Loutfy M, Poliquin V, Boucoiran I, Yudin MH. The Canadian HIV pregnancy planning guidelines: what pregnancy care providers need to know about HIV transmission and pre-conception considerations. *J Obstet Gynaecol Can.* 2021;43(7):884-887. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33272875>.
3. Salters K, Loutfy M, de Pokomandy A, et al. Pregnancy incidence and intention after HIV diagnosis among women living with HIV in Canada. *PLoS One.* 2017;12(7):e0180524. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28727731>.
4. Aebi-Popp K, Mercanti V, Voide C, et al. Neglect of attention to reproductive health in women with HIV infection: contraceptive use and unintended pregnancies in the Swiss HIV Cohort Study. *HIV Med.* 2018;19(5):339-346. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29336516>.
5. Sutton MY, Zhou W, Frazier EL. Unplanned pregnancies and contraceptive use among HIV-positive women in care. *PLoS One.* 2018;13(5):e0197216. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29771940>.
6. Dude AM, Miller ES, Garcia PM, Yee LM. Unintended pregnancy and viral suppression in pregnant women living with HIV. *Am J Obstet Gynecol MFM.* 2021;3(2):100300. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33359637>.
7. Rahangdale L, Stewart A, Stewart RD, et al. Pregnancy intentions among women living with HIV in the United States. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr.* 2014;65(3):306-311. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24525467>.
8. Matthews LT, Beyeza-Kashesya J, Cooke I, et al. Consensus statement: supporting safer conception and pregnancy for men and women living with and affected by HIV. *AIDS Behav.* 2018;22(6):1713-1724. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28501964>.
9. Yan X, Du J, Ji G. Prevalence and factors associated with fertility desire among people living with HIV: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One.* 2021;16(3):e0248872. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33735265>.
10. Gokhale RH, Bradley H, Weiser J. Reproductive health counseling delivered to women living with HIV in the United States. *AIDS Care.* 2017;29(7):928-935. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28114813>.
11. Tanner AE, Chambers BD, Philbin MM, et al. The intersection between women's reproductive desires and HIV care providers' reproductive health practices: a mixed methods analysis. *Matern Child Health J.* 2018;22(9):1233-1239. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30008042>.

12. Teodoro N, Fu A, Ohly NT, Shalev N, Matseoane-Peterssen D, Westhoff CL. Long-acting reversible contraception knowledge, attitudes and use among HIV-infected and -uninfected women and their providers. *Contraception*. 2019;100(4):269-274. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31226320>.
13. Lampe MA. Human immunodeficiency virus-1 and preconception care. *Matern Child Health J*. 2006;10(5 Suppl):S193-195. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16832609>.
14. Aaron EZ, Criniti SM. Preconception health care for HIV-infected women. *Top HIV Med*. 2007;15(4):137-141. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17721000>.
15. Anderson J. Women and HIV: motherhood and more. *Curr Opin Infect Dis*. 2012;25(1):58-65. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22156896>.
16. Jones D, Chakhtoura N, Cook R. Reproductive and maternal healthcare needs of HIV infected women. *Curr HIV/AIDS Rep*. 2013;10(4):333-341. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23918674>.
17. Cotter AM, Garcia AG, Duthely ML, Luke B, O'Sullivan MJ. Is antiretroviral therapy during pregnancy associated with an increased risk of preterm delivery, low birth weight, or stillbirth? *J Infect Dis*. 2006;193(9):1195-1201. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16586354>.
18. Tuomala RE, Shapiro DE, Mofenson LM, et al. Antiretroviral therapy during pregnancy and the risk of an adverse outcome. *N Engl J Med*. 2002;346(24):1863-1870. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12063370>.
19. Stek AM. Antiretroviral medications during pregnancy for therapy or prophylaxis. *Curr HIV/AIDS Rep*. 2009;6(2):68-76. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19358777>.
20. Byrne L, Sconza R, Foster C, Tookey PA, Cortina-Borja M, Thorne C. Pregnancy incidence and outcomes in women with perinatal HIV infection. *AIDS*. 2017;31(12):1745-1754. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28590327>.
21. American College of Obstetricians Gynecologists' Committee on Gynecologic Practice, American College of Obstetricians Gynecologists' Committee on Health Care for Underserved Women. Health care for transgender and gender diverse individuals: ACOG committee opinion, number 823. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2021;137(3):e75-e88. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33595253>.
22. Taub RL, Ellis SA, Neal-Perry G, Margaret AS, Prager SW, Micks EA. The effect of testosterone on ovulatory function in transmasculine individuals. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2020;223(2):229 e221-229 e228. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32044312>.
23. Centers for Disease C, Prevention. Update to CDC's U.S. medical eligibility criteria for contraceptive use, 2010: revised recommendations for the use of hormonal contraception

- among women at high risk for HIV infection or infected with HIV. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2012;61(24):449-452. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22717514>.
24. Carten ML, Kiser JJ, Kwara A, Mawhinney S, Cu-Uvin S. Pharmacokinetic interactions between the hormonal emergency contraception, levonorgestrel (Plan B), and Efavirenz. *Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol.* 2012;2012:137192. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22536010>.
 25. Scarsi KK, Smeaton LM, Podany AT, et al. PK of dose-adjusted emergency contraception with EFV-based ART in ACTH 5375. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2021. Virtual Conference.
 26. Vogler MA, Patterson K, Kamemoto L, et al. Contraceptive efficacy of oral and transdermal hormones when co-administered with protease inhibitors in HIV-1-infected women: pharmacokinetic results of ACTG trial A5188. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr.* 2010;55(4):473-482. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20842042>.
 27. Cohn SE, Park JG, Watts DH, et al. Depo-medroxyprogesterone in women on antiretroviral therapy: effective contraception and lack of clinically significant interactions. *Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 2007;81(2):222-227. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17192768>.
 28. Hoyt MJ, Storm DS, Aaron E, Anderson J. Preconception and contraceptive care for women living with HIV. *Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol.* 2012;2012:604183. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23097595>.
 29. Nanda K, Amaral E, Hays M, Viscola MA, Mehta N, Bahamondes L. Pharmacokinetic interactions between depot medroxyprogesterone acetate and combination antiretroviral therapy. *Fertil Steril.* 2008;90(4):965-971. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17880953>.
 30. Sevinsky H, Eley T, Persson A, et al. The effect of efavirenz on the pharmacokinetics of an oral contraceptive containing ethinyl estradiol and norgestimate in healthy HIV-negative women. *Antivir Ther.* 2011;16(2):149-156. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21447863>.
 31. Robinson JA, Jamshidi R, Burke AE. Contraception for the HIV-positive woman: a review of interactions between hormonal contraception and antiretroviral therapy. *Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol.* 2012;2012:890160. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22927715>.
 32. Tseng A, Hills-Niemenen C. Drug interactions between antiretrovirals and hormonal contraceptives. *Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol.* 2013;9(5):559-572. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23425052>.

33. Landolt NK, Phanuphak N, Ubolyam S, et al. Efavirenz, in contrast to nevirapine, is associated with unfavorable progesterone and antiretroviral levels when co-administered with combined oral contraceptives. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2012;62(5):534-539. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23187949>.
34. Atrio J, Stanczyk FZ, Neely M, Cherala G, Kovacs A, Mishell DR, Jr. Effect of protease inhibitors on steady-state pharmacokinetics of oral norethindrone contraception in HIV-infected women. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2014;65(1):72-77. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24025339>.
35. Crauwels HM, van Heeswijk RP, Buelens A, Stevens M, Hoetelmans RM. Lack of an effect of rilpivirine on the pharmacokinetics of ethinylestradiol and norethindrone in healthy volunteers. *Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 2014;52(2):118-128. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24161160>.
36. Landolt NK, Phanuphak N, Ubolyam S, et al. Significant decrease of ethinylestradiol with nevirapine, and of etonogestrel with efavirenz in HIV-positive women. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2014;66(2):e50-52. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24608892>.
37. Perry SH, Swamy P, Preidis GA, Mwanyumba A, Motsa N, Sarero HN. Implementing the jadelle implant for women living with HIV in a resource-limited setting in sub-Saharan Africa: concerns for drug interactions leading to unintended pregnancies. *AIDS*. 2014;28(5). Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24401645>.
38. Thurman AR, Anderson S, Doncel GF. Effects of hormonal contraception on antiretroviral drug metabolism, pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics. *Am J Reprod Immunol*. 2014;71(6):523-530. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24521428>.
39. Luque AE, Cohn SE, Park JG, et al. Depot medroxyprogesterone acetate in combination with a twice-daily lopinavir-ritonavir-based regimen in HIV-infected women showed effective contraception and a lack of clinically significant interactions, with good safety and tolerability: results of the ACTG 5283 study. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2015;59(4):2094-2101. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25624326>.
40. Song IH, Borland J, Chen S, Wajima T, Peppercorn AF, Piscitelli SC. Dolutegravir has no effect on the pharmacokinetics of oral contraceptives with norgestimate and ethinyl estradiol. *Ann Pharmacother*. 2015;49(7):784-789. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25862012>.
41. Scarsi KK, Darin KM, Nakalema S, et al. Unintended pregnancies observed with combined use of the levonorgestrel contraceptive implant and efavirenz-based antiretroviral therapy: a three-arm pharmacokinetic evaluation over 48 weeks. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2016;62(6):675-682. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26646680>.

42. Nanda K, Stuart GS, Robinson J, Gray AL, Tepper NK, Gaffield ME. Drug interactions between hormonal contraceptives and antiretrovirals. *AIDS*. 2017;31(7):917-952. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28060009>.
43. Elliot ER, Bisdomini E, Penchala SD, Khoo S, Nwokolo N, Boffito M. Pharmacokinetics (PK) of ethinylestradiol/levonorgestrel co-administered with atazanavir/cobicistat. *HIV Res Clin Pract*. 2019:1-10. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31335301>.
44. Majeed SR, West S, Ling KH, Das M, Kearney BP. Confirmation of the drug-drug interaction potential between cobicistat-boosted antiretroviral regimens and hormonal contraceptives. *Antivir Ther*. 2020;24(8):557-566. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31933482>.
45. Neary M, Chappell CA, Scarsi KK, et al. Effect of patient genetics on etonogestrel pharmacokinetics when combined with efavirenz or nevirapine ART. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2019;74(10):3003-3010. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31299074>.
46. Patel RC, Stalter RM, Thomas KK, et al. A pharmacokinetic and pharmacogenetic evaluation of contraceptive implants and antiretroviral therapy among women in Kenya and Uganda. *AIDS*. 2019;33(13):1995-2004. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31306173>.
47. Sekar VJ, Lefebvre E, Guzman SS, et al. Pharmacokinetic interaction between ethinyl estradiol, norethindrone and darunavir with low-dose ritonavir in healthy women. *Antivir Ther*. 2008;13(4):563-569.
48. Weinberg A, Park JG, Bosch R, et al. Effect of depot medoxyprogesterone acetate on immune functions and inflammatory markers of HIV-infected women. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2016;71(2):137-145. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26413850>.
49. Leticee N, Viard JP, Yamgnane A, Karmochkine M, Benachi A. Contraceptive failure of etonogestrel implant in patients treated with antiretrovirals including efavirenz. *Contraception*. 2012;85(4):425-427. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22036046>.
50. Patel RC, Onono M, Gandhi M, et al. Pregnancy rates in HIV-positive women using contraceptives and efavirenz-based or nevirapine-based antiretroviral therapy in Kenya: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet HIV*. 2015;2(11):e474-482. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26520927>.
51. Chappell CA, Lamorde M, Nakalema S, et al. Efavirenz decreases etonogestrel exposure: a pharmacokinetic evaluation of implantable contraception with antiretroviral therapy. *AIDS*. 2017;31(14):1965-1972. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28692531>.

52. Pyra M, Heffron R, Mugo NR, et al. Effectiveness of hormonal contraception in HIV-infected women using antiretroviral therapy. *AIDS*. 2015;29(17):2353-2359. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26544706>.
53. Pfitzer A, Wille J, Wambua J, et al. Contraceptive implant failures among women using antiretroviral therapy in western Kenya: a retrospective cohort study. *Gates Open Res*. 2019;3:1482. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32051928>.
54. Tang JH, Davis NL, Corbett AH, et al. Effect of efavirenz on levonorgestrel concentrations among Malawian levonorgestrel implant users for up to 30 months of concomitant use: a subanalysis of a randomized clinical trial. *Contracept X*. 2020;2:100027. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33364598>.
55. Mngqibisa R, Kendall MA, Dooley K, et al. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of depot medroxyprogesterone acetate in African women receiving treatment for human immunodeficiency virus and tuberculosis: potential concern for standard dosing frequency. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2020;71(3):517-524. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31504342>.
56. Blair CS, Li S, Chau G, et al. Brief report: hormonal contraception use and cabotegravir pharmacokinetics in HIV-uninfected women enrolled in HPTN 077. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2020;85(1):93-97. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32452972>.
57. Scarsi KK, Cramer YS, Rosenkranz SL, et al. Antiretroviral therapy and vaginally administered contraceptive hormones: a three-arm, pharmacokinetic study. *Lancet HIV*. 2019;6(9):e601-e612. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31498109>
58. Bishop IJ, Gertz AM, Simon B, et al. Etonogestrel concentrations among contraceptive implant users in Botswana using and not using dolutegravir-based antiretroviral therapy. *Contraception*. 2020;102(3):174-179. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32387328>.
59. Vieira CS, Bahamondes MV, de Souza RM, et al. Effect of antiretroviral therapy including lopinavir/ritonavir or efavirenz on etonogestrel-releasing implant pharmacokinetics in HIV-positive women. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2014;66(4):378-385. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24798768>.
60. Haas DW, Cramer YS, Godfrey C, et al. Pharmacogenetic interactions between antiretroviral drugs and vaginally administered hormonal contraceptives. *Pharmacogenet Genomics*. 2020;30(3):45-53. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32106141>.
61. Polis CB, Curtis KM. Use of hormonal contraceptives and HIV acquisition in women: a systematic review of the epidemiological evidence. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2013;13(9):797-808. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23871397>.
62. Watts DH, Park JG, Cohn SE, et al. Safety and tolerability of depot medroxyprogesterone acetate among HIV-infected women on antiretroviral therapy: ACTG A5093. *Contraception*. 2008;77(2):84-90. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18226670>.

63. Clark RA, Theall K. Population-based study evaluating association between selected antiretroviral therapies and potential oral contraceptive failure. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2004;37(1):1219-1220. Available at: <https://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15319685>.
64. Landolt NK, Phanuphak N, Ubolyam S, et al. Efavirenz, in contrast to nevirapine, is associated with unfavorable progesterone and antiretroviral levels when coadministered with combined oral contraceptives. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2013;62(5):534-539. Available at: <https://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23187949>.
65. Scholler-Gyure M, Kakuda TN, Woodfall B, et al. Effect of steady-state etravirine on the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of ethinylestradiol and norethindrone. *Contraception*. 2009;80(1):44-52. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19501215>.
66. Mildvan D, Yarrish R, Marshak A, et al. Pharmacokinetic interaction between nevirapine and ethinyl estradiol/norethindrone when administered concurrently to HIV-infected women. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2002;29(5):471-477. Available at: <https://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11981363>.
67. Stuart GS, Moses A, Corbett A, et al. Combined oral contraceptives and antiretroviral PK/PD in Malawian women: pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of a combined oral contraceptive and a generic combined formulation antiretroviral in Malawi. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2011;58(2):e40-43. Available at: <https://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21921726>.
68. Muro E, Droste JA, Hofstede HT, Bosch M, Dolmans W, Burger DM. Nevirapine plasma concentrations are still detectable after more than 2 weeks in the majority of women receiving single-dose nevirapine: implications for intervention studies. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2005;39(4):419-421. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16010163>.
69. Day S, Graham SM, Masese LN, et al. A prospective cohort study of the effect of depot medroxyprogesterone acetate on detection of plasma and cervical HIV-1 in women initiating and continuing antiretroviral therapy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2014;66(4):452-456. Available at: <https://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24798764/>.
70. Hubacher D, Liku J, Kiarie J, et al. Effect of concurrent use of anti-retroviral therapy and levonorgestrel sub-dermal implant for contraception on CD4 counts: a prospective cohort study in Kenya. *J Int AIDS Soc*. 2013;16:18448. Available at: <https://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23458102/>.
71. Myer L, Carter RJ, Katyal M, Toro P, El-Sadr WM, Abrams EJ. Impact of antiretroviral therapy on incidence of pregnancy among HIV-infected women in Sub-Saharan Africa: a cohort study. *PLoS Med*. 2010;7(2):e1000229. Available at: <https://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20161723>.

72. Nanda K, Delany-Moretlwe S, Dube K, et al. Nevirapine-based antiretroviral therapy does not reduce oral contraceptive effectiveness. *AIDS*. 2013;27 Suppl 1:S17-25. Available at: <https://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24088680>.
73. Khalilieh SG, Yee KL, Sanchez RI, et al. Doravirine and the potential for CYP3A-mediated drug-drug interactions. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2019;63(5):e02016-02018. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30783000>.
74. Zhang J, Chung E, Yones C, et al. The effect of atazanavir/ritonavir on the pharmacokinetics of an oral contraceptive containing ethinyl estradiol and norgestimate in healthy women. *Antivir Ther*. 2011;16(2):157-164. Available at: <https://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21447864>.
75. DuBois BN, Atrio J, Stanczyk FZ, Cherala G. Increased exposure of norethindrone in HIV+ women treated with ritonavir-boosted atazanavir therapy. *Contraception*. 2015;91(1):71-75. Available at: <https://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25245190>.
76. Atazanavir (Reyataz) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2020. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2020/021567s044,206352s0081bl.pdf.
77. Abel S, Russell D, Whitlock LA, Ridgway CE, Muirhead GJ. Effect of maraviroc on the pharmacokinetics of midazolam, lamivudine/zidovudine, and ethinylloestradiol/levonorgestrel in healthy volunteers. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2008;65 Suppl 1:19-26. Available at: <https://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18333862>.
78. Stribild (elvitegravir, cobicistat, emtricitabine, tenofovir disoproxil fumarate) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2020. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2020/203100s0351bl.pdf.
79. Genvoya (elvitegravir, cobicistat, emtricitabine, and tenofovir alafenamide) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2021. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2021/207561s0251bl.pdf.
80. Anderson MS, Hanley WD, Moreau AR, et al. Effect of raltegravir on estradiol and norgestimate plasma pharmacokinetics following oral contraceptive administration in healthy women. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2011;71(4):616-620. Available at: <https://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21395656>.

Reproductive Options When One or Both Partners Have HIV

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Panel's Recommendations
<p>For People Who Want to Conceive When One or Both Partners Have HIV</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Expert consultation is recommended to tailor guidance to an individual's specific needs (AIII).• People with HIV should achieve sustained viral suppression (e.g., two recorded measurements of plasma viral loads that are below the limits of detection at least 3 months apart) before attempting conception to maximize their health, prevent HIV sexual transmission (AI) and—for pregnant people with HIV—minimize the risk of HIV transmission to their infants (AI).• Both persons should be screened and treated for genital tract infections before attempting to conceive (AII).• When people have different HIV statuses, sexual intercourse without a condom allows conception with effectively no risk of sexual HIV transmission to the person without HIV if the person with HIV is on antiretroviral therapy (ART) and has achieved sustained viral suppression (BII).• Additional guidance might be required in the following scenarios:<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ The person with HIV has not achieved sustained viral suppression or their HIV viral suppression status is unknown,○ Concerns exist that the person with HIV might be inconsistently adherent to ART during the periconception period, <i>or</i>○ The provider wishes to share additional information regarding options to prevent sexual HIV transmission during the periconception period.• In these circumstances, providers can choose to provide counseling about the following options:<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ Administration of antiretroviral pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) to the partner without HIV reduces the risk of sexual acquisition of HIV (AI) (see Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis (PrEP) to Prevent HIV During Periconception, Antepartum, and Postpartum Periods). When partners with different HIV statuses attempt conception, the partner without HIV can choose to take PrEP even if the partner with HIV has achieved viral suppression (CIII).○ Consider advising timing condomless sex to coincide with ovulation (peak fertility) in order to reduce HIV transmission risk and to optimize the probability of conception (CIII).
<p>Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional</p> <p>Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion</p>

The objective of this section is to provide guidance for safer conception and pregnancy while maximizing efforts to prevent HIV transmission to partners and infants. The section focuses on HIV prevention in the context of penile-vaginal intercourse to achieve pregnancy. The Panel on the Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission (the Panel) also appreciates the diversity of parenting desires within lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, queer, intersex, asexual, and gender nonconforming communities, as well as the importance of promoting family building while minimizing HIV transmission opportunities for people with HIV. Some of the

strategies for achieving pregnancy without penile-vaginal intercourse include gamete donation or surrogacy. When gamete donation and surrogacy occur through health care channels, HIV testing and viral load monitoring should be included within protocols.¹ When conducted informally, the same tenets for prevention outlined below should apply.² Clinicians also must consider that people of all gender identities may seek various options to build families, including adoption, and should be supported to do so with minimal risks of HIV transmission within the partnership or to a child.³

For people who want to conceive when one or both partners have HIV, expert consultation is recommended so that approaches for safer conception can be tailored to their specific needs.

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) states that people with HIV who take antiretroviral therapy (ART) as prescribed and who maintain an undetectable viral load have effectively no risk of transmitting HIV through sex.⁴ When one or both partners have HIV, they should be counseled that once people with HIV have initiated ART and have maintained HIV viral suppression, condomless sex to achieve conception is associated with effectively no risk of HIV sexual transmission.⁵⁻⁸ HIV viral suppression can be demonstrated with two recorded measurements of plasma viral loads that are below the limits of detection and that were taken at least 3 months apart.

Before attempting to conceive, both partners should be screened for genital tract infections. Treatment of such infections is important because genital tract inflammation is associated with increased genital tract shedding of HIV.^{9,10}

If conception does not occur within 6 months, providers should pursue a workup for infertility, including a semen analysis. HIV, and possibly the use of antiretroviral (ARV) drugs, can be associated with a greater prevalence of semen abnormalities, such as low sperm count, low motility, a higher rate of abnormal forms, and low semen volume. Early evaluation is indicated because of concerns about higher rates of infertility among people with HIV.¹¹⁻¹⁴ Coordination of care across multiple disciplines—including HIV primary care, OB/GYN (specifically reproductive endocrinology and infertility), case management, and peer and social support—is advised. Integration of reproductive health counseling, including counseling about pregnancy desires and/or prevention, is recommended.^{15,16}

People with Differing HIV Statuses

Before attempting conception, people with HIV should be on ART and should have achieved sustained viral suppression. The implications of initiating therapy before conception, the selection of ART for the person trying to conceive, and the need for adherence to achieve durable plasma viral loads below the limits of detection should be discussed with both partners. Consultation with an expert in HIV care **is strongly recommended.**

In two large studies that included heterosexual couples with differing HIV statuses (HPTN 052 [HIV Prevention Trials Network trial 052] and PARTNER [Partners of People on ART-A New Evaluation of the Risks] study), no genetically linked HIV transmissions occurred while the partner with HIV was virally suppressed. HPTN 052 was a randomized clinical trial designed to evaluate whether immediately initiating ART in people with CD4 T lymphocyte (CD4) cell counts of 350 to 550 cells/mm³ could prevent sexual transmission of HIV between couples with differing HIV statuses more effectively than delaying ART. Most of the participants were from Africa (54%), with 30% from Asia and 16% from North and South America. This study showed that initiating ART

earlier led to a 93% reduction in the rate of sexual transmission of HIV to the partner. During the study, 877 participants with HIV delayed initiation of ART until their CD4 cell counts fell below 250 cells/mm³, and 886 participants with HIV began ART immediately. Forty-six cases of HIV infection were genetically linked to the partner with HIV during the study; 43 of these cases occurred in couples where one partner delayed initiation of ART, and three cases occurred in couples where one partner began immediate ART. No linked infections occurred between partners when the partner with HIV had a viral load that was suppressed stably by ART. Thus, this randomized trial clearly demonstrated that providing treatment to people with HIV can reduce the risk of HIV transmission to their sexual partners.¹⁷ In addition, the PARTNER study—which studied 1,166 couples of differing HIV statuses (mainly heterosexual couples and men who have sex with men) where the partner with HIV was on suppressive ART and had sex without using a condom—reported no cases of transmission after a median follow up of 1.3 years and approximately 58,000 condomless sex acts.¹⁸

A prospective cohort study evaluated couples with differing HIV statuses who were planning to conceive. Among 161 couples (133 couples included a male partner with HIV) where the partner with HIV received suppressive ART for at least the previous 6 months and the couple opted for natural conception, a total of 144 natural pregnancies occurred and 107 babies were born. No cases of sexual (to partner) or vertical (to infant) transmission occurred.¹⁹

For partners with differing HIV statuses where the partner with HIV is on ART and has achieved sustained viral suppression, sexual intercourse without a condom allows conception with effectively no risk of sexual transmission to the partner without HIV. It is not known how frequently viral load testing should be conducted when a patient is relying on ART and viral suppression as a prevention strategy.⁴ Enough evidence does not exist to determine the optimal schedule for viral load testing in people with HIV who rely on this prevention strategy. Consider monitoring the viral load more frequently in these individuals than the current treatment guidelines recommend.

Timing condomless sex to coincide with ovulation (peak fertility) to reduce HIV transmission risk may optimize the probability of conception. The use of an ovulation kit is the optimal method for identifying the most fertile time of the cycle.²⁰

Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis (PrEP) and Other Options for Partners with Differing HIV Statuses and Inconsistent and Unknown Viral Suppression

For people with differing HIV statuses who attempt conception through sexual intercourse without a condom when the partner with HIV has not been able to achieve viral suppression or when viral suppression status is not known, administering PrEP to the partner without HIV is recommended to reduce the risk of sexual transmission of HIV (see [Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis \(PrEP\) to Prevent HIV During Periconception, Antepartum, and Postpartum Periods](#)). PrEP is the use of ARV medications by a person without HIV to maintain blood and genital drug levels sufficient to prevent acquisition of HIV. Only daily dosing of a combination of tenofovir disoproxil fumarate and emtricitabine (FTC) currently is approved by the Food and Drug Administration for use as PrEP. Tenofovir alafenamide and FTC as a combination drug also has been approved for PrEP in men but not in women.

Adherence is critical.

When a person with HIV wants to conceive and is in a relationship with a male partner who does not have HIV, assisted insemination during the periovulatory period at home or in a provider's office with semen from the male partner is an option for conception. This eliminates the risk of HIV transmission to the male partner.

When a man with HIV is in a relationship with a partner without HIV who wants to conceive, the use of donor sperm from a man without HIV is an option for conception that eliminates the risk of HIV transmission to the partner without HIV. When a man with HIV is in a relationship with a partner without HIV who wants to conceive, the use of sperm preparation techniques (e.g., “sperm washing” followed by testing the sample for HIV RNA), coupled with either intrauterine insemination or *in vitro* fertilization with intracytoplasmic sperm injection, **is no longer routinely recommended**. The appropriate role of semen preparation techniques in the current context is unclear, particularly given their expense and technical requirements. These sperm preparation techniques largely were developed before studies had demonstrated the efficacy of ART and PrEP in decreasing the risk of HIV transmission to sexual partners without HIV. Assisted reproductive technologies might be useful in cases of male infertility or for couples who are using donor sperm or a surrogate parent.

Men who have sex with men who have a partner with HIV may consider adoption or surrogacy. Women who have sex with women and have a partner with HIV may consider adoption or donor insemination.

In addition to reducing the risk of HIV transmission between partners, starting ART before conception in people with HIV also can further reduce the risk of perinatal transmission.²¹ Evidence suggests that early and sustained control of HIV can decrease the risk of perinatal transmission,^{22,23} but it does not eliminate the risk completely.²³ In addition, reports are mixed on the possible effects of ART on prematurity and low birthweight, with some data suggesting that such outcomes might be more frequent among women who are on ART at conception.²⁴⁻²⁸

Monitoring of Pregnant People Without HIV Who Have Partners with HIV

People without HIV who present during pregnancy and indicate that their partners have HIV should be notified that HIV screening is recommended for all people who are pregnant and that they will receive an HIV test as part of the routine panel of prenatal tests unless they decline (this is the opt-out strategy; see [Maternal HIV Testing and Identification of Perinatal HIV Exposure](#)). Pregnant people who test HIV seronegative and have partners with HIV should continue to be counseled regularly regarding consistent condom use to decrease their risk of sexual acquisition of HIV if the partner with HIV has not achieved sustained virologic suppression. They also should be counseled on the importance of their partners’ adherence to ART and the need to achieve sustained virologic suppression to reduce the risk of sexual transmission of HIV. **The pregnant person without HIV may consider PrEP under several conditions as previously discussed (see [Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis \(PrEP\) to Reduce the Risk of Acquiring HIV During Periconception, Antepartum, and Postpartum Periods](#))**. Pregnant people without HIV also should be counseled regarding the symptoms of acute retroviral syndrome (i.e., fever, pharyngitis, rash, myalgia, arthralgia, diarrhea, headache) and the importance of seeking medical care and testing if they experience such symptoms. People with acute HIV infection during pregnancy or lactation are at high risk of transmitting HIV to their infants and should receive HIV testing with an HIV RNA polymerase chain reaction assay if acute HIV infection is suspected²⁹⁻³¹ (see [Acute HIV Infection](#)). Repeat HIV testing in the third trimester is recommended for pregnant people who initially test HIV negative but who are at increased risk of acquiring HIV (see [Maternal HIV Testing and Identification of Perinatal HIV Exposure](#)). More frequent testing is indicated when a person’s partner has HIV; these persons should be tested every trimester.

Monitoring of Men Without HIV Who Have Partners with HIV

Men without HIV who are attempting pregnancy with partners who have HIV should continue to be counseled regularly on methods to prevent acquisition of HIV, including suppressive ART for the **partner with HIV** and PrEP **for the one without HIV**. The CDC recommends HIV testing every 3 months for the partner who does not have HIV while the partners are attempting to conceive without condoms. The National Perinatal HIV Hotline (888-448-8765) is a resource for a list of institutions that offer reproductive services for partners where one or both partners have HIV.

Considerations When Both Partners Have HIV

When both partners have HIV, both should be on ART with sustained viral suppression before attempting conception. The risk of HIV superinfection or infection with a resistant virus is negligible when both partners are on ART and have fully suppressed plasma viral loads.³²

References

1. Practice Committee of the American Society for Reproductive Medicine and the Practice Committee for the Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology: Electronic address ASRM@asrm.org. Guidance regarding gamete and embryo donation. *Fertil Steril*. 2021;115(6):1395-1410. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33838871>.
2. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. ACOG Committee Opinion No. 749 Summary: marriage and family building equality for lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, queer, intersex, asexual, and gender nonconforming individuals. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2018;132(2):539-540. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30045209>.
3. Hoffkling A, Obedin-Maliver J, Sevelius J. From erasure to opportunity: a qualitative study of the experiences of transgender men around pregnancy and recommendations for providers. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2017;17(Suppl 2):332. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29143629>.
4. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Evidence of HIV treatment and viral suppression in preventing the sexual transmission of HIV. 2018. Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/pdf/risk/art/cdc-hiv-art-viral-suppression.pdf>
5. Baza MB, Jerónimo A, Río I, et al. Natural conception is safe for HIV-serodiscordant couples with persistent suppressive antiretroviral therapy for the infected partner. *J Womens Health (Larchmt)*. 2019;28(11):1555-1562. Available at <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31329519>.
6. Schwartz SR, Bassett J, Mutunga L, et al. HIV incidence, pregnancy, and implementation outcomes from the Sakh'umndeni safer conception project in South Africa: a prospective cohort study. *Lancet HIV*. 2019;6(7):e438-e446. Available at <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31160268>.
7. Matthews LT, Kiarie JN. Safer conception care to eliminate transmission of HIV. *Lancet HIV*. 2019;6(7):e413-e414. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31160267>.
8. Bhatt SJ, Douglas N. Undetectable equals untransmittable (U = U): implications for preconception counseling for human immunodeficiency virus serodiscordant couples. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2020;222(1):53 e51-53 e54. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31526794>.
9. Wall KM, Kilembe W, Vwalika B, et al. Risk of heterosexual HIV transmission attributable to sexually transmitted infections and non-specific genital inflammation in Zambian discordant couples, 1994-2012. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2017;46(5):1593-1606. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28402442>.
10. de Melo MG, Varella I, Gorbach PM, et al. Antiretroviral adherence and virologic suppression in partnered and unpartnered HIV-positive individuals in southern Brazil. *PLoS One*. 2019;14(2):e0212744. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30811480>.

11. Jeronimo A, Baza MB, Rio I, et al. Factors associated with seminal impairment in HIV-infected men under antiretroviral therapy. *Hum Reprod*. 2017;32(2):265-271. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28007791>.
12. Savasi V, Oneta M, Laoreti A, et al. Effects of antiretroviral therapy on sperm DNA integrity of HIV-1-infected men. *Am J Mens Health*. 2018;12(6):1835-1842. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30132391>.
13. Savasi V, Parisi F, Oneta M, et al. Effects of highly active antiretroviral therapy on semen parameters of a cohort of 770 HIV-1 infected men. *PLoS One*. 2019;14(2):e0212194. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30789923>.
14. Bourdon M, Garnier A, Maignien C, et al. Assisted reproductive technology outcomes in women with a chronic viral disease. *AIDS*. 2021;35(7):1073-1081. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33946086>.
15. Iyer JR, Van Rie A, Haberlen SA, et al. Subfertility among HIV-affected couples in a safer conception cohort in South Africa. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2019;221(1):48 e41-48 e18. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30807762>.
16. Chen S, Ning Y, Tan Y, Lin X, Wang M. An interventional study on the influence of social and family support systems on the fertility pattern of HIV-infected women. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2021;100(20):e26027. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34011110>.
17. Cohen MS, Chen YQ, McCauley M, et al. Antiretroviral therapy for the prevention of HIV-1 transmission. *N Engl J Med*. 2016;375(9):830-839. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27424812>.
18. Rodger AJ, Cambiano V, Bruun T, et al. Sexual activity without condoms and risk of HIV transmission in serodifferent couples when the HIV-positive partner is using suppressive antiretroviral therapy. *JAMA*. 2016;316(2):171-181. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27404185>.
19. Del Romero J, Baza MB, Rio I, et al. Natural conception in HIV-serodiscordant couples with the infected partner in suppressive antiretroviral therapy: a prospective cohort study. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2016;95(30):e4398. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27472733>.
20. Stanford JB, White GL, Hatasaka H. Timing intercourse to achieve pregnancy: current evidence. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2002;100(6):1333-1341. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12468181>.
21. Mandelbrot L, Tubiana R, Le Chenadec J, et al. No perinatal HIV-1 transmission from women with effective antiretroviral therapy starting before conception. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2015;61(11):1715-1725. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26197844>.
22. Townsend CL, Cortina-Borja M, Peckham CS, de Ruiter A, Lyall H, Tookey PA. Low rates of mother-to-child transmission of HIV following effective pregnancy interventions in the

- United Kingdom and Ireland, 2000-2006. *AIDS*. 2008;22(8):973-981. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18453857>.
23. Tubiana R, Le Chenadec J, Rouzioux C, et al. Factors associated with mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 despite a maternal viral load <500 copies/mL at delivery: a case-control study nested in the French perinatal cohort (EPF-ANRS CO1). *Clin Infect Dis*. 2010;50(4):585-596. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20070234>.
 24. Kourtis AP, Schmid CH, Jamieson DJ, Lau J. Use of antiretroviral therapy in pregnant HIV-infected women and the risk of premature delivery: a meta-analysis. *AIDS*. 2007;21(5):607-615. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17314523>.
 25. Rudin C, Spaenhauer A, Keiser O, et al. Antiretroviral therapy during pregnancy and premature birth: analysis of Swiss data. *HIV Med*. 2011;12(4):228-235. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20726902>.
 26. Jao J, Abrams EJ. Metabolic complications of in utero maternal HIV and antiretroviral exposure in HIV-exposed Infants. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2014;33(7):734-740. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24378947>.
 27. Hoffman RM, Brummel SS, Britto P, et al. Adverse pregnancy outcomes among women who conceive on antiretroviral therapy. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2019;68(2):273-279. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29868833>.
 28. Stringer EM, Kendall MA, Lockman S, et al. Pregnancy outcomes among HIV-infected women who conceived on antiretroviral therapy. *PLoS One*. 2018;13(7):e0199555. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30020964>.
 29. Drake AL, Wagner A, Richardson B, John-Stewart G. Incident HIV during pregnancy and postpartum and risk of mother-to-child HIV transmission: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS Med*. 2014;11(2):e1001608. Available at <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24586123>.
 30. Thomson KA, Hughes J, Baeten JM, et al. Increased risk of HIV acquisition among women throughout pregnancy and during the postpartum period: a prospective per-coital-act analysis among women with HIV-infected partners. *J Infect Dis*. 2018;218(1):16-25. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29514254>.
 31. Graybill LA, Kasaro M, Freeborn K, et al. Incident HIV among pregnant and breast-feeding women in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *AIDS*. 2020;34(5):761-776. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32167990>.
 32. Waters L, Smit E. HIV-1 superinfection. *Curr Opin Infect Dis*. 2012;25(1):42-50. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22156898>.

Antepartum Care

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

General Principles Regarding Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy

Panel's Recommendations
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Initial evaluation of pregnant people with HIV should include an assessment of HIV disease status and plans to initiate, continue, or modify antiretroviral therapy (ART) (AI). The National Perinatal HIV Hotline (1-888-448-8765) provides free clinical consultation on all aspects of perinatal HIV care.• All pregnant people with HIV should initiate ART as early in pregnancy as possible, regardless of their HIV RNA level or CD4 T lymphocyte count, to maximize their health and prevent perinatal HIV transmission and secondary sexual transmission (AI). Persons with HIV should maintain an HIV viral load that is below the limit of detection during pregnancy, postpartum, and throughout their lives (AII).• Antiretroviral (ARV) drug-resistance genotype evaluations or assays should be performed before starting ARV drug regimens in people who are ARV-naive (AII) or ARV-experienced (AIII) and before modifying ARV drug regimens (AII) in people whose HIV RNA levels are above the threshold for resistance testing (i.e., >500 copies/mL to 1,000 copies/mL).• In pregnant people who are not already receiving ART, ART should be initiated before results of drug-resistance testing are available because earlier viral suppression has been associated with lower risk of transmission. When ART is initiated before results are available, the regimen should be modified, if necessary, based on resistance assay results (AII).• To minimize the risk of perinatal transmission, people with HIV should receive ART throughout pregnancy (including the intrapartum period), and neonates should receive appropriate ARV drugs (AI). See Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy and Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection.• People with HIV should be counseled on the known benefits and potential risks of all medications, including ARV drugs used during pregnancy and postpartum, as well as the importance of ART adherence (AIII).• If an ARV drug regimen must be stopped during pregnancy (e.g., for severe toxicity), all ARV drugs should be stopped simultaneously, and a complete, effective ARV regimen should be reinitiated as soon as possible (AII).• Coordination of services among prenatal care providers, primary care and HIV specialty care providers, and, when appropriate, mental health and substance use disorder treatment services, intimate partner violence support services, and public assistance programs is essential to support and enable adherence to medication (AII).• Providers should initiate counseling about key intrapartum and postpartum considerations during pregnancy, including mode of delivery, lifelong HIV therapy, family planning and contraceptive options, infant feeding, infant ARV prophylaxis, and timing of infant diagnostic testing (AIII).
<p>Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional</p> <p>Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion</p>

Recommendations for the Use of Antiretroviral Drugs in Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Interventions to Reduce Perinatal HIV Transmission in the United States

In addition to the standard antenatal assessments for all pregnant people, the initial evaluation of persons with HIV should include an assessment of HIV disease status and recommendations for HIV-related medical care. This initial assessment should include the following:

- Review of prior HIV-related illnesses and past CD4 T lymphocyte (CD4) cell counts and plasma HIV RNA levels;
- Current CD4 count;
- Current plasma HIV RNA level;
- Assessment of the need for prophylaxis against opportunistic infections, such as *Pneumocystis jirovecii* pneumonia (see the [Adult and Adolescent Opportunistic Infections Guidelines](#));
- Screening for hepatitis A virus (HAV), hepatitis C virus, and tuberculosis, in addition to standard screening for hepatitis B virus (HBV), see [Hepatitis B Virus/HIV Coinfection](#) and [Hepatitis C Virus/HIV Coinfection](#);
- Screening for and treatment of sexually transmitted infections (STIs), such as syphilis, *Chlamydia trachomatis*, *Trichomonas vaginalis*, and *Neisseria gonorrhoea*;¹⁻³
- Assessment of the need for HAV, HBV, influenza, pneumococcus, Tdap, or SARS-CoV-2 immunizations;^{4,5}
- Complete blood cell count and renal and liver function testing;
- HLA-B*5701 testing, if the use of abacavir is anticipated (see [Table 11](#));
- History of prior and current antiretroviral (ARV) drug use, including prior ARV drug use for the prevention of perinatal transmission or treatment of HIV;
- Assessment of the patient's self-affirmed gender identity, the pronouns they use, use of testosterone or other gender-affirming hormonal therapy, and potential interactions with ARV drugs^{6,7} (see [Perinatal HIV Prevention for Transgender and Gender Diverse People Assigned Female Sex at Birth and Transgender People with HIV](#));
- History of adherence challenges and facilitators;
- Results of prior and current ARV drug-resistance tests;
- History of adverse effects or toxicities caused by previous ARV regimens;
- Screening for depression and anxiety (see [Screening for Perinatal Depression](#));⁸
- Assessment of the need for supportive care (e.g., social services, mental health services, substance use disorder treatment services, smoking cessation services), as well as support to help ensure lifelong adherence to antiretroviral therapy (ART);
- Screening for intimate partner violence and assessment of the need for interventions or referrals for supportive care;
- Assessing the HIV status of sexual partner(s) and referral of partner(s) for HIV testing and ARV treatment or prophylaxis as needed (see [Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis \[PrEP\] to Prevent HIV During Periconception, Antepartum, and Postpartum Periods](#)); and
- Referral of children for HIV testing.

The National Perinatal HIV Hotline

The [National Perinatal HIV Hotline](#) (1-888-448-8765) is a federally funded service that provides free clinical consultation to providers who are caring for women with HIV and their infants.

How Antiretroviral Drugs Prevent Perinatal Transmission and Improve Maternal Health

All pregnant people with HIV should receive ART early in pregnancy, regardless of their viral load or CD4 count, to maximize their health and to prevent perinatal HIV transmission and secondary sexual transmission. ARV drugs are important for maintaining maternal health because they decrease the rate of HIV disease progression, reduce the risk of opportunistic diseases, and reduce the risk of maternal death.

ARV drugs reduce the risk of perinatal transmission of HIV in all pregnant people, regardless of their CD4 counts and HIV RNA levels. ARV drugs can reduce the risk of perinatal transmission through several mechanisms. Antenatal drug administration decreases maternal viral load in blood and genital secretions.⁹⁻¹¹ Strict adherence to an ARV regimen is needed to achieve rapid and sustained viral suppression and minimize the risk of perinatal transmission. Although the risk of perinatal transmission in people with undetectable plasma HIV RNA levels appears to be extremely low, perinatal transmission has been reported among women on ART (see [Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy](#)).¹²⁻¹⁵ Studies have reported low-level cervicovaginal HIV RNA and DNA shedding in women who were on ART and who had undetectable plasma viral loads.¹⁶⁻¹⁸ Penetration of ARV drugs into the female genital tract varies by drug.¹⁹⁻²²

Infant pre-exposure prophylaxis also should be used to prevent perinatal transmission because maternal viremia is not the only risk factor for perinatal HIV transmission. Pre-exposure prophylaxis is achieved by administering ARV drugs to the mother that cross the placenta and produce adequate systemic drug levels in the fetus. In addition, infant post-exposure prophylaxis is achieved by administering ARV drugs to the infant after birth, providing protection from cell-free or cell-associated virus that may have entered the fetal/infant systemic circulation during labor and delivery. The importance of the pre- and post-exposure components of prophylaxis in reducing the risk of perinatal HIV transmission is demonstrated by the reduced efficacy of interventions that involve administration of ARV drugs only during labor and/or to the newborns.²³⁻³⁰ Therefore, using a combination of preconception ART, confirmation of antepartum plasma viral load suppression, scheduled surgical delivery (if indicated based on most recent maternal plasma viral load), intrapartum continuation of the current regimen with the addition of intravenous zidovudine (if indicated, based on the most recent maternal plasma viral load), and infant ARV prophylaxis or presumptive HIV therapy is recommended to prevent perinatal transmission of HIV.

General Principles of Drug Selection

In general, the recommendations for the use of ART in people who are pregnant are the same as those for people who are not pregnant. However, the Perinatal Guidelines do differ from the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines in some instances where regimen selection has been modified based on concerns about specific drugs or limited experience with newer drugs during pregnancy (see [Table 4](#) and [Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy](#)).

Clinicians and patients should discuss the substantial benefits of ARV drugs for maternal health and for reducing the risk of HIV transmission to infants; this helps put the potential risks of using these drugs into perspective (see [Table 11](#) and [Appendix B: Supplement: Safety and Toxicity of Individual Antiretroviral Agents in Pregnancy](#)). Counseling of pregnant patients about ARV drug use should be directive and noncoercive, and providers should help patients make informed decisions regarding the use of ARV drugs.

Discussions with patients about initiation of ARV regimens should include information about the following:

- Maternal risk of disease progression and the benefits and risks of therapy for maternal health;³¹
- The benefits of ART for preventing perinatal transmission of HIV;¹³
- The benefits of using ART to achieve and maintain viral suppression, which reduce the risk of sexual transmission of HIV to partners who do not have HIV;³²
- The need for strict adherence to the prescribed ARV drug regimen to avoid drug resistance, optimize health outcomes, and minimize the risk of perinatal HIV transmission;
- The potential adverse effects of ARV drugs for pregnant people, fetuses, and infants, including potential interactions with other medications the patient may already be receiving (see [Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy](#));³³⁻³⁶ and
- The limited long-term outcome data for infants who were exposed to ARV drugs *in utero*, especially for newer ARV drugs.

In pregnant patients with HIV who are not receiving treatment currently, plasma HIV RNA levels should be measured and ART should be initiated. In patients with plasma HIV RNA levels above the threshold for standard genotypic resistance testing (i.e., >500 copies/mL to 1,000 copies/mL), ARV drug-resistance testing should be sent for analysis before starting ART; however, ART should be initiated before results of drug-resistance testing are available, because earlier viral suppression is associated with a lower risk of perinatal transmission.^{37,38} The ARV regimen can be modified, if necessary, based on resistance assay results³⁹ (see [Antiretroviral Drug Resistance and Resistance Testing in Pregnancy](#)). Counseling should emphasize the importance of adherence to the ARV drug regimen to minimize the development of resistance and support the effectiveness of ART in achieving viral suppression. Patients with poor adherence during pregnancy are more likely to have detectable viral loads at delivery.⁴⁰

Transplacental passage of ARV drugs is thought to be an important mechanism of infant pre-exposure prophylaxis. Thus, when selecting an ARV regimen for use during pregnancy, ARV drugs with high placental transfer should be included as a component of the ARV regimen (see [Table 11](#)).⁴¹⁻⁴⁵

Discontinuation of ARVs

The Panel on Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission (the Panel) strongly recommends against discontinuing ART. However, if an ARV drug regimen must be stopped for any reason, all ARV drugs should be stopped simultaneously. ART should be reinitiated as soon as possible, whether the patient restarts the same regimen or initiates a new regimen. If an ARV drug that is known to have a long serum half-life (e.g., non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase

inhibitors) must be stopped for more than a few days, clinicians should consider assessing the patient for rebound viremia after a new regimen is started, and viral suppression would be expected; if optimal viral suppression has not been achieved, potential drug resistance should be assessed.⁴⁶

Temporary discontinuation of ARV drug regimens during pregnancy may be indicated in some situations, including cases of serious drug-related toxicity, pregnancy-induced hyperemesis that is unresponsive to antiemetics, or acute illnesses or planned surgeries that prevent a patient from taking oral medications. Possible toxicity or intolerance to a single ARV agent should prompt discussion about options for modifying rather than stopping an entire ARV regimen (see [Pregnant People With HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy](#)).⁴⁶

Discontinuation of therapy could lead to an increase in viral load, with possible disease progression and decline in immune status for the mother and increased risk of *in utero* transmission of HIV. An analysis from a prospective cohort of 937 mother–child pairs from the Italian Registry for HIV infection in children found that interruption of ART during pregnancy, including interruption in the first and third trimesters, was independently associated with an increased rate of perinatal HIV transmission.⁴⁷ During the first trimester, the median gestational age at interruption was 6 weeks, and length of time without therapy was 8 weeks (interquartile range [IQR] 7–11 weeks); in the third trimester, the median gestational age at interruption was 32 weeks, and length of time without therapy was 6 weeks (IQR 2–9 weeks). Although the perinatal transmission rate for the entire cohort was only 1.3%, transmission occurred in 4.9% of mother–child pairs with first-trimester interruption (95% confidence interval [CI], 1.9% to 13.2%; adjusted odds ratio [aOR] 10.33; $P = 0.005$) and 18.2% of mother–child pairs with third-trimester interruption (95% CI, 4.5% to 72.7%; aOR 46.96; $P = 0.002$).⁴⁷

Patient Counseling and Coordination of Care

Coordination of services among prenatal care providers, primary care and HIV specialty care providers, mental health and substance use disorder treatment services, social services, and public assistance programs is essential to ensure that patients with HIV are well supported during all stages of their pregnancies and during the postpartum period. Medical care of pregnant people with HIV requires coordination and communication between HIV specialists and obstetric providers. General counseling should include current knowledge about risk factors for perinatal HIV transmission. Risk of perinatal transmission of HIV has been associated with potentially modifiable factors, including cigarette smoking, substance use disorders, **alcohol consumption**, and genital tract infections. Besides improving maternal health, cessation of cigarette smoking and drug use and treatment of STIs and other genital tract infections may reduce the risk of perinatal transmission. Patients should be screened for mental health conditions, assessed for the risk of intimate partner violence, and counseled about disclosure of their HIV status when needed.⁴⁸ It is important to be aware that COVID-19 may increase the risk of depression, substance use, and intimate partner violence at a time when the frequency of in-person health care services has decreased (see [Interim Guidance for COVID-19 and Persons with HIV](#)). Fears of stigma and violence that could result from disclosure require comprehensive culturally informed services to assist pregnant and postpartum patients who are planning to disclose their status,^{49,50} and patients who have not disclosed their status require support to maintain privacy during telemedicine visits. Transgender and **gender-diverse** individuals may have specific concerns—such as the effects of stopping gender-affirming hormones during pregnancy or restarting them after they give birth—that should be assessed and addressed⁶ (see [Perinatal HIV Prevention for Transgender and Gender Diverse People Assigned Female Sex at](#)

Birth). Because testosterone is classified as a teratogen, patients should be instructed not to take this gender-affirming hormone when trying to conceive and during pregnancy.⁷

In addition, providers should counsel patients with HIV about what to expect during labor, delivery, and the postnatal period. This includes discussing the mode of delivery and the possible use of intrapartum zidovudine, as well as family planning and contraceptive options during the postpartum period. Providers also should discuss the possibility of simplifying a patient's ARV regimen after delivery, which can help promote long-term adherence to ART. Discussions regarding the prevention of postnatal transmission to the neonate also should include recommendations about infant feeding, neonatal ARV prophylaxis, infant diagnostic HIV testing, and the avoidance of pre-mastication of food (see [Counseling and Managing Individuals with HIV in the United States Who Desire to Breastfeed](#)).

References

1. Adachi K, Klausner JD, Bristow CC, et al. Chlamydia and gonorrhea in HIV-infected pregnant women and infant HIV transmission. *Sex Transm Dis*. 2015;42(10):554-565. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26372927>.
2. Sivarajah V, Venus K, Yudin MH, Murphy KE, Morrison SA, Tan DH. Does maternal HSV-2 coinfection increase mother-to-child transmission of HIV? a systematic review. *Sex Transm Infect*. 2017;93(8):535-542. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28600331>.
3. Workowski KA, Bolan GA, Centers for Disease Control Prevention. Sexually transmitted diseases treatment guidelines, 2015. *MMWR Recomm Rep*. 2015;64(RR-03):1-137. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26042815>.
4. Rubin LG, Levin MJ, Ljungman P, et al. 2013 IDSA clinical practice guideline for vaccination of the immunocompromised host. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2014;58(3):e44-100. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24311479>.
5. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Guidelines for vaccinating pregnant women. 2017. Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/pregnancy/hcp/guidelines.html>
6. Brandt JS, Patel AJ, Marshall I, Bachmann GA. Transgender men, pregnancy, and the “new” advanced paternal age: a review of the literature. *Maturitas*. 2019;128:17-21. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31561817>.
7. Hahn M, Sheran N, Weber S, Cohan D, Obedin-Maliver J. Providing patient-centered perinatal care for transgender men and gender-diverse individuals: a collaborative multidisciplinary team approach. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2019;134(5):959-963. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31599839>.
8. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. ACOG committee opinion no. 757: screening for perinatal depression. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2018;132(5):e208-e212. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30629567>.
9. Pilotto JH, Velasque LS, Friedman RK, et al. Maternal outcomes after HAART for the prevention of mother-to-child transmission in HIV-infected women in Brazil. *Antivir Ther*. 2011;16(3):349-356. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21555817>.
10. Becquet R, Bland R, Ekouevi DK, Dabis F, Newell ML. Universal antiretroviral therapy among pregnant and postpartum HIV-infected women would improve maternal health and decrease postnatal HIV transmission. *AIDS*. 2010;24(8):1239-1241. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20421749>.
11. Becquet R, Ekouevi DK, Arrive E, et al. Universal antiretroviral therapy for pregnant and breast-feeding HIV-1-infected women: towards the elimination of mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 in resource-limited settings. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2009;49(12):1936-1945. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19916796>.

12. Warszawski J, Tubiana R, Le Chenadec J, et al. Mother-to-child HIV transmission despite antiretroviral therapy in the ANRS French Perinatal Cohort. *AIDS*. 2008;22(2):289-299. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18097232>.
13. Tubiana R, Le Chenadec J, Rouzioux C, et al. Factors associated with mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 despite a maternal viral load <500 copies/ml at delivery: a case-control study nested in the French perinatal cohort (EPF-ANRS CO1). *Clin Infect Dis*. 2010;50(4):585-596. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20070234>.
14. European Collaborative Study. Mother-to-child transmission of HIV infection in the era of highly active antiretroviral therapy. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2005;40(3):458-465. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15668871>.
15. Raffe SF, Savage C, Perry LA, et al. The management of HIV in pregnancy: a 10-year experience. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol*. 2017;210:310-313. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28110176>.
16. Launay O, Tod M, Tschöpe I, et al. Residual HIV-1 RNA and HIV-1 DNA production in the genital tract reservoir of women treated with HAART: the prospective ANRS EP24 GYNODYN study. *Antivir Ther*. 2011;16(6):843-852. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21900716>.
17. Cu-Uvin S, DeLong AK, Venkatesh KK, et al. Genital tract HIV-1 RNA shedding among women with below detectable plasma viral load. *AIDS*. 2010;24(16):2489-2497. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20736815>.
18. Henning TR, Kissinger P, Lacour N, Meyaski-Schluter M, Clark R, Amedee AM. Elevated cervical white blood cell infiltrate is associated with genital HIV detection in a longitudinal cohort of antiretroviral therapy-adherent women. *J Infect Dis*. 2010;202(10):1543-1552. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20925530>.
19. Yeh RF, Rezk NL, Kashuba AD, et al. Genital tract, cord blood, and amniotic fluid exposures of seven antiretroviral drugs during and after pregnancy in human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected women. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2009;53(6):2367-2374. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19307360>.
20. Dumond JB, Yeh RF, Patterson KB, et al. Antiretroviral drug exposure in the female genital tract: implications for oral pre- and post-exposure prophylaxis. *AIDS*. 2007;21(14):1899-1907. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17721097>.
21. Else LJ, Taylor S, Back DJ, Khoo SH. Pharmacokinetics of antiretroviral drugs in anatomical sanctuary sites: the male and female genital tract. *Antivir Ther*. 2011;16(8):1149-1167. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22155899>.
22. Drake A, Kinuthia J, Materno D, et al. Plasma and genital HIV decline on ART among pregnant/postpartum women with recent HIV infection. Presented at: International AIDS Conference; 2016. Durban, South Africa.
23. Jackson JB, Musoke P, Fleming T, et al. Intrapartum and neonatal single-dose nevirapine compared with zidovudine for prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 in

- Kampala, Uganda: 18-month follow-up of the HIVNET 012 randomised trial. *Lancet*. 2003;362(9387):859-868. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/13678973>.
24. Petra Study Team. Efficacy of three short-course regimens of zidovudine and lamivudine in preventing early and late transmission of HIV-1 from mother to child in Tanzania, South Africa, and Uganda (Petra study): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2002;359(9313):1178-1186. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11955535>.
 25. Moodley D, Moodley J, Coovadia H, et al. A multicenter randomized controlled trial of nevirapine versus a combination of zidovudine and lamivudine to reduce intrapartum and early postpartum mother-to-child transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1. *J Infect Dis*. 2003;187(5):725-735. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12599045>.
 26. Taha TE, Kumwenda NI, Gibbons A, et al. Short postexposure prophylaxis in newborn babies to reduce mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1: NVAZ randomised clinical trial. *Lancet*. 2003;362(9391):1171-1177. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14568737>.
 27. Gaillard P, Fowler MG, Dabis F, et al. Use of antiretroviral drugs to prevent HIV-1 transmission through breast-feeding: from animal studies to randomized clinical trials. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2004;35(2):178-187. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14722452>.
 28. Gray GE, Urban M, Chersich MF, et al. A randomized trial of two postexposure prophylaxis regimens to reduce mother-to-child HIV-1 transmission in infants of untreated mothers. *AIDS*. 2005;19(12):1289-1297. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16052084>.
 29. Nielsen-Saines K, Watts H, Veloso VG, et al. Phase III randomized trial of the safety and efficacy of three neonatal antiretroviral postpartum regimens for the prevention of intrapartum HIV-1 transmission: NICHD HPTN 040/PACTG 1043 study results. *N Engl J Med*. 2012.
 30. Scott GB, Brogly SB, Muenz D, Stek AM, Read JS, International Maternal Pediatric Adolescent Aids Clinical Trials Group (IMPAACT) P1025 Study Team. Missed opportunities for prevention of mother-to-child transmission of human immunodeficiency virus. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2017;129(4):621-628. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28277349>.
 31. Insight Start Study Group, Lundgren JD, Babiker AG, et al. Initiation of antiretroviral therapy in early asymptomatic HIV infection. *N Engl J Med*. 2015;373(9):795-807. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26192873>.
 32. Cohen MS, Chen YQ, McCauley M, et al. Prevention of HIV-1 infection with early antiretroviral therapy. *N Engl J Med*. 2011;365(6):493-505. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21767103>.

33. Grignolo S, Agnello R, Gerbaldo D, et al. Pregnancy and neonatal outcomes among a cohort of HIV-infected women in a large Italian teaching hospital: a 30-year retrospective study. *Epidemiol Infect.* 2017;145(8):1658-1669. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28325171>.
34. Harrington B, Phulusa J, Melhado C, et al. Incidence of hepatotoxicity among HIV-positive pregnant women initiating efavirenz-based ART through option B+ in Malawi. Presented at: International AIDS Society; 2017. Paris, France.
35. Stringer EM, Kendall MA, Lockman S, et al. Pregnancy outcomes among HIV-infected women who conceived on antiretroviral therapy. *PLoS One.* 2018;13(7):e0199555. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30020964>.
36. Theron G, Brummel S, Fairlie L, et al. Pregnancy outcomes of women conceiving on antiretroviral therapy (ART) compared to those commenced on ART during pregnancy. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2020;ciaa805. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32564058>.
37. Mandelbrot L, Tubiana R, Le Chenadec J, et al. No perinatal HIV-1 transmission from women with effective antiretroviral therapy starting before conception. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2015;61(11):1715-1725. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26197844>.
38. Favarato G, Bailey H, Burns F, Prieto L, Soriano-Arandes A, Thorne C. Migrant women living with HIV in Europe: are they facing inequalities in the prevention of mother-to-child-transmission of HIV? the European pregnancy and paediatric HIV cohort collaboration (EPPICC) study group in EuroCoord. *Eur J Public Health.* 2017;28(1):55-60. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28449111>.
39. Tariq S, Townsend CL, Cortina-Borja M, et al. Use of zidovudine-sparing HAART in pregnant HIV-infected women in Europe: 2000–2009. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr.* 2011;57(4):326-333. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21499113>.
40. Katz IT, Leister E, Kacanek D, et al. Factors associated with lack of viral suppression at delivery among highly active antiretroviral therapy-naive women with HIV: a cohort study. *Ann Intern Med.* 2015;162(2):90-99. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25599347>.
41. Hirt D, Urien S, Rey E, et al. Population pharmacokinetics of emtricitabine in human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected pregnant women and their neonates. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 2009;53(3):1067-1073. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19104016>.
42. Hirt D, Urien S, Ekouevi DK, et al. Population pharmacokinetics of tenofovir in HIV-1-infected pregnant women and their neonates (ANRS 12109). *Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 2009;85(2):182-189. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18987623>.
43. Moodley D, Pillay K, Naidoo K, et al. Pharmacokinetics of zidovudine and lamivudine in neonates following coadministration of oral doses every 12 hours. *J Clin Pharmacol.* 2001;41(7):732-741. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11452705>.

44. Wade NA, Unadkat JD, Huang S, et al. Pharmacokinetics and safety of stavudine in HIV-infected pregnant women and their infants: pediatric AIDS clinical trials group protocol 332. *J Infect Dis*. 2004;190(12):2167-2174. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15551216>.
45. McCormack SA, Best BM. Protecting the fetus against HIV infection: a systematic review of placental transfer of antiretrovirals. *Clin Pharmacokinet*. 2014;53(11):989-1004. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25223699>.
46. Ajibola G, Rowley C, Maruapula D, et al. Drug resistance after cessation of efavirenz-based antiretroviral treatment started in pregnancy. *South Afr J HIV Med*. 2020;21(1):1023. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32158555>.
47. Galli L, Puliti D, Chiappini E, et al. Is the interruption of antiretroviral treatment during pregnancy an additional major risk factor for mother-to-child transmission of HIV type 1? *Clin Infect Dis*. 2009;48(9):1310-1317. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19309307>.
48. Zhu QY, Huang DS, Lv JD, Guan P, Bai XH. Prevalence of perinatal depression among HIV-positive women: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2019;19(1):330. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31666033>.
49. Knettel BA, Minja L, Chumba LN, et al. Serostatus disclosure among a cohort of HIV-infected pregnant women enrolled in HIV care in Moshi, Tanzania: A mixed-methods study. *SSM Popul Health*. 2019;7:007-007. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30560196>.
50. Ngonzi J, Mugenyi G, Kivunike M, et al. Frequency of HIV status disclosure, associated factors and outcomes among HIV positive pregnant women at Mbarara Regional Referral Hospital, southwestern Uganda. *Pan Afr Med J*. 2019;32:200. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31312312>.

Teratogenicity

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Panel's Recommendations
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• All cases of antiretroviral (ARV) drug exposure during pregnancy should be reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry (AIII).• Based on multiple studies indicating no difference in rates of total birth defects for first-trimester exposure compared with later ARV drug exposures, persons can be counseled that ARV drugs during pregnancy generally do not increase the risk of birth defects (BIII). Providers should be aware that data on the risks of birth defects for many ARV drugs are limited and evolving.<ul style="list-style-type: none">◦ With further data, the initial concerning signal for neural tube defects (NTDs) with dolutegravir (DTG) use during the preconception period has decreased substantially. Based on the available evidence, the Panel on Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal HIV Transmission (the Panel) recommends DTG as a Preferred drug for pregnant people, irrespective of trimester (AII), and for people who are trying to conceive (AIII).• The Panel emphasizes the importance of counseling and informed decision-making regarding all ARV regimens for people with HIV (AIII). For additional information, see Appendix C: Antiretroviral Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers.• Clinicians should discuss future reproductive plans and timing, the risks, and benefits of conceiving on specific ARV medications and the use of appropriate contraceptive options to prevent unintended pregnancies (AIII).• Folic acid is known to prevent NTDs. All pregnant people and people who might conceive should take at least 400 mcg of folic acid daily (AI). For additional information, see Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy, Prepregnancy Counseling and Care for Individuals of Childbearing Age with HIV, and Pregnant People with HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy.
<p><i>Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional</i></p> <p><i>Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion</i></p>

Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Reporting

Health care providers who are caring for pregnant people with HIV are advised strongly to report instances of prenatal exposure to antiretroviral (ARV) drugs (either single-drug exposure or exposure to a combination of ARV drugs) to the [Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry \(APR\)](#) as early in pregnancy as possible. This registry is an epidemiologic project to collect observational, nonexperimental data regarding ARV drug exposure during pregnancy for the purpose of assessing the potential teratogenicity of these drugs. Registry data is used to supplement animal toxicology studies and assist clinicians in weighing the potential risks and benefits of treatment for individual patients. The APR is a collaborative project of pharmaceutical manufacturers with an advisory committee that includes a teratologist, an infectious disease specialist, an epidemiologist, a biostatistician, and a group of obstetric, maternal–fetal medicine, and pediatric providers. The registry does not use patient names, and registry staff obtain birth outcome follow-up information from the reporting health care provider.

Referrals should be directed to:

Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry
Research Park
301 Government Center Drive
Wilmington, NC 28403
Telephone: 1-800-258-4263
Fax: 1-800-800-1052
<http://www.APRegistry.com>

Antiretroviral Drugs and Birth Defects

The potential harm to the fetus from maternal ingestion of a specific drug depends not only on the drug itself, but also on the dose ingested, the gestational age of the fetus at exposure, the duration of exposure, interactions with other agents to which the fetus is exposed, and, to an unknown extent, the genetic makeup of the mother and fetus. Information regarding the safety of using certain drugs during pregnancy is derived from multiple sources, including animal toxicity data, anecdotal experience, registry data, randomized clinical trials, and observational studies.

Drug choice should be individualized and discussed with the people who are pregnant or are trying to conceive before treatment begins. Clinicians also must consider available data from preclinical and clinical testing of the individual drugs. Preclinical data include results of *in vitro* and animal *in vivo* screening tests for carcinogenicity, clastogenicity/mutagenicity, and reproductive and teratogenic effects. However, the predictive value of such tests for adverse effects in humans is unknown.

Data continue to be collected on the placental passage, pharmacokinetics, and safety of U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)–approved ARV drugs administered during pregnancy, in addition to data on the long-term safety in infants who were exposed to these drugs *in utero*. However, the data remain somewhat limited, especially for newer drugs (see [Appendix B: Supplement: Safety and Toxicity of Individual Antiretroviral Agents in Pregnancy](#)). When analyzing registry data, data on birth outcomes from 200 infants who were exposed to an ARV drug during the first trimester are viewed as sufficient to detect a 2.2-fold increase in the risk of overall birth defects associated with that drug compared to the general population. A cohort of 1,000 is sufficient to detect a 1.5-fold increase in the risk of birth defects. The general U.S. population birth defect prevalence is 2.8%.¹ However, data from a larger number of infants are required to detect an increased risk of specific birth defects with lower frequencies of occurrence, with the required number of infants who were exposed to an ARV drug increasing as the frequency of the defect in an unexposed population decreases.²

It is important to consider potential confounding factors in studies of ARV drugs and birth defects. Several factors that are associated with HIV also may increase the risk of birth defects, such as exposure to folate antagonists (e.g., trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole),³ nutritional and folate status,⁴ and tobacco and alcohol use.⁵ Clinicians also should be aware of indication bias, which can occur when a patient’s reason for taking a particular ARV drug is associated with an increased risk of birth defects, such as older age or more advanced disease.

Several studies of birth defects in fetuses and infants of women who received various ARV regimens during observational studies found no difference in rates of total birth defects between first-trimester drug exposures and later exposures.⁶⁻¹⁰ The APR conducts a primary analysis of prospective cases of ARV drug exposure during pregnancy provided by health care providers. In this analysis, the

prevalence of birth defects was 2.8 per 100 live births among women with a first-trimester exposure to any ARV drug (310 of 10,950 exposures; 95% confidence interval [CI], 2.5–3.2). The prevalence of defects is not significantly different from that seen in women with an initial exposure during the second and/or third trimester (2.9 per 100 live births; prevalence ratio 0.99; 95% CI, 0.84–1.16).¹ Although these studies are reassuring, an increased risk of specific abnormalities, particularly rare abnormalities, would not necessarily be detectable when looking only at the total number of birth defects. Furthermore, risk may be underestimated when defects are ascertained only after live births because this does not include more severe defects that result in stillbirths and terminations. Another limitation is that an increased risk that is associated with a specific ARV drug may be obscured when the analysis unit combines all ARV drugs together.

When considering whether a pregnant person should continue an effective antiretroviral regimen when they present in early pregnancy, the potential risk of viral rebound with switching regimens must be considered, as well as the specific or unknown risks for birth defects of the current drug regimen and stage of gestation.¹¹ For additional information, see [Pregnant People with HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy](#).

Specific Drugs

[Appendix B: Supplement: Safety and Toxicity of Individual Antiretroviral Agents in Pregnancy](#) provides detailed information about individual drugs with additional information about selected drugs summarized below.

Dolutegravir (DTG)

In May 2018, an unplanned interim evaluation of a National Institutes of Health–funded observational surveillance study of birth outcomes among pregnant women on antiretroviral therapy (ART) in Botswana revealed four cases of neural tube defects (NTDs) among infants born to 426 women (0.94%) who became pregnant while receiving a DTG-based regimen.¹² These data were updated in a planned analysis in March 2019,¹³ April 2020, and again in March 2021. In the most recent analysis of the Tsepamo study in Botswana, nine NTDs were identified (0.15%) among 5,860 deliveries to women who were taking DTG around the time of conception; the defects included four instances of myelomeningocele, one of anencephaly, three of encephalocele, and one of iniencephaly. In comparison, 22 NTDs were found among 22,475 deliveries (0.10%) in which the mother was taking any ART that did not include DTG at conception, eight NTDs were found among 13,217 deliveries (0.06%) in which the mother was taking efavirenz (EFV) at conception, three NTDs were found among 5,535 deliveries (0.05%) in which the mother started treatment with DTG during pregnancy, and 97 NTDs were found among 144,967 deliveries (0.07%) to mothers without HIV.¹⁴ The prevalence of NTDs in infants who were exposed to DTG around the time of conception remains statistically higher than infants born to women without HIV (prevalence difference [PD] 0.09%; 95% CI, 0.01% to 0.23%) but not compared to infants exposed to any non-DTG ARV (PD 0.06%; 95% CI, –0.03% to 0.20%) around conception or infants exposed specifically to EFV (PD 0.09%; 95% CI, –0.00% to 0.23%) around conception.

In addition to this Botswana study, two other studies have included an internal comparator group and assessments of NTDs in stillbirths and terminations that have evaluated NTDs in infants who were exposed to DTG at conception. The first was a prospective study by the Ministry of Health and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) at 22 additional sites in Botswana that were not included in the Tsepamo study. This study identified one NTD among infants born to 152 women

(0.66%) who were receiving DTG at conception, compared to no NTDs among infants born to 381 women who were receiving other ARV drugs at conception and two NTDs among infants born to 2,328 women who did not have HIV (0.09%).¹⁵ The second study included prospective data from the APR, and it is worth noting that 75% of the data in the registry comes from North America, Europe, and Latin America, where most countries require folate fortification for food. The study found one case of an NTD among 382 live births (0.26%) of infants with periconception DTG exposure and no NTDs among 298 live births of infants with periconception elvitegravir (EVG) exposure and 327 live births of infants with periconception raltegravir (RAL) exposure.¹ Two cases of NTD in pregnant women with unplanned pregnancies who conceived while taking DTG were reported from south Brazil.¹⁶ Unlike Brazil and the United States, Botswana does not have mandated food folate fortification, which can decrease NTD prevalence by half. More data are needed to delineate the risks of NTDs among infants born to people on integrase inhibitors periconceptionally in other geographical regions and countries with mandated food folate fortification.

No mechanism has been identified to explain the observed association between DTG exposure and NTDs, although several studies have evaluated the role of folate. A substudy of the [ADVANCE trial](#) evaluated serum folate levels among women by randomized arm and found that folate deficiency occurred less often in women who were receiving DTG, with 13.7% of women in the DTG plus tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) plus emtricitabine (FTC) arm and 5.4% of women in the DTG plus tenofovir alafenamide (TAF) plus FTC arm experiencing folate deficiency compared with 30% of those who received EFV ($P < 0.001$).¹⁷ Studies that have evaluated folate receptor antagonism by DTG in animal models and cell models have had conflicting results, and the clinical implications of these results are unclear.^{18,19} Additional studies are needed to clarify the role of folate and to explore other potential mechanisms.

The risk of NTDs decreases after early pregnancy, although it is not clear exactly when this period of increased risk ends. Most NTDs result from failure of neural tube closure. The neural tube closes by approximately 4 weeks postconception, or approximately 6 weeks after the last menstrual period in women with regular menses. Therefore, the risk period for a medication to cause NTDs is over by approximately 6 weeks gestational age. However, it is possible that two of the nine defects observed in the Botswana study (encephalocele) may have occurred by a different mechanism (a post-neurulation event) slightly after the neural tube had closed. The exact timing of development of encephalocele in humans is not well described; however, extrapolating from animal data, it is likely to occur before 6 weeks post-conception (8 weeks gestational age). Determining when the risk period for defects is over also depends on determining the gestational age accurately.

Other Integrase Strand Transfer Inhibitors

Limited data are available on the association between other integrase strand transfer inhibitors and birth defects. A retrospective case series evaluated data from nine institutions on 140 pregnancies in which the women received EVG during pregnancy, including 82 women who received the drug before conception and during the first trimester.²⁰ Two defects were noted: one case of hydronephrosis in which exposure began before conception, and one case of an encephalocele in which a woman with periconceptional exposure to TDF plus FTC plus darunavir/ritonavir (DRV/r) was switched to atazanavir (ATV) plus EVG/cobicistat/FTC/TDF at 9 weeks because of drug side effects. Among 33 women who were exposed to EVG during the first trimester in the United Kingdom and Ireland, no defects were noted in 31 liveborn infants.²¹ In the APR, defects were reported in 11 of 371 infants (2.96%; 95% CI, 1.49% to 5.24%) born after first-trimester exposure to EVG; this does not represent an increased risk compared to the overall rate of defects in the

Registry.¹ A review of the Gilead safety database, which included an earlier data set from the APR, reported 155 prospective periconception exposures to EVG with no NTDs.²² Review of a surveillance database in Canada found no NTDs among 28 infants with first-trimester exposures.¹⁰

Surveillance data from the United Kingdom and Ireland included 882 live births of infants with exposure to RAL, and birth defects were reported in 23 infants, a rate of 2.59% (95% CI, 1.65% to 3.86%); this rate is similar to the rate in the general population. No NTDs were reported.²¹ Among the 222 infants with periconception exposure to RAL, five defects were noted, including two heart defects, two limb defects, and one unspecified defect. In the APR, birth defects were reported in 15 of 486 infants (3.1%; 95% CI, 1.7% to 5.0%) with first-trimester exposure to RAL. This incidence is similar to the incidence seen in the overall population reported to the APR. A review performed by Merck researchers that included data from the company's database, the previously noted APR data, and data from the United Kingdom, Ireland, and French pregnancy cohorts reported 456 periconception exposures to RAL with no NTDs.²³

The Panel on Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission continues to review and update its recommendations regarding the use of ARV drugs during pregnancy and at the time of conception (see [Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy, Prepregnancy Counseling and Care for Individuals of Childbearing Age with HIV](#), and the [Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines](#)). The benefits and risks of ARV drugs—including the potential risk of NTDs—and the benefits and risks of changing ART should be discussed with patients who need to initiate ART during the first trimester or who are planning to become pregnant or are currently taking ART (see [Appendix C: Antiretroviral Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers](#)). For additional guidance, please contact the [National Perinatal HIV Hotline](#) (1-888-448-8765).

Efavirenz

EFV use during pregnancy has received increased scrutiny because of the results of a small study in nonhuman primates. Significant malformations were observed in 3 of 20 infant cynomolgus monkeys that received EFV from gestational days 20 to 150 at a dose similar to human therapeutic exposures.²⁴ The malformations included anencephaly and unilateral anophthalmia in one monkey, microphthalmia in another, and cleft palate in the third.

Increased scrutiny of outcomes after EFV exposure has provided reassuring data. Sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to EFV have been monitored in the APR to rule out at least a 1.5-fold increase in the risk of overall birth defects and a twofold increase in risk of birth defects in the cardiovascular and genitourinary systems. Twenty-eight of 1,166 infants (2.4%) with first-trimester exposures to EFV were found to have birth defects, including a single case of myelomeningocele and one case of anophthalmia and amniotic bands.¹ A meta-analysis that included data from 23 studies reporting on 2,026 first-trimester exposures to ARV drugs found no increased risk of overall birth defects for infants born to women who were on EFV during the first trimester compared with those who were on other ARV drugs during the first trimester (relative risk [RR] 0.78; 95% CI, 0.56–1.08). One NTD was observed, giving an incidence of 0.05% (95% CI, <0.01 to 0.28).²⁵ The number of reported first-trimester EFV exposures in this meta-analysis is sufficient to rule out a twofold increase in low-incidence birth defects, such as NTDs. Incidence of NTDs in the general U.S. population is 0.02% to 0.2%.^{2,25} A more recent report from a South African pregnancy exposure registry of births at a single hospital found no increase in risk of congenital malformations with EFV

exposure at conception (1 of 297, 0.3%) compared with infants born to women without HIV (29 of 7,532, 0.4%).²⁶

The Tsepamo study discussed above found eight NTDs among 13,217 live births and stillbirths (0.06%) to women who were on EFV at conception, which was nearly identical to the prevalence of NTDs among infants born to women without HIV (0.07%).¹⁴ The study also found no increased risk of total major abnormalities identified on infant surface exam among women who were taking EFV around the time of conception compared with women without HIV (0.68% vs. 0.59%).²⁷ In addition, a birth defect surveillance program in Uganda that used methods similar to those used in the Tsepamo study reported an NTD prevalence of 0.059% (95% CI, 0.001% to 0.118%) among infants born to women with HIV, 80% of whom were on EFV, and an NTD prevalence of 0.092% (95% CI, 0.068% to 0.116%) among infants born to women without HIV.²⁸ Thus, the findings in monkeys have not been confirmed by human data, underscoring the need for well-designed studies to rapidly provide data on the safety of new drugs for use in pregnancy.

A recent report from the Surveillance Monitoring for ART Toxicities (SMARTT) study of the Pediatric HIV/AIDS Cohort Study (PHACS) network detected an increased rate of microcephaly in HIV-exposed but uninfected children exposed to EFV *in utero*. The relative risk of microcephaly infants exposed to EFV *in utero* was 2.56 (95% CI, 1.22–5.37). In this study, microcephaly was defined as a z-score of less than –2 between 6 and 36 months of age or head size below the second percentile after 36 months.²⁹ Only 4.7% of children had been exposed to EFV *in utero*. The relative risk of microcephaly was higher among children who had been exposed to EFV plus zidovudine (ZDV) and lamivudine (3TC) than among those who had been exposed to EFV plus TDF and FTC. Children with microcephaly had lower scores on neurodevelopmental assessments at ages 1 year and 5 years and a higher rate of neurodevelopmental impairment than those without microcephaly. Additional evaluation of the association between microcephaly and *in utero* EFV exposure is needed.

The EFV package insert advises women to avoid becoming pregnant while taking EFV and advises health care providers to avoid administering EFV during the first trimester of pregnancy because fetal harm may occur. However, with the data from Botswana on more than 13,000 periconception exposures, however, the data can rule out an increase in the risk of NTD in infants who were exposed to EFV. As a result, the [Perinatal Guidelines](#) do not restrict the use of EFV in pregnancy or in people who are planning to become pregnant; this is consistent with the British HIV Association and World Health Organization guidelines for use of ARV drugs in pregnancy, both of which note that EFV can be used throughout pregnancy.³⁰ Importantly, people who become pregnant on EFV-containing regimens that are suppressive and tolerated should continue using those regimens.

Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate and Tenofovir Alafenamide

TDF has not demonstrated teratogenicity in rodents or monkeys. Data from the APR showed that 108 of 4,483 (2.4%) infants born to women with first-trimester TDF exposure had birth defects, which is similar to the incidence in the general population.¹ A more recent meta-analysis of TDF use among women with HIV found no increase in the risk of congenital anomalies associated with the use of TDF (RR 1.03; 95% CI, 0.83–1.28).³¹

Clinical data with TAF are still limited. Among 526 first trimester exposures to TAF reported to the APR, 22 (4.2%) defects were reported, which is not significantly higher than the incidence in the general population.¹ No congenital abnormalities were reported among 117 infants exposed to TAF after 24 weeks gestation (mothers with hepatitis B).³²

Zidovudine

In a study from France that included 13,124 live births that occurred between 1994 and 2010, first-trimester ARV drug exposure was found in 5,388 infants (42%). The authors reported a significant adjusted association between first trimester ZDV exposure and congenital heart defects, primarily ventricular (58%) and atrial (18%) septal defects (adjusted odds ratio [aOR] 2.2; 95% CI, 1.3–3.7). Because fetal ultrasounds were conducted on all infants who were exposed to HIV, and because spontaneous closure of ventricular septal defects after birth is common, the clinical significance of the cardiac findings is uncertain.³³ An analysis of 16,304 prospectively reported pregnancies compared the risk of ventricular septal defects and congenital heart defects in infants with prenatal exposure to ZDV-containing regimens and infants with prenatal exposure to ART regimens that did not contain ZDV. In contrast to the French study, this analysis found that the risk of these defects was similar between the two groups.³⁴ A recent study that combined a meta-analysis and data from a Medicaid database of ART prescriptions and infant outcomes did not detect a significant increase in overall defects or heart defects among infants who had first-trimester ZDV exposure compared with infants who had exposure to other ART regimens during the first trimester (odds ratio [OR] for overall defects 1.11; 95% CI, 0.80–1.55; OR for cardiac defects 1.30; 95% CI, 0.63–2.71).³⁵ Additionally, one study investigated echocardiographic parameters of left ventricular function and structure in 417 infants. Some of the infants had been exposed to HIV and ARV drugs but had not contracted HIV, while others had not been exposed to either HIV or ARV drugs. When these children were tested at ages 2 to 7 years, no clinically significant differences in left ventricular function and structure were found between the exposed and unexposed groups.⁵

Atazanavir

In an analysis from the PHACS that included 2,580 live births, first-trimester ARV drug exposure overall was not associated with an increased risk of birth defects.³⁶ However in an adjusted analyses, ATV was the only individual ARV drug for which first-trimester exposure, occurring in 222 infants, was associated with birth defects (primarily skin and musculoskeletal defects). In the APR, no increase was evident in the risk of birth defects with first-trimester ATV exposure among 1,447 births.¹

Rilpivirine

A report from the French Perinatal Cohort evaluated pregnancy outcomes among women receiving rilpivirine (RPV). Among 247 women receiving RPV at conception, livebirths occurred in 241 cases, with birth defects noted in 3.8% (95% CI, 1.6% to 7.7%), including three infants with heart defects, three with lower-limb malformations, and one with renal hypoplasia.¹¹ Of note, viral rebound occurred in 20% of women who were changed to other regimens because of concerns regarding limited safety data and concerns about PK changes compared to none of the women maintained on RPV. In the APR, eight defects were reported among 557 first-trimester RPV exposures; 1.44% (95% CI, 0.62% to 2.81%) compared with a 2.72% total prevalence of birth defects in the U.S. population based on CDC surveillance.¹

Ibalizumab

A study conducted in cynomolgus monkeys suggests ibalizumab may cause reversible immunosuppression in infants born to mothers exposed to ibalizumab during pregnancy. Decreases in CD4+ T cells and B cells and increases in CD8+ T cells were observed within the first 4 weeks

after birth; lymphocyte counts normalized by 3 months of age as ibalizumab concentrations waned. The clinical significance is not known, but based on these results, expert consultation is recommended for guidance on monitoring and management of exposed infants based on the degree of immunosuppression observed. Ibalizumab is not followed by the APR; FDA is requiring collection of prospective data in individuals exposed to ibalizumab during pregnancy to monitor maternal and infant outcomes.

Other Antiretroviral Drugs

In the APR, sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures have been monitored to detect at least a twofold increase in the risk of overall birth defects for cobicistat, DRV, didanosine (ddI), DTG, EVG, indinavir, RAL, RPV, stavudine, telbivudine, and TAF; however, no such increases have been detected to date. For abacavir, ATV, EFV, FTC, 3TC, lopinavir, nelfinavir, nevirapine, ritonavir, TDF, and ZDV, sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures have been monitored to detect at least a 1.5-fold increase in the risk of overall birth defects and a twofold increase in the risk of birth defects in cardiovascular and genitourinary systems; no such increases have been detected to date. A modest (but statistically significant) increase in overall birth defect rates for ddI and nelfinavir (NFV) is observed when data from the APR are compared with the U.S. population-based Metropolitan Atlanta Congenital Defects Program (MACDP) surveillance data.¹ The lower bounds of the confidence intervals for ddI but not for NFV (2.88% and 2.68%, respectively) are slightly above the higher bound (2.72%) for the MACDP rate, but rates are not elevated compared with the Texas Birth Defect Registry rate of 4.17%, an additional comparator included in the APR. No specific pattern of defects has been detected with the use of either ddI or NFV, and the clinical relevance of this statistical finding is unclear. The APR will continue to monitor ddI and NFV for any signal or pattern of birth defects.

References

1. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry international interim report for 1 January 1989–31 January 2021. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2021. Available at: <http://www.apregistry.com>.
2. Watts DH. Teratogenicity risk of antiretroviral therapy in pregnancy. *Curr HIV/AIDS Rep*. 2007;4(3):135-140. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17883999>.
3. Ford N, Shubber Z, Jao J, Abrams EJ, Frigati L, Mofenson L. Safety of cotrimoxazole in pregnancy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2014;66(5):512-521. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24853309>.
4. Jungmann EM, Mercey D, DeRuiter A, et al. Is first trimester exposure to the combination of antiretroviral therapy and folate antagonists a risk factor for congenital abnormalities? *Sex Transm Infect*. 2001;77(6):441-443. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11714944>.
5. Lipshultz SE, Williams PL, Zeldow B, et al. Cardiac effects of in-utero exposure to antiretroviral therapy in HIV-uninfected children born to HIV-infected mothers. *AIDS*. 2015;29(1):91-100. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25562493>.
6. Watts DH, Huang S, Culnane M, et al. Birth defects among a cohort of infants born to HIV-infected women on antiretroviral medication. *J Perinat Med*. 2011;39(2):163-170. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21142844>.
7. Knapp KM, Brogly SB, Muenz DG, et al. Prevalence of congenital anomalies in infants with in utero exposure to antiretrovirals. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2012;31(2):164-170. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21983213>.
8. da Costa TP, Machado ES, et al. Malformations among HIV vertically exposed newborns—results from a Brazilian cohort study. Presented at: 6th IAS Conference on HIV Pathogenesis and Treatment and Prevention. 2011. Rome, Italy.
9. Floridia M, Mastroiacovo P, Tamburrini E, et al. Birth defects in a national cohort of pregnant women with HIV infection in Italy, 2001-2011. *BJOG*. 2013;120(12):1466-1475. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23721372>.
10. Money D, Lee T, O'Brien C, et al. Congenital anomalies following antenatal exposure to dolutegravir: a Canadian surveillance study. *BJOG*. 2019;126(11):1338-1345. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31188522>.
11. Frange P, Tubiana R, Sibiude J, et al. Rilpivirine in HIV-1-positive women initiating pregnancy: to switch or not to switch? *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2020;75(5):1324-1331. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32157283>.
12. Zash R, Makhema J, Shapiro RL. Neural-tube defects with dolutegravir treatment from the time of conception. *N Engl J Med*. 2018;379(10):979-981. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30037297>.

13. Zash R, Holmes L, Diseko M, et al. Neural-tube defects and antiretroviral treatment regimens in Botswana. *N Engl J Med*. 2019;381(9):827-840. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31329379>.
14. Zash R, Holmes LB, Diseko M, et al. Update on neural tube defects with antiretroviral exposure in the Tsepamo study, Botswana. Abstract PEBLB14. Presented at: IAS; 2021. Virtual Conference Available at: https://www.ias2021.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/IAS2021_Abstracts_web.pdf
15. Raesima MM, Ogbuabo CM, Thomas V, et al. Dolutegravir use at conception—additional surveillance data from Botswana. *N Engl J Med*. 2019;381(9):885-887. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31329378>.
16. Kreitchmann R, Oliveira FR, Sprinz E. Two cases of neural tube defects with dolutegravir use at conception in south Brazil. *Braz J Infect Dis*. 2021;25(2):101572. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33852885>.
17. Chandiwana N, Hill A, Chersich M, et al. Serum folate and birth outcomes: DTG vs EFV trial evidence in South Africa. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2019. Seattle, WA.
18. Zamek-Glisczynski MJ, Zhang X, Mudunuru J, et al. Clinical extrapolation of the effects of dolutegravir and other HIV integrase inhibitors on folate transport pathways. *Drug Metab Dispos*. 2019;47(8):890-898. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31167838>.
19. Smith MR, Cote H. Toxicity of integrase inhibitors in a human embryonic stem cell model. Abstract 789. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2020. Boston, Massachusetts. Available at: <https://www.croiconference.org/abstract/toxicity-of-integrase-inhibitors-in-a-human-embryonic-stem-cell-model>.
20. Badell ML, Sheth AN, Momplaisir F, et al. A multicenter analysis of elvitegravir use during pregnancy on HIV viral suppression and perinatal outcomes. *Open Forum Infect Dis*. 2019;6(4):ofz129. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31037241>.
21. Rasi V, Cortina-Borja M, Peters H, Sconza R, Thorne C. Brief report: surveillance of congenital anomalies after exposure to raltegravir or elvitegravir during pregnancy in the United Kingdom and Ireland, 2008-2018. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2019;80(3):264-268. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30531300>.
22. Farrow T, Deaton C, Nguyen N, Serejo M, Muramoto D, etc. Cumulative safety review of elvitegravir and bictegravir use during pregnancy and risk of neural tube defects. Abstract P030. Presented at: HIV Drug Therapy; 2018. Glasgow, United Kingdom. Available at: <http://hivglasgow.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/P030-4.pdf>.
23. Shamsuddin H, Raudenbush CL, Sciba BL, et al. Evaluation of neural tube defects (NTDs) after exposure to raltegravir during pregnancy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2019;81(3):247-250. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30908331>.
24. Efavirenz (Sustiva) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2019. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2019/020972s057,021360s0451bl.pdf.

25. Ford N, Mofenson L, Shubber Z, et al. Safety of efavirenz in the first trimester of pregnancy: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. *AIDS*. 2014;28 Suppl 2:S123-131. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24849471>.
26. Mehta UC, van Schalkwyk C, Naidoo P, et al. Birth outcomes following antiretroviral exposure during pregnancy: initial results from a pregnancy exposure registry in South Africa. *South Afr J HIV Med*. 2019;20(1):971. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31616571>.
27. Zash R, Holmes L, Diseko M, et al. Update on neural tube defects with antiretroviral exposure in the Tsepamo study, Botswana. Presented at: International AIDS Conference; 2020.
28. Mumpe-Mwanja D, Barlow-Mosha L, Williamson D, et al. A hospital-based birth defects surveillance system in Kampala, Uganda. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2019;19(1):372. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31640605>.
29. Williams PL, Yildirim C, Chadwick EG, et al. Association of maternal antiretroviral use with microcephaly in children who are HIV-exposed but uninfected (SMARTT): a prospective cohort study. *Lancet HIV*. 2020;7(1):e49-e58. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31740351>.
30. British HIV Association. British HIV Association guidelines for the management of HIV in pregnancy and postpartum 2018 (2020 third interim update). 2020. Available at: <https://www.bhiva.org/file/5f1aab1ab9aba/BHIVA-Pregnancy-guidelines-2020-3rd-interim-update.pdf>.
31. Nachege JB, Uthman OA, Mofenson LM, et al. Safety of tenofovir disoproxil fumarate-based antiretroviral therapy regimens in pregnancy for HIV-infected women and their infants: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2017;76(1):1-12. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28291053>.
32. Zeng QL, Yu ZJ, Ji F, et al. Tenofovir alafenamide to prevent perinatal hepatitis B transmission: a multicenter, prospective, observational study. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2021. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33395488>.
33. Mofenson LM, Watts DH. Safety of pediatric HIV elimination: the growing population of HIV- and antiretroviral-exposed but uninfected infants. *PLoS Med*. 2014;11(4):e1001636. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24781352>.
34. Vannappagari V, Albano JD, Koram N, Tilson H, Scheuerle AE, Napier MD. Prenatal exposure to zidovudine and risk for ventricular septal defects and congenital heart defects: data from the antiretroviral pregnancy registry. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol*. 2016;197:6-10. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26687320>.
35. Rough K, Sun JW, Seage GR, 3rd, et al. Zidovudine use in pregnancy and congenital malformations. *AIDS*. 2017;31(12):1733-1743. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28537936>.

36. Williams PL, Crain MJ, Yildirim C, et al. Congenital anomalies and in utero antiretroviral exposure in human immunodeficiency virus-exposed uninfected infants. *JAMA Pediatr.* 2015;169(1):48-55. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25383770>.

Antiretroviral Drug Regimens and Maternal and Neonatal Outcomes

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Panel's Recommendations
<ul style="list-style-type: none">Clinicians should be aware of a possible increased risk of adverse neonatal outcomes (e.g., preterm delivery) in pregnant people who are receiving antiretroviral therapy (ART). However, given the clear benefits of ART for both maternal health and the prevention of perinatal transmission, HIV treatment should not be withheld due to concern for adverse pregnancy outcomes (All).
<i>Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional</i>
<i>Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion</i>

In this section, the Panel on Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission (the Panel) provides a summary of **recently published** data on antiretroviral therapy (ART) and adverse maternal and neonatal outcomes. Pregnant people with HIV, regardless of antiretroviral (ARV) drug use, may be at increased risk for adverse neonatal outcomes. These outcomes may include preterm delivery (PTD) (delivery before 37 weeks gestation), very preterm delivery (vPTD) (delivery before 32 weeks gestation), low birth weight (LBW) infants (those weighing <2,500 g), small-for-gestational-age (SGA) infants (those with a birth weight <10th percentile expected for gestational age), and stillbirth (delivery of a nonviable infant after 20 weeks). The gestational age cutoff used to define stillbirth in the studies described varies by gestational age from ≥ 20 weeks to ≥ 28 weeks. Limited data suggest a potential association between HIV infection and maternal complications of pregnancy, such as hypertensive disorders of pregnancy (HDP) (pregestational hypertension, gestational or pregnancy-induced hypertension, pre-eclampsia, and eclampsia). Some of the data described in this section include historical HIV treatment strategies—such as single-drug and two-drug ARV regimens—and older ARV drugs that are no longer commonly prescribed. For additional historical data related to this topic, please refer to the [archived versions of this section](#). For information related to ARV use and teratogenicity (i.e., their relation to birth defects), please refer to [Teratogenicity](#) and the individual drug sections in [Appendix B](#) and [Table 11](#).

Key Points

Maternal ARV use for the prevention of perinatal HIV transmission, especially pre-conception or in the first trimester, may be associated with an increase in PTD. The Panel does not recommend that people with HIV stop ART before conception or in early pregnancy for the purpose of preventing PTD.

ART that contains boosted protease inhibitors (PI) may increase the risk of PTD. When PI-based regimens are indicated, the Panel recommends the use of darunavir/r (DRV/r) or atazanavir (ATV/r) rather than lopinavir/r (LPV/r).

Infants exposed to ART before birth may be at increased risk of being LBW or SGA. Maternal ARV use during pregnancy may be an indication for enhanced antenatal surveillance—such as ultrasounds—to evaluate for poor fetal growth.

Stillbirth is a rare outcome in resource-rich settings, and data related to stillbirth and ARV use are limited. The Panel cannot make a specific recommendation regarding the prevention of stillbirth among pregnant people with HIV.

Limited data suggest an association between HDP and maternal HIV, but no known interventions effectively reduce this risk. Providers should not withhold or adjust ART for the purpose of preventing HDP.

Interpretation of Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes Data

The association between ARV use and preterm birth, fetal growth restriction, and stillbirth has been an area of research for many years, with multiple studies that include conflicting results. Because these outcomes often occur without an identifiable cause, it can be difficult to establish a causal link with a medication in a single case. However, because these outcomes are relatively common, even a small increase in risk can have substantial public health impact.

Much of the conflicting data in earlier studies about ARV drugs and adverse pregnancy outcomes can be ascribed to the use of inappropriate comparison groups and failure to stratify the data by timing of ARV initiation (before or after conception). Potential associations between ART and adverse pregnancy outcomes are difficult to establish because of the challenge of finding appropriate comparator groups. People with HIV who do not receive ART in pregnancy are not an appropriate comparator because they have an increased risk of adverse outcomes due to their immunocompromised status. Comparing pregnant people on ART to pregnant people without HIV is confounded by HIV status. Growing evidence suggests that the risk of adverse outcomes varies by ARV drug, even within ARV drug classes. Risks of adverse outcomes also may depend on the timing of ART initiation. A suggested approach to evaluate ART and pregnancy outcomes is to use a comparative safety approach in which ARV regimens or ARV drug classes are compared with each other. Unfortunately, many available studies continue to use comparison groups of women without HIV and women with HIV who are not on ARVs or who are on a single-drug or two-drug ARV regimen, which are no longer recommended for treatment in pregnancy. More studies are needed to fully evaluate the association between the risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes and the use of specific ARV drugs, classes of ARVs, and ART.

Preterm Delivery

Several meta-analyses and systematic reviews are available to evaluate the potential association of ARV use and PTD. Three large meta-analyses did not demonstrate a significant association between ARV use and PTD. The sample sizes pooled for these meta-analyses ranged from 14 to 90 studies and included 11,224 to 37,877 women and/or infants. Most of the studies that were included in these meta-analyses were observational studies, and most were older studies that do not include some of the ART or ARV drug classes currently used.^{1–3} The meta-analysis by Kourtis et al. showed a modest but statistically significant increase in the risk for PTD in women who initiated ART before pregnancy or during the first trimester, compared with women who initiated ART during the second trimester or later (odds ratio [OR] 1.71; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.09–2.67).¹ The meta-analysis by Nachega et al. compared pregnancy outcomes between women who received tenofovir

disoproxil fumarate (TDF)-based regimens and women who received regimens that did not contain TDF. This study found no difference in the risk of PTD between these two groups. A network meta-analysis of seven randomized controlled trials (RCTs) evaluated seven different ART regimens and their associations with PTD (including spontaneous PTD in three trials), LBW (six trials), and SGA (two trials).⁴ An overall increase in PTD was associated with ART regimen zidovudine/lamivudine/lopinavir/ritonavir (ZDV/3TC/LPV/r) compared with ZDV single-drug regimen (n = 5,789; relative risk [RR] 1.43; 95% CI, 1.08–1.91), and, compared with ZDV/3TC/abacavir (ABC), ZDV/3TC/LPV/r was associated with an increased risk of spontaneous PTD (sPTD) (n = 991; RR 1.81; 95% CI, 1.21–2.71). No differences were observed in vPTD between the regimens evaluated (4 trials; n = 1,819).⁴

Among the observational studies that reported an association between the use of ARVs and PTD, the RRs/ORs for PTD ranged from 1.2 to 3.4.^{1,5–28} In general, the studies reviewed in this section have controlled comprehensively for all factors that may be associated with PTD. A recent observational study that evaluated ARV use among women with HIV in British Columbia reduced confounding variables by excluding multigestation pregnancies and antiquated ARV regimens (single- and two-drug therapy, and triple nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor [NRTI] regimens). They determined that women with HIV were twice as likely to experience PTD as the general population. Compared with women who were not on ART during pregnancy, women who were on any ART were less likely to have sPTD (hazard ratio [HR] 0.54; 95% CI, 0.29–1.04), and the protective effect for each week of ART was cumulative (HR 0.98; 95% CI, 0.96–0.99). Neither preconception or first-trimester ARV use nor PI-based ART was associated with PTD.²⁹

Preterm Delivery and Antiretroviral Therapy Exposure Before Pregnancy

Some studies report an association between initiating ART before pregnancy and PTD, reporting RRs/ORs that range from 1.20 to 2.05.^{5,21–23,26,30–34} These studies were conducted in Asia, Europe, Latin America, Africa, and North America and included various ART (including no ART and single-drug, two-drug, and multidrug regimens). The association between PTD and ARV use prior to conception is attenuated in some multivariate analyses.^{17,21,34–37} An observational study of >2,000 women on multidrug ART did not show an association between ART initiation before pregnancy and PTD.³¹ Certain ART—such as regimens that contain LPV/r—may be associated more closely with PTD than other regimens.

Antiretroviral Therapy Regimens That Are Associated with Preterm Delivery

Protease Inhibitor-Based Regimens

The association between the use of PI-based ART and PTD has been investigated in multiple studies across Europe, North America, and Africa. The RRs/ORs of PTD reported in these studies range from 1.14 to 3.4.^{1,4,5,7–9,11,16,18,20,21,23,32,34,38–41} A small meta-analysis of 10 studies (eight prospective cohort studies, one RCT, and one surveillance study) demonstrated that the use of PI-based ART is associated with an increased risk of PTD, with an adjusted odds ratio (aOR) of 1.32 (95% CI, 1.04–1.6) and $I^2 = 47%$ (moderate heterogeneity). When evaluating the effects of initiating PI-based ART during the first and third trimesters of pregnancy, the pooled effect was not significant.⁴² Not all the studies reviewed for this section have identified an association between PI use and an increased risk of PTD. Seven studies did not demonstrate a significant association between PI-based ART and PTD.^{18,29,38–40,43,44} For example, a retrospective Canadian study of women who were on regimens that included unboosted PIs did not report increased rates of PTD among these women.¹⁸

Regimens that include PIs boosted with ritonavir may be associated with an increased risk of PTD compared with unboosted PI regimens. Although more prospective data are needed, ART that contains LPV/r may increase the risk of PTD compared to regimens that contain other ritonavir-boosted PIs. Despite this potential association between the use of PI-based ART and PTD, some pregnant people may require PI-based regimens. In these cases, the Panel recommends the use of DRV/r or ATV/r over LPV/r.

Nucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitor–Based Regimens and Non-Nucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitor–Based Regimens

Fewer studies have evaluated the risk of PTD among women on non-PI-based regimens. A meta-analysis of 17 studies of women with HIV who were on ART (n = 37,877) compared those on TDF regimens with women who were on regimens that did not include TDF. TDF-based ART was associated with a modest reduction in the rate of PTD (RR 0.9; 95% CI, 0.81–0.99; $I^2 = 59%$); however, no significant difference in the risk of vPTD was observed between these two groups.² Some observational studies have shown an association between the use of non-PI based regimens and PTD. When compared with women without HIV, South African women with HIV who were taking nevirapine (NVP)/emtricitabine (FTC)/TDF had higher rates of PTD (aOR 1.2; 95% CI, 1.0–1.5).²² When compared with women without HIV, women who were taking efavirenz (EFV)/FTC/TDF were at increased risk of PTD.²⁵ As stated in the introduction, using women without HIV as a control group may be an inappropriate study design choice. Another study of South African women who received EFV/FTC/TDF did not show an increased risk of PTD, SGA infants, or LBW infants when these women were compared with women who were on NVP-based ART or other multidrug regimens.³¹

Integrase Strand Transfer Inhibitor-Based Regimens

Integrase strand transfer inhibitors (INSTIs) are preferred ARVs for HIV treatment. As INSTI use increases among people with HIV, INSTI exposure during pregnancy is observed more often.^{29,34,45,46} In the Tsepamo study, women who initiated EFV/FTC/TDF or dolutegravir (DTG)/FTC/TDF during pregnancy were at increased risk of PTD (aOR 1.2; 95% CI, 1.1–1.3) compared with women without HIV. However, when these regimens were compared with one another, no significant differences existed in the risk of PTD. A total of 845 women who received DTG/FTC/TDF were compared with 4,593 historical controls who received EFV/FTC/TDF, and no clear difference existed in the risk of PTD between these groups.²⁴ Some of these historical controls were from a systematic review of six sources (two cohort studies, three databases, and one report). This systematic review was designed to evaluate pharmacokinetics of DTG during pregnancy and adverse pregnancy outcomes related to DTG exposure.⁴⁷

In the International Maternal Pediatric Adolescent AIDS Clinical Trials (IMPAACT) 2010 trial comparing participants receiving EFV/TDF/FTC (n = 207), DTG/TDF/FTC (n = 202), and DTG/TAF/FTC (n = 208) in pregnancy, women on ART with EFV were more likely to experience PTD when compared to women on ART with DTG/TAF/FTC (12% vs. 6%; 95% CI, –11.8% to –0.9%; $P = 0.02$). The percentage of PTD was similar between DTG ART groups.⁴⁸ A large observational study of preconception ART regimens containing DTG (n = 384) or EFV (n = 1,045) in Brazilian women did not demonstrate a difference in gestational age at delivery. In the analysis, 57 women in the DTG group included exposures to EFV.⁴⁹ A French case-control observational study comparing women with HIV prescribed INSTI ART (n = 246) and DRV/r ART matched by ARV

backbone (n = 246) did not demonstrate any differences in PTD when ART was initiated before (16.8% vs. 16.1%) and during pregnancy (12.8% vs. 11.2%).⁵⁰

Birth Weight

For the purpose of this section, abnormalities of birth weight related to ARV use are commonly reported as LBW infants (those weighing <2,500 g) or SGA infants (those with a birth weight <10th percentile expected for gestational age). LBW may be a reflection of preterm birth or growth restriction; SGA may be a reflection of growth restriction or constitutionally small infants. Given that LBW and SGA may be caused by different mechanisms, this section discusses studies that have reported LBW and SGA separately.

Low Birth Weight

Multiple studies have demonstrated an association between any ARV use and LBW infants.^{19,22,44,51–56} Reported rates of LBW among infants who were exposed to ART range from 7.4% to 36%.^{3,11,17,19,21,22,24,32,35,38,40,41,52–54,57–59} In a systematic review of 13 studies (nine observational studies and four RCTs) that compared ZDV single-drug therapy with non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NNRTI)- and PI-based regimens, the NNRTI- and PI-based regimens were associated with LBW infants.²⁷ In a network meta-analysis of six RCTs (n = 5,471), when compared to ZDV alone, ZDV/3TC/LPV/r was associated with the highest risk of LBW (RR 1.87; 95% CI, 1.58–2.2).⁴ In a single RCT of women prescribed DTG/TAF/FTC, DTG/TDF/FTC, or EFV/TDF/FTC, 12% of infants in the EFV group were LBW compared to 10% (DTG/TDF) and 6% (DTG/TAF) of infants exposed to DTG. **These percentages mirror those reported from PTD in the previous section.**⁴⁸ An observational study that included 4,646 births reported an increased risk of LBW infants among women who received preconception FTC/TDF/LPV/r compared with those who received FTC/TDF/ATV/r (unadjusted risk ratio 1.97; 95% CI, 1.2–3.4).²¹ **A secondary analysis of the MOTIVATE study (a behavioral intervention study in Kenya) reported that among 1,275 women with HIV prescribed ART (74% EFV/TDF/3TC and 4% a PI-based regimen), the percentage of LBW infants was similar between women starting ART before conception and after conception (3.3% and 4.4%, respectively).**³⁷

Small for Gestational Age

Among infants born to women with HIV, the reported rates of SGA infants range from 7.3% to 31%.^{14,17,19,22–25,31,35,43,44,48,58,60,61}

Two South African observational studies have reported women with HIV are more likely to deliver SGA infants compared to women without HIV.^{25,62} Three studies in Botswana reported a positive association between ARV use (for both PI-based and PI-sparing regimens) and SGA.^{14,32,63} When compared with FTC/TDF/EFV, both NVP-based and LPV/r-based ART were associated with an increased incidence of SGA.³² **The percentage of SGA infants was similar among women with HIV randomized to DTG-based ART (TAF or TDF/FTC) or EFV-based ART (16%, 23%, and 21%, respectively).**⁴⁸ An observational cohort study of French women with HIV reported similar percentages of SGA infants born to women initiating ART containing RAL before and during pregnancy (3.7% as first-line ART and 6.2% as second-line ART).⁵⁰

In summary, the data are mixed regarding the effect of ARV use on birth weight. Given the potential for LBW or SGA infants, maternal use of ARV during pregnancy may be an indication for enhanced antenatal surveillance of fetal growth, especially in cases where ART was initiated preconception.

Stillbirth

Reported rates of stillbirth among women with HIV range from 0.5% to 11.4%.^{10,14,15,17,24,30,32,33,40,47,52,54,58,64} In a meta-analysis of 17 studies that included 37,877 women with HIV who were on ART, three studies included stillbirth outcomes. Women with HIV who were on TDF-based ART had a lower risk of stillbirth than those who were on other regimens (pooled RR 0.6; 95% CI, 0.43–0.84; $I^2 = 72\%$).² In a single RCT, the percentage of stillborn infants was nonsignificantly higher among pregnant women randomized to DTG-based ART (3.7% DTG/TAF and 5.2% DTG/TDF) compared to EFV-based ART (1.9%).⁴⁸ An observational study of Brazilian women with HIV reported similar percentages of stillborn infants in women prescribed ART with DTG compared to EFV (1% in both groups).⁴⁹

Some studies have evaluated the association between time of ART initiation and the risk of stillbirth. Reported associations with ART initiation before and during pregnancy and stillbirth are mixed. Among women with HIV who delivered in the United Kingdom and Ireland between 2007 and 2015 (n = 10,434), preconception ARV use was not associated with an increased risk of stillbirth.⁶⁴ Women with HIV who delivered in Malawi from 2012 to 2015, 71% of whom were on ART preconception or during the first trimester, did not experience higher rates of stillbirth compared with the general population (2.5%, n = 8,380).⁶⁵ Zash et al. reported that preconception use of ZDV/3TC/NVP was associated with a significantly increased rate of stillbirth compared with the use of FTC/TDF/EFV (adjusted relative risk 2.3; 95% CI, 1.6–3.3).³² An observational study of 1,275 pregnant women with HIV in Kenya (2015–2019) demonstrated women taking ART before conception had a similar incidence of stillbirth (2.7%) when compared to women taking ART after conception (2%). Most women in this cohort were prescribed TDF/3TC/EFV (71%) and only 4% were prescribed PI-based ART.³⁷ In a case-control study of a longitudinal cohort of French women with HIV (n = 808), the incidence of stillbirth was not significantly different between pregnant women receiving INSTI-based ART with RAL, EVG, or DTG and those receiving DRV-based ART. In women receiving a RAL-based regimen, stillbirths did not differ based on timing of ART exposure (2.3% at conception vs. 1.1% during pregnancy).⁵⁰

When evaluating the association between the use of ARV and adverse pregnancy outcomes, more studies have examined PTD, LBW infants, and SGA infants than stillbirth. Given that stillbirth is a relatively rare outcome in resource-rich settings, data related to stillbirth and ARV use are limited.

Maternal Outcomes

Hypertensive Disorders of Pregnancy

Limited data suggest that women with HIV may have an increased risk of HDP. No studies have evaluated the effect of specific ARV drugs on HDP. A meta-analysis did not reveal a clear association between maternal HIV and HDP.⁶⁶ An observational Italian study comparing women with HIV with women without HIV demonstrated an increased risk for both early-onset and late-onset pre-eclampsia (aOR 2.50; 95% CI, 1.51–4.15 and aOR 2.64; 95% CI, 1.82–3.85, respectively) as well as pre-eclampsia with severe features (aOR 2.03; 95% CI, 1.26–3.28).⁶⁷ A secondary analysis of observational data from South Africa revealed that women with low CD4 counts (<200 cells/mm³)

on ART had an increased risk of maternal death from HDP compared with women not on ART during pregnancy (RR 1.15; 95% CI, 1.02–1.29).⁶⁸ Among these women, those on ART before pregnancy and those who were not on ART before pregnancy had similar rates of HDP (15.7% and 14.9%, respectively). These authors also described that women with HIV were less likely to have HDP than women without HIV (OR 0.67; 95% CI, 0.48–0.93).³⁰ In a South African observational cohort study (2013–2015) of women with and without HIV (n = 1,116), women with HIV were more likely to have hypertension at the first antenatal visit (adjusted relative risk 2.37; 95% CI, 1.29–4.35). Nearly half of all women in this cohort were obese (44% without HIV and 36% with HIV).⁶⁹ Most women with HIV initiated ART at the first antenatal visit (73%), and the ART prescribed was TDF/3TC/EFV or TDF/FTC/EFV. Hypertension at the initial antenatal visit did not have increased risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes regardless of HIV status.⁷⁰ A small U.S. observational study demonstrated that women with HIV (n = 85) were not more likely to experience HDP than women without HIV (n = 3,556). They observed higher rates of HDP among women on INSTIs (25%, n = 23) and NNRTIs (24%, n = 7) compared with women on PI-based ART (10%, n = 55). Preconception ARV use was associated with an increased risk of HDP.⁴⁶

Although these limited data may suggest an association between HDP and maternal HIV, no known interventions reduce this risk, and providers should not withhold ART in the setting of HDP.

Summary

Clinicians should be aware of a possible increased risk of adverse maternal and neonatal outcomes with the use of ARV for prevention of perinatal HIV infection. Given that ART has clear benefits for maternal health and reduces the risk of perinatal transmission, these agents should not be withheld due to concern for increased risk of adverse neonatal outcomes. Until more information is available, pregnant people with HIV who are receiving ART should continue using their provider-recommended regimens. Clinicians should monitor pregnant people with HIV for potential pregnancy complications, including PTD, LBW infants, and SGA infants. Monitoring may require additional prenatal visits and fetal ultrasounds; see [Monitoring During Pregnancy](#) for more information.

References

1. Kourtis AP, Schmid CH, Jamieson DJ, Lau J. Use of antiretroviral therapy in pregnant HIV-infected women and the risk of premature delivery: a meta-analysis. *AIDS*. 2007;21(5):607-615. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17314523>.
2. Nachega JB, Uthman OA, Mofenson LM, et al. Safety of tenofovir disoproxil fumarate-based antiretroviral therapy regimens in pregnancy for HIV-infected women and their infants: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2017;76(1):1-12. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28291053>.
3. Veroniki AA, Antony J, Straus SE, et al. Comparative safety and effectiveness of perinatal antiretroviral therapies for HIV-infected women and their children: systematic review and network meta-analysis including different study designs. *PLoS One*. 2018;13(6):e0198447. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29912896>.
4. Tshivuila-Matala COO, Honeyman S, Nesbitt C, Kirtley S, Kennedy SH, Hemelaar J. Adverse perinatal outcomes associated with antiretroviral therapy regimens: systematic review and network meta-analysis. *AIDS*. 2020;34(11):1643-1656. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32701581>.
5. European Collaborative Study, Swiss Mother Child HIV Cohort Study. Combination antiretroviral therapy and duration of pregnancy. *AIDS*. 2000;14(18):2913-2920. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11398741>.
6. European Collaborative Study. Levels and patterns of neutrophil cell counts over the first 8 years of life in children of HIV-1-infected mothers. *AIDS*. 2004;18(15):2009-2017. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15577622>.
7. Cotter AM, Garcia AG, Duthely ML, Luke B, O'Sullivan MJ. Is antiretroviral therapy during pregnancy associated with an increased risk of preterm delivery, low birth weight, or stillbirth? *J Infect Dis*. 2006;193(9):1195-1201. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16586354>.
8. Ravizza M, Martinelli P, Bucceri A, et al. Treatment with protease inhibitors and coinfection with hepatitis C virus are independent predictors of preterm delivery in HIV-infected pregnant women. *J Infect Dis*. 2007;195(6):913-914; author reply 916-917. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17299723>.
9. Schulte J, Dominguez K, Sukalac T, Bohannon B, Fowler MG, Pediatric Spectrum of HIV Disease Consortium. Declines in low birth weight and preterm birth among infants who were born to HIV-infected women during an era of increased use of maternal antiretroviral drugs: Pediatric Spectrum of HIV Disease, 1989-2004. *Pediatrics*. 2007;119(4):e900-906. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17353299>.
10. Townsend CL, Cortina-Borja M, Peckham CS, Tookey PA. Antiretroviral therapy and premature delivery in diagnosed HIV-infected women in the United Kingdom and Ireland. *AIDS*. 2007;21(8):1019-1026. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17457096>.

11. Grosch-Woerner I, Puch K, Maier RF, et al. Increased rate of prematurity associated with antenatal antiretroviral therapy in a German/Austrian cohort of HIV-1-infected women. *HIV Med.* 2008;9(1):6-13. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18199167>.
12. Rudin C, Spaenhauer A, Keiser O, et al. Antiretroviral therapy during pregnancy and premature birth: analysis of Swiss data. *HIV Med.* 2011;12(4):228-235. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20726902>.
13. Powis KM, Kitch D, Ogwu A, et al. Increased risk of preterm delivery among HIV-infected women randomized to protease versus nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor-based HAART during pregnancy. *J Infect Dis.* 2011;204(4):506-514. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21791651>.
14. Chen JY, Ribaud HJ, Souda S, et al. Highly active antiretroviral therapy and adverse birth outcomes among HIV-infected women in Botswana. *J Infect Dis.* 2012;206(11):1695-1705. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23066160>.
15. Sibiude J, Warszawski J, Tubiana R, et al. Premature delivery in HIV-infected women starting protease inhibitor therapy during pregnancy: role of the ritonavir boost? *Clin Infect Dis.* 2012;54(9):1348-1360. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22460969>.
16. Watts DH, Williams PL, Kacanek D, et al. Combination antiretroviral use and preterm birth. *J Infect Dis.* 2013;207(4):612-621. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23204173>.
17. Kreitchmann R, Li SX, Melo VH, et al. Predictors of adverse pregnancy outcomes in women infected with HIV in Latin America and the Caribbean: a cohort study. *BJOG.* 2014;121(12):1501-1508. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24602102>.
18. Kakkar F, Boucoiran I, Lamarre V, et al. Risk factors for pre-term birth in a Canadian cohort of HIV-positive women: role of ritonavir boosting? *J Int AIDS Soc.* 2015;18:19933. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26051165>.
19. Li N, Sando MM, Spiegelman D, et al. Antiretroviral therapy in relation to birth outcomes among HIV-infected women: a cohort study. *J Infect Dis.* 2015;213(7):1057-1064. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26265780>.
20. Van Dyke RB, Chadwick EG, Hazra R, Williams PL, Seage GR, 3rd. The PHACS SMARTT study: assessment of the safety of *in utero* exposure to antiretroviral drugs. *Front Immunol.* 2016;7:199. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27242802>.
21. Rough K, Seage GR, 3rd, Williams PL, et al. Birth outcomes for pregnant women with HIV using tenofovir-emtricitabine. *N Engl J Med.* 2018;378(17):1593-1603. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29694825>.
22. Ramokolo V, Goga AE, Lombard C, Doherty T, Jackson DJ, Engebretsen IM. *In utero* ART exposure and birth and early growth outcomes among HIV-exposed uninfected infants attending immunization services: results from national PMTCT surveillance, South Africa. *Open Forum Infect Dis.* 2017;4(4):ofx187. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29062860>.

23. Favarato G, Townsend CL, Bailey H, et al. Protease inhibitors and preterm delivery: another piece in the puzzle. *AIDS*. 2018;32(2):243-252. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29135577>.
24. Zash R, Jacobson DL, Diseko M, et al. Comparative safety of dolutegravir-based or efavirenz-based antiretroviral treatment started during pregnancy in Botswana: an observational study. *Lancet Glob Health*. 2018;6(7):e804-e810. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29880310>.
25. Malaba TR, Newell ML, Madlala H, Perez A, Gray C, Myer L. Methods of gestational age assessment influence the observed association between antiretroviral therapy exposure, preterm delivery, and small-for-gestational age infants: a prospective study in Cape Town, South Africa. *Ann Epidemiol*. 2018;28(12):893-900. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30293920>.
26. Wang L, Zhao H, Cai W, et al. Risk factors associated with preterm delivery and low delivery weight among HIV-exposed neonates in China. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet*. 2018;142(3):300-307. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29772068>.
27. Saleska JL, Turner AN, Maierhofer C, Clark J, Kwiek JJ. Use of antiretroviral therapy during pregnancy and adverse birth outcomes among women living with HIV-1 in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2018;79(1):1-9. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29847475>.
28. Venkatesh KK, Morrison L, Tuomala RE, et al. Profile of chronic comorbid conditions and obstetrical complications among pregnant women with human immunodeficiency virus and receiving antiretroviral therapy in the United States. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2021;73(6):969-978. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33768226>.
29. Albert AYG, Elwood C, Wagner EC, et al. Investigation of factors associated with spontaneous preterm birth in pregnant women living with HIV. *AIDS*. 2020;34(5):719-727. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31895145>.
30. Sebitloane HM, Moodley J. Maternal and obstetric complications among HIV-infected women treated with highly active antiretroviral treatment at a regional hospital in Durban, South Africa. *Niger J Clin Pract*. 2017;20(11):1360-1367. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29303121>.
31. Chetty T, Thorne C, Coutsoodis A. Preterm delivery and small-for-gestation outcomes in HIV-infected pregnant women on antiretroviral therapy in rural South Africa: results from a cohort study, 2010–2015. *PLoS One*. 2018;13(2):e0192805. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29470508>.
32. Zash R, Jacobson DL, Diseko M, et al. Comparative safety of antiretroviral treatment regimens in pregnancy. *JAMA Pediatr*. 2017;171(10):e172222. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28783807>.
33. Stringer EM, Kendall MA, Lockman S, et al. Pregnancy outcomes among HIV-infected women who conceived on antiretroviral therapy. *PLoS One*. 2018;13(7):e0199555. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30020964>.

34. O'Brien BE, Williams PL, Huo Y, et al. Repeat pregnancies among U.S. women living with HIV in the SMARTT Study: temporal changes in HIV disease status and predictors of preterm birth. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2020;85(3):346-354. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32701825>.
35. Delicio AM, Lajos GJ, Amaral E, Cavichioli F, Polydoro M, Milanez H. Adverse effects in children exposed to maternal HIV and antiretroviral therapy during pregnancy in Brazil: a cohort study. *Reprod Health*. 2018;15(1):76. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29747664>.
36. Uthman OA, Nachege JB, Anderson J, et al. Timing of initiation of antiretroviral therapy and adverse pregnancy outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet HIV*. 2017;4(1):e21-e30. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27864000>.
37. Onono M, Odwar T, Wahome S, et al. Behavioral interventions can mitigate adverse pregnancy outcomes among women conceiving on ART and those initiated on ART during pregnancy: findings from the MOTIVATE trial in southwestern Kenya. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2021;86(1):46-55. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33306563>.
38. Tuomala RE, Shapiro DE, Mofenson LM, et al. Antiretroviral therapy during pregnancy and the risk of an adverse outcome. *N Engl J Med*. 2002;346(24):1863-1870. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12063370>.
39. Patel K, Shapiro DE, Brogly SB, et al. Prenatal protease inhibitor use and risk of preterm birth among HIV-infected women initiating antiretroviral drugs during pregnancy. *J Infect Dis*. 2010;201(7):1035-1044. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20196654>.
40. Perry M, Taylor GP, Sabin CA, et al. Lopinavir and atazanavir in pregnancy: comparable infant outcomes, virological efficacies and preterm delivery rates. *HIV Med*. 2015;17(1):28-35. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26200570>.
41. Sebikari D, Farhad M, Fenton T, et al. Risk factors for adverse birth outcomes in the PROMISE 1077BF/1077FF trial. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2019;81(5):521-532. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31295174>.
42. Mesfin YM, Kibret KT, Taye A. Is protease inhibitors based antiretroviral therapy during pregnancy associated with an increased risk of preterm birth? Systematic review and a meta-analysis. *Reprod Health*. 2016;13:30. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27048501>.
43. Duryea E, Nicholson F, Cooper S, et al. The use of protease inhibitors in pregnancy: maternal and fetal considerations. *Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol*. 2015;2015:563727. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26617456>.
44. Snijdwind IJM, Smit C, Godfried MH, et al. Preconception use of cART by HIV-positive pregnant women increases the risk of infants being born small for gestational age. *PLoS One*. 2018;13(1):e0191389. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29351561>.

45. Floridia M, Dalzero S, Giacomet V, et al. Pregnancy and neonatal outcomes in women with HIV-1 exposed to integrase inhibitors, protease inhibitors, and non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors: an observational study. *Infection*. 2020;48(2):249-258. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31893354>.
46. Saums MK, King CC, Adams JC, et al. Combination antiretroviral therapy and hypertensive disorders of pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2019;134(6):1205-1214. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31764730>.
47. Hill A, Clayden P, Thorne C, Christie R, Zash R. Safety and pharmacokinetics of dolutegravir in HIV-positive pregnant women: a systematic review. *J Virus Erad*. 2018;4(2):66-71. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29682297>.
48. Lockman S, Brummel SS, Ziemba L, et al. Efficacy and safety of dolutegravir with emtricitabine and tenofovir alafenamide fumarate or tenofovir disoproxil fumarate, and efavirenz, emtricitabine, and tenofovir disoproxil fumarate HIV antiretroviral therapy regimens started in pregnancy (IMPAACT 2010/VESTED): a multicentre, open-label, randomised, controlled, phase 3 trial. *Lancet*. 2021;397(10281):1276-1292. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33812487>.
49. Pereira GFM, Kim A, Jalil EM, et al. Dolutegravir and pregnancy outcomes in women on antiretroviral therapy in Brazil: a retrospective national cohort study. *Lancet HIV*. 2021;8(1):e33-e41. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33387477>.
50. Sibiude J, Le Chenadec J, Mandelbrot L, et al. Risk of birth defects and perinatal outcomes in HIV-infected women exposed to integrase strand inhibitors during pregnancy. *AIDS*. 2021;35(2):219-226. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33048878>.
51. Tuomala RE, Watts DH, Li D, et al. Improved obstetric outcomes and few maternal toxicities are associated with antiretroviral therapy, including highly active antiretroviral therapy during pregnancy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2005;38(4):449-473. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15764963>.
52. Bisio F, Nicco E, Calzi A, et al. Pregnancy outcomes following exposure to efavirenz-based antiretroviral therapy in the Republic of Congo. *New Microbiol*. 2015;38(2):185-192. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25938743>.
53. Fowler MG, Qin M, Fiscus SA, et al. Benefits and risks of antiretroviral therapy for perinatal HIV prevention. *N Engl J Med*. 2016;375(18):1726-1737. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27806243>.
54. Vannappagari V, Koram N, Albano J, Tilson H, Gee C. Association between *in utero* zidovudine exposure and nondefect adverse birth outcomes: analysis of prospectively collected data from the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry. *BJOG*. 2016;123(6):910-916. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26269220>.
55. Njom Nlend AE, Nga Motaze A, Moyo Tetang S, Zeudja C, Ngantcha M, Tejiokem M. Preterm birth and low birth weight after *in utero* exposure to antiretrovirals initiated during pregnancy in Yaounde, Cameroon. *PLoS One*. 2016;11(3):e0150565. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26999744>.

56. Ekouevi DK, Coffie PA, Becquet R, et al. Antiretroviral therapy in pregnant women with advanced HIV disease and pregnancy outcomes in Abidjan, Cote d'Ivoire. *AIDS*. 2008;22(14):1815-1820. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18753864>.
57. Szyld EG, Warley EM, Freimanis L, et al. Maternal antiretroviral drugs during pregnancy and infant low birth weight and preterm birth. *AIDS*. 2006;20(18):2345-2353. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17117021>.
58. Moodley T, Moodley D, Sebitloane M, Maharaj N, Sartorius B. Improved pregnancy outcomes with increasing antiretroviral coverage in South Africa. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2016;16:35. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26867536>.
59. Theron G, Brummel S, Fairlie L, et al. Pregnancy outcomes of women conceiving on antiretroviral therapy (ART) compared to those commenced on ART during pregnancy. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2020;ciaa805. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32564058>.
60. Watts DH, Brown ER, Maldonado Y, et al. HIV disease progression in the first year after delivery among African women followed in the HPTN 046 clinical trial. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2013;64(3):299-306. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23846568>.
61. Aaron E, Bonacquisti A, Mathew L, Alleyne G, Bamford LP, Culhane JF. Small-for-gestational-age births in pregnant women with HIV, due to severity of HIV disease, not antiretroviral therapy. *Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol*. 2012;2012:135030. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22778533>.
62. Nyemba DC, Kalk E, Madlala HP, et al. Lower birth weight-for-age and length-for-age z-scores in infants with *in-utero* HIV and ART exposure: a prospective study in Cape Town, South Africa. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2021;21(1):354. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33947351>.
63. Parekh N, Ribaldo H, Souda S, et al. Risk factors for very preterm delivery and delivery of very-small-for-gestational-age infants among HIV-exposed and HIV-unexposed infants in Botswana. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet*. 2011;115(1):20-25. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21767835>.
64. Favarato G, Townsend CL, Peters H, et al. Stillbirth in women living with HIV delivering in the United Kingdom and Ireland: 2007-2015. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2019;82(1):9-16. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31149953>.
65. Msukwa MT, Keiser O, Jahn A, et al. Timing of combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) initiation is not associated with stillbirth among HIV-infected pregnant women in Malawi. *Trop Med Int Health*. 2019;24(6):727-735. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30891866>.
66. Browne JL, Schrier VJ, Grobbee DE, Peters SA, Klipstein-Grobusch K. HIV, antiretroviral therapy, and hypertensive disorders in pregnancy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2015;70(1):91-98. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26322669>.

67. Sansone M, Sarno L, Saccone G, et al. Risk of preeclampsia in human immunodeficiency virus-infected pregnant women. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2016;127(6):1027-1032. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27159742>.
68. Sebitloane HM, Moodley J, Sartorius B. Associations between HIV, highly active anti-retroviral therapy, and hypertensive disorders of pregnancy among maternal deaths in South Africa 2011–2013. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet.* 2017;136(2):195-199. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28099739>.
69. Bengtson AM, Phillips TK, le Roux SM, et al. Does HIV infection modify the relationship between pre-pregnancy body mass index and adverse birth outcomes? *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol.* 2020;34(6):713-723. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32490582>.
70. Bengtson AM, Phillips TK, le Roux SM, et al. High blood pressure at entry into antenatal care and birth outcomes among a cohort of HIV-uninfected women and women living with HIV initiating antiretroviral therapy in South Africa. *Pregnancy Hypertens.* 2021;23:79-86. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33285444>.

Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy: Overview

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Panel's Recommendations
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• When choosing an antiretroviral (ARV) drug regimen for use in pregnant people, providers and patients should consider multiple factors, including adverse effects, drug interactions, pharmacokinetics (PKs), convenience of the individual drugs and drug combinations in the regimen, available pregnancy safety and outcome data, virologic efficacy in nonpregnant adults, and the patient's resistance test results and comorbidities (AIII).• The same regimens that are recommended for the treatment of nonpregnant adults should be used in pregnant people when sufficient data suggest that appropriate drug exposure is achieved during pregnancy; clinicians should weigh the risks of adverse effects for pregnant people, fetuses, or infants against the benefits of these regimens and recognize that safety data of ARV drugs in pregnancy are often incomplete (AII). For more information, see Table 4 and Table 5.• In most cases, people who present for obstetric care on fully suppressive ARV regimens should continue their current regimens (AIII).• PK changes in pregnancy may lead to lower plasma levels of some ARV drugs and necessitate increased doses, more frequent dosing, boosting, more frequent viral load monitoring, or a change in ARV regimen; see Pregnant People with HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy (AII).• The Panel emphasizes the importance of counseling and informed decision-making regarding all ARV regimens for people with HIV (AIII). For additional information, see Prepregnancy Counseling and Care for Persons of Childbearing Age with HIV, Teratogenicity, Appendix C: Antiretroviral Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers, and Table 4 and Table 5.• After delivery, clinicians should discuss reproductive desires, the risks and benefits of conceiving on the current ARV regimen, and contraceptive options (AIII). See Prepregnancy Counseling and Care for Persons of Childbearing Age with HIV and Postpartum Follow-Up for more information.
<p><i>Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional</i></p> <p><i>Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion</i></p>

This section provides an overview of the key clinical and pharmacokinetic (PK) issues that are relevant to the selection of specific antiretroviral (ARV) drugs for use in pregnancy. Additional [recommendations for pregnant people with HIV who have never received antiretroviral therapy \(antiretroviral therapy \[ART\]-naive\)](#), [pregnant people who are currently receiving ART](#), and [those who were previously on ART or who have used ARV drugs for prophylaxis](#) can be found in the other sections that follow this overview. [Table 4](#) provides specific information about recommended ARV drugs when **initiating** ART in pregnant people who ART-naive. The table also includes considerations for ARV regimen selection and modification in pregnant people who are treatment-experienced and people who are attempting to become pregnant. For recommendations about the use of ARV drugs in people of childbearing potential who are not actively trying to conceive, see the [Guidelines for the Use of Antiretroviral Agents in Adults and Adolescents Living with HIV](#).

[Table 5](#) consolidates situation-specific recommendations for the use of ARV drugs in people with HIV during conception and pregnancy into a single table for ease of reference. [Table 5](#) includes recommendations for the use of ARV drugs in the following situations:

- Initiating ART in pregnant people who have never received ARV drugs;
- Continuing ART in people who become pregnant while on a fully suppressive regimen that has been well tolerated;
- Restarting ART in pregnant people who received ART or ARV drugs for prophylaxis in the past;
- Changing to a new ARV regimen in pregnant people whose current ART is not well tolerated and/or is not resulting in viral suppression; and
- Initiating or modifying ART in people who are trying to conceive.

[Table 11](#) and [Appendix B](#) provide information about individual drugs, including dosing and PK data in pregnancy.

Pregnant people often are excluded from initial HIV clinical trials. As a result, data regarding the PKs, drug safety, and efficacy of new ARVs often are limited to nonpregnant adults.^{1,2} Efficacy of ARVs for treatment of pregnant people can be extrapolated from evidence of efficacy in nonpregnant adults, as long as direct PK evaluation in pregnant people demonstrates drug exposures in pregnancy that are within the effective range in nonpregnant adults. Similarly, ART regimens that result in viral suppression throughout pregnancy are likely to be effective in preventing vertical transmission of HIV. In contrast, ART safety cannot be extrapolated and should be evaluated directly in pregnant people. However, drugs with known benefits to people who are not pregnant should not be withheld during pregnancy unless they have known adverse effects to people who are pregnant, fetuses, or infants and these adverse effects outweigh the benefits to pregnant patients or adequate drug levels are not likely to be attained during pregnancy. Pregnancy and the potential for pregnancy **should not preclude** the use of optimal drug regimens. **The decision about which ARV drugs to use during pregnancy should be made by patients after discussing the known and potential benefits and risks to themselves and their fetuses with their health care providers** (see [Appendix C: Antiretroviral Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers](#)).³

The Panel on Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal HIV Transmission (the Panel) reviews clinical trial data published in peer-reviewed journals and data prepared by manufacturers for U.S. Food and Drug Administration review that are related to the treatment of adult women with HIV, both those who are pregnant and those who are not. The durability, tolerability, and simplicity of a medication regimen are particularly important for ensuring adherence and preserving future treatment options. Regimen selection should be based on several factors that apply to all people who are pregnant, as well as factors that will vary for individual patients.

Pregnancy-related factors include—

- Potential short-term and long-term adverse effects on fetuses or newborns, such as possible risk of teratogenicity, preterm birth, or effects on growth and development.

- Available safety and outcome data on the use of the drug in pregnancy, including association with adverse pregnancy outcomes, such as preterm delivery;
- PK changes in pregnancy; and
- Potential adverse effects for the patient, especially those that may be exacerbated during pregnancy.

Individual-level factors include—

- Potential drug interactions with other medications;
- Results of resistance testing and the patient’s prior exposure to ARV drugs;
- Comorbidities;
- Ability of the patient to adhere to a regimen; and
- Convenience and patient preference.

The Panel uses information from several sources to develop recommendations on specific drugs or regimens for pregnant people. These sources include—

- Data from randomized clinical trials and prospective cohort studies that demonstrate durable viral suppression in pregnancy, as well as immunologic and clinical improvement;
- Incidence rates and descriptions of short-term and long-term drug toxicity of ARV regimens;
- Evidence from clinical studies on the risk of maternal toxicity, teratogenicity, adverse pregnancy outcomes, and adverse infant outcomes;
- Specific knowledge about drug tolerability and simplified dosing regimens;
- Known efficacy of ARV drug regimens in reducing perinatal transmission of HIV when data are available, evidence of high rates of viral suppression during pregnancy, or evidence of high rates of viral suppression in nonpregnant patients with PK (drug exposure) data in pregnancy demonstrating exposures similar to those in nonpregnant patients;
- PK (drug exposure) data during pregnancy;
- Data from animal teratogenicity studies; and
- Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry data and other post-marketing surveillance data.⁴

ARV drugs and drug combinations for use in pregnancy are categorized as follows:

- **Preferred:** Drugs or drug combinations are designated as *Preferred* for therapy in pregnant people when clinical trial data in adults have demonstrated efficacy and durability with acceptable toxicity and ease of use and when pregnancy-specific PK data are available to guide dosing. In addition, the available data must suggest a favorable risk-benefit balance for the drug

or drug combination compared to other ARV drug options; the assessment of risks and benefits should incorporate outcomes for pregnant people, fetuses, and infants. Some *Preferred* drugs or regimens may have minimal toxicity or teratogenicity risks that are offset by other advantages for people with HIV who are pregnant or trying to conceive.

- **Alternative:** Drugs or drug combinations are designated as *Alternative* options for therapy in pregnant people when clinical trial data in adults show efficacy and the data in pregnant individuals are generally favorable but limited. Most *Alternative* drugs or regimens are associated with more PK, dosing, tolerability, formulation, administration, or interaction concerns than those in the *Preferred* category, but they are acceptable for use in pregnancy. Some *Alternative* drugs or regimens may have known toxicity or teratogenicity risks that are offset by other advantages for people with HIV who are pregnant or trying to conceive.
- **Insufficient Data to Recommend:** The drugs and drug combinations in this category are approved for use in adults, but pregnancy-specific PK or safety data are too limited to make a recommendation for use in pregnant people. In some cases, it may be appropriate to continue using these drugs or drug combinations in patients who become pregnant on ART that has been well tolerated.
- **Not Recommended Except in Special Circumstances:** Although some drugs are not recommended for initial ART in ART-naïve people because of specific safety concerns or very limited safety and efficacy data in pregnancy, there may be circumstances in which ART-experienced people need to initiate or continue using specific drugs to reach or maintain viral suppression.
- **Not Recommended:** Drugs and drug combinations listed in this category are not recommended for use in pregnancy because of inferior virologic efficacy or potentially serious maternal or fetal safety concerns. Drugs not recommended for initial therapy in ARV-naïve populations, are also categorized as *Not Recommended* in pregnancy. This category includes drugs or drug combinations for which PK data demonstrate low drug levels and risk of viral rebound during pregnancy. Levels of these drugs are often low in late pregnancy (during the second and third trimesters) when risk for perinatal transmission is high if maternal viremia occurs. In some situations, it may be appropriate to continue using these drugs or drug combinations in people who become pregnant on fully suppressive ART that has been well tolerated, although viral load monitoring should be performed more frequently in these instances. See [Pregnant People with HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy](#) and [Monitoring During Pregnancy](#).

Selection of ARV drugs **should be individualized** according to a pregnant patient's specific ARV history, the results of drug-resistance assays, and the presence of comorbidities, as well as the individual patient's preferences for balancing known and unknown risks and benefits. In people who are pregnant or are trying to conceive, ART that includes at least three agents is recommended. For people who are ARV-naïve, an ARV regimen that includes two nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs) and an integrase strand transfer inhibitor (INSTI) or a ritonavir (RTV)-boosted protease inhibitor (PI) is preferred ([Table 4](#)).

In general, people **who are already on a fully suppressive regimen when pregnancy occurs should continue their regimens**. Key exceptions include regimens that involve medications that are not recommended for use in adults because of high risk for toxicity (e.g., didanosine [ddI], indinavir [IDV], stavudine [d4T], and treatment-dose RTV) or inferior virologic efficacy (nelfinavir [NFV]),

and drugs that should not be used during pregnancy because of PK concerns (see [Table 4](#)). For patients who have achieved viral suppression and become pregnant while receiving regimens with a potential increased risk of virologic failure during pregnancy due to PK concerns (e.g., cobicistat (COBI)-boosted regimens) or who are receiving regimens with insufficient data available in pregnancy (e.g., bicitgravir [BIC], doravirine [DOR], or long-acting injectable cabotegravir and rilpivirine [CAB/RPV]), clinicians should consider whether to continue or change the ARV regimen, see [Pregnant People with HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy](#). A regimen change carries a risk for viral rebound at the time of the switch.⁵ If a decision is made with the patient to continue the same regimen, viral load should be monitored more frequently (i.e., every 1–2 months).

People who are not fully suppressed and who are currently taking ART should be evaluated carefully for adherence and resistance, with every effort made to achieve rapid and full viral suppression through adherence interventions or medication changes (see [Pregnant People Who Have Not Achieved Viral Suppression on Antiretroviral Therapy](#)). When treating patients who have received ARV drugs previously but who are not currently taking ARV drugs, clinicians will need to take previous regimens and the potential for genotypic resistance into consideration. Specific recommendations for each type of patient are described in [Table 5](#) and in the following sections: [Pregnant People with HIV Who Have Never Received Antiretroviral Drugs](#), [Pregnant People with HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy](#), and [Pregnant People with HIV Who Have Previously Received Antiretroviral Treatment or Prophylaxis but Are Not Currently Receiving Any Antiretroviral Medications](#).

Balancing Risks and Benefits of ART in the Face of Limited Data

It is important to weigh the available data about risks and benefits of all *Preferred* and *Alternative* agents. These agents include dolutegravir (DTG), atazanavir/ritonavir (ATV/r), darunavir/ritonavir (DRV/r), and raltegravir (RAL) (*Preferred*), as well as efavirenz (EFV) and rilpivirine (RPV) (*Alternative*), and NRTI backbone drugs, including abacavir (ABC), lamivudine (3TC), emtricitabine (FTC), tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF), tenofovir alafenamide (TAF) (all *Preferred*), and zidovudine (ZDV) (*Alternative*). Of these, systematic birth-surveillance data are available only for TDF, FTC, 3TC, EFV, and DTG.^{6,7} Although early data raised concerns about risk for neural tube defects (NTDs) with DTG, and similar concerns have not been raised for other agents, data are too limited to identify or calculate the specific risks of rare birth defects, such as NTDs, associated with preconception or periconception use of other ARV drugs or their use during early pregnancy (see [Teratogenicity](#), [Dolutegravir](#), [Elvitegravir](#), [Raltegravir](#), and [Bicitgravir](#)). To determine whether a drug carries an increased risk of a rare event—such as an NTD—more than 2,000 periconception exposures need to be monitored to rule out a threefold increase in risk. **Clinicians are encouraged to submit to the [Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry](#) data for all patients who conceive while receiving ARV drugs or who receive ARV drugs during pregnancy.**

The risk of other adverse pregnancy outcomes, many of which are more common than birth defects, also should be considered. For example, the use of PIs, particularly lopinavir/ritonavir (LPV/r), has been associated with an increased risk of preterm birth, which may lead to an increase in infant morbidity and mortality.⁶⁻⁸ In addition, data are needed on important clinical pregnancy outcomes, such as hypertension and weight gain (see [Antiretroviral Drug Regimens and Maternal and Neonatal Outcomes](#)). In the Tsepamo study in Botswana, the risks of adverse pregnancy outcomes other than NTDs were similar for women who received DTG-based regimens and women who received EFV-based regimens.⁹⁻¹¹ Overall, data are extremely limited on the risks associated with using other

Preferred and *Alternative* ARV drugs preconception or in very early pregnancy; this lack of data does not indicate either the presence or absence of risk when using medications other than DTG and EFV. It remains critically important to **counsel all patients on the potential risks and benefits of ARV drugs to promote informed, individual decision-making** (see [Appendix C: Antiretroviral Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers](#)).¹²

Pharmacokinetic Considerations for Antiretroviral Drugs

Physiologic changes that occur during pregnancy can affect drug absorption, distribution, biotransformation, and elimination; thereby also affecting requirements for drug dosing and, potentially, increasing the risk for virologic failure or drug toxicity.¹³⁻¹⁵ During pregnancy, gastrointestinal transit time becomes prolonged, and body water and fat increase throughout gestation. These changes are accompanied by increases in cardiac output, ventilation, and liver and renal blood flow. Plasma protein concentrations also decrease, which can reduce the total plasma drug levels but not necessarily the free or unbound plasma drug levels. Furthermore, renal sodium reabsorption increases, and changes occur in cellular transporters and drug metabolizing enzymes in the liver and intestine. Placental transport of drugs, compartmentalization of drugs in the embryo/fetus and placenta, biotransformation of drugs by the fetus and placenta, and elimination of drugs by the fetus can also affect drug PKs in the pregnant woman. In general, the PKs of NRTIs and non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTIs) are similar in pregnant and nonpregnant women (although PK data for etravirine [ETR] are limited). PI and INSTI PKs are more variable, particularly during the second and third trimesters. Currently available data on the PKs and dosing of ARV drugs in pregnancy are listed for each drug below and summarized in [Table 11](#).

Nucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitors

Preferred NRTI combinations for use in ARV-naive pregnant women are abacavir (ABC) used in combination with lamivudine (3TC), and tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) or tenofovir alafenamide (TAF), used in combination with emtricitabine (FTC) or 3TC.

Abacavir plus lamivudine is the NRTI component in some *Preferred* regimens for nonpregnant adults. It offers the advantage of once-daily dosing and is well tolerated in pregnancy.¹⁶ Testing for the HLA-B*5701 allele should be performed and documented as negative before starting ABC, and women should be educated about symptoms of hypersensitivity reactions. Clinicians should determine whether a patient has hepatitis B virus (HBV)/HIV coinfection; for women with HBV/HIV coinfection, two NRTIs that are active against HBV should be chosen (e.g., TDF with FTC or 3TC) in place of ABC plus 3TC (see [HBV/HIV Coinfection](#)).

Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate or tenofovir alafenamide plus emtricitabine or lamivudine are the NRTI components in some *Preferred* regimens for nonpregnant adults. These combinations have several advantages, including **reassuring PK data**, extensive experience with use in pregnancy, once-daily dosing, enhanced activity against HBV, and less toxicity than ZDV plus 3TC.¹⁷⁻¹⁹ **The efficacy and toxicity of TDF and TAF in pregnant patients are similar. In the International Maternal Pediatric Adolescent AIDS Clinical Trials (IMPAACT) 2010 trial, no differences were observed in viral suppression, grade 3 or higher adverse events, or estimated creatinine clearance among people randomized to initiate TDF/FTC (n = 215) versus TAF/FTC (n = 217) with DTG at >14 weeks gestational age. In this study, fewer adverse birth outcomes were observed with the TAF/FTC regimen than with the TDF/FTC regimens (24% vs. 33%, absolute risk difference -8.8%; 95% confidence interval (CI), -17.3% to -0.3%),¹⁹ which may be due to the higher proportion of**

women with low gestational weight gain in the TDF/FTC arm (15.0% vs. 23.6%).²⁰ However, more women in the TAF/FTC arm had high gestational weight gain than in the TDF/FTC arm. High gestational weight gain was not associated with adverse outcomes in this study, but modeling suggests that, over time, excess weight gain with regimens containing TAF and DTG may lead to increased pre-pregnancy weight and obesity-related adverse pregnancy outcomes.²¹ Additionally, there have been concerns about bone and growth abnormalities in infants who were exposed to TDF *in utero*, but the duration and clinical significance of study findings require further evaluation (see [Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate](#)).²²

Zidovudine plus lamivudine is an *Alternative* NRTI combination for ARV-naïve pregnant people. Despite proven efficacy in preventing perinatal HIV transmission and extensive experience with use in pregnancy, this NRTI combination is classified as *Alternative* rather than *Preferred* because it requires twice-daily dosing and is associated with higher rates of mild-to-moderate adverse effects, including nausea, headache, and reversible maternal and neonatal anemia and neutropenia (see [Zidovudine](#)).

