

# Recommendations for the Use of Antiretroviral Drugs in Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Interventions to Reduce Perinatal HIV Transmission in the United States

Downloaded from <a href="https://aidsinfo.nih.gov/guidelines">https://aidsinfo.nih.gov/guidelines</a> on 8/30/2020

Visit the AIDSinfo website to access the most up-to-date guideline.

Register for e-mail notification of guideline updates at <a href="https://aidsinfo.nih.gov/e-news">https://aidsinfo.nih.gov/e-news</a>.



# Recommendations for the Use of Antiretroviral Drugs in Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Interventions to Reduce Perinatal HIV Transmission in the United States



Developed by the HHS Panel on Treatment of Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission—A Working Group of the Office of AIDS Research Advisory Council (OARAC)

## **How to Cite the Perinatal Guidelines:**

Panel on Treatment of Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission. Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs in Transmission in the United States. Available at <a href="http://aidsinfo.nih.gov/contentfiles/lvguidelines/PerinatalGL.pdf">http://aidsinfo.nih.gov/contentfiles/lvguidelines/PerinatalGL.pdf</a>. Accessed (insert date) [include page numbers, table number, etc. if applicable]

It is emphasized that concepts relevant to HIV management evolve rapidly. The Panel has a mechanism to update recommendations on a regular basis, and the most recent information is available on the AIDSinfo website (<a href="http://aidsinfo.nih.gov">http://aidsinfo.nih.gov</a>).

# What's New in the Guidelines

Text and references throughout the guidelines were updated to include new data and publications where relevant. These changes are highlighted in yellow in the PDF version of the guidelines. Major section revisions are summarized below.

# **April 14, 2020**

# <u>Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection</u>

- The Panel has changed the term "empiric HIV therapy" to "presumptive HIV therapy" in this section and throughout the guidelines to be consistent with the terminology used by the World Health Organization. The Panel recommends presumptive HIV therapy for infants who are at a higher risk of perinatal HIV acquisition. For clarity, the term "multidrug ARV prophylaxis" has been changed to "two-drug ARV prophylaxis."
- Table 6. Neonatal Antiretroviral Management According to Risk of HIV Infection in the Newborn and Table 7. Antiretroviral Dosing Recommendations for Newborns have been revised to clarify the ARV regimens and the duration and dosing of ARV drugs that are used for presumptive HIV therapy.
- The two-drug regimen that was used in NICHD-HPTN 040/PACTG 1043 for infants who were at a higher risk of HIV acquisition is no longer included in Tables 6 and 7; this regimen is described in the text instead, see the <a href="Two-Drug Antiretroviral Prophylaxis">Two-Drug Antiretroviral Prophylaxis</a> section.

# **January 17, 2020**

# Long-Term Follow-Up of Infants Exposed to Antiretroviral Drugs

The Panel has added new subsections about potential adverse growth and metabolic and neurodevelopmental outcomes of *in utero* HIV and antiretroviral drug exposure.

# **December 24, 2019**

# Patient Counseling and Informed Decision-Making

In a number of sections, the Panel on Treatment of Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission (the Panel) emphasizes the importance of patient counseling and recommends supporting informed decision-making regarding the use of dolutegravir (DTG) and other antiretroviral (ARV) drugs for women who are pregnant or who are trying to conceive (AIII). A counseling guide has been added to summarize the content that should be discussed with patients; see <a href="Appendix D: Dolutegravir Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers">Appendix D: Dolutegravir Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers</a>. The Panel also recommends that clinicians discuss future reproductive plans and timing with patients as well as the risks and benefits of conceiving on specific ARV medications and contraceptive options to prevent unintended pregnancy (AIII).

# Maternal HIV Testing and Identification of Perinatal HIV Exposure

In addition to recommendations for repeat HIV testing in the third trimester, repeat HIV testing at other times during pregnancy should be considered when clinically indicated. For example, repeat testing should be performed when a woman presents with symptoms that are suggestive of a sexually transmitted infection (STI), when a woman presents with a confirmed STI diagnosis, or when a woman presents with symptoms that are consistent with acute HIV infection.

# Use of Dolutegravir in Pregnant Women and Women Who Are Trying to Conceive

The Panel updated the recommendations on the use of DTG in pregnant women and women trying to

conceive based on data available as of August 2019. Restrictions on the use of DTG during the first trimester and in women who are trying to conceive have been removed. DTG is now a *Preferred* ARV drug throughout pregnancy and an *Alternative* ARV drug for women who are trying to conceive. Panel members weighed not only the updated data about DTG-associated risk of infant neural tube defects (NTDs) from Botswana, but also the important lack of comparable data about the risk of NTDs when using DTG in other settings, and what is known about the risk of NTDs and other adverse pregnancy outcomes, such as preterm birth, when using other *Preferred* and *Alternative* ARV drugs and drug combinations.

The following sections of the guidelines now include updated information about the use of DTG:

- Preconception Counseling and Care for Women of Childbearing Age Living with HIV
- Teratogenicity
- Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy
- Table 4. What to Start: Initial Combination Regimens for Antiretroviral-Naive Pregnant Women
- <u>Table 5. Situation-Specific Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs in Pregnant Women and Nonpregnant Women Who Are Trying to Conceive</u>
- Pregnant Women Living with HIV Who Have Never Received Antiretroviral Drugs
- Pregnant Women Living with HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy
- HIV-2 Infection and Pregnancy
- Acute HIV Infection
- Postpartum Follow-Up of Women Living with HIV Infection
- Dolutegravir
- Appendix D. Dolutegravir Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers

# Reproductive Options for Couples in Which One or Both Partners are Living with HIV

Using antiretroviral therapy (ART) to achieve sustained viral suppression prevents HIV transmission to sexual partners. Given this, the recommendation for couples with differing HIV statuses who are trying to conceive no longer limits intercourse to the period of peak fertility in cases where the partner with HIV has achieved sustained viral suppression. Sexual intercourse without a condom allows for conception with effectively no risk of sexual HIV transmission to the partner without HIV in this situation.

The Panel also reorganized and updated the recommendations that provide guidance when the partner with HIV has not achieved viral suppression or has had inconsistent adherence, or when their viral suppression status is unknown.

A table with information about the efficacy of pre-exposure prophylaxis has been revised and moved to Appendix C: Clinical Trial Efficacy Data for Daily, Oral Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate/Emtricitabine as Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis.

# **Teratogenicity**

DTG-related recommendations have been updated (see the updates for Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy below) and a new subsection was added with data about the association between other integrase strand transfer inhibitors (INSTIs) and birth defects. This section also includes recent data about an increased rate of microcephaly in HIV-exposed but uninfected children with *in utero* efavirenz exposure.

# Combination Antiretroviral Drug Regimens and Maternal and Neonatal Outcomes

This section was revised and reorganized to focus on data regarding preterm birth, fetal growth restriction, miscarriage, and stillbirth that has been published since 2015. This section also discusses data about

hypertensive disorders of pregnancy and maternal HIV. For historical data related to these topics, please refer to the archived versions of this section.

# Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy

The Panel has updated the definitions for the *Preferred* and *Alternative* categories of ARV drugs recommended for use in pregnancy and in women who are trying to conceive.

Based on the available evidence, the Panel now recommends DTG as a <u>Preferred ARV drug for pregnant women, irrespective of trimester (AII)</u>, and an <u>Alternative ARV drug for women who are trying to conceive</u> (AIII). The Panel emphasizes the importance of counseling and informed decision-making regarding all ARV regimens for people with HIV (AIII).

Folic acid is known to prevent NTDs in the general population. All pregnant women and women who might conceive should take at least 400 mcg of folic acid daily (AI). There is no established link between the use of DTG and impaired folate metabolism, nor is there evidence that folate supplementation prevents DTG-associated NTDs.

# Pregnant Women Living with HIV Who Have Never Received Antiretroviral Drugs

Recommendations in this section have been updated in accordance with the updates to Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy, Table 4, and Table 5.

# Pregnant Women Living with HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy

When pregnant women who are receiving DTG present to care during pregnancy, providers should counsel these women about the risks and benefits of continuing DTG or switching to another ARV regimen (AIII). In most cases, the Panel recommends **continuation** of DTG (AIII).

There are no data about the use of two-drug regimens during pregnancy (e.g., DTG plus lamivudine, DTG plus rilpivirine); women who present to care on one of these regimens should switch regimens or add additional ARV agents to these regimens.

# Lack of Viral Suppression

After reviewing a woman's full treatment history and drug resistance test results, a clinician may consider using an INSTI as part of a new regimen for a pregnant woman who is experiencing virologic failure on an ARV regimen that does not contain an INSTI.

# Hepatitis B Virus/HIV Coinfection

The Panel's recommendations have been updated to clarify that women who lack hepatitis B virus (HBV) immunity should receive the HBV vaccine.

# Hepatitis C Virus/HIV Coinfection

In accordance with the recommendations of the Society for Maternal-Fetal Medicine and the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, the Panel recommends repeat hepatitis C virus (HCV) screening later in pregnancy for women who initially screen negative for HCV but who have persistent or new risk factors for HCV (e.g., new or ongoing injection or intranasal substance use) (AIII).

# HIV-2 Infection and Pregnancy

DTG is now recommended for treatment of HIV-2 mono-infection in pregnant women, irrespective of trimester, and in women who are trying to conceive (AIII).

As with HIV-1, the Panel recommends that clinicians consider the possibility of HBV/HIV-2 coinfection when choosing an ARV regimen to treat HIV-2 (AI).

# **Acute HIV Infection**

DTG plus tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) plus emtricitabine (FTC) is the *Preferred* ARV regimen for pregnant and breastfeeding women with acute HIV, irrespective of trimester (AII). Alternatively, raltegravir (RAL) plus TDF plus FTC or a regimen that includes a ritonavir-boosted protease inhibitor can be initiated (AIII).

# Postpartum Follow-Up of Women Living with HIV

Breastfeeding **is not recommended** for women with HIV, but symptoms related to breast engorgement can be very unpleasant in the days following labor and delivery. The Panel has added a new subsection about lactation inhibition that addresses the management of symptoms related to breast engorgement; this includes use of cabergoline to suppress breast milk production.

# Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or Perinatal HIV

When considering the risk of perinatal HIV transmission and the selection of appropriate ARV drugs for newborns with perinatal HIV exposure, the Panel now defines maternal viral suppression as an HIV RNA level of <50 copies/mL.

A new subsection summarizes information about choosing between empiric HIV therapy and multidrug ARV prophylaxis for newborns with perinatal HIV exposure who are at a high risk of perinatal HIV transmission.

The Panel has also clarified that nevirapine (NVP) can be replaced with lopinavir/ritonavir when infants who are receiving empiric HIV therapy reach a postmenstrual age  $\geq$ 42 weeks and a postnatal age  $\geq$ 14 days; NVP can be replaced with RAL at any age.

# Initial Postnatal Management of the Neonate Exposed to HIV

The Panel's recommendation that all newborns who were perinatally exposed to HIV should receive appropriate ARV drugs as soon as possible after delivery (AI) is now included in the bulleted list of recommendations for this section.

# Appendix B: Safety and Toxicity of Individual Antiretroviral Agents in Pregnancy

Table 8: Antiretroviral Drug Use in Pregnant Women with HIV Infection: Pharmacokinetic and Toxicity Data in Human Pregnancy and Recommendations for Use in Pregnancy and other sections in Appendix B have been updated with new data for each drug, including new formulations and fixed-dose combination tablets.

Older ARV drugs that the Panel <u>does not recommend</u> for use in pregnant women or women who are trying to conceive because of unacceptable toxicities, inferior virologic efficacy, high pill burden, pharmacologic concerns, and/or limited data about use in pregnancy have been moved to a new section in Appendix B titled Archived Drugs; data about these drugs will no longer be reviewed by the Panel. The drugs that were moved to this section include amprenavir, delavirdine, didanosine, enfuvirtide, fosamprenavir, indinavir, nelfinavir, saquinavir, stavudine, tipranavir, and zalcitabine.

# **Table of Contents**

What's New in the Guidelines	i
Guidelines Panel Members	viii
Financial Disclosure	х
Introduction	A-1
Table 1. Outline of the Guidelines Development Process	A-3
Table 2. Rating Scheme for Recommendations	
Maternal HIV Testing and Identification of Perinatal HIV Exposure	A-6
Preconception Counseling and Care for Women of Childbearing Age Living with HIV	B-1
Overview	B-1
<ul> <li>Table 3: Drug Interactions Between Antiretroviral Agents and Hormonal Contraceptives</li> </ul>	B-5
Reproductive Options for Couples When One or Both Partners are Living with HIV	B-17
Antepartum Care	C-1
General Principles Regarding Use of Antiretroviral Drugs during Pregnancy	C-1
Teratogenicity	
<ul> <li>Combination Antiretroviral Drug Regimens and Maternal and Neonatal Outcomes</li> </ul>	
Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs during Pregnancy	C-23
<ul> <li>Pregnant Women Living with HIV Who Have Never Received Antiretroviral Drugs (Antiretroviral Naive)</li> </ul>	C-37
<ul> <li>Table 4. What to Start: Initial Combination Regimens for Antiretroviral- Naive Pregnant Women.</li> </ul>	C-43
<ul> <li>Table 5. Situation-Specific Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs in Pregnant Women and Nonpregnant Women Who Are Trying to Conceive</li> </ul>	C-47
<ul> <li>Pregnant Women Living with HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy</li> <li>Pregnant Women Living with HIV Who Have Previously Received Antiretroviral Medications</li> </ul>	S
but Are Not Currently Receiving Any Antiretroviral Medications	
Monitoring of the Woman and Fetus During Pregnancy  A district of The Project of The Control of The Contro	
Antiretroviral Drug Resistance and Resistance Testing in Pregnancy	
Lack of Viral Suppression      Standing Action to the December of the Dec	
Stopping Antiretroviral Drugs during Pregnancy     Stopping Appropriate Drugs during Pregnancy	
Special Populations     HIV/Hangitis B Virus Coinfection	
HIV/Hepatitis B Virus Coinfection     HIV/Hepatitis C Virus Coinfection	
TIT // Tiopatias C / Tius Comitovion	
<ul> <li>HIV-2 Infection and Pregnancy</li> <li>Prenatal Care, Antiretroviral Therapy, and HIV Management in Women with</li> </ul>	<b>C-</b> 91
Perinatal HIV Infection	C-96
Acute HIV Infection	
Intrapartum Care	D-1
Intrapartum Antiretroviral Therapy/Prophylaxis	

Transmission and Mode of Delivery	D-6
Other Intrapartum Management Considerations	D-13
Postpartum Follow-Up of Women Living with HIV	E-1
Counseling and Managing Women Living with HIV in the United States Who Desire to Breast	feed E-8
Breastfeeding and Strategies to Reduce Risk of HIV Transmission	E-9
Safety of Maternal and Infant Use of ARV Drugs during Breastfeeding	E-9
Approach to Counseling and Management	E-10
Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Infection or HIV Infection	E-15
<ul> <li>Table 6. Newborn Antiretroviral Management According to Risk of HIV Infection in t</li> </ul>	
Newborn	
Table 7. Newborn Antiretroviral Dosing Recommendations	
Diagnosis of HIV Infection in Infants and Children  Livin D. A. Livin D. Livin D. A. Livin D. Livin D. A. Livin D. A. Livin D. A. Livin D. A. Livin D. Liv	
Initial Postnatal Management of the Neonate Exposed to HIV	
Long-Term Follow-Up of Infants Exposed to Antiretroviral Drugs	E-30
Appendix A: Review of Clinical Trials of Antiretroviral Interventions to Prevent Perinatal	
HIV Transmission	
<ul> <li>Supplemental Table 1. Results of Major Studies on Antiretroviral Prophylaxis to Prevenermental HIV Transmission</li> </ul>	
Appendix B: Supplement: Safety and Toxicity of Individual Antiretroviral Agents in Pregnancy	yG-1
• Table 8. Antiretroviral Drug Use in Pregnant Women with HIV Infection: Pharmacokinet	tic and
Toxicity Data in Human Pregnancy and Recommendations for Use in Pregnancy	
• NRTIs	
Abacavir	
Emtricitabine	
Lamivudine	
Tenofovir AF	
Tenofovir DF	
Zidovudine	
NNRTIs	
Doravirine	
Efavirenz	
Etravirine	
Nevirapine	
Rilpivirine	
• PIs	
Atazanavir	
Darunavir	
Lopinavir	
Entry Inhibitors	
° Ibalizumab	
Marayiroc	G-88

Integrase Inhibitors	G-91
Bictegravir	G-91
o Dolutegravir	G-93
Elvitegravir	G-97
Raltegravir	
Pharmacoenhancers	G-105
Cobicistat	G-105
Ritonavir	G-109
Archived Drugs	G-112
Amprenavir	G-113
Delavirdine	G-114
Didanosine	G-115
Enfuviritide	G-118
Fosamprenavir	G-121
o Indinavir	G-123
Nelfinavir	G-127
Saquinavir	G-130
Stavudine	G-133
° Tipranavir	G-136
° Zalcitabine	G-138
Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry	G-139
Appendix C: Clinical Trial Efficacy Data for Daily, Oral Tenofovir Disopro as Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis	
Appendix D: Dolutegravir Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers .	l-1
Appendix E: Acronyms	J-1

# Members of the Panel on Treatment of Pregnant Woman with HIV Infection and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission (Last updated)

December 24, 2019; last reviewed December 24, 2019)

Revisions to the December 7, 2018 Recommendations for the Use of Antiretroviral Drugs in Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Interventions to Reduce Perinatal Transmission in the United States have been made by the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Panel on Treatment of Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission (a Working Group of the Office of AIDS Research Advisory Council).

Members of the Panel			
Elaine J. Abrams, MD	Columbia University, New York, NY		
Jean Anderson, MD	Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD		
Martina Badell, MD	Emory University, Atlanta, GA		
Brookie M. Best, PharmD, MAS	University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA Rady Children's Hospital—San Diego, San Diego, CA		
Danielle Campbell, MPH	Los Angeles Women's HIV/AIDS Task Force, Los Angeles, CA		
Rana Chakraborty, MD, MS, PhDa	Mayo Clinic College of Medicine, Rochester, MN		
Susan E. Cohn, MD, MPH	Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, IL		
Jenell Coleman, MD, MPH <sup>b</sup>	Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD		
Susan Cu-Uvin, MD	Alpert School of Medicine, Brown University, Providence, RI		
Patricia M. Flynn, MD	St. Jude Children's Research Hospital, Memphis, TN		
Jennifer Jao, MD, MPH	Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, IL		
Susana Keeshin, MD	University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT		
Gweneth B. Lazenby, MD, MSCR	Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston, SC		
Judy Levison, MD, MPH	Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX		
Lynne M. Mofenson, MD	Elizabeth Glaser Pediatric AIDS Foundation, Washington, DC		
Florence Momplaisir, MD, MSPH	University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA		
Fatima Y. Prioleau, MA	Brooklyn, NY		
Lisa Rahangdale, MD, MPH	University of North Carolina School of Medicine, Chapel Hill, NC		
Rachel K.Scott, MD, MPH	MedStar Washington Hospital Center,		
	MedStar Health Research Institute, Washington, DC		
	Georgetown University, Washington, DC		
Jeanne Sheffield, MD	Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD		
William R. Short, MD, MPH	University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA		
George K. Siberry, MD, MPH	United States Agency for International Development (USAID), Washington, DC		
Fatoumatta Sissoho	Windsor Mill, MD		
Stephen A. Spector, MD	University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA		
	Rady Children's Hospital—San Diego, San Diego, CA		
Rodney Wright, MD, MS	Montefiore Medical Center, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, NY		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> American Academy of Pediatrics Committee on Pediatric AIDS liaison

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> American Congress of Obstetricians and Gynecologists liaison

Panel Chair/Executive Secretary	
Nahida Chakhtoura, MD, MsGH	National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD

Panel Co-Chairs	
Andrea Ciaranello, MD, MPH	Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA
Mark Mirochnick, MD	Boston Medical Center, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA

Ex Officio Members	
Fatima Kakkar, MPH°	Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Sainte-Justine, Montreal, Quebec, Canada
Lealah Pollock, MD, MS	National Perinatal HIV Hotline, San Francisco, CA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Canadian Pediatric & Perinatal AIDS Research Group liaison

Members from the United States Government		
Letha Healey, MD	Health Resources and Services Administration, Rockville, MD	
Athena P. Kourtis, MD, PhD, MPH	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA	
Steve Nesheim, MD	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA	
Prabha Viswanathan, MD	Food and Drug Administration, Silver Spring, MD	
D. Heather Watts, MD	Office of the Global AIDS Coordinator and Health Diplomacy, Washington, DC	

Panel Consultants	
Lynn Matthews, MD, MPH	University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL
Rebecca Zash, MD	Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA

Non-Voting Observers	
Isabelle Boucoiran, MD, MScd	Society of Obstetrics and Gynaecology of Canada, Montreal, Quebec, Canada
Deborah Storm, MSN, PhD	Fairfield, CA. Formerly François-Xavier Bagnoud Center, School of Nursing, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Newark, NJ (retired November 1, 2016)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> Society of Obstetrics and Gynaecology of Canada liaison

# Financial Disclosure List for Members of the Health and Human Services Panel on Treatment of Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission (Reporting Period: December 2018)

to December 2019)

Name	Panel Status	Company	Relationship
Abrams, Elaine J.	М	None	N/A
Anderson, Jean	М	Gilead	Stockholder
Badell, Martina	M	None	N/A
Best, Brookie M.	M	PPD	DSMB
		C&R Research, Inc.	DSMB — — — — —
Boucoiran, Isabelle	NVO	None	N/A
Campbell, Danielle	M <sub></sub>	None	N/A
Chakhtoura, Nahida	ES	None	N/A
Chakraborty, Rana	M	None	N/A
Ciaranello, Andrea	CC	None	N/A
Cohn, Susan E.	M	Eli Lilly and Company	Stockholder
		Merck	Scientific Advisor
Coleman, Jenell	M	Gilead	Medical Advisory Board Member
Cu-Uvin, Susan	M	AIDS Malignancy Consortium	DSMB
Flynn, Patricia M.	M	Merck	Safety Monitoring Committee
Healey, Letha	HHS	None	N/A
Jao, Jennifer	M	None	N/A
Kakkar, Fatima	ExOM	None	N/A
Keeshin, Susana	M <sub></sub>	None	N/A
Kourtis, Athena P.	HHS	None	N/A
Lazenby, Gweneth B.	M	None	N/A
Levison, Judy	M	None	N/A
Matthews, Lynn	C	Gilead	Research Support
Mirochnick, Mark	CC	ViiV	Research Support
		Merck	Research Support
Mofenson, Lynne M.	M	None	N/A
Momplaisir, Florence	M	None	N/A
Nesheim, Steve	HHS	None	N/A
Pollock, Lealah	ExOM	None	N/A
Prioleau, Fatima Y.	M	None	N/A
Rahangdale, Lisa	М	None	N/A
Scott, Rachel K.	M	Gilead	Research Support
Sheffield, Jeanne	M <sub></sub>	None	N/A
Short, William R.	M	ViiV	Consultant
		Janssen	Consultant
Siberry, George K.	M	None	N/A
Sissoho, Fatoumatta	M	None	N/A
Spector, Stephen A.	M	None	N/A
Storm, Deborah	NVO	Merck	Stockholder
		Eli Lilly and Company	Stockholder
		Roche	Stockholder
Viswanathan, Prabha	HHS	None	N/A
Watts, D. Heather	HHS	None	N/A
Wright, Rodney	М	None	N/A
Zash, Rebecca	C	None	N/A

**Key to Acronyms:** DSMB = Data Safety Monitoring Board; C= Consultant; CC = Panel Co-Chairs; ES = Executive Secretary; ExOM = *Ex Officio* Member; HHS = Member from Department of Health and Human Services; M = Member; N/A = Not applicable; NVO = Nonvoting Observer

Recommendations for the Use of Antiretroviral Drugs in Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Interventions to Reduce Perinatal HIV Transmission in the United States

# Introduction (Last updated December 24, 2019; last reviewed December 24, 2019)

Recommendations regarding HIV screening in pregnancy, treatment of pregnant women who are living with HIV, and the use of antiretroviral (ARV) drugs to prevent perinatal transmission of HIV have evolved considerably in the United States since the mid-1990s, reflecting changes in both the epidemic and the science of prevention and treatment. Current recommendations for universal prenatal HIV counseling and testing, antiretroviral therapy (ART) for all pregnant women with HIV, scheduled cesarean delivery for women with plasma HIV RNA >1,000 copies/mL near delivery, appropriate infant ARV management, and avoidance of breastfeeding have resulted in a dramatic decrease in the rate of perinatal transmission of HIV to 1% or less in the United States and Europe. In 2016, only 44 infants were born with HIV infection in the United States; the estimated incidence of perinatally acquired HIV infection was 1.1 out of 100,000 live births.

In response to this success, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention has developed a goal of eliminating perinatal HIV transmission in the United States, defined as reducing perinatal transmission to an incidence of <1 infection per 100,000 live births and to a rate of <1% among HIV-exposed infants.<sup>3</sup> However, incomplete implementation of routine antenatal HIV testing and other recommended interventions remains a barrier to achieving this goal.<sup>4,5</sup> Laws that promote universal HIV testing for pregnant women vary by jurisdiction, and prenatal testing coverage is higher in states with stronger regulations for testing all pregnant women.<sup>6,7</sup> Testing coverage is also poorer for pregnant women in subgroups that are perceived by health care providers to be at low risk of HIV acquisition (e.g., women who are married, white, non-Hispanic, or multiparous).<sup>8</sup> To address such challenges, many states and the District of Columbia have developed additional effective strategies to advance progress towards eliminating perinatal HIV transmission.<sup>9</sup>

Approximately 5,000 women with HIV give birth annually in the United States. <sup>10</sup> In addition to primary prevention of HIV infection in women, the best way to prevent HIV infection in infants is to focus on appropriate overall medical care for women with HIV; this includes comprehensive reproductive health care, family planning and preconception care services, optimization of HIV treatment, and maintenance of care between pregnancies. A critical component of preventing perinatal HIV transmission is ensuring that a woman receives ART that maximally suppresses viral replication as early as possible during pregnancy or, ideally, prior to conception.

A critical role of the Panel on Treatment of Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission (the Panel) is to evaluate the many ARV drugs that are available for adults and assess the risks and benefits of using these drugs in pregnant women. The Office of AIDS Research Advisory Committee (OARAC)-sponsored Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents primarily considers efficacy and safety evidence when making recommendations for ART regimens. Secondary considerations include characteristics that help promote adherence, such as improved tolerability or convenience (e.g., whether a regimen is available as a fixed-dose combination with once daily dosing). When considering which ARV drugs to recommend for use in pregnant women (or women who may become pregnant), the Panel generally uses data from efficacy studies performed in nonpregnant adults; however, because drug exposure can change during pregnancy, data from direct pharmacokinetic (PK) studies in pregnant women are required.

In addition to considering direct evidence about short-term safety in pregnant women, the Panel must also make judgments about fetal safety. The Panel makes an initial assessment based on data from preclinical animal studies, analyses of reports to the <u>Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry</u>, and all available post-marketing surveillance data.

When there is strong evidence of fetal (or maternal) harm or unacceptable drug exposure, it is straightforward for the Panel to make recommendations against the use of a specific drug; however, this situation is unusual. More often, the Panel must make recommendations for ARV drugs for which there are insufficient PK data in pregnant women and/or inadequate safety information on fetal exposure early in pregnancy or during the periconception period. Policymakers, regulators, clinicians, and community advocates are striving to improve the availability of data on ARV drug exposure and safety in women who are pregnant or breastfeeding, or in

## women who are of reproductive potential. 11-13

In the meantime, to ensure that pregnant women are not denied the best available ART regimens—while acknowledging that some drugs have not yet been sufficiently evaluated for evidence of fetal or maternal harm—the Panel uses a graded approach to making recommendations for regimens to use during pregnancy:

- ART regimens that are designated as *Preferred* in pregnancy are those that have been shown to be effective and durable in clinical trials in adults. *Preferred* regimens have acceptable toxicity and ease of use, pregnancy-specific PK data to guide dosing, and available data suggest a favorable risk-benefit balance compared to other ARV options, incorporating outcomes for women, fetuses, or newborns. Some *Preferred* drugs may have minimal toxicity or teratogenicity risks that are offset by other advantages for women with HIV who are pregnant or who are trying to conceive.
- Preferred ART regimens for nonpregnant adults that do not meet the above criteria can be considered as options for *Alternative* regimens in pregnant women when available data on the use of these regimens in pregnancy are generally favorable but limited. Most *Alternative* drugs or regimens are associated with more PK, dosing, tolerability, formulation, administration, or interaction concerns than those in the preferred category, but they are acceptable for use in pregnancy. They may also have may have known toxicity or teratogenicity risks that are offset by other advantages for women with HIV who are pregnant or who are trying to conceive.
- Use caution when considering the use of regimens that contain drugs with little or no pregnancy data. These regimens are considered to have *Insufficient Data to Recommend* for initiation in pregnancy, but there are no specific data that would support a recommendation to discontinue these regimens in women who become pregnant while taking them.
- Some drugs are designated as *Not Recommended Except in Special Circumstances* because the Panel recognizes that there may be situations in which treatment-experienced pregnant women may need to initiate or continue drugs with limited safety and efficacy data or specific safety concerns to reach or maintain viral suppression.
- Some drugs are designated as *Not Recommended* in pregnancy because they have inferior virologic efficacy, because PK data demonstrates low drug levels and a risk of viral rebound during pregnancy, or because they are associated with potentially serious maternal or fetal safety concerns.

The Panel systematically reviews all new information from the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, published studies, and other sources to update their drug recommendations. The Panel also coordinates with the Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents when there are concerns related to drug safety in pregnancy.

These guidelines update the December 2018 Recommendations for the Use of Antiretroviral Drugs in Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Interventions to Reduce Perinatal HIV Transmission in the United States. The Panel, a working group of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) OARAC, develops these guidelines. The Panel collaborates with the companion NIH OARAC Panel on Antiretroviral Therapy and Medical Management of Children Living with HIV to jointly develop recommendations in overlapping areas (e.g., Maternal HIV Testing and Identification of Perinatal HIV Exposure, Diagnosis of HIV Infection in Infants and Children, Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection), as well as to ensure general harmony between the guidelines. Health care providers should discuss the information in these guidelines with pregnant women who are living with HIV in order to make collaborative, informed decision-making regarding the use of ARV drugs during pregnancy, the use of scheduled cesarean delivery to reduce the risk of perinatal transmission of HIV, and decision-making around the use of ARV drugs in infants who have been exposed to HIV. The recommendations in these guidelines are accompanied by discussions of common circumstances that occur in clinical practice and the factors that influence treatment considerations. The Panel recognizes that strategies to prevent perinatal transmission and

concepts related to managing HIV in pregnant women are rapidly evolving, and the Panel will continue to consider new evidence and adjust recommendations accordingly. The current guidelines are available on the <u>AIDSinfo website</u>. The National Perinatal HIV Hotline (1-888-448-8765) is a federally funded service that provides free clinical consultation to providers caring for women who are living with HIV or who are at risk for HIV and their children, and it serves as a resource for obtaining expert consultation on individual cases.

The Panel's recommendations are designed to ensure that women receive the full benefit of ART for their own health and to prevent perinatal transmission. However, the Panel recognizes that women have the right to make informed choices about treatment during pregnancy, even when their choices differ from a health care provider's recommendations.

The current guidelines have been structured to reflect the management of an individual mother-infant pair and are organized into a brief discussion of preconception care followed by principles for managing the care of a woman and her infant during the antepartum, intrapartum, and postpartum periods. Although perinatal transmission of HIV occurs worldwide, these recommendations have been developed for use in the United States. Alternative strategies may be appropriate in other countries (see the <u>World Health Organization</u> guidelines for more information).

# **Guidelines Development Process**

**Table 1. Outline of the Guidelines Development Process** (page 1 of 2)

Topic	Comment	
Goal of the Guidelines	Provide guidance to HIV care practitioners in the United States on the optimal use of antiretroviral (ARV) agents to treat HIV infection in pregnant women and to prevent perinatal HIV transmission in HIV-exposed infants.	
Panel Members	The Panel is composed of approximately 30 voting members who have expertise in managing the care of pregnant women with HIV (e.g., training in obstetrics/gynecology, infectious diseases, or women's health), pharmacology of ARV drugs during pregnancy, and interventions for prevention of perinatal transmission (e.g., specialized training in pediatric HIV infection). The Panel also includes community representatives with knowledge of HIV infection in pregnant women and interventions for prevention of perinatal transmission.	
	The U.S. government representatives, appointed by their agencies, include at least one representative from each of the following Department of Health and Human Services agencies: the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), the Food and Drug Administration (FDA), the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA), and the National Institutes of Health (NIH). Members who do not represent U.S. government agencies are selected by Panel members after an open call for nominations. Each member serves on the Panel for a 3-year period, with an option for re-appointment. The Panel may also include liaison members from the National Perinatal HIV Hotline, the American Academy of Pediatrics' Committee on Pediatric AIDS, and the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. A list of all Panel members can be found in the Guidelines Panel Members section.	
Financial Disclosures	All members of the Panel submit an annual written financial disclosure that reports any association with manufacturers of ARV drugs or diagnostics used to manage HIV infection. See <u>Financial Disclosure</u> for a list of the latest disclosures.	
Users of the Guidelines	Providers of care to pregnant women with HIV and to infants who have been exposed to HIV	
Developer	The Panel on Treatment of Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission—a working group of the Office of AIDS Research Advisory Council (OARAC)	
Funding Source	Office of AIDS Research, NIH	
Evidence for Recommendations	The recommendations in these guidelines are generally based on studies published in peer-reviewed journals. On some occasions, particularly when new information may affect patient safety, unpublished data that was presented at major conferences or prepared by the FDA and/or manufacturers as warnings to the public may be used as evidence to revise the guidelines.	
Recommendation Grading	See <u>Table 2</u> .	

# **Guidelines Development Process**

**Table 1. Outline of the Guidelines Development Process** (page 2 of 2)

Topic	Comment
Method of Synthesizing Data	Each section of the guidelines is assigned to a small group of Panel members with expertise in the area of interest. A structured literature search is conducted by a technical assistance consultant and provided to the Panel working group. The members review and synthesize the available data and propose recommendations to the entire Panel. The Panel discusses all proposals during monthly teleconferences. Proposals are modified based on Panel discussions and then distributed, with ballots, to all Panel members. If there are substantive comments or votes against approval, the recommended changes and areas of disagreement are brought back to the full Panel (via email or teleconference) for review, discussion, and further modification to reach a final version that is acceptable to all Panel members. The recommendations in these final versions represent consensus of Panel members and are included in the guidelines as official Panel recommendations.
Other Guidelines	These guidelines focus on pregnant women with HIV and their infants. Other guidelines (all of which are available on the <a href="AIDSinfo website">AIDSinfo website</a> ) outline the use of ARV agents in nonpregnant adults and adolescents with HIV; use of ARV agents in infants and children with HIV; treatment and prevention of opportunistic infections (OIs) in adults and adolescents with HIV, including pregnant women; treatment and prevention of OIs in children who have been exposed to HIV or who have HIV infection; and treatment of people who experience occupational or nonoccupational exposure to HIV. Preconception management for nonpregnant women of reproductive potential is briefly discussed in this document. However, for a more detailed discussion of the issues surrounding the treatment of nonpregnant adults, please consult the <a href="Adult and Adolescent Adult and Adolescent Opportunistic Infection Guidelines">Adult and Adolescent Opportunistic Infection Guidelines</a> .
Update Plan	The Panel meets monthly by teleconference to review data that may affect the content of the guidelines. Updates may be prompted by new drug approvals (or new indications, new dosing formulations, and/or changes in dosing frequency), significant new safety or efficacy data, or other information that may have a significant impact on the clinical care of patients. In the event of significant new data that may affect patient safety, the Panel may issue a warning announcement and recommendations on the <a href="AIDSinfo website">AIDSinfo website</a> until the guidelines can be updated with appropriate changes.
Public Comments	A 2-week public comment period follows the release of the updated guidelines on the <u>AIDSinfo website</u> . The Panel reviews comments to determine whether additional revisions to the guidelines are indicated. The public may also submit comments to the Panel at any time at <u>contactus@aidsinfo.nih.gov</u> .

# Basis for Recommendations

The recommendations in these guidelines are based on scientific evidence and expert opinion. Each recommendation statement includes a letter (**A**, **B**, or **C**) that represents the strength of the recommendation and a Roman numeral (**I**, **II**, or **III**) that represents the quality of the evidence that supports the recommendation.

**Table 2. Rating Scheme for Recommendations** 

Strength of Recommendation	Quality of Evidence for Recommendation
A: Strong recommendation for the statement	I: One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated
B: Moderate recommendation for the statement C: Optional recommendation for the statement	laboratory endpoints  II: One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes
	III: Expert opinion

# References

- 1. Peters H, Francis K, Sconza R, et al. UK mother-to-child HIV transmission rates continue to decline: 2012–2014. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2017;64(4):527-528. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28174911">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28174911</a>.
- 2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Monitoring selected national HIV prevention and care objectives by using HIV surveillance data—United States and 6 dependent areas, 2017. HIV Surveillance Supplemental Report 2019.

- 2019;24(3). Available at: http://www.cdc.gov/hiv/library/reports/.
- 3. Nesheim S, Taylor A, Lampe MA, et al. A framework for elimination of perinatal transmission of HIV in the United States. *Pediatrics*. 2012;130(4):738-744. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22945404">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22945404</a>.
- 4. Andrews MM, Storm DS, Burr CK, et al. Perinatal HIV Service coordination: closing gaps in the HIV care continuum for pregnant women and eliminating perinatal HIV transmission in the United States. *Public Health Rep.* 2018;133(5):532-542. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30096026">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30096026</a>.
- 5. Nesheim SR, FitzHarris LF, Mahle Gray K, Lampe MA. Epidemiology of perinatal HIV transmission in the United States in the era of its elimination. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2019;38(6):611-616. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30724833">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30724833</a>.
- 6. FitzHarris LF, Johnson CH, Nesheim SR, et al. Prenatal HIV testing and the impact of state HIV testing laws, 2004 to 2011. *Sex Transm Dis*. 2018;45(9):583-587. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29485541">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29485541</a>.
- 7. Salvant Valentine S, Poulin A. Consistency of state statutes and regulations with centers for disease control and prevention's 2006 perinatal HIV testing recommendations. *Public Health Rep.* 2018;133(5):601-605. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30096022">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30096022</a>.
- Koumans EH, Harrison A, House LD, et al. Characteristics associated with lack of HIV testing during pregnancy and delivery in 36 U.S. states, 2004–2013. *Int J STD AIDS*. 2018;29(12):1225-1233. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29969977">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29969977</a>.
- 9. Gnanashanmugam D, Rakhmanina N, Crawford KW, et al. Eliminating perinatal HIV in the United States: mission possible? *AIDS*. 2019;33(3):377-385. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30475262">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30475262</a>.
- 10. Nesheim SR, FitzHarris LF, Lampe MA, Gray KM. Reconsidering the number of women with HIV infection who give birth annually in the United States. *Public Health Rep.* 2018;133(6):637-643. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30265616">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30265616</a>.
- 11. Mofenson LM, Pozniak AL, Wambui J, et al. Optimizing responses to drug safety signals in pregnancy: the example of dolutegravir and neural tube defects. *J Int AIDS Soc.* 2019;22(7):e25352. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31298496">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31298496</a>.
- 12. Task Force on Research Specific to Pregnant Women and Lactating Women. Report to secretary, health and human services congress. 2018. Available at: https://www.nichd.nih.gov/sites/default/files/2018-09/PRGLAC\_Report.pdf.
- 13. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research. Postapproval pregnancy safety studies guidance for industry. 2019. Available at: https://www.fda.gov/media/124746/download.

# **Maternal HIV Testing and Identification of Perinatal HIV Exposure**

(Last updated December 24, 2019; last reviewed December 24, 2019)

#### Panel's Recommendations

- HIV testing is recommended as standard of care for all sexually active women and should be a routine component of preconception care
  (All).
- All pregnant women should be tested as early as possible during each pregnancy (see <u>Laboratory Testing for the Diagnosis of HIV Infection: Updated Recommendations</u> and <u>Recommended Laboratory HIV Testing Algorithm for Serum or Plasma Specimens</u> from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [CDC]) (All).
- Partners of pregnant women should be encouraged to undergo HIV testing when their status is unknown (AIII).
- Repeat HIV testing in the third trimester is recommended for pregnant women with negative initial HIV antibody tests who are at increased risk of acquiring HIV, including those who are receiving care in facilities that have an HIV incidence of ≥1 case per 1,000 pregnant women per year, those who reside in jurisdictions with elevated HIV incidence, or those who reside in states that require third-trimester testing (see Revised Recommendations for HIV Testing of Adults, Adolescents, and Pregnant Women in Health-Care Settings from CDC) (AII).
- Expedited HIV testing should be performed during labor or delivery for any woman with undocumented HIV status; testing should be available 24 hours a day, and results should be available within 1 hour (AII). If results are positive, intrapartum antiretroviral (ARV) prophylaxis should be initiated immediately (AI), and infants should receive an ARV regimen that is appropriate for infants who are at higher risk of perinatal HIV transmission as soon as possible, pending results of supplemental HIV testing (AII). The mother should not breastfeed unless supplemental HIV testing is negative (AII). See <a href="Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection">Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection</a> for guidance.
- Women who were not tested for HIV before or during labor should undergo expedited HIV antibody testing during the immediate
  postpartum period (or their newborns should undergo expedited HIV antibody testing) (AII). If the results for the mother or infant
  are positive, an appropriate infant ARV drug regimen should be initiated immediately, and the mother should not breastfeed unless
  supplemental HIV testing is negative (AII). See Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection for
  guidance.
- Results of maternal HIV testing should be documented in the newborn's medical record and communicated to the newborn's primary care provider (AIII).
- HIV testing is recommended for infants and children in foster care and adoptees for whom maternal HIV status is unknown (AIII).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials in children<sup>†</sup> with clinical outcomes and/or validated endpoints; I\* = One or more randomized trials in adults with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints with accompanying data in children<sup>†</sup> from one or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies in children<sup>†</sup> with long-term outcomes; II\* = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational studies in adults with long-term clinical outcomes with accompanying data in children<sup>†</sup> from one or more similar nonrandomized trials or cohort studies with clinical outcome data; III = Expert opinion

† Studies that include children or children/adolescents, but not studies limited to post-pubertal adolescents

# **HIV Testing in Pregnancy**

HIV infection should be identified prior to pregnancy (see Preconception Counseling and Care for Women of Childbearing Age Living with HIV) or as early in pregnancy as possible. This provides the best opportunity to improve maternal health and pregnancy outcomes, to prevent infant acquisition of HIV, and to identify HIV infection and start therapy as soon as possible in infants who acquire HIV. Universal voluntary HIV testing is recommended as the standard of care for all pregnant women in the United States by the Panel on Antiretroviral Therapy and Medical Management of Children Living with HIV and the Panel on Treatment of Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission (the Panels), the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), the American Academy of Pediatrics, the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, and the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force.<sup>1-5</sup> All HIV testing should be performed in a manner that is consistent with state and local laws. CDC recommends the "opt-out" approach, which involves notifying pregnant women that HIV testing will be performed as part of routine care

unless they choose not to be tested for HIV.<sup>2</sup> The "opt-out" approach during pregnancy is allowed in some jurisdictions.<sup>6</sup> The "opt-in" approach involves obtaining specific consent before testing, and this approach has been associated with lower testing rates.<sup>7,8</sup> The mandatory newborn HIV testing approach, which has been adopted by several states, involves testing newborns with or without maternal consent. In some areas, this applies to all newborns; in others, it applies only to the infants of mothers who have declined prenatal or intrapartum testing.

Partners of pregnant women should also be encouraged to undergo HIV testing when their status is unknown, consistent with the 2006 CDC recommendations for HIV testing of all individuals in the United States. Testing will facilitate linkage to care if a partner is found to have HIV infection. Because women are more susceptible to HIV acquisition during pregnancy and the postpartum period, clinicians can also initiate a discussion about preventative interventions, including pre-exposure prophylaxis, if the pregnant woman does not have HIV but is at high risk for HIV acquisition.

Clinicians should assess a woman's risk of acute HIV infection, particularly late in pregnancy, because a pregnant woman may receive a negative result for expedited or rapid HIV testing when she is in the window period (the window period lasts up to 15 days post-infection when using the combined antigen/antibody immunoassay, and up to 28 days when using other assays). However, during this period she will be viremic, with a high risk of perinatal transmission to her newborn. The HIV RNA assay can detect the presence of HIV as early as 10 days post-infection, so this test should be used when acute HIV infection is suspected. See Acute HIV Infection for more information.

Providers should be aware that gaps in maternal HIV testing do occur and can contribute to missed opportunities for preventing perinatal HIV transmission.<sup>11-14</sup> Maternal HIV testing should be performed as early as possible during pregnancy, wherever a woman seeks care (including emergency departments and prenatal clinics), to avoid missed opportunities to identify pregnant women with HIV. Repeat HIV testing should be performed in the third trimester for women who are at increased risk of acquiring HIV or who are living in areas of high HIV incidence. Women with unknown or undocumented HIV status who present to care in labor should be tested during delivery or as soon as possible after delivery.<sup>11-14</sup>

Determining antenatal maternal HIV status enables:

- Women with HIV to receive appropriate antiretroviral therapy (ART) and prophylaxis against opportunistic infections;
- Initiation of treatment in the identified women, which may also decrease the risk of transmission to their partners; 2,15,16
- Referral of partners for testing, which allows them to initiate treatment if the results are positive or preventive interventions if the results are negative;
- Provision of ART to the mother during pregnancy and labor, and provision of an appropriate antiretroviral (ARV) drug regimen to the newborn to reduce the risk of perinatal transmission;
- Counseling of women with HIV about the indications for (and potential benefits of) scheduled elective cesarean delivery to reduce the risk of perinatal transmission of HIV;<sup>17-19</sup>
- Counseling of women with HIV about the risks of HIV transmission through breast milk (breastfeeding is not recommended for women with HIV living in the United States);<sup>20</sup> and
- Early diagnostic evaluation of infants exposed to HIV (see <u>Diagnosis of HIV Infection in Infants and Children</u>), as well as testing of other children, to permit prompt initiation of ART and any indicated prophylaxis measures.<sup>1,21-23</sup>

New technology has made it possible to detect HIV earlier and has reduced the performance time for laboratory-based assays, which can now be completed in <1 hour. Accordingly, the Panels now base their

recommendations for HIV testing on CDC's 2014 Laboratory Testing for the Diagnosis of HIV Infection: Updated Recommendations.<sup>24</sup> The guidelines recommend that clinicians initiate HIV testing with an immunoassay that is capable of detecting HIV-1 antibodies, HIV-2 antibodies, and HIV-1 p24 antigen (referred to as an antigen/antibody combination immunoassay). Individuals with a reactive antigen/antibody combination immunoassay should be tested further with an HIV-1/HIV-2 antibody differentiation assay (referred to as supplemental testing). Individuals with a reactive antigen/antibody combination immunoassay and a nonreactive differentiation test should be tested with a Food and Drug Administration-approved plasma HIV RNA assay to establish a diagnosis of acute HIV infection (see CDC's Recommended Laboratory HIV Testing Algorithm for Serum or Plasma Specimens).

Discordant HIV testing results can be seen, requiring careful evaluation and often repeat tests. Early in HIV infection, prior to HIV seroconversion, the antigen-antibody screen will be negative and the HIV RNA assay will be positive. This is seen in true infection, since the HIV RNA assay is positive before the antigen/antibody screen. The test combination of a positive antigen-antibody screen, negative antibody differentiation assay, and positive HIV RNA assay also can be seen early in HIV infection, since the IgG-based antibody differentiation assay is positive later in infection than the antigen capture or the IgM result in the antigen-antibody screen.

Clinicians should be aware that as more individuals undergo repeat HIV testing, the number of false-positive screens will increase. The combination of a positive antigen-antibody screen with a negative antibody differentiation assay and a negative HIV RNA assay is seen in persons without HIV infection who have a false-positive antigen-antibody screen.

These examples should make it clear that for any positive HIV 1/2 antigen-antibody screen, an HIV RNA assay should be done, since it is the HIV RNA assay that is needed to resolve questions raised by discordant results on the antigen-antibody screen and the antibody differentiation assay.

The antigen/antibody combination immunoassay is the test of choice and can be done quickly (referred to as an expedited test), but it requires trained laboratory staff and therefore may not be available in some hospitals 24 hours a day. When this test is unavailable, initial testing should be performed by the most sensitive expedited or rapid test available. Every delivery unit needs to have access to an HIV test that can be done rapidly (i.e., in <1 hour) 24 hours a day. If the test result is positive, the test to confirm HIV infection should be performed as soon as possible (as with all initial assays with positive results). Older antibody tests have lower sensitivity in the context of recent acquisition of HIV than antigen/antibody combination immunoassays. Therefore, testing that follows the 2014 CDC algorithm should be considered if HIV risk cannot be ruled out. Results of maternal HIV testing should be documented in the newborn's medical record and communicated to the newborn's primary care provider.

# Repeat HIV Testing in the Third Trimester

Repeat HIV testing during the third trimester, before 36 weeks gestation, is recommended (see <u>Acute HIV Infection</u>)<sup>25</sup> for pregnant women with negative results on their initial HIV antibody tests who:<sup>5</sup>

- Are at high risk of acquiring HIV (e.g., those who are injection drug users or partners of injection drug users, those who exchange sex for money or drugs, those who are sex partners of individuals with HIV, those who have had a new sex partner or more than one sex partner during the current pregnancy, or those who have a suspected or diagnosed sexually transmitted infection during pregnancy); or
- Are receiving health care in facilities in which prenatal screening identifies one or more pregnant woman with HIV per 1,000 women screened, or who reside in a jurisdiction that has a high incidence of HIV or AIDS in women between the ages of 15 and 45 years (a list of jurisdictions where such screening is recommended is found in the 2006 CDC recommendations; a more up-to-date list is forthcoming), or who reside in states that require third-trimester testing; *or*

• Have signs or symptoms of acute HIV (e.g., fever, lymphadenopathy, skin rash, myalgia, headaches, oral ulcers, leukopenia, thrombocytopenia, elevated transaminase levels).<sup>2,26-28</sup>

Women who decline testing earlier in pregnancy should be offered testing again during the third trimester. An antigen/antibody combination immunoassay should be used, as these tests have a higher sensitivity in the setting of acute HIV infection than older antibody tests.<sup>24,29</sup> When acute HIV infection is suspected during pregnancy, during the intrapartum period, or while breastfeeding, a plasma HIV RNA test result should be performed in conjunction with an antigen/antibody combination immunoassay (see Acute and Recent [Early] HIV Infection in the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines).

Providers should be proactive in assessing a woman's HIV acquisition risk and implementing third-trimester HIV retesting when indicated in areas where it is not routine. A recent study in Baltimore found that only 28% of women were retested for HIV despite the high incidence of HIV in Maryland and a high frequency of clinical risk factors. 14,30 A study of data from 2007 to 2014 on Florida children with perinatal HIV exposure found that perinatal HIV transmission was associated with poor or late prenatal care, diagnosis of maternal HIV during labor and delivery or after birth, and, in some cases, acute maternal infection (as indicated by negative results for initial tests). In addition, the study noted that third-trimester HIV tests were not performed in a portion of the patients. 30 Repeat HIV testing at other times during pregnancy should also be considered when clinically indicated. For example, repeat testing should be performed when a woman presents with symptoms that are suggestive of a sexually transmitted infection (STI), when a woman presents with a confirmed STI diagnosis, or when a woman presents with symptoms that are consistent with acute HIV infection.

# **HIV Testing During Labor in Women with Unknown HIV Status**

Women in labor whose HIV status is undocumented should undergo HIV testing in order to identify HIV infection in the mothers and HIV exposure in their infants. HIV testing during labor has been found to be feasible, accurate, timely, and useful both in ensuring prompt initiation of intrapartum maternal ARV for fetal/infant prophylaxis (see <a href="Intrapartum Antiretroviral Therapy/Prophylaxis">Intrapartum Antiretroviral Therapy/Prophylaxis</a>) and in developing an appropriate ARV regimen for infants who are at high risk of perinatal HIV transmission (see <a href="Intra-1.21.27.31.32">Table 11</a>). 1-3,21,27,31,32

Policies and procedures must be in place to ensure that staff are prepared to provide patient education and expedited HIV testing, that appropriate ARV drugs are available whenever needed, and that follow-up procedures are in place for women who receive an HIV diagnosis and for their infants.

If the antigen/antibody combination immunoassay is not available, initial testing should be performed by the most sensitive expedited test available.

A positive expedited HIV test result must be followed by a supplemental test.<sup>24</sup> Immediate initiation of maternal intravenous intrapartum zidovudine is recommended to prevent perinatal transmission of HIV pending the supplemental result (see <a href="Intrapartum Antiretroviral Therapy/Prophylaxis">Intrapartum Antiretroviral Therapy/Prophylaxis</a>).<sup>1-4,21,27</sup> Pending results of supplemental maternal testing, infants should receive an ARV regimen that is appropriate for infants who are at higher risk of perinatal HIV transmission as soon as possible (see <a href="Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection">Infection</a> or contact the <a href="National Perinatal HIV Hotline">National Perinatal HIV Hotline</a>). No further testing is required for specimens that are nonreactive (negative) on the initial immunoassay, unless acute HIV infection is suspected (see <a href="Acute HIV Infection">Acute HIV Infection</a>).<sup>24</sup>

# **HIV Testing During the Postpartum Period**

Women who have not been tested for HIV before or during labor should be offered expedited testing during the immediate postpartum period. Maternal testing should be done using the antigen/antibody combination immunoassay to screen for established and acute HIV; results should be obtained in <1 hour. If acute HIV infection is a possibility, then a plasma HIV RNA test should be sent as well. When mothers are unavailable for testing, their newborns should undergo expedited HIV testing using the antigen/antibody combination immunoassay.<sup>1,21,27</sup> Postnatal ARV drugs need to be initiated as soon as possible—ideally ≤6 hours after

birth—to be effective in preventing perinatal transmission. When an initial HIV test is positive in mother or infant, it is strongly recommended that clinicians initiate an ARV regimen that is appropriate for infants who are at higher risk of perinatal HIV transmission and counsel the mother against breastfeeding. Both actions can be taken before the results of supplemental maternal HIV tests have confirmed the presence of HIV (see <a href="Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection">Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection</a>). Breast milk can be expressed while supplemental HIV diagnostic testing is being completed, but it should not be given to the infant until testing confirms that the mother is HIV negative. If supplemental test results are negative and acute HIV is excluded, infant ARV drugs can be discontinued. In the absence of ongoing maternal HIV exposure, breastfeeding can be initiated.

# Infant HIV Testing when Maternal HIV Test Results are Unavailable

When maternal HIV test results are unavailable (e.g., for infants and children who are in foster care) or their accuracy cannot be evaluated (e.g., for infants and children who were adopted from countries where results are not reported in English), HIV testing using the antigen/antibody combination immunoassay is indicated to identify HIV exposure and possible infection in these infants or children.<sup>1</sup> Mechanisms should be developed to facilitate prompt HIV screening for infants who have been abandoned and who are in the custody of the state. The choice of test will vary based on the age of the child (see <u>Diagnosis of HIV</u> Infection in Infants and Children).

# Acute Maternal HIV Infection During Pregnancy or Breastfeeding

Women are more susceptible to HIV infection during pregnancy and the early postpartum period. Risk of HIV exposure should be assessed in all women who are considering becoming pregnant, as well as in all pregnant women who previously tested HIV negative. Women with risk factors for HIV acquisition should receive prevention counseling and appropriate interventions, including pre-exposure prophylaxis if indicated (see Preconception Counseling and Care for Women of Childbearing Age Living with HIV). Women who have acute HIV during pregnancy or lactation have an increased risk of perinatal transmission and secondary sexual transmission of HIV (see Acute HIV Infection). <sup>25,33-36</sup> The antigen/antibody combination immunoassay will detect acute HIV infection earlier than other immunoassays, within approximately 15 days of acquisition. When acute HIV infection is suspected, a plasma HIV RNA test should be sent as well, because virologic tests can detect the presence of HIV approximately 5 days earlier than the antigen/antibody combination immunoassay. Women with possible acute HIV infection who are breastfeeding should cease breastfeeding immediately until HIV infection is confirmed or excluded.<sup>20</sup> Breast milk can be expressed while HIV diagnostic testing is completed. Breastfeeding can resume if HIV infection is excluded and there is no ongoing maternal exposure to HIV. Care of pregnant or breastfeeding women with acute or early HIV and their infants should follow the recommendations in the Perinatal Guidelines (see Acute HIV Infection and Counseling and Managing Women Living with HIV in the United States Who Desire to Breastfeed).

# **Other Issues**

Clinicians should be aware of public health surveillance systems and regulations that may exist in their jurisdictions for reporting infants who have been exposed to HIV; this is in addition to mandatory reporting of persons with HIV, including infants. Reporting infants who have been exposed to HIV allows the appropriate public health functions to be accomplished.

# References

- 1. American Academy of Pediatrics Committee on Pediatric AIDS. HIV testing and prophylaxis to prevent mother-to-child transmission in the United States. *Pediatrics*. 2008;122(5):1127-1134. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18977995">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18977995</a>.
- 2. Branson BM, Handsfield HH, Lampe MA, et al. Revised recommendations for HIV testing of adults, adolescents, and pregnant women in health-care settings. *MMWR Recomm Rep.* 2006;55(RR-14):1-17; quiz CE11-14. Available at:

- http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16988643.
- Chou R, Cantor AG, Zakher B, Bougatsos C. Screening for HIV in pregnant women: systematic review to update the 2005 U.S. Preventive services task force recommendation. Ann Intern Med. 2012;157(10):719-728. Available at: http:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23165663.
- 4. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Screening for HIV: recommendation statement. 2013. Available at: http://www. uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/uspstf/uspshivi.htm.
- American College of Obstetrics and Gynecology: Committee on Obstetric Practice, HIV Expert Work Group. ACOG committee opinion No. 752; prenatal and perinatal human immunodeficiency virus testing. Obstet Gynecol. 2018;132(3):e138-e142. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30134428">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30134428</a>.
- Clinical Consultation Center. Compendium of state HIV testing laws. Perinatal quick reference guide: a guide to states' perinatal HIV testing laws for clinicians. 2011. Available at: http://nccc.ucsf.edu/wp-content/uploads/2014/03/State HIV Testing Laws Perinatal Quick Reference.pdf.
- Boer K, Smit C, van der Flier M, de Wolf F, Athena cohort study group. The comparison of the performance of two screening strategies identifying newly-diagnosed HIV during pregnancy. Eur J Public Health. 2011;21(5):632-637. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21051473.
- Yudin MH, Moravac C, Shah RR. Influence of an "opt-out" test strategy and patient factors on human immunodeficiency virus screening in pregnancy. Obstet Gynecol. 2007;110(1):81-86. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/17601900.
- Thomson KA, Hughes J, Baeten JM, et al. Increased risk of HIV acquisition among women throughout pregnancy and during the postpartum period: a prospective per-coital-act analysis among women with HIV-infected partners. J Infect Dis. 2018;218(1):16-25. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29514254.
- 10. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and Association of Public Health Laboratories, Laboratory testing for the diagnosis of HIV infection: updated recommendations. 2014. Available at: https://stacks.cdc.gov/view/cdc/23447.
- Whitmore SK, Taylor AW, Espinoza L, Shouse RL, Lampe MA, Nesheim S. Correlates of mother-to-child transmission of HIV in the United States and Puerto Rico. Pediatrics. 2012;129(1):e74-81. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/22144694.
- 12. Ezeanolue EE, Pharr JR, Hunt A, Patel D, Jackson D. Why are children still being infected with HIV? Impact of an integrated public health and clinical practice intervention on mother-to-child HIV transmission in Las Vegas, Nevada, 2007-2012. Ann Med Health Sci Res. 2015;5(4):253-259. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26229713.
- 13. Taylor AW, Nesheim SR, Zhang X, et al. Estimated perinatal HIV infection among infants born in the United States, 2002-2013. JAMA Pediatr. 2017;171(5):435-442. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28319246.
- 14. Liao C, Golden WC, Anderson JR, Coleman JS. Missed opportunities for repeat HIV testing in pregnancy: implications for elimination of mother-to-child transmission in the United States, AIDS Patient Care STDS, 2017;31(1):20-26. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27936863.
- 15. Cohen MS, Chen YO, McCauley M, et al. Prevention of HIV-1 infection with early antiretroviral therapy. N Engl J Med. 2011;365(6):493-505. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21767103.
- Baggaley RF, White RG, Hollingsworth TD, Boily MC. Heterosexual HIV-1 infectiousness and antiretroviral use: systematic review of prospective studies of discordant couples. *Epidemiology*. 2013;24(1):110-121. Available at: http:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23222513.
- 17. Jamieson DJ, Read JS, Kourtis AP, Durant TM, Lampe MA, Dominguez KL. Cesarean delivery for HIV-infected women: recommendations and controversies. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2007;197(3 Suppl):S96-100. Available at: http://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17825656.
- 18. Tubiana R, Le Chenadec J, Rouzioux C, et al. Factors associated with mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 despite a maternal viral load <500 copies/mL at delivery: a case-control study nested in the French perinatal cohort (EPF-ANRS CO1). Clin Infect Dis. 2010;50(4):585-596. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20070234.
- 19. Townsend CL, Cortina-Borja M, Peckham CS, de Ruiter A, Lyall H, Tookey PA. Low rates of mother-to-child transmission of HIV following effective pregnancy interventions in the United Kingdom and Ireland, 2000-2006. AIDS.

- 2008;22(8):973-981. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18453857.
- 20. Committee On Pediatric AIDS. Infant feeding and transmission of human immunodeficiency virus in the United States. Pediatrics. 2013;131(2):391-396. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23359577.
- 21. Havens PL, Mofenson LM, American Academy of Pediatrics Committee on Pediatric AIDS. Evaluation and management of the infant exposed to HIV-1 in the United States. *Pediatrics*. 2009;123(1):175-187. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/pubmed/19117880.
- 22. Hegazi A, Forsyth S, Prime K, Bashh Adolescent Special Interest Group. Testing the children of HIV-infected parents: 6 years on from 'don't forget the children'. Sex Transm Infect. 2015;91(2):76-77. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/25316913.
- 23. Panel on Opportunistic Infections in Adults and Adolescents with HIV. Guidelines for the prevention and treatment of opportunistic infections in adults and adolescents with HIV: recommendations from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the National Institutes of Health, and the HIV Medicine Association of the Infectious Diseases Society of America, 2018, Available at: http://aidsinfo.nih.gov/contentfiles/lyguidelines/adult\_oi.pdf.
- 24. Branson BM, Owen SM, Wesolowski LG, et al. Laboratory testing for the diagnosis of HIV infection: updated recommendations. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2014. Available at: https://www.medbox.org/laboratorytesting-for-the-diagnosis-of-hiv-infection-updated-recommendations/download.pdf.
- 25. Birkhead GS, Pulver WP, Warren BL, Hackel S, Rodriguez D, Smith L. Acquiring human immunodeficiency virus during pregnancy and mother-to-child transmission in New York: 2002-2006. Obstet Gynecol. 2010;115(6):1247-1255. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20502297.
- Sansom SL, Jamieson DJ, Farnham PG, Bulterys M, Fowler MG. Human immunodeficiency virus retesting during pregnancy: costs and effectiveness in preventing perinatal transmission. Obstet Gynecol. 2003;102(4):782-790. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14551009.
- 27. American College of Obstetrics: Gynecology Committee on Obstetric Practice. ACOG committee opinion no. 418: prenatal and perinatal human immunodeficiency virus testing: expanded recommendations. Obstet Gynecol. 2008;112(3):739-742. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18757690.
- 28. Richey LE, Halperin J. Acute human immunodeficiency virus infection. Am J Medical Sci. 2013;345(2):136-142. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23095473.
- 29. Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents. Guidelines for the use of antiretroviral agents in adults and adolescents living with HIV. 2018. Available at: http://aidsinfo.nih.gov/contentfiles/lyguidelines/AdultandAdolescentGL. pdf.
- 30. Trepka MJ, Mukherjee S, Beck-Sague C, et al. Missed opportunities for preventing perinatal transmission of human immunodeficiency virus, Florida, 2007-2014. South Med J. 2017;110(2):116-128. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/pubmed/28158882.
- 31. Yee LM, Miller ES, Statton A, et al. Sustainability of statewide rapid HIV testing in labor and delivery. AIDS Behav. 2018;22(2):538-544. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28986656.
- 32. Scott RK, Crochet S, Huang CC. Universal rapid human immunodeficiency virus screening at delivery: a cost-effectiveness analysis, Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol, 2018;2018;6024698, Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29731602.
- 33. Lockman S, Creek T. Acute maternal HIV infection during pregnancy and breast-feeding: substantial risk to infants. J Infect Dis. 2009;200(5):667-669. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19627246.
- 34. Taha TE, James MM, Hoover DR, et al. Association of recent HIV infection and *in-utero* HIV-1 transmission. AIDS. 2011;25(11):1357-1364. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21572305.
- 35. Humphrey JH, Marinda E, Mutasa K, et al. Mother to child transmission of HIV among Zimbabwean women who seroconverted postnatally: prospective cohort study. BMJ. 2010;341:c6580. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/21177735.
- 36. Drake AL, Wagner A, Richardson B, John-Stewart G. Incident HIV during pregnancy and postpartum and risk of mother-tochild HIV transmission: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS Med. 2014;11(2):e1001608. Available at: http://www. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24586123.

# Preconception Counseling and Care for Women of Childbearing Age Living with HIV (Last updated December 24, 2019; last reviewed December 24, 2019)

### Panel's Recommendations

- · Discuss reproductive desires with all women of childbearing age on an ongoing basis throughout the course of their care (AIII).
- · Provide information about effective and appropriate contraceptive methods to reduce the likelihood of unplanned pregnancy (AI).
- During preconception counseling, provide information on safe sex and encourage the elimination of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs of abuse; if elimination is not feasible, clinicians should provide appropriate treatment (e.g., methadone or buprenorphine) or counsel patients on how to manage health risks (e.g., use of a syringe services program) (All).
- Women with HIV should attain maximum viral suppression before attempting conception for their own health, to prevent sexual HIV transmission to partners without HIV (AI), and to minimize the risk of perinatal HIV transmission to the infant (AI).
- When selecting or evaluating an antiretroviral (ARV) regimen for women of childbearing age with HIV, consider a regimen's effectiveness, a woman's hepatitis B status, the teratogenic potential of the drugs in the ARV regimen, and the possible adverse outcomes for the mother and fetus (AII). See Teratogenicity and Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy for more information. The Panel on Treatment of Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission emphasizes the importance of counseling and informed decision-making regarding all ARV regimens for people with HIV (AIII).
- HIV infection does not preclude the use of any contraceptive method; however, drug-drug interactions between hormonal contraceptives
  and antiretrovirals should be considered (All).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

**Rating of Evidence:** I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

## **Overview**

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG), and other national organizations recommend offering all women of childbearing age comprehensive family planning and the opportunity to receive preconception counseling and care as a component of routine primary medical care. The purpose of preconception care is to improve the health of each woman before conception by identifying risk factors for adverse maternal or fetal outcomes, tailoring education and counseling to patients' individual needs, and treating or stabilizing medical conditions to optimize maternal and fetal outcomes.<sup>1</sup> Preconception care is not something that occurs in a single clinical visit; rather, it requires integrating ongoing care and interventions into primary care to address the needs of women during the different stages of reproductive life. It is important that comprehensive family planning and preconception care be integrated into routine health care visits, because almost half of all pregnancies in the United States are unplanned.<sup>2-12</sup> Providers should initiate and document a nonjudgmental conversation with all women of reproductive age about their reproductive desires, because women may be reluctant to bring this up themselves. 13-17 Health care providers who routinely care for women of reproductive age who are living with HIV play an important role in promoting preconception health and informed reproductive decisions. However, even among providers who offer primary care to women with HIV, the delivery of comprehensive reproductive counseling often falls short of the current guidelines. 18,19

The fundamental principles of preconception counseling and care are outlined in the CDC Preconception Care Work Group's <u>Recommendations to Improve Preconception Health and Health Care</u>. In addition to the general components of preconception counseling and care that are appropriate for all women of reproductive age, women with HIV have specific needs that should be addressed.<sup>20-23</sup> Health care providers should:

• Discuss reproductive options, actively assess women's pregnancy intentions on an ongoing basis throughout the course of care, and, when appropriate, make referrals to experts in HIV and women's health, including experts in reproductive endocrinology and infertility when necessary.<sup>13,24</sup>

- The primary treatment goal for women who are on antiretroviral therapy (ART) and planning a pregnancy should be sustained suppression of plasma viral load below the limit of detection prior to conception. This is important for the health of the woman, and it minimizes the risk of perinatal HIV transmission and prevents sexual HIV transmission to a partner without HIV (see Reproductive Options for Couples When One or Both Partners are Living with HIV).
- People with HIV who take ART as prescribed and who achieve and maintain an undetectable viral load have effectively no risk of transmitting HIV through sex. This is commonly known as Undetectable = Untransmittable or U=U. For more information, see the Prevention IS Care Resources from CDC.
- Encourage sexual partners to receive HIV counseling and testing so that they can seek HIV care if they have HIV or seek advice about oral pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP), if appropriate, and other measures to prevent HIV acquisition if they do not have HIV.
- Counsel women on eliminating the use of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs of abuse. The use of these drugs should be appropriately treated (e.g., with methadone or buprenorphine) and managed (e.g., provide access to syringe services program) when elimination is not feasible.
- Counsel women who are contemplating pregnancy to take a daily multivitamin that contains 400 mcg of folic acid to help prevent certain birth defects. Women who are at higher risk of having a child with neural tube defects (NTDs) than the baseline population are candidates for receiving a higher dose (1 to 4 mg) of folic acid.
- Educate and counsel women about the risk factors for perinatal HIV transmission, the strategies to reduce those risks, and the potential effects of HIV or of taking antiretroviral (ARV) drugs during pregnancy on pregnancy course and outcomes. Education and counseling should also be directed at helping women to understand the recommendation that women living with HIV in the United States not breastfeed because of the risk of transmission of HIV to their infants and the availability of safe and sustainable infant feeding alternatives.
- To support women's informed decision-making about ART, clinicians should educate and counsel them about the factors that affect the selection of ARV drugs for women who are trying to conceive, pregnant women, or postpartum women. This includes discussing the small but significant increase in the risk of infant NTDs when dolutegravir (DTG) is taken around the time of conception with women who are currently receiving DTG as part of their ART regimen or women who wish to be started on DTG. For more information, see Teratogenicity, Updated Guidance about the Use of Dolutegravir in Pregnancy in Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy, Dolutegravir, and Appendix D: Dolutegravir Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers.
- When prescribing ART to women of childbearing age, consider the regimen's effectiveness, an individual's hepatitis B virus (HBV) status, the potential for teratogenicity, the likelihood of developing drug resistance, and the possible adverse outcomes for mother and fetus. 25-27
- Use the preconception period to modify the ARV regimen of women who are contemplating pregnancy to optimize virologic suppression and minimize potential adverse effects (see Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy and Table 7).
- Recognize that women with perinatally acquired HIV may have special needs<sup>28</sup> (see Prenatal Care, Antiretroviral Therapy, and HIV Management in Women with Perinatal HIV Infection).
- Evaluate and manage therapy-associated side effects (e.g., hyperglycemia, anemia, hepatotoxicity) that may adversely impact maternal-fetal health outcomes.
- Administer all vaccines as indicated (see Guidance for Vaccine Recommendations for Pregnant and Breastfeeding Women and 2013 IDSA Clinical Practice Guideline for Vaccination of the

<u>Immunocompromised Host</u>). This includes vaccines for influenza, pneumococcus, HBV, and tetanus. All women, including those with HIV, should receive Tdap vaccination during each pregnancy.

- Offer all women who do not currently desire pregnancy effective and appropriate contraceptive methods to reduce the likelihood of unintended pregnancy. Women with HIV can use all available contraceptive methods, including hormonal contraception (e.g., pill, patch, ring, injection, implant) and intrauterine devices (IUDs).<sup>29</sup> Providers should be aware of potential interactions between ARV drugs and hormonal contraceptives that could lower contraceptive efficacy (see Table 3 below).
- Offer emergency contraception as appropriate, including emergency contraceptive pills and the copper IUD (see the <u>ACOG Practice Bulletin on emergency contraception</u>). Emergency contraceptive pills that contain estrogen and a progestin and those that only contain levonorgestrel may have interactions with ARV drugs that are similar to the ones observed with combined oral contraceptives.<sup>30</sup> There are no data on potential interactions between ARV drugs and ulipristal acetate, a progesterone receptor modulator; however, ulipristal acetate is predominantly metabolized by cytochrome P450 (CYP) 3A4, so interactions may occur (see the <u>HIV Drug Interaction Checker</u>).
- Optimize the woman's health prior to conception (e.g., ensure appropriate foliate intake, test for <u>all</u> sexually transmitted infections and treat as indicated, consider the teratogenic potential of <u>all</u> prescribed medications, and consider switching to safer medications).

# Drug-Drug Interactions Between Hormonal Contraceptives and Antiretroviral Therapy

Data on drug interactions between ARV drugs and hormonal contraceptives primarily come from drug labels and limited studies. 30-47 The contraceptive effectiveness of the levonorgestrel IUD is largely through local (i.e., intrauterine) release of levonorgestrel, not through systemic absorption. The CDC's U.S. Medical Eligibility Criteria for Contraceptive Use lists the levonorgestrel IUD as category 1 (no restrictions) in drug interactions with all ARV drugs in women who already have an IUD and category 1/2 (benefits outweigh risk) for those who are initiating use of an IUD.

Hormonal contraceptives can be used with ARV drugs in women without other contraindications. Additional or alternative methods of contraception may be recommended when drug interactions are known. For women who are using ritonavir (RTV)-boosted protease inhibitors (PIs) and who are also on combination hormonal contraceptives (e.g., pills, patches, rings) or progestin-only pills, use of an alternative or additional method of contraception may be considered, since the area under the curve of hormones may be decreased with the use of some RTV-boosted PIs (i.e., darunavir/ritonavir [DRV/r], fosamprenavir/ritonavir, and lopinavir/ritonavir [LPV/r]) but not others (see Table 3). Depot medroxyprogesterone acetate (DMPA) can be used without restriction because of its relatively higher dose than other progesterone-based contraception, and limited studies have shown no significant interaction between DMPA and ARV drugs. 32,34,44,48 Doses of hormonal contraceptives do not need to be adjusted in patients who are receiving nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors.

While contraceptive implants (e.g., etonogestrel/levonorgestrel) generally can be used in women who are receiving ARV drugs, both pharmacokinetic (PK) and clinical data suggest that these implants have decreased efficacy when used with efavirenz (EFV)-based regimens. Scarsi et al. reported on three groups of Ugandan women with HIV: those who were not on ART (17 women), those taking nevirapine (NVP)-based ART (20 women), and those taking EFV-based ART (20 women) who had levonorgestrel implants placed and had levonorgestrel PK levels assessed at 1, 4, 12, 24, 36, and 48 weeks post-insertion. The geometric mean ratio of levonorgestrel concentrations (patients taking EFV-based ART vs. ART-naive patients) was 0.53 at 24 weeks and 0.43 at 48 weeks. Three pregnancies occurred in the EFV group (15%) between weeks 36 and 48, whereas no pregnancies occurred in the ART-naive or NVP groups.

In a study of 570 women with HIV in Swaziland who had levonorgestrel implants (i.e., Jadelle), none of the women on NVP- or LPV/r-based regimens (n = 208 and n = 13, respectively) became pregnant, whereas 15 women on EFV (n = 121; 12.4%) became pregnant.<sup>42</sup> Because of their overall efficacy, implants remain as

effective as or more effective than oral and injectable contraceptives among women with HIV who are using EFV, and all hormonal contraceptives remain more effective than no contraception among these women. <sup>50,52</sup> A study collected data from 5,153 women with HIV who were followed prospectively for 1 to 3 years. During the follow-up period, 9% of the women used implants (mostly levonorgestrel), 40% used injectables, and 14% used oral contraceptives; 31% of these women took ART during the follow-up period, mostly NVP-containing (75%) or EFV-containing (15%) regimens. Among women who were not using contraception, pregnancy rates were 13.2 per 100 person-years for those who were on ART and 22.5 per 100 person-years for those who were not on ART. Implants greatly reduced the incidence of pregnancy among women on ART (adjusted hazard ratio [aHR] 0.06; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.01–0.45) and women who were not on ART (aHR 0.05; 95% CI, 0.02–0.11). Injectables and oral contraceptives also reduced pregnancy risk, though to lesser degrees. ART use did not significantly diminish contraceptive effectiveness, although all methods showed nonstatistically significant reduced contraceptive effectiveness when a woman was using EFV concurrently. <sup>52</sup>

Because data are limited on pregnancy rates among women on different hormonal contraceptives and ARV drugs, the dosing recommendations in Table 3 are based on consensus expert opinion. Whenever possible, the recommendations are based on available data regarding PK interactions between ARV drugs and combined hormonal methods, DMPA, and levonorgestrel and etonogestrel implants. The smallest decrease in PK for which an alternative method was recommended was a 14% decrease in norethindrone (with DRV/r). For women who are using atazanavir without RTV boosting (ethinyl estradiol increase 48%, norethindrone increase 110%), the Panel on Treatment of Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission (the Panel) recommends the use of oral contraceptives that contain  $\leq$ 30 µg ethinyl estradiol. The Panel does not recommend any change in ethinyl estradiol dose in women who are receiving etravirine (ethinyl estradiol increase 22%), rilpivirine (ethinyl estradiol increase 14%), or indinavir (ethinyl estradiol increase 25%, norethindrone increase 26%).

Table 3. Drug Interactions Between Antiretroviral Agents and Hormonal Contraceptives (page 1 of 8)

**Note:** All recommendations in the following table are based on consensus expert opinion. More details can be found in the <u>CDC's U.S. Medical Eligibility Criteria for Contraceptive Use</u>, 2016.

ARV Drug	Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels and Contraceptive's Effects on ART and HIV	Clinical Studies	Dosing Recommendation/ Clinical Comment for COC/P/R	Dosing Recommendation/ Clinical Comment for POPs		Dosing Recommendation/ Clinical Comment for Etonogestrel Implants	Justification/ Evidence for Recommendation
NNRTIs			1				
EFV	• No effect on EE concentrations • ↓ active metabolites of norgestimate; LN AUC ↓ 83% and norelgestromin AUC ↓ 64%³5 • Etonogestrel (in COC) C24h ↓ 61%⁴¹  DMPA: • No effect on DMPA levels³²².³⁴  Etonogestrel Implant: • Etonogestrel AUC ↓ 63% to 82%⁵¹.⁵³  LN Implant: • LN AUC ↓ 47%⁴⁶ • LN (emergency contraception) AUC ↓ 58%³⁰  Changes in ARV Levels and/or Effects on HIV COC: • No effect on EFV concentrations³⁵ • EFV C12h ↓ 22%; was under therapeutic threshold in three of 16 subjects⁴¹  DMPA: • No effect on HIV disease progression³²².⁵⁴√⁵⁵ • No effect on EFV concentrations³²  LN Implant: • No effect on HIV disease progression⁴⁶  Vaginally Administered Etonogestrel/EE: • Etonogestrel ↓ 79% • EE ↓ 59%	<ul> <li>COC: <ul> <li>No difference in pregnancy rates<sup>52</sup></li> </ul> </li> <li>Pregnancy rate was 13% higher in women using COCs and EFV than in women using COCs alone<sup>50,56</sup></li> <li>Progesterone &gt;3 ng/mL (a surrogate for ovulation) in three of 16 women<sup>57</sup></li> <li>No ovulations<sup>35</sup></li> </ul> <li>DMPA: <ul> <li>No increase in pregnancy rates <sup>32,50,52,55</sup></li> <li>Low progesterone<sup>32,34,55</sup></li> </ul> </li> <li>Etonogestrel Implant: <ul> <li>Pregnancy rate higher with EFV compared with no ART, but still lower with implants than with other hormonal methods of contraception<sup>50</sup></li> <li>Presumptive ovulation in 5%<sup>53</sup></li> <li>LN Implant: <ul> <li>12% pregnancy rate<sup>42</sup></li> <li>15% pregnancy rate higher with EFV compared with no ART, but still lower with implants than with other hormonal methods of contraception<sup>50</sup></li> <li>No increase in pregnancy rate<sup>52</sup></li> </ul> </li> </ul></li>	Consider an alternative method (or a reliable method of barrier contraception) in addition to this method.	Consider an alternative method (or a reliable method of barrier contraception) in addition to this method.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	Consider an alternative method (or a reliable method of barrier contraception) in addition to this method.	For COCs, some studies suggest higher pregnancy rate and ovulation rate and decreased progestin levels. EFV may decrease, but clinical significance unclear.  For DMPA, evidence does not show effects on pregnancy rate, ovulation, or DMPA levels. Also, no effect on HIV disease progression or EFV levels.  For implants, some studies suggest higher pregnancy rate and decreased hormone levels.  For vaginally administered etonogestrel/EE, PK evaluation showed that etonogestrel levels were 79% lower and EE levels were 59% lower in participants on EFV than in controls after 21 days. 58

**Table 3. Drug Interactions Between Antiretroviral Agents and Hormonal Contraceptives** (page 2 of 8)

ARV Drug	Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels and Contraceptive's Effects on ART and HIV	Clinical Studies	Dosing Recommendation/ Clinical Comment for COC/P/R	Dosing Recommendation/ Clinical Comment for POPs	Dosing Recommendation/ Clinical Comment for DMPA <sup>a</sup>	Dosing Recommendation/ Clinical Comment for Etonogestrel Implants	Justification/ Evidence for Recommendation
NNRTIs, cor			1	1		1	
ETR	EE AUC ↑ 22% <sup>59</sup> No significant effect on NE <sup>59</sup>	• No ovulations <sup>59</sup>	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	For COCs, one study found no ovulations and no significant change in progestin levels.
NVP	EE AUC ↓ 29%;60 no change in EE AUC61  NE AUC ↓ 18%60  Etonogestrel (in COC) C <sub>24h</sub> ↓ 22%41 <b>DMPA:</b> • No significant change <sup>32</sup> <b>LN Implant:</b> • LN AUC ↑ 35%46 <b>Changes in ARV Levels and/or Effects on HIV</b> <i>COC:</i> • No significant effect on NVP levels <sup>57,60,62</sup> <i>DMPA:</i> • No effect on HIV disease progression <sup>32,54,55,63</sup> <i>LN Implant:</i> • No effect on HIV disease progression <sup>46,64</sup>	COC:  • No increase in pregnancy rate <sup>50,52,56,65,66</sup> • No ovulations <sup>57,61,66</sup> DMPA:  • No increase in pregnancy rate <sup>50,52,55,65</sup> • No ovulations <sup>32</sup> Etonogestrel Implant:  • No increase in pregnancy rate <sup>50</sup> LN Implant:  • No increase in pregnancy rate <sup>42,46,50,52,64</sup>	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed	No data on POPs.  For COCs, evidence does not show effects on pregnancy rate or ovulations. Evidence demonstrated small decrease in progestin levels. No effect on NVP levels.  For DMPA, evidence does not show effects on pregnancy rate, ovulation, or DMPA levels. No effect on HIV disease progression.  For implants, evidence does not show effects on pregnancy rate or HIV
RPV	EE AUC ↑ 14% <sup>40</sup> No significant change on NE. <sup>40</sup> Changes in ARV Levels and/or Effects on HIV  COC:  • No change in RPV levels compared to historical controls <sup>40</sup>	COC: • No change in progesterone <sup>40</sup>	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	disease progression.  For COCs, evidence does not show effects on ovulation or progestin levels.  No change in RPV levels.  No data on POPs.