Pregnant people who are receiving **didanosine** or **stavudine** should be switched to *Preferred* or *Alternative* medications.

Integrase Strand Transfer Inhibitors

Dolutegravir (DTG) is a *Preferred* INSTI for pregnant people because there are sufficient data about the PK, efficacy, and safety of DTG when it is initiated during pregnancy. The Panel has reviewed all the data available as of **October 2021** regarding DTG use preconception or during the first trimester of pregnancy. Based on these data, **DTG is considered a Preferred drug for use throughout pregnancy and for people who are trying to conceive** (see the [Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines](#)).

Efficacy and tolerability. DTG is associated with higher rates of viral suppression, faster rates of viral load decline, greater tolerability, and a higher genetic barrier to drug resistance than other *Preferred* and *Alternative* agents.^{9,10,23} **Two** randomized clinical trials that compared DTG plus two NRTIs to EFV plus two NRTIs in ART-naïve **women** who initiated therapy **during pregnancy** found that DTG-based ART produced more rapid viral suppression, with a greater proportion of **women** reaching an undetectable viral load (<50 copies/mL) at the time of delivery.^{11,24} **Higher rates of viral suppression did not translate into statistically significantly lower rates of observed vertical transmission with DTG compared with EFV, even though transmission rates were low with both regimens and the studies were not powered to detect small differences.**^{11,25,26} Programmatic data from Brazil also suggest higher rates of viral load suppression at delivery with DTG than with ATV/r.²⁷

Safety. The large Tsepamo birth-surveillance study in Botswana has shown that the risk of NTDs is lower than previously reported in preliminary data from the study.⁶ In this study, DTG exposure around the time of conception was associated with a small but statistically significant increase in the prevalence of infant NTDs in Botswana; see [Teratogenicity](#). In the most recent analysis, the prevalence with periconception DTG (0.15%) was higher than the prevalence for NTDs in infants born to women who were receiving EFV (0.06%) and women without HIV (0.07%), the prevalence was not significantly increased compared with women with HIV receiving any non-DTG ARV regimen at conception (0.10%, prevalence difference [0.06% difference]; 95% CI, 0.03% to 0.20%)²⁸ (see [Teratogenicity](#)).

If a causal association exists between the use of DTG and the occurrence of NTDs, mechanistic etiologies remain unknown, including whether folic acid deficiency is a mediating factor (thus, whether risk would be reduced by folic acid supplementation) and whether a similar risk may exist for other INSTIs. No link has been established between DTG use and impaired folate metabolism,^{29,30} nor does evidence exist that folate prevents DTG-associated NTDs. Folic acid is known to prevent NTDs in the general population.^{31,32} **All pregnant people and people who might conceive should take at least 400 mcg of folic acid daily.**

Pharmacokinetics. Although **some** PK studies have found that DTG levels during the third trimester are lower than a pre-specified target level³³ and lower than levels assessed postpartum,³⁴ **other studies found these changes were not clinically relevant,¹⁹** and data regarding placental transfer and comparisons to levels in nonpregnant adults indicate that dose adjustments are not needed during pregnancy (see [Dolutegravir](#)). Furthermore, unbound plasma levels of DTG in pregnant **women** met the proposed 90% inhibitory concentration for unbound DTG.¹⁵

Maternal health outcomes. As experience with DTG in pregnancy and the postpartum period accumulates, maternal weight gain during and after pregnancy is an important consideration. Substantial weight gain on DTG-based regimens has been observed in nonpregnant populations, especially among women and among people also receiving TAF.^{35,36} In pregnancy, DTG-associated weight gain also has been observed, but this may reflect better maternal health (e.g., lower rates of insufficient weight gain or weight loss during pregnancy **with DTG-based ART**). Some studies have seen greater weight gain during pregnancy with TAF/FTC/DTG (0.08 kg/week)³⁷ and TDF/FTC/DTG (0.03–0.05 kg/week)^{37,38} than with TDF/FTC/EFV **while others found no increased weight gain during pregnancy with DTG.³⁹** However, the weekly weight gain during pregnancy in **women** on DTG- or EFV-based ART remained less than in **women** without HIV,³⁸ less than recommended for the general population.³⁷ **Fewer adverse birth outcomes occurred with DTG, which may be explained by less insufficient weight gain with DTG-based regimens. Postpartum weight gain was greater in women receiving DTG than in those receiving EFV-based ART, but was similar to weight gain in postpartum women without HIV infection in the DolPHIN-2 (Dolutegravir in pregnant HIV mothers and their neonates) perinatal trial.^{40,41}**

Raltegravir (RAL) is a *Preferred* INSTI for use in ARV-naïve pregnant people, based on PK, safety, and other data on the use of RAL during pregnancy.⁴²⁻⁴⁶ Clinical trial and programmatic data demonstrate a more rapid viral decay and greater proportion of viral suppression at delivery with the use of RAL than with EFV or **boosted PIs.^{27,47,48,49-51}**

Although a once-daily formulation of RAL is approved for use in nonpregnant adults, **PK data indicate low drug levels with once-daily dosing in pregnancy^{52,53}**; twice-daily dosing remains the recommended dosing schedule.

Elvitegravir/cobicistat (EVG/c) is an INSTI for which data about use in pregnancy are currently limited.⁵⁴⁻⁵⁶ Data from the P1026 study and the PANNA study suggest that coadministration of EVG and COBI led to significantly lower levels of both drugs in the third trimester than in the postpartum period (levels in the third trimester were below the levels that are expected to lead to viral suppression).⁵⁷ Viral breakthroughs did occur in the P1026 study, with only 74% of women maintaining viral suppression at delivery.^{58,59} Based on these data, **EVG/c is *Not Recommended*** for initial use in pregnancy. In a retrospective cohort of 134 women at nine tertiary care centers in the United States who received EVG at any time during pregnancy, viral suppression at delivery was 81% (88% among those who initiated EVG before pregnancy), and overall perinatal HIV

transmission was 0.8%.^{56,60} In people already receiving EVG/c who become pregnant and are virally suppressed, continuation of the regimen with frequent viral load monitoring during the second and third trimester (e.g., every 1–2 months) can be considered, or the regimen can be switched to another *Preferred* regimen during pregnancy. If pregnancy is planned, EVG/c can be changed and viral suppression on a new regimen confirmed before conception.

Bictegravir (BIC) is an INSTI that is recommended for initial use in nonpregnant adults. No data on BIC PKs in pregnancy have been published, and data on clinical outcomes in pregnancy are extremely limited; in an abstract presented by the manufacturer of BIC, no NTDs were reported among 18 **women** with prospectively reported periconception exposures.⁶¹

Cabotegravir (CAB) is an INSTI that is part of a two-drug complete ART regimen available for use as a long-acting injectable formulation (in combination with long-acting injectable RPV) in nonpregnant adults who have RNA levels <50 copies/mL for at least 3 months, are on a stable antiretroviral (ARV) regimen, have no history of treatment failure, and have no known or suspected resistance. Therefore, CAB is **Not Recommended** for pregnant or nonpregnant people initiating or restarting ART or for those whose current regimen is not well tolerated and/or not fully suppressive. There are insufficient data for people who become pregnant on CAB or those planning to conceive because available pregnancy PK data are limited (n = 3), and data on clinical outcomes in pregnancy are extremely limited.⁶² The Panel recommends that people who conceive while taking long-acting injectable CAB and RPV switch to an oral ART regimen recommended for use during pregnancy; timing of the switch must take into account the long half-life of the long-acting injectable formulation (median 5.6–11.5 weeks) with persistence of the drug for up to 12 months.⁶³ With the current dosing schedule of monthly intramuscular (IM) injections, change to an oral regimen should occur within 4 weeks of the last CAB and RPV IM doses.⁶⁴ Dosing recommendations—including guidance for switching to an oral regimen—can be found in the prescribing information^{63,65} and the [Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines](#).

Protease Inhibitors

Atazanavir/ritonavir (ATV/r) and **darunavir/ritonavir (DRV/r)** are *Preferred* PIs for use in ARV-naïve pregnant people, based on efficacy studies in adults and experience with use in pregnancy. **As with RAL, BIC, and EVG/c, data are limited to understand the risk of rare birth defects—such as NTDs—with these ARVs.** Factors that affect the decision of which medication to use may include limitations in administering concomitant antacid, H2 blocker, or proton pump inhibitors (for ATV/r) and the requirement for twice-daily dosing (for DRV/r). Although the use of once-daily dosing for DRV/r is approved for nonpregnant adults, PK data are insufficient to support its use in pregnancy.⁶⁶

Atazanavir (ATV) is associated with increased indirect bilirubin levels, which theoretically may increase the risk of hyperbilirubinemia in neonates; however, pathologic elevations have not been seen in studies to date.⁶⁷ In the analyses from the Pediatric HIV/AIDS Cohort Study (PHACS) and Surveillance Monitoring for ART Toxicity (SMARTT) study, *in utero* exposure to atazanavir was associated with small but statistically significant reductions in language and social-emotional scores compared with exposure to other drugs.⁶⁸ ATV exposure was also associated with the risk of late language emergence at 12 months but was no longer significant at 24 months.^{69,70} The clinical significance of these findings associated with *in utero* ATV exposure is not known.

Lopinavir/ritonavir (LPV/r) is **Not Recommended for initiation in pregnancy, except in special circumstances**. There are extensive clinical experience and PK data for the use of LPV/r in

pregnancy, but it requires twice-daily dosing in pregnancy and frequently causes nausea and diarrhea; it also has been associated with an increased risk of preterm delivery and small-for-gestational-age infants (see [Antiretroviral Drug Regimens and Maternal and Neonatal Outcomes](#)). People who conceive on a suppressive, well-tolerated regimen that includes LPV/r should continue this regimen.

Darunavir/cobicistat (DRV/c) and atazanavir/cobicistat (ATV/c) are *Not Recommended* for use in pregnancy.^{59,71,72} PK studies suggest that low levels of both DRV and COBI occur in late pregnancy, and high rates of virologic failure have been observed in late pregnancy among women who were virally suppressed in early pregnancy. Levels of ATV were similarly lower in the second and third trimesters;⁵⁹ it is anticipated that the virologic and transmission outcomes with ATV/c will be similar to those observed with DRV/c and EVG/c. In addition, once-daily dosing of DRV is ***Not Recommended*** for initial therapy in pregnancy. For people who become pregnant while receiving DRV/c or ATV/c and are virally suppressed, the regimen can be continued with frequent viral load monitoring during the second and third trimester (e.g., every 1–2 months), or the regimen can be switched to another *Preferred* regimen during pregnancy. For both DRV and ATV, COBI can be replaced by RTV as the pharmacologic booster, but careful attention must be paid to dosing of ATV (higher if used with TDF or antacids) and DRV (twice-daily dosing).

Current data suggest that with standard adult dosing, plasma concentrations of LPV, ATV, and DRV are reduced during the second and/or third trimesters. Dose adjustment is recommended for LPV/r and may be considered for ATV/r, but dose adjustment is not recommended for DRV/r (see [Table 11](#)).⁷³ Specific dosing recommendations depend on the PI, an individual patient's treatment experience, and use (if any) of concomitant medications with potential for drug interactions.⁷³⁻⁸¹ Clinicians may consider therapeutic drug monitoring in specific situations.

Some older PIs—IDV, NFV, RTV (as the sole PI), and unboosted saquinavir or tipranavir—**are not recommended** for use in adults, and others—boosted or unboosted fosamprenavir, saquinavir/ritonavir and tipranavir/ritonavir—**are not recommended** for initial therapy in adults. These drugs **are *Not Recommended*** and should not be used in pregnant people because of concerns that include lower efficacy, toxicities, PK changes in pregnancy, and limited data and experience with use in pregnancy. See [Table 4](#), as well as [What Not to Use](#) and [Table 11](#) in the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, for details on individual ARV drugs, ARV drug combinations, and ARV regimens that are not recommended or should not be used in adults.

Non-Nucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitors

There are no *Preferred* NNRTIs for use in ARV-naïve pregnant people.

Screening for both antenatal and postpartum depression is recommended for all pregnant patients. Because the use of some NNRTIs may increase the risk of depression and suicidality, this screening is particularly critical for patients on EFV- and RPV-containing regimens.⁸²⁻⁸⁴

Efavirenz (EFV) is an *Alternative* NNRTI for both pregnant and nonpregnant people who are ARV-naïve. EFV may be suitable for people who desire a once-daily, fixed-dose combination regimen and who tolerate EFV without adverse effects. Although data on the use of EFV in pregnancy are reassuring with regard to NTDs and preventing vertical transmission of HIV, increased adverse birth outcomes have been observed (composite outcome of preterm delivery, small for gestational age, stillbirth, or spontaneous abortion) with TDF/FTC/EFV compared to TAF/FTC/DTG.¹¹ Additionally,

adverse effects associated with EFV include dizziness, fatigue, rare and occasionally severe hepatotoxicity, vivid dreams and/or nightmares, and increased risk of suicidality.^{9,83,85-89}

Although EFV was also originally considered to be associated with increased risk for NTDs, large meta-analyses and the data from Botswana described above have been reassuring that the risk of NTDs in infants with first-trimester EFV exposure is not greater than the risk in the general population.^{7,9,85,86,90} As a result, the Perinatal Guidelines do not restrict the use of EFV in pregnancy or in people who are planning to become pregnant; this is consistent with the British HIV Association Guidelines and the World Health Organization guidelines, both of which note that EFV can be used throughout pregnancy⁹¹ (see [Teratogenicity](#) and [Pregnant People with HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy](#)). An observational study reported a twofold increased risk of microcephaly among infants born to 141 women receiving EFV compared to women receiving other ARV drugs in the United States; although other factors—such as alcohol use, unintended pregnancy, gestational age at ART initiation, changes in ARV practice patterns over time, and small numbers of women taking more recently recommended ARV drugs as comparators (e.g., DTG [n = 52], RAL [n = 167], and DRV [n = 254])—may have contributed to this association. Importantly, the Panel recommends that people who become pregnant on suppressive, EFV-containing regimens **should continue** using these regimens as is recommended for most regimens⁹² (see [Table 4](#) and [Table 5](#)).

Rilpivirine (RPV) (oral) may be used as part of an ART regimen for nonpregnant adults with pretreatment HIV RNA <100,000 copies/mL and CD4 T lymphocyte (CD4) cell counts >200 cells/mm³. Sufficient data from use in pregnancy exist to recommend oral RPV as an *Alternative* agent for pregnant people who meet these same CD4 count and viral load criteria.^{5,56,93} Although PK data indicate that RPV plasma concentration is reduced during the second and third trimesters, the reduction is less than the reductions seen with EVG/c or DRV/c, and most women will have adequate exposure⁵; however, viral breakthroughs may be possible. Higher-than-standard doses of RPV have not been studied, so data are insufficient to recommend a dosing change in pregnancy. RPV must be taken with a meal, which may make it difficult to tolerate in pregnancy. With standard dosing of RPV, viral loads should be monitored frequently (e.g., every 1–2 months; see [Monitoring During Pregnancy](#)).

Long-acting injectable RPV, used in combination with long-acting injectable CAB is **Not Recommended** for pregnant people initiating or restarting ART or whose current regimen is not well-tolerated and/or not fully suppressive (see the cabotegravir section above). There are insufficient data for those who become pregnant on long-acting injectable RPV or those planning to conceive because pregnancy PK data are limited and very little data on clinical outcomes in pregnancy exist. The Panel recommends that people who conceive while taking long-acting injectable CAB and RPV switch to an oral ART regimen recommended for use during pregnancy; timing of the switch must take into account the long half-life for long-acting injectable RPV (median 13–28 weeks).⁶³ With the current dosing schedule of monthly IM injections, change to an oral regimen should occur within 4 weeks of the last CAB and RPV IM doses.⁶⁴ Dosing recommendations—including guidance for switching to an oral regimen—can be found in the prescribing information^{63,65} and the [Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines](#).

Nevirapine is Not Recommended for initial ART in ARV-naïve pregnant people or for nonpregnant adults because of a greater potential for adverse effects, complex lead-in dosing, and a low barrier to resistance. **Etravirine is Not Recommended** for ARV-naïve pregnant patients because it is not recommended for ARV-naïve nonpregnant patients and because of insufficient safety and PK data on

the use of ETR during pregnancy. Available PK data in women who received ETR as part of clinical care suggest that a standard adult dose is appropriate during pregnancy; unlike other ARV drugs, ETR exposure is increased during pregnancy.^{34,94} However, it may be appropriate to initiate either of these ARV drugs in special circumstances, or it may be appropriate to continue using them in ART-experienced people who become pregnant on well-tolerated, fully suppressive regimens that include these drugs.

Doravirine has not yet been studied in pregnancy; data are insufficient to recommend its use in pregnancy.

Entry, Attachment, and Fusion Inhibitors

Enfuvirtide and **maraviroc** (MVC) are ***Not Recommended*** for initial ART in pregnancy because they are not recommended for initial ART in nonpregnant adults and because the safety and PK data for these drugs in pregnancy are limited. Available PK data in women who received MVC as part of clinical care suggest that a standard adult dose is appropriate during pregnancy, despite a decrease in MVC exposure during pregnancy (see [Maraviroc](#)).⁹⁵ Use of these agents can be considered for pregnant people who have experienced virologic failure with several other classes of ARV drugs and for people who become pregnant on well-tolerated, suppressive regimens that include these drugs; however, data are insufficient to inform safety or dosing guidance for their use in pregnancy, these drugs should be used only after consulting HIV and obstetric specialists.

Ibalizumab is a humanized monoclonal antibody to the CD4 receptor. **Fostemsavir** is an attachment inhibitor. Both drugs are indicated for patients with multidrug-resistant HIV for whom no therapeutic alternatives may be available. However, no data exist on the use of these drugs in pregnancy.

Pharmacologic Boosters

Low-dose **ritonavir** as a pharmacologic booster for other PIs, as described above, is currently the preferred pharmacologic booster for use in pregnancy. **Cobicistat**-boosted ARV drugs (ATV, DRV, or EVG) are ***Not Recommended*** for use in pregnancy. As noted above, EVG, DRV, ATV, and COBI levels have been found to be significantly lower during the third trimester than during the postpartum period.^{59,71,72} However, for people who become pregnant while receiving COBI-boosted regimens and are virally suppressed, the regimen can be continued with frequent viral load monitoring during the second and third trimester (e.g., every 1–2 months), or the regimen can be switched to another *Preferred* regimen during pregnancy. See [Pregnant People with HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy](#) and [Monitoring During Pregnancy](#) for issues to address with patients when making decisions about whether to switch to another ARV regimen or continue the current regimen with frequent viral load monitoring.

References

1. The PHASES Working Group. Ending the evidence gap for pregnant women around HIV & co-infections: a call to action. Chapel Hill, NC: 2020. Available at: <http://www.hivpregnancyethics.org>.
2. Abrams EJ, Mofenson LM, Pozniak A, et al. Enhanced and timely investigation of ARVs for use in pregnant women. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2020. (Online ahead of print). Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33298793>.
3. Lytvyn L, Siemieniuk RA, Dilmitis S, et al. Values and preferences of women living with HIV who are pregnant, postpartum or considering pregnancy on choice of antiretroviral therapy during pregnancy. *BMJ Open*. 2017;7(9):e019023. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28893759>.
4. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral pregnancy registry international interim report for 1 January 1989–31 January 2021. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center; 2021. Available at: <http://www.apregistry.com>.
5. Frange P, Tubiana R, Sibiude J, et al. Rilpivirine in HIV-1-positive women initiating pregnancy: to switch or not to switch? *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2020;75(5):1324-1331. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32157283>.
6. Zash R, Holmes L, Diseko M, et al. Update on neural tube defects with antiretroviral exposure in the Tsepamo study, Botswana. Presented at: International AIDS Conference. 2020.
7. Zash R, Holmes L, Diseko M, et al. Neural-tube defects and antiretroviral treatment regimens in Botswana. *N Engl J Med*. 2019;381(9):827-840. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31329379>.
8. Tshivuila-Matala COO, Honeyman S, Nesbitt C, Kirtley S, Kennedy SH, Hemelaar J. Adverse perinatal outcomes associated with antiretroviral therapy regimens: systematic review and network meta-analysis. *AIDS*. 2020;34(11):1643-1656. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32701581>.
9. Zash R, Jacobson DL, Diseko M, et al. Comparative safety of dolutegravir-based or efavirenz-based antiretroviral treatment started during pregnancy in Botswana: an observational study. *Lancet Glob Health*. 2018;6(7):e804-e810. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29880310>.
10. Venter WDF, Moorhouse M, Sokhela S, et al. Dolutegravir plus two different prodrugs of tenofovir to treat HIV. *N Engl J Med*. 2019;381(9):803-815. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31339677>.
11. Lockman S, Brummel SS, Ziemba L, et al. Efficacy and safety of dolutegravir with emtricitabine and tenofovir alafenamide fumarate or tenofovir disoproxil fumarate, and efavirenz, emtricitabine, and tenofovir disoproxil fumarate HIV antiretroviral therapy regimens started in pregnancy (IMPAACT 2010/VESTED): a multicentre, open-label,

- randomised, controlled, phase 3 trial. *Lancet*. 2021;397(10281):1276-1292. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33812487>.
12. Redfield RR, Modi S, Moore CA, Delaney A, Honein MA, Tomlinson HL. Health care autonomy of women living with HIV. *N Engl J Med*. 2019;381(9):798-800. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31339674>.
 13. Mirochnick M, Capparelli E. Pharmacokinetics of antiretrovirals in pregnant women. *Clin Pharmacokinet*. 2004;43(15):1071-1087. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15568888>.
 14. Roustit M, Jlaiel M, Leclercq P, Stanke-Labesque F. Pharmacokinetics and therapeutic drug monitoring of antiretrovirals in pregnant women. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2008;66(2):179-195. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18537960>.
 15. Bollen P, Freriksen J, Konopnicki D, et al. The effect of pregnancy on the pharmacokinetics of total and unbound dolutegravir and its main metabolite in women living with human immunodeficiency virus. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2020;ciaa006. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32103260>.
 16. Shapiro RL, Hughes MD, Ogwu A, et al. Antiretroviral regimens in pregnancy and breastfeeding in Botswana. *N Engl J Med*. 2010;362(24):2282-2294. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20554983>.
 17. Momper J, Best B, Wang J, et al. Tenofovir alafenamide pharmacokinetics with and without cobicistat in pregnancy. Presented at: 22nd International AIDS Conference; 2018. Amsterdam, Netherlands.
 18. Brooks K, Pinilla M, Shapiro D, et al. Pharmacokinetics of tenofovir alafenamide 25 mg with PK boosters during pregnancy and postpartum. Presented at: Workshop on Clinical Pharmacology of HIV, Hepatitis, and Other Antiviral Drugs; 2019. Noordwijk, Netherlands.
 19. Bollen P, Freriksen J, Konopnicki D, et al. The effect of pregnancy on the pharmacokinetics of total and unbound dolutegravir and its main metabolite in women living with human immunodeficiency virus. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2021;72(1):121-127. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32103260>.
 20. Hoffman RM, Ziembra L, Brummel S, Chinula L, Nematadzira TG, Nakayiwa F. Antepartum weight gain and adverse pregnancy outcomes in impaact 2010. Abstract 176. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections; 2021. Virtual Conference. Available at: https://www.natap.org/2021/CROI/croi_83.htm.
 21. Baxevanidi EE, Asif S, Qavi A, et al. Predicted long-term adverse birth and child health outcomes in the ADVANCE trial. Abstract 572. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections; 2021. Virtual Conference Available at: <https://www.croiconference.org/abstract/predicted-long-term-adverse-birth-and-child-health-outcomes-in-the-advance-trial>.

22. Siberry GK, Jacobson DL, Kalkwarf HJ, et al. Lower newborn bone mineral content associated with maternal use of tenofovir disoproxil fumarate during pregnancy. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2015;61(6):996-1003. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26060285>.
23. Zash R, Rough K, Jacobson DL, et al. Effect of gestational age at tenofovir-emtricitabine-efavirenz initiation on adverse birth outcomes in Botswana. *J Pediatric Infect Dis Soc*. 2018;7(3):e148-e151. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29688554>.
24. Kintu K, Malaba TR, Nakibuka J, et al. Dolutegravir versus efavirenz in women starting HIV therapy in late pregnancy (DolPHIN-2): an open-label, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet HIV*. 2020;7(5):e332-e339. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32386721>.
25. Davey DLJ, Bekker LG, Mashele N, Gorbach P, Coates TJ, Myer L. PrEP retention and prescriptions for pregnant women during COVID-19 lockdown in South Africa. *Lancet HIV*. 2020;e735. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32758479>.
26. Malaba TR, Nakatudde I, Kintu K, et al. DolPHIN2 final results dolutegravir vs efavirenz in late pregnancy to 72w postpartum. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections; 2021. Virtual Conference. Available at: https://www.croiconference.org/abstract/dolphin2-final-results-dolutegravir-vs-efavirenz-in-late-pregnancy-to-72w-postpartum/?utm_source=rss&utm_medium=rss&utm_campaign=dolphin2-final-results-dolutegravir-vs-efavirenz-in-late-pregnancy-to-72w-postpartum&utm_source=rss&utm_medium=rss&utm_campaign=dolphin2-final-results-dolutegravir-vs-efavirenz-in-late-pregnancy-to-72w-postpartum.
27. Pascom ARP, Fonseca FF, Pinho RGG, Perini FB, Pereira G, Avelino-Silva VI. Impact of antiretroviral regimen on viral suppression among pregnant women living with HIV in Brazil. *Int J STD AIDS*. 2020;31(9):903-910. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32702281>.
28. Zash R, Holmes LB, Diseko M, et al. Update on neural tube defects with antiretroviral exposure in the Tsepamo study, Botswana. Abstract PEBLB14. Presented at: IAS; 2021. Virtual Conference Available at: https://www.ias2021.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/IAS2021_Abstracts_web.pdf
29. Mohan H, Lenis MG, Laurette EY, et al. Dolutegravir in pregnant mice is associated with increased rates of fetal defects at therapeutic but not at suprathreshold levels. *EBioMedicine*. 2021;63:103167. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33341441>.
30. Chandiwana NC, Chersich M, Venter WDF, et al. Unexpected interactions between dolutegravir and folate: randomized trial evidence from South Africa. *AIDS*. 2021;35(2):205-211. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33086234>.
31. Zamek-Glisczynski MJ, Zhang X, Mudunuru J, et al. Clinical extrapolation of the effects of dolutegravir and other HIV integrase inhibitors on folate transport pathways. *Drug Metab Dispos*. 2019;47(8):890-898. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31167838>.

32. Cabrera RM, Souder JP, Steele JW, et al. The antagonism of folate receptor by dolutegravir: developmental toxicity reduction by supplemental folic acid. *AIDS*. 2019;33(13):1967-1976. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31259764>.
33. Waitt C, Orrell C, Walimbwa S, et al. Safety and pharmacokinetics of dolutegravir in pregnant mothers with HIV infection and their neonates: a randomised trial (DOLPHIN-1 study). *PLoS Med*. 2019;16(9):e1002895. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31539371>.
34. Mulligan N, Best BM, Wang J, et al. Dolutegravir pharmacokinetics in pregnant and postpartum women living with HIV. *AIDS*. 2018;32(6):729-737. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29369162>.
35. Venter F, Sokhela S, Fairlie L, Serenata C. The ADVANCE trial: Phase 3, randomised comparison of TAF/FTC+DTG, TDF/FTC+DTG or TDF/FTC/EFV for first-line treatment of HIV-1 infection. Presented at: International AIDS Conference Virtual; 2020. Available at: https://www.natap.org/2020/IAC/IAC_84.htm.
36. Kouanfack C, Sanchez T, Wandji M, et al. Dolutegravir-versus low-dose efavirenz-based regimen for the initial treatment of HIV-1 infection in Cameroon: week 96 results of the ANRS 12313 NAMSAL trial. Presented at: International AIDS Conference Virtual; 2020.
37. Chinula L, Brummel SS, Ziemba L, Stranix-Chibanda L, Coletti A, Krotje Cea. Safety and efficacy of DTG vs EFV and TDF vs TAF in pregnancy: impact 2010 trial. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections; 2020. Boston, MA.
38. Caniglia EC, Shapiro R, Diseko M, et al. Weight gain during pregnancy among women initiating dolutegravir in Botswana. *EClinicalMedicine*. 2020;29-30:100615. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33437946>.
39. Jao, J. Antiretroviral class therapy and gestational weight gain: results from PHACS SMARTT. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections; 2021. Virtual Conference.
40. Jao J, Sun S, Legbedze J, et al. Dolutegravir use is associated with higher postpartum weight compared to efavirenz. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections; 2020. Boston, MA. Available at: <https://www.croiconference.org/abstract/dolutegravir-use-is-associated-with-higher-postpartum-weight-compared-to-efavirenz>.
41. Malaba TR, Chen T, Kintu K, et al. Postpartum weight changes in women initiating DTG vs EFV in pregnancy: dolPHIN-2. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections; 2020. Boston, MA. Available at: <https://www.croiconference.org/abstract/postpartum-weight-changes-in-women-initiating-dtg-vs-efv-in-pregnancy-dolphin-2>.
42. McKeown DA, Rosenvinge M, Donaghy S, et al. High neonatal concentrations of raltegravir following transplacental transfer in HIV-1 positive pregnant women. *AIDS*. 2010;24(15):2416-2418. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20827058>.

43. Pinnetti C, Baroncelli S, Villani P, et al. Rapid HIV-RNA decline following addition of raltegravir and tenofovir to ongoing highly active antiretroviral therapy in a woman presenting with high-level HIV viraemia at week 38 of pregnancy. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* 2010;65(9):2050-2052. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20630894>.
44. Jaworsky D, Thompson C, Yudin MH, et al. Use of newer antiretroviral agents, darunavir and etravirine with or without raltegravir, in pregnancy: a report of two cases. *Antivir Ther.* 2010;15(4):677-680. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20587860>.
45. Blonk M, Colbers A, Hidalgo-Tenorio C, et al. Raltegravir in HIV-1 infected pregnant women: pharmacokinetics, safety and efficacy. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2015;61(5):809-816. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25944344>.
46. Watts DH, Stek A, Best BM, et al. Raltegravir pharmacokinetics during pregnancy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr.* 2014;67(4):375-381. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25162818>.
47. Joao EC, Morrison RL, Shapiro DE, et al. Raltegravir versus efavirenz in antiretroviral-naive pregnant women living with HIV (NICHD P1081): an open-label, randomised, controlled, phase 4 trial. *Lancet HIV.* 2020;7(5):e322-e331. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32386720>.
48. Brites C, Nobrega I, Luz E, Travassos AG, Lorenzo C, Netto EM. Raltegravir versus lopinavir/ritonavir for treatment of HIV-infected late-presenting pregnant women. *HIV Clin Trials.* 2018;19(3):94-100. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29629852>.
49. Zheng Y, Hirt D, Delmas S, et al. Effect of pregnancy on unbound raltegravir concentrations in the ANRS 160 RalFe Trial. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 2020;64(10). Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32661003>.
50. Benamor Teixeira ML, Fuller TL, Fragoso Da Silveira Gouvea MI, et al. Efficacy of three antiretroviral regimens initiated during pregnancy: clinical experience in Rio de Janeiro. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 2020;64(12). Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33020151>.
51. Illan Ramos M, Mazariegos Orellana D, Prieto Tato LM, Navarro Gomez ML, Munoz Galligo E, Ramos Amador JT. Effectiveness and safety of integrase inhibitors in HIV-infected pregnant women followed up in the Madrid Cohort. *Med Clin (Barc).* 2020;155(10):441-444. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32473739>.
52. Bukkems VE, Post TM, Colbers AP, Burger DM, Svensson EM. A population pharmacokinetics analysis assessing the exposure of raltegravir once-daily 1200 mg in pregnant women living with HIV. *CPT Pharmacometrics Syst Pharmacol.* 2021;10(2):161-172. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33369217>.
53. van der Galien R, Ter Heine R, Greupink R, et al. Pharmacokinetics of HIV-integrase inhibitors during pregnancy: mechanisms, clinical implications and knowledge gaps. *Clin Pharmacokinet.* 2018;58(3):309-323. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29915921>.

54. Pain JB, Le MP, Caseris M, et al. Pharmacokinetics of dolutegravir in a premature neonate after HIV treatment intensification during pregnancy. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2015;59(6):3660-3662. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25845873>.
55. Rahangdale L, Cates J, Potter J, et al. Integrase inhibitors in late pregnancy and rapid HIV viral load reduction. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2016;214(3):385 e381-387. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26928154>.
56. Patel K, Huo Y, Jao J, et al. Viral suppression by delivery and birth outcomes among pregnant women living with HIV using dolutegravir in the United States: a comparative effectiveness and safety analysis. Presented at: AIDS 2020; 2020. Virtual. Available at: <http://programme.aids2020.org/Abstract/Abstract/1174>.
57. Bukkems V, Necsoi C, Tenorio CH, et al. Clinically significant lower elvitegravir exposure during third trimester of pregnant patients living with HIV: data from the PANNA study. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2020;ciaa488. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32330231>.
58. Momper J, Best BM, Wang J, et al. Elvitegravir/cobicistat pharmacokinetics in pregnant and postpartum women with HIV. *AIDS*. 2018;32(17):2507-2516. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30134297>.
59. Boyd SD, Sampson MR, Viswanathan P, Struble KA, Arya V, Sherwat AI. Cobicistat-containing antiretroviral regimens are not recommended during pregnancy: viewpoint. *AIDS*. 2019;33(6):1089-1093. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30946163>.
60. Badell ML, Sheth AN, Momplaisir F, et al. A multicenter analysis of elvitegravir use during pregnancy on HIV viral suppression and perinatal outcomes. *Open Forum Infect Dis*. 2019;6(4):ofz129. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31037241>.
61. Farrow T, Deaton C, Nguyen N, et al. Cumulative safety review of elvitegravir and bictegravir use during pregnancy and risk of neural tube defects. Abstract P030. Presented at: HIV Drug Therapy; 2018. Glasgow, United Kingdom. Available at: <http://hivglasgow.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/P030-4.pdf>.
62. Patel P, Thiagarajah S, Ford S, et al. Cabotegravir pharmacokinetic tail in pregnancy and neonatal outcomes. Abstract 775. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections 2020. Boston, MA. Available at: <https://www.croiconference.org/abstract/cabotegravir-pharmacokinetic-tail-in-pregnancy-and-neonatal-outcomes>.
63. Cabenuva (cabotegravir extended-release injectable suspension; rilpivirine extended-release injectable suspension), co-packaged for intramuscular use [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2021. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2021/212888s000lbl.pdf.
64. Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents. Optimizing antiretroviral therapy in the setting of viral suppression. 2021. Available at: <https://clinicalinfo.hiv.gov/en/guidelines/adult-and-adolescent-arv/optimizing-antiretroviral-therapy-setting-virologic-suppression>.

65. **Vocabria (cabotegravir) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2021. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2021/212887s000lbl.pdf.**
66. Schalkwijk S, Ter Heine R, Colbers A, et al. Evaluating darunavir/ritonavir dosing regimens for HIV-positive pregnant women using semi-mechanistic pharmacokinetic modelling. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* 2019;74(5):1348-1356. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30715324>.
67. Floridia M, Ravizza M, Masuelli G, et al. Atazanavir and lopinavir profile in pregnant women with HIV: tolerability, activity and pregnancy outcomes in an observational national study. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* 2014;69(5):1377-1384. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24370933>.
68. Caniglia EC, Patel K, Huo Y, et al. Atazanavir exposure in utero and neurodevelopment in infants: a comparative safety study. *AIDS.* 2016;30(8):1267-1278. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26867136>.
69. Rice ML, Zeldow B, Siberry GK, et al. Evaluation of risk for late language emergence after in utero antiretroviral drug exposure in HIV-exposed uninfected infants. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2013;32(10):e406-413. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24067563>.
70. Sirois PA, Huo Y, Williams PL, et al. Safety of perinatal exposure to antiretroviral medications: developmental outcomes in infants. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2013;32(6):648-655. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23340561>.
71. Crauwels HM, Osiyemi O, Zorrilla C, Bicer C, Brown K. Reduced exposure to darunavir and cobicistat in HIV-1-infected pregnant women receiving a darunavir/cobicistat-based regimen. *HIV Med.* 2019;20(5):337-343. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30873741>.
72. Momper J, Stek A, Wang J, et al. Pharmacokinetics of atazanavir boosted with cobicistat during pregnancy and postpartum. Presented at: Workshop on Clinical Pharmacology of HIV, Hepatitis, and other Antiviral Drugs; 2019. Noordwijk, The Netherlands.
73. Le MP, Mandelbrot L, Descamps D, et al. Pharmacokinetics, safety and efficacy of ritonavir-boosted atazanavir (300/100 mg once daily) in HIV-1-infected pregnant women. *Antivir Ther.* 2015;20(5):507-513. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25599649>.
74. Reyataz (atazanavir) package insert [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2018. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2018/021567s042,206352s007lbl.pdf.
75. Stek AM, Mirochnick M, Capparelli E, et al. Reduced lopinavir exposure during pregnancy. *AIDS.* 2006;20(15):1931-1939. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16988514>.
76. Villani P, Floridia M, Pirillo MF, et al. Pharmacokinetics of nelfinavir in HIV-1-infected pregnant and nonpregnant women. *Br J Clin Pharmacol.* 2006;62(3):309-315. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16934047>.

77. Bryson YJ, Mirochnick M, Stek A, et al. Pharmacokinetics and safety of nelfinavir when used in combination with zidovudine and lamivudine in HIV-infected pregnant women: Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group (PACTG) Protocol 353. *HIV Clin Trials*. 2008;9(2):115-125. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18474496>.
78. Mirochnick M, Best BM, Stek AM, et al. Lopinavir exposure with an increased dose during pregnancy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2008;49(5):485-491. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18989231>.
79. Read JS, Best BM, Stek AM, et al. Pharmacokinetics of new 625 mg nelfinavir formulation during pregnancy and postpartum. *HIV Med*. 2008;9(10):875-882. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18795962>.
80. Bouillon-Pichault M, Jullien V, Azria E, et al. Population analysis of the pregnancy-related modifications in lopinavir pharmacokinetics and their possible consequences for dose adjustment. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2009;63(6):1223-1232. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19389715>.
81. Best BM, Stek AM, Mirochnick M, et al. Lopinavir tablet pharmacokinetics with an increased dose during pregnancy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2010;54(4):381-388. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20632458>.
82. Ford N, Shubber Z, Pozniak A, et al. Comparative safety and neuropsychiatric adverse events associated with efavirenz use in first-line antiretroviral therapy: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized trials. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2015;69(4):422-429. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25850607>.
83. Jones DL, Rodriguez VJ, Alcaide ML, Weiss SM, Peltzer K. The use of efavirenz during pregnancy is associated with suicidal ideation in postpartum women in rural South Africa. *AIDS Behav*. 2019;23(1):126-131. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29959721>.
84. Mills AM, Antinori A, Clotet B, et al. Neurological and psychiatric tolerability of rilpivirine (TMC278) vs. efavirenz in treatment-naive, HIV-1-infected patients at 48 weeks. *HIV Med*. 2013;14(7):391-400. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23298380>.
85. Ford N, Calmy A, Mofenson L. Safety of efavirenz in the first trimester of pregnancy: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. *AIDS*. 2011;25(18):2301-2304. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21918421>.
86. Ford N, Shubber Z, Jao J, Abrams EJ, Frigati L, Mofenson L. Safety of cotrimoxazole in pregnancy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2014;66(5):512-521. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24853309>.
87. Zash R, Makhema J, Shapiro RL. Neural-tube defects with dolutegravir treatment from the time of conception. *N Engl J Med*. 2018;379(10):979-981. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30037297>.
88. Martinez de Tejada B, European Pregnancy Paediatric HIV Cohort Collaboration Study Group. Birth defects after exposure to efavirenz-based antiretroviral therapy at

- conception/first trimester of pregnancy: a multicohort analysis. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2019;80(3):316-324. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30570524>.
89. Bhattacharya D, Gupta A, Tierney C, et al. Hepatotoxicity and liver-related mortality in women of child-bearing potential living with HIV and high CD4 counts initiating efavirenz-containing regimens. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2020;ciaa244. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32161944>.
 90. Efavirenz (Sustiva) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2019. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2019/020972s057,021360s0451bl.pdf.
 91. British HIV Association. British HIV association guidelines for the management of HIV in pregnancy and postpartum 2018 (2020 third interim update). 2020. Available at: <https://www.bhiva.org/file/5f1aab1ab9aba/BHIVA-Pregnancy-guidelines-2020-3rd-interim-update.pdf>.
 92. Williams PL, Yildirim C, Chadwick EG, et al. Association of maternal antiretroviral use with microcephaly in children who are HIV-exposed but uninfected (SMARTT): a prospective cohort study. *Lancet HIV*. 2019;7(1):e49-e58. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31740351>.
 93. Schalkwijk S, Colbers A, Konopnicki D, et al. Lowered rilpivirine exposure during third trimester of pregnancy in HIV-1-positive women. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2017;65(8):1335-1341. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28595298>.
 94. Ramgopal M, Osiyemi O, Zorrilla C, et al. Pharmacokinetics of total and unbound etravirine in HIV-1-infected pregnant women. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2016;73(3):268-274. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27159225>.
 95. Colbers A, Best B, Schalkwijk S, et al. Maraviroc pharmacokinetics in HIV-1-infected pregnant women. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2015;61(10):1582-1589. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26202768>.

Pregnant People with HIV Who Have Never Received Antiretroviral Drugs (Antiretroviral Naive)

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Panel's Recommendations
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Antiretroviral therapy (ART) is recommended for all pregnant people with HIV to reduce the risk of perinatal HIV transmission and to optimize the health of the pregnant person (AI). Initiating ART as soon as possible in pregnant people who have never received antiretroviral (ARV) drugs is recommended, based on data demonstrating that earlier virologic suppression is associated with a lower risk of perinatal HIV transmission (AII).• The results of ARV drug-resistance studies should guide the selection of ARV regimens in people whose HIV RNA levels are above the threshold for resistance testing (i.e., >500 copies/mL to 1,000 copies/mL) (see Antiretroviral Drug Resistance and Resistance Testing in Pregnancy) (AII). However, ART initiation should not be delayed while awaiting results of resistance testing. When ART is initiated before the results of the drug-resistance assays are available, the ARV regimen should be modified, if necessary, based on the resistance assay results (AII).• ARV regimens that are Preferred for the treatment of pregnant people with HIV who are ARV-naive include a dual-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor combination (abacavir plus lamivudine [3TC], tenofovir disoproxil fumarate plus either emtricitabine [FTC] or 3TC, or tenofovir alafenamide plus either FTC or 3TC) and either a ritonavir-boosted protease inhibitor (atazanavir/ritonavir or darunavir/ritonavir) or an integrase strand transfer inhibitor (dolutegravir [irrespective of trimester] or raltegravir) (see Table 4 and Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy) (AIII).• The Panel on Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal HIV (the Panel) emphasizes the importance of counseling and informed decision-making with regard to all ARV regimens for pregnant people with HIV (AIII). See Appendix C: Antiretroviral Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers for more information.
<p><i>Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional</i></p> <p><i>Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion</i></p>

Pregnant people with HIV should receive standard clinical, immunologic, and virologic evaluations. Consistent with the principles of HIV treatment for nonpregnant adults, clinicians should discuss treatment options with pregnant people and offer antiretroviral (ARV) regimens that contain at least three drugs. These regimens reduce the risk of perinatal HIV transmission and optimize the pregnant person's health. Use of an ARV regimen that successfully reduces plasma HIV RNA to undetectable levels substantially lowers the risk of perinatal transmission of HIV, minimizes the need to consider elective cesarean delivery as an intervention to reduce the risk of transmission, and reduces the risk of ARV drug resistance.

Decisions about the timing and management of antiretroviral therapy (ART) in people who have not previously received ART should be guided by several key principles:

A suppressed viral load at the time of delivery markedly reduces the risk of perinatal HIV transmission.

In an analysis of 12,486 infants delivered by women with HIV between 2000 and 2011 in the United Kingdom and Ireland, the overall perinatal transmission rate declined from 2.1% in 2000 and 2001 to 0.46% in 2010 and 2011. The transmission risk was significantly lower in women with viral loads <50 copies/mL (0.09%) than in women with viral loads of 50 copies/mL to 399 copies/mL (1.0%), regardless of the type of ARV regimen used or the mode of infant delivery.¹ The decline in perinatal transmission rates over time was attributed to the increasing number of women on ART at the time of conception and reductions in the proportion of women who either initiated ART late in pregnancy or who never received ART before delivery.

Initiating ART early increases the likelihood that a person will achieve viral suppression by the time of delivery, further reducing the risk of perinatal HIV transmission.

Although most perinatal HIV transmission events occur late in pregnancy or during delivery, recent analyses suggest that early control of viral replication may be important in preventing transmission. In the prospective multicenter French Perinatal Cohort, both **maternal** viral load at delivery and the timing of ART initiation were independently associated with perinatal HIV transmission rate. For women who had achieved viral loads <50 copies/mL at the time of delivery, transmission risk was 0.9% with third-trimester ART initiation, 0.5% with second-trimester initiation, 0.2% with first-trimester initiation, and 0% (of more than 2,500 infants) with preconception ART initiation. Regardless of when ART was initiated, perinatal transmission rate was higher for women with viral loads of 50 copies/mL to 400 copies/mL near delivery than for those with <50 copies/mL, and it was higher for people with viral loads >400 copies/mL at delivery (4.4% for women who initiated ART in the third trimester and who had viral loads >400 copies/mL at delivery).² **Other studies have similarly found lack of early and sustained viremic control to be strongly associated with increased risk of perinatal HIV transmission.**^{3,4}

These data suggest that ART should be initiated as early as possible in ARV-naive pregnant people because early and sustained control of HIV viral replication is associated with a decreased risk of perinatal HIV transmission. Other studies have demonstrated that baseline viral load is significantly associated with the likelihood of viral suppression by the time of delivery; thus, prompt initiation of ART is particularly important in pregnant people who have high baseline viral loads.⁵⁻⁸

The benefits of initiating ART early in pregnancy generally outweigh the risks.

The susceptibility of fetuses to the potential adverse effects of drugs is dependent on multiple factors, including the gestational age of the fetus at the time of medication exposure (see [Teratogenicity and Antiretroviral Drug Regimens and Maternal and Neonatal Outcomes](#)). The effects of taking ARV drugs during pregnancy are not fully known; however, in general, the data from observational studies on the incidence of birth defects among fetuses/infants of women who received ARV regimens during pregnancy have been reassuring. No differences have been found between the rates of birth defects among infants with first-trimester exposures to most ARV drugs and the rates among infants with later gestational exposures or the rates reported in the general population.⁹⁻¹⁴ See [Teratogenicity](#) for a more detailed discussion of the adverse events that are associated with the use of specific ARV drugs. The decision about when to initiate ART should be discussed by health care providers and

their patients. The discussion should include an assessment of the pregnant person's health status, the risks and benefits to the individual's health, and the potential risks and benefits to the fetus.

ARV drugs further reduce perinatal HIV transmission risk through infant pre-exposure and post-exposure prophylaxis.

ARV drugs reduce the risk of perinatal HIV transmission through a number of different mechanisms. Although lowering antenatal viral load is an important component of preventing HIV transmission in people with higher viral loads, ART use during pregnancy reduces transmission even in people with low viral loads.¹⁵⁻¹⁹ Additional mechanisms that reduce the risk of perinatal HIV transmission include pre-exposure prophylaxis and post-exposure prophylaxis for the infant.

The passage of an ARV drug across the placenta produces drug levels that inhibit viral replication in the fetus, effectively providing pre-exposure prophylaxis. This is particularly important during the birth process when intensive viral exposure can occur. All *Preferred* nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs), as well as dolutegravir (DTG) and raltegravir (RAL), are known to have high transplacental passage (see [Table 11](#)). ARV drugs administered to the infant after birth provide a form of post-exposure prophylaxis (see [Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection](#)).²⁰

Specific ARV regimens are *Preferred* for use in pregnancy.

The decision about which ARV drugs to use during pregnancy should be made by a pregnant person after discussing the known and potential benefits and risks to the individual and the fetus/infant with their health care provider.

All pregnant people with HIV should be counseled that the use of ART is recommended, regardless of viral load, to optimally reduce the risk of perinatal transmission. If, after counseling, a person chooses to forgo the use of ARV drugs during pregnancy, this decision should be re-addressed during subsequent medical appointments. The [Perinatal HIV Hotline](#) (1-888-448-8765) can provide information to assist with the discussion.

[Table 4](#) and [Table 5](#) outline the ARV regimens that are designated by the Panel on Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission as *Preferred* for treatment of pregnant people with HIV who have never received ARV drugs, people who are continuing or restarting ART in pregnancy, or people who are trying to conceive (see [Pregnant People with HIV Who Have Previously Received Antiretroviral Treatment or Prophylaxis but Are Not Currently Receiving Any Antiretroviral Medications](#) and [Pregpregnancy Counseling and Care for Persons of Childbearing Age with HIV](#)). Drugs or drug combinations are designated as *Preferred* for therapy in pregnant people when clinical trial data in adults have demonstrated efficacy and durability with acceptable toxicity and ease of use, and when pregnancy-specific pharmacokinetic (PK) data are available to guide dosing. In addition, the available data must suggest a favorable risk-benefit balance for the drug or drug combination compared to other ARV drug options; the assessment of risks and benefits should incorporate outcomes for pregnant people, fetuses, and infants. Some *Preferred* drugs or regimens may have minimal toxicity or teratogenicity risks that are offset by other advantages for people with HIV who are pregnant or who are trying to conceive. Therefore, it is important for health care providers to read all the information on each drug in the Perinatal Guidelines before administering any of these medications to patients (see [Appendix B: Supplement: Safety and Toxicity of Individual Antiretroviral Agents in Pregnancy](#)) and provide appropriate patient counseling to support informed

decision-making (see [Appendix C: Antiretroviral Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers](#)). Preferred regimens include a dual-NRTI combination (abacavir plus lamivudine [3TC] or tenofovir disoproxil fumarate [TDF], or tenofovir alafenamide [TAF] plus emtricitabine [FTC] or 3TC) used with either a ritonavir-boosted protease inhibitor (PI; atazanavir/ritonavir [ATV/r] or [darunavir/ritonavir]) or an integrase strand transfer inhibitor (INSTI) DTG or RAL.

RAL or DTG has been suggested for use when ART is initiated late in pregnancy, particularly for people who have high viral loads, because of the ability of RAL and DTG to suppress viral load rapidly (a decrease of approximately 2 log₁₀ copies/mL occurs by Week 2 of therapy with these drugs).²¹⁻²⁵ In the Dolutegravir in Pregnant HIV Women and Their Neonates (DolPHIN 2) study, 268 ART-naive women in Uganda and South Africa were randomized to receive DTG plus two NRTIs or efavirenz (EFV) plus two NRTIs at a median gestational age of 31 weeks. At delivery, women in the DTG arm were significantly more likely to achieve viral loads of <50 copies/mL (74.1% vs. 42.7%; adjusted risk ratio 1.64 [1.31–2.06], *P* < 0.0001) than women in the EFV arm.²⁶ Similarly, the International Maternal Pediatric Adolescent AIDS Clinical Trials (IMPAACT) 1081 trial randomized 408 ART-naive women in South America, Africa, Thailand, and the United States who presented late in pregnancy (20 to <37 weeks gestation) to receive RAL plus two NRTIs or EFV plus two NRTIs. Among 307 women in the primary efficacy analysis, 84% in the EFV group and 94% in the RAL group achieved a viral load of <200 copies/mL at or near delivery (absolute difference 10% [95% CI, 3% to 18%]; *P* = 0.0015); the difference primarily occurred among women enrolling later in pregnancy (interaction *P* = 0.040). The median time to achieve a viral load of <200 copies/mL was 8 days for women who received RAL-based ART and 15 days for women who received EFV-based ART. The decline in viral load was greater in the women who received RAL than in those who received EFV at 2, 4, and 6 weeks after initiation.²⁷

Resistance tests should be performed, but ART initiation should not be delayed while waiting for results.

Standard ARV drug-resistance testing should be performed before starting an ARV regimen when plasma HIV RNA levels are above the threshold for resistance testing (i.e., >500 copies/mL to 1,000 copies/mL). INSTI-resistance testing is not routinely recommended, but it should be performed for people who are at risk for INSTI resistance (e.g., people with partners who were treated with INSTIs, people who had prior treatment that included INSTIs; see [Antiretroviral Drug Resistance and Resistance Testing in Pregnancy](#)).²⁸ For details regarding genotypic and phenotypic resistance testing, see the [Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines](#). Given the association between earlier viral suppression and lower risk of perinatal HIV transmission, ART should be initiated as soon as possible in pregnant people who have never received ARV drugs without waiting for the results of resistance testing. The regimen can be modified, if required, when test results return. Either an INSTI-based or a PI-based ARV regimen can be considered when the results of resistance testing are not available to inform the selection of ARV drugs because clinically significant resistance to PIs and INSTIs is uncommon in ARV-naive individuals.

Regimens other than combination (three-drug) ART are not recommended.

The use of zidovudine (ZDV) monotherapy during pregnancy **is not recommended** because ART provides clear health benefits to the pregnant individual and helps prevent perinatal HIV transmission. In the past, the use of ZDV monotherapy during pregnancy for prophylaxis of perinatal transmission was an option for people who had low viral loads (i.e., <1,000 copies/mL) on no ARV drugs. Although the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines recommend some two-drug

ARV regimens in certain clinical circumstances, two-drug ARV regimens **are not recommended** for initiation of ART in ARV-naive pregnant people.

ARV regimens can be modified postpartum.

ARV regimens that were initiated during pregnancy can be modified after delivery. People may be able to use some simplified regimens that could not be used during pregnancy because the pregnancy, safety, and/or PK data for those regimens were insufficient. Decisions regarding which specific ARV agents to use postpartum should be made by patients after they have discussed their options with their HIV care providers. These decisions should take several factors into consideration, including the current adult ART recommendations, the patient's plans for contraceptive use and future pregnancies, and individual adherence considerations and medication preferences (see [General Principles Regarding Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy](#)).

References

1. Townsend CL, Byrne L, Cortina-Borja M, et al. Earlier initiation of ART and further decline in mother-to-child HIV transmission rates, 2000–2011. *AIDS*. 2014;28(7):1049-1057. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24566097>.
2. Mandelbrot L, Tubiana R, Le Chenadec J, et al. No perinatal HIV-1 transmission from women with effective antiretroviral therapy starting before conception. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2015;61(11):1715-1725. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26197844>.
3. Tubiana R, Le Chenadec J, Rouzioux C, et al. Factors associated with mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 despite a maternal viral load <500 copies/mL at delivery: a case-control study nested in the French perinatal cohort (EPF-ANRS CO1). *Clin Infect Dis*. 2010;50(4):585-596. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20070234>.
4. Forbes JC, Alimenti AM, Singer J, et al. A national review of vertical HIV transmission. *AIDS*. 2012;26(6):757-763. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22210635>.
5. Read PJ, Mandalia S, Khan P, et al. When should HAART be initiated in pregnancy to achieve an undetectable HIV viral load by delivery? *AIDS*. 2012;26(9):1095-1103. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22441248>.
6. Katz IT, Shapiro R, Li D, et al. Risk factors for detectable HIV-1 RNA at delivery among women receiving highly active antiretroviral therapy in the women and infants transmission study. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2010;54(1):27-34. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20065861>.
7. Aziz N, Sokoloff A, Kornak J, et al. Time to viral load suppression in antiretroviral-naive and -experienced HIV-infected pregnant women on highly active antiretroviral therapy: implications for pregnant women presenting late in gestation. *BJOG*. 2013;120(12):1534-1547. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23924192>.
8. Myer L, Phillips TK, McIntyre JA, et al. HIV viraemia and mother-to-child transmission risk after antiretroviral therapy initiation in pregnancy in Cape Town, South Africa. *HIV Med*. 2017;18(2):80-88. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27353189>.
9. da Costa TP, Machado ES, et al. Malformations among HIV vertically exposed newborns—results from a Brazilian cohort study. Presented at: 6th IAS Conference on HIV Pathogenesis and Treatment and Prevention; 2011. Rome, Italy.
10. Watts DH, Huang S, Culnane M, et al. Birth defects among a cohort of infants born to HIV-infected women on antiretroviral medication. *J Perinat Med*. 2011;39(2):163-170. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21142844>.
11. Knapp KM, Brogly SB, Muenz DG, et al. Prevalence of congenital anomalies in infants with in utero exposure to antiretrovirals. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2012;31(2):164-170. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21983213>.

12. Floridia M, Mastroiacovo P, Tamburrini E, et al. Birth defects in a national cohort of pregnant women with HIV infection in Italy, 2001–2011. *BJOG*. 2013;120(12):1466-1475. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23721372>.
13. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry international interim report for 1 January 1989–31 January 2021. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2021. Available at: <http://www.apregistry.com>.
14. Zash R, Holmes LB, Diseko M, et al. Update on neural tube defects with antiretroviral exposure in the Tsepamo study, Botswana. Abstract PEBLB14. Presented at: IAS; 2021. Virtual Conference Available at: https://www.ias2021.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/IAS2021_Abstacts_web.pdf
15. Ioannidis JP, Abrams EJ, Ammann A, et al. Perinatal transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 by pregnant women with RNA virus loads <1000 copies/mL. *J Infect Dis*. 2001;183(4):539-545. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11170978>.
16. Wade NA, Birkhead GS, Warren BL, et al. Abbreviated regimens of zidovudine prophylaxis and perinatal transmission of the human immunodeficiency virus. *N Engl J Med*. 1998;339(20):1409-1414. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9811915>.
17. Jackson JB, Musoke P, Fleming T, et al. Intrapartum and neonatal single-dose nevirapine compared with zidovudine for prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 in Kampala, Uganda: 18-month follow-up of the HIVNET 012 randomised trial. *Lancet*. 2003;362(9387):859-868. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/13678973>.
18. Petra Study Team. Efficacy of three short-course regimens of zidovudine and lamivudine in preventing early and late transmission of HIV-1 from mother to child in Tanzania, South Africa, and Uganda (Petra study): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2002;359(9313):1178-1186. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11955535>.
19. Moodley D, Moodley J, Coovadia H, et al. A multicenter randomized controlled trial of nevirapine versus a combination of zidovudine and lamivudine to reduce intrapartum and early postpartum mother-to-child transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1. *J Infect Dis*. 2003;187(5):725-735. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12599045>.
20. Liu XI, Momper JD, Rakhmanina NY, et al. Physiologically based pharmacokinetic modeling framework to predict neonatal pharmacokinetics of transplacentally acquired emtricitabine, dolutegravir, and raltegravir. *Clin Pharmacokinet*. 2021;60(6):795-809. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33527213>.
21. Grinsztejn B, Nguyen BY, Katlama C, et al. Safety and efficacy of the HIV-1 integrase inhibitor raltegravir (MK-0518) in treatment-experienced patients with multidrug-resistant virus: a phase II randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2007;369(9569):1261-1269. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17434401>.

22. Papendorp SG, van den Berk GE. Preoperative use of raltegravir-containing regimen as induction therapy: very rapid decline of HIV-1 viral load. *AIDS*. 2009;23(6):739. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19279447>.
23. Pinnetti C, Baroncelli S, Villani P, et al. Rapid HIV-RNA decline following addition of raltegravir and tenofovir to ongoing highly active antiretroviral therapy in a woman presenting with high-level HIV viraemia at week 38 of pregnancy. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2010;65(9):2050-2052. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20630894>.
24. McKeown DA, Rosenvinge M, Donaghy S, et al. High neonatal concentrations of raltegravir following transplacental transfer in HIV-1 positive pregnant women. *AIDS*. 2010;24(15):2416-2418. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20827058>.
25. Waitt C, Orrell C, Walimbwa S, et al. Safety and pharmacokinetics of dolutegravir in pregnant mothers with HIV infection and their neonates: A randomised trial (DolPHIN-1 study). *PLoS Med*. 2019;16(9):e1002895. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31539371>.
26. Kintu K, Malaba TR, Nakibuka J, et al. Dolutegravir versus efavirenz in women starting HIV therapy in late pregnancy (DolPHIN-2): an open-label, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet HIV*. 2020;7(5):e332-e339. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32386721>.
27. Joao EC, Morrison RL, Shapiro DE, et al. Raltegravir versus efavirenz in antiretroviral-naive pregnant women living with HIV (NICHHD P1081): an open-label, randomised, controlled, phase 4 trial. *Lancet HIV*. 2020;7(5):e322-e331. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32386720>.
28. Koullias Y, Sax PE, Fields NF, Walensky RP, Hyle EP. Should we be testing for baseline integrase resistance in patients newly diagnosed with human immunodeficiency virus? *Clin Infect Dis*. 2017;65(8):1274-1281. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28605418>.

Table 4. What to Start: Initial Antiretroviral Regimens During Pregnancy for People Who Are Antiretroviral-Naive

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Recommendations for initial antiretroviral therapy (ART) therapy during pregnancy are intended for people **who have never received ART or antiretroviral (ARV) drugs for prophylaxis** (i.e., people who are ARV-naive) and who show no evidence of significant resistance to regimen components (see [Pregnant People with HIV Who Have Never Received Antiretroviral Drugs](#) and [Table 5](#)).

In general, the Panel on Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission (the Panel) recommends that people **who are already on fully suppressive ARV regimens when pregnancy occurs should continue to use those regimens**, unless they are receiving an ARV drug or ARV regimen that is not recommended for use in adults or concerns exist about safety and inferior efficacy during pregnancy (see [Table 5](#) and [Pregnant People with HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy](#)). Clinicians may need to consider additional factors when initiating ART in patients who previously received ART or ARV drugs for prophylaxis (see [Pregnant People with HIV Who Have Previously Received Antiretroviral Treatment or Prophylaxis but Are Not Currently Receiving Any Antiretroviral Medications](#) and [Table 5](#)).

Regimens are listed alphabetically within each drug class and recommendation category, so the order does not indicate a ranking of preference. In addition, **except where noted below**, the Panel makes no recommendation of one agent or regimen over another within each category (*Preferred* or *Alternative*). The table also indicates antiretroviral drugs or regimens that are available in fixed-dose combination (FDC) tablets. **Patients and providers should make shared decisions about which ARV drugs to use during pregnancy after discussing the known and potential risks to pregnant people and their fetuses** (see [Appendix C: Antiretroviral Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers and Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy](#)).

Note: For more information about the use of specific drugs and dosing in pregnancy, see [Table 5](#), the individual drug sections in [Appendix B](#), and [Table 11](#).

Preferred Initial Regimens in Pregnancy	
<p>Drugs or drug combinations are designated as <i>Preferred</i> for therapy during pregnancy when clinical trial data in adults have demonstrated efficacy and durability with acceptable toxicity and ease of use, and pregnancy-specific PK data are available to guide dosing. In addition, the available data must suggest a favorable risk-benefit balance for the drug or drug combination compared with other ARV drug options; the assessment of risks and benefits should incorporate maternal, pregnancy, fetal, and infant outcomes. Some <i>Preferred</i> drugs or regimens may have minimal toxicity or teratogenicity risks that are offset by other advantages for people with HIV who are pregnant or who are trying to conceive. Therefore, it is important to read all the information on each drug in the Perinatal Guidelines before administering any of these medications to patients (see Appendix B: Supplement: Safety and Toxicity of Individual Antiretroviral Agents in Pregnancy).</p>	
Preferred Dual-NRTI Backbones	
ABC/3TC	Available as an FDC. Can be administered once daily. ABC should not be used in patients who test positive for HLA-B*5701 because of the risk of developing a hypersensitivity reaction. ABC/3TC administered with ATV/r or EFV is not recommended if pretreatment HIV RNA is >100,000 copies/mL.
TAF/FTC or TAF plus 3TC	TAF/FTC is available as an FDC. Either coformulated TAF/FTC or separate doses of TAF and 3TC can be administered once daily. When combined with DTG, the efficacy and toxicity of TAF/FTC and TDF/FTC for treatment of pregnant patients are similar, but TAF/FTC is associated with fewer adverse birth outcomes and slightly higher gestational weight gain.
TDF/FTC or TDF/3TC	TDF/FTC is available as an FDC. Either coformulated TDF/FTC or separate doses of TDF and 3TC can be administered once daily. TDF has potential renal toxicity; thus, TDF-based, dual-NRTI combinations should be used with caution in patients with renal insufficiency.
Preferred INSTI Regimens	
DTG/ABC/3TC (FDC) or DTG plus a Preferred Dual-NRTI Backbone ^a	Administered once daily. The use of DTG/ABC/3TC requires HLA-B*5701 testing before starting therapy because this FDC contains ABC. INSTI-based regimens may be particularly useful when drug interactions or the potential for preterm delivery with a PI-based regimen are a concern. In nonpregnant adults, DTG is associated with lower rates of INSTI resistance than RAL; like RAL, DTG has been shown to rapidly decrease viral load in ARV-naïve pregnant women who present to care later in pregnancy. DTG is the only <i>Preferred agent recommended</i> for the treatment of acute HIV infection during pregnancy . Either DTG or RAL is the Preferred agent for patients who present to care late in pregnancy. However, DTG is the only Preferred drug for pregnant patients with acute HIV (see Acute HIV Infection). Specific timing and/or fasting recommendations apply if DTG is taken with calcium or iron (e.g., in prenatal vitamins; see Table 11). The use of DTG at conception has been associated with a small increase in the risk of NTDs, but this was not seen when DTG was started during pregnancy. However, in the most recent data from Botswana, there was no longer a significant difference in NTDs with the use of DTG-containing compared to non-DTG containing ARV regimens at conception. This information should be discussed with patients to ensure informed decision-making. For more information, see Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy, Table 5, Teratogenicity , and Appendix C: Antiretroviral Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers .
RAL plus a Preferred Dual-NRTI Backbone	PK data are available for RAL in pregnancy when using the twice-daily formulation (400 mg twice daily), but data are not available for the once-daily 1,200 mg (2 × 600 mg) extended-release formulation “raltegravir HD.” Twice-daily dosing is required in pregnancy. RAL has been shown to produce rapid viral load decline to undetectable levels in women who present for initial therapy late in pregnancy and thus is a Preferred ARV option in this setting . However, RAL is an Alternative ARV for persons diagnosed with acute HIV during pregnancy (see Acute HIV Infection). INSTI-based regimens may be particularly useful when drug interactions or the potential for preterm delivery with PI-based regimens are a concern. Specific timing and/or fasting recommendations apply if RAL is taken with calcium or iron (e.g., in prenatal vitamins; see Table 11).
Preferred PI Regimens	

ATV/r plus a Preferred Dual-NRTI Backbone	Once-daily administration. Extensive experience with use in pregnancy. Maternal hyperbilirubinemia; no clinically significant neonatal hyperbilirubinemia or kernicterus reported, but neonatal bilirubin monitoring is recommended. Cannot be administered with PPIs. Specific timing recommended for dosing with H2 blockers (see Table 11).
DRV/r plus a Preferred Dual-NRTI Backbone	Must be used twice daily in pregnancy.
Alternative Initial Regimens in Pregnancy	
Drugs or drug combinations are designated as <i>Alternative</i> options for therapy during pregnancy when clinical trial data in adults show efficacy, and the data in pregnant individuals are generally favorable, but limited. Most <i>Alternative</i> drugs or regimens are associated with more PK, dosing, tolerability, formulation, administration, or interaction concerns than those in the <i>Preferred</i> category, but they are acceptable for use in pregnancy. Some <i>Alternative</i> drugs or regimens may have known toxicity or teratogenicity risks that are offset by other advantages for people with HIV who are pregnant or who are trying to conceive. Therefore, it is important to read all the information on each drug in the Perinatal Guidelines before administering any of these medications to patients (see Appendix B: Supplement: Safety and Toxicity of Individual Antiretroviral Agents in Pregnancy).	
Alternative Dual-NRTI Backbones	
ZDV/3TC	Available as an FDC. Although not recommended for initial therapy in nonpregnant adults, ZDV/3TC is the NRTI combination with most experience for use in pregnancy. It has the disadvantages of requiring twice-daily administration and having the potential for hematologic toxicities and other toxicities.
Alternative NNRTI Regimens	
EFV/TDF/FTC (FDC) or EFV/TDF/3TC (FDC) or EFV plus a Preferred Dual-NRTI Backbone	Birth defects have been reported in primate studies of EFV, but no evidence has been found of an increased risk of birth defects in human studies and extensive experience in pregnancy; cautionary text remains in the package insert (see Teratogenicity , Efavirenz , and Table 11). These regimens are useful for patients who require treatment with drugs that have significant interactions with <i>Preferred</i> agents or who need the convenience of a coformulated, single-tablet, once-daily regimen and are not eligible for DTG or RPV. Screening for antenatal and postpartum depression is recommended. Higher rate of adverse events than some <i>Preferred</i> drugs.
RPV/TDF/FTC (FDC) or RPV/TAF/FTC (FDC) RPV (oral) plus a Preferred Dual-NRTI Backbone	RPV is not recommended in patients with pretreatment HIV RNA >100,000 copies/mL or CD4 counts <200 cells/mm ³ . Do not use with PPIs. PK data are available for pregnant individuals, but there is relatively little experience with use in pregnancy. PK data suggest lower drug levels and risk of viral rebound in the second and third trimesters; if used, consider monitoring viral load more frequently. Should be taken with food. Available in a coformulated, single-tablet, once-daily regimen.
Insufficient Data in Pregnancy to Recommend for Initial Regimens in People Who Are ART-Naive	
These drugs are approved for use in adults but lack adequate pregnancy-specific PK or safety data.	
BIC/TAF/FTC (FDC)	Limited data on the use of BIC in pregnancy.
DOR	No data on the use of DOR in pregnancy.
IBA	No data on the use of IBA in pregnancy.

Not Recommended for Initial ART or Use in Pregnancy	
<p>These drugs and drug combinations are recommended for use in adults but are not recommended for use during pregnancy because of limited data about use in pregnancy and/or concerns about maternal or fetal safety or PK changes or inferior efficacy, including viral breakthroughs in the second and third trimester (see Table 5 and Table 11).</p> <p>Note: When a pregnant person presents to care while virally suppressed on one of these drugs or drug combinations, providers should consider whether to continue their current regimen or switch to a recommended ARV regimen (see Pregnant People with HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy and Table 5).</p>	
ATV/c	Limited data exist on the use of ATV with COBI in pregnancy. Substantial reductions in trough levels of ATV in the second and third trimesters have been reported when taken with COBI.
DRV/c (FDC) or DRV/c/FTC/TAF (FDC)	Limited data exist on the use of DRV with COBI in pregnancy. Inadequate levels of both DRV and COBI in second and third trimester, as well as viral breakthroughs, have been reported.
EVG/c/FTC/TAF (FDC) or EVG/c/FTC/TDF (FDC)	Limited data exist on the use of EVG with COBI (see above). Inadequate levels of both EVG and COBI in the second and third trimester, as well as viral breakthroughs, have been reported. Specific timing and/or fasting recommendations apply, especially if taken with calcium or iron (e.g., in prenatal vitamins; see Table 11).
Long-acting injectable CAB plus RPV (co-packaged formulation)	Limited data on the use of CAB plus RPV in pregnancy. Not recommended for initial treatment for ARV naive adults or adolescents (pregnant or nonpregnant). Due to the long half-life of injectable CAB and RPV, drug levels may persist up to 12 months after the last dose.
Not Recommended for Initial ART in Pregnancy and Not Recommended, Except in Special Circumstances, for Treatment-Experienced People in Pregnancy	
<p>These drugs are not recommended for use in pregnant people who have never received ART. With the exception of NVP and LPV/r, data about the PKs, safety, and efficacy of these drugs during pregnancy are limited.</p> <p>Some of these drugs also are categorized as not recommended except in special circumstances during pregnancy because the Panel recognizes that circumstances may exist in which patients who are ART-experienced may need to initiate or continue these drugs during pregnancy to reach or maintain viral suppression (see Table 5).</p>	
ETR	Not recommended for use in nonpregnant ART-naive populations. Data about the use of ETR in pregnancy are limited.
FTR	Not recommended for use in nonpregnant ART-naive populations. Data about the use of FTR in pregnancy are limited.
LPV/r plus a Preferred Dual-NRTI Backbone	Abundant experience and established PKs in pregnancy. Has been associated with an increased risk of preterm delivery (see Antiretroviral Drug Regimens and Maternal and Neonatal Outcomes). More nausea than with <i>Preferred or Alternative</i> agents. If LPV/r is used, it should be dosed twice daily in pregnancy; data suggest that once-daily LPV/r will not achieve sufficient plasma concentrations. Some experts recommend an increased dose of LPV/r in the second and third trimesters (see Table 11 and Lopinavir/Ritonavir).
MVC	Not recommended for use in nonpregnant ART-naive populations. MVC requires tropism testing before use. Available PK data suggest that using the standard adult dose is appropriate for pregnant patients, although data about use in pregnancy are limited.

NVP	Not recommended because of the potential for adverse events, complex lead-in dosing, and low barrier to resistance. NVP should be used with caution when initiating ART in women with CD4 counts >250 cells/mm ³ . Use NVP and ABC together with caution; both can cause hypersensitivity reactions in the first few weeks after initiation.
T-20	Not recommended for use in nonpregnant ART-naive populations.

Note: The following drugs and drug combinations (not listed above) should not be used during pregnancy; women who become pregnant while taking these medications should switch to a recommended regimen: d4T, ddl, FPV, FPV/r, IDV, IDV/r, NFV, RTV (as the sole PI), SQV, SQV/r, TPV, TPV/r, two-drug ARV regimens, or a three-NRTI ARV regimen (e.g., ABC/ZDV/3TC). See [Archived Drugs](#) in the Perinatal Guidelines and [What Not to Use](#) in the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines for individual ARV drugs, ARV combinations, and ARV regimens that are not recommended or that should not be used in adults.

Key: 3TC = lamivudine; ABC = abacavir; ART = antiretroviral therapy; ARV = antiretroviral; ATV = atazanavir; ATV/c = atazanavir/cobicistat; ATV/r = atazanavir/ritonavir; BIC = bictegravir; CD4 = CD4 T lymphocyte cell; **CAB = cabotegravir**; COBI = cobicistat; d4T = stavudine; ddl = didanosine; DOR = doravirine; DRV = darunavir; DRV/c = darunavir/cobicistat; DRV/r = darunavir/ritonavir; DTG = dolutegravir; EFV = efavirenz; ETR = etravirine; EVG = elvitegravir; EVG/c = elvitegravir/cobicistat; FDC = fixed-dose combination; FPV = fosamprenavir; FPV/r = fosamprenavir/ritonavir; FTC = emtricitabine; **FTR = fostemsavir**; IBA = ibalizumab; IDV = indinavir; IDV/r = indinavir/ritonavir; INSTI = integrase strand transfer inhibitor; LPV/r = lopinavir/ritonavir; MVC = maraviroc; NFV = nelfinavir; NNRTI = non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor; NRTI = nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor; NTD = neural tube defect; NVP = nevirapine; the Panel = the Panel on Treatment of Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission; PI = protease inhibitor; PK = pharmacokinetic; PPI = proton pump inhibitor; RAL = raltegravir; RPV = rilpivirine; RTV = ritonavir; SQV = saquinavir; SQV/r = saquinavir/ritonavir; T-20 = enfuvirtide; TAF = tenofovir alafenamide; TDF = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate; TPV = tipranavir; TPV/r = tipranavir/ritonavir; ZDV = zidovudine

Table 5. Situation-Specific Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs in Pregnant People and Nonpregnant People Who Are Trying to Conceive

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

People should be given information about the benefits and risks of initiating an antiretroviral (ARV) regimen or making changes to an existing regimen during pregnancy or when trying to conceive so they can make informed decisions about their care (see [Appendix C: Antiretroviral Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers](#)). Patient autonomy and informed choice should be considered in all aspects of medical care, including HIV and obstetric care. These are primary guiding principles in all the Panel’s recommendations.

ART Regimen Component	ART for Pregnant People Who Have Never Received ARV Drugs and Who Are Initiating ART for the First Time	Continuing ART for People Who Become Pregnant on a Fully Suppressive, Well-Tolerated Regimen	ART for Pregnant People Who Have Received ARV Drugs in the Past and Who Are Restarting ART ^a	New ART Regimen for Pregnant People Whose Current Regimen Is Not Well Tolerated and/or Is Not Fully Suppressive ^a	ART for Nonpregnant People Who Are Trying to Conceive ^{a,b}
Integrase Strand Transfer Inhibitor (INSTI) Drugs					
Used in combination with a dual-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NRTI) backbone ^c					
DTG	Preferred	Continue	Preferred	Preferred	Preferred
RAL	Preferred	Continue	Preferred	Preferred	Preferred
BIC	Insufficient data	Insufficient data	Insufficient data	Insufficient data	Insufficient data
CAB ^d Oral (lead-in) Long-acting (LM)	Not recommended	Insufficient data	Not recommended	Not recommended	Insufficient data
EVG/c ^e	Not recommended	Continue with frequent viral load monitoring or consider switching	Not recommended	Not recommended	Not recommended
Protease Inhibitor (PI) Drugs					
Used in combination with a dual-NRTI backbone ^c					
ATV/r	Preferred	Continue	Preferred	Preferred	Preferred
DRV/r	Preferred	Continue	Preferred	Preferred	Preferred
LPV/r	Not recommended, except in special circumstances	Continue	Not recommended, except in special circumstances	Not recommended, except in special circumstances	Not recommended, except in special circumstances

ART Regimen Component	ART for Pregnant People Who Have Never Received ARV Drugs and Who Are Initiating ART for the First Time	Continuing ART for People Who Become Pregnant on a Fully Suppressive, Well-Tolerated Regimen	ART for Pregnant People Who Have Received ARV Drugs in the Past and Who Are Restarting ART ^a	New ART Regimen for Pregnant People Whose Current Regimen Is Not Well Tolerated and/or Is Not Fully Suppressive ^a	ART for Nonpregnant People Who Are Trying to Conceive ^{a,b}
ATV/c ^e	Not recommended	Continue with frequent viral load monitoring or consider switching	Not recommended	Not recommended	Not recommended
DRV/c ^e	Not recommended	Continue with frequent viral load monitoring or consider switching	Not recommended	Not recommended	Not recommended
Non-nucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitor (NNRTI) Drugs Used in combination with a dual-NRTI backbone ^c					
EFV	Alternative	Continue	Alternative	Alternative	Alternative
RPV Oral ^f	Alternative	Continue	Alternative	Alternative	Alternative
RPV Long-acting (IM) ^d	Not recommended	Insufficient data	Not recommended	Not recommended	Insufficient data
DOR	Insufficient data	Insufficient data	Insufficient data	Insufficient data	Insufficient data
ETR ^g	Not recommended	Continue	Not recommended, except in special circumstances	Not recommended, except in special circumstances	Not recommended, except in special circumstances
NVP ^g	Not recommended	Continue	Not recommended, except in special circumstances	Not recommended, except in special circumstances	Not recommended, except in special circumstances
NRTI Drugs^{c,h}					
ABC ⁱ	Preferred	Continue	Preferred	Preferred	Preferred
FTC	Preferred	Continue	Preferred	Preferred	Preferred
3TC	Preferred	Continue	Preferred	Preferred	Preferred
TDF	Preferred	Continue	Preferred	Preferred	Preferred
ZDV	Alternative	Continue	Alternative	Alternative	Alternative
TAF	Preferred	Continue	Preferred	Preferred	Preferred

ART Regimen Component	ART for Pregnant People Who Have Never Received ARV Drugs and Who Are Initiating ART for the First Time	Continuing ART for People Who Become Pregnant on a Fully Suppressive, Well-Tolerated Regimen	ART for Pregnant People Who Have Received ARV Drugs in the Past and Who Are Restarting ART ^a	New ART Regimen for Pregnant People Whose Current Regimen Is Not Well Tolerated and/or Is Not Fully Suppressive ^a	ART for Nonpregnant People Who Are Trying to Conceive ^{a,b}
Entry, Attachment, and Fusion Inhibitor Drugs					
IBA	Insufficient data	Insufficient data	Insufficient data	Insufficient data	Insufficient data
MVC ^g	Not recommended	Continue	Not recommended, except in special circumstances	Not recommended, except in special circumstances	Not recommended, except in special circumstances
T-20 ^g	Not recommended	Continue	Not recommended, except in special circumstances	Not recommended, except in special circumstances	Not recommended, except in special circumstances
FTR	Insufficient data	Insufficient data	Insufficient data	Insufficient data	Insufficient data
Fixed-Dose Combination (FDC) and Co-administered Regimens^{d,e,h}					
The individual drug component that is most responsible for the overall recommendation is indicated in parentheses.					
ABC/DTG/3TC ⁱ	Preferred	Continue	Preferred	Preferred	Preferred
EFV/FTC/TDF	Alternative (EFV)	Continue	Alternative (EFV)	Alternative (EFV)	Alternative (EFV)
EFV/3TC/TDF	Alternative (EFV)	Continue	Alternative (EFV)	Alternative (EFV)	Alternative (EFV)
FTC/RPV/TDF ^f	Alternative (RPV)	Continue (RPV)	Alternative (RPV)	Alternative (RPV)	Alternative (RPV)
BIC/FTC/TAF	Insufficient data (BIC)	Insufficient data (BIC)	Insufficient data (BIC)	Insufficient data (BIC)	Insufficient data (BIC)
DOR/3TC/TDF	Insufficient data (DOR)	Insufficient data (DOR)	Insufficient data (DOR)	Insufficient data (DOR)	Insufficient data (DOR)
FTC/RPV/TAF	Alternative	Continue	Alternative	Alternative	Alternative
EVG/c/FTC/TDF ^e	Not recommended (EVG/c)	Continue with frequent viral load monitoring or consider switching (EVG/c)	Not recommended (EVG/c)	Not recommended (EVG/c)	Not recommended (EVG/c)
EVG/c/FTC/TAF ^e	Not recommended (EVG/c)	Continue with frequent viral load monitoring or consider switching (EVG/c)	Not recommended (EVG/c)	Not recommended (EVG/c)	Not recommended (EVG/c)
DRV/c/FTC/TAF ^e	Not recommended (DRV/c)	Continue with frequent viral load monitoring or consider switching (DRV/c)	Not recommended (DRV/c)	Not recommended (DRV/c)	Not recommended (DRV/c)

ART Regimen Component	ART for Pregnant People Who Have Never Received ARV Drugs and Who Are Initiating ART for the First Time	Continuing ART for People Who Become Pregnant on a Fully Suppressive, Well-Tolerated Regimen	ART for Pregnant People Who Have Received ARV Drugs in the Past and Who Are Restarting ART ^a	New ART Regimen for Pregnant People Whose Current Regimen Is Not Well Tolerated and/or Is Not Fully Suppressive ^a	ART for Nonpregnant People Who Are Trying to Conceive ^{a,b}
DTG/3TC As a complete regimen ⁱ	Not recommended	Continue with frequent viral load monitoring	Not recommended	Not recommended	Not recommended
DTG/RPV As a complete regimen ⁱ	Not recommended	Continue with frequent viral load monitoring ^f	Not recommended	Not recommended	Not recommended
IM CAB and RPV ^d As a complete regimen	Not recommended	Insufficient data	Not recommended	Not recommended	Insufficient data

^a Do not initiate ARV regimens with components that have documented resistance or suspected resistance based on prior ARV exposure.

^b This guidance is intended for people who are pregnant or trying to conceive. These recommendations are not intended for all people with HIV who might become pregnant.

^c ABC plus 3TC, TDF plus FTC, TAF plus FTC, and TDF plus 3TC are Preferred dual-NRTI backbones, and ZDV plus 3TC is an Alternative dual-NRTI backbone for ARV regimens.

^d The long-acting injectable formulations of CAB and RPV are available only as a co-packaged product. Co-administration of CAB plus RPV is a complete two-drug ART regimen for nonpregnant adults with HIV RNA levels <50 copies/mL for at least 3 months, on a stable ARV regimen, with no history of treatment failure, and no known or suspected resistance to CAB or RPV. Oral lead-in dosing with CAB and RPV for at least 28 days is used to assess tolerability before starting monthly long-acting IM injections. CAB plus RPV (oral or injectable) should not be administered with NRTIs or other ARV drugs. Oral and injectable CAB and injectable RPV are not recommended for use in pregnancy. The Panel recommends that people who conceive while taking long-acting injectable CAB plus RPV switch to an oral regimen recommended for use in pregnancy; timing of the switch must take into account the long half-life of the long-acting injectable formulations with persistence of the drug for up to 12 months. With the current dosing schedule of monthly injections, change to an oral regimen should occur within 4 weeks of the last CAB and RPV IM doses.¹ Dosing recommendations, including guidance for switching to an oral regimen, can be found in the prescribing information^{2,3} and the [Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines](#).

^e DRV/c, EVG/c, and ATV/c are not recommended for use in pregnancy because of PK changes that pose a risk for low drug levels and viral rebound in the second and third trimesters. However, in cases where virologically suppressed pregnant people present to care on regimens that include these drugs, these drug combinations can be continued with frequent viral load monitoring or can be switched to a recommended or alternative agent. If concerns about switching exist, see [Pregnant People with HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy](#). If the cobicistat pharmacologic booster is replaced with ritonavir for ATV and DRV, attention to dosing in pregnancy is critical; higher doses of ATV are required if coadministered with TDF or antacids, and twice-daily dosing is required for DRV, in the second and third trimesters.

^f Although PK data indicate that RPV plasma concentration is reduced during the second and third trimesters, the reduction is less than the reductions seen with use of EVG/c or DRV/c. Higher-than-standard doses of RPV have not been studied, so data are insufficient to recommend a dose change in pregnancy. With standard dosing, viral load should be monitored more frequently.

^g Although these drugs are not recommended for initial treatment in ART-naïve pregnant people, in special circumstances ART-experienced people may need to continue or initiate ETR, NVP, MVC, and T-20 to maintain or achieve viral suppression. Safety and efficacy data are limited about the use of ETR, MVC, and T-20 in pregnancy. NVP is not recommended for ART-naïve people, because it has a greater potential for adverse events than other NNRTIs, complex lead-in dosing, and a low barrier to resistance; however, if a pregnant person presents to care on a well-tolerated, NVP-containing regimen, it is likely that NVP will be safe and effective during pregnancy. See [Table 4](#) and [Nevirapine](#) for more information.

^h When using FDC tablets, refer to [Table 11](#) and the drug sections in [Appendix B](#) for information about the dosing and safety of individual components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

ⁱ Testing for HLA-B*5701 identifies patients who are at risk of developing hypersensitivity reactions while taking ABC; testing should be performed, and a patient should be documented as negative before initiating ABC.

^j Two-drug oral ARV regimens are not recommended for use in pregnancy due to lack of available data about use in pregnancy. However, pregnant persons who present to care on an oral DTG/3TC or DTG/RPV regimen with successfully maintained virologic suppression can continue it with more frequent viral load monitoring, every 1–2 months throughout pregnancy, because the component drugs are recommended for use in pregnancy.

The following drugs and drug combinations, which are not listed above, should not be used during pregnancy: If a person becomes pregnant while taking any of these medications, they should switch to a recommended regimen: d4T, ddI, FPV, FPV/r, IDV, IDV/r, NFV, RTV (as the sole PI), SQV, SQV/r, TPV, TPV/r, or a three-NRTI ARV regimen (e.g., ABC/ZDV/3TC). See [Archived Drugs](#) in the Perinatal Guidelines and [What Not to Use](#) in the [Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines](#) for individual ARV drugs, ARV combinations, and ARV regimens that are not recommended or that should not be used in adults. Refer to the table above and [Table 4](#) for ARV regimens that are recommended for use in pregnancy.

Key: 3TC = lamivudine; ABC = abacavir; ART = antiretroviral therapy; ARV = antiretroviral; ATV = atazanavir; ATV/c = atazanavir/cobicistat; ATV/r = atazanavir/ritonavir; BIC = bictegravir; CAB = cabotegravir; d4T = stavudine; ddI = didanosine; DOR = doravirine; DRV = darunavir; DRV/c = darunavir/cobicistat; DRV/r = darunavir/ritonavir; DTG = dolutegravir; EFV = efavirenz; ETR = etravirine; EVG/c = elvitegravir/cobicistat; FDC = fixed-dose combination; FPV = fosamprenavir; FPV/r = fosamprenavir/ritonavir; FTC = emtricitabine; FTR = fostemsavir; IBA = ibalizumab; IDV = indinavir; IDV/r = indinavir/ritonavir; IM = intramuscular; **IM CAB and RPV = long-acting intramuscular formulations of cabotegravir and rilpivirine;** INSTI = integrase strand transfer inhibitor; LPV/r = lopinavir/ritonavir; MVC = maraviroc; NFV = nelfinavir; NNRTI = non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor; NRTI = nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor; NVP = nevirapine; PI = protease inhibitor; PK = pharmacokinetic; RAL = raltegravir; RPV = rilpivirine; RTV = ritonavir; SQV = saquinavir; SQV/r = saquinavir/ritonavir; T-20 = enfuvirtide; TAF = tenofovir alafenamide; TDF = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate; TPV = tipranavir; TPV/r = tipranavir/ritonavir; ZDV = zidovudine

References

1. Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents. Optimizing antiretroviral therapy in the setting of viral suppression. 2021. Available at: <https://clinicalinfo.hiv.gov/en/guidelines/adult-and-adolescent-arv/optimizing-antiretroviral-therapy-setting-virologic-suppression>.
2. Cabenuva (cabotegravir extended-release injectable suspension; rilpivirine extended-release injectable suspension), co-packaged for intramuscular use [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2021. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2021/212888s000lbl.pdf.
3. Vocabria (cabotegravir) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2021. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2021/212887s000lbl.pdf.

Pregnant People with HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Panel's Recommendations

- People with HIV who are receiving antiretroviral therapy (ART) and who present for pregnancy care should continue their ART during pregnancy, provided that the regimen is tolerated, safe, and effective in suppressing viral replication (defined as a regimen that maintains an HIV viral load less than lower limits of detection of the assay) **(AII)**.
- When considering changes in ART during pregnancy, the Panel on Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission recommends patient counseling to support informed decision-making **(AIII)**. See [Appendix C: Antiretroviral Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers](#).
- Persons who present during pregnancy on drugs that are not recommended for use because of toxicity (e.g., stavudine, didanosine) should stop taking these drugs and be switched to other antiretroviral (ARV) drugs that are recommended for use during pregnancy **(AIII)**. See [Table 5: Situation-Specific Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs in Pregnant People and Nonpregnant People Who Are Trying to Conceive](#) for more information.
- Although there are no data on the use of two-drug oral regimens during pregnancy (e.g., dolutegravir [DTG] plus lamivudine [3TC], DTG plus rilpivirine [RPV]), the component drugs are recommended for use in pregnancy. Pregnant persons who present to care on DTG/3TC or DTG/RPV and have successfully maintained viral suppression can continue the two-drug regimen with more frequent viral load monitoring, every 1 to 2 months throughout pregnancy **(CIII)**.
- Because data about the use of long-acting injectable cabotegravir (CAB) and RPV during pregnancy are extremely limited, pregnant persons who present to care on this regimen should be switched to one of the *Preferred or Alternative* three-drug ARV regimens **(CIII)**.
- The use of atazanavir/cobicistat, darunavir/cobicistat, or elvitegravir/cobicistat regimens during pregnancy is associated with lower plasma drug exposures due to physiologic changes associated with pregnancy. These lower drug exposures pose an increased risk of virologic failure during the second and third trimesters of pregnancy (see [Table 4 What to Start: Initial Antiretroviral Regimens During Pregnancy for People Who Are Antiretroviral-Naive](#) and [Table 5: Situation-Specific Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs in Pregnant People and Nonpregnant People Who Are Trying to Conceive](#)). When a pregnant person presents to care on one of these regimens, providers should decide whether to continue the regimen or to switch to a different regimen that is recommended for use during pregnancy **(BIII)**. If one of these regimens is continued, absorption should be optimized by taking the drugs with food, and viral load should be monitored frequently (i.e., every 1–2 months).
- If an ARV regimen is altered during pregnancy, drugs in the new regimen should include ARV drugs that are recommended for use in pregnancy (see [Table 4 What to Start: Initial Antiretroviral Regimens During Pregnancy for People Who Are Antiretroviral-Naive](#) and [Table 5: Situation-Specific Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs in Pregnant People and Nonpregnant People Who Are Trying to Conceive](#)) **(BIII)**, and more frequent virologic monitoring is warranted **(CIII)**.
- ARV drug-resistance testing should be performed to assist the selection of active drugs when changing ARV regimens in pregnant people who are experiencing virologic failure on ART and who have HIV RNA levels >500 copies/mL to 1,000 copies/mL **(AII)**. In individuals who have HIV RNA levels >500 copies/mL but <1,000 copies/mL, testing may be unsuccessful but still should be considered **(BII)**. See [Pregnant People Who Have Not Achieved Viral Suppression on Antiretroviral Therapy](#) for more information.

- Clinicians should discuss future reproductive plans and timing, the risks and benefits of conceiving on specific ARV medications, and use of appropriate contraceptive options to prevent unintended pregnancy (AIII).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

People who are taking antiretroviral therapy (ART) for HIV infection should continue their ART regimen during pregnancy, provided it is well tolerated, safe, and effective in suppressing viral replication. Discontinuing or altering therapy could cause an increase in viral load, leading to disease progression, a decline in immune status, and an increased risk of perinatal HIV transmission.¹ Maintenance of viral suppression is paramount for both maternal health and the prevention of perinatal transmission. However, a change in ART may be indicated or considered in specific circumstances. The Panel on Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission (the Panel) emphasizes the importance of patient counseling and informed decision-making when changing ARV regimens during pregnancy. For additional information, see [Appendix C: Antiretroviral Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers](#).

Persons with HIV who present during pregnancy on drugs that are not recommended for use because of toxicity (e.g., stavudine, didanosine) should stop taking these drugs and switch to other antiretroviral (ARV) drugs that are recommended for use in pregnancy (see [Table 4: What to Start: Initial Combination Regimens for Antiretroviral-Naive Pregnant People](#) and [Table 5: Situation-Specific Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs in Pregnant People and Nonpregnant People Who Are Trying to Conceive](#)).

No data exist on the use of oral two-drug regimens in pregnancy (e.g., dolutegravir [DTG] plus lamivudine and DTG plus rilpivirine [RPV]); if a pregnant person presents to care on these regimens and has successfully maintained viral suppression, the regimen can be continued without adding a third agent, but more frequent viral load (i.e., every 1–2 months) monitoring is recommended. For both regimens, there are data in nonpregnant persons showing noninferiority when compared to a standard three-drug regimen.^{2,3} Pharmacokinetics (PK) have been well described and are adequate in pregnancy, and the components of each of the two-drug oral regimens are recommended as *Preferred* or *Alternative* ARV drugs by the Panel.

Because there are insufficient data on pregnancy PK and safety data for cabotegravir (CAB), persons who become pregnant on long-acting injectable CAB and rilpivirine (RPV) should be switched to an oral regimen recommended for use in pregnancy for the remainder of the pregnancy.⁴ Timing of the switch must take into account the long half-life of the long-acting injectable formulation (median 5.6–11.5 weeks) with persistence of the drug for up to 12 months.⁵ With the current dosing schedule of monthly injections, change to an oral regimen should occur within 4 weeks of the last CAB and RPV injections.⁶ Dosing recommendations, including guidance for switching to an oral regimen, can be found in the prescribing information^{5,7} and the [Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines](#).

For persons with HIV who have achieved virologic suppression and become pregnant while receiving ARV drugs with insufficient data about their use in pregnancy—such as bicitegravir or doravirine—clinicians should consider whether to continue or change the regimen because a regimen change carries a risk for viral rebound at the time of the switch.⁸ If a decision is made with the patient

to continue the same regimen, viral load should be monitored more frequently (i.e., every 1–2 months).

The use of regimens containing atazanavir/cobicistat, darunavir/cobicistat, or elvitegravir/cobicistat (EVG/c), is associated with lower plasma drug exposures during the second and third trimesters of pregnancy due to the physiologic changes associated with pregnancy. These low drug exposures pose an increased risk of virologic failure in the second and third trimesters and potential perinatal HIV transmission. When a pregnant person presents to care on one of these regimens, providers should consider continuing the regimen with more frequent viral load monitoring or switching to a different regimen that is recommended for use during pregnancy (see [Table 4: What to Start: Initial Combination Regimens for Antiretroviral-Naive Pregnant People](#) and [Table 5: Situation-Specific Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs in Pregnant People and Nonpregnant People Who Are Trying to Conceive](#)).⁹⁻¹¹ A recent multicenter, retrospective study of 134 pregnant women with HIV who received elvitegravir (EVG)-containing ART at any time during pregnancy reported that 81.3% of study participants had viral suppression at delivery (HIV RNA <40 copies/mL); among 68 women who initiated EVG before pregnancy and continued receiving EVG through delivery, the rate of viral suppression at delivery was 88.2%. The perinatal HIV transmission rate was 0.8% in this study.¹² If one of these regimens is continued, absorption should be optimized by taking the drugs with food. Pregnant people who are taking regimens that include EVG/c should take ARV drugs and prenatal vitamins ≥ 2 hours apart. In addition, viral load should be monitored more frequently (e.g., every 1–2 months) in patients taking cobicistat-boosted regimens (see [Monitoring During Pregnancy](#)).¹⁰ Lack of viral suppression on subsequent testing indicates a need for a regimen change, and a pregnant person may need a scheduled cesarean delivery if the lack of suppression is detected late in pregnancy.

Although PK data indicate that RPV plasma concentration is reduced during the second and third trimesters of pregnancy, the reduction is less than the reductions seen with the cobicistat-containing regimens described above, and most pregnant people will have adequate exposure. Standard RPV dosing is recommended, and viral load should be monitored frequently (e.g., every 1–2 months; see [Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy](#)).

As newer, highly effective ARV drugs are approved by the Food and Drug Administration, people with HIV may present for prenatal care on ART regimens that include ARV drugs for which significant experience in pregnancy is lacking and PK and safety data are limited. If questions arise about specific drugs in an ART regimen, providers are encouraged to consult with an HIV perinatal specialist before discontinuing or altering a fully suppressive regimen that is well tolerated. In addition, more frequent virologic monitoring is warranted when an ARV regimen is altered during pregnancy. Because little is known about the use of newly approved drugs during pregnancy, providers should make every effort to report all ART exposures in pregnant people to the [Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry](#).

Pregnant people with HIV who are on ART and who present for care during the first trimester should be counseled regarding the benefits and potential risks of receiving ARV drugs during this period. Providers should emphasize that continuing an effective ARV regimen is recommended, see [Appendix C: Antiretroviral Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers](#) and [Teratogenicity](#).

Resistance testing should be performed when considering altering an ARV regimen in a pregnant person who is experiencing virologic failure and who has HIV RNA levels >1,000 copies/mL. In individuals who have HIV RNA levels >500 copies/mL but <1,000 copies/mL, testing may be

unsuccessful, but it still should be considered. The results can be used to select a new regimen with a greater likelihood of suppressing viral replication to undetectable levels.

During and after pregnancy, clinicians should discuss future reproductive plans and timing, risks and benefits of conceiving on specific ARV medications, and contraceptive options to prevent unintended pregnancy (see [Prepregnancy Counseling and Care for Persons of Childbearing Age with HIV](#)).

References

1. Floridia M, Ravizza M, Pinnetti C, et al. Treatment change in pregnancy is a significant risk factor for detectable HIV-1 RNA in plasma at end of pregnancy. *HIV Clin Trials*. 2010;11(6):303-311. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21239358>.
2. Cahn P, Madero JS, Arribas JR, et al. Durable efficacy of dolutegravir plus lamivudine in antiretroviral treatment-naïve adults with HIV-1 infection: 96-week results from the GEMINI-1 and GEMINI-2 randomized clinical trials. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2020;83(3):310-318. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31834000>.
3. van Wyk J, Ajana F, Bisshop F, et al. Efficacy and safety of switching to dolutegravir/lamivudine fixed-dose 2-drug regimen vs. continuing a tenofovir alafenamide-based 3- or 4-drug regimen for maintenance of virologic suppression in adults living with human immunodeficiency virus type 1: phase 3, randomized, noninferiority TANGO study. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2020;71(8):1920-1929. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31905383>.
4. Patel P, Thiagarajah S, Ford S, et al. Cabotegravir pharmacokinetic tail in pregnancy and neonatal outcomes. Abstract 775. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2020. Boston, MA. Available at: <https://www.croiconference.org/abstract/cabotegravir-pharmacokinetic-tail-in-pregnancy-and-neonatal-outcomes/>.
5. Cabenuva (cabotegravir extended-release injectable suspension; rilpivirine extended-release injectable suspension), co-packaged for intramuscular use [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2021. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2021/212888s000lbl.pdf.
6. Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents. Optimizing antiretroviral therapy in the setting of viral suppression. 2021. Available at: <https://clinicalinfo.hiv.gov/en/guidelines/adult-and-adolescent-arv/optimizing-antiretroviral-therapy-setting-virologic-suppression>
7. Vocabria (cabotegravir) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2021. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2021/212887s000lbl.pdf.
8. Frange P, Tubiana R, Sibiude J, et al. Rilpivirine in HIV-1-positive women initiating pregnancy: to switch or not to switch? *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2020;75(5):1324-1331. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32157283>.
9. Crauwels HM, Osiyemi O, Zorrilla C, Bicer C, Brown K. Reduced exposure to darunavir and cobicistat in HIV-1-infected pregnant women receiving a darunavir/cobicistat-based regimen. *HIV Med*. 2019;20(5):337-343. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30873741>.
10. Momper J, Best BM, Wang J, et al. Elvitegravir/cobicistat pharmacokinetics in pregnant and postpartum women with HIV. *AIDS*. 2018;32(17):2507-2516. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30134297>.

11. van der Galien R, Ter Heine R, Greupink R, et al. Pharmacokinetics of HIV-integrase inhibitors during pregnancy: mechanisms, clinical implications and knowledge gaps. *Clin Pharmacokinet*. 2018;58(3):309-323. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29915921>.
12. Badell ML, Sheth AN, Momplaisir F, et al. A multicenter analysis of elvitegravir use during pregnancy on HIV viral suppression and perinatal outcomes. *Open Forum Infect Dis*. 2019;6(4):ofz129. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31037241>

Pregnant People with HIV Who Have Previously Received Antiretroviral Medications but Are Not Currently Receiving Any Antiretroviral Medications

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Panel's Recommendations
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Obtain an accurate history of all prior antiretroviral (ARV) medications used for HIV treatment or prevention of HIV transmission, including virologic efficacy, patient's tolerance of the medications, results of prior resistance testing, and problems with adherence (AIII).• Choose and initiate an antiretroviral therapy (ART) regimen based on results of prior resistance testing, prior ARV drug use, concurrent medical conditions, and current recommendations for ART in pregnancy (see Table 5) (AII).• If HIV RNA is above the threshold for standard genotypic drug resistance testing (i.e., >500 to 1,000 copies/mL), ARV drug-resistance testing should be performed prior to starting an ARV drug regimen (see Antiretroviral Drug Resistance and Resistance Testing in Pregnancy) (AIII).• ART should be initiated prior to receiving results of current ARV resistance assays. ART should be modified based on the results of the resistance assay, if necessary (AII).• If the ART regimen results in insufficient viral suppression, repeat resistance testing and assess other considerations, including adherence, food requirements, and drug interactions (AII).• Consider consulting with an HIV treatment specialist when choosing an ART regimen for patients who previously received ARV drugs or modifying ART for those who are not fully suppressed (BIII).
<p>Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional</p> <p>Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion</p>

Pregnant people with HIV who are currently not receiving antiretroviral therapy (ART) may have received antiretroviral (ARV) drugs in the past for their own health and/or prevention of HIV transmission to their infant or their sexual partners (e.g., treatment as prevention).¹ A small number of clinical trials and observational studies have generated information about the effectiveness of ART in individuals who previously received ART to prevent perinatal transmission of HIV.²⁻⁶

There has been concern that prior, time-limited use of ART during pregnancy to prevent perinatal transmission may lead to resistance and, thus, reduced efficacy if these ARV drugs are used as a part of subsequent ART regimens. Standard genotyping has shown that the rates of resistance after time-limited use of ART appear to be low. Resistance seems to be a concern primarily in patients who received time-limited non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NNRTI)-based therapy.⁷⁻⁹ In a comparison between 5,372 ARV-naïve pregnant women and 605 women who previously had received ART in the pre-integrase strand transfer inhibitor (INSTI) era (but who were not being treated immediately before the current pregnancy), ARV-experienced women had a small but statistically significant increase in the risk of detectable viral load at delivery (adjusted odds ratio 1.27; 95% confidence interval, 1.01–1.60). However, this increased risk was seen in women who previously received NNRTI-based therapy, but not in those who previously received protease inhibitor (PI)-based therapies.⁷

Both standard and sensitive genotyping techniques appear to show a low rate of resistance to PIs after pregnancy-limited use of PI-based ART, but these results reflect assessments in a small number of women.^{10,11} Increased risk of treatment failure has not been demonstrated with re-initiation of ART after time-limited use of ART for the prevention of perinatal transmission, especially when using ART regimens with a PI-based regimen or an INSTI.¹² In the AIDS Clinical Trials Group (ACTG) [A5227 study](#), 52 women who previously had received pregnancy-limited ART and who had no evidence of resistance were started on a fixed-dose combination of efavirenz/tenofovir disoproxil fumarate/emtricitabine once daily. After 6 months of therapy, 81% of these women achieved plasma viral loads that were below the limit of detection; the virologic suppression rate was not affected by the classes of previously used ARV drugs or whether women had received similar ART during one or more previous pregnancies.² The data from the French Perinatal Cohort were used to assess the rates of virologic suppression among women who received PI-based ART; ARV-naive women and women who had received ART during previous pregnancies to prevent perinatal transmission had similar rates of viral load suppression at delivery.¹²

ART is recommended worldwide for everyone with HIV, including all people with HIV during pregnancy and throughout their lives.¹³ Studies show the benefits of ART for pregnant people with higher CD4 T lymphocyte (CD4) cell counts (>350 cells/mm³) and the potential harm of stopping ART after pregnancy in such people.¹⁴ The data from the Promoting Maternal and Infant Safety Everywhere (PROMISE) study (HAART Standard version) showed that women with CD4 counts ≥400 cells/mm³ who were randomized to continue ART postpartum had half the rate of World Health Organization stage 2 and 3 events as those who were randomized to discontinue ART.¹⁵ Furthermore, poor adherence was a common problem for women during the postpartum period in this study. Among women who were randomized to continue ART, 189 of 827 women (23%) had virologic failure. Of the 156 women with virologic failure who had resistance testing, 33% had at least one mutation and 12% had resistance to their current ART regimen. Mutations and resistance occurred more often in women who experienced virologic failure on NNRTI-based regimens. However, most women did not have resistance to their current ART, which suggests **they were not taking their ART and highlights the importance of assessing barriers to medication adherence.**¹⁵ When counseling patients about the benefits of taking ART during pregnancy and continuing therapy for life, health care providers should emphasize the health benefits of ART and the importance of adherence during the postpartum period (see [Postpartum Follow-Up of People with HIV Infection](#)).

Individuals may choose to discontinue ART for a variety of reasons, and the length of time off treatment before pregnancy may vary. A person's HIV treatment history and all prior drug resistance test results should be considered when choosing ART regimens for pregnant people who previously have received treatment, even when the results of drug-resistance testing performed during the current pregnancy are not yet available. Interpretation of resistance testing can be complex because resistance testing is most accurate when performed while an individual is still taking ART or within 4 weeks of discontinuing treatment (see [Antiretroviral Drug Resistance and Resistance Testing in Pregnancy](#)). In the absence of selective drug pressure, resistant virus may revert to wild type; thus, a negative finding does not rule out the presence of archived resistant virus that could re-emerge once ART is restarted (see [Antiretroviral Drug Resistance and Resistance Testing in Pregnancy](#)). Therefore, when selecting a new ART regimen, all information—including regimens received, viral response, laboratory testing (including HLA-B*5701 screening results), any tolerance or adherence problems, food requirements, concomitant medications, prior medical conditions, and results of all prior resistance testing—should be considered. In general, ART should be initiated before receiving the results of ARV drug-resistance testing, especially because longer durations of ART during pregnancy have been associated with reduced perinatal transmission rates, compared with shorter treatment

periods.^{16,17} ART should be modified, when necessary, based on subsequent resistance assay results. Careful monitoring of virologic response is essential (see [Monitoring During Pregnancy](#)).

A person may restart a previous ART regimen that successfully suppressed their viral load if the regimen was tolerated well and no evidence of resistance to that regimen is identified. Ideally, the regimen should also be recommended currently as a first-line or alternative regimen for initial ART in pregnancy (see [Table 4: What to Start](#) and [Table 5](#)). Drugs that are not recommended because of toxicity (stavudine, didanosine, treatment-dose ritonavir) **should not be used**; drugs that are not recommended for initial use because of concerns about viral breakthrough during pregnancy also should be avoided, if possible (see [Table 5](#)). Even experienced health care providers may have difficulty with the selection of appropriate ART for people who have advanced HIV disease, a history of extensive prior ART, or previous significant toxicity or nonadherence. In addition to obtaining genotypic resistance testing, it is strongly recommended that specialists in the treatment of HIV be consulted early in the pregnancy about the choice of a suitable ART regimen for such individuals.

If ART produces an insufficient viral response (e.g., $<1-2$ log₁₀ drop over 2–4 weeks), repeat resistance testing, including testing for resistance to INSTIs if indicated (see [Antiretroviral Drug Resistance and Resistance Testing in Pregnancy](#)), and assess medication adherence, food requirements, and potential drug interactions (including relevant pharmacokinetic studies when available) to inform potential regimen changes. Consultation with an HIV treatment specialist is recommended (see [Pregnant People Who Have Not Achieved Viral Suppression on ART](#)).

References

1. Cohen MS, Chen YQ, McCauley M, et al. Prevention of HIV-1 infection with early antiretroviral therapy. *N Engl J Med*. 2011;365(6):493-505. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21767103>.
2. Vogler MA, Smeaton LM, Wright RL, et al. Combination antiretroviral treatment for women previously treated only in pregnancy: week 24 results of AIDS Clinical Trials Group protocol A5227. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2014;65(5):542-550. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24759064>.
3. Aziz N, Sokoloff A, Kornak J, et al. Time to viral load suppression in antiretroviral-naive and -experienced HIV-infected pregnant women on highly active antiretroviral therapy: implications for pregnant women presenting late in gestation. *BJOG*. 2013;120(12):1534-1547. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23924192>.
4. Huntington S, Thorne C, Anderson J, et al. Response to antiretroviral therapy (ART): comparing women with previous use of zidovudine monotherapy (ZDVm) in pregnancy with ART naive women. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2014;14:127. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24593018>.
5. Geretti AM, Fox Z, Johnson JA, et al. Sensitive assessment of the virologic outcomes of stopping and restarting non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor-based antiretroviral therapy. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(7):e69266. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23874928>.
6. Martin IB, Read S, Harrigan R, Gomez MP. Treatment experience and repeat pregnancy impact the effectiveness of non-nucleoside reverse transcription inhibitor-highly active antiretroviral therapy for the prevention of mother to child transmission of human immunodeficiency virus. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses*. 2020;36(8):681-687. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32408754>.
7. French CE, Tookey PA, Cortina-Borja M, de Ruiter A, Townsend CL, Thorne C. Influence of short-course antenatal antiretroviral therapy on viral load and mother-to-child transmission in subsequent pregnancies among HIV-infected women. *Antivir Ther*. 2013;18(2):183-192. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23475123>.
8. Perez H, Vignoles M, Laufer N, et al. Low rate of emergence of nevirapine and lamivudine resistance after post-partum interruption of a triple-drug regimen. *Antivir Ther*. 2008;13(1):135-139. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18389908>.
9. Lehman DA, Chung MH, Mabuka JM, et al. Lower risk of resistance after short-course HAART compared with zidovudine/single-dose nevirapine used for prevention of HIV-1 mother-to-child transmission. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2009;51(5):522-529. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19502990>.
10. Paredes R, Cheng I, Kuritzkes DR, Tuomala RE, Women and Infants Transmission Study Group. Postpartum antiretroviral drug resistance in HIV-1-infected women receiving

- pregnancy-limited antiretroviral therapy. *AIDS*. 2010;24(1):45-53. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19915448>.
11. Gingelmaier A, Eberle J, Kost BP, et al. Protease inhibitor-based antiretroviral prophylaxis during pregnancy and the development of drug resistance. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2010;50(6):890-894. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20166821>.
 12. Briand N, Mandelbrot L, Blanche S, et al. Previous antiretroviral therapy for prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV does not hamper the initial response to PI-based multitherapy during subsequent pregnancy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2011;57(2):126-135. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21436712>.
 13. World Health Organization. Update of recommendations on first- and second-line antiretroviral regimens. 2019.
 14. INSIGHT Start Study Group. Initiation of antiretroviral therapy in early asymptomatic HIV infection. *N Engl J Med*. 2015;373(9):795-807. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26192873>.
 15. Currier JS, Britto P, Hoffman RM, et al. Randomized trial of stopping or continuing ART among postpartum women with pre-ART CD4 \geq 400 cells/mm³. *PLoS One*. 2017;12(5):e0176009. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28489856>.
 16. Mandelbrot L, Tubiana R, Le Chenadec J, et al. No perinatal HIV-1 transmission from women with effective antiretroviral therapy starting before conception. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2015;61(11):1715-1725. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26197844>.
 17. Townsend CL, Byrne L, Cortina-Borja M, et al. Earlier initiation of ART and further decline in mother-to-child HIV transmission rates, 2000–2011. *AIDS*. 2014;28(7):1049-1057. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24566097>.

Monitoring During Pregnancy

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Panel's Recommendations

- The plasma HIV RNA levels of pregnant people with HIV should be monitored at the initial antenatal visit **(AI)**, 2 to 4 weeks after initiating (or changing) antiretroviral therapy (ART) **(BI)**, monthly until RNA levels are undetectable **(BIII)**, and then at least every 3 months during pregnancy **(BIII)**. HIV RNA levels also should be assessed at approximately 34 to 36 weeks gestation to inform decisions about mode of delivery (see [Intrapartum Care for People with HIV](#) and to inform decisions about optimal management for the newborn (see [Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection](#)) **(AIII)**.
- CD4 T lymphocyte (CD4) cell count should be measured at the initial antenatal visit **(AI)**. Patients who have been on ART for ≥ 2 years and who have had consistent viral suppression and CD4 counts that are consistently >300 cells/mm³ do not need to have their CD4 counts monitored after the initial antenatal visit during this pregnancy, per the [Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines](#) **(CIII)**. Patients who have been on ART for <2 years, patients with CD4 counts <300 cells/mm³, and patients with inconsistent adherence and/or detectable viral loads should have CD4 counts monitored every 3 months during pregnancy **(CIII)**.
- HIV drug-resistance testing (genotypic testing and, if indicated, phenotypic testing) should be performed during pregnancy in those whose HIV RNA levels are above the threshold for resistance testing (i.e., >500 copies/mL to 1,000 copies/mL) before—
 - Initiating ART in antiretroviral (ARV)-naive pregnant people who have not been previously tested for ARV drug resistance **(AII)**;
 - Initiating ART in ARV-experienced pregnant people (including those who have received pre-exposure prophylaxis) **(AIII)**; or
 - Modifying ARV regimens for people with HIV who become pregnant while receiving ARV drugs or people who have suboptimal virologic response to ARV drugs that were started during pregnancy **(AII)**. See [Antiretroviral Drug Resistance and Drug Resistance Testing in Pregnancy](#).
- ART should be initiated in pregnant patients prior to receiving the results of ARV-resistance tests. ART should be modified, if necessary, based on the results of resistance testing **(AII)**.
- Laboratory testing to monitor complications of ARV drugs during pregnancy should be based on what is known about the adverse effects of the drugs an individual is receiving **(AIII)**.
- Pregnant people with HIV who are taking ART during pregnancy should undergo standard glucose screening **(AIII)**. Some experts suggest performing glucose screening early in pregnancy for those who are receiving protease inhibitor (PI)-based regimens that were initiated before pregnancy, in accordance with recommendations for patients who are at risk for glucose intolerance **(BIII)**. For more information on PIs, see [Antiretroviral Drug Regimens and Maternal and Neonatal Outcomes](#).
- Amniocentesis, if clinically indicated, should be performed on pregnant people with HIV only after initiation of an effective ARV regimen and, ideally, when HIV RNA levels are undetectable **(BIII)**. If a

pregnant person with detectable HIV RNA levels requires amniocentesis, consultation with an expert in the management of HIV during pregnancy should be considered (BIII).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

Viral Load and CD4 monitoring

Viral loads should be monitored more frequently in pregnant individuals than in nonpregnant individuals because of the importance of rapid and sustained viral suppression in preventing perinatal HIV transmission, see Table 6 below. Individuals who are adherent to their antiretroviral therapy (ART) and who do not harbor resistance mutations to the prescribed drugs should achieve viral suppression within 8 to 12 weeks. Individuals with higher viral loads and lower CD4 T lymphocyte (CD4) cell counts are more likely to require more time to achieve viral suppression^{1,2} than those with lower viral loads and higher CD4 counts. In addition, those using integrase strand transfer inhibitors (INSTIs) are more likely to achieve suppression in much shorter time frames.^{3–5} Most patients with adequate viral response at 24 weeks of treatment have had at least a 1 log₁₀ viral load decrease within 1 to 4 weeks after starting therapy.^{6,7}

Viral load should be monitored in pregnant patients with HIV at the initial clinic visit, 2 to 4 weeks after initiating or changing ART, monthly until undetectable, and at least every 3 months thereafter. If adherence is a concern, especially during early pregnancy, more frequent monitoring is recommended because of the increased risk of perinatal HIV transmission associated with detectable HIV viremia during pregnancy.^{8–10} Similarly, pregnancy may reduce the drug exposure levels or the efficacy of some drugs; patients who are taking these drugs may require a change in therapy or more frequent viral load monitoring (see [Table 4](#) and [Table 5](#)). More frequent viral load monitoring is recommended for those who are receiving regimens containing rilpivirine or cobicistat-boosted elvitegravir, atazanavir, or darunavir. Although increasing the frequency of viral load monitoring may help detect viral rebound, this may be difficult to implement if visit attendance or access to viral load monitoring is limited. In addition, viremia detected in late pregnancy may be challenging to manage, requiring medication changes shortly before delivery (see [Pregnant People with HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy](#)).

Viral load also should be assessed at approximately 34 to 36 weeks gestation to inform decisions about the mode of infant delivery and optimal treatment for newborns (see [Intrapartum Care for People with HIV](#)).

In pregnant patients with HIV, CD4 count should be measured at the initial clinic visit (see Table 6 below). For patients who have been on ART for ≥ 2 years, have had consistent viral suppression and CD4 counts that are consistently >300 cells/mm³, and are tolerating ART during pregnancy, CD4 count should be monitored only at the initial antenatal visit; CD4 counts do not need to be repeated for these patients during this pregnancy, per the [Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines](#).^{6,11,12} Patients who have been on ART for <2 years, patients with CD4 counts of <300 cells/mm³, and those with inconsistent adherence and/or detectable viral loads should have CD4 counts monitored every 3

months during pregnancy. The safety of this approach is supported by research that demonstrates that patients who are stable on ART (defined as patients who have viral load levels <50 copies/mL and CD4 counts >500 cells/mm³ for 1 year) are highly unlikely to experience a CD4 count <350 cells/mm³ in the span of a year.¹³

HIV Drug-Resistance Testing

HIV drug-resistance testing should be performed in pregnant patients with HIV before starting or modifying ART if HIV RNA levels are above the threshold for standard resistance testing (i.e., >500 copies/mL to 1,000 copies/mL) (see Table 6 below). Genotypic testing should be performed. In cases of treatment-experienced individuals with suspected multidrug resistance on failing regimens, phenotypic testing should be additionally performed. See [Drug-Resistance Testing](#) in the [Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines](#) and [Antiretroviral Drug Resistance and Resistance Testing in Pregnancy](#) for more information on resistance testing, including considerations regarding INSTI genotypic resistance testing. ART should not be delayed while waiting for resistance test results. If the results demonstrate resistance, then the regimen can be adjusted subsequently. HIV drug-resistance testing also should be performed on patients who are taking ART but who have suboptimal viral suppression (i.e., failure to achieve undetectable levels of virus during an appropriate time frame, as noted above) or who have sustained viral rebound to detectable levels after prior viral suppression on ART (see [Pregnant People Who Have Not Achieved Viral Suppression on ART](#) and [Antiretroviral Drug Resistance and Resistance Testing in Pregnancy](#)). Drug-resistance testing in the setting of virologic failure is most useful when it is performed while patients are receiving ARV drugs or within 4 weeks after discontinuing drugs. Even if more than 4 weeks have elapsed since the ARV drugs were discontinued, resistance testing can still provide useful information to guide therapy, although it may not detect all resistance mutations that were selected by previous ARV regimens.

Other Laboratory Testing and Monitoring

The laboratory tests that are used to monitor complications of ARV drugs during pregnancy should be chosen based on what is known about the adverse effects of the drugs a patient is receiving (see Table 6 below). For example, routine hematologic monitoring is recommended for patients who are receiving zidovudine-containing regimens, and routine renal monitoring is recommended for patients who are receiving tenofovir disoproxil fumarate. Liver function should be monitored in all patients who are receiving ART, ideally within 2 to 4 weeks after initiating or changing ARV drugs and approximately every 3 months thereafter or as needed for other clinical care. Hepatic dysfunction has been observed in pregnant women on PIs, and the use of any PI during pregnancy has been associated with higher rates of liver function test abnormalities than the rates observed with non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor-based ART. Hepatic steatosis and lactic acidosis in pregnancy have been related to the use of older nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors, such as stavudine, didanosine, and zidovudine. Pregnant women in general are more likely to have elevated levels of liver enzymes than their nonpregnant counterparts.^{14–16}

Pregnancy itself increases the risk of glucose intolerance. In a recent meta-analysis, the pooled prevalence of gestational diabetes among women with HIV was 4.42% (95% confidence interval, 3.48% to 5.35%), with women in Asia demonstrating the highest prevalence (7.10%) and those in Africa demonstrating the lowest prevalence (3.19%). These rates do not appear to be higher than those in non-HIV populations.^{17,18} The majority of studies in pregnant women have not demonstrated an association between HIV infection and gestational diabetes,^{19–23} although some studies with

stringent definitions of gestational diabetes did show an increased risk of gestational diabetes in women who were taking PI-based regimens during pregnancy.²⁴ Two studies reported higher odds of gestational diabetes in women who were receiving PI-based regimens,^{25,26} but another prospective study reported that pregnant women with HIV who received PI-containing regimens did not have a greater risk for glucose intolerance or insulin resistance than those who received regimens that did not contain a PI.²⁷ Patients with HIV who are on ART during pregnancy should receive the standard glucose screening that is recommended for all pregnant women. However, some experts would perform glucose screening earlier in pregnancy for patients who are receiving PI-based ART that was initiated before pregnancy, in accordance with recommendations for patients with risk factors for glucose intolerance, such as obesity (see Table 6 below).²⁸

In addition to gestational diabetes risk with some ARV classes, risk for weight gain and obesity both during pregnancy and postpartum may be present with integrase inhibitor use, though existing evidence is inconclusive, with most published data in non-pregnant populations.^{29–33} Current guidelines from the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists as well as the National Academy of Medicine recommend that appropriate weight gain, diet, and exercise during pregnancy should be discussed with patients at initial antenatal visits and regularly thereafter.^{34,35}

Accurate estimation of date of delivery is critical when planning scheduled cesarean deliveries at 38 weeks gestation to prevent perinatal transmission in patients with HIV who have elevated HIV RNA viral loads (or when scheduling cesarean delivery or induction for an obstetric indication).³⁶ Therefore, it is recommended that health care providers follow the current obstetric guidelines for gestational age dating by ultrasound.³⁷

Noninvasive methods of aneuploidy screening should be offered, using tests with high sensitivity and low false-positive rates as recommended by the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Non-invasive screening can be accomplished using any of the following—

- Cell-free DNA screening plus nuchal translucency; *or*
- Serum analyte screening alone or combined with nuchal translucency; *or*
- Ultrasonographic screening alone.³⁸

Patients with HIV who have indications for invasive testing during pregnancy (e.g., abnormal ultrasound or aneuploidy screening) should be counseled about the potential risk of perinatal HIV transmission along with other risks of the procedure so that they can make an informed decision about testing. Although the data on women who are receiving ART are still somewhat limited, the risk of perinatal HIV transmission does not appear to increase with the use of amniocentesis or other invasive diagnostic procedures in women who have virologic suppression on ART.^{39,40} This is in contrast to the era before effective ART, during which invasive procedures, such as amniocentesis and chorionic villus sampling (CVS), were associated with a twofold to fourfold increase in the risk of perinatal transmission of HIV.^{41–44} Although no transmissions occurred among 159 reported cases of amniocentesis or other invasive diagnostic procedures performed in women who were on effective ART, a small increase in the risk of transmission cannot be ruled out.^{45–48} Some experts consider CVS and cordocentesis too risky to offer to patients with HIV, and they recommend limiting invasive procedures to amniocentesis.

At a minimum, pregnant patients should receive effective ART before undergoing any invasive prenatal testing. In addition, they ideally should have undetectable HIV RNA levels at the time of the

procedure, and every effort should be made to avoid inserting the needle through, or very close to, the placenta. If a patient with detectable HIV RNA levels requires amniocentesis, consultation with an expert in the management of HIV during pregnancy should be considered (see [Intrapartum Care for People with HIV](#)).

1 Table 6. HIV-Related Laboratory Monitoring Schedule for Pregnant People with HIV^a

Laboratory Test	Timepoint or Frequency of Testing						
	Entry Into Antenatal Care	ART Initiation or Modification	2 to 4 Weeks After ART Initiation or Modification	Monthly	Every 3 Months During Pregnancy	At 24 to 28 Weeks Gestation	At 34 to 36 Weeks Gestation to Inform Mode of Delivery and Infant ARV Regimen
HIV RNA Levels ^b	✓	✓ If a result is not available within 2 weeks of ART initiation or modification	✓	✓ Until HIV RNA levels are undetectable	✓ At least every 3 months ^c		✓
CD4 Count ^d	✓				✓ For patients who have been on ART for <2 years, patients with CD4 counts <300 cells/mm ³ , and patients with inconsistent adherence and/or detectable viral loads		
Resistance Testing ^e		✓					

Standard Glucose Screening ^f						✓ For patients on ART ^f	
LFTs for Patients on ART	✓	✓			✓ With additional testing as clinically indicated		
Monitoring for ARV-Specific Toxicities ^g	Refer to the recommendations in the package inserts for the individual ARV drugs.						

1 ^a For additional information see [Laboratory Monitoring](#) in the [Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines](#).

2 ^b The plasma HIV RNA levels of pregnant people with HIV should be monitored at the initial antenatal visit (**AI**), 2 to 4 weeks after initiating (or changing) antiretroviral therapy

3 (ART) (**BI**), monthly until RNA levels are undetectable (**BIII**), and then at least every 3 months during pregnancy (**BIII**). Obtain an HIV RNA level at the time of ART initiation or

4 modification if a recent result within 2 weeks prior is not available.

5 ^c More frequent viral load monitoring (every 1–2 months) may be indicated for patients who are taking ARVs that have been shown to have reduced drug levels in the second

6 and third trimesters and are at risk for loss of viral suppression, e.g., cobicistat, elvitegravir, or rilpivirine (see [Table 4](#) and [Table 5](#) and [Pregnant People with HIV Who Are](#)

7 [Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy](#)).

8 ^d CD4 T lymphocyte (CD4) cell count should be measured at the initial antenatal visit (**AI**). Patients who have been on ART for ≥2 years and who have had consistent viral

9 suppression and CD4 counts that are consistently >300 cells/mm³ do not need to have their CD4 counts monitored after the initial antenatal visit during this pregnancy, per the

10 [Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines](#) (**CIII**). Patients who have been on ART for <2 years, patients with CD4 counts <300 cells/mm³, and patients with inconsistent

11 adherence and/or detectable viral loads should have CD4 counts monitored every 3 months during pregnancy (**CIII**).

12 ^e ARV drug-resistance testing (genotypic testing and, if indicated, phenotypic testing) should be performed in patients whose HIV RNA levels are above the threshold for

13 standard resistance testing (i.e., >500 copies/mL to 1,000 copies/mL) before—

14 • Initiating ART in ARV-naive pregnant patients who have not been previously tested for ARV drug resistance (**AII**);

15 • Initiating ART in ARV-experienced pregnant patients (**AIII**); *or*

16 • Modifying ARV regimens for patients who become pregnant while receiving ARV drugs or patients who have suboptimal virologic response to ARV drugs that were started

17 during pregnancy (**AII**).

18 ART should be initiated in pregnant patients prior to receiving the results of ARV-resistance tests. ART should be modified, if necessary, based on the results of resistance

19 testing (**BIII**).

- 1 † Patients who are taking ART during pregnancy should undergo standard glucose screening **(AIII)**. Some experts suggest performing glucose screening early in pregnancy for
2 patients who are receiving protease inhibitor (PI)-based regimens that were initiated before pregnancy, in accordance with recommendations for patients who are at risk for
3 glucose intolerance **(BIII)**. For more information on PIs, see [Combination Antiretroviral Drug Regimens and Maternal and Neonatal Outcomes](#).
- 4 ‡ Laboratory testing to monitor complications of ARV drugs during pregnancy should be based on what is known about the adverse effects of the drugs a woman is receiving
5 **(AIII)**.
- 6 **Key:** ART = antiretroviral therapy; ARV = antiretroviral; CD4 = CD4 T lymphocyte; LFT = liver function test; PI = protease inhibitor

References

1. Aziz N, Sokoloff A, Kornak J, et al. Time to viral load suppression in antiretroviral-naïve and -experienced HIV-infected pregnant women on highly active antiretroviral therapy: implications for pregnant women presenting late in gestation. *BJOG*. 2013;120(12):1534-1547. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23924192>.
2. Snippenburg W, Nellen F, Smit C, Wensing A, Godfried MH, Mudrikova T. Factors associated with time to achieve an undetectable HIV RNA viral load after start of antiretroviral treatment in HIV-1-infected pregnant women. *J Virus Erad*. 2017;3(1):34-39. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28275456>.
3. Kintu K, Malaba TR, Nakibuka J, et al. Dolutegravir versus efavirenz in women starting HIV therapy in late pregnancy (DolPHIN-2): an open-label, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet HIV*. 2020;7(5):e332-e339. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32386721>.
4. Rahangdale L, Cates J, Potter J, et al. Integrase inhibitors in late pregnancy and rapid HIV viral load reduction. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2016;214(3):385 e381-387. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26928154>.
5. Joao EC, Morrison RL, Shapiro DE, et al. Raltegravir versus efavirenz in antiretroviral-naïve pregnant women living with HIV (NICHD P1081): an open-label, randomised, controlled, phase 4 trial. *Lancet HIV*. 2020;7(5):e322-e331. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32386720>.
6. Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents. Guidelines for the use of antiretroviral agents in HIV-1-infected adults and adolescents. 2021. Available at: <https://clinicalinfo.hiv.gov/sites/default/files/inline-files/AdultandAdolescentGL.pdf>.
7. Read PJ, Mandalia S, Khan P, et al. When should HAART be initiated in pregnancy to achieve an undetectable HIV viral load by delivery? *AIDS*. 2012;26(9):1095-1103. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22441248>.
8. Garcia PM, Kalish LA, Pitt J, et al. Maternal levels of plasma human immunodeficiency virus type 1 RNA and the risk of perinatal transmission. Women and infants transmission study group. *N Engl J Med*. 1999;341(6):394-402. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10432324>.
9. Townsend CL, Byrne L, Cortina-Borja M, et al. Earlier initiation of ART and further decline in mother-to-child HIV transmission rates, 2000–2011. *AIDS*. 2014;28(7):1049-1057. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24566097>.
10. Mandelbrot L, Tubiana R, Le Chenadec J, et al. No perinatal HIV-1 transmission from women with effective antiretroviral therapy starting before conception. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2015;61(11):1715-1725. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26197844>.
11. Gale HB, Gitterman SR, Hoffman HJ, et al. Is frequent CD4+ T-lymphocyte count monitoring necessary for persons with counts ≥ 300 cells/ μ L and HIV-1 suppression? *Clin*

- Infect Dis.* 2013;56(9):1340-1343. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23315315>.
12. Girard PM, Nelson M, Mohammed P, Hill A, van Delft Y, Moecklinghoff C. Can we stop CD4+ testing in patients with HIV-1 RNA suppression on antiretroviral treatment? *AIDS.* 2013;27(17):2759-2763. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23842127>.
 13. Di Biagio A, Ameri M, Sirello D, et al. Is it still worthwhile to perform quarterly CD4+ t lymphocyte cell counts on HIV-1 infected stable patients? *BMC Infect Dis.* 2017;17(1):127. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28166729>.
 14. Huntington S, Thorne C, Anderson J, et al. Does pregnancy increase the risk of ART-induced hepatotoxicity among HIV-positive women? *J Int AIDS Soc.* 2014;17(4 Suppl 3):19486. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25393995>.
 15. Huntington S, Thorne C, Newell ML, et al. Pregnancy is associated with elevation of liver enzymes in HIV-positive women on antiretroviral therapy. *AIDS.* 2015;29(7):801-809. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25710412>.
 16. Sibiude J, Warszawski J, Tubiana R, et al. Liver enzyme elevation in pregnant women receiving antiretroviral therapy in the ANRS-French Perinatal Cohort. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr.* 2019;81(1):83-94. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30702449>.
 17. Biadgo B, Ambachew S, Abebe M, Melku M. Gestational diabetes mellitus in HIV-infected pregnant women: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract.* 2019;155:107800. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31362053>.
 18. Jiwani A, Marseille E, Lohse N, Damm P, Hod M, Kahn JG. Gestational diabetes mellitus: results from a survey of country prevalence and practices. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med.* 2012;25(6):600-610. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21762003>.
 19. Tang JH, Sheffield JS, Grimes J, et al. Effect of protease inhibitor therapy on glucose intolerance in pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2006;107(5):1115-1119. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16648418>.
 20. Haeri S, Shauer M, Dale M, et al. Obstetric and newborn infant outcomes in human immunodeficiency virus-infected women who receive highly active antiretroviral therapy. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2009;201(3):315 e311-315. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19733286>.
 21. Jao J, Wong M, Van Dyke RB, et al. Gestational diabetes mellitus in HIV-infected and -uninfected pregnant women in Cameroon. *Diabetes Care.* 2013;36(9):e141-142. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23970721>.
 22. Samuels EON, Isah AY, Offiong RA, Ekele BA. Foeto-maternal outcome of HIV-positive pregnant women on highly active antiretroviral therapy. *Int J Med Biomed Res* 2014;3(3):202-208. Available at: <https://www.ajol.info/index.php/ijmbr/article/download/111608/101385>.

23. Mmasa KN, Powis K, Sun S, et al. Gestational diabetes in women living with HIV in Botswana: lower rates with dolutegravir- than with efavirenz-based antiretroviral therapy. *HIV Med.* 2021; Epub ahead of print. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34003565>.
24. Soepnel LM, Norris SA, Schrier VJ, et al. The association between HIV, antiretroviral therapy, and gestational diabetes mellitus. *AIDS.* 2017;31(1):113-125. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27677165>.
25. Gonzalez-Tome MI, Ramos Amador JT, Guillen S, et al. Gestational diabetes mellitus in a cohort of HIV-1 infected women. *HIV Med.* 2008;9(10):868-874. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18983478>.
26. Marti C, Pena JM, Bates I, et al. Obstetric and perinatal complications in HIV-infected women. Analysis of a cohort of 167 pregnancies between 1997 and 2003. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand.* 2007;86(4):409-415. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17486461>.
27. Hitti J, Andersen J, McComsey G, et al. Protease inhibitor-based antiretroviral therapy and glucose tolerance in pregnancy: AIDS Clinical Trials Group A5084. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2007;196(4):331 e331-337. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17403409>.
28. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. ACOG practice bulletin no. 190 summary: gestational diabetes mellitus. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2018;131(2):406-408. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29370044>.
29. Caniglia EC, Shapiro R, Diseko M, et al. Weight gain during pregnancy among women initiating dolutegravir in Botswana. *EClinicalMedicine.* 2020;29-30:100615. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33437946>.
30. Bengtson AM, Phillips TK, le Roux SM, et al. Postpartum obesity and weight gain among human immunodeficiency virus-infected and human immunodeficiency virus-uninfected women in South Africa. *Matern Child Nutr.* 2020;16(3):e12949. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31943774>.
31. Floridia M, Masuelli G, Tassis B, et al. Weight gain during pregnancy in women with HIV receiving different antiretroviral regimens. *Antivir Ther.* 2021;25(6):315-325. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33459635>.
32. Bourgi K, Rebeiro PF, Turner M, et al. Greater weight gain in treatment naive persons starting dolutegravir-based antiretroviral therapy. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2019;70(7):1267-1274. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31100116>.
33. Venter WDF, Sokhela S, Simmons B, et al. Dolutegravir with emtricitabine and tenofovir alafenamide or tenofovir disoproxil fumarate versus efavirenz, emtricitabine, and tenofovir disoproxil fumarate for initial treatment of HIV-1 infection (ADVANCE): week 96 results from a randomised, phase 3, non-inferiority trial. *Lancet HIV.* 2020;7(10):e666-e676. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33010240>.

34. Patient Safety Quality Committee, Society for Maternal-Fetal Medicine, Gibson KS, Toner LE. Society for maternal-fetal medicine special statement: Updated checklists for pregnancy management in persons with HIV. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2020;223(5):B6-B11. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32861690>.
35. Institute of Medicine (US) and National Research Council (US) Committee to Reexamine IOM Pregnancy Weight Guidelines. Weight Gain During Pregnancy: Reexamining the Guidelines. In: Secondary Institute of Medicine (US) and National Research Council (US) Committee to Reexamine IOM Pregnancy Weight Guidelines, ed^eds. Subsidiary Institute of Medicine (US) and National Research Council (US) Committee to Reexamine IOM Pregnancy Weight Guidelines, trans. Secondary Weight Gain During Pregnancy: Reexamining the Guidelines. Vol. ed. Washington (DC): 2009.
36. Malaba TR, Newell ML, Madlala H, Perez A, Gray C, Myer L. Methods of gestational age assessment influence the observed association between antiretroviral therapy exposure, preterm delivery, and small-for-gestational age infants: a prospective study in Cape Town, South Africa. *Ann Epidemiol.* 2018;28(12):893-900. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30293920>.
37. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Committee opinion No 700: methods for estimating the due date. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2017;129(5):e150-e154. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28426621>.
38. Rose NC, Kaimal AJ, Dugoff L, Norton ME, American College of Obstetricians Gynecologists' Committee on Practice Bulletins—Obstetrics; Committee on Genetics; Society for Maternal-Fetal Medicine. Screening for fetal chromosomal abnormalities. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2020;136(4):e48-e69. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32804883>.
39. Floridia M, Masuelli G, Meloni A, et al. Amniocentesis and chorionic villus sampling in HIV-infected pregnant women: a multicentre case series. *BJOG.* 2017;124(8):1218-1223. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27319948>.
40. Peters H, Francis K, Harding K, Tookey PA, Thorne C. Operative vaginal delivery and invasive procedures in pregnancy among women living with HIV. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* 2017;210:295-299. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28092853>.
41. Mandelbrot L, Mayaux MJ, Bongain A, et al. Obstetric factors and mother-to-child transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1: the French perinatal cohorts. SEROGEST French Pediatric HIV Infection Study Group. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 1996;175(3 Pt 1):661-667. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8828431>.
42. Tess BH, Rodrigues LC, Newell ML, Dunn DT, Lago TD. Breastfeeding, genetic, obstetric and other risk factors associated with mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 in Sao Paulo State, Brazil. Sao Paulo Collaborative Study for Vertical Transmission of HIV-1. *AIDS.* 1998;12(5):513-520. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9543450>.

43. Shapiro DE, Sperling RS, Mandelbrot L, Britto P, Cunningham BE. Risk factors for perinatal human immunodeficiency virus transmission in patients receiving zidovudine prophylaxis. Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group protocol 076 Study Group. *Obstet Gynecol.* 1999;94(6):897-908. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10576173>.
44. Maiques V, Garcia-Tejedor A, Perales A, Cordoba J, Esteban RJ. HIV detection in amniotic fluid samples. Amniocentesis can be performed in HIV pregnant women? *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* 2003;108(2):137-141. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12781400>.
45. Somigliana E, Bucci AM, Tibaldi C, et al. Early invasive diagnostic techniques in pregnant women who are infected with the HIV: a multicenter case series. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2005;193(2):437-442. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16098867>.
46. Coll O, Suy A, Hernandez S, et al. Prenatal diagnosis in human immunodeficiency virus-infected women: a new screening program for chromosomal anomalies. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2006;194(1):192-198. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16389031>.
47. Ekoukou D, Khuong-Josses MA, Ghibaudo N, Mechali D, Rotten D. Amniocentesis in pregnant HIV-infected patients. Absence of mother-to-child viral transmission in a series of selected patients. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* 2008;140(2):212-217. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18584937>.
48. Mandelbrot L, Jasseron C, Ekoukou D, et al. Amniocentesis and mother-to-child human immunodeficiency virus transmission in the Agence Nationale de Recherches sur le SIDA et les Hepatites Virales French Perinatal Cohort. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2009;200(2):160 e161-169. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18986640>.

Antiretroviral Drug Resistance and Resistance Testing in Pregnancy

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Panel's Recommendations
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• HIV drug-resistance testing (genotypic and, if indicated, phenotypic) should be performed in persons with HIV whose HIV RNA levels are above the threshold for resistance testing (i.e., >500 to 1,000 copies/mL) before—<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ Initiating antiretroviral therapy (ART) in antiretroviral (ARV)-naive pregnant persons who have not been previously tested for ARV resistance (AII),○ Initiating ART in ARV-experienced pregnant persons (including those who have received pre-exposure prophylaxis) (AIII), or○ Modifying ARV regimens for those who are newly pregnant and receiving ARV drugs or who have suboptimal virologic response to the ARV drugs started during pregnancy (AII).• Phenotypic resistance testing is indicated for treatment-experienced persons on failing regimens who are thought to have multidrug resistance (BIII).• ART should be initiated in pregnant persons before receiving results of ARV-resistance testing; ART should be modified, if necessary, based on the results of resistance assays (AII).• If the use of an integrase strand transfer inhibitor (INSTI) is being considered and INSTI resistance is a concern, providers should supplement standard resistance testing with a specific INSTI genotypic resistance assay (AIII). INSTI resistance may be a concern if—<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ A patient received prior treatment that included an INSTI, or○ A patient has had a sexual partner on INSTI therapy who was not virologically suppressed or with unknown viral load.○ Documented zidovudine (ZDV) resistance does not affect the indications for use of intrapartum intravenous ZDV (see Intrapartum Care for People with HIV) (BIII).• Choice of ARV regimen for an infant born to a person with known or suspected drug resistance should be determined in consultation with a pediatric HIV specialist, preferably before delivery (see Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection) (AIII).• Pregnant persons with HIV should be given ART to maximally suppress viral replication, which is the most effective strategy for preventing development of resistance and minimizing risk of perinatal transmission (AII).• All pregnant and postpartum individuals should be counseled about the importance of adherence to prescribed ARV medications to reduce the risk of developing resistance (AII).
<p>Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional</p> <p>Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion</p>

Indications for Antiretroviral Drug-Resistance Testing in Pregnant Persons with HIV

Identification of baseline resistance mutations allows for the selection of more effective and durable antiretroviral (ARV) regimens. HIV genotypic drug-resistance testing is recommended for persons with HIV who have HIV RNA levels above the threshold for resistance testing (i.e., >500 to 1,000 copies/mL) before—

- Initiating antiretroviral therapy (ART) in ARV-naive pregnant patients who have not been previously tested for ARV resistance,
- Initiating ART in ARV-experienced pregnant patients (including those who have received pre-exposure prophylaxis [PrEP]), *or*
- Modifying ARV regimens for those who are newly pregnant and receiving ARV drugs or who have suboptimal virologic response to ARV drugs that were initiated during pregnancy.

It is also important to obtain a comprehensive history of ARV drug use, including ARVs used for HIV PrEP. In most settings, the results of resistance testing guide the selection of the initial ARV regimen. However, ART should be initiated in ARV-naive pregnant persons or ARV-experienced individuals who are not presently on ART without waiting for the results of resistance testing because earlier viral suppression is associated with lower risk of perinatal transmission. The regimen can be modified, if required, when test results return.

Integrase strand transfer inhibitors (INSTIs) are used increasingly in ART regimens for pregnant people.¹ Resistance to INSTIs is generally uncommon among ARV-naive individuals in the United States.² INSTI resistance was detected in 2.4% (95% CI, 1.5% to 3.6%) of ART-naive persons and 9.6% (95% CI, 8.3% to 11.0%) of ART-experienced persons with HIV in North Carolina³ and in 2.9% of ART-naive participants from an HIV clinic in Santa Clara County, California.⁴ The prevalence of INSTI resistance increased slightly from 0.0% in 2004 to 1.4% ($P = 0.04$) in 2013 in Washington, D.C.⁵ A polymorphism or a substitution associated with INSTI resistance was found in 1.4% of INSTI-naive persons in 16 clinical trials.⁶

The development of INSTI resistance is infrequent among people who receive INSTI-based ART (only 1.5% to 3.8% of people develop resistance). A modeling study found that testing for INSTI resistance at ART initiation was not cost effective and did not improve clinical outcomes.⁷ Routine INSTI-resistance testing generally is not indicated in pregnant persons. However, such testing can be considered when a patient received prior treatment that included an INSTI or when a patient has had a sexual partner on INSTI therapy **who was not virologically suppressed or with unknown viral load.**

HIV drug-resistance genotype testing detects mutations that confer resistance to protease inhibitors (PIs), nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs), non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTIs), and INSTIs. Phenotypic resistance testing is reserved generally for cases of complex NRTI-resistance patterns in patients with limited treatment options and is recommended for treatment-experienced persons on failing regimens with suspected multidrug resistance (see [Drug-Resistance Testing](#) in the [Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines](#)). At some institutions, testing for INSTI resistance may have to be ordered separately.

Incidence and Significance of Antiretroviral Drug Resistance in Pregnancy

The development of ARV drug resistance is one of the major factors leading to therapeutic failure in individuals with HIV. In addition, pre-existing resistance to a drug in an ARV regimen may diminish the regimen's efficacy in preventing perinatal transmission. Maternal drug resistance can be transmitted to the fetus, which can limit treatment options for the infant. Resistance to ARV drugs appears to be more common in women who acquired HIV perinatally than in other women with HIV.⁸ The complexities of managing pregnant people with perinatally acquired HIV warrant consultation with an expert in HIV.⁹ See [Prenatal Care, Antiretroviral Therapy, and HIV Management in People with Perinatal-Acquired HIV Infection](#) for more information.