Table 3. Drug Interactions Between Antiretroviral Agents and Hormonal Contraceptives (page 3 of 8)

ARV Drug	Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels and Contraceptive's Effects on ART and HIV	Clinical Studies	Dosing Recommendation/ Clinical Comment for COC/P/R	Dosing Recommendation/ Clinical Comment for POPs	Dosing Recommendation/ Clinical Comment for DMPA <sup>a</sup>	Dosing Recommendation/ Clinical Comment for Etonogestrel Implants	Justification/ Evidence for Recommendation
DOR	No clinically significant interaction with EE and LN	N/A	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	No clinical data.
RTV-Booste	ed Pls				,	<u> </u>	,
ATV/r	EE AUC ↓ 19% <sup>67</sup> Norgestimate AUC ↑ 85% <sup>67</sup> POP:	N/A	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	For COCs, increase in progestin levels seen in only one study.
	• NE AUC ↑ 50% <sup>68</sup> Vaginally Administered Etonogestrel/EE: • Etonogestrel ↑ 71% • EE ↓ 38% <sup>58</sup>						For POPs, increase in progestin levels seen in only one study.
	• EE \$ 30 %						RTV inhibits CYP3A4, which may increase contraceptive hormone levels.
DRV/r	EE AUC ↓ 44% <sup>69</sup> NE AUC ↓ 14% <sup>69</sup>	N/A	Can consider an alternative method (or a reliable method of barrier contraception) in addition to this method.	Can consider an alternative method (or a reliable method of barrier contraception) in addition to this method.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	Can consider an alternative method (or a reliable method of barrier contraception) in addition to this method.	For COCs, small decrease in progestin levels.  No data on POPs.
FPV/r	EE AUC ↓ 37% <sup>70</sup> NE AUC ↓ 34% <sup>70</sup> No change in FPV/r levels <sup>70</sup>	N/A	Can consider an alternative method (or a reliable method of barrier contraception) in addition to this method.	Can consider an alternative method (or a reliable method of barrier contraception) in addition to this method.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	Can consider an alternative method (or a reliable method of barrier contraception) in addition to this method.	For COCs, decrease in progestin levels.  No data on POPs.

**Table 3. Drug Interactions Between Antiretroviral Agents and Hormonal Contraceptives** (page 4 of 8)

ARV Drug	Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels and Contraceptive's Effects on ART and HIV	Clinical Studies	Dosing Recommendation/ Clinical Comment for COC/P/R	Dosing Recommendation/ Clinical Comment for POPs	Dosing Recommendation/ Clinical Comment for DMPA <sup>a</sup>	Dosing Recommendation/ Clinical Comment for Etonogestrel Implants	Justification/ Evidence for Recommendation
	ed PIs, continued						
LPV/r	EE AUC ↓ 55%³¹  NE AUC ↓ 17%  Patch:  • EE AUC ↓ 45%³¹  • Norelgestromin AUC ↑ 83%³¹  DMPA:  • DMPA AUC ↑ 46%⁴⁴  Etonogestrel Implant:  • Etonogestrel AUC ↑ 52%⁵³  Changes in ARV Levels and/or Effects on HIV  Patch:  • LPV/r ↓ 19%³¹  DMPA:  • No effect on HIV disease progression⁴⁴	• Increased pregnancy rate, but Cls overlap <sup>50</sup> Patch: • No ovulations <sup>31</sup> DMPA: • No pregnancies and no ovulations <sup>44</sup> • Increased pregnancy rate, but Cls overlap <sup>50</sup> Etonogestrel Implant: • No increase in pregnancy rate <sup>50</sup> LN Implant: • No increase in pregnancy rate <sup>42,50</sup>	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	For COCs, nonsignificant increase in pregnancy rate. Small decrease in progestin level.  For patch, no ovulations and progestin levels increased.  For DMPA, evidence shows no effect on pregnancy rate or ovulations. Progestin levels increased.  For implants, evidence shows no effect on pregnancy rate. Progestin levels
SQV/r	No change in LPV/r levels <sup>44</sup> ↓ EE <sup>71</sup> Changes in ARV Levels and/or Effects on HIV      COC:     No change in SQV/r levels <sup>72</sup>	N/A	Can consider an alternative method (or a reliable method of barrier contraception) in addition to this method.	Can consider an alternative method (or a reliable method of barrier contraception) in addition to this method.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	Can consider an alternative method (or a reliable method of barrier contraception) in addition to this method.	increased.  No information on progestin levels for CHCs or POPs.  RTV inhibits CYP3A4, which may increase contraceptive hormone levels.  However, some PI/r cause decreases in progestin levels, so there are theoretical concerns about contraceptive effectiveness.

Table 3. Drug Interactions Between Antiretroviral Agents and Hormonal Contraceptives (page 5 of 8)

ARV Drug	Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels and Contraceptive's Effects on ART and HIV	Clinical Studies	Dosing Recommendation/ Clinical Comment for COC/P/R	Dosing Recommendation/ Clinical Comment for POPs	Dosing Recommendation/ Clinical Comment for DMPA <sup>a</sup>	Dosing Recommendation/ Clinical Comment for Etonogestrel Implants	Justification/ Evidence for Recommendation
	ed PIs, continued						
TPV/r	EE AUC ↓ 48% <sup>73</sup> No significant change on NE. <sup>73</sup> <b>Changes in ARV Levels and/or Effects on HIV:</b> • No change in TPV levels <sup>73</sup>	N/A	Can consider an alternative method (or a reliable method of barrier contraception) in addition to this method.	Can consider an alternative method (or a reliable method of barrier contraception) in addition to this method.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	Can consider an alternative method (or a reliable method of barrier contraception) in addition to this method.	For COCs, no significant change in progestin levels, but the only data available are from the product label.  No data on POPs.  RTV inhibits CYP3A4, which may increase contraceptive hormone levels. However, some PI/r cause decreases in progestin levels, so there are theoretical concerns about contraceptive effectiveness.
COBI-Boos	ted Pls						
ATV/c	Drospirenone AUC ↑ 2.3-fold EE AUC ↓ 22% <sup>74</sup>	N/A	Contraindicated with drospirenone- containing hormonal contraceptives due to potential for hyperkalemia.  Consider alternative or additional contraceptive method.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	No data on POPs.

Table 3. Drug Interactions Between Antiretroviral Agents and Hormonal Contraceptives (page 6 of 8)

ARV Drug	Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels and Contraceptive's Effects on ART and HIV	Clinical Studies	Dosing Recommendation/ Clinical Comment for COC/P/R	Dosing Recommendation/ Clinical Comment for POPs	Dosing Recommendation/ Clinical Comment for DMPA <sup>a</sup>	Dosing Recommendation/ Clinical Comment for Etonogestrel Implants	Justification/ Evidence for Recommendation
COBI-Boos	ted PIs, continued						
DRV/c	Drospirenone AUC ↑ 1.6-fold  EE AUC ↓ 30% <sup>74</sup>	N/A	Clinical monitoring is recommended when DRV/c is used in combination with drospirenone-containing COCs, due to the potential for hyperkalemia.  Consider	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	No data on POPs.
Di W			alternative or additional contraceptive method.				
ATV	COC: • EE AUC ↑ 48% <sup>75</sup> • NE AUC ↑ 110% <sup>75</sup>	N/A	Prescribe oral contraceptive that contains no more than 30 mcg of EE or recommend alternative contraceptive method.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	For COCs, increased concentrations of estrogen and progestin, but the only data available are from the product label.  No data on POPs.
FPV	COC APV:  • No change in EE AUC; $C_{min} \uparrow 32\%$ • NE AUC $\uparrow 18\%$ ; $C_{min} \uparrow 45\%^{70}$ FPV with EE/NE:  • APV AUC $\downarrow 22\%$ ; $C_{min} \downarrow 20\%^{70}$	N/A	Use alternative contraceptive method.	Can consider an alternative method (or a reliable method of barrier contraception) in addition to this method.	Can consider an alternative method (or a reliable method of barrier contraception) in addition to this method.	Can consider an alternative method (or a reliable method of barrier contraception) in addition to this method.	Use of FPV alone with EE/NE may lead to loss of virologic response.  No data on POPs.

**Table 3. Drug Interactions Between Antiretroviral Agents and Hormonal Contraceptives** (page 7 of 8)

ARV Drug	Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels and Contraceptive's Effects on ART and HIV	Clinical Studies	Dosing Recommendation/ Clinical Comment for COC/P/R	Dosing Recommendation/ Clinical Comment for POPs	Dosing Recommendation/ Clinical Comment for DMPA <sup>a</sup>	Dosing Recommendation/ Clinical Comment for Etonogestrel Implants	Justification/ Evidence for Recommendation
Pls without	RTV, continued						
IDV	COC: • EE AUC ↑ 22% • NE AUC ↑ 26% <sup>76</sup>	COC: • No pregnancies among women taking IDV and COCs <sup>56</sup>	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	For COCs, small increases in EE and progestin have been observed, and one clinical study did not suggest any efficacy concerns.
							No data on POPs.
NFV	COC:  • EE AUC ↓ 47%  • NE AUC ↓ 18% <sup>77</sup> DMPA:  • No change <sup>32</sup> NFV:  • AUC ↓ 18%	<ul> <li>COC:</li> <li>One small study suggested that women using COCs and NFV may have had higher pregnancy rates than those using COCs alone.<sup>56</sup></li> <li>DMPA:</li> <li>No pregnancies and no ovulations<sup>32,55</sup></li> <li>No change in CD4 count or HIV RNA<sup>32,55</sup></li> </ul>	Can consider an alternative method (or a reliable method of barrier contraception) in addition to this method.	Can consider an alternative method (or a reliable method of barrier contraception) in addition to this method.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	Can consider an alternative method (or a reliable method of barrier contraception) in addition to this method.	For COCs, a small decrease in progestin and a decrease in estrogen have been observed; one small clinical study suggested possible higher pregnancy rate with COC and NFV use.  For DMPA, PK and clinical data demonstrate no change. However, NFV AUC slightly decreased.  No data on POPs or
CCR5 Antag	nonist						implants.
MVC	COC:	N/A	No additional	No additional	No additional	No additional	For COCs, no
11110	No significant effect on EE or LN <sup>78</sup>		contraceptive protection is needed.	contraceptive protection is needed.	contraceptive protection is needed.	contraceptive protection is needed.	change in EE or progestin. No clinical data.
							No data on POPs.

Table 3. Drug Interactions Between Antiretroviral Agents and Hormonal Contraceptives (page 8 of 8)

ARV Drug	Effect on Contraceptive Drug Levels and Contraceptive's Effects on ART and HIV	Clinical Studies	Dosing Recommendation/ Clinical Comment for COC/P/R	Dosing Recommendation/ Clinical Comment for POPs	Dosing Recommendation/ Clinical Comment for DMPA <sup>a</sup>	Dosing Recommendation/ Clinical Comment for Etonogestrel Implants	Justification/ Evidence for Recommendation
INSTIs							
BIC/FTC/ TAF	No significant drug interactions with EE or norgestimate.	N/A	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	No clinical data.
DTG	<ul> <li>COC:</li> <li>No significant effect on norgestimate or EE</li> <li>No change in DTG AUC<sup>45</sup></li> </ul>	N/A	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	For COCs, no change in EE or progestin. No clinical data.  No data on POPs.
EVG/c	COC:  • Norgestimate AUC ↑ 126%  EE AUC ↓ 25% <sup>79</sup>	N/A	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	When administered as the four-drug regimen EVG/c/FTC/TDF, increases in progestin and a small decrease in EE were observed. No clinical data.
RAL	COC:  • No change in EE  • Norgestimate AUC ↑ 14% <sup>80</sup>	N/A	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	No additional contraceptive protection is needed.	No data on POPs.  For COCs, no change in EE and a small increase in progestin. No clinical data.  No data on POPs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Because the hormonal levels achieved with DMPA are substantially higher than the levels that are required for contraception, any small reduction in hormonal level due to ARV drugs is unlikely to reduce contraceptive effectiveness.

# **Key to Symbols:**

↑ = increase

↓ = decrease

**Key:** APV = amprenavir; ART = antiretroviral therapy; ARV = antiretroviral; ATV = atazanavir/cobicistat; ATV/r = atazanavir/ritonavir; AUC = area under the curve; BIC = bictegravir; C<sub>12h</sub> = concentration at 12 hours post-dose; C<sub>24h</sub> = concentration at 24 hours post-dose; CD4 = CD4 T lymphocyte; CDC = Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; CHC = combination hormonal contraceptives; CI = confidence interval; C<sub>min</sub> = minimum plasma concentration; COBI = cobicistat; COC/P/R = combined oral contraceptives/patch/ring; CYP = cytochrome P450; DMPA = depot medroxyprogesterone acetate; DOR= doravirine; DRV/c = darunavir/cobicistat; DRV/r = darunavir/ritonavir; DTG = dolutegravir; EE = ethinyl estradiol; EFV = efavirenz; ETR = etravirine; EVG/c = elvitegravir/cobicistat; FPV = fosamprenavir; FPV/r = fosamprenavir/ritonavir; NNC = maraviroc; NE = norethindrone; NFV = nelfinavir; NNRTI = non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor; NVP = nevirapine; P = progesterone-only oral contraceptive pills; RPV = rilpivirine; RTV = ritonavir; TQV/r = tipranavir/ritonavir; TAF = tenofovir alafenamide; TDF = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate; TPV = tipranavir, TPV/r = tipranavir/ritonavir

Recommendations for the Use of Antiretroviral Drugs in Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Interventions to Reduce Perinatal HIV Transmission in the United States

#### Table 3. Drug Interactions Between Antiretroviral Agents and Hormonal Contraceptives

Source: Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents. Guidelines for the Use of Antiretroviral Agents in Adults and Adolescents Living with HIV. Department of Health and Human Services. Tables 21a, 21b, and 21d.

- American College of Obstetricians Gynecologists. ACOG committee opinion number 313, September 2005. The importance of preconception care in the continuum of women's health care. Obstet Gynecol. 2005;106(3):665-666. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16135611.
- Johnson K, Posner SF, Biermann J, et al. Recommendations to improve preconception health and health care--United States. A report of the CDC/ATSDR Preconception Care Work Group and the Select Panel on Preconception Care. MMWR Recomm Rep. 2006:55(RR-6):1-23. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16617292.
- Cohn SE, Umbleja T, Mrus J, Bardeguez AD, Andersen JW, Chesney MA. Prior illicit drug use and missed prenatal vitamins predict nonadherence to antiretroviral therapy in pregnancy: adherence analysis A5084. AIDS Patient Care STDS. 2008;22(1):29-40. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18442305.
- Elgalib A, Hegazi A, Samarawickrama A, et al. Pregnancy in HIV-infected teenagers in London. HIV Med. 4. 2011;12(2):118-123. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20807252">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20807252</a>.
- 5. Kost K, Finer LB, Singh S. Variation in state unintended pregnancy rates in the United States. Perspect Sex Reprod Health. 2012;44(1):57-64. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22405153.
- Sun M, Peipert JF, Zhao Q, et al. Trends in contraceptive use among women with human immunodeficiency virus. Obstet 6. Gynecol. 2012;120(4):783-790. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22996095.
- Sutton MY, Patel R, Frazier EL. Unplanned pregnancies among HIV-infected women in care-United States. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2014;65(3):350-358. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24189153.
- Finer LB, Zolna MR. Shifts in intended and unintended pregnancies in the United States, 2001-2008. Am J Public Health. 8. 2014;104 Suppl 1:S43-48. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24354819.
- 9. Salters K, Loutfy M, de Pokomandy A, et al. Pregnancy incidence and intention after HIV diagnosis among women living with HIV in Canada. PLoS One. 2017;12(7):e0180524. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28727731.
- Guttmacher Institute. Unintended pregnancy in the United States. 2016. Available at: https://www.guttmacher.org/factsheet/unintended-pregnancy-united-states.
- 11. Aebi-Popp K, Mercanti V, Voide C, et al. Neglect of attention to reproductive health in women with HIV infection: contraceptive use and unintended pregnancies in the Swiss HIV Cohort Study. HIV Med. 2018;19(5):339-346. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29336516.
- Sutton MY, Zhou W, Frazier EL. Unplanned pregnancies and contraceptive use among HIV-positive women in care. PLoS One. 2018;13(5):e0197216. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29771940.
- Finocchario-Kessler S, Dariotis JK, Sweat MD, et al. Do HIV-infected women want to discuss reproductive plans with providers, and are those conversations occurring? AIDS Patient Care STDS. 2010;24(5):317-323. Available at: http:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20482467.
- 14. Finocchario-Kessler S, Sweat MD, Dariotis JK, et al. Childbearing motivations, pregnancy desires, and perceived partner response to a pregnancy among urban female youth: does HIV-infection status make a difference? AIDS Care. 2012;24(1):1-11. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21777077">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21777077</a>.
- Finger JL, Clum GA, Trent ME, Ellen JM, Adolescent Medicine Trials Network for HIV AIDS Interventions. Desire for pregnancy and risk behavior in young HIV-positive women. AIDS Patient Care STDS. 2012;26(3):173-180. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22482121.
- 16. Rahangdale L, Stewart A, Stewart RD, et al. Pregnancy intentions among women living with HIV in the United States. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2014;65(3):306-311. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24525467.
- 17. Matthews LT, Beyeza-Kashesya J, Cooke I, et al. Consensus statement: supporting safer conception and pregnancy for men And women living with and affected by HIV. AIDS Behav. 2018;22(6):1713-1724. Available at: https://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28501964.
- 18. Gokhale RH, Bradley H, Weiser J. Reproductive health counseling delivered to women living with HIV in the United States. AIDS Care. 2017;29(7):928-935. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28114813.
- Tanner AE, Chambers BD, Philbin MM, et al. The intersection between women's reproductive desires and HIV Care providers' reproductive health practices: a mixed methods analysis. Matern Child Health J. 2018;22(9):1233-1239.

- Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30008042.
- 20. Lampe MA. Human immunodeficiency virus-1 and preconception care. Matern Child Health J. 2006;10(5 Suppl):S193-195. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16832609">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16832609</a>.
- 21. Aaron EZ, Criniti SM. Preconception health care for HIV-infected women. Top HIV Med. 2007;15(4):137-141. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17721000.
- 22. Anderson J. Women and HIV: motherhood and more. Curr Opin Infect Dis. 2012;25(1):58-65. Available at: http://www. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22156896.
- 23. Jones D, Chakhtoura N, Cook R. Reproductive and maternal healthcare needs of HIV infected women. Curr HIV/AIDS Rep. 2013;10(4):333-341. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23918674.
- Gosselin JT, Sauer MV. Life after HIV: examination of HIV serodiscordant couples' desire to conceive through assisted reproduction. AIDS Behav. 2011;15(2):469-478. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20960049.
- 25. Cotter AM, Garcia AG, Duthely ML, Luke B, O'Sullivan MJ. Is antiretroviral therapy during pregnancy associated with an increased risk of preterm delivery, low birth weight, or stillbirth? J Infect Dis. 2006;193(9):1195-1201. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16586354.
- 26. Tuomala RE, Shapiro DE, Mofenson LM, et al. Antiretroviral therapy during pregnancy and the risk of an adverse outcome. N Engl J Med. 2002;346(24):1863-1870. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12063370.
- Stek AM. Antiretroviral medications during pregnancy for therapy or prophylaxis. Curr HIV/AIDS Rep. 2009;6(2):68-76. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19358777.
- 28. Byrne L, Sconza R, Foster C, Tookey PA, Cortina-Borja M, Thorne C. Pregnancy incidence and outcomes in women with perinatal HIV infection. AIDS. 2017;31(12):1745-1754. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28590327.
- 29. Centers for Disease C, Prevention. Update to CDC's U.S. medical eligibility criteria for contraceptive use, 2010: revised recommendations for the use of hormonal contraception among women at high risk for HIV infection or infected with HIV. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2012;61(24):449-452. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22717514.
- Carten ML, Kiser JJ, Kwara A, Mawhinney S, Cu-Uvin S. Pharmacokinetic interactions between the hormonal emergency contraception, levonorgestrel (Plan B), and efavirenz. Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol. 2012;2012;137192. Available at: http:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22536010.
- Vogler MA, Patterson K, Kamemoto L, et al. Contraceptive efficacy of oral and transdermal hormones when coadministered with protease inhibitors in HIV-1-infected women: pharmacokinetic results of ACTG trial A5188. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2010;55(4):473-482. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20842042.
- Cohn SE, Park JG, Watts DH, et al. Depo-medroxyprogesterone in women on antiretroviral therapy: effective contraception and lack of clinically significant interactions. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2007;81(2):222-227. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17192768.
- 33. Hoyt MJ, Storm DS, Aaron E, Anderson J. Preconception and contraceptive care for women living with HIV. Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol. 2012;2012:604183. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23097595.
- Nanda K, Amaral E, Hays M, Viscola MA, Mehta N, Bahamondes L. Pharmacokinetic interactions between depot medroxyprogesterone acetate and combination antiretroviral therapy. Fertil Steril. 2008;90(4):965-971. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17880953.
- Sevinsky H, Eley T, Persson A, et al. The effect of efavirenz on the pharmacokinetics of an oral contraceptive containing ethinyl estradiol and norgestimate in healthy HIV-negative women. Antivir Ther. 2011;16(2):149-156. Available at: http:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21447863.
- Robinson JA, Jamshidi R, Burke AE. Contraception for the HIV-positive woman: a review of interactions between hormonal contraception and antiretroviral therapy. Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol. 2012;2012:890160. Available at: http:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22927715.
- 37. Tseng A, Hills-Nieminen C. Drug interactions between antiretrovirals and hormonal contraceptives. Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol. 2013. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23425052">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23425052</a>.
- 38. Landolt NK, Phanuphak N, Ubolyam S, et al. Efavirenz, in contrast to nevirapine, is associated with unfavorable progesterone and antiretroviral levels when co-administered with combined oral contraceptives. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2012. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23187949.
- Atrio J, Stanczyk FZ, Neely M, Cherala G, Kovacs A, Mishell DR, Jr. Effect of protease inhibitors on steadystate pharmacokinetics of oral norethindrone contraception in HIV-infected women. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2014;65(1):72-77. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24025339.
- 40. Crauwels HM, van Heeswijk RP, Buelens A, Stevens M, Hoetelmans RM. Lack of an effect of rilpivirine on the

- pharmacokinetics of ethinylestradiol and norethindrone in healthy volunteers. Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2014;52(2):118-128. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24161160.
- 41. Landolt NK, Phanuphak N, Ubolyam S, et al. Significant decrease of ethinylestradiol with nevirapine, and of etonogestrel with efavirenz in HIV-positive women. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2014;66(2):e50-52. Available at: http://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24608892.
- 42. Perry SH, Swamy P, Preidis GA, Mwanyumba A, Motsa N, Sarero HN. Implementing the jadelle implant for women living with HIV in a resource-limited setting in sub-Saharan Africa: concerns for drug interactions leading to unintended pregnancies. AIDS. 2014. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24401645.
- Thurman AR, Anderson S, Doncel GF. Effects of hormonal contraception on antiretroviral drug metabolism, pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics. Am J Reprod Immunol. 2014;71(6):523-530. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/24521428.
- Luque AE, Cohn SE, Park JG, et al. Depot medroxyprogesterone acetate in combination with a twice-daily lopinavirritonavir-based regimen in HIV-infected women showed effective contraception and a lack of clinically significant interactions, with good safety and tolerability: results of the ACTG 5283 study. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2015;59(4):2094-2101. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25624326">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25624326</a>.
- 45. Song IH, Borland J, Chen S, Wajima T, Peppercorn AF, Piscitelli SC. Dolutegravir has no effect on the pharmacokinetics of oral contraceptives with norgestimate and ethinyl estradiol. Ann Pharmacother. 2015;49(7):784-789. Available at: http:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25862012.
- Scarsi KK, Darin KM, Nakalema S, et al. Unintended pregnancies observed with combined use of the levonorgestrel contraceptive implant and efavirenz-based antiretroviral therapy; a three-Arm pharmacokinetic evaluation over 48 weeks. Clin Infect Dis. 2016;62(6):675-682. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26646680.
- Nanda K, Stuart GS, Robinson J, Gray AL, Tepper NK, Gaffield ME, Drug interactions between hormonal contraceptives and antiretrovirals. AIDS. 2017;31(7):917-952. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28060009.
- Weinberg A, Park JG, Bosch R, et al. Effect of depot medoxyprogesterone acetate on immune functions and inflammatory markers of HIV-infected women. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2016;71(2):137-145. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/pubmed/26413850.
- Leticee N, Viard JP, Yamgnane A, Karmochkine M, Benachi A. Contraceptive failure of etonogestrel implant in patients treated with antiretrovirals including efavirenz. Contraception. 2012;85(4):425-427. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/pubmed/22036046.
- Patel RC, Onono M, Gandhi M, et al. Pregnancy rates in HIV-positive women using contraceptives and efavirenz-based or nevirapine-based antiretroviral therapy in Kenya: a retrospective cohort study. Lancet HIV. 2015;2(11):e474-482. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26520927.
- 51. Chappell CA, Lamorde M, Nakalema S, et al. Efavirenz decreases etonogestrel exposure: a pharmacokinetic evaluation of implantable contraception with antiretroviral therapy. AIDS. 2017;31(14):1965-1972. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/pubmed/28692531.
- 52. Pyra M, Heffron R, Mugo NR, et al. Effectiveness of hormonal contraception in HIV-infected women using antiretroviral therapy. AIDS. 2015;29(17):2353-2359. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26544706.
- Vieira CS, Bahamondes MV, de Souza RM, et al. Effect of antiretroviral therapy including lopinavir/ritonavir or efavirenz on etonogestrel-releasing implant pharmacokinetics in HIV-positive women. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2014;66(4):378-385.
- Polis CB, Curtis KM. Use of hormonal contraceptives and HIV acquisition in women: a systematic review of the epidemiological evidence. Lancet Infect Dis. 2013;13(9):797-808. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23871397.
- Watts DH, Park JG, Cohn SE, et al. Safety and tolerability of depot medroxyprogesterone acetate among HIV-infected women on antiretroviral therapy: ACTG A5093. Contraception. 2008;77(2):84-90. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/pubmed/18226670.
- Clark RA, Theall K. Population-based study evaluating association between selected antiretroviral therapies and potential oral contraceptive failure. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2004;37(1):1219-1220.
- Landolt NK, Phanuphak N, Ubolyam S, et al. Efavirenz, in contrast to nevirapine, is associated with unfavorable progesterone and antiretroviral levels when coadministered with combined oral contraceptives. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2013;62(5):534-539.
- Scarsi KK, Cramer YS, Rosenkranz SL, et al. Antiretroviral therapy and vaginally administered contraceptive hormones: a three-arm, pharmacokinetic study. Lancet HIV. 2019;6(9):e601-e612. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/31498109.
- 59. Scholler-Gyure M, Kakuda TN, Woodfall B, et al. Effect of steady-state etravirine on the pharmacokinetics and

- pharmacodynamics of ethinylestradiol and norethindrone. Contraception. 2009;80(1):44-52. Available at: https://www. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19501215.
- Mildvan D, Yarrish R, Marshak A, et al. Pharmacokinetic interaction between nevirapine and ethinyl estradiol/norethindrone when administered concurrently to HIV-infected women. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2002;29(5):471-477.
- Stuart GS, Moses A, Corbett A, et al. Combined oral contraceptives and antiretroviral PK/PD in Malawian women: pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of a combined oral contraceptive and a generic combined formulation antiretroviral in Malawi. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2011;58(2):e40-43.
- Muro E, Droste JA, Hofstede HT, Bosch M, Dolmans W, Burger DM. Nevirapine plasma concentrations are still detectable after more than 2 weeks in the majority of women receiving single-dose nevirapine: implications for intervention studies. JAcquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2005;39(4):419-421. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16010163.
- 63. Day S, Graham SM, Masese LN, et al. A prospective cohort study of the effect of depot medroxyprogesterone acetate on detection of plasma and cervical HIV-1 in women initiating and continuing antiretroviral therapy. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2014;66(4):452-456.
- 64. Hubacher D, Liku J, Kiarie J, et al. Effect of concurrent use of anti-retroviral therapy and levonorgestrel sub-dermal implant for contraception on CD4 counts: a prospective cohort study in Kenya. J Int AIDS Soc. 2013;16:18448.
- 65. Myer L, Carter RJ, Katval M, Toro P, El-Sadr WM, Abrams EJ. Impact of antiretroviral therapy on incidence of pregnancy among HIV-infected women in Sub-Saharan Africa: a cohort study. PLoS Med. 2010;7(2):e1000229. Available at: https:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20161723.
- 66. Nanda K, Delany-Moretlwe S, Dube K, et al. Nevirapine-based antiretroviral therapy does not reduce oral contraceptive effectiveness. AIDS. 2013;27 Suppl 1:S17-25.
- 67. Zhang J, Chung E, Yones C, et al. The effect of atazanavir/ritonavir on the pharmacokinetics of an oral contraceptive containing ethinyl estradiol and norgestimate in healthy women. Antivir Ther. 2011;16(2):157-164.
- DuBois BN, Atrio J, Stanczyk FZ, Cherala G. Increased exposure of norethindrone in HIV+ women treated with ritonavir-boosted atazanavir therapy. Contraception. 2015;91(1):71-75. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/25245190.
- Sekar VJ, Lefebvre E, Guzman SS, et al. Pharmacokinetic interaction between ethinyl estradiol, norethindrone and darunavir with low-dose ritonavir in healthy women. Antivir Ther. 2008;13(4):563-569.
- 70. Fosamprenavir calcium [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2017. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda. gov/drugsatfda docs/label/2017/021548s040lbledt.pdf.
- 71. Dolutegravir [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration, 2019. Available at https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/ drugsatfda docs/label/2019/204790s024lbl.pdf.
- 72. Frohlich M, Burhenne J, Martin-Facklam M, et al. Oral contraception does not alter single dose saquinavir pharmacokinetics in women. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2004;57(3):244-252.
- Tipranavir [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2011. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/ drugsatfda docs/label/2011/021814s011lbl.pdf.
- 74. Majeed SR, West SK, Jiang S, et al. Confirmation of the drug-drug interaction (DDI) potential between cobicistat-boosted antiretroviral regimens and hormonal contraceptives. Presented at:18th International Workshop on Clinical Pharmacology of Antiviral Therapy. 2017. Chicago, IL.
- 75. Atazanavir [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2018. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/ drugsatfda docs/label/2018/021567s042,206352s007lbl.pdf.
- 76. Indinavir [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2015. Available at: http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda docs/label/2015/020685s077lbl.pdf.
- 77. Nelfinavir. [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2015. Available at: http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda docs/label/2015/020778s040,020779s061,021503s023lbl.pdf.
- 78. Abel S, Russell D, Whitlock LA, Ridgway CE, Muirhead GJ. Effect of maraviroc on the pharmacokinetics of midazolam, lamivudine/zidovudine, and ethinyloestradiol/levonorgestrel in healthy volunteers. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2008;65 Suppl 1:19-26. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18333862.
- 79. Elvitegravir/cobicitstat/emtricitabine/tenofovir disaproxil fumarate (Stribild) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administation, 2019, Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2019/203100s034lbl.pdf.
- Anderson MS, Hanley WD, Moreau AR, et al. Effect of raltegravir on estradiol and norgestimate plasma pharmacokinetics following oral contraceptive administration in healthy women. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2011;71(4):616-620. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21395656.

# Reproductive Options for Couples When One or Both Partners are Living with HIV (Last updated December 24, 2019; last reviewed December 24, 2019)

#### Panel's Recommendations

For Couples Who Want to Conceive When One or Both Partners are Living with HIV:

- · Expert consultation is recommended to tailor guidance to couples' specific needs (AIII).
- Both partners should be screened and treated for genital tract infections before attempting to conceive (AII).
- Partners with HIV should achieve sustained viral suppression (e.g., two recorded measurements of plasma viral loads that are below the limits of detection at least 3 months apart) before attempting conception to maximize their health, prevent HIV sexual transmission (AI) and, for pregnant persons with HIV, to minimize the risk of HIV transmission to the infant (AI).
- For couples with differing HIV statuses, sexual intercourse without a condom allows for conception with effectively no risk of sexual HIV transmission to the partner without HIV when the partner with HIV is on antiretroviral therapy (ART) and has achieved sustained viral suppression (BII).
- Additional guidance may be required in the following scenarios:
  - The partner with HIV has not achieved sustained viral suppression or the partner's HIV viral suppression status is unknown,
  - There are concerns that the partner with HIV may be inconsistently adherent to ART during the periconception period, or
- The provider needs to share additional information with the patient regarding options to prevent sexual HIV transmission during the periconception period.
- In these circumstances, providers may choose to counsel their patient about the following options:
  - Administration of antiretroviral pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) to the partner without HIV is recommended to reduce the risk of sexual
    acquisition of HIV (AI). Timing condomless sex to coincide with ovulation (peak fertility) is an approach that can optimize the probability
    of conception (AIII).
  - Even within couples with differing HIV statuses who attempt conception when the partner with HIV has achieved viral suppression, some partners without HIV may still choose to take PrEP (CIII).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

The objective of this section is to provide guidance for safe conception and pregnancy while maximizing efforts to prevent HIV transmission to partners and infants. For couples who want to conceive while one or both partners are living with HIV, expert consultation is recommended so that approaches can be tailored to their specific needs.

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) states that people with HIV who take antiretroviral therapy (ART) as prescribed and who maintain an undetectable viral load have effectively no risk of transmitting HIV through sex. Couples in which one or both partners are living with HIV should be counseled that once the partner(s) with HIV have initiated ART and have maintained HIV viral suppression, condomless sex to achieve conception is associated with effectively no risk of HIV sexual transmission. HIV viral suppression can be demonstrated with two recorded measurements of plasma viral loads that are below the limits of detection and that were taken at least 3 months apart.

Before attempting to conceive, both partners should be screened for genital tract infections. Treatment of such infections is important, because genital tract inflammation is associated with increased genital tract shedding of HIV.<sup>2-7</sup>

If conception does not occur within 6 months, providers should pursue a workup for infertility, including a semen analysis. HIV, and possibly the use of antiretroviral (ARV) drugs, may be associated with a higher prevalence of semen abnormalities, such as low sperm count, low motility, a higher rate of abnormal forms, and low semen volume. Early evaluation is indicated because of concerns about higher rates of infertility among people with HIV.<sup>8-13</sup> Coordination of care across multiple disciplines, including HIV primary care,

OB/GYN (specifically reproductive endocrinology and infertility), case management, and peer support, is advised. Integration of reproductive health counseling, including counseling about pregnancy desires and/or prevention, is recommended.<sup>14</sup>

## Couples with Differing HIV Statuses

Before attempting conception, the partner with HIV should be on ART and should have achieved sustained viral suppression. The implications of initiating therapy before conception, the selection of ART for women trying to conceive and the need for adherence to achieve durable plasma viral loads below the limits of detection should be discussed with the couple. Consultation with an expert in HIV care **is strongly recommended**.

In two large studies that included heterosexual couples with differing HIV statuses (HPTN 052 and PARTNERS), there were no genetically linked HIV transmissions while the partner with HIV was virally suppressed. HPTN 052 was a randomized clinical trial designed to evaluate whether immediately initiating ART in people with CD4 T lymphocyte (CD4) cell counts of 350 to 550 cells/mm<sup>3</sup> could prevent sexual transmission of HIV among couples with differing HIV statuses more effectively than delaying ART. Most of the participants were from Africa (54%), with 30% from Asia and 16% from North and South America. This study showed that initiating ART earlier led to a 93% reduction in the rate of sexual transmission of HIV to the partner. During the study, 877 participants with HIV delayed initiation of ART until their CD4 cell counts fell below 250 cells/mm<sup>3</sup>, and 886 participants with HIV began ART immediately. Forty-six cases of HIV infection were genetically linked to the partner with HIV during the study; 43 of these cases occurred in couples where one partner delayed initiation of ART, and three cases occurred in couples where one partner began immediate ART. No linked infections occurred between partners when the partner with HIV had a viral load that was stably suppressed by ART. Thus, this randomized trial clearly demonstrated that providing treatment to persons living with HIV can reduce the risk of HIV transmission to their sexual partners. <sup>15</sup> In addition, the PARTNERS study—which studied 1,166 couples of differing HIV statuses (both heterosexual couples and men who have sex with men) where the partner with HIV was on suppressive ART and had sex without using a condom—reported no cases of transmission after a median follow up of 1.3 years and approximately 58,000 condomless sex acts. 16

A prospective cohort study evaluated couples with differing HIV statuses who were planning to conceive. Among 161 couples (133 couples included a male partner living with HIV) where the partner living with HIV received suppressive ART for at least the previous 6 months and the couple opted for natural conception, a total of 144 natural pregnancies occurred and 107 babies were born. No cases of sexual (to partner) or vertical (to infant) transmission occurred.<sup>17</sup>

For couples with differing HIV statuses where the partner with HIV is on ART and has achieved sustained viral suppression, sexual intercourse without a condom allows for conception with effectively no risk of sexual transmission to the partner without HIV. It is not known how frequently viral load testing should be conducted when a patient is relying on treatment and viral suppression as a prevention strategy. There is currently not enough evidence to determine the optimal schedule for viral load testing in people with HIV who rely on this prevention strategy. Consider monitoring the viral load more frequently in these individuals than the current treatment guidelines recommend.

Timing condomless sex to coincide with ovulation (peak fertility) can optimize the probability of conception. The use of an ovulation kit is the optimal method for identifying the most fertile time of the cycle. 18

When a woman with HIV is in a relationship with a partner who does not have HIV, assisted insemination during the periovulatory period at home or in a provider's office with semen from her partner is an option for conception that eliminates the risk of HIV transmission to her partner.

When a man with HIV is in a relationship with partner without HIV, the use of donor sperm from a man without HIV is an option for conception that eliminates the risk of HIV transmission to the partner without

HIV. When a man with HIV is in a relationship with someone who does not have HIV, the use of sperm preparation techniques (e.g., "sperm washing" followed by testing the sample for HIV RNA), coupled with either intrauterine insemination or *in vitro* fertilization with intracytoplasmic sperm injection, has been reported. However, the appropriate role of semen preparation techniques in the current context is unclear, particularly given their expense and technical requirements. These sperm preparation techniques were largely developed before studies had demonstrated the efficacy of ART and pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) in decreasing the risk of HIV transmission to sexual partners without HIV. Assisted reproductive technologies may be useful in cases of male infertility or couples who are using donor sperm or a surrogate parent.

In addition to reducing the risk of HIV transmission between partners, starting ART before conception in women with HIV may also further reduce the risk of perinatal transmission.<sup>19</sup> Evidence suggests that early and sustained control of HIV may decrease the risk of perinatal transmission,<sup>20,21</sup> but it does not completely eliminate the risk.<sup>21</sup> In addition, reports are mixed on the possible effects of ART on prematurity and low birthweight, with some, but not all, data suggesting that such outcomes may be more frequent among women who are on ART at conception.<sup>22-26</sup>

## Couples Where Both Partners are Living with HIV

Both partners with HIV should be on ART with sustained viral suppression before attempting conception. The risk of HIV superinfection or infection with a resistant virus is negligible when both partners are on ART and have fully suppressed plasma viral loads.<sup>27</sup>

# Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis Provision and Monitoring in Couples with Differing HIV Statuses

For couples with differing HIV statuses who attempt conception via sexual intercourse without a condom when the partner with HIV has not been able to achieve viral suppression or when viral suppression status is not known, administering PrEP to the partner without HIV is recommended to reduce the risk of sexual transmission of HIV. PrEP is the use of ARV medications by an individual without HIV to maintain blood and genital drug levels sufficient to prevent acquisition of HIV. Only daily dosing of a combination of tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) and emtricitabine (FTC) is currently approved by the Food and Drug Administration for use as PrEP. **Adherence is critical** (see Appendix C). Couples should still be counseled to limit sex without a condom to the period of peak fertility.

Sun et al. reported on 91 serodiscordant couples (43 with men living with HIV and 48 with women living with HIV) in which the partner with HIV was on effective ART, the partner without HIV received PrEP (or post-exposure prophylaxis), and intercourse was timed to maximally reduce the risk of HIV transmission. There were 196 acts of intercourse without a condom, 100 natural conceptions, and 97 live births. There were no cases of HIV seroconversion in the sexual partner without HIV.<sup>28</sup>

One study followed 1,013 Kenyan and Ugandan serodifferent couples (67% of couples involved women living with HIV) who had a high risk of sexual transmission. After an integrated ART and PrEP strategy for HIV prevention was implemented, there were no HIV transmissions to male partners among these couples. Only two incident infections were observed in the women (HIV incidence of 0.2 per 100 person years). These two infections occurred in the absence of ART or PrEP.<sup>29</sup>

Many studies have demonstrated that using PrEP reduces the risk of HIV acquisition in both men and women, with minimal risk of incident ARV drug resistance. In most trials that failed to demonstrate PrEP efficacy, drug levels were very low, suggesting suboptimal levels of adherence (see <u>Appendix C</u>). 15,30-35

Pregnancy and breastfeeding are not contraindications to PrEP.<sup>36-41</sup> There is no evidence of an increase in congenital anomalies among children born to women exposed to <u>TDF</u> or <u>FTC</u> during the first trimester.<sup>42</sup> Data from studies of infants born to mothers with HIV and exposed to TDF through breast milk suggest limited drug exposure.<sup>43-47</sup> Strategies to reduce HIV transmission (e.g., condom use, PrEP, treatment-asprevention) should be emphasized during pregnancy, because several studies have reported increased

incidence of HIV acquisition during pregnancy, which may also lead to an increased risk of perinatal transmission.<sup>48</sup>

Among couples with differing HIV statuses who attempt conception (sexual intercourse without a condom around the time of ovulation) when the partner with HIV has achieved viral suppression, some partners without HIV may still choose to take PrEP. A modeling study analyzed the utility of PrEP under different conditions. In this analysis by Hoffman et al., PrEP provided little added benefit when the male partner was on ART and had a suppressed viral load and when the couple limited sex without a condom to the ovulation window and optimized other modifiable transmission risks.<sup>49</sup>

If clinicians elect to prescribe PrEP to couples with differing HIV statuses, couples should be educated about the potential risks and benefits and all available alternatives for safer conception. CDC has issued guidelines for the use of PrEP in sexually active heterosexual adults. <sup>50</sup> CDC recommends that an individual who does not have HIV and who is planning a pregnancy with a partner who has HIV start daily oral TDF plus FTC beginning 1 month before conception is attempted and continuing for 1 month after conception occurs. <sup>50</sup> If the couple is going to continue having condomless sex after conception and the partner with HIV has not achieved sustained viral suppression, the partner without HIV should continue to take PrEP to decrease the risk of secondary transmission.

Recommended laboratory testing should include HIV diagnostic testing at baseline and then every 3 months, renal function testing at baseline and then every 6 months, and pregnancy testing at baseline and then every 3 months. Testing for hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection should be performed before initiating PrEP. Individuals without HBV infection should be vaccinated if they have not received HBV vaccination or they lack immunity to HBV. Individuals who are taking PrEP should be educated about the symptoms that are associated with acute HIV infection and advised to contact their providers immediately for further evaluation if symptoms occur. Partners who are HIV negative should undergo frequent HIV testing to detect HIV infection quickly. If HIV infection is documented, the patient should be immediately started on an HIV treatment regimen, measures should be instituted to prevent perinatal transmission if pregnancy has occurred and attempts at conception should be stopped if pregnancy has not occurred, and the patient should be referred to an HIV specialist immediately. Individuals with chronic HBV should be monitored for possible hepatitis flares when PrEP is stopped.<sup>51</sup> Clinicians are strongly encouraged to register women who become pregnant while receiving PrEP with the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry.

## Monitoring of Pregnant Women Without HIV who have Partners with HIV

Women without HIV who present during pregnancy and indicate that their partners have HIV should, like all pregnant women, be notified that HIV screening is recommended and that they will receive an HIV test as part of the routine panel of prenatal tests unless they decline (this is the opt-out strategy; see Maternal HIV Testing and Identification of Perinatal HIV Exposure). Women who test HIV seronegative and have partners who are living with HIV should continue to be regularly counseled regarding consistent condom use to decrease their risk of sexual transmission of HIV if the partner with HIV has not achieved sustained virologic suppression. They should also be counseled on the importance of their partners' adherence to ART and the need to achieve sustained virologic suppression to reduce the risk of sexual transmission of HIV. Women should also be counseled regarding the symptoms of acute retroviral syndrome (i.e., fever, pharyngitis, rash, myalgia, arthralgia, diarrhea, and headache) and the importance of seeking medical care and testing if they experience such symptoms. Women with acute HIV infection during pregnancy or lactation are at high risk of transmitting HIV to their infants and should receive HIV testing with an HIV RNA polymerase chain reaction assay if acute HIV infection is suspected (see Maternal HIV Testing and Identification of Perinatal HIV Exposure and Acute HIV Infection). 52,53 Repeat HIV testing in the third trimester is recommended for pregnant women who initially test HIV negative but who are at increased risk of acquiring HIV. Women who are at increased risk include those living in a city or state that is considered a high-risk jurisdiction by CDC. More frequent testing is indicated when a woman's partner is living with HIV; these women should be tested every trimester.

## Monitoring of Men Without HIV Who Have Partners with HIV

Men without HIV who are attempting pregnancy with partners who have HIV should continue to be counseled regularly on methods to prevent acquisition of HIV, including suppressive ART for his partner, PrEP, and consistent condom use. CDC recommends HIV testing every 3 months for the partner who does not have HIV while the couple is attempting to conceive without condoms. The National Perinatal HIV Hotline (888-448-8765) is a resource for a list of institutions that offer reproductive services for couples where one or both partners are living with HIV.

- 1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Evidence of HIV treatment and viral suppression in preventing the sexual transmission of HIV. 2018. Available at: <a href="https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/pdf/risk/art/cdc-hiv-art-viral-suppression.pdf">https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/pdf/risk/art/cdc-hiv-art-viral-suppression.pdf</a>.
- 2. Mitchell C, Hitti J, Paul K, et al. Cervicovaginal shedding of HIV type 1 is related to genital tract inflammation independent of changes in vaginal microbiota. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses*. 2011;27(1):35-39. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20929397">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20929397</a>.
- 3. Johnson LF, Lewis DA. The effect of genital tract infections on HIV-1 shedding in the genital tract: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sex Transm Dis.* 2008;35(11):946-959. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18685546">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18685546</a>.
- 4. Anderson BL, Firnhaber C, Liu T, et al. Effect of trichomoniasis therapy on genital HIV viral burden among African women. *Sex Transm Dis*. 2012;39(8):638-642. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22797689">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22797689</a>.
- 5. Blish CA, McClelland RS, Richardson BA, et al. Genital inflammation predicts HIV-1 shedding independent of plasma viral load and systemic inflammation. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2012;61(4):436-440. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22878424">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22878424</a>.
- 6. Homans J, Christensen S, Stiller T, et al. Permissive and protective factors associated with presence, level, and longitudinal pattern of cervicovaginal HIV shedding. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2012;60(1):99-110. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22517416.
- 7. Wall KM, Kilembe W, Vwalika B, et al. Risk of heterosexual HIV transmission attributable to sexually transmitted infections and non-specific genital inflammation in Zambian discordant couples, 1994-2012. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2017;46(5):1593-1606. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28402442">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28402442</a>.
- 8. Garrido N, Meseguer M, Remohi J, Simon C, Pellicer A. Semen characteristics in human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)- and hepatitis C (HCV)-seropositive males: predictors of the success of viral removal after sperm washing. *Hum Reprod.* 2005;20(4):1028-1034. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15608027">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15608027</a>.
- 9. Dulioust E, Du AL, Costagliola D, et al. Semen alterations in HIV-1 infected men. *Hum Reprod*. 2002;17(8):2112-2118. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12151446">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12151446</a>.
- 10. Cardona-Maya W, Velilla P, Montoya CJ, Cadavid A, Rugeles MT. Presence of HIV-1 DNA in spermatozoa from HIV-positive patients: changes in the semen parameters. *Curr HIV Res*. 2009;7(4):418-424. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19601777">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19601777</a>.
- 11. Bujan L, Sergerie M, Moinard N, et al. Decreased semen volume and spermatozoa motility in HIV-1-infected patients under antiretroviral treatment. *J Androl*. 2007;28(3):444-452. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17215546">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17215546</a>.
- 12. Jeronimo A, Baza MB, Rio I, et al. Factors associated with seminal impairment in HIV-infected men under antiretroviral therapy. *Hum Reprod*. 2017;32(2):265-271. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28007791">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28007791</a>.
- 13. Savasi V, Oneta M, Laoreti A, et al. Effects of antiretroviral therapy on sperm DNA integrity of HIV-1-infected men. *Am J Mens Health*. 2018;12(6):1835-1842. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30132391">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30132391</a>.
- 14. Iyer JR, Van Rie A, Haberlen SA, et al. Subfertility among HIV-affected couples in a safer conception cohort in South Africa. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2019;221(1):48 e41-48 e18. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30807762">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30807762</a>.

- 15. Cohen MS, Chen YQ, McCauley M, et al. Antiretroviral therapy for the prevention of HIV-1 transmission. *N Engl J Med*. 2016;375(9):830-839. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27424812">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27424812</a>.
- 16. Rodger AJ, Cambiano V, Bruun T, et al. Sexual activity without condoms and risk of HIV transmission in serodifferent couples when the HIV-positive partner Is using suppressive antiretroviral therapy. *JAMA*. 2016;316(2):171-181. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27404185.
- 17. Del Romero J, Baza MB, Rio I, et al. Natural conception in HIV-serodiscordant couples with the infected partner in suppressive antiretroviral therapy: a prospective cohort study. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2016;95(30):e4398. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27472733.
- 18. Stanford JB, White GL, Hatasaka H. Timing intercourse to achieve pregnancy: current evidence. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2002;100(6):1333-1341. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12468181">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12468181</a>.
- 19. Mandelbrot L, Tubiana R, Le Chenadec J, et al. No perinatal HIV-1 transmission from women with effective antiretroviral therapy starting before conception. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2015;61(11):1715-1725. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26197844">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26197844</a>.
- 20. Townsend CL, Cortina-Borja M, Peckham CS, de Ruiter A, Lyall H, Tookey PA. Low rates of mother-to-child transmission of HIV following effective pregnancy interventions in the United Kingdom and Ireland, 2000-2006. *AIDS*. 2008;22(8):973-981. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18453857">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18453857</a>.
- 21. Tubiana R, Le Chenadec J, Rouzioux C, et al. Factors associated with mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 despite a maternal viral load <500 copies/mL at delivery: a case-control study nested in the French perinatal cohort (EPF-ANRS CO1). *Clin Infect Dis*. 2010;50(4):585-596. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20070234">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20070234</a>.
- 22. Kourtis AP, Schmid CH, Jamieson DJ, Lau J. Use of antiretroviral therapy in pregnant HIV-infected women and the risk of premature delivery: a meta-analysis. *AIDS*. 2007;21(5):607-615. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17314523">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17314523</a>.
- 23. Rudin C, Spaenhauer A, Keiser O, et al. Antiretroviral therapy during pregnancy and premature birth: analysis of Swiss data. *HIV Med.* 2011;12(4):228-235. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20726902">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20726902</a>.
- 24. Jao J, Abrams EJ. Metabolic complications of in utero maternal HIV and antiretroviral exposure in HIV-exposed Infants. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2014;33(7):734-740. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24378947">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24378947</a>.
- 25. Stringer E, Kendall M, Lockman S, et al. Pregnancy outcomes among HIV-infected women who conceived on antiretroviral therapy. Presented at: International AIDS Society. 2017. Paris, France.
- 26. Hoffman RM, Brummel SS, Britto P, et al. Adverse pregnancy outcomes among women who conceive on antiretroviral therapy. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2019;68(2):273-279. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29868833">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29868833</a>.
- 27. Waters L, Smit E. HIV-1 superinfection. *Curr Opin Infect Dis.* 2012;25(1):42-50. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22156898">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22156898</a>.
- 28. Sun L, Wang F, Liu A, et al. Natural conception may be an acceptable option in HIV-serodiscordant couples in resource limited settings. *PLoS One*. 2015;10(11):e0142085. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26540103">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26540103</a>.
- 29. Baeten JM, Heffron R, Kidoguchi L, et al. Integrated delivery of antiretroviral treatment and pre-exposure prophylaxis to HIV-1-serodiscordant couples: a prospective implementation study in Kenya and Uganda. *PLoS Med.* 2016;13(8):e1002099. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27552090">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27552090</a>.
- 30. Abdool Karim Q, Abdool Karim SS, Frohlich JA, et al. Effectiveness and safety of tenofovir gel, an antiretroviral microbicide, for the prevention of HIV infection in women. *Science*. 2010;329(5996):1168-1174. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20643915">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20643915</a>.
- 31. Grant RM, Lama JR, Anderson PL, et al. Preexposure chemoprophylaxis for HIV prevention in men who have sex with men. *N Engl J Med*. 2010;363(27):2587-2599. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21091279">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21091279</a>.
- 32. Thigpen MC, Kebaabetswe PM, Paxton LA, et al. Antiretroviral preexposure prophylaxis for heterosexual HIV transmission in Botswana. *N Engl J Med*. 2012. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22784038">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22784038</a>.
- 33. Aaron E, Cohan D. Preexposure prophylaxis for the prevention of HIV transmission to women. *AIDS*. 2013;27(1):F1-5. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22914582">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22914582</a>.

- 34. Baeten J, Celum C. Oral antiretroviral chemoprophylaxis: current status. Curr Opin HIV AIDS, 2012;7(6):514-519. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22964886.
- 35. Marrazzo JM, Ramjee G, Richardson BA, et al. Tenofovir-based preexposure prophylaxis for HIV pnfection among African women, N Engl J Med. 2015;372:509-518, Available at: http://www.neim.org/doi/full/10.1056/ NEJMoa1402269#t=article.
- 36. Gray RH, Li X, Kigozi G, et al. Increased risk of incident HIV during pregnancy in Rakai, Uganda: a prospective study. Lancet. 2005;366(9492):1182-1188. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16198767.
- 37. Morrison CS, Wang J, Van Der Pol B, Padian N, Salata RA, Richardson BA. Pregnancy and the risk of HIV-1 acquisition among women in Uganda and Zimbabwe. AIDS. 2007;21(8):1027-1034. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/pubmed/17457097.
- Moodley D, Esterhuizen TM, Pather T, Chetty V, Ngaleka L. High HIV incidence during pregnancy: compelling reason for repeat HIV testing. AIDS. 2009;23(10):1255-1259. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19455017.
- Moodley D, Esterhuizen T, Reddy L, et al. Incident HIV infection in pregnant and lactating women and its effect on mother-to-child transmission in South Africa. J Infect Dis. 2011;203(9):1231-1234. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/pubmed/21398393.
- 40. Taha TE, James MM, Hoover DR, et al. Association of recent HIV infection and in-utero HIV-1 transmission. AIDS. 2011;25(11):1357-1364. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21572305.
- Mofenson LM, Baggaley RC, Mameletzis I. Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate safety for women and their infants during pregnancy and breastfeeding. AIDS. 2017;31(2):213-232. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/27831952.
- Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry international interim report for 1 January 1989–31 January 2019. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2019. Available at: http://www. apregistry.com.
- 43. Johnson LF, Stinson K, Newell ML, et al. The contribution of maternal HIV seroconversion during late pregnancy and breastfeeding to mother-to-child transmission of HIV. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2012;59(4):417-425. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22193774.
- Benaboud S, Pruvost A, Coffie PA, et al. Concentrations of tenofovir and emtricitabine in breast milk of HIV-1infected women in Abidian, Cote d'Ivoire, in the ANRS 12109 tEmAA study, step 2. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2011;55(3):1315-1317. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21173182">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21173182</a>.
- 45. Mirochnick M, Best BM, Clarke DF. Antiretroviral pharmacology; special issues regarding pregnant women and neonates. Clini Perinatol. 2010;37(4):907-927, xi. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21078458.
- Waitt C, Olagunju A, Nakalema S, et al. Plasma and breast milk pharmacokinetics of emtricitabine, tenofovir and lamivudine using dried blood and breast milk spots in nursing African mother-infant pairs. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2018;73(4):1013-1019. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29309634.
- Pyra M, Anderson PL, Hendrix CW, et al. Tenofovir and tenofovir-diphosphate concentrations during pregnancy among HIV-uninfected women using oral preexposure prophylaxis. AIDS. 2018;32(13):1891-1898. Available at: https://www. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29894385.
- Thomson KA, Hughes J, Baeten JM, et al. Increased risk of HIV acquisition among women throughout pregnancy and Dduring the postpartum period: a prospective per-coital-act analysis among women with HIV-infected partners. J Infect Dis. 2018;218(1):16-25. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29514254.
- Hoffman RM, Jaycocks A, Vardavas R, et al. Benefits of PrEP as an adjunctive method of HIV prevention during attempted conception between HIV-uninfected women and HIV-infected male partners. J Infect Dis. 2015;212(10):1534-43. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26092856">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26092856</a>.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Preexposure prophylaxis for the prevention of HIV infection in the United States. 2017. Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/pdf/risk/prep/cdc-hiv-prep-guidelines-2017.pdf.
- 51. Lampe MA, Smith DK, Anderson GJ, Edwards AE, Nesheim SR. Achieving safe conception in HIV-discordant couples: the potential role of oral preexposure prophylaxis (PrEP) in the United States. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2011;204(6):488

- e481-488. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21457911.
- 52. Marinda ET, Moulton LH, Humphrey JH, et al. In utero and intra-partum HIV-1 transmission and acute HIV-1 infection during pregnancy: using the BED capture enzyme-immunoassay as a surrogate marker for acute infection. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2011;40(4):945-954. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21471020">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21471020</a>.
- 53. Humphrey JH, Marinda E, Mutasa K, et al. Mother to child transmission of HIV among Zimbabwean women who seroconverted postnatally: prospective cohort study. *BMJ*. 2010;341:c6580. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21177735">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21177735</a>.

## Antepartum Care (Last updated January 17, 2020; last reviewed January 17, 2020)

## **General Principles Regarding Use of Antiretroviral Drugs during Pregnancy**

#### Panel's Recommendations

- Initial evaluation of pregnant women living with HIV should include an assessment of HIV disease status and plans to initiate, continue, or modify antiretroviral therapy (ART) (AI). The National Perinatal HIV Hotline (1-888-448-8765) provides free clinical consultation on all aspects of perinatal HIV care.
- All pregnant women with HIV should initiate ART as early in pregnancy as possible, regardless of their HIV RNA level or CD4 T lymphocyte count, for their own health and to prevent perinatal HIV transmission and secondary sexual transmission (AI). The Panel on Treatment of Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission recommends that individuals with HIV maintain an HIV viral load that is below the limit of detection during pregnancy and postpartum and throughout their lives (AII).
- To minimize the risk of perinatal transmission, antiretroviral (ARV) drugs should be administered at all time points (including antepartum and intrapartum) to the woman as well as postnatally to the neonate (AI).
- The known benefits and potential risks of all medications, including ARV drugs used during pregnancy and postpartum, should be discussed with all women with HIV (AIII).
- The importance of adherence to ARV drug regimens should be emphasized during patient counseling (AII).
- ARV drug-resistance genotype evaluations or assays should be performed before starting ARV drug regimens in women who are ARV-naive (AII) or ARV-experienced (AIII) and before modifying ARV drug regimens (AII) in women whose HIV RNA levels are above the threshold for resistance testing (i.e., >500 copies/mL to 1,000 copies/mL).
- In pregnant women who are not already receiving ART, ART should be initiated before results of drug-resistance testing are available, because <u>earlier viral suppression has been associated with lower risk of transmission</u>. When ART is initiated before results are available, the regimen should be modified, if necessary, based on resistance assay results (BIII).
- Coordination of services among prenatal care providers, primary care and HIV specialty care providers, and, when appropriate, mental health and substance use disorder treatment services, intimate partner violence support services, and public assistance programs is essential to help ensure that women with HIV adhere to their ARV drug regimens (AII).
- Providers should initiate counseling about key intrapartum and postpartum considerations during pregnancy, including mode of delivery, lifelong HIV therapy, family planning and contraceptive options, infant feeding, infant ARV prophylaxis, and timing of infant diagnostic testing (AIII).

**Rating of Recommendations:** A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

**Rating of Evidence:** I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

In addition to the standard antenatal assessments for all pregnant women, the initial evaluation of women living with HIV should include an assessment of HIV disease status and recommendations for HIV-related medical care. This initial assessment should include the following:

- Review of prior HIV-related illnesses and past CD4 T lymphocyte (CD4) cell counts and plasma HIV RNA levels;
- Current CD4 count;
- Current plasma HIV RNA level;
- Assessment of the need for prophylaxis against opportunistic infections, such as *Pneumocystis jirovecii* pneumonia (see the Adult and Adolescent Opportunistic Infections Guidelines);
- Screening for hepatitis A virus (HAV), hepatitis C virus, and tuberculosis in addition to standard screening for hepatitis B virus (HBV);

- Screening for and treatment of sexually transmitted infections (STIs), such as syphilis, *Chlamydia trachomatis*, *Trichomonas vaginalis*, and *Neisseria gonorrhea*; <sup>1-3</sup>
- Assessment of the need for HAV, HBV, influenza, pneumococcus, and Tdap immunizations;<sup>4,5</sup>
- Complete blood cell count and renal and liver function testing;
- HLA-B\*5701 testing if the use of abacavir is anticipated (see <u>Table 8</u>);
- History of prior and current antiretroviral (ARV) drug use, including prior ARV drug use for the prevention of perinatal transmission or treatment of HIV;
- History of adherence problems;
- Results of prior and current ARV drug-resistance tests;
- History of adverse effects or toxicities caused by previous ARV regimens;
- Screening for depression and anxiety and an assessment of the need for supportive care (e.g., mental health services, substance use disorder treatment services, smoking cessation), as well as support to help ensure lifelong adherence to antiretroviral therapy (ART);<sup>6</sup>
- Screening for intimate partner violence and assessment of the need for interventions or referrals for supportive care;
- Referral of sexual partner(s) for HIV testing and ARV treatment or prophylaxis; and
- Referral of children for HIV testing.

## The National Perinatal HIV Hotline

The <u>National Perinatal HIV Hotline</u> (1-888-448-8765) is a federally funded service that provides free clinical consultation to providers who are caring for women with HIV and their infants.

# How Antiretroviral Drugs Prevent Perinatal Transmission and Improve Maternal Health

All pregnant women with HIV should receive ART early in pregnancy, regardless of their viral load or CD4 count, for their own health and for the prevention of perinatal HIV transmission and secondary sexual transmission. ARV drugs are important for maintaining maternal health because they decrease the rate of HIV disease progression, reduce the risk of opportunistic disease, and reduce the risk of maternal death.

ARV drugs reduce the risk of perinatal transmission of HIV in all pregnant women, regardless of their CD4 counts and HIV RNA levels. ARV drugs can reduce the risk of perinatal transmission through several mechanisms. Antenatal drug administration decreases maternal viral load in blood and genital secretions. Strict adherence to an ARV regimen is needed to achieve rapid viral suppression and minimize the risk of perinatal transmission. Although the risk of perinatal transmission in women with undetectable plasma HIV RNA levels appears to be extremely low, perinatal transmission has been reported among women on ART (see <u>Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy</u>). Studies have reported low-level cervicovaginal HIV RNA and DNA shedding in women who were on ART and who had undetectable plasma viral loads. Penetration of ARV drugs into the female genital tract varies by drug. Pregnancy

Infant pre-exposure prophylaxis should also be used to prevent perinatal transmission, as maternal viremia is not the only risk factor for HIV transmission. Pre-exposure prophylaxis is achieved by administering ARV drugs to the mother that cross the placenta and produce adequate systemic drug levels in the fetus. In addition, infant post-exposure prophylaxis is achieved by administering ARV drugs to the infant after birth, providing protection from cell-free or cell-associated virus that may have entered the fetal/infant systemic circulation during labor and delivery. The importance of the pre- and post-exposure components

of prophylaxis in reducing the risk of perinatal transmission is demonstrated by the reduced efficacy of interventions that involve administration of ARV drugs only during labor and/or to the newborns. Therefore, using a combination of preconception ART, confirmation of antepartum plasma viral load suppression, scheduled surgical delivery (if indicated based on most recent maternal plasma viral load), intrapartum continuation of the current regimen with the addition of intravenous zidovudine (if indicated, based on the most recent maternal plasma viral load), and infant ARV prophylaxis is recommended to prevent perinatal transmission of HIV.

## General Principles of Drug Selection

In general, the recommendations for the use of ART in pregnant women are the same as those for women who are not pregnant. However, the Perinatal Guidelines may differ from the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines in some instances where regimen selection has been modified based on concerns about specific drugs or limited experience with newer drugs during pregnancy (see <u>Table 4</u> and <u>Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy</u>).

Clinicians and patients should discuss the substantial benefits of ARV drugs for maternal health and for reducing the risk of transmission of HIV to infants; this helps put the potential risks of using these drugs into perspective (see <u>Table 8</u> and Appendix B: <u>Supplement: Safety and Toxicity of Individual Antiretroviral Agents in Pregnancy</u>). Counseling of pregnant women about ARV drug use should be directive and noncoercive, and providers should help women make informed decisions regarding the use of ARV drugs.

Discussions with women about initiation of ART regimens should include information about:

- Maternal risk of disease progression and the benefits and risks of therapy for maternal health;<sup>29</sup>
- The benefits of ART for preventing perinatal transmission of HIV;<sup>11</sup>
- The benefits of using ART to achieve and maintain viral suppression, which reduces the risk of sexual transmission to partners who do not have HIV;<sup>30</sup>
- The need for strict adherence to the prescribed drug regimen to avoid resistance, optimize health outcomes, and minimize the risk of perinatal HIV transmission;
- The potential adverse effects of ARV drugs for women, fetuses, and infants, including potential interactions with other medications the women may already be receiving (see <u>Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy</u>);<sup>31-33</sup> and
- The limited long-term outcome data for infants who were exposed to ARV drugs in utero, especially for newer ARV drugs.

In pregnant women with HIV who are not currently receiving treatment, plasma HIV RNA levels should be measured and ART should be initiated. In women with plasma HIV RNA levels above the threshold for standard genotypic resistance testing (i.e., >500 copies/mL to 1,000 copies/mL), ARV drug-resistance testing should be sent off before starting ART; however, ART should be initiated before results of drug-resistance testing are available, because earlier viral suppression is associated with a lower risk of perinatal transmission. The ART regimen can be modified, if necessary, based on resistance assay results (see Antiretroviral Drug Resistance and Resistance Testing in Pregnancy). Counseling should emphasize the importance of adherence to the ARV drug regimen to minimize the development of resistance and support the effectiveness of ART in achieving viral suppression. Women with poor adherence during pregnancy are more likely to have detectable viral loads at delivery. The same transmission is associated with a lower risk of perinatal transmission. The ART regimen can be modified, if necessary, based on resistance assay results (see Antiretroviral Drug Resistance and Resistance Testing in Pregnancy). Counseling should emphasize the importance of adherence to the ARV drug regimen to minimize the development of resistance and support the effectiveness of ART in achieving viral suppression. Women with poor adherence during pregnancy are more likely to have detectable viral loads at delivery.

Transplacental passage of ARV drugs is thought to be an important mechanism of infant pre-exposure prophylaxis. Thus, when selecting an ARV regimen for a pregnant woman, at least one nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor agent with high placental transfer should be included as a component of the ART regimen (see <u>Table 8</u>). 38-42

## Patient Counseling and Coordination of Care

Coordination of services among prenatal care providers, primary care and HIV specialty care providers, mental health and substance use disorder treatment services, and public assistance programs is essential to ensure that women with HIV are well supported during all stages of their pregnancies and during the postpartum period. Medical care of pregnant women with HIV requires coordination and communication between HIV specialists and obstetric providers. General counseling should include current knowledge about risk factors for perinatal HIV transmission. Risk of perinatal transmission of HIV has been associated with potentially modifiable factors, including cigarette smoking, substance use disorders, and genital tract infections. Besides improving maternal health, cessation of cigarette smoking and drug use and treatment of STIs and other genital tract infections may reduce the risk of perinatal transmission. Women should be screened for mental health conditions, assessed for the risk of intimate partner violence, counseled about disclosure of their HIV status when needed, and referred to the appropriate services.

In addition, providers should counsel women with HIV about what to expect during labor, delivery, and the postnatal period. This includes discussing the mode of delivery and the possible use of intrapartum zidovudine, as well as family planning and contraceptive options during the postpartum period. Providers should also discuss the possibility of simplifying a woman's ARV regimen after delivery, which can help promote long-term adherence to ART. Discussions regarding the prevention of postnatal transmission to the neonate should also include recommendations about infant feeding, neonatal ARV prophylaxis, infant diagnostic HIV testing, and the avoidance of premastication of food (see <u>Counseling and Managing Women Living with HIV in the United States Who Desire to Breastfeed</u>).

- 1. Adachi K, Klausner JD, Bristow CC, et al. Chlamydia and gonorrhea in HIV-infected pregnant women and infant HIV transmission. *Sex Transm Dis*. 2015;42(10):554-565. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26372927">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26372927</a>.
- 2. American College of Obstetricians Gynecologists' Committee on Practice Bulletins-Obstetrics. Practice bulletin No. 170: critical care in pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2016;128(4):e147-154. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27661653">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27661653</a>.
- 3. Sivarajah V, Venus K, Yudin MH, Murphy KE, Morrison SA, Tan DH. Does maternal HSV-2 coinfection increase mother-to-child transmission of HIV? a systematic review. *Sex Transm Infect*. 2017;93(8):535-542. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28600331">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28600331</a>.
- 4. Rubin LG, Levin MJ, Ljungman P, et al. 2013 IDSA clinical practice guideline for vaccination of the immunocompromised host. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2014;58(3):e44-100. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24311479">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24311479</a>.
- 5. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Guidelines for vaccinating pregnant women. 2017. Available at: <a href="https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/pregnancy/hcp/guidelines.html">https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/pregnancy/hcp/guidelines.html</a>.
- 6. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Screening for perinatal depression. 2015. Available at: <a href="https://www.acog.org/Clinical-Guidance-and-Publications/Committee-Opinions/Committee-on-Obstetric-Practice/Screening-for-Perinatal-Depression">https://www.acog.org/Clinical-Guidance-and-Publications/Committee-Opinions/Committee-on-Obstetric-Practice/Screening-for-Perinatal-Depression</a>.
- 7. Pilotto JH, Velasque LS, Friedman RK, et al. Maternal outcomes after HAART for the prevention of mother-to-child transmission in HIV-infected women in Brazil. *Antivir Ther*. 2011;16(3):349-356. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21555817">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21555817</a>.
- 8. Becquet R, Bland R, Ekouevi DK, Dabis F, Newell ML. Universal antiretroviral therapy among pregnant and postpartum HIV-infected women would improve maternal health and decrease postnatal HIV transmission. *AIDS*. 2010;24(8):1239-1241. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20421749.
- 9. Becquet R, Ekouevi DK, Arrive E, et al. Universal antiretroviral therapy for pregnant and breast-feeding HIV-1-infected women: towards the elimination of mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 in resource-limited settings. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2009;49(12):1936-1945. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19916796">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19916796</a>.

- 10. Warszawski J, Tubiana R, Le Chenadec J, et al. Mother-to-child HIV transmission despite antiretroviral therapy in the ANRS French Perinatal Cohort. *AIDS*. 2008;22(2):289-299. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18097232">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18097232</a>.
- 11. Tubiana R, Le Chenadec J, Rouzioux C, et al. Factors associated with mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 despite a maternal viral load <500 copies/ml at delivery: a case-control study nested in the French perinatal cohort (EPF-ANRS CO1). *Clin Infect Dis.* 2010;50(4):585-596. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20070234">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20070234</a>.
- 12. European Collaborative Study. Mother-to-child transmission of HIV infection in the era of highly active antiretroviral therapy. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2005;40(3):458-465. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15668871">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15668871</a>.
- 13. Raffe SF, Savage C, Perry LA, et al. The management of HIV in pregnancy: a 10-year experience. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* 2017;210:310-313. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28110176">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28110176</a>.
- 14. Launay O, Tod M, Tschope I, et al. Residual HIV-1 RNA and HIV-1 DNA production in the genital tract reservoir of women treated with HAART: the prospective ANRS EP24 GYNODYN study. *Antivir Ther.* 2011;16(6):843-852. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21900716.
- 15. Cu-Uvin S, DeLong AK, Venkatesh KK, et al. Genital tract HIV-1 RNA shedding among women with below detectable plasma viral load. *AIDS*. 2010;24(16):2489-2497. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20736815">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20736815</a>.
- 16. Henning TR, Kissinger P, Lacour N, Meyaski-Schluter M, Clark R, Amedee AM. Elevated cervical white blood cell infiltrate is associated with genital HIV detection in a longitudinal cohort of antiretroviral therapy-adherent women. *J Infect Dis.* 2010;202(10):1543-1552. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20925530">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20925530</a>.
- 17. Yeh RF, Rezk NL, Kashuba AD, et al. Genital tract, cord blood, and amniotic fluid exposures of seven antiretroviral drugs during and after pregnancy in human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected women. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2009;53(6):2367-2374. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19307360">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19307360</a>.
- 18. Dumond JB, Yeh RF, Patterson KB, et al. Antiretroviral drug exposure in the female genital tract: implications for oral pre- and post-exposure prophylaxis. *AIDS*. 2007;21(14):1899-1907. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17721097">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17721097</a>.
- 19. Else LJ, Taylor S, Back DJ, Khoo SH. Pharmacokinetics of antiretroviral drugs in anatomical sanctuary sites: the male and female genital tract. *Antivir Ther*. 2011;16(8):1149-1167. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22155899">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22155899</a>.
- 20. Drake A, Kinuthia J, Materno D, et al. Plasma and genital HIV decline on ART among pregnant/postpartum women with recent HIV infection. Presented at: International AIDS Conference. 2016. Durban, South Africa.
- 21. Jackson JB, Musoke P, Fleming T, et al. Intrapartum and neonatal single-dose nevirapine compared with zidovudine for prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 in Kampala, Uganda: 18-month follow-up of the HIVNET 012 randomised trial. *Lancet*. 2003;362(9387):859-868. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/13678973.
- 22. Petra Study Team. Efficacy of three short-course regimens of zidovudine and lamivudine in preventing early and late transmission of HIV-1 from mother to child in Tanzania, South Africa, and Uganda (Petra study): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2002;359(9313):1178-1186. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11955535">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11955535</a>.
- 23. Moodley D, Moodley J, Coovadia H, et al. A multicenter randomized controlled trial of nevirapine versus a combination of zidovudine and lamivudine to reduce intrapartum and early postpartum mother-to-child transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1. *J Infect Dis.* 2003;187(5):725-735. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12599045">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12599045</a>.
- 24. Taha TE, Kumwenda NI, Gibbons A, et al. Short postexposure prophylaxis in newborn babies to reduce mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1: NVAZ randomised clinical trial. *Lancet*. 2003;362(9391):1171-1177. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14568737">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14568737</a>.
- 25. Gaillard P, Fowler MG, Dabis F, et al. Use of antiretroviral drugs to prevent HIV-1 transmission through breast-feeding: from animal studies to randomized clinical trials. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2004;35(2):178-187. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14722452">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14722452</a>.
- 26. Gray GE, Urban M, Chersich MF, et al. A randomized trial of two postexposure prophylaxis regimens to reduce mother-to-child HIV-1 transmission in infants of untreated mothers. *AIDS*. 2005;19(12):1289-1297. Available at: <a href="http://www.enable-nutreated-nutr

- ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16052084.
- 27. Nielsen-Saines K, Watts H, Veloso VG, et al. Phase III randomized trial of the safety and efficacy of three neonatal antiretroviral postpartum regimens for the prevention of intrapartum HIV-1 transmission: NICHD HPTN 040/PACTG 1043 study results. *N Engl J Med*. 2012;366(25):2368-79. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22716975">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22716975</a>.
- Scott GB, Brogly SB, Muenz D, Stek AM, Read JS, International Maternal Pediatric Adolescent AIDS Clinical Trials Group P1025 Study Team. Missed opportunities for prevention of mother-to-child transmission of human immunodeficiency virus. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2017;129(4):621-628. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28277349">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28277349</a>.
- 29. INSIGHT START Study Group, Lundgren JD, Babiker AG, et al. Initiation of antiretroviral therapy in early asymptomatic HIV infection. *N Engl J Med*. 2015;373(9):795-807. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26192873">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26192873</a>.
- 30. Cohen MS, Chen YQ, McCauley M, et al. Prevention of HIV-1 infection with early antiretroviral therapy. *N Engl J Med*. 2011;365(6):493-505. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21767103">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21767103</a>.
- 31. Grignolo S, Agnello R, Gerbaldo D, et al. Pregnancy and neonatal outcomes among a cohort of HIV-infected women in a large Italian teaching hospital: a 30-year retrospective study. *Epidemiol Infect*. 2017;145(8):1658-1669. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28325171.
- 32. Stringer E, Kendall M, Lockman S, et al. Pregnancy outcomes among HIV-infected women who conceived on antiretroviral therapy. Presented at: International AIDS Society. 2017. Paris, France.
- 33. Harrington B, Phulusa J, Melhado C, et al. Incidence of hepatotoxicity among HIV-positive pregnant women initiating efavirenz-based ART through option B+ in Malawi. Presented at: International AIDS Society. 2017. Paris, France.
- 34. Mandelbrot L, Tubiana R, Le Chenadec J, et al. No perinatal HIV-1 transmission from women with effective antiretroviral therapy starting before conception. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2015;61(11):1715-25. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26197844">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26197844</a>.
- 35. Favarato G, Bailey H, Burns F, Prieto L, Soriano-Arandes A, Thorne C. Migrant women living with HIV in Europe: are they facing inequalities in the prevention of mother-to-child-transmission of HIV?: the European pregnancy and paediatric HIV cohort collaboration (EPPICC) study group in EuroCoord. *Eur J Public Health*. 2018;28(1):55-60. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28449111">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28449111</a>.
- 36. Tariq S, Townsend CL, Cortina-Borja M, et al. Use of zidovudine-sparing HAART in pregnant HIV-infected women in Europe: 2000-2009. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2011;57(4):326-333. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21499113">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21499113</a>.
- 37. Katz IT, Leister E, Kacanek D, et al. Factors associated with lack of viral suppression at delivery among highly active antiretroviral therapy-naive women with HIV: a cohort study. *Ann Intern Med.* 2015;162(2):90-99. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25599347">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25599347</a>.
- 38. Hirt D, Urien S, Rey E, et al. Population pharmacokinetics of emtricitabine in human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected pregnant women and their neonates. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2009;53(3):1067-1073. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19104016">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19104016</a>.
- 39. Hirt D, Urien S, Ekouevi DK, et al. Population pharmacokinetics of tenofovir in HIV-1-infected pregnant women and their neonates (ANRS 12109). *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 2009;85(2):182-189. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18987623">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18987623</a>.
- 40. Moodley D, Pillay K, Naidoo K, et al. Pharmacokinetics of zidovudine and lamivudine in neonates following coadministration of oral doses every 12 hours. *J Clin Pharmacol*. 2001;41(7):732-741. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11452705">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11452705</a>.
- 41. Wade NA, Unadkat JD, Huang S, et al. Pharmacokinetics and safety of stavudine in HIV-infected pregnant women and their infants: pediatric AIDS clinical trials group protocol 332. *J Infect Dis.* 2004;190(12):2167-2174. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15551216">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15551216</a>.
- 42. McCormack SA, Best BM. Protecting the fetus against HIV infection: a systematic review of placental transfer of antiretrovirals. Clin Pharmacokinet. 2014;53(11):989-1004. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25223699.

## Teratogenicity (Last updated December 12, 2019; last reviewed December 12, 2019)

#### Panel's Recommendations

- All cases of antiretroviral (ARV) drug exposure during pregnancy should be reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry (AIII).
- Based on multiple studies indicating no difference in rates of total birth defects for first-trimester exposure compared with later ARV drug exposures, women can be counseled that ARV drugs during pregnancy generally do not increase the risk of birth defects (BIII); a possible exception is a small increased risk of neural tube defects (NTDs) with dolutegravir (DTG) use during the periconception period. Providers should be aware that data on the risks of birth defects for many ARV drugs are limited.

#### Updated Panel Recommendations Regarding the Use of Dolutegravir at the Time of Conception and During Pregnancy:

- DTG exposure around the time of conception has been associated with a small but significant increase in the risk of infant NTDs in Botswana (0.3%), where food is not routinely fortified with folate. Although this risk was higher than the risk for NTDs in infants born to women who were receiving efavirenz (0.05%) and women without HIV (0.08%), there are not enough data to determine the risk of NTDs with preconception use of all *Preferred* and *Alternative* regimens, including DTG, in the United States. Based on the available evidence, the Panel on Treatment of Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission (the Panel) recommends DTG as a *Preferred* drug for pregnant women, irrespective of trimester (AII), and an *Alternative* drug for women who are trying to conceive (AIII).
- The Panel emphasizes the importance of counseling and informed decision-making regarding all ARV regimens for people with HIV (AIII). For additional information, see <a href="Appendix D: Dolutegravir Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers">Appendix D: Dolutegravir Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers</a>.
- Clinicians should discuss future reproductive plans and timing as well as the risks and benefits of conceiving on specific ARV
  medications and use of appropriate contraceptive options to prevent unintended pregnancy (AIII).
- Folic acid is known to prevent NTDs in the general population. All pregnant women and women who might conceive should take at least 400 mcg of folic acid daily (AI). There is no established link between the use of DTG and impaired folate metabolism, nor is there evidence that folate supplementation prevents DTG-associated NTDs.
- For additional information, see Updated Guidance about the Use of Dolutegravir in Pregnancy in Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy, Preconception Counseling and Care for Women of Childbearing Age Living with HIV, Pregnant Women Living with HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy, and Dolutegravir.

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

**Rating of Evidence:** I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

## **Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Reporting**

Health care providers who are caring for pregnant women with HIV and their newborns are strongly advised to report instances of prenatal exposure to antiretroviral (ARV) drugs (either single-drug exposure or exposure to a combination of ARV drugs) to the <a href="Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry">Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry</a> as early in pregnancy as possible. This registry is an epidemiologic project to collect observational, nonexperimental data regarding ARV drug exposure during pregnancy for the purpose of assessing the potential teratogenicity of these drugs. Registry data will be used to supplement animal toxicology studies and assist clinicians in weighing the potential risks and benefits of treatment for individual patients. The Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry is a collaborative project of pharmaceutical manufacturers with an advisory committee that includes a teratologist, an infectious disease specialist, an epidemiologist, a biostatistician, and a group of obstetric, maternal-fetal medicine, and pediatric providers. The registry does not use patient names, and registry staff obtain birth outcome follow-up information from the reporting health care provider.

Referrals should be directed to:

Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Research Park 1011 Ashes Drive Wilmington, NC 28405 Telephone: 1-800-258-4263

Fax: 1-800-800-1052

http://www.APRegistry.com

#### **Antiretroviral Drugs and Birth Defects**

The potential harm to the fetus from maternal ingestion of a specific drug depends not only on the drug itself, but also on the dose ingested, the gestational age of the fetus at exposure, the duration of exposure, interactions with other agents to which the fetus is exposed, and, to an unknown extent, the genetic makeup of the mother and fetus.

Information regarding the safety of using certain drugs during pregnancy is derived from animal toxicity data, anecdotal experience, registry data, and clinical trials. Drug choice should be individualized and discussed with the woman before treatment begins. Clinicians must also consider available data from preclinical and clinical testing of the individual drugs. Preclinical data include results of in vitro and animal *in vivo* screening tests for carcinogenicity, clastogenicity/mutagenicity, and reproductive and teratogenic effects. However, the predictive value of such tests for adverse effects in humans is unknown.

Data continue to be collected on the placental passage, pharmacokinetics, and safety of Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved ARV drugs during pregnancy, in addition to data on the long-term safety in infants who were exposed to these drugs. However, the data remains somewhat limited, especially for newer drugs (see Supplement: Safety and Toxicity of Individual Antiretroviral Agents in Pregnancy). When analyzing registry data, data on birth outcomes from 200 infants who were exposed to an ARV drug during the first trimester is viewed as sufficient to detect a 2.2-fold increase in the risk of overall birth defects associated with that drug compared to the general population. A cohort of 1,000 is sufficient to detect a 1.5-fold increase in the risk of birth defects. The general U.S. population birth defect prevalence is 2.8%. However, data from a larger number of infants is required to detect an increased risk of specific birth defects with lower frequencies of occurrence, with the required number of infants who were exposed to an ARV drug increasing as the frequency of the defect in an unexposed population decreases.<sup>2</sup>

A recent report from the Surveillance Monitoring for ART Toxicities (SMARTT) study of the Pediatric HIV/AIDS Cohort Study (PHACS) network detected an increased rate of microcephaly in HIV-exposed but uninfected children with *in utero* efavirenz (EFV) exposure. The relative risk of microcephaly in infants with *in utero* EFV exposure was 2.56 (95% confidence interval [CI], 1.22–5.37). In this study, microcephaly was defined as a z-score of less than -2 between 6 and 36 months of age or head size below the second percentile after 36 months. Only 4.7% of children had been exposed to EFV *in utero*. The relative risk of microcephaly was higher among children who had been exposed to EFV plus zidovudine (ZDV) and lamivudine (3TC) than among those who had been exposed to EFV plus tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) and emtricitabine (FTC). Children with microcephaly had lower scores on neurodevelopmental assessments at ages 1 year and 5 years and a higher rate of neurodevelopmental impairment than those without microcephaly. Additional evaluation of the association between microcephaly and *in utero* EFV exposure is needed.