Several factors that are unique to pregnancy may increase the risk of developing resistance. Problems—such as nausea and vomiting—in early pregnancy may compromise adherence, increasing the risk of developing resistance in those receiving ARV drugs. Pharmacokinetic changes during pregnancy (e.g., increased plasma volume and renal clearance) may lead to subtherapeutic drug levels, increasing the risk that resistance will develop.

Managing Antiretroviral Resistance During Pregnancy

The most effective way to prevent the development of ARV drug resistance in pregnancy is to follow recommendations for resistance testing and viral load monitoring and to support adherence to an effective ARV regimen that achieves maximal viral suppression (see [Monitoring During Pregnancy](#)). Management of pregnant people who have received ART or ARV prophylaxis previously, including resistance testing, is discussed in [Pregnant People with HIV Who Have Previously Received Antiretroviral Medication but Are Not Currently Receiving Any Antiretroviral Medications](#). Inadequate adherence and viral resistance should be considered when there is a suboptimal virologic response or viral rebound to an ARV regimen (see [Pregnant People Who Have Not Achieved Viral Suppression on Antiretroviral Therapy](#)). Because studies have shown that adherence to ART may worsen during the postpartum period,¹⁰⁻¹³ arrangements should be made during pregnancy for appropriate postpartum follow-up and adherence support to prevent loss of virologic control and the development of resistance (see [Postpartum Follow-Up of People with HIV](#)).

Zidovudine Resistance During Pregnancy

Those who have documented zidovudine (ZDV) resistance and who did not receive ZDV as part of their antepartum regimen should still receive intravenous (IV) ZDV during labor when indicated. IV ZDV is indicated for patients with HIV RNA >1,000 copies/mL or unknown HIV viral load near delivery (see [Intrapartum Care for People with HIV](#)). A patient's normal ARV regimen should be continued orally during labor to the extent possible. The rationale for including ZDV intrapartum when a patient is known to harbor virus with ZDV resistance is based on several factors. Only wild-type virus appears to be transmitted to infants by mothers who have mixed populations of wild-type virus and virus with low-level ZDV resistance.¹⁴ Other studies have suggested that drug-resistance mutations may diminish viral fitness and possibly decrease transmissibility.¹⁵ The efficacy of ZDV prophylaxis appears to be based not only on a reduction in maternal HIV viral load, but also on the use of PrEP and post-exposure prophylaxis in the infant.¹⁶⁻¹⁸ ZDV crosses the placenta readily and has a high cord-to-maternal-blood ratio. In addition, ZDV is metabolized to the active triphosphate within the placenta,¹⁹ which may provide additional protection against transmission. ZDV penetrates the central nervous system better than other recommended nucleoside analogues; this may help eliminate a potential reservoir for transmitted HIV in the infant.²⁰ ZDV's unique characteristics and

its proven record in reducing perinatal transmission support the recommendation to administer intrapartum IV ZDV when indicated, even in the presence of known ZDV resistance.

The optimal prophylactic regimen for newborns of persons with drug-resistant virus is unknown. Therefore, ARV prophylaxis for infants born to persons with known or suspected drug-resistant virus should be determined with the help of a pediatric HIV specialist, preferably before delivery (see [Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection](#)). No evidence exists that neonatal prophylaxis regimens that have been customized to address maternal drug resistance are more effective than standard neonatal prophylaxis regimens.

References

1. PHACS/SMARTT. Annual Administrative Report. 2017. Available at: https://phacsstudy.org/cms_uploads/Latest%20Documents/SMARTT_Annual_Administrative_Report_Apr2017_web.pdf.
2. Stekler JD, McKernan J, Milne R, et al. Lack of resistance to integrase inhibitors among antiretroviral-naive subjects with primary HIV-1 infection, 2007-2013. *Antivir Ther*. 2015;20(1):77-80. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24831260>.
3. Menza TW, Billock R, Samoff E, Eron JJ, Dennis AM. Pretreatment integrase strand transfer inhibitor resistance in North Carolina from 2010-2016. *AIDS*. 2017;31(16):2235-2244. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28991024>.
4. Chan W, Ly W. Surveillance of transmitted HIV drug resistance among newly diagnosed, treatment-naive individuals at a county HIV clinic in Santa Clara County. *Heliyon*. 2019;5(9):e02411. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31535044>.
5. Aldous AM, Castel AD, Parenti DM, DC Cohort Executive Committee. Prevalence and trends in transmitted and acquired antiretroviral drug resistance, Washington, DC, 1999-2014. *BMC Res Notes*. 2017;10(1):474. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28893321>.
6. Abram ME, Ram RR, Margot NA, et al. Lack of impact of pre-existing T97A HIV-1 integrase mutation on integrase strand transfer inhibitor resistance and treatment outcome. *PLoS One*. 2017;12(2):e0172206. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28212411>.
7. Koullias Y, Sax PE, Fields NF, Walensky RP, Hyle EP. Should we be testing for baseline integrase resistance in patients newly diagnosed with human immunodeficiency virus? *Clin Infect Dis*. 2017;65(8):1274-1281. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28605418>.
8. Lazenby GB, Mmeje O, Fisher BM, et al. Antiretroviral resistance and pregnancy characteristics of women with perinatal and nonperinatal HIV infection. *Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol*. 2016;2016:4897501. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27413359>.
9. Trahan MJ, Boucher M, Renaud C, et al. Pregnancies among the first generation of survivors of perinatal HIV infection. *J Obstet Gynaecol Can*. 2020;42(4):446-452. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31882286>.
10. Bardeguet AD, Lindsey JC, Shannon M, et al. Adherence to antiretrovirals among US women during and after pregnancy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2008;48(4):408-417. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18614923>.
11. Mellins CA, Chu C, Malee K, et al. Adherence to antiretroviral treatment among pregnant and postpartum HIV-infected women. *AIDS Care*. 2008;20(8):958-968. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18608073>.

12. Anderson J. Women and HIV: motherhood and more. *Curr Opin Infect Dis.* 2012;25(1):58-65. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22156896>.
13. Nachega JB, Uthman OA, Anderson J, et al. Adherence to antiretroviral therapy during and after pregnancy in low-income, middle-income, and high-income countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *AIDS.* 2012;26(16):2039-2052. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22951634>.
14. Colgrove RC, Pitt J, Chung PH, Welles SL, Japour AJ. Selective vertical transmission of HIV-1 antiretroviral resistance mutations. *AIDS.* 1998;12(17):2281-2288. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9863870>.
15. Sheth PM, Kovacs C, Kemal KS, et al. Persistent HIV RNA shedding in semen despite effective antiretroviral therapy. *AIDS.* 2009;23(15):2050-2054. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19710596>.
16. Sperling RS, Shapiro DE, Coombs RW, et al. Maternal viral load, zidovudine treatment, and the risk of transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 from mother to infant. Pediatric AIDS clinical trials group protocol 076 study group. *N Engl J Med.* 1996;335(22):1621-1629. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8965861>.
17. Wade NA, Birkhead GS, Warren BL, et al. Abbreviated regimens of zidovudine prophylaxis and perinatal transmission of the human immunodeficiency virus. *N Engl J Med.* 1998;339(20):1409-1414. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9811915>.
18. Melvin AJ, Burchett SK, Watts DH, et al. Effect of pregnancy and zidovudine therapy on viral load in HIV-1-infected women. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr Hum Retrovirol.* 1997;14(3):232-236. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9117455>.
19. Qian M, Bui T, Ho RJ, Unadkat JD. Metabolism of 3'-azido-3'-deoxythymidine (AZT) in human placental trophoblasts and Hofbauer cells. *Biochem Pharmacol.* 1994;48(2):383-389. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8053935>.
20. Thomas SA. Anti-HIV drug distribution to the central nervous system. *Curr Pharm Des.* 2004;10(12):1313-1324. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15134483>.

Pregnant People Who Have Not Achieved Viral Suppression on Antiretroviral Therapy

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Panel's Recommendations
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Because a pregnant person's antenatal viral load correlates with the risk of perinatal transmission of HIV, suppression of HIV RNA to undetectable levels should be achieved as rapidly as possible (AII).• For pregnant people who have not achieved viral suppression (after an adequate period of treatment):<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ Assess medication adherence, tolerability, dosing, potential problems with drug absorption, adherence to food requirements, and possible drug interactions. (see Adherence to the Continuum of Care in the Adult and Adolescent Guidelines).○ Perform HIV drug resistance testing if HIV RNA level is above the threshold for resistance testing (>500 to 1,000 copies/mL (AII)).○ Consult an HIV treatment expert and consider possible antiretroviral regimen modification (AIII).• Please see Intrapartum Care for People with HIV for guidance about use of intrapartum intravenous zidovudine prophylaxis and scheduled cesarean delivery for pregnant people who have not achieved viral suppression on antiretroviral therapy (AII).
<p><i>Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional</i></p> <p><i>Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion</i></p>

Virologic suppression is defined as a confirmed HIV RNA level that is below the lower limits of detection of an ultrasensitive assay. Virologic failure is the inability to achieve or maintain an HIV RNA level of <200 copies/mL. Baseline HIV RNA levels have been shown to affect the time to viral suppression in both pregnant and nonpregnant individuals, and no difference in time to viral response has been observed between pregnant and nonpregnant women.^{1,2} In women with HIV who participated in three prospective studies from seven African countries and who became pregnant after initiating antiretroviral therapy (ART), incident pregnancy did not affect time to viral suppression or time to virologic failure.³

HIV RNA levels should be assessed 2 to 4 weeks after an antiretroviral (ARV) drug regimen is initiated or changed to provide an initial assessment of the regimen's effectiveness.⁴ With use of integrase strand transfer inhibitors (INSTIs) as part of an ARV regimen, a decrease of approximately one hundred-fold in HIV RNA levels can be expected by Week 2 of therapy.^{4,5} In the United Kingdom, a multicenter, retrospective observational study of women initiating ART during pregnancy found that higher baseline viral load was the only independent factor associated with faster first-phase HIV RNA half-life decay, and that lower viral load on Day 14 after starting ART was associated with an increased likelihood of achieving an undetectable plasma viral load by 36 weeks gestation.⁶

Suppression of HIV RNA to undetectable levels should be achieved as rapidly as possible in pregnancy, because maternal antenatal HIV RNA level correlates with the risk of perinatal transmission, as well as maternal HIV progression. In addition, an analysis from the Women's Interagency HIV Study cohort found that higher viral loads were associated with an increased risk of pregnancy loss through miscarriage or stillbirth.⁷ However, a report from the HIV Outpatient Study noted that among 119 pregnancies that were analyzed between 2005 and 2015, 33 women (27.7%) were not virally suppressed (HIV RNA >500 copies/mL) at the end of pregnancy. Failure to achieve virologic suppression remains a common problem for pregnant people in the United States.⁸

Causes of Detectable Viremia

Lack of virologic suppression is frequently associated with inadequate adherence; barriers to adherence should be addressed when the viral load does not decline as expected. (See [Adherence to the Continuum of Care in the Adult and Adolescent Guidelines](#).) A systematic review and meta-analysis of ART adherence during and after pregnancy in low-, middle-, and high-income countries (27% of studies were from the United States) found that only 73.5% of pregnant women achieved adequate (>80%) ART adherence.⁹ Factors that can contribute to suboptimal adherence in pregnancy include depression, a lack of HIV seropositive status disclosure, adverse drug reactions, a history of intimate partner violence, a lack of prior experience with taking ART, and a lack of knowledge about the role of ART in preventing perinatal transmission.¹⁰⁻¹² Other factors that have been associated with lack of viral suppression in pregnancy, and likely associated with difficulties with adherence, include unintended pregnancy and social and economic vulnerabilities (e.g., living in the U.S. for less than 5 years with no family/friends' support, neighborhood exposures to crime), as well as poor engagement in prenatal care.¹³⁻¹⁵ Other potential causes of detectable viremia include drug-drug interactions and lack of attention to food requirements with some ARV agents (e.g., rilpivirine, darunavir) that affect adequate drug absorption.

The lack of virologic suppression by late pregnancy may indicate virologic failure, but it may also represent inadequate time on ART. In a retrospective multicenter cohort of 378 pregnant women, 77.2% of women achieved HIV RNA <50 copies/mL by delivery; success in achieving viral suppression varied by baseline HIV RNA level. In women with baseline HIV RNA levels <10,000 copies/mL, the gestational age of their infants at ART initiation did not affect the likelihood of achieving viral suppression up to 26.3 weeks gestation. In women with baseline HIV RNA levels >10,000 copies/mL, however, delaying ART initiation past 20.4 weeks significantly reduced the likelihood of achieving maximal suppression at delivery.¹ Among 1,070 ART-naïve pregnant women with HIV who participated in the prospective cohort study IMPAACT P1025, initiating ART at >32 weeks gestation also was associated with a significantly higher risk of having a viral load >400 copies/mL at delivery.¹⁶ A report from the French Perinatal Cohort found no perinatal transmission of HIV among 2,651 infants born to women who received ART before conception, continued ART throughout pregnancy, and delivered with a plasma HIV RNA <50 copies/mL (with an upper limit for the 95% confidence interval [CI] of 0.1%). In the entire cohort of 8,075 mother-infant pairs that were followed from 2000 through 2011, HIV RNA level and timing of ART initiation were independently associated with perinatal transmission of HIV in a logistic regression analysis.¹⁷ A recent cross-sectional analysis of 10,052 pregnant women with HIV receiving antenatal care (ANC) in public facilities in South Africa reported that failure to achieve viral suppression (HIV RNA <50 copies/mL) was associated primarily with late registration for ANC and late initiation of ART.¹⁸

The response to ART also may be affected by other factors. Pregnant people with acute HIV generally have high viral loads and strategies to accelerate viral decline may be considered in these patients, though these strategies should be discussed with HIV treatment experts (see [Acute HIV Infection](#)). In a population-based surveillance study in the United Kingdom and Ireland that compared 70 pregnancies in 45 women with perinatally acquired HIV and 184 pregnancies in 118 women with non-perinatally-acquired HIV, perinatally-acquired HIV in the mother was a risk factor for detectable viral load near delivery; this finding reflects complex clinical, psychosocial, adherence, and resistance issues.¹⁹ Among 2,123 births that occurred between 2007 and 2015 and were reported in the Surveillance Monitoring of ART Toxicities Study, as part of the Pediatric HIV/AIDS Cohort Study, women with perinatally-acquired HIV had a higher perinatal transmission rate (1.1%; 95% CI, 0.3% to 4.3% vs. 0.4%; 95% CI, 0.2% to 1.0%) and higher likelihood of having HIV RNA >1,000 copies/mL close to delivery than women with non-perinatally acquired HIV.²⁰ If needed, ARV regimens should be optimized in consultation with HIV treatment experts, and other possible contributing factors should be considered (see [Prenatal Care, Antiretroviral Therapy, and HIV Management in People with Perinatal-Acquired HIV Infection](#)).

Managing Lack of Viral Suppression

A three-pronged approach is indicated for evaluating and managing pregnant people on ARV regimens who have lack of suppression of HIV RNA, taking time on treatment into account. The three approaches are—

- Assessing adherence, tolerability, correct dosing, or potential problems with absorption (e.g., nausea/vomiting, use of gastroesophageal reflux disease medications, **coadministration of prenatal vitamins and iron with INSTIs**,^{21,22} lack of attention to food requirements);
- Ordering ARV drug resistance tests if plasma HIV RNA is above the threshold for resistance testing (generally >500 copies/mL); *and*
- Considering modifying the ARV regimen (see [Pregnant People with HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy](#) and [Table 5](#)).

Evaluation of and support for adherence during pregnancy are critical to achieving and maintaining maximal viral suppression. **Access to and promotion of pre-pregnancy counseling and family planning services to reduce unintended pregnancy and help those with HIV achieve their childbearing aspirations, as well as early attention to the special need for adherence support among immigrant communities affected by HIV and others with adverse neighborhood exposures, are critical to achieving and maintaining maximal viral suppression. In a retrospective cohort, group prenatal care for pregnant women living with HIV as compared to individual care showed promise in achieving viral suppression by the time of delivery (adjusted odds ratio [aOR] = 2.29; 95% CI, 0.94-5.55; *P* = 0.068).**²³

Before modifying an ARV regimen, consult an expert in clinical care for ARV-experienced adults. This is particularly important in cases where a drug regimen must be modified due to resistance or adverse effects. Regimen simplification may be considered to promote better adherence. Other possible interventions include adherence education, treating problems that may interfere with drug absorption (e.g., vomiting), ensuring that a patient is taking ART in accordance with food requirements, and directly observing drug administration in the home or hospital setting (see [Table 10](#)).²⁴

In a study from the French Perinatal cohort among 1,797 women with HIV RNA level <50 copies/mL before 14 weeks gestation, change in ARV regimen in 411 women due to safety concerns based on existing guidelines at the time of pregnancy did not result in loss of virologic control.²⁵ However, among 662 pregnancies that were followed in Italy between 2001 and 2008, treatment modification during pregnancy was independently associated with HIV RNA level >400 copies/mL in late pregnancy (aOR 1.66; 95% CI, 1.07–2.57; $P = 0.024$). This highlights the importance of using potent and well-tolerated regimens during pregnancy to maximize effectiveness and minimize the need to modify treatment.²⁶ The findings also highlight the importance of not changing effective ARV regimens in people who become pregnant while taking ART (see [Pregnant People with HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy](#)).

The Role of INSTIs in People with Detectable HIV RNA Levels During Pregnancy

The INSTI class of drugs has been associated with rapid viral load reduction. Both raltegravir (RAL) and dolutegravir (DTG) are now Preferred ARV drugs in people trying to conceive and for use throughout pregnancy, and should be strongly considered in people who present late in pregnancy and, specifically, in those who present with high viral loads (see [Table 4](#)). The use of RAL or DTG also has been suggested as a fourth ARV drug in ART-naïve people with high viral loads, but there is limited evidence of a benefit in this situation (see [Pregnant People with HIV Who Have Never Received Antiretroviral Drugs](#), [Table 4](#), and [Table 5](#)). Adding RAL or another INSTI to a three-drug ARV regimen also has been suggested in the setting of lack of viral suppression due to known or suspected drug-resistant mutations or nonadherence.²⁷ However, the efficacy and safety of this approach during pregnancy have not been evaluated in clinical trials. The available data come from case series and two retrospective cohorts, and most of these data focus on the use of RAL.^{5,28,29} A recent prospective cohort study from Thailand enrolled 154 pregnant women with HIV. These women had either started ART at ≥ 32 weeks gestation (73% of women) or were receiving ART and had plasma HIV RNA levels >1,000 copies/mL at 32 to 38 weeks gestation (27% of women). These women received a standard, three-drug ARV regimen plus RAL intensification until delivery. The median gestational age at entry was 34 weeks (interquartile range [IQR] 33–36 weeks) and median duration of treatment was 21 days (IQR 8–34 days). The proportion of women with HIV RNA levels of <50 copies/mL and <1,000 copies/mL at delivery was 45% and 76%, respectively; 83% of those who were ART-naïve had HIV RNA <1,000 copies/mL at delivery compared with 60% of those who were already on ART but who had not achieved virologic suppression. The overall perinatal transmission rate in this high-risk group of women was 3.9% (95% CI, 1.4% to 8.2%). Six instances of perinatal transmission occurred in this group; three of those instances occurred *in utero*.³⁰

In cases where treatment failure is attributed to nonadherence and/or drug resistance, concerns exist that the addition of a single agent may further increase the risk of resistance and lead to the potential loss of future effectiveness of this agent. In addition, when poor adherence is the reason that the patient has not achieved or maintained virologic suppression, it is unclear that adding a new drug to the existing regimen will improve adherence. Currently, data are insufficient to recommend adding an INSTI to a failing ARV regimen for people in late pregnancy. However, after reviewing a patient's full treatment history and drug resistance results, a clinician may consider using an INSTI as part of a new regimen for pregnant people who are experiencing virologic failure on a non-INSTI ARV regimen.

Viral Rebound in Late Pregnancy

A recent retrospective study of 318 pregnant women addressed the risk of viral rebound in pregnancy among women who received ART for ≥ 4 weeks and who had had ≥ 1 prior undetectable viral load. Nineteen women (6%) had viral rebound (HIV RNA > 50 copies/mL) within 1 month before delivery; six of these 19 women had viral loads above 1,000 copies/mL. Significant predictors of viral rebound included cocaine use and testing positive for hepatitis C virus RNA.³¹ Viral load testing is currently recommended at 34 to 36 weeks gestation for delivery planning; providers may consider repeat testing subsequently in selected patients who are at increased risk for viral rebound.

Intrapartum Management of People with a Lack of Viral Suppression

Please see [Intrapartum Care for People with HIV](#) for guidance about the use of intrapartum intravenous zidovudine prophylaxis and scheduled cesarean delivery for pregnant **persons who have not achieved viral suppression on ART.**

References

1. Read PJ, Mandalia S, Khan P, et al. When should HAART be initiated in pregnancy to achieve an undetectable HIV viral load by delivery? *AIDS*. 2012;26(9):1095-1103. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22441248>.
2. Rachas A, Warszawski J, Le Chenadec J, et al. Does pregnancy affect the early response to cART? *AIDS*. 2013;27(3):357-367. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23079802>.
3. Kourtis AP, Wiener J, King CC, et al. Effect of pregnancy on response to antiretroviral therapy in HIV-infected African women. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2017;74(1):38-43. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27787340>.
4. Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents. Guidelines for the use of antiretroviral agents in HIV-1-infected adults and adolescents. 2021. Available at: <https://clinicalinfo.hiv.gov/en/guidelines/adult-and-adolescent-arv/whats-new-guidelines>.
5. Rahangdale L, Cates J, Potter J, et al. Integrase inhibitors in late pregnancy and rapid HIV viral load reduction. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2016;214(3):385 e381-387. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26928154>.
6. Alagaratnam J, Peters H, Francis K, et al. An observational study of initial HIV RNA decay following initiation of combination antiretroviral treatment during pregnancy. *AIDS Res Ther*. 2020;17(1):41. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32660502>.
7. Cates JE, Westreich D, Edmonds A, et al. The effects of viral load burden on pregnancy loss among HIV-infected women in the United States. *Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol*. 2015;2015:362357. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26582966>.
8. Patel M, Tedaldi E, Armon C, et al. HIV RNA suppression during and after pregnancy among women in the HIV outpatient study, 1996 to 2015. *J Int Assoc Provid AIDS Care*. 2018;17:2325957417752259. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29357772>.
9. Nacheга JB, Uthman OA, Anderson J, et al. Adherence to antiretroviral therapy during and after pregnancy in low-income, middle-income, and high-income countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *AIDS*. 2012;26(16):2039-2052. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22951634>.
10. Yee LM, Crisham Janik M, Dorman RM, Chong PS, Garcia PM, Miller ES. Relationship between intimate partner violence and antiretroviral adherence and viral suppression in pregnancy. *Sex Reprod Healthc*. 2018;17:7-11. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30193723>.
11. Mills JC, Pence BW, Edmonds A, et al. The impact of cumulative depression along the HIV care continuum in women living with HIV during the era of universal antiretroviral treatment. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2019;82(3):225-233. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31335585>.

12. Brittain K, Mellins CA, Remien RH, et al. Impact of HIV-status disclosure on HIV viral load in pregnant and postpartum women on antiretroviral therapy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2019;81(4):379-386. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30939530>.
13. Dude AM, Miller ES, Garcia PM, Yee LM. Unintended pregnancy and viral suppression in pregnant women living with HIV. *Am J Obstet Gynecol MFM*. 2021;3(2):100300. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33359637>.
14. Momplaisir FM, Nassau T, Moore K, et al. Association of adverse neighborhood exposures with HIV viral load in pregnant women at delivery. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2020;3(11):e2024577. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33156348>.
15. Premkumar A, Yee LM, Benes L, Miller ES. Social vulnerability among foreign-born pregnant women and maternal virologic control of HIV. *Am J Perinatol*. 2020;38(8):753-758. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33368072>.
16. Katz IT, Leister E, Kacanek D, et al. Factors associated with lack of viral suppression at delivery among highly active antiretroviral therapy-naïve women with HIV: a cohort study. *Ann Intern Med*. 2015;162(2):90-99. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25599347>.
17. Mandelbrot L, Tubiana R, Le Chenadec J, et al. No perinatal HIV-1 transmission from women with effective antiretroviral therapy starting before conception. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2015;61(11):1715-1725. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26197844>.
18. Woldesenbet SA, Kufa T, Barron P, et al. Viral suppression and factors associated with failure to achieve viral suppression among pregnant women in South Africa. *AIDS*. 2020;34(4):589-597. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31821189>.
19. Byrne L, Sconza R, Foster C, Tookey PA, Cortina-Borja M, Thorne C. Pregnancy incidence and outcomes in women with perinatal HIV infection. *AIDS*. 2017;31(12):1745-1754. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28590327>.
20. Goodenough CJ, Patel K, Van Dyke RB, Pediatric HIV AIDS Cohort Study. Is there a higher risk of mother-to-child transmission of HIV among pregnant women with perinatal HIV infection? *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2018;37(12):1267-1270. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29742647>.
21. Bordes C, Leguelinel-Blache G, Lavigne JP, et al. Interactions between antiretroviral therapy and complementary and alternative medicine: a narrative review. *Clin Microbiol Infect*. 2020;26(9):1161-1170. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32360208>.
22. Federspiel J, Bukhari MJ, Hamill MM. Interactions between highly active antiretroviral therapy and over-the-counter agents: a cautionary note. *BMJ Case Rep*. 2021;14(1). Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33408101>.
23. McKinney J, Jackson J, Sangi-Haghpeykar H, et al. HIV-adapted group prenatal care: assessing viral suppression and postpartum retention in care. *AIDS Patient Care STDS*. 2021;35(2):39-46. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33571047>.

24. McCabe CJ, Goldie SJ, Fisman DN. The cost-effectiveness of directly observed highly-active antiretroviral therapy in the third trimester in HIV-infected pregnant women. *PLoS One*. 2010;5(4):e10154. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20405011>.
25. Peyronnet V, Warszawski J, Sibiude J, et al. Does changing antiretroviral therapy in the first trimester of pregnancy for safety concerns have an impact on viral suppression? *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2019;80(5):574-584. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30649033>.
26. Floridia M, Ravizza M, Pinnetti C, et al. Treatment change in pregnancy is a significant risk factor for detectable HIV-1 RNA in plasma at end of pregnancy. *HIV Clin Trials*. 2010;11(6):303-311. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21239358>.
27. Grinsztejn B, Nguyen BY, Katlama C, et al. Safety and efficacy of the HIV-1 integrase inhibitor raltegravir (MK-0518) in treatment-experienced patients with multidrug-resistant virus: a phase II randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2007;369(9569):1261-1269. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17434401>.
28. Boucoiran I, Tulloch K, Pick N, et al. A case series of third-trimester raltegravir initiation: Impact on maternal HIV-1 viral load and obstetrical outcomes. *Can J Infect Dis Med Microbiol*. 2015;26(3):145-150. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26236356>.
29. Cecchini DM, Martinez MG, Morganti LM, Rodriguez CG. Antiretroviral therapy containing raltegravir to prevent mother-to-child transmission of HIV in infected pregnant women. *Infect Dis Rep*. 2017;9(2):7017. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28663779>.
30. Puthanakit T, Thepnarong N, Chaithongwongwatthana S, et al. Intensification of antiretroviral treatment with raltegravir for pregnant women living with HIV at high risk of vertical transmission. *J Virus Erad*. 2018;4(2):61-65. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29682296>.
31. Boucoiran I, Albert AYK, Tulloch K, et al. Human immunodeficiency virus viral load rebound near delivery in previously suppressed, combination antiretroviral therapy-treated pregnant women. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2017;130(3):497-501. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28796673>.

Special Populations: Hepatitis B Virus/HIV Coinfection

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Panel's Recommendations
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• All pregnant people with HIV should be screened during each pregnancy for hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection, unless they are already known to have HBV/HIV coinfection or have serologic documentation of HBV immunity.• All pregnant people with HIV who screen negative for HBV infection and lack HBV immunity (i.e., HBV surface antigen negative, HBV core antibody negative, and HBV surface antibody negative) should promptly receive the HBV vaccine series (AII).• All pregnant people with chronic HBV infection who have not already received the hepatitis A virus (HAV) vaccine series should be screened for immunity to HAV. If they screen negative for HAV antibodies (either IgG or total antibody [IgG and IgM]), they should receive the HAV vaccine series (AIII).• After delivery, people with HBV/HIV coinfection should continue antiretroviral regimens that include drugs with anti-HBV activity: tenofovir disoproxil fumarate or tenofovir alafenamide plus lamivudine or emtricitabine (AII).• Pregnant people with HBV/HIV coinfection who are receiving antiretroviral therapy (ART) should be counseled about signs and symptoms of liver toxicity, and liver transaminases should be assessed 1 month after initiating ART and at least every 3 months thereafter during pregnancy (BIII).• For pregnant people with HBV/HIV coinfection who discontinue medications with anti-HBV activity, frequent monitoring of liver function tests for potential exacerbation of HBV infection is recommended, with prompt re-initiation of treatment for HBV when a flare is suspected (BIII).• HBV/HIV coinfection is not an independent indication for cesarean delivery (see Intrapartum Care for People with HIV (AIII)).• Within 12 hours of birth, infants born to people with HBV should receive hepatitis B immune globulin and the first dose of the HBV vaccine series (AI).
<p><i>Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional</i></p> <p><i>Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion</i></p>

The management of hepatitis B virus (HBV)/HIV coinfection in pregnancy is complex, and consultation with an expert in HIV and HBV coinfection is strongly recommended. For additional information on HBV and HIV, see [Hepatitis B Virus/HIV Coinfection](#) in the [Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines](#), [Hepatitis B Virus Infection](#) in the [Adult and Adolescent Opportunistic Infection Guidelines](#), and [Hepatitis B Virus in Guidelines for the Prevention and Treatment of Opportunistic Infections in HIV-Exposed and HIV-Infected Children](#).

Screening and Vaccination

Everyone with HIV should be screened for HBV at entry into general HIV care. For guidance on screening for hepatitis C virus (HCV), see [Hepatitis C Virus/HIV Coinfection](#). All pregnant people with HIV should be screened for HBV during each pregnancy, unless they are known to have HBV/HIV coinfection or to have serologic documentation of HBV immunity. Screening for HBV should include hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg), hepatitis B core antibody (anti-HBc or HBcAb), and hepatitis B surface antibody (anti-HBs or HBsAb). People who test positive for HBsAg should have follow-up testing to evaluate liver function, prothrombin time, and levels of HBV DNA, HB e antigen, and HB e antibody.¹

To prevent transmission of HIV and HBV from people with HBV/HIV coinfection to their sex partners, their sexual contacts should be counseled and tested for HIV and HBV. All HBV-susceptible contacts should then receive the HBV vaccine series; all sex partners who do not have HIV infection should be counseled about the benefits of condom use, pre-exposure prophylaxis, and having a sex partner with undetectable HIV (U=U) in preventing HIV transmission. **For information on testing and prevention of HIV transmission to sex partners**, see [Reproductive Options for Couples When One or Both Partners Have HIV](#) and the [Let's Stop HIV Together](#) resources from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).¹⁻⁴ For more information specifically about preventing HBV transmission, see the [CDC guidelines on pre-exposure prophylaxis](#) and the [Hepatitis B Virus Infection](#) section of the [Adult and Adolescent Opportunistic Infection Guidelines](#).

Pregnant people with HIV who screen negative for HBV (i.e., HBsAg negative, anti-HBc negative, and anti-HBs negative) or who lack HBV immunity (i.e., anti-HBs negative) should promptly receive the HBV vaccine series. People with HIV who have remote HBV infection and who have only current anti-HBc antibody detected (i.e., they test negative for HBV DNA, HBsAg, and anti-HBs) may have lost immunity to HBV and should be vaccinated.¹ **Assessment of anti-HBs titers 1 to 2 months after the vaccine series and management of non-responders should be conducted in pregnant people with HIV/HBV coinfection in the same way as recommended for non-pregnant people with HIV/HBV coinfection**; see [Hepatitis B Virus Infection](#) in the [Adult and Adolescent Opportunistic Infection Guidelines](#).¹ No evidence exists that the HBV vaccine causes adverse effects in developing fetuses or newborns; current vaccines contain noninfectious HBsAg and are recommended for use in pregnancy for people with HIV.^{5,6}

A positive test for anti-HBc alone can be a false positive, especially in regions of low HBV prevalence; alternatively, it may signify remote infection with subsequent loss of anti-HBs antibodies or longstanding chronic HBV infection with loss of surface antigen (this is known as “occult” HBV infection, which can be confirmed by detection of HBV DNA) (see [Hepatitis B Virus Infection](#) section of the [Adult and Adolescent Opportunistic Infection Guidelines](#)).^{7,8} Incidence of HBV viremia with the isolated anti-HBc pattern ranges from 1% to 30% in patients with HIV, depending on the population sampled.⁹ The clinical significance of isolated anti-HBc is unknown.^{10,11} Some experts recommend that individuals with HIV infection and anti-HBc alone be tested for HBV DNA to inform decisions about vaccination for HBV and treatment with antiretroviral (ARV) drugs that have specific activity against HBV.¹² In areas where the prevalence of HBV is low, patients with isolated anti-HBc should be vaccinated with one standard dose of HBV vaccine, and anti-HBs titers should be checked 1 to 2 months after vaccination. If the anti-HBs titer is >100 IU/mL, no further vaccination is needed. If the titer is <100 IU/mL, the patient should receive a complete HBV vaccine series, followed by anti-HBs testing (see [Hepatitis B Virus Infection](#) section of the [Adult and Adolescent Opportunistic Infection Guidelines](#)).¹ Pregnant people with HIV who have isolated anti-

HBc and occult HBV infection typically have very low levels of HBV DNA and are thought to be at extremely low risk of transmitting HBV to their infants.^{1,13}

Pregnant people who have HBV infection and who have not already received the hepatitis A virus (HAV) vaccine series also should be screened for HAV using antibody testing for immunoglobulin G (IgG) (note that some laboratories provide only a combined IgG and immunoglobulin M [IgM] HAV titer, which is acceptable). Individuals with chronic HBV have an added risk of hepatic decompensation from acute infection with HAV. Pregnant people with chronic HBV infection who have not already received the HAV vaccine series and who are not immune to HAV should receive the HAV vaccine series. Responses to the HAV vaccine are reduced in persons with HIV who have CD4 counts <200 cells/mm³. Antibody response should be assessed in such persons 1 month after the HAV vaccine series is complete. If HAV antibody immunoglobulin (HAV Ab IgG) is negative, these persons should be revaccinated when the CD4 count is >200 cells/mm³.¹ Pregnant people who received the HAV vaccine series when their CD4 count was ≥ 200 cells/mm³ do not need to be revaccinated for HAV, because they are likely protected (even if their HAV IgG levels are undetectable using commercially available assays). Although the safety of HAV vaccination during pregnancy has not been directly evaluated, the HAV vaccine contains inactivated HAV, and the theoretical risk to the developing fetus is expected to be low.⁵

HBV/HIV Coinfection in Pregnancy

A study of 4,236 pregnant women with HIV in France who were followed between 2005 and 2013 found that the prevalence of HBV (HBsAg positive) was 6.2%; HBV/HIV coinfection was six times more frequent in pregnant women who were born in sub-Saharan Africa than in those who were born in France.¹⁴ HBV/HIV coinfection was not associated with preterm delivery, lower CD4 counts, or detectable HIV viral load in this cohort.¹⁴ In a retrospective, multivariable analysis of response to ART in 1,462 pregnancies among Italian women with HIV (12% of the women had HBV/HIV coinfection), women with only HIV had better CD4 responses on ART during pregnancy than women with HBV/HIV coinfection.¹⁵ However, no differences in maternal and infant outcomes were observed between women with HBV/HIV coinfection and women with only HIV.

Therapy for HIV and HBV in Pregnancy

An ARV regimen that includes drugs that are active against both HIV and HBV is recommended for all individuals with HBV/HIV coinfection, including all pregnant people. Initiation of ART may be associated with activation of HBV and development of immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome, particularly in persons with high HBV DNA levels and severe liver disease.^{1,16}

The use of ARV drugs with anti-HBV activity during pregnancy in people with HBV mono-infection lowers HBV viremia and lowers the risk of HBV transmission to the infant. High maternal HBV DNA levels are strongly correlated with perinatal HBV transmission and with failures of HBV passive-active immunoprophylaxis.^{17–20} All pregnant people with HIV/HBV coinfection should be receiving an ARV regimen that includes tenofovir and either lamivudine (3TC) or emtricitabine (FTC), which will reduce HBV viremia and, thus, lower the risk of HBV transmission to the infant.

Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF), tenofovir alafenamide (TAF), 3TC, and FTC all have activity against both HIV and HBV. All these drugs are preferred nucleoside and nucleotide reverse transcriptase inhibitors for use during pregnancy in people with HBV/HIV coinfection.²¹ (see

[Table 4](#)). Please see individual drug sections for [TDF](#), [TAF](#), [FTC](#), and [3TC](#) for detailed reviews of safety, pharmacologic, and other clinical data for use in pregnancy.

Consultation with an expert in HIV and HBV is strongly recommended when providing care for pregnant people with HBV/HIV coinfection who continues to have detectable HBV DNA viremia, despite receiving an ARV regimen that includes two anti-HBV nucleotide or nucleoside analogues.

Several other antiviral agents have activity against HBV, including entecavir, adefovir, and telbivudine. However, these drugs have not been well evaluated in pregnancy, with too few exposures to assess overall risk. They **are currently not recommended** for pregnant people with HBV/HIV coinfection.²²

Interferon alfa and pegylated interferon alfa are also **not recommended** for use during pregnancy, and they should be used only if the potential benefits outweigh the potential risks. Although interferons are not teratogenic, they are abortifacient at high doses in monkeys and should not be used in pregnancy because of their direct antigrowth and antiproliferative effects.²³

Cases of exposure during pregnancy to any of the ARV drugs and HBV drugs listed above should be reported to the [Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry](#) (online or by telephone at 1-800-258-4263).

Monitoring People With HBV/HIV Coinfection During Pregnancy

Prior to initiating ARV drugs that are active against HBV, a baseline HBV DNA level should be measured. After initiating therapy, HBV DNA should be monitored every 12 weeks to ensure adequate response to therapy (see [Hepatitis B Virus Infection](#) in the [Adult and Adolescent Opportunistic Infection Guidelines](#)).

Following initiation of ART, an elevation in hepatic enzymes can occur in pregnant people with HBV/HIV coinfection—particularly those with low CD4 counts at the time of treatment initiation—as a result of an immune-mediated flare in HBV disease triggered by immune reconstitution with effective HIV therapy. HBV infection can also increase the hepatotoxic risk of certain ARV drugs, specifically protease inhibitors. Pregnant people with HBV/HIV coinfection should be counseled about signs and symptoms of liver toxicity, and transaminase levels should be assessed 1 month after initiating ARV drugs and at least every 3 months thereafter. If hepatotoxicity occurs, it may be necessary to consider substituting a less hepatotoxic regimen or, if clinical symptoms or significant elevations of transaminases occur, drugs may need to be temporarily discontinued. Differentiating between the effects of drug toxicity and a flare in HBV disease caused by immune reconstitution often can be difficult, and consultation with an expert in HIV and HBV coinfection is strongly recommended.

Discontinuing anti-HBV agents may lead to reactivation of HBV, resulting in hepatocellular damage. If anti-HBV drugs are discontinued, serum transaminase levels should be monitored every 6 weeks for 3 months, then every 3 to 6 months thereafter, with prompt re-initiation of HBV treatment if a flare is suspected.¹

Mode of Delivery

Decisions concerning mode of delivery of the infant in a pregnant woman with HBV/HIV coinfection should be based on standard obstetric and HIV-related indications alone (see [Intrapartum](#)

[Care for People with HIV](#)). Currently, the guidelines for women with HBV mono-infection do not recommend performing a cesarean delivery to prevent perinatal transmission of HBV.^{24–26}

Evaluating and Managing Infants Who Were Exposed to HBV

Within 12 hours of birth, all infants born to people with HBV infection, including those with HBV/HIV coinfection, should receive hepatitis B immune globulin (HBIG) and the first dose of the HBV vaccination series to prevent perinatal transmission of HBV. For infants weighing $\geq 2,000$ g at birth, the second and final doses of the vaccine series should be administered at age 1 to 2 months and 6 months, respectively. For infants with birth weights $< 2,000$ g, do not count the birth dose as part of the vaccine series, and administer three additional doses at ages 1 month, 2 to 3 months, and 6 months.^{27,28} This regimen is $>95\%$ effective in preventing HBV infection in these infants. Maternal ART that includes NAs with anti-HBV activity will result in low or suppressed HBV viral loads near delivery, which should further reduce the risk of perinatal HBV transmission in people with HBV/HIV coinfection.^{29,30}

Infant postvaccination testing for anti-HBs and HBsAg should be performed after completing the vaccine series, between the ages of 9 months and 18 months. Serologic testing should not be performed before age 9 months; this delay helps avoid detecting anti-HBs from HBIG that was administered during infancy and maximizes the likelihood of detecting late HBV infection. Anti-HBc testing of infants is not recommended, because passively acquired maternal anti-HBc might be detected in infants aged ≤ 24 months who were born to mothers with HBV. HBsAg-negative infants with anti-HBs levels >10 mIU/mL are protected and need no further medical management. HBsAg-negative infants with anti-HBs levels <10 mIU/mL should be revaccinated with a single dose of HBV vaccine and receive postvaccination serologic testing 1 to 2 months later. Infants whose anti-HBs levels remain <10 mIU/mL following single-dose revaccination should receive two additional doses of HBV vaccine to complete the second series, followed by post-vaccination serologic testing at 1 to 2 months after the final dose.³¹

References

1. Panel on Opportunistic Infections in Adults and Adolescents with HIV. Guidelines for the prevention and treatment of opportunistic infections in adults and adolescents with HIV: recommendations from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the National Institutes of Health, and the HIV Medicine Association of the Infectious Diseases Society of America. 2019. Available at: https://clinicalinfo.hiv.gov/sites/default/files/guidelines/documents/Adult_OI.pdf.
2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP). 2020. Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/risk/prep/index.html>.
3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Preventing new HIV infections. 2020. Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/guidelines/preventing.html>.
4. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. U.S. Public Health Service: preexposure prophylaxis for the prevention of HIV infection in the United States—2017 update: a clinical practice guideline. 2018. Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/pdf/risk/prep/cdc-hiv-prep-guidelines-2017.pdf>.
5. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Guidelines for vaccinating pregnant women. 2017. Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/pregnancy/hcp/guidelines.html>.
6. Groom HC, Irving SA, Koppolu P, et al. Uptake and safety of hepatitis B vaccination during pregnancy: a vaccine safety datalink study. *Vaccine*. 2018;36(41):6111-6116. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30194002>.
7. Grob P, Jilg W, Bornhak H, et al. Serological pattern “anti-HBc alone”: report on a workshop. *J Med Virol*. 2000;62(4):450-455. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11074473>.
8. Hofer M, Joller-Jemelka HI, Grob PJ, Luthy R, Opravil M. Frequent chronic hepatitis B virus infection in HIV-infected patients positive for antibody to hepatitis B core antigen only Swiss HIV Cohort Study. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis*. 1998;17(1):6-13. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9512175>.
9. Chasela CS, Kourtis AP, Wall P, et al. Hepatitis B virus infection among HIV-infected pregnant women in Malawi and transmission to infants. *J Hepatol*. 2014;60(3):508-514. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24211737>.
10. Silva AE, McMahon BJ, Parkinson AJ, Sjogren MH, Hoofnagle JH, Di Bisceglie AM. Hepatitis B virus DNA in persons with isolated antibody to hepatitis B core antigen who subsequently received hepatitis B vaccine. *Clin Infect Dis*. 1998;26(4):895-897. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9564471>.

11. Lok AS, Lai CL, Wu PC. Prevalence of isolated antibody to hepatitis B core antigen in an area endemic for hepatitis B virus infection: implications in hepatitis B vaccination programs. *Hepatology*. 1988;8(4):766-770. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2968945>.
12. Catherine FX, Piroth L. Hepatitis B virus vaccination in HIV-infected people: a review. *Hum Vaccin Immunother*. 2017;13(6):1-10. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28267387>.
13. Khamduang W, Gaudy-Graffin C, Ngo-Giang-Huong N, et al. Analysis of residual perinatal transmission of hepatitis B virus (HBV) and of genetic variants in human immunodeficiency virus and HBV co-infected women and their offspring. *J Clin Virol*. 2013;58(2):415-421. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23916828>.
14. Benhammou V, Tubiana R, Matheron S, et al. HBV or HCV coinfection in HIV-1-infected pregnant women in France: prevalence and pregnancy outcomes. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2018;77(5):439-450. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29287028>.
15. Florida M, Masuelli G, Tamburrini E, et al. HBV coinfection is associated with reduced CD4 response to antiretroviral treatment in pregnancy. *HIV Clin Trials*. 2017;18(2):54-59. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28067163>.
16. Crane M, Oliver B, Matthews G, et al. Immunopathogenesis of hepatic flare in HIV/hepatitis B virus (HBV)-coinfected individuals after the initiation of HBV-active antiretroviral therapy. *J Infect Dis*. 2009;199(7):974-981. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19231993>.
17. del Canho R, Grosheide PM, Schalm SW, de Vries RR, Heijtkink RA. Failure of neonatal hepatitis B vaccination: the role of HBV-DNA levels in hepatitis B carrier mothers and HLA antigens in neonates. *J Hepatol*. 1994;20(4):483-486. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8051386>.
18. Ngui SL, Andrews NJ, Underhill GS, Heptonstall J, Teo CG. Failed postnatal immunoprophylaxis for hepatitis B: characteristics of maternal hepatitis B virus as risk factors. *Clin Infect Dis*. 1998;27(1):100-106. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9675462>.
19. Wiseman E, Fraser MA, Holden S, et al. Perinatal transmission of hepatitis B virus: an Australian experience. *Med J Aust*. 2009;190(9):489-492. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19413519>.
20. Jourdain G, Ngo-Giang-Huong N, Harrison L, et al. Tenofovir versus placebo to prevent perinatal transmission of hepatitis B. *N Engl J Med*. 2018;378(10):911-923. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29514030>.

21. Zeng QL, Yu ZJ, Ji F, et al. Tenofovir alafenamide to prevent perinatal hepatitis B transmission: a multicenter, prospective, observational study. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2021. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33395488>.
22. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry international interim report for 1 January 1989–31 January 2021. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center; 2021. Available at: <http://www.apregistry.com/>.
23. Boskovic R, Wide R, Wolpin J, Bauer DJ, Koren G. The reproductive effects of beta interferon therapy in pregnancy: a longitudinal cohort. *Neurology*. 2005;65(6):807-811. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16186517>.
24. Keeffe EB, Dieterich DT, Han SH, et al. A treatment algorithm for the management of chronic hepatitis B virus infection in the United States: 2008 update. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2008;6(12):1315-1341; quiz 1286. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18845489>.
25. Asian Pacific Association for the Study of the Liver. Asian-Pacific consensus statement on the management of chronic hepatitis B: a 2012 update. *Hepatol Int*. 2012(6):531-561. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26201469>.
26. European Association for the Study of the Liver. EASL 2017 clinical practice guidelines on the management of hepatitis B virus infection. *J Hepatol*. 2017;67(2):370-398. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28427875>.
27. Mast EE, Margolis HS, Fiore AE, et al. A comprehensive immunization strategy to eliminate transmission of hepatitis B virus infection in the United States: recommendations of the advisory committee on immunization practices (ACIP) part 1: immunization of infants, children, and adolescents. *MMWR Recomm Rep*. 2005;54(RR-16):1-31. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16371945>.
28. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Trends in childhood cancer mortality—United States, 1990–2004. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2007;56(48):1257-1261. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18059256>.
29. Wang L, Wiener J, Bulterys M, et al. Hepatitis B virus (HBV) load response to 2 antiviral regimens, tenofovir/lamivudine and lamivudine, in HIV/HBV-coinfected pregnant women in Guangxi, China: the Tenofovir in Pregnancy (TiP) study. *J Infect Dis*. 2016;214(11):1695-1699. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27658693>.
30. Pan CQ, Duan Z, Dai E, et al. Tenofovir to prevent hepatitis B transmission in mothers with high viral load. *N Engl J Med*. 2016;374(24):2324-2334. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27305192>.
31. Schillie S, Vellozzi C, Reingold A, et al. Prevention of hepatitis B virus infection in the United States: recommendations of the advisory committee on immunization practices.

MMWR Recomm Rep. 2018;67(1):1-31. Available at:
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29939980>.

Special Populations: Hepatitis C Virus/HIV Coinfection

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Panel's Recommendations
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• All pregnant people with HIV should be screened during the current pregnancy for hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection (AIII).<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ HCV screening should be repeated later in pregnancy in persons who initially screen negative for HCV but who have persistent or new risk factors for HCV (e.g., new or ongoing injection or intranasal substance use) (AIII).• All pregnant people with HIV also should be tested for hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection (see Hepatitis B Virus/HIV Coinfection) (AIII).• Pregnant people with HCV infection who have not already received the hepatitis A virus (HAV) vaccine series should be screened for immunity to HAV (AIII). If they screen negative for HAV antibodies (either immunoglobulin G [IgG] or total antibody [IgG and immunoglobulin M]), they should receive the HAV vaccine series (AIII).• Currently, treatment of HCV during pregnancy is not recommended (unless part of an approved experimental protocol) because of the lack of safety data on the use of HCV direct-acting antiviral agents in persons who are pregnant. If considering initiating HCV treatment in a pregnant person with HCV/HIV coinfection, consultation with an expert in HIV and HCV is strongly recommended (AIII).• Recommendations for antiretroviral therapy (ART) during pregnancy are the same for all people with HIV, including those who have HCV coinfection (AIII).• Pregnant people with HCV/HIV coinfection who are receiving ART should be counseled about the signs and symptoms of liver toxicity, and hepatic transaminases should be assessed 1 month following initiation of ART and at least every 3 months thereafter during pregnancy (BII).• People with HCV should be strongly considered for HCV treatment with direct-acting antiviral agents postpartum (AI).• In people with HCV infection, HCV RNA should be evaluated after delivery to assess for spontaneous clearance of HCV infection, particularly as they are being considered for initiation of HCV therapy postpartum (BII).• HCV/HIV coinfection is not an independent indication for cesarean delivery (see Intrapartum Care for People with HIV) (AIII).• Infants born to people with HCV/HIV coinfection should be evaluated for HCV infection (AIII). Decisions regarding the specific type of assays to use for HCV screening in children and the timing of those assays should be made after consultation with an expert in pediatric HCV infection (AIII).
<p>Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional</p> <p>Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion</p>

The management of hepatitis C virus/HIV coinfection in pregnancy is complex, and none of the approved HCV direct-acting antivirals (DAAs) have been evaluated fully for use in persons who are

pregnant; thus, consultation with an expert in HIV and HCV infection **is strongly recommended** when managing HCV during pregnancy.

For additional information on HCV and HIV, see [Hepatitis C Virus](#) in the [Pediatric Opportunistic Infection Guidelines](#), [Hepatitis C Virus/HIV Coinfection](#) in the [Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines](#), and [Hepatitis C Virus](#) in the [Adult and Adolescent Opportunistic Infection Guidelines](#). The American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD), the Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA), and the International Antiviral Society–USA maintain updated information about treating patients with HCV/HIV coinfection. The guidelines are available online at HCVguidelines.org.

Screening

All pregnant people with HIV should be screened at entry into general HIV care and during each pregnancy for HCV infection, unless they are known to have HCV/HIV coinfection. **If they are known to have a history of HCV infection, consultation with an expert is recommended for follow-up testing and/or referral for treatment postpartum, as appropriate.**

The primary reasons for HCV testing during pregnancy are—

- To identify pregnant persons with HCV/HIV coinfection at a time when they are engaged with the health care system, so that HCV treatment can be offered after delivery (ideally before a subsequent pregnancy);
- To monitor for HCV-related hepatotoxicity, which has been associated with the use of antiretroviral (ARV) drugs in women with HCV/HIV coinfection;¹
- To monitor for preterm birth, which has been associated with HCV/HIV coinfection in pregnant women;²⁻⁵ and
- To ensure appropriate follow-up and evaluation of infants who were exposed to HCV.

The observed prevalence for HCV infection was 2% to 12% in European cohorts of pregnant women with HIV⁴ and 3.8% among women with HIV in New York State.⁶ Although data about secular trends in HCV among women with HIV in the United States are limited, the prevalence of HCV among women of childbearing age and children aged <2 years in the general population has increased substantially in recent years, partly because of the ongoing opioid epidemic.^{5,7-13}

The Society for Maternal–Fetal Medicine and the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists recommend repeating HCV testing later in pregnancy for individuals who initially screen negative for HCV but who have persistent risk factors for HCV or who develop new risk factors for HCV infection (e.g., new or ongoing use of injected or intranasal substance use).¹⁴ The partners of all people with HCV/HIV coinfection should be referred for both HIV and hepatitis counseling and testing to prevent the sexual transmission of HIV and HCV; however, HCV is transmitted infrequently via heterosexual sex. People who do not share injection equipment have a very low risk of horizontal transmission of HCV. Partners who do not have HIV infection should be counseled about the benefits of starting oral pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) to prevent HIV acquisition (see [Pregnancy Counseling and Care for Persons of Childbearing Age with HIV](#)).

Newly available DAAs have improved HCV therapy dramatically; it is now possible to cure HCV infection in most patients.¹⁵ Current HCV treatment guidelines recommend therapy for nearly all patients with HCV infection.¹⁵ However, the management of HCV/HIV coinfection during pregnancy is

complex. A Phase 1 study is now evaluating the safety and pharmacokinetics (PKs) of ledipasvir/sofosbuvir in pregnancy.¹⁶ **Safety data of DAAs in pregnancy are still limited.** Ribavirin, although it is no longer commonly used for the treatment of HCV, is contraindicated in pregnancy.¹⁷ If considering HCV treatment for a pregnant person, consultation with an expert in HIV and HCV is strongly recommended.

Screening for chronic HCV infection using a sensitive immunoassay for HCV antibodies is recommended for all individuals with HIV, including those who are pregnant. All pregnant people in the United States should be screened for HCV at each pregnancy, except in settings where the prevalence of HCV infection is <0.1%.^{15,18,19} False-negative anti-HCV immunoassay results can occur in individuals with HIV, but this is uncommon with the more sensitive immunoassays. If HCV infection is suspected despite a negative HCV antibody screen, a commercially available diagnostic quantitative plasma HCV RNA assay can be performed.^{20,21} Individuals who have a positive HCV antibody test should undergo confirmatory testing for HCV RNA with this quantitative assay. Many laboratories now perform reflex RNA testing for individuals who test positive for HCV antibodies. Pregnant people also should be tested for HCV RNA when they have indeterminate or negative serologic test results for HCV but are suspected of having HCV infection because of elevated aminotransaminase levels or risk behaviors (e.g., a history of injection drug use).²²

Because of the added risk of hepatic decompensation from acute infection with any viral hepatitis, people with HCV infection also should be screened for both hepatitis A virus (HAV) and hepatitis B virus (HBV). People with chronic HCV infection who have not already received the HAV vaccine series should be screened for immunity to HAV (either immunoglobulin G [IgG] alone or IgG and immunoglobulin M together). If they screen negative for HAV antibodies, they should receive the HAV vaccine series. In patients with CD4 T lymphocyte (CD4+) cell counts <200 cells/mm³, antibody responses to the HAV vaccine should be assessed 1 month after the patient completes the vaccination series; those who are HAV antibody IgG negative should be revaccinated when the CD4 count is >200 cells/mm³.^{23,24} People with HCV/HIV coinfection who screen negative for HBV and lack HBV immunity (i.e., they are hepatitis B surface antigen [HBsAg] negative, hepatitis B core antibody negative, and hepatitis B surface antibody negative [HBsAb]) should receive the HBV vaccine series. Women with HCV/HIV coinfection who are HBsAb negative despite receiving the HBV vaccine series may benefit from revaccination (see [Hepatitis B Virus/HIV Coinfection](#)).²⁵

Impact of HCV/HIV Coinfection on Progression and Perinatal Transmission of Both Viruses

Although the HCV viral load tends to peak in the third trimester, pregnancy does not appear to influence the course of HCV infection clinically. People with chronic HCV generally do well during pregnancy, provided that they have not progressed to decompensated cirrhosis.^{26,27}

Hepatitis C Virus Transmission to the Infant

About 6% of infants born to women with HCV acquire HCV infection.²¹ In most studies of women with HCV/HIV coinfection who are not receiving treatment for either infection, the incidence of perinatal HCV transmission is approximately twofold higher among women with HCV/HIV coinfection (7% to 20% transmission risk) than among women with HCV mono-infection.²⁸⁻³² These higher transmission rates likely are related to the higher levels of HCV viremia observed in patients with HCV/HIV coinfection and/or on other HIV-related impacts on HCV disease activity.^{3,33} Early and sustained control of HIV viremia with ART, however, could reduce the risk of HCV transmission to infants.^{27,34-36} A

European study of perinatal HCV transmission found that the use of effective ART for HIV was associated with a strong trend toward reduced rates of HCV transmission (odds ratio [OR] 0.26; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.07–1.01).³⁴ In an Italian cohort, HCV transmission occurred in 9% of infants born to women with HCV/HIV coinfection, most of whom were on ART. No HCV transmissions occurred in infants born to women with HCV viral loads of <5 log IU/mL.³

HIV Transmission to the Infant

In the absence of ART, maternal HCV/HIV coinfection can increase the risk of perinatal HIV transmission.^{37,38} The risk of perinatal HIV transmission can be reduced in pregnant people with HCV/HIV coinfection by following the standard recommendations for ART for all women with HIV.

Impact of Hepatitis C Virus on HIV Management

Data are limited on the optimal management of pregnant people with HCV/HIV coinfection. Recommendations on the use of ART during pregnancy for treating HIV and preventing perinatal HIV transmission are the same for people with HCV/HIV coinfection as for those with HIV mono-infection (see [General Principles Regarding Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy](#)). In one Canadian study, HCV/HIV coinfection was associated with an increased risk of HIV viral load **increases near delivery** among women who were on previously effective ART. Although the authors suggest that additional factors (e.g., adherence) may have played a role, these findings support the need to follow recommendations for HIV RNA monitoring during pregnancy.³⁹

Hepatitis C Virus–Specific Therapy in Pregnancy

Several DAA regimens have been approved for the treatment of HCV. At present, all currently available DAAs lack sufficient safety data to be recommended for use during pregnancy, but general considerations for treatment are presented in this section.

When determining the optimal regimen for an individual patient, clinicians must consider many factors, including HCV genotype, prior treatment experience, and stage of liver disease (e.g., compensated or decompensated cirrhosis). The following main classes of DAAs are currently available in the United States:

- NS5A inhibitors: elbasvir, ledipasvir, pibrentasvir, velpatasvir
- NS5B nucleoside polymerase inhibitors: sofosbuvir
- NS3/4A protease inhibitors (PIs): glecaprevir, grazoprevir, voxilaprevir

In the past, most anti-HCV therapy included both interferon and ribavirin. Interferons are not recommended for use in pregnancy because they are abortifacient at high doses in monkeys and have direct antigrowth and antiproliferative effects.⁴⁰ **Pegylated interferon is used rarely for treatment of HCV.** DAA regimens with ribavirin **are indicated for certain regimens and patient populations.** Any treatment regimens that include ribavirin are **contraindicated** for use during pregnancy because of the teratogenic and embryocidal effects observed in all animal species exposed to ribavirin. Ribavirin-associated defects in animals include limb abnormalities, craniofacial defects, anencephaly, and anophthalmia. The risk of teratogenicity persists for up to 6 months following ribavirin cessation and also applies to pregnancies of partners of men taking ribavirin.¹⁵

DAAs are not yet recommended for use in pregnancy because of the lack of PK and safety data; [one small PK study](#) investigating the use of ledipasvir/sofosbuvir in pregnant women with HCV alone demonstrated 100% virologic suppression and no safety concerns. Similarly, a small case series of 15 pregnant women treated with ledipasvir/sofosbuvir reported 100% virologic suppression at 12 weeks and no early safety concerns in the women or their infants.⁴¹ **An open-label, Phase 1 study of ledipasvir-sofosbuvir started between 23 and 24 weeks' gestation in eight women with genotype 1 HCV infection showed that ledipasvir and sofosbuvir exposures were similar in the pregnant women versus the nonpregnant reference group and the drug combination was safe.**¹⁶ Pregnant people with HCV/HIV coinfection should be started on HCV treatment with DAAs postpartum.⁴² Drug interactions exist between the DAA anti-HCV drugs and ARV drugs that may produce clinically significant changes in serum levels of both ARV drugs and anti-HCV medications. For detailed information on the interactions between ARV drugs and anti-HCV drugs, see the [Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines](#), the [Adult and Adolescent Opportunistic Infection Guidelines](#), [HCVGuidelines.org](#), and the [HEP Drug Interaction Checker](#).

Monitoring People with HCV/HIV Coinfection During Pregnancy

Hepatic enzyme levels can increase after ART is initiated in people with HCV/HIV coinfection—particularly in those with low CD4 counts at treatment initiation—as a result of an immune-mediated flare in HCV disease triggered by immune reconstitution with ART. In patients with HIV, HCV coinfection may increase the hepatotoxic risk of certain ARV agents, specifically PIs and nevirapine. HCV monoinfection may increase the risk of intrahepatic cholestasis of pregnancy;⁴³ this risk also is higher among individuals with HCV/HIV coinfection than among individuals with HIV infection alone.⁴ Pregnant patients with HCV/HIV coinfection should be counseled about the signs and symptoms of liver toxicity, and transaminase levels should be assessed 1 month after initiating ART and then every 3 months. If hepatic toxicity occurs, a clinician may need to consider initiating a less hepatotoxic drug regimen, and, if clinical symptoms or significant elevations of transaminases occur, drugs may need to be discontinued temporarily. Differentiating between drug toxicity and a flare of HCV disease that is associated with immune reconstitution can be difficult; therefore, consulting an expert in HCV/HIV coinfection is recommended.

HCV RNA levels can fluctuate during pregnancy and postpartum, with frequent increases in HCV RNA levels during pregnancy followed by a drop in the postpartum period.⁴⁴ Spontaneous clearance of HCV can occur postpartum.⁴⁴⁻⁴⁷ As a result, the AASLD and the IDSA recommend that women have their HCV RNA re-evaluated after delivery, particularly if they are being assessed for initiation of therapy with DAA.⁴²

Rates of preterm delivery are high among individuals with HCV/HIV coinfection. In an Italian cohort of mostly ART-treated women with HCV/HIV coinfection, preterm delivery occurred in 41% of women overall. The rate of preterm delivery was not significantly different among women with lower or higher HCV RNA levels: 29% among women with HCV RNA <5 log IU/mL and 43% among women with HCV RNA >5 log IU/mL. However, women with preterm delivery had significantly higher levels of HCV RNA than those who delivered at term.³ A study of 4,236 pregnant women with HIV reported a higher risk of preterm delivery in women with HCV coinfection (OR 3.0; 95% CI, 1.6–5.7) than in women with HIV alone.⁴ **A study of 339 HIV/HCV coinfecting pregnant women from Spain demonstrated a 50% rate of preterm delivery.**³²

Infants born to women with HCV also were more likely to have low birth weights (defined as weighing <2,500 g) than those born to women without HCV (23 vs. 8%, $P < 0.01$).⁵

HCV infection in pregnancy may be associated with increased risks for gestational diabetes, small-for-gestational-age infants, and low birth weight infants.^{9,48} Although no obstetric guidelines currently suggest that persons with HCV infection should be monitored more frequently for diabetes, preterm birth, or fetal growth during pregnancy,⁴⁹ knowledge of these increased risks may inform clinical care.¹⁴

Mode of Delivery

The majority of studies of scheduled cesarean delivery in women with HCV infection (with or without HIV coinfection) have found that the procedure does not reduce the risk of perinatal HCV transmission.^{34,50-52} Thus, the general recommendations for mode of delivery are the same for people with HCV/HIV coinfection as for those with HIV infection alone (see [Intrapartum Care for People with HIV](#)).

Evaluation of Infants Exposed to Hepatitis C Virus

Infants born to people with HCV/HIV coinfection should be assessed for chronic HCV infection. An HCV antibody test should be performed after age 18 months, when the maternal anti-HCV antibody level has waned.⁵³ Sensitivity of HCV RNA testing is low at birth, and viremia can be intermittent or infection may resolve spontaneously,^{9,54,55} thus, HCV RNA testing should not be performed before age 2 months, and a single negative test is not conclusive evidence of lack of infection.⁵⁶ Uptake of HCV testing is very low for infants who were exposed to HCV;⁵⁷ therefore, it is important for providers to counsel patients about the need for pediatric follow-up and testing during the first few years of life.^{5,58-61} The [Pediatric Opportunistic Infection Guidelines](#) provide further details about the diagnostic evaluation of infants who were exposed to HCV.

References

1. Sibiude J, Warszawski J, Tubiana R, et al. Liver enzyme elevation in pregnant women receiving antiretroviral therapy in the ANRS-French Perinatal Cohort. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2019;81(1):83-94. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30702449>.
2. Huang QT, Huang Q, Zhong M, et al. Chronic hepatitis C virus infection is associated with increased risk of preterm birth: a meta-analysis of observational studies. *J Viral Hepat*. 2015;22(12):1033-1042. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26081198>.
3. Baroncelli S, Pirillo MF, Amici R, et al. HCV-HIV coinfecting pregnant women: data from a multicentre study in Italy. *Infection*. 2016;44(2):235-242. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26507133>.
4. Benhammou V, Tubiana R, Matheron S, et al. HBV or HCV coinfection in HIV-1-infected pregnant women in France: prevalence and pregnancy outcomes. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2018;77(5):439-450. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29287028>.
5. Chappell CA, Hillier SL, Crowe D, Meyn LA, Bogen DL, Krans EE. Hepatitis C virus screening among children exposed during pregnancy. *Pediatrics*. 2018;141(6):e20173273. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29720535>.
6. Ghazaryan L, Smith L, Parker M, et al. Hepatitis C seroprevalence among HIV-infected childbearing women in New York state in 2006. *Matern Child Health J*. 2016;20(3):550-555. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26520159>.
7. Koneru A, Nelson N, Hariri S, et al. Increased hepatitis C virus (HCV) detection in women of childbearing age and potential risk for vertical transmission—United States and Kentucky, 2011–2014. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2016;65(28):705-710. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27442053>.
8. Ly KN, Jiles RB, Teshale EH, Foster MA, Pesano RL, Holmberg SD. Hepatitis C virus infection among reproductive-aged women and children in the United States, 2006 to 2014. *Ann Intern Med*. 2017;166(11):775-782. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28492929>.
9. Barritt AS, 4th, Jhaveri R. Treatment of hepatitis C during pregnancy—weighing the risks and benefits in contrast to HIV. *Curr HIV/AIDS Rep*. 2018;15(2):155-161. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29470782>.
10. Salemi JL, Spooner KK, Mejia de Grubb MC, Aggarwal A, Matas JL, Salihu HM. National trends of hepatitis B and C during pregnancy across sociodemographic, behavioral, and clinical factors, United States, 1998–2011. *J Med Virol*. 2017;89(6):1025-1032. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27805270>.
11. Patrick SW, Bauer AM, Warren MD, Jones TF, Wester C. Hepatitis C virus infection among women giving birth—Tennessee and United States, 2009–2014. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2017;66(18):470-473. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28493860>.

12. Schillie SF, Canary L, Koneru A, et al. Hepatitis C virus in women of childbearing age, pregnant women, and children. *Am J Prev Med.* 2018;55(5):633-641. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30342628>.
13. Ryerson AB, Schillie S, Barker LK, Kupronis BA, Wester C. Vital Signs: Newly Reported acute and chronic hepatitis C cases—United States, 2009–2018. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2020;69(14):399-404. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32271725>.
14. Society for Maternal-Fetal Medicine, Hughes BL, Page CM, Kuller JA. Hepatitis C in pregnancy: screening, treatment, and management. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2017;217(5):B2-B12. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28782502>.
15. American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases, Infectious Diseases Society of America. HCV guidance: recommendations for testing, managing, and treating hepatitis C. 2020. Available at: <http://www.hcvguidelines.org>.
16. Chappell CA, Scarsi KK, Kirby BJ, et al. Ledipasvir plus sofosbuvir in pregnant women with hepatitis C virus infection: a phase 1 pharmacokinetic study. *Lancet Microbe.* 2020;1(5):e200-e208. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32939459>.
17. Spera AM, Eldin TK, Tosone G, Orlando R. Antiviral therapy for hepatitis C: Has anything changed for pregnant/lactating women? *World J Hepatol.* 2016;8(12):557-565. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27134703>.
18. Schillie S, Wester C, Osborne M, Wesolowski L, Ryerson AB. CDC recommendations for hepatitis C screening among adults—United States. *MMWR Recomm Rep* 2020;69(No. RR-2):1–17. Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/69/rr/rr6902a1.htm>.
19. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Final recommendation statement: hepatitis C virus infection in adolescents and adults: screening. 2020. Available at: <https://www.uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/uspstf/recommendation/hepatitis-c-screening>
20. Alter MJ, Kuhnert WL, Finelli L, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Guidelines for laboratory testing and result reporting of antibody to hepatitis C virus. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *MMWR Recomm Rep.* 2003;52(RR-3):1-13, 15; quiz CE11-14. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12585742>.
21. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Hepatitis C Questions and answers for health professionals. 2019. Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/hepatitis/hcv/hcvfaq.htm>.
22. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Viral hepatitis—hepatitis C information. 2019. Available at: <http://www.cdc.gov/hepatitis/hcv>.
23. Panel on Guidelines for the Prevention and Treatment of Opportunistic Infections in Adults and Adolescents with HIV. Table 1. Prophylaxis to prevent first episode of opportunistic disease. 2019. Available at: <https://clinicalinfo.hiv.gov/en/guidelines/adult-and-adolescent-opportunistic-infection/table-1-prophylaxis-prevent-first-episode>.
24. Panel on Guidelines for the Prevention and Treatment of Opportunistic Infections in Adults and Adolescents with HIV. Hepatitis B virus. 2019. Available at:

<https://clinicalinfo.hiv.gov/en/guidelines/adult-and-adolescent-opportunistic-infection/hepatitis-b-virus-infection?view=full>

25. Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents. Guidelines for the use of antiretroviral agents in HIV-1-infected adults and adolescents—Hepatitis C virus infection. 2021. Available at: <https://clinicalinfo.hiv.gov/en/guidelines/adult-and-adolescent-opportunistic-infection/hepatitis-c-virus-infection>.
26. Sookoian S. Effect of pregnancy on pre-existing liver disease: chronic viral hepatitis. *Ann Hepatol*. 2006;5(3):190-197. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17060881>.
27. Benova L, Mohamoud YA, Calvert C, Abu-Raddad LJ. Vertical transmission of hepatitis C virus: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2014;59(6):765-773. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24928290>.
28. Tovo PA, Palomba E, Ferraris G, et al. Increased risk of maternal–infant hepatitis C virus transmission for women coinfecting with human immunodeficiency virus type 1. Italian Study Group for HCV Infection in Children. *Clin Infect Dis*. 1997;25(5):1121-1124. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9402369>.
29. Gibb DM, Goodall RL, Dunn DT, et al. Mother-to-child transmission of hepatitis C virus: evidence for preventable peripartum transmission. *Lancet*. 2000;356(9233):904-907. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11036896>.
30. Mast EE, Hwang LY, Seto DS, et al. Risk factors for perinatal transmission of hepatitis C virus (HCV) and the natural history of HCV infection acquired in infancy. *J Infect Dis*. 2005;192(11):1880-1889. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16267758>.
31. Alter MJ. Epidemiology of viral hepatitis and HIV co-infection. *J Hepatol*. 2006;44(1 Suppl):S6-9. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16352363>.
32. Dominguez-Rodriguez S, Prieto L, Fernandez McPhee C, et al. Perinatal HCV Transmission rate in HIV/HCV coinfecting women with access to ART in Madrid, Spain. *PLoS One*. 2020;15(4):e0230109. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32271775>.
33. Polis CB, Shah SN, Johnson KE, Gupta A. Impact of maternal HIV coinfection on the vertical transmission of hepatitis C virus: a meta-analysis. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2007;44(8):1123-1131. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17366462>.
34. European Paediatric Hepatitis C Virus Network. A significant sex—but not elective cesarean section—effect on mother-to-child transmission of hepatitis C virus infection. *J Infect Dis*. 2005;192(11):1872-1879. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16267757>.
35. Checa Cabo CA, Stoszek SJ, Quarleri J, et al. Mother-to-child transmission of hepatitis C virus (HCV) among HIV/HCV-coinfecting women. *J Ped Infect Dis*. 2013;2(2):126-135. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26199724>.
36. Conte D, Fraquelli M, Prati D, Colucci A, Minola E. Prevalence and clinical course of chronic hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection and rate of HCV vertical transmission in a cohort of 15,250

- pregnant women. *Hepatology*. 2000;31(3):751-755. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10706568>.
37. Hershov RC, Riester KA, Lew J, et al. Increased vertical transmission of human immunodeficiency virus from hepatitis C virus-coinfected mothers. Women and Infants Transmission Study. *J Infect Dis*. 1997;176(2):414-420. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9237706>.
 38. England K, Thorne C, Newell ML. Vertically acquired paediatric coinfection with HIV and hepatitis C virus. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2006;6(2):83-90. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16439328>.
 39. Boucoiran I, Albert AYK, Tulloch K, et al. Human immunodeficiency virus viral load rebound near delivery in previously suppressed, combination antiretroviral therapy–treated pregnant women. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2017;130(3):497-501. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28796673>.
 40. Boskovic R, Wide R, Wolpin J, Bauer DJ, Koren G. The reproductive effects of beta interferon therapy in pregnancy: a longitudinal cohort. *Neurology*. 2005;65(6):807-811. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16186517>.
 41. Yattoo GN. Treatment of chronic hepatitis C with ledipasvir/sofosbuvir combination during pregnancy [Abstract]. *Hepatol Int*. 2018;12(2):S292-S293. Available at: <https://www.hcvguidelines.org/references/yattoo-2018>.
 42. American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases, Infectious Diseases Society of America. HCV in pregnancy 2020. Available at: <https://www.hcvguidelines.org/unique-populations/pregnancy>.
 43. Wijarnpreecha K, Thongprayoon C, Sanguankeo A, Upala S, Ungprasert P, Cheungpasitporn W. Hepatitis C infection and intrahepatic cholestasis of pregnancy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Res Hepatol Gastroenterol*. 2017;41(1):39-45. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27542514>.
 44. Lin HH, Kao JH. Hepatitis C virus load during pregnancy and puerperium. *BJOG*. 2000;107(12):1503-1506. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11192107>.
 45. Hattori Y, Orito E, Ohno T, et al. Loss of hepatitis C virus RNA after parturition in female patients with chronic HCV infection. *J Med Virol*. 2003;71(2):205-211. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12938194>.
 46. Honegger JR, Kim S, Price AA, et al. Loss of immune escape mutations during persistent HCV infection in pregnancy enhances replication of vertically transmitted viruses. *Nat Med*. 2013;19(11):1529-1533. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24162814>.
 47. Hashem M, Jhaveri R, Saleh DA, et al. Spontaneous viral load decline and subsequent clearance of chronic hepatitis C Virus in postpartum women correlates with favorable interleukin-28B gene allele. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2017;65(6):999-1005. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28903504>.

48. Pergam SA, Wang CC, Gardella CM, Sandison TG, Phipps WT, Hawes SE. Pregnancy complications associated with hepatitis C: data from a 2003–2005 Washington state birth cohort. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2008;199(1):38 e31-39. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18486089>.
49. American College of Obstetricians Gynecologists. ACOG practice bulletin No. 86: viral hepatitis in pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2007;110(4):941-956. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17906043>.
50. Ghamar Chehreh ME, Tabatabaei SV, Khazanehdari S, Alavian SM. Effect of cesarean section on the risk of perinatal transmission of hepatitis C virus from HCV-RNA+/HIV- mothers: a meta-analysis. *Arch Gynecol Obstet*. 2011;283(2):255-260. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20652289>.
51. Marine-Barjoan E, Berrebi A, Giordanengo V, et al. HCV/HIV co-infection, HCV viral load and mode of delivery: risk factors for mother-to-child transmission of hepatitis C virus? *AIDS*. 2007;21(13):1811-1815. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17690581>.
52. McMenamin MB, Jackson AD, Lambert J, et al. Obstetric management of hepatitis C-positive mothers: analysis of vertical transmission in 559 mother–infant pairs. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2008;199(3):315 e311-315. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18771997>.
53. Bal A, Petrova A. Single clinical practice's report of testing initiation, antibody clearance, and transmission of hepatitis C virus (HCV) in infants of chronically HCV-infected mothers. *Open Forum Infect Dis*. 2016;3(1):ofw021. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26985444>.
54. Mack CL, Gonzalez-Peralta RP, Gupta N, et al. NASPGHAN practice guidelines: Diagnosis and management of hepatitis C infection in infants, children, and adolescents. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr*. 2012;54(6):838-855. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22487950>.
55. Bernstein HB, Dunkelberg JC, Leslie KK. Hepatitis C in pregnancy in the era of direct-acting antiviral treatment: potential benefits of universal screening and antepartum therapy. *Clin Obstet Gynecol*. 2018;61(1):146-156. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29351151>.
56. Polywka S, Pembrey L, Tovo PA, Newell ML. Accuracy of HCV-RNA PCR tests for diagnosis or exclusion of vertically acquired HCV infection. *J Med Virol*. 2006;78(2):305-310. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16372293>.
57. Lopata SM, McNeer E, Dudley JA, et al. Hepatitis C testing among perinatally exposed infants. *Pediatrics*. 2020;145(3):e20192482. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32060140>.
58. Kuncio DE, Newbern EC, Johnson CC, Viner KM. Failure to test and identify perinatally infected children born to hepatitis C virus-infected women. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2016;62(8):980-985. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26797211>.
59. Watts T, Stockman L, Martin J, Guilfoyle S, Vergeront JM. Increased risk for mother-to-infant transmission of hepatitis C virus among medicaid recipients—Wisconsin, 2011–2015. *MMWR*

Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2017;66(42):1136-1139. Available at:
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29072864>.

60. Towers CV, Fortner KB. Infant follow-up postdelivery from a hepatitis C viral load positive mother. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med.* 2019;32(19):3303-3305. Available at:
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29587561>.
61. Gowda C, Smith S, Crim L, Moyer K, Sanchez PJ, Honegger JR. Nucleic acid testing for diagnosis of perinatally-acquired hepatitis C Virus Infection in early infancy. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2020. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32640018>.

HIV-2 Infection and Pregnancy

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Panel's Recommendations
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• HIV-2 infection should be considered in pregnant people who are from—or who have partners who are from—countries in which the virus is endemic and who have positive results on an HIV-1/HIV-2 antibody or HIV-1/HIV-2 antigen/antibody immunoassay. They should be tested with a supplemental HIV-1/HIV-2 antibody differentiation assay. If they have only HIV-2 infection, the test will be negative for HIV-1 antibodies and positive for HIV-2 antibodies (AII).• Pregnant people with HIV-2 infection should be treated based on the guidelines for HIV-1 mono-infection, but using antiretroviral (ARV) drugs that are active against HIV-2. Non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors and enfuvirtide are not active against HIV-2 and should not be used (AIII).• No randomized clinical trials have been performed to address when to start treatment or what the optimal treatment is for HIV-2 infection (AIII). A regimen with two nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs) and integrase strand transfer inhibitors or certain boosted protease inhibitors is recommended for all pregnant people with HIV-2 infection (AIII).• Dolutegravir, raltegravir, darunavir/ritonavir, or lopinavir/ritonavir plus a dual-NRTI backbone of abacavir plus lamivudine (3TC), or tenofovir disoproxil fumarate or tenofovir alafenamide plus emtricitabine or 3TC are recommended for treating HIV-2 mono-infection in pregnant people and in people who are trying to conceive (AIII). Zidovudine (ZDV) plus 3TC can be used as an alternative dual-NRTI backbone. See Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy and Appendix C: Antiretroviral Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers.• As with HIV-1, the possibility of hepatitis B virus/HIV-2 coinfection should be considered when choosing an ARV regimen to treat HIV-2 (AI) (see Hepatitis B Virus/HIV Coinfection).• All infants born to people with HIV-2 infection (without HIV-1 infection) should receive the 4-week ZDV prophylactic regimen (BIII) (see Table 8 and Table 9).• In the United States, where safe infant formula is readily available, breastfeeding is not recommended for infants born to people with HIV-2 infection (AIII).
<p><i>Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional</i></p> <p><i>Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion</i></p>

HIV-2 infection is endemic in West African countries, including Burkina Faso, Cape Verde, The Gambia, Ghana, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Ivory Coast, Liberia, Mali, Mauritania, Niger, Nigeria, Sao Tome, Senegal, Sierra Leone, and Togo. It is also endemic in Angola, Mozambique, and parts of India.¹⁻⁴ It also occurs in countries—such as France and Portugal—that have large numbers of immigrants from these regions.⁵

HIV-2 remains rare in the United States. According to the National HIV Surveillance System, 327,700 diagnoses of HIV were recorded in the United States from 2010 to 2017, of which 198 (0.06%) met the criteria for HIV-2 (HIV-2 mono-infection, $n = 102$; dual HIV-1 and HIV-2, $n = 11$; probable but unconfirmed HIV-2, $n = 85$).⁶ Among these cases, 99 women had diagnoses of

confirmed or probable HIV-2, and nine of these women had evidence of pregnancy at or after their diagnosis. No perinatal HIV-2 transmissions were reported. HIV-2 infection should be suspected in pregnant people who are from—or who have partners from—countries in which the disease is endemic and who have positive results on an HIV-1/HIV-2 antibody or HIV-1/HIV-2 antigen/antibody immunoassay. They should be tested with a supplemental HIV-1/HIV-2 antibody differentiation immunoassay. If they have only HIV-2 infection, the test will be negative for HIV-1 antibodies and positive for HIV-2 antibodies. In rare instances, a person may have dual infection with HIV-1 and HIV-2, and both tests will be positive.

In 2014, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) released a new HIV testing algorithm. The first step in this algorithm is performing an HIV-1/HIV-2 antigen/antibody combination assay on serum or plasma (e.g., Abbott Architect HIV Ag/Ab combo assay, BioRad GS Combo Ag/Ab EIA, Alere Determine).⁷ This test does not distinguish between HIV-1 antibodies and HIV-2 antibodies. Specimens that are reactive on this test must be tested with a Food and Drug Administration (FDA)–approved antibody assay to distinguish HIV-1 antibodies from HIV-2 antibodies. The FDA-approved HIV-2 antibody supplemental test Geenius (Bio-Rad Laboratories) is used as part of the CDC-recommended HIV laboratory testing algorithm.

Viral load assays for HIV-2 are not commercially available, but they may be available under research protocols. The [University of Washington](#)⁸ and the [New York State Department of Health, Wadsworth Center](#)⁹ also offer HIV-2 viral load assays. The University of Washington accepts specimens forwarded from laboratories, such as Quest Diagnostics. All HIV-2 cases should be reported to the HIV surveillance program of the state or local health department, which can arrange for additional confirmatory testing for HIV-2 by the CDC.¹⁰ No validated HIV-2 genotype or phenotype resistance assays are available in the United States. HIV-2 genotypic resistance assays are available for research use only at the University of Washington. European experts developed a rule set and an automated tool for HIV-2 drug resistance analyses that is freely [available online](#).¹¹

HIV-2 has a longer asymptomatic phase than HIV-1, with a slower progression to AIDS. However, without effective antiretroviral therapy (ART), HIV-2 will progress to AIDS and death in the majority of individuals over time.¹² The most common mode of HIV-2 transmission is through heterosexual sex. HIV-2 is less infectious than HIV-1, with a fivefold lower rate of sexual transmission and 20-fold to 30-fold lower rate of perinatal transmission.^{3,13,14} Several studies confirm that rates of perinatal transmission of HIV-2 are low with and without interventions (0% to 4%), which may be a result of reduced plasma viral loads and less cervical viral shedding in women with HIV-2 infection than in women with HIV-1 infection.¹⁵⁻¹⁸ HIV-2 also can be transmitted through breastfeeding. HIV-2 infection does not protect against HIV-1, and dual infection, which carries the same prognosis as HIV-1 mono-infection, can occur.¹⁹

Recommended Antiretroviral Therapy for Pregnant People with HIV-2 Infection

Pregnant people with HIV-2 infection should be treated according to the guidelines for patients with HIV-1 mono-infection, although clinicians should make sure that the chosen antiretroviral (ARV) regimen is also appropriate for treatment of HIV-2. Once treatment is started, ART should be continued postpartum as is recommended for all patients with HIV-1. A systematic review analyzed data collected from 1996 to 2012 on treatment outcomes among nonpregnant patients with HIV-2. The review reported a heterogeneity of treatment outcomes among patients who initiated ART, especially in resource-limited settings.²⁰ Non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTIs) and enfuvirtide are not active against HIV-2 and **should not be used** for treatment or

prophylaxis.^{21,22} The integrase strand transfer inhibitors (INSTIs) raltegravir (RAL), elvitegravir, dolutegravir (DTG), and bicitgravir are effective against HIV-2.^{23,24} Although DTG may be able to rescue a failing RAL-based regimen in a person with HIV-2 infection, a study has reported the emergence of DTG-resistance mutations in people with HIV-2 infection.²⁵ The CCR5 antagonist maraviroc appears to be active against some strains of HIV-2, although no approved assays exist to determine HIV-2 co-receptor tropism.^{26,27} HIV-2 drug resistance has been documented with various ARV drugs.^{28,29} Among 47 ART-naive persons with HIV-2, ultradeep sequencing showed that three people displayed plasma viruses with a resistance-associated mutation (RAM) above the 20% detection threshold, with a prevalence of transmitted drug resistance for nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs) of 7.9% (95% confidence interval, 0.0% to 16.5%). No RAM above the 20% detection threshold was found for protease inhibitors (PIs) or INSTIs.³⁰

HIV-2 has variable susceptibility to PIs, with lopinavir (LPV) and darunavir (DRV) having the most activity.³¹

The care of pregnant people with HIV-2 mono-infection has been based on expert opinion. A regimen with two NRTIs and an INSTI or a ritonavir(r)-boosted PI currently is recommended for all pregnant people with HIV-2 infection. The following regimens can be used to treat HIV-2, based on the available efficacy and safety data on these drugs from clinical trials of pregnant people with HIV-1 infection:

- DTG, RAL, darunavir/ritonavir, or lopinavir/ritonavir plus a dual-NRTI backbone of abacavir plus lamivudine (3TC), or tenofovir disoproxil fumarate or tenofovir alafenamide plus emtricitabine or 3TC are the recommended regimens for treating HIV-2 mono-infection in pregnant people and people who are trying to conceive. See [Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy](#) and [Appendix C: Antiretroviral Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers](#).
- Zidovudine (ZDV) plus 3TC can be used as an alternative dual-NRTI backbone.
- NNRTIs **should not be used** because they are not active against HIV-2.

When monitoring the plasma viral loads and CD4 T lymphocyte (CD4) cell counts in pregnant people with HIV-2 infection, clinicians should follow the guidelines outlined for people with HIV-1 infection (see [Monitoring During Pregnancy](#)). However, disease progression can occur in the setting of undetectable HIV-2 plasma viral load. Patients who have HIV-2 plasma viral loads that are below the limits of detection should still have routine CD4 counts and clinical monitoring (see [Plasma HIV-1 RNA \(Viral Load\) and CD4 Count Monitoring](#) in the [Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines](#)).

No data are available to address whether treatment should be continued after pregnancy in people with HIV-2 mono-infection. To date, no randomized trials have addressed the question of an optimal treatment strategy for HIV-2 infection, although clinical trials are underway. The [Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines](#) recommend that all patients with HIV-2 infection should be treated using the guidelines provided for patients with HIV-1 infection.

All infants born to people with HIV-2 (who do not have HIV-1) should receive a 4-week ZDV prophylaxis regimen (see [Table 8](#) and [Table 9](#)). The possible risks and benefits of ARV prophylaxis should be discussed with the mothers. As noted above, rates of perinatal transmission of HIV-2 are low with and without interventions, and it is unclear whether infants born to people with undetectable HIV-2 viral loads will benefit from ARV prophylaxis. However, monitoring maternal HIV-2 plasma

viral loads and receiving the results in a timely manner can be difficult because plasma samples must be sent to the University of Washington or the New York State Department of Health. Therefore, the Panel recommends that all infants born to mothers with HIV-2 receive prophylaxis. The use of ZDV prophylaxis is recommended in this clinical situation because nevirapine lacks activity against HIV-2.

No data exist on the impact of scheduled cesarean delivery on HIV-2 perinatal transmission. The risk to infants from breastfeeding is lower for HIV-2 than for HIV-1, but breastfeeding should be avoided in the United States and other countries where safe infant formula is readily available.¹⁶

Infants born to mothers with HIV-2 should be tested for HIV-2 infection with HIV-2-specific virologic assays at time points similar to those used for HIV-1 testing, see [Diagnosis of HIV Infection in Infants and Children](#).³² Quantitative HIV-2 plasma RNA viral load testing for clinical care is available from the University of Washington⁸ and the New York State Department of Health.⁹ Antibody testing of infants (e.g., with the Bio-Rad Laboratories Multispot HIV-1/HIV-2 test) also can be performed at age 18 months to confirm clearance of HIV-2 antibodies.

References

1. De Cock KM, Brun-Vezinet F. Epidemiology of HIV-2 infection. *AIDS*. 1989;3 Suppl 1:S89-95. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2514761>.
2. De Cock KM, Adjorlolo G, Ekpini E, et al. Epidemiology and transmission of HIV-2. Why there is no HIV-2 pandemic. *JAMA*. 1993;270(17):2083-2086. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8147962>.
3. Campbell-Yesufu OT, Gandhi RT. Update on human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-2 infection. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2011;52(6):780-787. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21367732>.
4. Heitzinger K, Sow PS, Dia Badiane NM, et al. Trends of HIV-1, HIV-2 and dual infection in women attending outpatient clinics in Senegal, 1990-2009. *Int J STD AIDS*. 2012;23(10):710-716. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23104745>.
5. Cazein F, Lot F, Pillonel J, et al. HIV and AIDS surveillance in France, 2006. *Bull Epidemiol Hebd*. 2007(46-47):386-393.
6. Peruski AH, Wesolowski LG, Delaney KP, et al. Trends in HIV-2 diagnoses and use of the HIV-1/HIV-2 differentiation test—United States, 2010–2017. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2020;69(3):63-66. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31971928>.
7. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Laboratory testing for the diagnosis of HIV infection: updated recommendations. 2014. Available at: <http://stacks.cdc.gov/view/cdc/23447>.
8. Chang M, Gottlieb GS, Dragavon JA, et al. Validation for clinical use of a novel HIV-2 plasma RNA viral load assay using the Abbott m2000 platform. *J Clin Virol*. 2012;55(2):128-133. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22832059>.
9. Styer LM, Miller TT, Parker MM. Validation and clinical use of a sensitive HIV-2 viral load assay that uses a whole virus internal control. *J Clin Virol*. 2013;58 Suppl 1:e127-133. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24342472>.
10. Branson BM, Pandori M. 2012 HIV Diagnostics Conference: the molecular diagnostics perspective. *Expert Rev Mol Diagn*. 2013;13(3):243-245. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23570401>.
11. Charpentier C, Camacho R, Ruelle J, et al. HIV-2EU: supporting standardized HIV-2 drug resistance interpretation in Europe. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2013;56(11):1654-1658. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23429380>.
12. Esbjornsson J, Mansson F, Kvist A, et al. Long-term follow-up of HIV-2-related AIDS and mortality in Guinea-Bissau: a prospective open cohort study. *Lancet HIV*. 2018;S2352-3018(18):30254-30256. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30392769>.
13. Kanki PJ, Travers KU, MBoup S, et al. Slower heterosexual spread of HIV-2 than HIV-1. *Lancet*. 1994;343(8903):943-946. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7909009>.
14. Matheron S, Courpotin C, Simon F, et al. Vertical transmission of HIV-2. *Lancet*. 1990;335(8697):1103-1104. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1970407>.

15. O'Donovan D, Ariyoshi K, Milligan P, et al. Maternal plasma viral RNA levels determine marked differences in mother-to-child transmission rates of HIV-1 and HIV-2 in The Gambia. MRC/Gambia government/University College London Medical School Working Group on Mother-Child Transmission of HIV. *AIDS*. 2000;14(4):441-448. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10770548>.
16. Burgard M, Jasseron C, Matheron S, et al. Mother-to-child transmission of HIV-2 infection from 1986 to 2007 in the ANRS French Perinatal Cohort EPF-CO1. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2010;51(7):833-843. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20804413>.
17. Adjorlolo-Johnson G, De Cock KM, Ekpini E, et al. Prospective comparison of mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 and HIV-2 in Abidjan, Ivory Coast. *JAMA*. 1994;272(6):462-466. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8040982>.
18. Andreasson PA, Dias F, Naucler A, Andersson S, Biberfeld G. A prospective study of vertical transmission of HIV-2 in Bissau, Guinea-Bissau. *AIDS*. 1993;7(7):989-993. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8357558>.
19. Prince PD, Matser A, van Tienen C, Whittle HC, Schim van der Loeff MF. Mortality rates in people dually infected with HIV-1/2 and those infected with either HIV-1 or HIV-2: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *AIDS*. 2014;28(4):549-558. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23921613>.
20. Ekouevi DK, Tchounga BK, Coffie PA, et al. Antiretroviral therapy response among HIV-2 infected patients: a systematic review. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2014;14:461. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25154616>.
21. Tuailon E, Gueudin M, Lemee V, et al. Phenotypic susceptibility to nonnucleoside inhibitors of virion-associated reverse transcriptase from different HIV types and groups. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2004;37(5):1543-1549. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15577405>.
22. Poveda E, Rodes B, Toro C, Soriano V. Are fusion inhibitors active against all HIV variants? *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses*. 2004;20(3):347-348. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15117459>.
23. Le Hingrat Q, Collin G, Le M, et al. A new mechanism of resistance of HIV-2 to integrase inhibitors: a 5 amino-acids insertion in the integrase C-terminal domain. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2018;69(4):657-667. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30383215>.
24. Smith RA, Raugi DN, Wu VH, et al. Comparison of the antiviral activity of bictegrovir against HIV-1 and HIV-2 isolates and integrase inhibitor-resistant HIV-2 mutants. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2019;63(5):e00014-00019. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30803972>.
25. Requena S, Trevino A, Cabezas T, et al. Drug resistance mutations in HIV-2 patients failing raltegravir and influence on dolutegravir response. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2017;72(7):2083-2088. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28369593>.
26. Borrego P, Taveira N. HIV-2 susceptibility to entry inhibitors. *AIDS Rev*. 2013;15(1):49-61. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23449229>.
27. Visseaux B, Charpentier C, Hurtado-Nedelec M, et al. In vitro phenotypic susceptibility of HIV-2 clinical isolates to CCR5 inhibitors. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2012;56(1):137-139. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22064539>.

28. Charpentier C, Visseaux B, Benard A, et al. Transmitted drug resistance in French HIV-2-infected patients. *AIDS*. 2013;27(10):1671-1674. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23595155>.
29. Menendez-Arias L, Alvarez M. Antiretroviral therapy and drug resistance in human immunodeficiency virus type 2 infection. *Antiviral Res*. 2014;102:70-86. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24345729>.
30. Storto A, Visseaux B, Bertine M, et al. Minority resistant variants are also present in HIV-2-infected antiretroviral-naïve patients. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2018;73(5):1173-1176. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29415189>.
31. Desbois D, Roquebert B, Peytavin G, et al. In vitro phenotypic susceptibility of human immunodeficiency virus type 2 clinical isolates to protease inhibitors. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2008;52(4):1545-1548. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18227188>.
32. Panel on Antiretroviral Therapy and Medical Management of Children Living with HIV. Guidelines for the use of antiretroviral agents in pediatric HIV infection. 2021. Available at: https://clinicalinfo.hiv.gov/sites/default/files/guidelines/documents/PedARV_GL.pdf.

Prenatal Care, Antiretroviral Therapy, and HIV Management in People with Perinatal-Acquired HIV Infection

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Panel's Recommendations
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The management of prenatal care and general principles of antiretroviral therapy (ART) and HIV management do not differ between pregnant people with perinatally-acquired HIV (PHIV) and those with non-perinatally acquired HIV (AII).• Using the same guiding principles that are used for heavily ART-experienced adults, optimal ARV regimens should be selected based on resistance testing, ART treatment history, and pill burden (AII).• Consultation with experts in HIV and pregnancy is recommended when the presence of extensive drug resistance warrants the use of antiretroviral drugs for which there is limited experience in pregnancy (AIII).• Pregnant people with PHIV warrant enhanced focus on adherence interventions during pregnancy and after delivery (AII).
<p><i>Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional</i></p> <p><i>Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion</i></p>

With the availability of potent antiretroviral therapy (ART), morbidity and mortality have significantly declined in individuals with HIV, including those with perinatally-acquired HIV (PHIV). Most of the women with PHIV have reached childbearing age, and many are becoming pregnant. A significant number of these pregnancies are unplanned.¹⁻³ The components of prenatal care and general principles of ART and HIV management do not differ between pregnant people with PHIV and those with non-perinatally acquired HIV (NPHIV), who acquired HIV through other routes of transmission. However, the reproductive health care needs and the prevention of perinatal transmission in people with PHIV pose unique challenges. Adherence to ART is often a major challenge for people with PHIV. In addition, because most of them are still adolescents and young adults, they may be at higher risk of certain pregnancy complications, such as preterm delivery, small-for-gestational-age (SGA) infants, low birth weight, and preeclampsia.⁴⁻⁹ However, in some studies, the risk of premature delivery tends to be similar among women with PHIV and women with NPHIV after adjusting for age.¹⁰

Because women with PHIV have extensive ART experience,⁸ optimal antiretroviral (ARV) regimens should be selected using the same guiding principles as for ART-experienced adults; in particular, the ARV regimen should be selected on the basis of resistance testing, pill burden, and the patient's specific ART history and preferences. Because individuals who acquired HIV perinatally have the potential to develop complex drug-resistance mutation patterns, clinicians may consider performing phenotypic resistance testing when resistance testing is indicated during pregnancy. Regimens that optimize dosing intervals and minimize pill burden should be considered. Regimens should be constructed using ARV drugs that are recommended for use in pregnancy whenever possible. However, in many cases, the presence of extensive drug resistance may warrant the use of ARV

drugs for which there is limited experience in pregnancy; consultation with experts in HIV and pregnancy is recommended in such cases.

People with PHIV experience prolonged HIV infection, have received multiple ARV regimens—including suboptimal monotherapy or dual-therapy regimens received as children—and are more likely to harbor drug-resistant virus. As many as 30% to 70% of pregnant women with PHIV have evidence of HIV drug resistance.^{8,11–13} Despite these factors, many studies have shown that the risk of perinatal transmission does not appear to be increased in this population, as long as these women receive appropriate prenatal management and achieve viral suppression.^{8,13–18} However, in an analysis of data from SMARTT PHACS (Surveillance Monitoring for ART Toxicities Study—Pediatric HIV/AIDS Cohort Study) that included 2,123 births from 2007 to 2015, pregnant women with PHIV had a higher perinatal HIV transmission rate (1.1%; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.3% to 4.3%) than pregnant women with NPHIV (0.4%; 95% CI, 0.2% to 1.0%); this higher rate was associated with a greater likelihood of detectable maternal viral load at delivery.¹⁹ Women with PHIV are more likely to have detectable viral loads at delivery, lower CD4 T lymphocyte counts, and genotypic drug resistance than women with NPHIV; these factors can have implications during labor and delivery.^{8,13,16,19,20} Several studies have suggested that pregnant women with PHIV are more likely to have a cesarean delivery in order to prevent HIV transmission; cesarean deliveries are most commonly indicated in these women due to a lack of viral load suppression.^{11,16} Cesarean delivery in these young people raises concerns for increased risk of adverse obstetric outcomes if repeated cesarean deliveries are required for future pregnancies.

Evidence from studies is conflicting as to whether women with PHIV have higher rates of preterm and SGA infants than women with NPHIV.^{21–23} Several studies have demonstrated no associations between perinatally-acquired HIV status and preterm birth, SGA infants, or low birth weight.^{8,13,21,22,24} Other studies with smaller sample sizes have reported conflicting results:

- A case series reported high rates of preterm birth (31%) among women with PHIV.¹¹
- Jao et al. reported a fourfold increased risk for SGA births among women with PHIV compared to those with NPHIV.⁹
- Munjal et al. reported earlier gestational age at delivery and lower average birth weights in infants born to women with PHIV compared to those with NPHIV.¹⁶

Women with PHIV also have poor rates of retention in care and viral suppression for up to 2 years postpartum.²⁵ In a retrospective analysis of 37 pregnancies among women with PHIV and 40 pregnancies among age-matched women with NPHIV who delivered during the same time period, the viral load declines achieved during pregnancy in women with PHIV were not sustained during postpartum follow-up, in contrast to the age-matched comparison group. Another study found that, during 4 years of follow-up postpartum, there were four deaths due to AIDS-related complications among women with PHIV but none among the women with NPHIV.¹⁶ Although genotypic mutations were more common in women with PHIV, loss of viral suppression that resulted in postpartum disease progression was more likely to be related to adherence difficulties, highlighting the need for adherence interventions after delivery.

Psychosocial challenges in PHIV may be magnified by the presence of a lifelong chronic illness, high rates of depression,²⁶ and, frequently, the loss of one or both parents. Attention to developmentally appropriate adherence counseling is critical. A systematic review and meta-analysis of 50 eligible studies on ART adherence in individuals with HIV aged 12 years to 24 years old

reported 62.3% adherence overall among youth with HIV. Youth from U.S. studies had the lowest average rate of adherence at 53%.²⁷ In a 2014 study of 1,596 people with PHIV who were living in New York City, only 61% were virally suppressed. The authors attributed poor ART adherence to social, behavioral, and developmental factors.²⁸ A history of depression also has been associated with nonadherence to ART among pregnant women with PHIV.^{29,30} Focused attention on diagnosis and treatment of depression during the preconception period may lead to better medication adherence. Self-motivation and social support were key to achieving medication adherence in a study of adolescents with HIV in the United Kingdom.³¹

Studies have noted reduced rates of retention in care and viral suppression among pediatric and adolescent persons with HIV who are transitioning to adult health care.³² Among adolescents with PHIV, pregnancy may create additional complications in the transition from pediatric/adolescent HIV care to adult care due to the complexity of navigating an adult health care system with multiple providers. However, pregnancy also may be an opportune time for a young person to transition to adult care. There is a need to identify, develop or adapt, and implement culturally sensitive and women- and patient-centered interventions for improving HIV care continuum outcomes of pregnant and postpartum people with HIV.³³ Coordination of care across multiple disciplines, including HIV primary care, OB/GYN, and perinatal case management, is advised.³⁴ Integration of reproductive health counseling and family planning services—including consistent counseling on condom use, sexually transmitted infection testing and prevention, optimal pregnancy spacing, and developmentally appropriate skill building to support disclosure—as indicated, is recommended.

References

1. Kenny J, Williams B, Prime K, Tookey P, Foster C. Pregnancy outcomes in adolescents in the UK and Ireland growing up with HIV. *HIV Med.* 2012;13(5):304-308. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22136754>.
2. Brogly SB, Ylitalo N, Mofenson LM, et al. *In utero* nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor exposure and signs of possible mitochondrial dysfunction in HIV-uninfected children. *AIDS.* 2007;21(8):929-938. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17457086>.
3. Badell ML, Lindsay M. Thirty years later: pregnancies in females perinatally infected with human immunodeficiency virus-1. *AIDS Res Treat.* 2012;2012:418630. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22970353>.
4. Ganchimeg T, Ota E, Morisaki N, et al. Pregnancy and childbirth outcomes among adolescent mothers: a World Health Organization multicountry study. *BJOG.* 2014;121 Suppl 1:40-48. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24641534>.
5. Ananth CV, Keyes KM, Wapner RJ. Pre-eclampsia rates in the United States, 1980–2010: age-period-cohort analysis. *BMJ.* 2013;347:f6564. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24201165>.
6. Witt WP, Cheng ER, Wisk LE, et al. Preterm birth in the United States: the impact of stressful life events prior to conception and maternal age. *Am J Public Health.* 2014;104 Suppl 1:S73-80. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24354830>.
7. Jao J, Agwu A, Mhango G, et al. Growth patterns in the first year of life differ in infants born to perinatally vs. nonperinatally HIV-infected women. *AIDS.* 2015;29(1):111-116. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25562495>.
8. Badell ML, Kachikis A, Haddad LB, Nguyen ML, Lindsay M. Comparison of pregnancies between perinatally and sexually HIV-infected women: an observational study at an urban hospital. *Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol.* 2013;2013:301763. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24106419>.
9. Jao J, Sigel KM, Chen KT, et al. Small for gestational age birth outcomes in pregnant women with perinatally acquired HIV. *AIDS.* 2012;26(7):855-859. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22313958>.
10. Lundberg P, Andersson R, Machado ES, Costa TPD, Hofer CB. Pregnancy outcomes in young mothers with perinatally and behaviorally acquired HIV infections in Rio de Janeiro. *Braz J Infect Dis.* 2018;22(5):412-417. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30339778>.
11. Williams SF, Keane-Tarchichi MH, Bettica L, Dieudonne A, Bardeguez AD. Pregnancy outcomes in young women with perinatally acquired human immunodeficiency virus-1. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2009;200(2):149 e141-145. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18973871>.

12. Cruz ML, Santos E, Benamor Teixeira Mde L, et al. Viral suppression and resistance in a cohort of perinatally-HIV infected (PHIV+) pregnant women. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2016;13(6). Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27338425>.
13. Lazenby GB, Mmeje O, Fisher BM, et al. Antiretroviral resistance and pregnancy characteristics of women with perinatal and nonperinatal HIV infection. *Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol*. 2016;2016:4897501. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27413359>.
14. Phillips UK, Rosenberg MG, Dobroszycki J, et al. Pregnancy in women with perinatally acquired HIV-infection: outcomes and challenges. *AIDS Care*. 2011;23(9):1076-1082. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21562997>.
15. Calitri C, Gabiano C, Galli L, et al. The second generation of HIV-1 vertically exposed infants: a case series from the Italian Register for paediatric HIV infection. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2014;14:277. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24885649>.
16. Munjal I, Dobroszycki J, Fakioglu E, et al. Impact of HIV-1 infection and pregnancy on maternal health: comparison between perinatally and behaviorally infected young women. *Adolesc Health Med Ther*. 2013;4:51-58. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24600295>.
17. Millery M, Vazquez S, Walther V, Humphrey N, Schlecht J, Van Devanter N. Pregnancies in perinatally HIV-infected young women and implications for care and service programs. *J Assoc Nurses AIDS Care*. 2012;23(1):41-51. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21820325>.
18. Patel K, Karalius B, Powis K, et al. Trends in post-partum viral load among women living with perinatal HIV infection in the USA: a prospective cohort study. *Lancet HIV*. 2020;7(3):e184-e192. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31870676>.
19. Goodenough CJ, Patel K, Van Dyke RB, Pediatric HIV AIDS Cohort Study. Is there a higher risk of mother-to-child transmission of HIV among pregnant women with perinatal HIV infection? *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2018;37(12):1267-1270. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29742647>.
20. Byrne L, Sconza R, Foster C, Tookey PA, Cortina-Borja M, Thorne C. Pregnancy incidence and outcomes in women with perinatal HIV infection. *AIDS*. 2017;31(12):1745-1754. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28590327>.
21. Hleyhel M, Tubiana R, Rouzioux C, et al. Pregnancies in women who acquired HIV perinatally. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections 2017. Seattle, WA.
22. Jao J, Kacanek D, Williams P, et al. Birth weight and preterm delivery outcomes of perinatally vs. non-perinatally HIV-infected pregnant women in the U.S.: results from the PHACS SMARTT study and IMPAACT P1025 protocol. *CID*. [In Press]. 2017.
23. Jao J, Kacanek D, Yu W, et al. Neurodevelopment of HIV-exposed uninfected infants born to women with perinatally acquired HIV in the United States. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2020;84(2):213-219. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32032301>.

24. Agwu AL, Jang SS, Korthuis PT, Araneta MR, Gebo KA. Pregnancy incidence and outcomes in vertically and behaviorally HIV-infected youth. *JAMA*. 2011;305(5):468-470. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21285423/>.
25. Meade CM, Hussen SA, Momplaisir F, Badell M, Hackett S, Sheth AN. Long term engagement in HIV care among postpartum women with perinatal HIV infection in the United States. *AIDS Care*. 2018;30(4):488-492. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29254363>.
26. Mellins CA, Brackis-Cott E, Dolezal C, Abrams EJ. Psychiatric disorders in youth with perinatally acquired human immunodeficiency virus infection. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2006;25(5):432-437. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16645508>.
27. Kim SH, Gerver SM, Fidler S, Ward H. Adherence to antiretroviral therapy in adolescents living with HIV: systematic review and meta-analysis. *AIDS*. 2014;28(13):1945-1956. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24845154>.
28. Xia Q, Shah D, Gill B, Torian LV, Braunstein SL. Continuum of care among people living with perinatally acquired HIV infection in New York City, 2014. *Public Health Rep*. 2016;131(4):566-573. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27453601>.
29. Sheth SS, Coleman J, Cannon T, et al. Association between depression and nonadherence to antiretroviral therapy in pregnant women with perinatally acquired HIV. *AIDS Care*. 2015;27(3):350-354. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25616659>.
30. Angrand RC, Sperling R, Roccobono K, Osborne LM, Jao J. Depression in perinatally HIV-infected pregnant women compared to non-perinatally HIV-infected and HIV-uninfected pregnant women. *AIDS Care*. 2018;30(9):1168-1172. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29776314>.
31. Kim SH, McDonald S, Kim S, Foster C, Fidler S. Importance of self-motivation and social support in medication adherence in HIV-infected adolescents in the United Kingdom and Ireland: a multicentre HYPNet Study. *AIDS Patient Care STDS*. 2015;29(6):354-364. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25825814>.
32. Hatfield-Timajchy K, Brown JL, Haddad LB, Chakraborty R, Kourtis AP. Parenting among adolescents and young adults with human immunodeficiency virus infection in the United States: challenges, unmet needs, and opportunities. *AIDS Patient Care STDS*. 2016;30(7):315-323. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27410495>.
33. Momplaisir FM, Storm DS, Nkwihoreze H, Jayeola O, Jemmott JB. Improving postpartum retention in care for women living with HIV in the United States. *AIDS*. 2018;32(2):133-142. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29194122>.
34. Anderson EA, Momplaisir FM, Corson C, Brady KA. Assessing the Impact of perinatal HIV case management on outcomes along the HIV care continuum for pregnant and postpartum women living with HIV, Philadelphia 2005–2013. *AIDS Behav*. 2017;21(9):2670-2681. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28176167>.

Acute HIV Infection

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Panel's Recommendations

- When acute HIV infection is suspected in pregnancy or during breastfeeding, a plasma HIV RNA test should be obtained in conjunction with an antigen/antibody immunoassay test **(AII)**. See [Acute and Recent \(Early\) HIV Infection](#) in the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [HIV testing algorithm](#) for more information.
- Repeat HIV testing in the third trimester is recommended for pregnant people with initial negative HIV test results who are at **increased** risk of acquiring HIV, including those receiving care in facilities that have an HIV incidence of ≥ 1 case per 1,000 pregnant women per year, those who reside in jurisdictions with elevated HIV incidence (see [Revised Recommendations for HIV Testing of Adults, Adolescents, and Pregnant Women in Health-Care Settings](#)), or those who reside in states that require third-trimester testing (see [Maternal HIV Testing and Identification of Perinatal HIV Exposure](#)) **(AII)**.
- All pregnant and breastfeeding people with acute or recent HIV infection should start antiretroviral therapy (ART) as soon as possible to reduce the risk of vertical HIV transmission, with the goal of rapidly suppressing plasma HIV RNA below detectable levels **(AI)**.
- In people with acute HIV infection, baseline genotypic resistance testing should be performed simultaneously with initiation of ART, and the regimen should be adjusted, if necessary, to optimize virologic response **(AII)**.
- Dolutegravir (DTG) plus tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) or tenofovir alafenamide (TAF) with emtricitabine (FTC) or lamivudine (3TC) is the *Preferred* ART regimen for pregnant people with acute HIV, irrespective of trimester (see [Table 4](#), [Table 5](#), [Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy](#), and [Appendix C: Antiretroviral Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers](#)) **(AII)**.
- Ritonavir boosted darunavir (DRV/r) plus TDF or TAF with FTC or 3TC is an *Alternative* ART regimen for pregnant people with acute HIV **(AIII)**. See [Table 4](#), [Table 5](#), and [Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy](#) for more information.
- One of the following regimens is recommended for people diagnosed with acute HIV infection when they are breastfeeding: bictegravir (BIC)/TAF/FTC; DTG with TAF or TDF plus FTC or 3TC; or boosted darunavir (DRV) with TAF or TDF plus FTC or 3TC **(AIII)**. See [Acute and Recent \(Early\) HIV Infection](#) in the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines for more information.
- People who receive a diagnosis of acute HIV infection when they are breastfeeding should be counseled to discontinue breastfeeding immediately to reduce the risk of postnatal HIV transmission to the infant **(AII)**.
- The Panel on Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission emphasizes the importance of counseling and informed decision-making regarding all antiretroviral (ARV) regimens for people with HIV **(AIII)**.
- Providers should inform individuals starting ART of the importance of strict adherence to rapidly achieve and maintain viral suppression **(AIII)**.
- Infants born to people who received a diagnosis of acute HIV infection during pregnancy or breastfeeding are at high risk of acquiring HIV infection and should receive an ARV regimen that is appropriate for this elevated risk (see [Table 8](#) in [Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection](#)) **(AII)**. Consulting a pediatric HIV specialist regarding appropriate infant management is strongly recommended (see [Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection](#)).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

Women may have an increased risk of HIV infection during pregnancy and breastfeeding.^{1,2} Persons who are at risk for acquiring HIV during pregnancy and the postpartum period should consider using interventions that prevent HIV acquisition, such as oral daily pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP).³ For more information, see [Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis \(PrEP\) to Prevent HIV During Periconception, Antepartum, and Postpartum Periods](#).

Risk of Perinatal Transmission After Maternal Acute HIV Infection

Acute or recent HIV infection during pregnancy or breastfeeding is associated with an increased risk of perinatal HIV transmission, and a significant proportion of pediatric infections can be attributed to maternal acute infection.⁴ Among 10,308 pregnant women with HIV who delivered live infants from 2005 to 2010 in 15 areas of the United States that conducted enhanced perinatal surveillance, 124 women (1.2%) seroconverted during pregnancy. The rate of perinatal transmission was eight times higher among women who seroconverted during pregnancy (12.9%) than among those who seroconverted before pregnancy (1.6%) ($P < 0.0001$).⁵ Similarly, among 108 new perinatal HIV infections that were identified between 2006 and 2013 in the United Kingdom, 23 (21.3%) were associated with a concurrent maternal seroconversion.⁶ The high rate of transmission in people with acute infection likely is related to the high viral loads in plasma, breast milk, and the genital tract that are present during acute infection⁷; in addition, acute HIV infection symptoms can be nonspecific, which results in missed opportunities to diagnose and implement interventions that can reduce the risk of perinatal transmission.

Diagnosis of Acute HIV Infection During Pregnancy

Acute HIV infection is the phase of HIV disease that occurs immediately after acquisition, which typically is characterized by high viremia detected by the presence of HIV RNA or p24 antigen. Anti-HIV antibodies are not detectable early during this phase of HIV infection (see [Acute and Recent \(Early\) HIV Infection](#) section of the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guideline). Recent HIV infection generally is considered the phase of HIV disease ≤ 6 months after infection, during which anti-HIV antibodies develop and become detectable.⁸⁻¹³

Health care providers should maintain a high level of suspicion of acute HIV infection in patients who are pregnant or breastfeeding and have clinical signs and symptoms that are compatible with acute infection. Even when patients do not report high-risk behaviors, it is still possible that their sexual partners are practicing high-risk behaviors without their knowledge. An estimated 40% to 90% of patients with acute HIV infection will experience symptoms of acute retroviral syndrome, which is characterized by fever, lymphadenopathy, pharyngitis, skin rash, myalgias/arthralgias, headache, diarrhea, oral ulcers, and other symptoms.¹⁴⁻¹⁷ Providers often do not recognize acute HIV infection because the symptoms are similar to those of other common illnesses, and also some individuals with acute HIV infection may be asymptomatic.

When acute HIV infection is suspected during pregnancy or breastfeeding, a quantitative or qualitative plasma HIV RNA test should be obtained in conjunction with an antigen/antibody immunoassay test. Guidance for HIV testing recommends using a Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved antigen/antibody combination (fourth-generation) immunoassay that detects HIV-1 and HIV-2 antibodies and HIV-1 p24 antigen for initial testing. These tests are used to screen for established infection with HIV-1 or HIV-2 and for acute HIV-1 infection. More specific guidance on HIV testing can be found in the [Acute and Recent \(Early\) HIV Infection](#) section of the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) [HIV testing algorithm](#), and the [Maternal HIV Testing and Identification of Perinatal HIV Exposure](#) section.

Recent infection also can be detected by repeat HIV testing later in pregnancy in people whose initial HIV test was negative.¹⁸ A report from the Mother-Infant Rapid Intervention at Delivery (MIRIAD) study found that 6 of 54 women (11%) whose HIV was identified with rapid HIV testing during labor had acute or recent infection.¹⁹ Repeat testing during the third trimester is recommended for pregnant women who are known to be at risk of HIV infection, who receive care in facilities with an HIV incidence of ≥ 1 case per 1,000 pregnant women per year, or who reside in jurisdictions with elevated HIV incidence **or with statutes and regulations that require third trimester testing**^{20,21} (see [Prenatal and Perinatal Human Immunodeficiency Virus Testing, Revised Recommendations for HIV Testing of Adults, Adolescents, and Pregnant Women in Health-Care Settings](#), the CDC [HIV testing algorithm](#), and [Maternal HIV Testing and Identification of Perinatal HIV Exposure](#)). Implementation of the recommendation for repeat HIV testing later in pregnancy has varied. A retrospective cohort study at a large metropolitan hospital in a high-prevalence jurisdiction reported that repeat prenatal HIV testing was performed in only 28.4% of women.²² At a large, urban tertiary hospital in Florida, 82% of women were retested in the third trimester.²³

Antiretroviral Therapy for People with Acute or Recent HIV Infection During Pregnancy

Acute or recent HIV infection during pregnancy and breastfeeding is associated with a high risk of vertical transmission of HIV.^{1,4} Therefore, all pregnant people with acute or recent HIV infection should start antiretroviral therapy (ART) as soon as possible, with the goal of preventing perinatal transmission by rapid suppression of plasma HIV RNA below detectable levels. Baseline genotypic resistance testing should be performed to guide adjustment of an optimal antiretroviral (ARV) drug regimen. Data from the United States and Europe demonstrate that in 6% to 19% of patients, transmitted virus may be resistant to ≥ 1 ARV drugs.²⁴⁻²⁶ If results of resistance testing are already available or the source virus's resistance pattern is known, that information can be used to guide the selection of the drug regimen.

A regimen that includes dolutegravir (DTG) plus tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) **or tenofovir alafenamide (TAF)** plus emtricitabine (FTC) **or lamivudine (3TC)** should be initiated in pregnant people with acute HIV infection (see [Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy, Table 4, Table 5, and Appendix C: Antiretroviral Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers](#)). DTG is associated with higher rates of virologic suppression, faster rates of viral load decline, and a higher genetic barrier to drug resistance than other *Preferred* and *Alternative* agents. DTG plus TDF (or tenofovir alafenamide) plus FTC (or **3TC**) is one of the recommended ARV regimens for treatment of acute and early infection in nonpregnant adults. **In the case that a patient cannot receive DTG (e.g., intolerance, potential transmitted resistance, etc.), an *Alternative* regimen of darunavir/r (administered twice daily during pregnancy) plus TDF or TAF plus FTC or 3TC (see**

[Table 4](#) and [Table 5](#)) is recommended for treatment of acute infection during pregnancy. TDF or TAF plus FTC or 3TC are Preferred nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NRTI) backbones for treatment of acute infection. The efficacy and toxicity of TDF and TAF in pregnant patients are similar. In the International Maternal Pediatric Adolescent AIDS Clinical Trials (IMPAACT) 2010 trial, no differences were observed in viral suppression, grade 3 or higher adverse events, or estimated creatinine clearance among people randomized to initiate TDF/FTC (n = 215) versus TAF/FTC (n = 217) with DTG at >14 weeks gestational age.²⁷ Abacavir (ABC) is not recommended for empiric treatment of acute infection unless the patient previously tested negative for the HLA-B*5701 gene variant; using TDF or TAF rather than ABC will avoid delays in ART initiation while awaiting HLA-B*5701 test results.

Several studies have demonstrated that the use of DTG-based regimens is associated with shorter time to viral suppression compared with other ARV regimens.^{27–31} Although no data are available to inform the treatment of acute HIV during pregnancy, two studies in pregnant women demonstrated more rapid viral decline on DTG-based regimens than on efavirenz (EFV)-based ART. In the [DOLPHIN 2 study](#) (dolutegravir in pregnant HIV mothers and their neonates), 268 ART-naive pregnant women in Uganda and South Africa with a median gestational age of 31 weeks were randomized to receive either DTG plus two NRTIs or EFV plus two NRTIs. At delivery, women in the DTG arm were significantly more likely to have achieved HIV RNA <50 copies/mL than those in the EFV arm (74% vs. 43%, respectively; adjusted risk ratio 1.66 [95% confidence interval, 1.3–2.1]; $P < 0.0001$).³¹ More recently, in the IMPAACT 2010 trial, 643 pregnant women, 14–28 weeks gestation, were assigned randomly to receive DTG plus FTC and TDF, DTG plus FTC and TAF, or EFV plus FTC and TDF. At delivery, 395 (98%) of 405 participants in the combined DTG-containing groups had viral suppression, HIV-1 RNA <200 copies per mL, compared with 182 (91%) of 200 participants in the EFV plus FTC and TDF group. Furthermore, participants assigned to a DTG-containing group had a significantly shorter time to viral suppression than those in the EFV-containing group.²⁷

People who are diagnosed with acute HIV during breastfeeding should discontinue breastfeeding and start ART as soon as possible. ART options and management should follow guidance outlined in [Acute and Recent \(Early\) HIV](#) in the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines. One of the following ART regimens is recommended: Bictegravir (BIC)/TAF/FTC; DTG with TAF or TDF plus FTC or 3TC; or boosted darunavir (DRV) with TAF or TDF plus FTC or 3TC.

Obstetrical and Neonatal Considerations

When acute HIV infection is diagnosed during pregnancy, and particularly when it is documented in late pregnancy, cesarean delivery may be necessary when there is insufficient time to fully suppress a patient's viral load (see [Intrapartum Care for People with HIV](#)). When acute HIV infection is diagnosed during breastfeeding, breastfeeding should be discontinued immediately. In nursing mothers with suspected seroconversion, breastfeeding should be interrupted immediately, and it should not resume if infection is confirmed (see [Counseling and Managing Individuals with HIV in the United States Who Desire to Breastfeed](#)). Patients can continue to express and store breast milk while awaiting confirmation of infection status.

Given the high risk of transmission to the infant with acute maternal infection, an infant should receive an ARV regimen that is appropriate for this elevated risk when acute HIV infection is diagnosed during pregnancy or breastfeeding (see [Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection](#)). Consulting a pediatric HIV specialist regarding

appropriate infant management is strongly recommended. All people who receive a diagnosis of acute infection should be asked whether they know the HIV status of their partner. HIV testing of the sexual partners of all pregnant people who test HIV positive should be encouraged, and PrEP should be offered to partners who test HIV negative.

References

1. Drake AL, Wagner A, Richardson B, John-Stewart G. Incident HIV during pregnancy and postpartum and risk of mother-to-child HIV transmission: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS Med.* 2014;11(2):e1001608. Available at <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24586123>.
2. Graybill LA, Kasaro M, Freeborn K, et al. Incident HIV among pregnant and breast-feeding women in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *AIDS.* 2020;34(5):761-776. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32167990>.
3. Mofenson LM. Risk of HIV acquisition during pregnancy and postpartum: a call for action. *J Infect Dis.* 2018;218(1):1-4. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29506075>.
4. Nesheim S, Harris LF, Lampe M. Elimination of perinatal HIV infection in the USA and other high-income countries: achievements and challenges. *Curr Opin HIV AIDS.* 2013;8(5):447-456. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23925002>.
5. Singh S, Lampe MA, Surendera B, S. R, Borkowf CB, Nesheim SR. HIV seroconversion during pregnancy and mother-to-child HIV transmission: data from the enhanced perinatal surveillance projects, United States, 2005–2010. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections 2013. Atlanta, GA.
6. Peters H, Thorne C, Tookey PA, Byrne L. National audit of perinatal HIV infections in the UK, 2006–2013: what lessons can be learnt? *HIV Med.* 2018;19(4):280-289. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29336508>.
7. Morrison CS, Demers K, Kwok C, et al. Plasma and cervical viral loads among Ugandan and Zimbabwean women during acute and early HIV-1 infection. *AIDS.* 2010;24(4):573-582. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20154581>.
8. Daar ES, Little S, Pitt J, et al. Diagnosis of primary HIV-1 infection. Los Angeles County Primary HIV Infection Recruitment Network. *Ann Intern Med.* 2001;134(1):25-29. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11187417>.
9. Hecht FM, Busch MP, Rawal B, et al. Use of laboratory tests and clinical symptoms for identification of primary HIV infection. *AIDS.* 2002;16(8):1119-1129. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12004270>.
10. McKellar MS, Cope AB, Gay CL, et al. Acute HIV-1 infection in the Southeastern United States: a cohort study. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses.* 2013;29(1):121-128. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22839749>.
11. Robb ML, Eller LA, Kibuuka H, et al. Prospective study of acute HIV-1 infection in adults in East Africa and Thailand. *N Engl J Med.* 2016;374(22):2120-2130. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27192360>.
12. Kuruc JD, Cope AB, Sampson LA, et al. Ten years of screening and testing for acute HIV infection in North Carolina. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr.* 2016;71(1):111-119. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26761274>.

13. Hoenigl M, Green N, Camacho M, et al. Signs or symptoms of acute HIV infection in a cohort undergoing community-based screening. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2016;22(3):532-534. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26890854>.
14. Yerly S, Hirschel B. Diagnosing acute HIV infection. *Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther.* 2012;10(1):31-41. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22149612>.
15. Richey LE, Halperin J. Acute human immunodeficiency virus infection. *Am J Med Sci.* 2013;345(2):136-142. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23095473>.
16. Crowell TA, Colby DJ, Pinyakorn S, et al. Acute retroviral syndrome is associated with high viral burden, CD4 depletion, and immune activation in systemic and tissue compartments. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2018;66(10):1540-1549. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29228130>.
17. Ladzinski AT, George NB, Jagger BW. Bilateral peripheral facial paralysis during pregnancy: a presentation of acute HIV seroconversion. *BMJ Case Rep.* 2021;14(5). Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34035026>.
18. Wertz J, Cesario J, Sackrison J, Kim S, Dola C. Acute HIV infection in pregnancy: the case for third trimester rescreening. *Case Rep Infect Dis.* 2011;2011:340817. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22567467>.
19. Nesheim S, Jamieson DJ, Danner SP, et al. Primary human immunodeficiency virus infection during pregnancy detected by repeat testing. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2007;197(2):149 e141-145. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17689629>.
20. Branson BM, Handsfield HH, Lampe MA, et al. Revised recommendations for HIV testing of adults, adolescents, and pregnant women in health-care settings. *MMWR Recomm Rep.* 2006;55(RR-14):1-17; quiz CE11-14. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16988643>.
21. Salvant Valentine S, Caldwell J, Tailor A. Effect of CDC 2006 revised HIV testing recommendations for adults, adolescents, pregnant women, and newborns on state laws, 2018. *Public Health Rep.* 2020;135(1_suppl):189S-196S. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32735201>.
22. Liao C, Golden WC, Anderson JR, Coleman JS. Missed opportunities for repeat HIV testing in pregnancy: implications for elimination of mother-to-child transmission in the United States. *AIDS Patient Care STDS.* 2017;31(1):20-26. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27936863>.
23. Szlachta-McGinn A, Aserlind A, Duthely L, et al. HIV screening during pregnancy in a U.S. HIV epicenter. *Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol.* 2020;2020:8196342. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32454582>.
24. Rhee SY, Blanco JL, Jordan MR, et al. Geographic and temporal trends in the molecular epidemiology and genetic mechanisms of transmitted HIV-1 drug resistance: an individual-patient- and sequence-level meta-analysis. *PLoS Med.* 2015;12(4):e1001810. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25849352>.

25. Buchacz K, Young B, Palella FJ, Jr., et al. Trends in use of genotypic resistance testing and frequency of major drug resistance among antiretroviral-naive persons in the HIV Outpatient Study, 1999-2011. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* 2015;70(8):2337-2346. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25979729>.
26. McClung RP, Oster AM, Ocfemia MCB, et al. Transmitted drug resistance among HIV-1 diagnoses in the United States, 2014–2018. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2021. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34175948>.
27. Lockman S, Brummel SS, Ziemba L, et al. Efficacy and safety of dolutegravir with emtricitabine and tenofovir alafenamide fumarate or tenofovir disoproxil fumarate, and efavirenz, emtricitabine, and tenofovir disoproxil fumarate HIV antiretroviral therapy regimens started in pregnancy (IMPAACT 2010/VESTED): a multicentre, open-label, randomised, controlled, phase 3 trial. *Lancet.* 2021;397(10281):1276-1292. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33812487>.
28. Hoenigl M, Chaillon A, Moore DJ, et al. Rapid HIV viral load suppression in those initiating antiretroviral therapy at first visit after HIV diagnosis. *Sci Rep.* 2016;6:32947. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27597312>.
29. Venter WDF, Moorhouse M, Sokhela S, et al. Dolutegravir plus two different prodrugs of tenofovir to treat HIV. *N Engl J Med.* 2019;381(9):803-815. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31339677>.
30. Girometti N, Lander F, McOwan A, et al. Rapid ART start in early HIV infection: time to viral load suppression and retention in care in a London cohort. *HIV Med.* 2020;21(9):613-615. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32869951>.
31. Kintu K, Malaba TR, Nakibuka J, et al. Dolutegravir versus efavirenz in women starting HIV therapy in late pregnancy (DolPHIN-2): an open-label, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet HIV.* 2020;7(5):e332-e339. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32386721>.

Perinatal HIV Prevention for Transgender and Gender Diverse People Assigned Female Sex at Birth

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Recommendations
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The Panel on Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission has determined that, in most cases, it is appropriate to extrapolate its recommendations based on data in presumed cisgender women to all people assigned female sex at birth, including transgender and gender diverse people, with modification when indicated (e.g., drug interactions with gender-affirming hormones) (AIII).• Patient-centered HIV and perinatal services should be provided using gender-affirming and shared decision-making approaches and models of care that address the unique and varied needs of transgender and gender diverse people and reduce barriers to ongoing engagement in care that can affect adherence to antiretroviral therapy and the likelihood of viral suppression during prepregnancy, antepartum, and postpartum periods (AII).<ul style="list-style-type: none">◦ Patients should be asked about the pronouns they use and language preferences, including how they want to be referred to as a parent (e.g., the baby's mother, father, or by another name) and terms they prefer to use for sexual and reproductive anatomy and examinations (e.g., breast exams, pelvic exams) (AIII).• Health care providers should assess reproductive and parenting intentions and support access to appropriate contraception and perinatal HIV prevention services for transgender and gender diverse people (AIII).• Prepregnancy care for transgender and gender diverse people should incorporate shared decision-making that addresses needs related to gender identity, with consideration of the potential risks and benefits of gender-affirming pharmacologic treatment in relation to pregnancy (AIII).• Some transgender and gender diverse patients may experience the onset or worsening of gender dysphoria and associated symptoms—such as depression—during prepregnancy, antepartum, and postpartum periods; health care providers should regularly assess patients' comfort with their care and provide referrals for mental health or other support services as needed (AIII). <p>For additional information, see Transgender People with HIV in the Guidelines for the Use of Antiretroviral Agents in Adults and Adolescents Living with HIV.</p>
<p>Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional</p> <p>Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion</p>

It is important for health care providers to be aware that not all people who become pregnant identify as women or female. Because many transgender and gender diverse people retain their reproductive organs, pregnancy can occur, and some may desire pregnancy at some point in their lifetime.¹⁻³ This section provides an overview of recommendations from the Panel on Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission (the Panel) regarding perinatal HIV prevention and treatment of HIV in pregnancy for transgender and gender diverse people assigned female sex at birth. The Panel uses the terms transgender and gender diverse people assigned female sex at birth to include people who do not identify as cisgender women while acknowledging individual preferences and ongoing changes in the terminology used to describe this population. The Panel aims to make the guidelines inclusive of transgender and gender diverse people by incorporating inclusive language, considering the appropriateness of existing Panel recommendations for the care of transgender and

gender diverse individuals who were assigned female sex at birth, and adding relevant recommendations and content. Additional information is available in the Adult and Adolescent Guidelines (see [Transgender People with HIV](#)), guidance from the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists about health care for transgender and gender diverse individuals⁴, standards of care developed by the World Professional Association for Transgender Health⁵ and guidelines for primary and gender-affirming care developed by the Center of Excellence for Transgender Health at the University of California – San Francisco.⁶

Perinatal HIV Prevention and Care of Transgender and Gender Diverse People During Prepregnancy, Antepartum, and Postpartum Periods

The evidence ratings of Panel recommendations about the use of antiretroviral drugs during pregnancy and other interventions for reducing perinatal HIV transmission are based on data from studies of reproductive-aged women and pregnant women whose gender identity was not reported. Research into the fertility, pregnancy-related, and perinatal HIV prevention needs of transgender and gender diverse people is in early stages, and descriptions of pregnancy-related care are limited. After consideration, the Panel has decided it is often appropriate to extrapolate existing recommendations to transgender and gender diverse people assigned female sex at birth and to provide additional content and recommendations, when data are available, to address the unique and varied needs of this population if indicated. This approach is consistent with other guidelines for primary care, family planning,⁷ and HIV care⁸ of transgender and gender diverse people.

Health care providers should periodically assess the reproductive and parenting desires and intentions of transgender and gender diverse patients and support access to contraception and perinatal HIV prevention services.⁹ Transgender and gender diverse people assigned female sex at birth who are receiving gender-affirming hormones—such as testosterone—should be counseled about the need for contraception to avoid unintended pregnancy and about potential risks before trying to become pregnant, since testosterone is not an approved or reliable contraceptive and is teratogenic.¹⁰⁻¹² For people wanting to conceive, prepregnancy planning and care provides an opportunity to address HIV prevention—including HIV testing and pre-exposure prophylaxis—for those who are HIV negative. For people with HIV, it provides an opportunity to optimize antiretroviral therapy (ART) and viral suppression before pregnancy. It also enables providers to identify and address transgender and gender diverse people’s concerns about the relationships between pregnancy or parenthood and their gender identity and gender-affirming medical interventions, such as hormones or surgeries. For additional information, see [Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis \(PrEP\) to Prevent HIV During Periconception, Antepartum, and Postpartum Periods](#); [Pregpregnancy Counseling and Care for Persons of Childbearing Age with HIV](#); and [Reproductive Options for Couples When One or Both Partners Have HIV](#).

Selection and management of ART for transgender and gender diverse people with HIV should follow [General Principles Regarding Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy and Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy](#). The potential for drug interactions should be considered and discussed with patients who plan to start or resume hormonal therapy postpartum (see Table 17. Potential Interactions Between the Drugs Used in Gender-Affirming Hormone Therapy and Antiretroviral Drugs in [Transgender People with HIV](#)).

Gender-Affirming Care

Health care providers should work to develop patient-centered approaches that assess and address the gender affirmation needs of transgender and gender diverse individuals in all health care settings.^{9,11} Gender affirmation encompasses processes and interventions that recognize and support a person's gender identity and expression.¹³ Gender-affirming care may include psychosocial support, hormone therapy, surgery, and other interventions.¹¹ Gender affirmation—including medical interventions, such as hormonal therapy—has been shown to improve mental health outcomes and quality of life in transgender individuals.¹⁴⁻¹⁷ A prospective evaluation of the effects of medical gender affirmation on HIV-related outcomes—including viral suppression—is currently in process.¹⁸ A national needs assessment found that transgender and gender diverse people with HIV were more likely to be virally suppressed when they worked with HIV care providers who affirmed their gender (e.g., providers who use their chosen name and pronoun[s]).^{8,19} Language is important for inclusivity and for providing respectful, affirming health care.^{9,20} Patients should be asked about the pronouns they use and language preferences, including how they (and their partners) want to be referred to as parents (i.e., the baby's mother, father, or by another name). Clinicians should also ask patients about terminology they use for sexual and reproductive anatomy (e.g., breasts, vagina) and examinations (e.g., breast exams, pelvic exams) because terms may vary.²⁰

Health care providers should be aware that although transgender and gender diverse patients may adjust well to pregnancy, some patients may experience and require support for the onset or worsening of gender dysphoria and associated symptoms during prepregnancy, antepartum, and postpartum periods. Gender dysphoria and associated symptoms may be precipitated or exacerbated by the need to stop or delay the use of testosterone for pharmacologic gender affirmation when trying to conceive and during pregnancy.^{10,11} Gender dysphoria refers to the distress that results from incongruence between a person's sex assigned at birth and their gender identity²¹ and is manifested by a range of symptoms, such as a sense of unease, depression, and anxiety.²² Gender dysphoria can be reduced when a person receives affirmation for their gender identity through various interventions that include interpersonal approaches—such as adaptations made in women-centered clinic environments and procedures—and medical interventions, such as hormones.^{11,22} During the postpartum period, some patients may need referrals to a hormonal therapy prescriber or a specialist in transgender medicine.

References

1. Cipres D, Seidman D, Cloniger C 3rd, Nova C, O’Shea A, Obedin-Maliver J. Contraceptive use and pregnancy intentions among transgender men presenting to a clinic for sex workers and their families in San Francisco. *Contraception*. 2017;95(2):186-189. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27621044>.
2. Light AD, Obedin-Maliver J, Sevelius JM, Kerns JL. Transgender men who experienced pregnancy after female-to-male gender transitioning. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2014;124(6):1120-1127. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25415163>.
3. Light A, Wang LF, Zeymo A, Gomez-Lobo V. Family planning and contraception use in transgender men. *Contraception*. 2018;98(4):266-269. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29944875>.
4. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists Committee on Gynecologic Practice and Committee on Health Care for Underserved Women. Health Care for transgender and gender diverse individuals. ACOG Committee Opinion Number 823. 2021. Available at: <https://www.acog.org/clinical/clinical-guidance/committee-opinion/articles/2021/03/health-care-for-transgender-and-gender-diverse-individuals>.
5. World Professional Association for Transgender Health. Standards of care for the health of transsexual, transgender, and gender nonconforming people. Available at: <https://wpath.org/publications/soc>.
6. Deutsch M. Guidelines for the primary and gender-affirming care of transgender and gender nonbinary people. 2016. Available at: <https://transcare.ucsf.edu/guidelines>.
7. Bonnington A, Dianat S, Kerns J, et al. Society of Family Planning clinical recommendations: contraceptive counseling for transgender and gender diverse people who were female sex assigned at birth. *Contraception*. 2020;102(2):70-82. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32304766>.
8. Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents. Guidelines for the use of antiretroviral agents in HIV-1-infected adults and adolescents. 2021. Available at: <https://clinicalinfo.hiv.gov/en/guidelines/adult-and-adolescent-arv/whats-new-guidelines>.
9. Moseson H, Zazanis N, Goldberg E, et al. The imperative for transgender and gender nonbinary inclusion: beyond women’s health. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2020;135(5):1059-1068. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32282602>.
10. Brandt JS, Patel AJ, Marshall I, Bachmann GA. Transgender men, pregnancy, and the “new” advanced paternal age: a review of the literature. *Maturitas*. 2019;128:17-21. Available at <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31561817>.
11. Hahn M, Sheran N, Weber S, Cohan D, Obedin-Maliver J. Providing patient-centered perinatal care for transgender men and gender-diverse individuals: a collaborative multidisciplinary team approach. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2019;134(5):959-963. Available at <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31599839>.

12. Krempasky C, Harris M, Abern L, Grimstad F. Contraception across the transmasculine spectrum. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2020;222(2):134-143. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31394072>.
13. Sevelius JM. Gender Affirmation: a framework for conceptualizing risk behavior among transgender women of color. *Sex Roles*. 2013;68(11-12):675-689. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23729971>.
14. Bauer GR, Scheim AI, Pyne J, Travers R, Hammond R. Intervenable factors associated with suicide risk in transgender persons: a respondent driven sampling study in Ontario, Canada. *BMC Public Health*. 2015;15:525. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26032733>.
15. White Hughto JM, Reisner SL. A systematic review of the effects of hormone therapy on psychological functioning and quality of life in transgender individuals. *Transgend Health*. 2016;1(1):21-31. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27595141>.
16. Keo-Meier CL, Herman LI, Reisner SL, Pardo ST, Sharp C, Babcock JC. Testosterone treatment and MMPI-2 improvement in transgender men: a prospective controlled study. *J Consult Clin Psychol*. 2015;83(1):143-156. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25111431>.
17. Mahfouda S, Moore JK, Siafarikas A, et al. Gender-affirming hormones and surgery in transgender children and adolescents. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol*. 2019;7(6):484-498. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30528161>.
18. Reisner SL, Deutsch MB, Mayer KH, et al. Longitudinal cohort study of gender affirmation and HIV-related health in transgender and gender diverse adults: the LEGACY Project Protocol. *JMIR Res Protoc*. 2021;10(3):e24198. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33646126>.
19. Chung C KA, McBride B, Roebuck C, Sprague L, Center TL. Some kind of strength: findings on health care and economic wellbeing from a national needs assessment of transgender and gender non-conforming people living with HIV. 2016. Available at: http://transgenderlawcenter.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/03/TLC_REPORT_SOME_KIND_OF_FINAL_REV3.pdf.
20. Klein A, Golub SA. Enhancing gender-affirming provider communication to increase health care access and utilization among transgender men and trans-masculine non-binary individuals. *LGBT Health*. 2020;7(6):292-304. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32493100>.
21. American Psychological Association. Guidelines for psychological practice with transgender and gender nonconforming people. *Am Psychol*. 2015;70(9):832-864. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26653312>.
22. Murad MH, Elamin MB, Garcia MZ, et al. Hormonal therapy and sex reassignment: a systematic review and meta-analysis of quality of life and psychosocial outcomes. *Clin*

Endocrinol (Oxf). 2010;72(2):214-231. Available at:
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19473181>.

Intrapartum Care for People with HIV

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Panel's Recommendations

HIV Testing for Pregnant People with Unknown HIV Status in Labor

- Pregnant people who present in labor with unknown HIV status and people with increased risk of HIV infection who were not retested in the third trimester should undergo expedited antigen/antibody HIV testing (**AII**). See [Maternal HIV Testing and Identification of Perinatal HIV Exposure](#) for more information.
 - If results are positive, an HIV-1/HIV-2 antibody differentiation test and an HIV-1 RNA assay should be done as soon as possible, and intravenous (IV) zidovudine (ZDV) should be initiated pending the result of the differentiation test (**AII**).
 - If acute HIV infection is suspected or if a person has had recent HIV exposure, an HIV RNA assay also should be done at the time of expedited antigen/antibody testing (**AII**). See [Acute HIV Infection](#).

Intrapartum Antiretroviral Therapy (ART), ZDV Prophylaxis, and Mode of Delivery for Pregnant People with HIV

- See Table 7 Intrapartum Care and Recommended Interventions to Prevent Perinatal HIV Transmission for Pregnant People with HIV Based on HIV RNA Levels at the Time of Delivery below.
- Patients should continue taking their antepartum ART on schedule during labor and before scheduled cesarean delivery (**AIII**).
- For individuals with HIV RNA >1,000 copies/mL or unknown HIV RNA near the time of delivery (**within 4 weeks of delivery**)
 - Intrapartum IV ZDV should be administered in the following situations based on laboratory and clinical information near the time of delivery: (a) HIV RNA >1,000 copies/mL, (b) unknown HIV RNA, (c) known or suspected lack of adherence since the last HIV RNA result, or (d) a positive expedited antigen/antibody HIV test result during labor (**AI**). Begin IV ZDV when patients present in labor or at least 3 hours prior to scheduled cesarean delivery (**AII**).
 - When HIV RNA is >1,000 copies/mL or is unknown near the time of delivery, scheduled cesarean delivery at 38 weeks gestation is recommended to minimize perinatal HIV transmission, irrespective of administration of antepartum ART (**AII**).
 - Management of patients originally scheduled for cesarean delivery because of HIV RNA >1,000 copies/mL who present in labor or with ruptured membranes must be individualized at the time of presentation (**BII**). In these circumstances, evidence is insufficient to determine whether cesarean delivery reduces the risk of perinatal HIV transmission. Consultation with an expert in perinatal HIV (e.g., telephone consultation with the National Perinatal HIV/AIDS Clinical Consultation Center at 1-888-448-8765) may be helpful in rapidly developing an individualized delivery plan.
- For individuals receiving ART with HIV RNA \leq 1,000 copies/mL near the time of delivery (**within 4 weeks of delivery**)
 - IV ZDV is **not** required for people who meet **ALL** of the following three criteria: (1) are receiving ART, (2) have HIV RNA <50 copies/mL **within 4 weeks of delivery**, and (3) are adherent to their ARV regimen (**BII**).
 - IV ZDV may be considered for people with HIV RNA \geq 50 copies/mL and \leq 1,000 copies/mL **within 4 weeks of delivery** (**BII**). Data are insufficient to determine whether administration of IV ZDV to people with HIV RNA levels between 50 copies/mL and 1,000 copies/mL provides any additional protection against perinatal HIV

transmission. This decision can be made on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration their recent ART adherence and preferences and involving expert consultation if needed (CII).

- Scheduled cesarean delivery performed solely for prevention of perinatal HIV transmission in those receiving ART with HIV RNA $\leq 1,000$ copies/mL near the time of delivery is **not recommended** given the low rate of perinatal transmission in this group (AII).
- In pregnant people with HIV RNA levels $\leq 1,000$ copies/mL, if scheduled cesarean delivery or induction of labor is indicated for non-HIV-related reasons, it should be performed at the standard time for obstetric indications (AII). Labor should not be induced to prevent perinatal HIV transmission.
- In pregnant people on ART with HIV RNA $\leq 1,000$ copies/mL, duration of ruptured membranes is not associated with an increased risk of perinatal transmission and is not an indication for cesarean delivery to prevent HIV transmission (BII).