It is important to consider potential confounding factors in studies of ARV drugs and birth defects. Several factors that are associated with HIV may also increase the risk of birth defects, such as exposure to folate antagonists (e.g., trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole),<sup>4</sup> nutritional and folate status,<sup>5</sup> and tobacco and alcohol use.<sup>6</sup> Clinicians should also be aware of indication bias, which can occur when a patient's reason for taking a particular ARV drug is associated with an increased risk of birth defects, such as older age or more advanced disease.

Several studies of birth defects in fetuses and infants of women who received ARV regimens during observational studies found no difference in rates of total birth defects between first-trimester drug exposures and later exposures.<sup>7-11</sup> The Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry conducts a primary analysis of prospective cases of ARV drug exposure during pregnancy provided by health care providers. In this analysis, the prevalence of birth defects was 2.8 per 100 live births among women with a first-trimester exposure to any ARV drug (271 of 9,854 exposures; 95% CI, 2.4–3.1). The prevalence of defects is not significantly different from that seen in women with an initial exposure during the second and/or third trimester (2.8 per 100 live births; prevalence ratio 0.99, 95% CI, 0.83–1.18). Though these studies are reassuring, an increased risk of specific abnormalities, particularly rare abnormalities, would not necessarily be detectable when looking only

at the total number of birth defects. Further, risk may be underestimated when defects are only ascertained after live births, as this does not include more severe defects that result in stillbirths and terminations. Another limitation is that an increased risk that is associated with a specific ARV drug may be obscured when the analysis unit combines all ARV drugs together.

#### Use of Dolutegravir at the Time of Conception and in Early Pregnancy

In May 2018, an unplanned interim evaluation of a National Institutes of Health-funded, observational surveillance study of birth outcomes among pregnant women on antiretroviral therapy (ART) in Botswana revealed four neural tube defects (NTDs) among infants born to 426 women (0.94%) who became pregnant while receiving a dolutegravir (DTG)-based regimen. These data were updated in a planned analysis in May 2019. In the Tsepamo study, five NTDs were identified (0.30%) among 1,683 deliveries to women who were taking DTG around the time of conception; the defects included two instances of myelomeningocele, one of anencephaly, one of encephalocele, and one of iniencephaly. In comparison, 15 NTDs were found among 14,792 deliveries (0.10%) in which the mother was taking any ART that did not include DTG at conception, three NTDs were found among 7,959 deliveries (0.04%) in which the mother was taking EFV at conception, one NTD was found among 3,840 deliveries (0.03%) in which the mother started treatment with DTG during pregnancy, and 70 NTDs were found among 89,372 deliveries (0.08%) to mothers without HIV. While the risk of NTDs in infants who were exposed to DTG around the time of conception was lower than initially reported, it remains significantly increased compared to all comparison groups.

Although there are limited data on the association between NTDs and DTG exposure, three studies that included an internal comparator group and assessments of NTDs in stillbirths and terminations have evaluated NTDs in infants who were exposed to DTG at conception in addition to the Botswana study. The first was a prospective study by the Ministry of Health and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention at 22 additional sites in Botswana that were not included in the Tsepamo study. This study identified one NTD among infants born to 152 women (0.66%) who were receiving DTG at conception, compared to no NTDs among infants born to 381 women who were receiving other ARV drugs at conception and two NTDs among infants born to 2,328 women who did not have HIV (0.09%).<sup>14</sup> The second study included prospective data from the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, and it is worth noting that 75% of the data in the registry comes from North America, Europe, and Latin America, where most countries require folate fortification for food. The study found one case of an NTD among 248 live births (0.4%) of infants with periconception DTG exposure and no NTDs among 217 live births of infants with periconception elvitegravir (EVG) exposure and 268 live births of infants with periconception raltegravir (RAL) exposure. The third study was a retrospective study of women with periconception ARV drug exposure in a national cohort in Brazil; no NTDs were observed among 384 pregnancies in which infants were exposed to DTG (95% CI, 0–0.0099) or among 1,109 pregnancies in which infants were exposed to EFV or RAL (95% CI, 0–0.003). Unlike Brazil and the United States, Botswana does not have mandated food folate fortification, which can decrease NTD prevalence by half. More data are needed to delineate the risks of NTDs among infants born to women living in other geographical regions and countries with mandated food folate fortification.

No mechanism has been identified to explain the observed association between DTG exposure and NTDs, though several studies have evaluated the role of folate. A substudy of the ADVANCE trial evaluated serum folate levels among women by randomized arm and found that folate deficiency occurred less often in women who were receiving DTG, with 13.7% of women in the DTG plus TDF plus FTC arm and 5.4% of women in the DTG plus tenofovir alafenamide (TAF) plus FTC arm experiencing folate deficiency compared with 30% of those who received EFV (P < 0.001). Studies that have evaluated folate receptor antagonism by DTG in animal models and cell models have had conflicting results, and the clinical implications of these results is unclear. Additional studies are needed to clarify the role of folate and to explore other potential mechanisms.

The risk of NTDs decreases after early pregnancy, though it is not clear exactly when this period of increased risk ends. Most NTDs result from failure of neural tube closure. The neural tube closes by

approximately 4 weeks post-conception, or approximately 6 weeks after the last menstrual period in women with regular menses. Therefore, the risk period for a medication to cause NTDs is over by approximately 6 weeks gestational age. However, it is possible that one of the five defects observed in the Botswana study (encephalocele) may have occurred by a different mechanism (a post-neurulation event) slightly after the neural tube had closed. The exact timing of development of encephalocele in humans is not well described; however, extrapolating from animal data, it is likely to occur before 6 weeks post-conception (8 weeks gestational age). Determining when the risk period for defects is over also depends on accurately determining the gestational age and the date of the last menstrual period.

## **Data on Other Integrase Strand Transfer Inhibitors**

Limited data are available on the association between other integrase strand transfer inhibitors and birth defects. A retrospective case series evaluated data from nine institutions on 140 pregnancies in which the woman received EVG during pregnancy, including 82 women who received the drug before conception and during the first trimester. To Two defects were noted: one case of hydronephrosis in which exposure began before conception, and one case of an encephalocele in which a woman with periconceptional exposure to TDF plus FTC plus darunavir/ritonavir was switched to atazanavir (ATV) plus EVG/cobicistat/FTC/TDF at 9 weeks due to drug side effects. Among 33 women who were exposed to EVG during the first trimester in the United Kingdom and Ireland, no defects were noted in 31 liveborn infants. In the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, defects were reported in six of 240 infants (2.5%; 95% CI, 0.9% to 5.4%) born after first-trimester exposure to EVG; this does not represent an increased risk compared to the overall rate of defects in the Registry. A review of the Gilead safety database, which included an earlier data set from the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, reported 155 prospective periconception exposures to EVG with no NTDs. Review of a surveillance database in Canada found no NTDs among 28 infants with first-trimester exposures.

Surveillance data from the United Kingdom and Ireland included 882 live births of infants with exposure to RAL, and birth defects were reported in 23 infants, a rate of 2.59% (95% CI, 1.65% to 3.86%); this rate is similar to that in the general population. No NTDs were reported. Ramong the 222 infants with periconception exposure to RAL, five defects were noted, including two heart defects, two limb defects, and one unspecified defect. In the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, birth defects were reported in nine of 327 infants (2.8%; 95% CI, 1.3% to 5.2%) with first-trimester exposure to RAL. This incidence is similar to the incidence seen in the overall population reported to the APR. A review performed by Merck researchers that included data from the company database; the previously noted Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry data; and the United Kingdom, Ireland and French pregnancy cohorts reported 456 periconception exposures to RAL with no NTDs.<sup>20</sup>

The Panel on Treatment of Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission has updated its recommendations regarding the use of DTG during pregnancy and at the time of conception in coordination with the Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents (see Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy, Preconception Counseling and Care for Women of Childbearing Age Living with HIV, and the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines). The potential risk of NTDs, the benefits of DTG-containing regimens, and the risks and benefits of alternative regimens should be discussed with women who need to initiate ART during the first trimester or who are planning to become pregnant (see Appendix D: Dolutegravir Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers). For additional guidance, please contact the National Perinatal HIV Hotline (1-888-448-8765).

#### **Specific Drugs**

**Efavirenz** 

EFV use during pregnancy has received increased scrutiny because of the results of a small study in nonhuman primates. Significant malformations were observed in three of 20 infant cynomolgus monkeys that received EFV from gestational days 20 to 150 at a dose that produced plasma concentrations comparable to those seen in humans with systemic exposure to the therapeutic dose.<sup>21</sup> The malformations included

anencephaly and unilateral anophthalmia in one monkey, microphthalmia in another, and cleft palate in the third.

Increased scrutiny of outcomes after EFV exposure has provided reassuring data. Sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to EFV have been monitored in the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry to rule out at least a 1.5-fold increase in the risk of overall birth defects and a two-fold increase in risk of birth defects in the cardiovascular and genitourinary systems. Twenty-five of 1,061 infants (2.4%) with first-trimester exposures to EFV were found to have birth defects, including a single case of myelomeningocele and one case of anophthalmia and amniotic bands. A meta-analysis that included data from 23 studies reporting on 2,026 first-trimester exposures to ARV drugs found no increased risk of overall birth defects for infants born to women who were on EFV during the first trimester compared with those who were on other ARV drugs during the first trimester (relative risk [RR] 0.78; 95% CI, 0.56–1.08). One NTD was observed, giving an incidence of 0.05% (95% CI, <0.01 to 0.28). The number of reported first-trimester EFV exposures in this meta-analysis is sufficient to rule out a two-fold increase in low-incidence birth defects, such as NTDs. Incidence of NTDs in the general U.S. population is 0.02% to 0.2%. 2.22

The Tsepamo study discussed above found three NTDs among 7,959 live births and stillbirths (0.04%) to women who were on EFV at conception. There is no difference between this incidence and the incidence for NTDs among infants born to women without HIV. The study also found no increased risk of total major abnormalities identified on infant surface exam among women who were taking EFV around the time of conception compared to women without HIV (0.68% vs. 0.59%). In addition, a birth defect surveillance program in Uganda that used methods that were similar to those used in the Tsepamo study reported an NTD prevalence of 0.059% (95% CI, 0.001% to 0.118%) among infants born to women with HIV, 80% of whom were on EFV, and an NTD prevalence of 0.092% (95% CI, 0.068% to 0.116%) among infants born to women without HIV. Thus, the findings in monkeys have not been confirmed by human data, underscoring the need for well-designed studies to rapidly provide data on the safety of new drugs for use in pregnancy.

The FDA advises women to avoid becoming pregnant while taking EFV and advises health care providers to avoid administering EFV during the first trimester of pregnancy, as fetal harm may occur. However, with the data from Botswana on over 7,900 periconception exposures, we can now rule out a three-fold or more increase in the risk of NTDs in infants who were exposed to EFV. As a result, the Perinatal Guidelines do not restrict the use of EFV in pregnancy or in women who are planning to become pregnant; this is consistent with the British HIV Association and World Health Organization guidelines for use of ARV drugs in pregnancy, both of which note that EFV can be used throughout pregnancy.<sup>24,25</sup> Importantly, women who become pregnant on EFV-containing regimens that are suppressive and tolerated should continue using those regimens.

#### Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate

TDF has not demonstrated teratogenicity in rodents or monkeys. Data from the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry showed that 91 of 3,851 infants born to women with first-trimester TDF exposure had birth defects. That means the birth defect incidence for infants exposed to TDF during the first trimester is 2.4%, similar to the incidence in the general population. A more recent meta-analysis of TDF use among women with HIV found no increase in the risk of congenital anomalies associated with the use of TDF (RR 1.03; 95% CI, 0.83–1.28).

No clinical studies have reported newborn outcomes associated with maternal use of TAF.

#### Zidovudine

In a study from France that included 13,124 live births that occurred between 1994 and 2010, first-trimester ARV drug exposure was found in 5,388 infants (42%). The authors reported a significant adjusted association between first-trimester ZDV exposure and congenital heart defects, primarily ventricular (58%) and atrial (18%) septal defects (adjusted odds ratio [aOR] 2.2; 95% CI, 1.3–3.7). Because fetal ultrasounds were conducted on all infants who were exposed to HIV, and because spontaneous closure of ventricular septal

defects after birth is common, the clinical significance of the cardiac findings is uncertain.<sup>27</sup> An analysis of 16,304 prospectively reported pregnancies compared the risk of ventricular septal defects and congenital heart defects in infants with prenatal exposure to ZDV-containing regimens and infants with prenatal exposure to ART regimens that did not contain ZDV. In contrast to the French study, this analysis found that the risk of these defects was similar between the two groups.<sup>28</sup> A recent study that combined a meta-analysis and data from a Medicaid database of ART prescriptions and infant outcomes did not detect a significant increase in overall defects or heart defects among infants who had first-trimester ZDV exposure compared to infants with exposure to other ART regimens during the first trimester (odds ratio [OR] for overall defects 1.11; 95% CI, 0.80–1.55; OR for cardiac defects 1.30; 95% CI, 0.63–2.71).<sup>29</sup> Additionally, one study investigated echocardiographic parameters of left ventricular function and structure in 417 infants. Some of the infants had been exposed to HIV and ARV drugs but had not contracted HIV, while others had not been exposed to either HIV or ARV drugs. When these children were tested at ages 2 to 7 years, no clinically significant differences in left ventricular function and structure were found between the exposed and unexposed groups.<sup>6</sup>

#### <u>Atazanavir</u>

In an analysis from the Pediatric HIV/AIDS Cohort Study that included 2,580 live births, first-trimester ARV drug exposure overall was not associated with an increased risk of birth defects.<sup>30</sup> First-trimester exposures to ATV were reported for 222 infants, and in adjusted analyses, ATV was the only individual ARV drug for which first-trimester exposure was associated with birth defects (primarily skin and musculoskeletal defects). However, in the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, there was no increase in the risk of birth defects with first-trimester ATV exposure among 1,328 births.<sup>1</sup>

#### Other Antiretroviral Drugs

In the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures have been monitored to detect at least a two-fold increase in the risk of overall birth defects for cobicistat, darunavir, didanosine (ddI), EVG, indinavir, RAL, rilpivirine, stavudine, and telbivudine; however, no such increases have been detected to date. For abacavir, ATV, EFV, FTC, 3TC, lopinavir, nelfinavir (NFV), nevirapine, ritonavir, TDF, and ZDV, sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures have been monitored to detect at least a 1.5-fold increase in the risk of overall birth defects and a two-fold increase in the risk of birth defects in cardiovascular and genitourinary systems; no such increases have been detected to date. A modest (but statistically significant) increase in overall birth defect rates for ddI and NFV is observed when data from the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry are compared with the U.S. population-based Metropolitan Atlanta Congenital Defects Program (MACDP) surveillance data. The lower bounds of the CIs for ddI and NFV (2.9% and 2.8%, respectively) are slightly above the higher bound (2.72%) for the MACDP rate, but rates are not elevated compared to the Texas Birth Defect Registry rate of 4.17%, an additional comparator now included in the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry. No specific pattern of defects has been detected with the use of either ddI or NFV, and the clinical relevance of this statistical finding is unclear. The Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry will continue to monitor ddI and NFV for any signal or pattern of birth defects.

See <u>Supplement: Safety and Toxicity of Individual Antiretroviral Agents in Pregnancy</u> for detailed information on individual drugs.

- Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry international interim report for 1 January 1989–31 January 2019. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2019. Available at: <a href="http://www.apregistry.com">http://www.apregistry.com</a>.
- 2. Watts DH. Teratogenicity risk of antiretroviral therapy in pregnancy. *Curr HIV/AIDS Rep.* 2007;4(3):135-140. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17883999">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17883999</a>.
- 3. Williams PL, Yildirim C, Chadwick EG, et al. Association of maternal antiretroviral use with microcephaly in children

- who are HIV-exposed but uninfected (SMARTT): a prospective cohort study. Lancet HIV. 2019;S2352-3018(19)30340-6. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31740351">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31740351</a>.
- 4. Ford N, Shubber Z, Jao J, Abrams EJ, Frigati L, Mofenson L. Safety of cotrimoxazole in pregnancy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2014;66(5):512-521. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/pubmed/24853309.
- Jungmann EM, Mercey D, DeRuiter A, et al. Is first trimester exposure to the combination of antiretroviral therapy and folate antagonists a risk factor for congenital abnormalities? Sex Transm Infect. 2001;77(6):441-443. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11714944.
- Lipshultz SE, Williams PL, Zeldow B, et al. Cardiac effects of in-utero exposure to antiretroviral therapy in HIVuninfected children born to HIV-infected mothers. AIDS, 2015;29(1):91-100. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/pubmed/25562493.
- Watts DH, Huang S, Culnane M, et al. Birth defects among a cohort of infants born to HIV-infected women on antiretroviral medication. J Perinat Med. 2011;39(2):163-170. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/21142844.
- Knapp KM, Brogly SB, Muenz DG, et al. Prevalence of congenital anomalies in infants with in utero exposure to antiretrovirals. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2012;31(2):164-170. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21983213.
- da Costa TP, Machado ES, et al. Malformations among HIV vertically exposed newborns-results from a Brazilian cohort study. Presented at: 6th IAS Conference on HIV Pathogenesis and Treatment and Prevention. 2011. Rome, Italy.
- 10. Floridia M, Mastroiacovo P, Tamburrini E, et al. Birth defects in a national cohort of pregnant women with HIV infection in Italy, 2001-2011. BJOG. 2013;120(12):1466-1475. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/23721372.
- 11. Money D, Lee T, O'Brien C, et al. Congenital anomalies following antenatal exposure to dolutegravir: a Canadian surveillance study. BJOG. 2019;126(11):1338-1345. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31188522.
- 12. Zash R, Makhema J, Shapiro RL. Neural-tube defects with dolutegravir treatment from the time of conception. N Engl J Med. 2018;379(10):979-981 Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30037297.
- 13. Zash R, Holmes L, Diseko M, et al. Neural-tube defects and antiretroviral treatment regimens in Botswana. N Engl J Med. 2019;381(9):827-840. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31329379.
- 14. Raesima M, Forhan S, Thomas V, Rabold E, et al. Addressing the safety signal with dolutegravir use at conception: Additional surveillance data from Botswana, Presented at: International AIDS Society Conference, 2019, Mexico City, Mexico.
- 15. Pereira G, Kim A, Jalil E, Fernandes F, Shepard B, Veloso V, et al. No occurrences of neural tube defects among 382 women on dolutegravir at pregnancy conception in Brazil. Presented at: International AIDS Society Conference. 2019. Mexico City, Mexico.
- Chandiwana N, Hill A, Chersich M, et al. Serum folate and birth outcomes: DTG vs. EFV trial evidence in South Africa. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2019. Seattle, Washington.
- 17. Badell ML, Sheth AN, Momplaisir F, et al. A multicenter analysis of elvitegravir use during pregnancy on HIV viral suppression and perinatal outcomes. Open Forum Infect Dis. 2019;6(4);ofz129. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/pubmed/31037241.
- 18. Rasi V, Cortina-Borja M, Peters H, Sconza R, Thorne C. Brief report: surveillance of congenital anomalies after exposure to raltegravir or elvitegravir during pregnancy in the United Kingdom and Ireland, 2008-2018. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2019;80(3):264-268. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30531300.
- 19. Farrow T, Deaton C, Nguyen N, Serejo M, Muramoto D, etc. Cumulative safety review of elvitegravir and bictegravir use during pregnancy and risk of neural tube defects. Abstract P030. Presented at: HIV Drug Therapy. 2018. Glasgow, United Kingdom, Available at: http://hivglasgow.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/P030-4.pdf.
- Shamsuddin H, Raudenbush CL, Sciba BL, et al. Evaluation of neural tube defects (NTDs) after exposure to raltegravir during pregnancy. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2019;81(3):247-250. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/30908331.

- 21. Efavirenz [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2019. Available at: <a href="https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda">https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda</a> docs/label/2019/020972s057,021360s045lbl.pdf.
- 22. Ford N, Mofenson L, Shubber Z, et al. Safety of efavirenz in the first trimester of pregnancy: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. *AIDS*. 2014;28 Suppl 2:S123-131. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24849471">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24849471</a>.
- 23. Barlow-Mosha L, Mumpe D, Williamson D, et al. Neural tube defects, HIV, and antiretrovirals: birth-defect surveillance in Uganda. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2019. Seattle, Washington.
- 24. de Ruiter A, Taylor GP, Clayden P, et al. British HIV Association guidelines for the management of HIV infection in pregnant women 2012 (2014 interim review). *HIV Med.* 2014;15 Suppl 4:1-77. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25604045">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25604045</a>.
- 25. British HIV Association. British HIV association guidelines for the management of HIV in pregnancy and postpartum 2018. *HIV Med*. 2019;20 Suppl 3:s2-s85. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30869192">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30869192</a>.
- 26. Nachega JB, Uthman OA, Mofenson LM, et al. Safety of tenofovir disoproxil fumarate-based antiretroviral therapy regimens in pregnancy for HIV-infected women and their Infants: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2017;76(1):1-12. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28291053">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28291053</a>.
- 27. Mofenson LM, Watts DH. Safety of pediatric HIV elimination: the growing population of HIV- and antiretroviral-exposed but uninfected infants. *PLoS Med*. 2014;11(4):e1001636. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24781352">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24781352</a>.
- 28. Vannappagari V, Albano JD, Koram N, Tilson H, Scheuerle AE, Napier MD. Prenatal exposure to zidovudine and risk for ventricular septal defects and congenital heart defects: data from the antiretroviral pregnancy registry. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* 2016;197:6-10. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26687320">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26687320</a>.
- 29. Rough K, Sun JW, Seage GR, 3rd, et al. Zidovudine use in pregnancy and congenital malformations. *AIDS*. 2017;31(12):1733-1743. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28537936">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28537936</a>.
- 30. Williams PL, Crain MJ, Yildirim C, et al. Congenital anomalies and *in utero* antiretroviral exposure in human immunodeficiency virus-exposed uninfected infants. *JAMA Pediatr*. 2015;169(1):48-55. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25383770">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25383770</a>.

# Combination Antiretroviral Drug Regimens and Maternal and Neonatal Outcomes (Last updated December 24, 2019; last reviewed December 24, 2019)

## **Panel's Recommendations**

• Clinicians should be aware of a possible increased risk of adverse neonatal outcomes (e.g., preterm delivery) in pregnant women who are receiving antiretroviral therapy (ART). However, given the clear benefits of ART for both a woman's health and the prevention of perinatal transmission, HIV treatment should not be withheld due to concern for adverse pregnancy outcomes (AII).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

According to the March of Dimes, preterm birth or delivery (PTD) affects approximately 10% of live births in the United States, and approximately 8% of U.S. infants are low birth weight (LBW) infants. Women with HIV who are taking antiretroviral therapy (ART) may be at increased risk for adverse neonatal outcomes, including PTD (delivery before 37 weeks gestation), LBW infants (those weighing <2,500 g), and small for gestational age (SGA) infants (those with a birth weight <10th percentile expected for gestational age), especially when compared to women without HIV. There are limited data suggesting a potential association between hypertensive disorders of pregnancy (HDP) and maternal HIV. In this section, the Panel on Treatment of Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission (the Panel) provides a summary of data published since 2015 regarding ART and adverse maternal and neonatal outcomes. For historical data related to this topic, please refer to the archived versions of this section. For information related to ART use and teratogenicity (birth defects), please refer to Teratogenicity and the individual drug sections in Appendix B and Table 8.

#### **Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes**

The association between the use of ART and preterm birth, fetal growth restriction, miscarriage, and stillbirth has been an area of research for many years with multiple studies that include conflicting results. These outcomes are common and often occur without an identifiable cause, so it can be difficult to establish a causal link with a medication in an individual case. However, because these outcomes are relatively common, even a small increase in risk can have a substantial public health impact.

Much of the conflicting data in earlier studies about antiretroviral (ARV) drugs and adverse pregnancy outcomes can be ascribed to the use of inappropriate control groups and failure to stratify the data by timing of ARV initiation (before or after conception). Potential associations between ART and adverse pregnancy outcomes are difficult to establish because of the challenge of finding appropriate comparator groups. Women with HIV who do not receive ART in pregnancy are not an appropriate comparator, because they have an increased risk of adverse outcomes due to their immunocompromised status. However, comparing women on ART to women without HIV is confounded by HIV status. The best way to evaluate ART and pregnancy outcomes is to use a comparative safety approach in which ART regimens are compared to each other. This approach is preferred because of growing evidence that the risk of adverse outcomes varies by ARV drug, and even within ARV drug classes. Risks of adverse outcomes may also depend on the timing of ART initiation, with an increased risk of adverse outcomes among women who are receiving ART before conception use. More studies are needed to fully evaluate the association between the use of specific ARV regimens and the risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes.

#### **Preterm Delivery**

Three large meta-analyses have failed to demonstrate a significant association between ART use and PTD. The sample sizes pooled for these meta-analyses ranged from 14 to 90 studies and included 11,224 to 37,877 women and/or infants. Most of the studies that were included in these meta-analyses were observational

studies, and most were older studies that do not include some of the ARV regimens currently used. There was also significant heterogeneity in data collection.<sup>1-3</sup> The meta-analysis by Kourtis et al. showed a modest but statistically significant increase in the risk for PTD in women who initiated combination ART before pregnancy or during the first trimester, compared with women who initiated ART during the second trimester or later (odds ratio [OR] 1.71, 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.09–2.67).<sup>1</sup> The meta-analysis by Nachega et al. compared pregnancy outcomes between women who received tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF)-based regimens and women who received regimens that did not contain tenofovir. This study found no difference in the risk of PTD between these two groups.

Among the studies that report an association between the use of ART and PTD, the relative risks (RRs)/ORs for PTD range from 1.2 to 3.4. 1,4-27 Variability in the available data may be a factor in conflicting results, (e.g., some studies have reported increased rates of PTD when ART is initiated before pregnancy or during early pregnancy compared to later in pregnancy). Maternal factors, such as HIV disease severity, may have affected the timing of ART initiation during pregnancy and may be associated with PTD independent of ART use. 28-31 In general, none of the studies reviewed in this section have comprehensively controlled for all factors that may be associated with PTD.

Preterm Delivery and Antiretroviral Therapy Exposure Before Pregnancy

Some studies report an association between initiating ART before pregnancy and PTD, reporting RRs and ORs that range from 1.20 to 2.05. 4.21-23.26,31-34 These studies were conducted in Asia, Europe, Latin America, Africa, and North America and included various ARV regimens (including no ART and single-drug, two-drug, and multidrug regimens). The association between PTD and ART use prior to conception is attenuated in some multivariate analyses. 16,21,35,36 A retrospective cohort study that included >2,000 women on multidrug ART did not show an association between ART initiation before pregnancy and PTD. 33 Certain ARV regimens, such as those that contain lopinavir/ritonavir (LPV/r), may be more closely associated with PTD than others.

#### Antiretroviral Therapy Regimens That Are Associated with Preterm Delivery

Protease Inhibitor-Based Regimens

The association between the use of protease inhibitor (PI)-based ART and PTD has been investigated in multiple studies. These studies include populations in Europe, North America, and Africa. The RRs/ORs of PTD reported in these studies range from 1.14 to  $3.4.^{1,4,6-8,10,15,17,19-21,23,37-39}$  However, a small meta-analysis of 10 studies (eight prospective cohort studies, one randomized controlled trial, and one surveillance study) demonstrated that the use of PI-based ART is associated with an increased risk of PTD, with an adjusted odds ratio (aOR) of 1.32 (95% CI, 1.04-1.6) and  $I^2 = 47\%$  (moderate heterogeneity). When evaluating the effects of initiating PI-based ART during the first and third trimesters of pregnancy, the pooled effect was not significant.<sup>40</sup>

Not all of the studies reviewed for this section have identified an association between PI use and an increased risk of PTD. Six studies did not demonstrate a significant association between PI-based ART and PTD.<sup>17,37-39,41,42</sup> For example, a retrospective Canadian study of women who were taking regimens that included unboosted PIs did not report increased rates of PTD among these women.<sup>17</sup>

Regimens that include PIs boosted with ritonavir may be associated with an increased risk of PTD compared to unboosted PI regimens. The Promoting Maternal and Infant Survival Everywhere (PROMISE) trial study compared outcomes in women who received zidovudine (ZDV) alone to women who received LPV/r-based ART with a dual-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NRTI) backbone of either ZDV plus lamivudine (3TC) or emtricitabine (FTC) plus TDF initiated during pregnancy. Compared to women who received ZDV alone, women who received ZDV plus 3TC plus LPV/r had higher rates of PTD (13% vs. 20.5%; P < 0.001). PTD rates among women who received TDF-based ART and those who received ZDV-based ART were not statistically different (19% vs. 18%; P = 0.77). Sebikari et al. published a follow-up study of the PROMISE trial. After controlling for other risk factors, receipt of either ZDV plus 3TC plus LPV/r or FTC plus TDF

plus LPV/r remained associated with PTD. The aOR of PTD for women who received LPV/r plus ZDV plus 3TC compared to ZDV alone was 1.8 (95% CI, 1.5–2.3), and the aOR for women who received LPV/r plus FTC plus TDF compared to ZDV alone was also 1.8 (95% CI, 1.3–4.0). When comparing the two ART regimens, there was no significant difference in the risk of PTD among women who received FTC plus TDF plus LPV/r and those who received ZDV plus 3TC plus LPV/r (aOR 0.97; 95% CI, 0.72–1.31).

Another study of >6,000 women in the United Kingdom and Ireland demonstrated increased rates of PTD among women with HIV who were taking PI-based ART before pregnancy, especially regimens that contained LPV/r. This effect was increased when the women had CD4 T lymphocyte (CD4) cell counts <350 cells/mm³ (aOR 1.99; 95% CI, 1.02–3.85).<sup>23</sup> A retrospective cohort study combined observations from the Surveillance Monitoring for ART Toxicities (SMARTT) study and the International Maternal and Pediatric Adolescent AIDS Clinical Trials (IMPAACT) for a total of 4,646 live birth outcomes. Risk of PTD was similar or slightly higher in women who received LPV/r in combination with FTC and TDF than in women who received atazanavir/ritonavir (ATV/r) plus FTC and TDF; however, among women who initiated ART before conception, the risk of PTD was higher for the LPV/r regimen.<sup>21</sup> Although more prospective data are needed, ART that contains LPV/r may increase the risk of PTD compared to regimens that contain other ritonavir-boosted PIs.

Despite this potential association between the use of PI-based ART and PTD, some pregnant women may require PI-based regimens. In these cases, the Panel recommends the use of darunavir/ritonavir or ATV/r over LPV/r.

## Nucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitor-Based Regimens and Non-Nucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitor-Based Regimens

Fewer studies have evaluated non-PI based ART regimens and PTD. In a meta-analysis of 17 studies in which women with HIV (n = 37,877) who were taking ART that included TDF were compared to women who were taking ARV regimens that did not include TDF, TDF-based ART was associated with a modest reduction in the rate of PTD (RR 0.9; 95% CI, 0.81–0.99; I² = 59%); however, there was no significant difference in the risk of very PTD between these two groups.² Some cohort studies have shown an association between the use of non-PI based regimens and PTD. South African women with HIV who were taking FTC plus TDF plus nevirapine (NVP) had higher rates of PTD than women without HIV (aOR 1.2; 95% CI, 1.0–1.5).²² When compared to women without HIV, women who were taking FTC plus TDF plus efavirenz (EFV) were at increased risk of PTD.²5 As stated in the introduction, using women without HIV as a control group may be an inappropriate study design choice. A retrospective cohort study of South African women who received FTC plus TDF plus EFV did not show an increased risk of PTD, SGA infants, or LBW infants when these women were compared to women who were taking NVP-based ART or other multidrug regimens.³³

#### Integrase Strand Transfer Inhibitor-Based Regimens

Limited data from observational cohort studies are available to assess the relationship between integrase strand transfer inhibitor-based regimens (PI-sparing regimens) and PTD. Women who initiated FTC plus TDF plus EFV or FTC plus TDF plus dolutegravir (DTG) during pregnancy were at increased risk of PTD (aOR 1.2; 95% CI, 1.1–1.3) compared to women without HIV, but there was no significant difference in the risk of PTD between these two ART regimens. However, when these ART regimens were compared to one another, there were no significant differences in the risk of PTD.<sup>24</sup> This study was included in a systematic review of six sources (two cohort studies, three databases, and one report) that was designed to evaluate adverse pregnancy outcomes that were related to DTG exposure. A total of 845 women who received FTC plus TDF plus DTG were compared to 4,593 historical controls who received FTC plus TDF plus EFV, and there was no clear difference in the risk of PTD between these groups.<sup>45</sup>

#### Birth Weight

For the purpose of this section, abnormalities of birth weight related to ART use are commonly reported as LBW infants (those weighing <2,500 g) or SGA infants (those with a birth weight <10th percentile expected for gestational age). LBW may be a reflection of preterm birth or growth restriction; SGA may be a reflection

of growth restriction or constitutionally small infants. Given that LBW and SGA may be caused by different mechanisms, this section discusses studies that have reported LBW and SGA separately.

#### Low Birth Weight

Multiple studies have demonstrated an association between any ART use and LBW infants. <sup>18,22,42,43,46-50</sup> Reported rates of LBW among infants who were exposed to ART range from 7.4% to 36%. <sup>3,10,16,18,20-22,30,35,37,39,43,47,48,51</sup> In a systematic review of 13 studies (nine observational studies and four randomized controlled trials) that compared ZDV monotherapy to NNRTI- and PI-based regimens, the NNRTI- and PI-based regimens were associated with LBW infants. <sup>27</sup> In a Chinese cohort of 748 infants exposed to either NVP, EFV, or LPV/r with a dual-NRTI backbone, preconception ART use was associated with an increased risk of LBW infants (aOR 1.92; 95% CI, 1.1–3.4). <sup>26</sup> A cohort study that included 4,646 births reported an increased risk of LBW infants among women who received preconception FTC plus TDF plus LPV/r compared to those who received FTC plus TDF plus ATV/r (unadjusted risk ratio 1.97; 95% CI, 1.2–3.4). <sup>21</sup>

#### Small for Gestational Age

Among infants born to women with HIV, the reported rates of SGA infants range from 7.3% to 31%. <sup>13,16,18, 20,22,23,25,30,33,35,41,42,52,53</sup> A South African prospective study reported that women with HIV were more likely to have SGA infants than women without HIV (14% vs. 8%). <sup>25</sup> Three studies in Botswana reported a positive association between ART use (for both PI-based regimens and regimens that did not contain PIs) and SGA. <sup>13,20,54</sup> In a study that compared the effects of initiating monotherapy during pregnancy to the effects of initiating ART before pregnancy and continuing ART during pregnancy, SGA occurred more frequently in women who continued ART that was initiated before conception, but this finding was statistically nonsignificant (RR 1.34; 95% CI, 0.98–1.84). <sup>18</sup> When compared to FTC plus TDF plus EFV, both NVP-based and LPV/r-based ART were associated with increased incidence of SGA. <sup>20</sup> Women in the Netherlands who were taking PI-based ART before pregnancy had a higher risk of SGA (OR 1.35; 95% CI, 1.03–1.77) than women who were taking non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor-based ART. <sup>42</sup> In a Brazilian cohort of 787 infants, women who received LPV/r had an increased risk of delivering SGA infants compared to women who received NFV (*P* = 0.0004). <sup>35</sup> In contrast, a retrospective cohort study of women with HIV who were taking FTC plus TDF plus EFV, NPV-based ART, or other multidrug regimens before pregnancy did not show any association between these regimens and SGA. <sup>33</sup>

In summary, the data are mixed regarding the effect of ART use on birth weight. Given the potential for LBW or SGA infants, maternal use of ART during pregnancy may be an indication for enhanced antenatal surveillance of fetal growth, especially in cases where ART was initiated preconception.

#### Stillbirth

Reported rates of stillbirth among women with HIV range from 0.5% to 11.4%. 9.13,14,16,20,30-32,39,45,47,48 In a meta-analysis of 17 studies that included 37,877 women with HIV who were taking ART, three studies included stillbirth outcomes. Women with HIV who were taking TDF-based ART had a lower risk of stillbirth than those who were taking ART that did not include TDF (pooled RR 0.6; 95% CI, 0.43–0.84; I² = 72%).² Two studies have evaluated the association between continuing ART during pregnancy or starting ART during pregnancy and the risk of stillbirth, with data that include both PI-based regimens and regimens that do not contain a PI. In one study, a greater risk of stillbirth was observed among women who continued preconception ART during pregnancy than women who initiated ART during pregnancy (aOR 1.5; 95% CI, 1.2–1.8). In another study, Zash et al. reported that preconception use of ZDV plus 3TC plus NVP was associated with a significantly increased rate of stillbirth compared to the use of FTC plus TDF plus EFV (adjusted relative risk 2.3, 95% CI 1.6–3.3). When evaluating the association between the use of ART and adverse pregnancy outcomes, more studies have examined PTD, LBW infants, and SGA infants than stillbirth. Given that stillbirth is a relatively rare outcome in resource-rich settings, data related to stillbirth and ART use are limited.

#### **Maternal Outcomes**

Hypertensive Disorders of Pregnancy

Limited data suggest that women with HIV may have an increased risk of HDP. A meta-analysis<sup>55</sup> did not reveal a clear association between maternal HIV and pregnancy-induced hypertension, preeclampsia, or eclampsia. An Italian study demonstrated an increased risk for both early-onset and late-onset pre-eclampsia (aOR 2.50; 95% CI, 1.51–4.15 and aOR 2.64; 95% CI, 1.82–3.85, respectively) as well as pre-eclampsia with severe features (aOR 2.03; 95% CI, 1.26–3.28) among women with HIV compared to women without HIV.<sup>56</sup> A later study found that women with HIV were less likely to have HDP than women without HIV (OR 0.67; 95% CI, 0.48–0.93).<sup>32</sup>

Few studies have evaluated whether the use of ART is associated with a higher risk of pre-eclampsia. No studies have evaluated the effect of specific ARV drugs on maternal hypertension. A secondary analysis of South African data revealed that among women with low CD4 counts (<200 cells/mm³), there was an increased risk of maternal death from HDP when comparing women who were taking ART to women who received no ART during pregnancy (RR 1.15; 95% CI, 1.02–1.29).<sup>57</sup> A retrospective study on South African women with HIV demonstrated that those who were on ART before pregnancy and those who were not on ART before pregnancy had similar rates of HPD (15.7% and 14.9%, respectively). Although these limited data may suggest an association between HDP and maternal HIV, there are no known interventions to reduce this risk, and providers should not withhold ART in the setting of HDP.

#### **Summary**

Clinicians should be aware of a possible increased risk of adverse maternal and neonatal outcomes with the use of ART for prevention of perinatal HIV infection. Given that ART has clear benefits for maternal health and reduces the risk of perinatal transmission, these agents should not be withheld due to concern for increased risk of adverse neonatal outcomes. Until more information is available, pregnant women with HIV who are receiving ART should continue using their provider-recommended regimens. Clinicians should monitor pregnant women with HIV for potential pregnancy complications, including PTD, LBW infants, and SGA infants. Monitoring may require additional prenatal visits and fetal ultrasounds; see Monitoring of the Woman and Fetus During Pregnancy for more information.

- 1. Kourtis AP, Schmid CH, Jamieson DJ, Lau J. Use of antiretroviral therapy in pregnant HIV-infected women and the risk of premature delivery: a meta-analysis. *AIDS*. 2007;21(5):607-615. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17314523">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17314523</a>.
- 2. Nachega JB, Uthman OA, Mofenson LM, et al. Safety of tenofovir disoproxil fumarate-based antiretroviral therapy regimens in pregnancy for HIV-infected women and their Infants: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2017;76(1):1-12. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28291053">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28291053</a>.
- 3. Veroniki AA, Antony J, Straus SE, et al. Comparative safety and effectiveness of perinatal antiretroviral therapies for HIV-infected women and their children: Systematic review and network meta-analysis including different study designs. *PLoS One.* 2018;13(6):e0198447. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29912896">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29912896</a>.
- 4. European Collaborative Study, Swiss Mother Child HIV Cohort Study. Combination antiretroviral therapy and duration of pregnancy. *AIDS*. 2000;14(18):2913-2920. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11398741">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11398741</a>.
- 5. European Collaborative Study. Levels and patterns of neutrophil cell counts over the first 8 years of life in children of HIV-1-infected mothers. *AIDS*. 2004;18(15):2009-2017. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15577622">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15577622</a>.
- 6. Cotter AM, Garcia AG, Duthely ML, Luke B, O'Sullivan MJ. Is antiretroviral therapy during pregnancy associated with an increased risk of preterm delivery, low birth weight, or stillbirth? *J Infect Dis.* 2006;193(9):1195-1201. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16586354">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16586354</a>.
- 7. Ravizza M, Martinelli P, Bucceri A, et al. Treatment with protease inhibitors and coinfection with hepatitis C virus are

- independent predictors of preterm delivery in HIV-infected pregnant women. J Infect Dis. 2007;195(6):913-914; author reply 916-917. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17299723">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17299723</a>.
- Schulte J, Dominguez K, Sukalac T, Bohannon B, Fowler MG, Pediatric Spectrum of HIV Disease Consortium. Declines in low birth weight and preterm birth among infants who were born to HIV-infected women during an era of increased use of maternal antiretroviral drugs: Pediatric Spectrum of HIV Disease, 1989-2004. Pediatrics. 2007;119(4):e900-906. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17353299.
- Townsend CL, Cortina-Borja M, Peckham CS, Tookey PA. Antiretroviral therapy and premature delivery in diagnosed HIV-infected women in the United Kingdom and Ireland. AIDS. 2007;21(8):1019-1026. Available at: http://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17457096.
- Grosch-Woerner I, Puch K, Maier RF, et al. Increased rate of prematurity associated with antenatal antiretroviral therapy in a German/Austrian cohort of HIV-1-infected women. HIV Med. 2008;9(1):6-13. Available at: http://www. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18199167.
- Rudin C, Spaenhauer A, Keiser O, et al. Antiretroviral therapy during pregnancy and premature birth: analysis of Swiss data. HIV Med. 2011;12(4):228-235. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20726902.
- 12. Powis KM, Kitch D, Ogwu A, et al. Increased risk of preterm delivery among HIV-infected women randomized to protease versus nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor-based HAART during pregnancy. J Infect Dis. 2011;204(4):506-514. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21791651.
- 13. Chen JY, Ribaudo HJ, Souda S, et al. Highly active antiretroviral therapy and adverse birth outcomes among HIVinfected women in Botswana. J Infect Dis. 2012;206(11):1695-1705. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/23066160.
- Sibiude J, Warszawski J, Tubiana R, et al. Premature delivery in HIV-infected women starting protease inhibitor therapy during pregnancy: role of the ritonavir boost? Clin Infect Dis. 2012;54(9):1348-1360. Available at: http://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22460969.
- 15. Watts DH, Williams PL, Kacanek D, et al. Combination antiretroviral use and preterm birth. J Infect Dis. 2013;207(4):612-621. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23204173.
- 16. Kreitchmann R, Li SX, Melo VH, et al. Predictors of adverse pregnancy outcomes in women infected with HIV in Latin America and the Caribbean: a cohort study. BJOG. 2014;121(12):1501-1508. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/pubmed/24602102.
- 17. Kakkar F, Boucoiran I, Lamarre V, et al. Risk factors for pre-term birth in a Canadian cohort of HIV-positive women: role of ritonavir boosting? J Int AIDS Soc. 2015;18:19933. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/26051165.
- 18. Li N, Sando MM, Spiegelman D, et al. Antiretroviral therapy in relation to birth outcomes among HIV-infected women: a cohort study. J Infect Dis. 2015. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26265780.
- Van Dyke RB, Chadwick EG, Hazra R, Williams PL, Seage GR 3rd. The PHACS SMARTT study: assessment of the safety of in utero exposure to antiretroviral drugs. Front Immunol. 2016;7:199. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/pubmed/27242802.
- 20. Zash R, Jacobsen DM, Mayondi G, et al. Dolutegravir/tenofovir/emtricitabine (DTG/TDF/FTC) started in pregnancy is as safe as efavirenz/tenofovir/emtricitabine (EFV/TDF/FTC) in nationwide birth outcomes surveillance in Botswana. Presented at: 9th International AIDS Society Conference. 2017. Paris, France.
- 21. Rough K, Seage GR, 3rd, Williams PL, et al. Birth outcomes for pregnant women with HIV using tenofoviremtricitabine. N Engl J Med. 2018;378(17):1593-1603. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29694825.
- 22. Ramokolo V, Goga AE, Lombard C, Doherty T, Jackson DJ, Engebretsen IM. In utero ART exposure and birth and early growth outcomes among HIV-exposed uninfected infants attending immunization services: results from national PMTCT surveillance, South Africa. Open Forum Infect Dis. 2017;4(4):ofx187. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/pubmed/29062860.
- 23. Favarato G, Townsend CL, Bailey H, et al. Protease inhibitors and preterm delivery: another piece in the puzzle. AIDS. 2018;32(2):243-252. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29135577.

- 24. Zash R, Jacobson DL, Diseko M, et al. Comparative safety of dolutegravir-based or efavirenz-based antiretroviral treatment started during pregnancy in Botswana: an observational study. Lancet Glob Health. 2018;6(7):e804-e810. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29880310.
- 25. Malaba TR, Newell ML, Madlala H, Perez A, Gray C, Myer L. Methods of gestational age assessment influence the observed association between antiretroviral therapy exposure, preterm delivery, and small-for-gestational age infants: a prospective study in Cape Town, South Africa. Ann Epidemiol. 2018;28(12):893-900. Available at: https://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30293920.
- 26. Wang L, Zhao H, Cai W, et al. Risk factors associated with preterm delivery and low delivery weight among HIVexposed neonates in China. Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 2018;142(3):300-307. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/29772068.
- 27. Saleska JL, Turner AN, Maierhofer C, Clark J, Kwiek JJ. Use of antiretroviral therapy during pregnancy and adverse birth outcomes among women living with HIV-1 in Low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2018;79(1):1-9. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29847475.
- Machado ES, Hofer CB, Costa TT, et al. Pregnancy outcome in women infected with HIV-1 receiving combination antiretroviral therapy before versus after conception. Sex Transm Infect. 2009;85(2):82-87. Available at: http://www. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18987014.
- van der Merwe K, Hoffman R, Black V, Chersich M, Coovadia A, Rees H. Birth outcomes in South African women receiving highly active antiretroviral therapy: a retrospective observational study. J Int AIDS Soc. 2011;14:42. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21843356.
- 30. Moodley T, Moodley D, Sebitloane M, Maharaj N, Sartorius B. Improved pregnancy outcomes with increasing antiretroviral coverage in South Africa. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2016;16:35. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/pubmed/26867536.
- 31. Stringer EM, Kendall MA, Lockman S, et al. Pregnancy outcomes among HIV-infected women who conceived on antiretroviral therapy. PLoS One. 2018;13(7):e0199555. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30020964.
- 32. Sebitloane HM, Moodley J. Maternal and obstetric complications among HIV-infected women treated with highly active antiretroviral treatment at a regional hospital in Durban, South Africa. Niger J Clin Pract. 2017;20(11):1360-1367. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29303121.
- 33. Chetty T, Thorne C, Coutsoudis A. Preterm delivery and small-for-gestation outcomes in HIV-infected pregnant women on antiretroviral therapy in rural South Africa: Results from a cohort study, 2010-2015. PLoS One. 2018;13(2):e0192805. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29470508.
- 34. Zash R, Jacobson DL, Diseko M, et al. Comparative safety of antiretroviral treatment regimens in pregnancy. JAMA Pediatr. 2017;171(10):e172222. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28783807.
- 35. Delicio AM, Lajos GJ, Amaral E, Cavichiolli F, Polydoro M, Milanez H. Adverse effects in children exposed to maternal HIV and antiretroviral therapy during pregnancy in Brazil: a cohort study. Reprod Health. 2018;15(1):76. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29747664.
- 36. Uthman OA, Nachega JB, Anderson J, et al. Timing of initiation of antiretroviral therapy and adverse pregnancy outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet HIV. 2017;4(1):e21-e30. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/pubmed/27864000.
- 37. Tuomala RE, Shapiro DE, Mofenson LM, et al. Antiretroviral therapy during pregnancy and the risk of an adverse outcome. N Engl J Med. 2002;346(24):1863-1870. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12063370.
- 38. Patel K, Shapiro DE, Brogly SB, et al. Prenatal protease inhibitor use and risk of preterm birth among HIV-infected women initiating antiretroviral drugs during pregnancy. J Infect Dis. 2010;201(7):1035-1044. Available at: http://www. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20196654.
- 39. Perry M, Taylor GP, Sabin CA, et al. Lopinavir and atazanavir in pregnancy: comparable infant outcomes, virological efficacies and preterm delivery rates. HIV Med. 2015. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26200570.
- Mesfin YM, Kibret KT, Taye A. Is protease inhibitors based antiretroviral therapy during pregnancy associated with an increased risk of preterm birth? Systematic review and a meta-analysis. Reprod Health. 2016;13:30. Available at:

- https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27048501.
- 41. Duryea E, Nicholson F, Cooper S, et al. The use of protease inhibitors in pregnancy: maternal and fetal considerations. *Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol.* 2015;2015:563727. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26617456">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26617456</a>.
- 42. Snijdewind IJM, Smit C, Godfried MH, et al. Preconception use of cART by HIV-positive pregnant women increases the risk of infants being born small for gestational age. *PLoS One*. 2018;13(1):e0191389. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29351561">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29351561</a>.
- 43. Fowler MG, Qin M, Fiscus SA, et al. Benefits and risks of antiretroviral therapy for perinatal HIV prevention. *N Engl J Med.* 2016;375(18):1726-1737. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27806243">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27806243</a>.
- 44. Sebikari D, Farhad M, Fenton T, et al. Risk factors for adverse birth outcomes in the PROMISE 1077BF/1077FF trial. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2019;81(5):521-532. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31295174">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31295174</a>.
- 45. Hill A, Clayden P, Thorne C, Christie R, Zash R. Safety and pharmacokinetics of dolutegravir in HIV-positive pregnant women: a systematic review. *J Virus Erad.* 2018;4(2):66-71. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29682297">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29682297</a>.
- 46. Tuomala RE, Watts DH, Li D, et al. Improved obstetric outcomes and few maternal toxicities are associated with antiretroviral therapy, including highly active antiretroviral therapy during pregnancy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2005;38(4):449-473. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15764963">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15764963</a>.
- 47. Bisio F, Nicco E, Calzi A, et al. Pregnancy outcomes following exposure to efavirenz-based antiretroviral therapy in the Republic of Congo. *New Microbiol.* 2015;38(2):185-192. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25938743">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25938743</a>.
- 48. Vannappagari V, Koram N, Albano J, Tilson H, Gee C. Association between in utero zidovudine exposure and nondefect adverse birth outcomes: analysis of prospectively collected data from the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry. *BJOG*. 2016;123(6):910-916. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26269220">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26269220</a>.
- 49. Njom Nlend AE, Nga Motaze A, Moyo Tetang S, Zeudja C, Ngantcha M, Tejiokem M. Preterm birth and low birth weight after in utero exposure to antiretrovirals initiated during pregnancy in Yaounde, Cameroon. *PLoS One*. 2016;11(3):e0150565. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26999744">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26999744</a>.
- 50. Ekouevi DK, Coffie PA, Becquet R, et al. Antiretroviral therapy in pregnant women with advanced HIV disease and pregnancy outcomes in Abidjan, Cote d'Ivoire. *AIDS*. 2008;22(14):1815-1820. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18753864">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18753864</a>.
- 51. Szyld EG, Warley EM, Freimanis L, et al. Maternal antiretroviral drugs during pregnancy and infant low birth weight and preterm birth. *AIDS*. 2006;20(18):2345-2353. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17117021">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17117021</a>.
- 52. Watts DH, Brown ER, Maldonado Y, et al. HIV disease progression in the first year after delivery among African women followed in the HPTN 046 clinical trial. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2013. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23846568">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23846568</a>.
- 53. Aaron E, Bonacquisti A, Mathew L, Alleyne G, Bamford LP, Culhane JF. Small-for-gestational-age births in pregnant women with HIV, due to severity of HIV disease, not antiretroviral therapy. *Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol*. 2012;2012:135030. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22778533">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22778533</a>.
- 54. Parekh N, Ribaudo H, Souda S, et al. Risk factors for very preterm delivery and delivery of very-small-for-gestational-age infants among HIV-exposed and HIV-unexposed infants in Botswana. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet.* 2011;115(1):20-25. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21767835">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21767835</a>.
- 55. Browne JL, Schrier VJ, Grobbee DE, Peters SA, Klipstein-Grobusch K. HIV, antiretroviral therapy, and hypertensive disorders in pregnancy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2015;70(1):91-98. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26322669">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26322669</a>.
- 56. Sansone M, Sarno L, Saccone G, et al. Risk of preeclampsia in human immunodeficiency virus-infected pregnant women. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2016;127(6):1027-1032. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27159742">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27159742</a>.
- 57. Sebitloane HM, Moodley J, Sartorius B. Associations between HIV, highly active anti-retroviral therapy, and hypertensive disorders of pregnancy among maternal deaths in South Africa 2011-2013. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet*. 2017;136(2):195-199. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28099739">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28099739</a>.

# Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs during Pregnancy: Overview (Last updated December 12, 2019; last reviewed December 12, 2019)

#### Panel's Recommendations

- When choosing an antiretroviral (ARV) drug regimen for a pregnant woman, providers and patients should consider multiple factors, including adverse effects, drug interactions, pharmacokinetics (PKs), convenience of the individual drugs and drug combinations in the regimen, available pregnancy safety and outcome data, and the patient's resistance test results and comorbidities (AIII).
- The same regimens that are recommended for the treatment of nonpregnant adults should be used in pregnant women when sufficient
  data suggest that appropriate drug exposure is achieved during pregnancy; clinicians should weigh the risks of adverse effects for
  women, fetuses, or infants against the benefits of these regimens and recognize that there are often incomplete data on the safety of
  ARV drugs in pregnancy (All). For more information, see Tables 4 and 5.
- In most cases, women who present for obstetric care on fully suppressive ARV regimens should continue their current regimens (AIII).
- PK changes in pregnancy may lead to lower plasma levels of drugs and necessitate increased doses, more frequent dosing, boosting, or more frequent viral load monitoring (All).

#### **Updated** Panel Recommendations Regarding the Use of Dolutegravir in Pregnancy:

- Dolutegravir (DTG) exposure around the time of conception has been associated with a small but significant increase in the risk of infant neural tube defects (NTDs) in Botswana (0.3%). This risk was higher than the risk for NTDs in infants born to women who were receiving efavirenz (0.05%) and women without HIV (0.08%). There are not enough data to determine the risk of NTDs with preconception use of all *Preferred* and *Alternative* regimens, including DTG, in the United States. Based on the available evidence, the Panel on Treatment of Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission (the Panel) recommends DTG as a <u>Preferred drug for pregnant women, irrespective of trimester (All), and an <u>Alternative</u> drug for women who are trying to conceive (All).</u>
- The Panel emphasizes the importance of counseling and informed decision-making regarding all ARV regimens for people with HIV (AIII). For additional information, see <u>Preconception Counseling and Care for Women of Childbearing Age Living with HIV, Teratogenicity, Appendix D: Dolutegravir Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers, and Tables 4 and 5.</u>
- When DTG use is continued after delivery, clinicians should discuss reproductive desires, the risks and benefits of conceiving on DTG, and contraceptive options (AIII). See Preconception Counseling and Care and Postpartum Care for more information.
- Folic acid is known to prevent NTDs in the general population. All pregnant women and women who might conceive should take at least 400 mcg of folic acid daily (AI). There is no established link between the use of DTG and impaired folate metabolism, nor is there evidence that folate supplementation prevents DTG-associated NTDs.

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

**Rating of Evidence:** I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

This section provides an overview of the key clinical and pharmacokinetic (PK) issues that are relevant to the selection of specific antiretroviral (ARV) drugs for use in pregnancy. Additional recommendations for women who have never received antiretroviral therapy (ART-naive women), women who are currently receiving ART, and women who were previously on ART or who have used ARV drugs for prophylaxis are listed in the three sections that follow this overview. Table 4 provides specific information about recommended ARV drugs when initiating ART in treatment-naive pregnant women. The table also includes considerations for ARV regimen selection and modification in pregnant women who are treatment-experienced and women who are attempting to become pregnant.

<u>Table 5</u> consolidates situation-specific recommendations about the use of ARV drugs in women with HIV during conception and pregnancy into a single table for ease of reference. <u>Table 5</u> includes recommendations for the use of ARV drugs in the following situations:

- Initiating ART in pregnant women who have never received ARV drugs;
- Continuing ART in women who become pregnant while on a fully suppressive regimen that has been well tolerated;

- Restarting ART in pregnant women who received ART or ARV drugs for prophylaxis in the past;
- Changing to a new ARV regimen in pregnant women whose current ART is not well tolerated and/or is not resulting in virologic suppression; *and*
- Initiating or modifying ART in women who are trying to conceive.

<u>Table 8</u> and <u>Appendix B</u> provide information about individual drugs, including dosing and PK data in pregnancy.

Drugs of known benefit to women should not be withheld during pregnancy unless there are known adverse effects to the woman, fetus, or infant, and these adverse effects outweigh the benefits to the woman or adequate drug levels are not likely to be attained during pregnancy. Pregnancy and potential for pregnancy should not preclude the use of optimal drug regimens. The decision about which ARV drugs to use during pregnancy should be made by a woman after discussing the known and potential benefits and risks to her and her fetus.<sup>1</sup>

The Panel on Treatment of Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission (the Panel) reviews clinical trial data published in peer-reviewed journals and data prepared by manufacturers for Food and Drug Administration review that are related to the treatment of adult women with HIV, both those who are pregnant and those who are not. The durability, tolerability, and simplicity of a medication regimen are particularly important for ensuring adherence and preserving future treatment options. Regimen selection should be based on several factors that apply to all pregnant women, as well as factors that will vary for individual patients.

Pregnancy-related factors include:

- Potential teratogenic effects and other short-term and long-term adverse effects on fetuses or newborns, including preterm birth, mutagenicity, and carcinogenicity;
- Available safety and outcome data on the use of the drug in pregnancy;
- PK changes in pregnancy; and
- Potential adverse effects for the woman, especially those that may be exacerbated during pregnancy.

#### Individual-level factors include:

- Potential drug interactions with other medications;
- Results of genotypic resistance testing and the woman's prior exposure to ARV drugs;
- Comorbidities;
- Ability of the patient to adhere to a regimen; and
- Convenience

The Panel uses information from several sources to develop recommendations on specific drugs or regimens for pregnant women. These sources include:

- Data from randomized clinical trials and prospective cohort studies that demonstrate durable viral suppression in pregnancy, as well as immunologic and clinical improvement;
- Incidence rates and descriptions of short-term and long-term drug toxicity of ARV regimens;
- Evidence from clinical studies of risk of maternal toxicity, teratogenicity, adverse pregnancy outcomes, and adverse infant outcomes;
- Specific knowledge about drug tolerability and simplified dosing regimens;
- Known efficacy of ARV drug regimens in reducing perinatal transmission of HIV;
- PK (drug exposure) data during pregnancy;

- Data from animal teratogenicity studies; and
- Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry data and other post-marketing surveillance data.<sup>2</sup>

Categories of ARV drugs and drug combinations for use in pregnancy include:

- **Preferred:** Drugs or drug combinations are designated as *Preferred* for therapy in pregnant women when clinical trial data in adults have demonstrated efficacy and durability with acceptable toxicity and ease of use, and pregnancy-specific PK data are available to guide dosing. In addition, the available data must suggest a favorable risk-benefit balance for the drug or drug combination compared to other ARV drug options; the assessment of risks and benefits should incorporate outcomes for women, fetuses, and infants. Some *Preferred* drugs or regimens may have minimal toxicity or teratogenicity risks that are offset by other advantages for women with HIV who are pregnant or who are trying to conceive.
- Alternative: Drugs or drug combinations are designated as *Alternative* options for therapy in pregnant women when clinical trial data in adults show efficacy and the data in pregnant individuals are generally favorable but limited. Most *Alternative* drugs or regimens are associated with more PK, dosing, tolerability, formulation, administration, or interaction concerns than those in the *Preferred* category, but they are acceptable for use in pregnancy. Some *Alternative* drugs or regimens may have known toxicity or teratogenicity risks that are offset by other advantages for women with HIV who are pregnant or who are trying to conceive.
- **Insufficient Data to Recommend:** The drugs and drug combinations in this category are approved for use in adults, but pregnancy-specific PK or safety data are too limited to make a recommendation for use in pregnant women. In some cases, it may be appropriate to continue using these drugs or drug combinations in women who become pregnant on ART that has been well tolerated.
- Not Recommended Except in Special Circumstances: Although some drugs are not recommended for initial ART in ART-naive women due to specific safety concerns or very limited safety and efficacy data in pregnancy, there may be circumstances in which ART-experienced women need to initiate or continue using specific drugs to reach or maintain viral suppression.
- Not Recommended: Drugs and drug combinations listed in this category are not recommended for use in pregnancy due to inferior virologic efficacy or potentially serious maternal or fetal safety concerns. They may also be categorized as not recommended for initial therapy in ARV-naive populations regardless of pregnancy status. This category includes drugs or drug combinations for which PK data demonstrate low drug levels and risk of viral rebound during pregnancy. Levels of these drugs are often low in late pregnancy (during the second and third trimesters), when risk for perinatal transmission is high if maternal viremia occurs. In some situations, it may be appropriate to continue using these drugs or drug combinations in women who become pregnant on fully suppressive ART that has been well tolerated, though viral load monitoring should be performed more frequently in these instances. See Pregnant Women Living with HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy and Monitoring of the Woman and Fetus During Pregnancy.

Selection of ARV drugs **should be individualized** according to a pregnant woman's specific ARV history, the results of drug-resistance assays, and the presence of comorbidities, as well as the individual women's preferences for balancing known and unknown risks and benefits. In pregnant women (as in nonpregnant adults, adolescents, and children), ART that includes at least three agents is recommended. For ARV-naive women, an ARV regimen that includes two nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs) and a ritonavir (RTV)-boosted protease inhibitor (PI) or an integrase strand transfer inhibitor (INSTI) is preferred (Table 4). In general, **women who are already on a fully suppressive regimen when pregnancy occurs should continue their regimens**. Key exceptions include regimens that involve medications with a high risk

for toxicity or inferior virologic efficacy that are not recommended for use in adults (e.g., didanosine [ddI], indinavir [IDV], nelfinavir [NFV], stavudine [d4T], and treatment-dose RTV) and drugs that should not be used in pregnant women because of PK or toxicity concerns (see <u>Table 4</u>).

For women who have achieved virologic suppression and who are receiving regimens that may increase the risk of virologic failure during pregnancy (e.g., darunavir/cobicistat [DRV/c], atazanavir/cobicistat [ATV/c], and elvitegravir/cobicistat [EVG/c]), consider changing the ARV regimen or continuing the same regimen and increasing the frequency of viral load monitoring. Women who are not fully suppressed and who are currently taking ART should be carefully evaluated for adherence and genotypic resistance, with every effort made to achieve full virologic suppression rapidly through adherence interventions or medication changes (see Lack of Viral Suppression). When treating women who have previously received ARV drugs but who are not currently taking ARV drugs, clinicians will need to take previous regimens and the potential for genotypic resistance into consideration. Specific recommendations for each type of patient are described in Table 5 and in the following sections: Pregnant Women Living with HIV Who Have Never Received Antiretroviral Drugs, Pregnant Women Living with HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Treatment or Prophylaxis but Are Not Currently Receiving Any Antiretroviral Medications.

#### **Pharmacokinetic Considerations for Antiretroviral Drugs**

Physiologic changes that occur during pregnancy can affect drug absorption, distribution, biotransformation, and elimination, thereby also affecting requirements for drug dosing and potentially increasing the risk for virologic failure or drug toxicity.<sup>3-5</sup> During pregnancy, gastrointestinal transit time becomes prolonged; body water and fat increase throughout gestation, and these changes are accompanied by increases in cardiac output, ventilation, and liver and renal blood flow; plasma protein concentrations decrease; renal sodium reabsorption increases; and changes occur in cellular transporters and drug metabolizing enzymes in the liver and intestine. Placental transport of drugs, compartmentalization of drugs in the embryo/fetus and placenta, biotransformation of drugs by the fetus and placenta, and elimination of drugs by the fetus also can affect drug PKs in the pregnant woman. In general, the PKs of NRTIs and non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTIs) are similar in pregnant and nonpregnant women (although PK data for etravirine [ETR] are limited). PI and INSTI PKs are more variable, particularly during the second and third trimesters. Currently available data on the PKs and dosing of ARV drugs in pregnancy are listed for each drug below and summarized in Table 8.

# Nucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitors

*Preferred* NRTI combinations for use in ARV-naive pregnant women are: abacavir (ABC) used in combination with lamivudine (3TC), and tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) used in combination with emtricitabine (FTC) or 3TC.

**Abacavir plus lamivudine** is the NRTI component in some *Preferred* regimens for nonpregnant adults. It offers the advantage of once-daily dosing and is well tolerated in pregnancy. Testing for the HLA-B\*5701 allele should be performed and documented as negative before starting ABC, and women should be educated about symptoms of hypersensitivity reactions. Clinicians should determine whether a patient has hepatitis B virus (HBV)/HIV coinfection; for women with HBV/HIV coinfection, two NRTIs that are active against HBV should be chosen (e.g., TDF with FTC or 3TC) in place of ABC plus 3TC (see HBV/HIV Coinfection).

**TDF plus emtricitabine or lamivudine** is the NRTI component in some *Preferred* regimens for nonpregnant adults. This combination has several advantages, including extensive experience with use in pregnancy, once-daily dosing, enhanced activity against HBV, and less toxicity than zidovudine (ZDV) plus 3TC. Although there have been concerns about bone and growth abnormalities in infants who were exposed to TDF *in utero*, the duration and clinical significance of study findings require further evaluation (see <u>Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate</u>). Although some authors have suggested that ZDV plus 3TC should be used in place of TDF plus FTC, this suggestion is based on data from a single study, the Promoting Maternal

and Infant Survival Everywhere (PROMISE) trial. The generalizability of the PROMISE findings is limited by important study design and statistical considerations (for details, see <u>Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate</u> and <u>Lopinavir/Ritonavir</u>). After considering all available evidence, the Panel concluded that the assessment of expected benefits and risks favored the use of TDF plus FTC over ZDV plus 3TC. The Panel maintains the *Preferred* classification for TDF plus FTC and the *Alternative* classification for ZDV plus 3TC.

**Zidovudine plus lamivudine** is an *Alternative* NRTI combination for ARV-naive pregnant women. Despite proven efficacy in preventing perinatal HIV transmission and extensive experience with use in pregnancy, this NRTI combination is classified as *Alternative* rather than *Preferred* because it requires twice-daily dosing and is associated with higher rates of mild-to-moderate adverse effects, including nausea, headache, and reversible maternal and neonatal anemia and neutropenia (see <u>Zidovudine</u>).

Pregnant women who are receiving **didanosine** or **stavudine** should be switched to *Preferred* or *Alternative* medications.

Safety and PK data for the use of **tenofovir alafenamide** (TAF) during pregnancy are insufficient to recommend initiating this medication in pregnant women. However, it may be appropriate to continue using TAF in some pregnant women who are virally suppressed. Available PK data for TAF indicate that exposure is adequate in pregnancy, and a change in dosing is not indicated.<sup>10,11</sup>

## Integrase Strand Transfer Inhibitors

Updated Guidance about the Use of Dolutegravir in Pregnancy: Dolutegravir (DTG) is now a Preferred INSTI for pregnant women because there are sufficient data about the efficacy and safety of DTG when it is initiated during pregnancy. The Panel has reviewed all the data available as of August 2019 regarding DTG use preconception or during the first trimester of pregnancy. Based on these data, DTG is considered a Preferred drug for use throughout pregnancy and an Alternative drug for women who are trying to **conceive**; these designations reflect concerns about a possible increased risk of neural tube defects (NTDs). The decision to designate DTG as a *Preferred* ARV drug for therapy in pregnant women, irrespective of trimester, was based on several factors. First, DTG is associated with higher rates of virologic suppression, faster rates of viral load decline, and a higher genetic barrier to drug resistance than other *Preferred* and Alternative agents. Second, a recent study that evaluated a large number of pregnancies has shown that the risk of NTDs is lower than previously reported in preliminary data. This risk is also largely limited to a short period of time (before 6 weeks post-last menstrual period). A very small minority of women with HIV initiate their first ARV regimen during this period of time. Some Panel members would avoid using DTG in women who are initiating ART before 6 weeks gestation. After this time, any additional risk of NTDs due to DTG is minimal. Third, data are extremely limited on the risks that are associated with using other *Preferred* and *Alternative* ARV drugs preconception or in very early pregnancy; this lack of data does not indicate either the presence or absence of risk when using alternatives to DTG.

While this recommendation reflects Panel consensus, some Panel members favored recommending the use of DTG in the first trimester as an *Alternative* ARV drug, and the Panel discussed several possible recommendation ratings for the use of DTG in women who are trying to conceive, which ranged from not recommended to *Preferred*. The variety of proposed recommendations reflects how individual Panel members incorporate the available data into clinical decisions. Panel members weighed not only the updated data about DTG-associated NTD risk in specific settings (primarily Botswana), but also the important lack of comparable data about NTD risk with the use of DTG in other settings and about the risk of NTDs when using other *Preferred* and *Alternative* ARV drugs and drug combinations. All of these individual clinical decisions were made after reviewing the same available data, underscoring the importance of **counseling all patients on the risks and benefits of ARV drugs in order to promote informed, individual decision-making** (see Appendix D: Dolutegravir Counseling Guide for Healthcare Providers).<sup>12</sup>

It is important to weigh the available data about risks with DTG against what is known (or not known) about risks of NTDs with other *Preferred* and *Alternative* agents. These agents include atazanavir/ritonavir

(ATV/r), darunavir/ritonavir (DRV/r), and raltegravir (RAL) (Preferred), and lopinavir/ritonavir (LPV/r), efavirenz (EFV), rilpivirine (*Alternative*). Of these, systematic birth surveillance data are available only for EFV. Other adverse pregnancy outcomes are more common than NTDs and should also be considered. The use of PIs has been associated with an increased risk of preterm birth, which may lead to increases in infant morbidity and mortality. In the Botswana study, the risks of adverse pregnancy outcomes other than NTDs were similar for women who received DTG-based regimens and women who received EFV-based regimens.<sup>13</sup> However, tolerability and long-term viral suppression may be enhanced with DTG-based regimens (see Combination Antiretroviral Regimens and Maternal and Neonatal Outcomes).<sup>13,14</sup>

For additional information and recommendations about the use of DTG before conception and during pregnancy, see Preconception Counseling and Care for Women of Childbearing Age Living with HIV, Teratogenicity, Pregnant Women Living with HIV Who Have Never Received Antiretroviral Drugs, and Pregnant Women Living with HIV Who are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy.

Data on use of Dolutegravir before Conception and During Pregnancy: In May of 2018, an unplanned interim evaluation of the Botswana birth surveillance data revealed four NTDs among infants born to 426 women (0.94%) who conceived while taking DTG-based ART.<sup>13</sup> These data were updated during a planned analysis that included data through March of 2019. Five NTDs occurred among 1,683 infants born to women who received preconception DTG (0.30%; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.13% to 0.69%). The risk of NTDs was higher among women who received DTG than the risks observed for women who received any ARV regimen that did not include DTG (0.10%; 95% CI, 0.06% to 0.17%), women who received EFV-based ART (0.04%; 95% CI, 0.01% to 0.11%), women who initiated DTG during pregnancy (0.03%; 95% CI, 0.00% to 0.15%), and women without HIV (0.08%; 95% CI, 0.06% to 0.10%). 15 Several other surveillance studies also contributed data in July 2019. The Botswana Ministry of Health used a comparable methodology to the Tsepamo study, including standardized outcome assessments for all available pregnancies, internal comparator groups, and ascertainment of outcomes among stillborn infants and for terminations. The Botswana Ministry of Health reported one NTD among 152 exposures at conception (0.66%; 95% CI, 0.02%) to 3.69%). <sup>16</sup> The Brazil Ministry of Health used a slightly different methodology, collecting data on the number of stillbirths and terminations, but excluding assessment of birth defects among these outcomes. The Brazil Ministry of Health reported no NTDs among infants born to 382 women who were receiving DTG at the time of conception (0.0%; 95% CI, 0.0% to 0.3%).

The Tsepamo study in Botswana also reported outcomes among women who started DTG-based or EFV-based ART <u>during pregnancy</u>, and reported that no birth defects occurred among infants born to 280 women who initiated DTG during the first trimester (all women initiated at >4 weeks gestational age and most initiated at >6 weeks gestational age) and no birth defects occurred among infants born to 729 women who initiated DTG in the second or third trimesters. These data were updated through March 2019. Seventeen major external structural malformations were observed among 3,840 women who initiated DTG at any time during pregnancy (0.44%; 95% CI, 0.28% to 0.71%). A multicenter retrospective cohort study of infants born to 66 women in the United States (42% of whom initiated DTG-based ART preconception, 24% of whom initiated DTG-based ART during pregnancy) found two anomalies and no NTDs. Published data that were reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry through January 2019 include reports of anomalies in 11 of 307 infants (3.6%) who experienced first-trimester exposures to DTG and in six of 184 infants (3.3%) who experienced second-trimester or third-trimester exposures.

Available data have not documented an increased risk of NTDs in infants born to women who received other INSTIs, but data are too limited to identify or calculate the specific risks that are associated with use of these drugs at the time of conception or during early pregnancy (see <u>Teratogenicity</u>, <u>Dolutegravir</u>, <u>Elvitegravir</u>, <u>Raltegravir</u> and <u>Bictegravir</u>). To determine whether a drug carries an increased risk of a rare event such as an NTD, more than 2,000 periconception exposures need to be monitored to rule out a three-fold increase in risk. Clinicians are encouraged to submit data for all patients who conceive while receiving ARV drugs or who receive ARV drugs during pregnancy to the <u>Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry</u>.

If a causal association exists between the use of DTG and the occurrence of NTDs, it remains unknown what the mechanism of effect may be, whether folic acid deficiency is a mediating factor (and thus whether risk would be reduced by folic acid supplementation), and whether a similar risk may exist for other INSTIs. Although there is no established link between DTG use and impaired folate metabolism, nor is there evidence that folate prevents DTG-associated NTDs, folic acid is known to prevent NTDs in the general population. All pregnant women and women who might conceive should take at least 400 mcg of folic acid daily.

A randomized clinical trial that compared DTG plus two NRTIs to EFV plus two NRTIs in ART-naive women who initiated therapy at a median gestational age of 31 weeks found that DTG-based ART produced more rapid viral suppression, with a greater proportion of women reaching an undetectable viral load (<50 copies/mL) at the time of delivery. Although PK studies have found that DTG levels during the third trimester are lower than a pre-specified target level and lower than levels assessed postpartum, data regarding placental transfer and comparisons to levels in nonpregnant adults indicate that dose adjustments are not needed during pregnancy (see <u>Dolutegravir</u>).

Raltegravir (RAL) is a *Preferred* INSTI for use in ARV-naive pregnant women, based on PK, safety, and other data on the use of RAL during pregnancy.<sup>23-29</sup> Clinical trial data from both pregnant women and nonpregnant adults, as well as case series from pregnant women, suggest a more rapid viral decay with the use of RAL than with EFV or LPV/r.<sup>23,25,30-38</sup> In an open-label, randomized clinical trial of late-presenting, ART-naive pregnant women, the median time to achieve a viral load of <200 copies/mL was 8 days for women who received RAL-based ART and 15 days for women who received EFV-based ART. The decline in viral load was greater at 2, 4, and 6 weeks after initiating therapy in the women who received RAL than in those who received EFV.<sup>39</sup> A case study reported a marked elevation of liver transaminases after RAL was initiated in late pregnancy. This elevation resolved rapidly after stopping the drug, suggesting that monitoring of transaminases may be indicated when RAL is initiated in late pregnancy.<sup>40</sup>

Although a once-daily formulation of RAL is approved for use in nonpregnant adults, there are insufficient PK data to support its use in pregnancy; twice-daily dosing remains the recommended dosing schedule.<sup>41</sup>

There are currently limited data on the use of **elvitegravir/cobicistat** in pregnancy. <sup>34,42</sup> Data from the P1026 study suggest that coadministration of EVG and cobicistat (COBI) led to significantly lower levels of both drugs in the third trimester than in the postpartum period (levels in the third trimester were below the levels that are expected to lead to virologic suppression). Viral breakthroughs did occur, with only 74% of women maintaining viral suppression at delivery. <sup>43,44</sup> Based on these data, EVG/c **is not recommended** for **initial** use in pregnancy. In a retrospective cohort of 134 women at nine tertiary care centers in the United States who received EVG at any time during pregnancy, viral suppression at delivery was 81% (88% among those who initiated EVG before pregnancy), and overall perinatal HIV transmission was 0.8%. <sup>45</sup> Providers should consider switching women who become pregnant while receiving EVG/c to more effective, recommended regimens. If an EVG/c regimen is continued, viral load should be monitored frequently. Some providers may monitor every 1 to 2 months in the second and third trimesters (see Monitoring of the Woman and Fetus During Pregnancy and Pregnant Women Living with HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Treatment).

**Bictegravir** (BIC) is an INSTI that is recommended for initial use in nonpregnant adults. There are no published data on BIC PKs, and extremely limited data on clinical outcomes in pregnancy; in an abstract presented by the manufacturer of BIC, no NTDs were reported among 18 women with prospectively reported periconception exposures. 46

#### **Protease Inhibitors**

**Atazanavir/ritonavir** and **darunavir/ritonavir** are *Preferred* PIs for use in ARV-naive pregnant women, based on efficacy studies in adults and experience with use in pregnancy. Factors that impact the decision of which medication to use may include limitations in administering concomitant antacid, H2 blocker, or

proton pump inhibitors (ATV) and the requirement for twice-daily dosing (DRV). Although the use of once-daily dosing of DRV/r is approved for nonpregnant adults, there are insufficient PK data to support its use in pregnancy.<sup>47</sup> The *Alternative* PI is **lopinavir/ritonavir**. There is extensive clinical experience and PK data for the use of this combination in pregnancy, but it requires twice-daily dosing in pregnancy and frequently causes nausea and diarrhea; it has also been associated with an increased risk of preterm delivery (see Combination Antiretroviral Drug Regimens and Maternal and Neonatal Outcomes).

**Atazanavir** is associated with increased indirect bilirubin levels, which theoretically may increase the risk of hyperbilirubinemia in neonates; however, pathologic elevations have not been seen in studies to date.48 In analyses from the Pediatric HIV/AIDS Cohort Study (PHACS) Surveillance Monitoring for ART Toxicity (SMARTT) study, *in utero* exposure to atazanavir was associated with statistically significant but small reductions in language and social-emotional scores compared to other drugs.<sup>49</sup> ATV exposure was also associated with risk of late language emergence at 12 months that was no longer significant at 24 months.<sup>50,51</sup> The clinical significance of these findings associated with *in utero* ATV exposure is not known.

Darunavir/cobicistat and atazanavir/cobicistat <u>are not recommended</u> for use in pregnancy. <sup>44,52,53</sup> PK studies suggest that low levels of both DRV and COBI occur in late pregnancy, and high rates of virologic failure have been observed in late pregnancy among women who were virally suppressed in early pregnancy. Levels of ATV were similarly lower in the second and third trimesters; <sup>44</sup> it is anticipated that the virologic and transmission outcomes with ATV/c will be similar to those observed with DRV/c and EVG/c. In addition, once-daily dosing of DRV <u>is not recommended</u> in pregnancy. For women who become pregnant on DRV/c or ATV/c, providers should consider switching to more effective, recommended regimens. If a regimen that contains DRV/c or ATV/c is continued for a woman who is virally suppressed, viral load should be monitored frequently (some providers may monitor monthly during the second and third trimesters; see <u>Monitoring</u> of the Woman and Fetus During Pregnancy and Pregnant Women Living with HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Treatment).

Current data suggest that with standard adult dosing, plasma concentrations of LPV, ATV, and DRV are reduced during the second and/or third trimesters. Dose adjustment is recommended for LPV/r and may be considered for ATV/r, but dose adjustment is not recommended for DRV/r (see <u>Table 8</u>).<sup>54</sup> Specific dosing recommendations depend on the PI, an individual patient's treatment experience, and use (if any) of concomitant medications with potential for drug interactions.<sup>54-62</sup> Clinicians may consider therapeutic drug monitoring in specific situations.

Some older PIs—IDV, NFV, RTV (as the sole PI), and unboosted saquinavir or tipranavir—<u>are not</u> <u>recommended</u> for use in adults, and others—boosted or unboosted fosamprenavir, saquinavir/ritonavir and tipranavir/ritonavir—<u>are not recommended</u> for initial therapy in adults. These drugs <u>are not recommended</u> and should not be used in pregnant women due to concerns that include lower efficacy, toxicities, PK changes in pregnancy, and limited data and experience with use in pregnant women. See <u>Table 4</u>, as well as <u>What Not to Use</u> and <u>Table 10</u> in the <u>Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines</u>, for details on individual ARV drugs, ARV drug combinations, and ARV regimens that are not recommended or should not be used in adults.

## Non-Nucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitors

There are no *Preferred* NNRTIs for use in ARV-naive pregnant women.

**Efavirenz** is an *Alternative* NNRTI for both pregnant and nonpregnant ARV-naive adults. **EFV** may be suitable for women who desire a once-daily, fixed-dose combination regimen and who tolerate EFV without adverse effects. Although data on the use of EFV in pregnancy are reassuring with regard to NTDs, and EFV is increasingly used during pregnancy worldwide, adverse effects associated with EFV include dizziness, fatigue, vivid dreams and/or nightmares, and increased risk of suicidality. 13,63-67

Although the EFV package insert cautions women not to become pregnant while taking EFV, recent large meta-analyses and the data from Botswana described above have been reassuring that the risk of NTDs in

infants with first-trimester EFV exposure is not greater than the risk in the general population. <sup>13,63-65,68</sup> As a result, the Perinatal Guidelines do not restrict the use of EFV in pregnancy or in women who are planning to become pregnant; this is consistent with the British HIV Association Guidelines and the World Health Organization guidelines, both of which note that EFV can be used throughout pregnancy <sup>69</sup> (see <u>Teratogenicity</u> and <u>Pregnant Women Living with HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy</u>). A recent observational study reported a two-fold increased risk of microcephaly among infants born to 141 women receiving EFV compared to women receiving other ARV drugs in the United States, although factors such as alcohol use, unintended pregnancy, gestational age at ART initiation, changes in ARV practice patterns over time, and small numbers of women taking more recently recommended ARV drugs as comparators (e.g., DTG [n = 52], RAL [n = 167], and DRV [n = 254]) may have contributed to this association. Importantly, the Panel recommends that women who become pregnant on suppressive, EFV-containing regimens **should continue** using these regimens, as is recommended for most regimens<sup>70</sup> (see <u>Table 4</u> and <u>Table 5</u>).

**Rilpivirine** may be used as part of an *Alternative* regimen for nonpregnant adults with pretreatment HIV RNA <100,000 copies/mL and CD4 T lymphocyte (CD4) cell counts >200 cells/mm<sup>3</sup>. There are sufficient data from use in pregnancy to recommend RPV as an *Alternative* agent for ARV-naive pregnant women who meet these same CD4 count and viral load criteria.<sup>71</sup> Although PK data indicate that RPV plasma concentration is reduced during the second and third trimesters, the reduction is less than the reductions seen with EVG/c or DRV/c, and most women will have adequate exposure; however, viral breakthroughs have been observed. Higher-than-standard doses of RPV have not been studied, so there are insufficient data to recommend a dosing change in pregnancy. With standard dosing of RPV, viral loads should be monitored frequently (e.g., every 1–2 months; see Monitoring of the Woman and Fetus During Pregnancy).

**Nevirapine** is not recommended for initial ART in ARV-naive pregnant women or for nonpregnant adults because of a greater potential for adverse effects, complex lead-in dosing, and a low barrier to resistance. **Etravirine** is not recommended for ARV-naive pregnant patients because it is not recommended for ARV-naive nonpregnant patients, and because there are insufficient safety and PK data on the use of ETR during pregnancy. Available PK data in women who received ETR as part of clinical care suggest that a standard adult dose is appropriate during pregnancy; unlike other ARV drugs, ETR exposure is increased during pregnancy.<sup>22,72</sup> However, it may be appropriate to initiate either of these ARV drugs in special circumstances, or it may be appropriate to continue using them in ART-experienced women who become pregnant on well-tolerated, fully suppressive regimens that include these drugs.