Other Intrapartum Management Considerations (see Table 7 below)

- Fetal scalp electrodes for fetal monitoring should be avoided, particularly when maternal HIV RNA is not suppressed (≥ 50 copies/mL) or is unknown, because of the potential risk of HIV transmission (BIII). See [Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection](#).
- Artificial rupture of membranes and operative vaginal delivery with forceps or a vacuum extractor should follow standard obstetric indications but should be avoided if possible in those with HIV RNA ≥ 50 copies/mL (BIII).
- The ARV regimen a patient is receiving should be taken into consideration when using methergine to treat excessive postpartum bleeding caused by uterine atony.
 - In patients who are receiving a cytochrome P450 (CYP) 3A4 enzyme inhibitor (e.g., a protease inhibitor or cobicistat), methergine should be used only if no alternative treatments for postpartum hemorrhage are available and the need for pharmacologic treatment outweighs the risks. If methergine is used, it should be administered at the lowest effective dose for the shortest possible duration (BIII).
 - In patients who are receiving a CYP3A4 enzyme inducer—such as nevirapine, efavirenz, or etravirine—additional uterotonic agents may be needed because of the potential for decreased methergine levels and inadequate treatment effect (BIII).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

Overview of Intrapartum Care for Pregnant People with HIV

Pregnant people with HIV require specialized care during labor and delivery to optimize maternal health outcomes and to prevent perinatal HIV transmission. Documentation of HIV status should be assessed in all people during labor, and HIV testing should be offered to those with unknown or undocumented HIV status, recent HIV exposure, and/or signs of acute HIV (see [Maternal HIV Testing and Identification of Perinatal HIV Exposure](#) and [Acute HIV Infection](#)). Because maternal HIV RNA level is linked directly to the risk of perinatal HIV transmission,¹ the Panel on Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission (Panel) recommends viral load testing throughout pregnancy and specifically at approximately 36 weeks gestation (or **within 4 weeks of anticipated delivery**) to inform decisions about intrapartum care. The risk of perinatal HIV transmission is reduced to very low levels in pregnant people receiving antiretroviral therapy (ART) who have documented viral suppression (< 50 copies/mL) near delivery.¹⁻³ Panel recommendations

about intrapartum care to prevent HIV transmission are based on maternal HIV RNA levels and encompass continuation of maternal ART, intrapartum intravenous (IV) zidovudine (ZDV) during labor and delivery, scheduled cesarean delivery, and other intrapartum management considerations. Table 7 provides an overview of the Panel’s recommendations for intrapartum care based on maternal HIV RNA. These recommendations are discussed in the following sections.

Pregnant People Who Present in Labor Without Documentation of HIV Status

All pregnant people without documentation of HIV status at the time of labor should be screened for HIV with expedited testing unless they decline (i.e., “opt-out” screening) (see [Maternal HIV Testing and Identification of Perinatal HIV Exposure](#)). Expedited repeat HIV testing also is recommended for those who present in labor and tested negative for HIV in early pregnancy, but are at increased risk of HIV infection and were not retested in the third trimester.^{4,5} Factors that may increase the risk of infection include diagnosis of a sexually transmitted infection, illicit drug use, exchange of sex for money or drugs, multiple sexual partners during pregnancy, a sexual partner who is at risk of HIV infection or who is known to have HIV, signs or symptoms of acute HIV infection, or living in a region with an elevated incidence of HIV in women of childbearing age.⁴ **Those who test positive on the initial HIV test during labor should be presumed to have HIV until follow-up testing clarifies their HIV status.** To prevent perinatal HIV transmission, intrapartum IV ZDV should be started immediately, as discussed below, and patients should not initiate breastfeeding until HIV infection is ruled out definitively. For additional information, see [Postpartum Follow-up of People with HIV, Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection](#), and [Table 9](#).

Initial testing for HIV should be done with a Food and Drug Administration (FDA)–approved antigen/antibody combination immunoassay that detects HIV-1 and HIV-2 antibodies. No further testing is required for specimens that are nonreactive on the initial immunoassay, unless the patient has had recent HIV exposure or acute infection is suspected, in which case, an HIV RNA assay should be obtained (see [Maternal HIV Testing and Identification of Perinatal HIV Exposure](#)). Patients with an initial positive antigen/antibody combination immunoassay result should be tested with an FDA-approved antibody immunoassay that differentiates HIV-1 antibodies from HIV-2 antibodies and an HIV RNA assay to screen for both acute and chronic HIV-1 infection (see [Revised Recommendations for HIV Testing of Adults, Adolescents, and Pregnant Women in Health-Care Settings](#) and the resource page for [laboratory testing for HIV](#) from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention). If the follow-up antibody test result is negative, results of the HIV RNA test should be reviewed to rule out acute infection as a cause of the initial positive test result before ART is stopped (see [Acute HIV Infection](#)). Those with a high level of HIV-1 RNA and a negative HIV-1/HIV-2 antibody differentiation test most likely have acute HIV infection. If both the HIV-1 RNA and the HIV-1/HIV-2 antibody differentiation test are negative, the initial HIV test result may have been a false positive.

Expedited HIV testing should be available on a 24-hour basis at all facilities with a maternity service and/or neonatal intensive care unit. Statutes and regulations regarding expedited testing vary from state to state (see [State Laws That Address High-Impact HIV Prevention Efforts](#)).

Intrapartum Continuation of Antenatal Antiretroviral Drugs

ART is recommended for the treatment of HIV and prevention of perinatal HIV transmission in all pregnant people with HIV, regardless of CD4 T lymphocyte (CD4) cell count and HIV RNA (viral

load). Pregnant people should continue their antepartum antiretroviral (ARV) regimen on schedule as much as possible during the intrapartum period to maintain maximal virologic suppression and to minimize the chance of developing drug resistance. When cesarean delivery is planned, oral medications can be administered preoperatively with sips of water. Medications that must be taken with food for absorption can be taken with liquid dietary supplements, contingent on consultation with the attending anesthesiologist during the preoperative period. If the maternal ARV drug regimen must be interrupted temporarily (i.e., for <24 hours) during the peripartum period, all drugs should be stopped and reinstated simultaneously to minimize the chance that resistance will develop.

Decisions Regarding the Use of Intrapartum Intravenous Zidovudine

Intrapartum administration of IV ZDV provides antiretroviral pre-exposure prophylaxis at a time when infants are at increased risk of exposure to maternal blood and body fluids. Although the PACTG 076 ZDV regimen included a continuous IV infusion of ZDV during labor for all women, decisions regarding the use of IV ZDV during labor are now based on maternal ART, HIV RNA level, and adherence considerations (see Table 7 below). IV ZDV also is recommended for those with an initial diagnosis of HIV during labor and pregnant people with HIV whose HIV RNA level is unknown.

Current evidence indicates that intrapartum IV ZDV reduces perinatal HIV transmission for women with HIV RNA >1,000 copies/mL who are on ART, but the benefits for women with HIV RNA ≤1,000 copies/mL are less clear. Using data from 1997 to 2010, the French Perinatal Cohort Study evaluated the association between IV ZDV and perinatal HIV transmission based on HIV RNA levels in >11,000 pregnant women with HIV who were on ART (72% of the women received triple-ARV regimens). The majority of the women (95%) received IV intrapartum ZDV.⁶ Among women with HIV RNA ≥1,000 copies/mL whose infants received only ZDV for prophylaxis, the risk of perinatal HIV transmission was significantly higher without maternal IV ZDV (10.2%) than with maternal IV ZDV (2.5%; $P < 0.01$), but this difference was not observed if the neonate received a combination prophylaxis of two or more ARV drugs (4.8% with IV ZDV vs. 4.1% without IV ZDV, $P = 0.83$). Among women with HIV RNA <1,000 copies/mL at delivery, transmission rates did not differ significantly between those who received IV ZDV (0.6%, 47 of 8,132 infants) and those who did not (0 of 369 infants, $P > 0.20$).

In a European cohort of infants who were considered to be at high risk of perinatal HIV transmission, lack of IV ZDV during labor was associated with transmission on univariate analysis, but not after the results were adjusted for maternal HIV RNA and other factors (adjusted odds ratio with IV ZDV was 0.79; 95% confidence interval, 0.55–1.15; $P = 0.23$).⁷ In a cohort of 717 women who delivered between 1996 and 2008 in Miami, not receiving IV ZDV during labor ($n = 67$) was not associated with an increased risk of perinatal HIV transmission.⁸ The majority of these women were receiving ART (89%) and had HIV RNA <1,000 copies/mL (75%) at delivery.

Based on available data, the Panel recommends that IV ZDV should continue to be administered to pregnant people with HIV RNA >1,000 copies/mL within 4 weeks of delivery (or to people with HIV who have unknown HIV RNA levels within 4 weeks of delivery), regardless of their antepartum ARV regimen. Although not required, administration of intrapartum IV ZDV may be considered for individuals with HIV RNA levels ≥50 copies/mL and ≤1,000 copies/mL or in people for whom there are concerns about adherence to or tolerance of their ARV regimens in late pregnancy. Specifically, patients who have not been able to maintain an undetectable viral load consistently throughout the third trimester, patients who have had challenges consistently participating in prenatal care, and

patients with ongoing psychosocial factors that raise additional concerns about adherence should be considered potential candidates for intrapartum IV ZDV despite a viral load <1,000 copies/mL. Many experts think the data are insufficient to determine whether administration of intrapartum IV ZDV to pregnant people with HIV RNA between 50 copies/mL and 1,000 copies/mL provides any additional protection against perinatal transmission. However, the transmission risk is slightly higher (approximately 1% to 2%) when HIV RNA is in the range of 50 copies/mL to 999 copies/mL than when it is <50 copies/mL (transmission risk is $\leq 1\%$).^{1,6,9}

IV ZDV is **not** required for individuals who meet **ALL** of the following three criteria: (1) are receiving ART, (2) have HIV RNA <50 copies/mL **within 4 weeks of delivery**, and (3) are adherent to their ARV regimen. However, a study showing that 6 percent of women with suppressed HIV RNA levels during pregnancy had viral load rebound near delivery¹⁰ highlights the importance of using clinical judgement when making the decision to use intrapartum IV ZDV, regardless of the patient's viral load. The additional benefit of IV ZDV in women who are receiving ART and are virally suppressed (HIV RNA <50 copies/mL) has not been evaluated in randomized clinical trials.

If a patient has known or suspected ZDV resistance, intrapartum use of IV ZDV still is recommended in patients with HIV RNA >1,000 copies/mL near delivery unless a documented history of hypersensitivity exists. This intrapartum use of the drug is recommended because of its proven record in reducing the risk of perinatal HIV transmission, even in the presence of maternal resistance to the drug (see [Antiretroviral Drug Resistance and Resistance Testing in Pregnancy](#)).

Administration of Intrapartum IV ZDV

Intrapartum IV ZDV is recommended for individuals with HIV RNA >1,000 copies/mL or unknown HIV RNA near the time of delivery or when they present in labor. In those with HIV RNA >1,000 copies/mL who are undergoing a scheduled cesarean delivery for prevention of perinatal HIV transmission, IV ZDV administration should begin at least 3 hours before the scheduled cesarean delivery; pregnant people **should receive a 1-hour loading dose of ZDV at 2 mg/kg followed by a continuous IV ZDV infusion of 1 mg/kg for 2 hours (minimum of 3 hours total)**. This recommendation is based on a pharmacokinetic (PK) study in which ZDV was administered orally during pregnancy and as a continuous infusion during labor. Maternal ZDV levels were measured at baseline, after the initial IV loading dose, and then every 3 to 4 hours until delivery. ZDV levels were also measured in cord blood.¹¹ Systemic and intracellular ZDV levels increased from baseline but appeared to stabilize after 3 hours of infusion; cord blood ZDV levels were associated with maternal levels and maternal infusion duration. If cesarean delivery is being performed for other indications and maternal viral load is $\leq 1,000$ copies/mL near the time of delivery, administering IV ZDV is not required.

Because unscheduled cesarean delivery is performed for both maternal and fetal indications, when an unscheduled cesarean delivery is indicated in a patient who has a viral load >1,000 copies/mL, consideration can be given to shortening the interval between initiation of IV ZDV administration and delivery. For example, some experts recommend administering the 1-hour loading dose of IV ZDV and not waiting to complete additional administration before proceeding with delivery when an expedited delivery is indicated.

Use of Oral Intrapartum ZDV

In some international studies, oral (rather than IV) ZDV has been administered during labor. Data are limited on the PKs of oral versus IV ZDV during labor. In studies of oral dosing in labor, ZDV levels were lower than they were with IV dosing, and PK parameters suggested erratic absorption during labor.^{12,13} Therefore, IV administration is recommended over oral administration in the United States for individuals with HIV RNA >1,000 copies/mL near delivery. In situations where IV administration is not possible, clinicians can consider administering oral ZDV using a 600-mg loading dose and then ZDV 400 mg every 3 hours,¹³ although no benefit of using this approach has been proven.

Transmission and Mode of Delivery

Current Recommendations on Mode of Delivery

Scheduled cesarean delivery, defined as cesarean delivery performed before the onset of labor and before rupture of membranes (ROM), is recommended at 38 weeks gestation for prevention of perinatal HIV transmission in individuals with HIV RNA levels >1,000 copies/mL near delivery and for those with unknown HIV RNA levels. Although most studies do not specify the exact time that the HIV RNA levels closest to delivery were measured, the Panel recommends viral load testing at approximately 36 weeks gestation **or within 4 weeks of anticipated delivery** to inform decisions about mode of delivery and optimal treatment of the newborn. The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) recommends that pregnant people with HIV RNA >1,000 copies/mL be counseled regarding the potential benefits of scheduled cesarean delivery.¹⁴

Recommendations for cesarean delivery to prevent perinatal HIV transmission were based initially on findings from a multicenter, randomized clinical trial¹⁵ and a large individual patient data meta-analysis¹⁶ that were conducted before the availability of viral load information, when most women with HIV received either no ARV drugs or ZDV as a single drug. The HIV RNA threshold of 1,000 copies/mL for decisions about mode of delivery was based largely on data from a 1999 report of the Women and Infants Transmission Study, a large prospective cohort study that reported no cases of perinatal HIV transmission among 57 women with HIV RNA levels <1,000 copies/mL.¹⁷ Results of studies conducted since then have been extrapolated to make current recommendations about the mode of delivery in an era when ART is recommended for all pregnant people and viral load information is readily available.

In a report on births to women with HIV in the United Kingdom and Ireland between 2000 and 2011, perinatal transmission rates in women on ART with HIV RNA <1,000 copies/mL who had a planned cesarean delivery (13 of 3,544 women; 0.3%) were not significantly different from those in women who had a planned vaginal delivery (6 of 2,238 women; 0.3%).⁹ Similarly, data from the French Perinatal Cohort showed no difference in transmission rates between vaginal delivery and planned cesarean delivery among women with suppressed viral loads on ART (0.3% in both groups of women).¹⁸ Among 290 deliveries in women with HIV in Finland from 1993 to 2013, 75.4 percent of women delivered vaginally, 12.5 percent delivered by elective cesarean, and 12.5 percent delivered by emergency cesarean; 80 percent had HIV RNA <50 copies/mL. No perinatal HIV transmissions occurred across the delivery methods.¹⁹ For preterm deliveries in women with HIV RNA <1,000 copies/mL, an analysis of data from the French Perinatal Cohort found that transmission rates were slightly higher among planned vaginal deliveries than among planned cesarean deliveries, but the

number of women with viral loads <400 copies/mL was low, and the differences across viral load levels were not statistically significant.¹⁸

Given the low perinatal HIV transmission rates achievable with the use of maternal ART, the benefit of scheduled cesarean delivery is difficult to evaluate for people who are virally suppressed. It is unclear whether scheduled cesarean delivery confers any additional benefit in reducing transmission. No evidence to date suggests any benefit from scheduled cesarean delivery in people who have been receiving ART for several weeks and who are virally suppressed at or near delivery. Furthermore, evidence exists that complication rates for cesarean deliveries are higher in women with HIV than in women without HIV.²⁰ Therefore, decisions about mode of delivery for pregnant people receiving ART with HIV RNA levels $\leq 1,000$ copies/mL should be individualized based on discussion between an obstetrician and a pregnant person. Pregnant people should be informed that no evidence indicates that a scheduled cesarean delivery performed solely for prevention of perinatal HIV transmission is of any benefit in people receiving ART with HIV RNA $\leq 1,000$ copies/mL and, therefore, **is not routinely recommended** for these people.

Timing of Delivery

For the general obstetric population, ACOG recommends that a scheduled cesarean delivery not be performed before 39 weeks gestation because of the risk of iatrogenic prematurity.^{21,22} However, when cesarean delivery is indicated to prevent transmission of HIV, ACOG recommends scheduling cesarean delivery at 38 weeks gestation to decrease the likelihood of onset of labor or ROM before delivery.¹⁴ Gestational age should be determined by best obstetrical dating criteria, including last menstrual period and early ultrasound for dating purposes. Amniocentesis to document lung maturity should be avoided when possible in pregnant people with HIV.

Among 1,194 infants born to mothers with HIV, 9 (1.6%) born vaginally and 18 (4.4%) delivered by scheduled cesarean had respiratory distress syndrome (RDS) ($P < 0.001$). No statistically significant association existed between mode of delivery and infant RDS in an adjusted model that included infant gestational age and birth weight.²³ Although newborn complications may be increased with planned cesarean delivery at <39 weeks gestation, the benefits of planned cesarean delivery at 38 weeks generally are thought to outweigh the risks if the procedure is performed to prevent HIV transmission.

In pregnant people with HIV RNA $\leq 1,000$ copies/mL, cesarean delivery is not recommended to prevent perinatal HIV transmission. The Panel recommends that pregnant people should be delivered according to standard obstetric indications; **labor should not be induced at 38 weeks for prevention of perinatal HIV transmission.** When scheduled cesarean delivery is performed in pregnant people with HIV RNA $\leq 1,000$ copies/mL for an indication other than preventing HIV transmission, cesarean delivery should be scheduled based on ACOG guidelines for women without HIV. A comparison of 613 women (with HIV RNA levels <1,000 copies/mL) who delivered vaginally at 38 to 40 weeks gestation and 303 women who delivered vaginally at ≥ 40 weeks gestation demonstrated no difference (0.3% vs. 0.5%) in perinatal HIV transmission by estimated gestational age at delivery, which suggests that women without an indication for scheduled cesarean delivery for prevention of perinatal HIV transmission should be delivered according to standard obstetric indications.²⁴

Cesarean Delivery for People Presenting Late in Pregnancy

People with HIV who present late in pregnancy and are not receiving ARV drugs may not have HIV RNA results available before delivery. Without current therapy, HIV RNA levels are unlikely to be $\leq 1,000$ copies/mL at baseline. Even when ART is initiated immediately, reduction in plasma HIV RNA to undetectable levels may take several weeks, depending on the baseline viral load and kinetics of viral decay for a particular drug regimen (see [Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy: Overview](#) and [Pregnant People Who Have Not Achieved Viral Suppression on Antiretroviral Therapy](#)).²⁵⁻²⁹ In this instance, scheduled cesarean delivery is likely to provide additional benefit in reducing the risk of perinatal transmission of HIV, unless viral suppression can be documented before 38 weeks gestation. Although some experts would recommend a cesarean delivery in a person who has virologic suppression for a brief period (e.g., < 2 weeks), given this scenario, many others would support a vaginal delivery as long as the person's plasma HIV RNA level was $< 1,000$ copies/mL by the day of delivery. No data are available to address the management of an elite controller (i.e., someone who has previously maintained an undetectable HIV RNA level without ART) who presents in labor and is not receiving ART; however, in this setting, it would appear reasonable to administer IV ZDV and allow for vaginal delivery (CIII).

Risk of Maternal Complications with Cesarean Delivery

Administration of perioperative antimicrobial prophylaxis is recommended for all pregnant people to decrease maternal infectious morbidity associated with cesarean delivery. Most studies performed in the era before routine ART was recommended demonstrated that women with HIV have higher rates of postoperative complications (mostly infectious) than women without HIV and that their risk of complications is related to degree of immunosuppression and the receipt of suppressive ART.³⁰⁻³⁵ A Cochrane review of six studies in women with HIV concluded that urgent cesarean delivery was associated with the highest risk of postpartum morbidity, scheduled cesarean delivery was intermediate in risk, and vaginal delivery had the lowest risk of morbidity.^{36,37} Complication rates in women with HIV in most studies^{15,38-42} were within the range reported in populations of women without HIV with similar risk factors and not of sufficient frequency or severity to outweigh the potential benefit of reduced perinatal HIV transmission.

A U.S. study of nationally representative data from a large administrative database demonstrated that—even in the era of ART—infected complications, surgical trauma, prolonged hospitalization, and in-hospital deaths remain higher among women with HIV than among women without HIV.²⁰ The rate of any complication associated with cesarean delivery was 117 per 1,000 deliveries among women with HIV and 67 per 1,000 deliveries among women without HIV. A meta-analysis of primarily observational studies in women with HIV also reported higher morbidity with elective cesarean delivery than with vaginal delivery (odds ratio [OR] 3.12) and no reduction in perinatal HIV transmission among the mothers on ART.⁴³ Therefore, pregnant people with HIV should be counseled regarding the specific risks associated with undergoing cesarean delivery in the setting of HIV infection.

In addition, caution should be exercised in proceeding with a cesarean delivery in circumstances without clear evidence of benefit, especially in younger people who are likely to have additional pregnancies and perhaps multiple cesarean deliveries. The risks of abnormal placentation (e.g., placenta previa, placenta accreta, placenta increta, placenta percreta), bowel and bladder injury, and intrapartum hemorrhage increase as the number of cesarean deliveries a person has had increases.

These risks should be considered and discussed with the pregnant person before proceeding with a cesarean delivery.^{44,45}

Managing Individuals Who Present in Early Labor or with Ruptured Membranes

Most studies have shown a similar risk of perinatal HIV transmission for cesarean delivery performed for obstetric indications after labor and membrane rupture as for vaginal delivery. In one study, the HIV transmission rate was similar in women undergoing emergency cesarean delivery and those delivering vaginally (1.6% vs. 1.9%, respectively).² Although a 2001 meta-analysis found that a longer duration of ruptured membranes was associated with an increased risk of perinatal HIV transmission,⁴⁶ it is not clear how soon after the onset of labor or the ROM that the benefit of cesarean delivery is lost for women with HIV RNA >1,000 copies/mL.⁴⁷ Later data on the association between the duration of ROM and perinatal HIV transmission in the era of ART and viral load measurement are reassuring. A prospective cohort study of 707 pregnant women in Ireland showed that among 493 women on ART with HIV RNA levels <1,000 copies/mL, no cases of perinatal transmission occurred among those with membranes ruptured for up to 25 hours. Only a viral load of >10,000 copies/mL was an independent risk factor for perinatal transmission.⁸

In a large, prospective, population-based surveillance study in the United Kingdom and Ireland that evaluated data on 2,116 pregnancies between 2007 to 2012, no difference was observed in perinatal HIV transmission between women with a ROM duration of ≥ 4 hours (0.64%) and those with a ROM duration of <4 hours (0.34%). Among women with HIV RNA <50 copies/mL, the transmission rate for a ROM duration ≥ 4 hours was 0.14 percent and did not differ from the rate for a ROM duration of <4 hours (0.12%). The median duration of ROM was 3 hours 30 minutes (interquartile range [IQR] 1–8 hours). The infants in this study were delivered at term—vaginally or by emergency cesarean delivery—to women with HIV who were on ART; the majority of women (89%) had HIV RNA <50 copies/mL and only 1 percent of them had HIV RNA $\geq 1,000$ copies/mL. Among preterm infants, no transmissions occurred during 163 deliveries where the maternal viral load was <50 copies/mL.⁴⁸

Because it is not clear whether cesarean delivery after onset of labor reduces the risk of perinatal HIV transmission in individuals with HIV RNA >1,000 copies/mL, management of patients originally scheduled for cesarean delivery who present in labor or with ruptured membranes must be individualized at the time of presentation. In these circumstances, consultation with an expert in perinatal HIV may be helpful. Because the delivery plan in the setting of labor must be made quickly, telephone consultation via a 24-hour, 7-day-a-week hotline (e.g., the National Perinatal HIV/AIDS Clinical Consultation Center at 1-888-448-8765) may provide assistance in rapidly developing an individualized plan.

If spontaneous ROM occurs at >34 weeks gestation before labor or early in labor in individuals whose HIV RNA level is $\leq 1,000$ copies/mL, interventions to decrease the interval to delivery (e.g., administration of oxytocin) should be considered based on obstetric considerations. When membrane rupture occurs before 34 weeks gestation, decisions about timing of delivery should be based on best obstetric practices, considering risks to the infant of prematurity and of HIV transmission. Steroids should be given, when appropriate, to accelerate fetal lung maturity because no data exist to suggest that these recommendations need to be altered for pregnant people with HIV.

Other Intrapartum Management

Obstetric Procedures

Obstetric procedures that increase the risk of fetal exposure to maternal blood—such as invasive fetal monitoring—have been associated with an increased risk of perinatal transmission in some studies, primarily those performed in the pre-ART era.⁴⁹⁻⁵² Data are limited on the use of fetal scalp electrodes during labor in women who are receiving suppressive ART and who have an undetectable viral load. The use of fetal scalp electrodes for fetal monitoring is an additional source of perinatal HIV exposure for the infant and should be avoided in the setting of maternal HIV infection when possible. If a fetal scalp electrode is used, some Panel members would manage the infant as being at high risk of perinatal HIV transmission even when the mother is virally suppressed (HIV RNA <50 copies/mL). See [Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection](#).

Based on data discussed in the previous section (see Managing Individuals Who Present in Early Labor or with Ruptured Membranes), artificial ROM can be performed for standard obstetric indications in people with HIV RNA <50 copies/mL who are on ART and are virally suppressed. Artificial ROM should be avoided in people with HIV RNA \geq 50 copies/mL, unless there is a clear obstetric indication. Although no data exist about the risks of perinatal HIV transmission with intrauterine pressure catheters, clinicians may use them with caution when indicated.

Delayed cord clamping has been associated with improved iron stores in both term and preterm infants, as well as a lower incidence of necrotizing enterocolitis and intraventricular hemorrhage in preterm infants born to mothers without HIV. ACOG now recommends delaying cord clamping for 30 to 60 seconds after birth in vigorous term and preterm infants.⁵³⁻⁵⁵ In the setting of HIV infection, a recent study of 64 mother–infant pairs in which 32 infants had early cord clamping (performed <30 seconds after birth) and 32 infants had delayed cord clamping (performed 120 seconds after birth) found that mean hemoglobin levels at 24 hours of life were significantly higher in the delayed cord clamping group ($P = 0.05$). This difference persisted at 1 month of age ($P < 0.05$), despite differential prescribing of iron supplementation to infants with anemia. All mothers were on stable ARV regimens. During 18 months of follow-up, no HIV transmissions were reported and the risk of jaundice or polycythemia in infants with delayed cord clamping did not increase.⁵⁶

Intrapartum Epidural Use and Pharmacologic Interactions with ARV Drugs

Ritonavir (RTV) inhibition of cytochrome P450 (CYP) 3A4 decreases the elimination of fentanyl by 67 percent. This raises concerns about a possible increased risk of respiratory depression, particularly with patient-controlled analgesia during labor, in patients who are receiving regimens that contain RTV. However, a pharmacokinetic simulation study suggested that even with maximal clinical dosing regimens of epidural fentanyl over 24 hours, RTV-induced CYP3A4 inhibition is unlikely to produce the plasma fentanyl concentrations that are associated with a decrease in minute ventilation.⁵⁷ This suggests that epidural anesthesia can be used safely regardless of a patient's ARV regimen.

Operative Vaginal Delivery

In the past, before data from the era of ART was available, HIV was considered a relative contraindication to operative vaginal delivery with forceps or vacuum device. Peters et al. reviewed

the deliveries of 9,072 women with HIV in the United Kingdom between 2008 and 2016. The percentage of women with viral suppression was 80 percent for the deliveries from 2007 through 2011 and 90 percent for those from 2012 through 2014. Among the 3,023 of 3,663 vaginal deliveries with data as to whether forceps or vacuum device were used, 249 (8.2%) involved operative delivery (5.6% using forceps, 2.4% using vacuum device, 0.1% using both forceps and vacuum device, and 0.2% device type unknown). Among the 222 infants with known HIV status at 18 months of age, one case of HIV transmission with multiple possible causes was reported and not enough evidence existed to confirm intrapartum transmission. The study authors concluded that operative delivery is a safe option for women who are virally suppressed.⁵⁸ Based on these data, the Panel recommends that operative delivery with forceps or a vacuum extractor should follow standard obstetric indications but should be avoided, if possible, when HIV RNA is ≥ 50 copies/mL. No data from the ART era address the risk of perinatal HIV transmission associated with episiotomy or with vaginal or perineal tears in the absence of maternal viremia; indications for episiotomy should be the same as they are for pregnant people without HIV (e.g., a need for expedited vaginal delivery, a need for operative vaginal delivery, shoulder dystocia).

Postpartum Hemorrhage, ARV Drugs, and Methergine Use

Oral or parenteral methergine or other ergot alkaloids often are used as first-line treatment for postpartum hemorrhage caused by uterine atony. However, methergine should not be coadministered with drugs that are potent CYP3A4 enzyme inhibitors, including protease inhibitors (PIs). Concomitant use of ergotamines with PIs and/or cobicistat (COBI) has been associated with exaggerated vasoconstrictive responses.⁵⁹ When uterine atony results in excessive postpartum bleeding in people who are receiving PIs or COBI, methergine should be used only if alternative treatments—such as prostaglandin F₂-alpha, misoprostol, or oxytocin—are unavailable or are contraindicated. If no alternative medications are available and the need for pharmacologic treatment outweighs the risks, methergine should be used at the lowest effective dose for the shortest possible duration. In contrast, additional uterotonic agents may be needed when using other ARV drugs that are CYP3A4 inducers (e.g., nevirapine, efavirenz, etravirine) because of the potential for decreased methergine levels and inadequate treatment effect. No known drug–drug interactions limit the adjunctive use of tranexamic acid in this setting.

Table 7. Intrapartum Care and Recommended Interventions to Prevent Perinatal HIV Transmission for Pregnant People with HIV Based on HIV RNA Levels at the Time of Delivery

All individuals with HIV should be receiving antiretroviral therapy (ART) or initiate ART in pregnancy as early as possible to suppress HIV RNA to undetectable levels (<50 copies/mL).

HIV RNA at Time of Delivery Assessed at 36 Weeks Gestation or Within 4 Weeks of Delivery with No Concerns Regarding ART Adherence ^a				
	<50 copies/mL and on ART with No Concerns About Adherence	≥50 to ≤1,000 copies/mL	>1,000 copies/mL	Unknown HIV RNA ART Adherence Concerns Not Receiving ART HIV Diagnosis in Labor
Intrapartum ART	Pregnant people should take their prescribed ART on schedule as much as possible during labor and before scheduled cesarean delivery (CIII). In general, ARV regimens are initiated postpartum for people diagnosed with HIV during labor.			
Intrapartum IV ZDV	Not required (BII).	Not required but may be considered (CII); many experts recommend.	Yes, recommended (AI). ^b IV ZDV: 1-hour loading dose at 2 mg/kg followed by a continuous ZDV infusion of 1 mg/kg for 2 hours (at least 3 hours total) (AII).	
Mode of delivery	Normal vaginal delivery ^c (AII).	Normal vaginal delivery ^c (AII).	Scheduled cesarean delivery at 38 weeks ^d (AII).	Individualized care, see footnote. ^d
Artificial rupture of membranes^e	Per standard obstetric indications (BII).	Avoid if possible (BIII).	Not applicable, cesarean delivery recommended.	Avoid if possible in people with detectable or unknown viral load who are not receiving a cesarean delivery (BIII).
Induction of labor	Per standard obstetric indications, including use of pitocin. Pregnant people with HIV RNA ≤1,000 copies/mL should NOT be routinely induced at 38 weeks.		Not applicable, scheduled cesarean delivery recommended.	Avoid if possible (BIII).
IUPC	Data not available for pregnant people with HIV; use IUPC with caution and only if clear obstetric indications exist.			
Fetal scalp electrodes for fetal monitoring	Avoid, particularly when maternal viral load is not suppressed (≥50 copies/mL) or is unknown, because of the potential risk of HIV transmission (BIII). See Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection .			
Operative delivery with forceps or a vacuum extractor	Per standard obstetric indications (BIII).	Avoid for pregnant people in the setting of viremia if possible (BIII).		

HIV RNA at Time of Delivery Assessed at 36 Weeks Gestation or Within 4 Weeks of Delivery with No Concerns Regarding ART Adherence^a				
	<50 copies/mL and on ART with No Concerns About Adherence	≥50 to ≤1,000 copies/mL	>1,000 copies/mL	Unknown HIV RNA ART Adherence Concerns Not Receiving ART HIV Diagnosis in Labor
Delayed cord clamping	Per standard obstetric indications and care.			
Use of methergine for postpartum hemorrhage	Because of potential drug interactions with some ARV drugs, consider a patient's ARV regimen when treating postpartum bleeding caused by uterine atony (BIII). ^f			
Infant ARVs and infant feeding	See Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection, Table 8 , Table 9, Postpartum Follow-Up of People with HIV , and Counseling and Managing Individuals with HIV in the United States Who Desire to Breastfeed .			

Key: ART = antiretroviral therapy; ARV = antiretroviral; CYP3A4 = cytochrome P450 3A4; HIV = human immunodeficiency virus; IUPC = intrauterine pressure catheter; IV = intravenous; RNA = ribonucleic acid; ZDV = zidovudine

^a Assess ART adherence at every visit and upon presentation for delivery.

^b Begin IV ZDV when patients present in labor or at least 3 hours before a cesarean delivery using a 1-hour loading dose of ZDV at 2 mg/kg followed by a continuous ZDV infusion of 1 mg/kg for at least 2 hours (**AII**).

^c Scheduled cesarean delivery performed solely for prevention of perinatal HIV transmission in people receiving ART with HIV RNA ≤1,000 copies/mL is **not recommended** given the low rate of perinatal transmission in this group (**AII**). In people with HIV RNA levels ≤1,000 copies/mL, if scheduled cesarean delivery or induction is indicated, it should be performed at the standard time for obstetric indications (**AII**).

^d Provide individualized care. If HIV RNA is >1,000 copies/mL or unknown, evidence is insufficient to determine whether cesarean delivery reduces the risk of perinatal HIV transmission for people who present in spontaneous labor or with ruptured membranes. Management of people originally scheduled for cesarean delivery because of HIV who present in labor must be individualized at the time of presentation (**BII**). In these circumstances, consultation with an expert in perinatal HIV (e.g., telephone consultation with the National Perinatal HIV/AIDS Clinical Consultation Center at 1-888-448-8765) may be helpful in rapidly developing an individualized plan.

^e In pregnant people on ART with suppressed viral load (HIV RNA <50 copies/mL), duration of ruptured membranes is not associated with an increased risk of perinatal transmission and is not an indication for cesarean delivery to prevent HIV transmission (**BII**).

^f Consider drug interactions with ART when treating postpartum bleeding caused by uterine atony. In people who are receiving a cytochrome P450 3A4 enzyme inhibitor (e.g., a protease inhibitor, cobicistat), methergine should be used only if no alternative treatments for postpartum hemorrhage are available and the need for pharmacologic treatment outweighs the risks. If methergine is used, it should be administered at the lowest effective dose for the shortest possible duration (**BIII**). In people who are receiving a CYP3A4 enzyme inducer—such as nevirapine, efavirenz, or etravirine—additional uterotonic agents may be needed because of the potential for decreased methergine levels and inadequate treatment effect (**BIII**).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

References

1. Myer L, Phillips TK, McIntyre JA, et al. HIV viraemia and mother-to-child transmission risk after antiretroviral therapy initiation in pregnancy in Cape Town, South Africa. *HIV Med.* 2017;18(2):80-88. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27353189>.
2. Townsend CL, Cortina-Borja M, Peckham CS, de Ruiter A, Lyall H, Tookey PA. Low rates of mother-to-child transmission of HIV following effective pregnancy interventions in the United Kingdom and Ireland, 2000-2006. *AIDS.* 2008;22(8):973-981. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18453857>.
3. Mandelbrot L, Tubiana R, Le Chenadec J, et al. No perinatal HIV-1 transmission from women with effective antiretroviral therapy starting before conception. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2015;61(11):1715-1725. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26197844>.
4. Branson BM, Handsfield HH, Lampe MA, et al. Revised recommendations for HIV testing of adults, adolescents, and pregnant women in health-care settings. *MMWR Recomm Rep.* 2006;55(RR-14):1-17; quiz CE11-14. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16988643>.
5. American College of Obstetricians Gynecologists, Committee on Obstetric Practice HIV Expert Work Group. ACOG committee opinion no. 752: prenatal and perinatal human immunodeficiency virus testing. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2018;132(3):e138-e142. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30134428>.
6. Briand N, Warszawski J, Mandelbrot L, et al. Is intrapartum intravenous zidovudine for prevention of mother-to-child HIV-1 transmission still useful in the combination antiretroviral therapy era? *Clin Infect Dis.* 2013;57(6):903-914. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23728147>.
7. Chiappini E, Galli L, Giaquinto C, et al. Use of combination neonatal prophylaxis for the prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV infection in European high-risk infants. *AIDS.* 2013;27(6):991-1000. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23211776>.
8. Cotter AM, Brookfield KF, Duthely LM, Gonzalez Quintero VH, Potter JE, O'Sullivan MJ. Duration of membrane rupture and risk of perinatal transmission of HIV-1 in the era of combination antiretroviral therapy. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2012;207(6):482 e481-485. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23103331>.
9. Townsend CL, Byrne L, Cortina-Borja M, et al. Earlier initiation of ART and further decline in mother-to-child HIV transmission rates, 2000-2011. *AIDS.* 2014;28(7):1049-1057. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24566097>.
10. Boucoiran I, Albert AYG, Tulloch K, et al. Human immunodeficiency virus viral load rebound near delivery in previously suppressed, combination antiretroviral therapy-treated pregnant women. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2017;130(3):497-501. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28796673>.
11. Rodman JH, Flynn PM, Robbins B, et al. Systemic pharmacokinetics and cellular pharmacology of zidovudine in human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected women and

- newborn infants. *J Infect Dis*. 1999;180(6):1844-1850. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10558940>.
12. Bhadrakom C, Simonds RJ, Mei JV, et al. Oral zidovudine during labor to prevent perinatal HIV transmission, Bangkok: tolerance and zidovudine concentration in cord blood. Bangkok collaborative perinatal HIV transmission study group. *AIDS*. 2000;14(5):509-516. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10780713>.
 13. Mirochnick M, Rodman JH, Robbins BL, et al. Pharmacokinetics of oral zidovudine administered during labour: a preliminary study. *HIV Med*. 2007;8(7):451-456. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17760737>.
 14. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. ACOG committee opinion no. 751: labor and delivery management of women with human immunodeficiency virus infection. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2018;132(3):e131-e137. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30134427>.
 15. European Mode of Delivery Collaboration. Elective caesarean-section versus vaginal delivery in prevention of vertical HIV-1 transmission: a randomised clinical trial. *Lancet*. 1999;353(9158):1035-1039. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10199349>.
 16. International Perinatal HIV Group. The mode of delivery and the risk of vertical transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1—a meta-analysis of 15 prospective cohort studies. The International Perinatal HIV Group. *N Engl J Med*. 1999;340(13):977-987. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10099139>.
 17. Garcia PM, Kalish LA, Pitt J, et al. Maternal levels of plasma human immunodeficiency virus type 1 RNA and the risk of perinatal transmission. Women and infants transmission study group. *N Engl J Med*. 1999;341(6):394-402. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10432324>.
 18. Briand N, Jasseron C, Sibiude J, et al. Cesarean section for HIV-infected women in the combination antiretroviral therapies era, 2000–2010. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2013;209(4):335 e331-335 e312. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23791563>.
 19. Aho I, Kaijomaa M, Kivela P, et al. Most women living with HIV can deliver vaginally: national data from Finland 1993-2013. *PLoS One*. 2018;13(3):e0194370. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29566017>.
 20. Kourtis AP, Ellington S, Pazol K, Flowers L, Haddad L, Jamieson DJ. Complications of cesarean deliveries among HIV-infected women in the United States. *AIDS*. 2014;28(17):2609-2618. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25574961>.
 21. American College of Obstetricians Gynecologists. ACOG practice bulletin No. 97: fetal lung maturity. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2008;112(3):717-726. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18757686>.
 22. Tita AT, Landon MB, Spong CY, et al. Timing of elective repeat cesarean delivery at term and neonatal outcomes. *N Engl J Med*. 2009;360(2):111-120. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19129525>.

23. Livingston EG, Huo Y, Patel K, et al. Mode of delivery and infant respiratory morbidity among infants born to HIV-1-infected women. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2010;116(2 Pt 1):335-343. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20664394>.
24. Scott RK, Chakhtoura N, Burke MM, Cohen RA, Kreitchmann R. Delivery after 40 weeks of gestation in pregnant women with well-controlled human immunodeficiency virus. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2017;130(3):502-510. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28796679>.
25. European Collaborative Study, Patel D, Cortina-Borja M, Thorne C, Newell ML. Time to undetectable viral load after highly active antiretroviral therapy initiation among HIV-infected pregnant women. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2007;44(12):1647-1656. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17516411>.
26. Read PJ, Mandalia S, Khan P, et al. When should HAART be initiated in pregnancy to achieve an undetectable HIV viral load by delivery? *AIDS.* 2012;26(9):1095-1103. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22441248>.
27. Aziz N, Sokoloff A, Kornak J, et al. Time to viral load suppression in antiretroviral-naïve and -experienced HIV-infected pregnant women on highly active antiretroviral therapy: implications for pregnant women presenting late in gestation. *BJOG.* 2013;120(12):1534-1547. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23924192>.
28. Alagaratnam J, Peters H, Francis K, et al. An observational study of initial HIV RNA decay following initiation of combination antiretroviral treatment during pregnancy. *AIDS Res Ther.* 2020;17(1):41. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32660502>.
29. Kintu K, Malaba TR, Nakibuka J, et al. Dolutegravir versus efavirenz in women starting HIV therapy in late pregnancy (DolPHIN-2): an open-label, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet HIV.* 2020;7(5):e332-e339. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32386721>.
30. Semprini AE, Castagna C, Ravizza M, et al. The incidence of complications after caesarean section in 156 HIV-positive women. *AIDS.* 1995;9(8):913-917. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7576327>.
31. Grubert TA, Reindell D, Kastner R, Lutz-Friedrich R, Belohradsky BH, Dathe O. Complications after caesarean section in HIV-1-infected women not taking antiretroviral treatment. *Lancet.* 1999;354(9190):1612-1613. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10560681>.
32. Maiques-Montesinos V, Cervera-Sanchez J, Bellver-Pradas J, Abad-Carrascosa A, Serra-Serra V. Post-caesarean section morbidity in HIV-positive women. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand.* 1999;78(9):789-792. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10535342>.
33. Vimercati A, Greco P, Loverro G, Lopalco PL, Pansini V, Selvaggi L. Maternal complications after caesarean section in HIV infected women. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* 2000;90(1):73-76. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10767514>.
34. Rodriguez EJ, Spann C, Jamieson D, Lindsay M. Postoperative morbidity associated with cesarean delivery among human immunodeficiency virus-seropositive women. *Am J Obstet*

- Gynecol.* 2001;184(6):1108-1111. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11349171>.
35. Urbani G, de Vries MM, Cronje HS, Niemand I, Bam RH, Beyer E. Complications associated with cesarean section in HIV-infected patients. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet.* 2001;74(1):9-15. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11430935>.
 36. Read JS, Newell MK. Efficacy and safety of cesarean delivery for prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2005(4):CD005479. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16235405>.
 37. Livingston EG, Huo Y, Patel K, et al. Complications and route of delivery in a large cohort study of HIV-1-infected women-IMPAACT P1025. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr.* 2016;73(1):74-82. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27082506>.
 38. Watts DH, Lambert JS, Stiehm ER, et al. Complications according to mode of delivery among human immunodeficiency virus-infected women with CD4 lymphocyte counts of < or = 500/microL. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2000;183(1):100-107. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10920316>.
 39. Faucher P, Batallan A, Bastian H, et al. Management of pregnant women infected with HIV at Bichat Hospital between 1990 and 1998: analysis of 202 pregnancies. *Gynecol Obstet Fertil.* 2001;29(3):211-225. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11300046>.
 40. Fiore S, Newell ML, Thorne C, European HIV in Obstetrics Group. Higher rates of postpartum complications in HIV-infected than in uninfected women irrespective of mode of delivery. *AIDS.* 2004;18(6):933-938. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15060441>.
 41. Marcollet A, Goffinet F, Firtion G, et al. Differences in postpartum morbidity in women who are infected with the human immunodeficiency virus after elective cesarean delivery, emergency cesarean delivery, or vaginal delivery. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2002;186(4):784-789. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11967508>.
 42. Read JS, Tuomala R, Kpamegan E, et al. Mode of delivery and postpartum morbidity among HIV-infected women: the women and infants transmission study. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr.* 2001;26(3):236-245. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11242196>.
 43. Kennedy CE, Yeh PT, Pandey S, Betran AP, Narasimhan M. Elective cesarean section for women living with HIV: a systematic review of risks and benefits. *AIDS.* 2017;31(11):1579-1591. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28481770>.
 44. Silver RM, Landon MB, Rouse DJ, et al. Maternal morbidity associated with multiple repeat cesarean deliveries. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2006;107(6):1226-1232. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16738145>.
 45. Greenbaum S, Wainstock T, Dukler D, Leron E, Erez O. Underlying mechanisms of retained placenta: Evidence from a population based cohort study. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* 2017;216:12-17. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28692888>.

46. International Perinatal HIV Group. Duration of ruptured membranes and vertical transmission of HIV-1: a meta-analysis from 15 prospective cohort studies. *AIDS*. 2001;15(3):357-368. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11273216>.
47. Jamieson DJ, Read JS, Kourtis AP, Durant TM, Lampe MA, Dominguez KL. Cesarean delivery for HIV-infected women: recommendations and controversies. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2007;197(3 Suppl):S96-100. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17825656>.
48. Peters H, Byrne L, De Ruiter A, et al. Duration of ruptured membranes and mother-to-child HIV transmission: a prospective population-based surveillance study. *BJOG*. 2015. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26011825>.
49. Boyer PJ, Dillon M, Navaie M, et al. Factors predictive of maternal-fetal transmission of HIV-1. Preliminary analysis of zidovudine given during pregnancy and/or delivery. *JAMA*. 1994;271(24):1925-1930. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7911164>.
50. Mandelbrot L, Mayaux MJ, Bongain A, et al. Obstetric factors and mother-to-child transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1: the French perinatal cohorts. SEROGEST French Pediatric HIV Infection Study Group. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 1996;175(3 Pt 1):661-667. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8828431>.
51. Mofenson LM, Lambert JS, Stiehm ER, et al. Risk factors for perinatal transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 in women treated with zidovudine. Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group Study 185 Team. *N Engl J Med*. 1999;341(6):385-393. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10432323>.
52. Shapiro DE, Sperling RS, Mandelbrot L, Britto P, Cunningham BE. Risk factors for perinatal human immunodeficiency virus transmission in patients receiving zidovudine prophylaxis. Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group protocol 076 Study Group. *Obstet Gynecol*. 1999;94(6):897-908. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10576173>.
53. Rabe H, Diaz-Rossello JL, Duley L, Dowswell T. Effect of timing of umbilical cord clamping and other strategies to influence placental transfusion at preterm birth on maternal and infant outcomes. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2012;8:CD003248. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22895933>.
54. McDonald SJ, Middleton P, Dowswell T, Morris PS. Effect of timing of umbilical cord clamping of term infants on maternal and neonatal outcomes. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2013;7:CD004074. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23843134>.
55. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Committee opinion No. 684: delayed umbilical cord clamping after birth. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2017;129(1):e5-e10. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28002310>.
56. Pogliani L, Erba P, Nannini P, Giacomet V, Zuccotti GV. Effects and safety of delayed versus early umbilical cord clamping in newborns of HIV-infected mothers. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med*. 2017:1-4. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28969479>.

57. Cambic CR, Avram MJ, Gupta DK, Wong CA. Effect of ritonavir-induced cytochrome P450 3A4 inhibition on plasma fentanyl concentrations during patient-controlled epidural labor analgesia: a pharmacokinetic simulation. *Int J Obstet Anesth*. 2014;23(1):45-51. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24333052>.
58. Peters H, Francis K, Harding K, Tookey PA, Thorne C. Operative vaginal delivery and invasive procedures in pregnancy among women living with HIV. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol*. 2017;210:295-299. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28092853>.
59. Navarro J, Curran A, Burgos J, et al. Acute leg ischaemia in an HIV-infected patient receiving antiretroviral treatment. *Antivir Ther*. 2017;22(1):89-90. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27546463>.

Postpartum Follow-Up of People With HIV

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Panel's Recommendations
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Antiretroviral therapy (ART) is currently recommended for all individuals with HIV to reduce the risk of disease progression and to prevent the sexual transmission of HIV (AI).• ART should be continued after delivery (AI). Any plans for modifying ART after delivery should be made in consultation with the individual and their HIV care provider, ideally before delivery, taking into consideration the recommended regimens for nonpregnant adults (AIII) and plans for future pregnancies.• Because the immediate postpartum period poses unique challenges to ART adherence, arrangements for new or continued supportive services should be made before hospital discharge (AII).• People with a positive expedited HIV antibody test during labor should receive confirmatory testing. If testing confirms HIV infection, ART should be offered, and they should be given a supply of ART before hospital discharge to prevent treatment interruption (AII). Immediate linkage to HIV care and comprehensive follow-up also is needed (AII).• Infants of people who have HIV newly diagnosed in the intrapartum period should begin presumptive HIV therapy and a supply of ART for their infants should be provided before hospital discharge (AII) (see Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection).• Breastfeeding is not recommended for people in the United States who have confirmed HIV or are presumed to have HIV as long as safer infant feeding alternatives are available (AI). People who desire to breastfeed should receive evidence-based counseling on infant feeding options (AIII) (see Counseling and Managing Individuals with HIV in the United States Who Desire to Breastfeed).• Infant feeding counseling—including a discussion of potential barriers to formula feeding—should begin during the prenatal period; this information should be reviewed after delivery (AIII).• Clinicians should discuss future reproductive plans and timing, as well as the risks and benefits of conceiving while on specific antiretroviral (ARV) medications and the use of appropriate contraceptive options to prevent unintended pregnancy (AII).• Contraceptive counseling should involve shared decision-making and should start during the prenatal period; a contraceptive plan should be developed before hospital discharge, as desired by the patient (AII).
<p>Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional</p> <p>Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion</p>

The postpartum period provides an opportunity to review and optimize a patient's health care. Comprehensive medical care and supportive services are particularly important for people with HIV and their families, who often face multiple medical and social challenges. Components of comprehensive care include the following services, as needed:

- Primary care, gynecologic/obstetric care, and HIV specialty care;
- Pediatric and pediatric HIV specialty care for the infant;
- Family planning services;

- Mental health services;
- Substance abuse treatment;
- Supportive services;
- Coordination of care through case management for the patient, their child (or children), and other family members; and
- Prevention of secondary transmission for partners with differing HIV status, including counseling on the use of condoms, antiretroviral therapy (ART) to maintain virologic suppression in the partner who has HIV (i.e., treatment as prevention), and the potential use of pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) by the partner who does not have HIV (see [Pre-exposure Prophylaxis \(PrEP\) to Prevent HIV During Periconception, Antepartum, and Postpartum Periods](#)).

Supportive services should be tailored to the individual’s needs and can include screening for intimate partner violence; case management; child care; respite care; assistance with basic needs, such as housing, food, and transportation; peer counseling; and legal and advocacy services. Ideally, these services should begin before pregnancy and continue throughout pregnancy and the postpartum period.

During the postpartum period, immediate linkage to care, comprehensive medical assessment, counseling, and follow-up are required for all people with HIV and particularly for those who have a positive HIV test during labor or at delivery. The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists recommends that all people have contact with their obstetrician-gynecologists within 3 weeks postpartum and that postpartum care be provided as an ongoing process based on a woman’s individual needs, rather than as a single postpartum visit.¹ People with HIV, particularly those who struggle with ART adherence, should have a follow-up appointment with the health care provider who manages their HIV care—whether that is an obstetrician or an HIV health care provider—within 2 to 4 weeks after hospital discharge. **People who have difficulty attending in-person appointments should consider telemedicine visits.**

When care is not co-located or not within the same health care system, a case manager can facilitate care coordination. People who are receiving case management are more likely to have viral suppression and be retained in care.² **Alternative models of HIV care delivery—such as HIV-adapted group prenatal care—have been associated with higher retention in HIV care for women in the postpartum period.**³ It is especially critical to ensure continuity of ART between the antepartum and postpartum periods. People **with HIV newly diagnosed in the intrapartum period** should receive ART, **and presumptive HIV therapy should be initiated immediately for the newborn** before hospital discharge. Special hospital programs may need to be established to support dispensing ART to mothers before discharge.

Transgender and gender diverse people who were female sex assigned at birth may have additional needs for support and linkage to care during the postpartum period (see [Perinatal HIV Prevention for Transgender and Gender Diverse People Assigned Female Sex at Birth](#)).⁴⁻⁶

Postpartum Maternal Antiretroviral Therapy

ART should be continued postpartum. Decisions about any changes to an ART regimen after delivery should be made after discussion between the individual and their HIV care provider, ideally before delivery. When providing counseling about postpartum ART, health care providers should

consider the person's desire for future planned or potential for unplanned pregnancies in the context of the person's anticipated ART regimen, choice of contraceptive, and the potential for any drug–drug interactions during the postpartum period that were not an issue during pregnancy (see [Pregncancy Counseling and Care for Persons of Childbearing Age with HIV](#) and [Appendix C: Antiretroviral Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers](#)). Some ART regimens that are recommended for nonpregnant adults may not be recommended for use during pregnancy or for people who are trying to conceive (see the [Adult and Adolescent Guidelines](#)). See [Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy, Table 4, Table 5, Teratogenicity, and Combination Antiretroviral Drug Regimens and Maternal and Neonatal Outcomes](#) for additional information and specific recommendations regarding regimens for use during pregnancy and when trying to conceive.

ART is currently recommended for all individuals with HIV to reduce the risk of disease progression and to prevent secondary transmission of HIV.⁷ The Strategic Timing of AntiRetroviral Treatment (START)⁸ and Temprano trials⁹ were randomized clinical trials that demonstrated that early ART can reduce the risk of disease progression even in individuals with CD4 T lymphocyte cell counts >500 cells/mm³, and the HIV Prevention Trials Network (HPTN) 052 randomized clinical trial demonstrated that early ART can reduce the risk of sexual transmission of HIV to a discordant partner by 93%.¹⁰ According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), people with HIV who take ART as prescribed and achieve and maintain an undetectable viral load have effectively no risk of transmitting HIV through sex (i.e., Undetectable = Untransmittable).¹¹

Helping people with HIV understand the need for lifelong ART is a priority during postpartum care. Several studies have demonstrated significant decreases in ART adherence postpartum.¹²⁻¹⁶ During the postpartum period, people may have difficulty with medical appointment follow-up—including appointment adherence—which can affect ART adherence. Systematic monitoring of retention in HIV care is recommended for all individuals with HIV, but special attention is warranted during the postpartum period.

Maternal Adherence to ART and Postpartum Depression

Symptoms of depression have been associated with lower ART adherence and viral suppression during pregnancy and the postpartum period.¹⁷ Furthermore, postpartum depression is common among people with HIV.¹⁸⁻²⁶ The U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommends screening all postpartum people for postpartum depression²⁷ using a validated tool (e.g., the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale); such screening is especially important for people with HIV who appear to be at increased risk for postpartum depression and poor ART adherence during the postpartum period. People should be counseled that postpartum physical and psychological changes (and the stresses and demands of caring for a new baby) may make adherence more difficult and that additional support may be needed during this period.^{2,28-31}

Poor adherence has been shown to be associated with virologic failure, development of ARV drug resistance, and decreased long-term effectiveness of ART.³²⁻³⁴ In people who achieve viral suppression by the time of delivery, postpartum ART simplification to once-daily, coformulated regimens—which are often the preferred initial regimens for nonpregnant adults—could promote adherence during this challenging time. Efforts to maintain adequate adherence during the postpartum period may ensure effectiveness of therapy (see [Adherence to the Continuum of Care](#) in the [Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines](#)). For people who are continuing ART and who

received increased protease inhibitor (PI) doses during pregnancy, available data suggest that doses can be reduced to standard doses immediately after delivery.

Secondary Sexual Transmission and Contraception

The postpartum period is a critical time for addressing safer sex practices to reduce secondary transmission of HIV to partners,³⁵ and clinicians should begin discussing these practices with the patient during the prenatal period. Topics for discussion during counseling on prevention of secondary transmission to the partner without HIV should include condom use, ART for the partner with HIV to maintain viral suppression below the limit of detection, and the potential use of PrEP by the partner who does not have HIV. With full, sustained viral suppression in the person with HIV—with or without reliable PrEP use by the partner—HIV is sexually untransmittable (see [Reproductive Options for Couples When One or Both Partners Have HIV](#)).

It is important to integrate comprehensive family planning and preconception care into all health care visits, with special attention given to these topics during the routine prenatal and postpartum visits. Lack of breastfeeding is associated with earlier return of fertility. Ovulation returns as early as 6 weeks postpartum, and it can occur earlier in some people, even before resumption of menses, putting them at risk of pregnancy soon after delivery.³⁶ If a long-acting reversible contraceptive (LARC)—such as an injectable, implant, or intrauterine device (IUD)—is desired by the patient, it should be inserted before hospital discharge or during the routine postpartum visit. If the insertion of a LARC is postponed until the postpartum visit, intramuscular depot medroxyprogesterone acetate (DMPA-IM) is a contraceptive option that can be given to avoid unplanned pregnancy in the interim, particularly if the postpartum appointment is missed or delayed. Interpregnancy intervals of <18 months have been associated with an increased risk of poor perinatal and maternal outcomes in women without HIV.^{1,37} Given the stresses and demands of caring for a new baby, people may be more receptive to the use of effective contraception, yet they are simultaneously at higher risk of nonadherence to contraception and, thus, unintended pregnancy.³⁸

The potential for drug–drug interactions between several ARV drugs and hormonal contraceptives is discussed in [Pregnancy Counseling and Care for Persons of Childbearing Age with HIV](#) and [Table 3](#). A systematic review conducted for the World Health Organization summarized the research on hormonal contraception, IUD use, and risk of HIV infection and concluded that women with HIV can use all forms of contraception.^{39,40} This is consistent with the CDC recommendations advocating access to a broad range of effective contraceptive methods, including combined hormonal contraceptives, progestin-only pills, depot medroxyprogesterone acetate (DMPA), and implants.⁴¹

Infant Feeding

Avoidance of breastfeeding has been and continues to be a standard recommendation for people with HIV in the United States. Maternal ART dramatically reduces but does not eliminate the risk of HIV transmission via breast milk, and safe infant feeding alternatives are readily available. Other concerns include the potential for drug toxicity in the neonate or, should HIV transmission occur, the risk that the infant will develop ARV drug resistance due to subtherapeutic drug levels in breast milk. However, clinicians should be aware that people may face social, familial, and personal pressures to consider breastfeeding despite this recommendation; such pressures may be particularly problematic for people from cultures where breastfeeding is important because they may fear that formula feeding would reveal their HIV status.^{42,43} It is therefore important to address these possible barriers to

formula feeding during the antenatal period (see [Counseling and Managing Individuals with HIV in the United States Who Desire to Breastfeed](#)).

People who have an initial rapid positive HIV test **during labor or after delivery** should not breastfeed unless a confirmatory HIV test is negative. For detailed guidance on maternal HIV testing, see [Maternal HIV Testing and Identification of Perinatal HIV Exposure](#). If HIV infection is confirmed, a full health assessment is warranted, including counseling related to newly diagnosed HIV infections, a discussion of the need for lifelong ART, an assessment of the need for opportunistic infection prophylaxis, and an evaluation for associated medical conditions. The newborn should receive appropriate testing and ARV drug management. Other children and partner(s) should be referred for HIV testing. Similarly, people with HIV should be made aware of the risks of HIV transmission via pre-mastication of infant food (i.e., by a mother prechewing or prewarming the food in her mouth).⁴⁴ It is not yet known whether there is a risk of HIV transmission with pre-mastication of food when the mother's viral load is below the limit of detection.

Lactation Inhibition

For people who do not breastfeed (as recommended for people with HIV), symptoms related to breast engorgement can be very unpleasant in the days following labor and delivery. Supportive measures—such as using acetaminophen or ibuprofen for pain control, alternating hot and cold compresses on the breasts, or wearing a tight-fitting bra—can help relieve symptoms related to breast engorgement.¹ Although pharmacologic options for lactation inhibition generally are not used in the United States, recent data suggest cabergoline may be appropriate for some people.^{45,46} Cabergoline is a dopamine agonist/ergot derivative that reduces the production of prolactin; however, it is not approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration for lactation inhibition. **Cabergoline is contraindicated for women with hypertension—including pregnancy-induced hypertension, preeclampsia, or eclampsia—or liver disease and for women being treated with antipsychotics or those who have a history of puerperal psychosis.**⁴⁷ Bromocriptine, another dopamine agonist, is no longer used for lactation inhibition because of serious cardiovascular and neurologic complications associated with its use.⁴⁸

References

1. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. ACOG committee opinion No. 736: optimizing postpartum care. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2018;131(5):e140-e150. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29683911>.
2. Anderson EA, Momplaisir FM, Corson C, Brady KA. Assessing the impact of perinatal HIV case management on outcomes along the HIV care continuum for pregnant and postpartum women living with HIV, Philadelphia 2005–2013. *AIDS Behav.* 2017;21(9):2670-2681. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28176167>.
3. McKinney J, Jackson J, Sangi-Haghpeykar H, et al. HIV-adapted group prenatal care: assessing viral suppression and postpartum retention in care. *AIDS Patient Care STDS.* 2021;35(2):39-46. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33571047>.
4. American College of Obstetricians Gynecologists' Committee on Gynecologic Practice, American College of Obstetricians Gynecologists' Committee on Health Care for Underserved Women. Health care for transgender and gender diverse individuals: ACOG committee opinion, number 823. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2021;137(3):e75-e88. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33595253>.
5. MacDonald T, Noel-Weiss J, West D, et al. Transmasculine individuals' experiences with lactation, chestfeeding, and gender identity: a qualitative study. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth.* 2016;16:106. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27183978>.
6. MacLean LR. Preconception, pregnancy, birthing, and lactation needs of transgender men. *Nurs Womens Health.* 2021;25(2):129-138. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33651985>.
7. Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents. Guidelines for the use of antiretroviral agents in HIV-1-infected adults and adolescents. 2021. Available at: <https://clinicalinfo.hiv.gov/en/guidelines/adult-and-adolescent-arv/whats-new-guidelines>.
8. Insight Start Study Group, Lundgren JD, Babiker AG, et al. Initiation of antiretroviral therapy in early asymptomatic HIV infection. *N Engl J Med.* 2015;373(9):795-807. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26192873>.
9. TEMPRANO ANRS Study Group. A trial of early antiretrovirals and isoniazid preventive therapy in Africa. *N Engl J Med.* 2015;373(9):808-822. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26193126>.
10. Cohen MS, Chen YQ, McCauley M, et al. Antiretroviral therapy for the prevention of HIV-1 transmission. *N Engl J Med.* 2016;375(9):830-839. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27424812>.
11. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. HIV transmission. 2019. Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/basics/transmission.html>.

12. Kreitchmann R, Coelho DF, Kakehasi FM, et al. Long-term postpartum adherence to antiretroviral drugs among women in Latin America. *Int J STD AIDS*. 2016;27(5):377-386. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25931238>.
13. Kaida A, Kanters S, Chaworth-Musters T. Antiretroviral adherence during pregnancy and postpartum among HIV-positive women receiving highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) in British Columbia (BC), Canada (1997-2008). CDB397-CD-ROM. Presented at: International AIDS Society Conference on HIV Pathogenesis, Treatment and Prevention; 2011. Rome, Italy.
14. Mellins CA, Chu C, Malee K, et al. Adherence to antiretroviral treatment among pregnant and postpartum HIV-infected women. *AIDS Care*. 2008;20(8):958-968. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18608073>.
15. Adams JW, Brady KA, Michael YL, Yehia BR, Momplaisir FM. Postpartum engagement in HIV care: an important predictor of long-term retention in care and viral suppression. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2015;61(12):1880-1887. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26265499>.
16. Nachega JB, Uthman OA, Anderson J, et al. Adherence to antiretroviral therapy during and after pregnancy in low-income, middle-income, and high-income countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *AIDS*. 2012;26(16):2039-2052. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22951634>.
17. Momplaisir F, Hussein M, Kacanek D, et al. Perinatal depressive symptoms, human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) suppression, and the underlying role of ART adherence: a longitudinal mediation analysis in the IMPAACT P1025 cohort. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2021. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33982083>.
18. Ross R, Sawatphanit W, Mizuno M, Takeo K. Depressive symptoms among HIV-positive postpartum women in Thailand. *Arch Psychiatr Nurs*. 2011;25(1):36-42. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21251600>.
19. Chibanda D, Mangezi W, Tshimanga M, et al. Postnatal depression by HIV status among women in Zimbabwe. *J Womens Health (Larchmt)*. 2010;19(11):2071-2077. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20849286>.
20. Rubin LH, Cook JA, Grey DD, et al. Perinatal depressive symptoms in HIV-infected versus HIV-uninfected women: a prospective study from preconception to postpartum. *J Womens Health (Larchmt)*. 2011;20(9):1287-1295. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21732738>.
21. Kapetanovic S, Christensen S, Karim R, et al. Correlates of perinatal depression in HIV-infected women. *AIDS Patient Care STDS*. 2009;23(2):101-108. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19196032>.
22. Bonacquisti A, Geller PA, Aaron E. Rates and predictors of prenatal depression in women living with and without HIV. *AIDS Care*. 2014;26(1):100-106. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23750820>.

Counseling and Managing Individuals with HIV in the United States Who Desire to Breastfeed

(Last updated **December 30, 2021**; Last reviewed: **December 30, 2021**)

Panel's Recommendations
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• In the United States, infant formula feeding is a safe alternative to breastfeeding in individuals with HIV. Breastfeeding presents an ongoing risk of HIV exposure after birth, because suppressive maternal antiretroviral therapy significantly reduces but does not eliminate the risk of HIV transmission through breastfeeding. Therefore, breastfeeding is not recommended for individuals with HIV in the United States (AII).• Individuals who have questions about breastfeeding or who desire to breastfeed should receive patient-centered, evidence-based counseling on infant feeding options (AIII).• Individuals with HIV who choose to breastfeed should be supported in risk-reduction measures to minimize the risk of HIV transmission to their infants (BIII).• Clinicians are encouraged to consult the National Perinatal HIV Hotline (1-888-448-8765) if they have questions regarding individuals with HIV who desire to breastfeed (AIII).
<p><i>Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional</i></p> <p><i>Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion</i></p>

The standard recommendation for individuals with HIV in the United States is to avoid breastfeeding, because—

- Maternal antiretroviral therapy (ART) reduces but does not eliminate the risk of HIV transmission via breast milk;
- Safe and affordable infant feeding alternatives are **usually** readily accessible in the United States;
- The postpartum period can be a challenging time to be fully adherent to ART; and
- Safety data on most modern antiretroviral (ARV) regimens during breastfeeding are scarce.

The recommendations for infant feeding by individuals with HIV in the United States differ from those in many low- and middle-income countries where cost limits access to formula, and where inadequate quantities of formula and/or unsafe water mixed into formula are associated with high rates of infant mortality.¹ People in some areas of the United States also may have limited access to safe water **and/or financial constraints to obtaining formula**. Infant replacement feeding using formula (or formula powder mixed with safe water), banked breast milk, or a properly screened HIV-negative surrogate remain the only ways to eliminate the risk of breast milk–associated HIV transmission. However, individuals may face environmental, social, familial, and personal pressures to consider breastfeeding, despite the risk of HIV transmission via breast milk.²⁻⁹ Among 93 U.S. clinicians who provide specialty care to women with HIV and completed a survey, one-third of the providers were aware that

women in their care breastfed their infants after being advised not to do so.¹⁰ A survey of 15 treatment centers in Germany showed that the number of women with HIV who had opted to breastfeed increased between 2009 and 2020.^{11,12}

Qualitative studies of mothers with HIV in Canada found that many factors affected a woman's decision to breastfeed her infant; these included social, cultural, and emotional factors and concerns about HIV-related stigma.⁴ Some women, especially those from a country or cultural background where breastfeeding is the norm, feared that not breastfeeding would lead to disclosure of their HIV status.^{2,8,9} Focus groups held in Canada elucidated the importance of offering the choice to formula feed or breastfeed, especially among women who had immigrated from other countries where they had been encouraged to breastfeed.¹³ Breastfeeding has maternal and infant benefits; thus, an exclusive focus on the risk of perinatal HIV transmission via breastfeeding fails to acknowledge the advantages that may be lost by prohibiting breastfeeding for individuals with HIV. Hence, multiple experts and community organizations have called for a patient-centered, risk-reduction approach to shared decision-making on infant feeding options for women with HIV in high-income countries.^{2,14-18}

This section of the guidelines is intended to provide tools to help providers counsel individuals with HIV on the potential risks of HIV transmission that are associated with breastfeeding and to provide a risk-reduction approach for those who choose to breastfeed, despite intensive counseling. **It is not intended to be an endorsement of breastfeeding, nor to imply that breastfeeding is recommended for individuals with HIV in the United States.**

Breastfeeding and Strategies to Reduce Risk of HIV Transmission

Both the evidence regarding the risk of HIV transmission via breastfeeding and the strategies to reduce this type of transmission come from studies conducted in low- and middle-income countries, where rates of infant mortality are high and many families do not have access to safe water and affordable formula. Without maternal ART and infant ARV prophylaxis, the risk of a breastfeeding infant's acquiring HIV from a mother with HIV is 15% to 20% over 2 years.^{19,20}

Studies have shown that maternal ART throughout pregnancy and breastfeeding as well as infant ARV prophylaxis during breastfeeding can reduce, but not eliminate, the risk of breast milk-associated HIV transmission.²¹⁻²⁵ However, most of these studies provided ARV drugs to women or their infants only through 6 months postpartum and collected limited data on maternal plasma HIV viral load during breastfeeding.

As ART has become more widely available for women during pregnancy and the postpartum period, studies have evaluated HIV transmission during breastfeeding among women who initiated ART earlier in pregnancy and who continued ART longer than women in previous studies. Among more than 500 mothers who were on ART in the Mma Bana study, two cases of HIV transmission via breastfeeding occurred. In these cases, maternal plasma and breast milk HIV RNA levels were <50 copies/mL at 1 month and 3 months postpartum.²⁶ The PROMISE trial, which included more than 2,400 women with CD4 T lymphocyte cell counts ≥ 350 cells/mm³, compared the efficacy of prolonged infant ARV prophylaxis with nevirapine (NVP) to maternal ART in preventing HIV transmission during breastfeeding. Both

treatments continued through cessation of breastfeeding or 18 months postpartum, whichever came first. This study reported estimated transmission rates of 0.3% at 6 months and 0.6% at 12 months in both arms.²⁷ A secondary analysis of the PROMISE trial demonstrated an association between maternal viral load and HIV transmission among mother–baby pairs in the maternal ART arm but not in the infant ARV prophylaxis arm. Two infants in the maternal ART arm acquired HIV despite maternal viral load measured as nondetected or detected but less than 40 copies/mL on the date that the infants’ first samples tested positive for HIV RNA.²⁸ Two cases of HIV transmission during breastfeeding were reported among 186 infants born during a study in Tanzania; the first occurred in the infant of a mother who had a high viral load 1 month after delivery, and the second occurred after a mother discontinued ART. No cases of HIV transmission were reported among infants who were born to virally suppressed mothers who remained in care.²⁹

Prior to the current accessibility of ART in low-income countries, studies demonstrated that exclusive breastfeeding during the first 6 months of life is associated with lower rates of HIV transmission than mixed feeding (a term used to describe infants fed breast milk plus other liquid or solid foods, including formula).^{30,31} After 6 months, when complementary foods are required for adequate infant nutrition, demand for breast milk decreases and gradual weaning can occur. Rapid weaning over several days is not recommended, because increased HIV shedding into breast milk and an increased rate of HIV transmission during rapid weaning were observed in studies from low-income countries that were conducted before ART was widely accessible for breastfeeding women.³²⁻³⁴ Currently, not enough data exist to determine whether exclusive breastfeeding or mixed feeding has an impact on perinatal transmission in the context of effective ART.

Safety of Maternal and Infant Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Breastfeeding

The non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTIs) NVP, efavirenz, and etravirine have been detected in breast milk; however, the levels of these ARV drugs that have been detected in breast milk are lower than those seen in maternal plasma. Among protease inhibitors (PIs), lopinavir (LPV), nelfinavir, ritonavir, indinavir, and atazanavir have been found in very low concentrations in breast milk, with little to no drug detectable in the blood of the breastfed infant.³⁵ Nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs) show more variability than PIs and NNRTIs. Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) concentrations are very low in breast milk, and the drug is undetectable in the blood of the breastfed infant.³⁵⁻³⁷ Emtricitabine and lamivudine (3TC) have more accumulation in breast milk and can sometimes be detected in the blood of the breastfed infant (in 19% and 36% of infants, respectively).³⁵ A sub-analysis of the Breastfeeding, Antiretrovirals, and Nutrition (BAN) study confirmed higher levels of the NRTIs zidovudine (ZDV) and 3TC in breast milk than in plasma, in contrast to NNRTIs and PIs. The study demonstrated that higher drug concentrations in the maternal plasma and breast milk compartments were associated with lower levels of the virus in both compartments and a lower incidence of viral transmission during breastfeeding.³⁸ Data on the transfer of integrase strand transfer inhibitors to breast milk in humans are limited; data do show that dolutegravir is found in breast milk at levels that are about 3% of those seen in maternal plasma.³⁹ For more details on the passage of ARV drugs into breast milk, see the individual drug sections in [Appendix B](#).

A systematic data review showed a decrease in maternal bone mineral content among breastfeeding mothers who were receiving TDF-based ART compared to mothers who received no ART, but whether this condition persisted after discontinuation of breastfeeding was not known.⁴⁰ The clinical significance of the reduced bone mineral density is uncertain. Subsequent studies in Africa have shown TDF-based ART to be associated with a decrease in bone mineral density during lactation. In one study, bone mineral density decline through 74 weeks postpartum was greater in breastfeeding women with HIV receiving TDF than in those receiving ZDV-based ART.⁴¹ A second study comparing bone mineral density in women with HIV receiving TDF-based ART to women without HIV showed accelerated loss during lactation, with only partial recovery by 3 months after cessation of lactation.⁴²

In infants, serious adverse events that are associated with the use of ART by breastfeeding mothers appear to be relatively uncommon. In two studies that compared the efficacy of maternal ART (ZDV-based ART in one study and TDF-based ART in the other) to infant NVP prophylaxis with no maternal ART during breastfeeding for prevention of postnatal HIV transmission, no significant differences in adverse events were observed between study arms.^{22,27} One study reported that anemia occurred more frequently among infants who were exposed to ZDV-based ART during breastfeeding than among infants who were not exposed to ART.⁴³ An infant who acquires HIV while breastfeeding is at risk for developing ARV drug resistance due to subtherapeutic drug levels in breast milk.^{44,45}

Likewise, the rates of serious adverse events among infants who receive extended ARV prophylaxis during breastfeeding are low. In one study, the rate of adverse events in infants receiving 6 months of NVP was not significantly different from the rate in infants receiving placebo. A second study that compared two infant ARV prophylaxis regimens (lopinavir/ritonavir vs. 3TC) found no significant difference between the rates of adverse events among infants receiving the two regimens.^{22-24,27} Studies to date have examined only short-term adverse events, and few data are available on whether there might be long-term consequences of these drug exposures.

Approach to Counseling and Management

Infant formula feeding is a safe alternative to breastfeeding in individuals with HIV, and banked donor breast milk or a properly screened HIV-negative surrogate remain the only completely reliable methods of preventing HIV transmission via breast milk. The Panel on Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission (the Panel) recommends that individuals with HIV in the United States not breastfeed their infants. However, patient-centered counseling on infant feeding must balance maternal psychosocial concerns, the health benefits of breastfeeding for the infant, and the risk of HIV transmission. Similarly, the British HIV guidelines recommend using formula as the safest approach to infant feeding, but they suggest supporting women who opt to breastfeed.¹⁷ Providers should initiate counseling with a nonjudgmental inquiry about infant feeding early in pregnancy, and then engage the mother by offering joint problem-solving and shared decision-making. One approach is to say, “In the United States, we recommend formula feeding to avoid the risk of HIV transmission to your baby through breast milk. Do you have any questions or concerns about this?” For those who are considering breastfeeding, the Panel recommends engaging each individual privately in a nonjudgmental conversation about the motivation behind the desire to breastfeed and potential barriers to formula feeding (e.g., lack of disclosure or cultural issues), as well as consulting with the clinician(s) who will be managing the infant’s

care. Infant feeding intentions should be assessed throughout pregnancy among persons who have expressed interest in or uncertainty about breastfeeding.

If, despite counseling, an individual decides to breastfeed, risk-reduction measures should be taken to reduce the possibility of HIV transmission. Ideally, an individual with HIV who chooses to breastfeed should be adherent to their ARV regimen, should maintain a suppressed viral load during pregnancy, and should be engaged fully in their own care.⁴⁶ Risk-reduction measures may include the following:

- Supporting maternal ART adherence and engagement in care during pregnancy and throughout breastfeeding, as well as identifying [antenatal or postpartum depression](#) early.
- Documenting consistent viral suppression before delivery and throughout breastfeeding. This can be accomplished by monitoring maternal plasma viral loads approximately every 2 months during breastfeeding. Plasma viral loads also should be monitored whenever nonadherence to ART is suspected. If maternal viral load becomes detectable, consult an expert immediately and consider weaning the infant.
- Breastfeeding exclusively for up to 6 months postpartum, followed by breastfeeding in combination with the introduction of complementary foods. However, this recommendation is based on studies of exclusive breastfeeding and nonexclusive breastfeeding that were completed before effective ART was widely available. In the context of maternal ART and viral suppression, it is not known whether infants who need formula supplementation (e.g., for hypoglycemia or inadequate weight gain) are at increased risk of HIV acquisition.
- Developing a plan for weaning with input from the family and providers. Rapid weaning over a few days **is not recommended**, but data on weaning are lacking for infants born to women who are receiving ART and who are virologically suppressed.
- Establishing a plan for infant ARV prophylaxis. Provision of infant ARV prophylaxis beyond the recommended time period of 4 weeks is controversial in breastfeeding infants of mothers receiving ART who are virally suppressed (see [Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection](#)). The most extensively studied ARV prophylaxis in breastfeeding infants is daily NVP, which has been shown to be safe and effective when used for extended prophylaxis in infants whose mothers were not receiving ART.^{22,23,28} However, in the HIV Prevention Trials Network (HPTN) 046 trial, which evaluated different durations of infant NVP prophylaxis during breastfeeding among mothers who were receiving suppressive ART, no difference was observed in postnatal HIV transmission between infants receiving extended NVP prophylaxis and those receiving placebo. This suggests a lack of benefit of extended infant ARV prophylaxis if the mother is on ART and virally suppressed.²³ Despite the lack of data to support treating both the mother and infant during breastfeeding, some experts feel more comfortable continuing infant ARV prophylaxis (using NVP) during breastfeeding and for 1 week to 4 weeks after weaning, even when the mother is receiving suppressive ART.⁴⁷
- Monitoring the infant for HIV acquisition during breastfeeding and for a period of time after cessation of breastfeeding.⁴⁸ A proposed approach to infant monitoring would include virologic HIV testing at the standard time points (birth, 14–21 days, 1–2 months, and 4–6 months), and then every 3 months throughout breastfeeding, followed by

monitoring at 4 to 6 weeks, 3 months, and 6 months after cessation of breastfeeding (see the Breastfeeding subsection in [Diagnosis of HIV in Infants and Children](#)).

- Promptly identifying and treating maternal mastitis and infant thrush. Both conditions increase the risk of HIV transmission through breastfeeding.⁴⁹⁻⁵¹ Experts in the United States recommend that milk from the affected breast be pumped and discarded until mastitis resolves.

In the unlikely event of HIV transmission via breastfeeding, prompt initiation of a full ARV regimen for the infant is recommended (see [What to Start](#) in the Guidelines for the Use of Antiretroviral Agents in Pediatric HIV Infection). Drug-resistance testing should be done on the infant's viral isolate. If resistance is identified, the ARV regimen can be adjusted appropriately.

The immediate postpartum period poses unique challenges to adherence to medical care and ART. Although it has been shown that people with undetectable viral loads cannot transmit HIV through sexual contact, not enough data exist currently to say the same for transmission through breastfeeding. Many questions remain as to the mechanism for breast milk–associated HIV transmission in the cases where it has occurred. HIV RNA in cell-free breast milk may be controlled with ART, but cell-associated HIV (usually measured by HIV DNA) may provide a latent reservoir of HIV that is capable of causing perinatal transmission via breastfeeding, even among women on ART.⁵²⁻⁵⁴ Close follow-up and enhanced support services should be considered for people who are planning to breastfeed (see [Postpartum Follow-Up of People with HIV](#)). Clinicians who are caring for an individual with HIV who is considering breastfeeding should consult with an expert and feel free to call the [National Perinatal HIV Hotline](#) (1-888-448-8765).

References

1. World Health Organization. Guideline: updates on HIV and infant feeding: the duration of breastfeeding, and support from health services to improve feeding practices among mothers living with HIV. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2016. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27583316>
2. Yudin MH, Kennedy VL, MacGillivray SJ. HIV and infant feeding in resource-rich settings: considering the clinical significance of a complicated dilemma. *AIDS Care*. 2016;28(8):1023-1026. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26881474>.
3. Levison J, Weber S, Cohan D. Breastfeeding and HIV-infected women in the United States: harm reduction counseling strategies. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2014;59(2):304-309. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24771330>.
4. Greene S, Ion A, Elston D, et al. “Why aren’t you breastfeeding?”: how mothers living with HIV talk about infant feeding in a “breast is best” world. *Health Care Women Int*. 2015;36(8):883-901. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24527767>.
5. Tariq S, Elford J, Tookey P, et al. “It pains me because as a woman you have to breastfeed your baby”: decision-making about infant feeding among African women living with HIV in the UK. *Sex Transm Infect*. 2016;92(5):331-336. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26757986>.
6. Gross MS, Taylor HA, Tomori C, Coleman JS. Breastfeeding with HIV: an evidence-based case for new policy. *J Law Med Ethics*. 2019;47(1):152-160. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30994076>.
7. Freeman-Romilly N, Nyatsanza F, Namiba A, Lyall H. Moving closer to what women want? A review of breastfeeding and women living with HIV in the U.K. and high-income countries. *HIV Med*. 2020;21(1):1-8. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31825556>.
8. Etowa J, Babatunde S, Hannan J, et al. Motherhood among Black women living with HIV: a “north-south” comparison of sociocultural and psychological factors. *Health Care Women Int*. 2021;42(3):304-322. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33600277>.
9. Etowa J, Nare H, Kakuru DM, Etowa EB. Psychosocial experiences of HIV-positive women of African descent in the cultural context of infant feeding: a three-country comparative analyses. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2020;17(19). Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33003622>.
10. Tuthill EL, Tomori C, Van Natta M, Coleman JS. “In the United States, we say, ‘no breastfeeding,’ but that is no longer realistic”: provider perspectives towards infant feeding among women living with HIV in the United States. *J Int AIDS Soc*. 2019;22(1):e25224. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30657639>.

11. Haberl L, Audebert F, Feiterna-Sperling C, et al. Not recommended, but done: breastfeeding with HIV in Germany. *AIDS Patient Care STDS*. 2021;35(2):33-38. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33571048>.
12. Yusuf HE, Knott-Grasso MA, Anderson J, et al. Experience and outcomes of breastfed infants of women living with HIV in the United States: findings from a single-center breastfeeding support initiative. *J Pediatric Infect Dis Soc*. 2021. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34888664>.
13. Khan S, Kennedy VL, Loutfy M, et al. “It’s not easy”: infant feeding in the context of HIV in a resource-rich setting: strengths, challenges and choices, a qualitative study. *J Assoc Nurses AIDS Care*. 2021;32(1):105-114. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33177433>.
14. Morrison P, Israel-Ballard K, Greiner T. Informed choice in infant feeding decisions can be supported for HIV-infected women even in industrialized countries. *AIDS*. 2011;25(15):1807-1811. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21811145>.
15. Johnson G, Levison J, Malek J. Should providers discuss breastfeeding with women living with HIV in high-income countries? an ethical analysis. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2016;63(10):1368-1372. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27572099>.
16. Kahlert C, Aebi-Popp K, Bernasconi E, et al. Is breastfeeding an equipoise option in effectively treated HIV-infected mothers in a high-income setting? *Swiss Med Wkly*. 2018;148:w14648. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30044473>.
17. British HIV Association. British HIV Association guidelines for the management of HIV in pregnancy and postpartum 2018 (2020 third interim update). 2020. Available at: <https://www.bhiva.org/file/5f1aab1ab9aba/BHIVA-Pregnancy-guidelines-2020-3rd-interim-update.pdf>.
18. Gostin LO, Kavanagh MM. The ethics of breastfeeding by women living with HIV/AIDS: a concrete proposal for reforming Department of Health and Human Services recommendations. *J Law Med Ethics*. 2019;47(1):161-164. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30994078>.
19. Nduati R, John G, Mbori-Ngacha D, et al. Effect of breastfeeding and formula feeding on transmission of HIV-1: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2000;283(9):1167-1174. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10703779>.
20. World Health Organization. HIV transmission through breastfeeding: a review of available evidence; 2007 update. 2008. Available at: http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/43879/1/9789241596596_eng.pdf.
21. White AB, Mirjahangir JF, Horvath H, Anglemeyer A, Read JS. Antiretroviral interventions for preventing breast milk transmission of HIV. *Cochrane Database*

- Syst Rev.* 2014(10):CD011323. Available at:
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25280769>.
22. Chasela CS, Hudgens MG, Jamieson DJ, et al. Maternal or infant antiretroviral drugs to reduce HIV-1 transmission. *N Engl J Med.* 2010;362(24):2271-2281. Available at:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20554982>.
 23. Coovadia HM, Brown ER, Fowler MG, et al. Efficacy and safety of an extended nevirapine regimen in infant children of breastfeeding mothers with HIV-1 infection for prevention of postnatal HIV-1 transmission (HPTN 046): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet.* 2012;379(9812):221-228. Available at:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22196945>.
 24. Nagot N, Kankasa C, Tumwine JK, et al. Extended pre-exposure prophylaxis with lopinavir-ritonavir versus lamivudine to prevent HIV-1 transmission through breastfeeding up to 50 weeks in infants in Africa (ANRS 12174): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet.* 2016;387(10018):566-573. Available at:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26603917>.
 25. Kesho Bora Study Group, de Vincenzi I. Triple antiretroviral compared with zidovudine and single-dose nevirapine prophylaxis during pregnancy and breastfeeding for prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 (Kesho Bora study): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2011;11(3):171-180. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21237718>.
 26. Shapiro RL, Hughes MD, Ogwu A, et al. Antiretroviral regimens in pregnancy and breast-feeding in Botswana. *N Engl J Med.* 2010;362(24):2282-2294. Available at:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20554983>.
 27. Flynn PM, Taha TE, Cababasay M, et al. Prevention of HIV-1 transmission through breastfeeding: efficacy and safety of maternal antiretroviral therapy versus Infant nevirapine prophylaxis for duration of breastfeeding in HIV-1-infected women with high CD4 cell count (impaact promise): a randomized, open label, clinical trial. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr.* 2017;77(4):383-392. Available at:
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29239901>.
 28. Flynn PM, Taha TE, Cababasay M, et al. Association of maternal viral load and CD4 count with perinatal HIV-1 transmission risk during breastfeeding in the PROMISE Postpartum component. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr.* 2021;88(2):206-213. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34108383>.
 29. Luoga E, Vanobberghen F, Bircher R, et al. Brief report: no HIV transmission from virally suppressed mothers during breastfeeding in rural Tanzania. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr.* 2018;79(1):e17-e20. Available at:
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29781882>.
 30. Coovadia HM, Rollins NC, Bland RM, et al. Mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 infection during exclusive breastfeeding in the first 6 months of life: an intervention cohort study. *Lancet.* 2007;369(9567):1107-1116. Available at:
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17398310>.

31. Coutsooudis A, Pillay K, Spooner E, Kuhn L, Coovadia HM. Influence of infant-feeding patterns on early mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 in Durban, South Africa: a prospective cohort study. South African vitamin A study group. *Lancet*. 1999;354(9177):471-476. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10465172>.
32. Kuhn L, Aldrovandi GM, Sinkala M, et al. Effects of early, abrupt weaning on HIV-free survival of children in Zambia. *N Engl J Med*. 2008;359(2):130-141. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18525036>.
33. Thea DM, Aldrovandi G, Kankasa C, et al. Post-weaning breast milk HIV-1 viral load, blood prolactin levels and breast milk volume. *AIDS*. 2006;20(11):1539-1547. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16847409>.
34. Kuhn L, Kim HY, Walter J, et al. HIV-1 concentrations in human breast milk before and after weaning. *Sci Transl Med*. 2013;5(181):181ra151. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23596203>.
35. Waitt C, Olagunju A, Nakalema S, et al. Plasma and breast milk pharmacokinetics of emtricitabine, tenofovir and lamivudine using dried blood and breast milk spots in nursing African mother-infant pairs. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2018;73(4):1013-1019. Available at <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29309634>.
36. Mugwanya KK, Hendrix CW, Mugo NR, et al. Pre-exposure prophylaxis use by breastfeeding HIV-uninfected women: a prospective short-term study of antiretroviral excretion in breast milk and infant absorption. *PLoS Med*. 2016;13(9):e1002132. Available at <https://www.pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27676257>.
37. Palombi L, Pirillo MF, Marchei E, et al. Concentrations of tenofovir, lamivudine and efavirenz in mothers and children enrolled under the option B-plus approach in Malawi. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2016;71(4):1027-1030. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26679247>.
38. Davis NL, Corbett A, Kaullen J, et al. Antiretroviral drug concentrations in breastmilk, maternal HIV viral load, and HIV transmission to the infant: results from the BAN Study. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2019;80(4):467-473. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30570527>.
39. Waitt C, Orrell C, Walimbwa S, et al. Safety and pharmacokinetics of dolutegravir in pregnant mothers with HIV infection and their neonates: A randomised trial (DOLPHIN-1 study). *PLoS Med*. 2019;16(9):e1002895. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31539371>.
40. Mofenson LM, Baggaley RC, Mameletzis I. Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate safety for women and their infants during pregnancy and breastfeeding. *Aids*. 2017;31(2):213-232. Available at <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27831952>.
41. **Stranix-Chibanda L, Tierney C, Sebikari D, et al. Impact of postpartum tenofovir-based antiretroviral therapy on bone mineral density in breastfeeding women with**

- HIV enrolled in a randomized clinical trial. *PLoS One*. 2021;16(2):e0246272. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33544759>.
42. Nabwire F, Prentice A, Hamill MM, et al. Changes in bone mineral density during and after lactation in Ugandan women with HIV on tenofovir-based antiretroviral therapy. *J Bone Miner Res*. 2020;35(11):2091-2102. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32573842>.
 43. Dryden-Peterson S, Shapiro RL, Hughes MD, et al. Increased risk of severe infant anemia after exposure to maternal HAART, Botswana. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2011;56(5):428-436. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21266910>.
 44. Fogel J, Li Q, Taha TE, et al. Initiation of antiretroviral treatment in women after delivery can induce multiclass drug resistance in breastfeeding HIV-infected infants. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2011;52(8):1069-1076. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21460326>.
 45. Zeh C, Weidle PJ, Nafisa L, et al. HIV-1 drug resistance emergence among breastfeeding infants born to HIV-infected mothers during a single-arm trial of triple-antiretroviral prophylaxis for prevention of mother-to-child transmission: a secondary analysis. *PLoS Med*. 2011;8(3):e1000430. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21468304>.
 46. Jao J. Antiretroviral class therapy and gestational weight gain: results from PHACS SMARTT. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections; 2021. Virtual Conference.
 47. Van de Perre P, Kankasa C, Nagot N, et al. Pre-exposure prophylaxis for infants exposed to HIV through breast feeding. *BMJ*. 2017;356:j1053. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28279960>.
 48. Strehlau R, Paximadis M, Patel F, et al. HIV diagnostic challenges in breast-fed infants of mothers on antiretroviral therapy. *AIDS*. 2019;33(11):1751-1756. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31149944>.
 49. Semba RD. Mastitis and transmission of human immunodeficiency virus through breast milk. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*. 2000;918:156-162. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11131699>.
 50. Kantarci S, Koulinska IN, Aboud S, Fawzi WW, Villamor E. Subclinical mastitis, cell-associated HIV-1 shedding in breast milk, and breast-feeding transmission of HIV-1. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2007;46(5):651-654. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18043320>.
 51. Semrau K, Kuhn L, Brooks DR, et al. Dynamics of breast milk HIV-1 RNA with unilateral mastitis or abscess. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2013;62(3):348-355. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23202812>.
 52. Waitt C, Low N, Van de Perre P, Lyons F, Loutfy M, Aebi-Popp K. Does U=U for breastfeeding mothers and infants? breastfeeding by mothers on effective treatment

- for HIV infection in high-income settings. *Lancet HIV*. 2018;5(9):e531-e536. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29960731>.
53. Shapiro RL, Holland DT, Capparelli E, et al. Antiretroviral concentrations in breast-feeding infants of women in Botswana receiving antiretroviral treatment. *J Infect Dis*. 2005;192(5):720-727. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16088821>.
 54. Lehman DA, Chung MH, John-Stewart GC, et al. HIV-1 persists in breast milk cells despite antiretroviral treatment to prevent mother-to-child transmission. *AIDS*. 2008;22(12):1475-1485. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18614871>.

Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Panel's Recommendations

- All newborns who were exposed perinatally to HIV should receive postpartum antiretroviral (ARV) drugs to reduce the risk of perinatal transmission of HIV **(AI)**.
- Newborn ARV regimens administered at doses that are appropriate for the infant's gestational age should be initiated as close to the time of birth as possible, preferably within 6 hours of delivery **(AII)**.
- A newborn's ARV regimen should be determined based on maternal and infant factors that influence the risk of perinatal transmission of HIV **(AII)**. The uses of ARV regimens in newborns include the following:
 - **ARV Prophylaxis:** The administration of one or more ARV drugs to a newborn without documented HIV infection to reduce the risk of perinatal acquisition of HIV.
 - **Presumptive HIV Therapy:** The administration of a three-drug ARV regimen to newborns who are at highest risk of perinatal acquisition of HIV. Presumptive HIV therapy is intended to be preliminary treatment for a newborn who is later documented to have HIV, but it also serves as prophylaxis against HIV acquisition for those newborns who are exposed to HIV *in utero*, during the birthing process, or during breastfeeding and who do not acquire HIV.
 - **HIV Therapy:** The administration of a three-drug ARV regimen at treatment doses (called antiretroviral therapy [ART]) to newborns with documented HIV infection (see [Diagnosis of HIV Infection in Infants and Children](#)).
- A 4-week zidovudine (ZDV) ARV prophylaxis regimen can be used in newborns whose mothers received ART during pregnancy and had viral suppression **within 4 weeks prior to** delivery (defined as a confirmed HIV RNA level <50 copies/mL) and for whom maternal adherence is not of concern **(BII)**.
- Newborns at high risk of perinatal acquisition of HIV should begin presumptive HIV therapy (see Table 9 for recommended regimens). Newborns at high risk of HIV acquisition include those born to people with HIV who—
 - Have not received antepartum ARV drugs **(AI)**, *or*
 - Have received only intrapartum ARV drugs **(AI)**, *or*
 - Have received antepartum ARV drugs but who did not achieve viral suppression (defined as a confirmed HIV RNA level <50 copies/mL) **within 4 weeks of delivery** **(AII)**, *or*
 - Have primary or acute HIV infection during pregnancy **(AII)**.
- Presumptive HIV therapy should be administered to infants of mothers who have primary or acute HIV infection while breastfeeding **(AII)**.
- If a patient presents with unknown HIV status and has a positive expedited HIV test during labor or shortly after delivery, the infant should begin presumptive HIV therapy **(AII)**. If supplemental maternal testing is negative, the infant's ARV regimen should be discontinued **(AII)**.
- For newborns with HIV infection, ART should be initiated **(AI)**.
- The use of ARV drugs other than ZDV, lamivudine, and nevirapine cannot be recommended for any indication in premature newborns (<37 weeks gestational age) because of the lack of dosing and safety data **(BII)**.
- Providers with questions about ARV management of perinatal HIV exposure should consult the [National Perinatal HIV Hotline](#) (1-888-448-8765), which provides free clinical consultation on all aspects of perinatal HIV, including newborn care **(AIII)**.

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

General Considerations for Antiretroviral Management of Newborns Exposed to HIV or Born with HIV

All newborns with perinatal exposure to HIV should receive antiretroviral (ARV) drugs during the neonatal period to reduce the risk of perinatal HIV transmission, with selection of the appropriate ARV regimen guided by the level of transmission risk. HIV transmission can occur *in utero*, intrapartum, or during breastfeeding.

Maternal viral load is the most important risk factor for HIV transmission to a newborn. Newborns are at an increased risk for transmission when their mothers do not receive antiretroviral therapy (ART) during pregnancy, when mothers start antepartum treatment late in pregnancy, or when antepartum treatment does not result in viral suppression (defined as a confirmed HIV RNA level <50 copies/mL). Higher maternal viral load, especially in late pregnancy, correlates with higher risk of transmission. A spectrum of transmission risk depends on these and other maternal and infant factors, including mode of delivery, gestational age at delivery, and maternal health status.

Historically, the use of ARV drugs in the newborn period was referred to as ARV prophylaxis because it primarily focused on protection against newborn perinatal acquisition of HIV. More recently, clinicians have begun to identify newborns at highest risk for HIV acquisition and initiate three-drug ARV regimens as presumptive treatment of HIV. In this section, the following terms will be used:

- **ARV Prophylaxis:** The administration of ARV drugs to a newborn without documented HIV infection to reduce the risk of HIV acquisition. ARV prophylaxis includes administration of a single agent—usually zidovudine (ZDV)—as well as combinations of two or three ARV drugs.
- **Presumptive HIV Therapy:** The administration of a three-drug ARV regimen to newborns at highest risk of HIV acquisition. Presumptive HIV therapy is intended to be early treatment for a newborn who is later documented to have acquired HIV, but it also serves as ARV prophylaxis against HIV acquisition for those newborns who are exposed to HIV *in utero*, during the birthing process, or during breastfeeding and who do not acquire HIV.
- **HIV Therapy:** The administration of a three-drug ARV regimen to newborns with documented HIV infection (see [Diagnosis of HIV Infection in Infants and Children](#)).

The terms ARV prophylaxis and presumptive HIV therapy describe the clinician's intent when prescribing ARV drugs, which may lead to an overlap between these two terms. For example, a presumptive HIV therapy regimen also provides ARV prophylaxis for a newborn. However, two-drug (or sometimes three-drug) ARV prophylaxis regimens, notably those that use prophylactic doses rather than therapeutic doses of nevirapine (NVP), are not considered presumptive HIV therapy.

The interval during which newborn ARV prophylaxis or presumptive HIV therapy can be initiated and still be beneficial is undefined; however, most studies support providing ARV drugs as early as possible after delivery.¹⁻⁶

Table 8 provides an overview of neonatal ARV management recommendations according to the risk of perinatal HIV transmission to the newborn, and Table 9 summarizes the recommendations for ARV drug dosing in newborns. Additional information about dose selection for newborns, including premature infants (<37 weeks' gestational age), can be found in the [Pediatric Antiretroviral Guidelines](#). Information about infants born to people with HIV-2 infection is available in [HIV-2 Infection and Pregnancy](#) and Table 8. In addition, the [National Perinatal HIV Hotline](#) (1-888-448-8765) is a federally funded service that provides free clinical consultation on difficult cases to providers who are caring for pregnant people with HIV and their newborns, and consultants can provide referrals to local or regional pediatric HIV specialists.

Table 8. Neonatal Antiretroviral Management According to Risk of HIV Infection in the Newborn

Drug selection and dosing considerations are related to the age and gestational age of the newborn. Consultation is available through the [National Perinatal HIV Hotline](#) (1-888-448-8765).

Level of Perinatal HIV Transmission Risk	Description	Neonatal ARV Management
Low Risk of Perinatal HIV Transmission	Mothers who received ART during pregnancy with viral suppression (defined as a confirmed HIV RNA level <50 copies/mL) within 4 weeks prior to delivery and no concerns related to adherence	ZDV for 4 weeks ^a
High Risk of Perinatal HIV Transmission ^{a,b}	Mothers who did not receive antepartum ARV drugs Mothers who received only intrapartum ARV drugs Mothers who received antepartum ARV drugs but did not have viral suppression (defined as a confirmed HIV RNA level <50 copies/mL) within 4 weeks prior to delivery Mothers with acute or primary HIV infection during pregnancy or breastfeeding (in which case, the mother should immediately discontinue breastfeeding) ^c	Presumptive HIV therapy using either ZDV, 3TC, and NVP (treatment dose) <i>or</i> ZDV, 3TC, and RAL administered from birth up to 6 weeks ^d
Presumed Newborn HIV Exposure	Mothers with unconfirmed HIV status who have at least one positive HIV test at delivery or postpartum <i>or</i>	ARV management as described above for newborns with a high risk of perinatal HIV transmission Infant ARV drugs should be discontinued immediately if supplemental testing confirms that the mother does not have HIV.

	Mothers whose newborns have a positive HIV antibody test	
Newborn with HIV ^e	Positive newborn HIV virologic test/NAT	Three-drug ARV regimen using treatment doses. Refer to the What to Start in the Pediatric Antiretroviral Guidelines for specific treatment recommendations.

^a ZDV prophylaxis regimen is recommended for infants born to mothers with HIV-2 mono-infection, see [HIV-2 Infection and Pregnancy](#). If the mother has HIV-1 and HIV-2 infection, the infant ARV regimen should be based on the determination of low or high risk of HIV-1 transmission as described in the above table. Because HIV-2 is not susceptible to NVP, RAL should be considered **for infants at high risk of perinatal HIV-2 transmission**. See text for evidence that supports the use of presumptive HIV therapy and a two-drug ARV prophylaxis regimen.

^b See [Intrapartum Care for People with HIV](#) for guidance on indications for scheduled cesarean delivery and intrapartum intravenous ZDV to reduce the risk of perinatal HIV transmission for mothers with an elevated viral load at delivery.

^c Most Panel members would opt to administer presumptive HIV therapy to infants whose mothers had acute HIV during pregnancy because of the higher risk for *in utero* transmission. If acute HIV is diagnosed during breastfeeding, the mother should immediately discontinue breastfeeding.

^d The optimal duration of presumptive HIV therapy in newborns who are at a high risk for perinatal HIV transmission is unknown. If possible, newborns who are at a high risk for HIV acquisition should receive ZDV for 6 weeks. Additional medications—such as 3TC, RAL, or NVP—may need to be administered for 2 to 6 weeks; the recommended duration for these drugs varies depending on **infant** HIV NAT results, maternal viral load at the time of delivery, and additional risk factors for HIV transmission. Consultation with an expert in pediatric HIV is recommended when selecting a therapy duration because this decision should be based on case-specific risk factors and interim **infant** HIV NAT results. The two-drug regimen used in the *Eunice Kennedy Shriver* National Institute of Child Health and Human Development–HIV Prevention Trials Network (HPTN) 040/Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group (PACTG) 1043 for infants who were at a high risk for HIV acquisition is described in the text (see the Two-Drug Antiretroviral Prophylaxis section).

^e Infant ART should be initiated without waiting for the results of confirmatory HIV NAT testing, given the low likelihood of a false-positive HIV NAT. **However, the specimen for confirmatory HIV testing should be obtained prior to ART initiation.**

Note: ARV drugs should be initiated as close to the time of birth as possible, preferably within 6 hours of delivery. See Table 9 for dosing specifics.

Key: 3TC = lamivudine; ART = antiretroviral therapy; ARV = antiretroviral; NAT = nucleic acid test; NVP = nevirapine; Panel = Panel on Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission; RAL = raltegravir; ZDV = zidovudine

Table 9. Antiretroviral Drug Dosing Recommendations for Newborns^a

Newborns at Low Risk of Perinatal HIV Transmission	
Recommended Regimen	Recommended Duration
ZDV	ZDV administered for 4 weeks at the doses listed below.
Newborns at High Risk of Perinatal HIV Transmission	
Recommended Regimen	Recommended Duration
Three-drug HIV therapy: ZDV plus 3TC plus (NVP or RAL)	ZDV administered for 6 weeks, with no increase to the 12-mg/kg dose unless the infant has confirmed HIV infection (see ZDV dosing recommendations below). Dosing for 3TC, NVP, and RAL is described below.
Newborns with HIV Infection	
Recommended Regimen	Lifelong Duration Recommended ^b
Refer to Pediatric Antiretroviral Guidelines for specific treatment recommendations.	Lifelong therapy in accordance with current treatment guidelines. The ARV regimen should be individualized based on the infant's age and clinical determinants. Refer to the Pediatric Antiretroviral Guidelines for specific treatment recommendations.

Drug	Drug Doses by Gestational Age at Birth								
<p>ZDV</p> <p>Note: For newborns who are unable to tolerate oral agents, the IV dose is 75% of the oral dose while maintaining the same dosing interval.</p>	<p>≥35 Weeks' Gestation at Birth</p> <p><i>Birth to Age 4 Weeks:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ZDV 4 mg/kg per dose orally twice daily <p><i>Age >4 Weeks:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ZDV 12 mg/kg per dose orally twice daily; only make this dose increase for infants with confirmed HIV infection. <p>Simplified Weight-Band Dosing for Newborns Aged ≥35 Weeks' Gestation from Birth to 4 Weeks</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Weight Band</th> <th>Volume of ZDV 10 mg/mL Oral Syrup Twice Daily</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>2 to <3 kg</td> <td>1 mL</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 to <4 kg</td> <td>1.5 mL</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 to <5 kg</td> <td>2 mL</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Weight Band	Volume of ZDV 10 mg/mL Oral Syrup Twice Daily	2 to <3 kg	1 mL	3 to <4 kg	1.5 mL	4 to <5 kg	2 mL
	Weight Band	Volume of ZDV 10 mg/mL Oral Syrup Twice Daily							
	2 to <3 kg	1 mL							
	3 to <4 kg	1.5 mL							
	4 to <5 kg	2 mL							
<p>≥30 to <35 Weeks' Gestation at Birth</p> <p><i>Birth to Age 2 Weeks</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ZDV 2 mg/kg per dose orally twice daily <p><i>Age 2 Weeks to 6 to 8 Weeks</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ZDV 3 mg/kg per dose orally twice daily 									

	<p><i>Age >6 to 8 Weeks</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ZDV 12 mg/kg per dose orally twice daily; make this dose increase only for infants with confirmed HIV infection. <hr/> <p><30 Weeks' Gestation at Birth</p> <p><i>Birth to Age 4 Weeks</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ZDV 2 mg/kg per dose orally twice daily <p><i>Age 4 to 8 to 10 Weeks</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ZDV 3 mg/kg per dose orally twice daily <p><i>Age >8 to 10 Weeks</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ZDV 12 mg/kg per dose orally twice daily; only make this dose increase for infants with confirmed HIV infection
<p>ABC^c</p> <p>Note: ABC is not approved by the FDA for use in neonates and infants aged <1 month. However, dosing recommendations have been modeled using PK simulation.</p>	<p>≥37 Weeks' Gestation at Birth</p> <p><i>Birth to 1 Month:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ABC 2 mg/kg per dose orally twice daily <p><i>Age 1 Month to <3 Months:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ABC 4 mg/kg per dose orally twice daily
<p>3TC</p>	<p>≥32 Weeks' Gestation at Birth</p> <p><i>Birth to Age 4 Weeks</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3TC 2 mg/kg per dose orally twice daily <p><i>Age >4 Weeks</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3TC 4 mg/kg per dose orally twice daily
<p>NVP^d</p>	<p>≥37 Weeks' Gestation at Birth</p> <p><i>Birth to Age 4 Weeks</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NVP 6 mg/kg per dose orally twice daily <p><i>Age >4 Weeks</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NVP 200 mg/m² BSA per dose orally twice daily; only make this dose increase for infants with confirmed HIV infection. <hr/> <p>≥34 to <37 Weeks' Gestation at Birth</p> <p><i>Birth to Age 1 Week</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NVP 4 mg/kg per dose orally twice daily <p><i>Age 1 to 4 Weeks</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NVP 6 mg/kg per dose orally twice daily <p><i>Age >4 Weeks</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NVP 200 mg/m² BSA per dose orally twice daily; only make this dose increase for infants with confirmed HIV infection. <hr/> <p>≥32 to <34 Weeks' Gestation at Birth</p> <p><i>Birth to Age 2 Weeks</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NVP 2 mg/kg per dose orally twice daily <p><i>Age 2 to 4 Weeks</i></p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NVP 4 mg/kg per dose orally twice daily <p><i>Age 4 to 6 Weeks</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NVP 6 mg/kg per dose orally twice daily <p><i>Age >6 Weeks</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NVP 200 mg/m² BSA per dose orally twice daily; only make this dose increase for infants with confirmed HIV infection. 																										
<p>RAL</p> <p>Note: If the mother has taken RAL 2 to 24 hours prior to delivery, the neonate's first dose of RAL should be delayed until 24 to 48 hours after birth; additional ARV drugs should be started as soon as possible.⁷</p>	<p>≥37 Weeks' Gestation at Birth and Weighing ≥2 kg^e</p> <p><i>Birth to Age 6 Weeks</i></p> <table border="1" data-bbox="464 506 1206 1644"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="464 506 943 642">Body Weight</th> <th data-bbox="943 506 1206 642">Volume (Dose) of RAL 10 mg/mL Suspension</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="464 642 943 741">Birth to 1 Week: Once-Daily Dosing</td> <td data-bbox="943 642 1206 741">Approximately 1.5 mg/kg per dose</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="464 741 943 816">2 to <3 kg</td> <td data-bbox="943 741 1206 816">0.4 mL (4 mg) once daily</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="464 816 943 892">3 to <4 kg</td> <td data-bbox="943 816 1206 892">0.5 mL (5 mg) once daily</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="464 892 943 968">4 to <5 kg</td> <td data-bbox="943 892 1206 968">0.7 mL (7 mg) once daily</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="464 968 943 1066">1 to 4 Weeks: Twice-Daily Dosing</td> <td data-bbox="943 968 1206 1066">Approximately 3 mg/kg per dose</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="464 1066 943 1142">2 to <3 kg</td> <td data-bbox="943 1066 1206 1142">0.8 mL (8 mg) twice daily</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="464 1142 943 1218">3 to <4 kg</td> <td data-bbox="943 1142 1206 1218">1 mL (10 mg) twice daily</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="464 1218 943 1293">4 to <5 kg</td> <td data-bbox="943 1218 1206 1293">1.5 mL (15 mg) twice daily</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="464 1293 943 1392">4 to 6 Weeks: Twice-Daily Dosing</td> <td data-bbox="943 1293 1206 1392">Approximately 6 mg/kg per dose</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="464 1392 943 1467">3 to <4 kg</td> <td data-bbox="943 1392 1206 1467">2.5 mL (25 mg) twice daily</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="464 1467 943 1543">4 to <6 kg</td> <td data-bbox="943 1467 1206 1543">3 mL (30 mg) twice daily</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="464 1543 943 1644">6 to <8 kg</td> <td data-bbox="943 1543 1206 1644">4 mL (40 mg) twice daily</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Body Weight	Volume (Dose) of RAL 10 mg/mL Suspension	Birth to 1 Week: Once-Daily Dosing	Approximately 1.5 mg/kg per dose	2 to <3 kg	0.4 mL (4 mg) once daily	3 to <4 kg	0.5 mL (5 mg) once daily	4 to <5 kg	0.7 mL (7 mg) once daily	1 to 4 Weeks: Twice-Daily Dosing	Approximately 3 mg/kg per dose	2 to <3 kg	0.8 mL (8 mg) twice daily	3 to <4 kg	1 mL (10 mg) twice daily	4 to <5 kg	1.5 mL (15 mg) twice daily	4 to 6 Weeks: Twice-Daily Dosing	Approximately 6 mg/kg per dose	3 to <4 kg	2.5 mL (25 mg) twice daily	4 to <6 kg	3 mL (30 mg) twice daily	6 to <8 kg	4 mL (40 mg) twice daily
Body Weight	Volume (Dose) of RAL 10 mg/mL Suspension																										
Birth to 1 Week: Once-Daily Dosing	Approximately 1.5 mg/kg per dose																										
2 to <3 kg	0.4 mL (4 mg) once daily																										
3 to <4 kg	0.5 mL (5 mg) once daily																										
4 to <5 kg	0.7 mL (7 mg) once daily																										
1 to 4 Weeks: Twice-Daily Dosing	Approximately 3 mg/kg per dose																										
2 to <3 kg	0.8 mL (8 mg) twice daily																										
3 to <4 kg	1 mL (10 mg) twice daily																										
4 to <5 kg	1.5 mL (15 mg) twice daily																										
4 to 6 Weeks: Twice-Daily Dosing	Approximately 6 mg/kg per dose																										
3 to <4 kg	2.5 mL (25 mg) twice daily																										
4 to <6 kg	3 mL (30 mg) twice daily																										
6 to <8 kg	4 mL (40 mg) twice daily																										

^a The optimal duration of presumptive HIV therapy in newborns who are at a high risk for perinatal HIV transmission is unknown. If possible, newborns who are at a high risk for HIV acquisition should receive ZDV for 6 weeks. Additional medications—such as 3TC, RAL, or NVP—may need to be administered for 2 to 6 weeks; the recommended duration for these drugs varies based on **infant** HIV nucleic acid test (NAT) results, maternal viral load at the time of delivery, and additional risk factors for HIV transmission. Consultation with an expert in pediatric HIV is recommended when selecting a therapy duration because this decision should be based on case-specific risk factors and interim **infant** HIV NAT results. The two-drug regimen used in NICHD-HPTN 040/PACTG 1043 for infants who were at a high risk for HIV acquisition is described in the text (see the Two-Drug Antiretroviral Prophylaxis section).

^b For ARV management after the first 6 weeks of life, see the [Pediatric Antiretroviral Guidelines](#).

^c ABC is approved by the FDA for use in children aged ≥ 3 months when administered as part of an ARV regimen. ABC also has been reported to be safe in infants and children ≥ 1 month of age. More recently, an ABC dosing recommendation using PK simulation models has been endorsed by the WHO using weight-band dosing for full-term infants from birth to 1 month of age. See [Abacavir](#) in [Appendix A: Pediatric Antiretroviral Drug Information](#) for additional information about the use of ABC between birth and 1 month of age. At this time, the Panel does not recommend ABC as part of a presumptive HIV therapy regimen. However, in situations where ZDV is not available or the infant has ZDV-associated toxicity, ABC could be considered an alternative to ZDV. This substitution should be considered in circumstances where increased risk of ZDV toxicity may exist, such as in infants with anemia or neutropenia. Because of ABC-associated hypersensitivity, negative testing for HLA-B5701 allele should be confirmed prior to administration of ABC.

^d The NVP doses for infants ≥ 34 to < 37 weeks gestation at birth and infants ≥ 37 weeks gestation at birth are not yet approved by the FDA. The FDA also has not approved a dose of NVP for infants aged < 1 month. The doses for infants ≥ 32 to < 34 weeks gestation at birth are based on modeling and might underestimate potential toxicity in infants of 32 to < 34 weeks gestational age because the doses used to develop the model were lower than the doses now recommended. See the Two-Drug Antiretroviral Prophylaxis section in the text for prophylactic NVP dosing if using the NICHD-HPTN 040/PACTG 1043 prophylaxis regimen. See [Nevirapine](#) in [Appendix A: Pediatric Antiretroviral Drug Information](#) for additional information about dosing.

^e RAL dosing is increased at 1 week and 4 weeks of age because metabolism by UGT1A1 is low at birth and increases rapidly during the next 4 to 6 weeks of life. No dosing information is available for preterm infants or infants weighing < 2 kg at birth. In infants with HIV infection, twice-daily RAL can be replaced with once-daily DTG at ≥ 4 weeks of age (see [Dolutegravir](#) and [What to Start in the Pediatric Antiretroviral Guidelines](#)).

Key: 3TC = lamivudine; ABC = abacavir; ARV = antiretroviral; BSA = body surface area; DTG = dolutegravir; FDA = U.S. Food and Drug Administration; IV = intravenous; NICHD-HPTN 040/PACTG 1043 = *Eunice Kennedy Shriver* National Institute of Child Health and Human Development–HIV Prevention Trials Network 040/Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group 1043; NVP = nevirapine; the Panel = the Panel on Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission; PK = pharmacokinetic; RAL = raltegravir; UGT = uridine diphosphate glucotransferase; WHO = World Health Organization; ZDV = zidovudine

Recommendations for Antiretroviral Drugs in Specific Clinical Situations

In this section and Table 8, the Panel on Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission (the Panel) presents available data and recommendations for management of newborns with documented HIV and newborns born to mothers who—

- Received antepartum ARV drugs and achieved effective viral suppression (defined as a confirmed HIV RNA level <50 copies/mL) within 4 weeks prior to delivery
- Are at high risk for transmitting HIV to their newborns, including mothers who—
 - Did not receive antepartum ARV drugs, *or*
 - Received only intrapartum ARV drugs, *or*
 - Received antepartum ARV drugs but do not have effective viral suppression (defined as a confirmed HIV RNA level <50 copies/mL) within 4 weeks prior to delivery
- Had acute or primary HIV infection during pregnancy or breastfeeding
- Have unknown HIV status
- Have known ARV drug-resistant virus

Newborns Born to Mothers Who Achieved Viral Suppression on Antepartum Antiretroviral Drugs

The risk of HIV acquisition in newborns born to people who received ART during pregnancy and labor and who had undetectable viral load near or at the time of delivery is <1%. In the Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group (PACTG) 076 study, ZDV alone reduced the incidence of perinatal HIV transmission by 66%, and ZDV is recommended as prophylaxis for neonates whose mothers received ART that resulted in consistent viral suppression during pregnancy.⁸ The optimal minimum duration of neonatal ZDV prophylaxis has not been established in clinical trials. A 6-week ZDV regimen was studied in newborns in PACTG 076. However, the evidence that supports a reduced duration of ZDV prophylaxis in infants born to women who were suppressed virologically during pregnancy and at the time of delivery is mounting.⁹⁻¹¹ In the United Kingdom and many other European countries, a 2-week neonatal ZDV prophylaxis regimen is recommended for infants born to women who have a very low risk of HIV transmission. These women have been on ART for longer than 10 weeks and have had at least two documented maternal HIV viral loads <50 copies/mL at least 4 weeks apart and have viral loads <50 copies/mL at or after 36 weeks' gestation. A 4-week course of ZDV is recommended¹² if any of these criteria are not fulfilled but the maternal viral load is <50 copies/mL at or after 36 weeks' gestation. Compared with the 6-week ZDV regimen, 2 to 4 weeks on this regimen has been reported to allow earlier recovery from anemia in otherwise healthy newborns.^{13,14} The Swiss Federal Office of Public Health does not recommend infant ARV prophylaxis for infants of women with regular follow-up, ART use during pregnancy, and where maternal viral load is <50 copies/mL, ideally sustained throughout pregnancy, but at least at the last two consecutive measurements before delivery where viral load testing is performed at least 4 weeks apart and the last viral load is measured after week 36 of pregnancy.¹⁵

Currently, the Panel recommends a 4-week neonatal ZDV prophylaxis regimen for newborns if the mother achieved viral suppression (defined as a confirmed HIV RNA level <50 copies/mL) on ART during pregnancy within 4 weeks of delivery and maternal adherence is not of concern. Some Panel

members are supportive of the shorter 2-week ZDV regimen, as recommended by the British HIV Association and implemented in the United Kingdom and other European countries, in cases where there is very low risk of HIV transmission as defined above. Dosing recommendations for ZDV are available for premature newborns, and an intravenous preparation of ZDV is available. Table 9 shows recommended neonatal ZDV dosing based on gestational age and birthweight.

Newborns Born to Mothers Who Received No Antepartum Antiretroviral Drugs, Who Received Intrapartum Antiretroviral Drugs Only, Who Received Antiretroviral Drugs and Were Not Virally Suppressed Near Delivery, or Who Acquired HIV During Pregnancy or Breastfeeding

The Panel recommends that all newborns born to mothers who do not have viral suppression (defined as a confirmed HIV RNA level <50 copies/mL) within 4 weeks prior to delivery, who received only intrapartum ARV drugs, or who received no ARV drugs during pregnancy are at high risk for HIV acquisition and **should receive presumptive HIV therapy**.^{5,16-21} Primary or acute HIV infection during pregnancy also is associated with an increased risk of perinatal transmission of HIV. Infants born to people who acquired HIV during pregnancy **should receive presumptive HIV therapy** (see [Acute HIV Infection](#)). The experience with these two strategies is described below.

Presumptive HIV Therapy

Early effective treatment of HIV infection in infants restricts the viral reservoir size, reduces HIV genetic variability, and modifies the immune response.²²⁻³⁰ Because of these potential benefits of early ART, the Panel recommends a three-drug ARV presumptive HIV therapy regimen consisting of ZDV, lamivudine (3TC), and either NVP (at treatment dose) or raltegravir (RAL) for newborns at high risk of perinatal acquisition of HIV.

Although no clinical trials have compared the safety and efficacy of presumptive ART with single-drug or two-drug regimens, emerging data suggest that early presumptive HIV therapy has not been associated with serious adverse events. In the International Maternal, Pediatric, Adolescent AIDS Clinical Trials (IMPAACT) P1115, 438 neonates who were at least 34 weeks gestational age at birth and enrolled within 48 hours of birth received a presumptive HIV therapy regimen containing two nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs) (97% received ZDV and 3TC) and NVP dosed at 6 mg/kg twice daily for term neonates (≥ 37 weeks gestational age) or 4 mg/kg twice daily for 1 week and 6 mg/kg twice daily therapy for preterm neonates (34 to <37 weeks gestational age). Among the study participants, 7% reported Division of AIDS (DAIDS) Grade 3 or 4 adverse events at least possibly related to ART. These Grade 3 or 4 events included 6% with neutropenia and 1% with anemia.²¹ The Early Infant Treatment Study in Botswana initiated ART consisting of NVP 6 mg/kg twice daily, ZDV, and 3TC at <7 days gestational age in 40 infants who were ≥ 35 weeks gestational age and ≥ 2 kg at birth with HIV infection. Eighteen percent of these infants had Grade 3 or 4 hematologic toxicity, mostly neutropenia.³¹ Similar findings have been reported from other smaller studies of presumed HIV therapy or early treatment of confirmed HIV infection.³¹⁻³³ In a prospective cohort in Thailand, infants who received a presumptive HIV therapy regimen that contained ZDV, 3TC, and NVP were more likely to have Grade 2 or higher anemia at 1 and 2 months of life compared with infants who received ZDV alone (48.5% vs. 32.3%; $P = 0.02$). However, no difference was found in the incidence of severe anemia (Grade 3) between the two groups.³⁴ Additionally, in a Canadian study, nonspecific signs and symptoms (e.g., vomiting, diarrhea, rash, jitteriness, irritability) that were potentially attributable to medication-related adverse effects were

reported among the newborns who received presumptive HIV therapy but not among those who received ZDV only (10.2% vs. 0%; $P < 0.001$). Infants were more likely to discontinue presumptive HIV therapy prematurely than a regimen of ZDV alone (9.5% vs. 2.1%; $P = 0.01$).³³

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention recommends a three-drug ARV regimen for HIV-postexposure prophylaxis following occupational and nonoccupational HIV exposure. HIV acquisition risk in these circumstances is often lower than for newborns who are at high risk for HIV acquisition.^{35,36} The pharmacokinetic (PK) and safety data of presumptive HIV therapy have provided reassuring evidence for its use in the neonatal period. Although the use of NVP to prevent perinatal HIV transmission has been found to be safe in neonates and newborns of low birthweight, these prophylaxis-dose regimens target trough drug levels that are at least 10-fold lower than targeted therapeutic levels. However, recent studies of therapeutic doses of NVP and RAL have established safe doses that achieve targeted PK parameters.³⁷⁻⁴²

At this time, if a presumptive HIV therapy regimen is required, the Panel recommends using a combination of ZDV, 3TC, and NVP (treatment dose) or ZDV, 3TC, and RAL (see Table 8 and Table 9). The optimal duration of presumptive HIV therapy in newborns at high risk of perinatal HIV transmission is unknown. Some Panel members opt to discontinue additional medications if infant birth nucleic acid test (NAT) results are negative, whereas others would continue presumptive HIV therapy for 2 to 6 weeks depending on the risk of HIV transmission. In all cases, ZDV should be continued for 6 weeks. If HIV infection is confirmed and the infant is receiving NVP, NVP should be replaced with an integrase strand transfer inhibitor or a boosted protease inhibitor. Information about selecting an agent and recommended dosing can be found in [What to Start in the Pediatric Antiretroviral Guidelines](#).

New dosing recommendations for abacavir (ABC) in neonates based on IMPAACT P1106 trial and two observational European and African cohorts are now available from the World Health Organization (WHO). ABC is not approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for use in neonates and infants aged <3 months. However, a 2 mg/kg per dose twice-daily dose has been modeled using PK simulation and is endorsed by WHO using weight-band dosing for full-term infants from birth through 1 month of age. Limited observational data suggested safety of ABC when initiated in neonates <1 month of age (see [Abacavir](#) in the [Pediatric Antiretroviral Guidelines](#)). At this time, the Panel does not recommend ABC as part of a presumptive HIV therapy regimen. However, in situations where ZDV is not available or the infant has ZDV-associated toxicity, ABC could be considered an alternative to ZDV. This substitution should be considered in circumstances where increased risk of ZDV toxicity may exist, such as in infants with anemia or neutropenia. It also is suggested that negative testing for HLA-B5701 allele be confirmed prior to administration of ABC. Consulting an expert in pediatric HIV is recommended when selecting a therapy duration based on case-specific risk factors and interim HIV NAT results.⁴³⁻⁴⁵

Two-Drug Antiretroviral Prophylaxis

To date, the *Eunice Kennedy Shriver* National Institute of Child Health and Human Development–HIV Prevention Trials Network 040/Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group 1043 (NICHD-HPTN 040/PACTG 1043) trial is the only randomized clinical trial of multi-ARV prophylaxis in newborns at high risk of HIV acquisition.⁵ In this study, 1,746 formula-fed infants born to women with HIV who did not receive any ARV drugs during pregnancy were randomized to receive one of three newborn prophylaxis regimens: the standard 6-week ZDV regimen; 6 weeks of ZDV plus three doses of NVP given during the first week of life (first dose given at birth or within 48 hours of birth,

second dose 48 hours after the first dose, and third dose 96 hours after the second dose); and 6 weeks of ZDV plus 2 weeks of 3TC plus nelfinavir (NFV).

Forty-one percent of the mothers received ZDV during labor. The risk of intrapartum transmission was significantly lower in the two-drug and three-drug arms (2.2% and 2.5%, respectively, vs. 4.9% for 6 weeks of ZDV alone; $P = 0.046$ for each experimental arm vs. ZDV alone).⁵ The NICHD-HPTN 040/PACTG 1043 regimen was associated with NRTI resistance in 3 of 53 participants (5.7%) with *in utero* infection who were treated with ZDV alone and in 6 of 33 participants (18.2%) who were treated with ZDV plus NVP ($P > 0.05$). In addition, the third drug in the three-arm regimen was NFV, which has highly variable PKs in this age group and did not reach the NFV target plasma concentration in 46% of study participants.⁴⁶

Although transmission rates with the two regimens were similar, neutropenia was significantly more common with the three-drug regimen than with the two-drug or ZDV-alone regimens (27.5% vs. 14.9% vs. 16.4%; $P < 0.001$ for both comparisons). For newborns who are at a high risk for HIV acquisition, the two-drug regimen used in NICHD-HPTN 040/PACTG 1043 is an option for preventing HIV transmission in infants aged ≥ 32 weeks' gestation with a birthweight of ≥ 1.5 kg. This two-drug regimen consists of 6 weeks of ZDV plus three doses of the prophylactic dose of NVP, with the NVP doses given within 48 hours of birth, 48 hours after the first dose, and 96 hours after the second dose. The prophylactic doses are NVP 12 mg per dose orally for infants weighing > 2 kg and NVP 8 mg per dose orally for infants weighing 1.5 kg to 2 kg. **These are the actual doses, not the milligram per kilogram doses.** ZDV dosing is shown in Table 9.

Choosing Between Presumptive HIV Therapy and Two-Drug Antiretroviral Prophylaxis

Because a spectrum of transmission risk depends on maternal viral load and other maternal and infant factors **and** no randomized trials have compared the safety and efficacy of presumptive HIV therapy and two-drug ARV prophylaxis, experts have differing opinions about when to initiate presumptive HIV therapy and when to initiate two-drug prophylaxis. For instance, among people who received ARV drugs during pregnancy but who have a detectable viral load **within 4 weeks prior to** delivery, the level of maternal viremia that would prompt the use of a two-drug ARV prophylaxis regimen or presumptive HIV therapy is not definitively known.

In two large observational studies of women on combination antenatal ARV drugs, perinatal transmission rates were 0.05% and 0.3% when the mother had a viral load < 50 copies/mL at delivery. Rates of transmission in these studies increased to 1.1% and 1.5 percent when viral load was 50 to 399 copies/mL and 2.8% and 4.1% when viral load was > 400 copies/mL.^{47,48} Although most Panel members would recommend initiating presumptive HIV therapy with any detectable level of viremia **within 4 weeks prior to delivery**, others may opt for a two-drug prophylaxis regimen if maternal viral load was less than 200 to 400 copies/mL. Emerging data about the lack of serious safety issues associated with presumptive HIV therapy in newborns is reassuring, even though mild-to-moderate adverse events may occur more frequently.

In summary, in scenarios where the infant is at high risk for HIV transmission, most Panel members recommend presumptive HIV therapy. In some situations, a two-drug ARV prophylaxis regimen may be considered (see Two-Drug Antiretroviral Prophylaxis in this section). Choosing between these regimens will depend on the clinician's assessment of the likelihood of HIV transmission, and a decision should be made after weighing the risks and benefits of the proposed regimen and discussing these transmission prevention strategies with the parents.

Consulting an expert in pediatric HIV or the [National Perinatal HIV Hotline](https://www.hiv.gov/nphivhotline) (1-888-448-8765) is recommended when selecting a regimen based on case-specific risk factors.

Newborns Born to Mothers with Unknown HIV Status Who Present in Labor

Expedited HIV testing is recommended during labor for people with unknown HIV status; if testing is not performed during labor, it should be performed as soon as possible after birth for the mothers and/or their newborns (see [Maternal HIV Testing and Identification of Perinatal HIV Exposure](#)). Expedited test results should be available within 60 minutes. If maternal or infant expedited testing is positive, the newborn **should begin presumptive HIV therapy immediately** without waiting for the results of supplemental tests. Expedited HIV testing should be available on a 24-hour basis at all facilities with a maternity service and/or neonatal intensive care unit or special care or newborn nursery.

A positive initial test result in mothers or newborns should be presumed to indicate maternal HIV until supplemental testing clarifies maternal and newborn status. If appropriate test results for a mother (or newborn) are negative, newborn ARV drugs can be discontinued. Clinicians should be aware of their state laws because not all states allow HIV testing in infants without parental consent.

A nursing mother who is suspected of having HIV based on an initial positive antibody or antibody/antigen test result should discontinue breastfeeding immediately until HIV is confirmed or ruled out. Pumping and temporarily discarding or freezing breast milk can be recommended. If HIV is ruled out, breastfeeding can resume. If HIV is confirmed, breastfeeding should be discontinued permanently.⁴⁹

Newborns Born to Mothers with Antiretroviral Drug-Resistant Virus

The optimal ARV regimen for newborns born to mothers with ARV drug-resistant virus is unknown. Although some studies have suggested that ARV drug-resistant virus may have decreased replicative capacity (reduced viral fitness) and transmissibility,⁵⁰ perinatal transmission of multidrug-resistant virus does occur.⁵¹⁻⁵⁶ Whether resistant virus in the mother increases the antepartum/intrapartum risk of HIV acquisition by the infant also is unknown. A recently reported secondary analysis of data from the NICHD-HPTN 040/PACTG 1043 study demonstrated that the risk of perinatal transmission was not related to the presence of drug resistance mutations in mothers who had not received ARV drugs before the start of the study (adjusted odds ratio 0.8; 95% confidence interval, 0.4–1.5).⁵⁶ Maraviroc (MVC) was approved recently for infants ≥ 2 kg and may provide an additional treatment option for newborns of mothers carrying multidrug-resistant HIV-1 that remains CCR5-trophic.⁵⁷ However, the lack of data about MVC as prophylaxis or treatment in infants and the risk of drug interactions will limit its role for routine use in neonates. The ARV regimen for newborns born to mothers with known or suspected drug resistance should be determined in consultation with a pediatric HIV specialist before delivery or through consultation via the [National Perinatal HIV Hotline](https://www.hiv.gov/nphivhotline) (1-888-448-8765). Additionally, no evidence exists that shows that neonatal prophylaxis regimens customized based on presence of maternal drug resistance are more effective than standard neonatal prophylaxis regimens.

Newborns with HIV Infection

Until recently, neonatal ARV regimens were designed for prophylaxis against perinatal HIV transmission and were intended to be as simple as possible for practical use. There was little reason

to develop ARV regimens for the treatment of neonates because the long turnaround times to receive HIV NAT results meant that neonatal infections, in general, were not diagnosed during the first weeks of life. HIV NAT results are now available within a few days, and HIV in newborns is being diagnosed as early as the first days of life in many centers. A positive HIV NAT must be repeated to confirm HIV. However, ART initiation should not be delayed while waiting for the results of the confirmatory HIV NAT, given the low likelihood of a false-positive HIV NAT. **A confirmatory specimen should be obtained prior to ART initiation.** To date, evidence that early treatment (before age 2 weeks) will lead conclusively to prolonged remission or better outcomes in newborns with HIV is lacking.

Information regarding the safety of early treatment of HIV in newborns has been reported from two studies. In the IMPAACT P1115 study, 54 infants with HIV began presumptive HIV therapy between 0.4 and 40 hours of life. Grade 3 or 4 related events—most of which were hematologic—occurred in 22 of 54 infants (41%) through 52 weeks of the study.⁵⁸ Forty infants with HIV in Botswana began treatment with NVP plus ZDV plus 3TC at a median age of 2 days (range 1–5 days) and transitioned to lopinavir/ritonavir (LPV/r) plus ZDV plus 3TC at approximately 2 weeks of age. These infants had minimal toxicity during the first 12 weeks of treatment. Only one instance of Grade 3 neutropenia was reported, and no instances of Grade 3 or 4 anemia were reported.³¹

Earlier diagnosis of HIV in newborns and the increasing use of presumptive HIV therapy in newborns at high risk for HIV acquisition have necessitated the investigation of dosing and the safety of ARV drugs in term and preterm newborns. Although data are still incomplete, especially for preterm newborns, PK and safety profiles of ARV drugs are increasingly available. As already noted, the recommended neonatal ARV doses for prophylaxis and for treatment are the same, with the important exception of [NVP](#) (see the [Pediatric Antiretroviral Guidelines](#)).

Sufficient data exist to provide dosing recommendations for the treatment of HIV in neonates using the following medications (see the [Pediatric Antiretroviral Guidelines](#)):

- From birth in term and preterm newborns: [ZDV](#), [3TC](#), [NVP](#)
- From birth in term newborns: [emtricitabine](#), [RAL](#), [MVC](#), [ABC](#)
- From age 2 weeks in term newborns: [LPV/r](#)
- From age 4 weeks in term newborns: [DTG](#)

Dosing recommendations for **premature** newborns are available for ZDV, 3TC, and NVP only. Neonatal dosing advice—including dosing advice for premature newborns—is summarized in Table 9. For more detailed information about neonatal dosing recommendations and considerations when using these drugs, please see the [Pediatric Antiretroviral Guidelines](#). **Consultation with an expert in pediatric HIV is recommended to assist with management of infants born at <32 weeks gestation.**

Newborns of Mothers Who Receive an HIV Diagnosis While Breastfeeding

People with suspected HIV (e.g., a positive initial screening test) should discontinue breastfeeding immediately until HIV is ruled out. Pumping and temporarily discarding or freezing breast milk can be recommended to mothers who are suspected of having HIV but whose HIV serostatus is not yet confirmed and who want to continue to breastfeed. If HIV is ruled out, breastfeeding can resume. Breastfeeding **is not recommended** for people with confirmed HIV in the United States, including

those receiving ART (see [Counseling and Managing Individuals with HIV in the United States Who Desire to Breastfeed](#)).⁵⁹

The risk of HIV acquisition associated with breastfeeding depends on multiple newborn and maternal factors, including maternal viral load and CD4 T lymphocyte (CD4) cell count.⁶⁰ Newborns of people who develop acute HIV while breastfeeding are at greater risk of acquiring HIV than those whose mothers have chronic HIV infection⁶¹ because acute HIV infection is accompanied by a rapid increase in viral load and a corresponding decrease in CD4 count.⁶²

Other than discontinuing breastfeeding, optimal strategies for managing a newborn who was breastfed by a mother with HIV (often because the mother just learned of her own HIV diagnosis) have yet to be defined. Some Panel members would consider the use of postexposure prophylaxis in newborns for 4 to 6 weeks after cessation of breastfeeding. Postexposure prophylaxis, however, is less likely to be effective in this circumstance than with other nonoccupational exposures because the exposure to breast milk is likely to have occurred over a prolonged period rather than during a single exposure to the virus.⁶³

Several studies of newborns who were breastfed by women with chronic HIV infection in low-resource settings have shown that a newborn's daily regimen of NVP, 3TC, LPV/r, or NVP plus ZDV can reduce the risk of postnatal infection during breastfeeding.⁶⁴⁻⁶⁸ See [Counseling and Managing Individuals with HIV in the United States Who Desire to Breastfeed](#) for additional information. No trials have evaluated the use of multidrug regimens to prevent transmission after cessation of breastfeeding in mothers with acute HIV infection.

Given the higher risk of postnatal transmission from a person with acute HIV infection who is breastfeeding, an alternative approach favored by some Panel members is to offer presumptive HIV therapy until the infant's HIV status can be determined. If the infant's initial HIV NAT is negative, the optimal duration of presumptive HIV therapy is unknown. A 28-day course may be reasonable based on current recommendations for nonoccupational HIV exposure.⁶³ When making decisions about ARV management, clinicians should consult a pediatric HIV specialist and counsel the parents on the potential risks and benefits of a particular treatment strategy. The [National Perinatal HIV Hotline](#) (1-888-448-8765) can provide referrals to local or regional pediatric HIV specialists.

Newborns exposed to HIV during breastfeeding should be tested for HIV infection prior to initiating presumptive HIV therapy, as well as 4 to 6 weeks and 4 to 6 months after diagnosis of maternal HIV infection and cessation of breastfeeding. An additional virologic test should be performed 2 to 4 weeks after discontinuing presumptive HIV therapy (see [Diagnosis of HIV Infection in Infants and Children](#)). If an HIV-exposed newborn is already receiving an ARV prophylaxis regimen other than presumptive HIV therapy and is found to have HIV, prophylaxis should be discontinued and treatment for HIV should be initiated. Resistance testing should be performed, and the ART should be modified if needed (see the [Pediatric Antiretroviral Guidelines](#)).

Short-Term Antiretroviral Drug Safety

Newborn prophylaxis with ZDV has been associated with only minimal toxicity, primarily transient hematologic toxicity (mainly anemia), which generally resolves by age 12 weeks (see [Initial Postnatal Management of the Neonate Exposed to HIV](#)). Data on toxicities in newborns who were exposed to multiple ARV drugs are limited.

Other than ZDV, 3TC is the NRTI with the most clinical experience for neonatal prophylaxis. In early studies, neonatal exposure to combination ZDV/3TC therapy was limited, in general, to 1 week^{19,69,70} or 2 weeks.⁵ Six weeks of ZDV/3TC exposure in newborns also has been reported. These studies suggest that hematologic toxicity may be greater with ZDV/3TC than with ZDV alone, although the newborns in these studies also had *in utero* exposure to maternal HIV therapy that may have contributed to the toxicity.

In a French study, more cases of severe anemia and neutropenia were observed in newborns who were exposed to 6 weeks of ZDV/3TC prophylaxis plus maternal antepartum ZDV/3TC than in a historical cohort of newborns who were exposed only to maternal and newborn ZDV. Anemia was reported in 15% of newborns, and neutropenia was reported in 18% of newborns who were exposed to ZDV/3TC, with 2% of newborns requiring blood transfusion and 4% requiring treatment discontinuation for toxicity.⁷¹ Similarly, in a Brazilian study of maternal antepartum ZDV/3TC and 6-week newborn ZDV/3TC prophylaxis, neonatal hematologic toxicity was common, with anemia seen in 69% and neutropenia seen in 13% of newborns.⁷²

Recent data from the IMPAACT P1106 trial and two observational European and African cohorts provided reassuring data on the safety of ABC in infants when initiated at <3 months of age, including infants with weight <3 kg.⁷³⁻⁷⁵ See the [Abacavir](#) section of the [Pediatric Antiretroviral Guidelines](#) for additional information. At this time, the Panel suggests using ABC as an alternative to ZDV in certain situations and after negative HLA-B5701 allele testing.

Experience with other NRTI drugs for neonatal prophylaxis is more limited.^{76,77} Hematologic and mitochondrial toxicity may be more common with exposure to multiple NRTI drugs than with exposure to a single NRTI.^{71,78-81}

In rare cases, chronic multiple-dose NVP prophylaxis in pregnant women has been associated with severe and potentially life-threatening rash and hepatic toxicity.⁸² These toxicities have not been observed in newborns receiving prophylactic dosing with single-dose NVP or the two-drug ZDV regimen plus three doses of NVP in the first week of life used in NICHD-HPTN 040/PACTG 1043 or in breastfeeding newborns receiving NVP prophylaxis daily for 6 weeks to 18 months to prevent transmission of HIV via breast milk.^{5,64-66,68,83}

The FDA approved infant dosing of RAL for term neonates aged ≥ 37 weeks' gestation at birth and weighing ≥ 2 kg. Dosing information for RAL is not available for preterm or low-birthweight infants. PK modeling studies in infants with birthweight <2.5 kg with gestational age at birth ranging from 32.7 to 40 weeks suggests that prematurity reduces RAL clearance, and a modified dosing regimen may be needed to avoid elevated plasma RAL concentrations.⁸⁴ Infant RAL dosing needs to be increased at 1 week and 4 weeks of age. RAL is metabolized by uridine diphosphate glucuronosyltransferase (UGT) 1A1, the same enzyme responsible for the elimination of bilirubin. UGT enzyme activity is low at birth, and RAL elimination is prolonged in neonates. In addition, bilirubin and RAL may compete for albumin binding sites, and extremely elevated neonatal plasma RAL concentrations could pose a risk of kernicterus.⁴⁰ IMPAACT P1110 is a Phase 1, multicenter trial that enrolled full-term neonates who were exposed to HIV and who were at risk for acquiring perinatal HIV-1 infection, with or without *in utero* RAL exposure. Daily RAL was safe and well tolerated during the first 6 weeks of life. Infants were treated for ≤ 6 weeks from birth and followed for 24 weeks. Only one episode of Grade 4 neutropenia, possibly related to RAL, was reported. Among infants with RAL exposure (infants whose mothers received RAL within 2 to 24 hours before

delivery), the first dose of RAL should be delayed for 24 to 48 hours after birth.⁸⁵ See the [Raltegravir](#) section of the [Pediatric Antiretroviral Guidelines](#) for additional information.

References

1. Wade NA, Birkhead GS, Warren BL, et al. Abbreviated regimens of zidovudine prophylaxis and perinatal transmission of the human immunodeficiency virus. *N Engl J Med*. 1998;339(20):1409-1414. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9811915>.
2. Van Rompay KK, Otsyula MG, Marthas ML, Miller CJ, McChesney MB, Pedersen NC. Immediate zidovudine treatment protects simian immunodeficiency virus-infected newborn macaques against rapid onset of AIDS. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 1995;39(1):125-131. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7695293>.
3. Tsai CC, Follis KE, Sabo A, et al. Prevention of SIV infection in macaques by (R)-9-(2-phosphonylmethoxypropyl)adenine. *Science*. 1995;270(5239):1197-1199. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7502044>.
4. Bottiger D, Johansson NG, Samuelsson B, et al. Prevention of simian immunodeficiency virus, SIVsm, or HIV-2 infection in cynomolgus monkeys by pre- and postexposure administration of BEA-005. *AIDS*. 1997;11(2):157-162. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9030361>.
5. Nielsen-Saines K, Watts DH, Veloso VG, et al. Three postpartum antiretroviral regimens to prevent intrapartum HIV infection. *N Engl J Med*. 2012;366(25):2368-2379. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22716975>.
6. Dunn DT, Brandt CD, Krivine A, et al. The sensitivity of HIV-1 DNA polymerase chain reaction in the neonatal period and the relative contributions of intra-uterine and intra-partum transmission. *AIDS*. 1995;9(9):F7-11. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8527070>.
7. Lommerse J, Clarke D, Kerbusch T, et al. Maternal-neonatal raltegravir population pharmacokinetics modeling: implications for initial neonatal dosing. *CPT Pharmacometrics Syst Pharmacol*. 2019;8(9):643-653. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31215170>.
8. Connor EM, Sperling RS, Gelber R, et al. Reduction of maternal-infant transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 with zidovudine treatment. Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group Protocol 076 Study Group. *N Engl J Med*. 1994;331(18):1173-1180. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7935654>.
9. de Ruiter A, Mercey D, Anderson J, et al. British HIV association and children's HIV association guidelines for the management of HIV infection in pregnant women 2008. *HIV Med*. 2008;9(7):452-502. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18840151>.
10. Ferguson W, Goode M, Walsh A, Gavin P, Butler K. Evaluation of 4 weeks' neonatal antiretroviral prophylaxis as a component of a prevention of mother-to-child transmission program in a resource-rich setting. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2011;30(5):408-412. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21266939>.
11. Neubert J, Pfeffer M, Borkhardt A, et al. Risk adapted transmission prophylaxis to prevent vertical HIV-1 transmission: effectiveness and safety of an abbreviated regimen of postnatal

- oral zidovudine. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2013;13:22. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23347580>.
12. British HIV Association. British HIV Association guidelines for the management of HIV in pregnancy and postpartum 2018 (2020 third interim update). 2020. Available at: <https://www.bhiva.org/file/5f1aab1ab9aba/BHIVA-Pregnancy-guidelines-2020-3rd-interim-update.pdf>
 13. Lahoz R, Noguera A, Rovira N, et al. Antiretroviral-related hematologic short-term toxicity in healthy infants: implications of the new neonatal 4-week zidovudine regimen. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2010;29(4):376-379. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19949355>.
 14. Nguyen TTT, Kobbe R, Schulze-Sturm U, et al. Reducing hematologic toxicity with short course postexposure prophylaxis with zidovudine for HIV-1 exposed infants with low transmission risk. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2019;38(7):727-730. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31033907>.
 15. Swiss Federal Office of Public Health. Recommendations of the Swiss Federal Commission for Sexual Health (FCHS) for medical care of HIV-infected women and their offspring. 2018. Available at: <https://www.bag.admin.ch/dam/bag/en/dokumente/mt/p-und-p/richtlinien-empfehlungen/fcsh-mtct-hiv.pdf.download.pdf/fcsh-mtct-hiv.pdf>.
 16. Mofenson LM, Lambert JS, Stiehler ER, et al. Risk factors for perinatal transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 in women treated with zidovudine. Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group Study 185 Team. *N Engl J Med*. 1999;341(6):385-393. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10432323>.
 17. Garcia PM, Kalish LA, Pitt J, et al. Maternal levels of plasma human immunodeficiency virus type 1 RNA and the risk of perinatal transmission. Women and Infants Transmission Study Group. *N Engl J Med*. 1999;341(6):394-402. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10432324>.
 18. Cooper ER, Charurat M, Mofenson L, et al. Combination antiretroviral strategies for the treatment of pregnant HIV-1-infected women and prevention of perinatal HIV-1 transmission. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2002;29(5):484-494. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11981365>.
 19. Petra Study Team. Efficacy of three short-course regimens of zidovudine and lamivudine in preventing early and late transmission of HIV-1 from mother to child in Tanzania, South Africa, and Uganda (Petra study): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2002;359(9313):1178-1186. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11955535>.
 20. Lallemand M, Jourdain G, Le Coeur S, et al. A trial of shortened zidovudine regimens to prevent mother-to-child transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1. Perinatal HIV prevention trial (Thailand) investigators. *N Engl J Med*. 2000;343(14):982-991. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11018164>.