**Doravirine** has not yet been studied in pregnancy; there are insufficient data to recommend its use in pregnancy.

For all women, screening for both antenatal and postpartum depression is recommended; because the use of EFV may increase the risk of depression and suicidality, this screening is particularly critical for women on EFV-containing regimens.<sup>67,73</sup>

# Entry and Fusion Inhibitors

**Enfuvirtide** and **maraviroc** (MVC) <u>are not recommended</u> for initial ART in pregnancy because they are not recommended for initial ART in nonpregnant adults, and because the safety and PK data for these drugs in pregnancy is limited. Available PK data in women who received MVC as part of clinical care suggest that a standard adult dose is appropriate during pregnancy, despite a decrease in MVC exposure during pregnancy (see <u>Maraviroc</u>). Use of these agents can be considered for women who have experienced virologic failure with several other classes of ARV drugs and for women who become pregnant on well-tolerated, suppressive regimens that include these drugs; however, because there are insufficient data to inform safety or dosing guidance for their use in pregnancy, these drugs should only be used after consulting HIV and obstetric specialists.

**Ibalizumab** is a humanized monoclonal antibody to the CD4 receptor. There are no data on the use of this drug in pregnancy.

#### **Pharmacologic Boosters**

Low-dose **ritonavir** as a pharmacologic booster for other PIs, as described above, is currently the preferred pharmacologic booster for use in pregnancy. **Cobicistat**-boosted ARV drugs (ATV, DRV, or EVG) are not recommended for use in pregnancy. As noted above, EVG, **DRV**, ATV, and COBI levels have been found to be significantly lower during the third trimester than during the postpartum period. <sup>44,53,75</sup> However, the Panel recognizes that there may be situations where it is appropriate to continue using these drugs in women who become pregnant on a well-tolerated, fully suppressive regimen. See <u>Pregnant Women Living with HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy</u> and <u>Monitoring of the Woman and Fetus During Pregnancy</u> for issues to address with patients when making decisions about whether to switch to another ARV regimen or continue the current regimen with frequent viral load monitoring.

## **References**

- 1. Minkoff H, Augenbraun M. Antiretroviral therapy for pregnant women. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 1997;176(2):478-489. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9065202.
- 2. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry international interim report for 1 January 1989–31 January 2019. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2019. Available at: <a href="http://www.apregistry.com">http://www.apregistry.com</a>.
- 3. Mirochnick M, Capparelli E. Pharmacokinetics of antiretrovirals in pregnant women. *Clin Pharmacokinet*. 2004;43(15):1071-1087. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15568888.
- 4. Roustit M, Jlaiel M, Leclercq P, Stanke-Labesque F. Pharmacokinetics and therapeutic drug monitoring of antiretrovirals in pregnant women. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2008;66(2):179-195. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18537960">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18537960</a>.
- Bollen P, Colbers A, Schalkwijk S, et al. A comparison of the pharmacokinetics of dolutegravir during pregnancy and postpartum. Presented at: 18th International Workshop on Clinical Pharmacology of Antiviral Therapy. 2017. Chicago, IL.
- 6. Shapiro RL, Hughes MD, Ogwu A, et al. Antiretroviral regimens in pregnancy and breast-feeding in Botswana. *N Engl J Med*. 2010;362(24):2282-2294. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20554983">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20554983</a>.
- 7. Siberry GK, Jacobson DL, Kalkwarf HJ, et al. Lower newborn bone mineral content associated with maternal use of tenofovir disoproxil fumarate during pregnancy. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2015;61(6):996-1003 Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26060285">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26060285</a>.
- 8. Siemieniuk RA, Foroutan F, Mirza R, et al. Antiretroviral therapy for pregnant women living with HIV or hepatitis B: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ Open.* 2017;7(9):e019022. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28893758">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28893758</a>.
- 9. Fowler MG, Qin M, Fiscus SA, et al. Benefits and risks of antiretroviral therapy for perinatal HIV prevention. *N Engl J Med*. 2016;375(18):1726-1737. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27806243">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27806243</a>.
- 10. Momper J, Best B, Wang J, et al. Tenofovir alafenamide pharmacokinetics with and without cobicistat in pregnancy. Presented at: 22nd International AIDS Conference. 2018. Amsterdam, Netherlands.
- 11. Brooks K, Pinilla M, Shapiro D, et al. Pharmacokinetics of tenofovir alafenamide 25 mg with PK boosters during pregnancy and postpartum. Presented at: Workshop on Clinical Pharmacology of HIV, Hepatitis, and Other Antiviral Drugs. 2019. Noordwijk, Netherlands.
- 12. Redfield RR, Modi S, Moore CA, Delaney A, Honein MA, Tomlinson HL. Health care autonomy of women living with HIV. *N Engl J Med*. 2019;381(9):798-800. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31339674">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31339674</a>.
- 13. Zash R, Jacobson DL, Diseko M, et al. Comparative safety of dolutegravir-based or efavirenz-based antiretroviral treatment started during pregnancy in Botswana: an observational study. *Lancet Glob Health*. 2018;6(7):e804-e810. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29880310">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29880310</a>.

- 14. Venter WDF, Moorhouse M, Sokhela S, et al. Dolutegravir plus two different prodrugs of tenofovir to treat HIV. *N Engl J Med.* 2019;381(9):803-815. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31339677">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31339677</a>.
- Zash R, Holmes L, Diseko M, et al. Neural-tube defects and antiretroviral treatment regimens in Botswana. N Engl J Med. 2019;381(9):827-840. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31329379">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31329379</a>.
- 16. Raesima MM, Ogbuabo CM, Thomas V, et al. Dolutegravir use at conception additional surveillance data from Botswana. *N Engl J Med*. 2019;381(9):885-887. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31329378.
- 17. Grayhack C, Sheth A, Kirby O, et al. Evaluating outcomes of mother-infant pairs using dolutegravir for HIV treatment during pregnancy. *AIDS*. 2018;32(14):2017-2021. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29944472">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29944472</a>.
- Zamek-Gliszczynski MJ, Zhang X, Mudunuru J, et al. Clinical extrapolation of the effects of dolutegravir and other HIV integrase inhibitors on folate transport pathways. *Drug Metab Dispos*. 2019;47(8):890-898. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31167838">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31167838</a>.
- 19. Cabrera RM, Souder JP, Steele JW, et al. The antagonism of folate receptor by dolutegravir: developmental toxicity reduction by supplemental folic acid. *AIDS*. 2019;33(13):1967-1976. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31259764">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31259764</a>.
- Kintu K, Malaba T, Nakibuka J, et al. Rct of dolutegravir vs efavirenz-based therapy initiated in late pregnancy: DolPHIN-2. Abstract 40. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2019. Seattle, Washington. Available at: <a href="http://www.croiconference.org/sessions/rct-dolutegravir-vs-efavirenz-based-therapy-initiated-late-pregnancy-dolphin-2">http://www.croiconference.org/sessions/rct-dolutegravir-vs-efavirenz-based-therapy-initiated-late-pregnancy-dolphin-2</a>.
- 21. Waitt C, Orrell C, Walimbwa S, et al. Safety and pharmacokinetics of dolutegravir in pregnant mothers with HIV infection and their neonates: A randomised trial (DolPHIN-1 study). *PLoS Med.* 2019;16(9):e1002895. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31539371">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31539371</a>.
- 22. Mulligan N, Best BM, Wang J, et al. Dolutegravir pharmacokinetics in pregnant and postpartum women living with HIV. *AIDS*. 2018;32(6):729-737. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29369162">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29369162</a>.
- 23. Taylor N, Touzeau V, Geit M, et al. Raltegravir in pregnancy: a case series presentation. *Int J STD AIDS*. 2011;22(6):358-360. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21680678">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21680678</a>.
- 24. McKeown DA, Rosenvinge M, Donaghy S, et al. High neonatal concentrations of raltegravir following transplacental transfer in HIV-1 positive pregnant women. *AIDS*. 2010;24(15):2416-2418. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20827058">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20827058</a>.
- 25. Pinnetti C, Baroncelli S, Villani P, et al. Rapid HIV-RNA decline following addition of raltegravir and tenofovir to ongoing highly active antiretroviral therapy in a woman presenting with high-level HIV viraemia at week 38 of pregnancy. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2010;65(9):2050-2052. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20630894">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20630894</a>.
- 26. Jaworsky D, Thompson C, Yudin MH, et al. Use of newer antiretroviral agents, darunavir and etravirine with or without raltegravir, in pregnancy: a report of two cases. *Antivir Ther*. 2010;15(4):677-680. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20587860">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20587860</a>.
- 27. Blonk M, Colbers A, Hidalgo-Tenorio C, et al. Raltegravir in HIV-1 infected pregnant women: pharmacokinetics, safety and efficacy. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2015. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25944344">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25944344</a>.
- 28. Watts DH, Stek A, Best BM, et al. Raltegravir pharmacokinetics during pregnancy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2014;67(4):375-381. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25162818">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25162818</a>.
- 29. Gantner P, Sylla B, Morand-Joubert L, et al. "Real life" use of raltegravir during pregnancy in France: The Coferal-IMEA048 Cohort Study. *PLoS One*. 2019;14(4):e0216010. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31017957">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31017957</a>.
- 30. Westling K, Pettersson K, Kaldma A, Naver L. Rapid decline in HIV viral load when introducing raltegravir-containing antiretroviral treatment late in pregnancy. *AIDS Patient Care STDS*. 2012;26(12):714-717. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23101466">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23101466</a>.
- 31. Cha A, Shaikh R, Williams S, Berkowitz LL. Rapid reduction in HIV viral load in late pregnancy with raltegravir: a case report. *J Int Assoc Provid AIDS Care*. 2013;12(5):312-314. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/</a>

- pubmed/23695227.
- 32. De Hoffer L, Di Biagio A, Bruzzone B, et al. Use of raltegravir in a late presenter HIV-1 woman in advanced gestational age: case report and literature review. J Chemother. 2013;25(3):181-183. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/23783144.
- 33. Nobrega I, Travassos AG, Haguihara T, Amorim F, Brites C. Short communication: use of raltegravir in late-presenting HIV-infected pregnant women. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses. 2013;29(11):1451-1454. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/pubmed/23731224.
- 34. Rahangdale L, Cates J, Potter J, et al. Integrase inhibitors in late pregnancy and rapid HIV viral load reduction. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2016;214(3):385 e381-387. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26928154.
- 35. Boucoiran I, Tulloch K, Pick N, et al. A case series of third-trimester raltegravir initiation: Impact on maternal HIV-1 viral load and obstetrical outcomes. Can J Infect Dis Med Microbiol. 2015;26(3):145-150. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/pubmed/26236356.
- 36. Maliakkal A, Walmsley S, Tseng A. Critical review: review of the efficacy, safety, and pharmacokinetics of raltegravir in pregnancy. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2016;72(2):153-161. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/27183177.
- 37. Cecchini DM, Martinez M, Morganti L, Rodriguez C. Raltegravir containing antiretroviral therapy for prevention of mother to child transmission in a high risk population of HIV-infected pregnant women in Buenos Aires, Argentina: maternal and neonatal outcomes. Presented at: International AIDS Conference. 2016. Durban, South Africa.
- Brites C, Nobrega I, Luz E, Travassos AG, Lorenzo C, Netto EM. Raltegravir versus lopinavir/ritonavir for treatment of HIV-infected late-presenting pregnant women. HIV Clin Trials. 2018;19(3):94-100. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/pubmed/29629852.
- Mirochnick M, Shapiro D, Morrison L, et al. Randomized trial of raltegravir-art vs efavirenz-art when initiated during pregnancy. Abstract 39. Presemted at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2019. Seattle, Washington. Available at: http://www.croiconference.org/sessions/randomized-trial-raltegravir-art-vs-efavirenz-art-when-initiatedduring-pregnancy.
- 40. Renet S, Closon A, Brochet MS, Bussieres JF, Boucher M. Increase in transaminase levels following the use of raltegravir in a woman with a high HIV viral load at 35 weeks of pregnancy. J Obstet Gynaecol Can. 2013;35(1):68-72. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23343800.
- 41. van der Galien R, Ter Heine R, Greupink R, et al. Pharmacokinetics of HIV-integrase inhibitors during pregnancy: mechanisms, clinical implications and knowledge gaps. Clin Pharmacokinet. 2018. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/pubmed/29915921.
- 42. Pain JB, Le MP, Caseris M, et al. Pharmacokinetics of dolutegravir in a premature neonate after HIV treatment intensification during pregnancy. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2015;59(6):3660-3662. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/pubmed/25845873.
- 43. Momper J, Best BM, Wang J, et al. Elvitegravir/cobicistat pharmacokinetics in pregnant and postpartum women with HIV. AIDS. 2018;32(17):2507-2516. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30134297.
- 44. Boyd SD, Sampson MR, Viswanathan P, Struble KA, Arya V, Sherwat AI. Cobicistat-containing antiretroviral regimens are not recommended during pregnancy: viewpoint. AIDS. 2019;33(6):1089-1093. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/30946163.
- 45. Badell ML, Sheth AN, Momplaisir F, et al. A multicenter analysis of elvitegravir use during pregnancy on HIV viral suppression and perinatal outcomes. Open Forum Infect Dis. 2019;6(4):ofz129. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/pubmed/31037241.
- 46. Farrow T, Deaton C, Nguyen N, Serejo M, Muramoto D, etc. Cumulative safety review of elvitegravir and bictegravir use during pregnancy and risk of neural tube defects. Abstract P030. Presented at: HIV Drug Therapy. 2018. Glasgow, United Kingdom. Available at: http://hivglasgow.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/P030-4.pdf.
- Schalkwijk S, Ter Heine R, Colbers A, et al. Evaluating darunavir/ritonavir dosing regimens for HIV-positive pregnant women using semi-mechanistic pharmacokinetic modelling. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2019. Available at: https://www. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30715324.

- 48. Floridia M, Ravizza M, Masuelli G, et al. Atazanavir and lopinavir profile in pregnant women with HIV: tolerability, activity and pregnancy outcomes in an observational national study. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2014;69(5):1377-1384. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24370933.
- 49. Caniglia EC, Patel K, Huo Y, et al. Atazanavir exposure *in utero* and neurodevelopment in infants: a comparative safety study. *AIDS*. 2016;30(8):1267-1278. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26867136">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26867136</a>.
- 50. Rice ML, Zeldow B, Siberry GK, et al. Evaluation of risk for late language emergence after *in utero* antiretroviral drug exposure in HIV-exposed uninfected infants. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2013;32(10):e406-413. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24067563">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24067563</a>.
- 51. Sirois PA, Huo Y, Williams PL, et al. Safety of perinatal exposure to antiretroviral medications: developmental outcomes in infants. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2013;32(6):648-655. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23340561">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23340561</a>.
- 52. Crauwels HM, Osiyemi O, Zorrilla C, Bicer C, Brown K. Reduced exposure to darunavir and cobicistat in HIV-1-infected pregnant women receiving a darunavir/cobicistat-based regimen. *HIV Med.* 2019;20(5):337-343. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30873741.
- 53. Momper J, Stek A, Wang J, et al. Pharmacokinetics of atazanavir boosted with cobicistat during pregnancy and postpartum. Workshop on Clinical Pharmacology of HIV, Hepatitis, and other Antiviral Drugs. 2019. Noordwijk, The Netherlands.
- 54. Le MP, Mandelbrot L, Descamps D, et al. Pharmacokinetics, safety and efficacy of ritonavir-boosted atazanavir (300/100 mg once daily) in HIV-1-infected pregnant women. *Antivir Ther*. 2015. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25599649">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25599649</a>.
- 55. Atazanavir [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2018. Available at: <a href="https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda">https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda</a> docs/label/2018/021567s042,206352s007lbl.pdf.
- 56. Stek AM, Mirochnick M, Capparelli E, et al. Reduced lopinavir exposure during pregnancy. *AIDS*. 2006;20(15):1931-1939. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16988514">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16988514</a>.
- 57. Villani P, Floridia M, Pirillo MF, et al. Pharmacokinetics of nelfinavir in HIV-1-infected pregnant and nonpregnant women. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2006;62(3):309-315. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16934047">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16934047</a>.
- 58. Bryson YJ, Mirochnick M, Stek A, et al. Pharmacokinetics and safety of nelfinavir when used in combination with zidovudine and lamivudine in HIV-infected pregnant women: pediatric AIDS clinical trials group (PACTG) protocol 353. *HIV Clin Trials*. 2008;9(2):115-125. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18474496">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18474496</a>.
- 59. Mirochnick M, Best BM, Stek AM, et al. Lopinavir exposure with an increased dose during pregnancy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2008;49(5):485-491. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18989231">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18989231</a>.
- 60. Read JS, Best BM, Stek AM, et al. Pharmacokinetics of new 625 mg nelfinavir formulation during pregnancy and postpartum. *HIV Med.* 2008;9(10):875-882. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18795962">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18795962</a>.
- 61. Bouillon-Pichault M, Jullien V, Azria E, et al. Population analysis of the pregnancy-related modifications in lopinavir pharmacokinetics and their possible consequences for dose adjustment. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2009;63(6):1223-1232. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19389715">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19389715</a>.
- 62. Best BM, Stek AM, Mirochnick M, et al. Lopinavir tablet pharmacokinetics with an increased dose during pregnancy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2010;54(4):381-388. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20632458">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20632458</a>.
- 63. Ford N, Calmy A, Mofenson L. Safety of efavirenz in the first trimester of pregnancy: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. *AIDS*. 2011;25(18):2301-2304. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21918421">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21918421</a>.
- 64. Ford N, Shubber Z, Jao J, Abrams EJ, Frigati L, Mofenson L. Safety of cotrimoxazole in pregnancy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2014;66(5):512-521. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24853309">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24853309</a>.
- 65. Zash R, Makhema J, Shapiro RL. Neural-tube defects with dolutegravir treatment from the time of conception. *N Engl J Med*. 2018. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30037297">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30037297</a>.

- 66. Martinez de Tejada B, European Pregnancy Paediatric HIV Cohort Collaboration Study Group. Birth defects after exposure to efavirenz-based antiretroviral therapy at conception/first trimester of pregnancy: a multicohort analysis. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2019;80(3):316-324. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30570524.
- 67. Jones DL, Rodriguez VJ, Alcaide ML, Weiss SM, Peltzer K. The use of efavirenz during pregnancy is associated with suicidal ideation in postpartum Women in Rural South Africa. *AIDS Behav.* 2019;23(1):126-131. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29959721">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29959721</a>.
- 68. Efavirenz [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2019. Available at: <a href="https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda">https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda</a> docs/label/2019/020972s057,021360s045lbl.pdf.
- 69. British HIV Association. British HIV association guidelines for the management of HIV in pregnancy and postpartum 2018. *HIV Med.* 2019;20 Suppl 3:s2-s85. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30869192">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30869192</a>.
- 70. Williams PL, Yildirim C, Chadwick EG, et al. Association of maternal antiretroviral use with microcephaly in children who are HIV-exposed but uninfected (SMARTT): a prospective cohort study. *Lancet HIV*. 2019. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31740351">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31740351</a>.
- 71. Schalkwijk S, Colbers A, Konopnicki D, et al. Lowered rilpivirine exposure during third trimester of pregnancy in HIV-1-positive women. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2017;65(8):1335-1341. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28595298">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28595298</a>.
- 72. Ramgopal M, Osiyemi O, Zorrilla C, et al. Pharmacokinetics of total and unbound etravirine in HIV-1-infected pregnant women. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2016;73(3):268-274. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27159225">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27159225</a>.
- 73. Ford N, Shubber Z, Pozniak A, et al. Comparative safety and neuropsychiatric adverse events associated with efavirenz use in first-line antiretroviral therapy: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized trials. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2015;69(4):422-429. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25850607.
- 74. Colbers A, Best B, Schalkwijk S, et al. Maraviroc pharmacokinetics in HIV-1-infected pregnant women. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2015;61(10):1582-1589. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26202768">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26202768</a>.
- 75. Crauwels HM, Osiyemi O, Zorilla C, Bicer C, Brown K. Pharmacokinetics of total and unbound darunavir in HIV-1—infected pregnant women receiving a darunavir/cobicistat-based regimen. Presented at: 8th International Workshop on HIV & Women. 2018. Boston, Massachusetts. Available at: <a href="http://www.natap.org/2018/CROI/HIV&Women2018DRVcPKPregnancyPoster\_JUV-63244\_FINAL.PDF">http://www.natap.org/2018/CROI/HIV&Women2018DRVcPKPregnancyPoster\_JUV-63244\_FINAL.PDF</a>.

# Pregnant Women Living with HIV Who Have Never Received Antiretroviral Drugs (Antiretroviral Naive) (Last updated December 12, 2019; last reviewed December 12, 2019)

#### Panel's Recommendations

- Antiretroviral therapy (ART) is recommended for all pregnant women living with HIV to reduce the risk of perinatal transmission of
  HIV and to optimize the health of the mother (AI). Initiating ART as soon as possible in pregnant women who have never received
  antiretroviral (ARV) drugs is recommended, based on data demonstrating that earlier virologic suppression is associated with a lower
  risk of transmission (AII).
- The results of HIV drug-resistance studies should guide the selection of antiretroviral (ARV) regimens in women whose HIV RNA levels
  are above the threshold for resistance testing (i.e., >500 copies/mL to 1,000 copies/mL), unless drug-resistance studies have already
  been performed (see <u>Antiretroviral Drug Resistance and Resistance Testing in Pregnancy</u>) (AII). When ART is initiated before the results
  of the drug-resistance assays are available, the ARV regimen should be modified, if necessary, based on the resistance assay results
  (BIII).
- ARV regimens that are *Preferred* for the treatment of pregnant women with HIV who are ARV-naive include: a dual-nucleoside reverse
  transcriptase inhibitor combination (abacavir plus lamivudine or tenofovir disoproxil fumarate plus either emtricitabine or lamivudine)
  and either a ritonavir-boosted protease inhibitor (atazanavir/ritonavir or darunavir/ritonavir) or an integrase strand transfer inhibitor
  (dolutegravir [irrespective of trimester] or raltegravir; see <u>Table 4</u> and <u>Updated Guidance about the Use of Dolutegravir in Pregnancy</u> in
  Recommendations for the Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy) (AIII).
- The Panel on Treatment of Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission emphasizes the <a href="importance">importance</a>
  <a href="mailto:of-counseling-and-informed-decision-making">of-counseling and informed decision-making</a> with regard to all ARV regimens for people living with HIV (AIII). See <a href="Appendix D: Dolutegravir Counseling Guide">Appendix D: Dolutegravir Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers</a> for more information.

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

Pregnant women living with HIV should receive standard clinical, immunologic, and virologic evaluations. Consistent with the principles of HIV treatment for nonpregnant adults, clinicians should discuss treatment options with pregnant women and offer antiretroviral (ARV) regimens that contain at least three drugs. These regimens reduce the risk of perinatal HIV transmission and optimize the woman's health. Use of an ARV regimen that successfully reduces plasma HIV RNA to undetectable levels substantially lowers the risk of perinatal transmission of HIV, minimizes the need to consider elective cesarean delivery as an intervention to reduce the risk of transmission, and reduces the risk of ARV drug resistance in the mother.

Decisions about the timing and management of antiretroviral therapy (ART) in women who have not previously received ART should be guided by several key principles:

#### A suppressed viral load at the time of delivery markedly reduces perinatal transmission risk.

In an analysis of 12,486 infants delivered by women with HIV between 2000 and 2011 in the United Kingdom and Ireland, the overall perinatal transmission rate declined from 2.1% in 2000 and 2001 to 0.46% in 2010 and 2011. The transmission risk was significantly lower in women with viral loads <50 copies/mL (0.09%) than in women with viral loads of 50 copies/mL to 399 copies/mL (1.0%), regardless of the type of ARV regimen used or the mode of infant delivery. The decline in perinatal transmission rates was attributed to the increasing number of women on ART at the time of conception and reductions in the proportion of women who either initiated ART late in pregnancy or who never received ART prior to delivery.

Initiating ART early increases the likelihood that a woman will achieve viral suppression by the time of delivery, further reducing transmission risk.

Although most perinatal transmission events occur late in pregnancy or during delivery, recent analyses suggest that early control of viral replication may be important in preventing transmission. In the prospective multicenter French Perinatal Cohort, both maternal viral load at delivery and the timing of ART initiation

were independently associated with perinatal transmission rate. For women who achieved viral loads <50 copies/mL at the time of delivery, transmission risks were 0.9% with third-trimester ART initiation, 0.5% with second-trimester initiation, 0.2% with first-trimester initiation, and 0% (of more than 2,500 infants) with preconception ART initiation. Regardless of when ART was initiated, the perinatal transmission rate was higher for women with viral loads of 50 copies/mL to 400 copies/mL near delivery than for those with <50 copies/mL, and higher still for women with viral loads >400 copies/mL at delivery (4.4% for women who initiated ART in the third trimester and who had viral loads >400 copies/mL at delivery).<sup>2</sup>

In an earlier publication that reported on the same cohort, lack of early and sustained control of maternal viral load appeared to be strongly associated with residual perinatal transmission of HIV.<sup>3</sup> Similar data from Canada in 1,707 pregnant women with HIV who were followed between 1997 and 2010 showed that the risk of perinatal transmission was 1% in all mothers who received ART and 0.4% if ART was taken for more than 4 weeks.<sup>4</sup>

These data suggest that ART should be initiated as early as possible in ARV-naive women, because early and sustained control of HIV viral replication is associated with a decreased risk of transmission. Other studies have demonstrated that baseline viral load is significantly associated with the likelihood of viral suppression by delivery; thus, prompt initiation of ART is particularly important in pregnant women who have high baseline viral loads.<sup>5-7,8</sup>

#### The benefits of initiating ART early in pregnancy generally outweigh the risks.

The susceptibility of fetuses to the potential adverse effects of drugs is dependent on multiple factors, including the gestational age of the fetus at the time of medication exposure (see Teratogenicity and Combination Antiretroviral Drug Regimens and Maternal and Neonatal Outcomes). The effects of taking ARV drugs during pregnancy are not fully known; however, in general, the data from observational studies on the incidence of birth defects among fetuses/infants of women who received ARV regimens during pregnancy have been reassuring. There have been no differences between the rates of birth defects among infants with first-trimester exposures to most ARV drugs and the rates among infants with later gestational exposures or the rates reported in the general population. Please see Teratogenicity for a more detailed discussion of the adverse events that are associated with the use of specific ARV drugs, including dolutegravir (DTG). The decision about when to initiate ART should be discussed by health care providers and their patients. The discussion should include an assessment of a woman's health status and the risks and benefits to her health and the potential risks and benefits to the fetus.

#### ARV drugs further reduce transmission risk through infant pre-exposure and post-exposure prophylaxis.

Although rates of perinatal transmission are low in women with undetectable or low HIV RNA levels, there is no threshold below which lack of transmission can be ensured. ARV drugs reduce the risk of perinatal transmission of HIV through a number of different mechanisms. Although lowering maternal antenatal viral load is an important component of preventing transmission in women with higher viral loads, maternal ART use reduces transmission even in women with low viral loads. Additional mechanisms that reduce the risk of perinatal HIV transmission include pre-exposure prophylaxis (PEP) and post-exposure prophylaxis (PEP) for the infant.

With PrEP, the passage of an ARV drug across the placenta produces drug levels that inhibit viral replication in the fetus, particularly during the birth process when there is intensive viral exposure. Therefore, whenever possible, ARV regimens initiated during pregnancy should include a nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NRTI) with high transplacental passage, such as lamivudine (3TC), emtricitabine (FTC), tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF), or abacavir (ABC) (see <u>Table 8</u>). <sup>21-24</sup> With PEP, ARV drugs are administered to the infant after birth (see Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection).

#### Specific ARV regimens are *Preferred* for use in pregnancy.

Tables 4 and 5 outline the ARV regimens that are designated by the Panel on Treatment of Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission as *Preferred* for treatment of pregnant women with HIV who have never received ARV drugs, as well as for women who are continuing or restarting ART

in pregnancy or women who are trying to conceive. Drugs or drug combinations are designated as *Preferred* for therapy in pregnant women when clinical trial data in adults have demonstrated efficacy and durability with acceptable toxicity and ease of use, and pregnancy-specific pharmacokinetic (PK) data are available to guide dosing. In addition, the available data must suggest a favorable risk-benefit balance for the drug or drug combination compared to other ARV drug options; the assessment of risks and benefits should incorporate outcomes for women, fetuses, and infants. Some *Preferred* drugs or regimens may have minimal toxicity or teratogenicity risks that are offset by other advantages for women with HIV who are pregnant or who are trying to conceive. Therefore, it is important to read all the information on each drug in the Perinatal Guidelines before administering any of these medications to patients (also see <u>Appendix B: Supplement: Safety and Toxicity of Individual Antiretroviral Agents in Pregnancy</u>). *Preferred* regimens include a dual-NRTI combination (ABC plus 3TC or TDF plus FTC or 3TC) used with either a ritonavir-boosted protease inhibitor (PI; atazanavir/ritonavir or darunavir/ritonavir) or an integrase strand transfer inhibitor (INSTI; DTG or raltegravir [RAL]).

DTG is considered a *Preferred* INSTI for ART-naive women, irrespective of trimester. It is a recommended option for an initial ARV regimen in nonpregnant adults, and there are sufficient data about the efficacy and safety of DTG when this drug is initiated during pregnancy.<sup>25-28</sup> Maternal use of DTG at the time of conception or in early pregnancy has been associated with an increase in the risk of neural tube defects (NTDs) in infants. However, this risk is small, and the decision about which ARV drugs to use during pregnancy should be made by a woman after discussing the known and potential benefits and risks to her and her fetus (infant). DTG is an *Alternative* medication for women who are trying to conceive, due to concerns about NTDs that were observed in infants born to women who conceived while receiving DTG (see Updated Guidance about the Use of Dolutegravir in Pregnancy in Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy).

RAL is also a *Preferred* INSTI for ARV-naive women, and the amount of efficacy and safety data for RAL in pregnant women is increasing. The selection of drugs for an ARV regimen should be based on individual patient characteristics and needs (see <u>Table 4</u>).

RAL or DTG have been suggested for use when ART is initiated late in pregnancy, particularly for women who have high viral loads, because of their ability to rapidly suppress viral load (a decrease of approximately 2 log<sub>10</sub> copies/mL occurs by Week 2 of therapy with these drugs).<sup>29-33</sup> In two open-label, randomized clinical trials in women who presented for treatment late in pregnancy, viral decline was more rapid and a greater proportion of women reached viral suppression targets when using INSTI-based regimens with RAL (IMPAACT 1081) or DTG (DolPHIN-2 study) than efavirenz-based ART.<sup>28,34</sup> DTG is *Preferred* for treatment of acute infection during pregnancy irrespective of trimester because it has a higher barrier to resistance than RAL and can be administered once daily. Because RAL has a lower barrier to resistance than DTG, it **is not recommended** for use during acute infection, when viral loads are expected to be high (see <u>Acute HIV Infection</u>). For a discussion regarding the addition of DTG or RAL to current ARV regimens, see <u>Lack of Viral Suppression</u>.

#### Resistance tests should be performed, but ART initiation should not be delayed while waiting for results.

Standard ARV drug-resistance testing should be performed before starting an ARV regimen when plasma HIV RNA levels are above the threshold for resistance testing (i.e., >500 copies/mL to 1,000 copies/mL). INSTI-resistance testing is not routinely recommended, but it should be performed for women who are at risk for INSTI resistance (e.g., women with partners who were treated with INSTIs or women who had prior treatment that included INSTIs; see <a href="Antiretroviral Drug Resistance">Antiretroviral Drug Resistance</a> and Resistance Testing in Pregnancy). For details regarding genotypic and phenotypic resistance testing, see the <a href="Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines">Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines</a>. Given the association between earlier viral suppression and lower risk of perinatal transmission, ART should be initiated as soon as possible in pregnant women who have never received ARV drugs, without waiting for the results of resistance testing. The regimen can be modified, if required, when test results return. Either a PI-based or an INSTI-based ARV regimen can be considered when the results of resistance testing are not available to inform the selection of ARV drugs, because clinically significant resistance to PIs and INSTIs is uncommon in ARV-naive individuals.

#### Regimens other than combination (three-drug) ART are not recommended.

The use of zidovudine (ZDV) monotherapy during pregnancy **is no longer recommended**, because ART provides clear health benefits to the mother and helps prevent perinatal HIV transmission. In the past, the use of ZDV monotherapy during pregnancy for prophylaxis of perinatal transmission was an option for women who had low viral loads (i.e., <1,000 copies/mL) on no ARV drugs. Although the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines recommend some two-drug ARV regimens in certain clinical circumstances, two-drug ARV regimens **are not recommended** for use in pregnant women.

All pregnant women with HIV should be counseled that the use of ART is recommended, regardless of viral load, to optimally reduce the risk of perinatal transmission. If, after counseling, a woman chooses to forgo the use of ARV drugs during pregnancy, this decision should be re-addressed during subsequent medical appointments. The <u>Perinatal HIV Hotline</u> (1-800-439-4079) can provide information to assist with the discussion.

#### ARV regimens can be modified postpartum.

ARV regimens that were initiated during pregnancy can be modified after delivery. Women may be able to use some simplified regimens that could not be used during pregnancy because the pregnancy, safety, and/or PK data for those regimens were insufficient. Decisions regarding whether to continue an ARV regimen or which specific ARV agents to use postpartum should be made by women after they have discussed their options with their HIV care providers. These decisions should take several factors into consideration, including the current adult ART recommendations, a woman's plans for contraceptive use and future pregnancies, and individual adherence considerations and medication preferences (see <u>General Principles Regarding Use of Antiretroviral Drugs during Pregnancy</u>).

### **References**

- 1. Townsend CL, Byrne L, Cortina-Borja M, et al. Earlier initiation of ART and further decline in mother-to-child HIV transmission rates, 2000-2011. *AIDS*. 2014;28(7):1049-1057. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24566097">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24566097</a>.
- 2. Mandelbrot L, Tubiana R, Le Chenadec J, et al. No perinatal HIV-1 transmission from women with effective antiretroviral therapy starting before conception. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2015. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26197844">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26197844</a>.
- 3. Tubiana R, Le Chenadec J, Rouzioux C, et al. Factors associated with mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 despite a maternal viral load <500 copies/ml at delivery: a case-control study nested in the French perinatal cohort (EPF-ANRS CO1). *Clin Infect Dis.* 2010;50(4):585-596. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20070234">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20070234</a>.
- 4. Forbes JC, Alimenti AM, Singer J, et al. A national review of vertical HIV transmission. *AIDS*. 2012;26(6):757-763. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22210635">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22210635</a>.
- 5. Read PJ, Mandalia S, Khan P, et al. When should HAART be initiated in pregnancy to achieve an undetectable HIV viral load by delivery? *AIDS*. 2012;26(9):1095-1103. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22441248">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22441248</a>.
- 6. Katz IT, Shapiro R, Li D, et al. Risk factors for detectable HIV-1 RNA at delivery among women receiving highly active antiretroviral therapy in the women and infants transmission study. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2010;54(1):27-34. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20065861">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20065861</a>.
- 7. Aziz N, Sokoloff A, Kornak J, et al. Time to viral load suppression in antiretroviral-naive and -experienced HIV-infected pregnant women on highly active antiretroviral therapy: implications for pregnant women presenting late in gestation. *BJOG*. 2013. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23924192">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23924192</a>.
- 8. Myer L, Phillips TK, McIntyre JA, et al. HIV viraemia and mother-to-child transmission risk after antiretroviral therapy initiation in pregnancy in Cape Town, South Africa. *HIV Med.* 2017;18(2):80-88. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27353189">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27353189</a>.
- 9. da Costa TP, Machado ES, et al. Malformations among HIV vertically exposed newborns—results from a Brazilian cohort study. Presented at: 6th IAS Conference on HIV Pathogenesis and Treatment and Prevention. 2011. Rome, Italy.
- 10. Watts DH, Huang S, Culnane M, et al. Birth defects among a cohort of infants born to HIV-infected women on antiretroviral medication. *J Perinat Med*. 2011;39(2):163-170. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/</a>

#### pubmed/21142844.

- 11. Knapp KM, Brogly SB, Muenz DG, et al. Prevalence of congenital anomalies in infants with in utero exposure to antiretrovirals. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2012;31(2):164-170. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21983213.
- 12. Floridia M, Mastroiacovo P, Tamburrini E, et al. Birth defects in a national cohort of pregnant women with HIV infection in Italy, 2001-2011. BJOG. 2013;120(12):1466-1475. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23721372.
- 13. Cooper ER, Charurat M, Mofenson L, et al. Combination antiretroviral strategies for the treatment of pregnant HIV-1infected women and prevention of perinatal HIV-1 transmission. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2002;29(5):484-494. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11981365.
- 14. Mofenson LM, Lambert JS, Stiehm ER, et al. Risk factors for perinatal transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 in women treated with zidovudine. Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group Study 185 Team. N Engl J Med. 1999:341(6):385-393. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10432323.
- 15. Garcia PM, Kalish LA, Pitt J, et al. Maternal levels of plasma human immunodeficiency virus type 1 RNA and the risk of perinatal transmission. Women and Infants Transmission Study Group, N Engl J Med. 1999;341(6):394-402. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10432324.
- 16. Ioannidis JP, Abrams EJ, Ammann A, et al. Perinatal transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 by pregnant women with RNA virus loads <1000 copies/ml. J Infect Dis. 2001;183(4):539-545. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/pubmed/11170978.
- 17. Wade NA, Birkhead GS, Warren BL, et al. Abbreviated regimens of zidovudine prophylaxis and perinatal transmission of the human immunodeficiency virus, N Engl J Med. 1998;339(20):1409-1414. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/9811915.
- 18. Jackson JB, Musoke P, Fleming T, et al. Intrapartum and neonatal single-dose nevirapine compared with zidovudine for prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 in Kampala, Uganda: 18-month follow-up of the HIVNET 012 randomised trial. Lancet. 2003;362(9387):859-868. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/13678973.
- 19. Petra Study Team. Efficacy of three short-course regimens of zidovudine and lamivudine in preventing early and late transmission of HIV-1 from mother to child in Tanzania, South Africa, and Uganda (Petra study): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet. 2002;359(9313):1178-1186. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/11955535.
- 20. Moodley D, Moodley J, Coovadia H, et al. A multicenter randomized controlled trial of nevirapine versus a combination of zidovudine and lamivudine to reduce intrapartum and early postpartum mother-to-child transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1. J Infect Dis. 2003;187(5):725-735. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/12599045.
- 21. Hirt D, Urien S, Rey E, et al. Population pharmacokinetics of emtricitabine in human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected pregnant women and their neonates. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2009;53(3):1067-1073. Available at: http:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19104016.
- Hirt D, Urien S, Ekouevi DK, et al. Population pharmacokinetics of tenofovir in HIV-1-infected pregnant women and their neonates (ANRS 12109), Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2009;85(2):182-189. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/18987623.
- Moodley D, Pillay K, Naidoo K, et al. Pharmacokinetics of zidovudine and lamivudine in neonates following coadministration of oral doses every 12 hours. J Clin Pharmacol. 2001;41(7):732-741. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/pubmed/11452705.
- 24. Wade NA, Unadkat JD, Huang S, et al. Pharmacokinetics and safety of stavudine in HIV-infected pregnant women and their infants; pediatric AIDS clinical trials group protocol 332. J Infect Dis. 2004;190(12):2167-2174. Available at: http:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15551216.
- 25. Zash R, Jacobson DL, Diseko M, et al. Comparative safety of dolutegravir-based or efavirenz-based antiretroviral treatment started during pregnancy in Botswana: an observational study. Lancet Glob Health. 2018;6(7):e804-e810. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29880310.
- Zash R, Holmes L, Makhema J, et al. Surveillance for neural tube defects following antiretroviral exposure from conception. Presented at: 22nd International AIDS Conference. 2018. Amsterdam, Netherlands. Available at: http://www.

#### natap.org/2018/IAC/IAC 52.htm.

- 27. Zash R, Holmes L, Diseko M, et al. Neural-tube defects and antiretroviral treatment regimens in Botswana. *N Engl J Med*. 2019;381(9):827-840. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31329379">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31329379</a>.
- Kintu K, Malaba T, Nakibuka J, et al. Rct of dolutegravir vs efavirenz-based therapy initiated in late pregnancy: DolPHIN-2. Abstract 40. Presented at:Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2019. Seattle, Washington. Available at: <a href="http://www.croiconference.org/sessions/rct-dolutegravir-vs-efavirenz-based-therapy-initiated-late-pregnancy-dolphin-2">http://www.croiconference.org/sessions/rct-dolutegravir-vs-efavirenz-based-therapy-initiated-late-pregnancy-dolphin-2</a>.
- 29. Grinsztejn B, Nguyen BY, Katlama C, et al. Safety and efficacy of the HIV-1 integrase inhibitor raltegravir (MK-0518) in treatment-experienced patients with multidrug-resistant virus: a Phase II randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2007;369(9569):1261-1269. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17434401">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17434401</a>.
- 30. Papendorp SG, van den Berk GE. Preoperative use of raltegravir-containing regimen as induction therapy: very rapid decline of HIV-1 viral load. *AIDS*. 2009;23(6):739. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19279447.
- 31. Pinnetti C, Baroncelli S, Villani P, et al. Rapid HIV-RNA decline following addition of raltegravir and tenofovir to ongoing highly active antiretroviral therapy in a woman presenting with high-level HIV viraemia at week 38 of pregnancy. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2010;65(9):2050-2052. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20630894">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20630894</a>.
- 32. McKeown DA, Rosenvinge M, Donaghy S, et al. High neonatal concentrations of raltegravir following transplacental transfer in HIV-1 positive pregnant women. *AIDS*. 2010;24(15):2416-2418. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20827058">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20827058</a>.
- 33. Orrell C, Kintu JA, et al. DolPHIN-1: Randomised controlled trial of dolutegravir(DTG)-versus efavirenz(EFV)-based therapy in mothers initiating antiretroviral treatment in late pregnancy. Presented at: 22nd International AIDS Conference. 2018. Amsterdam, Netherlands. Available at: <a href="http://www.natap.org/2018/IAC/IAC\_30.htm">http://www.natap.org/2018/IAC/IAC\_30.htm</a>.
- 34. Mirochnick M, Shapiro D, Morrison L, et al. Randomized trial of raltegravir-art vs efavirenz-art when initiated during pregnancy. Abstract 39. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2019. Seattle, Washington. Available at: <a href="http://www.croiconference.org/sessions/randomized-trial-raltegravir-art-vs-efavirenz-art-when-initiated-during-pregnancy">http://www.croiconference.org/sessions/randomized-trial-raltegravir-art-vs-efavirenz-art-when-initiated-during-pregnancy</a>.