21. Ruel TD, Capparelli EV, Tierney C, et al. Pharmacokinetics and safety of early nevirapine-based antiretroviral therapy for neonates at high risk for perinatal HIV infection: a phase 1/2 proof of concept study. *Lancet HIV*. 2021;8(3):e149-e157. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33242457>.
22. Persaud D, Ray SC, Kajdas J, et al. Slow human immunodeficiency virus type 1 evolution in viral reservoirs in infants treated with effective antiretroviral therapy. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses*. 2007;23(3):381-390. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17411371>.
23. Luzuriaga K, Tabak B, Garber M, et al. HIV type 1 (HIV-1) proviral reservoirs decay continuously under sustained virologic control in HIV-1-infected children who received early treatment. *J Infect Dis*. 2014;210(10):1529-1538. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24850788>.
24. Persaud D, Patel K, Karalius B, et al. Influence of age at virologic control on peripheral blood human immunodeficiency virus reservoir size and serostatus in perinatally infected adolescents. *JAMA Pediatr*. 2014;168(12):1138-1146. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25286283>.
25. Rainwater-Lovett K, Ziemniak C, Watson D, et al. Paucity of intact non-induced provirus with early, long-term antiretroviral therapy of perinatal HIV infection. *PLoS One*. 2017;12(2):e0170548. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28178277>.
26. Rocca S, Zangari P, Cotugno N, et al. Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-antibody repertoire estimates reservoir size and time of antiretroviral therapy initiation in virally suppressed perinatally HIV-infected children. *J Pediatric Infect Dis Soc*. 2018;8(5):433-438. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30169837>.
27. Shiao S, Abrams EJ, Arpadi SM, Kuhn L. Early antiretroviral therapy in HIV-infected infants: can it lead to HIV remission? *Lancet HIV*. 2018;5(5):e250-e258. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29739699>.
28. Persaud D, Gaye H, et al. Absence of detectable HIV-1 viremia following treatment cessation in an infant. *N Engl J Med*. 2013;369(19):1828-1835. Available at: <https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/nejmoa1302976>.
29. Butler KM, Gavin P, Coughlan S, et al. Rapid viral rebound after 4 years of suppressive therapy in a seronegative HIV-1 infected infant treated from birth. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2014;34(3):e48-51. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25742088>.
30. Violari A, Cotton MF, Kuhn L, et al. A child with perinatal HIV infection and long-term sustained virological control following antiretroviral treatment cessation. *Nat Commun*. 2019;10(1):412. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30679439>.
31. Maswabi K, Ajibola G, Bennett K, et al. Safety and efficacy of starting antiretroviral therapy in the first week of life. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2021;72(3):388-393. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31927562>.

32. Bitnun A, Samson L, Chun TW, et al. Early initiation of combination antiretroviral therapy in HIV-1-infected newborns can achieve sustained virologic suppression with low frequency of CD4+ T cells carrying HIV in peripheral blood. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2014;59(7):1012-1019. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24917662>.
33. Kakkar FW, Samson L, Vaudry W, et al. Safety of combination antiretroviral prophylaxis in high-risk HIV-exposed newborns: a retrospective review of the Canadian experience. *J Int AIDS Soc*. 2016;19(1):20520. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26880241>.
34. Anugulruengkitt S, Suntarattiwong P, Ounchanum P, et al. Safety of 6-week neonatal triple-combination antiretroviral postexposure prophylaxis in high-risk HIV-exposed infants. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2019;38(10):1045-1050. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31365477>.
35. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Updated guidelines for antiretroviral postexposure prophylaxis after sexual, injection drug use, or other nonoccupational exposure to HIV—United States, 2016. 2016. Available at: <http://www.cdc.gov/hiv/pdf/programresources/cdc-hiv-npep-guidelines.pdf>.
36. Kuhar DT, Henderson DK, Struble KA, et al. Updated U.S. Public Health Service guidelines for the management of occupational exposures to human immunodeficiency virus and recommendations for postexposure prophylaxis. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. 2013;34(9):875-892. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23917901>.
37. Lau E, Brophy J, Samson L, et al. Nevirapine pharmacokinetics and safety in neonates receiving combination antiretroviral therapy for prevention of vertical HIV transmission. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2017;74(5):493-498. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28114187>.
38. Cressey TR, Punyawudho B, Le Coeur S, et al. Assessment of nevirapine prophylactic and therapeutic dosing regimens for neonates. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2017;75(5):554-560. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28489732>.
39. Clarke DF, Acosta EP, Rizk ML, et al. Raltegravir pharmacokinetics in neonates following maternal dosing. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2014;67(3):310-315. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25162819>.
40. Clarke DF, Wong RJ, Wenning L, Stevenson DK, Mirochnick M. Raltegravir in vitro effect on bilirubin binding. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2013;32(9):978-980. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23470680>.
41. Clarke DF, Penazzato M, Capparelli E, et al. Prevention and treatment of HIV infection in neonates: evidence base for existing WHO dosing recommendations and implementation considerations. *Expert Rev Clin Pharmacol*. 2018;11(1):83-93. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29039686>.
42. Clarke DF, Acosta EP, Cababasay M, et al. Raltegravir (RAL) in neonates: dosing, pharmacokinetics (PK), and safety in HIV-1-exposed neonates at risk of infection (IMPAACT P1110). *J AIDS* 2020;84(1):70-77. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31913995>.

43. World Health Organization. Updated recommendations on HIV prevention, infant diagnosis, antiretroviral initiation and monitoring. Geneva, Switzerland. 2021. Available at: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240022232>.
44. Bekker A, Capparelli EV, Violari A, et al. Abacavir dosing in neonates from birth: a pharmacokinetic analysis. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections; 2021. Virtual Conference. Available at: <https://www.croiconference.org/abstract/abacavir-dosing-in-neonates-from-birth-a-pharmacokinetic-analysis>.
45. Bekker A, Decloedt EH, Slade G, Cotton MF, Rabie H, Cressey TR. Single-dose abacavir pharmacokinetics and safety in neonates exposed to human immunodeficiency virus (HIV). *Clin Infect Dis*. 2021;72(11):2032-2034. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32697327>.
46. Mirochnick M, Nielsen-Saines K, Pilotto JH, et al. Nelfinavir and lamivudine pharmacokinetics during the first two weeks of life. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2011;30(9):769-772. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21666540>.
47. Mandelbrot L, Tubiana R, Le Chenadec J, et al. No perinatal HIV-1 transmission from women with effective antiretroviral therapy starting before conception. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2015;61(11):1715-1725. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26197844>.
48. Townsend CL, Byrne L, Cortina-Borja M, et al. Earlier initiation of ART and further decline in mother-to-child HIV transmission rates, 2000–2011. *AIDS*. 2014;28(7):1049-1057. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24566097>.
49. American Academy of Pediatrics. Breastfeeding and the use of human milk. 2012. Available at: <https://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/129/3/e827>.
50. Bauer GR, Colgrove RC, Larussa PS, Pitt J, Welles SL. Antiretroviral resistance in viral isolates from HIV-1-transmitting mothers and their infants. *AIDS*. 2006;20(13):1707-1712. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16931934>.
51. De Jose MI, Ramos JT, Alvarez S, Jimenez JL, Munoz-Fernandez MA. Vertical transmission of HIV-1 variants resistant to reverse transcriptase and protease inhibitors. *Arch Intern Med*. 2001;161(22):2738-2739. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11732941>.
52. Desai N, Mathur M. Selective transmission of multidrug-resistant HIV to a newborn related to poor maternal adherence. *Sex Transm Infect*. 2003;79(5):419-421. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14573842>.
53. Cohan D, Feakins C, Wara D, et al. Perinatal transmission of multidrug-resistant HIV-1 despite viral suppression on an enfuvirtide-based treatment regimen. *AIDS*. 2005;19(9):989-990. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15905684>.
54. Fogel J, Li Q, Taha TE, et al. Initiation of antiretroviral treatment in women after delivery can induce multiclass drug resistance in breastfeeding HIV-infected infants. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2011;52(8):1069-1076. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21460326>.

55. Zeh C, Weidle PJ, Nafisa L, et al. HIV-1 drug resistance emergence among breastfeeding infants born to HIV-infected mothers during a single-arm trial of triple-antiretroviral prophylaxis for prevention of mother-to-child transmission: a secondary analysis. *PLoS Med.* 2011;8(3):e1000430. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21468304>.
56. Yeganeh N, Kerin T, Ank B, et al. Human immunodeficiency virus antiretroviral resistance and transmission in mother-infant pairs enrolled in a large perinatal study. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2018;66(11):1770-1777. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29272365>.
57. Rosebush JC, Best BM, Chadwick EG, et al. Pharmacokinetics and safety of maraviroc in neonates. *AIDS.* 2021;35(3):419-427. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33252481>.
58. Persaud D, Chadwick E, Tierney C, et al. Virologic response to very early ART in neonates with in utero HIV: IMPAACT P115. Abstract 799. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections; 2019. Seattle, Washington. Available at: <http://www.croiconference.org/sessions/virologic-response-very-early-art-neonates-utero-hiv-impact-p115>.
59. Committee on Pediatric AIDS. Infant feeding and transmission of human immunodeficiency virus in the United States. *Pediatrics.* 2013;131(2):391-396. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23359577>.
60. Kuhn L, Reitz C, Abrams EJ. Breastfeeding and AIDS in the developing world. *Curr Opin Pediatr.* 2009;21(1):83-93. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19242244>.
61. Van de Perre P, Lepage P, Homsy J, Dabis F. Mother-to-infant transmission of human immunodeficiency virus by breast milk: presumed innocent or presumed guilty? *Clin Infect Dis.* 1992;15(3):502-507. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1445596>.
62. Daar ES. Virology and immunology of acute HIV type 1 infection. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses.* 1998;14 Suppl 3:S229-234. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9814948>.
63. Smith DK, Grohskopf LA, Black RJ, et al. Antiretroviral postexposure prophylaxis after sexual, injection-drug use, or other nonoccupational exposure to HIV in the United States: recommendations from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. *MMWR Recomm Rep.* 2005;54(RR-2):1-20. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15660015>.
64. Six Week Extended-Dose Nevirapine Study Team, Bedri A, Gudetta B, et al. Extended-dose nevirapine to 6 weeks of age for infants to prevent HIV transmission via breastfeeding in Ethiopia, India, and Uganda: an analysis of three randomised controlled trials. *Lancet.* 2008;372(9635):300-313. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18657709>.
65. Kumwenda NI, Hoover DR, Mofenson LM, et al. Extended antiretroviral prophylaxis to reduce breast-milk HIV-1 transmission. *N Engl J Med.* 2008;359(2):119-129. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18525035>.

66. Chasela CS, Hudgens MG, Jamieson DJ, et al. Maternal or infant antiretroviral drugs to reduce HIV-1 transmission. *N Engl J Med*. 2010;362(24):2271-2281. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20554982>.
67. Kilewo C, Karlsson K, Massawe A, et al. Prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 through breast-feeding by treating infants prophylactically with lamivudine in Dar es Salaam, Tanzania: the Mitra study. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2008;48(3):315-323. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18344879>.
68. Flynn PM, Taha TE, Cababasay M, et al. Prevention of HIV-1 transmission through breastfeeding: efficacy and safety of maternal antiretroviral therapy versus infant nevirapine prophylaxis for duration of breastfeeding in HIV-1-infected women with high CD4 cell count (IMPAACT PROMISE): a randomized, open-label, clinical trial. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2018;77(4):383-392. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29239901>.
69. Moodley J, Moodley D, Pillay K, et al. Pharmacokinetics and antiretroviral activity of lamivudine alone or when coadministered with zidovudine in human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected pregnant women and their offspring. *J Infect Dis*. 1998;178(5):1327-1333. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9780252>.
70. Moodley D, Moodley J, Coovadia H, et al. A multicenter randomized controlled trial of nevirapine versus a combination of zidovudine and lamivudine to reduce intrapartum and early postpartum mother-to-child transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1. *J Infect Dis*. 2003;187(5):725-735. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12599045>.
71. Mandelbrot L, Landreau-Mascaro A, Rekacewicz C, et al. Lamivudine-zidovudine combination for prevention of maternal-infant transmission of HIV-1. *JAMA*. 2001;285(16):2083-2093. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11311097>.
72. Lambert JS, Nogueira SA, Abreu T, et al. A pilot study to evaluate the safety and feasibility of the administration of AZT/3TC fixed dose combination to HIV-infected pregnant women and their infants in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. *Sex Transm Infect*. 2003;79(6):448-452. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14663118>.
73. Cressey TR, Bekker A, Cababasay M, et al. Abacavir safety and pharmacokinetics in normal and low birth weight infants with HIV. Abstract 843. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2020. Boston, MA.
74. Crichton S, Collins IJ, Turkova A, et al. Abacavir dosing, effectiveness, and safety in young infants living with HIV in Europe. Abstract 844. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections 2020. Boston, MA.
75. De Waal R, Rabie H, Technau K, et al. Abacavir safety and efficacy in young infants in a South African observational cohort. Abstract 845. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections 2020. Boston, MA.
76. Gray G, Violari A, McIntyre J, et al. Antiviral activity of nucleoside analogues during short-course monotherapy or dual therapy: its role in preventing HIV infection in infants. *J Acquir*

- Immune Defic Syndr.* 2006;42(2):169-176. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16639342>.
77. Rongkavilit C, van Heeswijk RP, Limpongsanurak S, et al. Dose-escalating study of the safety and pharmacokinetics of nelfinavir in HIV-exposed neonates. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr.* 2002;29(5):455-463. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11981361>.
 78. Torres SM, Walker DM, Carter MM, et al. Mutagenicity of zidovudine, lamivudine, and abacavir following in vitro exposure of human lymphoblastoid cells or in utero exposure of CD-1 mice to single agents or drug combinations. *Environ Mol Mutagen.* 2007;48(3-4):224-238. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17358033>.
 79. Le Chenadec J, Mayaux MJ, Guihenneuc-Jouyaux C, Blanche S, Enquete Perinatale Francaise Study Group. Perinatal antiretroviral treatment and hematopoiesis in HIV-uninfected infants. *AIDS.* 2003;17(14):2053-2061. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14502008>.
 80. Pacheco SE, McIntosh K, Lu M, et al. Effect of perinatal antiretroviral drug exposure on hematologic values in HIV-uninfected children: an analysis of the women and infants transmission study. *J Infect Dis.* 2006;194(8):1089-1097. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16991083>.
 81. Feiterna-Sperling C, Weizsaecker K, Buhner C, et al. Hematologic effects of maternal antiretroviral therapy and transmission prophylaxis in HIV-1-exposed uninfected newborn infants. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr.* 2007;45(1):43-51. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17356471>.
 82. Hitti J, Frenkel LM, Stek AM, et al. Maternal toxicity with continuous nevirapine in pregnancy: results from PACTG 1022. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr.* 2004;36(3):772-776. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15213559>.
 83. Coovadia HM, Brown ER, Fowler MG, et al. Efficacy and safety of an extended nevirapine regimen in infant children of breastfeeding mothers with HIV-1 infection for prevention of postnatal HIV-1 transmission (HPTN 046): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet.* 2012;379(9812):221-228. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22196945>.
 84. Clarke DF, Lommerse J, Acosta EP, et al. Impact of low birth weight and prematurity on neonatal raltegravir pharmacokinetics: IMPAACT P1097. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr.* 2020;85:626-634. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32925360>.
 85. Clarke DF, Acosta EP, Cababasay M, et al. Raltegravir (RAL) in neonates: dosing, pharmacokinetics (PK), and safety in HIV-1-exposed neonates at risk of infection (IMPAACT P1110). *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr.* 2020;84(1):70-77. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31913995>.

Diagnosis of HIV Infection in Infants and Children

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Panel's Recommendations

- Virologic assays (i.e., HIV RNA or HIV DNA nucleic acid tests [NATs]) that directly detect HIV must be used to diagnose HIV in infants and children aged <18 months with perinatal and postnatal HIV exposure; HIV antibody and HIV antigen/antibody tests should not be used **(AII)**.
- Plasma HIV RNA or cell-associated HIV DNA NATs are generally equally recommended **(AII)**. However, the results of plasma HIV RNA NAT or plasma HIV RNA/DNA NAT can be affected by antiretroviral therapy (ART), or by antiretroviral (ARV) drugs administered to the infant as prophylaxis or presumptive HIV therapy.
- An assay that detects HIV non-B subtype viruses or Group O infections (e.g., an HIV RNA NAT or a dual-target total DNA/RNA test) is recommended for use in infants and children who were born to mothers with known or suspected non-B subtype virus or Group O infections **(AII)**. If a mother of an infant acquired HIV outside of the United States and has had repeated undetectable HIV RNA by standard testing, consultation with a clinical virologist on more sensitive HIV nucleic acid testing may be indicated.
- Virologic diagnostic testing (see Table 10 below) is recommended for all infants with perinatal HIV exposure at the following ages:
 - 14 to 21 days **(AII)**
 - 1 to 2 months **(AII)**
 - 4 to 6 months **(AII)**
- For infants who are at high risk of perinatal HIV infection, virologic diagnostic testing is recommended at birth **(AII)** and at 2 to 6 weeks after ARV drugs are discontinued **(BII)**.
- A positive virologic test should be confirmed as soon as possible by a repeat virologic test **(AII)**.
- Definitive exclusion of HIV infection in non-breastfed infants is based on two or more negative virologic tests conducted after infants have completed ARV prophylaxis or presumptive HIV therapy, with one negative test obtained at age ≥ 1 month and one at age ≥ 4 months, or two negative HIV antibody tests from separate specimens that were obtained at age ≥ 6 months **(AII)**.
- No additional HIV testing of any kind (e.g., HIV RNA or HIV DNA NAT, HIV antibody, HIV antigen/antibody) is needed routinely for non-breastfed infants who meet the criteria for definitive exclusion of HIV and who have had no known or suspected HIV exposure after birth.
- Infants with potential HIV exposure after birth (e.g., from breastfeeding, pre-masticated feeding, sexual abuse, contaminated blood products, percutaneous exposure) who are aged <18 months require additional testing using HIV RNA/DNA NAT assays to establish their HIV status. Infants aged ≥ 18 months who have these potential exposures require HIV antigen/antibody testing.
- Age-appropriate HIV testing also is recommended for infants and children with signs and/or symptoms of HIV, even in the absence of documented or suspected HIV exposure.
- HIV antibody (or HIV antigen/antibody) tests are recommended for diagnostic testing in children with non-perinatal exposure only or in children with perinatal exposure aged >24 months **(AII)**.

- When acute HIV infection is suspected, additional testing with an HIV NAT may be necessary to diagnose HIV infection (AII).

Note: The [National Clinician Consultation Center](#) provides consultations on issues related to the management of perinatal HIV infection (1-888-448-8765; 24 hours a day, 7 days a week).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials in children[†] with clinical outcomes and/or validated endpoints; I* = One or more randomized trials in adults with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints with accompanying data in children[†] from one or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies in children[†] with long-term outcomes; II* = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational studies in adults with long-term clinical outcomes with accompanying data in children[†] from one or more similar nonrandomized trials or cohort studies with clinical outcome data; III = Expert opinion

[†] Studies that include children or children/adolescents, but not studies limited to post-pubertal adolescents

Diagnosis of HIV in Infants and Children

HIV can be diagnosed definitively by virologic testing in most non-breastfed infants with perinatal HIV exposure by age 1 to 2 months and in almost all infants with HIV by age 4 to 6 months. Antibody tests, including the antigen/antibody combination immunoassays (sometimes referred to as fourth- and fifth-generation tests), do not establish the presence of HIV in infants because of transplacental transfer of maternal HIV antibodies; therefore, a virologic test must be used.^{1,2} Positive virologic tests (i.e., nucleic acid tests [NATs]—a class of tests that includes HIV RNA and HIV DNA polymerase chain reaction [PCR] assays and related RNA qualitative or quantitative assays) indicate likely HIV infection. Plasma HIV RNA or cell-associated HIV DNA NATs are generally equally recommended. However, both tests can be affected by maternal antiretroviral therapy through transplacental transfer of antiretroviral (ARV) drugs from the pregnant person to fetus or by ARV drugs administered to the infant as prophylaxis or presumptive HIV therapy. In contrast, qualitative HIV proviral DNA PCR assays from whole blood detecting cell-associated virus often are less affected by ARVs.

A positive HIV test result should be confirmed as soon as possible by repeat virologic testing, because false-positive results can occur with both RNA and DNA assays.³ For additional information on the diagnosis of Group M non-subtype B, Group O HIV-1 infections, and HIV-2 infections, see the relevant sections below and the [HIV Sequence Database](#). Newer real-time HIV RNA PCR assays and the qualitative diagnostic RNA assay are better at detecting non-subtype B HIV infection and Group O strains than older RNA assays.⁴⁻⁹ (See [Clinical and Laboratory Monitoring of Pediatric HIV Infection](#).) One example is the COBAS[®] AmpliPrep/COBAS[®] TaqMan-HIV-1 qualitative test (a dual-target DNA/RNA, sometimes called total nucleic acid or TNA test), which also can identify non-subtype B and Group O infections.^{10,11}

Antigen/antibody combination immunoassays that detect HIV-1/2 antibodies and HIV-1 p24 antigen **are not recommended** for diagnosis of HIV infection in infants. In the first months of life, the antigen component of antigen/antibody tests is less sensitive than an HIV NAT, and antibody tests should not be used for HIV diagnosis in infants and children <18 months of age.¹²⁻¹⁴ Children with perinatal HIV exposure who are aged 18 to 24 months occasionally have residual maternal HIV antibodies; definitive confirmation of HIV infection in children in this age group who remain HIV antibody–positive should be based on a NAT (see Diagnostic Testing in Children with Perinatal HIV Exposure in Special Situations below). Diagnosis in children aged >24 months relies primarily on

HIV antibody and antigen/antibody tests (see Diagnostic Testing in Children with Non-Perinatal HIV Exposure or Children with Perinatal HIV Exposure Aged >24 Months below).¹

An infant who has a positive HIV antibody test but whose mother's HIV status is unknown (see [Maternal HIV Testing and Identification of Perinatal HIV Exposure](#)) should be assumed to have been exposed to HIV. The infant should undergo HIV diagnostic testing, as described in Timing of Diagnostic Testing in Infants with Perinatal HIV Exposure below,¹⁵ and receive ARV prophylaxis or presumptive HIV therapy as soon as possible. For ARV management of newborns who have been exposed to HIV and newborns with HIV infection (including those who do not yet have confirmed infection), see [Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or Perinatal HIV](#).

Timing of Diagnostic Testing in Infants with Perinatal HIV Exposure

Confirmation of HIV infection is based on the results of positive virologic tests from two separate blood samples in infants and children younger than 18 months. Table 10 below summarizes the timing of recommended virologic diagnostic testing for infants based on HIV transmission risk. Infants at high risk on presumptive HIV therapy may require testing at additional time points compared to infants at low risk of transmission. The risk of transmission is determined based on whether a mother is receiving ART and virally suppressed.

HIV infection can be **presumptively** excluded in non-breastfed infants with two or more negative virologic tests (one at age ≥ 2 weeks and one at age ≥ 4 weeks) or one negative virologic test (i.e., negative NAT [RNA or DNA]) at age ≥ 8 weeks, or one negative HIV antibody test at age ≥ 6 months.^{1,15}

Definitive exclusion of HIV infection in a non-breastfed infant is based on two or more negative virologic tests (i.e., negative NATs [RNA or DNA]), one at age ≥ 1 month and one at age ≥ 4 months, or two negative HIV antibody tests from separate specimens obtained at age ≥ 6 months.

For both presumptive and definitive exclusion of HIV infection, a child must have no other laboratory evidence (i.e., no positive virologic test results or low CD4 T lymphocyte [CD4] cell count/percent) or clinical evidence of HIV infection and must not be breastfeeding. No additional HIV testing of any kind (e.g., NAT, antibody, antigen/antibody) is needed routinely for non-breastfed infants who meet the criteria for definitive exclusion of HIV and who have had no known or suspected HIV exposure after birth.

Pneumocystis jirovecii pneumonia (PCP) prophylaxis is recommended for infants with **indeterminate** HIV infection status starting at age 4 to 6 weeks until they are determined to be definitively or presumptively without HIV.¹⁶ Thus, PCP prophylaxis can be avoided or discontinued if HIV infection is presumptively excluded (see [Initial Postnatal Management of the Neonate Exposed to HIV](#) and [Pneumocystis jirovecii Pneumonia](#) in the [Pediatric Opportunistic Infection Guidelines](#)).

Virologic Testing at Birth for Newborns at High Risk of Perinatal HIV Transmission

Virologic testing at birth should be considered for newborns who are at high risk of perinatal HIV transmission,¹⁷⁻²² such as infants born to women with HIV who—

- Did not receive prenatal care;
- Received no antepartum ARVs or only intrapartum ARV drugs;
- Initiated ART late in pregnancy (during the late second or third trimester);
- Received a diagnosis of acute HIV infection during pregnancy or in labor; and/or
- Had detectable HIV viral loads (≥ 50 copies/mL) close to the time of delivery, including those who received ART and did not have sustained viral suppression.

All infants at high risk of perinatal HIV transmission should have specimens obtained for HIV testing at birth before initiating an ARV drug regimen; however, presumptive HIV therapy should not be delayed.

Blood samples from the umbilical cord should not be used for diagnostic evaluation because of the potential for contamination with maternal blood.

Virologic testing at birth is critical for early HIV diagnosis (see [When to Initiate Therapy in Antiretroviral-Naive Children](#) in the [Pediatric Antiretroviral Guidelines](#)). Infants who have a positive virologic test result at or before age 48 hours are considered to have early (intrauterine) infection, whereas non-breastfed infants who have a negative virologic test result during the first week of life and subsequently have positive test results are considered to have late (intrapartum) infection.^{17,18,23} Testing at birth also might be considered in instances when there are concerns that a newborn at low risk of perinatal HIV transmission may be lost to follow-up without testing.

Virologic Testing at Age 14 to 21 Days

The diagnostic sensitivity of virologic testing increases rapidly by age 2 weeks,¹⁵ and early identification of infection permits transition from presumptive HIV therapy to treatment doses of ART (see [When to Initiate Therapy in Antiretroviral-Naive Children](#) in the [Pediatric Antiretroviral Guidelines](#)).

Virologic Testing at Age 1 to 3 Months

Testing performed at age 1 to 3 months is intended to maximize the likelihood of detecting HIV infection in infants. In the HIV Prevention Trials Network 040 study, 93 of 140 infants with HIV (66.4%) were identified at birth. Infants who received negative test results in the first 7 days of life received an HIV diagnosis when the next diagnostic test was performed at 3 months of age.²⁴ For infants at high risk of perinatal HIV transmission, the Panel on Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission suggests performing an additional virologic test 2 to 6 weeks after ARV drugs are discontinued (i.e., at age 8–12 weeks), given the increased risk of infection and concern that ARV prophylaxis, particularly combination ARV prophylaxis or presumptive HIV therapy, may reduce the sensitivity of diagnostic testing.^{24,25} In these situations, many experts recommend one test at age 4 to 6 weeks to allow prompt diagnosis of HIV in infants with an additional test at 8 to 12 weeks of life (i.e., 2–6 weeks after cessation of prophylaxis or presumptive HIV therapy) to capture additional cases (see Table 10 below). For infants at low risk of HIV transmission, a single test obtained at 1 to 2 months of age may be timed to occur 2 to 4 weeks after cessation of ARV prophylaxis.

An infant with two negative virologic test results (one at age ≥ 14 days and the other at age ≥ 4 weeks), or one negative test result at age ≥ 8 weeks at least 2 weeks after discontinuing ARV prophylaxis/presumptive therapy, can be viewed as presumptively HIV uninfected, assuming the child has not had a positive prior virologic test result or clinical evidence indicative of HIV infection, and is not breastfed.

Virologic Testing at Age 4 to 6 Months

Infants with HIV exposure who have had negative virologic assays at age 14 to 21 days and at age 1 to 2 months, who have no clinical evidence of HIV infection, and who are not breastfed should be retested at age 4 to 6 months for definitive exclusion of HIV infection.

Table 10. Recommended Virologic Testing Schedules for Infants Who Were Exposed to HIV According to Risk of Perinatal HIV Acquisition^a

Infants at High Risk	
Criteria for Infants at High Risk	Age at HIV NAT Testing for Infants at High Risk
Infants born to mothers with HIV who— <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Did not receive prenatal care; • Received no antepartum ARVs or only intrapartum ARV drugs; • Initiated ART late in pregnancy (during the late second or third trimester); • Received a diagnosis of acute HIV infection during pregnancy or in labor; and/or • Had detectable HIV viral loads (≥ 50 copies/mL) close to the time of delivery, including those who received ART but did not achieve sustained viral suppression. 	<p style="text-align: center;">Birth^b</p> <p style="text-align: center;">14–21 days</p> <p style="text-align: center;">1–2 months</p> <p style="text-align: center;">2–3 months^b</p> <p style="text-align: center;">4–6 months</p> <p>All infants at high risk of perinatal HIV transmission should have specimens obtained for HIV testing at birth before initiating an ARV drug regimen; however, presumptive HIV therapy should not be delayed.</p>
Infants at Low Risk	
Criteria for Infants at Low Risk	Age at HIV NAT Testing for Infants at Low Risk
Infants born to mothers who— <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Received ART during pregnancy; • Had sustained viral suppression (usually defined as < 50 copies/mL); and • Were adherent to their ARV regimens. 	<p style="text-align: center;">14–21 days</p> <p style="text-align: center;">1–2 months^c</p> <p style="text-align: center;">4–6 months</p>

^a This table summarizes standard time points for HIV virologic diagnostic testing of infants who are not breastfeeding. For information about HIV testing time points for infants born to women with HIV who opt to breastfeed after comprehensive counseling see the Breastfeeding subsection of this chapter below and [Counseling and Managing Individuals with HIV in the United States Who Desire to Breastfeed](#).

^b For high-risk infants, virologic diagnostic testing is recommended at birth. For infants treated with multiple ARVs in the first 2 to 4 weeks of life, additional virologic testing is recommended 2 to 6 weeks after ARV drugs are discontinued (i.e., at 8–12 weeks of life).

^c For low-risk infants, test may be timed to occur at least 2 weeks after cessation of ARV prophylaxis.

Key: ART = antiretroviral therapy; ARV = antiretroviral; NAT = nucleic acid test

Antibody Testing at Age 6 Months and Older

Two or more negative results of HIV antibody tests that were performed in non-breastfed infants at age ≥ 6 months also can be used to exclude HIV infection definitively in children with no clinical or virologic laboratory-documented evidence of HIV infection.^{26,27}

Antibody Testing at Age 18 to 24 Months to Document Seroreversion

In general, no additional HIV testing of any kind (e.g., NAT, antibody, antigen/antibody) is needed routinely for non-breastfed infants who meet the criteria for definitive exclusion of HIV and who have had no known or suspected HIV exposure after birth. However, infants with potential HIV exposure after birth (e.g., breastfeeding, pre-masticated feeding, sexual abuse, contaminated blood products, percutaneous exposure) who are aged < 18 months require additional testing using HIV RNA/DNA NAT assays to establish their HIV status. Infants aged ≥ 18 months of age who have these potential exposures require HIV antigen/antibody testing.

In a study from 2012, the median age at seroreversion was 13.9 months.²⁸ Although the majority of infants who do not have HIV will serorevert by age 15 months to 18 months, late seroreversion after 18 months has been reported (see Diagnostic Testing in Children with Perinatal HIV Exposure in Special Situations below). Factors that might influence the time to seroreversion include maternal disease stage and assay sensitivity.²⁸⁻³¹

Diagnostic Testing in Children with Perinatal HIV Exposure in Special Situations

Late Seroreversion (Aged ≤ 24 Months)

Non-breastfed children with perinatal HIV exposure, no other HIV transmission risk factor, and no clinical or virologic laboratory evidence of HIV infection may have residual HIV antibodies up to age 24 months. These children are called late seroreverters.²⁸⁻³¹ In one study, 14% of children with HIV exposure did not have HIV seroreverted after age 18 months.²⁸ More recent data from Thailand associated late seroreversion with the antenatal use of protease inhibitors in pregnant women with HIV. In this study, late seroreversion also was associated with the use of fourth-generation combination antigen/antibody immunoassays.³² These children may have had positive immunoassay results, but supplemental antibody test results indicated indeterminate HIV status. In such cases, repeat antibody testing at a later date confirmed seroreversion. Due to the possibility of residual HIV antibodies, virologic testing (i.e., with a NAT) is necessary to exclude definitively or confirm HIV infection in children with perinatal HIV exposure who have a positive HIV antibody (or antigen/antibody) test at age 18 months to 24 months. Virologic testing will distinguish late-seroreverting children who do not have HIV but have residual antibodies from children who have antibodies due to underlying HIV infection. Age-appropriate HIV testing also is recommended for infants and children with signs and/or symptoms of HIV, even in the absence of documented or suspected HIV exposure.

Postnatal HIV Infection in Children with Perinatal HIV Exposure and Prior Negative Virologic Test Results for Whom There Are Additional HIV Transmission Risks

In contrast to late seroreverters, in rare situations, postnatal HIV infections have been reported in children with HIV exposure who had prior negative HIV virologic test results. This occurs in children who acquire HIV through an additional risk factor after completion of testing (see Diagnostic Testing in Children with Non-Perinatal HIV Exposure or Children with Perinatal HIV Exposure Aged >24 Months below).

Suspicion of HIV-2 or Non-Subtype B HIV-1 Infections with False-Negative Virologic Test Results

Children with non-subtype B HIV-1 and children with HIV-2 may have false-negative virologic tests but persistent positive immunoassay results.³³⁻³⁵ The diagnostic approach in these situations is discussed below in Virologic Assays to Diagnose Group M Non-Subtype B and Group O HIV-1 Infections and in Virologic Assays to Diagnose HIV-2 Infections.

Diagnostic Testing in Children with Non-Perinatal HIV Exposure or Children with Perinatal HIV Exposure Aged >24 Months

Breastfeeding

People with HIV should be encouraged to avoid breastfeeding.³⁶ Monitoring of infants born to people with HIV who opt to breastfeed after comprehensive counseling should include immediate HIV diagnostic virologic testing with a NAT at the following time points: **birth, 14 to 21 days, 1 to 2 months, and 4 to 6 months** (see Table 10 above).³⁷ Many experts then recommend testing every 3 months throughout breastfeeding, followed by monitoring at 4 to 6 weeks, 3 months, and 6 months after cessation of breastfeeding. Clinicians caring for a person with HIV who is considering breastfeeding should consult with an expert and, if necessary, the Perinatal HIV Hotline (1-888-448-8765). For more information, see [Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection](#) and [Counseling and Managing Individuals with HIV in the United States Who Desire to Breastfeed](#).

Premastication

Receipt of solid food that has been premasticated or prewarmed (in the mouth) by a caregiver with HIV is associated with risk of HIV transmission.³⁸⁻⁴³ If this occurs in children with perinatal HIV exposure aged ≤ 24 months with prior negative virologic tests, it will be necessary for such children to undergo virologic diagnostic testing because they may have residual maternal HIV antibodies (see Diagnostic Testing in Children with Perinatal HIV Exposure in Special Situations above).

Additional Routes of HIV Transmission

Additional routes of HIV transmission in children include sexual abuse, receipt of contaminated blood products, and needlestick with contaminated needles. **It may be difficult to obtain a history of HIV exposure. Therefore, age-appropriate HIV testing is recommended for infants and children with signs and/or symptoms of HIV infection, even in the absence of documented or suspected perinatal**

or non-perinatal HIV exposure. Acquisition of HIV in older children is possible through accidental needlestick injuries, sexual transmission, or injection drug use. Medical procedures performed in settings with inadequate infection control practices may pose a potential risk; although tattooing or body piercing presents a potential risk of HIV transmission, no reported cases of HIV transmission from these activities have been documented.⁴⁵

Diagnostic Testing

Diagnosis of HIV-1 infection in infants and children with non-perinatal HIV exposure only or in children with perinatal HIV exposure who are aged >24 months relies primarily on HIV antibody and antigen/antibody tests.^{1,46} Food and Drug Administration (FDA)–approved diagnostic tests include—

- Antigen/antibody combination immunoassays, which detect HIV-1/2 antibodies and HIV-1 p24 antigen. These tests are recommended for initial testing to screen for established infection with HIV-1 or HIV-2 and for acute HIV-1 infection. However, p24 antigen from HIV-1 non-B strains, HIV-1 non-M strains, and HIV-2 strains may not be detected.⁴⁷ Recent data suggest that the use of immunoassays and rapid diagnostic test combination algorithms that have limited HIV antigen breadth may not be adequate for diagnosis of HIV infection in children following early treatment with ART.⁴⁸
- HIV-1/HIV-2 antibody differentiation immunoassay, which differentiates HIV-1 antibodies from HIV-2 antibodies. This immunoassay is recommended for supplemental testing.
- HIV-1 NAT. A NAT always is indicated as an additional test to diagnose acute HIV infection.

The diagnosis of HIV-2 in children with non-perinatal exposure only or in children with perinatal exposure aged >24 months relies on the 2014 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)/Association of Public Health Laboratories laboratory testing guidelines. These guidelines recommend using an HIV-1/HIV-2 antibody differentiation immunoassay that distinguishes between HIV-1 and HIV-2 antibodies for supplemental testing. When used as a supplemental test, the results of the HIV-1 Western blot are more ambiguous than those of the HIV-1/HIV-2 antibody differentiation immunoassay; >60% of individuals with HIV-2 are misclassified as having HIV-1 by the HIV-1 Western blot.^{1,49} All HIV-2 cases should be reported to the HIV surveillance program of the state or local health department; additional HIV-2 DNA PCR testing can be arranged by a local public health laboratory or by CDC if an HIV-1/HIV-2 antibody differentiation immunoassay is inconclusive. HIV-2 DNA PCR testing may be necessary for definitive diagnosis, although this assay is not commercially available.^{50,51}

Virologic Assays to Diagnose HIV in Infants Younger Than 18 Months with Perinatal HIV-1 Exposure

HIV RNA Assays

HIV quantitative RNA assays detect extracellular viral RNA in plasma. Their specificity has been shown to be 100% at birth and at ages 1 month, 3 months, and 6 months and is comparable to the specificity of HIV DNA PCR.²⁵ Testing at birth will detect HIV RNA in infants who acquire HIV *in utero* and not in those who acquire HIV from exposure during delivery or immediately before delivery (i.e., during the intrapartum period). Studies have shown that HIV RNA assays identify 25% to 58% of infants with HIV infection from birth through the first week of life, 89% at age 1 month,

and 90% to 100% by age 2 months to 3 months. These results are similar to the results of HIV DNA PCR for early diagnosis of HIV.^{3,25,52}

The sensitivity of HIV RNA assays is affected by maternal antenatal ART or ARV drugs administered to the infant as prophylaxis or presumptive therapy.⁵³ In one study, the sensitivity of HIV RNA assays was not associated with the type of maternal ART or infant ARV prophylaxis, but HIV RNA levels at 1 month were significantly lower in infants with HIV who were receiving multidrug prophylaxis. In contrast, the median HIV RNA levels were high by age 3 months in both groups after stopping prophylaxis.²⁵ Between 2010 and 2016, a significant decline in baseline viremia was noted in South Africa's Early Infant Diagnosis program, with loss of detectability documented among some infants with HIV. This decline may have reflected the administration of various prophylactic ARV regimens during those years.⁵⁴ Further studies are necessary to evaluate the sensitivity of HIV RNA assays during receipt of multidrug ARV prophylaxis or presumptive HIV therapy in infants whose mothers also received antenatal ART.

An HIV quantitative RNA assay can be used as a confirmatory test for infants who have an initial positive HIV DNA PCR test result. In addition to providing virologic confirmation of infection status, an HIV RNA measurement assesses baseline viral load. An HIV genotype can be performed on the same sample to guide initial ARV treatment in an infant with HIV. HIV RNA assays may be more sensitive than HIV DNA PCR for detecting non-subtype B HIV (see Virologic Assays to Diagnose Group M Non-Subtype B and Group O HIV-1 Infections below).

The HIV qualitative RNA assay (APTIMA HIV-1 RNA Qualitative Assay) is an alternative diagnostic test that can be used for infant testing. It is the only qualitative RNA test that is approved by the FDA.^{23,55-58}

HIV DNA PCR and Related Assays

HIV DNA PCR is a sensitive technique that is used to detect intracellular HIV viral DNA in peripheral blood mononuclear cells. The specificity of the HIV DNA PCR is 99.8% at birth and 100% at ages 1 month, 3 months, and 6 months. Studies have shown that HIV DNA PCR assays identify 20% to 55% of infants with HIV infection from birth through the first week of life, with the same caveat as for RNA testing—testing at birth detects only *in utero* HIV infection and not infection in those infants who acquire HIV during the intrapartum period. This percentage increases to >90% by age 2 weeks to 4 weeks and to 100% at ages 3 months and 6 months.^{23,25,52}

Two studies provided data on diagnostic testing at different time points in infants with confirmed HIV infection, including those who had negative test results at birth. One study noted that among 47 infants with HIV infection who had negative DNA PCR test results at birth, 68% were identified during the period of neonatal ARV prophylaxis at 4 to 6 weeks; by 3 months, all 47 infants were identified.²⁴ Another study from Cape Town evaluated the sensitivity of HIV DNA assays within 8 days of life, during and after initiating ART in infants with HIV. The infants had been exposed to a combination of maternal ART *in utero* and ARV drugs for prophylaxis and treatment. In seven infants who achieved virologic suppression (defined as a continuous downward trend in plasma HIV RNA, with <100 copies/mL after 6 months), total HIV DNA continued to decay over 12 months. The authors noted that one infant had undetectable HIV DNA after 6 days on treatment, another had undetectable HIV DNA after 3 months, and a third had undetectable HIV DNA after 4 months, suggesting that rapid decline of HIV-1 RNA and DNA may complicate definitive diagnosis.⁵⁹ More recent studies from the same authors suggest that ART initiation within the first week of life reduces

persistence of long-lived infected cells and that delaying ART initiation is associated with slower decay of infected cells.⁶⁰ A data set of 38,043 infants from the Western Cape province of South Africa who were tested at a median age of 45 days of life showed that infants who received the World Health Organization Option B+ ARV regimen had fewer indeterminate DNA PCR results than infants who were receiving older ARV regimens.⁶¹ Another group of South African investigators reported similar findings in a study of a cohort of 5,743 neonates from Johannesburg who were exposed to HIV.⁶²

The AMPLICOR[®] HIV-1 DNA test has been used widely for diagnosis of HIV in infants born to mothers with HIV-1 infection since it was introduced in 1992. However, it is no longer commercially available in the United States. The sensitivity and specificity of noncommercial HIV-1 DNA tests that use individual laboratory reagents may differ from the sensitivity and specificity of an FDA-approved commercial test. The COBAS[®] AmpliPrep/COBAS[®] TaqMan[®] HIV-1 version 2.0 qualitative test (which detects both HIV-1 RNA and proviral DNA in plasma, whole blood, and dried blood spots) may be used for HIV diagnosis in infants, but it is not approved by the FDA.^{10,11,62} These considerations underscore the importance of testing with HIV NATs at 4 months—well after neonatal ARV prophylaxis or presumptive HIV therapy has stopped.

Other Issues

Virologic Assays to Diagnose Group M Non-Subtype B and Group O HIV-1 Infections

Although HIV-1 Group M subtype B is the predominant viral subtype found in the United States, multiple subtypes and recombinant forms also are found in the United States.⁶³ Data from the CDC National HIV Surveillance System (NHSS) showed that the number of non-U.S.-born children with HIV has exceeded the number of U.S.-born children with HIV since 2011, with 65.5% of non-U.S.-born children with HIV born in sub-Saharan Africa and 14.3% in Eastern Europe.⁶⁴ In an evaluation of infants who received a perinatal HIV infection diagnosis in New York State in 2001 and 2002, 16.7% of infants had acquired a non-subtype B strain of HIV, compared with 4.4% of infants born in 1998 and 1999.⁶⁵ Among a group of 40 children who visited a pediatric HIV clinic in Rhode Island between 1991 and 2012, 14 (35%) acquired HIV with non-B HIV-1 subtypes. All 14 children were either born outside the United States or their parents were of foreign origin.⁶⁶ In an analysis of 1,277 unique sequences collected in Rhode Island from 2004 to 2011, 8.3% were non-B subtypes (including recombinant forms). Twenty-two percent of participants with non-B subtypes formed transmission clusters, including individuals with perinatally acquired infection.⁶⁷ In an analysis of 3,895 HIV-1 sequences that were collected between July 2011 and June 2012 in the United States, 5.3% were determined to be non-B subtypes (including recombinant forms).

Evolving immigration patterns may be contributing to local and regional increases in HIV-1 subtype diversity. Non-subtype B viruses predominate in other parts of the world, such as subtype C in regions of Africa and India and subtype CRF01 in much of Southeast Asia. Group O HIV strains are seen in West-Central Africa.⁶⁸ Non-subtype B and Group O strains may be seen in countries with links to these geographical regions.⁶⁹⁻⁷³ The geographical distribution of HIV groups is available at the [HIV Sequence Database](#).

Real-time HIV RNA PCR assays and the qualitative diagnostic RNA assay are better at detecting non-subtype B HIV infection and the less-common Group O strains than older RNA assays⁴⁻⁹ (see [Clinical and Laboratory Monitoring of Pediatric HIV Infection](#)). An example includes the COBAS[®]

AmpliPrep/COBAS[®] TaqMan[®] HIV-1 qualitative test (a dual-target DNA/RNA test), which also can identify non-subtype B and Group O infections.^{10,11}

Thus, a real-time PCR assay, qualitative RNA assay, or a dual-target total DNA/RNA test should be used for infant testing instead of a DNA PCR assay when evaluating an infant born to a mother whose HIV infection is linked to an area that is endemic for non-subtype B HIV or Group O strains, such as Africa or Southeast Asia. Another indication is when initial testing is negative using an HIV DNA PCR test and non-subtype B or Group O perinatal exposure is suspected. Two negative HIV antibody test results obtained at age ≥ 6 months provide further evidence to rule out HIV infection definitively. Clinicians should consult with an expert in pediatric HIV infection; state or local public health departments or CDC may be able to assist in obtaining referrals for diagnostic HIV testing.

Chimeric Antigen Receptor T-Cell and Lentiviral-Based Gene Therapy May Give Rise to False-Positive HIV NAT Results

Chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T-cell immunotherapy is a major advancement in cancer therapeutics, including for pediatric B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (B-ALL). Reprogramming of T cells is achieved by using gammaretroviral or lentiviral vectors. Recent reports indicate that these vectors may interfere with long terminal repeat genomes in HIV NAT results and, thus, produce false-positive results. As CAR T-cell therapy becomes more widely available for multiple indications, it will be important for clinicians to recognize that routine HIV-1 NAT results may give rise to false results. In addition, lentiviral vector–based gene therapy as treatment for severe combined immunodeficiency can give rise to false-positive HIV NAT results. Laboratories should, therefore, have appropriate alternate HIV-1 NAT resulting platforms made available for this emerging patient population.⁷⁴⁻⁷⁸

Virologic Assays to Diagnose HIV-2 Infections

HIV-2 infection is endemic in Angola; Mozambique; West African countries, including Benin, Burkina Faso, Cape Verde, the Gambia, Ghana, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Ivory Coast, Liberia, Mali, Mauritania, Niger, Nigeria, Sao Tome, Senegal, Sierra Leone, and Togo; and parts of India.⁷⁹⁻⁸¹ HIV-2 infection also is well documented in France and Portugal, which have large numbers of immigrants from these regions.^{82,83} HIV-1 and HIV-2 coinfection may occur, but this rarely is described outside areas where HIV-2 is endemic. HIV-2 is rare in the United States. Although accurately diagnosing HIV-2 can be difficult, it is clinically important because HIV-2 strains are resistant to several ARV drugs that were developed to suppress HIV-1.⁸⁴⁻⁸⁶ (See [HIV-2 Infection and Pregnancy](#).)

A mother should be suspected of having HIV-2 if her infection is linked to an area that is endemic for HIV-2 infection or if her HIV test results are suggestive of HIV-2 infection (i.e., the mother has a positive initial HIV 1/2 immunoassay test result and HIV-1 RNA viral loads that are at or below the limit of detection). The current recommendation is to use an HIV-1/HIV-2 antibody differentiation immunoassay for supplemental testing.¹ Between 2010 and 2017, an increase in the number of HIV-1/HIV-2 differentiation test results was reported to the CDC's NHSS. More than 99.9% of all HIV infections identified in the United States were categorized as HIV-1, and the number of HIV-2 diagnoses (mono-infection or dual-infection) remained extremely low (<0.03% of all HIV infections).⁸⁷

Infant testing with HIV-2–specific DNA PCR tests should be performed at time points similar to those used for HIV-1 testing when evaluating an infant born to a mother with known or suspected HIV-2 infection. HIV-2 DNA PCR testing can be arranged by the HIV surveillance program of the state or local health department through their public health laboratory, or the CDC, because this assay is not commercially available.^{50,51} Clinicians should consult with an expert in pediatric HIV infection when caring for infants with suspected or known exposure to HIV-2.^{79,88}

References

1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and Association of Public Health Laboratories. Laboratory testing for the diagnosis of HIV infection: updated recommendations. June 27, 2014. Available at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.15620/cdc.23447>.
2. Donovan M, Palumbo P. Diagnosis of HIV: challenges and strategies for HIV prevention and detection among pregnant women and their infants. *Clin Perinatol*. 2010;37(4):751-763, viii. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21078448>.
3. Read JS, Committee on Pediatric AIDS, American Academy of Pediatrics. Diagnosis of HIV-1 infection in children younger than 18 months in the United States. *Pediatrics*. 2007;120(6):e1547-1562. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18055670>.
4. Church D, Gregson D, Lloyd T, et al. Comparison of the RealTime HIV-1, COBAS TaqMan 48 v1.0, Easy Q v1.2, and Versant v3.0 assays for determination of HIV-1 viral loads in a cohort of Canadian patients with diverse HIV subtype infections. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2011;49(1):118-124. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21084515>.
5. Cobb BR, Vaks JE, Do T, Vilchez RA. Evolution in the sensitivity of quantitative HIV-1 viral load tests. *J Clin Virol*. 2011;52 Suppl 1:S77-82. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22036041>.
6. Katsoulidou A, Rokka C, Issaris C, et al. Comparative evaluation of the performance of the abbot realtime HIV-1 assay for measurement of HIV-1 plasma viral load on genetically diverse samples from Greece. *Virol J*. 2011;8:10. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21219667>.
7. Gueudin M, Leoz M, Lemee V, et al. A new real-time quantitative PCR for diagnosis and monitoring of HIV-1 group O infection. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2012;50(3):831-836. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22170927>.
8. Xu S, Song A, Nie J, et al. Comparison between the automated Roche Cobas AmpliPrep/Cobas TaqMan HIV-1 test version 2.0 assay and its version 1 and Nuclisens HIV-1 EasyQ version 2.0 assays when measuring diverse HIV-1 genotypes in China. *J Clin Virol*. 2012;53(1):33-37. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22051503>.
9. Muenchhoff M, Madurai S, Hemenstall AJ, et al. Evaluation of the NucliSens EasyQ v2.0 assay in comparison with the Roche Amplicor v1.5 and the Roche CAP/CTM HIV-1 Test v2.0 in quantification of C-clade HIV-1 in plasma. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(8):e103983. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25157919>.
10. Mossoro-Kpinde CD, Jenabian MA, Gody JC, et al. Evaluation of the upgraded version 2.0 of the Roche COBAS((R)) AmpliPrep/COBAS((R)) TaqMan HIV-1 qualitative assay in Central African Children. *Open AIDS J*. 2016;10:158-163. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27857825>.
11. Templer SP, Seiverth B, Baum P, Stevens W, Seguin-Devaux C, Carmona S. Improved sensitivity of a dual-target HIV-1 qualitative test for plasma and dried blood spots. *J Clin*

- Microbiol.* 2016;54(7):1877-1882. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27194686>.
12. Tamhane M, Gautney B, Shiu C, et al. Analysis of the optimal cut-point for HIV-p24 antigen testing to diagnose HIV infection in HIV-exposed children from resource-constrained settings. *J Clin Virol.* 2011;50(4):338-341. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21330193>.
 13. Wessman MJ, Theilgaard Z, Katzenstein TL. Determination of HIV status of infants born to HIV-infected mothers: a review of the diagnostic methods with special focus on the applicability of p24 antigen testing in developing countries. *Scand J Infect Dis.* 2012;44(3):209-215. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22074445>.
 14. Bhowan K, Sherman GG. Performance of the first fourth-generation rapid human immunodeficiency virus test in children. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2013;32(5):486-488. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23190776>.
 15. Chadwick EG, Ezeanolue EE, Committee on Pediatric AIDS. Evaluation and management of the infant exposed to HIV in the United States. *Pediatrics.* 2020;146(5). Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33077537>.
 16. Panel on Opportunistic Infections in HIV-Exposed and HIV-Infected Children. Guidelines for the prevention and treatment of opportunistic infections in HIV-exposed and HIV-infected children. 2019. Available at: <https://clinicalinfo.hiv.gov/en/guidelines/pediatric-opportunistic-infection/whats-new>.
 17. Lilian RR, Kalk E, Technau KG, Sherman GG. Birth diagnosis of HIV infection on infants to reduce infant mortality and monitor for elimination of mother-to-child transmission. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2013;32(10):1080-1085. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23574775>.
 18. Jourdain G, Mary JY, Coeur SL, et al. Risk factors for in utero or intrapartum mother-to-child transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 in Thailand. *J Infect Dis.* 2007;196(11):1629-1636. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18008246>.
 19. Tubiana R, Le Chenadec J, Rouzioux C, et al. Factors associated with mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 despite a maternal viral load <500 copies/ml at delivery: a case-control study nested in the French perinatal cohort (EPF-ANRS CO1). *Clin Infect Dis.* 2010;50(4):585-596. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20070234>.
 20. Katz IT, Shapiro DE, Tuomala R. Factors associated with lack of viral suppression at delivery. *Ann Intern Med.* 2015;162(12):874-875. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26075762>.
 21. Momplaisir FM, Brady KA, Fekete T, Thompson DR, Diez Roux A, Yehia BR. Time of HIV diagnosis and engagement in prenatal care impact virologic outcomes of pregnant women with HIV. *PLoS One.* 2015;10(7):e0132262. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26132142>.

22. Mandelbrot L, Tubiana R, Le Chenadec J, et al. No perinatal HIV-1 transmission from women with effective antiretroviral therapy starting before conception. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2015;61(11):1715-1725. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26197844>.
23. Lilian RR, Kalk E, Bhowan K, et al. Early diagnosis of in utero and intrapartum HIV infection in infants prior to 6 weeks of age. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2012;50(7):2373-2377. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22518871>.
24. Nielsen-Saines K, Watts DH, Veloso VG, et al. Three postpartum antiretroviral regimens to prevent intrapartum HIV infection. *N Engl J Med*. 2012;366(25):2368-2379. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22716975>.
25. Burgard M, Blanche S, Jasseron C, et al. Performance of HIV-1 DNA or HIV-1 RNA tests for early diagnosis of perinatal HIV-1 infection during anti-retroviral prophylaxis. *J Pediatr*. 2012;160(1):60-66 e61. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21868029>.
26. Kuhn L, Schramm DB, Shiao S, et al. Young age at start of antiretroviral therapy and negative HIV antibody results in HIV-infected children when suppressed. *AIDS*. 2015;29(9):1053-1060. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25870988>.
27. Payne H, Mkhize N, Otwombe K, et al. Reactivity of routine HIV antibody tests in children who initiated antiretroviral therapy in early infancy as part of the children with HIV early antiretroviral therapy (CHER) trial: a retrospective analysis. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2015;15(7):803-809. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26043884>.
28. Gutierrez M, Ludwig DA, Khan SS, et al. Has highly active antiretroviral therapy increased the time to seroreversion in HIV exposed but uninfected children? *Clin Infect Dis*. 2012;55(9):1255-1261. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22851494>.
29. Gulia J, Kumwenda N, Li Q, Taha TE. HIV seroreversion time in HIV-1-uninfected children born to HIV-1-infected mothers in Malawi. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2007;46(3):332-337. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17786126>.
30. Alcantara KC, Pereira GA, Albuquerque M, Stefani MM. Seroreversion in children born to HIV-positive and AIDS mothers from Central West Brazil. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg*. 2009;103(6):620-626. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19339030>.
31. Sohn AH, Thanh TC, Thinh le Q, et al. Failure of human immunodeficiency virus enzyme immunoassay to rule out infection among polymerase chain reaction-negative Vietnamese infants at 12 months of age. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2009;28(4):273-276. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19289981>.
32. Chatpornvorarux S, Maleesatharn A, Rungmaitree S, et al. Delayed seroreversion in HIV-exposed uninfected infants. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2019;38(1):65-69. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30239474>.
33. Kline NE, Schwarzwald H, Kline MW. False negative DNA polymerase chain reaction in an infant with subtype C human immunodeficiency virus 1 infection. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2002;21(9):885-886. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12380591>.

34. Zaman MM, Recco RA, Haag R. Infection with non-B subtype HIV type 1 complicates management of established infection in adult patients and diagnosis of infection in newborn infants. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2002;34(3):417-418. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11774090>.
35. Obaro SK, Losikoff P, Harwell J, Pugatch D. Failure of serial human immunodeficiency virus type 1 DNA polymerase chain reactions to identify human immunodeficiency virus type 1 clade A/G. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2005;24(2):183-184. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15702052>.
36. Committee on Pediatric AIDS. Infant feeding and transmission of human immunodeficiency virus in the United States. *Pediatrics*. 2013;131(2):391-396. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23359577>.
37. Panel on Treatment of Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission. Recommendations for the use of antiretroviral drugs in pregnant women with HIV infection and interventions to reduce perinatal HIV transmission in the United States. 2021. Available at: https://clinicalinfo.hiv.gov/sites/default/files/guidelines/documents/Perinatal_GL_2020.pdf.
38. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Premastication of food by caregivers of HIV-exposed children—nine U.S. sites, 2009–2010. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2011;60(9):273-275. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21389930>.
39. Gaur AH, Freimanis-Hance L, Dominguez K, et al. Knowledge and practice of prechewing/prewarming food by HIV-infected women. *Pediatrics*. 2011;127(5):e1206-1211. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21482608>.
40. Hafeez S, Salami O, Alvarado M, Maldonado M, Purswani M, Hagmann S. Infant feeding practice of premastication: an anonymous survey among human immunodeficiency virus–infected mothers. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*. 2011;165(1):92-93. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21199989>.
41. Maritz ER, Kidd M, Cotton MF. Premasticating food for weaning African infants: a possible vehicle for transmission of HIV. *Pediatrics*. 2011;128(3):e579-590. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21873699>.
42. Ivy W, 3rd, Dominguez KL, Rakhmanina NY, et al. Premastication as a route of pediatric HIV transmission: case-control and cross-sectional investigations. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2012;59(2):207-212. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22027873>.
43. Gaur AH, Cohen RA, Read JS, et al. Prechewing and prewarming food for HIV-exposed children: a prospective cohort experience from Latin America. *AIDS Patient Care STDS*. 2013;27(3):142-145. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23477456>.
44. Myburgh D, Rabie H, Slogrove AL, Edson C, Cotton MF, Dramowski A. Horizontal HIV transmission to children of HIV-uninfected mothers: a case series and review of the global literature. *Int J Infect Dis*. 2020;98:315-320. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32615324>.

45. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. HIV transmission 2018. Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/basics/transmission.html>.
46. Alexander TS. Human immunodeficiency virus diagnostic testing: 30 years of evolution. *Clin Vaccine Immunol*. 2016;23(4):249-253. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26936099>.
47. Ly TD, Plantier JC, Leballais L, Gonzalo S, Lemee V, Laperche S. The variable sensitivity of HIV Ag/Ab combination assays in the detection of p24Ag according to genotype could compromise the diagnosis of early HIV infection. *J Clin Virol*. 2012;55(2):121-127. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22795598>.
48. Puthanakit T, Ananworanich J, Akapirat S, et al. Pattern and frequency of seroreactivity to Routinely used serologic tests in early-treated infants with HIV. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2020;83(3):260-266. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31917751>.
49. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. HIV-2 infection surveillance—United States, 1987–2009. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2011;60(29):985-988. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21796096>.
50. Shanmugam V, Switzer WM, Nkengasong JN, et al. Lower HIV-2 plasma viral loads may explain differences between the natural histories of HIV-1 and HIV-2 infections. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2000;24(3):257-263. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10969350>.
51. Damond F, Benard A, Balotta C, et al. An international collaboration to standardize HIV-2 viral load assays: results from the 2009 ACHI(E)V(2E) quality control study. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2011;49(10):3491-3497. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21813718>.
52. American Academy of Pediatrics Committee on Pediatric AIDS. HIV testing and prophylaxis to prevent mother-to-child transmission in the United States. *Pediatrics*. 2008;122(5):1127-1134. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18977995>.
53. Saitoh A, Hsia K, Fenton T, et al. Persistence of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) type 1 DNA in peripheral blood despite prolonged suppression of plasma HIV-1 RNA in children. *J Infect Dis*. 2002;185(10):1409-1416. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11992275>.
54. Mazanderani AH, Moyo F, Kufa T, Sherman GG. Brief Report: declining baseline viremia and escalating discordant HIV-1 confirmatory results within South Africa's Early Infant Diagnosis Program, 2010-2016. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2018;77(2):212-216. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29084045>.
55. Food and Drug Administration. APTIMA HIV-1 RNA qualitative assay. 2006. Available at: <http://www.fda.gov/BiologicsBloodVaccines/BloodBloodProducts/ApprovedProducts/LicensedProductsBLAs/BloodDonorScreening/InfectiousDisease/ucm149922.htm>.
56. Pierce VM, Neide B, Hodinka RL. Evaluation of the gen-probe aptima HIV-1 RNA qualitative assay as an alternative to Western blot analysis for confirmation of HIV infection.

- J Clin Microbiol.* 2011;49(4):1642-1645. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21346052>.
57. Fiscus SA, McMillion T, Nelson JA, Miller WC. Validation of the gen-probe aptima qualitative HIV-1 RNA assay for diagnosis of human immunodeficiency virus infection in infants. *J Clin Microbiol.* 2013;51(12):4137-4140. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24088864>.
 58. Nelson JA, Hawkins JT, Schanz M, et al. Comparison of the gen-probe aptima HIV-1 and abbot HIV-1 qualitative assays with the roche amplicor HIV-1 DNA assay for early infant diagnosis using dried blood spots. *J Clin Virol.* 2014;60(4):418-421. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24929752>.
 59. Veldsman KA, Maritz J, Isaacs S, et al. Rapid decline of HIV-1 DNA and RNA in infants starting very early antiretroviral therapy may pose a diagnostic challenge. *AIDS.* 2018;32(5):629-634. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29334551>.
 60. Veldsman KA, Janse van Rensburg A, Isaacs S, et al. HIV-1 DNA decay is faster in children who initiate ART shortly after birth than later. *J Int AIDS Soc.* 2019;22(8):e25368. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31441231>.
 61. Maritz J, Maharaj JN, Cotton MF, Preiser W. Interpretation of indeterminate HIV-1 PCR results are influenced by changing vertical transmission prevention regimens. *J Clin Virol.* 2017;95:86-89. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28898704>.
 62. Technau KG, Mazanderani AH, Kuhn L, et al. Prevalence and outcomes of HIV-1 diagnostic challenges during universal birth testing—an urban South African observational cohort. *J Int AIDS Soc.* 2017;20(Suppl 6):21761. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28872276>.
 63. Pyne MT, Hackett J, Jr., Holzmayer V, Hillyard DR. Large-scale analysis of the prevalence and geographic distribution of HIV-1 non-B variants in the United States. *J Clin Microbiol.* 2013;51(8):2662-2669. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23761148>.
 64. Nesheim SR, Linley L, Gray KM, et al. Country of birth of children with diagnosed HIV infection in the United States, 2008–2014. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr.* 2018;77(1):23-30. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29040167>.
 65. Karchava M, Pulver W, Smith L, et al. Prevalence of drug-resistance mutations and non-subtype B strains among HIV-infected infants from New York State. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr.* 2006;42(5):614-619. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16868498>.
 66. Rogo T, DeLong AK, Chan P, Kantor R. Antiretroviral treatment failure, drug resistance, and subtype diversity in the only pediatric HIV clinic in Rhode Island. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2015;60(9):1426-1435. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25637585>.
 67. Chan PA, Reitsma MB, DeLong A, et al. Phylogenetic and geospatial evaluation of HIV-1 subtype diversity at the largest HIV center in Rhode Island. *Infect Genet Evol.* 2014;28:358-366. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24721515>.

68. Bush S, Tebit DM. HIV-1 group O origin, evolution, pathogenesis, and treatment: unraveling the complexity of an outlier 25 years later. *AIDS Rev.* 2015;17(3):147-158. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26450803>.
69. Auwanit W, Isarangkura-Na-Ayuthaya P, Kasornpikul D, Ikuta K, Sawanpanyalert P, Kameoka M. Detection of drug resistance-associated and background mutations in human immunodeficiency virus type 1 CRF01_AE protease and reverse transcriptase derived from drug treatment-naïve patients residing in central Thailand. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses.* 2009;25(6):625-631. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19500016>.
70. Deshpande A, Jauvin V, Pinson P, Jeannot AC, Fleury HJ. Phylogenetic analysis of HIV-1 reverse transcriptase sequences from 382 patients recruited in JJ Hospital of Mumbai, India, between 2002 and 2008. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses.* 2009;25(6):633-635. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19534630>.
71. Chaix ML, Seng R, Frange P, et al. Increasing HIV-1 non-B subtype primary infections in patients in France and effect of HIV subtypes on virological and immunological responses to combined antiretroviral therapy. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2013;56(6):880-887. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23223603>.
72. Hemelaar J, Gouws E, Ghys PD, Osmanov S, WHO-UNAIDS Network for HIV Isolation Characterisation. Global trends in molecular epidemiology of HIV-1 during 2000–2007. *AIDS.* 2011;25(5):679-689. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21297424>.
73. Dauwe K, Mortier V, Schauvliege M, et al. Characteristics and spread to the native population of HIV-1 non-B subtypes in two European countries with high migration rate. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2015;15:524. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26572861>.
74. Hauser JR, Hong H, Babady NE, Papanicolaou GA, Tang YW. False-positive results for human immunodeficiency virus type 1 nucleic acid amplification testing in chimeric antigen receptor T-cell therapy. *J Clin Microbiol.* 2019;58(1):e01420-01419. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31694968>.
75. Laetsch TW, Maude SL, Milone MC, et al. False-positive results with select HIV-1 NAT methods following lentivirus-based tisagenlecleucel therapy. *Blood.* 2018;131(23):2596-2598. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29669777>.
76. Ariza-Heredia EJ, Granwehr BP, Viola GM, et al. False-positive HIV nucleic acid amplification testing during CAR T-cell therapy. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis.* 2017;88(4):305-307. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28610774>.
77. Milone MC, O'Doherty U. Clinical use of lentiviral vectors. *Leukemia.* 2018;32(7):1529-1541. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29654266>.
78. De Ravin SS, Gray JT, Throm RE, et al. False-positive HIV PCR test following *ex vivo* lentiviral gene transfer treatment of X-linked severe combined immunodeficiency vector. *Mol Ther.* 2014;22(2):244-245. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24487563>.

79. Torian LV, Eavey JJ, Punsalang AP, et al. HIV type 2 in New York City, 2000-2008. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2010;51(11):1334-1342. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21039219>.
80. Campbell-Yesufu OT, Gandhi RT. Update on human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-2 infection. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2011;52(6):780-787. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21367732>.
81. Prince PD, Matser A, van Tienen C, Whittle HC, Schim van der Loeff MF. Mortality rates in people dually infected with HIV-1/2 and those infected with either HIV-1 or HIV-2: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *AIDS*. 2014;28(4):549-558. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23921613>.
82. Barin F, Cazein F, Lot F, et al. Prevalence of HIV-2 and HIV-1 group O infections among new HIV diagnoses in France: 2003-2006. *AIDS*. 2007;21(17):2351-2353. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18090288>.
83. Thiebaut R, Matheron S, Taieb A, et al. Long-term nonprogressors and elite controllers in the ANRS CO5 HIV-2 cohort. *AIDS*. 2011;25(6):865-867. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21358376>.
84. Menendez-Arias L, Alvarez M. Antiretroviral therapy and drug resistance in human immunodeficiency virus type 2 infection. *Antiviral Res*. 2014;102:70-86. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24345729>.
85. Tchounga BK, Inwoley A, Coffie PA, et al. Re-testing and misclassification of HIV-2 and HIV-1 and -2 dually reactive patients among the HIV-2 cohort of the West African database to evaluate AIDS collaboration. *J Int AIDS Soc*. 2014;17:19064. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25128907>.
86. Balestre E, Ekouevi DK, Tchounga B, et al. Immunologic response in treatment-naïve HIV-2-infected patients: the IeDEA West Africa cohort. *J Int AIDS Soc*. 2016;19(1):20044. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26861115>.
87. Peruski AH, Wesolowski LG, Delaney KP, et al. Trends in HIV-2 Diagnoses and Use of the HIV-1/HIV-2 Differentiation Test—United States, 2010–2017. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2020;69(3):63-66. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31971928>.
88. Burgard M, Jasseron C, Matheron S, et al. Mother-to-child transmission of HIV-2 infection from 1986 to 2007 in the ANRS French Perinatal Cohort EPF-CO1. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2010;51(7):833-843. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20804413>.

Initial Postnatal Management of the Neonate Exposed to HIV

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Panel's Recommendations
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• All newborns who were perinatally exposed to HIV should receive appropriate antiretroviral (ARV) drugs as soon as possible, preferably within 6 hours, after delivery (see Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or Perinatal HIV) (AI).• A complete blood count and differential should be performed on newborns as a baseline evaluation (BIII).• Infants who are found to have hematologic abnormalities may need to discontinue ARV drugs. Clinicians should base the decision to discontinue ARV drugs on the individual needs of the patient. Consultation with an expert in pediatric HIV infection is advised if early discontinuation of ARV drugs is considered (CIII).• When determining the timing for subsequent monitoring of hematologic parameters in infants, clinicians need to consider the infant's baseline hematologic values, gestational age at birth, and clinical condition; whether the infant is receiving zidovudine (ZDV), other ARV drugs, or certain concomitant medications; and the specific ARV drugs used in the mother's antepartum drug regimen (CIII).• Hemoglobin and neutrophil counts should be remeasured 4 weeks after initiating an ARV regimen that contains ZDV and lamivudine (AI).• Virologic tests are required to diagnose HIV infection in infants aged <18 months (see Diagnosis of HIV Infection in Infants and Children) (AII).• To prevent <i>Pneumocystis jirovecii</i> pneumonia (PCP), all infants born to mothers with HIV should begin PCP prophylaxis at age 4 to 6 weeks, after completing their ARV prophylaxis or an empiric HIV therapy regimen, unless there is adequate test information to presumptively exclude HIV infection (see the Pediatric Opportunistic Infections Guidelines) (AII).• Health care providers should inquire routinely about infant feeding plans and/or breastfeeding desires, as well as the use of pre-masticated (pre-chewed or pre-warmed) food. Counseling against pre-mastication and discussion of safe infant feeding options should be provided (see Counseling and Managing Individuals with HIV in the United States Who Desire to Breastfeed) (AIII).
<p><i>Rating of Recommendations:</i> A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional</p> <p><i>Rating of Evidence:</i> I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion</p>

Postnatal Management of the Neonate Exposed to HIV

Following birth, infants who were exposed to HIV should have a detailed physical examination, and a thorough maternal history should be obtained. Pregnant people with HIV may have coinfections with other pathogens that can be transmitted from mother to child, such as cytomegalovirus, Zika virus, herpes simplex virus, hepatitis B, hepatitis C, syphilis, toxoplasmosis, or tuberculosis. Infants born to mothers with such coinfections should undergo the appropriate evaluations to exclude the possibility of transmission of additional infectious agents. The routine primary immunization schedule for children should be followed for infants born to mothers with HIV. The schedule may need to be modified for infants with known HIV infection (see the [Pediatric Opportunistic Infections Guidelines](#) for more information).

Infants should be monitored for the toxicities that are associated with the antiretroviral (ARV) drugs to which they were exposed *in utero* or the ARV drugs that they are receiving for the prevention of perinatal HIV transmission (see [Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or Perinatal HIV](#)). Comprehensive care also includes appropriate HIV diagnostic testing and infant feeding support to assist mothers in abstaining from breastfeeding. No evidence is available to enable the Panel on Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention to of Perinatal Transmission (Panel) to assess whether any changes in routine bathing practices or timing of circumcision are indicated for newborns with perinatal HIV exposure.

Hematologic Toxicity

A complete blood count and differential should be performed before initiating ARV drugs in newborns who were exposed to HIV (see [Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or Perinatal HIV](#)). Decisions about the timing of hematologic monitoring after birth depend on several factors, including the infant's baseline hematologic values, gestational age at birth, and clinical condition; the infant's ARV drugs and concomitant medications; and the maternal antepartum ARV drug regimen.

Older studies have shown that anemia is the primary hematologic complication in neonates who received a 6-week postnatal prophylaxis regimen with zidovudine (ZDV).¹ Some experts remeasure hemoglobin and neutrophil counts routinely after 4 weeks of ZDV prophylaxis and/or when the results of diagnostic HIV polymerase chain reaction (PCR) tests are obtained. Data are limited and somewhat mixed on infants who received ZDV in combination with other ARV drugs. Higher rates of hematologic toxicity have been observed in infants who received ZDV plus lamivudine (3TC) and other combination infant ARV regimens—such as ZDV plus 3TC plus nevirapine (NVP)—than in those who received ZDV alone.²⁻⁶ Although a recent study from Thailand observed significantly higher Grade 2 anemia at age 1 month in high-risk infants who received ZDV plus 3TC plus NVP compared to low-risk infants who received ZDV alone, these differences did not persist past 2 months of age. In addition, a recent study from the European Pregnancy and Paediatric HIV Cohort Collaboration (EPPICC) evaluated 1,836 infants who were exposed to HIV but uninfected (HEU) and who were receiving ARV drugs. The presence of Grade 3 or 4 anemia in the first 6 months of life was not associated with the infants' ARV regimens (adjusted odds ratio [aOR] 1.04 for one-drug regimens, $P = 0.879$; aOR 1.60 for three-drug vs. two-drug regimens, $P = 0.277$). Likewise, the presence of Grade 3 or 4 neutropenia in the first 6 months of life was not associated with the infants' ARV regimens ([aOR 1.33 for one-drug regimens; $P = 0.330$] aOR 1.98 for three-drug vs. two-drug regimens; $P = 0.113$).⁷ Hemoglobin level and neutrophil count testing should be repeated 4 weeks after initiating ARV drugs and/or at the time that diagnostic HIV PCR testing is done in infants who receive regimens that contain ZDV and 3TC.^{5,6}

Older studies previously have shown that the association between *in utero* exposure to maternal ARV drugs and anemia and/or neutropenia in infants was greater in infants with *in utero* exposure to combination ARV drug regimens than in infants with exposure to ZDV alone.⁸⁻¹⁰ In the Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group (PACTG), Protocol 316, where 77% of mothers received antenatal combination therapy, significant Grade 3 or higher anemia was noted in 13% of infants, and significant Grade 3 or higher neutropenia was noted in 12% of infants. Some experts recommend more intensive hematologic monitoring in infants who were exposed to combination ARV drug regimens *in utero* or during the neonatal period. These tests should be performed at birth and when diagnostic HIV PCR tests also are obtained.

Infants who are found to have hematologic abnormalities may need to discontinue ARV drugs. Clinicians should base the decision to discontinue ARV drugs on the individual needs of the patient. Considerations include the extent of the abnormality, whether related symptoms are present, the duration of ARV drugs received by the infant, and the risk of HIV infection (as assessed by maternal history of ARV drugs, maternal viral load near delivery, and mode of delivery). A 4-week ZDV regimen has been reported to result in earlier recovery from anemia in HIV-exposed but otherwise healthy infants than the 6-week ZDV regimen.¹¹ A 4-week (instead of a 6-week) ZDV neonatal regimen is recommended when the mother has received standard antiretroviral therapy (ART) during pregnancy and has had consistent viral suppression and appropriate adherence; the shorter regimen may mitigate the risk of anemia in HEU (see [Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or Perinatal HIV](#)).¹²

Hyperbilirubinemia

Hyperbilirubinemia has been observed in HIV-exposed infants receiving raltegravir (RAL) through 6 weeks of life. The International Maternal Pediatric Adolescent AIDS Clinical Trials Network (IMPAACT) P1110 study reported Grade 3 to Grade 4 levels of increased bilirubin in 3 of 52 infants. However, no bilirubin levels exceeded 16 mg/dL, and no infants required phototherapy or other clinical treatment for hyperbilirubinemia.¹³ RAL at extremely high levels may displace unconjugated bilirubin from albumin, increasing the potential risk of bilirubin-induced neurologic dysfunction.¹⁴ Because of the possible risk of hyperbilirubinemia, serum total and direct bilirubin measurement may be considered in infants receiving RAL.

Hyperlactatemia

Hyperlactatemia has been reported in infants with *in utero* exposure to ARV drugs, but it appears to be transient and, in most cases, asymptomatic.^{15,16} Routine measurement of serum lactate to assess for potential mitochondrial toxicity is not recommended in asymptomatic neonates because the clinical relevance of hyperlactatemia is unknown and the value of lactate levels as a predictive measure of toxicity appears to be poor.^{15,16} However, serum lactate measurement should be considered for infants who develop severe clinical symptoms of unknown etiology, particularly neurologic symptoms. ARV drugs should be discontinued in cases where infants develop symptoms or when serum lactate levels are significantly abnormal (i.e., levels >5 mmol/L). An expert in pediatric HIV infection should be consulted about initiating alternative ARV regimens or the discontinuation of ARV drugs.

Prophylaxis Against *Pneumocystis jirovecii* Pneumonia

To prevent *Pneumocystis jirovecii* pneumonia, all infants born to mothers with HIV should begin trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole prophylaxis at age 4 to 6 weeks, after completing the infant ARV regimen, unless adequate virologic test information exists to presumptively exclude HIV infection (see the [Pneumocystis jirovecii Pneumonia](#) section of the [Pediatric Opportunistic Infections Guidelines](#)).¹⁷ With appropriate follow-up to support the recommended diagnostic testing schedule, most infants with perinatal HIV exposure do not require trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole prophylaxis because HIV can be presumptively excluded by the time their infant ARV regimen is completed (see [Diagnosis of HIV Infection in Infants and Children](#)).

HIV Testing of the Infant

All infants who were perinatally exposed to HIV require virologic HIV testing (i.e., HIV RNA or HIV DNA nucleic acid tests) to diagnose or exclude HIV infection. For a detailed discussion of HIV testing, including types of tests and the recommended HIV testing schedule, see [Diagnosis of HIV Infection in Infants and Children](#).

Infant Feeding Practices and Risk of HIV Transmission

In the United States, it is recommended that people with HIV refrain from breastfeeding their infants because safe infant feeding alternatives are available.¹⁸ Maternal ART is likely to reduce free virus in breast milk, but cell-associated virus (intracellular HIV DNA) remains unaffected and may continue to pose a transmission risk.¹⁹ However, clinicians should be aware that some individuals may face considerable social, familial, and personal pressures to breastfeed despite this recommendation (see [Counseling and Managing Individuals with HIV in the United States Who Desire to Breastfeed](#)). It is important to address a woman's desire to breastfeed and potential barriers to formula feeding as early as possible in the antenatal period.

Some HIV transmission events that occurred in later infancy are thought to have resulted from feeding infants solid food that had been pre-masticated (pre-chewed or pre-warmed) by caregivers with HIV. Phylogenetic comparisons of virus from cases and suspected sources, as well as supporting clinical history, identified the practice of feeding pre-masticated foods to infants as a potential risk factor for HIV transmission. Health care providers should routinely inquire about pre-mastication, instruct caregivers with HIV not to perform this feeding practice, and advise on safer feeding options.^{20,21}

References

1. Connor EM, Sperling RS, Gelber R, et al. Reduction of maternal-infant transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 with zidovudine treatment. Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group Protocol 076 Study Group. *N Engl J Med.* 1994;331(18):1173-1180. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7935654>.
2. Nielsen-Saines K, Watts DH, Veloso VG, et al. Three postpartum antiretroviral regimens to prevent intrapartum HIV infection. *N Engl J Med.* 2012;366(25):2368-2379. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22716975>.
3. Smith C, Forster JE, Levin MJ, et al. Serious adverse events are uncommon with combination neonatal antiretroviral prophylaxis: a retrospective case review. *PLoS One.* 2015;10(5):e0127062. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26000984>.
4. Kakkar FW, Samson L, Vaudry W, et al. Safety of combination antiretroviral prophylaxis in high-risk HIV-exposed newborns: a retrospective review of the Canadian experience. *J Int AIDS Soc.* 2016;19(1):20520. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26880241>.
5. Mandelbrot L, Landreau-Mascaro A, Rekacewicz C, et al. Lamivudine-zidovudine combination for prevention of maternal-infant transmission of HIV-1. *JAMA.* 2001;285(16):2083-2093. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11311097>.
6. Anugulruengkitt S, Suntarattiwong P, Ounchanum P, et al. Safety of 6-week neonatal triple-combination antiretroviral postexposure prophylaxis in high-risk HIV-exposed infants. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2019;38(10):1045-1050. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31365477>.
7. European Pregnancy Paediatric HIV Cohort Collaboration study group in EuroCoord. Severe haematologic toxicity is rare in high risk HIV-exposed infants receiving combination neonatal prophylaxis. *HIV Med.* 2019;20(5):291-307. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30844150>.
8. Feiterna-Sperling C, Weizsaecker K, Buhner C, et al. Hematologic effects of maternal antiretroviral therapy and transmission prophylaxis in HIV-1-exposed uninfected newborn infants. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr.* 2007;45(1):43-51. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17356471>.
9. El Beitune P, Duarte G. Antiretroviral agents during pregnancy: consequences on hematologic parameters in HIV-exposed, uninfected newborn infant. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* 2006;128(1-2):59-63. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16876310>.
10. Dryden-Peterson S, Shapiro RL, Hughes MD, et al. Increased risk of severe infant anemia after exposure to maternal HAART, Botswana. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr.* 2011;56(5):428-436. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21266910>.

11. Lahoz R, Noguera A, Rovira N, et al. Antiretroviral-related hematologic short-term toxicity in healthy infants: implications of the new neonatal 4-week zidovudine regimen. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2010;29(4):376-379. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19949355>.
12. Ferguson W, Goode M, Walsh A, Gavin P, Butler K. Evaluation of 4 weeks' neonatal antiretroviral prophylaxis as a component of a prevention of mother-to-child transmission program in a resource-rich setting. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2011;30(5):408-412. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21266939>.
13. Clarke DF, Acosta EP, Cababasay M, et al. Raltegravir (RAL) in neonates: dosing, pharmacokinetics (PK), and safety in HIV-1-exposed neonates at risk of infection (IMPAACT P1110). *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2020;84(1):70-77. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31913995>.
14. Clarke DF, Wong RJ, Wenning L, Stephenson DK, Mirochnick M. Raltegravir in vitro effect on bilirubin binding. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2013;32(9):978-980. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23470680>.
15. Ekouevi DK, Toure R, Becquet R, et al. Serum lactate levels in infants exposed peripartum to antiretroviral agents to prevent mother-to-child transmission of HIV: Agence Nationale de Recherches Sur le SIDA et les Hepatites Virales 1209 study, Abidjan, Ivory Coast. *Pediatrics*. 2006;118(4):e1071-1077. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16950945>.
16. Noguera A, Fortuny C, Munoz-Almagro C, et al. Hyperlactatemia in human immunodeficiency virus-uninfected infants who are exposed to antiretrovirals. *Pediatrics*. 2004;114(5):e598-603. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15492359>.
17. Mofenson LM, Brady MT, Danner SP, et al. Guidelines for the prevention and treatment of opportunistic infections among HIV-exposed and HIV-infected children: recommendations from CDC, the National Institutes of Health, the HIV Medicine Association of the Infectious Diseases Society of America, the Pediatric Infectious Diseases Society, and the American Academy of Pediatrics. *MMWR Recomm Rep*. 2009;58(RR-11):1-166. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19730409>.
18. Committee on Pediatric AIDS. Infant feeding and transmission of human immunodeficiency virus in the United States. *Pediatrics*. 2013;131(2):391-396. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23359577>.
19. Gaillard P, Fowler MG, Dabis F, et al. Use of antiretroviral drugs to prevent HIV-1 transmission through breast-feeding: from animal studies to randomized clinical trials. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2004;35(2):178-187. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14722452>.
20. Ivy W, 3rd, Dominguez KL, Rakhmanina NY, et al. Premastication as a route of pediatric HIV transmission: case-control and cross-sectional investigations. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2012;59(2):207-212. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22027873>.

21. Gaur AH, Dominguez KL, Kalish ML, et al. Practice of feeding pre-masticated food to infants: a potential risk factor for HIV transmission. *Pediatrics*. 2009;124(2):658-666. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19620190>.

Long-Term Follow-Up of Infants Exposed to Antiretroviral Drugs

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Panel's Recommendations
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Children with <i>in utero</i> or neonatal exposure to antiretroviral (ARV) drugs who develop significant organ system abnormalities of unknown etiology, particularly of the nervous system or heart, should be evaluated for potential metabolic dysfunction (CIII).• It is important that the long-term medical record of a child without HIV includes information about <i>in utero</i> and neonatal ARV exposure (BIII).
<i>Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional</i>
<i>Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion</i>

Beginning in the 1990s, long-term monitoring and outcomes studies, as well as ongoing surveillance and research, have been conducted to assess whether *in utero* exposure to antiretroviral (ARV) drugs may pose later risks to children's health. These studies include children without HIV infection who are born to mothers with HIV (e.g., the Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trial Group [PACTG] Late Outcomes Study and the Surveillance Monitoring for ART Toxicities [SMARTT] study from the Pediatric HIV/AIDS Cohort Study [PHACS]). Participation of children and their parents in observational studies provides an essential contribution to the research needed to monitor and identify long-term health outcomes following *in utero* HIV and ARV exposure. Available evidence does not permit definitive conclusions about whether *in utero* exposure to HIV and ARV agents might affect immune function, infectious morbidity, growth, cardiometabolic health, neurodevelopment, mitochondrial function, or cancer risk from infancy through adulthood. Furthermore, long-term investigation of potential HIV- and/or ARV-related toxicities is required, especially as antiretroviral therapy (ART) for pregnant people with HIV evolves. It is important to include information about perinatal exposure to HIV and ARV agents in the long-term medical record of a child without HIV in the event that the child develops unusual symptoms later in life or if adverse late effects of HIV or ARV exposure in children without HIV are identified in the future.¹⁻³

Potential Increased Morbidity and Mortality

In general, the risks for increased morbidity and mortality are greater in infants who are HIV exposed but uninfected (HEU) than in infants who are HIV unexposed and uninfected (HUU). These differences are more pronounced in infants from low- and middle-income countries than in infants from high-income countries.⁴ Higher rates of morbidity and mortality were observed in infants and children in Botswana who were HEU than in those who were HUU, with the strongest predictors of 24-month mortality being HEU status and formula feeding.^{5,6} In a meta-analysis, all-cause mortality risk was higher in infants and children who were HEU than in those who were HUU.⁷ Further research is needed to confirm these results and to elucidate an immunologic basis for the increased susceptibility of infants and children who were HEU to invasive infections.⁸

Potential Immunologic Dysfunction and Infectious Morbidity

The potential long-term impact of HIV/ARV exposure on the immune system of an infant without HIV is unclear. In a recent meta-analysis, infants who were HEU had a 50% and 70% increased risk for diarrhea and pneumonia, respectively, compared with infants who were HUU in the first 6 months of life,⁹ though recent studies in South Africa have not shown increased infectious morbidity at 3 to 5 years of life.¹⁰ The French Perinatal Cohort Group has observed an increased risk of serious bacterial infections with encapsulated organisms in HEU infants born to mothers with HIV with low CD4 T lymphocyte (CD4) cell counts near the time of delivery.¹¹ In the United States, rates of infection-related hospitalizations in the first 2 years of life have been found to be higher among infants who were HEU than in infants who were HUU, with respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) and parainfluenza playing a potential role in these differences.^{12,13} In South Africa, studies have reported higher rates of lower respiratory tract and diarrheal illnesses in the first 6 months of life, as well as infectious-cause hospitalizations between 1 month to 12 months of age in infants who were HEU compared with infants who were HUU.^{14,15} A potential association between maternal viral load at delivery and infant immunity also was documented previously where infants who were HEU born to mothers with a viral load >1,000 copies/mL had lower CD4 counts than those born to mothers whose viral load was <50 copies/mL at delivery.¹⁶ Immune phenotyping suggests that exposure to HIV *in utero* may be associated with perturbations in infant CD4 and CD8 cell-mediated immune responses, rather than humoral responses, resulting in T-cell dysfunction and altered vaccine responses in infants who were HEU.^{13,17,18} These observations have been supported by data showing increased monocyte activation and proinflammatory responses with downregulation of genes involved in neutrophil-mediated immunity in infants who were HEU compared with infants who were HUU.¹⁹⁻²⁶

Potential Adverse Growth and Metabolic Outcomes

Similar to patterns of overall morbidity and mortality in infants who were HEU, the effect of *in utero* HIV/ARV exposure on infant and child growth largely has differed between low- and high-income settings.²⁷⁻³⁵ Among studies that compared growth in children who were HEU with those who were HUU, a Nigerian study reported compromised growth in those who were HEU. Studies from South Africa, Malawi, and Uganda documented persistently lower weight-for-age z-scores (WAZ) and length-for-age z-scores (LAZ) in early childhood, as well as higher rates of stunting (length or height for age z-score < -2) in those who were HEU.^{30,33-37} Among studies that included only children who were HEU, a large study in Ethiopia demonstrated that maternal ART at conception was associated with higher rates of stunting in children who were HEU.³² The Sanitation Hygiene Infant Nutrition Efficacy (SHINE) trial from Zimbabwe reported a similar trend of increased stunting in infants who were HEU.³¹ These changes may reflect disruption to the growth hormone axis in infants who were HEU compared with infants who were HUU.³¹ However, in a large Danish study of postnatal growth through 5 years of life, no significant differences in WAZ after 2 weeks of life or LAZ after 6 months of life were noted between children who were HEU and a matched comparator group of children who were HUU.³⁸ Furthermore, the PHACS SMARTT study in the United States noted above-average weight in children who were HEU compared with children in the general pediatric population.²⁸ This positive relationship may carry potential long-term cardiometabolic risk for children from high-income settings who were HEU. PHACS SMARTT has found high rates of obesity in children and adolescents who were HEU,³⁹ and obese children and adolescents who were HEU have a greater risk for systolic and diastolic hypertension than obese children and adolescents in the general pediatric population.⁴⁰ Although early derangements in fuel utilization and intermediary metabolism have been

described in infants who were HEU in the United States and Africa, the significance of these findings on long-term metabolic health remains unclear.⁴¹⁻⁴³

Potential Neurodevelopmental Outcomes

Studies investigating whether the risk for poor neurodevelopmental outcomes is higher in children who were HEU than in those who were HUU have not been conclusive.⁴⁴⁻⁴⁸ The heterogeneity of study populations and study designs may further complicate the interpretation of conflicting results from different studies. Several studies found no differences in early neurodevelopment between children who were HEU and those who were HUU.⁴⁸⁻⁵⁰ However, some studies reported an increased risk for poorer neurodevelopmental outcomes in children who were HEU.^{45-47,49-53} Some studies evaluated whether maternal factors or *in utero* ARV drug exposure contributed to adverse neurodevelopmental outcomes among children who were HEU. Although **delayed** infant neurodevelopment was associated with maternal viremia in one study⁵⁴ and with *in utero* efavirenz exposure in another,⁵⁵ many studies have not identified associations between maternal ARV use and infant neurodevelopment.^{52,54,56-58} In the PHACS SMARTT study, children who were HEU with *in utero* exposure to efavirenz had a greater risk of microcephaly than those without *in utero* efavirenz exposure (see [Teratogenicity](#)). Neurodevelopmental assessments at ages 1 year and 5 years demonstrated that children who were HEU with microcephaly had lower mean scores and a higher prevalence of neurodevelopmental impairment than children who were HEU without microcephaly.^{59,60} At present, no definitive evidence shows an association between *in utero* exposure to specific ARV drugs and poorer neurodevelopmental outcomes.⁶¹

Potential Mitochondrial Toxicity

Nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NRTI) drugs induce some degree of mitochondrial dysfunction, reflecting varying affinity for mitochondrial gamma DNA polymerase. This affinity can interfere with mitochondrial replication, resulting in mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) depletion and dysfunction.⁶²⁻⁶⁴ Aberrant histological morphology of mitochondria, mtDNA mutations, alterations in mtDNA levels in cord blood mononuclear cells, and even aneuploidy in cord blood cells have all been described in neonates **and young children** exposed *in utero* to NRTI drugs.^{2,65-67} The degree to which these documented mitochondrial abnormalities are clinically relevant is unknown, but they are outweighed significantly by the robust, proven efficacy of maternal and infant ARV prophylaxis in preventing perinatal HIV transmission.^{2,68}

Evidence of clinically apparent effects of mitochondrial toxicity also is conflicting. Although earlier studies from the French Perinatal Study Group cohort noted a significantly increased incidence of clinical effects reflecting either established or possible mitochondrial dysfunction—including seizures, cognitive and motor delays, abnormal neuroimaging, hyperlactatemia, cardiac dysfunction, and two deaths (**21** of 2,644 infants vs. 0 of 1,748 infants with and without exposure to *in utero* ARV drugs, respectively; $P < 0.002$)^{69,70}—low rates of hyperlactatemia (3.4%) have been documented among infants who were HEU born to mothers with HIV in the United States who were receiving ART during pregnancy.⁷¹ In addition, further clinical studies from the United States and Europe did not corroborate findings from the French studies.⁷²⁻⁷⁸ Some small alterations in mtDNA and oxidative phosphorylation enzyme activities were documented in stored specimens from children who were HEU in the U.S. PACTG 219/219C trial, but the clinical significance of these observations is unknown.^{79,80}

Mitochondrial dysfunction should be considered in children without HIV but with perinatal exposure to ARV drugs who present with clinical findings of unknown etiology, particularly neurologic findings.

Potential Cancer Risk and Exposure to Nucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitor Drugs

Animal studies have reported potential transplacental genotoxicity of nucleoside analogue therapy in monkeys, and micro-nucleated erythrocytes have been identified in infants with *in utero* nucleoside analogue exposure.^{81,82} A report from the French Perinatal Cohort described 21 cancers among 15,163 children without HIV (median age 9.9 years) exposed *in utero* to HIV and at least one NRTI drug.^{83,84} Among the NRTIs studied, didanosine (which **is no longer recommended**) potentially was associated with risk of cancer. In a study in the United States, four cancer diagnoses occurred among 3,087 children exposed to HIV; the number of cancer cases did not differ significantly from the number of cases expected based on national reference rates.⁸⁵ Continued follow-up of children who were HIV and ARV exposed but uninfected is needed to evaluate the potential risk of cancer as these children age into adulthood.

Conclusion

In the United States, ongoing evaluation of the early and late effects of *in utero* exposure to ARV drugs and of infant feeding practices is occurring in the PHACS SMARTT study, natural history studies, and HIV/AIDS surveillance conducted by state health departments, as well as the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. It is critical that studies to evaluate potential adverse effects of *in utero* drug exposure continue to be supported given the fast pace at which newly developed ARV drugs are being made available to pregnant people who have HIV. HIV surveillance databases from states that require HIV reporting provide an opportunity to collect population-based information concerning *in utero* exposure to ARV drugs. To the extent permitted by federal law and regulations, the data from these confidential registries can be compared with information from birth defects and cancer registries to identify potential adverse outcomes of *in utero* ARV drug exposure.

References

1. Mofenson LM, Watts DH. Safety of pediatric HIV elimination: the growing population of HIV- and antiretroviral-exposed but uninfected infants. *PLoS Med*. 2014;11(4):e1001636. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24781352>.
2. Jao J, Abrams EJ. Metabolic complications of in utero maternal HIV and antiretroviral exposure in HIV-exposed Infants. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2014;33(7):734-740. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24378947>.
3. Hazra R, Siberry GK, Mofenson LM. Growing up with HIV: children, adolescents, and young adults with perinatally acquired HIV infection. *Annu Rev Med*. 2010;61:169-185. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19622036>.
4. Yeganeh N, Watts DH, Xu J, et al. Infectious morbidity, mortality and nutrition in HIV-exposed, uninfected, formula-fed infants: results from the HPTN 040/PACTG 1043 trial. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2018;37(12):1271-1278. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29750766>.
5. Dryden-Peterson S, Ramos T, Shapiro R, Lockman S. Maternal ART and hospitalization or death among HIV-exposed uninfected infants. Presented at: The 23rd Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections 2016. Seattle, WA.
6. Ajibola G, Leidner J, Mayondi GK, et al. HIV exposure and formula feeding predict under-2 mortality in HIV-uninfected children, Botswana. *J Pediatr*. 2018;203:68-75 e62. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30318370>.
7. Brennan AT, Bonawitz R, Gill CJ, et al. A meta-analysis assessing all-cause mortality in HIV-exposed uninfected compared with HIV-unexposed uninfected infants and children. *AIDS*. 2016;30(15):2351-2360. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27456985>.
8. Ruck C, Reikie BA, Marchant A, Kollmann TR, Kakkar F. Linking susceptibility to infectious diseases to immune system abnormalities among HIV-exposed uninfected infants. *Front Immunol*. 2016;7:310. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27594857>.
9. Brennan AT, Bonawitz R, Gill CJ, et al. A meta-analysis assessing diarrhea and pneumonia in HIV-exposed uninfected compared with HIV-unexposed uninfected infants and children. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2019;82(1):1-8. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31408450>.
10. Rotheram-Borus MJ, Wynn A, Stewart J, et al. Outcomes of HIV-exposed but uninfected children in South Africa over 5 years. *AIDS*. 2021;35(2):347-349. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33394675>.
11. Taron-Brocard C, Le Chenadec J, Faye A, et al. Increased risk of serious bacterial infections due to maternal immunosuppression in HIV-exposed uninfected infants in a European country. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2014;59(9):1332-1345. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25053719>.

12. Labuda SM, Huo Y, Kacanek D, et al. Rates of hospitalization and infection-related hospitalization among human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-exposed uninfected children compared to HIV-unexposed uninfected children in the United States, 2007–2016. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2020;71(2):332-339. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31504291>.
13. Smith C, Huo Y, Patel K, et al. Immunologic and virologic factors associated with hospitalization in human immunodeficiency virus–exposed, uninfected infants in the United States. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2021;73(6):1089-1096. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34157096>.
14. le Roux SM, Abrams EJ, Donald KA, et al. Infectious morbidity of breastfed, HIV-exposed uninfected infants under conditions of universal antiretroviral therapy in South Africa: a prospective cohort study. *Lancet Child Adolesc Health*. 2020;4(3):220-231. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31932246>.
15. Anderson K, Kalk E, Madlala HP, et al. Increased infectious-cause hospitalization among infants who are HIV-exposed uninfected compared with HIV-unexposed. *AIDS*. 2021;35(14):2327-2339. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34324450>.
16. Kakkar F, Lamarre V, Ducruet T, et al. Impact of maternal HIV-1 viremia on lymphocyte subsets among HIV-exposed uninfected infants: protective mechanism or immunodeficiency. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2014;14:236. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24885498>.
17. Kidzeru EB, Hesseling AC, Passmore JA, et al. In-utero exposure to maternal HIV infection alters T-cell immune responses to vaccination in HIV-uninfected infants. *AIDS*. 2014;28(10):1421-1430. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24785950>.
18. Jalbert E, Williamson KM, Kroehl ME, et al. HIV-exposed uninfected infants have increased regulatory T cells that correlate with decreased T cell function. *Front Immunol*. 2019;10:595. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30972079>.
19. Schoeman JC, Moutloatse GP, Harms AC, et al. Fetal metabolic stress disrupts immune homeostasis and induces proinflammatory responses in human immunodeficiency virus type 1- and combination antiretroviral therapy–exposed infants. *J Infect Dis*. 2017;216(4):436-446. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28633455>.
20. Evans C, Chasekwa B, Rukobo S, et al. Inflammation, CMV and the growth hormone axis in HIV-exposed uninfected infants. Abstract 873. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections; 2018. Boston, Massachusetts. Available at: <http://www.croiconference.org/sessions/inflammation-cmv-and-growth-hormone-axis-hiv-exposed-uninfected-infants>.
21. Mussi-Pinhata MM, Weinberg A, Yu Q, et al. Increased inflammation and monocyte activation in HIV-exposed uninfected infants. Abstract 880. Presented at: Conference Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections; 2018. Boston, Massachusetts. Available at: <http://www.croiconference.org/sessions/increased-inflammation-and-monocyte-activation-hiv-exposed-uninfected-infants-0>.
22. Broncano PG, Kgole SW, Masasa G, et al. Innate immune activation among HIV-1 exposed uninfected infants from Botswana. Abstract 881. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses

- Opportunistic Infections; 2018. Boston, Massachusetts. Available at: <http://www.croiconference.org/sessions/innate-immune-activation-among-hiv-1-exposed-uninfected-infants-botswana>.
23. Mitchell C, Dominguez S, George V, et al. Microbial translocation, immune activation, and gut dysbiosis in HIV-exposed infants. Abstract 882. Presented at: Conferences on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections; 2018. Boston, Massachusetts. Available at: <http://www.croiconference.org/sessions/microbial-translocation-immune-activation-and-gut-dysbiosis-hiv-exposed-infants-0>.
 24. Dirajlal-Fargo S, Mussi-Pinhata MM, Weinberg A, et al. HIV-exposed-uninfected infants have increased inflammation and monocyte activation. *AIDS*. 2019;33(5):845-853. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30649056>.
 25. Gabriel B, Medin C, Alves J, et al. Analysis of the TCR repertoire in HIV-exposed but uninfected infants. *Sci Rep*. 2019;9(1):11954. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31420576>.
 26. Musimbi ZD, Rono MK, Otieno JR, et al. Peripheral blood mononuclear cell transcriptomes reveal an over-representation of down-regulated genes associated with immunity in HIV-exposed uninfected infants. *Sci Rep*. 2019;9(1):18124. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31792230>.
 27. Neri D, Somarriba GA, Schaefer NN, et al. Growth and body composition of uninfected children exposed to human immunodeficiency virus: comparison with a contemporary cohort and United States National Standards. *J Pediatr*. 2013;163(1):249-254 e241-242. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23360565>.
 28. Jacobson DL, Patel K, Williams PL, et al. Growth at 2 years of age in HIV-exposed uninfected children in the United States by trimester of maternal antiretroviral initiation. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2017;36(2):189-197. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27798548>.
 29. Sudfeld CR, Lei Q, Chinyanga Y, et al. Linear growth faltering among HIV-exposed uninfected children. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2016;73(2):182-189. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27116046>.
 30. Aizire J, Sikorskii A, Ogwang LW, et al. Decreased growth among antiretroviral drug and HIV-exposed uninfected versus unexposed children in Malawi and Uganda. *AIDS*. 2020;34(2):215-225. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31634154>.
 31. Evans C, Chasekwa B, Ntozini R, et al. Mortality, HIV transmission and growth in children exposed to HIV in rural Zimbabwe. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2020;ciaa076. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31974572>.
 32. Ejigu Y, Magnus JH, Sundby J, Magnus MC. Differences in growth of HIV-exposed uninfected infants in Ethiopia according to timing of in-utero antiretroviral therapy exposure. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2020;39(8):730-736. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32516280>.

33. Lane CE, Widen EM, Collins SM, Young SL. HIV-Exposed, uninfected infants in Uganda experience poorer growth and body composition trajectories than HIV-unexposed infants. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2020;85(2):138-147. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32604132>.
34. Pillay L, Moodley D, Emel LM, Nkwanyana NM, Naidoo K. Growth patterns and clinical outcomes in association with breastfeeding duration in HIV exposed and unexposed infants: a cohort study in KwaZulu Natal, South Africa. *BMC Pediatr*. 2021;21(1):183. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33874900>.
35. Fowler MG, Aizire J, Sikorskii A, et al. Growth deficits in antiretroviral and HIV exposed uninfected versus unexposed children in Malawi and Uganda persist through 60 months of age. *AIDS*. 2021. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34750297>.
36. Jumare J, Datong P, Osawe S, et al. Compromised growth among HIV-exposed uninfected compared with unexposed children in Nigeria. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2019;38(3):280-286. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30418356>.
37. le Roux SM, Abrams EJ, Donald KA, et al. Growth trajectories of breastfed HIV-exposed uninfected and HIV-unexposed children under conditions of universal maternal antiretroviral therapy: a prospective study. *Lancet Child Adolesc Health*. 2019;3(4):234-244. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30773459>.
38. Moseholm E, Helleberg M, Sandholdt H, et al. Children exposed or unexposed to human immunodeficiency virus: weight, height, and body mass index during the first 5 years of life—a Danish nationwide cohort. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2020;70(10):2168-2177. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31271208>.
39. Jacobson DL, Patel K, Siberry GK, et al. Body fat distribution in perinatally HIV-infected and HIV-exposed but uninfected children in the era of highly active antiretroviral therapy: outcomes from the Pediatric HIV/AIDS Cohort Study. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2011;94(6):1485-1495. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22049166>.
40. Jao J, Jacobson DL, Yu W, et al. A comparison of metabolic outcomes between obese HIV-exposed uninfected youth from the PHACS SMARTT study and HIV-unexposed youth from the NHANES study in the United States. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2019;81(3):319-327. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30844997>.
41. Kirmse B, Hobbs CV, Peter I, et al. Abnormal newborn screens and acylcarnitines in HIV-exposed and ARV-exposed infants. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2013;32(2):146-150. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22935866>.
42. Jao J, Kirmse B, Yu C, et al. Lower preprandial insulin and altered fuel use in HIV/antiretroviral-exposed infants in Cameroon. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2015;100(9):3260-3269. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26133363>.
43. Jao J, Balmert LC, Sun S, et al. Distinct cord blood C-peptide, adipokine, and lipidomic signatures by in utero HIV exposure. *Pediatr Res*. 2021. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34446848>.

44. McHenry MS, McAteer CI, Oyungu E, et al. Neurodevelopment in young children born to HIV-infected mothers: a meta-analysis. *Pediatrics*. 2018;141(2). Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29374109>.
45. Madlala HP, Myer L, Malaba TR, Newell ML. Neurodevelopment of HIV-exposed uninfected children in Cape Town, South Africa. *PLoS One*. 2020;15(11):e0242244. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33206724>.
46. Sevenoaks T, Wedderburn CJ, Donald KA, et al. Association of maternal and infant inflammation with neurodevelopment in HIV-exposed uninfected children in a South African birth cohort. *Brain Behav Immun*. 2021;91:65-73. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32860940>.
47. White M, Connor KL. In utero HIV exposure and the early nutritional environment influence infant neurodevelopment: findings from an evidenced review and meta-analysis. *Nutrients*. 2020;12(11). Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33147767>.
48. Zhang X, Wang L, Han J, et al. In utero antiretroviral exposure and sociodemographic characteristics on neurodevelopment of HIV-exposed uninfected children versus HIV-unexposed uninfected healthy children in Malawi. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet*. 2021;153(3):424-437. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33270235>.
49. Kerr SJ, Puthanakit T, Vibol U, et al. Neurodevelopmental outcomes in HIV-exposed-uninfected children versus those not exposed to HIV. *AIDS Care*. 2014;26(11):1327-1335. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24878112>.
50. Springer PE, Slogrove AL, Kidd M, et al. Neurodevelopmental and behavioural outcomes of HIV-exposed uninfected and HIV-unexposed children at 2-3 years of age in Cape Town, South Africa. *AIDS Care*. 2020;32(4):411-419. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31280587>.
51. Wedderburn CJ, Yeung S, Rehman AM, et al. Neurodevelopment of HIV-exposed uninfected children in South Africa: outcomes from an observational birth cohort study. *Lancet Child Adolesc Health*. 2019;3(11):803-813. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31515160>.
52. Piske M, Budd MA, Qiu AQ, et al. Neurodevelopmental outcomes and in-utero antiretroviral exposure in HIV-exposed uninfected children. *AIDS*. 2018;32(17):2583-2592. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30134292>.
53. Ntozini R, Chandna J, Evans C, et al. Early child development in children who are HIV-exposed uninfected compared to children who are HIV-unexposed: observational sub-study of a cluster-randomized trial in rural Zimbabwe. *J Int AIDS Soc*. 2020;23(5):e25456. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32386127>.
54. le Roux SM, Donald KA, Kroon M, et al. HIV Viremia during pregnancy and neurodevelopment of HIV-exposed uninfected children in the context of universal antiretroviral therapy and breastfeeding: a prospective study. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2019;38(1):70-75. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30234792>.

Appendix A: Review of Clinical Trials of Antiretroviral Interventions to Prevent Perinatal HIV Transmission (Last updated December 7, 2018; last reviewed December 7, 2018)

One of the major achievements in HIV research was the demonstration by the PACTG 076 clinical trial that administering zidovudine to pregnant women and their infants could reduce the risk of perinatal transmission by nearly 70%.¹ Following the results of PACTG 076, researchers began to explore the development of shorter, less expensive prophylactic regimens that are more applicable in resource-constrained settings. In addition, multiple studies have tried to determine the optimal regimens for reducing the risk of postnatal transmission during breastfeeding. More recently, in the context of recommendations for universal antiretroviral therapy (ART), studies have also explored the efficacy of universal ART during pregnancy and breastfeeding. This Appendix provides a table summarizing the results of major studies of antiretroviral (ARV) interventions used to prevent perinatal transmission (see Supplemental Table 1) and a brief discussion of lessons learned. In many cases, a direct comparison of results from these trials is not possible because the studies involved diverse patient populations from different geographic locations, with differing viral subtypes and infant feeding practices. However, some generalizations are relevant to understanding the use of ARV drugs for prevention of perinatal transmission in both resource-limited and resource-rich countries. Furthermore, these studies have provided critical information elucidating the risks, timing, and mechanisms of perinatal transmission.

ART is more effective antenatally in reducing perinatal transmission than a single-drug prophylactic regimen.

ARV drugs are highly effective at preventing perinatal transmission, even in women living with advanced HIV.^{2,3} Efficacy has been demonstrated for a number of short-course ARV regimens, including zidovudine alone, zidovudine plus lamivudine, single-dose nevirapine, and single-dose nevirapine combined with either short-course zidovudine or zidovudine/lamivudine.⁴⁻¹³ In general, combination regimens are more effective than single-drug regimens in reducing the risk of perinatal transmission. In addition, administering ARV drugs during the antepartum, intrapartum, and postpartum periods is a more effective approach for preventing perinatal transmission than administering ARV drugs during only the antepartum and intrapartum periods or the intrapartum and postpartum periods.^{5,14,15}

Almost all trials in resource-limited countries have included oral intrapartum prophylaxis, with varying durations of maternal antenatal and/or infant (and sometimes maternal) postpartum prophylaxis. Regimens with antenatal components, including those starting as late as 36 weeks' gestation, can reduce the risk of perinatal transmission, even when these regimens are lacking an infant prophylaxis component.¹⁰⁻¹² However, longer-duration antenatal zidovudine prophylaxis that begins at 28 weeks' gestation is more effective than shorter-duration zidovudine prophylaxis that begins at 35 weeks' gestation.¹³ The Perinatal HIV Prevention Trial (PHPT)-5 trial demonstrated that women who received <8 weeks of prophylaxis during pregnancy had a significantly greater risk of perinatal transmission than women who received longer durations of prophylaxis.¹⁶ The European National Study of HIV in Pregnancy and Childhood demonstrated that each additional week of an antenatal, triple-drug regimen corresponded to a 10% reduction in risk of transmission.¹⁷ More prolonged infant post-exposure prophylaxis does not appear to substitute for longer-duration maternal ARV prophylaxis.¹³

The Promoting Maternal and Infant Survival Everywhere (PROMISE) study was a large randomized clinical trial that demonstrated the superiority of ART over zidovudine-based prophylaxis for prevention of transmission in women with CD4 T lymphocyte (CD4) cell counts >350 cells/mm³.¹⁸ Pregnant women were randomized to one of three study arms:

- Zidovudine plus single-dose nevirapine at delivery plus postpartum tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF)/emtricitabine tail
- Zidovudine plus lamivudine plus lopinavir/ritonavir (LPV/r)
- TDF plus emtricitabine plus LPV/r

The rate of perinatal transmission through 1 week of life was significantly lower among women receiving ART

(0.5%, 9 infections among 1,710 infants) than among those randomized to receive zidovudine plus single-dose nevirapine plus postpartum TDF/emtricitabine tail (1.8%, 25 infections among 1,386 infants).

Regimens that do not include maternal ARV therapy during pregnancy have been evaluated because some women may lack antenatal care and present for prenatal care for the first time when they go into labor. Regimens that include only intrapartum and postpartum drug administration also have been shown to be effective in reducing the risk of perinatal transmission.⁴⁻⁶ However, without continued infant post-exposure prophylaxis, intrapartum pre-exposure prophylaxis alone with nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor drugs (zidovudine/lamivudine) is not effective in reducing the risk of transmission.⁵ The South African Intrapartum Nevirapine Trial (SAINT) trial demonstrated that intrapartum/postpartum zidovudine/lamivudine and single-dose intrapartum/newborn nevirapine are similar in efficacy and safety.⁶

Combination infant ARV prophylaxis is recommended in the United States for infants at high risk for HIV acquisition.

Delayed maternal HIV diagnosis or delayed presentation for pregnancy care may result in missing the opportunity to provide maternal ARV drugs during pregnancy or labor. In the absence of maternal therapy, the standard infant prophylaxis regimen of 6 weeks of zidovudine was effective in reducing the risk of HIV transmission compared with no prophylaxis, based on epidemiological data in resource-rich countries.¹⁹ A trial in Malawi in breastfeeding infants demonstrated that adding 1 week of zidovudine therapy to infant single-dose nevirapine reduced risk of transmission by 36% compared with infant single-dose nevirapine alone.⁷

To define the optimal infant prophylaxis regimen in the absence of maternal antepartum ARV drug administration in a formula-fed population of infants such as in the United States, the NICHD-HPTN 040/P1043 (NCT00099359) clinical trial compared three infant ARV regimens in formula-fed infants born to mothers who did not receive ARV drugs during the current pregnancy:

- Standard 6 weeks of zidovudine alone
- 6 weeks of zidovudine plus three doses of nevirapine given in the first week of life (first dose given within 48 hours of birth, second dose given 48 hours after first dose, third dose given 96 hours after second dose)
- 6 weeks of zidovudine plus lamivudine and nelfinavir given from birth through age 2 weeks.²⁰

The study demonstrated that both the dual- and triple-combination regimens reduced the risk of intrapartum transmission by approximately 50% compared with infant prophylaxis with zidovudine alone, although there was more hematologic toxicity with the triple regimen (see Supplemental Table 1). Based on these data, combination ARV prophylaxis is now recommended in the United States for infants born to women who are at increased risk for transmission (see [Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection](#)).

Single-dose intrapartum nevirapine is not recommended for women in the United States who are receiving standard recommended antenatal ARV prophylaxis.

PACTG 316 (a clinical trial conducted in the United States, Europe, Brazil, and the Bahamas) demonstrated that adding single-dose nevirapine to combination antenatal ARV prophylaxis for non-breastfeeding women with very low viral loads at the time of delivery did not offer significant benefit.²¹ Thus, adding single-dose intrapartum nevirapine is not recommended for women in the United States who are receiving standard recommended antenatal ARV prophylaxis (see [Intrapartum Antiretroviral Therapy/Prophylaxis](#)).

Breastfeeding by women with HIV infection is not recommended in the United States.

Breastfeeding by women living with HIV (including those receiving ARV drugs) **is not recommended** in the United States, where replacement feeding is affordable, feasible, acceptable, sustainable, and safe, and the risk of infant mortality due to diarrheal and respiratory infections is low.²²

Clinical trials in resource-limited settings have demonstrated that both infant prophylaxis (daily infant nevirapine, lamivudine, and LPV/r) during breastfeeding and maternal triple-drug prophylaxis during breastfeeding decrease the risk of postnatal infection (see Supplemental Table 1).^{2,23-31} **The PROMISE trial**

was a large, randomized clinical trial that demonstrated that daily infant nevirapine and maternal ART have similar safety and efficacy for prevention of perinatal transmission during breastfeeding in women with CD4 cell counts ≥ 350 cells/mm³.^{18,32} At 6 to 14 days postpartum, the study randomized participants to receive either infant nevirapine or maternal ART until 18 months after delivery or breastfeeding cessation. The rates of perinatal transmission were similar (0.58%, 5 infections among 1,211 infants receiving nevirapine vs. 0.57%, 7 infections among 1,219 infants whose mothers received ART), both strategies were safe, and infant HIV-1-free survival was high across both arms (97.7% with infant nevirapine vs. 97.1% with maternal ART at 24 months).

Hypothetically, maternal triple-drug prophylaxis may be less effective than infant prophylaxis if the maternal regimen is first started postpartum or late in pregnancy, because it takes several weeks to months to achieve full viral suppression in breast milk.^{27,33} Importantly, although prophylaxis significantly lowers the risk of postnatal infection, neither infant nor maternal postpartum ARV prophylaxis eliminates the risk of HIV transmission through breast milk. Therefore, breastfeeding is not recommended for women living in the United States (including those receiving combination ARV drug regimens).²² Finally, both infant nevirapine prophylaxis and maternal ART during breastfeeding may be associated with the development of ARV drug resistance in infants who acquire HIV despite prophylaxis; multiclass drug resistance has been described in breastfeeding infants with HIV despite maternal triple-drug prophylaxis.³⁴⁻³⁸

Supplemental Table 1. Results of Major Studies on Antiretroviral Interventions to Prevent Perinatal HIV Transmission (page 1 of 7)

Study Name; Location(s); Mode of Infant Feeding	Antiretroviral Drugs	Antepartum and Intrapartum Interventions	Postpartum Interventions	Perinatal Transmission Rate and Efficacy
PACTG 076; United States, France; ¹ Formula feeding	ZDV vs. placebo	Long (from 14 weeks) IV IP	Long (6 weeks); infant only	Perinatal transmission at 18 months was 8.3% in ZDV arm vs. 25.5% in placebo arm (68% efficacy).
CDC Short-Course ZDV Trial; Thailand; ¹² Formula feeding	ZDV vs. placebo	Short (from 36 weeks) Oral IP	None	Perinatal transmission at 6 months was 9.4% in ZDV arm vs. 18.9% in placebo arm (50% efficacy).
DITRAME (ANRS 049a) Trial; Ivory Coast, Burkina Faso; ^{11,39} Breastfeeding	ZDV vs. placebo	Short (from 36 weeks) Oral IP	Short (1 week); mother only	Perinatal transmission at 6 months was 18.0% in ZDV arm vs. 27.5% in placebo arm (38% efficacy). Perinatal transmission at 15 months was 21.5% in ZDV arm vs. 30.6% in placebo arm (30% efficacy). Perinatal transmission was 22.5% in ZDV arm vs. 30.2% in placebo arm in pooled analysis at 24 months (26% efficacy).
CDC Short-Course ZDV Trial; Ivory Coast; ^{10,11} Breastfeeding	ZDV vs. placebo	Short (from 36 weeks) Oral IP	None	Perinatal transmission at 3 months was 16.5% in ZDV arm vs. 26.1% in placebo arm (37% efficacy). Perinatal transmission was 22.5% in ZDV arm vs. 30.2% in placebo arm in pooled analysis at 24 months (26% efficacy).
PETRA Trial; South Africa, Tanzania, Uganda; ⁵ Breastfeeding and formula feeding	AP/IP/PP ZDV plus 3TC vs. IP/PP ZDV plus 3TC vs. IP-only ZDV plus 3TC vs. Placebo	Short (from 36 weeks) Oral IP	Short (1 week); mother and infant	Perinatal transmission at 6 weeks was 5.7% for AP/IP/PP ZDV plus 3TC, 8.9% for IP/PP ZDV plus 3TC, 14.2% for IP-only ZDV plus 3TC, and 15.3% for placebo (efficacy compared with placebo: 63%, 42%, and 0%, respectively). Perinatal transmission at 18 months was 14.9% for AP/IP/PP ZDV plus 3TC, 18.1% for IP/PP ZDV plus 3TC, 20.0% for IP-only ZDV plus 3TC, and 22.2% for placebo (efficacy compared with placebo: 34%, 18%, and 0%, respectively).

Supplemental Table 1. Results of Major Studies on Antiretroviral Interventions to Prevent Perinatal HIV Transmission (page 2 of 7)

Study Name; Location(s); Mode of Infant Feeding	Antiretroviral Drugs	Antepartum and Intrapartum Interventions	Postpartum Interventions	Perinatal Transmission Rate and Efficacy
HIVNET 012 Trial; Uganda; ⁴ Breastfeeding	SD NVP vs. ZDV	No AP ARV drugs <u>Oral IP:</u> • SD NVP vs. oral ZDV	SD NVP within 72 hours of birth; infant only vs. ZDV for 1 week; infant only	Perinatal transmission at 6–8 weeks was 11.8% in NVP arm vs. 20.0% in ZDV arm (42% efficacy) and 15.7% in NVP arm vs. 25.8% in ZDV arm at 18 months (41% efficacy).
SAINT Trial; South Africa; ⁶ Breastfeeding and formula feeding	SD NVP vs. ZDV plus 3TC	No AP ARV drugs <u>Oral IP:</u> • SD NVP vs. ZDV plus 3TC	SD NVP within 48 hours of birth; mother and infant vs. ZDV plus 3TC for 1 week; mother and infant	Perinatal transmission at 8 weeks was 12.3% in SD NVP arm vs. 9.3% in ZDV plus 3TC arm (difference not statistically significant, $P = 0.11$).
PHPT-1; Thailand; ¹³ Formula feeding	4 ZDV regimens with different durations of AP and infant PP administration; no placebo	Long (from 28 weeks) or short (from 36 weeks) Oral IP	Long (6 weeks) or short (3 days); infant only	Perinatal transmission rate was 10.5% in the short-short arm. This arm was stopped at interim analysis. Perinatal transmission at 6 months was 6.5% in long-long arm vs. 4.7% in long-short arm and 8.6% in short-long arm (no statistical difference). <i>In utero</i> transmission was significantly higher with short vs. long maternal therapy regimens (5.1% vs. 1.6%).
PACTG 316 Trial; Bahamas, Belgium, Brazil, France, Germany, Italy, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, United Kingdom, United States; ²¹ Formula feeding	SD NVP vs. placebo among women already receiving ZDV alone (23%) or ZDV plus other ARV drugs (77% combination therapy)	Nonstudy ARV regimen <u>Oral IP:</u> • Placebo vs. SD NVP plus IV ZDV	Placebo vs. SD NVP within 72 hours of birth plus nonstudy ARV drugs (ZDV); infant only	77% of women received dual- or triple-combination ARV regimens during pregnancy. Trial stopped early because of very low perinatal transmission in both arms: 1.4% in SD NVP arm vs. 1.6% in placebo arm (53% of perinatal transmission was <i>in utero</i>).
PHPT-2; Thailand; ⁴⁰ Formula feeding	ZDV alone vs. ZDV plus maternal and infant SD NVP vs. ZDV plus maternal SD NVP	ZDV from 28 weeks <u>Oral IP:</u> • ZDV alone, or • ZDV plus SD NVP	ZDV for 1 week with or without SD NVP; infant only	ZDV-alone arm was stopped because the rate of perinatal transmission was higher in this arm than in the ZDV/NVP arm (6.3% vs. 1.1%, respectively). In arms in which the mother received SD NVP, the perinatal transmission rate did not differ significantly whether the infant received SD NVP or not (2.0% vs. 2.8%, respectively).
DITRAME Plus (ANRS 1201.0) Trial; Ivory Coast; ¹⁵ Breastfeeding and formula feeding	Open label, ZDV plus SD NVP	ZDV from 36 weeks <u>Oral IP:</u> • ZDV plus SD NVP	SD NVP plus ZDV for 1 week; infant only	Perinatal transmission at 6 weeks was 6.5% (95% CI, 3.9% to 9.1%); perinatal transmission for historical control group receiving short ZDV (98% of whom were breastfed) was 12.8%.
DITRAME Plus (ANRS 1201.1) Trial; Ivory Coast; ¹⁵ Breastfeeding and formula feeding	Open label, ZDV plus 3TC plus SD NVP	ZDV plus 3TC from 32 weeks (stopped at 3 days PP) <u>Oral IP:</u> • ZDV plus 3TC plus SD NVP	SD NVP plus ZDV for 1 week; infant only	Perinatal transmission at 6 weeks was 4.7% (95% CI, 2.4% to 7.0%); perinatal transmission for historical control group receiving short ZDV (98% of whom were breastfed) was 12.8%.

Supplemental Table 1. Results of Major Studies on Antiretroviral Interventions to Prevent Perinatal HIV Transmission (page 3 of 7)

Study Name; Location(s); Mode of Infant Feeding	Antiretroviral Drugs	Antepartum and Intrapartum Interventions	Postpartum Interventions	Perinatal Transmission Rate and Efficacy
NVAZ Trial; Malawi; ⁷ Breastfeeding	Neonatal SD NVP vs. SD NVP plus ZDV	No AP or IP ARV drugs	SD NVP with or without ZDV for 1 week; infant only	Perinatal transmission at 6–8 weeks was 15.3% in SD NVP plus ZDV arm vs. 20.9% in SD NVP-only arm. Perinatal transmission rates at 6–8 weeks among infants without HIV at birth were 7.7% and 12.1%, respectively (36% efficacy).
Postnatal NVP plus ZDV Trial; Malawi; ⁸ Breastfeeding	Neonatal SD NVP vs. SD NVP plus ZDV	No AP ARV <u>Oral IP:</u> • SD NVP	SD NVP with or without ZDV for 1 week; infant only	Perinatal transmission at 6–8 weeks was 16.3% in NVP plus ZDV arm vs. 14.1% in SD NVP-only arm (difference not statistically significant). Perinatal transmission rates at 6–8 weeks among infants without HIV at birth were 6.5% and 16.9%, respectively.
Post-Exposure Infant Prophylaxis; South Africa; ⁹ Breastfeeding and formula feeding	Neonatal SD NVP vs. ZDV for 6 weeks	No AP or IP ARV drugs	SD NVP vs. ZDV for 6 weeks	For formula-fed infants only, perinatal transmission at 6 weeks was 14.3% in SD NVP arm vs. 14.1% in ZDV arm (not significant, $P = 0.30$). For breastfed infants only, perinatal transmission was 12.2% in SD NVP arm vs. 19.6% in ZDV arm ($P = 0.03$).
Mashi; Botswana; ^{41,42} Breastfeeding and formula feeding	<u>Initial:</u> • Short-course ZDV with/without maternal and infant SD NVP and with/without breastfeeding <u>Revised:</u> • Short-course ZDV plus infant SD NVP with/without maternal SD NVP and with/without breastfeeding; women with CD4 counts <200 cells/mm ³ received combination therapy.	<u>First Randomization:</u> • ZDV from 34 weeks <u>Oral IP:</u> • ZDV plus either SD NVP or placebo	<u>Second Randomization:</u> • Breastfeeding plus ZDV (infant) 6 months plus SD NVP; infant only, vs. • Formula feeding plus ZDV (infant) 4 weeks plus SD NVP; infant only	<u>Initial Design:</u> • In formula-feeding arm, perinatal transmission at 1 month was 2.4% in maternal and infant SD NVP arm vs. 8.3% in placebo arm ($P = 0.05$). • In breastfeeding plus infant ZDV arm, perinatal transmission at 1 month was 8.4% in SD NVP arm vs. 4.1% in placebo arm (difference not statistically significant). <u>Revised Design:</u> • Perinatal transmission at 1 month was 4.3% in maternal plus infant SD NVP arm vs. 3.7% in maternal placebo plus infant SD NVP arm (no significant difference; no interaction with mode of infant feeding). Perinatal transmission at 7 months was 9.1% in breastfeeding plus ZDV arm vs. 5.6% in formula-feeding arm; mortality at 7 months was 4.9% in breastfeeding plus ZDV arm vs. 9.3% in formula-feeding arm; HIV-free survival at 18 months was 15.6% in the breastfeeding plus ZDV arm vs. 14.2% in the formula-feeding arm.
SWEN; Uganda, Ethiopia, India; ²⁴ Breastfeeding	SD NVP vs. NVP for 6 weeks	No AP ARV drugs <u>Oral IP:</u> • SD NVP	Infant SD NVP vs. NVP for 6 weeks	<u>Postnatal Infection in Infants Without HIV at Birth:</u> • Perinatal transmission at 6 weeks was 5.3% in SD NVP arm vs. 2.5% in extended NVP arm (risk ratio 0.54, $P = 0.009$). • Perinatal transmission at 6 months was 9.0% in SD NVP arm vs. 6.9% in extended NVP arm (risk ratio 0.80, $P = 0.16$). HIV-free survival was significantly lower in extended NVP arm at both 6 weeks and 6 months of age.

Supplemental Table 1. Results of Major Studies on Antiretroviral Interventions to Prevent Perinatal HIV Transmission (page 4 of 7)

Study Name; Location(s); Mode of Infant Feeding	Antiretroviral Drugs	Antepartum and Intrapartum Interventions	Postpartum Interventions	Perinatal Transmission Rate and Efficacy
PEPI-Malawi Trial; Malawi; ²³ Breastfeeding	SD NVP plus ZDV for 1 week (control) vs. 2 extended infant regimens (NVP or NVP/ZDV) for 14 weeks	No AP ARV drugs <u>Oral IP:</u> • SD NVP (if mother presents in time)	Infant SD NVP plus ZDV for 1 week (control) vs. Control plus NVP for 14 weeks vs. Control plus NVP/ZDV for 14 weeks	<u>Postnatal Infection in Infants Without HIV at Birth:</u> • Perinatal transmission at 6 weeks was 5.1% in control arm vs. 1.7% in extended NVP arm (67% efficacy) and 1.6% in extended NVP/ZDV arm (69% efficacy). • Perinatal transmission at 9 months was 10.6% in control arm vs. 5.2% in extended NVP arm (51% efficacy) and 6.4% in extended NVP/ZDV arm (40% efficacy). No significant difference in perinatal transmission between the extended prophylaxis arms; however, more hematologic toxicity with NVP/ZDV.
MITRA; Tanzania; ²⁶ Breastfeeding	Infant 3TC for 6 months (observational)	ZDV/3TC from 36 weeks through labor	Maternal ZDV/3TC for 1 week; infant 3TC for 6 months	Perinatal transmission at 6 months was 4.9% (postnatal perinatal transmission between 6 weeks and 6 months was 1.2%).
Kisumu Breastfeeding Study; Kenya; ²⁹ Breastfeeding	Maternal triple-drug prophylaxis (observational)	ZDV/3TC/NVP (NFV if CD4 count >250 cells/mm ³) from 34 weeks through labor	Maternal ZDV/3TC/NVP (NFV if CD4 count >250 cells/mm ³) for 6 months, infant SD NVP	Perinatal transmission at 6 months was 5.0% (postnatal perinatal transmission between 7 days and 6 months was 2.6%).
MITRA-PLUS; Tanzania; ²⁵ Breastfeeding	Maternal triple-drug prophylaxis (observational)	ZDV/3TC/NVP (NFV if CD4 count >200 cells/mm ³) from 34 weeks through labor	Maternal ZDV/3TC/NVP (NFV if CD4 count >200 cells/mm ³) for 6 months, infant ZDV/3TC for 1 week	Perinatal transmission at 6 months was 5.0% (postnatal perinatal transmission between 6 weeks and 6 months was 0.9%), not significantly different from 6-month infant prophylaxis in MITRA.
Kesho Bora; Multi-African; ²⁸ Breastfeeding primarily	AP ZDV/SD NVP with no postnatal prophylaxis vs. Maternal triple-drug prophylaxis in women with CD4 counts 200–500 cells/mm ³	<u>Arm 1:</u> • ZDV/3TC/LPV/r <u>Arm 2:</u> • ZDV plus SD NVP From 28 weeks through labor	<u>Arm 1:</u> • Maternal ZDV/3TC/LPV/r for 6 months, infant SD NVP plus ZDV for 1 week <u>Arm 2:</u> • Maternal ZDV/3TC for 1 week (no further postnatal prophylaxis), infant SD NVP plus ZDV for 1 week (no further postnatal prophylaxis)	Perinatal transmission at birth was 1.8% with maternal triple-drug prophylaxis (Arm 1) vs. 2.5% with ZDV/SD NVP (Arm 2), not significantly different. In women with CD4 counts 350–500 cells/mm ³ , perinatal transmission at birth was 1.7% in both arms. Perinatal transmission at 12 months was 5.4% with maternal triple-drug prophylaxis (Arm 1) vs. 9.5% with ZDV/SD NVP (with no further postnatal prophylaxis after 1 week) (Arm 2) (<i>P</i> = 0.029).
Mma Bana; Botswana; ² Breastfeeding	Compared 2 maternal triple-drug prophylaxis regimens in women with CD4 counts >200 cells/mm ³	<u>Arm 1:</u> • ZDV/3TC/ABC <u>Arm 2:</u> • ZDV/3TC/LPV/r From 26 weeks through labor	<u>Arm 1:</u> • Maternal ZDV/3TC/ABC for 6 months, infant SD NVP plus ZDV for 4 weeks <u>Arm 2:</u> • Maternal ZDV/3TC/LPV/r for 6 months, infant SD NVP plus ZDV for 4 weeks	Perinatal transmission at 6 months overall was 1.3%: 2.1% in ZDV/3TC/ABC Arm 1 vs. 0.4% in ZDV/3TC/LPV/r Arm 2 (<i>P</i> = 0.53).

Supplemental Table 1. Results of Major Studies on Antiretroviral Interventions to Prevent Perinatal HIV Transmission (page 5 of 7)

Study Name; Location(s); Mode of Infant Feeding	Antiretroviral Drugs	Antepartum and Intrapartum Interventions	Postpartum Interventions	Perinatal Transmission Rate and Efficacy
<p>BAN; Malawi;^{27,43} Breastfeeding</p>	<p>Postpartum maternal triple-drug prophylaxis vs. infant NVP in women with CD4 counts ≥ 250 cells/mm³</p>	<p>No AP drugs</p> <p><u>IP Regimens</u></p> <p><i>Arm 1 (Control):</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ZDV/3TC plus SD NVP <p><i>Arm 2:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ZDV/3TC plus SD NVP <p><i>Arm 3:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ZDV/3TC plus SD NVP 	<p><u>Arm 1 (Control):</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Maternal ZDV/3TC for 1 week; infant SD NVP plus ZDV/3TC for 1 week <p><u>Arm 2:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Control as above, then maternal ZDV/3TC/LPV/r for 6 months <p><u>Arm 3:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Control as above, then infant NVP for 6 months 	<p><u>Postnatal Infection in Infants Without HIV at 2 Weeks:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Perinatal transmission at 28 weeks was 5.7% in control Arm 1, 2.9% in maternal triple-drug prophylaxis Arm 2 ($P = 0.009$ vs. control), and 1.7% in infant NVP Arm 3 ($P < 0.001$ vs. control). • Perinatal transmission at 48 weeks was 7.0% in control Arm 1, 4.0% in maternal triple-drug prophylaxis Arm 2 ($P = 0.0273$ vs. control), and 4% in infant NVP Arm 3 ($P = 0.0027$ vs. control). <p>No significant difference between maternal triple-drug prophylaxis (Arm 2) and infant NVP (Arm 3) ($P = 0.12$ at 28 weeks and $P = 0.426$ at 48 weeks).</p>
<p>HPTN 046; South Africa, Tanzania, Uganda, Zimbabwe;^{38,44} Breastfeeding</p>	<p>Postpartum prophylaxis to prevent breast milk transmission of HIV with 6 weeks of infant NVP vs. 6 months of infant NVP</p>	<p>AP drugs allowed if required for maternal health</p>	<p>All infants received daily NVP from birth through age 6 weeks.</p> <p><u>Arm 1:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Daily infant NVP from 6 weeks through 6 months <p><u>Arm 2:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Daily infant placebo from 6 weeks through 6 months 	<p>In infants without HIV at age 6 weeks, the 6-month infant HIV infection rate was 1.1% (0.3% to 1.8%) in the extended NVP arm vs. 2.4% (1.3% to 3.6%) in the placebo arm ($P = 0.048$).</p> <p>18-month postnatal infection rates were 2.2% (1.1% to 3.3%) in the extended NVP arm vs. 3.1% (1.9% to 4.4%) in the placebo arm ($P = 0.28$). HIV infection and mortality rates did not differ between arms at any age through 18 months.</p> <p>At infant randomization at age 6 weeks, 29% of mothers in each arm were receiving a triple-drug ARV regimen for the treatment of HIV.</p> <p>For mothers receiving triple-drug ARV regimens at the time of randomization, in infants without HIV at age 6 weeks, the 6-month infant HIV infection rate was 0.2% and not statistically different from the rates seen in the extended NVP arm (0.5%) and placebo arm (0%).</p> <p>For mothers with CD4 counts > 350 cells/mm³ who were not receiving triple-drug ARV regimens, in infants without HIV at age 6 weeks, the 6-month infant HIV infection rate was 0.7% (0% to 1.5%) in the extended NVP arm vs. 2.8% (1.3% to 4.4%) in the placebo arm ($P = 0.014$).</p>

Supplemental Table 1. Results of Major Studies on Antiretroviral Interventions to Prevent Perinatal HIV Transmission (page 6 of 7)

Study Name; Location(s); Mode of Infant Feeding	Antiretroviral Drugs	Antepartum and Intrapartum Interventions	Postpartum Interventions	Perinatal Transmission Rate and Efficacy
NICHD-HPTN 040/PACTG 1043 Trial; Brazil, Argentina, South Africa, United States; ⁴⁵ Formula feeding	Infant prophylaxis with 6 weeks of ZDV vs. 6 weeks of infant ZDV plus 3 doses of NVP in first week of life vs. 6 weeks of infant ZDV plus 2 weeks 3TC/NFV	No AP drugs If mother presented early enough, IV ZDV during labor through delivery	<u>Arm 1 (Control):</u> • Infant ZDV for 6 weeks <u>Arm 2:</u> • Control as above plus NVP, with first dose within 48 hours of birth, second dose 48 hours later, and third dose 96 hours after second dose <u>Arm 3:</u> • Control as above, plus 3TC and NFV from birth through age 2 weeks	IP HIV transmission among infants with negative HIV test at birth: 4.8% (3.2% to 7.1%) with ZDV (Arm 1) vs. 2.2% (1.2% to 3.9%) with ZDV plus NVP (Arm 2) ($P = 0.046$ compared with Arm 1) vs. 2.4% (1.4% to 4.3%) with ZDV plus 3TC/NFV (Arm 3) ($P = 0.046$ compared with Arm 1). Overall HIV transmission rates, including <i>in utero</i> infection: 11.0% (8.7% to 14.0%) with ZDV (Arm 1) vs. 7.1% (5.2% to 9.6%) with ZDV plus NVP (Arm 2) ($P = 0.035$ compared with Arm 1) vs. 7.4% (5.4% to 9.9%) with ZDV plus 3TC/NFV (Arm 3) ($P = 0.035$ compared with Arm 1). Grade 3 or 4 neutropenia more frequent in ZDV/3TC/NFV Arm 3 (70 infants) than in ZDV-alone Arm 1 (33 infants) or ZDV/NVP Arm 2 (32 infants) ($P < 0.001$).
ANRS 12174 Trial; Burkina Faso, South Africa, Uganda, Zambia; ^{30,31} Breastfeeding	Compared 2 infant ARV prophylaxis regimens during breastfeeding; infants tested PCR-negative at birth and were born to mothers with CD4 counts >350 cells/mm ³	As per standard of care	<u>Arm 1:</u> • Daily infant LPV/r from 1 week through 50 weeks of age <u>Arm 2:</u> • Daily infant 3TC from 1 week through 50 weeks of age	<u>Postnatal Infection in Infants Without HIV at Birth:</u> • Postnatal transmission at age 50 weeks was 1.4% (0.70–2.76) in Arm 1 vs. 1.5% (0.80–2.91) in Arm 2 ($P = 0.83$). • HIV-free survival was 96.5% (84.6–97.7) in Arm 1 vs. 96.3% (94.4–97.5) in Arm 2 ($P = 0.85$).
PROMOTE; Uganda; ⁴⁶ Breastfeeding	Compared 2 triple-ARV regimens; no CD4 restriction	<u>Arm 1:</u> • ZDV/3TC/LPV/r <u>Arm 2:</u> • ZDV/3TC/EFV • ARVs started at 12–28 weeks' gestation and continued through labor	Randomized regimen continued postpartum through 1 year of breastfeeding	HIV-free survival was 92.9% in the LPV/r arm vs. 97.2% in the EFV arm ($P = 0.10$). Only 2 of 374 liveborn infants acquired infection, both in the LPV/r arm.
PROMISE; India, Malawi, South Africa, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia, Zimbabwe; ¹⁸ Breastfeeding and formula feeding (antepartum component)	Compared ZDV prophylaxis and 2 ART regimens during pregnancy among women at >14 weeks' gestation and with CD4 counts ≥ 350 cells/mm ³	<u>Arm 1:</u> • ZDV during pregnancy plus SD NVP plus TDF plus FTC at delivery <u>Arm 2:</u> • ZDV plus 3TC plus LPV/r <u>Arm 3:</u> • TDF plus FTC plus LPV/r	<u>Arm 1:</u> • TDF/FTC tail continued for 6–14 days postpartum <u>Arms 2 and 3:</u> • ART regimen continued for 6–14 days postpartum Infants received once-daily NVP for 6 weeks.	<u>Infant HIV Infection Rates by Age 14 Days</u> <u>Arm 1:</u> • 1.8% (25/1,386) <u>Arm 2:</u> • 0.5% (7/1,385) <u>Arm 3:</u> • 0.6% (2/325) Combined ART arms vs. ZDV arm difference in perinatal transmission risk: -1.3% (95% CI, -2.1% to -0.4%)

Supplemental Table 1. Results of Major Studies on Antiretroviral Interventions to Prevent Perinatal HIV Transmission (page 7 of 7)

Study Name; Location(s); Mode of Infant Feeding	Antiretroviral Drugs	Antepartum and Intrapartum Interventions	Postpartum Interventions	Perinatal Transmission Rate and Efficacy
PROMISE; India, Malawi, South Africa, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia, Zimbabwe; ¹⁸ Breastfeeding (postpartum component)	Compared infant NVP and maternal ART during breastfeeding among infants born to women with CD4 counts ≥ 350 cells/mm ³	This was a postpartum study. intervention only. Eligible women included women enrolled in PROMISE antepartum (see above) and women who received no ARV drugs during pregnancy.	<u>Arm 1:</u> • Mothers received TDF plus FTC plus LPV/r <u>Arm 2:</u> • Once-daily infant NVP Regimens were continued until 42 days after last breastmilk exposure or age 18 months, whichever came first.	<u>Infant Infection Rates:</u> <u>Arm 1:</u> • 0.57% (7/1,219) <u>Arm 2:</u> • 0.58% (7/1,211) <u>Rates of Infant HIV-1-Free Survival at 24 Months</u> <u>Arm 1:</u> • 97.1% <u>Arm 2:</u> • 97.7%

Key to Acronyms: 3TC = lamivudine; ABC = abacavir; AP = antepartum; ARV = antiretroviral; ART = antiretroviral therapy; CD4 = CD4 T lymphocyte; CDC = Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; CI = confidence interval; EFV = efavirenz; FTC = emtricitabine; IP = intrapartum; IV = intravenous; LPV/r = lopinavir/ritonavir; NFV = nelfinavir; NVP = nevirapine; PCR = polymerase chain reaction; PP = postpartum; SD = single-dose; TDF = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate; ZDV = zidovudine

References

- Connor EM, Sperling RS, Gelber R, et al. Reduction of maternal-infant transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 with zidovudine treatment. Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group Protocol 076 Study Group. 1994;331(18):1173-1180. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7935654>.
- Shapiro RL, Hughes MD, Ogwu A, et al. Antiretroviral regimens in pregnancy and breast-feeding in Botswana. 2010;362(24):2282-2294. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20554983>.
- Kesho Bora Study Group. Eighteen-month follow-up of HIV-1-infected mothers and their children enrolled in the kesho bora study observational cohorts. 2010;54(5):533-541. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20543706>.
- Jackson JB, Musoke P, Fleming T, et al. Intrapartum and neonatal single-dose nevirapine compared with zidovudine for prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 in Kampala, Uganda: 18-month follow-up of the HIVNET 012 randomised trial. 2003;362(9387):859-868. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/13678973>.
- Petra Study Team. Efficacy of three short-course regimens of zidovudine and lamivudine in preventing early and late transmission of HIV-1 from mother to child in Tanzania, South Africa, and Uganda (Petra study): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. 2002;359(9313):1178-1186. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11955535>.
- Moodley D, Moodley J, Coovadia H, et al. A multicenter randomized controlled trial of nevirapine versus a combination of zidovudine and lamivudine to reduce intrapartum and early postpartum mother-to-child transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1. 2003;187(5):725-735. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12599045>.
- Taha TE, Kumwenda NI, Gibbons A, et al. Short postexposure prophylaxis in newborn babies to reduce mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1: NVAZ randomised clinical trial. 2003;362(9391):1171-1177. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14568737>.
- Taha TE, Kumwenda NI, Hoover DR, et al. Nevirapine and zidovudine at birth to reduce perinatal transmission of HIV in an African setting: a randomized controlled trial. 2004;292(2):202-209. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15249569>.

9. Gray GE, Urban M, Chersich MF, et al. A randomized trial of two postexposure prophylaxis regimens to reduce mother-to-child HIV-1 transmission in infants of untreated mothers. . 2005;19(12):1289-1297. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16052084>.
10. Wiktor SZ, Ekpini E, Karon JM, et al. Short-course oral zidovudine for prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 in Abidjan, Cote d'Ivoire: a randomised trial. . 1999;353(9155):781-785. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10459958>.
11. Leroy V, Karon JM, Alioum A, et al. Twenty-four month efficacy of a maternal short-course zidovudine regimen to prevent mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 in West Africa. . 2002;16(4):631-641. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11873008>.
12. Shaffer N, Chuachoowong R, Mock PA, et al. Short-course zidovudine for perinatal HIV-1 transmission in Bangkok, Thailand: a randomised controlled trial. Bangkok collaborative perinatal HIV transmission study group. . 1999;353(9155):773-780. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10459957>.
13. Lallemand M, Jourdain G, Le Coeur S, et al. A trial of shortened zidovudine regimens to prevent mother-to-child transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1. Perinatal HIV prevention trial (Thailand) investigators. . 2000;343(14):982-991. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11018164>.
14. Leroy V, Sakarovich C, Cortina-Borja M, et al. Is there a difference in the efficacy of peripartum antiretroviral regimens in reducing mother-to-child transmission of HIV in Africa? . 2005;19(16):1865-1875. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16227795>.
15. Dabis F, Bequet L, Ekouevi DK, et al. Field efficacy of zidovudine, lamivudine and single-dose nevirapine to prevent peripartum HIV transmission. . 2005;19(3):309-318. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15718842>.
16. Lallemand M, Le Coeur S, Sirirungsi W, et al. Randomized noninferiority trial of two maternal single-dose nevirapine-sparing regimens to prevent perinatal HIV in Thailand. . 2015;29(18):2497-2507. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26372485>.
17. Townsend CL, Cortina-Borja M, Peckham CS, de Ruiter A, Lyall H, Tookey PA. Low rates of mother-to-child transmission of HIV following effective pregnancy interventions in the United Kingdom and Ireland, 2000-2006. . 2008;22(8):973-981. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18453857>.
18. Fowler MG, Qin M, Fiscus SA, et al. Benefits and risks of antiretroviral therapy for perinatal HIV prevention. . 2016;375(18):1726-1737. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27806243>.
19. Wade NA, Birkhead GS, Warren BL, et al. Abbreviated regimens of zidovudine prophylaxis and perinatal transmission of the human immunodeficiency virus. . 1998;339(20):1409-1414. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9811915>.
20. Nielsen-Saines K, Watts DH, Veloso VG, et al. Three postpartum antiretroviral regimens to prevent intrapartum HIV infection. . 2012;366(25):2368-2379. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22716975>.
21. Dorenbaum A, Cunningham CK, Gelber RD, et al. Two-dose intrapartum/newborn nevirapine and standard antiretroviral therapy to reduce perinatal HIV transmission: a randomized trial. . 2002;288(2):189-198. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12095383>.
22. Committee on Pediatric AIDS. Infant feeding and transmission of human immunodeficiency virus in the United States. . 2013;131(2):391-396. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23359577>.
23. Kumwenda NI, Hoover DR, Mofenson LM, et al. Extended antiretroviral prophylaxis to reduce breast-milk HIV-1 transmission. . 2008;359(2):119-129. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18525035>.
24. Six Week Extended-Dose Nevirapine Study Team, Bedri A, Gudetta B, et al. Extended-dose nevirapine to 6 weeks of age for infants to prevent HIV transmission via breastfeeding in Ethiopia, India, and Uganda: an analysis of three randomised controlled trials. . 2008;372(9635):300-313. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18657709>.
25. Kilewo C, Karlsson K, Ngarina M, et al. Prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 through breastfeeding by treating mothers with triple antiretroviral therapy in Dar es Salaam, Tanzania: the mitra plus study. . 2009;52(3):406-416. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19730269>.
26. Kilewo C, Karlsson K, Massawe A, et al. Prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 through breast-feeding by treating infants prophylactically with lamivudine in Dar es Salaam, Tanzania: the mitra study. . 2008;48(3):315-323. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18344879>.

27. Chasela CS, Hudgens MG, Jamieson DJ, et al. Maternal or infant antiretroviral drugs to reduce HIV-1 transmission. . 2010;362(24):2271-2281. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20554982>.
28. Kesho Bora Study Group, de Vincenzi I. Triple antiretroviral compared with zidovudine and single-dose nevirapine prophylaxis during pregnancy and breastfeeding for prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 (kesho bora study): a randomised controlled trial. . 2011;11(3):171-180. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21237718>.
29. Thomas TK, Masaba R, Borkowf CB, et al. Triple-antiretroviral prophylaxis to prevent mother-to-child HIV transmission through breastfeeding--the Kisumu Breastfeeding Study, Kenya: a clinical trial. . 2011;8(3):e1001015. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21468300>.
30. Kankasa C, Nagot N, Meda N. Infant lopinavir/r versus 3TC to prevent postnatal HIV-1 transmission: the ANRS 12174 trial. Presented at: 21st Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2014. Boston, MA.
31. Nagot N, Kankasa C, Tumwine JK, et al. Extended pre-exposure prophylaxis with lopinavir-ritonavir versus lamivudine to prevent HIV-1 transmission through breastfeeding up to 50 weeks in infants in Africa (ANRS 12174): a randomised controlled trial. . 2016;387(10018):566-573. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26603917>.
32. Flynn PM, Taha TE, Cababasay M, et al. Prevention of HIV-1 transmission through breastfeeding: efficacy and safety of maternal antiretroviral therapy versus infant nevirapine prophylaxis for duration of breastfeeding in HIV-1-infected women with high CD4 cell count (IMPAACT PROMISE): a randomized, open-label, clinical trial. . 2018;77(4):383-392. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29239901>.
33. Mofenson LM. Protecting the next generation--eliminating perinatal HIV-1 infection. . 2010;362(24):2316-2318. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20554987>.
34. Moorthy A, Gupta A, Bhosale R, et al. Nevirapine resistance and breast-milk HIV transmission: effects of single and extended-dose nevirapine prophylaxis in subtype C HIV-infected infants. . 2009;4(1):e4096. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19119321>.
35. Lidstrom J, Guay L, Musoke P, et al. Multi-class drug resistance arises frequently in HIV-infected breastfeeding infants whose mothers initiate HAART postpartum. Presented at: 17th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2010. San Francisco, CA.
36. Zeh C, Weidle PJ, Nafisa L, et al. HIV-1 drug resistance emergence among breastfeeding infants born to HIV-infected mothers during a single-arm trial of triple-antiretroviral prophylaxis for prevention of mother-to-child transmission: a secondary analysis. . 2011;8(3):e1000430. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21468304>.
37. Fogel J, Li Q, Taha TE, et al. Initiation of antiretroviral treatment in women after delivery can induce multiclass drug resistance in breastfeeding HIV-infected infants. . 2011;52(8):1069-1076. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21460326>.
38. Coovadia HM, Brown ER, Fowler MG, et al. Efficacy and safety of an extended nevirapine regimen in infant children of breastfeeding mothers with HIV-1 infection for prevention of postnatal HIV-1 transmission (HPTN 046): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. . 2012;379(9812):221-228. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22196945>.
39. Dabis F, Msellati P, Meda N, et al. 6-month efficacy, tolerance, and acceptability of a short regimen of oral zidovudine to reduce vertical transmission of HIV in breastfed children in Cote d'Ivoire and Burkina Faso: a double-blind placebo-controlled multicentre trial. DITRAME Study Group. DIminution de la transmission mere-enfant. . 1999;353(9155):786-792. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10459959>.
40. Lallemand M, Jourdain G, Le Coeur S, et al. Single-dose perinatal nevirapine plus standard zidovudine to prevent mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 in Thailand. . 2004;351(3):217-228. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15247338>.
41. Shapiro RL, Thior I, Gilbert PB, et al. Maternal single-dose nevirapine versus placebo as part of an antiretroviral strategy to prevent mother-to-child HIV transmission in Botswana. . 2006;20(9):1281-1288. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16816557>.
42. Thior I, Lockman S, Smeaton LM, et al. Breastfeeding plus infant zidovudine prophylaxis for 6 months vs formula feeding plus infant zidovudine for 1 month to reduce mother-to-child HIV transmission in Botswana: a randomized trial: the Mashi Study. . 2006;296(7):794-805. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16905785>.
43. Jamieson DJ, Chasela CS, Hudgens MG, et al. Maternal and infant antiretroviral regimens to prevent postnatal HIV-1 transmission: 48-week follow-up of the BAN randomised controlled trial. . 2012. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22541418>.

44. Fowler MG, Coovadia H, Herron CM, et al. Efficacy and safety of an extended nevirapine regimen in infants of breastfeeding mothers with HIV-1 infection for prevention of HIV-1 transmission (HPTN 046): 18-month results of a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. . 2014;65(3):366-374. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24189151>.
45. Nielsen-Saines K, et al. Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) pharmacokinetics (PK) with daily dosing in the first week of life (HPTN 057). Abstract no. TUAB0201. Presented at: 19th International AIDS Conference. 2012. Washington, DC.
46. Cohan D, Natureeba P, Koss CA, et al. Efficacy and safety of lopinavir/ritonavir versus efavirenz-based antiretroviral therapy in HIV-infected pregnant Ugandan women. . 2015;29(2):183-191. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25426808>.

Appendix B: **Supplement:** Safety and Toxicity of Individual Antiretroviral Agents in Pregnancy

Table 11. Antiretroviral Drug Use in Pregnant People with HIV: Pharmacokinetic and Toxicity Data in Human Pregnancy and Recommendations for Use in Pregnancy

(Updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Note: When using fixed-dose combination (FDC) tablets, refer to other sections in [Appendix B](#) and [Table 11](#) in the Perinatal Guidelines for information about the dosing and safety of individual drug components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations ^a	Use in Pregnancy	Last Reviewed
NRTIs				
NRTIs interfere with HIV reverse transcriptase by competitive inhibition. Nucleoside analogue drugs require three intracellular phosphorylation steps to form the triphosphate nucleoside, which is the active drug moiety. The nucleotide analogue tenofovir contains a monophosphate component attached to the adenine base and requires only two phosphorylation steps to form the active moiety.				
Abacavir (ABC) <i>Ziagen</i> (ABC/3TC) <i>Epzicom</i> (ABC/DTG/3TC) <i>Triumeq</i> (ABC/3TC/ZDV) <i>Trizivir</i> Note: Generic products are available for some formulations.	ABC (Ziagen)^d <i>Tablet</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 300 mg <i>Oral Solution</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 20 mg/mL ABC/3TC (Epzicom)^d <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ABC 600 mg/ 3TC 300-mg tablet ABC/DTG/3TC (Triumeq) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ABC 600 mg/ DTG 50 mg/ 3TC 300-mg tablet ABC/3TC/ZDV (Trizivir)^d	Standard Adult Doses <i>ABC (Ziagen)</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ABC 300 mg twice daily or ABC 600 mg once daily, without regard to food <i>ABC/3TC (Epzicom)</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One tablet once daily without regard to food <i>ABC/DTG/3TC (Triumeq)</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One tablet once daily without regard to food <i>ABC/3TC/ZDV (Trizivir)</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One tablet twice daily without regard to food Pregnancy <i>PKs in Pregnancy</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> PKs not significantly altered in pregnancy. <i>Dosing in Pregnancy</i>	High placental transfer to fetus. ^b No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects). HSRs occur in approximately 5% to 8% of nonpregnant individuals. A small percentage of reactions are fatal, and these fatal reactions are usually associated with re-challenge. Rate of reactions during pregnancy is unknown. Testing for HLA-B*5701 identifies patients at risk of reactions, and a patient's status should be documented as negative before initiating ABC. Patients should be educated regarding symptoms of HSR.	December 30, 2021

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations ^a	Use in Pregnancy	Last Reviewed
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ABC 300 mg/ 3TC 150 mg/ ZDV 300-mg tablet 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No change in dose indicated. <p>For guidance about use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., 3TC, ZDV, DTG).</p>		
<p>Emtricitabine (FTC) <i>Emtriva</i></p> <p>(FTC/EFV/TDF) <i>Atripla</i></p> <p>(FTC/BIC/TAF) <i>Biktarvy</i></p> <p>(FTC/RPV/TDF) <i>Complera</i></p> <p>(FTC/TAF) <i>Descovy</i></p> <p>(FTC/EVG/c/TAF) <i>Genvoya</i></p> <p>(FTC/RPV/TAF) <i>Odefsey</i></p> <p>(FTC/EVG/c/TDF) <i>Stribild</i></p> <p>(FTC/DRV/c/TAF) <i>Symtuza</i></p> <p>(FTC/TDF) <i>Truvada</i></p>	<p>FTC (Emtriva) <i>Capsule^d</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 200 mg <p><i>Oral Solution</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 10 mg/mL <p>FTC/EFV/TDF (Atripla)^d</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • FTC 200 mg/ EFV 600 mg/ TDF 300-mg tablet <p>FTC/BIC/TAF (Biktarvy)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • FTC 200 mg/ BIC 50 mg/ TAF 25-mg tablet <p>FTC/RPV/TDF (Complera)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • FTC 200 mg/ RPV 25 mg/ TDF 300-mg tablet <p>FTC/TAF (Descovy)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • FTC 200 mg/ TAF 25-mg tablet <p>FTC/EVG/c/TAF (Genvoya)</p>	<p>Standard Adult Doses</p> <p><i>FTC (Emtriva) — Capsule</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • FTC 200 mg once daily without regard to food <p><i>FTC (Emtriva) — Oral Solution</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • FTC 240 mg (24 mL) once daily without regard to food <p><i>FTC/EFV/TDF (Atripla)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet once daily at or before bedtime • Take on an empty stomach to reduce or mitigate side effects. <p><i>FTC/BIC/TAF (Biktarvy)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet once daily with or without food <p><i>FTC/RPV/TDF (Complera)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet once daily with food <p><i>FTC/TAF (Descovy)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet once daily with or without food <p><i>FTC/EVG/c/TAF (Genvoya)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet once daily with food <p><i>FTC/RPV/TAF (Odefsey)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet once daily with food <p><i>FTC/EVG/c/TDF (Stribild)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet once daily with food 	<p>High placental transfer to fetus.^b</p> <p>No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects).</p> <p>If patient has HBV/HIV coinfection, it is possible that an HBV flare may occur if the drug is stopped; see Hepatitis B Virus/HIV Coinfection.</p>	<p>December 30, 2021</p>

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations ^a	Use in Pregnancy	Last Reviewed
<p>Note: Generic products are available for some formulations.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> FTC 200 mg/ EVG 150 mg/ COBI 150 mg/ TAF 10-mg tablet <p>FTC/RPV/TAF (Odefsey)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> FTC 200 mg/ RPV 25 mg/ TAF 25-mg tablet <p>FTC/EVG/c/TDF (Stribild)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> FTC 200 mg/ EVG 150 mg/ COBI 150 mg/ TDF 300-mg tablet <p>FTC/DRV/c/TAF (Symtuza)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> FTC 200 mg/ DRV 800 mg/ COBI 150 mg/ TAF 10-mg tablet <p>FTC/TDF (Truvada)^d</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> FTC 200 mg/ TDF 300-mg tablet 	<p><i>FTC/DRV/c/TAF (Symtuza)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One tablet once daily with food <p><i>FTC/TDF (Truvada)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One tablet once daily without regard to food <p>Pregnancy <i>PKs in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> PKs of FTC are not significantly altered in pregnancy. <p><i>Dosing in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No change in dose indicated. <p>For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., TDF, TAF, EFV, RPV, DRV, EVG, BIC, COBI).</p>		
<p>Lamivudine (3TC) <i>Epivir</i></p> <p>(3TC/TDF)</p>	<p>3TC (Epivir)^d <i>Tablets</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 150 mg 300 mg <p><i>Oral Solution</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 10 mg/mL <p>3TC/TDF (Cimduo)</p>	<p>Standard Adult Doses <i>3TC (Epivir)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 3TC 150 mg twice daily or 300 mg once daily, without regard to food <p><i>3TC/TDF (Cimduo)</i></p>	<p>High placental transfer to fetus.^b</p> <p>No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects).</p> <p>If patient has HBV/HIV coinfection, it is possible that an HBV flare may occur if the drug is stopped; see Hepatitis B Virus/HIV Coinfection.</p>	<p>December 30, 2021</p>

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations ^a	Use in Pregnancy	Last Reviewed
<p><i>Cimduo</i></p> <p>(3TC/ZDV) <i>Combivir</i></p> <p>(3TC/DOR/TDF) <i>Delstrigo</i></p> <p>(3TC/DTG) <i>Dovato</i></p> <p>(3TC/ABC) <i>Epzicom</i></p> <p>(3TC/EFV/TDF) <i>Symfi</i></p> <p>(3TC/EFV/TDF) <i>Symfi Lo</i></p> <p>(3TC/TDF) <i>Temixys</i></p> <p>(3TC/ABC/DTG) <i>Triumeq</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3TC 300 mg/ TDF 300-mg tablet <p>3TC/ZDV (Combivir)^d</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3TC 150 mg/ ZDV 300-mg tablet <p>3TC/DOR/TDF (Delstrigo)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3TC 300 mg/ DOR 100 mg/ TDF 300-mg tablet <p>3TC/DTG (Dovato)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3TC 300 mg/ DTG 50-mg tablet <p>3TC/ABC (Epzicom)^d</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3TC 300 mg/ ABC 600-mg tablet <p>3TC/EFV/TDF (Symfi)^d</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3TC 300 mg/ EFV 600 mg plus TDF 300-mg tablet <p>3TC/EFV/TDF (Symfi Lo)^d</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3TC 300 mg/ EFV 400 mg/ TDF 300-mg tablet <p>3TC/TDF (Temixys)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3TC 300 mg/ TDF 300-mg tablet <p>3TC/ABC/DTG (Triumeq)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet once daily without regard to food <p><i>3TC/ZDV (Combivir)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet twice daily without regard to food <p><i>3TC/DOR/TDF (Delstrigo)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet once daily without regard to food <p><i>3TC/DTG (Dovato)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet once daily without regard to food <p><i>3TC/ABC (Epzicom)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet once daily without regard to food <p><i>3TC/EFV/TDF (Symfi or Symfi Lo)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet once daily on an empty stomach and preferably at bedtime <p><i>3TC/TDF (Temixys)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet once daily without regard to food <p><i>3TC/ABC/DTG (Triumeq)</i></p>	<p>3TC products that were developed specifically for treatment of HBV (e.g., Epivir-HBV) contain a lower dose of 3TC that is not appropriate for treatment of HIV.</p>	

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations ^a	Use in Pregnancy	Last Reviewed
(3TC/ABC/ZDV) <i>Trizivir</i> Note: Generic products are available for some formulations.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 3TC 300 mg/ ABC 600 mg/ DTG 50-mg tablet 3TC/ABC/ZDV (Trizivir)^d <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 3TC 150 mg/ ABC 300 mg/ ZDV 300-mg tablet 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> One tablet once daily without regard to food <i>3TC/ABC/ZDV (Trizivir)</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One tablet twice daily without regard to food Pregnancy <i>PKs in Pregnancy</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> PKs not significantly altered in pregnancy. <i>Dosing in Pregnancy</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No change in dose indicated. For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., ABC , DOR , DTG , EFV , TDF , ZDV).		
Tenofovir Alafenamide (TAF) <i>Vemlidy</i> (TAF/BIC/FTC) <i>Biktarvy</i> (TAF/FTC) <i>Descovy</i> (TAF/EVG/c/FTC)	TAF (Vemlidy) <i>Tablet</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 25 mg TAF/BIC/FTC (Biktarvy) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> TAF 25 mg/ BIC 50 mg/ FTC 200-mg tablet TAF/FTC (Descovy) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> TAF 25 mg/ FTC 200-mg tablet TAF/EVG/c/FTC (Genvoya)	Standard Adult Doses <i>TAF (Vemlidy)</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One tablet once daily with food <i>TAF/BIC/FTC (Biktarvy)</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One tablet once daily with or without food <i>TAF/FTC Descovy</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One tablet once daily with or without food Same dose (TAF 25 mg) can be used with or without PK enhancers. <i>TAF/EVG/c/FTC (Genvoya)</i>	Low placental transfer to fetus. ^b Insufficient data to assess for teratogenicity in humans. No evidence of teratogenicity in rats. Renal function should be monitored because of the potential for renal toxicity.	December 30, 2021

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations ^a	Use in Pregnancy	Last Reviewed
<p><i>Genvoya</i></p> <p>(TAF/FTC/RPV) <i>Odefsey</i></p> <p>(TAF/DRV/c/FTC) <i>Symtuza</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> TAF 10 mg/ EVG 150 mg/ COBI 150 mg/ FTC 200-mg tablet <p>TAF/FTC/RPV (Odefsey)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> TAF 25 mg/ FTC 200 mg/ RPV 25-mg tablet <p>TAF/DRV/c/FTC (Symtuza)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> TAF 10 mg/ DRV 800 mg/ COBI 150 mg/ FTC 200-mg tablet 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> One tablet once daily with food <p><i>TAF/FTC/RPV (Odefsey)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One tablet once daily with food <p><i>TAF/DRV/c/FTC (Symtuza)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One tablet once daily with food <p>Pregnancy <i>PKs in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Plasma PKs not significantly altered in pregnancy. <p><i>Dosing in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No change in dose indicated. <p>For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., BIC, COBI, DRV, EVG, FTC, RPV).</p>		
<p>Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate (TDF) <i>Viread</i></p> <p>(TDF/EFV/FTC)</p>	<p>TDF (Viread)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Tablet^d <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 300 mg Powder <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 40 mg/ 1 g oral powder 	<p>Standard Adult Doses <i>TDF (Viread)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Tablet <ul style="list-style-type: none"> TDF 300 mg once daily without regard to food Powder <ul style="list-style-type: none"> TDF 8 mg/kg daily (up to a maximum of TDF 300 mg). Take with food. 	<p>High placental transfer to fetus.^b</p> <p>No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects).</p> <p>Studies in monkeys (at doses approximately twofold higher than those for human therapeutic use) show decreased fetal growth and reduction in fetal bone porosity within 2 months of starting maternal therapy. Human</p>	<p>December 30, 2021</p>

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations ^a	Use in Pregnancy	Last Reviewed
<p><i>Atripla</i></p> <p>(TDF/3TC) <i>Cimduo</i></p> <p>(TDF/FTC/RPV) <i>Complera</i></p> <p>(TDF/DOR/3TC) <i>Delstrigo</i></p> <p>(TDF/EVG/c/FTC) <i>Stribild</i></p> <p>(TDF/EFV/3TC) <i>Symfi</i></p> <p>(TDF/EFV/3TC) <i>Symfi Lo</i></p> <p>(TDF/3TC)</p>	<p>TDF/EFV/FTC (Atripla)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> TDF 300 mg/ EFV 600 mg/ FTC 200 mg tablet <p>TDF/3TC (Cimduo)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> TDF 300 mg/ 3TC 300 mg tablet <p>TDF/FTC/RPV (Complera)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> TDF 300 mg/ FTC 200 mg/ RPV 25 mg tablet <p>TDF/DOR/3TC (Delstrigo)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> TDF 300 mg/ DOR 100 mg/ 3TC 300 mg tablet <p>TDF/EVG/c/FTC (Stribild)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> TDF 300 mg/ EVG 150 mg/ COBI 150 mg/ FTC 200 mg tablet <p>TDF/EFV/3TC (Symfi)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> TDF 300 mg/ EFV 600 mg/ 3TC 300 mg tablet <p>TDF/EFV/3TC (Symfi Lo)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> TDF 300 mg/ EFV 400 mg/ 3TC 300 mg tablet <p>TDF/3TC (Temixys)</p>	<p><i>TDF/EFV/FTC (Atripla)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One tablet once daily at or before bedtime. Take on an empty stomach to reduce side effects. <p><i>TDF/3TC (Cimduo)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One tablet once daily without regard to food <p><i>TDF/FTC/RPV (Complera)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One tablet once daily with food <p><i>TDF/DOR/3TC (Delstrigo)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One tablet once daily without regard to food <p><i>TDF/EVG/c/FTC (Stribild)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One tablet once daily with food <p><i>TDF/EFV/3TC (Symfi or Symfi Lo)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One tablet once daily on an empty stomach and preferably at bedtime <p><i>TDF/3TC (Temixys)</i></p>	<p>studies demonstrate no consistent link to low birth weight, but data are conflicting about potential effects on growth outcomes later in infancy.</p> <p>If patient has HBV/HIV coinfection, an HBV flare may occur if TDF is stopped; see Hepatitis B Virus/HIV Coinfection.</p> <p>Renal function should be monitored because of potential for renal toxicity.</p>	

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations ^a	Use in Pregnancy	Last Reviewed
<p><i>Temixys</i></p> <p>(TDF/FTC) <i>Truvada</i></p> <p>Note: Generic products are available for some formulations.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> TDF 300 mg/ 3TC 300 mg tablet <p>TDF/FTC (Truvada)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> TDF 300 mg/ FTC 200 mg tablet 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> One tablet once daily without regard to food <p><i>TDF/FTC (Truvada)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One tablet once daily without regard to food <p>Pregnancy <i>PKs in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> AUC is lower in third trimester than postpartum, but trough levels are adequate. <p><i>Dosing in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No change in dose is indicated. <p>For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., 3TC, COBI, DOR, EFV, EVG, FTC, RPV).</p>		
<p>Zidovudine (ZDV) <i>Retrovir</i></p> <p>(ZDV/3TC) <i>Combivir</i></p> <p>(ZDV/ABC/3TC)</p>	<p>ZDV (Retrovir) <i>Capsule</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 100 mg <p><i>Tablet</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 300 mg <p><i>Oral Solution</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 10 mg/mL <p><i>IV Solution</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 10 mg/mL <p>ZDV/3TC (Combivir)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ZDV 300 mg/ 3TC 150-mg tablet 	<p>Standard Adult Doses <i>ZDV (Retrovir)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ZDV 300 mg twice daily or ZDV 200 mg three times a day without regard to food Patients in active labor should receive ZDV 2 mg/kg IV as a loading dose, followed by ZDV 1 mg/kg/hour continuous infusion from beginning of active labor until delivery <p><i>ZDV/3TC (Combivir)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One tablet twice daily without regard to food <p><i>ZDV/ABC/3TC (Trizivir)</i></p>	<p>High placental transfer to fetus.^b</p> <p>No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects).</p>	<p>December 30, 2021</p>

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations ^a	Use in Pregnancy	Last Reviewed
<i>Trizivir</i> Note: Generic products are available for all formulations.	ZDV/ABC/3TC (Trizivir) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ZDV 300 mg/ ABC 300 mg/ 3TC 150-mg tablet 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> One tablet twice daily without regard to food Pregnancy <i>PKs in Pregnancy</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> PKs not significantly altered in pregnancy. <i>Dosing in Pregnancy</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No change in dose indicated. For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., ABC , 3TC).		
NNRTI NNRTIs interfere with HIV reverse transcriptase by binding directly to the enzyme.				
Doravirine (DOR) <i>Pifeltro</i> (DOR/3TC/TDF) <i>Delstrigo</i>	DOR (Pifeltro) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 100 mg tablet DOR/3TC/TDF (Delstrigo) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> DOR 100 mg/ 3TC 300 mg/ TDF 300 mg tablet 	Standard Adult Doses <i>DOR (Pifeltro)</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> DOR 100 mg once daily with or without food <i>DOR/3TC/TDF (Delstrigo)</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One tablet once daily with or without food Pregnancy <i>PKs in Pregnancy</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No PK studies in human pregnancy. <i>Dosing in Pregnancy</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Insufficient data to make dosing recommendations. For guidance about the use of combination ARV drug products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other drug components (i.e., 3TC , TDF).	No human <i>in vivo</i> data are available on the placental transfer of DOR, but passage is noted in an <i>ex vivo</i> model. Insufficient data are available to assess for teratogenicity in humans. No evidence exists of teratogenicity in rats or rabbits.	December 30, 2021
Efavirenz (EFV)	EFV (Sustiva)^d <i>Capsules</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 50 mg 	Standard Adult Doses <i>EFV (Sustiva)</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> EFV 600 mg once daily at or before bedtime 	Moderate placental transfer to fetus. ^b The FDA advises women to avoid becoming pregnant while taking EFV	December 30, 2021

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations ^a	Use in Pregnancy	Last Reviewed
<p><i>Sustiva</i></p> <p>(EFV/FTC/TDF) <i>Atripla</i></p> <p>(EFV/3TC/TDF) <i>Symfi</i></p> <p>(EFV/3TC/TDF) <i>Symfi Lo</i></p> <p>Note: Generic products are available for some formulations.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 200 mg <p><i>Tablet</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 600 mg <p>EFV/FTC/TDF (Atripla)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> EFV 600 mg/ FTC 200 mg/ TDF 300-mg tablet <p>EFV/3TC/TDF (Symfi)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> EFV 600 mg/ 3TC 300 mg/ TDF 300-mg tablet <p>EFV/3TC/TDF (Symfi Lo)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> EFV 400 mg/ 3TC 300 mg/ TDF 300-mg tablet 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Take on an empty stomach to reduce side effects. <p><i>EFV/FTC/TDF (Atripla)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One tablet once daily at or before bedtime Take on an empty stomach to reduce side effects. <p><i>EFV/3TC/TDF (Symfi or Symfi Lo)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One tablet once daily on an empty stomach and preferably at bedtime <p>Pregnancy <i>PKs in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> AUC is decreased during the third trimester compared with postpartum, but nearly all third trimester participants exceeded target exposure. <p><i>Dosing in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No change in dose is indicated. <p>For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., 3TC, FTC, TDF).</p>	<p>and advises health care providers to avoid administration during the first trimester of pregnancy because fetal harm may occur. However, the data on more than 7,900 periconception EFV exposures from Botswana rule out a threefold or greater increased risk of NTDs. As a result, the current Perinatal Guidelines do not restrict the use of EFV in pregnant women or in women who are planning to become pregnant. This is consistent with both the British HIV Association and WHO guidelines for use of ARV drugs in pregnancy.</p> <p>EFV should be continued in pregnant women who are on a virally suppressive, EFV-based regimen, because ARV drug changes during pregnancy may be associated with loss of viral control and an increased risk of perinatal transmission (see Pregnant People with HIV Who are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy).</p>	
<p>Etravirine (ETR) <i>Intelence</i></p>	<p>Tablets</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 25 mg 100 mg 200 mg <p>For patients who are unable to swallow tablets whole, the tablets may be</p>	<p>Standard Adult Doses</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 200 mg twice daily with food <p>Pregnancy <i>PK in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> PK data in pregnancy suggest 1.2-fold to 1.6-fold increases in ETR exposure during pregnancy. <p><i>Dosing in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No change in dose is indicated. 	<p>Placental transfer varies; it is usually in the moderate-to-high categories, ranging 0.19–4.25.^b</p> <p>Insufficient data to assess for teratogenicity in humans. No evidence of teratogenicity in rats or rabbits.</p>	<p>December 30, 2021</p>

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations ^a	Use in Pregnancy	Last Reviewed
	dispersed in a glass of water.			
Nevirapine (NVP) <i>Viramune</i> <i>Viramune XR</i> Note: Generic products are available for some formulations.	NVP (Viramune) <i>Tablet</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 200 mg^d <i>Oral Suspension</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 50 mg/5 mL^d Viramune XR <i>Tablets</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 100 mg • 400 mg^d 	Standard Adult Doses <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NVP 200 mg once daily (using Viramune immediate release) for a 14-day lead-in period; thereafter, NVP 200 mg twice daily or 400 mg (using Viramune XR tablet) once daily, without regard to food. • Repeat lead-in period if therapy is discontinued for >7 days. • In patients who develop mild-to-moderate rash without constitutional symptoms during the lead-in period, continue lead-in dosing until rash resolves, but administer for ≤28 days total. Pregnancy <i>PKs in Pregnancy</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PKs of immediate-release tablets not significantly altered in pregnancy. • No data available on extended-release formulations in pregnancy. <i>Dosing in Pregnancy</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No change in dose indicated. 	High placental transfer to fetus. ^b No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects and twofold increase in cardiovascular and genitourinary defects). An increased risk of symptomatic liver toxicity exists when first initiating therapy in women with CD4 counts ≥250/mm ³ . Liver toxicity is often associated with a rash and can be fatal. Pregnancy does not appear to increase this risk. NVP should be initiated in pregnant people with CD4 counts ≥250 cells/mm ³ only if benefit clearly outweighs risk. A potential increased risk of life-threatening hepatotoxicity exists in pregnant people with high CD4 counts. Elevated transaminase levels at baseline may increase the risk of NVP toxicity. Patients who become pregnant while taking NVP-containing regimens and who are tolerating their regimens well can continue taking those regimens, regardless of their CD4 counts.	December 30, 2021
Rilpivirine (RPV) <i>Edurant</i> (RPV/FTC/TDF)	RPV (Edurant) <i>Tablets</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 25 mg RPV/FTC/TDF (Complera)	Standard Adult Doses <i>RPV (Edurant)</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • RPV 25 mg once daily with food <i>RPV/FTC/TDF (Complera)</i>	Moderate-to-high placental transfer to fetus. ^b No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out two-fold increase in overall birth defects).	December 30, 2021

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations ^a	Use in Pregnancy	Last Reviewed
<p><i>Complera</i></p> <p>(RPV/DTG) <i>Juluca</i></p> <p>(RPV/FTC/TAF) <i>Odefsey</i></p> <p>(CAB and RPV) <i>Cabenuva</i></p> <p>CAB and RPV is a two-drug co-packaged product for IM injection.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> RPV 25 mg/ FTC 200 mg/ TDF 300-mg tablet <p>RPV/DTG (Juluca)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> RPV 25 mg/ DTG 50-mg tablet <p>RPV/FTC/TAF (Odefsey)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> RPV 25 mg/ FTC 200 mg/ TAF 25-mg tablet 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> One tablet once daily with food <p><i>RPV/DTG (Juluca)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One tablet once daily with food <p><i>RPV/FTC/TAF (Odefsey)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One tablet once daily with food <p>CAB and RPV (Cabenuva)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Refer to Cabotegravir for dosing and instructions. <p>Pregnancy</p> <p><i>PKs in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> RPV PKs are highly variable during pregnancy. RPV AUC and trough concentrations are 20% to 50% lower in pregnancy than postpartum. Although most pregnant women exceeded target exposure, those with detectable viral loads had lower RPV troughs. <p><i>Dosing in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Although RPV plasma concentration is reduced during pregnancy, higher-than-standard doses have not been studied, and not enough data are available to recommend a dosing change during pregnancy. Pregnant women receiving standard dosing should have their viral loads monitored more frequently than women who are not receiving RPV. <p>For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., DTG, FTC, TAF, TDF).</p>	<p>Two-drug regimens (e.g., the RPV/DTG FDC) are not recommended for use in pregnancy.</p>	
PIs				

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations ^a	Use in Pregnancy	Last Reviewed
PIs block the activity of the protease enzyme, which is required to assemble new HIV viral particles that are capable of infecting new cells.				
<p>Atazanavir (ATV) <i>Reyataz</i></p> <p>Note: Generic products are available for some formulations.</p> <p>Note: ATV must be combined with low-dose RTV boosting in pregnancy.</p> <p>(ATV/c) <i>Evotaz</i></p>	<p>ATV (Reyataz) <i>Capsules</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 100 mg (generic product only) • 150 mg^d • 200 mg^d • 300 mg^d <p><i>Oral Powder</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 50-mg packet <p>ATV/c (Evotaz)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ATV 300 mg/COBI 150-mg tablet 	<p>Standard Adult Doses</p> <p><i>In ARV-Naive Patients Without RTV Boosting</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ATV 400 mg once daily with food; ATV without RTV boosting is not recommended when used with TDF, H2-receptor antagonists, PPIs, or during pregnancy. <p><i>In ARV-Naive Patients With RTV Boosting</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ATV/r 300 mg/100 mg once daily with food • When combined with EFV in ARV-naive patients: ATV/r 400 mg/100 mg once daily with food <p><i>In ARV-Experienced Patients</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ATV 300 mg plus RTV 100 mg once daily with food • Do not use with PPIs or EFV. <p><i>In ARV-Experienced Patients Who Are Receiving an H2-Receptor Antagonist</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ATV/r 300/100 mg once daily with food <p><i>In ARV-Experienced Patients Who Are Receiving an H2-Receptor Antagonist and TDF</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ATV/r 400 mg/100 mg once daily with food <p><i>Powder Formulation</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Oral powder is taken with RTV once daily with food at the same recommended adult dose as the capsules. <p><i>ATV/c (Evotaz)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet once daily with food 	<p>Low placental transfer to fetus^b</p> <p>No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects)</p> <p>Must be given with RTV boosting in pregnancy.</p> <p>Effect of <i>in utero</i> ATV exposure on infant indirect bilirubin levels is unclear. Nonpathologic elevations of neonatal bilirubin have been observed in some, but not all, clinical trials to date.</p> <p>Oral powder (but not capsules) contains phenylalanine, which can be harmful to patients with phenylketonuria.</p> <p>Use of ATV/c is not recommended during pregnancy. See Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy, Table 4 and Table 5 for discussions about avoiding the use of ATV/c during pregnancy.</p>	<p>December 30, 2021</p>

		<p>Pregnancy</p> <p><i>PKs in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>ATV (Reyataz)</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ ATV concentrations are reduced during pregnancy, and they are further reduced when ATV is given concomitantly with TDF or an H2-receptor antagonist. • <i>ATV/c (Evotaz)</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Use of ATV/c is not recommended during pregnancy, because ATV trough concentrations are 80% to 85% lower than the ATV concentrations seen in nonpregnant adults. <p><i>Dosing in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>ATV (Reyataz)</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Use of unboosted ATV is not recommended during pregnancy. ○ Use of ATV is not recommended during pregnancy for ARV-experienced patients who are taking TDF and an H2-receptor antagonist. ○ Use of an increased dose (ATV/r 400 mg/100 mg once daily with food) during the second and third trimesters results in plasma ATV concentrations equivalent to those seen in nonpregnant adults receiving standard dosing. Although some experts recommend increased ATV dosing in all patients during the second and third trimesters of pregnancy, the package insert recommends increased ATV dosing only for ARV-experienced pregnant women in the second and third trimesters who are also receiving either TDF or an H2-receptor antagonist. • <i>ATV/c (Evotaz)</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Insufficient data to make dosing recommendation in pregnancy (see COBI) ○ For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., COBI). 		
--	--	--	--	--

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations ^a	Use in Pregnancy	Last Reviewed
<p>Darunavir (DRV) <i>Prezista</i></p> <p>Note: Must be combined with low-dose RTV or COBI boosting.</p> <p>(DRV/c) <i>Prezcobix</i></p> <p>(DRV/c/FTC/TAF) <i>Symtuza</i></p>	<p>DRV (Prezista) <i>Tablet</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 75 mg • 150 mg • 600 mg • 800 mg <p><i>Oral Suspension</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 100 mg/mL <p>DRV/c (Prezcobix)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DRV/c 800 mg/150 mg tablet <p>DRV/c/FTC/TAF (Symtuza)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DRV 800 mg/COBI 150 mg/FTC 200 mg/TAF 10 mg tablet 	<p>Standard Adult Doses</p> <p><i>ARV-Naive Patients</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DRV/r 800 mg/100 mg once daily with food • DRV/c 800 mg/150 mg once daily with food <p><i>ARV-Experienced Patients If Patient Has No DRV Resistance Mutations</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DRV/r 800 mg/100 mg once daily with food • DRV/c 800 mg/150 mg once daily with food <p><i>ARV-Experienced Patients If Any DRV Resistance Mutations Are Present</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DRV/r 600 mg/100 mg twice daily with food <p><i>DRV/c (Prezcobix)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet once daily with food <p><i>DRV/c/FTC/TAF (Symtuza)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet once daily with food <p>Pregnancy</p> <p><i>PKs in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Decreased exposure in pregnancy with use of DRV/r. <p><i>Dosing in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Panel does not recommend once-daily dosing with DRV/r during pregnancy or the use of DRV/c during pregnancy. • Twice-daily DRV/r dosing (DRV/r 600 mg/100 mg with food) is recommended for all pregnant women. 	<p>Low placental transfer to fetus.^b</p> <p>No evidence of teratogenicity in mice, rats, or rabbits. No evidence of human teratogenicity.</p> <p>Must be boosted with low-dose RTV.</p> <p>The Panel does not recommend once-daily dosing with DRV/r during pregnancy or the use of DRV/c during pregnancy. If a DRV/c regimen is continued during pregnancy, viral load should be monitored frequently.</p>	<p>December 30, 2021</p>

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations ^a	Use in Pregnancy	Last Reviewed
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increased, twice-daily DRV dose (DRV/r 800 mg/100 mg with food) during pregnancy does not result in an increase in DRV exposure and is not recommended. <p>For guidance about use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., COBI, FTC, TAF).</p>		
Lopinavir/Ritonavir (LPV/r) <i>Kaletra</i>	LPV/r (Kaletra) <i>Tablets</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> LPV/r 200 mg/50 mg LPV/r 100 mg/25 mg <i>Oral Solution</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Each 5 mL contains LPV/r 400 mg/100 mg^d 	Standard Adult Doses <ul style="list-style-type: none"> LPV/r 400 mg/100 mg twice daily, <i>or</i> LPV/r 800 mg/200 mg once daily <i>Tablets</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Take without regard to food. <i>Oral Solution</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Take with a meal. <i>With EFV or NVP in PI-Naive or PI-Experienced Patients</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> LPV/r 500 mg/125-mg tablets twice daily without regard to meals (use a combination of two LPV/r 200 mg/50 mg tablets and one LPV/r 100 mg/25-mg tablet), <i>or</i> LPV/r 520 mg/130 mg oral solution (6.5 mL) twice daily with food Pregnancy <i>PKs in Pregnancy</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> With twice-daily dosing, LPV exposure is reduced in pregnant women who receive standard adult doses; increasing the dose by 50% results in exposure equivalent to that seen in nonpregnant adults receiving standard doses. 	Low placental transfer to fetus. ^b No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects). Oral solution contains 42% alcohol and 15% propylene glycol and is not recommended for use in pregnancy. Once-daily LPV/r dosing is not recommended during pregnancy.	December 30, 2021

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations ^a	Use in Pregnancy	Last Reviewed
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No PK data are available for once-daily dosing in pregnancy. <p><i>Dosing in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Once-daily dosing is not recommended during pregnancy. Some experts recommend that an increased dose (i.e., LPV/r 600 mg/150 mg twice daily without regard to meals or LPV/r 500 mg/125 mg twice daily without regard to meals) should be used in the second and third trimesters, especially in PI-experienced pregnant women and women who start treatment during pregnancy with a baseline viral load >50 copies/mL. When standard dosing is used, monitor virologic response and, if possible, LPV drug levels. 		
Entry Inhibitors Entry and attachment inhibitors block viral binding or fusion of HIV to host cells.				
Fostemsavir (FTR) <i>Rukobia</i>	FTR (Rukobia) <i>Extended-Release Tablet</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 600 mg 	Standard Adult Doses (FTR) <i>Rukobia</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> FTR 600 mg twice daily with or without food Pregnancy <i>PK in Pregnancy</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No PK studies in human pregnancy <i>Dosing in Pregnancy</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Insufficient data to make dosing recommendation 	No human data are available regarding placental passage. A study in rats demonstrates placental passage of temsavir or other metabolites. Insufficient data to assess for teratogenicity in humans. No evidence of teratogenicity in rats or rabbits.	December 30, 2021
Ibalizumab-uiyk (IBA) <i>Trogarzo</i>	IBA (Trogarzo) <i>IV Solution</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 150 mg/mL 	Standard Adult Doses <ul style="list-style-type: none"> IBA 2,000 mg loading dose, followed by IBA 800 mg maintenance doses administered every 2 weeks Pregnancy <i>PKs in Pregnancy</i>	No human data are available, but placental transfer of IBA, a monoclonal antibody, is possible and documented in monkeys .	December 30, 2021

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations ^a	Use in Pregnancy	Last Reviewed
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No PK studies in human pregnancy. <p><i>Dosing in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Insufficient data to make dosing recommendations. 	<p>Based on data in cynomolgus monkeys with <i>in utero</i> exposure, potential exists for reversible immunosuppression (CD4 T cell and B cell lymphocytopenia) in infants born to mothers exposed to IBA during pregnancy.</p> <p>The FDA requires collection of prospective data in individuals exposed to IBA during pregnancy to monitor maternal and pregnancy outcomes, including adverse effects on the developing fetus, neonate, and infant.</p> <p>Insufficient data to assess for teratogenicity in humans.</p>	
Maraviroc (MVC) <i>Selzentry</i>	<p><i>Tablets</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 150 mg 300 mg 	<p>Standard Adult Doses</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> MVC 300 mg twice daily with or without food. MVC should be used only for patients with CCR5-tropic virus (and no X4-tropic virus). <p><i>Dose Adjustments</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increase to MVC 600 mg twice daily when used with the potent CYP3A inducers EFV, ETR, and rifampin. Decrease to MVC 150 mg twice daily when used with CYP3A inhibitors, which includes all PIs except TPV/r and itraconazole. <p>Pregnancy</p> <p><i>PKs in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A PK study in human pregnancy demonstrated a 20% to 30% overall decrease in MVC AUC, but C_{trough} exceeded the recommended minimum concentration of 50 ng/mL. <p><i>Dosing in Pregnancy</i></p>	<p>Moderate placental transfer to fetus.^b</p> <p>No evidence of teratogenicity in rats or rabbits; insufficient data to assess teratogenicity in humans.</p>	<p>December 30, 2021</p>

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations ^a	Use in Pregnancy	Last Reviewed
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Adjusting the standard adult MVC dose for concomitant use with ARV drugs seems appropriate. 		
INSTIs INSTIs, the viral enzyme that catalyzes the two-step process that inserts HIV DNA into the genome of the host cell.				
Bictegravir/Emtricitabine/Tenofovir Alafenamide (BIC/FTC/TAF) <i>Biktarvy</i> Note: BIC is available only as part of an FDC tablet.	BIC/FTC/TAF (Biktarvy) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> BIC 50 mg/ FTC 200 mg/ TAF 25 mg tablet BIC 30 mg/ FTC 120 mg/ TAF 15 mg tablet 	Standard Adult Doses <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One tablet of BIC 50 mg/FTC 200 mg/TAF 25 once daily with or without food Pregnancy <i>PK in Pregnancy</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No PK studies in human pregnancy <i>Dosing in Pregnancy</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Insufficient data to make dosing recommendations For guidance about use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., FTC , TAF).	More data are needed to characterize the placental passage of BIC. Insufficient data exist to assess for teratogenicity in humans. No evidence of teratogenicity in rats or rabbits exists. BIC can be taken with food at the same time as any preparation containing iron or calcium—including prenatal vitamins—but should not be administered within 2 hours of these preparations when taken on an empty stomach. BIC can be taken at least 2 hours before or 6 hours after antacids containing aluminum or magnesium.	December 30, 2021
Cabotegravir (CAB) <i>Vocabria</i> (oral) <i>Apretude</i> (injection) (CAB RPV) <i>Cabenuva</i> CAB RPV is a two-drug co-packaged product for IM injection.	CAB <ul style="list-style-type: none"> CAB 30-mg tablets for oral administration CAB 200 mg/mL suspension for IM injection CAB RPV <ul style="list-style-type: none"> CAB 200 mg/mL suspension for IM injection RPV 300 mg/mL suspension for IM injection	Standard Adult Doses <i>Oral Lead-in Therapy</i> <i>CAB (Vocabria)</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One 30-mg tablet once daily in combination with RPV (Edurant) 25 mg once daily taken with a meal for 4 weeks <i>CAB (Apretude)</i> <i>Initiation</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> CAB 600 mg (3 mL) injection given 1 month apart for 2 consecutive months on the last day of an oral lead-in if used or within 3 days <i>Continuation Therapy</i>	No human data are available regarding placental passage. Insufficient data to assess for teratogenicity in humans. No evidence of teratogenicity in rats or rabbits.	December 30, 2021

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations ^a	Use in Pregnancy	Last Reviewed
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CAB 600 mg (3 mL) injections every 2 months thereafter <p><i>CAB RPV (Cabenuva)</i> <i>Loading Dose to Be Given on Last Day of Oral Therapy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CAB 600 mg (3 mL) and RPV 900 mg (3 mL), given as two separate injections in separate ventrogluteal sites <p><i>Continuation Therapy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CAB 400 mg (2 mL) and RPV 600 mg (2 mL), given as two separate injections in separate ventrogluteal sites once a month with allowance for a +/- 7-day administration window <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Patients should be monitored for ~10 minutes for post-injection reactions. A 23-gauge, 1.5-inch IM needle is recommended for the injection and is provided in the packaging. Longer, 2-inch needles should be used in patients with BMIs >30 kg/m². <p>Pregnancy <i>PKs in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No PK studies in human pregnancy <p><i>Dosing in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Insufficient data to make dosing recommendations <p>For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., RPV).</p>		

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations ^a	Use in Pregnancy	Last Reviewed
<p>Dolutegravir (DTG) <i>Tivicay</i></p> <p><i>Tivicay PD</i></p> <p>(DTG/3TC) <i>Dovato</i></p> <p>(DTG/RPV) <i>Juluca</i></p> <p>(DTG/ABC/3TC) <i>Triumeq</i></p>	<p>DTG (Tivicay)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> DTG 10 mg, 25 mg, and 50 mg film-coated tablets <p>DTG (Tivicay PD)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> DTG 5 mg dispersible tablet for oral suspension <p>DTG film-coated tablets and DTG dispersible tablets are not bioequivalent and are not interchangeable.</p> <p>DTG/3TC (Dovato)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> DTG 50 mg/ 3TC 300 mg tablet <p>DTG/RPV (Juluca)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> DTG 50 mg/ RPV 25 mg tablet <p>DTG/ABC/3TC (Triumeq)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> DTG 50 mg/ ABC 600 mg/ 3TC 300 mg tablet 	<p>Standard Adult Doses <i>In ARV-Naive or ARV-Experienced (but INSTI-Naive) Patients</i></p> <p><i>DTG (Tivicay)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One 50 mg tablet once daily, without regard to food <p><i>DTG (Tivicay PD)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Six 5 mg tablets (30 mg) dissolved in water once daily, without regard to food <p><i>DTG/3TC (Dovato)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One tablet once daily, without regard to food <p><i>DTG/RPV (Juluca)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One tablet once daily, with food <p><i>DTG/ABC/3TC (Triumeq)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One tablet once daily, without regard to food <p><i>In ARV-Naive or ARV-Experienced (but INSTI-Naive) Patients Who Are Also Receiving EFV, FPV/r, TPV/r, or Rifampin</i></p> <p><i>DTG (Tivicay)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One 50 mg tablet twice daily, without regard to food <p><i>DTG (Tivicay PD)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Six 5 mg tablets (30 mg) dissolved in water twice daily, without regard to food 	<p>High placental transfer to fetus.^b</p> <p>No evidence of teratogenicity in rats or rabbits. The most recent data from Botswana indicates the prevalence of NTDs in infants born to pregnant women with HIV receiving DTG at conception is no longer statistically different than in those receiving other antiretrovirals.</p> <p>DTG is a <i>Preferred</i> antiretroviral drug for use during pregnancy, irrespective of trimester, and for people who are trying to conceive (see Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy and Table 5).</p> <p>To maximize DTG absorption, doses should not be administered within 2 hours of ingesting any preparation that contains such minerals as iron or calcium, including prenatal vitamins.</p>	<p>December 30, 2021</p>

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations ^a	Use in Pregnancy	Last Reviewed
		<p><i>In INSTI-Experienced Patients</i> <i>DTG (Tivicay)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One tablet twice daily, without regard to food <p>Pregnancy <i>PKs in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> AUC may be decreased during the third trimester compared with postpartum, but exposures during pregnancy are well above those needed to inhibit viral replication. <p><i>Dosing in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No change in dose indicated. <p>For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., ABC, 3TC, RPV).</p>		
<p>Elvitegravir (EVG)</p> <p>Note: As of October 2017, the single-drug formulation of EVG (Vitekta) is no longer available.</p> <p>(EVG/c/FTC/TAF) <i>Genvoya</i></p> <p>(EVG/c/FTC/TDF) <i>Stribild</i></p>	<p>EVG/c/FTC/TAF (Genvoya)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> EVG 150 mg/ COBI 150 mg/ FTC 200 mg/ TAF 10-mg tablet <p>EVG/c/FTC/TDF (Stribild)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> EVG 150 mg/ COBI 150 mg/ FTC 200 mg/ TDF 300-mg tablet 	<p>Standard Adult Doses <i>Genvoya and Stribild</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One tablet once daily with food <p>Pregnancy <i>PKs in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> PK studies in women who received EVG/c demonstrated significant reduction in EVG plasma exposure during pregnancy. <p><i>Dosing in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> EVG plasma concentrations are reduced with use of standard adult doses during pregnancy; however, higher-than-standard doses of EVG have not been studied. Insufficient data are available to recommend a dose for use in pregnancy. <p>For guidance about use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., COBI, FTC, TAF).</p>	<p>Evidence of high placental transfer of EVG and low transfer of COBI.^b</p> <p>Insufficient data to assess for teratogenicity in humans. No evidence of teratogenicity in rats or rabbits.</p> <p>EVG/c is not recommended for use in pregnancy. For persons who become pregnant while taking EVG/c, consider frequent viral load monitoring or switching to a more effective, recommended regimen. If a woman continues taking a regimen that contains EVG/c, doses should be administered with a meal and should not be administered within 2 hours of ingesting any preparation that contains minerals,</p>	<p>December 30, 2021</p>

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations ^a	Use in Pregnancy	Last Reviewed
			such as iron or calcium, including prenatal vitamins.	
<p>Raltegravir (RAL) <i>Isentress</i></p> <p><i>Isentress HD</i></p>	<p>RAL (Isentress) <i>Film-Coated Tablets</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 400 mg <p><i>Chewable Tablets</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 25 mg • 100 mg <p>RAL (Isentress HD) <i>Film-Coated Tablets</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 600 mg 	<p>Standard Adult Doses <i>In Patients Who Are Not Receiving Rifampin</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • RAL 400 mg, film-coated tablets twice daily without regard to food • Two RAL 600 mg, film-coated tablets (1,200 mg) once daily without regard to food for ARV-naive patients or patients who are already virologically suppressed on an initial regimen of RAL 400 mg twice daily • Chewable tablets and oral suspension doses are not interchangeable with either film-coated tablets or each other. <p><i>In Patients Who Are Receiving Rifampin</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Two RAL 400 mg, film-coated tablets (800 mg) twice daily without regard to food. <p>Pregnancy <i>PKs in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Decreased drug concentrations in the third trimester are not of sufficient magnitude to warrant a change in dosing. <p><i>Dosing in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No change in dose is indicated. • Once-daily dosing (i.e., two RAL 600 mg, film-coated tablets) should not be used in pregnant individuals until more information is available. 	<p>High placental transfer to fetus.^b</p> <p>No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects).</p> <p>There is a case report of markedly elevated liver transaminases with RAL use in late pregnancy. Severe, potentially life-threatening, and fatal skin and HSRs have been reported in nonpregnant adults.</p> <p>RAL chewable tablets contain phenylalanine.</p> <p>To maximize RAL absorption, doses should not be administered within 2 hours of ingestion of any preparation containing minerals—such as iron or calcium—including prenatal vitamins.</p>	<p>December 30, 2021</p>

Pharmacoenhancers

Pharmacoenhancers reduce the metabolism of antiretroviral drugs and prolong their presence in plasma, allowing for more convenient dosing regimens.

<p>Cobicistat (COBI) <i>Tyboost</i></p> <p>(ATV/c) <i>Evotaz</i></p> <p>(EVG/c/FTC/TAF) <i>Genvoya</i></p> <p>(DRV/c) <i>Prezcobix</i></p> <p>(EVG/c/FTC/TDF) <i>Stribild</i></p> <p>(DRV/c/FTC/TAF) <i>Symtuza</i></p>	<p>COBI (Tyboost) <i>Tablet:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • COBI 150 mg <p>ATV/c (Evotaz)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ATV 300 mg/ COBI 50-mg tablet <p>EVG/c/FTC/TAF (Genvoya)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • EVG 150 mg/ COBI 150 mg/ FTC 200 mg/ TAF 10-mg tablet <p>DRV/c (Prezcobix)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DRV 800 mg/ COBI 150-mg tablet <p>EVG/c/FTC/TDF (Stribild)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • EVG 150 mg/ COBI 150 mg/ FTC 200 mg/ TDF 300-mg tablet <p>DRV/c/FTC/TAF (Symtuza)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DRV 800 mg/ COBI 150 mg/ FTC 200 mg/ TAF 10-mg tablet 	<p>Standard Adult Doses</p> <p><i>COBI (Tyboost)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • When used as an alternative PK booster with ATV or DRV, the dose is one tablet once daily with food. <p><i>ATV/c (Evotaz)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet once daily with food <p><i>EVG/c/FTC/TAF (Genvoya)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet once daily with food <p><i>DRV/c (Prezcobix)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet once daily with food <p><i>EVG/c/FTC/TDF (Stribild)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet once daily with food <p><i>DRV/c/FTC/TAF (Symtuza)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet once daily with food <p>Pregnancy <i>PKs in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Based on limited data, COBI exposure and its pharmacoenhancing effect on ATV, DRV, and EVG are reduced markedly in pregnancy. • When coadministered with COBI, TAF exposure is not significantly different between pregnancy and the postpartum period. 	<p>Low placental transfer to fetus^b</p> <p>No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out two-fold increase in overall birth defects).</p> <p>Use of COBI-boosted ATV, DRV, or EVG is not recommended in pregnancy.</p>	
--	---	---	--	--

		<p><i>Dosing in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Although COBI exposure is reduced markedly during pregnancy, higher-than-standard doses have not been studied. The Panel recommends RTV as the preferred pharmacoenhancer for PIs and INSTIs during pregnancy until more data are available on COBI activity during pregnancy. <p>For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., FTC, TAF, TDF, ATV, DRV, EVG).</p>		
<p>Ritonavir (RTV) Norvir</p> <p>(LPV/r) Kaletra</p>	<p>RTV (Norvir) <i>Capsules</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> RTV 100 mg <p><i>Tablets</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> RTV 100 mg <p><i>Oral Solution</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> RTV 80 mg/mL <p><i>Powder</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> RTV 100 mg/sachet <p>LPV/r (Kaletra) <i>Tablets</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> LPV/r 200 mg/50 mg LPV/r 100 mg/25 mg <p><i>Oral Solution</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Each 5 mL contains LPV/r 400 mg/100 mg 	<p>Standard Adult Dose of RTV (Norvir) When Used as PK Booster for Other PIs</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> RTV 100–400 mg per day in one or two divided doses (refer to other PI sections for specific dosing recommendations) <p><i>Tablet</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Take with food <p><i>Capsule or Oral Solution</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> To improve tolerability, take with food, if possible. <p>Standard Adult Doses of LPV/r (Kaletra)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> LPV/r 400 mg/100 mg twice daily, or LPV/r 800 mg/200 mg once daily <p><i>Tablets</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Take without regard to food. <p><i>Oral Solution</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Take with food. <p><i>With EFV or NVP in PI-Naive or PI-Experienced Patients</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> LPV/r 500 mg/125 mg tablets twice daily without regard to meals (use a combination of two LPV/r 200 mg/50 mg tablets and one LPV/r 100 mg/25 mg tablet), or 	<p>Low placental transfer to fetus.^b</p> <p>No evidence of increased risk of human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects).</p> <p>RTV should only be used as low-dose booster for other PIs.</p> <p>RTV oral solution contains 43% alcohol and, therefore, is not recommended for use during pregnancy because no safe level of alcohol exposure during pregnancy is known. LPV/r oral solution contains 42% alcohol and 15% propylene glycol and is not recommended for use in pregnancy.</p> <p>Once-daily LPV/r dosing is not recommended during pregnancy.</p>	<p>December 30, 2021</p>

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • LPV/r 520 mg/130 mg oral solution (6.5 mL) twice daily with food <p>Pregnancy <i>PKs in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lower RTV levels are seen during pregnancy than during postpartum, which may reduce the pharmacoenhancing effect of RTV in pregnancy. <p><i>RTV Dosing in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No dose adjustment is necessary when RTV is used as booster. <p><i>LPV/r Dosing in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Once-daily dosing is not recommended during pregnancy. • Some experts recommend that an increased dose (i.e., LPV/r 600 mg/150 mg twice daily without regard to meals or LPV/r 500 mg/125 mg twice daily without regard to meals) should be used in the second and third trimesters of pregnancy, especially in patients who are PI-experienced and in those who start treatment during pregnancy with a baseline viral load >50 copies/mL. • When standard dosing is used, monitor virologic response and, if possible, LPV drug levels. <p>For guidance about use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., LPV/r).</p>		
--	--	---	--	--

^a Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the [Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 11](#)).

^b Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

High: >0.6

Moderate: 0.3–0.6

Low: <0.3

^c Only indicated for use in chronic HBV virus infection in adults.

^d Generic product available

Key: 3TC = lamivudine; ABC = abacavir; ART = antiretroviral therapy; ARV = antiretroviral; ATV = atazanavir; ATV/c = atazanavir/cobicistat; ATV/r = atazanavir/ritonavir; AUC = area under the curve; BIC = bictegravir; CAB = cabotegravir; CD4 = CD4 T lymphocyte; COBI = cobicistat; CYP = cytochrome P; DOR = doravirine; DRV = darunavir; DRV/c = darunavir/cobicistat; DRV/r = darunavir/ritonavir; DTG = dolutegravir; EFV = efavirenz; ETR = etravirine; EVG = elvitegravir; EVG/c = elvitegravir/cobicistat; FDA = Food and Drug Administration; FDC = fixed-dose combination; FTC = emtricitabine; FTR = fostemsavir; HBV = hepatitis b virus; HSR = hypersensitivity reaction; IBA =ibalizumab; INSTI = integrase strand transfer inhibitor; IV = intravenous; LPV = lopinavir; LPV/r = lopinavir/ritonavir; MVC = maraviroc; NNRTI = non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor; NRTI = nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor; NTD = neural tube defect; NVP = nevirapine; PI = protease inhibitor; PK = pharmacokinetic; PPI = proton pump inhibitor; RAL = raltegravir; RPV = rilpivirine; RTV = ritonavir; TAF = tenofovir alafenamide; TDF = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate; TPV = tipranavir; TPV/r = tipranavir/ritonavir; WHO = World Health Organization; ZDV = zidovudine

Supplement: Safety and Toxicity of Individual Antiretroviral Agents in Pregnancy

Nucleoside and Nucleotide Analogue Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitors

Nucleoside and nucleotide reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs) interfere with HIV reverse transcriptase by competitive inhibition. Nucleoside analogue drugs require three intracellular phosphorylation steps to form the triphosphate nucleoside, which is the active drug moiety. The nucleotide analogue tenofovir contains a monophosphate component attached to the adenine base and requires only two phosphorylation steps to form the active moiety.

For information regarding the nucleoside analogue drug class and potential mitochondrial toxicity in pregnant women and infants, see [Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy](#) and [Long-Term Follow-Up of Infants Exposed to Antiretroviral Drugs](#).

[Abacavir \(Ziagen, ABC\)](#)

[Emtricitabine \(Emtriva, FTC\)](#)

[Lamivudine \(EpiVir, 3TC\)](#)

[Tenofovir Alafenamide \(Vemlidy, TAF\)](#)

[Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate \(Viread, TDF\)](#)

[Zidovudine \(Retrovir, AZT, ZDV\)](#)

[Didanosine](#) and [stavudine](#) are no longer recommended for use in pregnant women. [Zalcitabine](#) is not available in the United States. Information on these drugs can be found in the [Archived Drugs](#) section.

Non-Nucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitors

Non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTIs) interfere with HIV reverse transcriptase by binding directly to the enzyme.

[Doravirine \(Pifeltro, DOR\)](#)

[Efavirenz \(Sustiva, EFV\)](#)

[Etravirine \(Intelence, ETR\)](#)

[Nevirapine \(Viramune, NVP\)](#)

[Rilpivirine \(Edurant, RPV\)](#)

Delavirdine is no longer available in the United States. Information on this drug can be found in the [Archived Drugs](#) section.

Protease Inhibitors

Protease inhibitors (PIs) block the activity of the protease enzyme, which is required to assemble new HIV viral particles that are capable of infecting new cells.

Using PIs during pregnancy may increase the risk of adverse maternal and neonatal outcomes; see [Combination Antiretroviral Drug Regimens and Maternal and Neonatal Outcomes](#) for more information.

[Atazanavir \(Reyataz, ATV\)](#)

[Darunavir \(Prezista, DRV\)](#)

[Lopinavir/Ritonavir \(Kaletra, LPV/r\)](#)

[Fosamprenavir](#), [indinavir](#), [nelfinavir](#), [saquinavir](#), and [tipranavir](#) are no longer recommended for use in pregnant women. Amprenavir is no longer available in the United States. Information on these drugs can be found in the [Archived Drugs](#) section.

Entry and Attachment Inhibitors

Entry and attachment inhibitors block viral binding or fusion of HIV to host cells.

[Fostemsavir \(Rukobia, FTR\)](#)

[Ibalizumab-uiyk \(Trogarzo, IBA\)](#)

[Maraviroc \(Selzentry, MVC\)](#)

[Enfuvirtide](#) is not recommended for use in pregnant women. Information on this drug can be found in the [Archived Drugs](#) section.

Integrase Inhibitors

Integrase inhibitors block integrase, the viral enzyme that catalyzes the two-step process that inserts HIV DNA into the genome of the host cell.

[Bictegravir \(BIC\)](#)

[Dolutegravir \(Tivicay, DTG\)](#)

[Elvitegravir \(EVG\)](#)

[Raltegravir \(Isentress, RAL\)](#)

For information regarding the possible increased risk of neural tube defects in infants born to women who were receiving dolutegravir at the time of conception, see [Teratogenicity](#) and [Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy](#).

Pharmacoenhancers

Pharmacoenhancers reduce the metabolism of antiretroviral drugs and prolong their presence in plasma, allowing for more convenient dosing regimens.

[Cobicistat \(Tybost, COBI\)](#)

[Ritonavir \(Norvir, RTV\)](#)

Abacavir (Ziagen, ABC)

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

Abacavir (ABC) has been found to be mutagenic and clastogenic in some *in vitro* and *in vivo* assays. In long-term carcinogenicity studies in mice and rats, malignant tumors of the preputial gland of males and the clitoral gland of females were observed in both species, and malignant hepatic tumors and nonmalignant hepatic and thyroid tumors were observed in female rats. The tumors were seen in rodents at exposures that were 6 to 32 times those observed in humans who received the recommended dose.¹

Reproduction/Fertility

No effect of ABC on reproduction or fertility in male and female rodents has been seen at doses of up to 500 mg/kg per day. These doses produced exposures in rodents that were about eight times the exposures observed in humans who received the recommended dose. Exposures in this study were based on body surface area.

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

Rats treated with a dose of ABC 1,000 mg/kg during organogenesis showed signs of developmental toxicity (i.e., decreased fetal body weight and reduced crown-rump length) and had an increased incidence of fetal anasarca and skeletal malformations. This dose produced exposures in rats that were about 35 times those seen in humans who received the recommended dose; exposure was based on area under the concentration-time curve. An increased number of resorptions and an increased incidence of stillbirths occurred among pregnant rats that received ABC 500 mg/kg once daily, beginning at embryo implantation and ending when the pups were weaned. Decreased fetal body weights also were observed, and the offspring had persistently low body weights throughout their lives. However, in rabbits, no evidence of drug-related developmental toxicity and no increase in fetal malformations were observed at doses of ABC up to 700 mg/kg. These doses produced exposures in rabbits that were about 8.5 times the exposures seen in humans who received the recommended dose.¹

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

ABC crosses the placenta and is excreted into the breast milk of lactating rats.¹

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

In pregnant women, pharmacokinetic (PK) studies of ABC 300 mg twice daily² and ABC 600 mg once daily³ showed that the PKs during pregnancy are equivalent to the PKs observed during the postpartum period. A population PK study (analyzing 266 plasma samples from 150 pregnant women) found no effect of any covariate (including age, body weight, pregnancy, or gestational age) on ABC PKs.⁴ Thus, no dose adjustment for ABC is needed during pregnancy.

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Placental transfer of ABC is high, with ratios of ABC concentration in cord blood to ABC concentration in maternal plasma at delivery of approximately 1.0.^{2,5} In the Mma Bana study,⁶ the median breast-milk-to-plasma ratio for ABC was 0.85 in the 15 women tested at 1 month postpartum, and the drug was detected in the plasma of one out of nine breastfeeding infants whose mothers were receiving ABC.

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

The Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry has monitored sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to ABC to detect at least a 1.5-fold increase in the risk of overall birth defects and at least a twofold increase in the risk of cardiovascular and genitourinary defects (which are the more common classes of birth defects in the general population). No such increase in the risk of birth defects has been observed with ABC. Among the cases of first-trimester ABC exposure that have been reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, the prevalence of birth defects was 3.1% (43 infants out of 1,368 live births; 95% confidence interval, 2.3% to 4.2%) compared with a 2.7% total prevalence in the U.S. population, based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance.⁷ First-trimester exposure to ABC was not associated with birth defects in the Surveillance Monitoring for ART Toxicities (SMARTT) study (adjusted odds ratio [aOR] 0.94, 0.53–1.65),⁸ in the French Perinatal Cohort (aOR 1.01, 0.73–1.41),⁹ or in a series of 897 births to women with HIV in Spain between 2000 and 2009 (aOR 0.99, 0.34–2.87).¹⁰

Pregnancy outcomes were similar between pregnant women who received an ABC/lamivudine (3TC) backbone (n = 252) and women who received a tenofovir disoproxil fumarate/emtricitabine backbone (n = 661) in the Italian National Program on Surveillance on Antiretroviral Treatment in Pregnancy. However, total cholesterol levels were higher in the group that received ABC.¹¹

Ten percent of participants (711 pregnancies) received ABC plus 3TC in the European Pregnancy Paediatric HIV Cohort Collaboration (EPPICC) Study Group. The proportions of preterm deliveries and small-for-gestational-age infants that occurred among women who received ABC were similar to those seen among women who received other antiretroviral drugs.¹²

Other Safety Information

Serious hypersensitivity reactions (HSRs) have been associated with ABC therapy in nonpregnant adults, but these reactions rarely have been fatal; symptoms include fever, skin rash, fatigue, and gastrointestinal symptoms, such as nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, or abdominal pain. ABC **should not be restarted** following an HSR because more severe symptoms will occur within hours and may include life-threatening hypotension and death. Patients who test positive for HLA-B*5701 are at the highest risk of HSRs and should not receive ABC; HLA-B*5701 screening should be done before initiating ABC. Two meta-analyses have confirmed the association between this genotype and the HSR.^{13,14}

After adjusting for birth cohort and other factors, the Pediatric HIV/AIDS Cohort Study (PHACS)/SMARTT study (which followed participants for a median of 2.4 years) reported no increases in the likelihood of metabolic, cardiac, neurological, growth and development, or neurodevelopmental adverse events among infants whose mothers took ABC during pregnancy.¹⁵

Excerpt from Table 11

Note: When using fixed-dose combination (FDC) tablets, refer to other sections in [Appendix B](#) and [Table 11](#) in the Perinatal Guidelines for information about the dosing and safety of individual drug components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations ^a	Use in Pregnancy
<p>Abacavir (ABC) <i>Ziagen</i></p> <p>(ABC/3TC) <i>Epzicom</i></p> <p>(ABC/DTG/3TC) <i>Triumeq</i></p> <p>(ABC/3TC/ZDV) <i>Trizivir</i></p> <p>Note: Generic products are available for some formulations.</p>	<p>ABC (<i>Ziagen</i>)^d <i>Tablet</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 300 mg <p><i>Oral Solution</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 20 mg/mL <p>ABC/3TC (<i>Epzicom</i>)^d</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ABC 600 mg/3TC 300-mg tablet <p>ABC/DTG/3TC (<i>Triumeq</i>)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ABC 600 mg/DTG 50 mg/3TC 300-mg tablet <p>ABC/3TC/ZDV (<i>Trizivir</i>)^d</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ABC 300 mg/3TC 150 mg/ZDV 300-mg tablet 	<p>Standard Adult Doses <i>ABC (Ziagen)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ABC 300 mg twice daily or ABC 600 mg once daily, without regard to food <p><i>ABC/3TC (Epzicom)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet once daily without regard to food <p><i>ABC/DTG/3TC (Triumeq)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet once daily without regard to food <p><i>ABC/3TC/ZDV (Trizivir)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet twice daily without regard to food <p>Pregnancy <i>PKs in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PKs not significantly altered in pregnancy. <p><i>Dosing in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No change in dose indicated. <p>For guidance about use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., 3TC, ZDV, DTG).</p>	<p>High placental transfer to fetus.^b</p> <p>No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects).</p> <p>HSRs occur in approximately 5% to 8% of nonpregnant individuals. A small percentage of reactions are fatal, and these fatal reactions are usually associated with re-challenge. Rate of reactions during pregnancy is unknown. Testing for HLA-B*5701 identifies patients at risk of reactions, and a patient's status should be documented as negative before initiating ABC. Patients should be educated regarding symptoms of HSR.</p>

^a Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the [Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 11](#))

^b Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

High: >0.6

Moderate: 0.3–0.6

Low: <0.3

^d Generic product available

Key: 3TC = lamivudine; ABC = abacavir; ARV = antiretroviral; DTG = dolutegravir; FDC = fixed-dose combination; HSR = hypersensitivity reaction; PK = pharmacokinetic; ZDV = zidovudine

References

1. Ziagen (abacavir) package insert [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2020. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2020/020977s035,020978s0381bl.pdf.
2. Best BM, Mirochnick M, Capparelli EV, et al. Impact of pregnancy on abacavir pharmacokinetics. *AIDS*. 2006;20(4):553-560. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16470119>.
3. Schalkwijk S, Colbers A, Konopnicki D, et al. The pharmacokinetics of abacavir 600 mg once daily in HIV-1-positive pregnant women. *AIDS*. 2016;30(8):1239-1244. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26836789>.
4. Fauchet F, Treluyer JM, Preta LH, et al. Population pharmacokinetics of abacavir in pregnant women. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2014;58(10):6287-6289. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25070097>.
5. Chappuy H, Treluyer JM, Jullien V, et al. Maternal-fetal transfer and amniotic fluid accumulation of nucleoside analogue reverse transcriptase inhibitors in human immunodeficiency virus-infected pregnant women. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2004;48(11):4332-4336. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15504861>.
6. Shapiro RL, Rossi S, Ogwu A, et al. Therapeutic levels of lopinavir in late pregnancy and abacavir passage into breast milk in the Mma Bana Study, Botswana. *Antivir Ther*. 2013;18(4):585-590. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23183881>.
7. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral pregnancy registry international interim report for 1 January 1989–31 January 2021. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2021. Available at: <http://www.apregistry.com>.
8. Williams PL, Crain MJ, Yildirim C, et al. Congenital anomalies and in utero antiretroviral exposure in human immunodeficiency virus-exposed uninfected infants. *JAMA Pediatr*. 2015;169(1):48-55. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25383770>.
9. Sibiude J, Le Chenadec J, Bonnet D, et al. In utero exposure to zidovudine and heart anomalies in the ANRS French perinatal cohort and the nested PRIMEVA randomized trial. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2015;61(2):270-280. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25838291>.
10. Prieto LM, Gonzalez-Tome MI, Munoz E, et al. Birth defects in a cohort of infants born to HIV-infected women in Spain, 2000-2009. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2014;14:700. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25808698>.
11. Florida M, Pinnetti C, Ravizza M, et al. Brief report: abacavir/lamivudine and tenofovir/emtricitabine in pregnant women with HIV: laboratory and clinical outcomes in an observational national study. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2018;78(1):99-104. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29406430>.

12. European Pregnancy Paediatric HIV Cohort Collaboration Study Group. Nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor backbones and pregnancy outcomes. *AIDS*. 2019;33(2):295-304. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30562172>.
13. Sousa-Pinto B, Pinto-Ramos J, Correia C, et al. Pharmacogenetics of abacavir hypersensitivity: A systematic review and meta-analysis of the association with HLA-B*57:01. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2015;136(4):1092-1094 e1093. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25934581>.
14. Tangamornsuksan W, Lohitnavy O, Kongkaew C, et al. Association of HLA-B*5701 genotypes and abacavir-induced hypersensitivity reaction: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Pharm Pharm Sci*. 2015;18(1):68-76. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25877443>.
15. Williams PL, Hazra R, Van Dyke RB, et al. Antiretroviral exposure during pregnancy and adverse outcomes in HIV-exposed uninfected infants and children using a trigger-based design. *AIDS*. 2016;30(1):133-144. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26731758>.

Emtricitabine (Emtriva, FTC)

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

Emtricitabine (FTC) was neither mutagenic nor clastogenic in a series of *in vitro* and animal *in vivo* screening tests. In long-term carcinogenicity studies of oral FTC, no drug-related increases in tumor incidence were found at doses up to 26 times in mice or 31 times in rats than the exposures seen in humans who received the therapeutic dose.¹

Reproduction/Fertility

FTC had no observable effect on reproduction or fertility at doses that produced systemic drug exposures (as measured by area under the curve [AUC]) that were approximately 60-fold higher in female and male mice and 140-fold higher in male rats than human exposure at the recommended therapeutic dose.¹

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

No fetal variations or malformations were observed following maternal FTC doses that produced systemic drug exposures that were 60-fold higher in mice or 120-fold higher in rabbits than those observed in humans who received the recommended dose.¹

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

FTC has been shown to cross the placenta in mice and rabbits; the average fetal/maternal drug concentration ratio was 0.4 in mice and 0.5 in rabbits.²

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

In the International Maternal, Pediatric, Adolescent AIDS Clinical Trials (IMPAACT) P1026s study, FTC exposure was modestly lower during the third trimester (geometric mean, 8.0 mcg•h/mL; 90% confidence interval [CI], 7.1–8.9 mcg•h/mL) than during the postpartum period (9.7 mcg•h/mL; 90% CI, 8.6–10.9 mcg•h/mL). Fifty-eight percent of pregnant women (15 of 26 women) met the AUC target ($\leq 30\%$ reduction from typical exposure for nonpregnant historical controls) compared to 95% of postpartum women (21 of 22 women). Trough FTC levels also were lower during pregnancy (C_{24h} geometric mean concentration [GMC] 58 ng/mL; 90% CI, 37–63 ng/mL) than during the postpartum period (C_{24h} GMC 85 ng/mL; 90% CI, 70–100 ng/mL).³ Similar differences in pharmacokinetic parameters of FTC were found among women during pregnancy or after delivery in the Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group (PACTG) 394 study⁴ and in a European study.^{5,6} The increase in FTC clearance during pregnancy correlated with the normal pregnancy-related increase in glomerular filtration rate.⁶ These changes are not believed to be large enough to warrant a dose adjustment during pregnancy.

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

FTC has been shown to have high placental transfer in pregnant women. In a study of 15 women who received FTC during pregnancy, the mean cord blood-to-maternal-plasma ratio was 1.2 (90% CI, 1.0–1.5).³ In eight women who were given a single dose of FTC 600 mg with tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) 900 mg, the median cord blood FTC concentration was 717 ng/mL (range, 21–1,072 ng/mL), and the median cord blood-to-maternal-plasma ratio was 0.85 (range, 0.46–1.07).⁴

FTC is excreted into human milk. Among women in Uganda and Nigeria who were taking first-line antiretroviral therapy that contained FTC 200 mg, FTC concentrations in breast milk peaked later than they did in maternal plasma (at 4–8 hours, compared with 2–4 hours) and were threefold higher than maternal plasma concentrations. FTC was detectable in three infants (19%).⁷ In a study in Ivory Coast, five women with HIV who exclusively breastfed their newborn infants were given FTC 400 mg, TDF 600 mg, and nevirapine 200 mg at onset of labor, followed by FTC 200 mg and TDF 300 mg once daily for 7 days postpartum. The median minimal and maximal concentrations of FTC in breast milk were 177 ng/mL and 679 ng/mL, respectively (interquartile ranges [IQR], 105–254 ng/mL and 658–743 ng/mL, respectively), well above the estimated FTC 50% inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) for HIV-1.⁸ In a study of 50 women without HIV who received daily oral FTC 200 mg and TDF 300 mg as pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP), median peak and trough breast milk concentrations of FTC were 212.5 ng/mL (IQR 140.0–405.0 ng/mL) and 183.0 ng/mL (IQR 113.0–250.0 ng/mL), respectively. FTC was detectable in 47 of 49 infants at a median concentration of 13.2 ng/mL (IQR 9.3–16.7 ng/mL), corresponding to estimated daily infant ingestion of a 31.9-mcg/kg dose (IQR 21.0–60.8 mcg/kg) of FTC or 0.5% of the daily dose for treating infants.⁹

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

A study of pregnancies conducted during an HIV PrEP trial randomized participants without HIV to receive placebo, TDF, or TDF plus FTC. No increase in the incidence of congenital anomalies was observed in the TDF plus FTC arm.¹⁰ No overall difference was observed between the rate of pregnancy loss in the TDF plus FTC arm and the rate of pregnancy loss in the TDF arm of this PrEP study.

In the U.S. Pediatric HIV/AIDS Cohort Study (PHACS) Surveillance Monitoring for ART Toxicities (SMARTT) cohort study, FTC exposure was not associated with an increase in specific or overall birth defect risk.¹¹ In a large French cohort, FTC exposure in the first trimester was associated with lower risk of birth defects.¹² The Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry has monitored sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to FTC to detect at least a 1.5-fold increased risk of overall birth defects and at least a two-fold increase in cardiovascular and genitourinary defects (the most common classes of birth defects in the general population). No such increase in the risk of birth defects has been observed with FTC. Among the cases of first-trimester FTC exposure that have been reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, the prevalence of birth defects was 2.6% (104 of 3,952 live births; 95% CI, 2.2% to 3.2%) compared with a total prevalence of 2.7% in the U.S. population, based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance.¹³

Other Safety Information

In the U.S. PHACS/SMARTT cohort study, after adjusting for birth cohort and other factors, maternal use of FTC did not increase the likelihood of adverse metabolic, growth and development, cardiac, neurological, or neurodevelopmental outcomes.¹⁴

Excerpt from Table 11

Note: When using fixed-dose combination (FDC) tablets, refer to other sections in [Appendix B](#) and [Table 11](#) in the Perinatal Guidelines for information about the dosing and safety of individual drug components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations ^a	Use in Pregnancy
<p>Emtricitabine (FTC) <i>Emtriva</i></p> <p>(FTC/EFV/TDF) <i>Atripla</i></p> <p>(FTC/BIC/TAF) <i>Biktarvy</i></p> <p>(FTC/RPV/TDF) <i>Complera</i></p> <p>(FTC/TAF) <i>Descovy</i></p> <p>(FTC/EVG/c/TAF) <i>Genvoya</i></p> <p>(FTC/RPV/TAF) <i>Odefsey</i></p> <p>(FTC/EVG/c/TDF) <i>Stribild</i></p> <p>(FTC/DRV/c/TAF) <i>Symtuza</i></p> <p>(FTC/TDF) <i>Truvada</i></p> <p>Note: Generic products are available for some formulations.</p>	<p>FTC (Emtriva) <i>Capsule^d</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 200 mg <p><i>Oral Solution</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 10 mg/mL <p>FTC/EFV/TDF (Atripla)^d</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • FTC 200 mg/EFV 600 mg/TDF 300-mg tablet <p>FTC/BIC/TAF (Biktarvy)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • FTC 200 mg/BIC 50 mg/TAF 25-mg tablet <p>FTC/RPV/TDF (Complera)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • FTC 200 mg/RPV 25 mg/TDF 300-mg tablet <p>FTC/TAF (Descovy)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • FTC 200 mg/TAF 25-mg tablet <p>FTC/EVG/c/TAF (Genvoya)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • FTC 200 mg/EVG 150 mg/COBI 150 mg/TAF 10-mg tablet <p>FTC/RPV/TAF (Odefsey)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • FTC 200 mg/RPV 25 mg/TAF 25-mg tablet <p>FTC/EVG/c/TDF (Stribild)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • FTC 200 mg/EVG 150 mg/COBI 150 mg/TDF 300-mg tablet <p>FTC/DRV/c/TAF (Symtuza)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • FTC 200 mg/DRV 800 mg/COBI 150 mg/TAF 10-mg tablet <p>FTC/TDF (Truvada)^d</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • FTC 200 mg/TDF 300-mg tablet 	<p>Standard Adult Doses</p> <p><i>FTC (Emtriva) – Capsule</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • FTC 200 mg once daily without regard to food <p><i>FTC (Emtriva) – Oral Solution</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • FTC 240 mg (24 mL) once daily without regard to food <p><i>FTC/EFV/TDF (Atripla)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet once daily at or before bedtime <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Take on an empty stomach to reduce or mitigate side effects. <p><i>FTC/BIC/TAF (Biktarvy)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet once daily with or without food <p><i>FTC/RPV/TDF (Complera)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet once daily with food <p><i>FTC/TAF (Descovy)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet once daily with or without food <p><i>FTC/EVG/c/TAF (Genvoya)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet once daily with food <p><i>FTC/RPV/TAF (Odefsey)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet once daily with food <p><i>FTC/EVG/c/TDF (Stribild)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet once daily with food <p><i>FTC/DRV/c/TAF (Symtuza)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet once daily with food <p><i>FTC/TDF (Truvada)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet once daily without regard to food <p>Pregnancy</p> <p><i>PKs in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PKs of FTC are not significantly altered in pregnancy. <p><i>Dosing in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No change in dose indicated. 	<p>High placental transfer to fetus^b</p> <p>No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects).</p> <p>If patient has HBV/HIV coinfection, it is possible that an HBV flare may occur if the drug is stopped; see Hepatitis B Virus/HIV Coinfection.</p>

Generic Name (Abbreviation) <i>Trade Name</i>	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations ^a	Use in Pregnancy
		For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., TDF , TAF , EFV , RPV , DRV , EVG , BIC , COBI).	

^a Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the [Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 11](#)).

^b Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

High: >0.6

Moderate: 0.3–0.6

Low: <0.3

^d Generic product is available.

Key: BIC = bictegravir; COBI = cobicistat; DRV/c = darunavir/cobicistat; EFV = efavirenz; EVG/c = elvitegravir/cobicistat; FDC = fixed-dose combination; FTC = emtricitabine; HBV = hepatitis B virus; PK = pharmacokinetic; RPV = rilpivirine; TAF = tenofovir alafenamide; TDF = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate

References

1. Cobicastat (Emtriva) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2018. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2018/021896s0261bl.pdf.
2. Szczech GM, Wang LH, Walsh JP, Rousseau FS. Reproductive toxicology profile of emtricitabine in mice and rabbits. *Reprod Toxicol*. 2003;17(1):95-108. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12507664>.
3. Stek AM, Best BM, Luo W, et al. Effect of pregnancy on emtricitabine pharmacokinetics. *HIV Med*. 2012;13(4):226-235. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22129166>.
4. Flynn PM, Mirochnick M, Shapiro DE, et al. Pharmacokinetics and safety of single-dose tenofovir disoproxil fumarate and emtricitabine in HIV-1-infected pregnant women and their infants. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2011;55(12):5914-5922. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21896911>.
5. Colbers AP, Hawkins DA, Gingelmaier A, et al. The pharmacokinetics, safety and efficacy of tenofovir and emtricitabine in HIV-1-infected pregnant women. *AIDS*. 2013;27(5):739-748. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23169329>.
6. Valade E, Treluyer JM, Dabis F, et al. Modified renal function in pregnancy: impact on emtricitabine pharmacokinetics. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2014;78(6):1378-1386. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24995851>.
7. Waitt C, Olagunju A, Nakalema S, et al. Plasma and breast milk pharmacokinetics of emtricitabine, tenofovir and lamivudine using dried blood and breast milk spots in nursing African mother-infant pairs. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2018;73(4):1013-1019.
8. Benaboud S, Pruvost A, Coffie PA, et al. Concentrations of tenofovir and emtricitabine in breast milk of HIV-1-infected women in Abidjan, Cote d'Ivoire, in the ANRS 12109 tEmAA study, step 2. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2011;55(3):1315-1317. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21173182>.
9. Mugwanya KK, Hendrix CW, Mugo NR, et al. Pre-exposure prophylaxis use by breastfeeding HIV-uninfected women: a prospective short-term study of antiretroviral excretion in breast milk and infant absorption. *PLoS Med*. 2016;13(9):e1002132.
10. Mugo NR, Hong T, Celum C, et al. Pregnancy incidence and outcomes among women receiving preexposure prophylaxis for HIV prevention: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2014;312(4):362-371. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25038355>.
11. Williams PL, Crain M, Yildirim C, et al. Congenital anomalies and in utero antiretroviral exposure in human immunodeficiency virus-exposed uninfected infants. *JAMA Pediatr*. 2015;169(1):45-55. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25383770>.
12. Sibiude J, Mandelbrot L, Blanche S, et al. Association between prenatal exposure to antiretroviral therapy and birth defects: an analysis of the French Perinatal Cohort Study (ANRS CO1/CO11). *PLoS Med*. 2014;11(4):e1001635. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4004531/>.

13. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral pregnancy registry international interim report for 1 January 1989–31 January 2021. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center; 2021. Available at: <http://www.apregistry.com/>.
14. Williams PL, Hazra R, Van Dyke RB, et al. Antiretroviral exposure during pregnancy and adverse outcomes in HIV-exposed uninfected infants and children using a trigger-based design. *AIDS*. 2016;30(1):133-144. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26731758>.

Lamivudine (Epivir, 3TC)

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

Lamivudine (3TC) was found to have weak mutagenic activity in one *in vitro* assay, but no evidence of *in vivo* genotoxicity was found in rats at 35 to 45 times the exposure observed in humans who received the standard dose. Long-term animal studies have shown no evidence of carcinogenicity at exposures that were 10 times (in mice) and 58 times (in rats) the exposure seen in humans who received the standard dose.¹

Reproduction/Fertility

In rats that received 3TC in doses up to 4,000 mg/kg per day, which produced plasma levels 47 to 70 times those seen in humans who received the standard dose, no evidence was found of impaired fertility and no effects on the offspring's survival, growth, or development up to the time of weaning.¹

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

No evidence exists of 3TC-induced teratogenicity in rats and rabbits at plasma concentrations of 3TC that are 35 times those seen in human plasma. Early embryo lethality was seen in rabbits at exposures that were similar to human therapeutic exposure, but no early embryo lethality was seen in rats with 3TC exposures that were 35 times the exposure observed in humans who received the standard dose.¹

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

In studies of pregnant rats, 3TC was transferred to the fetus through the placenta.¹

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

In an analysis of specimens obtained from 228 pregnant women in the antepartum (114), intrapartum (123) and postpartum (47) periods in which all participants received standard once-daily or twice-daily 3TC doses,² women had a 22% higher apparent clearance rate during pregnancy than in the postpartum period, but the resulting lower 3TC exposure in pregnant women was not subtherapeutic and was relatively close to exposure reported previously for nonpregnant adults.² Thus, no dose adjustment is necessary for 3TC during pregnancy.

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

3TC readily crosses the placenta in humans, achieving cord blood concentrations comparable to maternal plasma concentrations.³ In a study of 123 mother–infant pairs, the placental transfer, expressed as the fetal-to-maternal area under the curve (AUC) ratio, was 0.86. The 3TC amniotic fluid accumulation, expressed as the amniotic fluid-to-fetal AUC ratio, was 2.9.² Urinary excretion of 3TC by the fetus can cause 3TC to accumulate in the amniotic fluid.⁴

3TC is excreted into human breast milk. In a study in Kenya of 67 nursing mothers who received a combination regimen of zidovudine, 3TC, and nevirapine, the median breast milk 3TC concentration was 1,214 ng/mL and the median ratio of 3TC concentration in breast milk to the concentration in plasma was 2.56.⁵ In infants who were exposed to 3TC only via breast milk, the median plasma 3TC concentration was 23 ng/mL (inhibitory concentration 50% [IC₅₀] of 3TC against wild-type HIV = 0.6–21 ng/mL). In a separate study of breastfeeding women in Malawi who were receiving 3TC in combination with tenofovir disoproxil fumarate and efavirenz, concentrations of 3TC in breast milk were higher than those in maternal plasma at 1 month (3.29-fold higher) and 12 months (2.35-fold higher) after delivery. Infant plasma levels at ages 6 and 12 months, on the other hand, revealed median 3TC concentrations of only 2.5 ng/mL (with an interquartile range [IQR] of 2.5–7.6) and 0 ng/mL (with an IQR of 0–2.5), respectively.⁶ Lower 3TC exposure in these older infants is attributable to increased renal clearance with age.

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

Based on prospective reports to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry (APR), the FDA has concluded that no difference exists between the overall risk of birth defects for lamivudine compared with the background birth defect rate in the United States.¹

In a large French cohort, 3TC exposure during the first trimester was associated with an increased risk of overall birth defects (adjusted odds ratio = 1.37; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.06–1.73), but not of a defect in any specific organ system or of a specific birth defect.⁷ However, the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry has monitored sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to 3TC to detect at least a 1.5-fold increase in the risk of overall birth defects and at least a two-fold increase in the risk of cardiovascular and genitourinary defects (the more common classes of birth defects in the general population). No such increase in the risk of birth defects has been observed with 3TC. Among the cases of first-trimester 3TC exposure that have been reported to the APR, the prevalence of birth defects was 3.1% (169 of 5,433 live births; 95% CI, 2.7% to 3.6%) compared with a 2.7% total prevalence in the U.S. population, based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance.⁸

An analysis of Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry data demonstrated a lower risk of spontaneous abortions, induced abortions, and preterm births with use of lamivudine-containing regimens than with use of antiretroviral regimens that do not include lamivudine.⁹

Other Safety Information

In a large U.S. cohort study of infants without HIV born to women with HIV, 3TC exposure during pregnancy was not associated with increased risk of adverse infant outcomes in any of the growth, hearing, language, neurology, neurodevelopment, metabolic, hematologic/clinical chemistry, and blood lactate domains assessed.¹⁰

Excerpt from Table 11

Note: When using fixed-dose combination (FDC) tablets, refer to other sections in [Appendix B](#) and [Table 11](#) in the Perinatal Guidelines for information about the dosing and safety of individual drug components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations ^a	Use in Pregnancy
<p>Lamivudine (3TC) <i>EpiVir</i> (3TC/TDF) <i>Cimduo</i> (3TC/ZDV) <i>Combivir</i> (3TC/DOR/TDF) <i>Delstrigo</i> (3TC/DTG) <i>Dovato</i> (3TC/ABC) <i>Epzicom</i> (3TC/EFV/TDF) <i>Symfi</i> (3TC/EFV/TDF) <i>Symfi Lo</i> (3TC/TDF) <i>Temixys</i> (3TC/ABC/DTG) <i>Triumeq</i> (3TC/ABC/ZDV) <i>Trizivir</i></p> <p>Note: Generic products are available for some formulations.</p>	<p>3TC (<i>EpiVir</i>)^d <i>Tablets</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 150 mg • 300 mg <p><i>Oral Solution</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 10 mg/mL <p>3TC/TDF (<i>Cimduo</i>)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3TC 300 mg/TDF 300-mg tablet <p>3TC/ZDV (<i>Combivir</i>)^d</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3TC 150 mg/ZDV 300-mg tablet <p>3TC/DOR/TDF (<i>Delstrigo</i>)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3TC 300 mg/DOR 100 mg/TDF 300-mg tablet <p>3TC/DTG (<i>Dovato</i>)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3TC 300 mg/DTG 50-mg tablet <p>3TC/ABC (<i>Epzicom</i>)^d</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3TC 300 mg/ABC 600-mg tablet <p>3TC/EFV/TDF (<i>Symfi</i>)^d</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3TC 300 mg/EFV 600 mg plus TDF 300-mg tablet <p>3TC/EFV/TDF (<i>Symfi Lo</i>)^d</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3TC 300 mg/EFV 400 mg/TDF 300-mg tablet <p>3TC/TDF (<i>Temixys</i>)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3TC 300 mg/TDF 300-mg tablet <p>3TC/ABC/DTG (<i>Triumeq</i>)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3TC 300 mg/ABC 600 mg/DTG 50-mg tablet <p>3TC/ABC/ZDV (<i>Trizivir</i>)^d</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3TC 150 mg/ABC 300 mg/ZDV 300-mg tablet 	<p>Standard Adult Doses <i>3TC (EpiVir)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3TC 150 mg twice daily or 300 mg once daily, without regard to food <p><i>3TC/TDF (Cimduo)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet once daily without regard to food <p><i>3TC/ZDV (Combivir)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet twice daily without regard to food <p><i>3TC/DOR/TDF (Delstrigo)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet once daily without regard to food <p><i>3TC/DTG (Dovato)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet once daily without regard to food <p><i>3TC/ABC (Epzicom)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet once daily without regard to food <p><i>3TC/EFV/TDF (Symfi or Symfi Lo)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet once daily on an empty stomach and preferably at bedtime <p><i>3TC/TDF (Temixys)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet once daily without regard to food <p><i>3TC/ABC/DTG (Triumeq)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet once daily without regard to food <p><i>3TC/ABC/ZDV (Trizivir)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet twice daily without regard to food <p>Pregnancy <i>PKs in Pregnancy</i></p>	<p>High placental transfer to fetus.^b</p> <p>No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects).</p> <p>If patient has HBV/HIV coinfection, it is possible that an HBV flare may occur if the drug is stopped; see Hepatitis B Virus/HIV Coinfection.</p> <p>3TC products that were developed specifically for treatment of HBV (e.g., <i>EpiVir</i>-HBV) contain a lower dose of 3TC that is not appropriate for treatment of HIV.</p>

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations ^a	Use in Pregnancy
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PKs not significantly altered in pregnancy. <p><i>Dosing in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No change in dose indicated. <p>For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., ABC, DOR, DTG, EFV, TDF, ZDV).</p>	

^a Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the [Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 11](#)).

^b Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

- High:** >0.6
- Moderate:** 0.3–0.6
- Low:** <0.3

^d Generic formulation available

Key: 3TC = lamivudine; ABC = abacavir; ARV = antiretroviral; DOR = doravirine; DTG = dolutegravir; EFV = efavirenz; FDC = fixed-dose combination; HBV = hepatitis B virus; PK = pharmacokinetic; TDF = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate; ZDV = zidovudine

References

1. Lamivudine (Epivir) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2019. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2019/020564s039,020596s038lbl.pdf.
2. Benaboud S, Treluyer JM, Urien S, et al. Pregnancy-related effects on lamivudine pharmacokinetics in a population study with 228 women. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2012;56(2):776-782. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22106227>.
3. Moodley J, Moodley D, Pillay K, et al. Pharmacokinetics and antiretroviral activity of lamivudine alone or when coadministered with zidovudine in human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected pregnant women and their offspring. *J Infect Dis*. 1998;178(5):1327-1333. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9780252>.
4. Mandelbrot L, Peytavin G, Firtion G, Farinotti R. Maternal-fetal transfer and amniotic fluid accumulation of lamivudine in human immunodeficiency virus-infected pregnant women. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2001;184(2):153-158. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11174495>.
5. Mirochnick M, Thomas T, Capparelli E, et al. Antiretroviral concentrations in breast-feeding infants of mothers receiving highly active antiretroviral therapy. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2009;53(3):1170-1176. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19114673>.
6. Palombi L, Pirillo MF, Marchei E, et al. Concentrations of tenofovir, lamivudine and efavirenz in mothers and children enrolled under the option B-plus approach in Malawi. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2016;71(4):1027-1030. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26679247>.
7. Sibiude J, Mandelbrot L, Blanche S, et al. Association between prenatal exposure to antiretroviral therapy and birth defects: an analysis of the French Perinatal Cohort Study (ANRS CO1/CO11). *PLoS Med*. 2014;11(4):e1001635. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4004531/>.
8. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral pregnancy registry international interim report for 1 January 1989–31 January 2021. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center; 2021. Available at: <http://www.apregistry.com/>.
9. Vannappagari V, Koram N, Albano J, Tilson H, Gee C. Abacavir and lamivudine exposures during pregnancy and non-defect adverse pregnancy outcomes: data from the antiretroviral pregnancy registry. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2015;68(3):359-364. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25469525>.
10. Williams PL, Hazra R, Van Dyke RB, et al. Antiretroviral exposure during pregnancy and adverse outcomes in HIV-exposed uninfected infants and children using a trigger-based design. *AIDS*. 2016;30(1):133-144. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26731758>.

Tenofovir Alafenamide (Vemlidy, TAF)

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Tenofovir alafenamide (TAF) is an orally bioavailable form of tenofovir (TFV). TAF is available as a 25 mg single drug tablet for use in hepatitis B and at a dose of 25 mg in several fixed-dose combination tablets without cobicistat for use in HIV. FDC tablets containing 10 mg TAF boosted with cobicistat are also available, but these regimens are not recommended in pregnancy and are therefore not reviewed in this section. For information about tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF), see the [TDF](#) section.

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

TAF and TDF are both prodrugs of TFV. TAF is converted rapidly to TFV, and TFV exposure in rats and mice is lower after TAF administration than TDF administration. Carcinogenicity studies for TFV were performed with TDF, but given the lower TFV exposure with TAF, the associated carcinogenicity is assumed to be commensurate or lower. Long-term oral carcinogenicity studies of TFV in mice and rats were carried out at TFV exposures that were 167 times (in mice) and 55 times (in rats) the TFV exposures observed in humans who received the recommended doses of TAF; in female mice, liver adenomas were increased.^{1,2}

Reproduction/Fertility

Reproduction studies have been performed at TAF exposures that in rats were similar to and in rabbits were 53 times higher than the exposure seen in humans who received the recommended dose. These studies revealed no evidence of impaired fertility or mating performance associated with TAF administration.^{1,2}

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

No effects on early embryonic development were seen when TAF was administered to male or female rats at doses that produced exposures that were 62 times the exposure seen in humans who received the therapeutic dose.^{1,2}

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Rat studies demonstrated secretion of TFV in breast milk after administration of TDF, but whether TAF is present in animal milk is not known.¹

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

TAF pharmacokinetics (PK) do not appear to be significantly affected by pregnancy, and TAF exposures appear adequate in the second and third trimesters. TAF PK were evaluated as part of International Maternal Pediatric Adolescent AIDS Clinical Trials Network (IMPAACT) P1026s in 27 women who were taking TAF 25 mg.³ Antepartum TAF exposures were 33% to 43% lower compared to postpartum, but comparable with exposures in nonpregnant adults. Another report

described TAF PK in 17 women who were taking TAF 25 mg boosted with either cobicistat or ritonavir; plasma exposures for TAF during pregnancy were similar to those seen postpartum.⁴

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Very limited data exist on the TAF levels in placental and breast milk. One study found that TAF was below the assay limit of quantification (<3.9 ng/mL) in 43 of 44 cord blood samples tested and all infant washout samples; maternal plasma TAF concentrations at delivery were measurable in only 4 of the 45 paired samples.³ No data are available on the breast milk passage of TAF in humans.

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

The data from the IMPAACT 2010, a randomized trial of dolutegravir (DTG) containing ART regimens in pregnancy, found lower composite adverse outcomes in the group receiving DTG+FTC/TAF (TAF with emtricitabine [FTC] and DTG) than in the group receiving DTG+FTC/TDF (TDF with FTC and DTG) or efavirenz [EFV]+FTC/TDF (TDF with EFV and FTC), although it is noteworthy that the DTG+FTC/TAF arm of the trial had higher maternal weight gain than the other two arms and a lower neonatal mortality compared with the arm receiving EFV+FTC/TDF (3.7% vs. 1.9%).⁵

The Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry has monitored sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to TAF to detect at least a two-fold increase in the risk of overall birth defects. However, no such increase in the risk of birth defects has been observed with TAF. Among the cases of first-trimester TAF exposure that have been reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, the prevalence of birth defects was 4.2% (22 of 526 live births; 95% confidence interval, 2.64% to 6.27%), not statistically significantly higher than the total prevalence in the U.S. population, according to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention birth defects surveillance system Metropolitan Atlanta Congenital Defects Program (2.7%; 95% CI: 2.7-2.8) or the Texas Birth Defects Registry (4.2%; 95% CI: 4.15-4.19).⁶

Excerpt from Table 11

Note: When using fixed-dose combination (FDC) tablets, refer to other sections in [Appendix B](#) and [Table 11](#) in the Perinatal Guidelines for information about the dosing and safety of individual drug components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations ^a	Use in Pregnancy
<p>Tenofovir Alafenamide (TAF) <i>Vemlidy</i></p> <p>(TAF/BIC/FTC) <i>Biktarvy</i></p> <p>(TAF/FTC) <i>Descovy</i></p> <p>(TAF/EVG/c/FTC) <i>Genvoya</i></p> <p>(TAF/FTC/RPV) <i>Odefsey</i></p> <p>(TAF/DRV/c/FTC) <i>Symtuza</i></p>	<p>TAF (Vemlidy) <i>Tablet</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 25 mg <p>TAF/BIC/FTC (Biktarvy)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TAF 25 mg/BIC 50 mg/FTC 200-mg tablet <p>TAF/FTC (Descovy)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TAF 25 mg/FTC 200-mg tablet <p>TAF/EVG/c/FTC (Genvoya)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TAF 10 mg/EVG 150 mg/COBI 150 mg/FTC 200-mg tablet <p>TAF/FTC/RPV (Odefsey)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TAF 25 mg/FTC 200 mg/RPV 25-mg tablet <p>TAF/DRV/c/FTC (Symtuza)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TAF 10 mg/DRV 800 mg/COBI 150 mg/FTC 200-mg tablet 	<p>Standard Adult Doses</p> <p><i>TAF (Vemlidy)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet once daily with food <p><i>TAF/BIC/FTC (Biktarvy)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet once daily with or without food <p><i>TAF/FTC Descovy)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet once daily with or without food • Same dose (TAF 25 mg) can be used with or without PK enhancers. <p><i>TAF/EVG/c/FTC (Genvoya)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet once daily with food <p><i>TAF/FTC/RPV (Odefsey)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet once daily with food <p><i>TAF/DRV/c/FTC (Symtuza)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet once daily with food <p>Pregnancy</p> <p><i>PKs in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Plasma PKs not significantly altered in pregnancy. <p><i>Dosing in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No change in dose indicated. <p>For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., BIC, COBI, DRV, EVG, FTC, RPV).</p>	<p>Low placental transfer to fetus.^b</p> <p>Insufficient data to assess for teratogenicity in humans. No evidence of teratogenicity in rats.</p> <p>Renal function should be monitored because of the potential for renal toxicity.</p>

^a Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the [Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 11](#)).

^b Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

High: >0.6

Moderate: 0.3–0.6

Low: <0.3

Key: ARV = antiretroviral; BIC = bictegravir; COBI = cobicistat; DRV/c = darunavir/cobicistat; EVG/c = elvitegravir/cobicistat; FDC = fixed-dose combination; FTC = emtricitabine; PK = pharmacokinetic; RPV = rilpivirine; TAF = tenofovir alafenamide

References

1. Emtricitabine/rilpivirine/tenofovir alafenamide (Odefsey) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2019. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2019/208351s010lbl.pdf.
2. Elvitegravir/cobicistat/emtricitabine/tenofovir alafenamide (Genvoya) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2019. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2019/207561s023lbl.pdf.
3. Brooks KM, Momper JD, Pinilla M, et al. Pharmacokinetics of tenofovir alafenamide with and without cobicistat in pregnant and postpartum women living with HIV. *AIDS*. 2021;35(3):407-417. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33252495>.
4. Brooks K, Pinilla M, Shapiro D, et al. Pharmacokinetics of tenofovir alafenamide 25 mg with PK boosters during pregnancy and postpartum. Presented at: Workshop on Clinical Pharmacology of HIV, Hepatitis, and Other Antiviral Drugs. 2019. Noordwijk, Netherlands.
5. Lockman S, Brummel SS, Ziemba L, et al. Efficacy and safety of dolutegravir with emtricitabine and tenofovir alafenamide fumarate or tenofovir disoproxil fumarate, and efavirenz, emtricitabine, and tenofovir disoproxil fumarate HIV antiretroviral therapy regimens started in pregnancy (IMPAACT 2010/VESTED): a multicentre, open-label, randomised, controlled, phase 3 trial. *Lancet*. 2021;397(10281):1276-1292. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33812487>.
6. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral pregnancy registry international interim report for 1 January 1989–31 January 2021. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2021. Available at: <http://www.apregistry.com/>.

Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate (Viread, TDF)

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) is an orally bioavailable form of tenofovir (TFV). For information about tenofovir alafenamide (TAF), see the [TAF](#) section.

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

TFV was mutagenic in one of two *in vitro* assays and has shown no evidence of clastogenic activity. Long-term oral carcinogenicity studies of TFV were carried out at 16 times (in mice) and 5 times (in rats) the exposure seen in humans who received the standard dose. In female mice, the incidence of liver adenomas was increased at exposures that were 16 times those observed in humans who received therapeutic doses. In rats, no evidence was observed of carcinogenicity at exposures up to five times those observed in humans who received the therapeutic dose.¹

Reproduction/Fertility

Reproduction studies have been performed using doses of TFV up to 14 times (in rats) and 19 times (in rabbits) the human dose, based on body surface area comparisons. The use of TFV was not associated with impaired fertility or harm to the fetus in these studies. No effects were observed on fertility, mating performance, or early embryonic development when TFV was administered (at a dose of 600 mg/kg per day, equivalent to 10 times the human dose based on body surface area) to male rats for 28 days before mating, and to female rats from 15 days before mating through Day 7 of gestation. However, an alteration of the estrous cycle in female rats was observed.¹

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

Fetal monkeys with chronic, high-level exposure to TFV that was equivalent to 25 times the area under the concentration-time curve (AUC) achieved with therapeutic dosing in humans had lower fetal circulating insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-1, higher IGF binding protein-3 levels, and lower body weights than TFV-unexposed fetal monkeys. A slight reduction in fetal bone porosity also was observed in TFV-exposed fetal monkeys. These effects were observed within 2 months of maternal treatment.¹

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Intravenous administration of TFV to pregnant cynomolgus monkeys resulted in a cord blood-to-maternal plasma ratio of 0.17, demonstrating that TFV crosses the placenta.²

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

In a retrospective population pharmacokinetic (PK) study of 46 pregnant women and 156 nonpregnant women who were receiving combination regimens that included TDF, pregnant women had a 39% higher apparent clearance of TFV than nonpregnant women. Apparent clearance decreased slightly but significantly with increasing age.³ In a prospective PK study of

37 women who received TDF-based combination therapy during pregnancy and postpartum, the percentage of women with TFV AUC that exceeded the target of 1.99 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{hour}/\text{mL}$ (the 10th percentile in nonpregnant adults) was lower at 30 to 36 weeks gestation (27 of 37 women [73%]) than at 6 to 12 weeks postpartum (27 of 32 women [84%]). TFV trough levels and AUC were 17% lower during the third trimester compared to postpartum. The median weight of the women below the target exposure (97.9 kg) was significantly higher than the median weight of the women who met the target exposure (74.2 kg).⁴ In a population PK study of 46 women who underwent intensive PK evaluations during the second and third trimesters of pregnancy, higher weight and lower serum creatinine were independently associated with higher apparent oral clearance.⁵

In another study of 34 women who received TDF plus emtricitabine (FTC) in the third trimester and postpartum, TFV AUC, peak concentration, and trough concentration were all about 25% lower in pregnant women than in postpartum women, but these decreased exposures were not associated with virologic failure.⁶ In a study that compared TFV PKs in pregnant women receiving antiretroviral therapy (ART) containing TDF and a ritonavir-boosted protease inhibitor (PI/r) with women receiving ART with TDF but without PI/r, pregnant women in the PI/r group had higher TFV exposures (TFV AUC_{0-24h} 2.41 vs. 1.84 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{h}/\text{mL}$; $P < 0.01$).⁷

In a study of women who did not have HIV and who were using TDF as part of pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP), intracellular concentrations of tenofovir diphosphate (TFV-DP) in pregnant women were about 70% of those in nonpregnant women, even after adjusting for adherence.⁸

In pregnant women who had hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection but did not have HIV infection, the estimated geometric mean TFV AUC_{0-24h} was 20% lower during pregnancy (95% confidence interval [CI], 19% to 21%) than during the postpartum period. No cases of perinatal HBV transmission were observed in this study.⁹

Thus, in light of only modestly lower TFV exposure during pregnancy without evidence of adverse impact on virologic efficacy, standard dosing of TDF during pregnancy continues to be recommended.

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

In studies of pregnant women who were receiving chronic TDF, the cord blood-to-maternal-plasma ratio of TFV ranged from 0.60 to 1.03, indicating high placental transfer.^{4,6,10} Intracellular TFV concentrations were detected in the peripheral blood mononuclear cells from cord blood in all infants after a single maternal dose of TDF 600 mg with FTC 400 mg, but intracellular TFV-DP was detectable in only 2 of 36 infants (5.5%).¹¹

In a study of 50 breastfeeding women without HIV who received TDF/FTC (under directly observed therapy for 10 days) as PrEP, median peak and trough time-averaged TFV breast milk concentrations were similar at 3.2 ng/mL (interquartile range [IQR] 2.3–4.7) and 3.3 ng/mL (IQR 2.3–4.4), respectively. The infant plasma TFV concentration was unquantifiable (<0.31 ng/mL) in 46 of 49 infants (94%); in the three infants with detectable TFV concentrations, the level was 0.9 ng/mL in two and 17.4 ng/mL in one. Based on this study's results, the median TFV dose ingested through breast milk was estimated to be 0.47 mcg/kg, or $<0.01\%$ of the proposed daily pediatric dose of TDF 6 mg/kg.¹² In a study of 59 breastfeeding women with HIV who received TDF/lamivudine (3TC)/efavirenz (EFV) in Uganda and Nigeria, no infant had detectable TFV in plasma after observed dosing.¹³

Reproduction/Fertility

In a retrospective analysis of 7,275 women who were receiving ART (1,199 of whom were receiving regimens that contained TDF), women who used TDF had a slightly lower pregnancy rate than women who did not use TDF.¹⁴ In contrast, in a trial in Kenya and Uganda in which participants who did not have HIV but whose sexual partners had HIV (serodiscordant heterosexual couples) were randomized to receive daily TDF, TDF/FTC, or placebo for PrEP, pregnancy incidence was not significantly different among the arms: Pregnancy incidence per 100 patient-years was 10.0 among women assigned to receive placebo, 11.9 among those assigned to receive TDF ($P = 0.22$ vs. placebo), and 8.8 among those assigned to receive TDF/FTC ($P = 0.39$ vs. placebo).¹⁵

Teratogenicity

In a study of 431 pregnancies that occurred during an HIV PrEP trial in which women who did not have HIV were randomized to receive placebo, TDF, or TDF plus FTC, no difference was observed in risk of congenital anomalies between the TDF-containing arms and placebo arms.¹⁵ No association was seen between maternal TDF use and the occurrence of birth defects among offspring in three large U.S. cohorts of children born to women with HIV: Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group (PACTG) 219/219C ($n = 2,202$, with 214 first-trimester TDF exposures), the P1025 protocol ($n = 1,112$, with 138 first-trimester TDF exposures),^{16,17} and Pediatric HIV/AIDS Cohort Study (PHACS) ($n = 2,580$, with 431 first-trimester TDF exposures).¹⁸ In the French Perinatal Cohort, no association was found between birth defects and the use of TDF, with a power of 70% for an odds ratio of 1.5 ($n = 13,124$, with 823 first-trimester TDF exposures).¹⁹

Finally, the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry has monitored sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to TDF to detect at least a 1.5-fold increased risk of overall birth defects and to detect at least a twofold increase in the risk of birth defects in the cardiovascular and genitourinary systems (the more common classes of birth defects in the general population). No such increase in the risk of birth defects has been observed with TDF. Among the cases of first-trimester TDF exposure that have been reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, the prevalence of birth defects was 2.4% (108 of 4,483 live births; 95% CI, 2.0% to 2.9%), compared with a total prevalence of 2.7% in the U.S. population, based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance.²⁰

In summary, no evidence exists that the use of TDF increases the risk of birth defects.

Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

Overall Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

In an observational study in Botswana of >11,000 births among women with HIV who received ART during pregnancy and gave birth between August 2014 and August 2016, the risk of any adverse birth outcome (i.e., stillbirth, neonatal death, preterm delivery or very preterm delivery, small for gestational age [SGA] or very small for gestational age) was lower in women who received TDF/FTC/EFV than in women who received any other regimen (TDF/FTC plus nevirapine [NVP], adjusted relative risk [ARR] 1.15; TDF/FTC plus lopinavir/ritonavir [LPV/r], ARR 1.31; zidovudine [ZDV]/3TC plus NVP, ARR 1.30; ZDV/3TC plus LPV/r, ARR 1.21). Furthermore, among infants who were exposed to ART from conception, TDF/FTC/EFV was associated with lower risk for adverse birth outcomes than other ARV regimens.²¹

See the [TAF](#) section for discussion of Virologic Efficacy and Safety of ART Combinations with TAF/TDF, EFV, and DTG (VESTED) trial findings suggesting that TDF, compared to TAF, may be associated with a higher rate of adverse pregnancy outcomes when DTG regimens are started in pregnancy.²²

Fetal Growth Effects

In the PHACS study from the United States, 449 of the 2,029 infants (21%) who were exposed to HIV but who were uninfected had *in utero* exposure to TDF. TDF-exposed infants and infants without exposure to TDF had similar rates of low birth weight (LBW) and SGA and similar newborn length-for-age and head circumference-for-age z-scores (LAZ and HCAZ, respectively).²³ In the P1025 study (a different U.S. cohort study), maternal TDF use similarly was not associated with differences in body size parameters at birth.²⁴ In a combined analysis of data from 4,646 births that occurred during the PHACS and P1025 studies, no differences were observed in the risks of LBW infants (<2,500 g) and very LBW infants (<1,500 g) for women who received TDF/3TC plus LPV/r and those who received ZDV/3TC plus LPV/r during pregnancy.²⁵ In the European Pregnancy and Paediatric HIV Cohort Collaboration (EPPICC) cohort study, the use of TDF similarly was not associated with SGA infants.²⁶ However, in a Dutch study of 74 HIV-exposed infants (including 9 with *in utero* TDF exposure), maternal TDF use was linked to an increased risk of LBW (<2,500 g).²⁷

In the largely Africa-based Promoting Maternal and Infant Survival Everywhere (PROMISE) trial, pregnant women with HIV but without advanced disease or immunosuppression (defined as CD4 T lymphocyte counts ≥ 350 cells/mm³) were randomized at ≥ 14 weeks gestation (with a median of 26 weeks gestation) to receive ZDV alone, ZDV/3TC plus LPV/r (ZDV-based ART), or TDF/FTC plus LPV/r (TDF-based ART). The TDF-based ART arm and ZDV-based ART arms showed no significant differences in the incidence of LBW infants (<2,500 g; 16.9% vs. 20.4%, $P = 0.3$).²⁸ In the large observational study in Botswana, the use of TDF/FTC/EFV was associated with a lower risk of SGA infants than all other regimens.²¹ A fetal ultrasound study in South Africa demonstrated no association between duration of maternal TDF use and long-bone (femur and humerus) growth in the infant.²⁹ This same research group also demonstrated that the duration of *in utero* TFV exposure was not related to infant length at birth.³⁰

Additionally, a placebo-controlled trial of TDF 300 mg that was initiated at 28 weeks gestation in Thai women with HBV (but not HIV) permits an assessment of the potential impact of TDF on birth outcomes when TDF is used in pregnancy without other antiviral drugs and outside the context of maternal HIV infection. In this study, 322 deliveries resulted in 323 live births (including 2 twin pairs and 1 stillbirth in the TDF arm). No difference was observed in birth weights between infants born to women who received TDF and those who received placebo: median birth weight was 3,028 g in the TDF arm and 3,061 g in the placebo arm.³¹

Finally, in the VESTED trial, SGA at birth was more common in the DTG plus TDF/FTC arm (45 of 200 infants [23%]) than in the DTG plus TAF/FTC arm (33 of 202 infants [16%]), but this difference was not statistically significant ($P > 0.05$).²²

In summary, the available evidence does not indicate a link between maternal TDF use and LBW or SGA.

Preterm Delivery

In the PROMISE trial, no significant differences were observed between the TDF-based ART arm and the ZDV-based ART arms in the incidence of preterm delivery (delivery at <37 weeks; 18.5% vs. 19.7%, $P = 0.77$). However, TDF-based ART was associated with higher rates of very preterm delivery (delivery before 34 weeks; 6.0% vs. 2.6%, $P = 0.04$) and early infant death (4.4% vs. 0.6%, $P = 0.001$) than ZDV-based ART.²⁸ The greater number of early infant deaths was likely attributable to poor outcomes for very preterm infants in the settings where the trial took place, but the higher rate of very preterm delivery in the TFV-based ART arm remains unexplained. Subsequent analyses demonstrated persistence of this association even after adjustment for multiple well-established clinical, demographic, and obstetrical risk factors.³² Potential explanations include a lower than expected very preterm delivery rate in the ZDV-based ART arm or increased TFV exposure due to coadministration with LPV/r (LPV/r doses were increased in late pregnancy). However, investigators were unable to demonstrate a relationship between maternal TFV-DP levels and very preterm delivery/early neonatal death.³³

In contrast to the PROMISE trial results, the use of ZDV/3TC plus LPV/r was associated with a higher risk of preterm birth, very preterm birth, and neonatal death than TDF/FTC/EFV in the large observational study in Botswana.³⁴ A higher risk of preterm delivery was observed, however, among women who started treatment with TDF/FTC/EFV in the year prior to conception than among women who started the same regimen late in the second trimester (adjusted risk ratio 1.33; 95% CI, 1.04–1.7).²¹

In a combined analysis of data from 4,646 births that occurred during the PHACS and P1025 studies, women who received TDF/3TC plus LPV/r and those who received ZDV/3TC plus LPV/r during pregnancy had no significant differences in the risks of preterm delivery overall (defined as a gestational age of <37 weeks) or very preterm delivery (<34 weeks).²⁵ Among women with HIV who became pregnant and started ART while enrolled in serodiscordant couple PrEP studies, preterm birth (defined as live birth at <37 weeks gestation) occurred less frequently among women who received TDF (adjusted prevalence rate ratio [aPRR] 0.34; $P = 0.02$), and no difference was observed in the rates of neonatal death (aPRR 0.68; $P = 0.6$).³⁵

Additionally, in the trial of TDF 300 mg in Thai women with HBV (but not HIV), no difference was observed in the frequency of preterm delivery between the TDF and placebo arms. Preterm delivery occurred for 8 of 162 infants (5%) in the TDF arm (with none at <35 weeks), and 13 of 160 infants (8%) experienced preterm delivery in the placebo arm, including 3 infants (2%) who were delivered between 32 and 34 weeks gestation.³¹

However, in an observational, multicenter Canadian study of 2,787 mother–infant pairs in which the mothers received ART during pregnancy, the rate of preterm delivery (defined as delivery at <37 weeks) was significantly higher in mothers who received TDF-containing ART than in mothers who received ART that did not contain TDF (19.4% vs. 15.2%, $P = 0.024$). This higher rate of preterm delivery was not associated with whether the regimen also included a protease inhibitor, non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor, or integrase strand transfer inhibitor.³⁶

Finally, in the VESTED trial, a higher number of preterm births was observed in the DTG plus TDF/FTC arm (19 of 202 participants [9%]) than in the DTG plus TAF/FTC arm (12 of 208 [6%]), but this difference did not reach statistical significance ($P = 0.16$).²²

In all, some concern remains regarding a link between maternal TDF use and preterm birth, but the evidence is mixed; the role of concomitant medications and other cofactors and/or confounders requires further investigation.

Other Safety Data

Maternal Safety Outcomes

In a United Kingdom cohort of 71 pregnant women who were receiving TDF, retrospective analysis of serum creatinine and estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) measured throughout pregnancy and 6 weeks after delivery revealed no decline in renal function during pregnancy and normal renal function (>90 mL/min) at 6 weeks postpartum (one woman's postpartum eGFR was 60 mL/min).³⁷

In the Thai trial in which pregnant women received TDF or placebo from a gestational age of 28 weeks to 2 months postpartum to prevent HBV transmission, no significant effect of maternal TDF use on maternal bone mineral density (BMD) was observed 1 year after delivery.³⁸

In a substudy of the PROMISE trial, however, BMD declined significantly more in the women assigned to TDF-containing ART than in women assigned to no ART (infant NVP arm) at the lumbar spine (mean difference -2.85%; 95% CI, -4.03 to -1.70; $P < 0.001$) and in the total hip (mean difference -2.29%; 95% CI, -3.20 to -1.39; $P < 0.001$).³⁹

In a Ugandan study that compared serial BMD measures during and after lactation in women with HIV receiving TDF-based ART and in a reference group of women without HIV, decreases in total hip areal BMD were significantly greater in the HIV group than in the reference group (-5.9% vs. -4.3%, group×time point interaction, $P = 0.008$). In addition, areal BMD did not return to baseline values in the women with HIV but did in the reference group for total hip (-3.1% vs. +0.1%, $P = 0.0008$) and for whole body (less head) (-2.4% vs. -0.1%, $P = 0.002$).⁴⁰

Infant Safety Outcomes

In the U.S. PHACS/Surveillance Monitoring for ART Toxicities (SMARTT) cohort study, after adjusting for birth cohort and other factors, maternal use of TDF led to no increase in the likelihood of adverse infant metabolic, growth and developmental, cardiac, neurological, or neurodevelopmental outcomes.⁴¹

In the Development of Antiretroviral Therapy in Africa (DART) trial in Uganda and Zimbabwe, no differences were observed in infant mortality between infants born to mothers who received TDF during pregnancy and those born to mothers who received other ARV drugs.⁴²

Infant Growth Effects

In the U.S. PHACS study, no differences were observed at birth in rates of LBW, SGA, or newborn LAZ and HCAZ between infants who were exposed to combination drug regimens that contained TDF versus regimens that did not contain TDF; however, at age 1 year, infants exposed to combination regimens with TDF had a slightly but significantly lower adjusted mean LAZ and HCAZ than those without TDF exposure (LAZ: -0.17 vs. -0.03, $P = 0.04$; HCAZ: 0.17 vs. 0.42, $P = 0.02$). No difference was observed in weight-for-age z-score (WAZ). No significant differences were observed between infants with and without TDF exposure at age 1 year when defining low LAZ or HCAZ as ≤ 1.5 z-score. Thus, these slightly lower mean LAZ and HCAZ scores at age 1 year are

of uncertain significance.²³ In the U.S. P1025 study, maternal TDF use similarly was not associated with differences in body size parameters at birth; however, among the 1,496 infants who were followed for 6 months, TDF exposure after the first trimester was associated with being underweight (WAZ <5%) at age 6 months (odds ratio [OR] 2.06; 95% CI, 1.01–3.95; *P* = 0.04) when compared to no exposure.²⁴

A Kenyan cohort study also found an association between maternal TDF use (compared to ART without TDF) and lower infant 6-week WAZ despite no difference in infant weight at birth; however, TDF exposure was not associated with infant WAZ differences at age 9 months, and no associations were found with any other infant anthropometric measures at the 6-week or 9-month time points.⁴³ In the Dutch study of 74 HIV-exposed infants, maternal TDF use was linked to lower 6-month HAZ and WAZ after adjusting for differences in birth weight and prematurity.²⁷

On the other hand, results from a South African study demonstrated that the duration of *in utero* TFV exposure was not related to infant length at birth or to linear growth through the first 48 weeks of life.³⁰ In the DART trial, no differences were observed in infant growth rates between infants born to mothers who received TDF during pregnancy and those born to mothers who received other ARV drugs.⁴²

Finally, in the placebo-controlled trial that involved Thai women with HBV (but not HIV) who initiated TDF at 28 weeks gestation, no difference was observed in growth outcomes at age 6 months between infants in the maternal TDF arm and infants in the placebo arm.³¹

The evidence is inconsistent regarding the association between maternal TDF use during pregnancy and transient, small growth delays during the first year of life. These delays are of uncertain clinical significance.⁴⁴

Infant Bone Effects

In a cross-sectional study of 68 children aged 1 to 6 years who were exposed to HIV (but who were not infected) and who had *in utero* exposure to combination regimens that contained TDF (*n* = 3) or that did not contain TDF (*n* = 35), quantitative bone ultrasound measures and bone metabolism marker levels were similar for both groups.⁴⁵ Another study evaluated whole-body dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry scans performed within 4 weeks of birth among 74 infants who were exposed to >8 weeks of TDF *in utero* and 69 infants with no TDF exposure. The adjusted mean whole-body bone mineral content (BMC) was significantly lower in the TDF group (–6.5 g; *P* = 0.004), as was the whole-body-less-head BMC (–2.6 g; *P* = 0.056).⁴⁶ In a small, randomized trial that enrolled pregnant women in China with HBV/HIV coinfection, BMD and BMC at age 6 months were not significantly lower in 14 TDF-exposed infants than in 13 infants who were not exposed to TDF.⁴⁷

On the other hand, in the randomized PROMISE trial, no difference was observed in BMC between infants whose mothers received LPV/r-based ART with TDF and those whose mothers received LPV/r-based ART with ZDV.⁴⁸ In addition, in the Thai trial in which women with HBV (but not HIV) received TDF or placebo from a gestational age of 28 weeks to 2 months postpartum to prevent HBV transmission, no significant effect of maternal TDF use was observed on infant BMD at age 1 year.³⁸

A study of 136 infants in Malawi whose mothers received TDF/FTC/EFV during pregnancy (with no control group for comparison) documented low-grade, transient abnormalities of serum phosphate and serum creatinine at ages 6 and 12 months.⁴⁹

The impact of maternal TDF use on infant bone mineral status remains uncertain and requires further longitudinal evaluation.

Excerpt from Table 11

Note: When using fixed-dose combination (FDC) tablets, refer to other sections in [Appendix B](#) and [Table 11](#) in the Perinatal Guidelines for information about the dosing and safety of individual drug components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations ^a	Use in Pregnancy
<p>Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate (TDF) <i>Viread</i></p> <p>(TDF/EFV/FTC) <i>Atripla</i></p> <p>(TDF/3TC) <i>Cimduo</i></p> <p>(TDF/FTC/RPV) <i>Complera</i></p> <p>(TDF/DOR/3TC) <i>Delstrigo</i></p> <p>(TDF/EVG/c/FTC) <i>Stribild</i></p> <p>(TDF/EFV/3TC) <i>Symfi</i></p> <p>(TDF/EFV/3TC) <i>Symfi Lo</i></p> <p>(TDF/3TC) <i>Temixys</i></p> <p>(TDF/FTC) <i>Truvada</i></p> <p>Note: Generic products are available for some formulations.</p>	<p>TDF (<i>Viread</i>)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Tablet^d <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 300 mg Powder <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 40 mg/1 g oral powder <p>TDF/EFV/FTC (<i>Atripla</i>)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> TDF 300 mg/EFV 600 mg/FTC 200 mg tablet <p>TDF/3TC (<i>Cimduo</i>)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> TDF 300 mg/3TC 300 mg tablet <p>TDF/FTC/RPV (<i>Complera</i>)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> TDF 300 mg/FTC 200 mg/RPV 25 mg tablet <p>TDF/DOR/3TC (<i>Delstrigo</i>)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> TDF 300 mg/DOR 100 mg/3TC 300 mg tablet <p>TDF/EVG/c/FTC (<i>Stribild</i>)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> TDF 300 mg/EVG 150 mg/COBI 150 mg/FTC 200 mg tablet <p>TDF/EFV/3TC (<i>Symfi</i>)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> TDF 300 mg/EFV 600 mg/3TC 300 mg tablet <p>TDF/EFV/3TC (<i>Symfi Lo</i>)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> TDF 300 mg/EFV 400 mg/3TC 300 mg tablet <p>TDF/3TC (<i>Temixys</i>)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> TDF 300 mg/3TC 300 mg tablet <p>TDF/FTC (<i>Truvada</i>)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> TDF 300 mg/FTC 200 mg tablet 	<p>Standard Adult Doses <i>TDF (Viread)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Tablet <ul style="list-style-type: none"> TDF 300 mg once daily without regard to food Powder <ul style="list-style-type: none"> TDF 8 mg/kg daily (up to a maximum of TDF 300 mg). Take with food. <p><i>TDF/EFV/FTC (Atripla)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One tablet once daily at or before bedtime. Take on an empty stomach to reduce side effects. <p><i>TDF/3TC (Cimduo)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One tablet once daily without regard to food <p><i>TDF/FTC/RPV (Complera)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One tablet once daily with food <p><i>TDF/DOR/3TC (Delstrigo)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One tablet once daily without regard to food <p><i>TDF/EVG/c/FTC (Stribild)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One tablet once daily with food <p><i>TDF/EFV/3TC (Symfi or Symfi Lo)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One tablet once daily on an empty stomach and preferably at bedtime <p><i>TDF/3TC (Temixys)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One tablet once daily without regard to food 	<p>High placental transfer to fetus.^b</p> <p>No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects).</p> <p>Studies in monkeys (at doses approximately twofold higher than those for human therapeutic use) show decreased fetal growth and reduction in fetal bone porosity within 2 months of starting maternal therapy. Human studies demonstrate no consistent link to low birth weight, but data are conflicting about potential effects on growth outcomes later in infancy.</p> <p>If patient has HBV/HIV coinfection, an HBV flare may occur if TDF is stopped; see Hepatitis B Virus/HIV Coinfection.</p> <p>Renal function should be monitored because of potential for renal toxicity.</p>

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations ^a	Use in Pregnancy
		<p><i>TDF/FTC (Truvada)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One tablet once daily without regard to food <p>Pregnancy</p> <p><i>PKs in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> AUC is lower in third trimester than postpartum, but trough levels are adequate. <p><i>Dosing in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No change in dose is indicated. <p>For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., 3TC, COBI, DOR, EFV, EVG, FTC, RPV).</p>	

^a Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the [Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 11](#)).

^b Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

- High:** >0.6
- Moderate:** 0.3–0.6
- Low:** <0.3

^d Generic product is available.

Key: 3TC = lamivudine; ARV = antiretroviral; AUC = area under the curve; COBI = cobicistat; DOR = doravirine; EFV = efavirenz; EVG/c = elvitegravir/cobicistat; FTC = emtricitabine; HBV = hepatitis B virus; PK = pharmacokinetic; RPV = rilpivirine; TDF = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate

References

1. Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (Viread) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2019. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2019/021356s058.022577s0141bl.pdf.
2. Tarantal AF, Marthas ML, Shaw JP, Cundy K, Bischofberger N. Administration of 9-[2-(R)-(phosphonomethoxy)propyl]adenine (PMPA) to gravid and infant rhesus macaques (*Macaca mulatta*): safety and efficacy studies. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr Hum Retrovirol*. 1999;20(4):323-333. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10096575>.
3. Benaboud S, Hirt D, Launay O, et al. Pregnancy-related effects on tenofovir pharmacokinetics: a population study with 186 women. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2012;56(2):857-862. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22123690>.
4. Best BM, Burchett S, Li H, et al. Pharmacokinetics of tenofovir during pregnancy and postpartum. *HIV Med*. 2015;16(8):502-511. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25959631>.
5. Eke AC, Shoji K, Best BM, et al. Population pharmacokinetics of tenofovir in pregnant and postpartum women using tenofovir disoproxil fumarate. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2021;65(3). Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33318014>.
6. Colbers AP, Hawkins DA, Gingelmaier A, et al. The pharmacokinetics, safety and efficacy of tenofovir and emtricitabine in HIV-1-infected pregnant women. *AIDS*. 2013;27(5):739-748. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23169329>.
7. Bukkems VE, Smolders EJ, Jourdain G, et al. Effect of pregnancy and concomitant antiretrovirals on the pharmacokinetics of tenofovir in women with HIV receiving tenofovir disoproxil fumarate-based antiretroviral therapy versus women with HBV receiving tenofovir disoproxil fumarate monotherapy. *J Clin Pharmacol*. 2021;61(3):388-393. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32960984>.
8. Pyra M, Anderson PL, Hendrix CW, et al. Tenofovir and tenofovir-diphosphate concentrations during pregnancy among HIV-uninfected women using oral preexposure prophylaxis. *AIDS*. 2018;32(13):1891-1898. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29894385>.
9. Cressey TR, Harrison L, Achalapong J, et al. Tenofovir exposure during pregnancy and postpartum in women receiving tenofovir disoproxil fumarate for the prevention of mother-to-child transmission of Hepatitis B virus. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2018;62(12). Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30275094>.
10. Hirt D, Urien S, Ekouevi DK, et al. Population pharmacokinetics of tenofovir in HIV-1-infected pregnant women and their neonates (ANRS 12109). *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 2009;85(2):182-189. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18987623>.
11. Hirt D, Ekouevi DK, Pruvost A, et al. Plasma and intracellular tenofovir pharmacokinetics in the neonate (ANRS 12109 trial, step 2). *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2011;55(6):2961-2967. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21464249>.

12. Mugwanya KK, Hendrix CW, Mugo NR, et al. Pre-exposure prophylaxis use by breastfeeding HIV-uninfected women: a prospective short-term study of antiretroviral excretion in breast milk and infant absorption. *PLoS Med.* 2016;13(9):e1002132. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27676257>.
13. Waitt C, Olagunju A, Nakalema S, et al. Plasma and breast milk pharmacokinetics of emtricitabine, tenofovir and lamivudine using dried blood and breast milk spots in nursing African mother-infant pairs. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* 2018;73(4):1013-1019. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29309634>.
14. Maskew M, Westreich D, Firnhaber C, Sanne I. Tenofovir use and pregnancy among women initiating HAART. *AIDS.* 2012;26(18):2393-2397. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22951630>.
15. Mugo NR, Hong T, Celum C, et al. Pregnancy incidence and outcomes among women receiving preexposure prophylaxis for HIV prevention: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA.* 2014;312(4):362-371. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25038355>.
16. Brogly SB, Abzug MJ, Watts DH, et al. Birth defects among children born to human immunodeficiency virus-infected women: pediatric AIDS clinical trials protocols 219 and 219C. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2010;29(8):721-727. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20539252>.
17. Knapp KM, Brogly SB, Muenz DG, et al. Prevalence of congenital anomalies in infants with in utero exposure to antiretrovirals. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2012;31(2):164-170. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21983213>.
18. Williams PL, Crain M, Yildirim C, et al. Congenital anomalies and in utero antiretroviral exposure in human immunodeficiency virus-exposed uninfected infants. *JAMA Pediatr.* 2015;169(1):45-55. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25383770>.
19. Sibiude J, Mandelbrot L, Blanche S, et al. Association between prenatal exposure to antiretroviral therapy and birth defects: an analysis of the French Perinatal Cohort Study (ANRS CO1/CO11). *PLoS Med.* 2014;11(4):e1001635. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4004531>.
20. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral pregnancy registry international interim report for 1 January 1989–31 January 2021. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center; 2021.v Available at: <http://www.apregistry.com>.
21. Zash R, Rough K, Jacobson DL, et al. Effect of gestational age at tenofovir-emtricitabine-efavirenz initiation on adverse birth outcomes in Botswana. *J Pediatric Infect Dis Soc.* 2018;7(3):e148-e151. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29688554>.
22. Lockman S, Brummel SS, Ziemba L, et al. Efficacy and safety of dolutegravir with emtricitabine and tenofovir alafenamide fumarate or tenofovir disoproxil fumarate, and efavirenz, emtricitabine, and tenofovir disoproxil fumarate HIV antiretroviral therapy regimens started in pregnancy (IMPAACT 2010/VESTED): a multicentre, open-label, randomised, controlled, phase 3 trial. *Lancet.* 2021;397(10281):1276-1292. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33812487>.

23. Siberry GK, Williams PL, Mendez H, et al. Safety of tenofovir use during pregnancy: early growth outcomes in HIV-exposed uninfected infants. *AIDS*. 2012;26(9):1151-1159. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22382151>.
24. Ransom CE, Huo Y, Patel K, et al. Infant growth outcomes after maternal tenofovir disoproxil fumarate use during pregnancy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2013;64(4):374-381. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24169122>.
25. Rough K, Seage GR, 3rd, Williams PL, et al. Birth outcomes for pregnant women with HIV using tenofovir-emtricitabine. *N Engl J Med*. 2018;378(17):1593-1603. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29694825>.
26. European Pregnancy Paediatric HIV Cohort Collaboration Study Group. Nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor backbones and pregnancy outcomes. *AIDS*. 2019;33(2):295-304. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30562172>.
27. Denneman L, Cohen S, Godfried MH, et al. In-utero exposure to tenofovir is associated with impaired fetal and infant growth: need for follow-up studies in combination antiretroviral therapy/HIV-exposed infants. *AIDS*. 2016;30(13):2135-2137. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27465280>.
28. Fowler MG, Qin M, Fiscus SA, et al. Benefits and risks of antiretroviral therapy for perinatal HIV prevention. *N Engl J Med*. 2016;375(18):1726-1737. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27806243>.
29. Jao J, Abrams EJ, Phillips T, Petro G, Zerbe A, Myer L. In utero tenofovir exposure is not associated with fetal long bone growth. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2016;62(12):1604-1609. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27009251>.
30. le Roux SM, Jao J, Brittain K, et al. Tenofovir exposure in utero and linear growth in HIV-exposed, uninfected infants. *AIDS*. 2017;31(1):97-104. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27898591>.
31. Jourdain G, Ngo-Giang-Huong N, Harrison L, et al. Tenofovir versus placebo to prevent perinatal transmission of hepatitis B. *N Engl J Med*. 2018;378(10):911-923. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29514030>.
32. Sebikari D, Farhad M, Fenton T, et al. Risk factors for adverse birth outcomes in the PROMISE 1077BF/1077FF trial. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2019;81(5):521-532. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31295174>.
33. Aizire J, Brooks KM, Mirochnick M, et al. Antenatal intracellular concentrations of tenofovir diphosphate and emtricitabine triphosphate and associations between tenofovir diphosphate and severe adverse pregnancy outcomes: IMPAACT-PROMISE (1077BF) trial. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2020;83(2):173-180. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31929405>.
34. Zash R, Jacobson DL, Diseko M, et al. Comparative safety of antiretroviral treatment regimens in pregnancy. *JAMA Pediatr*. 2017;171(10):e172222. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28783807>.

35. Pintye J, Baeten JM, Celum C, et al. Maternal tenofovir disoproxil fumarate use during pregnancy is not associated with adverse perinatal outcomes among HIV-infected East African women: a prospective study. *J Infect Dis*. 2017;216(12):1561-1568. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29040666>.
36. Brophy J, Lee T, Bitnun A, Kakkar F, et al. Is tenofovir use in pregnancy associated with preterm delivery? A Canadian perinatal HIV surveillance program analysis. Presented at: 9th IAS Conference on HIV Science. 2017. Paris, France. Available at: <http://programme.ias2017.org/PAGMaterial/eposters/3898.pdf>.
37. Flanagan S, Barnes L, Anderson J, Barber T. The effect of tenofovir on renal function in HIV-positive pregnant women. *J Int AIDS Soc*. 2014;17(4 Suppl 3):19694. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25397444>.
38. Salvadori N, Fan B, Teeyasoontranon W, et al. Maternal and infant bone mineral density 1 year after delivery in a randomized, controlled trial of maternal tenofovir disoproxil fumarate to prevent mother-to-child transmission of hepatitis B virus. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2019;69(1):144-146. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30924492>.
39. Stranix-Chibanda L, Tierney C, Sebikari D, et al. Impact of postpartum tenofovir-based antiretroviral therapy on bone mineral density in breastfeeding women with HIV enrolled in a randomized clinical trial. *PLoS One*. 2021;16(2):e0246272. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33544759>.
40. Nabwire F, Prentice A, Hamill MM, et al. Changes in bone mineral density during and after lactation in Ugandan women with HIV on tenofovir-based antiretroviral therapy. *J Bone Miner Res*. 2020;35(11):2091-2102. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32573842>.
41. Williams PL, Hazra R, Van Dyke RB, et al. Antiretroviral exposure during pregnancy and adverse outcomes in HIV-exposed uninfected infants and children using a trigger-based design. *AIDS*. 2016;30(1):133-144. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26731758>.
42. Gibb DM, Kizito H, Russell EC, et al. Pregnancy and infant outcomes among HIV-infected women taking long-term ART with and without tenofovir in the DART trial. *PLoS Med*. 2012;9(5):e1001217. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22615543>.
43. Pintye J, Langat A, Singa B, et al. Maternal tenofovir disoproxil fumarate use in pregnancy and growth outcomes among HIV-exposed uninfected infants in Kenya. *Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol*. 2015;2015:276851. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26823647>.
44. Liotta G, Floridia M, Andreotti M, et al. Growth indices in breastfed infants pre and postnatally exposed to tenofovir compared with tenofovir-unexposed infants. *AIDS*. 2016;30(3):525-527. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26765942>.
45. Vigano A, Mora S, Giacomet V, et al. In utero exposure to tenofovir disoproxil fumarate does not impair growth and bone health in HIV-uninfected children born to HIV-infected mothers. *Antivir Ther*. 2011;16(8):1259-1266. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22155907>.

46. Siberry GK, Jacobson DL, Kalkwarf HJ, et al. Lower newborn bone mineral content associated with maternal use of tenofovir disoproxil fumarate during pregnancy. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2015;61(6):996-1003. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26060285>.
47. Kourtis AP, Wiener J, Wang L, et al. Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate use during pregnancy and infant bone health: the tenofovir in pregnancy pilot study. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2018;37(11):e264-e268. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30067600>.
48. Siberry G, Tierney C, Stanix-Chibanda L. Impact of maternal tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) use on HIV-exposed newborn bone mineral content. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections; 2016. Boston, MA.
49. Floridia M, Liotta G, Andreotti M, et al. Serum phosphate and creatinine levels in the first year of life in infants born to HIV-positive mothers receiving tenofovir-based combination regimens during pregnancy and prolonged breastfeeding in an option B+ program in Malawi. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2016;73(5):e90-e91. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27559686>.

Zidovudine (Retrovir, ZDV)

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

Zidovudine (ZDV) was shown to be mutagenic in two *in vitro* assays and clastogenic in one *in vitro* assay and two *in vivo* assays, but not cytogenic in a single-dose *in vivo* rat study. Long-term carcinogenicity studies of ZDV have been performed in mice and rats.¹ In mice, seven late-appearing (>19 months) vaginal neoplasms (five nonmetastasizing squamous cell carcinomas, one squamous cell papilloma, and one squamous polyp) occurred in animals given the highest dose. One late-appearing squamous cell papilloma occurred in the vagina of an animal given an intermediate dose. No vaginal tumors were found in animals given the lowest dose. In rats, two late-appearing (>20 months), nonmetastasizing vaginal squamous cell carcinomas occurred in animals given the highest dose. No vaginal tumors occurred at the low or middle dose in rats. No other drug-related tumors were observed in either sex in either species. At doses that produced tumors in mice and rats, the estimated drug exposure (as measured by area under the curve concentration [AUC]) was approximately three times (in mice) and 24 times (in rats) the estimated human exposure at the recommended therapeutic dose of ZDV 100 mg every 4 hours. The predictive value of rodent carcinogenicity studies for adverse effects in humans is unknown.²

Two trans-placental carcinogenicity studies were conducted in mice.^{3,4} In one study, ZDV was administered at doses of 20 mg/kg per day or 40 mg/kg per day from gestational day 10 through parturition and lactation, with postnatal dosing continuing in offspring for 24 months.⁴ The drug doses administered in this study produced ZDV exposures approximately three times the estimated exposure for humans who receive the recommended dose. After 24 months, an increase in the incidence of vaginal tumors was noted, with no increase in the incidence of tumors in the liver, lung, or any other organ in either gender. These findings are consistent with results of the standard oral carcinogenicity study in mice, as described earlier. In a second study, ZDV was administered at the maximum tolerated doses of 12.5 mg per day or 25 mg per day (approximately 1,000 mg/kg of nonpregnant body weight or approximately 450 mg/kg of term body weight) to pregnant mice from days 12 to 18 of gestation.³ An increase in the number of tumors in the lung, liver, and female reproductive tracts was observed in the offspring of mice receiving the higher dose of ZDV.

Reproduction/Fertility

ZDV had no effect on fertility when it was administered to male and female rats at doses up to seven times the usual adult dose based on body surface area; in this instance, fertility was judged by rates of conception. ZDV has been shown to have no effect on reproduction or fertility in rodents. A dose-related cytotoxic effect on preimplantation mouse embryos can occur, with inhibition of blastocyst and post-blastocyst development observed at ZDV concentrations similar to levels achieved with human therapeutic doses.⁵

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

In animal reproduction studies, administration of oral ZDV to female rats prior to mating and throughout gestation resulted in embryotoxicity at doses that produced systemic exposures

(expressed as AUC) approximately 33 times higher than the exposures observed in humans who received the recommended clinical dose. However, no embryotoxicity was observed in pregnant rats during organogenesis at exposures that were approximately 117 times higher than clinical exposures. Embryotoxicity occurred in pregnant rabbits that received oral ZDV during organogenesis at doses that produced exposures approximately 108 times higher than the exposure observed in humans who received the recommended dose. No embryotoxicity was observed at doses that produced exposures approximately 23 times higher than the exposures observed in humans who received the recommended dose of ZDV.²

In an additional teratology study in rats, a dose of ZDV 3,000 mg/kg per day (which was very near the median lethal oral dose in rats of 3,683 mg/kg) caused marked maternal toxicity and an increase in the incidence of fetal malformations. This dose resulted in peak ZDV plasma concentrations that were 350 times peak human plasma concentrations (estimated AUC in rats at this dose level was 300 times the daily AUC in humans given 600 mg per day). No evidence of teratogenicity was seen in this experiment at doses of ZDV 600 mg/kg per day or less.

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

ZDV pharmacokinetics (PKs) are not significantly altered by pregnancy, and standard adult doses are recommended during pregnancy.^{6,7} A population PK analysis that evaluated oral and intravenous (IV) ZDV doses during pregnancy and labor found high fetal exposure to ZDV with current IV intrapartum dosing regimens. Simulations suggested that reduced intrapartum ZDV dosing regimens might provide lower, but still adequate, fetal ZDV exposures.⁸ However, standard dosing of IV ZDV during labor continues to be recommended for women with unknown or elevated viral loads. In pregnant women, as with nonpregnant adults, intracellular ZDV triphosphate concentrations do not vary with plasma concentrations, over a wide range of plasma ZDV concentrations.⁹

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

ZDV rapidly crosses the human placenta, achieving cord blood-to-maternal-plasma ratios of about 0.80. The ratio of ZDV in amniotic fluid to ZDV in maternal plasma is 1.5.¹⁰ ZDV is excreted into human breast milk, with breast milk-to-maternal-plasma ZDV concentration ratios ranging from 0.44 to 1.35. No ZDV was detectable in the plasma of nursing infants who were exposed to ZDV only via breast milk.¹¹⁻¹³

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

In Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group 076 (PACTG 076), the incidence of minor and major congenital abnormalities was similar between groups that received either ZDV or placebo, and no specific patterns of defects were seen.^{6,14} Similarly, no increase in the incidence of birth defects was detected among infants enrolled in the large observational cohorts PACTG 219/219C and P1025.^{15,16} A previous report from the Women and Infants Transmission Study described a 10-fold increase in the risk of hypospadias among infants who were exposed to ZDV, but this finding was not confirmed in a more detailed analysis.^{17,18} In the Pediatric HIV/AIDS Cohort Study/Surveillance Monitoring for ART Toxicities Study (PHACS/SMARTT) cohort, no association was identified between first-trimester exposure to ZDV and congenital anomalies.¹⁹

In the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to ZDV have been monitored to be able to detect at least a 1.5-fold increase in risk of overall birth defects and a twofold increase in risk of defects in the more common classes, including the cardiovascular and genitourinary systems. No such increase in the risk of birth defects has been observed in infants who were exposed to ZDV. With first-trimester ZDV exposure, the prevalence of birth defects was 3.2% (136 of 4,225 births; 95% confidence interval [CI], 2.7% to 3.8%), compared with a total prevalence in the U.S. population of 2.72%, based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance.²⁰ Similarly, a series of 897 infants exposed to HIV born in Spain during 2000 through 2009 reported no increase in the incidence of birth defects among infants with first-trimester ZDV exposure (adjusted odds ratio [aOR] 1.21, 0.56–2.63).²¹ A Bayesian analysis that combined a meta-analysis with data from Medicaid Analytic eXtract found no association between ZDV exposure during the first trimester and most congenital malformations.²²

The French Perinatal Cohort reported that first-trimester ZDV exposure was associated with congenital heart defects (1.5% of 3,262 exposures vs. 0.7% of nonexposures; aOR 2.2, 95% CI, 1.5–3.2). However, an analysis of cardiac defects among all prenatal ZDV-exposed infants in the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry (n = 13,073) reported no difference in the prevalence of ventricular septal defect and congenital heart defects among infants exposed to ZDV-containing regimens (nine of 4,000 infants exposed during the first trimester, rate 0.23; 22 of 9,047 infants with later exposure, rate 0.24, *P* = 1.00) and regimens that did not contain ZDV (two of 1,839 infants exposed during the first trimester, rate 0.11; three of 538 infants with later exposure, rate 0.56, *P* = 0.08).²³

In the ANRS 135 PRIMEVA trial, mothers were randomized to receive antepartum treatment with ZDV plus lamivudine plus lopinavir/ritonavir (LPV/r) or LPV/r alone. Female infants of women in the first group had a higher left ventricular shortening fraction at 1 month and increased posterior wall thickness at 1 year, suggestive of myocardial remodeling, when compared to infants whose mothers received LPV/r alone.²⁴ In a study that performed fetal echocardiography on 42 fetuses who had been exposed to HIV but who were not infected and 84 fetuses who had not been exposed to HIV, infants born to mothers who received ZDV were more likely to have thicker myocardial walls and smaller left ventricular cavities than other infants, regardless of HIV exposure. Maternal ZDV treatment was the only factor significantly associated with fetal cardiac changes.²⁵ Another study by the same authors reported the presence of hypertrophic myocardium and signs of increased mitochondrial content in the cord blood of infants who had been exposed to HIV. In this study, both conditions were associated with maternal use of ZDV during pregnancy.²⁶ A small follow-up study by the same authors identified hypertension among infants with *in utero* exposure to ZDV.²⁷

Cancer has been observed no more frequently among ZDV-exposed infants than among other HIV-exposed or HIV-unexposed infants in a long-term follow-up study for the original PACTG 076 study,²⁸ in prospective cohort studies,²⁹ and in matches between HIV surveillance and cancer registries.^{30,31}

Other Safety Information

In the placebo-controlled perinatal trial PACTG 076, no difference in disease progression was seen between women who received ZDV and those who received a placebo during 4 years of follow-up postpartum.³²

No differences in immunologic, neurologic, or growth parameters were seen between PACTG 076 infants with *in utero* ZDV exposure and those who received a placebo during nearly 6 years of follow-up.^{14,28}

Mitochondrial dysfunction in mothers and infants who were exposed to nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs) during pregnancy has been described in some, but not all, case reports, case series, prospective cohorts, and surveillance systems. The result of the dysfunction, although fatal in a few cases, is more often asymptomatic and self-limited (e.g., leukopenia, anemia). At present, the risk of NRTI-associated mitochondrial dysfunction in these mother–infant pairs is a recognized possibility; however, this risk does not outweigh the clear benefit of these drugs in preventing perinatal HIV transmission.²

The PHACS/SMARTT cohort used a “trigger-based design” in which several domains (e.g., metabolic) had predetermined “triggers.” Children meeting the definition of a trigger were further investigated to determine if they had met the definition of a “case” in that domain. The study found that after adjusting for birth cohort and other factors, ZDV use was associated with an increased risk of meeting the study’s definition of a metabolic case (adjusted relative risk 1.69; 95% CI, 1.08–2.64).^{33,34}

Excerpt from Table 11

Note: When using fixed-dose combination (FDC) tablets, refer to other sections in [Appendix B](#) and [Table 11](#) in the Perinatal Guidelines for information about the dosing and safety of individual drug components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations ^a	Use in Pregnancy
<p>Zidovudine (ZDV) <i>Retrovir</i></p> <p>(ZDV/3TC) <i>Combivir</i></p> <p>(ZDV/ABC/3TC) <i>Trizivir</i></p> <p>Note: Generic products are available for all formulations.</p>	<p>ZDV (Retrovir) <i>Capsule</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 100 mg <p><i>Tablet</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 300 mg <p><i>Oral Solution</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 10 mg/mL <p><i>IV Solution</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 10 mg/mL <p>ZDV/3TC (Combivir)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ZDV 300 mg/3TC 150-mg tablet <p>ZDV/ABC/3TC (Trizivir)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ZDV 300 mg/ABC 300 mg/3TC 150-mg tablet 	<p>Standard Adult Doses <i>ZDV (Retrovir)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ZDV 300 mg twice daily or ZDV 200 mg three times a day without regard to food • Patients in active labor should receive ZDV 2 mg/kg IV as a loading dose, followed by ZDV 1 mg/kg/hour continuous infusion from beginning of active labor until delivery <p><i>ZDV/3TC (Combivir)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet twice daily without regard to food <p><i>ZDV/ABC/3TC (Trizivir)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet twice daily without regard to food <p>Pregnancy <i>PKs in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PKs not significantly altered in pregnancy. <p><i>Dosing in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No change in dose indicated. <p>For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., ABC, 3TC).</p>	<p>High placental transfer to fetus.^b</p> <p>No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects).</p>

^a Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the [Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 11](#)).

^b Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

High: >0.6

Moderate: 0.3–0.6

Low: <0.3

Key: 3TC = lamivudine; ABC = abacavir; ARV = antiretroviral; FDC = fixed-dose combination; IV = intravenous;

PK = pharmacokinetic; ZDV = zidovudine

References

1. Ayers KM, Clive D, Tucker WE, Jr., Hajian G, de Miranda P. Nonclinical toxicology studies with zidovudine: genetic toxicity tests and carcinogenicity bioassays in mice and rats. *Fundam Appl Toxicol.* 1996;32(2):148-158. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8921318>.
2. Zidovudine (Retrovir) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2020. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2020/019655Orig1s059,019910Orig1s046,019951Orig1s037lbl.pdf.
3. Olivero OA, Anderson LM, Diwan BA, et al. Transplacental effects of 3'-azido-2',3'-dideoxythymidine (AZT): tumorigenicity in mice and genotoxicity in mice and monkeys. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1997;89(21):1602-1608. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&list_uids=9362158&dopt=Abstract.
4. Ayers KM, Torrey CE, Reynolds DJ. A transplacental carcinogenicity bioassay in CD-1 mice with zidovudine. *Fundam Appl Toxicol.* 1997;38(2):195-198. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9299194>.
5. Toltzis P, Marx CM, Kleinman N, Levine EM, Schmidt EV. Zidovudine-associated embryonic toxicity in mice. *J Infect Dis.* 1991;163(6):1212-1218. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2037787>.
6. Connor EM, Sperling RS, Gelber R, et al. Reduction of maternal-infant transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 with zidovudine treatment. Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group Protocol 076 Study Group. *N Engl J Med.* 1994;331(18):1173-1180. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7935654>.
7. O'Sullivan MJ, Boyer PJ, Scott GB, et al. The pharmacokinetics and safety of zidovudine in the third trimester of pregnancy for women infected with human immunodeficiency virus and their infants: phase I acquired immunodeficiency syndrome clinical trials group study (protocol 082). Zidovudine Collaborative Working Group. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 1993;168(5):1510-1516. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=pubmed&dopt=Abstract&list_uids=8098905.
8. Fauchet F, Treluyer JM, Valade E, et al. Maternal and fetal zidovudine pharmacokinetics during pregnancy and labour: too high dose infused at labour? *Br J Clin Pharmacol.* 2014;78(6):1387-1396. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25040510>.
9. Kinai E, Kato S, Hosokawa S, et al. High plasma concentrations of zidovudine (AZT) do not parallel intracellular concentrations of AZT-triphosphates in infants during prevention of mother-to-child HIV-1 transmission. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr.* 2016;72(3):246-253. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26859826>.
10. Bennetto-Hood C, Bryson YJ, Stek A, King JR, Mirochnick M, Acosta EP. Zidovudine, lamivudine, and nelfinavir concentrations in amniotic fluid and maternal serum. *HIV Clin Trials.* 2009;10(1):41-47. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19362995>.

11. Mirochnick M, Thomas T, Capparelli E, et al. Antiretroviral concentrations in breast-feeding infants of mothers receiving highly active antiretroviral therapy. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2009;53(3):1170-1176. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19114673>.
12. Palombi L, Pirillo MF, Andreotti M, et al. Antiretroviral prophylaxis for breastfeeding transmission in Malawi: drug concentrations, virological efficacy and safety. *Antivir Ther*. 2012;17(8):1511-1519. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22910456>.
13. Corbett AH, Kayira D, White NR, et al. Antiretroviral pharmacokinetics in mothers and breastfeeding infants from 6 to 24 weeks post partum: results of the BAN study. *Antivir Ther*. 2014;19(6):587-595. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24464632>.
14. Sperling RS, Shapiro DE, McSherry GD, et al. Safety of the maternal-infant zidovudine regimen utilized in the pediatric AIDS clinical trial group 076 study. *AIDS*. 1998;12(14):1805-1813. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9792381>.
15. Brogly SB, Abzug MJ, Watts DH, et al. Birth defects among children born to human immunodeficiency virus-infected women: Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials protocols 219 and 219C. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2010;29(8):721-727. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20539252>.
16. Knapp KM, Brogly SB, Muenz DG, et al. Prevalence of congenital anomalies in infants with in utero exposure to antiretrovirals. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2012;31(2):164-170. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21983213>.
17. Watts DH, Li D, Handelsman E, et al. Assessment of birth defects according to maternal therapy among infants in the women and infants transmission study. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2007;44(3):299-305. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17159659>.
18. Vannappagari V, et al. Zidovudine exposure during pregnancy and hypospadias in infants: data from the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, 1989-2011. Abstract no. MOPE070. Presented at: 19th International AIDS Conference. 2012. Washington, DC.
19. Williams PL, Crain M, Yildirim C, et al. Congenital anomalies and in utero antiretroviral exposure in human immunodeficiency virus-exposed uninfected infants. *JAMA Pediatr*. 2015;169(1):45-55. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25383770>.
20. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry international interim report for 1 January 1989–31 January 2021. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2021. Available at: <http://www.apregistry.com/>.
21. Prieto LM, Gonzalez-Tome MI, Munoz E, et al. Birth defects in a cohort of infants born to HIV-infected women in Spain, 2000–2009. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2014;14:700. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25808698>.
22. Rough K, Sun JW, Seage GR, 3rd, et al. Zidovudine use in pregnancy and congenital malformations. *AIDS*. 2017;31(12):1733-1743. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28537936>.

23. Vannappagari V, Albano JD, Koram N, Tilson H, Scheuerle AE, Napier MD. Prenatal exposure to zidovudine and risk for ventricular septal defects and congenital heart defects: data from the antiretroviral pregnancy registry. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* 2016;197:6-10. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26687320>.
24. Sibiude J, Le Chenadec J, Bonnet D, et al. *In utero* exposure to zidovudine and heart anomalies in the ANRS French perinatal cohort and the nested PRIMEVA randomized trial. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2015;61(2):270-280. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25838291>.
25. Garcia-Otero L, Lopez M, Gomez O, et al. Zidovudine treatment in HIV-infected pregnant women is associated with fetal cardiac remodelling. *AIDS.* 2016;30(9):1393-1401. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26919731>.
26. Garcia-Otero L, Lopez M, Guitart-Mampel M, et al. Cardiac and mitochondrial function in HIV-uninfected fetuses exposed to antiretroviral treatment. *PLoS One.* 2019;14(3):e0213279. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30830946>.
27. Garcia-Otero L, Lopez M, Gonce A, et al. Cardiac remodeling and hypertension in HIV uninfected infants exposed *in utero* to antiretroviral therapy. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2021. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33471090>.
28. Culnane M, Fowler M, Lee SS, et al. Lack of long-term effects of *in utero* exposure to zidovudine among uninfected children born to HIV-infected women. Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group Protocol 219/076 Teams. *JAMA.* 1999;281(2):151-157. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9917118>.
29. Hanson IC, Antonelli TA, Sperling RS, et al. Lack of tumors in infants with perinatal HIV-1 exposure and fetal/neonatal exposure to zidovudine. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr Hum Retrovirol.* 1999;20(5):463-467. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10225228>.
30. Ivy W, 3rd, Nesheim SR, Paul SM, et al. Cancer among children with perinatal exposure to HIV and antiretroviral medications—New Jersey, 1995–2010. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr.* 2015;70(1):62-66. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26017660>.
31. Hankin C, Lyall H, Peckham C, Tookey P. Monitoring death and cancer in children born to HIV-infected women in England and Wales: use of HIV surveillance and national routine data. *AIDS.* 2007;21(7):867-869. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17415042>.
32. Bardeguet AD, Shapiro DE, Mofenson LM, et al. Effect of cessation of zidovudine prophylaxis to reduce vertical transmission on maternal HIV disease progression and survival. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr.* 2003;32(2):170-181. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12571527>.
33. Williams PL, Hazra R, Van Dyke RB, et al. Antiretroviral exposure during pregnancy and adverse outcomes in HIV-exposed uninfected infants and children using a trigger-based design. *AIDS.* 2016;30(1):133-144. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26731758>.

34. Van Dyke RB, Chadwick EG, Hazra R, Williams PL, Seage GR, 3rd. The PHACS SMARTT study: assessment of the safety of *in utero* exposure to antiretroviral drugs. *Front Immunol.* 2016;7:199. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27242802>.

Doravirine (Pifeltro, DOR)

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

Doravirine (DOR) was not carcinogenic in long-term oral carcinogenicity studies in mice and rats at exposures up to six times and seven times, respectively, the exposure seen in humans who received the recommended dose. A statistically significant incidence of thyroid parafollicular cell adenoma and carcinoma was observed among female rats that received the high dose (which produced the sevenfold increase in exposure) of DOR; however, the incidence was similar to the incidence observed among historical controls that did not receive DOR. DOR was not genotoxic in a battery of *in vitro* or *in vivo* mutagenicity assays.¹

Reproduction/Fertility

In rats, DOR did not affect fertility, reproductive performance, or early embryonic development at exposures (based on area under the curve [AUC]) that were approximately seven times the exposure seen in humans who received the recommended dose.¹

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

No adverse embryo-fetal effects were observed in rats and rabbits at DOR exposures (based on AUC) that were approximately nine times (in rats) and eight times (in rabbits) the exposures seen in humans who received the recommended dose. Similarly, no adverse developmental findings were reported in a prenatal/postnatal study in rats at DOR exposures that were approximately nine times the exposure seen in humans who received the recommended dose.¹

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Embryo-fetal studies in rats and rabbits demonstrate placental passage of DOR. Fetal plasma concentrations observed on gestation Day 20 were up to 40% (in rabbits) and 52% (in rats) of maternal concentrations. DOR was excreted into the milk of lactating rats at concentrations that were approximately 1.5 times the maternal concentrations measured 2 hours postdose on lactation Day 14.¹

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

No pharmacokinetic studies of DOR in pregnant women have been reported.

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Placental transfer of DOR was noted in an *ex vivo* dually perfused human cotyledon model with a median (interquartile range [IQR] 25–75) fetal transfer rate of 16% (12% to 18%).²

No data are available on breast milk passage of DOR in humans.

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

The Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry has monitored prospectively four patients treated with DOR during the first trimester and one patient treated with DOR during the second and third trimester; one infant with first trimester exposure was noted to have a birth defect. These data are insufficient to make conclusions regarding the safety of DOR during pregnancy.

Excerpt from Table 11

Note: When using fixed-dose combination (FDC) tablets, refer to other sections in [Appendix B](#) and [Table 11](#) in the Perinatal Guidelines for information about the dosing and safety of individual drug components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations ^a	Use in Pregnancy
<p>Doravirine (DOR) <i>Pifeltro</i></p> <p>(DOR/3TC/TDF) <i>Delstrigo</i></p>	<p>DOR (Pifeltro):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 100 mg tablet <p>DOR/3TC/TDF (Delstrigo):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DOR 100 mg/3TC 300 mg/TDF 300 mg tablet 	<p>Standard Adult Doses</p> <p><i>DOR (Pifeltro):</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DOR 100 mg once daily with or without food <p><i>DOR/3TC/TDF (Delstrigo):</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet once daily with or without food <p>Pregnancy</p> <p><i>PKs in Pregnancy:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No PK studies in human pregnancy. <p><i>Dosing in Pregnancy:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Insufficient data to make dosing recommendations. <p>For guidance about the use of combination ARV drug products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other drug components (i.e., 3TC, TDF).</p>	<p>No human <i>in vivo</i> data are available on the placental transfer of DOR, but passage is noted in an <i>ex vivo</i> model.</p> <p>Insufficient data are available to assess for teratogenicity in humans. No evidence exists of teratogenicity in rats or rabbits.</p>

^a Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the [Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 11](#)).

Key: 3TC = lamivudine; ARV = antiretroviral; DOR = doravirine;; PK = pharmacokinetic; TDF = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate

References

1. Doravirine (Pifeltro) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2019. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2019/210806s003lbl.pdf.
2. Le MP, Pencole L, Peytavin G, Bouchet-Crivat F, Mandelbrot L. Placental transfer of doravirine, a recent HIV-1 NNRTI in the ex vivo human cotyledon perfusion model. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* 2021;76(9):2364-2367. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34151361>.

Efavirenz (Sustiva, EFV)

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

Efavirenz (EFV) was neither mutagenic nor clastogenic in the majority of *in vitro* and animal *in vivo* screening tests. A study that evaluated the genotoxicity of EFV in mice noted DNA damage in brain cells after daily dosing for 36 days; no damage was seen in liver, heart, or peripheral blood cells.¹ Long-term animal carcinogenicity studies with EFV have been completed in mice and rats. No increase in tumor incidence above background was observed in male mice at systemic drug exposures that were approximately 1.7-fold higher than the exposures seen in humans who received standard therapeutic doses. In female mice, an increase in tumor incidence above background was seen for hepatocellular adenomas and carcinomas and pulmonary alveolar/bronchiolar adenomas. No increase in tumor incidence above background was observed in male and female rats with systemic EFV exposures that were lower than those seen in humans who received therapeutic doses.²

Reproduction/Fertility

EFV has had no observable effects on reproduction or fertility in rodents.²

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

An increase in fetal resorption was observed in female rats at EFV doses that produced peak plasma concentrations and area under the curve (AUC) values less than or equal to those in humans who received the recommended dose of EFV 600 mg once daily. EFV produced no reproductive toxicities when given to pregnant rabbits at doses that produced peak plasma concentrations similar to those achieved in humans who received EFV 600 mg once daily. AUC values in these rabbits were approximately half of the values seen in humans who received EFV 600 mg once daily.²

Central nervous system malformations and cleft palate were observed in 3 of 20 infant monkeys born to pregnant cynomolgus monkeys that received EFV between gestational Day 20 and gestational Day 150 at a dose of EFV 60 mg/kg per day. This dose resulted in plasma concentrations that were 1.3 times that of systemic human therapeutic exposure, with fetal umbilical venous drug concentrations that were approximately 0.7 times the maternal values.³ The malformations included anencephaly and unilateral anophthalmia in one fetus, microphthalmia in another fetus, and cleft palate in a third fetus.²

A study in pregnant and lactating rats exposed to EFV found that perinatal exposure to EFV provoked cell death, significant changes in cytoarchitecture, and disturbances in serotonergic and dopaminergic innervation in the medial prefrontal cortex of adult offspring.⁴

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

EFV readily crosses the placenta in rats, rabbits, and primates, producing cord blood concentrations that are similar to the concentrations observed in maternal plasma. Maternal and fetal blood concentrations in pregnant rabbits and cynomolgus monkeys are equivalent, while fetal concentrations in rats exceeded maternal concentrations.²

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics/Pharmacogenomics

In an intensive sampling pharmacokinetic (PK) study of 25 pregnant women who received EFV during the third trimester, EFV clearance was increased slightly and trough levels were decreased compared with levels measured postpartum.⁵ These differences are not of sufficient magnitude to warrant dose adjustment during pregnancy. A review of this study and four others that measured EFV concentrations in pregnant women found that EFV concentrations were not affected significantly by pregnancy and that high rates of HIV RNA suppression at delivery were achieved with EFV-based regimens.⁶

Two more recent studies demonstrated commensurate pregnancy and postpartum EFV exposure. In a PK study of 42 pregnant women who received EFV 600 mg once daily, EFV exposure was similar during pregnancy and postpartum. EFV PK data were available for 15 women during their second trimester, 42 women during their third trimester, and 40 women postpartum. EFV AUC during the third trimester (60 mcg•h/mL) was similar to the AUC observed in nonpregnant adults (58 mcg•h/mL). EFV drug levels in the second trimester were lower than postpartum values, but they remained within 80 percent to 125 percent of postpartum values. Viral loads at delivery were <400 copies/mL and <50 copies/mL for 96.7 percent and 86.7 percent of women, respectively.⁷ A study in 19 pregnant women in Ghana similarly found that PK parameters—specifically, maximum (peak) plasma drug concentration (C_{max}), minimum plasma drug concentration (C_{min}), area under the plasma concentration-time curve over the last 24 hours (AUC_{0-24h}), and apparent clearance (CL/F)—were similar in pregnancy and postpartum. Pregnancy and postpartum geometric mean ratios for EFV C_{max} , C_{min} , AUC_{0-24h} , and CL/F were 1.10 (95% confidence interval [CI], 0.93–1.31), 0.88 (95% CI, 0.67–1.17), 0.84 (95% CI, 0.71–0.98), and 1.20 (95% CI, 1.02–1.40), respectively.⁸

In an open-label, two-center study in the United Kingdom and Uganda, 25 pregnant women with HIV who were virally suppressed (defined as a viral load <50 copies/mL) on a regimen that included EFV 600 mg once daily had their dose reduced to EFV 400 mg in the third trimester. PK parameters, AUC_{0-24h} , and plasma concentrations at 24 hours post-dose were slightly lower in the third trimester than during the postpartum period but generally remained within the therapeutic range; all participants maintained viral suppression.⁹

A PK modeling study was conducted using pooled data from seven studies of women who were taking regimens that included EFV. The study included an analysis of 1,968 PK samples, 774 of which were collected during pregnancy. This analysis predicted that the reduced EFV dose of 400 mg would generate median EFV AUC_{24h} and C_{12h} during the third trimester that were 91 percent and 87 percent, respectively, of the values observed among nonpregnant women.¹⁰ A more recent physiologically based pharmacokinetic (PBPK) modeling study evaluated EFV exposure in the third trimester in women with extensive, intermediate, and poor cytochrome P450 2B6 (CYP2B6) metabolism. The model predicted about a twofold increase in drug clearance in the third trimester when compared with clearance prior to pregnancy—resulting in subtherapeutic concentrations of EFV in the third trimester in 57 percent of extensive metabolizers. These results suggest that the recommended reduction in EFV dose from 600 mg to 400 mg may not provide therapeutic drug levels in extensive metabolizers during the third trimester and that clinical trials to evaluate the effectiveness of a 400-mg dose of EFV in the third trimester—especially in extensive metabolizers—are indicated prior to a dose adjustment in pregnancy.¹¹

In a pharmacogenomics study, nonpregnant individuals with the CYP2B6 516 TT genotype had greater than threefold increases in both short-term and long-term EFV exposure, as measured by drug levels in plasma and hair. This suggests that drug levels could vary significantly with CYP2B6 polymorphisms.^{12,13} The frequency of this allele varies among different ethnic populations, with a prevalence of 3.4 percent in white people, 6.7 percent in Hispanic people, and 20 percent in African Americans.⁵

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

In a PK study of 42 pregnant women who received EFV 600 mg once daily, EFV readily crossed the placenta, and infant elimination half-life was more than twice that of maternal participants. The cord blood-to-maternal-plasma concentration ratio was 0.67 (range 0.36–0.95). Among 23 infants for whom washout data were available, median elimination half-life was 65.6 hours (interquartile range, 40.6–129 hours). Viral loads at delivery were <400 copies/mL and <50 copies/mL for 96.7 percent and 86.7 percent of women, respectively.⁷

In a study of 25 mother–infant pairs, the median EFV cord blood-to-maternal-blood concentration ratio was 0.49 (range 0.37–0.74).⁵ In a study of 13 women in Rwanda, EFV was given during the third trimester and for 6 months after delivery.¹⁴ EFV concentrations were measured in maternal plasma, breast milk, and infant plasma. EFV concentration was significantly higher in maternal plasma than in skim breast milk (with a mean breast milk-to-maternal-plasma concentration ratio of 0.54) and higher in skim breast milk than in infant plasma (with a mean skim breast-milk-to-newborn-plasma concentration ratio of 4.08). The mean infant plasma EFV concentration was 860 ng/mL, 13.1 percent of mean maternal plasma concentrations. All infants had detectable plasma concentrations of EFV, and 8 of 13 newborns had plasma EFV concentrations that were less than the minimum therapeutic concentration of 1,000 ng/mL that is recommended for treatment of adults with HIV.

In a study of 51 women in Nigeria who received EFV 600 mg once daily, the median milk-to-maternal-plasma concentration ratio was 0.82 (range 0.51–1.1) and the median infant EFV concentration was 178 ng/mL (range 88–340 ng/mL).¹⁵ In a study of 56 mother–infant pairs in which the mothers received EFV-based therapy during pregnancy and breastfeeding, infant plasma drug concentration levels at delivery and hair drug concentration levels at age 12 weeks suggested moderate *in utero* transfer of EFV during pregnancy and breastfeeding, with approximately one-third of transfer occurring postpartum (40% cumulative transfer, with 15% of transfer occurring during breastfeeding).¹⁶ All mothers and infants had detectable EFV plasma levels at 0, 8, and 12 weeks, and mean infant-to-maternal-hair concentration at 12 weeks postpartum was 0.40 for EFV. No data are currently available about the safety and PK of EFV in neonates.

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

In pregnancies with prospectively reported exposure to EFV-based regimens in the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry through January 2021, birth defects were observed in 28 of 1,166 live births with first-trimester exposure (2.4%; 95% CI, 1.6% to 3.5%).¹⁷ Although these data provide sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to rule out a 1.5-fold or greater increase in the risk of overall birth defects and a twofold increase in cardiovascular and genitourinary defects, the low incidence of neural tube defects (NTDs) in the general population means that a larger number of exposures is still needed to be able to definitively rule out an increased risk of this specific defect. Prospective reports

to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry of defects after first-trimester EFV exposure have documented one NTD case (0.9%), which is consistent with the expected background prevalence.¹⁷

In a meta-analysis of 23 studies that was designed to update the 2013 World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines for antiretroviral therapy (ART) in low- and middle-income countries, 44 infants with birth defects among 2,026 live births to women who received EFV during the first trimester were observed. The pooled proportion of overall birth defects was 1.63 percent (95% CI, 0.78% to 2.48%).¹⁸ The rate of overall birth defects was similar among women who received EFV-containing regimens and women who received regimens that did not contain EFV during the first trimester (pooled relative risk [RR] 0.78; 95% CI, 0.56–1.08). Across all births, one NTD (myelomeningocele) was observed, giving a point prevalence of 0.05 percent (95% CI, <0.01–0.28), which is within the range reported in the general population. However, the number of reported first-trimester EFV exposures was insufficient to rule out a significant increase in low-incidence birth defects, such as NTDs. The incidence of NTDs in the general U.S. population is 0.06 percent to 0.07 percent.¹⁹

A French study of 13,124 live births between 1994 and 2010 included an analysis of 372 infants born after first-trimester exposure to EFV.²⁰ In the primary analysis, which used the European Surveillance of Congenital Anomalies and Twins (EUROCAT) classification system, no increase in the incidence of birth defects was detected among infants with first-trimester EFV exposure compared to those without exposure to EFV during pregnancy (adjusted odds ratio 1.16; 95% CI, 0.73–1.85). A secondary analysis that used the modified Metropolitan Atlanta Congenital Defect Program classification (used by the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry), found an association between first-trimester EFV exposure and neurologic defects, but none of the four defects that were reported during this study (ventricular dilatation with anomalies of the white substance, partial agenesis of the corpus callosum, subependymal cyst, and pachygyria) were NTDs, and none had similar embryologic origins.²¹

Recently, Zash et al. reported on the outcomes of a large birth surveillance study in Botswana. Among 7,959 deliveries to women who were taking EFV around the time of conception, three NTDs were observed (0.04%; 95% CI, 0.01% to 0.11%), which is similar to the rate of NTDs observed among infants born to 89,372 women without HIV (0.08%; 95% CI, 0.06% to 0.10%).²² This study adds to available data on first-trimester EFV exposures, providing strong evidence against an elevated risk of NTDs in infants who were exposed to EFV. The South African Pregnancy Exposure Registry similarly found no association between first-trimester use of EFV-based ART regimens and congenital malformations.²³

The U.S. Food and Drug Administration continues to advise women to avoid becoming pregnant while taking EFV and to advise health care providers to avoid administering EFV during the first trimester, because fetal harm may occur. However, the data on more than 7,900 periconception exposures to EFV from Botswana are sufficient to rule out a threefold or greater increased risk of NTDs with the use of EFV. As a result, the Perinatal Guidelines do not restrict the use of EFV during pregnancy or in women who are planning to conceive; this is consistent with the British HIV Association guidelines and WHO guidelines for use of antiretroviral (ARV) drugs in pregnancy, both of which note that EFV can be used throughout pregnancy.²⁴⁻²⁶ EFV should be continued in pregnant women who are receiving a virologically suppressive, EFV-based regimen, because ARV drug changes during pregnancy may be associated with loss of viral control and an increased risk of perinatal HIV transmission.²⁷

A recent report from the Surveillance Monitoring for ART Toxicities (SMARTT) study of the Pediatric HIV/AIDS Cohort Study (PHACS) network detected an increased rate of microcephaly in HIV-exposed but uninfected children with *in utero* EFV exposure. The relative risk of microcephaly in infants with *in utero* EFV exposure was 2.56 (95% CI, 1.22–5.37). In this study, microcephaly was defined as a z-score of less than –2 between 6 and 36 months of age or head size below the second percentile after 36 months.²⁸ Only 4.7 percent of children had been exposed to EFV *in utero*. The relative risk of microcephaly was higher among children who had been exposed to EFV plus zidovudine and lamivudine than among those who had been exposed to EFV plus tenofovir disoproxil fumarate and emtricitabine. Children with microcephaly had lower scores on neurodevelopmental assessments at ages 1 year and 5 years and a higher rate of neurodevelopmental impairment than those without microcephaly. Additional evaluation of the association between microcephaly and *in utero* EFV exposure is needed (see the [Teratogenicity](#) section).

A study of Botswana HIV-exposed but uninfected children evaluated the association between neurodevelopmental deficits and the timing of initial *in utero* EFV exposure. Adjusted mean scores for the 126 children in the EFV-exposed group were lower than for the 367 children in the EFV-unexposed group on Bayley Scales of Infant and Toddler Development, Third Edition (BSID-III) Receptive Language (21.5 vs. 22.5; $P = 0.05$); Developmental Milestones Checklist (DMC) Locomotor (30.7 vs. 32.0; $P < 0.01$) and Fine Motor scales (17.8 vs. 19.2; $P < 0.01$); and Profile of Social Emotional Development (PSED) (11.7 vs. 9.9; $P = 0.02$); however, scores for the first group were higher on the DMC Language scale (17.6 vs. 16.5; $P = 0.01$). Earlier (vs. later) EFV exposure was associated with lower scores on the BSID-III Receptive Language scale (20.7 vs. 22.2; $P = 0.02$). Consistent with findings from other trials, HIV-exposed but uninfected children exposed *in utero* to EFV-based ART may be at higher risk for neurodevelopmental and social-emotional deficits than HIV-exposed but uninfected children exposed to non-EFV-based ART.²⁹ An additional prospective study of a cohort of 3,747 HIV-exposed but uninfected children found that children exposed to EFV at any time during pregnancy had a higher risk of neurodevelopmental abnormalities (adjusted relative risks [aRR] 1.53; 95% CI, 0.94–2.51). This association was stronger when comparing EFV exposure at conception to no exposure during pregnancy (aRR 1.92; 95% CI, 1.09–3.36) and considering follow-up and case diagnosis only through age 2 (aRR 2.14; 95% CI, 1.11–4.12).³⁰

Safety

The Promoting Maternal and Infant Survival Everywhere (PROMISE) trial randomized ART-naive antepartum and postpartum women with HIV, CD4 >350, and ALT <2.5 the upper limit of normal (ULN) to different ART regimens. The study found that 2.5 percent of the 2,435 women randomized to EFV-based regimens developed severe hepatotoxicity, and 3 percent of women with severe hepatotoxicity developed liver-related mortality.³¹

Drug–Drug Interactions

PK interactions between EFV and the progestin component of some hormonal contraceptives may decrease the efficacy of emergency contraception, combined oral contraceptive pills, progestin-only pills, and progestin implants and may increase the risk of contraceptive failure.^{32–36} (see [Pregnancy Counseling and Care for Persons of Childbearing Age with HIV and Table 3](#)).

Excerpt from Table 11

Note: When using fixed-dose combination (FDC) tablets, refer to other sections in [Appendix B](#) and [Table 11](#) in the Perinatal Guidelines for information about the dosing and safety of individual drug components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations ^a	Use in Pregnancy
<p>Efavirenz (EFV) Sustiva (EFV/FTC/TDF) Atripla (EFV/3TC/TDF) Symfi (EFV/3TC/TDF) Symfi Lo</p> <p>Note: Generic products are available for some formulations.</p>	<p>EFV (Sustiva)^d <i>Capsules:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 50 mg • 200 mg <p><i>Tablet</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 600 mg <p>EFV/FTC/TDF (Atripla)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • EFV 600 mg/FTC 200 mg/TDF 300-mg tablet <p>EFV/3TC/TDF (Symfi)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • EFV 600 mg/3TC 300 mg/TDF 300-mg tablet <p>EFV/3TC/TDF (Symfi Lo)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • EFV 400 mg/3TC 300 mg/TDF 300-mg tablet 	<p>Standard Adult Doses <i>EFV (Sustiva)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • EFV 600 mg once daily at or before bedtime • Take on an empty stomach to reduce side effects. <p><i>EFV/FTC/TDF (Atripla)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet once daily at or before bedtime • Take on an empty stomach to reduce side effects. <p><i>EFV/3TC/TDF (Symfi or Symfi Lo)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet once daily on an empty stomach and preferably at bedtime <p>Pregnancy <i>PKs in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • AUC is decreased during the third trimester compared with postpartum, but nearly all third trimester participants exceeded target exposure. <p><i>Dosing in Pregnancy:</i> No change in dose is indicated.</p> <p>For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., 3TC, FTC, TDF).</p>	<p>Moderate placental transfer to fetus.^b</p> <p>The FDA advises women to avoid becoming pregnant while taking EFV and advises health care providers to avoid administration during the first trimester of pregnancy because fetal harm may occur. However, the data on more than 7,900 periconception EFV exposures from Botswana rule out a threefold or greater increased risk of NTDs. As a result, the current Perinatal Guidelines do not restrict the use of EFV in pregnant women or in women who are planning to become pregnant. This is consistent with both the British HIV Association and WHO guidelines for use of ARV drugs in pregnancy.</p> <p>EFV should be continued in pregnant women who are on a virally suppressive, EFV-based regimen, because ARV drug changes during pregnancy may be associated with loss of viral control and an increased risk of perinatal transmission (see Pregnant People with HIV Who are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy).</p>

^a Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the [Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 11](#)).

^b Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood-to-maternal-plasma drug ratio:

High: > 0.6

Moderate: 0.3–0.6

Low: < 0.3

^d Generic product is available.

Key: 3TC = lamivudine; ARV = antiretroviral; AUC = area under the curve; EFV = efavirenz; FDA = U.S. Food and Drug Administration; FTC = emtricitabine; NTDs = neural tube defects; PK = pharmacokinetic; TDF = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate; WHO = World Health Organization

References

1. de Oliveira HM, Damiani AP, Dias Rde O, Romao PR, Andrade VM. Effect of antiretroviral drugs on the DNA damage in mice. *Environ Toxicol Pharmacol*. 2014;37(1):390-395. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24441026>.
2. Efavirenz (Sustiva) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2019. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2019/020972s057,021360s0451bl.pdf.
3. Nightingale SL. From the Food and Drug Administration. *JAMA*. 1998;280(17):1472. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9809716>.
4. Garcia LP, Van de Wijer L, Hanswijk SI, et al. Perinatal exposure of rats to the HIV drug efavirenz affects medial prefrontal cortex cytoarchitecture. *Biochem Pharmacol*. 2020;178:114050. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32446887>.
5. Cressey TR, Stek A, Capparelli E, et al. Efavirenz pharmacokinetics during the third trimester of pregnancy and postpartum. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2012;59(3):245-252. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22083071>.
6. Hill A, Ford N, Boffito M, Pozniak A, Cressey TR. Does pregnancy affect the pharmacokinetics of efavirenz? *AIDS*. 2014;28(10):1542-1543. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24896806>.
7. Kreitchmann R, Schalkwijk S, Best B, et al. Efavirenz pharmacokinetics during pregnancy and infant washout. *Antivir Ther*. 2019;24(2):95-103. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30530925>.
8. Lartey M, Kenu E, Lassey A, et al. Pharmacokinetics of efavirenz 600 mg once daily during pregnancy and post partum in Ghanaian women living with HIV. *Clin Ther*. 2020;42(9):1818-1825. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32811669>.
9. Lamorde M, Wang X, Neary M, et al. Pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics, and pharmacogenetics of efavirenz 400 mg once daily during pregnancy and post-partum. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2018;67(5):785-790. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30124823>.
10. Schalkwijk S, Ter Heine R, Colbers AC, et al. A mechanism-based population pharmacokinetic analysis assessing the feasibility of efavirenz dose reduction to 400 mg in pregnant women. *Clin Pharmacokinet*. 2018;57(11):1421-1433. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29520730>.
11. Chetty M, Danckwerts MP, Julsing A. Prediction of the exposure to a 400-mg daily dose of efavirenz in pregnancy: is this dose adequate in extensive metabolisers of CYP2B6? *Eur J Clin Pharmacol*. 2020;76(8):1143-1150. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32377759>.
12. Gandhi M, Greenblatt RM, Bacchetti P, et al. A single-nucleotide polymorphism in CYP2B6 leads to >3-fold increases in efavirenz concentrations in plasma and hair among HIV-infected

- women. *J Infect Dis*. 2012;206(9):1453-1461. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22927450>.
13. Desta Z, Gammal RS, Gong L, et al. Clinical pharmacogenetics implementation consortium (CPIC) guideline for CYP2B6 and efavirenz-containing antiretroviral therapy. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 2019;106(4):726-733. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31006110>.
 14. Schneider S, Peltier A, Gras A, et al. Efavirenz in human breast milk, mothers', and newborns' plasma. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2008;48(4):450-454. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18614925>.
 15. Olagunju A, Siccardi M, et al. Pharmacogenetics of efavirenz excretion into human breast milk and transfer to breastfed infants. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2014. Boston, MA
 16. Gandhi M, Mwesigwa J, Aweeka F, et al. Hair and plasma data show that lopinavir, ritonavir, and efavirenz all transfer from mother to infant in utero, but only efavirenz transfers via breastfeeding. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2013;63(5):578-584. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24135775>.
 17. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral pregnancy registry international interim report for 1 January 1989–31 January 2021. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center; 2021. Available at: <http://www.apregistry.com>.
 18. Ford N, Mofenson L, Shubber Z, et al. Safety of efavirenz in the first trimester of pregnancy: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. *AIDS*. 2014;28 Suppl 2:S123-131. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24849471>.
 19. Williams J, Mai CT, Mulinare J, et al. Updated estimates of neural tube defects prevented by mandatory folic acid fortification – United States, 1995-2011. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2015;64(1):1-5. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25590678>.
 20. Sibiude J, Mandelbrot L, Blanche S, et al. Association between prenatal exposure to antiretroviral therapy and birth defects: an analysis of the French Perinatal Cohort Study (ANRS CO1/CO11). *PLoS Med*. 2014;11(4):e1001635. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4004531>.
 21. Mofenson LM, Watts DH. Safety of pediatric HIV elimination: the growing population of HIV- and antiretroviral-exposed but uninfected infants. *PLoS Med*. 2014;11(4):e1001636. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24781352>.
 22. Zash R, Holmes L, Diseko M, et al. Neural-tube defects and antiretroviral treatment regimens in Botswana. *N Engl J Med*. 2019;381(9):827-840. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31329379>.
 23. Mehta UC, van Schalkwyk C, Naidoo P, et al. Birth outcomes following antiretroviral exposure during pregnancy: initial results from a pregnancy exposure registry in South Africa. *South Afr J HIV Med*. 2019;20(1):971. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31616571>.

24. de Ruiter A, Taylor GP, Clayden P, et al. British HIV Association guidelines for the management of HIV infection in pregnant women 2012 (2014 interim review). *HIV Med.* 2014;15 Suppl 4:1-77. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25604045>.
25. World Health Organization. Consolidated guidelines on the use of antiretroviral drugs for treating and preventing HIV infection – recommendations for a public health approach; second edition 2016. 2016. Available at: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241549684>
26. British HIV Association. British HIV association guidelines for the management of HIV in pregnancy and postpartum 2018 (2020 third interim update). 2020. Available at: <https://www.bhiva.org/file/5f1aab1ab9aba/BHIVA-Pregnancy-guidelines-2020-3rd-interim-update.pdf>
27. Floridia M, Ravizza M, Pinnetti C, et al. Treatment change in pregnancy is a significant risk factor for detectable HIV-1 RNA in plasma at end of pregnancy. *HIV Clin Trials.* 2010;11(6):303-311. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21239358>.
28. Williams PL, Yildirim C, Chadwick EG, et al. Association of maternal antiretroviral use with microcephaly in children who are HIV-exposed but uninfected (SMARTT): a prospective cohort study. *Lancet HIV.* 2020;7(1):e49-e58. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31740351>.
29. Cassidy AR, Williams PL, Leidner J, et al. In utero efavirenz exposure and neurodevelopmental outcomes in HIV-exposed uninfected children in Botswana. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2019;38(8):828-834. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30985518>.
30. Crowell CS, Williams PL, Yildirim C, et al. Safety of in-utero antiretroviral exposure: neurologic outcomes in children who are HIV-exposed but uninfected. *AIDS.* 2020;34(9):1377-1387. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32310900>.
31. Bhattacharya D, Gupta A, Tierney C, et al. Hepatotoxicity and liver-related mortality in women of child-bearing potential living with HIV and high CD4 counts initiating efavirenz-containing regimens. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2020;72(8):1342-1349. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32161944>.
32. Tseng A, Hills-Nieminen C. Drug interactions between antiretrovirals and hormonal contraceptives. *Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol.* 2013;9(5):559-572. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23425052>.
33. Landolt NK, Phanuphak N, Ubolyam S, et al. Efavirenz, in contrast to nevirapine, is associated with unfavorable progesterone and antiretroviral levels when co-administered with combined oral contraceptives. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr.* 2012;62(5):534-539. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23187949>.
34. Leticee N, Viard JP, Yamgnane A, Karmochkine M, Benachi A. Contraceptive failure of etonogestrel implant in patients treated with antiretrovirals including efavirenz. *Contraception.* 2012;85(4):425-427. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22036046>.

35. Carten ML, Kiser JJ, Kwara A, Mawhinney S, Cu-Uvin S. Pharmacokinetic interactions between the hormonal emergency contraception, levonorgestrel (Plan B), and efavirenz. *Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol*. 2012;2012:137192. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22536010>.
36. Perry SH, Swamy P, Preidis GA, Mwanyumba A, Motsa N, Sarero HN. Implementing the jadelle implant for women living with HIV in a resource-limited setting in sub-Saharan Africa: concerns for drug interactions leading to unintended pregnancies. *AIDS*. 2014;28(5). Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24401645>.

Etravirine (Intelence, ETR)

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

Etravirine (ETR) was neither mutagenic nor clastogenic in a series of *in vitro* and animal *in vivo* screening tests.¹ ETR was evaluated for carcinogenic potential in mice and rats for up to approximately 104 weeks. Because of intolerance of the formulation, the areas under the curve (AUC) for ETR were 0.6-fold in mice and 0.2-fold to 0.7-fold in rats compared with the typical AUC in humans receiving standard dosing. In rats and male mice, no significant findings were noted. In female mice, increased incidences of hepatocellular carcinoma and those of hepatocellular adenomas or carcinomas combined were observed. Whether these liver tumor findings in mice are relevant to humans is unclear.¹

Reproduction/Fertility

ETR had no effect on fertility and early embryonic development when tested in pregnant rats at doses that produced systemic drug exposures equivalent to those observed in humans who received the recommended dose of ETR 400 mg per day.¹

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

Animal reproduction studies in rats and rabbits revealed no evidence of fetal toxicity or altered development at systemic exposures equivalent to those seen in humans who received the recommended dose of ETR 400 mg per day.¹

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

ETR pharmacokinetics (PK) in pregnant women have been reported in two studies. Ramgopal et al. found approximately 1.1-fold to 1.4-fold increases in total ETR AUC, C_{\min} , and C_{\max} during the second (n = 13) and third trimesters (n = 10) compared with the levels in the same women postpartum (n = 10). The differences in unbound ETR concentrations were less pronounced, with least-squares mean ratios of approximately 0.9 to 1.2.² Similarly, Mulligan et al. found 1.3-fold to 1.9-fold increases in total ETR AUC, C_{\min} , and C_{\max} during the third trimester (n = 13) compared with the levels in the same women postpartum (n = 8).³ ETR was well tolerated in both of these studies. ETR is a substrate for cytochrome P450 (CYP) 2C19 metabolism, and the increase in ETR exposure during pregnancy is consistent with the previously observed decrease in CYP2C19 activity during pregnancy.⁴

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

In seven mother–infant pairs, the median ratio of ETR concentration in cord-blood-to-ETR concentration in maternal plasma at delivery was 0.52 (with a range of 0.19–4.25).³ In another study, the median ratio of cord-blood-to-maternal plasma concentration in 10 mother–infant pairs was 0.32 (with a range of 0.19–0.63).² Placental passage of ETR was described in a report on the use of ETR,

darunavir/ritonavir, and enfuvirtide in a woman who gave birth to twins. Cord-blood ETR levels were 414 ng/mL in Twin 1 and 345 ng/mL in Twin 2 (maternal plasma ETR concentration at delivery was not reported).⁵

Plasma and breast milk concentrations were measured on postpartum Days 5 and 14 in eight women who began taking ETR on postpartum Day 1.⁶ Plasma PK were similar between Days 5 and 14 and were similar to the published PK parameters of ETR in nonpregnant adults. ETR AUC_{0-12h} in breast milk was higher in mature milk (collected on Day 14) than in colostrum and/or transitional milk (collected on Day 5): 12,954 ± 10,200 ng•h/mL versus 4,372 ± 3,016 ng•h/mL (*P* = 0.046). Median ETR concentrations in plasma and breast milk on Day 5 were 300 ng/mL and 241 ng/mL, respectively (within-subject breast milk concentration/plasma concentration ratio was 109%). Median plasma and breast milk concentrations on Day 14 were 197 ng/mL and 798 ng/mL, respectively (within-subject breast milk concentration/plasma concentration ratio was 327%). The maximum ETR concentration in breast milk was significantly higher than the maximum concentration in plasma (1,245 ± 1,159 ng/mL vs. 531 ± 336 ng/mL, *P* = 0.04). Two women had detectable HIV RNA in breast milk on Day 14 despite having suppressed plasma viral loads. ETR concentrations in the plasma and breast milk of these women were similar to those observed in women with undetectable HIV RNA in breast milk. ETR penetrates well and may accumulate in breast milk.

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

In eight reported cases of ETR use in pregnancy, no maternal, fetal, or neonatal toxicities were noted.^{5,7} One infant was born with a small accessory auricle on the right ear but no other malformations, and no birth defects were noted in the other children.⁵ **Seventy-three** live births of infants who were exposed to ETR during the first trimester have been reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry; among these infants, only one birth defect has been reported. These data are insufficient to draw conclusions about the risk of birth defects among infants who were exposed to ETR.⁸

Excerpt from Table 11

Note: When using fixed-dose combination (FDC) tablets, refer to other sections in [Appendix B](#) and [Table 11](#) in the Perinatal Guidelines for information about the dosing and safety of individual drug components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations ^a	Use in Pregnancy
Etravirine (ETR) <i>Intencele</i>	Tablets <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 25 mg • 100 mg • 200 mg For patients who are unable to swallow tablets whole, the tablets may be dispersed in a glass of water.	Standard Adult Doses <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 200 mg twice daily with food Pregnancy <i>PK in Pregnancy</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PK data in pregnancy suggest 1.2-fold to 1.6-fold increases in ETR exposure during pregnancy. <i>Dosing in Pregnancy</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No change in dose is indicated. 	Placental transfer varies; it is usually in the moderate-to-high categories, ranging 0.19–4.25. ^b Insufficient data to assess for teratogenicity in humans. No evidence of teratogenicity in rats or rabbits.

^a Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the [Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 11](#)).

^b Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

High: >0.6

Moderate: 0.3–0.6

Low: <0.3

Key: ARV = antiretroviral; ETR = etravirine; PK = pharmacokinetic

References

1. Etravirine (Intelence) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2019. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2019/022187s0251bl.pdf.
2. Ramgopal M, Osiyemi O, Zorrilla C, et al. Pharmacokinetics of total and unbound etravirine in HIV-1-infected pregnant women. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2016;73(3):268-274. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27159225>.
3. Mulligan N, Schalkwijk S, Best BM, et al. Etravirine pharmacokinetics in HIV-infected pregnant women. *Front Pharmacol*. 2016;7:239. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27540363>.
4. Ke AB, Nallani SC, Zhao P, Rostami-Hodjegan A, Unadkat JD. Expansion of a PBPK model to predict disposition in pregnant women of drugs cleared via multiple CYP enzymes, including CYP2B6, CYP2C9 and CYP2C19. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2014;77(3):554-570. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23834474>.
5. Furco A, Gosrani B, Nicholas S, et al. Successful use of darunavir, etravirine, enfuvirtide and tenofovir/emtricitabine in pregnant woman with multiclass HIV resistance. *AIDS*. 2009;23(3):434-435. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19188762>.
6. Spencer L, Liu S, Wang C, Neely M, Louie S, Kovacs A. Intensive etravirine PK and HIV-1 viral load in breast milk and plasma in HIV+ women receiving HAART. Poster 891. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2014. Boston, MA.
7. Calcagno A, Trentini L, Marinaro L, et al. Transplacental passage of etravirine and maraviroc in a multidrug-experienced HIV-infected woman failing on darunavir-based HAART in late pregnancy. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2013;68(8):1938-1939. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23535879>.
8. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral pregnancy registry international interim report for 1 January 1989–31 January 2021. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2021. Available at: <http://www.apregistry.com/>.

Nevirapine (Viramune, NVP)

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

Nevirapine (NVP) showed no evidence of mutagenic or clastogenic activity in a battery of *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies. Hepatocellular adenoma and carcinomas are increased at all doses of NVP in mice and rats; however, given the lack of genotoxic activity of NVP, it is unclear if this is relevant to humans.¹

Reproduction/Fertility

Female rats showed impaired fertility at systemic NVP exposures comparable to human therapeutic exposures.¹

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

In studies of rats and rabbits, no teratogenic effects of NVP have been observed other than a significant decrease in fetal weight in rats at systemic concentrations 50% higher than human therapeutic exposure.¹

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

The pharmacokinetics (PKs) studies of NVP in pregnant women who received NVP as part of antiretroviral therapy (ART) during pregnancy demonstrate varied results. A study of 26 women during their second and third trimesters did not find altered PK parameters compared to the postpartum period;² however, two other studies found up to 30% lower exposure in pregnancy.^{3,4} No dose adjustment is recommended currently for NVP during pregnancy.

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

NVP demonstrates rapid and effective placental transfer, achieving near-equivalent concentrations in maternal and cord blood (cord blood-to-maternal plasma ratio ranges from 0.60 to 1.02).^{5,6}

NVP also has been shown to be excreted into human breast milk, with breast milk-to-maternal plasma ratios of 0.27 to 0.6 and detectable NVP concentrations in breastfeeding infants.⁷⁻⁹

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

The Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry has monitored sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to NVP to detect at least a 1.5-fold increase in risk of overall birth defects and a twofold increase in risk of birth defects in the cardiovascular and genitourinary systems (the most common classes of birth defects in the general population). No such increase in the risk of birth defects has been observed with NVP. Among the cases of first-trimester NVP exposure that have been reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, the prevalence of birth defects was 3.0% (35 of 1,171 live births;

95% confidence interval [CI], 2.1% to 4.1%) compared with a total prevalence of 2.7% in the U.S. population, based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance.¹⁰ Similarly, the French Perinatal Cohort reported no association between exposure to NVP and birth defects, with 71% power to detect a 1.5-fold increase.¹¹ During 2013 and 2014, at one KwaZulu hospital, one-time nurse-performed exams found a significantly higher risk ratio of total congenital malformations in infants with first-trimester NVP exposure (relative risk [RR] = 9.28, 2.27–37.94); 2 out of 52 infants with NVP exposure vs. 29 out of 7,592 without NVP exposure.¹²

Other Safety Information

The risk of NVP-associated severe, life-threatening, and (in some cases) fatal hepatotoxicity—including fulminant and cholestatic hepatitis; hepatic necrosis; hepatic failure; and severe, life-threatening hypersensitivity skin reactions, including Stevens-Johnson syndrome—ranges from 0.04% to 0.40%.^{1,13} The greatest risk of severe rash or hepatic events occurs during the first 6 to 18 weeks of therapy, although the risk of toxicity continues past this period and patients should be monitored regularly for signs of toxicity.

Incidence of severe NVP-associated skin rash has been reported to be 5.5 times to 7.3 times more common in women than men. In 17 clinical trials of NVP therapy, women with CD4 counts >250 cells/mm³ were 9.8 times more likely to experience symptomatic, often rash-associated, NVP-related hepatotoxicity than women with lower CD4 counts.¹³ Higher CD4 counts also have been associated with an increased risk of severe, NVP-associated skin rash.¹⁴

Rates of hepatotoxicity and rash similar to those in U.S. studies have been seen in international cohorts of nonpregnant women, although not all studies have reported an association between rates of hepatotoxicity and rash and CD4 counts >250 cells/mm³. Some researchers have suggested that genetic variation in drug metabolism polymorphisms (e.g., cytochrome P450 Family 2 Subfamily B Member 6 [CYP2B6] variants), tumor necrosis factor receptor-associated factor (TRAF) proteins, and immune human leukocyte antigen loci may be associated with a higher risk of NVP-associated adverse events and that the relationship between genetic variants and adverse events may vary by race.¹⁵⁻¹⁸ Published literature reports rash and hyperbilirubinemia in infants exposed to NVP through breast milk.¹

Data are conflicting regarding the increased risk of hepatotoxicity in pregnant women receiving NVP.¹⁹ In a systematic review of 20 studies that included 3,582 pregnant women from 14 countries who initiated NVP while pregnant, the pooled proportion of women who experienced a severe hepatotoxic event was 3.6% (95% CI, 2.4% to 4.8%), and the proportion of women who experienced severe rash was 3.3% (95% CI, 2.1% to 4.5%); overall, 6.2% of women stopped taking NVP because of an adverse event (95% CI, 4.0% to 8.4%).²⁰ These results were comparable to published frequencies in the general adult population and comparable to frequencies in nonpregnant women within the same cohorts; publications by Ouyang and colleagues echo these results.^{21,22} In contrast, an analysis of data collected in the United Kingdom and Ireland from 2000 to 2011 evaluated 3,426 women, one-quarter of whom were pregnant, and found that pregnant women who were taking efavirenz, maraviroc, or NVP had an increased risk of liver enzyme elevation.²³

Two systematic reviews and a small case-control study additionally indicate that pregnancy appears to increase the risk of cutaneous events, such as Stevens-Johnson syndrome. The systematic review discussed above also reported nonsignificant trends toward increased risk of cutaneous events (odds ratio [OR] 1.1; 95% CI, 0.8–1.6) and severe cutaneous adverse events in pregnant women with CD4

counts ≥ 250 cell/mm³ (OR 1.4; 95% CI, 0.8–2.4).²⁰ Another systematic review reported a significant association between increased toxicity risk and the initiation of NVP-based ART therapy in pregnant women with CD4 counts ≥ 250 cells/mm³.²⁴ A case-control study (6 cases, 30 controls) in South Africa reported that pregnancy increased the risk of Stevens-Johnson syndrome (OR 14.28; $P = 0.006$; 95% CI, 1.54–131.82).²⁵ NVP (as a component of a combination regimen) should be initiated in pregnant people with CD4 counts ≥ 250 cells/mm³ only if the benefit clearly outweighs the risk. Pregnant patients with CD4 counts < 250 cells/mm³ can receive NVP-based regimens, and patients who become pregnant while taking NVP and who are tolerating their regimens well can continue using those regimens, regardless of their CD4 counts.

Because pregnancy itself can mimic some of the early symptoms of hepatotoxicity (i.e., pregnancy-related nausea and vomiting), health care providers caring for pregnant patients who are receiving NVP should be aware of this potential complication. Frequent and careful monitoring of clinical symptoms and hepatic transaminases (i.e., alanine aminotransferase [ALT] and aspartate aminotransferase [AST]) is necessary, particularly during the first 18 weeks of therapy. Some clinicians measure serum transaminases at baseline, every 2 weeks for the first month, and then monthly for the first 18 weeks; in patients with pre-existing liver disease, monitoring should be performed more frequently when initiating therapy and monthly thereafter.²⁶ Transaminase levels should be checked in all patients who develop a rash while receiving NVP. Patients who develop suggestive clinical symptoms accompanied by elevation in serum transaminase levels (ALT and/or AST) or who have asymptomatic but severe transaminase elevations should stop taking NVP and not receive the drug in the future.

In a retrospective study at eight government hospitals in Botswana, women who received ART regimens that contained NVP were more likely to experience certain adverse events than women on ART regimens that did not contain NVP, including hypertension (30% vs. 16%), severe hypertension (3.3% vs. 1.2%), gestational hypertension (18% vs. 10%), and early gestational hypertension (12% vs. 7%).²⁷

Excerpt from Table 11

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations ^a	Use in Pregnancy
<p>Nevirapine (NVP) <i>Viramune</i> <i>Viramune XR</i></p> <p>Note: Generic products are available for some formulations.</p>	<p>NVP (Viramune) <i>Tablet</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 200 mg^d <p><i>Oral Suspension</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 50 mg/5 mL^d <p>Viramune XR <i>Tablets</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 100 mg • 400 mg^d 	<p>Standard Adult Doses NVP 200 mg once daily (using Viramune immediate release) for a 14-day lead-in period; thereafter, NVP 200 mg twice daily or 400 mg (using Viramune XR tablet) once daily, without regard to food.</p> <p>Repeat lead-in period if therapy is discontinued for >7 days.</p> <p>In patients who develop mild-to-moderate rash without constitutional symptoms during the lead-in period, continue lead-in dosing until rash resolves, but administer for ≤28 days total.</p> <p>Pregnancy <i>PKs in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PKs of immediate-release tablets not significantly altered in pregnancy. • No data available on extended-release formulations in pregnancy. <p><i>Dosing in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No change in dose indicated. 	<p>High placental transfer to fetus.^b</p> <p>No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects and twofold increase in cardiovascular and genitourinary defects).</p> <p>An increased risk of symptomatic liver toxicity exists when first initiating therapy in women with CD4 counts ≥250/mm³. Liver toxicity is often associated with a rash and can be fatal. Pregnancy does not appear to increase this risk.</p> <p>NVP should be initiated in pregnant people with CD4 counts ≥250 cells/mm³ only if benefit clearly outweighs risk. A potential increased risk of life-threatening hepatotoxicity exists in pregnant people with high CD4 counts. Elevated transaminase levels at baseline may increase the risk of NVP toxicity.</p> <p>Patients who become pregnant while taking NVP-containing regimens and who are tolerating their regimens well can continue taking those regimens, regardless of their CD4 counts.</p>

^a Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the [Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 11](#)).

^b Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

High: > 0.6

Moderate: 0.3–0.6

Low: < 0.3

^d Generic formulation available.

Key: ARV = antiretroviral; CD4 = CD4 T lymphocyte; NVP = nevirapine; PK = pharmacokinetic; XR = extended release

References

1. Nevirapine (Viramune) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2018. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2018/020636s050,020933s0401bl.pdf.
2. Capparelli EV, Aweeka F, Hitti J, et al. Chronic administration of nevirapine during pregnancy: impact of pregnancy on pharmacokinetics. *HIV Med.* 2008;9(4):214-220. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18366444>.
3. von Hentig N, Carlebach A, Gute P, et al. A comparison of the steady-state pharmacokinetics of nevirapine in men, nonpregnant women and women in late pregnancy. *Br J Clin Pharmacol.* 2006;62(5):552-559. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17061962>.
4. Nellen JF, Damming M, Godfried MH, et al. Steady-state nevirapine plasma concentrations are influenced by pregnancy. *HIV Med.* 2008;9(4):234-238. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18366447>.
5. Else LJ, Taylor S, Back DJ, Khoo SH. Pharmacokinetics of antiretroviral drugs in anatomical sanctuary sites: the fetal compartment (placenta and amniotic fluid). *Antivir Ther.* 2011;16(8):1139-1147. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22155898>.
6. Benaboud S, Ekouevi DK, Urien S, et al. Population pharmacokinetics of nevirapine in HIV-1-infected pregnant women and their neonates. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 2011;55(1):331-337. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20956588>.
7. Palombi L, Pirillo MF, Andreotti M, et al. Antiretroviral prophylaxis for breastfeeding transmission in Malawi: drug concentrations, virological efficacy and safety. *Antivir Ther.* 2012;17(8):1511-1519. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22910456>.
8. Shapiro RL, Rossi S, Ogwu A, et al. Therapeutic levels of lopinavir in late pregnancy and abacavir passage into breast milk in the Mma Bana Study, Botswana. *Antivir Ther.* 2013;18(4):585-590. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23183881>.
9. Mirochnick M, Thomas T, Capparelli E, et al. Antiretroviral concentrations in breast-feeding infants of mothers receiving highly active antiretroviral therapy. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 2009;53(3):1170-1176. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19114673>.
10. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry international interim report for 1 January 1989–31 January 2021. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2021. Available at: <http://www.apregistry.com/>.
11. Sibiude J, Mandelbrot L, Blanche S, et al. Association between prenatal exposure to antiretroviral therapy and birth defects: an analysis of the French Perinatal Cohort Study (ANRS CO1/CO11). *PLoS Med.* 2014;11(4):e1001635. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4004551>.
12. Mehta UC, van Schalkwyk C, Naidoo P, et al. Birth outcomes following antiretroviral exposure during pregnancy: Initial results from a pregnancy exposure registry in South

- Africa. *South Afr J HIV Med.* 2019;20(1):971. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31616571>.
13. Stern JO, Robinson PA, Love J, Lanes S, Imperiale MS, Mayers DL. A comprehensive hepatic safety analysis of nevirapine in different populations of HIV infected patients. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr.* 2003;34 Suppl 1:S21-33. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14562855>.
 14. Bersoff-Matcha SJ, Miller WC, Aberg JA, et al. Sex differences in nevirapine rash. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2001;32(1):124-129. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11118391>.
 15. Yuan J, Guo S, Hall D, et al. Toxicogenomics of nevirapine-associated cutaneous and hepatic adverse events among populations of African, Asian, and European descent. *AIDS.* 2011;25(10):1271-1280. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21505298>.
 16. Carr DF, Chaponda M, Jorgensen AL, et al. Association of human leukocyte antigen alleles and nevirapine hypersensitivity in a Malawian HIV-infected population. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2013;56(9):1330-1339. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23362284>.
 17. Ciccacci C, Rufini S, Mancinelli S, et al. A pharmacogenetics study in Mozambican patients treated with nevirapine: full resequencing of TRAF3IP2 gene shows a novel association with SJS/TEN susceptibility. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2015;16(3):5830-5838. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25775161>.
 18. Carr DF, Chaponda M, Cornejo Castro EM, et al. CYP2B6 c.983T>C polymorphism is associated with nevirapine hypersensitivity in Malawian and Ugandan HIV populations. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* 2014;69(12):3329-3334. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25147095>.
 19. Lyons F, Hopkins S, Kelleher B, et al. Maternal hepatotoxicity with nevirapine as part of combination antiretroviral therapy in pregnancy. *HIV Med.* 2006;7(4):255-260. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16630038>.
 20. Ford N, Calmy A, Andrieux-Meyer I, Hargreaves S, Mills EJ, Shubber Z. Adverse events associated with nevirapine use in pregnancy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *AIDS.* 2013;27(7):1135-1143. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23299174>.
 21. Ouyang DW, Brogly SB, Lu M, et al. Lack of increased hepatotoxicity in HIV-infected pregnant women receiving nevirapine compared with other antiretrovirals. *AIDS.* 2010;24(1):109-114. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19926957>.
 22. Ouyang DW, Shapiro DE, Lu M, et al. Increased risk of hepatotoxicity in HIV-infected pregnant women receiving antiretroviral therapy independent of nevirapine exposure. *AIDS.* 2009;23(18):2425-2430. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19617813>.
 23. Huntington S, Thorne C, Anderson J, et al. Does pregnancy increase the risk of ART-induced hepatotoxicity among HIV-positive women? *J Int AIDS Soc.* 2014;17(4 Suppl 3):19486. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25393995>.

24. Bera E, Mia R. Safety of nevirapine in HIV-infected pregnant women initiating antiretroviral therapy at higher CD4 counts: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *S Afr Med J*. 2012;102(11 Pt 1):855-859. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23116743>.
25. Dube N, Adewusi E, Summers R. Risk of nevirapine-associated Stevens-Johnson syndrome among HIV-infected pregnant women: the Medunsa National Pharmacovigilance Centre, 2007–2012. *S Afr Med J*. 2013;103(5):322-325. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23971123>.
26. Kontorinis N, Dieterich DT. Toxicity of non-nucleoside analogue reverse transcriptase inhibitors. *Semin Liver Dis*. 2003;23(2):173-182. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12800070>.
27. Zash R, Williams P, Jacobson D, et al. Increased risk of hypertension in pregnancy among women on nevirapine---based regimens. Poster 803. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2018. Boston, Massachusetts. Available at: <https://www.croiconference.org/abstract/increased-risk-hypertension-pregnancy-among-women-nevirapine-based-regimens/>.

Rilpivirine (Edurant, RPV)

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

Rilpivirine (RPV) was neither mutagenic nor clastogenic in a series of *in vitro* and *in vivo* screening tests. RPV was not carcinogenic in rats when administered at doses that resulted in drug exposures that were three times higher than those seen in humans who received the recommended 25-mg dose of RPV once daily. Hepatocellular neoplasms were observed in both male and female mice at doses that produced exposures 21 times higher than human therapeutic exposure; however, whether these hepatocellular findings in mice are relevant to humans is unclear.¹

Reproduction/Fertility

No effect on fertility was observed when RPV was administered to rats at a dose of 400 mg/kg per day, which produced systemic drug exposure that was 40 times the exposure seen in humans who received the recommended dose.¹

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

Rat and rabbit dams treated with RPV during pregnancy showed no evidence of embryonic or fetal toxicity, and reproductive function was unaffected. RPV exposures were 15 times higher (in rats) and 70 times higher (in rabbits) than the exposures observed in humans who received the recommended dose of RPV 25 mg once daily. When rats were administered RPV 400 mg/kg per day through lactation, no drug-related adverse effects were seen in the offspring.¹

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Studies in lactating rats and their offspring indicate that RPV is present in rat milk.¹

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

A study that presented pharmacokinetic (PK) and safety data from 32 pregnant women with HIV found that median RPV area under the curve concentration (AUC) and trough concentration (C_{trough}) were about 20% to 30% lower in the second and third trimesters than in the postpartum period. Median RPV C_{trough} were significantly lower at 14 visits where the women had detectable HIV RNA (30 ng/mL) than at 62 visits where they had undetectable HIV RNA (63 ng/mL). Ninety percent of women had C_{trough} above the protein-adjusted 90% maximal effective concentration (EC_{90}) for RPV. PK parameters between participants were highly variable in this study.² Another study in 16 pregnant women with HIV similarly found that exposure was approximately 50% lower in the third trimester than in the postpartum period, with 4 of the 16 women having C_{trough} below the target levels during pregnancy.³ Schalkwijk et al. recommended the use of therapeutic drug monitoring during the third trimester.³ Furthermore, they recommended that providers remind patients to take RPV doses with meals. A third study reported that total RPV exposure decreased by approximately 30%, and unbound RPV levels decreased by 22% to 25% during pregnancy in 15 women compared with the

RPV exposures seen in the same women postpartum.⁴ Cervicovaginal fluid RPV concentrations were described in a study of 24 women who took RPV daily during pregnancy and postpartum. RPV steady-state concentrations in the cervicovaginal fluid of these women were similar to the concentrations seen in their plasma. The RPV cervicovaginal fluid-to-plasma AUC ratio was higher during pregnancy than postpartum.⁵ Although RPV plasma concentration is reduced during pregnancy, higher-than-standard doses of RPV have not been studied, and not enough data are available to recommend a dosing change during pregnancy. In the ANRS-EPF French Perinatal Cohort, 184 virologically suppressed women who switched to RPV-free regimens during pregnancy had a higher risk of viral rebound compared with 63 women who continued RPV during pregnancy (20% vs. 0%, $P = 0.046$). Delivery outcomes were similar between groups.⁶ For considerations regarding switching antiretroviral drugs during pregnancy, see [Pregnant People with HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy](#). Pregnant women who receive the standard dose of RPV should have their viral loads monitored more frequently than women who are not receiving RPV (see [Monitoring During Pregnancy](#)).

RPV concentrations have been reported in three women who discontinued intramuscular injections of long-acting RPV during pregnancy. In this limited sample, plasma RPV concentrations were similar in pregnant and non-pregnant women who discontinued long-acting RPV. No studies have been conducted of the pharmacokinetics of cabotegravir (CAB) and RPV with ongoing intramuscular injections during pregnancy. See [Cabotegravir](#) for data about CAB.⁷

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

One of the PK and safety studies described above included data on RPV concentration at delivery for 21 mother–infant pairs, with a median cord blood RPV plasma concentration of 29.2 ng/mL (range, <10.0 to 101.5 ng/mL), a median maternal delivery plasma RPV concentration of 55.2 ng/mL (range, <10.0 to 233.8 ng/mL), and a median cord blood-to-maternal-plasma ratio of 0.55 (range, 0.3–0.8).² Osiyemi et al. found that the median ratio of cord blood-to-maternal-plasma concentration of total RPV in eight women was 0.55 (range, 0.43–0.98).⁴ Similarly, Schalkwijk et al. found a median cord blood-to-maternal-plasma ratio of 0.5 (range, 0.35–0.81) in five women.³ An *ex vivo* human cotyledon perfusion model also showed that RPV crosses the placenta, with fetal transfer rates ranging from 17% to 37%.^{8,9} No data exist on whether RPV is excreted in breast milk in humans.

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

The Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry had monitored sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to RPV to detect at least a two-fold increase in the risk of overall birth defects. No such increase in the risk of birth defects has been observed with RPV. Among the cases of first-trimester exposures to RPV that have been reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, the prevalence of birth defects was 1.4% (8 infants out of 557 live births; 95% confidence interval, 0.6% to 2.8%) compared with a 2.7% total prevalence in the U.S. population, according to Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance.¹⁰

Excerpt from Table 11

Note: When using fixed-dose combination (FDC) tablets, refer to other sections in [Appendix B](#) and [Table 11](#) in the Perinatal Guidelines for information about the dosing and safety of individual drug components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations ^a	Use in Pregnancy
<p>Rilpivirine (RPV) <i>Edurant</i></p> <p>(RPV/FTC/TDF) <i>Complera</i></p> <p>(RPV/DTG) <i>Juluca</i></p> <p>(RPV/FTC/TAF) <i>Odefsey</i></p> <p>(CAB and RPV) <i>Cabenuva</i></p> <p>CAB and RPV is a two-drug co-packaged product for IM injection.</p>	<p>RPV (<i>Edurant</i>) <i>Tablets</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 25 mg <p>RPV/FTC/TDF (<i>Complera</i>)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • RPV 25 mg/FTC 200 mg/TDF 300-mg tablet <p>RPV/DTG (<i>Juluca</i>)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • RPV 25 mg/DTG 50-mg tablet <p>RPV/FTC/TAF (<i>Odefsey</i>)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • RPV 25 mg/FTC 200 mg/TAF 25-mg tablet 	<p>Standard Adult Doses</p> <p><i>RPV (Edurant)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • RPV 25 mg once daily with food <p><i>RPV/FTC/TDF (Complera)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet once daily with food <p><i>RPV/DTG (Juluca)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet once daily with food <p><i>RPV/FTC/TAF (Odefsey)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet once daily with food <p><i>CAB and RPV (Cabenuva)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Refer to Cabotegravir for dosing and instructions. <p>Pregnancy</p> <p><i>PKs in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • RPV PKs are highly variable during pregnancy. RPV AUC and trough concentrations are 20% to 50% lower in pregnancy than postpartum. Although most pregnant women exceeded target exposure, those with detectable viral loads had lower RPV troughs. <p><i>Dosing in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Although RPV plasma concentration is reduced during pregnancy, higher-than-standard doses have not been studied, and not enough data are available to recommend a dosing change during pregnancy. Pregnant women receiving standard dosing should have their viral loads 	<p>Moderate-to-high placental transfer to fetus.^b</p> <p>No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out two-fold increase in overall birth defects).</p> <p>Two-drug regimens (e.g., the RPV/DTG FDC) are not recommended for use in pregnancy.</p>

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations ^a	Use in Pregnancy
		<p>monitored more frequently than women who are not receiving RPV.</p> <p>For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., DTG, FTC, TAF, TDF).</p>	

^a Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the [Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 11](#)).

^b Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

High: >0.6
Moderate: 0.3–0.6
Low: <0.3

Key: ARV = antiretroviral; AUC = area under the curve; **CAB = cabotegravir**; DTG = dolutegravir; FDC = fixed-dose combination; FTC = emtricitabine; **IM = intramuscular**; PK = pharmacokinetic; RPV = rilpivirine; TAF = tenofovir alafenamide; TDF = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate

References

1. Rilpivirine (Edurant) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2021. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2021/202022s014lbl.pdf.
2. Tran AH, Best BM, Stek A, et al. Pharmacokinetics of rilpivirine in HIV-infected pregnant women. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2016;72(3):289-296. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26918544>.
3. Schalkwijk S, Colbers A, Konopnicki D, et al. Lowered rilpivirine exposure during third trimester of pregnancy in HIV-1-positive women. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2017;65(8):1335-1341. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28595298>.
4. Osiyemi O, Yasin S, Zorrilla C, et al. Pharmacokinetics, antiviral activity, and safety of rilpivirine in pregnant women with HIV-1 infection: results of a phase 3b, multicenter, open-label study. *Infect Dis Ther*. 2018;7(1):147-159. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29335895>.
5. Eke AC, Chakhtoura N, Kashuba A, et al. Rilpivirine plasma and cervicovaginal concentrations in women during pregnancy and postpartum. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2018;78(3):308-313. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29528944>.
6. Frange P, Tubiana R, Sibiude J, et al. Rilpivirine in HIV-1-positive women initiating pregnancy: to switch or not to switch? *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2020;75(5):1324-1331. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32157283>.
7. Crauwels H, Rice D, Neyens M, et al. Rilpivirine long-acting pharmacokinetic tail and pregnancy. Presented at: International Workshop on HIV & Women 2021. 2021. Available at: academicmedicaleducation.com/meeting/international-workshop-hiv-women-2021/abstract/rilpivirine-long-acting-pharmacokinetic-tail.
8. Mandelbrot L, Duro D, Belissa E, Peytavin G. Erratum for mandelbrot et al., placental transfer of rilpivirine in an ex vivo human cotyledon perfusion model. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2015;59(9):5869. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26276897>.
9. Mandelbrot L, Duro D, Belissa E, Peytavin G. Placental transfer of rilpivirine in an ex vivo human cotyledon perfusion model. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2015;59(5):2901-2903. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25691637>.
10. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral pregnancy registry international interim report for 1 January 1989–31 January 2021. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2021. Available at: <http://www.apregistry.com/>.

Atazanavir (Reyataz, ATV)

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

In *in vitro* and *in vivo* assays, atazanavir (ATV) shows evidence of clastogenicity but not mutagenicity. Two-year carcinogenicity studies in mice and rats were conducted with ATV. In female mice, the incidence of benign hepatocellular adenomas increased at systemic exposures that were 2.8-fold to 2.9-fold higher than those seen in humans who received the recommended therapeutic dose (ATV 300 mg boosted with ritonavir [RTV] 100 mg once daily). There was no increase in the incidence of tumors in male mice at any dose and no significant increase in the incidence of neoplasms in rats at systemic exposures up to 1.1-fold (in males) or 3.9-fold (in females) higher than those seen in humans who received the recommended therapeutic dose.¹

Reproduction/Fertility

No effect of ATV on reproduction or fertility in male and female rodents was observed at drug exposure levels (as measured by area under the curve [AUC]) that were 0.9-fold (in males) and 2.3-fold (in females) higher than the exposures achieved in humans who received the recommended therapeutic dose.¹

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

In animal reproduction studies, no evidence of teratogenicity was observed in offspring born to animals that had systemic ATV exposure levels (as measured by AUC) that were 0.7 times (in rabbits) and 1.2 times (in rats) those observed in humans who received the recommended therapeutic dose. In developmental toxicity studies in rats, maternal dosing (through pregnancy and lactation) that produced systemic ATV exposure that was 1.3 times the human exposure resulted in reversible neonatal growth retardation. However, offspring were unaffected at lower maternal doses that produced systemic drug exposures equivalent to those observed in humans who received the recommended therapeutic dose.¹ A separate study demonstrated an association between maternal protease inhibitor (PI) use (including the use of ATV) and lower progesterone levels, which correlated with lower birthweight in mice.^{2,3} Maternal administration of ATV (with tenofovir disoproxil fumarate [TDF]/emtricitabine [FTC] or abacavir/lamivudine) was associated with delayed postnatal (infant) growth and neurodevelopment in mice.⁴

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

ATV maternal-to-fetal (transplacental) transfer is reduced, possibly because ATV is a substrate of the p-glycoprotein, which is an ATP-binding cassette transporter responsible for drug efflux across the placenta.⁵

ATV is excreted in the milk of lactating rats. Maternal ATV use in rats that produced systemic ATV exposure that was 1.3 times the human exposure was associated with neonatal growth restriction that reversed after weaning.¹

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

Several studies have investigated the pharmacokinetics (PKs) and virologic outcomes of using atazanavir/ritonavir (ATV/r) during pregnancy.⁶ Overall, most pregnant women achieved undetectable HIV RNA at the time of delivery in these studies.^{1,7-11}

In studies that evaluated full PK profiles of daily ATV/r 300 mg/100 mg during pregnancy, the ATV AUC was lower during pregnancy than the ATV AUC reported in other studies of nonpregnant adults with HIV.^{7,9,10,12} In one of the studies, no difference was observed in the ATV AUC during pregnancy and postpartum, but the AUC at both times was lower than the AUC observed in historic, nonpregnant controls with HIV.⁹ In the other studies, the ATV AUC was lower during pregnancy than it was in the same patients postpartum and in nonpregnant control populations.^{7,8,10,12} Intracellular ATV levels in women taking ATV/r 300 mg/100 mg appear stable throughout pregnancy.¹³ Genetic variants appear to partially explain the interpatient variability in third-trimester ATV exposure seen in pregnant women who receive ATV/r.¹⁴

ATV/r combined with TDF and FTC provides a complete, once-daily antiretroviral therapy regimen for use during pregnancy. However, the ATV AUC of pregnant women in the third trimester who received concomitant TDF was 30% lower than the ATV AUC of women who were not receiving concomitant TDF, an effect similar to that seen in nonpregnant adults.^{10,12} The magnitude of the increase in ATV AUC postpartum relative to ATV AUC in the third trimester in women taking concomitant TDF was similar to that in women not taking concomitant TDF.¹⁰ On the other hand, a smaller PK study demonstrated that concomitant TDF did not result in a lower ATV AUC or a higher risk of ATV trough concentrations <0.15 mg/L (the target trough concentration for antiretroviral-naïve patients) in pregnant women during their third trimester.¹⁵ In a therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) study of 103 women (most of whom were African) in Paris, the proportions of women with an ATV trough concentration of <0.15 mg/L were similar for women who did and women who did not take concomitant TDF.¹¹

In studies that evaluated the use of once-daily ATV/r 400 mg/100 mg during pregnancy,^{7,8} pregnant women who received this increased dose without TDF had an ATV AUC that was equivalent to the ATV AUC seen in historic, nonpregnant controls with HIV who received the standard ATV 300-mg dose without TDF. Pregnant women who received the increased ATV 400-mg dose with TDF had an ATV AUC equivalent to that seen in nonpregnant patients with HIV who received the standard ATV 300-mg dose with TDF.^{7,8} Although some experts recommend an increased dose of ATV for all patients during the second and third trimesters of pregnancy, the package insert recommends the use of an increased dose of ATV during the second and third trimesters only for antiretroviral-experienced pregnant women who also are receiving either TDF or an H2-receptor antagonist. TDM of ATV in pregnancy may also be useful.¹⁶ For additional details about interactions between concomitant medications, please see [Drug-Drug Interactions](#) in the [Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines](#).

The pharmaco-enhancing effect of cobicistat (COBI) on ATV is impacted during pregnancy. Pregnant women who received ATV boosted with COBI had trough ATV concentrations that were 80% and 85% lower during the second and third trimesters, respectively, than historic ATV trough concentrations in nonpregnant adults with HIV.¹⁷ Concomitant use of ATV and COBI **is not**

recommended during pregnancy because of these substantial reductions in drug exposures (see [Cobicistat](#)).¹⁸

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

In studies of women receiving ATV/r combination therapy during pregnancy, cord blood ATV concentration averaged 13% to 21% of maternal serum levels at delivery.^{1,9,10}

In a study of three women, the median ratio of breast milk ATV concentration to plasma ATV concentration was 0.13.¹⁹

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

In a multicenter study that evaluated a U.S. cohort of children who were exposed to HIV but who did not contract HIV, first-trimester ATV exposure was associated with increased odds of congenital anomalies of the skin (adjusted odds ratio [aOR] 5.24; $P = 0.02$) and the musculoskeletal system (aOR 2.55; $P = 0.007$).²⁰ On the other hand, there was no association between first-trimester ATV exposure and birth defects in a French cohort, although this study had <50% power to detect an aOR of 1.5.²¹ The Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry has monitored sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to ATV in humans to be able to detect at least a 1.5-fold increase in the risk of overall birth defects and at least a twofold increase in the risk of cardiovascular and genitourinary defects (the most common classes of birth defects in the general population). No such increase in the risk of birth defects has been observed with ATV. Among the cases of first-trimester ATV exposure that have been reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, the prevalence of birth defects was 2.3% (33 of 1,447 live births; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.6% to 3.2%) compared with a 2.7% total prevalence in the U.S. population, based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance.²²

Please see [Antiretroviral Drug Regimens and Maternal and Neonatal Outcomes](#) for a discussion of the potential association between the use of boosted PIs and preterm delivery.

Other Safety Data

Elevation in indirect (unconjugated) bilirubin that can be attributed to ATV-related inhibition of the hepatic uridine diphosphate glucuronosyltransferase (UGT) enzyme occurs frequently during treatment with ATV, including during pregnancy.²³ It is unknown whether elevated maternal indirect bilirubin throughout pregnancy has any effects on the fetus. Dangerous or pathologic postnatal elevations in bilirubin have not been reported in infants born to mothers who received ATV during pregnancy.^{1,7,9,10,24-26} In some studies, neonatal bilirubin elevations that require treatment with phototherapy occur more frequently after prenatal ATV exposure. However, decisions to use phototherapy frequently are subjective, and guidelines for phototherapy vary across countries, making it difficult to compare the severity of hyperbilirubinemia among patients within a study and across different studies.^{24,25} Elevated neonatal bilirubin in neonates exposed to ATV is not associated with UGT-1 genotypes that have been linked to decreased UGT function.²⁶

In an evaluation of neurodevelopmental outcomes in 374 infants aged 9 to 15 months who were exposed to HIV but who did not contract HIV, the adjusted mean scores on the language and social-emotional domains of the Bayley-III test were significantly lower for infants with perinatal exposure to ATV than for infants who were exposed to other drugs.^{27,28} In a study of language assessments among 792 children aged 1 to 2 years who were exposed to HIV but who did not contract HIV,

children with ATV exposure had an increased risk of late language emergence at age 12 months (aOR 1.83; 95% CI, 1.10–3.04) compared to children without ATV exposure, but this association was not significant at 24 months.²⁹

Hypoglycemia (glucose <40 mg/dL) that could not be attributed to maternal glucose intolerance, difficult delivery, or sepsis was reported in three of 38 ATV-exposed infants who had glucose samples collected during the first day of life. All three hypoglycemic infants' glucose samples were adequately collected and processed in a timely fashion.¹ This report of infant hypoglycemia is similar to a prior report in which two of 14 infants who were exposed to PIs (i.e., nelfinavir, saquinavir, or indinavir) developed hypoglycemia during the first day of life; both infants with hypoglycemia had been exposed to nelfinavir.³⁰

Excerpt from Table 11

Note: When using fixed-dose combination (FDC) tablets, refer to other sections in [Appendix B](#) and [Table 11](#) in the Perinatal Guidelines for information about the dosing and safety of individual drug components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations ^a	Use in Pregnancy
<p>Atazanavir (ATV) <i>Reyataz</i></p> <p>Note: Generic products are available for some formulations.</p> <p>Note: ATV must be combined with low-dose RTV boosting in pregnancy.</p> <p>(ATV/c) <i>Evotaz</i></p>	<p>ATV (Reyataz) <i>Capsules</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 100 mg (generic product only) • 150 mg^d • 200 mg^d • 300 mg^d <p><i>Oral Powder</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 50-mg packet <p>ATV/c (Evotaz)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ATV 300 mg/COBI 150-mg tablet 	<p>Standard Adult Doses</p> <p><i>In ARV-Naive Patients Without RTV Boosting</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ATV 400 mg once daily with food; ATV without RTV boosting is not recommended when used with TDF, H2-receptor antagonists, PPIs, or during pregnancy. <p><i>In ARV-Naive Patients With RTV Boosting</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ATV/r 300 mg/100 mg once daily with food • When combined with EFV in ARV-naive patients: ATV/r 400 mg/100 mg once daily with food <p><i>In ARV-Experienced Patients</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ATV 300 mg plus RTV 100 mg once daily with food • Do not use with PPIs or EFV. <p><i>In ARV-Experienced Patients Who Are Receiving an H2-Receptor Antagonist</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ATV/r 300/100 mg once daily with food <p><i>In ARV-Experienced Patients Who Are Receiving an H2-Receptor Antagonist and TDF</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ATV/r 400 mg/100 mg once daily with food <p><i>Powder Formulation</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Oral powder is taken with RTV once daily with food at the same recommended adult dose as the capsules. <p><i>ATV/c (Evotaz)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet once daily with food <p>Pregnancy</p> <p><i>PKs in Pregnancy</i></p> <p><i>ATV (Reyataz)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ATV concentrations are reduced during pregnancy, and they are further reduced when ATV is given concomitantly with TDF or an H2-receptor antagonist. <p><i>ATV/c (Evotaz)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use of ATV/c is not recommended during pregnancy, because ATV trough concentrations are 80% to 85% lower than 	<p>Low placental transfer to fetus^b</p> <p>No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects)</p> <p>Must be given with RTV boosting in pregnancy.</p> <p>Effect of <i>in utero</i> ATV exposure on infant indirect bilirubin levels is unclear. Nonpathologic elevations of neonatal bilirubin have been observed in some, but not all, clinical trials to date.</p> <p>Oral powder (but not capsules) contains phenylalanine, which can be harmful to patients with phenylketonuria.</p> <p>Use of ATV/c is not recommended during pregnancy. See Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy, Table 4 and Table 5 for discussions about avoiding the use of ATV/c during pregnancy.</p>

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations ^a	Use in Pregnancy
		<p>the ATV concentrations seen in nonpregnant adults.</p> <p><i>Dosing in Pregnancy</i> <i>ATV (Reyataz)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use of unboosted ATV is not recommended during pregnancy. • Use of ATV is not recommended during pregnancy for ARV-experienced patients who are taking TDF and an H2-receptor antagonist. • Use of an increased dose (ATV/r 400 mg/100 mg once daily with food) during the second and third trimesters results in plasma ATV concentrations equivalent to those seen in nonpregnant adults receiving standard dosing. Although some experts recommend increased ATV dosing in all patients during the second and third trimesters of pregnancy, the package insert recommends increased ATV dosing only for ARV-experienced pregnant women in the second and third trimesters who are also receiving either TDF or an H2-receptor antagonist. <p><i>ATV/c (Evotaz)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Insufficient data to make dosing recommendation in pregnancy (see COBI) <p>For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., COBI).</p>	

^a Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the [Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 11](#)).

^b Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

High: >0.6

Moderate: 0.3–0.6

Low: <0.3

^d Generic product is available.

Key: ARV = antiretroviral; ATV = atazanavir; ATV/c = atazanavir/cobicistat; ATV/r = atazanavir/ritonavir; COBI = cobicistat; EFV = efavirenz; FDC = fixed-dose combination; PK = pharmacokinetic; PPI = proton pump inhibitor; RTV = ritonavir; TDF = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate

References

1. Reyataz (atazanavir) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2020. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2020/021567s044,206352s0081bl.pdf.
2. Powis KM, Shapiro RL. Protease inhibitors and adverse birth outcomes: is progesterone the missing piece to the puzzle? *J Infect Dis*. 2015;211(1):4-7. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25030057>.
3. Papp E, Mohammadi H, Loutfy MR, et al. HIV protease inhibitor use during pregnancy is associated with decreased progesterone levels, suggesting a potential mechanism contributing to fetal growth restriction. *J Infect Dis*. 2015;211(1):10-18. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25030058>.
4. Sarkar A, Balogun K, Guzman Lenis MS, Acosta S, Mount HT, Serghides L. *In utero* exposure to protease inhibitor-based antiretroviral regimens delays growth and developmental milestones in mice. *PLoS One*. 2020;15(11):e0242513. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33211746>.
5. Cerveny L, Ptackova Z, Durisova M, Staud F. Interactions of protease inhibitors atazanavir and ritonavir with ABCB1, ABCG2, and ABCC2 transporters: effect on transplacental disposition in rats. *Reprod Toxicol*. 2018;79:57-65. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29859254>.
6. Eley T, Bertz R, Hardy H, Burger D. Atazanavir pharmacokinetics, efficacy and safety in pregnancy: a systematic review. *Antivir Ther*. 2013;18(3):361-375. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23676668>.
7. Conradie F, Zorrilla C, Josipovic D, et al. Safety and exposure of once-daily ritonavir-boosted atazanavir in HIV-infected pregnant women. *HIV Med*. 2011;12(9):570-579. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21569187>.
8. Kreitchmann R, Best BM, Wang J, et al. Pharmacokinetics of an increased atazanavir dose with and without tenofovir during the third trimester of pregnancy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2013;63(1):59-66. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23392467>.
9. Ripamonti D, Cattaneo D, Maggiolo F, et al. Atazanavir plus low-dose ritonavir in pregnancy: pharmacokinetics and placental transfer. *AIDS*. 2007;21(18):2409-2415. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18025877>.
10. Mirochnick M, Best BM, Stek AM, et al. Atazanavir pharmacokinetics with and without tenofovir during pregnancy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2011;56(5):412-419. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21283017>.
11. Le MP, Mandelbrot L, Descamps D, et al. Pharmacokinetics, safety and efficacy of ritonavir-boosted atazanavir (300/100 mg once daily) in HIV-1-infected pregnant women. *Antivir Ther*. 2015;20(5):507-513. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25599649>.

12. Taburet AM, Piketty C, Chazallon C, et al. Interactions between atazanavir-ritonavir and tenofovir in heavily pretreated human immunodeficiency virus-infected patients. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 2004;48(6):2091-2096. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15155205>.
13. Foca E, Calcagno A, Bonito A, et al. Atazanavir intracellular concentrations remain stable during pregnancy in HIV-infected patients. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* 2017;72(11):3163-3166. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28961777>.
14. Foca E, Calcagno A, Bonito A, et al. Pharmacokinetic changes during pregnancy according to genetic variants: a prospective study in HIV-infected patients receiving atazanavir-ritonavir. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 2018;62(7). Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29760129>.
15. Colbers A, Hawkins D, Hidalgo-Tenorio C, et al. Atazanavir exposure is effective during pregnancy regardless of tenofovir use. *Antivir Ther.* 2015;20(1):57-64. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24992294>.
16. Else LJ, Jackson V, Brennan M, et al. Therapeutic drug monitoring of atazanavir/ritonavir in pregnancy. *HIV Med.* 2014;15(10):604-610. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24825070>.
17. Momper J, Stek A, Wang J, et al. Pharmacokinetics of atazanavir boosted with cobicistat during pregnancy and postpartum. Presented at: Workshop on Clinical Pharmacology of HIV, Hepatitis, and other Antiviral Drugs. 2019. Noordwijk, Netherlands.
18. Boyd SD, Sampson MR, Viswanathan P, Struble KA, Arya V, Sherwat AI. Cobicistat-containing antiretroviral regimens are not recommended during pregnancy: viewpoint. *AIDS.* 2019;33(6):1089-1093. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30946163>.
19. Spencer L, Neely M, Mordwinkin N, et al. Intensive pharmacokinetics of zidovudine, lamivudine, and atazanavir and HIV-1 viral load in breast milk and plasma in HIV+ women receiving HAART. Presented at: 16th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2009. Montreal, Canada.
20. Williams PL, Crain MJ, Yildirim C, et al. Congenital anomalies and *in utero* antiretroviral exposure in human immunodeficiency virus-exposed uninfected infants. *JAMA Pediatr.* 2015;169(1):48-55. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25383770>.
21. Sibiude J, Mandelbrot L, Blanche S, et al. Association between prenatal exposure to antiretroviral therapy and birth defects: an analysis of the French Perinatal Cohort Study (ANRS CO1/CO11). *PLoS Med.* 2014;11(4):e1001635. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4004531/>.
22. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral pregnancy registry international interim report for 1 January 1989–31 January 2021. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2021. Available at: <http://www.apregistry.com/>.

23. Floridia M, Ravizza M, Masuelli G, et al. Atazanavir and lopinavir profile in pregnant women with HIV: tolerability, activity and pregnancy outcomes in an observational national study. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* 2014;69(5):1377-1384. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24370933>.
24. Mandelbrot L, Mazy F, Floch-Tudal C, et al. Atazanavir in pregnancy: impact on neonatal hyperbilirubinemia. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* 2011;157(1):18-21. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21492993>.
25. Atrio JM, Sperling RS, Posada R, Rodriguez Caprio G, Chen KT. Maternal atazanavir usage in HIV-infected pregnant women and the risk of maternal and neonatal hyperbilirubinemia. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr.* 2013;63(5):e158-159. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23970241>.
26. Eley T, Huang SP, Conradie F, et al. Clinical and pharmacogenetic factors affecting neonatal bilirubinemia following atazanavir treatment of mothers during pregnancy. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses.* 2013;29(10):1287-1292. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23782005>.
27. Sirois PA, Huo Y, Williams PL, et al. Safety of perinatal exposure to antiretroviral medications: developmental outcomes in infants. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2013;32(6):648-655. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23340561>.
28. Caniglia EC, Patel K, Huo Y, et al. Atazanavir exposure in utero and neurodevelopment in infants: a comparative safety study. *AIDS.* 2016;30(8):1267-1278. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26867136>.
29. Rice ML, Zeldow B, Siberry GK, et al. Evaluation of risk for late language emergence after in utero antiretroviral drug exposure in HIV-exposed uninfected infants. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2013;32(10):e406-413. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24067563>.
30. Dinsmoor MJ, Forrest ST. Lack of an effect of protease inhibitor use on glucose tolerance during pregnancy. *Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol.* 2002;10(4):187-191. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12648312>.

Darunavir (Prezista, DRV)

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

Darunavir (DRV) was neither mutagenic nor clastogenic in a series of *in vitro* and animal *in vivo* screening tests. A dose-related increase in the incidence of hepatocellular adenomas and carcinomas was observed in both male and female mice and rats, as was an increase in thyroid follicular cell adenomas in male rats. The observed hepatocellular findings in rodents are considered to be of limited relevance to humans. Repeated administration of DRV to rats caused hepatic microsomal enzyme induction and increased thyroid hormone elimination; this predisposed rats, but not humans, to thyroid neoplasms. At the highest tested doses, the systemic exposures to DRV (based on area under the curve [AUC]) were between 0.4-fold and 0.7-fold higher in mice and 0.7-fold and onefold higher in rats than the exposures observed in humans who received the recommended therapeutic doses of darunavir/ritonavir (DRV/r) 600 mg/100 mg twice daily or DRV/r 800 mg/100 mg once daily.¹

Reproduction/Fertility

No effects on fertility or early embryonic development were seen in rats that received DRV.¹

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

No embryotoxicity or teratogenicity was seen in rats that experienced DRV exposures (based on AUC) that were threefold higher than those seen in humans who received recommended DRV/r doses; likewise, no embryotoxicity or teratogenicity was seen in mice and rabbits that experienced DRV exposures that were less than onefold those seen in humans who received the recommended DRV/r doses. Administering DRV alone or with ritonavir to female rats during lactation resulted in a reduction in pup weight gain during a rat prenatal and postnatal development study. DRV/r **is not recommended** for pediatric patients aged <3 years because of the toxicity and mortality observed in juvenile rats dosed with DRV up to 23 to 26 days of age.¹

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

No animal studies of placental passage of DRV have been reported. Passage of DRV into breast milk has been noted in rats.¹

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

Several studies of the pharmacokinetics (PKs) of DRV/r during pregnancy have been completed.²⁻⁵ DRV plasma AUC during the third trimester, compared with postpartum, was reduced by 17% to 26% with DRV/r 600 mg/100 mg twice-daily dosing and by 33% to 39% with DRV/r 800 mg/100 mg once-daily dosing.²⁻⁶ DRV trough concentration during the third trimester, compared with postpartum, was reduced by 8% to 12% with DRV/r 600 mg/100 mg twice-daily dosing and by 42% to 58% with DRV/r 800 mg/100 mg once-daily dosing.³⁻⁵

Three studies measured DRV protein binding during pregnancy. One study found no change in DRV

protein binding during the third trimester. The other two studies reported decreased unbound DRV concentrations during pregnancy that were not considered clinically significant.^{2,4,5} Because of the low DRV trough levels that occur with once-daily dosing, twice-daily dosing of DRV is recommended during pregnancy, especially for antiretroviral-experienced patients.^{3,7} The U.S. Food and Drug Administration recommends the use of once-daily DRV/r 800 mg/100 mg dosing only for pregnant people who are virally suppressed on a stable, once-daily DRV/r regimen before pregnancy and whose adherence or ability to tolerate a regimen may be compromised by a switch to twice-daily DRV/r.¹ After reviewing the available evidence, the Panel on Treatment of HIV During Pregnancy and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission does not recommend once-daily dosing of DRV/r in pregnancy. Because use of 800-mg DRV doses administered twice daily did not increase DRV exposure in pregnant women, the Panel recommends use of twice-daily 600 mg DRV dosing during pregnancy.⁶

Data are available from two studies describing the PK and safety of cobicistat (COBI) boosting of DRV during pregnancy. In both studies, darunavir/cobicistat (DRV/c) 800 mg/150 mg was administered during pregnancy.^{8,9} In a study of seven pregnant women with HIV who were treated with DRV/c, no drug-related adverse events were observed. When PK parameters during the second and third trimesters were compared to postpartum PK parameters, total DRV AUC was reduced by 56% and 50%, and trough concentration was reduced by 92% and 89%, respectively. Unbound DRV concentrations decreased during the second and third trimesters of pregnancy compared to postpartum, with AUC 45% and 40% lower and trough concentration 92% and 88% lower, respectively. COBI exposures were lower during pregnancy, with reductions during the second and third trimesters of 63% and 49% for AUC and 83% and 83% for trough concentration, compared to postpartum. Six of seven participants remained virally suppressed during pregnancy. One woman who was not virally suppressed was found to be nonadherent to treatment, based on pill count. No infants born to study mothers contracted HIV.⁹ On the basis of these data, the package insert for the fixed-dose combination (FDC) of DRV/c was edited to include a statement saying that this product **is not recommended** for use in pregnant women because of substantially lower exposures of DRV and COBI during pregnancy.¹⁰ These findings are consistent with the larger PK study which included data from 29 pregnant women who received DRV/c as part of clinical care and showed that when PK parameters during the second and third trimesters were compared with postpartum PK parameters in these women, total DRV AUC was reduced by 33% and 48%, respectively, and DRV trough concentrations were reduced by 71% and 75%, respectively.⁸

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

In an *ex vivo* human cotyledon perfusion model, the mean fetal transfer rate of DRV was 15%.¹¹ In five studies that reported data from between 6 and 14 subjects each, the median ratio of DRV concentration in cord blood-to-DRV concentration in maternal delivery plasma ranged from 13% to 24%.^{2-4,9,12} No data are available that describe the breast milk passage of DRV in humans.

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

The Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry has monitored sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to DRV to allow detection of at least a twofold increase in the risk of overall birth defects. No such increase in the risk of birth defects has been observed with DRV. Among cases of first-trimester DRV exposure that have been reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, the prevalence of birth defects was 3.7% (24 of 643 live births; 95% confidence interval, 2.4% to 5.5%) compared with a 2.7% total prevalence in the U.S. population, based on the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance.¹³

Excerpt from Table 110

Note: When using fixed-dose combination (FDC) tablets, refer to other sections in [Appendix B](#) and [Table 11](#) in the Perinatal Guidelines for information about the dosing and safety of individual drug components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations ^a	Use in Pregnancy
<p>Darunavir (DRV) <i>Prezista</i></p> <p>Note: Must be combined with low-dose RTV or COBI boosting.</p> <p>(DRV/c) <i>Prezcobix</i></p> <p>(DRV/c/FTC/TAF) <i>Symtuza</i></p>	<p>DRV (Prezista) <i>Tablet</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 75 mg • 150 mg • 600 mg • 800 mg <p><i>Oral Suspension</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 100 mg/mL <p>DRV/c (Prezcobix)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DRV/c 800 mg/150 mg tablet <p>DRV/c/FTC/TAF (Symtuza)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DRV 800 mg/COBI 150 mg/FTC 200 mg/TAF 10 mg tablet 	<p>Standard Adult Doses</p> <p><i>ARV-Naive Patients</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DRV/r 800 mg/100 mg once daily with food • DRV/c 800 mg/150 mg once daily with food <p><i>ARV-Experienced Patients If Patient Has No DRV Resistance Mutations</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DRV/r 800 mg/100 mg once daily with food • DRV/c 800 mg/150 mg once daily with food <p><i>ARV-Experienced Patients If Any DRV Resistance Mutations Are Present</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DRV/r 600 mg/100 mg twice daily with food <p><i>DRV/c (Prezcobix)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet once daily with food <p><i>DRV/c/FTC/TAF (Symtuza)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet once daily with food <p>Pregnancy</p> <p><i>PKs in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Decreased exposure in pregnancy with use of DRV/r. <p><i>Dosing in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Panel does not recommend once-daily dosing with DRV/r during pregnancy or the use of DRV/c during pregnancy. • Twice-daily DRV/r dosing (DRV/r 600 mg/100 mg with food) is recommended for all pregnant women. 	<p>Low placental transfer to fetus.^b</p> <p>No evidence of teratogenicity in mice, rats, or rabbits. No evidence of human teratogenicity.</p> <p>Must be boosted with low-dose RTV.</p> <p>The Panel does not recommend once-daily dosing with DRV/r during pregnancy or the use of DRV/c during pregnancy. If a DRV/c regimen is continued during pregnancy, viral load should be monitored frequently.</p>

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations ^a	Use in Pregnancy
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increased, twice-daily DRV dose (DRV/r 800 mg/100 mg with food) during pregnancy does not result in an increase in DRV exposure and is not recommended. <p>For guidance about use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., COBI, FTC, TAF).</p>	

^a Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the [Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 11](#)).

^b Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood-to-maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

High: >0.6
Moderate: 0.3–0.6
Low: <0.3

Key: ARV = antiretroviral; COBI = cobicistat; DRV = darunavir; DRV/c = darunavir/cobicistat; DRV/r = darunavir/ritonavir; FTC = emtricitabine; PK = pharmacokinetic; RTV = ritonavir; TAF = tenofovir alafenamide

References

1. Darunavir (Prezista) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2020. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2020/021976s059,202895s0291bl.pdf.
2. Zorrilla CD, Wright R, Osiyemi OO, et al. Total and unbound darunavir pharmacokinetics in pregnant women infected with HIV-1: results of a study of darunavir/ritonavir 600/100 mg administered twice daily. *HIV Med.* 2014;15(1):50-56. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23731450>.
3. Stek A, Best BM, Wang J, et al. Pharmacokinetics of once versus twice daily darunavir In pregnant HIV-infected women. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr.* 2015;70(1):33-41. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25950206>.
4. Colbers A, Molto J, Ivanovic J, et al. Pharmacokinetics of total and unbound darunavir in HIV-1-infected pregnant women. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* 2015;70(2):534-542. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25326090>.
5. Crauwels HM, Kakuda TN, Ryan B, et al. Pharmacokinetics of once-daily darunavir/ritonavir in HIV-1-infected pregnant women. *HIV Med.* 2016;17(9):643-652. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27187894>.
6. Eke AC, Stek AM, Wang J, et al. Darunavir Pharmacokinetics With an Increased Dose During Pregnancy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr.* 2020;83(4):373-380. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31923087>.
7. Schalkwijk S, Ter Heine R, Colbers A, et al. Evaluating darunavir/ritonavir dosing regimens for HIV-positive pregnant women using semi-mechanistic pharmacokinetic modelling. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* 2019;74(5):1348-1356. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30715324>.
8. Momper J, Best B, Wang J, et al. Pharmacokinetics of darunavir boosted with cobicistat during pregnancy and postpartum. Presented at: International AIDS Conference; 2018. Amsterdam, Netherlands. Available at: https://www.impaactnetwork.org/sites/default/files/2020-12/P1026s-DRV_Momper_AIDS2018_poster.pdf.
9. Crauwels HM, Osiyemi O, Zorrilla C, Bicer C, Brown K. Reduced exposure to darunavir and cobicistat in HIV-1-infected pregnant women receiving a darunavir/cobicistat-based regimen. *HIV Med.* 2019;20(5):337-343. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30873741>.
10. Darunavir/cobicistat (Prezcobix) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2020. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2020/205395s0161bl.pdf.
11. Mandelbrot L, Duro D, Belissa E, Peytavin G. Placental transfer of darunavir in an ex vivo human cotyledon perfusion model. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 2014;58(9):5617-5620. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24982090>.

12. Courbon E, Matheron S, et al. Efficacy, and pharmacokinetic of darunavir/ritonavir-containing regimen in pregnant HIV+ women. Presented at: 19th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections; 2012. Seattle, WA.
13. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral pregnancy registry international interim report for 1 January 1989–31 January 2021. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center; 2021. Available at: <http://www.apregistry.com>.

Lopinavir/Ritonavir (Kaletra, LPV/r)

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

Neither lopinavir (LPV) nor ritonavir (RTV) was found to be mutagenic or clastogenic in a battery of *in vitro* and *in vivo* assays. The lopinavir/ritonavir (LPV/r) combination was administered to mice and rats for ≤ 104 weeks. Results showed an increased incidence of benign hepatocellular adenomas and an increased combined incidence of hepatocellular adenomas plus carcinoma in male and female mice and in male rats at doses of approximately 1.6 times to 2.2 times (in mice) and 0.5 times (in rats) the exposure seen in humans who received the recommended therapeutic dose of LPV/r 400 mg/100 mg (exposure was based on area under the concentration-time curve over 24 hours curve [AUC]_{0-24hr} measurement). No other benign or malignant neoplasms were significantly increased in mice or rats given LPV/r.¹

Reproduction/Fertility

No effects on fertility were observed in male and female rats that received LPV and RTV at a 2:1 ratio. These rats experienced exposures that were approximately 0.7-fold (for LPV) and 1.8-fold (for RTV) the exposures seen in humans who received the recommended therapeutic dose.¹

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

No teratogenicity has been reported in studies where LPV/r was administered to pregnant rats and rabbits. In rats treated with a maternally toxic dosage (LPV/r 100 mg/kg and 50 mg/kg per day), embryonic and fetal developmental toxicities (i.e., early resorption, decreased fetal viability, decreased fetal body weight, increased incidence of skeletal variations, and skeletal ossification delays) were observed. Drug exposure in the pregnant rats was 0.7-fold (for LPV) and 1.8-fold (for RTV) the exposures observed in humans who received the recommended therapeutic dose. In a perinatal and postnatal study in rats, a decrease in survival of pups between birth and postnatal day 21 occurred with exposure to LPV/r 40 mg/kg and 20 mg/kg per day or greater. In rabbits, no embryonic or fetal developmental toxicities were observed with a maternally toxic dose, when drug exposure was 0.6-fold (for LPV) and one-fold (for RTV) the exposures seen in humans who received the recommended therapeutic dose.¹ In a study of pregnant rats receiving chronic administration of zidovudine (ZDV), LPV, and RTV, maternal body weight gain was significantly reduced compared to weight gain in rats that received no antiretroviral (ARV) drugs, but no adverse effects were observed in fetuses.² In pregnant mice, the use of RTV, LPV, and atazanavir was associated with significantly lower progesterone levels than those seen in mice who received no ARV drugs, and the lower progesterone levels directly correlated with lower fetal weight.³

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

No information is available on placental transfer of LPV in animals.¹

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

The original capsule formulation of LPV/r has been replaced by a heat-stable tablet formulation that has improved bioavailability characteristics and does not have to be administered with food.^{4,5} Pharmacokinetic (PK) studies of standard adult LPV/r doses (400 mg/100 mg twice a day) that used either the capsule or tablet formulations in pregnant women have demonstrated a reduction in LPV plasma concentrations during pregnancy of around 30% compared with those seen in nonpregnant adults.⁶⁻⁸ A further 33% reduction in LPV exposure was demonstrated in food-insecure, malnourished pregnant women in Uganda compared to well-nourished, historical pregnant controls. The authors attributed this reduction to decreased bioavailability of LPV.⁹ Increasing the dose of LPV/r during pregnancy to 600 mg/150 mg using the tablet formulation results in LPV plasma concentrations that are equivalent to those seen in nonpregnant adults who received standard doses.^{10,11}

Clinical experience suggests that most, but not all, pregnant women who receive standard LPV/r tablet dosing during pregnancy will have trough LPV concentrations that exceed 1.0 mcg/mL, the usual target for trough concentration in therapeutic drug monitoring programs for ARV-naive subjects. However, higher trough concentrations are recommended for protease inhibitor (PI)-experienced subjects, and some PI-experienced women who take the standard LPV/r dose during pregnancy will not achieve these concentrations.^{4,7} A population PK study of LPV/r in 154 pregnant women demonstrated that body weight influences LPV clearance and volume of distribution; larger women (>100 kg) or women who missed a dose were at higher risk for subtherapeutic trough concentrations when taking the standard dose during pregnancy.¹² Another population PK study in 84 pregnant women and 595 nonpregnant adults found no significant difference between the LPV concentrations observed in pregnant women who were taking the more bioavailable tablet formulation and those seen in nonpregnant adults taking the original capsule formulation.¹³ In one study of 29 women, LPV plasma protein binding was reduced during pregnancy, but the resulting increase in free (unbound) drug was insufficient to make up for the reduction in total plasma LPV concentration associated with pregnancy.¹⁴ In a study of 12 women, total LPV exposure was significantly decreased throughout pregnancy, but the AUC and concentration at 12 hours post dose (C_{12h}) for unbound LPV did not differ throughout pregnancy, even with an increased dose of LPV/r 500 mg/125 mg. Modeling of these data showed that standard dosing should be effective during pregnancy in people with susceptible virus.^{15,16} A population PK study found a 39% increase in total LPV clearance during pregnancy, but measured unbound LPV concentrations in pregnancy were within the range of those simulated in nonpregnant adults.¹⁷ Bonafe et al. randomized 32 pregnant women to receive the standard dose and 31 pregnant women to receive the 600 mg/150 mg dose of LPV/r at gestational ages between 14 and 33 weeks. No differences in adverse events were seen between groups. In women with baseline viral loads >50 copies/mL, 45% of women in the standard dose group had plasma viral loads >50 copies/mL during the last 4 weeks of pregnancy, compared to 10.5% of women in the increased dose group ($P = 0.01$). In women with baseline viral loads <50 copies/mL, no difference was seen between groups in viral load measurements during the last 4 weeks of pregnancy.¹⁸

These studies have led some experts to support the use of an increased dose of LPV/r during the second and third trimesters of pregnancy, especially in **patients** who are PI-experienced and women who start treatment during pregnancy with a baseline viral load >50 copies/mL. If possible, when standard doses of LPV/r are used during pregnancy, virologic response and LPV drug concentrations

should be monitored. Instead of using three adult tablets (LPV/r 200 mg/50 mg each) to increase the dose of LPV/r to 600 mg/150 mg during pregnancy, clinicians may consider using two adult tablets and one pediatric LPV/r tablet (100 mg/25 mg) to provide a dose of LPV/r 500 mg/125 mg.¹⁵ Once-daily dosing of LPV/r **is not recommended** in pregnancy because no data exist to address whether once-daily dosing produces adequate drug levels.

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

LPV crosses the human placenta; in the P1026s PK study (a Phase IV PK study of selected ARV drugs used in pregnant women with HIV), the average ratio of LPV concentration in cord blood to LPV concentration in maternal plasma at delivery was 0.20 ± 0.13 . In contrast, in a study of 51 mother–infant pairs in Uganda in which the mother received LPV/r during pregnancy and breastfeeding, infant LPV plasma levels at delivery and LPV hair levels at age 12 weeks suggested significant *in utero* transfer: 41% of infants had detectable plasma LPV concentrations at birth, and mean infant-to-maternal-hair concentrations at 12 weeks postpartum were 0.87 for LPV.¹⁹ However, transfer during breastfeeding was not observed, and no infant had detectable plasma LPV levels at 12 weeks. LPV concentrations in human breast milk are very low to undetectable, and LPV concentrations in breastfeeding infants whose mothers received LPV are not clinically significant.^{19–24}

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

The French Perinatal Cohort found no association between birth defects and LPV or RTV use with 85% power to detect a 1.5-fold increase.²⁵ The Pediatric HIV/AIDS Cohort Study found no association between LPV and congenital anomalies.²⁶ Surveillance data from the United Kingdom and Ireland during a 10-year period showed that among the infants born after 4,609 LPV-exposed pregnancies, 134 infants had an identified birth defect, resulting in an overall congenital abnormality rate of 2.9%. This rate is comparable to rates of congenital abnormalities observed in populations without HIV.²⁷ The Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry has monitored sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to LPV/r to detect at least a 1.5-fold increase in risk of overall birth defects and at least a twofold increase in risk of birth defects in the cardiovascular and genitourinary systems (the more common classes of birth defects in the general population). No such increase in the risk of birth defects has been observed with LPV/r. Among cases of first-trimester exposure to LPV/r reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, the prevalence of birth defects was 2.1% (30 infants out of 1,439 live births; 95% confidence interval, 1.4% to 3.0%) compared with a 2.7% total prevalence in the U.S. population based on the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance.²⁸

In the Promoting Maternal and Infant Survival Everywhere (PROMISE) study, administering LPV/r with ZDV plus lamivudine (3TC) or with tenofovir disoproxil fumarate plus 3TC resulted in transmission rates that were lower than those seen with ZDV alone; however, the use of these LPV/r-containing regimens increased the incidence of low birth weight (<2,500 g).²⁹ Compared to ZDV alone, ZDV plus 3TC plus LPV/r was associated with increased rates of preterm delivery (<37 weeks). The Surveillance Monitoring for ART Toxicities (SMARTT) cohort of the Pediatric HIV/AIDS Cohort Study (PHACS) also found an increased rate of preterm birth among women who received PI-based ARV therapy, although not with specific individual drugs.³⁰ Similarly, a study in China found that women who received PI-based regimens had higher rates of preterm birth than those who received non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NNRTI)-based regimens.³¹ In the United Kingdom/Ireland National Study of HIV in Pregnancy and Childhood, 2,368 out of 6,073 women had taken LPV/r during their pregnancies; after adjusting for other factors, the use of

LPV/r carried a greater risk of preterm delivery than the use of NNRTI-based regimens.³² For a more detailed discussion of ARV drug regimens and adverse pregnancy outcomes, please refer to [Antiretroviral Drug Regimens and Maternal and Neonatal Outcomes](#).

Other Safety Information

LPV/r oral solution contains 42.4% (volume/volume) alcohol and 15.3% (weight/volume) propylene glycol and **is not recommended** for use during pregnancy. Reduced hepatic metabolic function and kidney excretory function in newborns can lead to accumulation of LPV and of alcohol and propylene glycol, resulting in adverse events (e.g., serious cardiac, renal, metabolic, or respiratory problems). For more information about LPV/r use in newborns, refer to the [Lopinavir/Ritonavir](#) section in the [Pediatric Antiretroviral Guidelines](#).^{33,34}

Excerpt from Table 11

Note: When using fixed-dose combination (FDC) tablets, refer to other sections in [Appendix B](#) and [Table 11](#) in the Perinatal Guidelines for information about the dosing and safety of individual drug components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations ^a	Use in Pregnancy
<p>Lopinavir/Ritonavir (LPV/r) <i>Kaletra</i></p>	<p>LPV/r (Kaletra) <i>Tablets</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • LPV/r 200 mg/50 mg • LPV/r 100 mg/25 mg <p><i>Oral Solution</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Each 5 mL contains LPV/r 400 mg/100 mg^d 	<p>Standard Adult Doses</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • LPV/r 400 mg/100 mg twice daily, <i>or</i> • LPV/r 800 mg/200 mg once daily <p><i>Tablets</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Take without regard to food. <p><i>Oral Solution</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Take with a meal. <p><i>With EFV or NVP in PI-Naive or PI-Experienced Patients</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • LPV/r 500 mg/125-mg tablets twice daily without regard to meals (use a combination of two LPV/r 200 mg/50 mg tablets and one LPV/r 100 mg/25-mg tablet), <i>or</i> • LPV/r 520 mg/130 mg oral solution (6.5 mL) twice daily with food <p>Pregnancy <i>PKs in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • With twice-daily dosing, LPV exposure is reduced in pregnant women who receive standard adult doses; increasing the dose by 50% results in exposure equivalent to that seen in nonpregnant adults receiving standard doses. • No PK data are available for once-daily dosing in pregnancy. 	<p>Low placental transfer to fetus.^b</p> <p>No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects).</p> <p>Oral solution contains 42% alcohol and 15% propylene glycol and is not recommended for use in pregnancy.</p> <p>Once-daily LPV/r dosing is not recommended during pregnancy.</p>

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations ^a	Use in Pregnancy
		<p><i>Dosing in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Once-daily dosing is not recommended during pregnancy. • Some experts recommend that an increased dose (i.e., LPV/r 600 mg/150 mg twice daily without regard to meals or LPV/r 500 mg/125 mg twice daily without regard to meals) should be used in the second and third trimesters, especially in PI-experienced pregnant women and women who start treatment during pregnancy with a baseline viral load >50 copies/mL. • When standard dosing is used, monitor virologic response and, if possible, LPV drug levels. 	

^a Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the [Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 11](#)).

^b Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

High: >0.6
Moderate: 0.3–0.6
Low: <0.3

^d Generic formulation available

Key: EFV = efavirenz; FDC = fixed-dose combination; LPV = lopinavir; LPV/r = lopinavir/ritonavir; NVP = nevirapine; PI = protease inhibitor; PK = pharmacokinetic; RTV = ritonavir

References

1. Kaletra (Lopinavir and Ritonavir) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2020. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2020/021251s059,021906s0541bl.pdf.
2. Carvalho LP, Simoes RS, Araujo JE, Oliveira Filho RM, Kulay Junior L, Nakamura MU. Highly active antiretroviral therapy during gestation: effects on a rat model of pregnancy. *Ceska Gynecol*. 2014;79(2):128-133. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24874827>.
3. Papp E, Mohammadi H, Loutfy MR, et al. HIV protease inhibitor use during pregnancy is associated with decreased progesterone levels, suggesting a potential mechanism contributing to fetal growth restriction. *J Infect Dis*. 2015;211(1):10-18. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25030058>.
4. Khuong-Josses MA, Azerad D, Boussairi A, Ekoukou D. Comparison of lopinavir level between the two formulations (soft-gel capsule and tablet) in HIV-infected pregnant women. *HIV Clin Trials*. 2007;8(4):254-255. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17720666>.
5. Else LJ, Douglas M, Dickinson L, Back DJ, Khoo SH, Taylor GP. Improved oral bioavailability of lopinavir in melt-extruded tablet formulation reduces impact of third trimester on lopinavir plasma concentrations. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2012;56(2):816-824. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22106215>.
6. Stek AM, Mirochnick M, Capparelli E, et al. Reduced lopinavir exposure during pregnancy. *AIDS*. 2006;20(15):1931-1939. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16988514>.
7. Bouillon-Pichault M, Jullien V, Azria E, et al. Population analysis of the pregnancy-related modifications in lopinavir pharmacokinetics and their possible consequences for dose adjustment. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2009;63(6):1223-1232. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19389715>.
8. Ramautarsing RA, van der Lugt J, Gorowara M, et al. Thai HIV-1-infected women do not require a dose increase of lopinavir/ritonavir during the third trimester of pregnancy. *AIDS*. 2011;25(10):1299-1303. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21516029>.
9. Bartelink IH, Savic RM, Mwesigwa J, et al. Pharmacokinetics of lopinavir/ritonavir and efavirenz in food insecure HIV-infected pregnant and breastfeeding women in Tororo, Uganda. *J Clin Pharmacol*. 2014;54(2):121-132. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24038035>.
10. Mirochnick M, Best BM, Stek AM, et al. Lopinavir exposure with an increased dose during pregnancy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2008;49(5):485-491. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18989231>.

11. Best BM, Stek AM, Mirochnick M, et al. Lopinavir tablet pharmacokinetics with an increased dose during pregnancy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2010;54(4):381-388. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20632458>.
12. Cressey TR, Urien S, Capparelli EV, et al. Impact of body weight and missed doses on lopinavir concentrations with standard and increased lopinavir/ritonavir doses during late pregnancy. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2015;70(1):217-224. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25261418>.
13. Salem AH, Jones AK, Santini-Oliveira M, et al. No need for lopinavir dose adjustment during pregnancy: a population pharmacokinetic and exposure-response analysis in pregnant and nonpregnant HIV-infected subjects. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2016;60(1):400-408. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26525798>.
14. Aweeka FT, Stek A, Best BM, et al. Lopinavir protein binding in HIV-1-infected pregnant women. *HIV Med*. 2010;11(4):232-238. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20002783>.
15. Patterson KB, Dumond JB, Prince HA, et al. Protein binding of lopinavir and ritonavir during 4 phases of pregnancy: implications for treatment guidelines. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2013;63(1):51-58. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23221983>.
16. Chen J, Malone S, Prince HM, Patterson KB, Dumond JB. Model-based analysis of unbound lopinavir pharmacokinetics in HIV-infected pregnant women supports standard dosing in the third trimester. *CPT Pharmacometrics Syst Pharmacol*. 2016;5(3):147-157. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27069778>.
17. Fauchet F, Treluyer JM, Illamola SM, et al. Population approach to analyze the pharmacokinetics of free and total lopinavir in HIV-infected pregnant women and consequences for dose adjustment. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2015;59(9):5727-5735. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26149996>.
18. Bonafe SM, Costa DA, Vaz MJ, et al. A randomized controlled trial to assess safety, tolerability, and antepartum viral load with increased lopinavir/ritonavir dosage in pregnancy. *AIDS Patient Care STDS*. 2013;27(11):589-595. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24138537>.
19. Gandhi M, Mwesigwa J, Aweeka F, et al. Hair and plasma data show that lopinavir, ritonavir, and efavirenz all transfer from mother to infant *in utero*, but only efavirenz transfers via breastfeeding. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2013;63(5):578-584. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24135775>.
20. Rezk NL, White N, Bridges AS, et al. Studies on antiretroviral drug concentrations in breast milk: validation of a liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometric method for the determination of 7 anti-human immunodeficiency virus medications. *Ther Drug Monit*. 2008;30(5):611-619. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18758393>.
21. Shapiro RL, Rossi S, Ogwu A, et al. Therapeutic levels of lopinavir in late pregnancy and abacavir passage into breast milk in the Mma Bana Study, Botswana. *Antivir Ther*. 2013;18(4):585-590. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23183881>.

22. Palombi L, Pirillo MF, Andreotti M, et al. Antiretroviral prophylaxis for breastfeeding transmission in Malawi: drug concentrations, virological efficacy and safety. *Antivir Ther.* 2012;17(8):1511-1519. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22910456>.
23. Corbett AH, Kayira D, White NR, et al. Antiretroviral pharmacokinetics in mothers and breastfeeding infants from 6 to 24 weeks post-partum: results of the BAN Study. *Antivir Ther.* 2014;19(6):587-595. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24464632>.
24. Oumar AA, Bagayoko-Maiga K, Bahachimi A, et al. Efavirenz and Lopinavir Levels in HIV-Infected Women and Their Nursing Infants, in Mali. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther.* 2018;366(3):479-484. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29986950>.
25. Sibiude J, Mandelbrot L, Blanche S, et al. Association between prenatal exposure to antiretroviral therapy and birth defects: an analysis of the French Perinatal Cohort Study (ANRS CO1/CO11). *PLoS Med.* 2014;11(4):e1001635. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4004531/>.
26. Williams PL, Crain M, Yildirim C, et al. Congenital anomalies and in utero antiretroviral exposure in human immunodeficiency virus-exposed uninfected infants. *JAMA Pediatr.* 2015;169(1):45-55. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25383770>.
27. Tookey PA, Thorne C, van Wyk J, Norton M. Maternal and foetal outcomes among 4118 women with HIV infection treated with lopinavir/ritonavir during pregnancy: analysis of population-based surveillance data from the national study of HIV in pregnancy and childhood in the United Kingdom and Ireland. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2016;16:65. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26847625>.
28. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral pregnancy registry international interim report for 1 January 1989–31 January 2021. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center; 2021. Available at: <http://www.apregistry.com/>.
29. Fowler MG, Qin M, Fiscus SA, et al. Benefits and risks of antiretroviral therapy for perinatal HIV prevention. *N Engl J Med.* 2016;375(18):1726-1737. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27806243>.
30. Van Dyke RB, Chadwick EG, Hazra R, Williams PL, Seage GR, 3rd. The PHACS SMARTT study: assessment of the safety of *in utero* exposure to antiretroviral drugs. *Front Immunol.* 2016;7:199. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27242802>.
31. Wang L, Zhao H, Tao J, et al. Risk factors associated with preterm and low birth weight among infants born to HIV-infected mothers in five tertiary hospitals in China, 2009-2014. Presented at: AIDS Conference. 2016. Durban, South Africa.
32. Favarato G, Townsend CL, Bailey H, et al. Protease inhibitors and preterm delivery: another piece in the puzzle. *AIDS.* 2018;32(2):243-252. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29135577>.
33. Boxwell D, Cao K, Lewis L, Marcus K, Nikhar B. Neonatal toxicity of Kaletra oral solution: LPV, ethanol or propylene glycol? Presented at: 18th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2011. Boston, MA.

34. Simon A, Warszawski J, Kariyawasam D, et al. Association of prenatal and postnatal exposure to lopinavir-ritonavir and adrenal dysfunction among uninfected infants of HIV-infected mothers. *JAMA*. 2011;306(1):70-78. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21730243>.

Fostemsavir (Rukobia, FTR)

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Fostemsavir (FTR) is a prodrug of the active drug temsavir, a gp120-directed attachment inhibitor.

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

Temsavir was not genotoxic or mutagenic *in vitro*.¹

Reproduction/Fertility

FTR did not adversely affect the fertility of male or female rats at temsavir exposures approximately 10 times (males) and 186 times (females) higher than those achieved in humans at the recommended dose.¹

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

No adverse embryo-fetal effects were observed in rats and rabbits at temsavir exposures of approximately 180 times (rats) and 30 times (rabbits) the exposure in humans at the recommended dose. Maternal toxicity and increased embryonic death were observed in rabbits at temsavir exposures approximately 60 times those in humans. In a rat study conducted at drug exposures approximately 200 times those in humans, fetal abnormalities (cleft palate, open eyes, shortened snout, microstomia, misaligned mouth/jaw, and protruding tongue) and reductions in fetal body weights occurred in the presence of maternal toxicity.¹

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

When FTR was administered to pregnant rats, FTR-related drug materials (e.g., temsavir or metabolites) crossed the placenta and were detectable in fetal tissue. Temsavir is excreted in rat milk and was present at concentrations similar to those measured in maternal plasma on Day 11 postpartum.¹

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

No pharmacokinetic studies of FTR have been reported in pregnant women.

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

No data are available on placental or breast milk passage of FTR in humans.

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

No data are available to inform the risk for birth defects following exposure to FTR.

Excerpt from Table 11

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations ^a	Use in Pregnancy
Fostemsavir (FTR) <i>Rukobia</i>	Extended-Release Tablet: 600 mg	<p>Standard Adult Doses (FTR) <i>Rukobia</i>:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> FTR 600 mg twice daily with or without food <p>Pregnancy <i>PK in Pregnancy</i>:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No PK studies in human pregnancy <p><i>Dosing in Pregnancy</i>:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Insufficient data to make dosing recommendation 	<p>No human data are available regarding placental passage. A study in rats demonstrates placental passage of temsavir or other metabolites.</p> <p>Insufficient data to assess for teratogenicity in humans. No evidence of teratogenicity in rats or rabbits.</p>

^a Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the [Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 11](#)).

Key: ARV = antiretroviral; FTR = fostemsavir; PK = pharmacokinetic

References

1. Fostemsavir (Rukobia) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2020. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2020/212950s000lbl.pdf.

Ibalizumab-uiyk (Trogarzo, IBA)

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

Carcinogenicity and mutagenicity studies of ibalizumab-uiyk (IBA) have not been conducted.¹

Reproduction/Fertility

Reproductive toxicology studies of IBA have not been conducted.¹

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

Results from an enhanced pre- and post-natal development (ePPND) study conducted in cynomolgus monkeys suggest IBA may cause reversible immunosuppression in infants born to mothers exposed to this drug during pregnancy. Decreases in CD4 T lymphocyte (CD4) T cells and B cells and increases in CD8 T cells were observed within the first 4 weeks after birth in cynomolgus monkeys with *in utero* exposure; lymphocyte counts returned to near normal levels by 3 months of age in these infant monkeys. No data are available for human infants with *in utero* exposure. However, based on these animal data, immune phenotyping of the peripheral blood, including CD4 lymphocyte T cell and B cell counts, is recommended for infants with *in utero* exposure to IBA. If immune suppression is observed, expert consultation also is recommended to provide guidance on monitoring and management (e.g., need for antibiotic or immunoprophylaxis) of exposed infants based on the degree of immunosuppression observed. The safety of administering live or live-attenuated vaccines in exposed infants who have significant immune suppression is unknown. Of note, no malformations or premature births were observed in the ePPND study.¹

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

No data are available on placental or breast milk passage of IBA in animals.

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

No pharmacokinetic studies of IBA in pregnant women have been reported.

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

No data are available on placental or breast milk passage of IBA in humans. However, because monoclonal antibodies are transported across the placenta during pregnancy, IBA has the potential to be transmitted from the mother to the developing fetus. Human immunoglobulin G also is present in human milk, although published data indicate that antibodies in breast milk do not enter the neonatal or infant circulation system in substantial amounts.¹

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

No data are available on the risk of birth defects in infants born to women who received IBA during pregnancy.

The Food and Drug Administration requires collection of prospective data in individuals exposed to IBA during pregnancy to monitor maternal and pregnancy outcomes, including adverse effects on the developing fetus, neonate, and infant.

Excerpt from Table 11

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations ^a	Use in Pregnancy
Ibalizumab-uiyk (IBA) <i>Trogarzo</i>	IV Solution: 150 mg/mL	Standard Adult Doses <ul style="list-style-type: none"> IBA 2,000 mg loading dose, followed by IBA 800 mg maintenance doses administered every 2 weeks Pregnancy <i>PKs in Pregnancy:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No PK studies in human pregnancy. <i>Dosing in Pregnancy:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Insufficient data to make dosing recommendations. 	No human data are available, but placental transfer of IBA, a monoclonal antibody, is possible and documented in monkeys. Based on data in cynomolgus monkeys with <i>in utero</i> exposure, potential exists for reversible immunosuppression (CD4 T cell and B cell lymphocytopenia) in infants born to mothers exposed to IBA during pregnancy. The FDA requires collection of prospective data in individuals exposed to IBA during pregnancy to monitor maternal and pregnancy outcomes, including adverse effects on the developing fetus, neonate, and infant. Insufficient data to assess for teratogenicity in humans.

^a Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the [Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 11](#)).

Key: ARV = antiretroviral; FDA = Food and Drug Administration; IBA = ibalizumab-uiyk; IV = intravenous; PK = pharmacokinetic

References

1. Trogarzo (ibalizumab-uiyk) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2020. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2020/761065s008lbl.pdf.

Maraviroc (Selzentry, MVC)

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

Maraviroc (MVC) was neither mutagenic nor clastogenic in a series of *in vitro* and animal *in vivo* screening tests. Long-term carcinogenicity studies of MVC in rats showed no drug-related increases in tumor incidence at exposures that were approximately 11 times those observed in humans who received the therapeutic dose.

Reproduction/Fertility

No adverse effects were observed on the fertility of male or female rats at doses of MVC that produced exposures (based on area under the curve [AUC]) up to 20-fold higher than those seen in humans given the recommended 300-mg, twice-daily dose.

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

In animal reproduction studies, no evidence of adverse developmental outcomes was observed in animals that received MVC. During organogenesis in the rat and rabbit, systemic exposures to MVC (based on AUC) were approximately 20 times (in rats) and five times (in rabbits) the exposure seen in humans given the recommended 300-mg, twice-daily dose. In a rat prenatal and postnatal development study, maternal MVC AUC was about 14 times the exposure observed in humans given the recommended 300-mg, twice-daily dose.¹

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

A study in rhesus macaques showed that single-dose MVC had poor placental transfer and rapid clearance from infant monkeys' blood.² Studies in lactating rats indicate that MVC is secreted extensively into rat milk.¹

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

A U.S.–European intensive pharmacokinetic (PK) study measured 12-hour PK profiles in the third trimester and at least 2 weeks postpartum of 18 women who were taking MVC as part of clinical care.³ Sixty-seven percent of the women in the study were taking MVC 150 mg twice daily with a protease inhibitor, 11% took MVC 300 mg twice daily, and 22% took an alternative regimen. The geometric mean ratio for third-trimester AUC versus postpartum AUC was 0.72 (90% confidence interval [CI], 0.60–0.88); the geometric mean ratio for maximum MVC concentration in the third trimester versus maximum MVC concentration postpartum was 0.70 (90% CI, 0.58–0.85). Despite an overall 30% decrease in MVC AUC during pregnancy and a 15% decrease in trough concentration (C_{trough}), C_{trough} exceeded the minimum target concentration of 50 ng/mL in all participants except for one woman, who had a C_{trough} below 50 ng/mL during both pregnancy and the postpartum period. These data suggest that the standard adult dose adjusted for concomitant antiretroviral (ARV) drugs

is appropriate in pregnancy. A review of interactions between ARV drugs and oral contraceptives found that it is safe to coadminister oral contraceptives with MVC.⁴

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

In a study of six mother–infant pairs, the median ratio of MVC concentration in cord blood to MVC concentration in maternal plasma was 0.33 (with a range of 0.03–0.56), indicating moderate placental transfer.³ An *ex vivo* human placental cotyledon perfusion model demonstrated minimal placental passage of MVC.⁵ This may be due to the activity of multiple transporters (e.g., multidrug resistance-associated protein 1, organic anion transporting polypeptide 1A2, organic anion transporting polypeptide 1B3) that drive MVC away from fetal circulation into placental tissue, as demonstrated in a closed-circuit perfusion study of MVC across human placental cotyledon.⁶ Whether MVC is secreted into human milk is unknown.

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

In a prospective study, 30 cases of first-trimester exposure to MVC have been reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry to date,⁷ and other first-trimester exposure data are available.⁸ Data are still insufficient, however, to determine the risk of birth defects for infants who were exposed to MVC.

Other Safety Information

A retrospective study from an English–Irish cohort of 857 pregnant women showed an increased rate of hepatotoxicity among the 492 women who started ARV therapy during pregnancy.⁹ MVC, efavirenz, and nevirapine were associated with an increased risk of liver enzyme elevation during pregnancy; the adjusted hazard ratio for MVC was 4.19 (1.34–13.1, $P = 0.01$). In a model that used human placental BeWo cells, MVC inhibited transplacental passage of two fluorescent organic cations, suggesting that it might influence placental drug transfer and cause drug–drug interactions.¹⁰

Excerpt from Table 11

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations ^a	Use in Pregnancy
Maraviroc (MVC) <i>Selzentry</i>	<i>Tablets</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 150 mg • 300 mg 	<p>Standard Adult Doses</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MVC 300 mg twice daily with or without food. • MVC should be used only for patients with CCR5-tropic virus (and no X4-tropic virus). <p><i>Dose Adjustments</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increase to MVC 600 mg twice daily when used with the potent CYP3A inducers EFV, ETR, and rifampin. • Decrease to MVC 150 mg twice daily when used with CYP3A inhibitors, which includes all PIs except TPV/r and itraconazole. <p>Pregnancy</p> <p><i>PKs in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A PK study in human pregnancy demonstrated a 20% to 30% overall decrease in MVC AUC, but C_{trough} exceeded the recommended minimum concentration of 50 ng/mL. <p><i>Dosing in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adjusting the standard adult MVC dose for concomitant use with ARV drugs seems appropriate. 	<p>Moderate placental transfer to fetus.^b</p> <p>No evidence of teratogenicity in rats or rabbits; insufficient data to assess teratogenicity in humans.</p>

^a Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the [Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 11](#)).

^b Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

High: >0.6

Moderate: 0.3–0.6

Low: <0.3

Key: ARV = antiretroviral; AUC = area under the curve; CCR5 = C-C chemokine receptor type 5; C_{trough} = trough concentration; CYP = cytochrome P; EFV = efavirenz; ETR = etravirine; MVC = maraviroc; PI = protease inhibitor; PK = pharmacokinetic; TPV/r = tipranavir/ritonavir

References

1. Maraviroc (Selzentry) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2020. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2020/022128Orig1s019,208984Orig1s002lbl.pdf.
2. Winters MA, Van Rompay KK, Kashuba AD, Shulman NS, Holodniy M. Maternal-fetal pharmacokinetics and dynamics of a single intrapartum dose of maraviroc in rhesus macaques. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2010;54(10):4059-4063. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20696881>.
3. Colbers A, Best B, Schalkwijk S, et al. Maraviroc pharmacokinetics in HIV-1-infected pregnant women. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2015;61(10):1582-1589. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26202768>.
4. Tittle V, Bull L, Boffito M, Nwokolo N. Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic drug interactions between antiretrovirals and oral contraceptives. *Clin Pharmacokinet*. 2015;54(1):23-34. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25331712>.
5. Vinot C, Gavard L, Treluyer JM, et al. Placental transfer of maraviroc in an ex vivo human cotyledon perfusion model and influence of ABC transporter expression. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2013;57(3):1415-1420. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23295922>.
6. Tupova L, Hirschmugl B, Sucha S, et al. Interplay of drug transporters P-glycoprotein (MDR1), MRP1, OATP1A2 and OATP1B3 in passage of maraviroc across human placenta. *Biomed Pharmacother*. 2020;129:110506. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32768979>.
7. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry International Interim Report for 1 January 1989–31 January 2021. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2021. Available at: <http://www.apregistry.com>.
8. Floridia M, Mastroiacovo P, Tamburrini E, et al. Birth defects in a national cohort of pregnant women with HIV infection in Italy, 2001-2011. *BJOG*. 2013;120(12):1466-1475. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23721372>.
9. Huntington S, Thorne C, Anderson J, et al. Does pregnancy increase the risk of ART-induced hepatotoxicity among HIV-positive women? *J Int AIDS Soc*. 2014;17(4 Suppl 3):19486. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25393995>.
10. Nabekura T, Kawasaki T, Kamiya Y, Uwai Y. Effects of antiviral drugs on organic anion transport in human placental BeWo cells. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2015;59(12):7666-7670. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26416870>.

Bictegravir (BIC)

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

Bictegravir (BIC) has not been shown to be genotoxic or mutagenic *in vitro*.¹

Reproduction/Fertility

BIC did not affect fertility, reproductive performance, or embryonic viability in male and female rats at exposures (based on area under the curve [AUC]) that were 29 times higher than those observed in humans who received the recommended dose.¹

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

No adverse embryo-fetal effects were observed in rats and rabbits at BIC exposures (based on AUC) of up to about 36 times (in rats) and 0.6 times (in rabbits) the exposures observed in humans who received the recommended dose. Spontaneous abortion, increased clinical signs (e.g., fecal changes, thin body, cold to touch), and decreased body weight were observed in rabbits at a maternally toxic dose (i.e., 1,000 mg/kg per day, which produced an exposure approximately 1.4 times higher than the exposure observed in humans who received the recommended dose).¹

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

No data are available on placental passage of BIC. In a prenatal and postnatal development study conducted in rats, BIC was detected in the plasma of nursing rat pups on postnatal Day 10, likely due to the presence of BIC in milk.¹

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

Limited information about the pharmacokinetics (PKs) of BIC in pregnancy are presented in a case series describing two pregnant individuals of whom one had PK data during pregnancy and postpartum. This patient's AUC, C_{trough} , and C_{max} were 35%, 49%, and 19% lower, respectively, at 33 weeks gestation compared to 6 weeks postpartum. The patient remained virologically suppressed through delivery. The generalizability of these findings is unknown at this time.²

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Data regarding placental transfer of BIC are limited and provide mixed results. Data from two patients treated with BIC during pregnancy demonstrated high placental transfer; the umbilical cord-to-maternal plasma ratio was 1.49 in one patient 20 hours after BIC dosing and 1.42 in another patient 7 hours after BIC dosing.² However, placental transfer was found to be low in an *ex vivo* dually perfused human cotyledon model with a median (interquartile range 25–75) maternal-to-fetal ratio of 7% (6% to 9.5%).³ Additional data are needed to refine our understanding of placental passage of BIC. No data are available on breast milk passage of BIC in humans.

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

The Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry has prospectively monitored 140 pregnancies in women treated with BIC during periconception or pregnancy, including 91 infants with periconception exposure, 9 infants with later first-trimester exposure, and 40 infants with exposure in the second or third trimester. Three birth defects, including one central nervous system defect that was not a neural tube defect or encephalocele, have been reported to date. However, these data are insufficient to make conclusions regarding the safety of BIC during pregnancy.⁴

Excerpt from Table 11

Note: When using fixed-dose combination (FDC) tablets, refer to other sections in [Appendix B](#) and [Table 11](#) in the Perinatal Guidelines for information about the dosing and safety of individual drug components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations ^a	Use in Pregnancy
<p>Bictegravir/Emtricitabine/ Tenofovir Alafenamide (BIC/FTC/TAF) <i>Biktarvy</i></p> <p>Note: BIC is available only as part of an FDC tablet.</p>	<p>BIC/FTC/TAF (Biktarvy)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> BIC 50 mg/FTC 200 mg/TAF 25 mg tablet BIC 30 mg/FTC 120 mg/TAF 15 mg tablet 	<p>Standard Adult Doses</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One tablet of BIC 50 mg/FTC 200 mg/TAF 25 once daily with or without food <p>Pregnancy</p> <p><i>PK in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No PK studies in human pregnancy <p><i>Dosing in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Insufficient data to make dosing recommendations <p>For guidance about use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., FTC, TAF).</p>	<p>More data are needed to characterize the placental passage of BIC.</p> <p>Insufficient data exist to assess for teratogenicity in humans. No evidence of teratogenicity in rats or rabbits exists.</p> <p>BIC can be taken with food at the same time as any preparation containing iron or calcium—including prenatal vitamins—but should not be administered within 2 hours of these preparations when taken on an empty stomach. BIC can be taken at least 2 hours before or 6 hours after antacids containing aluminum or magnesium.</p>

^a Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the [Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines Appendix B, Table 11](#)).

Key: ARV = antiretroviral; BIC = bictegravir; FDC = fixed-dose combination; FTC = emtricitabine; PK = pharmacokinetics; TAF = tenofovir alafenamide

References

1. Biktarvy (bictegravir, emtricitabine, tenofovir alafenamide fumarate) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2021. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2021/210251s010lbl.pdf.
2. Bukkems VE, Hidalgo-Tenorio C, Garcia C, et al. First pharmacokinetic data of bictegravir in pregnant women living with HIV. *AIDS*. 2021;35(14):2405-2406. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34723856>.
3. Pencole L, Le MP, Bouchet-Crivat F, Duro D, Peytavin G, Mandelbrot L. Placental transfer of the integrase strand inhibitors cabotegravir and bictegravir in the ex-vivo human cotyledon perfusion model. *AIDS*. 2020;34(14):2145-2149. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32796211>.
4. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral pregnancy registry international interim report for 1 January 1989–31 January 2021. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2021. Available at: <http://www.apregistry.com>.

Cabotegravir (Vocabria, CAB)

Cabotegravir Rilpivirine (Cabenuva, CAB RPV)

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

Cabotegravir (CAB) was neither mutagenic nor clastogenic in a series of *in vitro* and animal *in vivo* screening tests. Long-term carcinogenicity studies of CAB in mice did not show any carcinogenic potential at systemic exposures that were sevenfold (in females) or eightfold (in males) greater than human exposure at the recommended dose. In rats, no drug-related increases in tumor incidence were observed at CAB exposures up to approximately 26 times higher than those in humans at the recommended dose.¹

CAB was not genotoxic in the bacterial reverse mutation assay, mouse lymphoma assay, or in the *in vivo* rodent micronucleus assay.² See [Rilpivirine](#) for data about rilpivirine (RPV).

Reproduction/Fertility

In rats, no effects on fertility were observed at CAB exposures (area under the curve) at least 20 times greater than the exposure in humans at recommended doses. See [Rilpivirine](#) for data about RPV.

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

Studies in pregnant rats showed that CAB crosses the placenta and can be detected in fetal tissue. Treatment of rat dams with CAB during pregnancy and postpartum had no effects on fetal viability, although a minor decrease was observed in fetal body weight with exposures 28 times those seen in humans at the recommended dose. No drug-related fetal toxicities were observed with rat dam exposures approximately 13 times those seen in humans at the recommended dose, and no fetal malformations were observed at any rat dam dose. A delay in the onset of parturition and increases in the number of stillbirths and neonatal deaths were seen with exposure of rat dams to CAB at 28 times the human exposure with recommended doses, but not with exposure at 13 times the human exposure with recommended doses.

No drug-related fetal toxicities were observed after CAB exposures of rabbit dams of up to approximately 0.7 times those seen in humans at the recommended dose.¹ See [Rilpivirine](#) for data about RPV.

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Studies in lactating rats and their offspring indicate that CAB is present in rat milk. See [Rilpivirine](#) for data about RPV.

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

No studies have been conducted on the pharmacokinetics (PKs) of CAB and RPV with ongoing intramuscular (IM) injections during pregnancy. Clinical trial data reported to date are limited to pregnant women who stopped receiving CAB injections once pregnancy was recognized and began an alternative oral antiretroviral regimen throughout the remainder of their pregnancies. CAB PK data are available for three pregnant women after cessation of injections. In two of these women, both of whom maintained typical weight through delivery, CAB concentrations were predicted to remain therapeutic. Also, the rate of decline in concentrations during pregnancy in these two women was similar to the rate of decline in non-pregnant adults. The third pregnant woman had a faster rate of decline in CAB concentrations than expected; this woman had a low body mass index (BMI) (15.3 kg/m²), and her low body fat may have had altered absorption from the long-acting depot injection site.³

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Median (interquartile range 25–75) CAB maternal-to-fetal concentration ratio assessed using an *ex vivo*, dually perfused human cotyledon model was 10% (5–16), suggesting low placental transfer.⁴ No data are available describing breast milk passage of CAB in humans. See [Rilpivirine](#) for data about RPV.

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

No congenital anomalies, preterm birth, or drug-related maternal or neonatal adverse events have been reported to date in the four live births of infants from mothers who conceived while receiving IM injections of CAB and RPV. See [Rilpivirine](#) for additional information about oral RPV.

Excerpt from Table 11

Note: When using fixed-dose combination (FDC) tablets, refer to other sections in [Appendix B](#) and [Table 11](#) in the Perinatal Guidelines for information about the dosing and safety of individual drug components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

<p>Generic Name (Abbreviation) <i>Trade Name</i></p>	<p>Formulation</p>	<p>Dosing Recommendations^a</p>	<p>Use in Pregnancy</p>
<p>Cabotegravir (CAB) <i>Vocabria (oral)</i> <i>Apretude (injection)</i>(CAB RPV) <i>Cabenuva</i> CAB RPV is a two-drug co-packaged product for IM injection.</p>	<p>CAB</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CAB 30-mg tablets for oral administration • CAB 200 mg/mL suspension for IM injection <p>CAB RPV</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CAB 200 mg/mL suspension for IM injection • RPV 300 mg/mL suspension for IM injection 	<p>Standard Adult Doses</p> <p><i>Oral Lead-in Therapy</i></p> <p><i>CAB (Vocabria)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One 30-mg tablet once daily in combination with RPV (Edurant) 25 mg once daily taken with a meal for 4 weeks <p><i>CAB (Apretude)</i></p> <p><i>Initiation:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CAB 600 mg (3 mL) injection given 1 month apart for 2 consecutive months on the last day of an oral lead-in if used or within 3 days <p><i>Continuation Therapy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CAB 600 mg (3mL) injections every 2 months thereafter <p><i>CAB RPV (Cabenuva)</i></p> <p><i>Loading Dose to Be Given on Last Day of Oral Therapy:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CAB 600 mg (3 mL) and RPV 900 mg (3 mL), given as two separate injections in separate ventrogluteal sites <p><i>Continuation Therapy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CAB 400 mg (2 mL) and RPV 600 mg (2 mL), given as two separate injections in separate ventrogluteal sites once a month with allowance for a +/- 7-day administration window • Patients should be monitored for ~10 minutes for post-injection reactions. A 	<p>No human data are available regarding placental passage.</p> <p>Insufficient data to assess for teratogenicity in humans. No evidence of teratogenicity in rats or rabbits.</p>

Generic Name (Abbreviation) <i>Trade Name</i>	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations^a	Use in Pregnancy
		<p>23-gauge, 1.5-inch IM needle is recommended for the injection and is provided in the packaging. Longer, 2-inch needles should be used in patients with BMIs >30 kg/m².</p> <p>Pregnancy</p> <p><i>PKs in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No PK studies in human pregnancy <p><i>Dosing in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Insufficient data to make dosing recommendations <p>For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., RPV).</p>	

^a Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the [Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 11](#)).

^b Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

High: >0.6

Moderate: 0.3–0.6

Low: <0.3

Key: ARV = antiretroviral; BMI = body mass index; CAB = cabotegravir; FDC = fixed-dose combination; IM = intramuscular; PK = pharmacokinetic; RPV = rilpivirine

References

1. Cabotegravir and Rilpivirine (cabenuveva kit) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2021. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2021/212888s000lbl.pdf.
2. Edurant (Rilpivirine) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2021. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2021/202022s014lbl.pdf.
3. Patel P, Thiagarajah S, Ford S, et al. Cabotegravir pharmacokinetic tail in pregnancy and neonatal outcomes. Abstract 775. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2020. Boston, MA. Available at: <https://www.croiconference.org/abstract/cabotegravir-pharmacokinetic-tail-in-pregnancy-and-neonatal-outcomes/>.
4. Pencole L, Le MP, Bouchet-Crivat F, Duro D, Peytavin G, Mandelbrot L. Placental transfer of the integrase strand inhibitors cabotegravir and bictegravir in the ex-vivo human cotyledon perfusion model. *AIDS*. 2020;34(14):2145-2149. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32796211>.

Dolutegravir (Tivicay, Tivicay PD, DTG)

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

Dolutegravir (DTG) has not been shown to be genotoxic or mutagenic *in vitro*. No carcinogenicity was detected in 2-year, long-term studies in mice at DTG exposures that were up to 14-fold higher than the exposures achieved in humans with systemic exposure to the recommended dose. In addition, no carcinogenicity was detected in rats at DTG exposures up to 10-fold higher in males and 15-fold higher in females than the exposures seen in humans who received the recommended dose.¹

Reproduction/Fertility

DTG did not affect fertility in male and female rats and rabbits at doses that produced exposures (based on area under the curve [AUC]) that were approximately 27-fold higher than that achieved in humans who received the recommended dose.¹

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

Studies of DTG in rats and rabbits have shown no evidence of developmental toxicity, teratogenicity, or effects on reproductive function.¹

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Studies in rats have demonstrated that DTG crosses the placenta and is excreted into breast milk.¹

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

DTG pharmacokinetics (PK) in human pregnancy have been reported in three studies and a series of case reports.²⁻⁸ In a safety and PK study of 29 pregnant women in the United States, DTG plasma concentrations were lower during pregnancy than postpartum, with DTG AUC reduced by 21% during pregnancy. Although trough concentrations were reduced by 34% during the third trimester compared to postpartum, trough concentrations during pregnancy were well above 0.064 µg/mL, the 90% effective concentration for DTG. DTG was well tolerated by these pregnant women. During the third trimester, HIV-1 RNA was below 50 copies/mL in 27 of 29 participants, and no infants acquired HIV.⁶ Similar reductions in DTG exposure were seen in a study of 15 European pregnant women, with DTG AUC reduced by 14% and minimum concentration (C_{\min}) by 26% during pregnancy compared to postpartum. DTG was well tolerated, and all participants had viral load below 50 copies/mL during the third trimester.⁸

In contrast, PK sampling during pregnancy and the early postpartum period of 17 African women who were receiving DTG showed a small reduction in DTG maximum concentration (C_{\max}) and no differences in the 24-hour concentration (C_{24h}) and AUC from 0 to 24 hours (AUC_{0-24h}) when geometric mean ratios in pregnancy were compared to the postpartum period. However, postpartum sampling was performed at a median of 10 days postpartum, when maternal physiology had not yet

fully returned to the nonpregnant state.⁷ In the case reports, DTG was used safely and effectively in individual pregnant women and plasma exposures were adequate.²⁻⁵

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Placental transfer of DTG in an *ex vivo* perfusion model was high, with a mean fetal-to-maternal concentration ratio of 0.6.⁹ In two *in vivo* PK studies, the median DTG cord blood-to-maternal-plasma concentration ratios were 1.21 and 1.25.^{6,7} High placental transfer of DTG has also been reported in several of the case reports.^{2,4,5} In 17 breastfeeding mothers, the median ratio of DTG in breast milk to maternal plasma was 0.03. Their infants had a median maximum DTG concentration of 66.7 ng/mL (range 21–654 ng/mL) and a median minimum concentration of 60.9 ng/mL (range 16.3–479 ng/mL) at a median age of 10 days (range 7–18 days). The geometric mean ratio of infant plasma to maternal plasma DTG concentrations in these 17 mothers–infant pairs was 0.03.⁷

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

The Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry has monitored sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to DTG to detect at least a twofold increase in the risk of overall birth defects. No such increase in the risk of birth defects has been observed with DTG. Among the cases of first-trimester DTG exposure that have been reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry as of January 31, 2021, the prevalence of birth defects was 3.3% (19 of 576 live births; 95% confidence interval, 2.0–5.1).¹⁰ Supplemental data from the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry on central nervous system (CNS) birth defect outcomes in 956 live births to women who were exposed to DTG during periconception or pregnancy reported 5 infants with CNS birth defects: 2 of 475 infants with periconception exposure, 1 of 99 infants with exposure in the later first trimester, and 2 of 380 infants with second- or third-trimester exposure. One of the CNS defects was a neural tube defect (NTD) in an infant with periconception exposure; no encephalocele defects were reported.¹⁰

In the U.S. PK study in pregnant women discussed above, birth abnormalities were reported in 7 of 29 infants: 3 with normal variants; 1 with total anomalous pulmonary venous return (DTG was initiated at 16 weeks gestation); 1 with a polycystic right kidney (DTG was initiated at 11 weeks gestation); 1 with an isolated left renal cyst (DTG was initiated at 12 weeks gestation); and 1 with jitteriness and chin tremors (DTG was initiated at 28 weeks gestation).⁶ DTG was initiated at 28 weeks gestation or later in the PK study in African women discussed above, and no congenital anomalies were observed among 28 live births.⁷ In reviews of clinical experience with pregnant women who received DTG, birth defects were noted in 4 infants born to 81 European women, in 2 infants born to 66 women from the United States, and in no infants born to 116 women from Botswana who received DTG during the first trimester.¹¹⁻¹³ No increased incidence of birth defects or adverse perinatal outcomes was observed in 57 French women receiving DTG during pregnancy compared to matched controls who did not receive integrase strand transfer inhibitors during pregnancy.¹⁴

In July 2019, a report from a National Institutes of Health–funded surveillance study of birth outcomes among pregnant women in Botswana who were receiving antiretroviral therapy found that DTG exposure at the time of conception was associated with a slightly higher rate of NTDs than other types of antiretroviral drug exposure (0.30% vs. 0.10%).¹⁵ Expanded and ongoing surveillance of birth outcomes in Botswana among pregnant women receiving antiretrovirals between April 1, 2019, and March 2021, revealed a prevalence of NTDs with DTG use at conception of 0.15% and a decrease in the NTD prevalence difference between women with HIV receiving DTG and those

receiving other antiretrovirals from 0.20% in the **initial** report to 0.05%—a difference that is not statistically significant.¹⁶ Unlike in the United States, folate fortification of food is not standard practice in Botswana, and it is unknown how folate levels may affect the possible association between periconceptual DTG exposure and NTDs.

Excerpt from Table 11

Note: When using fixed-dose combination (FDC) tablets, refer to other sections in [Appendix B](#) and [Table 11](#) in the Perinatal Guidelines for information about the dosing and safety of individual drug components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations ^a	Use in Pregnancy
<p>Dolutegravir (DTG) <i>Tivicay</i> <i>Tivicay PD</i></p> <p>(DTG/3TC) <i>Dovato</i></p> <p>(DTG/RPV) <i>Juluca</i></p> <p>(DTG/ABC/3TC) <i>Triumeq</i></p>	<p>DTG (<i>Tivicay</i>)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> DTG 10 mg, 25 mg, and 50 mg film-coated tablets <p>DTG (<i>Tivicay PD</i>)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> DTG 5 mg dispersible tablet for oral suspension <p>DTG film-coated tablets and DTG dispersible tablets are not bioequivalent and are not interchangeable.</p> <p>DTG/3TC (<i>Dovato</i>)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> DTG 50 mg/ 3TC 300 mg tablet <p>DTG/RPV (<i>Juluca</i>)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> DTG 50 mg/RPV 25 mg tablet <p>DTG/ABC/3TC (<i>Triumeq</i>)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> DTG 50 mg/ABC 600 mg/ 3TC 300 mg tablet 	<p>Standard Adult Doses</p> <p><i>In ARV-Naive or ARV-Experienced (but INSTI-Naive) Patients</i></p> <p><i>DTG (Tivicay)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One 50 mg tablet once daily, without regard to food <p><i>DTG (Tivicay PD)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Six 5 mg tablets (30 mg) dissolved in water once daily, without regard to food <p><i>DTG/3TC (Dovato)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One tablet once daily, without regard to food <p><i>DTG/RPV (Juluca)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One tablet once daily, with food <p><i>DTG/ABC/3TC (Triumeq)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One tablet once daily, without regard to food <p><i>In ARV-Naive or ARV-Experienced (but INSTI-Naive) Patients Who Are Also Receiving EFV, FPV/r, TPV/r, or Rifampin</i></p> <p><i>DTG (Tivicay)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One 50 mg tablet twice daily, without regard to food <p><i>DTG (Tivicay PD)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Six 5 mg tablets (30 mg) dissolved in water twice daily, without regard to food <p><i>In INSTI-Experienced Patients</i></p> <p><i>DTG (Tivicay)</i></p>	<p>High placental transfer to fetus.^b</p> <p>No evidence of teratogenicity in rats or rabbits. The most recent data from Botswana indicates the prevalence of NTDs in infants born to pregnant women with HIV receiving DTG at conception is no longer statistically different than in those receiving other antiretrovirals.</p> <p>DTG is a <i>Preferred</i> antiretroviral drug for use during pregnancy, irrespective of trimester, and for people who are trying to conceive (see Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy and Table 5).</p> <p>To maximize DTG absorption, doses should not be administered within 2 hours of ingesting any preparation that contains such minerals as iron or calcium, including prenatal vitamins.</p>

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations ^a	Use in Pregnancy
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> One tablet twice daily, without regard to food <p>Pregnancy <i>PKs in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> AUC may be decreased during the third trimester compared with postpartum, but exposures during pregnancy are well above those needed to inhibit viral replication. <p><i>Dosing in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No change in dose indicated. <p>For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., ABC, 3TC, RPV).</p>	

^a Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency. For details, see the [Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 11](#).

^b Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

High: >0.6

Moderate: 0.3–0.6

Low: <0.3

Key: 3TC = lamivudine; ABC = abacavir; ARV = antiretroviral; AUC = area under the curve; DTG = dolutegravir; EFV = efavirenz; FPV/r = fosamprenavir/ritonavir; INSTI = integrase strand transfer inhibitor; NTD = neural tube defect; PK = pharmacokinetic; RPV = rilpivirine; TPV/r = tipranavir/ritonavir

References

1. Dolutegravir (tivicay and tivicaay PD) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2021. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2021/204790s028,213983s0021bl.pdf.
2. Pain JB, Le MP, Caseris M, et al. Pharmacokinetics of dolutegravir in a premature neonate after HIV treatment intensification during pregnancy. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2015;59(6):3660-3662. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25845873>.
3. Pinnetti C, Tintoni M, Ammassari A, et al. Successful prevention of HIV mother-to-child transmission with dolutegravir-based combination antiretroviral therapy in a vertically infected pregnant woman with multiclass highly drug-resistant HIV-1. *AIDS*. 2015;29(18):2534-2537. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26372490>.
4. Lewis JM, Railton E, Riordan A, Khoo S, Chononda M. Early experience of dolutegravir pharmacokinetics in pregnancy: high maternal levels and significant foetal exposure with twice-daily dosing. *AIDS*. 2016;30(8):1313-1315. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27128333>.
5. Schalkwijk S, Feiterna-Sperling C, Wezsacker K, et al. Substantially lowered dolutegravir exposure in a treatment-experienced perinatally HIV-1-infected pregnant woman. *AIDS*. 2016;30(12):1999-2001. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27428578>.
6. Mulligan N, Best BM, Wang J, et al. Dolutegravir pharmacokinetics in pregnant and postpartum women living with HIV. *AIDS*. 2018;32(6):729-737. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29369162>.
7. Waitt C, Orrell C, Walimbwa S, et al. Safety and pharmacokinetics of dolutegravir in pregnant mothers with HIV infection and their neonates: a randomised trial (DolPHIN-1 study). *PLoS Med*. 2019;16(9):e1002895. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31539371>.
8. Bollen P, Freriksen J, Konopnicki D, et al. The effect of pregnancy on the pharmacokinetics of total and unbound dolutegravir and its main metabolite in women living with human immunodeficiency virus. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2021;72(1):121-127. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32103260>.
9. Schalkwijk S, Greupink R, Colbers AP, et al. Placental transfer of the HIV integrase inhibitor dolutegravir in an ex vivo human cotyledon perfusion model. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2016;71(2):480-483. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26538508>.
10. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral pregnancy registry international interim report for 1 January 1989–31 January 2021. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center; 2021. Available at: <http://www.apregistry.com>.
11. Thorne C, Favarato G, Peters H, et al. Pregnancy and neonatal outcomes following prenatal exposure to dolutegravir. Presented at: International AIDS Society Conference; 2017. Paris, France.

12. Zash R, Jacobson DL, Diseko M, et al. Comparative safety of dolutegravir-based or efavirenz-based antiretroviral treatment started during pregnancy in Botswana: an observational study. *Lancet Glob Health*. 2018;6(7):e804-e810. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29880310>.
13. Grayhack C, Sheth A, Kirby O, et al. Evaluating outcomes of mother-infant pairs using dolutegravir for HIV treatment during pregnancy. *AIDS*. 2018;32(14):2017-2021. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29944472>.
14. Sibiude J, Le Chenadec J, Mandelbrot L, et al. Risk of birth defects and perinatal outcomes in HIV-infected women exposed to integrase strand inhibitors during pregnancy. *AIDS*. 2021;35(2):219-226. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33048878>.
15. Zash R, Holmes L, Diseko M, et al. Neural-tube defects and antiretroviral treatment regimens in Botswana. *N Engl J Med*. 2019;381(9):827-840. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31329379>.
16. Zash R, Holmes LB, Diseko M, et al. Update on neural tube defects with antiretroviral exposure in the Tsepamo study, Botswana. Abstract PEBLB14. Presented at: IAS; 2021. Virtual Conference Available at: https://www.ias2021.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/IAS2021_Abstracts_web.pdf

Elvitegravir (EVG)

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

In long-term studies of elvitegravir (EVG), no carcinogenicity was detected at exposures that were 14-fold higher in mice and rats and 27-fold higher in rats than those achieved in humans during systemic exposure to the recommended doses.¹

Reproduction/Fertility

EVG did not affect fertility in male and female rats at approximately 16-fold and 30-fold higher exposures than those seen in humans who received standard doses. Fertility was normal in the offspring of these rats.¹

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

Studies have shown no evidence of teratogenicity and no effect on reproductive function in rats and rabbits receiving EVG.¹

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

No data are available on the placental transfer of EVG in nonhuman primates. Studies in rats have demonstrated that EVG is secreted in breast milk.¹

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

Pharmacokinetic (PK) and safety data from 30 pregnant U.S. women with HIV who received a fixed-dose combination (FDC) of EVG, cobicistat (COBI), emtricitabine, and tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) have been published. EVG exposure (based on area under the curve [AUC]) was 24% lower during the second trimester and 44% lower during the third trimester than during the postpartum period. EVG trough concentration (C_{24h}) was 81% lower during the second trimester and 89% lower during the third trimester than during the postpartum period. COBI AUC was 54% to 57% lower and C_{24h} was 72% to 76% lower during the second and third trimesters, respectively, than during the postpartum period. EVG AUC failed to reach the exposure target of 23 mcg•h/mL (the 10th percentile for nonpregnant adults) in 50% of women during the second trimester and 55% of women during the third trimester; 12% of women reached the exposure target during the postpartum period. Plasma HIV RNA at delivery was <50 copies/mL in 19 of 25 women (76%) for whom data were available.² In a European study that evaluated the PK of EVG administered with COBI in 14 pregnant women, EVG AUC was reduced by 34%, and trough concentration was reduced by 77% during the third trimester compared with the postpartum period. EVG trough concentration was below the EC_{90} (0.13 mg/L) in 85% of women in the third trimester and in none, postpartum. Two women experienced virologic failure during the third trimester and were switched to alternative regimens.³

Two case reports of EVG and COBI PKs, safety, and efficacy in individual pregnant women found similar reductions in EVG and COBI exposure during pregnancy, although viral loads in both women remained undetectable throughout pregnancy.^{4,5} One case report described unbound EVG concentrations and found that the unbound fraction was 0.3% during pregnancy and 0.5% at 6 months postpartum. Reductions in both total EVG concentration and unbound EVG concentration increase the risk of suboptimal exposure.⁵

Because studies have reported reduced EVG exposure when pregnant women receive FDC tablets that contain EVG and COBI, the prescribing information for these products has been changed to indicate that these formulations are not recommended for use in pregnancy and should not be initiated in pregnancy; frequent viral load monitoring or use of an alternative regimen is recommended for individuals who become pregnant while receiving these formulations.^{1,6} If these formulations are used in pregnancy to maximize absorption, they should be administered with a meal and should not be administered within 2 hours of intake of preparations containing minerals, such as iron or calcium, including prenatal vitamins.⁶

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Placental passage of EVG has been evaluated in two studies. A U.S. study of EVG PK and safety observed that EVG crossed the placenta well, with a median cord-to-maternal-plasma ratio of 0.91 in 15 women. The median EVG elimination half-life in neonates was 7.6 hours, similar to that in nonpregnant adults. COBI concentrations were low in cord blood and were not detected in the plasma of any neonates.² A European study reported similar results, with a median cord blood-to-maternal delivery plasma ratio of 0.75 in seven women.³ No data are available on human breast milk transfer of EVG.

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

The Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry has monitored sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to EVG to allow the detection of at least a two-fold increase in the risk of overall birth defects. No such increase in the risk of birth defects has been observed with EVG.⁷ Among the cases of first-trimester EVG exposure, the prevalence of birth defects was 3% (11 of 371 live births; 95% confidence interval, 1.5% to 5.24%) compared with a 2.7% total prevalence in the U.S. population, according to Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance.⁷ The Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry reported supplemental data for central nervous system (CNS) birth defect outcomes among 441 live births with exposure to EVG during periconception (n = 343) or pregnancy (late first trimester, n = 27; second or third trimester, n = 70). The Registry reported one CNS birth defect with exposure to EVG during periconception that was not a neural tube or an encephalocele defect.⁷

In the largest prospective PK and safety study of EVG in pregnancy, which included data on 26 live-born infants, congenital anomalies were reported in two infants: one infant with amniotic band syndrome, microcephaly, and intrauterine growth restriction and one infant with ulnar postaxial polydactyly (supernumerary digit).² In a retrospective report of 137 infants in the U.S. who were born to mothers who received EVG during pregnancy, two birth defects were noted: one case of hydronephrosis and one case of encephalocele. Two cases of intrauterine fetal demise among the 134 pregnancies also were included in this report.⁸

Excerpt from Table 11

Note: When using fixed-dose combination (FDC) tablets, refer to other sections in [Appendix B](#) and [Table 11](#) in the Perinatal Guidelines for information about the dosing and safety of individual drug components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations ^a	Use in Pregnancy
<p>Elvitegravir (EVG)</p> <p>Note: As of October 2017, the single-drug formulation of EVG (Vitekta) is no longer available.</p> <p>(EVG/c/FTC/TAF) <i>Genvoya</i></p> <p>(EVG/c/FTC/TDF) <i>Stribild</i></p>	<p>EVG/c/FTC/TAF (Genvoya)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • EVG 150 mg/COBI 150 mg/ FTC 200 mg/ TAF 10-mg tablet <p>EVG/c/FTC/TDF (Stribild)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • EVG 150 mg/COBI 150 mg/FTC 200 mg/TDF 300-mg tablet 	<p>Standard Adult Doses <i>Genvoya and Stribild</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One tablet once daily with food <p>Pregnancy <i>PKs in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PK studies in women who received EVG/c demonstrated significant reduction in EVG plasma exposure during pregnancy. <p><i>Dosing in Pregnancy</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • EVG plasma concentrations are reduced with use of standard adult doses during pregnancy; however, higher-than-standard doses of EVG have not been studied. Insufficient data are available to recommend a dose for use in pregnancy. <p>For guidance about use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., COBI, FTC, TAF).</p>	<p>Evidence of high placental transfer of EVG and low transfer of COBI.^b</p> <p>Insufficient data to assess for teratogenicity in humans. No evidence of teratogenicity in rats or rabbits.</p> <p>EVG/c is not recommended for use in pregnancy. For persons who become pregnant while taking EVG/c, consider frequent viral load monitoring or switching to a more effective, recommended regimen. If a woman continues taking a regimen that contains EVG/c, doses should be administered with a meal and should not be administered within 2 hours of ingesting any preparation that contains minerals, such as iron or calcium, including prenatal vitamins.</p>

^a Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the [Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 11](#)).

^b Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

High: >0.6

Moderate: 0.3–0.6

Low: <0.3

Key: ARV = antiretroviral; COBI = cobicistat; EVG = elvitegravir; EVG/c = elvitegravir/cobicistat; FDC = fixed-dose combination; FTC = emtricitabine; PK = pharmacokinetic; TAF = tenofovir alafenamide; TDF = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate

References

1. Stribild (elvitegravir, cobicistat, emtricitabine, tenofovir disoproxil fumarate) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2020. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2020/203100s0351bl.pdf.
2. Momper J, Best BM, Wang J, et al. Elvitegravir/cobicistat pharmacokinetics in pregnant and postpartum women with HIV. *AIDS*. 2018;32(17):2507-2516. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30134297>.
3. Bukkems V, Necsoi C, Tenorio CH, et al. Clinically significant lower elvitegravir exposure during third trimester of pregnant patients living with HIV: data from the PANNA study. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2020;ciaa488. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32330231>.
4. Schalkwijk S, Colbers A, Konopnicki D, et al. First reported use of elvitegravir and cobicistat during pregnancy. *AIDS*. 2016;30(5):807-808. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26913711>.
5. Marzolini C, Decosterd L, Winterfeld U, et al. Free and total plasma concentrations of elvitegravir/cobicistat during pregnancy and postpartum: a case report. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2017;83(12):2835-2838. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28512794>.
6. Genvoya (elvitegravir, cobicistat, emtricitabine, and tenofovir alafenamide) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2020. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2020/207561s0241bl.pdf.
7. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral pregnancy registry international interim report for 1 January 1989–31 January 2021. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center; 2021. Available at: <http://www.apregistry.com/>.
8. Badell ML, Sheth AN, Momplaisir F, et al. A multicenter analysis of elvitegravir use during pregnancy on HIV viral suppression and perinatal outcomes. *Open Forum Infect Dis*. 2019;6(4):ofz129. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31037241>.

Raltegravir (Isentress, RAL)

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

Raltegravir (RAL) was neither mutagenic nor clastogenic in a series of *in vitro* and animal *in vivo* screening tests. Long-term carcinogenicity studies of RAL in mice did not show any carcinogenic potential at systemic exposures that were 1.8-fold (in females) or 1.2-fold (in males) greater than human exposure at the recommended dose. Treatment-related squamous cell carcinoma of the nose/nasopharynx was observed in female rats with prolonged exposures threefold higher than seen in humans who received the recommended adult dose. These tumors were possibly the result of local irritation and inflammation due to local deposition and/or aspiration of the drug in the mucosa of the nose/nasopharynx during dosing. No tumors of the nose/nasopharynx were observed in rats with systemic exposures that were 1.7-fold (in males) or 1.4-fold (in females) greater than the exposure observed in humans who received the recommended dose of RAL.¹

Reproduction/Fertility

RAL had no adverse effects on the fertility of male or female rats at exposures up to threefold higher than the exposures seen in humans who received the recommended adult dose.

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

No treatment-related effects on embryonic/fetal survival or fetal weights were observed in studies where RAL was administered to rats and rabbits at doses that produced systemic exposures approximately threefold to fourfold higher than the exposures seen in humans who received the recommended daily dose. In rabbits, no treatment-related external, visceral, or skeletal changes were observed. However, treatment-related increases in the incidence of supernumerary ribs were seen in rats with RAL exposures that were threefold higher than the exposure seen in humans who received the recommended daily dose.¹

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Placental transfer of RAL was demonstrated in both rats and rabbits. In pregnant rats given a dose of RAL 600 mg/kg per day, mean fetal blood concentrations were approximately 1.5-fold to 2.5-fold higher than the concentrations in maternal plasma at 1 hour and 24 hours postdose, respectively. However, in rabbits, the mean drug concentration in fetal plasma was approximately 2% of the mean maternal plasma concentration at both 1 hour and 24 hours after a maternal dose of 1,000 mg/kg per day.¹

RAL is secreted in the milk of lactating rats. At a maternal dose of RAL 600 mg/kg per day, the mean drug concentration in milk was about threefold higher than the mean drug concentration in maternal plasma. No effects in rat offspring were attributable to RAL exposure through breast milk.¹

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

RAL pharmacokinetics (PKs) were evaluated in 42 pregnant women in the International Maternal Pediatric Adolescent AIDS Clinical Trials Network (IMPAACT) P1026s study, a Phase IV prospective PK study of selected antiretroviral (ARV) drugs during pregnancy and postpartum. RAL PKs in pregnant women showed extensive variability that was similar to the variability seen in nonpregnant women. Median RAL area under the curve (AUC) was reduced by approximately 50% during pregnancy. No significant difference was seen between third-trimester trough concentrations and postpartum trough concentrations. Plasma HIV RNA levels were <400 copies/mL in 92% of women at delivery. Given the high rates of virologic suppression and the lack of a clear relationship between RAL concentration and virologic effect in nonpregnant adults, no change in dosing was recommended during pregnancy.² In a study of 22 women with paired third-trimester and postpartum data from the Pharmacokinetics of newly developed Antiretroviral agents in HIV-infected pregnant women (PANNA) Network, a network of European centers that collect PK data in pregnant women with HIV, the geometric mean ratios (GMRs) of third-trimester/postpartum values were 0.71 for AUC from 0 to 12 hours (AUC_{0–12h}) (90% confidence interval [CI] 0.53–0.96), 0.82 for maximum concentration (C_{max}) (range 0.55–1.253), and 0.64 for concentration 12 hours after dose (C_{12h}) (range 0.34–1.22). One patient was below the target C_{12h} in the third trimester, and no patients were below the threshold postpartum. No change in dosing during pregnancy was recommended based on these data.³ In a single-center, observational study of pregnant women who were started on RAL as part of intensification of an ARV regimen or as part of a triple-ARV regimen, the RAL C_{12h} in the second and third trimester were similar to historical data in a nonpregnant population.⁴

A population PK model of once-daily 1,200-mg RAL pooled 11 PK studies (n = 221) with the primary target for efficacy set as the lower bound of the 90% confidence interval (CI) of the trough concentration >0.75. The simulated trough GMR for once-daily 1,200-mg RAL was 0.51 (CI=0.41–0.63), falling below the primary target for efficacy and supporting the current recommendation against daily RAL dosing in pregnancy.⁵

Caution is advised when RAL is coadministered with atazanavir, a uridine diphosphate glucuronosyltransferase A1 inhibitor, because this combination can result in elevated levels of RAL in nonpregnant adults with no medical conditions.⁶

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

An *ex vivo* study of term placentas from normal pregnancies reported high bidirectional transfer of RAL across the placenta.⁷

In vivo human studies have confirmed that RAL readily crosses the placenta. In the IMPAACT P1026s study, the ratio of cord blood-to-maternal-plasma RAL concentrations was 1.5.² In the P1097 study, the median ratio of cord blood-to-maternal-delivery plasma RAL concentrations was 1.48 (with a range of 0.32–4.33), and in the PANNA study it was 1.21.^{3,8} In the above-mentioned single-center, observational study of pregnant women who were started on RAL as part of intensification of an ARV regimen or as part of a triple-ARV regimen, the cord blood-to-maternal-plasma RAL concentration ratio was 1.03.⁴ Other case reports have shown cord blood-to-maternal-blood drug level ratios of 1.00 to 1.06.^{9–11} In three cases of preterm delivery at 29 to 33 weeks gestation (in two

of these cases, RAL was added to the maternal ARV regimen shortly before anticipated preterm delivery), cord blood-to-maternal-plasma ratios ranged from 0.44 to 1.88.¹²

RAL secretion in human breast milk is largely unstudied. A single case study demonstrated low RAL transfer into breast milk and little accumulation.¹³

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

In a retrospective study of 703 women in the French Perinatal Cohort who received RAL during pregnancy, rates of birth defects among infants born to women who were on RAL at conception were slightly higher than those born to women who initiated RAL later in pregnancy (6.4% vs. 2.3%, $P = 0.04$). When compared with matched controls, RAL exposure at conception was not associated significantly with birth defects, and no specific pattern of birth defects emerged during the study. No differences in other perinatal outcomes between groups were observed.¹⁴ Merck reviewed data on 456 periconception exposures to RAL and found no instances of neural tube defects; this review included data from the Merck database, the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, and the U.K./Ireland and French pregnancy cohorts.¹⁵

The IMPAACT P1081 study randomized 408 antiretroviral therapy-naïve women in Africa, South America, Thailand, and the United States who presented late in pregnancy to receive RAL plus two nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs) or efavirenz plus two NRTIs. Both regimens were well tolerated, with similar rates of stillbirth and preterm birth among women and similar rates of serious adverse events among women and infants; a significantly larger proportion of women on a RAL-containing regimen achieved a viral load less than 200 copies per mL at or near delivery.¹⁶ In multiple case reports and case series that involved 4, 5, and 14 pregnant women who were treated with RAL in combination with two or three other ARV drugs because of persistent viremia or late presentation, RAL was well tolerated and led to rapid reduction in HIV RNA levels.¹⁷⁻²³

The Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry has monitored sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to RAL to detect at least a twofold increase in the risk of overall birth defects, but no such increase has been observed. Among the cases of first-trimester RAL exposure that have been reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, the prevalence of birth defects was 3.1% (15 of 486 live births; 95% CI, 1.7%–5.0%), compared with a 2.7% total prevalence in the U.S. population, based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance.^{24,25} Supplemental data from the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry about central nervous system birth defect outcomes among 824 live births with exposure to RAL during periconception ($n = 327$) or pregnancy (later first trimester $n = 95$; second or third trimester $n = 399$) reported one central nervous system birth defect with exposure to RAL in the late first trimester, but this was not a neural tube or encephalocele defect.²⁴

Safety

In the P1026s study, the P1081 study, and the PANNA study, RAL was well tolerated, with no treatment-related serious adverse events observed in pregnant women.^{2,3,16} However, in one case report, 10-fold to 23-fold increases in maternal liver transaminase levels were reported after initiation of RAL. Resolution occurred when RAL was discontinued.²⁶ Drug levels were not measured.

One case of drug reaction has been reported in a postpartum woman with eosinophilia and systemic symptoms syndrome with extensive pulmonary involvement. The drug reaction resolved with discontinuation of RAL. Such reactions have been reported in nonpregnant adults who were

receiving RAL, and these reactions should be taken into consideration when making a differential diagnosis of fever in patients on RAL during pregnancy or the postpartum period.²⁷ In a study of 155 nonpregnant adults with HIV (mean age 49.2 years) who initiated RAL-containing therapy, skeletal muscle toxicity occurred in 23.9% of participants, and isolated creatine kinase (CK) elevation was reported in 21.3% of participants. These instances of CK elevation were Grade 1 or 2 and self-limiting. Fewer than 3% of patients complained of myalgia or muscle weakness. Skeletal muscle toxicity and CK elevation were associated significantly with prior use of zidovudine, higher baseline CK levels, and a higher body mass index.²⁸

Because RAL is highly protein bound to albumin, concern exists about displacement of bilirubin from albumin in the neonate, which potentially could increase the risk of neonatal hyperbilirubinemia. In an *in vitro* study, RAL had minimal effect on bilirubin–albumin binding at concentrations of 5 μM and 10 μM , caused a small but statistically significant increase in unbound bilirubin at 100 μM , and caused potentially harmful increases at 500 μM and 1,000 μM .²⁹ These data suggest that the effect of RAL on neonatal bilirubin binding is unlikely to be clinically significant at the typical peak concentrations that are reached in adults who receive the recommended dose (adult concentrations with standard RAL doses had a geometric mean C_{max} of 4.5 μM , a median C_{max} of 6.5 μM , and a maximum observed C_{max} of 10.2 μM).²⁹ In the P1097 study, one of 19 infants (5.3%) received phototherapy for treatment of hyperbilirubinemia, but this was judged to be unrelated to maternal RAL use.⁸ In a retrospective study of 31 pregnant women who received a standard dose of RAL as part of a standard ARV regimen or as part of an intensification regimen late in pregnancy (at a median gestational age of 34 weeks), mild elevation of transaminase levels was reported in 35% of neonates.³⁰

Excerpt from Table 11

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations ^a	Use in Pregnancy
<p>Raltegravir (RAL) <i>Isentress</i> <i>Isentress HD</i></p>	<p>RAL (Isentress) <i>Film-Coated Tablets:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 400 mg <p><i>Chewable Tablets:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 25 mg • 100 mg <p>RAL (Isentress HD) <i>Film-Coated Tablets:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 600 mg 	<p>Standard Adult Doses <i>In Patients Who Are Not Receiving Rifampin:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • RAL 400 mg, film-coated tablets twice daily without regard to food • Two RAL 600 mg, film-coated tablets (1,200 mg) once daily without regard to food for ARV-naïve patients or patients who are already virologically suppressed on an initial regimen of RAL 400 mg twice daily • Chewable tablets and oral suspension doses are not interchangeable with either film-coated tablets or each other. <p><i>In Patients Who Are Receiving Rifampin:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Two RAL 400 mg, film-coated tablets (800 mg) twice daily without regard to food. <p>Pregnancy <i>PKs in Pregnancy:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Decreased drug concentrations in the third trimester are not of sufficient magnitude to warrant a change in dosing. <p><i>Dosing in Pregnancy:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No change in dose is indicated. • Once-daily dosing (i.e., two RAL 600 mg, film-coated tablets) should not be used in pregnant individuals until more information is available. 	<p>High placental transfer to fetus.^b</p> <p>No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects).</p> <p>There is a case report of markedly elevated liver transaminases with RAL use in late pregnancy. Severe, potentially life-threatening, and fatal skin and HSRs have been reported in nonpregnant adults.</p> <p>RAL chewable tablets contain phenylalanine.</p> <p>To maximize RAL absorption, doses should not be administered within 2 hours of ingestion of any preparation containing minerals—such as iron or calcium—including prenatal vitamins.</p>

^a Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the [Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 11](#)).

^b Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

High: >0.6

Moderate: 0.3–0.6

Low: <0.3

Key: ARV = antiretroviral; HD = high dose; HSR = hypersensitivity reaction; PK = pharmacokinetic; RAL = raltegravir

References

1. Raltegravir (Isentress) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2020. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2020/022145s042,203045s016,205786s0081blrpl.pdf.
2. Watts DH, Stek A, Best BM, et al. Raltegravir pharmacokinetics during pregnancy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2014;67(4):375-381. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25162818>.
3. Blonk M, Colbers A, Hidalgo-Tenorio C, et al. Raltegravir in HIV-1 infected pregnant women: pharmacokinetics, safety and efficacy. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2015;61(5):809-816. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25944344>.
4. Belissa E, Benchikh A, Charpentier C, et al. Raltegravir plasma concentrations on HIV-1 infected pregnant women. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections (CROI); 2015. Seattle, WA.
5. Bukkems VE, Post TM, Colbers AP, Burger DM, Svensson EM. A population pharmacokinetics analysis assessing the exposure of raltegravir once-daily 1200 mg in pregnant women living with HIV. *CPT Pharmacometrics Syst Pharmacol*. 2021;10(2):161-172. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33369217>.
6. Krishna R, East L, Larson P, et al. Atazanavir increases the plasma concentrations of 1200 mg raltegravir dose. *Biopharm Drug Dispos*. 2016;37(9):533-541. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27696440>.
7. Vinot C, Treluyer JM, Giraud C, Gavard L, Peytavin G, Mandelbrot L. Bidirectional transfer of raltegravir in an ex vivo human cotyledon perfusion model. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2016;60(5):3112-3114. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26833154>.
8. Clarke DF, Acosta EP, Rizk ML, et al. Raltegravir pharmacokinetics in neonates following maternal dosing. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2014;67(3):310-315. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25162819>.
9. Pinnetti C, Baroncelli S, Villani P, et al. Rapid HIV-RNA decline following addition of raltegravir and tenofovir to ongoing highly active antiretroviral therapy in a woman presenting with high-level HIV viraemia at week 38 of pregnancy. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2010;65(9):2050-2052. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20630894>.
10. Croci L, Trezzi M, Allegri MP, et al. Pharmacokinetic and safety of raltegravir in pregnancy. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol*. 2012;68(8):1231-1232. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22382989>.
11. McKeown DA, Rosenvinge M, Donaghy S, et al. High neonatal concentrations of raltegravir following transplacental transfer in HIV-1 positive pregnant women. *AIDS*. 2010;24(15):2416-2418. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20827058>.
12. Hegazi A, Mc Keown D, Doerholt K, Donaghy S, Sadiq ST, Hay P. Raltegravir in the prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1: effective transplacental transfer and

- delayed plasma clearance observed in preterm neonates. *AIDS*. 2012;26(18):2421-2423. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23151500>.
13. Feiterna-Sperling C, Bukkems VE, Teulen MJA, Colbers AP, network P. Low raltegravir transfer into the breastmilk of a woman living with HIV. *AIDS*. 2020;34(12):1863-1865. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32675580>.
 14. Sibiude J, Le Chenadec J, Mandelbrot L, et al. Risk of birth defects and perinatal outcomes in HIV-infected women exposed to integrase strand inhibitors during pregnancy. *AIDS*. 2021;35(2):219-226. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33048878>.
 15. Shamsuddin H, Raudenbush CL, Sciba BL, et al. Evaluation of neural tube defects (NTDs) after exposure to raltegravir During Pregnancy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2019;81(3):247-250. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30908331>.
 16. Joao EC, Morrison RL, Shapiro DE, et al. Raltegravir versus efavirenz in antiretroviral-naive pregnant women living with HIV (NICHD P1081): an open-label, randomised, controlled, phase 4 trial. *Lancet HIV*. 2020;7(5):e322-e331. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32386720>.
 17. Taylor N, Touzeau V, Geit M, et al. Raltegravir in pregnancy: a case series presentation. *Int J STD AIDS*. 2011;22(6):358-360. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21680678>.
 18. Cha A, Shaikh R, Williams S, Berkowitz LL. Rapid reduction in HIV viral load in late pregnancy with raltegravir: a case report. *J Int Assoc Provid AIDS Care*. 2013;12(5):312-314. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23695227>.
 19. De Hoffer L, Di Biagio A, Bruzzone B, et al. Use of raltegravir in a late presenter HIV-1 woman in advanced gestational age: case report and literature review. *J Chemother*. 2013;25(3):181-183. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23783144>.
 20. Westling K, Pettersson K, Kaldma A, Naver L. Rapid decline in HIV viral load when introducing raltegravir-containing antiretroviral treatment late in pregnancy. *AIDS Patient Care STDS*. 2012;26(12):714-717. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23101466>.
 21. Nobrega I, Travassos AG, Haguilar T, Amorim F, Brites C. Short communication: use of raltegravir in late-presenting HIV-infected pregnant women. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses*. 2013;29(11):1451-1454. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23731224>.
 22. Adeyemo A, Wood C, Govind A. Achieving rapid reduction of HIV-1 viral load in HIV-positive pregnant women close to term—an obstetric/medical emergency: a review of three cases. *Int J STD AIDS*. 2013;24(7):591-592. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23970779>.
 23. Maliakkal A, Walmsley S, Tseng A. Critical review: review of the efficacy, safety, and pharmacokinetics of raltegravir in pregnancy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2016;72(2):153-161. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27183177>.

24. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral pregnancy registry international interim report for 1 January 1989–31 January 2021. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center; 2021. Available at: <http://www.apregistry.com/>.
25. Sibiude J, Warszawski J, Blanchard S, et al. Evaluation of the risk of birth defects among children exposed to raltegravir in utero in the ANRS-French perinatal cohort EPF. Presented at: International AIDS Society; 2017. Paris, France.
26. Renet S, Closon A, Brochet MS, Bussieres JF, Boucher M. Increase in transaminase levels following the use of raltegravir in a woman with a high HIV viral load at 35 weeks of pregnancy. *J Obstet Gynaecol Can.* 2013;35(1):68-72. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23343800>.
27. Yee BE, Nguyen NH, Lee D. Extensive pulmonary involvement with raltegravir-induced DRESS syndrome in a postpartum woman with HIV. *BMJ Case Rep.* 2014;2014:bcr2013201545. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24798353>.
28. Calza L, Danese I, Colangeli V, et al. Skeletal muscle toxicity in HIV-1-infected patients treated with a raltegravir-containing antiretroviral therapy: a cohort study. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses.* 2014;30(12):1162-1169. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25369244>.
29. Clarke DF, Wong RJ, Wenning L, Stephenson DK, Mirochnick M. Raltegravir in vitro effect on bilirubin binding. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2013;32(9):978-980. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23470680>.
30. Cecchini DM, Martinez MG, Morganti LM, Rodriguez CG. Antiretroviral therapy containing raltegravir to prevent mother-to-child transmission of HIV in infected pregnant women. *Infect Dis Rep.* 2017;9(2):7017. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28663779>.

Cobicistat (Tybost, COBI)

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

No increases in tumor incidence were seen in male and female mice at cobicistat (COBI) exposures that were 7 and 16 times the exposure observed in humans who received the recommended dose. In rats, an increased incidence of follicular cell adenomas and/or carcinomas in the thyroid gland was observed at doses up to twice the typical human exposure. The follicular cell findings are considered rat-specific and not relevant to humans.¹

Reproduction/Fertility

COBI did not affect fertility in male or female rats.¹

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

Studies in pregnant rats and rabbits have shown no evidence of teratogenicity, even with rat COBI exposures that were 1.4 times higher than the recommended human exposure and rabbit COBI exposures that were 3.3 times higher than the recommended human exposure.¹

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

No information is available on placental passage of COBI. Studies in rats have shown that COBI is secreted in breast milk.²

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

COBI pharmacokinetics (PKs) have been described in pregnant and postpartum women who were taking concomitant elvitegravir (EVG), atazanavir (ATV), and darunavir (DRV). In a study of 30 pregnant women who were receiving elvitegravir/cobicistat (EVG/c), the area under the curve (AUC) for COBI was 44% lower in the second trimester and 59% lower in the third trimester than during the postpartum period. Trough COBI concentrations (24 hours post-dose) were 60% lower in the second trimester and 76% lower in the third trimester than during the postpartum period. Trough COBI concentrations were below the assay quantitation limit (<10 ng/mL) in 65% of women during the second trimester, 73% of women during the third trimester, and 24% of postpartum women.³ Another study of 14 women taking EVG/c reported COBI AUC reduced by 49% during pregnancy.⁴ Two other studies have described decreases of similar magnitudes in COBI exposures when COBI is coadministered with DRV in pregnant women.^{5,6} In one of these studies, COBI AUC was decreased by 63% in the second trimester and 49% in the third trimester compared to the AUC postpartum. Trough COBI concentrations decreased by 83% in both the second and third trimesters.

The pharmacoenhancing effect of COBI on EVG was impacted during pregnancy; EVG AUC was reduced by 44% and trough concentrations were reduced by 89% in the third trimester when compared to postpartum AUC and trough concentrations. EVG apparent oral clearance during

pregnancy and postpartum was associated negatively with COBI AUC.³ Similarly, another study reported that EVG trough concentrations were reduced by 77% in the third trimester, with 85% of women having EVG troughs below the effective concentration (EC₉₀).⁴

The pharmacoenhancing effect of COBI on DRV and ATV also was reduced during pregnancy. Two studies have described DRV exposures with COBI boosting in pregnancy. In a study of 29 pregnant women, the AUC of DRV was reduced by 53% in the second trimester and 56% in the third trimester compared to postpartum.⁶ In a smaller study of seven pregnant women, DRV AUC was reduced by 56% in the second trimester and 50% in the third trimester compared to postpartum. This study also reported unbound DRV concentrations, and unbound DRV AUC was 45% and 40% lower during the second and third trimesters, respectively. The effect on DRV trough concentrations was more pronounced, with both total and unbound concentrations showing essentially identical decreases of 92% (in the second trimester) and 88% to 89% (in the third trimester) when compared to postpartum trough concentrations. One of six women in this study experienced virologic failure during the third trimester, and virologic failure continued through the postpartum period.⁵ Trough ATV concentrations were 80% and 85% lower in the second and third trimesters, respectively, compared to historical ATV trough concentrations in nonpregnant adults with HIV.⁷ Because of these substantial reductions in drug exposures during pregnancy, use of COBI-boosted EVG, DRV, or ATV **is not recommended** for patients starting or changing regimens during pregnancy.⁸⁻¹⁰

One study evaluated tenofovir alafenamide (TAF) exposure in pregnant women when TAF was administered as a daily 10-mg dose with COBI 150 mg. No differences were seen between TAF exposure during pregnancy and TAF exposure postpartum in the same women. The authors concluded that no dose adjustment is needed during pregnancy for TAF when it is coadministered with COBI.¹¹ However, TAF 10 mg with COBI is available only in fixed-dose combination products that also include either DRV or EVG, which are not recommended for use during pregnancy. Another study described TAF exposure in pregnant women when administered as a 25-mg dose with a pharmacoenhancer (either RTV 100 mg or COBI 150 mg). TAF exposures during pregnancy and postpartum did not differ.¹²

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

A study in 10 pregnant women who received EVG/c found a median ratio of cord blood to maternal plasma COBI concentrations of 0.09. This study also found measurable concentrations of COBI in placental tissue and cord blood peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC), with a cord blood-to-maternal PBMC ratio of 0.49.¹³ In another study, median maternal plasma cobicistat concentration at delivery in 15 pregnant women was 172 ng/mL and cobicistat was quantifiable in cord blood from 7 of their deliveries with a median cord blood-to-maternal plasma ratio of 0.09.³ In 27 neonates born to mothers who were receiving EVG/c, COBI was below the assay quantitation limit of 10 ng/mL in all washout PK samples taken between 2 hours and 9 days post-delivery.³ No data are available on breast milk passage of COBI in humans.

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

The Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry has monitored sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to COBI to detect at least a two-fold increase in the risk of overall birth defects in the general population. However, no such increase in the risk of birth defects has been observed with COBI. Among cases of first-trimester exposure to COBI that have been reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, the prevalence of birth defects was 3.6% (17 of 473 live births; 95% confidence

interval, 2.11% to 5.69%) compared with a 2.7% total prevalence in the U.S. population, based on the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance.²

Excerpt from Table 11

Note: When using fixed-dose combination (FDC) tablets, refer to other sections in [Appendix B](#) and [Table 11](#) in the Perinatal Guidelines for information about the dosing and safety of individual drug components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations ^a	Use in Pregnancy
<p>Cobicistat (COBI) <i>Tybost</i></p> <p>(ATV/c) <i>Evotaz</i></p> <p>(EVG/c/FTC/TAF) <i>Genvoya</i></p> <p>(DRV/c) <i>Prezcobix</i></p> <p>(EVG/c/FTC/TDF) <i>Stribild</i></p> <p>(DRV/c/FTC/TAF) <i>Symtuza</i></p>	<p>COBI (Tybost) <i>Tablet:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> COBI 150 mg <p>ATV/c (Evotaz):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ATV 300 mg/COBI 150-mg tablet <p>EVG/c/FTC/TAF (Genvoya):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> EVG 150 mg/COBI 150 mg/FTC 200 mg/TAF 10-mg tablet <p>DRV/c (Prezcobix):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> DRV 800 mg/COBI 150-mg tablet <p>EVG/c/FTC/TDF (Stribild):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> EVG 150 mg/COBI 150 mg/FTC 200 mg/TDF 300-mg tablet <p>DRV/c/FTC/TAF (Symtuza):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> DRV 800 mg/COBI 150 mg/FTC 200 mg/TAF 10-mg tablet 	<p>Standard Adult Doses <i>COBI (Tybost):</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> When used as an alternative PK booster with ATV or DRV, the dose is one tablet once daily with food. <p><i>ATV/c (Evotaz):</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One tablet once daily with food <p><i>EVG/c/FTC/TAF (Genvoya):</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One tablet once daily with food <p><i>DRV/c (Prezcobix):</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One tablet once daily with food <p><i>EVG/c/FTC/TDF (Stribild):</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One tablet once daily with food <p><i>DRV/c/FTC/TAF (Symtuza):</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> One tablet once daily with food <p>Pregnancy <i>PKs in Pregnancy:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Based on limited data, COBI exposure and its pharmacoenhancing effect on ATV, DRV, and EVG are reduced markedly in pregnancy. When coadministered with COBI, TAF exposure is not significantly different between pregnancy and the postpartum period. <p><i>Dosing in Pregnancy:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Although COBI exposure is reduced markedly during 	<p>Low placental transfer to fetus^b</p> <p>No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out two-fold increase in overall birth defects).</p> <p>Use of COBI-boosted ATV, DRV, or EVG is not recommended in pregnancy.</p>

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations ^a	Use in Pregnancy
		<p>pregnancy, higher-than-standard doses have not been studied. The Panel recommends RTV as the preferred pharmacoenhancer for PIs and INSTIs during pregnancy until more data are available on COBI activity during pregnancy.</p> <p>For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., FTC, TAF, TDF, ATV, DRV, EVG).</p>	

^a Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the [Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 11](#)).

^b Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

High: >0.6
Moderate: 0.3–0.6
Low: <0.3

Key: ARV = antiretroviral; ATV = atazanavir; ATV/c = atazanavir/cobicistat; COBI = cobicistat; DRV = darunavir; DRV/c = darunavir/cobicistat; EVG = elvitegravir; EVG/c = elvitegravir/cobicistat; FDC = fixed-dose combination; FTC = emtricitabine; INSTIs = integrase strand transfer inhibitors; PIs = protease inhibitors; PK = pharmacokinetic; RTV = ritonavir; TAF = tenofovir alafenamide; TDF = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate

References

1. Tybost (cobicistat) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2020. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2020/203094s015lbl.pdf.
2. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral pregnancy registry international interim report for 1 January 1989–31 January 2021. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center; 2021. Available at: <http://www.apregistry.com>.
3. Momper J, Best BM, Wang J, et al. Elvitegravir/cobicistat pharmacokinetics in pregnant and postpartum women with HIV. *AIDS*. 2018;32(17):2507-2516. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30134297>.
4. Bukkems V, Necsoi C, Tenorio CH, et al. Clinically significant lower elvitegravir exposure during third trimester of pregnant patients living with HIV: data from the PANNA study. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2020;71(10):e714-e717. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32330231>.
5. Crauwels HM, Osiyemi O, Zorrilla C, Bicer C, Brown K. Reduced exposure to darunavir and cobicistat in HIV-1-infected pregnant women receiving a darunavir/cobicistat-based regimen. *HIV Med*. 2019;20(5):337-343. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30873741>.
6. Momper JD, Wang J, Stek A, et al. Pharmacokinetics of darunavir and cobicistat in pregnant and postpartum women with HIV. *AIDS*. 2021;35(8):1191-1199. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34076612>.
7. Momper J, Stek A, Wang J, et al. Pharmacokinetics of atazanavir boosted with cobicistat during pregnancy and postpartum. Presented at: Workshop on Clinical Pharmacology of HIV, Hepatitis, and Other Antiviral Drugs. 2019. Noordwijk, Netherlands.
8. Darunavir/cobicistat (Prezcobix) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2020. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2020/205395s016lbl.pdf.
9. Genvoya (elvitegravir, cobicistat, emtricitabine, and tenofovir alafenamide) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2020. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2020/207561s024lbl.pdf.
10. Boyd SD, Sampson MR, Viswanathan P, Struble KA, Arya V, Sherwat AI. Cobicistat-containing antiretroviral regimens are not recommended during pregnancy: viewpoint. *AIDS*. 2019;33(6):1089-1093. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30946163>.
11. Brooks KM, Momper JD, Pinilla M, et al. Pharmacokinetics of tenofovir alafenamide with and without cobicistat in pregnant and postpartum women living with HIV. *AIDS*. 2021;35(3):407-417. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33252495>

12. Brooks K, Pinilla M, Shapiro D, et al. Pharmacokinetics of tenofovir alafenamide 25 mg with PK boosters during pregnancy and postpartum. Presented at: Workshop on Clinical Pharmacology of HIV, Hepatitis, and Other Antiviral Drugs. 2019. Noordwijk, Netherlands.
13. Rimawi BH, Johnson E, Rajakumar A, et al. Pharmacokinetics and placental transfer of elvitegravir and dolutegravir, and other antiretrovirals during pregnancy. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 2017;61(6):e02213-02216. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28348149>.

Ritonavir (Norvir, RTV)

(Last updated December 30, 2021; last reviewed December 30, 2021)

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

Ritonavir (RTV) was neither mutagenic nor clastogenic in a series of *in vitro* and *in vivo* screening tests. In male mice, a dose-dependent increase in adenomas of the liver and combined adenomas and carcinomas of the liver was observed at RTV doses of 50 mg/kg per day, 100 mg/kg per day, or 200 mg/kg per day; exposure (based on area under the curve) in male mice at the highest dose was approximately 0.3-fold the exposure observed in male humans who received the recommended therapeutic dose. No carcinogenic effects were observed in female mice at exposures that were 0.6-fold the exposures observed in women who received the recommended therapeutic dose. No carcinogenic effects were observed in rats at exposures up to 6% of the recommended therapeutic human exposure.¹

Reproduction/Fertility

RTV has had no observed effect on reproductive performance or fertility in rats at drug exposures that were 40% (in males) and 60% (in females) of the exposures achieved with human therapeutic dosing; higher doses were not feasible because of hepatic toxicity in the rodents.¹

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

No RTV-related teratogenicity has been observed in rats or rabbits. Developmental toxicity—including early resorptions, decreased body weight, ossification delays, and developmental variations (e.g., wavy ribs, enlarged fontanelles)—was observed in rats; however, these effects occurred only at maternally toxic dosages (with exposures equivalent to 30% of human therapeutic exposures). In addition, a slight increase in cryptorchidism was noted in rats at exposures equivalent to 22% of human therapeutic exposures. In rabbits, developmental toxicity (i.e., resorptions, decreased litter size, decreased fetal weight) also was observed only at maternally toxic doses (1.8 times human therapeutic exposure based on body surface area).¹

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Transplacental passage of RTV has been observed in rats with fetal tissue-to-maternal serum ratios >1.0 at 24 hours post-dose in midgestational and late-gestational fetuses.

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

RTV concentrations were lower during pregnancy than during the postpartum period when RTV was administered to pregnant women with HIV at doses sufficient for HIV suppression (500 mg or 600 mg twice daily), in combination with zidovudine and lamivudine.² RTV concentrations also are reduced during pregnancy compared with postpartum when the drug is used at a low dose (100 mg) to boost the concentrations of other protease inhibitors, but RTV is an effective booster of the protease inhibitors lopinavir (LPV), atazanavir (ATV), and darunavir (DRV) in pregnant women.³⁻⁵ In contrast, the newer booster, cobicistat, is not an effective booster of protease inhibitors in pregnant women, and its use is not recommended during pregnancy.⁶

Recommendations for the Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy and Interventions to Reduce Perinatal HIV Transmission in the United States

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

In a human placental perfusion model, the clearance index of RTV was very low, with little accumulation in the fetal compartment and no accumulation in placental tissue.⁷ In a Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group trial 354 Phase 1 study of pregnant women and their infants, transplacental passage of RTV was minimal, with an average cord blood-to-maternal plasma concentration ratio of 5.3%.² In a study of cord-blood samples from six women who were treated with RTV during pregnancy, the cord-blood concentration was less than the assay limit of detection in five of the women and was only 0.38 µg/mL in the remaining woman.⁸ In contrast, in a study of hair and plasma RTV concentrations in 51 mother–infant pairs after lopinavir/ritonavir was administered to the mothers during pregnancy and postpartum, hair and plasma concentrations over time suggested moderate *in utero* transfer of lopinavir, but negligible transfer via breastfeeding.⁹

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

The Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry has monitored sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to RTV to detect at least a 1.5-fold increase in the risk of overall birth defects and at least a twofold increase in the risk of cardiovascular and genitourinary defects (the most common classes of birth defects in the general population). No such increase in the risk of birth defects has been observed with RTV. Among the cases of first-trimester RTV exposure that have been reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, the prevalence of birth defects was 2.4% (81 of 3,453 live births; 95% confidence interval, 1.9% to 2.9%), compared with a total prevalence of 2.7% in the U.S. population, according to Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance.¹⁰

Excerpt from Table 11

Note: When using fixed-dose combination (FDC) tablets, refer to other sections in [Appendix B](#) and [Table 11](#) in the Perinatal Guidelines for information about the dosing and safety of individual drug components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations ^a	Use in Pregnancy
<p>Ritonavir (RTV) <i>Norvir</i></p> <p>(LPV/r) <i>Kaletra</i></p>	<p>RTV (Norvir) <i>Capsules:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> RTV 100 mg <p><i>Tablets:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> RTV 100 mg <p><i>Oral Solution:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> RTV 80 mg/mL <p><i>Powder:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> RTV 100 mg/sachet <p>LPV/r (Kaletra) <i>Tablets:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> LPV/r 200 mg/50 mg LPV/r 100 mg/25 mg <p><i>Oral Solution:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Each 5 mL contains LPV/r 400 mg/ 100 mg 	<p>Standard Adult Dose of RTV (Norvir) When Used as PK Booster for Other PIs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> RTV 100–400 mg per day in one or two divided doses (refer to other PI sections for specific dosing recommendations) <p><i>Tablet:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Take with food <p><i>Capsule or Oral Solution:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> To improve tolerability, take with food, if possible. <p>Standard Adult Doses of LPV/r (Kaletra):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> LPV/r 400 mg/100 mg twice daily, <i>or</i> LPV/r 800 mg/200 mg once daily <p><i>Tablets:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Take without regard to food. <p><i>Oral Solution:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Take with food. <p><i>With EFV or NVP in PI-Naive or PI-Experienced Patients:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> LPV/r 500 mg/125 mg tablets twice daily without regard to meals (use a combination of two LPV/r 200 mg/50 mg tablets and one LPV/r 100 mg/25 mg tablet), <i>or</i> LPV/r 520 mg/130 mg oral solution (6.5 mL) twice daily with food <p>Pregnancy <i>PKs in Pregnancy:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lower RTV levels are seen during pregnancy than during postpartum, which may reduce the pharmacoenhancing effect of RTV in pregnancy. <p><i>RTV Dosing in Pregnancy:</i></p>	<p>Low placental transfer to fetus.^b</p> <p>No evidence of increased risk of human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects).</p> <p>RTV should only be used as low-dose booster for other PIs.</p> <p>RTV oral solution contains 43% alcohol and, therefore, is not recommended for use during pregnancy because no safe level of alcohol exposure during pregnancy is known. LPV/r oral solution contains 42% alcohol and 15% propylene glycol and is not recommended for use in pregnancy.</p> <p>Once-daily LPV/r dosing is not recommended during pregnancy.</p>

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations ^a	Use in Pregnancy
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No dose adjustment is necessary when RTV is used as booster. <p><i>LPV/r Dosing in Pregnancy:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Once-daily dosing is not recommended during pregnancy. • Some experts recommend that an increased dose (i.e., LPV/r 600 mg/150 mg twice daily without regard to meals or LPV/r 500 mg/125 mg twice daily without regard to meals) should be used in the second and third trimesters of pregnancy, especially in patients who are PI-experienced and in those who start treatment during pregnancy with a baseline viral load >50 copies/mL. • When standard dosing is used, monitor virologic response and, if possible, LPV drug levels. <p>For guidance about use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., LPV/r).</p>	

^a Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the [Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 11](#)).

^b Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

High: >0.6
Moderate: 0.3–0.6
Low: <0.3

Key: ARV = antiretroviral; EFV = efavirenz; FDC = fixed-dose combination; LPV/r = lopinavir/ritonavir; NVP = nevirapine; PI = protease inhibitor; PK = pharmacokinetic; RTV = ritonavir

References

1. Ritonavir (Norvir) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2020. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2020/020659s072,022417s024,209512s0071bl.pdf.
2. Scott GB, Rodman JH, Scott WA, et al. Pharmacokinetic and virologic response to ritonavir (RTV) in combination with zidovudine (ZDV) and lamivudine (3TC) in HIV-10-infected pregnant women and their infants. Presented at: 9th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2002. Seattle, Washington.
3. Best BM, Stek AM, Mirochnick M, et al. Lopinavir tablet pharmacokinetics with an increased dose during pregnancy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2010;54(4):381-388. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20632458>.
4. Mirochnick M, Best BM, Stek AM, et al. Atazanavir pharmacokinetics with and without tenofovir during pregnancy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2011;56(5):412-419. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21283017>.
5. Stek A, Best BM, Wang J, et al. Pharmacokinetics of once versus twice daily darunavir in pregnant HIV-infected women. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2015;70(1):33-41. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25950206>.
6. Eke AC, Mirochnick M. Ritonavir and cobicistat as pharmacokinetic enhancers in pregnant women. *Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol*. 2019;15(7):523-525. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31185758>.
7. Casey BM, Bawdon RE. Placental transfer of ritonavir with zidovudine in the ex vivo placental perfusion model. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 1998;179(3 Pt 1):758-761. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9757985>.
8. Mirochnick M, Dorenbaum A, Holland D, et al. Concentrations of protease inhibitors in cord blood after in utero exposure. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2002;21(9):835-838. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12352805>.
9. Gandhi M, Mwesigwa J, Aweeka F, et al. Hair and plasma data show that lopinavir, ritonavir, and efavirenz all transfer from mother to infant in utero, but only efavirenz transfers via breastfeeding. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2013;63(5):578-584. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24135775>.
10. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral pregnancy registry international interim report for 1 January 1989–31 January 2021. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2021. Available at: <http://www.apregistry.com/>.

Archived Drugs

Overview

The Archived Drugs section provides access to the last updated versions of drug sections that are no longer being reviewed by the Panel on Treatment of Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission (the Panel). Archived Drugs includes older antiretroviral drugs that are no longer available in the United States or that the Panel does not recommend for use in pregnant women. These drugs may have unacceptable toxicities, inferior virologic efficacy, or a high pill burden, or there may be pharmacologic concerns or a limited amount of data on the use of these drugs in pregnant women.

[Amprenavir](#)

[Delavirdine](#)

[Didanosine](#)

[Enfuvirtide](#)

[Fosamprenavir](#)

[Indinavir](#)

[Nelfinavir](#)

[Saquinavir](#)

[Stavudine](#)

[Tipranavir](#)

[Zalcitabine](#)

Amprenavir (Agenerase)

Last Updated: November 7, 2007; Last Reviewed: November 7, 2007

Amprenavir is classified as FDA pregnancy category C and is no longer available in the United States.

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

In vitro screening tests for carcinogenicity have been negative. An increase in benign hepatocellular adenomas and hepatocellular carcinomas was observed in male mice and rats at the highest doses evaluated, which produced systemic exposures in mice 2-fold and in rats 4-fold higher than systemic exposure in humans receiving therapeutic doses of amprenavir. Female mice and rats were not affected.

Reproduction/Fertility

No effect has been seen on reproductive performance, fertility, or embryo survival in rats at exposures about twice those of human therapeutic exposure.

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

In pregnant rabbits, administration of amprenavir resulting in systemic exposures about one-twentieth of that observed with human therapeutic exposure was associated with abortions and an increased incidence of minor skeletal variations resulting from deficient ossification of the femur, humerus trochlea, and humerus. In rat fetuses, thymic elongation and incomplete ossification of bones were also attributed to amprenavir at systemic exposures about one-half that associated with the recommended human dose. Reduced body weights of approximately 10% – 20% were observed in offspring of rodents administered amprenavir from Day 7 of gestation to Day 22 of lactation (exposures approximately twice that observed with the human therapeutic dose). However, the subsequent development of the offspring, including fertility and reproductive performance, was not affected by maternal administration of amprenavir.

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Whether amprenavir crosses the placenta is unknown. Amprenavir is excreted in the milk of lactating rats; it is not known if it is excreted in human milk.

Human Studies in Pregnancy

There have been limited studies of amprenavir in pregnant women and no studies in neonates. Amprenavir oral solution contains high levels of excipient propylene glycol in the oral solution vehicle; this is not true for the capsular formulation. Propylene glycol is metabolized by the alcohol and aldehyde dehydrogenase enzyme pathway. Some patients, including infants and children below the age of 4 years, pregnant women, patients with hepatic or renal failure, and patients treated with disulfiram or metronidazole, are not able to adequately metabolize and eliminate propylene glycol, thereby leading to its accumulation and potential adverse events. Thus, while the capsule formulation of amprenavir may be used in pregnancy, amprenavir oral solution is contraindicated in pregnant women and infants and in children under the age of 4 years.

Delavirdine (Rescriptor)

Last Updated: November 7, 2007; Last Reviewed: November 7, 2007

Delavirdine is classified as FDA pregnancy category C and is no longer available in the United States.

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

In vitro screening tests for carcinogenicity have been negative. In rats, delavirdine was noncarcinogenic at all doses studied. In mice, delavirdine was associated with an increase in hepatocellular adenoma and carcinoma in both males and females and urinary bladder tumors in males at systemic exposures 0.5- to 3-fold higher than human exposure at therapeutic doses for female mice and at exposures 0.2- to 4-fold higher in male mice.

Reproduction/Fertility

Delavirdine does not impair fertility in rodents.

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

Delavirdine is teratogenic in rats; doses of 50 to 200 mg/kg/day during organogenesis caused ventricular septal defects.

Exposure of rats to doses approximately 5 times human therapeutic exposure resulted in marked maternal toxicity, embryotoxicity, fetal developmental delay, and reduced pup survival.

Abortions, embryotoxicity, and maternal toxicity were observed in rabbits at doses approximately 6 times human therapeutic exposure.

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Whether delavirdine crosses the placenta is unknown. Delavirdine is excreted in the milk of lactating rats; however, it is unknown if the drug is excreted in human breast milk.

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Delavirdine has not been evaluated in HIV-infected pregnant women. In premarketing clinical studies, the outcomes of seven unplanned pregnancies were reported: three resulted in ectopic pregnancies, three resulted in healthy live births, and one infant was born prematurely with a small muscular ventricular septal defect to a patient who received approximately 6 weeks of treatment with delavirdine and zidovudine early in the course of pregnancy.

Didanosine (Videx, ddI)

(Last updated December 7, 2018; last reviewed December 7, 2018)

Didanosine is classified as Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Pregnancy Category B.¹

Didanosine **is not recommended** for use in pregnant women with HIV due to its toxicity.

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

Didanosine is both mutagenic and clastogenic in several *in vitro* and *in vivo* assays. Long-term animal carcinogenicity screening studies of 0.7 times to 1.7 times human exposure in mice and 3 times human exposure in rats have been negative.¹

Reproduction/Fertility

At approximately 12 times the estimated human exposure, didanosine was slightly toxic to female rats and their pups during mid and late lactation. These rats showed reduced food intake and body weight gains; however, the physical and functional development of the offspring was not impaired and there were no major changes in the F2 generation.

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

No evidence of teratogenicity or toxicity was observed in pregnant rats and rabbits with exposures of didanosine that were 12 times and 14 times, respectively, the exposures seen in humans.

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

A study in rats showed that didanosine and/or its metabolites are transferred to the fetus through the placenta.

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

A Phase 1 study (PACTG 249) of didanosine was conducted in 14 pregnant women with HIV who were enrolled at gestational age 26 to 36 weeks and treated through 6 weeks postpartum.² The drug was well tolerated during pregnancy by the women and the fetuses. Pharmacokinetic (PK) parameters after oral administration were not significantly affected by pregnancy, and dose modification from the usual adult dosage is not needed.

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Placental transfer of didanosine was low-moderate in a Phase 1/2 safety and PK study.² This was confirmed in a study of 100 pregnant women with HIV who were receiving nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs), generally as part of a two- or three-drug combination antiretroviral (ARV) regimen. At the time of delivery, cord-to-maternal-blood ratio for didanosine (n = 10) was 0.38 (range 0.0–2.0). In 15 of 24 samples (62%), cord blood concentrations for didanosine were below the limits of detection.³

It is not known whether didanosine is excreted in human breast milk.

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

The French Perinatal Cohort reported that head and neck birth defects were associated with first-trimester exposure to didanosine (0.5%, adjusted odds ratio [aOR] 3.4, 95% CI, 1.1–10.4, *P* = 0.04).⁴ Though the PHACS/SMARTT cohort found no association between any individual NRTIs and birth defects, after adjusting for birth cohort and other factors, didanosine administered in combination with stavudine was associated with an overall increase in congenital abnormalities;⁵ it should be noted that the combination of didanosine and stavudine **should not be used** in pregnant women with HIV (or anyone with HIV) because of a higher risk of toxicity. Among 897 births to women with HIV in a Spanish cohort, there was no significant difference between the rate of birth defects after first-trimester exposure and the rate of birth defects after second- and third-trimester exposure (odds ratio [OR] 0.61, 95% CI, 0.16–2.27).⁶ In the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, sufficient

numbers of first-trimester exposures to didanosine in humans have been monitored to be able to detect at least a 2-fold increase in the risk of overall birth defects.⁷ Among cases of first-trimester didanosine exposure reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, prevalence of birth defects was 4.68% (20 of 427 births; 95% CI, 2.88% to 7.14%) compared with 2.72% in the U.S. population, based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance.⁷ All defects were reviewed in detail by the Registry, and no pattern of defects was discovered. The rate and types of defects will continue to be closely monitored.

Safety

Lactic acidosis, fatal in some cases, has been described in pregnant women receiving the combination of didanosine and stavudine along with other ARV agents;⁸⁻¹⁰ the FDA and Bristol-Myers Squibb have issued a warning to health care professionals that pregnant women may be at increased risk of fatal lactic acidosis when prescribed didanosine and stavudine in combination.

The PHACS/SMARTT cohort found that after adjusting for birth cohort and other factors, didanosine administered in combination with stavudine was associated with the occurrence of neurodevelopmental disability. However, there was no increase in the likelihood of adverse events in the following domains with didanosine alone: metabolic, growth and development, cardiac, neurological, neurodevelopmental, behavior, language, and hearing.^{11,12} As noted above, the combination of didanosine and stavudine should not be used in pregnant women with HIV (or anyone with HIV) because of a high risk of toxicity.

In a multivariate analysis of the association between *in utero* ARV exposure and risk of cancer in HIV-exposed, uninfected infants, the French Perinatal Study reported a 5.5-fold (95% CI, 2.1–14.4) increase in cancer incidence with first-trimester didanosine exposure.¹³

Excerpt from Table 8^a

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations	Use in Pregnancy
Didanosine (ddl) Videx Videx EC	<p><u>ddl (Videx)</u> <i>Buffered Tablets (Non-EC):</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No longer available <p><i>Solution:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 10 mg/mL oral solution <p><u>Videx EC (EC Beadlets)</u> <i>Capsules:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 125 mg • 200 mg • 250 mg • 400 mg <p><u>Generic Delayed-Release Capsules:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 200 mg • 250 mg • 400 mg 	<p><u>Standard Adult Doses</u></p> <p><i>Body Weight ≥60 kg:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ddl 400 mg once daily <p><u>With TDF:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ddl 250 mg once daily; take 1/2 hour before or 2 hours after a meal. <p><i>Body Weight <60 kg:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ddl 250 mg once daily <p><u>With TDF:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ddl 200 mg once daily; take 1/2 hour before or 2 hours after a meal. <p>Note: Preferred dosing with oral solution is twice daily (total daily dose divided into 2 doses). Take 1/2 hour before or 2 hours after a meal.</p> <p><u>Dosing in Pregnancy:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No change in dose indicated. <p><u>PK in Pregnancy:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PK is not significantly altered in pregnancy. 	<p>ddl <u>is not recommended</u> for pregnant women.</p> <p>Low-moderate placental transfer to fetus.^b</p> <p>ddl <u>should not be used</u> with d4T. Lactic acidosis, sometimes fatal, has been reported in pregnant women receiving ddl and d4T together.</p>

^a Individual ARV drug dosages may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the [Adult and Adolescent Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 10](#)).

^b Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

High: >0.6 **Moderate:** 0.3–0.6 **Low:** <0.3

Key to Acronyms: ARV = antiretroviral; d4T = stavudine; ddl = didanosine; EC = enteric coated; FDC = fixed-dose combination; PK = pharmacokinetic; TDF = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate

References

1. Didanosine [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2018. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2018/021183s0281bl.pdf.
2. Wang Y, Livingston E, Patil S, et al. Pharmacokinetics of didanosine in antepartum and postpartum human immunodeficiency virus-infected pregnant women and their neonates: an AIDS clinical trials group study. *J Infect Dis*. 1999;180(5):1536-1541. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=pubmed&dopt=Abstract&list_uids=10515813.
3. Chappuy H, Treluyer JM, Jullien V, et al. Maternal-fetal transfer and amniotic fluid accumulation of nucleoside analogue reverse transcriptase inhibitors in human immunodeficiency virus-infected pregnant women. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2004;48(11):4332-4336. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15504861>.
4. Sibiude J, Mandelbrot L, Blanche S, et al. Association between prenatal exposure to antiretroviral therapy and birth defects: an analysis of the French perinatal cohort study (ANRS CO1/CO11). *PLoS Med*. 2014;11(4):e1001635. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24781315>.
5. Williams PL, Crain M, Yildirim C, et al. Congenital anomalies and *in utero* antiretroviral exposure in HIV-exposed uninfected infants. *JAMA*. 2015. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4286442/>.
6. Prieto LM, Gonzalez-Tome MI, Munoz E, et al. Birth defects in a cohort of infants born to HIV-infected women in Spain, 2000–2009. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2014;14:700. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25808698>.
7. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry international interim report 1 January 1989–31 January 2018. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2018. Available at: <http://www.apregistry.com/>.
8. Mandelbrot L, Kermarrec N, Marcollet A, et al. Case report: nucleoside analogue-induced lactic acidosis in the third trimester of pregnancy. *AIDS*. 2003;17(2):272-273. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12545093>.
9. Sarner L, Fakoya A. Acute onset lactic acidosis and pancreatitis in the third trimester of pregnancy in HIV-1 positive women taking antiretroviral medication. *Sex Transm Infect*. 2002;78(1):58-59. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11872862>.
10. Bristol-Myers Squibb Company. Healthcare provider important drug warning letter. 2001. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/applletter/2002/21183s1ltr.pdf
11. Williams PL, Hazra R, Van Dyke RB, et al. Antiretroviral exposure during pregnancy and adverse outcomes in HIV-exposed uninfected infants and children using a trigger-based design. *AIDS*. 2016;30(1):133-144. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26731758>.
12. Van Dyke RB, Chadwick EG, Hazra R, Williams PL, Seage GR 3rd. The PHACS SMARTT study: assessment of the safety of *in utero* exposure to antiretroviral drugs. *Front Immunol*. 2016;7:199. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27242802>.
13. Hleyhel M, Goujon S, Delteil C, et al. Risk of cancer in children exposed to didanosine *in utero*. *AIDS*. 2016;30(8):1245-1256. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26854809>.

Enfuvirtide (Fuzeon, T-20)

(Last updated December 7, 2018; last reviewed December 7, 2018)

Enfuvirtide is classified as Food and Drug Administration Pregnancy Category B.

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

Enfuvirtide was neither mutagenic nor clastogenic in a series of *in vitro* and animal *in vivo* screening tests. Long-term animal carcinogenicity studies of enfuvirtide have not been conducted.

Reproduction/Fertility

Reproductive toxicity has been evaluated in rats and rabbits. Enfuvirtide produced no adverse effects on the fertility of male or female rats at doses up to 30 mg/kg/day administered SQ (a dose that is 1.6 times the maximum recommended adult human daily dose on a body surface area basis).

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

Studies in rats and rabbits have shown no evidence of teratogenicity and no effect on reproductive function with enfuvirtide.¹

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

A study in rats revealed no evidence of harm to the fetus when enfuvirtide was administered in doses up to 27 times the adult human daily dose on a body surface area basis. A separate study in rabbits likewise revealed no harm to the fetus from enfuvirtide doses that were up to 3.2 times the adult human daily dose. Studies of radiolabeled enfuvirtide administered to lactating rats indicated radioactivity in the milk; however, it is not known if this reflected radiolabeled enfuvirtide or metabolites (amino acid and peptide fragments) of enfuvirtide.¹

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

Data on the use of enfuvirtide during human pregnancy are limited to case reports of a small number of women treated with the drug.²⁻⁹

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

In vitro and *in vivo* studies suggest that enfuvirtide does not readily cross the human placenta. Minimal placental passage of enfuvirtide was reported in published studies that included a total of eight peripartum patients and their neonates. These findings were supported by data from an *ex vivo* human placental cotyledon perfusion model.^{2,5,10-12}

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

In the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry and in a national cohort of pregnant women with HIV infection in Italy, insufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to enfuvirtide in humans have been monitored to be able to make a risk determination.^{13,14}

Excerpt from Table 8^a

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name.	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations	Use in Pregnancy
Enfuvirtide (T-20) Fuzeon	<u>T-20 (Fuzeon)</u> <i>Injectible:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Supplied as lyophilized powder. Each vial contains 108 mg of T-20; reconstitute with 1 mL of sterile water for injection for SQ delivery of approximately 90 mg/1 mL. 	T-20 is indicated for advanced HIV disease and must be used in combination with other ARV drugs to which the patient's virus is susceptible, as determined by resistance testing. <u>Standard Adult Dose:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> T-20 90 mg (1 mL) twice daily without regard to meals <u>PK in Pregnancy:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No PK data in human pregnancy. <u>Dosing in Pregnancy:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Insufficient data to make dosing recommendation. 	Minimal to low placental transfer to fetus. ^b No data on human teratogenicity.

^a Individual ARV drug dosages may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the [Adult and Adolescent Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 10](#)).

^b Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

High: >0.6 Moderate: 0.3–0.6 Low: <0.3

Key to Acronyms: ARV = antiretroviral; PK = pharmacokinetic; SQ = subcutaneous; T-20 = enfuvirtide

References

1. Enfuvirtide [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2015. Available at: http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2015/021481s030lbl.pdf.
2. Brennan-Benson P, Pakianathan M, Rice P, et al. Enfuvirtide prevents vertical transmission of multidrug-resistant HIV-1 in pregnancy but does not cross the placenta. *AIDS*. 2006;20(2):297-299. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16511429>.
3. Cohan D, Feakins C, Wara D, et al. Perinatal transmission of multidrug-resistant HIV-1 despite viral suppression on an enfuvirtide-based treatment regimen. *AIDS*. 2005;19(9):989-990. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15905684>.
4. Meyohas MC, Lacombe K, Carbonne B, Morand-Joubert L, Girard PM. Enfuvirtide prescription at the end of pregnancy to a multi-treated HIV-infected woman with virological breakthrough. *AIDS*. 2004;18(14):1966-1968. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15353987>.
5. Weizsaecker K, Kurowski M, Hoffmeister B, Schurmann D, Feiterna-Sperling C. Pharmacokinetic profile in late pregnancy and cord blood concentration of tipranavir and enfuvirtide. *Int J STD AIDS*. 2011;22(5):294-295. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21571982>.
6. Furco A, Gosrani B, Nicholas S, et al. Successful use of darunavir, etravirine, enfuvirtide and tenofovir/emtricitabine in pregnant woman with multiclass HIV resistance. *AIDS*. 2009;23(3):434-435. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19188762>.
7. Sued O, Lattner J, Gun A, et al. Use of darunavir and enfuvirtide in a pregnant woman. *Int J STD AIDS*. 2008;19(12):866-867. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19050223>.
8. Madeddu G, Calia GM, Campus ML, et al. Successful prevention of multidrug resistant HIV mother-to-child transmission with enfuvirtide use in late pregnancy. *Int J STD AIDS*. 2008;19(9):644-645. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18725561>.
9. Shust GF, Jao J, Rodriguez-Caprio G, et al. Salvage regimens containing darunavir, etravirine, raltegravir, or enfuvirtide in highly treatment-experienced perinatally infected pregnant women. *J Pediatric Infect Dis Soc*. 2014;3(3):246-250. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25844164>.

10. Ceccaldi PF, Ferreira C, Gavard L, Gil S, Peytavin G, Mandelbrot L. Placental transfer of enfuvirtide in the *ex vivo* human placenta perfusion model. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2008;198(4):433 e431-432. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18241815>.
11. Peters PJ, Polle N, Zeh C, et al. Nevirapine-associated hepatotoxicity and rash among HIV-infected pregnant women in Kenya. *J Int Assoc Physicians AIDS Care (Chic)*. 2012;11(2):142-149. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22020069>.
12. Moisan A, Desmoyer A, Bourgeois-Moine A, et al. Placental transfer of antiretroviral drugs in HIV-infected women: a retrospective study from 2002 to 2009. Abstract 1. Presented at: 11th International Workshop on Clinical Pharmacology of HIV Therapy. 2010. Sorrento, Italy.
13. Floridia M, Mastroiacovo P, Tamburrini E, et al. Birth defects in a national cohort of pregnant women with HIV infection in Italy, 2001–2011. *BJOG*. 2013;120(12):1466-1475. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23721372>.
14. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry international interim report for 1 January 1989–31 January 2018. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2018. Available at: <http://www.apregistry.com/>.

Fosamprenavir (Lexiva, FPV)

(Last updated December 7, 2018; last reviewed December 7, 2018)

Fosamprenavir is classified as Food and Drug Administration Pregnancy Category C. Fosamprenavir **should not** be used during pregnancy.

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

Fosamprenavir and amprenavir were neither mutagenic nor clastogenic in a series of *in vitro* and animal *in vivo* screening tests. Carcinogenicity studies of fosamprenavir showed an increase in the incidence of hepatocellular adenomas and hepatocellular carcinomas at all doses tested in male mice and at the highest dose tested in female mice. In rats, the incidence of hepatocellular adenomas and thyroid follicular cell adenomas increased in males at all doses and in females at the two highest doses. Repeat dose studies in rats produced effects consistent with enzyme activation, which predisposes rats, but not humans, to thyroid neoplasms. In rats there was an increase in the risk of interstitial cell hyperplasia at higher doses and an increase in the risk of uterine endometrial adenocarcinoma at the highest dose tested. The incidence of endometrial findings was slightly increased over concurrent controls but was within background range for female rats. Thus, the relevance of the incidence of uterine endometrial adenocarcinomas is uncertain. Exposures in the carcinogenicity studies were 0.3 to 0.7 times (in mice) and 0.7 to 1.4 times (in rats) those seen in humans given fosamprenavir 1400 mg twice daily. Exposures were 0.2 to 0.3 times (in mice) and 0.3 to 0.7 times (in rats) those seen in humans given fosamprenavir 1400 mg once daily plus ritonavir 200 mg once daily or 0.1 to 0.3 times (in mice) and 0.3 to 0.6 times (in rats) those seen in humans given fosamprenavir 700 mg plus ritonavir 100 mg twice daily.¹

Reproduction/Fertility

No impairment of fertility or mating was seen in rats given doses that produced exposures that were three to four times the exposure seen in humans who were given fosamprenavir alone, or exposures that were similar to those seen in humans who received both fosamprenavir and ritonavir. No effect was seen on the development or maturation of sperm in rats at these doses.

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

Administration of fosamprenavir to pregnant rats and rabbits produced no major effects on embryo-fetal development; however, the incidence of abortion was increased in rabbits that were administered fosamprenavir. Administration of amprenavir to pregnant rabbits was associated with abortions and an increased incidence of minor skeletal variations from deficient ossification of the femur, humerus, and trochlea. Administration of fosamprenavir to pregnant rats at doses that produced twice the exposure typically seen in humans was associated with a reduction in pup survival and body weights. Female offspring had an increased time to successful mating, an increased length of gestation, a reduced number of uterine implantation sites per litter, and reduced gestational body weights compared to controls.

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Amprenavir is excreted in the milk of lactating rats.

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

Data on the use of fosamprenavir in pregnant women are limited. Fosamprenavir pharmacokinetic (PK) data have been reported in 26 women during pregnancy and postpartum. Following standard dosing with fosamprenavir 700 mg and ritonavir 100 mg twice daily, the fosamprenavir area under the curve and 12-hour trough concentration were somewhat lower during pregnancy and higher postpartum, compared to historical data. Fosamprenavir exposure during pregnancy appeared to be adequate for patients without protease inhibitor resistance mutations.² For the postpartum period, potential PK interactions with hormonal contraceptives should be taken into account (see [Table 3](#) in [Preconception Counseling and Care](#)).

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

In a small study of women who received fosamprenavir during pregnancy, the median amprenavir concentration in cord blood was 0.27 µg/mL (with a range of 0.09–0.60 µg/mL), and the median ratio of amprenavir concentration in cord blood to that in maternal plasma at the time of delivery was 0.24 (with a range of 0.06–0.93).² A second small study in pregnancy yielded a similar mean ratio of amprenavir concentration in cord blood to that in maternal plasma at the time of delivery of 0.27 (95% confidence interval 0.24, 0.30).³ Whether amprenavir is excreted in human breast milk is unknown.

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

Two birth defects out of 109 live births with first-trimester exposure and two birth defects out of 36 live births with second- or third-trimester exposure have been reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry. These numbers are insufficient to draw conclusions regarding the risk of birth defects.⁴

Excerpt from Table 8^a

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations	Use in Pregnancy
<p>Fosamprenavir (FPV) <i>Lexiva</i> (a prodrug of amprenavir)</p> <p>Note: Must be combined with low-dose RTV boosting in pregnancy.</p>	<p><u>FPV (Lexiva)</u></p> <p><u>Tablets:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 700 mg <p><u>Oral</u></p> <p><u>Suspension:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 50 mg/mL 	<p><u>Standard Adult Doses</u></p> <p><u>FPV (Lexiva)</u></p> <p><u>ARV-Naive Patients:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • FPV 1400 mg twice daily without food, <i>or</i> • FPV 1400 mg plus RTV 100 or 200 mg once daily without food, <i>or</i> • FPV 700 mg plus RTV 100 mg twice daily without food <p><u>PI-Experienced Patients:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Once-daily dosing is not recommended • FPV 700 mg plus RTV 100 mg twice daily without food <p><u>Coadministered with EFV:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • FPV 700 mg plus RTV 100 mg twice daily without food; <i>or</i> • FPV 1400 mg plus RTV 300 mg once daily without food <p><u>PK in Pregnancy:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • With RTV boosting, AUC is reduced during the third trimester. However, exposure is greater during the third trimester with boosting than in nonpregnant adults without boosting, and trough concentrations achieved during the third trimester were adequate for patients without PI resistance mutations. <p><u>Dosing in Pregnancy:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use of unboosted FPV or once-daily FPV with RTV boosting is not recommended during pregnancy. No change is indicated in standard boosted twice-daily dose (FPV 700 mg plus RTV 100 mg twice daily without food). 	<p>FPV should not be used during pregnancy.</p> <p>Low placental transfer to fetus.^b</p> <p>Insufficient data to assess for teratogenicity in humans. Increased fetal loss in rabbits, but no increase in defects in rats and rabbits.</p>

^a Individual ARV drug dosages may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the [Adult and Adolescent Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 10](#)).

^b Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

High: >0.6 **Moderate:** 0.3–0.6 **Low:** <0.3

Key to Acronyms: ARV = antiretroviral; AUC = area under the curve; EFV = efavirenz; FPV = fosamprenavir; PI = protease inhibitor; PK = pharmacokinetic; RTV = ritonavir

References

1. Fosamprenavir [package insert] Food and Drug Administration. 2017. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2017/021548s0401bledt.pdf.
2. Capparelli EV, Stek A, Best B, et al. Boosted fosamprenavir pharmacokinetics during pregnancy. Presented at: The 17th

Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2010. San Francisco, CA.

3. Cespedes MS, Castor D, Ford SL, et al. Steady-state pharmacokinetics, cord blood concentrations, and safety of ritonavir-boosted fosamprenavir in pregnancy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2013;62(5):550-554. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23314414>.
4. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral pregnancy registry international interim report for 1 January 1989–31 January 2018. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2018. Available at: <http://www.apregistry.com/>.

Indinavir (Crixivan, IDV)

(Last updated December 7, 2018; last reviewed December 7, 2018)

Indinavir is classified as Food and Drug Administration Pregnancy Category C. Given the availability of effective alternative antiretroviral (ARV) drugs, indinavir **is not recommended** for use in pregnant women.

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

Indinavir is neither mutagenic nor clastogenic in both *in vitro* and *in vivo* assays. No increased incidence of any tumor types occurred during long-term studies in mice. At the highest dose studied in rats (640 mg/kg/day or 1.3-fold higher than systemic exposure at human therapeutic doses), thyroid adenomas were seen in male rats.¹

Reproduction/Fertility

No effect of indinavir has been seen on reproductive performance, fertility, or embryo survival in rats.¹

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

There has been no evidence of teratogenicity or treatment-related effects of indinavir on embryonic/fetal survival or fetal weights in rats, rabbits, or dogs at exposures comparable to, or slightly greater than, therapeutic human exposure. Developmental toxicity in rats, which manifested as an increase in supernumerary and cervical ribs, was observed at doses comparable to those administered to humans. No treatment-related external or visceral changes were observed in rats. No treatment-related external, visceral, or skeletal changes were seen in rabbits (fetal exposure was limited, approximately 3% of maternal levels) or dogs (fetal exposure approximately 50% of maternal levels). Indinavir was administered to rhesus monkeys during the third trimester (at doses up to 160 mg/kg twice daily) and to neonatal rhesus monkeys (at doses up to 160 mg/kg twice daily). When administered to neonates, indinavir caused an exacerbation of the transient physiologic hyperbilirubinemia seen in this species after birth; serum bilirubin values were approximately four-fold greater than those seen in controls receiving indinavir 160 mg/kg twice daily. A similar exacerbation did not occur in neonates after *in utero* exposure to indinavir during the third trimester. In rhesus monkeys, fetal plasma drug levels were approximately 1% to 2% of maternal plasma drug levels approximately 1 hour after maternal dosing with indinavir at 40, 80, or 160 mg/kg twice daily.¹

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Significant placental passage of indinavir occurs in rats and dogs, but only limited placental transfer occurs in rabbits. Indinavir is excreted in the milk of lactating rats at concentrations slightly greater than maternal levels.¹

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

The optimal dosing regimen for use of indinavir in pregnant patients has not been established. Two studies of the pharmacokinetics (PKs) of unboosted indinavir (800 mg taken 3 times/day) during pregnancy demonstrated significantly lower indinavir plasma concentrations during pregnancy than postpartum.^{2,3} Use of unboosted indinavir is not recommended in pregnant patients with HIV because of the substantially lower antepartum concentrations and the limited experience in this patient population.

Several studies have investigated the use of indinavir/ritonavir (IDV/r) during pregnancy. In an intensive PK study of 26 pregnant Thai women receiving IDV/r 400/100 mg twice daily, indinavir plasma concentrations were significantly lower during pregnancy than postpartum. The median trough indinavir concentration was 0.13 µg/mL; 24% of subjects had trough concentrations below 0.10 µg/mL, the target trough concentration used in therapeutic drug monitoring programs; and 81% of subjects had RNA viral loads <50 copies/mL at delivery.⁴ In a study of pregnant French women receiving IDV/r 400 mg/100 mg twice a day, the median

indinavir trough concentration was 0.16 µg/mL, 18% of subjects had trough concentrations below 0.12 µg/mL, and 93% of subjects had HIV RNA levels <200 copies/mL at delivery.⁵ In a small study of two patients who received IDV/r 800 mg/200 mg twice daily, third-trimester indinavir area under the curve exceeded that for historical non-pregnant controls.⁶ The available data are insufficient to allow for definitive dosing recommendations for use of IDV/r during pregnancy.

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Transplacental passage of indinavir was minimal in studies of pregnant women who received unboosted indinavir. In a study of pregnant Thai women receiving IDV/r, median indinavir concentration in cord blood was 0.12 µg/mL, median maternal plasma delivery concentration was 0.96 µg/mL, and the median ratio between indinavir concentrations in cord blood and maternal plasma at delivery was 0.12.⁴ In one woman taking IDV/r 600 mg/200 mg twice daily, indinavir concentrations in breast milk were 90% to 540% of plasma concentrations over the first 5 days after delivery.⁷

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

Although the French Perinatal Cohort reported an association of head and neck birth defects with first trimester exposure to indinavir (3 defects in 350 first-trimester exposures, 0.9%), the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry has not observed an increase in birth defects with use of indinavir.^{8,9} Among cases of first-trimester indinavir exposure reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, prevalence of birth defects was 2.4% (7 of 289 births; 95% CI, 1.0% to 4.9%) compared with a total prevalence of 2.76% in the U.S. population, according to Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance.⁹

Excerpt from Table 8^a

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations	Use in Pregnancy
Indinavir (IDV) Crixivan Note: Must be combined with low-dose RTV boosting in pregnancy	<u>IDV (Crixivan)</u> Capsules: • 200 mg • 400 mg	<u>Standard Adult Dose</u> <u>Without RTV Boosting:</u> • IDV 800 mg every 8 hours, taken 1 hour before or 2 hours after meals; may be taken with skim milk or a low-fat meal. <u>With RTV Boosting:</u> • IDV 800 mg plus RTV 100 mg twice daily without regard to meals <u>PK in Pregnancy:</u> • IDV exposure markedly reduced when administered without RTV boosting during pregnancy. IDV exposure is low with IDV 400 mg/RTV 100 mg dosing during pregnancy; no PK data available on alternative boosted dosing regimens in pregnancy. <u>Dosing in Pregnancy:</u> • Use of unboosted IDV is not recommended during pregnancy.	Minimal placental transfer to fetus. ^b No evidence of human teratogenicity in cases reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry (can rule out 2-fold increase in overall birth defects). Must be given as low-dose, RTV-boosted regimen in pregnancy. Theoretical concern regarding increased indirect bilirubin levels, which may exacerbate physiologic hyperbilirubinemia in neonates. Minimal placental passage mitigates this concern. Given the available alternative ARVs, IDV is not recommended for treatment of pregnant women in the United States.

^a Individual ARV drug dosages may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the [Adult and Adolescent Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 10](#)).

^b Placental transfer categories are determined by the mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

High: >0.6 **Moderate:** 0.3–0.6 **Low:** <0.3

Key to Acronyms: ARV = antiretroviral; IDV = indinavir; PK = pharmacokinetic; RTV = ritonavir

References

1. Indinavir [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2016. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2016/020685s0781bl.pdf.
2. Unadkat JD, Wara DW, Hughes MD, et al. Pharmacokinetics and safety of indinavir in human immunodeficiency virus-infected pregnant women. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2007;51(2):783-786. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17158945>.
3. Hayashi S, Beckerman K, Homma M, Kosel BW, Aweeka FT. Pharmacokinetics of indinavir in HIV-positive pregnant women. *AIDS*. 2000;14(8):1061-1062. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10853990>.
4. Cressey TR, Best BM, Achalapong J, et al. Reduced indinavir exposure during pregnancy. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2013;76(3):475-483. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23305215>.
5. Ghosn J, De Montgolfier I, Cornелиe C, et al. Antiretroviral therapy with a twice-daily regimen containing 400 milligrams of indinavir and 100 milligrams of ritonavir in human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected women during pregnancy. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2008;52(4):1542-1544. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18250187>.
6. Kosel BW, Beckerman KP, Hayashi S, Homma M, Aweeka FT. Pharmacokinetics of nelfinavir and indinavir in HIV-1-infected pregnant women. *AIDS*. 2003;17(8):1195-1199. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12819521>.
7. Colebunders R, Hodossy B, Burger D, et al. The effect of highly active antiretroviral treatment on viral load and antiretroviral drug levels in breast milk. *AIDS*. 2005;19(16):1912-1915. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16227801>.
8. Sibiude J, Mandelbrot L, Blanche S, et al. Association between prenatal exposure to antiretroviral therapy and birth defects: an analysis of the French perinatal cohort study (ANRS CO1/CO11). *PLoS Med*. 2014;11(4):e1001635. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24781315>.
9. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral pregnancy registry international interim report 1 January 1989–31 January 2018. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2018. Available at: <http://www.apregistry.com/>.

Nelfinavir (Viracept, NFV)

(Last updated December 7, 2018; last reviewed December 7, 2018)

Nelfinavir is classified as Food and Drug Administration Pregnancy Category B. Nelfinavir **should not** be used during pregnancy.

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

Nelfinavir was neither mutagenic nor clastogenic in a series of *in vitro* and animal *in vivo* screening tests. However, incidence of thyroid follicular cell adenomas and carcinomas was increased over baseline in male rats receiving nelfinavir doses of 300 mg/kg/day or higher (which produced exposures that were equal to a systemic exposure observed in humans who received therapeutic doses) and female rats receiving nelfinavir 1000 mg/kg/day (which produced a systemic exposure 3-fold higher than the exposure seen in humans who received therapeutic doses).¹

Reproduction/Fertility

Nelfinavir has had no observable effect on reproductive performance, fertility, or embryo survival in rats at exposures comparable to human therapeutic exposure.¹ Additional studies in female rats indicated that exposure to nelfinavir from mid-pregnancy through lactation had no effect on the survival, growth, and development of the offspring to weaning. Maternal exposure to nelfinavir also did not affect subsequent reproductive performance of the offspring.

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

No evidence of teratogenicity has been observed in pregnant rats at exposures that were comparable to human exposure and in rabbits with exposures that were significantly less than human exposure.¹

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

A Phase 1/2 safety and pharmacokinetic (PK) study (PACTG 353) of nelfinavir administered in combination with zidovudine and lamivudine was conducted in pregnant women with HIV and their infants.² In the first nine pregnant women enrolled in the study, nelfinavir administered at a dose of 750 mg three times daily produced drug exposures that were variable and generally lower than those reported in nonpregnant adults with both twice-daily and three-times-daily dosing. Therefore, the study was modified to evaluate an increased dose of nelfinavir given twice daily (1250 mg twice daily), which resulted in adequate levels of the drug in pregnancy. However, in two other small studies of women given nelfinavir 1250 mg twice daily during the second and third trimesters, drug concentrations in both those trimesters were somewhat lower than those seen in nonpregnant women.^{3,4}

A PK study evaluated 25 women at 30 to 36 weeks' gestation and 12 women at 6 to 12 weeks postpartum who received the nelfinavir 625-mg tablet formulation, given as 1250 mg twice daily. Peak nelfinavir levels and area under the curve were lower during the third trimester than postpartum.⁵ Only 16% of women (4 of 25) during the third trimester and 8% of women (1 of 12) postpartum had trough values greater than the suggested minimum trough of 800 ng/mL; however, viral load was <400 copies/mL in 96% of women in the third trimester and 86% postpartum. In a follow up study, use of an increased dose of 1875 mg twice daily after 30 weeks gestation resulted in nelfinavir exposures during the third trimester that were equivalent to those seen with 1250 mg twice daily postpartum.⁶

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

In PACTG 353, transplacental passage of nelfinavir was minimal.² In addition, in a study of cord blood samples from 38 women who were treated with nelfinavir during pregnancy, the cord blood nelfinavir concentration was less than the assay limit of detection in 24 women (63%), and the cord blood concentration was low (with a median of 0.35 µg/mL) in the remaining 14 women.⁷ Among 20 mother-infant pairs in the

Netherlands, the cord blood-to-maternal-plasma ratio for nelfinavir was 0.14 compared to 0.67 for nevirapine and 0.24 for lopinavir.⁸

Nelfinavir also has low breast milk passage. In a PK study conducted in Kisumu, Kenya, concentrations of nelfinavir and its active metabolite, M8, were measured in maternal plasma and breast milk from 26 mothers who received nelfinavir as part of antiretroviral therapy and from plasma samples collected from their 27 infants at birth, 2, 6, 14, and 24 weeks.⁹ Peak nelfinavir concentrations were recorded in maternal plasma and breast milk at 2 weeks. Median breast milk-to-plasma ratio was 0.12 for nelfinavir and 0.03 for its active metabolite (i.e., M8). Nelfinavir and M8 concentrations were below the limit of detection in 20 of 28 (71%) infant plasma dried blood spots tested from nine infants over time points from delivery through 24 weeks. Overall transfer to breast milk was low and resulted in nonsignificant exposure to nelfinavir among breastfed infants through age 24 weeks.

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

In the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to nelfinavir have been monitored to be able to detect at least a 1.5-fold increased risk of overall birth defects and a two-fold increased risk of birth defects in the cardiovascular and genitourinary systems. No such increase in birth defects has been observed with exposure to nelfinavir. Among cases of first-trimester nelfinavir exposure reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, prevalence of birth defects was 3.9% (47 of 1,212 births; 95% CI, 2.9% to 5.1%) compared with a 2.7% total prevalence in the U.S. population, based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance.¹⁰

In the U.S. PHACS/SMARTT cohort study, after adjusting for birth cohort and other factors, maternal use of nelfinavir led to no increase in the likelihood of adverse metabolic, growth/development, cardiac, neurological, or neurodevelopmental outcomes.¹¹

Excerpt from Table 8^a

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations	Use in Pregnancy
Nelfinavir (NFV) <i>Viracept</i>	NFV (Viracept): <i>Tablets:</i> • 250 mg • 625 mg (tablets can be dissolved in a small amount of water) <i>Powder for Oral Suspension:</i> • 50 mg/g	Standard Adult Dose: • NFV 1250 mg twice daily, <i>or</i> • NFV 750 mg 3 times daily with food PK in Pregnancy: • Lower NFV exposure was observed during the third trimester than postpartum in women receiving NFV 1250 mg twice daily; however, adequate drug levels are generally achieved during pregnancy, although levels are variable in late pregnancy. Dosing in Pregnancy: • NFV 750 mg 3 times daily with food is not recommended during pregnancy. No change in standard dose (NFV 1250 mg twice daily with food) indicated.	NFV should not be used during pregnancy. Minimal to low placental transfer to fetus. ^b No evidence of human teratogenicity ; can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects and 2-fold increase in risk of cardiovascular and genitourinary birth defects. Contains aspartame; should not be used in individuals with phenylketonuria.

^a Individual antiretroviral drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the [Adult and Adolescent Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 10](#)).

^b Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

High: >0.6

Moderate: 0.3–0.6

Low: <0.3

Key to Acronyms: NFV = nelfinavir; PK = pharmacokinetic

References

1. Nelfinavir [package insert]. 2015. Food and Drug Administration. Available at: http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2015/020778s040,020779s061,021503s0231bl.pdf.
2. Bryson YJ, Mirochnick M, Stek A, et al. Pharmacokinetics and safety of nelfinavir when used in combination with zidovudine and lamivudine in HIV-infected pregnant women: Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group (PACTG) protocol 353. *HIV Clin Trials*. 2008;9(2):115-125. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18474496>.
3. Villani P, Floridia M, Pirillo MF, et al. Pharmacokinetics of nelfinavir in HIV-1-infected pregnant and nonpregnant women. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2006;62(3):309-315. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16934047>.
4. Fang A, Valluri SR, O'Sullivan MJ, et al. Safety and pharmacokinetics of nelfinavir during the second and third trimesters of pregnancy and postpartum. *HIV Clin Trials*. 2012;13(1):46-59. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22306587>.
5. Read JS, Best BM, Stek AM, et al. Pharmacokinetics of new 625 mg nelfinavir formulation during pregnancy and postpartum. *HIV Med*. 2008;9(10):875-882. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18795962>.
6. Eke AC, McCormack SA, Best BM, et al. Pharmacokinetics of increased nelfinavir plasma concentrations in women during pregnancy and postpartum. *J Clin Pharmacol*. 2019;59(3):386-393. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30358179>.
7. Mirochnick M, Dorenbaum A, Holland D, et al. Concentrations of protease inhibitors in cord blood after in utero exposure. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2002;21(9):835-838. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12352805>.
8. van Hoog S, Boer K, Nellen J, Scherpbier H, Godfried MH. Transplacental passage of nevirapine, nelfinavir and lopinavir. *Neth J Med*. 2012;70(2):102-103. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22418759>.
9. Weidle PJ, Zeh C, Martin A, et al. Nelfinavir and its active metabolite, hydroxy-t-butylamidenelfinavir (M8), are transferred in small quantities to breast milk and do not reach biologically significant concentrations in breast-feeding infants whose mothers are taking nelfinavir. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2011;55(11):5168-5171. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21876052>.
10. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral pregnancy registry international interim report for 1 January 1989 –31 January 2019. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2019. Available at: <http://www.apregistry.com/>.
11. Van Dyke RB, Chadwick EG, Hazra R, Williams PL, Seage GR, 3rd. The PHACS SMARTT study: assessment of the safety of In utero exposure to antiretroviral drugs. *Front Immunol*. 2016;7:199. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27242802>.

Saquinavir (Invirase, SQV)

(Last updated December 7, 2018; last reviewed December 7, 2018)

Saquinavir is classified as Food and Drug Administration Pregnancy Category B. Saquinavir **should not** be used during pregnancy.

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

Saquinavir was neither mutagenic nor clastogenic in a series of *in vitro* and animal *in vivo* screening tests. Carcinogenicity studies found no indication of carcinogenic activity in rats and mice given saquinavir for approximately 2 years at doses that produced plasma exposures approximately 29% (in rats) and 65% (in mice) of those obtained in humans who received the recommended clinical dose boosted with ritonavir.¹

Reproduction/Fertility

Saquinavir has had no observable effects on reproductive performance, fertility, or embryo survival in rats. Because of the limited bioavailability of saquinavir in animals, the maximum plasma exposures achieved in rats were approximately 26% of those obtained in humans who received the recommended clinical dose boosted with ritonavir.¹

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

No evidence of embryotoxicity or teratogenicity of saquinavir has been found in rabbits or rats. Because of the limited bioavailability of saquinavir in animals and/or dosing limitations, the plasma exposures (measured as area under the curve [AUC] values) were approximately 29% (in rats) and 21% (in rabbits) of those obtained in humans who received the recommended clinical dose boosted with ritonavir.¹

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Placental transfer of saquinavir in rats and rabbits was minimal. Saquinavir is excreted in the milk of lactating rats.¹

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

Studies have investigated saquinavir pharmacokinetics (PK) during pregnancy using 800 mg to 1200 mg of the original hard-gel capsule formulation and ritonavir 100 mg. Saquinavir exposures were reduced in pregnant adults compared to nonpregnant adults, but the majority of subjects achieved adequate C_{min} .²⁻⁴ The PKs of saquinavir when using the current 500-mg tablets at a dose of saquinavir/ritonavir 1000 mg/100 mg twice daily have been studied in pregnant women in two studies.^{5,6} One study performed intensive sampling on pregnant women with HIV at 20 weeks' gestation (n = 16), 33 weeks' gestation (n = 31), and 6 weeks postpartum (n = 9). PK parameters were comparable during pregnancy and postpartum.⁵ The second study performed intensive sampling in 14 pregnant women at 24 and 34 weeks' gestation and 6 weeks postpartum. Saquinavir AUC was similar during the second trimester and postpartum. Although there was a 50% reduction in saquinavir AUC during the third trimester compared to postpartum, no participant experienced loss of virologic control and all but one maintained adequate third-trimester trough levels of saquinavir.⁷ An observational study analyzed saquinavir concentrations in samples that were collected as part of clinical care between 11 and 13 hours after dosing with the tablet formulation (saquinavir/ritonavir 1000 mg/100 mg) in pregnant women with HIV during the third trimester (n = 20) and at delivery (n = 5). Saquinavir plasma concentrations averaged around 1.15 mg/L and exceeded 0.1 mg/L, the usual trough drug concentration target for saquinavir, in all but one subject.⁶

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

In a Phase 1 study in pregnant women and their infants (PACTG 386), transplacental passage of saquinavir was minimal.⁸ In addition, in a study of eight women treated with saquinavir during pregnancy, the cord

blood concentration of saquinavir was less than the assay limit of detection in samples from all of the women in the study.⁹ It is not known whether saquinavir is excreted in human milk.

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

Only 182 cases of first-trimester saquinavir exposure have been reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry. Without more data, the prevalence of birth defects among infants exposed to saquinavir cannot be accurately calculated.¹⁰

Other Safety Information

One study of 42 pregnant women who received antiretroviral therapy that included saquinavir/ritonavir reported abnormal transaminase levels in 13 women (31%) within 2 to 4 weeks of treatment initiation, although the abnormalities were mild (toxicity Grade 1–2 in most women, Grade 3 in one woman).¹¹ In a study of 62 pregnant women on a regimen that included saquinavir/ritonavir, one severe adverse event occurred (maternal Grade 3 hepatotoxicity).⁶

In the U.S. PHACS/SMARTT cohort study, after adjusting for birth cohort and other factors, maternal use of saquinavir led to no increase in the likelihood of adverse metabolic, growth/development, cardiac, or neurological outcomes. Late language emergence was more likely among saquinavir-exposed infants at 1 year (odds ratio 2.72; 95% CI, 1.09–6.91, $P = 0.03$), but not at 2 years. No significant differences were observed for other neurodevelopmental outcomes.¹²

Excerpt from Table 8^a

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations	Use in Pregnancy
Saquinavir (SQV) <i>Invirase</i> Note: Must be combined with low-dose RTV for PK boosting	<u>SQV (Invirase)</u> Tablet: • 500 mg Capsule: • 200 mg	<u>Standard Adult Dose:</u> • SQV 1000 mg plus RTV 100 mg twice a day with food or within 2 hours after a meal <u>PK in Pregnancy:</u> • Based on limited data, SQV exposure may be reduced in pregnancy, but this effect is not sufficient to warrant a dose change. <u>Dosing in Pregnancy:</u> • No change in dose indicated.	SQV should not be used during pregnancy. Contraindicated in patients with pre-existing cardiac conduction system disease. Baseline ECG recommended before starting, because PR and/or QT interval prolongations have been observed. Low placental transfer to fetus. ^b Insufficient data to assess for teratogenicity in humans. No evidence of teratogenicity in rats or rabbits. Must be boosted with low-dose RTV.

^a Individual ARV drug dosages may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the [Adult and Adolescent Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 10](#)).

^b Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

High: >0.6 **Moderate:** 0.3–0.6 **Low:** <0.3

Key to Acronyms: ECG = electrocardiogram; PK = pharmacokinetic; RTV = ritonavir; SQV = saquinavir

References

1. Saquinavir [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2016. Available at: http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2016/020628s041_021785s017lbl.pdf.
2. Khan W, Hawkins DA, Moyle G, et al. Pharmacokinetics (PK), safety, tolerability and efficacy of saquinavir hard-gel capsules/ritonavir (SQV/r) plus 2 nucleosides in HIV-infected pregnant women. Presented at: XV International AIDS Conference. 2004. Bangkok, Thailand.
3. Lopez-Cortes LF, Ruiz-Valderas R, Pascual R, Rodriguez M, Marin Niebla A. Once-daily saquinavir-hgc plus low-

dose ritonavir (1200/100 mg) in HIV-infected pregnant women: pharmacokinetics and efficacy. *HIV Clin Trials*. 2003;4(3):227-229. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12815561>.

4. Lopez-Cortes LF, Ruiz-Valderas R, Rivero A, et al. Efficacy of low-dose boosted saquinavir once daily plus nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors in pregnant HIV-1-infected women with a therapeutic drug monitoring strategy. *Ther Drug Monit*. 2007;29(2):171-176. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17417070>.
5. van der Lugt J, Colbers A, Molto J, et al. The pharmacokinetics, safety and efficacy of boosted saquinavir tablets in HIV type-1-infected pregnant women. *Antivir Ther*. 2009;14(3):443-450. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19474478>.
6. Brunet C, Reliquet V, Jovelin T, et al. Effectiveness and safety of saquinavir/ritonavir in HIV-infected pregnant women: INEMA cohort. *Med Mal Infect*. 2012;42(9):421-428. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22938775>.
7. Martinez-Rebollar M, Lonca M, Perez I, et al. Pharmacokinetic study of saquinavir 500 mg plus ritonavir (1000/100 mg twice a day) in HIV-positive pregnant women. *Ther Drug Monit*. 2011;33(6):772-777. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22105596>.
8. Zorrilla CD, Van Dyke R, Bardeguéz A, et al. Clinical response and tolerability to and safety of saquinavir with low-dose ritonavir in human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected mothers and their infants. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2007;51(6):2208-2210. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17420209>.
9. Mirochnick M, Dorenbaum A, Holland D, et al. Concentrations of protease inhibitors in cord blood after *in utero* exposure. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2002;21(9):835-838. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12352805>.
10. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral pregnancy registry international interim report for 1 January 1989–31 January 2018. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2018. Available at: <http://www.apregistry.com/>.
11. Hanlon M, O’Dea S, Clarke S, et al. Maternal hepatotoxicity with boosted saquinavir as part of combination ART in pregnancy. Presented at: 14th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2007. Los Angeles, CA.
12. Van Dyke RB, Chadwick EG, Hazra R, Williams PL, Seage GR, 3rd. The PHACS SMARTT study: assessment of the safety of In utero exposure to antiretroviral drugs. *Front Immunol*. 2016;7:199. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27242802>.

Stavudine (Zerit, d4T)

(Last updated December 7, 2018; last reviewed December 7, 2018)

Stavudine is classified as Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Pregnancy Category C.

Stavudine **is not recommended** for use in pregnant women with HIV due to its toxicity.

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

Stavudine is clastogenic in *in vitro* and *in vivo* assays but not mutagenic in *in vitro* assays. In 2-year carcinogenicity studies in mice and rats, stavudine was noncarcinogenic at doses that produced exposures 39 times (in mice) and 168 times (in rats) the human exposure observed at the recommended therapeutic dose. At higher levels of exposure (250 times [in mice] and 732 times [in rats] the human exposure seen at therapeutic doses), benign and malignant liver tumors occurred in mice and rats, and urinary bladder tumors occurred in male rats.¹

Reproduction/Fertility

Stavudine has no demonstrated effect on reproduction or fertility in rodents. No evidence of impaired fertility was seen in rats with exposures (based on C_{max}) up to 216 times the exposures observed following a clinical dosage of stavudine 1 mg/kg/day.¹ A dose-related cytotoxic effect has been observed on preimplantation mouse embryos, with inhibition of blastocyst formation occurring at a concentration of 100 μ M and inhibition of post-blastocyst development occurring at 10 μ M.²

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

No evidence of teratogenicity was noted in rats or rabbits with stavudine exposures (based on C_{max}) up to 399 times and 183 times, respectively, the exposures seen at a clinical dosage of stavudine 1 mg/kg/day. In rat fetuses, the incidence of a common skeletal variation—unossified or incomplete ossification of sternebra—increased at 399 times human exposure (i.e., the exposure in adult humans who received a standard dose), although no effect was observed at 216 times human exposure. A slight post-implantation loss was noted at 216 times human exposure, with no effect noted at approximately 135 times human exposure. An increase in early rat neonatal mortality (birth to day 4) occurred at 399 times human exposure, although survival of neonates was unaffected at approximately 135 times human exposure.¹

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

A study in rats showed that stavudine is transferred to the fetus through the placenta. The concentration in fetal tissue was approximately one-half the concentration in maternal plasma.¹ In primates (pig-tailed macaques), the ratio of fetal plasma concentrations/maternal plasma concentrations was approximately 0.80.³

Stavudine is excreted into the breast milk of lactating rats.¹

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

In a Phase 1/2 short-term safety and pharmacokinetic (PK) study of combination stavudine and lamivudine in pregnant women living with HIV and their infants (PACTG 332), both drugs were well tolerated, with maternal stavudine PK parameters similar to those seen in nonpregnant adults.⁴

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Stavudine crosses the human placenta, resulting in cord blood concentration/maternal blood concentration ratios of 1.0 to 1.3.⁵ Stavudine also crosses into human breast milk, resulting in breast milk concentration/maternal plasma concentration ratios of 1.0 to 1.76. Concentrations in nursing infants were negligible.^{6,7}

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

No association was found between first-trimester exposure to stavudine and birth defects in a large French cohort study that had 70% power to detect an increased adjusted odds ratio of 1.5.⁸ In the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to stavudine in humans have been monitored to be able to detect at least a two-fold increased risk of overall birth defects. No such increase in birth defects has been observed with stavudine. Among cases of first-trimester stavudine exposure reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, the prevalence of birth defects was 2.6% (21 of 811 births; 95% CI, 1.6% to 3.9%) compared with a total prevalence in the U.S. population of 2.7%, based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance.⁹

Other Safety Data

Cases of lactic acidosis, including some fatal cases, have been described in pregnant women receiving the combination of didanosine and stavudine along with other antiretroviral (ARV) agents.¹⁰⁻¹² The FDA and Bristol-Myers Squibb issued a warning to health care professionals that pregnant women may be at increased risk of fatal lactic acidosis when prescribed didanosine and stavudine in combination (see [Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy](#) and [Long-Term Follow-Up of Infants Exposed to Antiretroviral Drugs](#)). Didanosine and stavudine **should not be prescribed together** for pregnant women.

In a U.S. cohort study evaluation of the safety of ARV drugs used during pregnancy, children without HIV born to women with HIV who received didanosine plus stavudine during the pregnancy had an increased risk of both adverse neurodevelopmental (relative risk [RR] of 12.40; 95% CI, 5.29–29.08) and language (RR of 4.84, 95% CI, 1.14–20.51) outcomes compared to children whose mothers did not receive these drugs during pregnancy.¹³

Stavudine **is not recommended** for use in pregnant women with HIV due to its toxicity.

Excerpt from Table 8^a

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations	Use in Pregnancy
Stavudine (d4T) Zerit Note: Generic products are available for all formulations.	d4T (Zerit) Capsules: • 15 mg • 20 mg • 30 mg • 40 mg Oral Solution: • 1 mg/mL following reconstitution Note: Extended-release capsule formulation (Zerit XR) has been discontinued by the manufacturer.	Standard Adult Doses ^e Body Weight ≥60 kg: • 40 mg twice daily without regard to meals Body Weight <60 kg: • 30 mg twice daily without regard to meals Dosing in Pregnancy: • No change in dose indicated. PK in Pregnancy: • PK not significantly altered in pregnancy.	d4T is not recommended for pregnant women. High placental transfer. ^b No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 2-fold increase in overall birth defects). Lactic acidosis, sometimes fatal, has been reported in pregnant women receiving ddl and d4T together.

^a Individual ARV drug dosages may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see [Adult and Adolescent Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 10](#)).

^b Placental transfer categories—Mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

High: >0.6 Moderate: 0.3–0.6 Low: <0.3

^c WHO recommends maximum dose of 30 mg twice daily regardless of weight.

Key to Acronyms: ARV = antiretroviral; d4T = stavudine; ddl = didanosine; PK = pharmacokinetic; WHO = World Health Organization

References

1. Stavudine [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2017. Available at: http://packageinserts.bms.com/pi/pi_zerit.pdf.
2. Toltzis P, Mourton T, Magnuson T. Comparative embryonic cytotoxicity of antiretroviral nucleosides. *J Infect Dis*. 1994;169(5):1100-1102. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8169400>.
3. Odinecs A, Nosbisch C, Keller RD, Baughman WL, Unadkat JD. In vivo maternal-fetal pharmacokinetics of stavudine (2',3'-didehydro-3'-deoxythymidine) in pigtailed macaques (*Macaca nemestrina*). *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 1996;40(1):196-202. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8787905>.
4. Wade NA, Unadkat JD, Huang S, et al. Pharmacokinetics and safety of stavudine in HIV-infected pregnant women and their infants: pediatric AIDS clinical trials group protocol 332. *J Infect Dis*. 2004;190(12):2167-2174. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15551216>.
5. McCormack SA, Best BM. Protecting the fetus against HIV infection: a systematic review of placental transfer of antiretrovirals. *Clin Pharmacokinet*. 2014;53(11):989-1004. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25223699>.
6. Fogel JM, Taha TE, Sun J, et al. Stavudine concentrations in women receiving postpartum antiretroviral treatment and their breastfeeding infants. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2012;60(5):462-465. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22614899>.
7. Palombi L, Pirillo MF, Andreotti M, et al. Antiretroviral prophylaxis for breastfeeding transmission in Malawi: drug concentrations, virological efficacy and safety. *Antivir Ther*. 2012;17(8):1511-1519. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22910456>.
8. Sibiude J, Mandelbrot L, Blanche S, et al. Association between prenatal exposure to antiretroviral therapy and birth defects: an analysis of the French perinatal cohort study (ANRS CO1/CO11). *PLoS Med*. 2014;11(4):e1001635. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24781315>.
9. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral pregnancy registry international interim report for 1 January 1989–31 January 2018. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2018. Available at: <http://www.apregistry.com/>.
10. Bristol-Myers Squibb Company. Healthcare provider important drug warning letter. 2001. Available at: <http://www.fda.gov/Safety/MedWatch/SafetyInformation/SafetyAlertsforHumanMedicalProducts/ucm173947.htm>.
11. Sarner L, Fakoya A. Acute onset lactic acidosis and pancreatitis in the third trimester of pregnancy in HIV-1 positive women taking antiretroviral medication. *Sex Transm Infect*. 2002;78(1):58-59. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11872862>.
12. Mandelbrot L, Kermarrec N, Marcollet A, et al. Case report: nucleoside analogue-induced lactic acidosis in the third trimester of pregnancy. *AIDS*. 2003;17(2):272-273. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12545093>.
13. Williams PL, Hazra R, Van Dyke RB, et al. Antiretroviral exposure during pregnancy and adverse outcomes in HIV-exposed uninfected infants and children using a trigger-based design. *AIDS*. 2016;30(1):133-144. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26731758>.

Tipranavir (Aptivus, TPV)

(Last reviewed December 7, 2018; last updated December 7, 2018)

Tipranavir is classified as Food and Drug Administration Pregnancy Category C. Tipranavir **should not** be used during pregnancy.

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

Tipranavir was neither mutagenic nor clastogenic in a battery of five screening tests, both *in vitro* and, in animals, *in vivo*. Long-term carcinogenicity studies of tipranavir have been conducted in mice and rats. Mice were administered tipranavir doses ranging from 30 to 300 mg/kg/day, with or without ritonavir 40 mg/kg/day; all doses resulted in systemic exposures below those seen in humans receiving the recommended dose. Incidence of benign hepatocellular adenomas, combined adenomas/carcinomas, and hepatocellular carcinoma was increased in both male and female mice receiving tipranavir/ritonavir (TPV/r). The clinical relevance of the carcinogenic findings in mice is unknown. Rats were administered doses ranging from 30 to 300 mg/kg/day tipranavir, with or without ritonavir. No drug-related findings were observed in male rats. At the highest dose of tipranavir (approximately equivalent to exposure in humans at the recommended therapeutic dose), an increased incidence of benign follicular cell adenomas of the thyroid gland was observed in female rats. This finding is probably not relevant to humans, because thyroid follicular cell adenomas are considered a rodent-specific effect secondary to enzyme induction.¹

Reproduction/Fertility

Tipranavir had no effect on fertility or early embryonic development in rats at exposure levels that are similar to human exposure levels at the recommended clinical dose (TPV/r 500 mg/200 mg administered twice daily).¹

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

No teratogenicity was detected in studies of pregnant rats and rabbits with exposure levels that were approximately 1.1-fold and 0.1-fold human exposure levels. Fetal toxicity (decreased ossification and body weights) was observed in rats exposed to 400 mg/kg/day or more of tipranavir (~0.8-fold human exposure). Fetal toxicity was not seen in rats and rabbits at levels of 0.2-fold and 0.1-fold human exposures. In rats, no adverse effects on development occurred at exposure levels of 40 mg/kg/day (~0.2-fold human exposure), but growth inhibition in pups and maternal toxicity were observed at 400 mg/kg/day (~0.8-fold human exposure).¹

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

No animal studies of placental or breast milk passage of tipranavir have been reported.

Human Studies in Pregnancy

Pharmacokinetics

No studies of tipranavir have been completed in pregnant women or neonates.

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

It is unknown if tipranavir passes through the placenta or breast milk in humans. A single case report described relatively high levels of tipranavir in the third trimester and relatively high placental transfer (0.41), as measured by cord blood.²

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

The five first-trimester exposures to tipranavir that have been monitored to date in the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry are insufficient to draw conclusions regarding the risk of birth defects.³

Excerpt from Table 8^a

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations	Use in Pregnancy
Tipranavir (TPV) <i>Aptivus</i> Note: Must be combined with RTV for PK boosting	<u>TPV (Aptivus)</u> <i>Capsules:</i> • 250 mg <i>Oral Solution:</i> • 100 mg/mL	<u>Standard Adult Dose:</u> • TPV/r 500 mg/200 mg twice daily <u>With RTV Tablets:</u> • Take with food. <u>With RTV Capsules or Solution:</u> • Take without regard to food; however, administering with food may help make the dose more tolerable. <u>Dosing in Pregnancy:</u> • Insufficient data to make dosing recommendation <u>PK in Pregnancy:</u> • Limited PK data in human pregnancy	TPV should not be used during pregnancy. Moderate placental transfer to fetus reported in 1 patient. ^b Insufficient data to assess teratogenicity in humans. No evidence of teratogenicity in rats or rabbits. Must be given as low-dose, RTV-boosted regimen.

^a Individual ARV drug dosages may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the [Adult and Adolescent Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 10](#)).

^b Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

High: >0.6 Moderate: 0.3–0.6 Low: <0.3

Key to Acronyms: PK = pharmacokinetic; RTV = ritonavir; TPV = tipranavir; TPV/r = tipranavir/ritonavir

References

1. Tipranavir [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2016. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2016/021814s016,022292s009lbl.pdf.
2. Weizsaecker K, Kurowski M, Hoffmeister B, Schurmann D, Feiterna-Sperling C. Pharmacokinetic profile in late pregnancy and cord blood concentration of tipranavir and enfuvirtide. *Int J STD AIDS*. 2011;22(5):294-295. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21571982>.
3. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral pregnancy registry international interim report for 1 January 1989–31 January 2018. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2018. Available at: <http://www.apregistry.com/>.

Zalcitabine (HIVID, ddC)

Last Updated: November 7, 2007; Last Reviewed: November 7, 2007

Zalcitabine is classified as FDA pregnancy category C and is no longer available in the United States.

Animal Studies

Carcinogenicity

High doses of zalcitabine (more than 1,000 times that of human therapeutic exposure) have been associated with the development of thymic lymphomas in rodents.

Reproduction/Fertility

No effect of zalcitabine on reproduction or fertility in rodents has been seen. However, there is a dose-related cytotoxic effect on preimplantation mouse embryos, with inhibition at a zalcitabine concentration of 100 μ M; no inhibition of postblastocyst development was observed.¹

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

Teratogenicity (hydrocephalus) occurred in rats given very high doses (more than 1,000 times the maximally recommended human exposure) of zalcitabine.

Developmental toxicity, consisting of decreased fetal weight and skeletal defects, has been seen in rodents at moderate to high zalcitabine doses. Cytotoxic effects were observed on rat fetal thymocytes at zalcitabine concentrations as low as 10 μ M (approximately 100 times human therapeutic exposure).

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

In primate and placental perfusion studies, zalcitabine crosses the placenta (fetal-to-maternal drug ratio approximately 0.50 to 0.60).² In rodents, zalcitabine concentrates in the fetal kidney and a relatively small proportion (approximately 20%) reaches the fetal brain. It is unknown if zalcitabine is excreted in breast milk.

Human Studies in Pregnancy

No studies of zalcitabine have been conducted in pregnant women or neonates.

References

1. Toltzis P, Mourton T and Magnuson T. Comparative embryonic cytotoxicity of antiretroviral nucleosides. *J Infect Dis*, 1994. 169(5):1100-2.
2. Sandberg JA, Binienda Z, Lipe G, et al. Placental transfer and fetal disposition of 2',3'-dideoxycytidine and 2',3'-dideoxyinosine in the rhesus monkey. *Drug Metab Dispos*, 1995. 23(8):881-4.

Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry (Last updated March 28, 2014; last reviewed March 28, 2014)

The Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry (APR) is an epidemiologic project to collect observational, non-experimental data on antiretroviral (ARV) drug exposure during pregnancy for the purpose of assessing the potential teratogenicity of these drugs. Registry data will be used to supplement animal toxicology studies and assist clinicians in weighing the potential risks and benefits of treatment for individual patients. The registry is a collaborative project of the pharmaceutical manufacturers with an advisory committee of obstetric and pediatric practitioners.

It is strongly recommended that health care providers who are treating HIV-infected pregnant women and their newborns report cases of prenatal exposure to ARV drugs (either alone or in combination) to the APR. The registry does not use patient names and birth outcome follow-up is obtained from the reporting physician by registry staff.

Referrals should be directed to:

Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry
Research Park
1011 Ashes Drive
Wilmington, NC 28405
Telephone: 1-800-258-4263
Fax: 1-800-800-1052
<http://www.APRegistry.com>

Appendix C: Antiretroviral Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers

(Last updated March 17, 2022; last reviewed March 17, 2022)

Decision-making About Antiretroviral Drugs for People Who Are Pregnant or Are Trying to Conceive

This guide summarizes information, based on currently available data, to support counseling about the use of antiretroviral (ARV) drugs and antiretroviral therapy (ART) options during pregnancy for people who are pregnant or are trying to conceive. Patients should be counseled about the benefits and potential risks of ARV drugs in order to promote informed, individual decision-making.

For people who are pregnant or are trying to conceive, effective ART with sustained viral suppression maximizes their health and the prevention of perinatal HIV transmission. The risk of perinatal HIV transmission is reduced to the lowest levels (<1%) in people with HIV who initiate ART prior to conception and have sustained viral suppression to undetectable levels throughout pregnancy.

Before, during, and after pregnancy, clinicians and patients should discuss future childbearing desires and plans, the potential benefits and risks of conceiving while taking specific ARV medications, and contraceptive options to prevent unintended pregnancy.

When discussing risks of birth defects, it is important to point out that the overall risk of neural tube defects (NTDs) in the United States is low in the general population because of mandatory food folate fortification. A background risk of NTDs exists, regardless of the ARV regimen used or a person's HIV status in pregnancy. In the United States, the background risk of NTDs in the general population is 0.07%, or 7 infants with NTDs per 10,000 pregnancies. The [Centers for Disease Control and Prevention](#) (CDC) notes that 3,000 pregnancies are affected by infant NTDs every year in the United States. Most NTDs occur before the neural tube closes at 4 weeks postconception (approximately 6 weeks after the last menstrual period), often before a person is known to be pregnant. After 6 weeks' gestation, the additional risk of NTDs developing is thought to be much less likely. Folic acid supplementation should be encouraged for all people trying to conceive and in early pregnancy (see [Prenatal Counseling and Care for Persons of Childbearing Age with HIV](#)).

General Antiretroviral Counseling for People Who Are Pregnant or Are Trying to Conceive

- Provide information to help patients understand and consider the benefits, advantages, disadvantages, and potential risks associated with the use of the individual ARV drug they are currently receiving or will be initiating when making decisions about their ARV regimen. These factors include dosing frequency, side effects or tolerability issues, and adverse pregnancy outcomes (e.g., preterm delivery, birth defects). Refer to [Table 4](#), [Table 5](#), [Teratogenicity](#), and [Antiretroviral Drug Regimens and Maternal and Neonatal Outcomes](#) for additional information.
- Explain to patients that not enough is known about the safety of using certain ARV drugs around the time of conception or during pregnancy or about the need for dosing changes during

pregnancy because studies in pregnancy are limited. It is important to emphasize that a lack of data does not indicate the absence or presence of risk; rather, it means that we do not have all the information about all the possible effects when using these drugs during pregnancy.

- Explain to patients that changes in ART during pregnancy can lead to an increase in viral load, which increases the risk of perinatal HIV transmission; this viral rebound may affect choices for future ARV regimens because of the possible development of drug resistance.
- Counsel patients who are receiving ARVs that are not *Preferred* or *Alternative* options for use during pregnancy about the risks and benefits of continuing their current ART or switching to another ARV regimen. Discuss and consider the feasibility of switching to another ARV drug, the tolerability of each drug, the ability to maintain viral suppression, the risk of perinatal HIV transmission, and the risk of potential adverse outcomes. Panel recommendations about the continuation of specific ARV drugs are summarized in Table 5 and in [Pregnant People with HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy](#).
- Patients who are trying to conceive should receive information about the use of specific ARV regimens during pregnancy to enable them to make informed decisions before they become pregnant.

Clinicians are encouraged to report all cases of ARV drug exposure during pregnancy or in patients who conceived while receiving ARV drugs to the [Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry](#).

Antiretroviral Drugs That Are Recommended for Use in Pregnancy

- When making recommendations, the Panel considers available data about a drug's effectiveness in nonpregnant adults and adolescents, tolerability, and ease of use, as well as pregnancy-specific data about potential risks—such as birth defects, pre-term birth, and pharmacokinetic (PK) changes—that could affect effectiveness and dosing. Some ARV drugs recommended for use in nonpregnant adults—such as bicitgravir—are not recommended for use in pregnancy because there are insufficient data about their use in pregnancy.
- *Preferred* ARV drug options for use in ARV regimens for people who are pregnant or are trying to conceive include dolutegravir (DTG), raltegravir,^a atazanavir/ritonavir (ATV/r), or darunavir/ritonavir (DRV/r) used in combination with two *Preferred* nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs): abacavir plus lamivudine (3TC) or emtricitabine (FTC), tenofovir disoproxil fumarate plus 3TC or FTC, or tenofovir alafenamide (TAF) plus 3TC or FTC. DTG-based regimens are *Preferred* for people with [Acute HIV](#) during pregnancy. A moderate amount of data about pregnancy outcomes and birth defects exist for each of these drugs and drug combinations. Although these data are reassuring, it is important to note that a rigorous, systematic birth surveillance program that includes large numbers of women with periconceptional exposure is available only for DTG and efavirenz (EFV).
- EFV and rilpivirine (RPV) are recommended as *Alternative* ARV drug options in pregnancy. *Alternative* drugs may have more limited data on use in pregnancy than *Preferred* drugs

^a Raltegravir requires twice-daily dosing during pregnancy and has a lower barrier to resistance than DTG; DRV/r also requires twice-daily dosing in pregnancy.

(e.g., RPV) or may be associated with more PKs, dosing, tolerability, drug interaction, or resistance concerns than those in the *Preferred* category, but they are acceptable for use in pregnancy. **Zidovudine is an *Alternative* NRTI for use in pregnancy.**

- **Early data from a study in Botswana showed that the use of DTG around the time of conception was associated with a very small increase in the prevalence of infant NTDs. Later, additional data have shown a modest decline in the prevalence of NTDs with the use of DTG at conception. In the most recent analysis of data through March 2021, the prevalence of NTDs among infants born to women on DTG at conception did not differ significantly from those born to women receiving non-DTG regimens. Data from available studies have not shown an increase in the prevalence of NTDs in infants born to women who initiated DTG during pregnancy.**
- **The risk of other adverse pregnancy outcomes, many of which are more common than birth defects, also should be discussed. ARV regimens that contain ritonavir-boosted protease inhibitors may increase the risk of preterm delivery.**
- **Recommendations regarding the use of specific ARV agents or ARV regimens often change as more information on the safety, tolerability, and PK changes of these drugs in pregnancy becomes available. With the availability of additional data, the Panel now recommends TAF as a *Preferred* NRTI for ARV regimens in pregnancy.**
- **Cobicistat-boosted regimens (atazanavir/cobicistat, darunavir/cobicistat, or elvitegravir/cobicistat) are not recommended for use during pregnancy. PK studies suggest increased drug metabolism and lower therapeutic drug levels of cobicistat-boosted ARVs during pregnancy. Patients who choose to continue one of these regimens should have more frequent viral load monitoring (i.e., every 1–2 months). It is also important to reinforce the need to follow the instructions for taking the regimen to optimize absorption (e.g., taking certain drugs with or without food, avoiding antacids or divalent cation-containing vitamins).**
- **If an ARV regimen is changed during pregnancy, drugs in the new regimen should include those that are recommended for use in pregnancy (see [Table 4](#) and [Table 5](#)), and viral load should be monitored more frequently (i.e., every 1–2 months).**
- **For additional information, see [Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy, Table 4, and Table 5.](#)**

Appendix D: Acronyms (Last updated October 26, 2016; last reviewed October 26, 2016)

Acronym/Abbreviation	Full Name
3TC	lamivudine
ABC	abacavir
ACOG	American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists
ALT	alanine aminotransferase
anti-HBc	anti-hepatitis B core antibody
anti-HBS	hepatitis B surface antibody
AOR	adjusted odds ratio
AP	antepartum
ART	antiretroviral therapy
ARV	antiretroviral
AST	aspartate aminotransferase
ATV	atazanavir
ATV/r	atazanavir/ritonavir
AUC	area under the curve
AZT	zidovudine
BID	twice daily
BMI	body mass index
CBC	complete blood count
CD4	CD4 T lymphocyte
CDC	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
CI	confidence interval
C _{max}	maximum plasma concentration
C _{min}	minimum plasma concentration
CNS	central nervous system
COBI	cobicistat
CVS	chorionic villus sampling
CYP	cytochrome P
CYP3A4	cytochrome P450 3A4
d4T	stavudine
ddI	didanosine
DMPA	depot medroxyprogesterone acetate
DRV	darunavir
DRV/r	darunavir/ritonavir
DSMB	Data and Safety Monitoring Board

DTG	dolutegravir
EC	enteric coated
ECG	electrocardiogram
EFV	efavirenz
EMS	ethyl methane sulfonate
ETR	etravirine
EVG	elvitegravir
FDA	Food and Drug Administration
FDC	fixed drug combination
FPV	fosamprenavir
FPV/r	fosamprenavir/ritonavir
FTC	emtricitabine
gp	glycoprotein
HAV	hepatitis A virus
HBIG	hepatitis B immune globulin
HBsAg	hepatitis B surface antigen
HBV	hepatitis B virus
HCV	hepatitis C virus
HELLP	hemolysis, elevated liver enzymes, and low platelets
HGC	hard gel capsule
HR	hazard ratio
HRSA	Health Resources and Services Administration
HSR	hypersensitivity reaction
IC ₅₀	inhibitory concentration 50%
IDV	indinavir
IDV/r	indinavir/ritonavir
IGF	insulin-like growth factor
IgG	Immunoglobulin G
IP	intrapartum
IQR	interquartile range
IRIS	immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome
IUD	intrauterine device
IV	intravenous/intravenously
LPV	lopinavir
LPV/r	lopinavir/ritonavir
MAC	<i>Mycobacterium avium</i> complex
mtDNA	mitochondrial DNA
MVC	maraviroc

NFV	nelfinavir
NIH	National Institutes of Health
NNRTI	non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor/non-nucleoside analogue reverse transcriptase inhibitor
NRTI	nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor/nucleoside analogue reverse transcriptase inhibitor
NtRTI	nucleotide analogue reverse transcriptase inhibitor
NVP	nevirapine
OC	oral contraceptive
OI	opportunistic infection
OR	odds ratio
The Panel	The Panel on Treatment of HIV-Infected Pregnant Women and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission
PCP	<i>Pneumocystis jirovecii</i> pneumonia
PCR	polymerase chain reaction
PI	protease inhibitor
PK	pharmacokinetic
PO	orally
PP	postpartum
PPI	proton pump inhibitor
PrEP	pre-exposure prophylaxis
PTD	preterm delivery
RAL	raltegravir
RDS	respiratory distress syndrome
RPV	rilpivirine
RR	relative risk
RTV	ritonavir
SD	single dose
SQ	subcutaneous
SQV	saquinavir
SQV/r	saquinavir/ritonavir
STD	sexually transmitted disease
T20	enfuvirtide
TAF	tenofovir alafenamide
TDF	tenofovir disoproxil fumarate
TDM	therapeutic drug monitoring
TID	three times daily
TPV	tipranavir
TPV/r	tipranavir/ritonavir
UGT	uridine diphosphate glucuronosyltransferase
WHO	World Health Organization
ZDV	zidovudine