Table 4. What to Start: Initial Combination Regimens for Antiretroviral-Naive Pregnant Women (Last updated December 12, 2019; last reviewed: December 12. 2019) (page 1 of 4)

Recommendations for initial therapy are intended for pregnant women who have never received ART or ARV drugs for prophylaxis (i.e., women who are ARV-naive) and who have no evidence of significant resistance to regimen components (also see Pregnant Women Living with HIV Who Have Never Received Antiretroviral Drugs and Table 5).

In general, the Panel recommends that <u>women who are already on fully suppressive ART regimens</u> when pregnancy occurs should continue to use those regimens, unless they are receiving an ARV drug or ART regimen that is not recommended for use in adults or there are concerns about safety and inferior efficacy during pregnancy (see <u>Table 5</u> and <u>Pregnant Women Living with HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy</u>). Clinicians may need to consider additional factors when initiating ART in women who previously received ART or ARV drugs for prophylaxis (see <u>Pregnant Women Living with HIV Who Have Previously Received Antiretroviral Treatment or Prophylaxis but Are Not Currently Receiving Any Antiretroviral Medications and Table 5).</u>

Regimens are listed alphabetically within each drug class and recommendation category, and the order does not indicate a ranking of preference. In addition, the Panel makes no recommendation of one agent or regimen over another within each category (*Preferred* or *Alternative*).

Note: For more information about the use of specific drugs and dosing in pregnancy, see <u>Table 5</u>, the individual drug sections in Appendix B, and <u>Table 8</u>.

Drug or Drug Combination	Comments				
Preferred Initial Regimens in Pregnancy					
Drugs or drug combinations are designated as <i>Preferred</i> for therapy in pregnant women when clinical trial data in adults have demonstrated efficacy and durability with acceptable toxicity and ease of use, and pregnancy-specific PK data are available to guide dosing. In addition, the available data must suggest a favorable risk-benefit balance for the drug or drug combination compared to other ARV drug options; the assessment of risks and benefits should incorporate outcomes for women, fetuses, and infants. Some <i>Preferred</i> drugs or regimens may have minimal toxicity or teratogenicity risks that are offset by other advantages for women with HIV who are pregnant or who are trying to conceive. Therefore, it is important to read all the information on each drug in the Perinatal Guidelines before administering any of these medications to patients (also see Appendix B: Supplement: Safety and Toxicity of Individual Antiretroviral Agents in Pregnancy).					
Preferred Dual-NRTI Backbones					
ABC/3TC	Available as an FDC. Can be administered once daily. ABC <u>should not be used</u> in patients who test positive for HLA-B*5701 because of the risk of developing a hypersensitivity reaction. ABC/3TC administered with ATV/r or EFV is not recommended if pretreatment HIV RNA is >100,000 copies/mL.				
TDF/FTC or TDF/3TC	TDF/FTC is available as an FDC. Either coformulated TDF/FTC or separate doses of TDF and 3TC can be administered once daily. TDF has potential renal toxicity; thus, TDF-based, dual-NRTI combinations should be used with caution in patients with renal insufficiency.				
Preferred INSTI Regimens					
DTG/ABC/3TC (FDC) or DTG plus a Preferred Dual-NRTI Backbone <sup>a</sup>	Administered once daily. The use of DTG/ABC/3TC requires HLA-B*5701 testing, because this FDC contains ABC. INSTI-based regimens may be useful when drug interactions or the potential for preterm delivery with a PI-based regimen are a concern. In nonpregnant adults, DTG is associated with lower rates of INSTI resistance than RAL; like RAL, DTG has been shown to rapidly decrease viral load in ARV-naive pregnant women who present to care later in pregnancy. DTG is <i>Preferred</i> for the treatment of pregnant women with acute HIV infection and for women who present to care late in pregnancy. There are specific timing and/or fasting recommendations if DTG is taken with calcium or iron (e.g., in prenatal vitamins; see Table 8). The use of DTG at conception and in very early pregnancy has been associated with a small but statistically significant increase in the risk of NTDs; this information should be discussed with patients to ensure informed decision-making. For more information, see Updated Guidance About the Use of Dolutegravir in Pregnancy in Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy, Table 5, Teratogenicity, and Appendix D: Dolutegravir Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers.				

Table 4. What to Start: Initial Combination Regimens for Antiretroviral-Naive Pregnant Women (page 2 of 4)

Drug or Drug Combination	Comments
RAL plus a Preferred Dual-NRTI Backbone	PK data are available for RAL use in pregnancy, and experience with use in pregnancy is increasing. RAL has been shown to produce rapid viral load decline to undetectable levels in women who present for initial therapy late in pregnancy. INSTI-based regimens may be useful when drug interactions or the potential for preterm delivery with PI-based regimens are a concern. Twice-daily dosing required. There are specific timing and/or fasting recommendations if RAL is taken with calcium or iron (e.g., in prenatal vitamins; see <a href="Table 8">Table 8</a> ).
Preferred PI Regimens	
ATV/r plus a Preferred Dual-NRTI Backbone	Once-daily administration. Extensive experience with use in pregnancy. Maternal hyperbilirubinemia; no clinically significant neonatal hyperbilirubinemia or kernicterus reported, but neonatal bilirubin monitoring is recommended. Cannot be administered with PPIs. Specific timing recommended for dosing with H2 blockers (see Table 8).
DRV/r plus a Preferred Dual-NRTI Backbone	Better tolerated than LPV/r. Experience with use in pregnancy is increasing. Must be used twice daily in pregnancy.
Drug	Comments
Alternative Initial Regimens in Pregnancy	
efficacy and the data in pregnant individuals are PK, dosing, tolerability, formulation, administration	Alternative options for therapy in pregnant women when clinical trial data in adults show generally favorable but limited. Most Alternative drugs or regimens are associated with more on, or interaction concerns than those in the Preferred category, but they are acceptable for
for women with HIV who are pregnant or who are	gimens may have known toxicity or teratogenicity risks that are offset by other advantages e trying to conceive. Therefore, it is important to read all the information on each drug in the f these medications to patients (also see <a href="Appendix B: Supplement: Safety and Toxicity of the second street or specific sp&lt;/td&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;td&gt;Alternative Dual-NRTI Backbones&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;&lt;/td&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;td&gt;ZDV/3TC&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td colspan=5&gt;Available as an FDC. Although not recommended for initial therapy in nonpregnant adults, ZDV/3TC is the NRTI combination with most experience for use in pregnancy. It has the disadvantages of requiring twice-daily administration and having an increased potential for hematologic toxicities and other toxicities.&lt;/td&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;td&gt;Alternative PI Regimens&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;&lt;/td&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;td&gt;LPV/r plus a Preferred Dual-NRTI Backbone&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;Abundant experience and established PKs in pregnancy. More nausea than with &lt;i&gt;Preferred&lt;/i&gt; agents. Twice-daily administration. A dose increase is recommended during the third trimester (see &lt;u&gt;Table 8&lt;/u&gt;). Once-daily LPV/r &lt;u&gt;is not recommended&lt;/u&gt; for use in pregnant women.&lt;/td&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;td&gt;Alternative NNRTI Regimens&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;&lt;/td&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;td&gt;efV/TDF/FTC (FDC)  or  EFV/TDF/3TC (FDC)  or&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;Birth defects have been reported in primate studies of EFV, but there has been no evidence of an increased risk of birth defects in human studies and extensive experience in pregnancy; cautionary text remains in package insert (see &lt;a href=" teratogenicity"="">Teratogenicity</a> and <a href="Table 8">Table 8</a> ). These regimens are useful for women who require treatment with drugs that have significant interactions with <a href="Perferred">Preferred</a> agents, or who need the convenience of a coformulated, single-
EFV plus a Preferred Dual-NRTI Backbone	tablet, once-daily regimen and are not eligible for DTG or RPV. Screening for antenatal and postpartum depression is recommended. Higher rate of adverse events than some <i>Preferred</i> drugs.
EFV plus a Preferred Dual-NRTI Backbone  RPV/TDF/FTC (FDC)  or  RPV plus a Preferred Dual-NRTI Backbone	and postpartum depression is recommended. Higher rate of adverse events than some
RPV/TDF/FTC (FDC) or	and postpartum depression is recommended. Higher rate of adverse events than some <i>Preferred</i> drugs.  RPV <u>is not recommended</u> in patients with pretreatment HIV RNA >100,000 copies/mL or CD4 counts <200 cells/mm³. Do not use with PPIs. PK data are available for pregnant individuals, but there is relatively little experience with use in pregnancy. PK data suggest lower drug levels and risk of viral rebound in second and third trimesters; if used, consider monitoring viral load more frequently. Should be taken with food. Available in a
RPV/TDF/FTC (FDC) or RPV plus a Preferred Dual-NRTI Backbone	and postpartum depression is recommended. Higher rate of adverse events than some <i>Preferred</i> drugs.  RPV <u>is not recommended</u> in patients with pretreatment HIV RNA >100,000 copies/mL or CD4 counts <200 cells/mm³. Do not use with PPIs. PK data are available for pregnant individuals, but there is relatively little experience with use in pregnancy. PK data suggest lower drug levels and risk of viral rebound in second and third trimesters; if used, consider monitoring viral load more frequently. Should be taken with food. Available in a coformulated, single-tablet, once-daily regimen.  Comments
RPV/TDF/FTC (FDC) or RPV plus a Preferred Dual-NRTI Backbone  Drug  Insufficient Data in Pregnancy to Recommen	and postpartum depression is recommended. Higher rate of adverse events than some <i>Preferred</i> drugs.  RPV <u>is not recommended</u> in patients with pretreatment HIV RNA >100,000 copies/mL or CD4 counts <200 cells/mm³. Do not use with PPIs. PK data are available for pregnant individuals, but there is relatively little experience with use in pregnancy. PK data suggest lower drug levels and risk of viral rebound in second and third trimesters; if used, consider monitoring viral load more frequently. Should be taken with food. Available in a coformulated, single-tablet, once-daily regimen.  Comments

Table 4. What to Start: Initial Combination Regimens for Antiretroviral-Naive Pregnant Women (page 3 of 4)

Drug	Comments		
DOR	No data on the use of DOR in pregnancy.		
IBA	No data on the use of IBA in pregnancy.		
TAF/FTC (FDC) or RPV/TAF/FTC (FDC)	Plasma TAF exposures in pregnant adults are similar to those seen in nonpregnant adults, whether TAF is administered with a boosting agent or not. TAF has been studied in pregnar women, but data are not yet sufficient to recommend initiating TAF in pregnancy.		
Drug	Comments		

#### Not Recommended for Initial ART or Use in Pregnancy

These drugs and drug combinations are recommended for use in adults but <u>are not recommended</u> for use during pregnancy because of concerns about maternal or fetal safety or inferior efficacy, including viral breakthroughs in the second and third trimester (see <u>Table 5</u> and <u>Table 8</u>).

**Note:** When a pregnant woman presents to care while virally suppressed on one of these drugs or drug combinations, providers should consider whether to continue her current regimen or switch to a recommended ART regimen (see <a href="Pregnant Women Living with HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy">Pregnant Women Living with HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy and Table 5).</a>

Drug	Comments
EVG/c/FTC/TDF (FDC)	Limited data on use of EVG with COBI in pregnancy. Inadequate levels of both EVG and COBI in second and third trimester, as well as viral breakthroughs, have been reported. Specific timing and/or fasting recommendations, especially if taken with calcium or iron (e.g., in prenatal vitamins; see <a href="Table 8">Table 8</a> ).
EVG/c/FTC/TAF (FDC)	Limited data on use of EVG with COBI and insufficient data on the use of TAF in pregnancy (see above). Inadequate levels of both EVG and COBI in second and third trimester, as well as viral breakthroughs, have been reported. Specific timing and/or fasting recommendations, especially if taken with calcium or iron (e.g., in prenatal vitamins; see Table 8).
DRV/c (FDC) or DRV/c/FTC/TAF (FDC)	Limited data on use of DRV with COBI in pregnancy. Inadequate levels of both DRV and COBI in second and third trimester, as well as viral breakthroughs, have been reported. Insufficient data about the use of TAF in pregnancy (see above).
ATV/c	Limited data on the use of ATV with COBI in pregnancy. Substantial reductions in trough levels of ATV in the second and third trimesters have been reported when taken with COBI.

#### Not Recommended for Initial ART in Pregnancy and Not Recommended Except in Special Circumstances for Treatment-Experienced Women in Pregnancy

These drugs <u>are not recommended</u> for use in pregnant women who have never received ART. With the exception of NVP, data about the PKs, safety, and efficacy of these drugs during pregnancy are limited.

Some of these drugs are also categorized as not recommended except in special circumstances during pregnancy, because the Panel recognizes that there may be circumstances where pregnant women who are ART-experienced may need to initiate or continue these drugs to reach or maintain viral suppression (see <u>Table 5</u>).

ETR	Not recommended for use in ART-naive populations. Available PK data suggest that using the standard adult dose is appropriate for pregnant patients, although data about use in pregnancy are limited.
MVC	Not recommended for use in ART-naive populations. MVC requires tropism testing before use. Available PK data suggest that using the standard adult dose is appropriate for pregnant patients, although data about use in pregnancy are limited.
NVP	Not recommended because of the potential for adverse events, complex lead-in dosing, and low barrier to resistance. NVP should be used with caution when initiating ART in women with CD4 counts >250 cells/mm³. Use NVP and ABC together with caution; both can cause hypersensitivity reactions in the first few weeks after initiation.
T-20	Not recommended for use in ART-naive populations.

#### Table 4. What to Start: Initial Combination Regimens for Antiretroviral-Naive Pregnant Women (page 4 of 4)

The decision to designate DTG as a *Preferred* ARV drug for therapy in pregnant women, irrespective of trimester, was based on several factors. First, DTG is associated with higher rates of virologic suppression, faster rates of viral load decline, and a higher genetic barrier to drug resistance than other *Preferred* and *Alternative* agents. Second, a recent study that evaluated a large number of pregnancies has shown that the risk of NTDs is lower than previously reported in preliminary data. This risk is also largely limited to a short period of time (before 6 weeks post-last menstrual period). A very small minority of women with HIV initiate their first ART regimen during this period of time. Some Panel members would avoid using DTG in women who are initiating ART before 6 weeks gestation. After this time, any additional risk of NTDs due to DTG is minimal. Third, data are extremely limited on the risks that are associated with using other *Preferred* and *Alternative* ARV drugs preconception or in very early pregnancy; this lack of data does not indicate either the presence or absence of risk when using alternatives to DTG. DTG is recommended as an *Alternative* agent for people who are trying to conceive, as these patients have more time to achieve virologic suppression on regimens that do not contain DTG. For additional information, see <a href="Teratogenicity">Teratogenicity</a>, Updated Guidance About the Use of Dolutegravir in Pregnancy in <a href="Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs in Pregnancy">Tegnancy</a>, and <a href="Appendix D: Dolutegravir Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers.">Teratogenicity</a>, Dolutegravir

Note: The following drugs and drug combinations (that are not listed above) should not be used during pregnancy; if women become pregnant while taking these medications, they should switch to a recommended regimen: d4T, ddl, FPV, FPV/r, IDV, IDV/r, NFV, RTV (as the sole PI), SQV, SQV/r, TPV, TPV/r, two-drug ART regimens, or a three-NRTI ART regimen (e.g., ABC/ZDV/3TC). See <u>Archived Drugs</u> in the Perinatal Guidelines and <u>What Not to Use</u> in the <u>Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines</u> for individual ARV drugs, ARV combinations, and ART regimens that are not recommended or that should not be used in adults.

**Key:** 3TC = lamivudine; ABC = abacavir; ART = antiretroviral therapy; ARV = antiretroviral; ATV = atazanavir; ATV/c = atazanavir/cobicistat; ATV/r = atazanavir/stonavir; BIC = bictegravir; CD4 = CD4 T lymphocyte cell; COBI = cobicistat; d4T = stavudine; ddI = didanosine; DOR = doravirine; DRV/c = darunavir/cobicistat; DRV/r = darunavir/ritonavir; DTG = dolutegravir; EFV = efavirenz; ETR = etravirine; EVG = elvitegravir; EVG/c = elvitegravir/cobicistat; FDC = fixed-dose combination; FPV = fosamprenavir; FPV/r = fosamprenavir/ritonavir; FTC = emtricitabine; IBA = ibalizumab; IDV = indinavir; IDV/r = indinavir/ritonavir; INSTI = integrase strand transfer inhibitor; LPV/r = lopinavir/ritonavir; MVC = maraviroc; NFV = nelfinavir; NNRTI = non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor; NRTI = nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor; NTD = neural tube defect; NVP = nevirapine; the Panel = the Panel on Treatment of Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission; PI = protease inhibitor; PK = pharmacokinetic; PPI = proton pump inhibitor; RAL = raltegravir; RPV = rilpivirine; RTV = ritonavir; SQV = saquinavir; SQV/r = saquinavir/ritonavir; T-20 = enfuvirtide; TAF = tenofovir alafenamide; TDF = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate; TPV = tipranavir, TPV/r = tipranavir/ritonavir; ZDV = zidovudine

Table 5. Situation-Specific Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs in Pregnant Women and Nonpregnant Women Who Are Trying to Conceive (Last updated December 12, 2019; last reviewed December 12, 2019) (page 1 of 4)

Women should be given information about the benefits and risks of initiating an ARV regimen or making changes to an existing regimen so they can make informed decisions about their care. Patient autonomy and informed choice should be considered in all aspects of medical care, including HIV and obstetric care. This is the primary guiding principle in all the Panel's recommendations.

ART Regimen Component	ART for Pregnant Women Who Have Never Received ARV Drugs and Who Are Initiating ART for the First Time	Continuing ART for Women Who Become Pregnant on a Fully Suppressive, Well-Tolerated Regimen	ART for Pregnant Women Who Have Received ARV Drugs in the Past and Who Are Restarting ART <sup>a</sup>	New ARV Regimen for Pregnant Women Whose Current Regimen is Not Well Tolerated and/or is Not Fully Suppressive <sup>a</sup>	ART for Nonpregnant Women Who Are Trying to Conceive <sup>a,b</sup>		
INSTIs							
Used in combination	on with a dual-NRTI backbone <sup>c</sup>						
<b>DTG</b> <sup>₫</sup>	Preferred	Continue	Preferred	Preferred	Alternative		
RAL	Preferred	Continue	Preferred	Preferred	Preferred		
BIC	Insufficient data	Insufficient data	Insufficient data	Insufficient data	Insufficient data		
EVG/ce	Not recommended	Consider switching, or continuing the same regimen with frequent viral load monitoring	Not recommended	Not recommended	Not recommended		
Pls Used in combination	on with a dual-NRTI backbone <sup>c</sup>						
ATV/r	Preferred	Continue	Preferred	Preferred	Preferred		
DRV/r	Preferred	Continue	Preferred	Preferred	Preferred		
LPV/r	Alternative	Continue	Alternative	Alternative	Alternative		
ATV/ce	Not recommended	Consider altering the regimen, or continuing the same regimen with frequent viral load monitoring	Not recommended	Not recommended	Not recommended		
DRV/ce	Not recommended	Consider altering the regimen, or continuing the same regimen with frequent viral load monitoring	Not recommended	Not recommended	Not recommended		
NNRTIs							
Used in combination	Used in combination with a dual-NRTI backbone <sup>c</sup>						
EFV	Alternative	Continue	Alternative	Alternative	Alternative		
RPV <sup>f</sup>	Alternative	Continue	Alternative	Alternative	Alternative		
DOR	Insufficient data	Insufficient data	Insufficient data	Insufficient data	Insufficient data		
ETR <sup>g</sup>	Not recommended	Continue	Not recommended, except in special circumstances	Not recommended, except in special circumstances	Not recommended, except in special circumstances		

Table 5. Situation-Specific Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs in Pregnant Women and Nonpregnant Women Who Are Trying to Conceive (page 2 of 4)

ART Regimen Component	ART for Pregnant Women Who Have Never Received ARV Drugs and Who Are Initiating ART for the First Time	Continuing ART for Women Who Become Pregnant on a Fully Suppressive, Well-Tolerated Regimen	ART for Pregnant Women Who Have Received ARV Drugs in the Past and Who Are Restarting ART <sup>a</sup>	New ARV Regimen for Pregnant Women Whose Current Regimen is Not Well Tolerated and/or is Not Fully Suppressive <sup>a</sup>	ART for Nonpregnant Women Who Are Trying to Conceive <sup>a,b</sup>		
NNRTIs							
Used in combination	on with a dual-NRTI backbone <sup>c</sup>						
NVPa	Not recommended	Continue	Not recommended, except in special circumstances	Not recommended, except in special circumstances	Not recommended, except in special circumstances		
NRTIs <sup>c,h</sup>							
ABC <sup>i</sup>	Preferred	Continue	Preferred	Preferred	Preferred		
FTC	Preferred	Continue	Preferred	Preferred	Preferred		
3TC	Preferred	Continue	Preferred	Preferred	Preferred		
TDF	Preferred	Continue	Preferred	Preferred	Preferred		
ZDV	Alternative	Continue	Alternative	Alternative	Alternative		
TAF <sup>j</sup>	Insufficient data	Continue	Insufficient data	Insufficient data	Insufficient data		
Entry and Fusion	Inhibitors						
IBA	Insufficient data	Insufficient data	Insufficient data	Insufficient data	Insufficient data		
MVCa	Not recommended	Continue	Not recommended, except in special circumstances	Not recommended, except in special circumstances	Not recommended, except in special circumstances		
T-20 <sup>g</sup>	Not recommended	Continue	Not recommended, except in special circumstances	Not recommended, except in special circumstances	Not recommended, except in special circumstances		
FDC Regimens <sup>e,h</sup> The individual drud	FDC Regimens <sup>e,h</sup> The individual drug component that is most responsible for the overall recommendation is indicated in parentheses.						
ABC/DTG/3TCd,i	Preferred	Continue	Preferred	Preferred	Alternative (DTG)		
EFV/FTC/TDF	Alternative (EFV)	Continue	Alternative (EFV)	Alternative (EFV)	Alternative (EFV)		
EFV/3TC/TDF	Alternative (EFV)	Continue	Alternative (EFV)	Alternative (EFV)	Alternative (EFV)		
FTC/RPV/TDF <sup>f</sup>	Alternative (RPV)	Continue (RPV)	Alternative (RPV)	Alternative (RPV)	Alternative (RPV)		
BIC/FTC/TAF	Insufficient data (BIC, TAF)	Insufficient data (BIC)	Insufficient data (BIC, TAF)	Insufficient data (BIC, TAF)	Insufficient data (BIC, TAF)		
DOR/3TC/TDF	Insufficient data (DOR)	Insufficient data (DOR)	Insufficient data (DOR)	Insufficient data (DOR)	Insufficient data (DOR)		

Table 5. Situation-Specific Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs in Pregnant Women and Nonpregnant Women Who Are Trying to Conceive (page 3 of 4)

ART Regimen Component	ART for Pregnant Women Who Have Never Received ARV Drugs and Who Are Initiating ART for the First Time	Continuing ART for Women Who Become Pregnant on a Fully Suppressive, Well-Tolerated Regimen	ART for Pregnant Women Who Have Received ARV Drugs in the Past and Who Are Restarting ART <sup>a</sup>	New ARV Regimen for Pregnant Women Whose Current Regimen is Not Well Tolerated and/or is Not Fully Suppressive <sup>a</sup>	ART for Nonpregnant Women Who Are Trying to Conceive <sup>a,b</sup>
FDC Regimens <sup>e,h</sup>					
The individual drug	g component that is most responsible	for the overall recommendation is indicated in	parentheses.		
FTC/RPV/TAF	Insufficient data (TAF <sup>i</sup> )	Continue (RPV <sup>f</sup> , TAF <sup>j</sup> ) or consider switching to FTC/RPV/TDF	Insufficient data (TAF <sup>i</sup> )	Insufficient data (TAF <sup>j</sup> )	Insufficient data (TAF <sup>i</sup> )
EVG/c/FTC/TDF°	Not recommended (EVG/c)	Consider switching, or continuing the same regimen with frequent viral load monitoring (EVG/c)	Not recommended (EVG/c)	Not recommended (EVG/c)	Not recommended (EVG/c)
EVG/c/FTC/TAFe	Not recommended (EVG/c)	Consider switching, or continuing the same regimen with frequent viral load monitoring (EVG/c)	Not recommended (EVG/c)	Not recommended (EVG/c)	Not recommended (EVG/c)
DRV/c/FTC/TAFe	Not recommended (DRV/c)	Consider switching, or continuing the same regimen with frequent viral load monitoring (DRV/c)	Not recommended (DRV/c)	Not recommended (DRV/c)	Not recommended (DRV/c)
DTG/3TC	Not recommended	Not recommended; switch, or add	Not recommended	Not recommended	Not recommended
As a complete regimen <sup>k</sup>		additional agents			
DTG/RPV	Not recommended	Not recommended; switch, or add	Not recommended	Not recommended	Not recommended
As a complete regimen <sup>k</sup>		additional agents <sup>f</sup>			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Do not initiate ARV regimens with components that have documented resistance or suspected resistance based on prior ARV exposure.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> This guidance is intended for women who are trying to conceive. These recommendations are not intended for all women with HIV who might become pregnant.

<sup>°</sup> ABC plus 3TC, TDF plus FTC, and TDF plus 3TC are Preferred dual-NRTI backbones, and ZDV plus 3TC is an Alternative dual-NRTI backbone for ARV regimens.

The decision to designate DTG as a *Preferred* ARV drug for therapy in pregnant women, irrespective of trimester, was based on several factors. First, DTG is associated with higher rates of virologic suppression, faster rates of viral load decline, and a higher genetic barrier to drug resistance than other *Preferred* and *Alternative* agents. Second, a recent study that evaluated a large number of pregnancies has shown that the risk of NTDs is lower than previously reported in preliminary data. This risk is also largely limited to a short period of time (before 6 weeks post-last menstrual period). A very small minority of women with HIV initiate their first ARV regimen during this period of time. Some Panel members would avoid using DTG in women who are initiating ART before 6 weeks of gestation. After this time, any additional risk of NTDs due to DTG is minimal. Third, data are extremely limited on the risks that are associated with using other *Preferred* and *Alternative* ARV drugs preconception or in very early pregnancy; this lack of data does not indicate either the presence or absence of risk when using alternatives to DTG. DTG is recommended as an Alternative agent for people trying to conceive, as these patients have more time to achieve virologic suppression on regimens that do not contain DTG. For additional information see <u>Teratogenicity</u>, Updated Guidance About the Use of Dolutegravir in Pregnancy in <u>Recommendations for the Use of Antiretroviral Drugs in Pregnancy</u>, and <u>Appendix Drolutegravir Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers</u>.

# Table 5. Situation-Specific Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs in Pregnant Women and Nonpregnant Women Who Are Trying to Conceive (page 4 of 4)

- e DRV/c, EVG/c, and ATV/c <u>are not recommended</u> for use in pregnancy due to PK changes that pose a risk for low drug levels and viral rebound in the second and third trimesters. However, in cases where virologically suppressed pregnant women present to care on regimens that include these drugs, clinicians can consider continuing the use of these drug combinations with frequent viral load monitoring. If there are concerns about switching, see <u>Pregnant Women Living with HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy</u>.
- f Although PK data indicate that RPV plasma concentration is reduced during the second and third trimester, the reduction is less than the reductions seen with use of EVG/c or DRV/c. Higher-than-standard doses of RPV have not been studied, so there are insufficient data to recommend a dose change in pregnancy. With standard dosing, viral load should be monitored more frequently.
- <sup>g</sup> Although these drugs are not recommended for initial treatment in ART-naive pregnant women, there may be special circumstances in which ART-experienced women may need to continue or initiate ETR, NVP, MVC, and T-20 in order to maintain or achieve viral suppression. There are limited safety and efficacy data about the use of ETR, MVC, and T-20 in pregnancy. NVP is not recommended for ART-naive women because it has a greater potential for adverse events than other NNRTIs, complex lead-in dosing, and a low barrier to resistance; however, if a pregnant woman presents to care on a well-tolerated, NVP-containing regimen, it is likely that NVP will be safe and effective during pregnancy. See <u>Table 4</u> and <u>Nevirapine</u> for more information.
- h When using FDC tablets, refer to Table 8 and the drug sections in Appendix B for information about the dosing and safety of individual components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.
- <sup>1</sup> Testing for HLA-B\*5701 identifies patients who are at risk of developing hypersensitivity reactions while taking ABC; testing should be performed and a patient should be documented as negative before initiating ABC.
- Available data about the use of TAF in pregnancy support continuing it in pregnant women who are virally suppressed, although data are insufficient to recommend it when initiating ART in pregnancy.
- <sup>k</sup> Two-drug ARV regimens <u>are not recommended</u> for use in pregnancy.

The following drugs and drug combinations (that are not listed above) should not be used during pregnancy; if a woman becomes pregnant while taking any of these medications, she should switch to a recommended regimen: d4T, ddl, FPV, FPV/r, IDV, IDV/r, NFV, RTV (as the sole PI), SQV, SQV/r, TPV, TPV/r, or a three-NRTI ARV regimen (e.g., ABC/ZDV/3TC). See <a href="Archived Drugs">Archived Drugs</a> in the Perinatal Guidelines and <a href="What Not to Use">What Not to Use</a> in the <a href="Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines">Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines</a> for individual ARV drugs, ARV combinations, and ARV regimens that are not recommended or that should not be used in adults. Refer to the table above and <a href="Table 4">Table 4</a> for ARV regimens that are recommended for use in pregnancy.

**Key:** 3TC = lamivudine; ABC = abacavir; ART = antiretroviral therapy; ARV = antiretroviral; ATV/c = atazanavir/cobicistat; ATV/r = atazanavir/ritonavir; BIC = bictegravir; d4T = stavudine; ddl = didanosine; DOR = doravirine; DRV/c = darunavir/cobicistat; DRV/r = darunavir/ritonavir; DTG = dolutegravir; EFV = efavirenz; ETR = etravirine; EVG/c = elvitegravir/cobicistat; FDC = fixed-dose combination; FPV = fosamprenavir; FPV/r = fosamprenavir/ritonavir; FTC = emtricitabine; IBA = ibalizumab; IDV = indinavir; IDV/r = indinavir/ritonavir; INSTI = integrase strand transfer inhibitor; LPV/r = lopinavir/ritonavir; MVC = maraviroc; NFV = nelfinavir; NNRTI = non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor; NRTI = nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor; PK = pharmacokinetic; RAL = raltegravir; RPV = rilpivirine; RTV = ritonavir; SQV/r = saquinavir/ritonavir; T-20 = enfuvirtide; TAF = tenofovir alafenamide; TDF = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate; TPV = tipranavir; TPV/r = tipranavir/ritonavir; ZDV = zidovudine

# Pregnant Women Living with HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy (Last

updated December 12, 2019; last reviewed December 12, 2019)

#### Panel's Recommendations

- Women living with HIV who are receiving antiretroviral therapy (ART) and who present for pregnancy care should continue their ART during pregnancy, provided that the regimen is tolerated, safe, and effective in suppressing viral replication (defined as a regimen that maintains an HIV viral load less than lower limits of detection of the assay) (AII).
- Women who present during pregnancy on drugs that are not recommended for use because of toxicity (e.g., stavudine, didanosine) should stop taking these drugs and be switched to other antiretroviral (ARV) drugs that are recommended for use in pregnancy (AIII).
   See <u>Table 5</u> for more information.
- For pregnant women who are receiving dolutegravir (DTG) and present to care during pregnancy, providers should counsel these
  women about the risks and benefits of continuing DTG or switching to another ARV regimen (AIII). In most cases, the Panel on
  Treatment of Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission recommends continuation of DTG (AIII).
- There are no data on the use of two-drug regimens during pregnancy (e.g., DTG plus lamivudine, DTG plus rilpivirine); women who present to care on one of these regimens should switch regimens or add additional ARV agents to these regimens.
- Regimens that contain atazanavir/cobicistat, darunavir/cobicistat, or elvitegravir/cobicistat are associated with pharmacokinetic changes and an increased risk of virologic failure in the second and third trimesters of pregnancy (see <a href="Table 4">Table 5</a>); when a pregnant woman presents to care on one of these regimens, providers should consider switching her to a more effective regimen that is recommended for use in pregnant women (BIII). If one of these regimens is continued, absorption should be optimized, and viral load should be monitored frequently (i.e., every 1–2 months).
- If an ARV regimen is altered during pregnancy, drugs in the new regimen should include ARV drugs that are recommended for use in pregnancy (see <u>Table 4</u> and <u>Table 5</u> (**BIII**), and more frequent virologic monitoring is warranted (**CIII**).
- ARV drug-resistance testing should be performed to assist the selection of active drugs when changing ARV regimens in pregnant
  women who are experiencing virologic failure on ART and who have HIV RNA levels >500 copies/mL to 1,000 copies/mL to 1,000 copies/mL to 1,000 copies/mL but <1,000 copies/mL, testing may be unsuccessful but should still be considered
  (BII). See Lack of Viral Suppression for more information.</li>
- Clinicians should discuss future reproductive plans and timing as well as the risks and benefits of conceiving on specific ARV
  medications and use of appropriate contraceptive options to prevent unintended pregnancy (AIII).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

**Rating of Evidence:** I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

Women who are taking antiretroviral therapy (ART) for HIV infection should continue their ART regimen during pregnancy, provided it is well tolerated, safe, and effective in suppressing viral replication. Discontinuing or altering therapy could cause an increase in viral load, leading to disease progression, a decline in immune status, and an increased risk of perinatal HIV transmission. Maintenance of viral suppression is paramount for both maternal health and the prevention of perinatal transmission. However, a change in ART may be indicated or considered in specific circumstances.

Women who present during pregnancy on drugs that are not recommended for use because of toxicity (e.g., stavudine, didanosine) should stop taking these drugs and switch to other antiretroviral (ARV) drugs that are recommended for use in pregnancy (see Table 4 and Table 5).

Dolutegravir (DTG) exposure at the time of conception has been associated with a small increase in the risk of neural tube defects (NTDs) in infants.<sup>2,3</sup> Pregnant women who present to care on DTG-based regimens should receive counseling about the benefits and risks of continuing to use DTG or switching regimens. The neural tube closes by approximately 4 weeks post-conception, or approximately 6 weeks after the last menstrual period in women with regular menses. The Tsepamo study in Botswana reported five NTDs among infants born to women who were receiving DTG at the time of conception. One of the observed NTDs may have been a defect that can occur during the first trimester, but after the neural tube has closed (a post-neurulation event). However, in cases where a woman conceives while taking DTG, the clinician and patient

must discuss whether the patient should continue using DTG or switch to another ARV regimen.

Women often detect pregnancy and present to care between 6 and 14 weeks of gestational age. In these situations, providers should review the following considerations with their patients:

- Most NTDs occur before the neural tube closes at 4 weeks post-conception, approximately 6 weeks post-last menstrual period. After 6 weeks gestation, the additional risk of NTDs developing is thought to be much less likely;
- There is a background risk of NTDs regardless of ART regimen or HIV status (in the United States, the background risk of NTDs in the general population is 0.07%);<sup>4</sup> and
- Changes in ARV regimens can lead to viral rebound, which may increase the risk of perinatal HIV transmission and may reduce future ARV drug options due to the development of resistance.

A careful consideration of these risks and benefits will allow patients and providers to reach individualized decisions about whether a patient should continue using DTG or switch to a different ARV regimen during the pregnancy. In most cases, the Panel on Treatment of Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission (the Panel) recommends **continuation** of DTG. There are no data on the use of two-drug regimens in pregnancy (e.g., DTG plus lamivudine, DTG plus rilpivirine [RPV]); women who present to care on one of these regimens should switch regimens or add additional ARV agents to these regimens.

It is important to weigh the available data about the risks of using DTG against what is known (or not known) about the risks of NTDs when using other *Preferred* and *Alternative* agents. These agents include atazanavir/ritonavir, darunavir/ritonavir, and raltegravir (*Preferred*), and lopinavir/ritonavir, EFV, RPV (*Alternative*). Of these, systematic birth surveillance data are available only for EFV. In addition, other adverse pregnancy outcomes are more common than NTDs and should also be considered. The use of protease inhibitors has been associated with an increased risk of preterm birth, which may lead to increases in infant morbidity and mortality (see Combination Antiretroviral Drug Regimens and Maternal and Neonatal Outcomes). While DTG carries a higher risk of NTDs than EFV, the two drugs have similar rates of other adverse pregnancy outcomes. However, tolerability and long-term viral suppression may be enhanced with DTG-based regimens.<sup>5,6</sup>

When a pregnant woman presents to care on a regimen that contains atazanavir/cobicistat, darunavir/cobicistat, or elvitegravir/cobicistat (EVG/c), providers should consider switching her to a more effective regimen that is recommended for use in pregnant women. The use of these regimens is associated with pharmacokinetic changes and an increased risk of virologic failure in the second and third trimesters of pregnancy (see Table 4 and Table 5). A recent multicenter, retrospective study of 134 pregnant women with HIV who received elvitegravir (EVG)-containing ART at any time during pregnancy reported that 81.3% of study participants had viral suppression at delivery (HIV RNA <40 copies/mL); among 68 women who initiated EVG before pregnancy and continued receiving EVG through delivery, the rate of viral suppression at delivery was 88.2%. The perinatal HIV transmission rate was 0.8% in this study. If one of these regimens is continued, absorption should be optimized by taking the drugs with food. Women who are taking regimens that include EVG/c should take ARV drugs and prenatal vitamins ≥2 hours apart. In addition, viral load should be monitored more frequently in patients taking cobicistat boosted regimens (e.g., every 1–2 months) (see Monitoring of the Woman and Fetus During Pregnancy). Lack of virologic suppression on subsequent testing indicates a need for a regimen change, and a woman may need a scheduled cesarean delivery if the lack of suppression is detected late in pregnancy.

Although PK data indicate that RPV plasma concentration is reduced during the second and third trimesters of pregnancy, the reduction is less than the reductions seen with the cobicistat-containing regimens described above, and most women will have adequate exposure. Standard RPV dosing is recommended, and viral load should be monitored frequently (e.g., every 1–2 months; see <u>Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy</u>).

As newer, highly effective ARV drugs are approved by the Food and Drug Administration, women with

HIV may present for prenatal care on ART regimens that include ARV drugs for which there is a lack of significant experience in pregnancy and limited PK and safety data. If questions arise about specific drugs in an ART regimen, providers are encouraged to consult with an HIV perinatal specialist before discontinuing or altering a fully suppressive regimen that is well tolerated. In addition, more frequent virologic monitoring is warranted when an ARV regimen is altered during pregnancy. Because little is known about the use of newly approved drugs in pregnancy, providers should make every effort to report all ART exposures in pregnant women to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry.

Women with HIV who are on ART and who present for care during the first trimester should be counseled regarding the benefits and potential risks of receiving ARV drugs during this period. Providers should emphasize that continuing an effective ARV regimen is recommended. Nonhuman primate data and retrospective case reports have raised concerns about an association between EFV use during the first trimester and an increased risk of NTDs in infants (for more details, see Efavirenz). However, a metaanalysis that included data on 2.026 women with first-trimester EFV exposure from 21 prospective studies did not find an increased relative risk (RR) of overall birth defects in infants born to women who received EFV-based regimens compared to women who received regimens that did not include EFV (RR 0.78: 95%) confidence interval, 0.56–1.08).<sup>12</sup> A recent multicohort analysis of seven observational studies across 13 European countries and Thailand included 24.963 live births to women with HIV. This study evaluated the incidence of birth defects among infants who had been exposed to either EFV-based ART (n = 1,200) or ART that did not contain EFV (n = 7.537) at the time of conception or during the first trimester; the study also evaluated infants who were not exposed to ART (n = 16,226) at the time of conception or during the first trimester. There was no difference in the prevalence of birth defects among infants in these three groups.<sup>13</sup> The Panel recommends continuing to use EFV in pregnant women who are receiving EFV-based ART, provided that the ARV regimen is well tolerated and results in virologic suppression.

Resistance testing should be performed when considering altering an ARV regimen in a pregnant woman who is experiencing virologic failure and who has HIV RNA levels >1,000 copies/mL. In individuals who have HIV RNA levels >500 copies/mL but <1,000 copies/mL, testing may be unsuccessful, but it still should be considered. The results can be used to select a new regimen with a greater likelihood of suppressing viral replication to undetectable levels.

During and after pregnancy, clinicians should discuss future reproductive plans and timing as well as the risks and benefits of conceiving on specific ARV medications and contraceptive options to prevent unintended pregnancy (see Preconception Counseling and Care for Women of Childbearing Age Living with HIV).

#### References

- 1. Floridia M, Ravizza M, Pinnetti C, et al. Treatment change in pregnancy is a significant risk factor for detectable HIV-1 RNA in plasma at end of pregnancy. *HIV Clin Trials*. 2010;11(6):303-311. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21239358">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21239358</a>.
- 2. Zash R, Jacobson DL, Diseko M, et al. Comparative safety of dolutegravir-based or efavirenz-based antiretroviral treatment started during pregnancy in Botswana: an observational study. *Lancet Glob Health*. 2018;6(7):e804-e810. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29880310">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29880310</a>.
- 3. Zash R, Holmes L, Diseko M, et al. Neural-tube defects and antiretroviral treatment regimens in Botswana. *N Engl J Med*. 2019;381(9):827-840. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31329379">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31329379</a>.
- 4. Williams J, Mai CT, Mulinare J, et al. Updated estimates of neural tube defects prevented by mandatory folic acid fortification-United States, 1995-2011. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2015;64(1):1-5. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25590678">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25590678</a>.
- 5. Venter WDF, Moorhouse M, Sokhela S, et al. Dolutegravir plus two different prodrugs of tenofovir to treat HIV. *N Engl J Med.* 2019;381(9):803-815. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31339677">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31339677</a>.
- 6. Waitt C, Orrell C, Walimbwa S, et al. Safety and pharmacokinetics of dolutegravir in pregnant mothers with HIV infection and their neonates: A randomised trial (DolPHIN-1 study). *PLoS Med.* 2019;16(9):e1002895. Available at:

- https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31539371
- 7. Crauwels HM, Osiyemi O, Zorrilla C, Bicer C, Brown K. Reduced exposure to darunavir and cobicistat in HIV-1-infected pregnant women receiving a darunavir/cobicistat-based regimen. *HIV Med.* 2019;20(5):337-343. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30873741">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30873741</a>.
- 8. Momper J, Best BM, Wang J, et al. Elvitegravir/cobicistat pharmacokinetics in pregnant and postpartum women with HIV. *AIDS*. 2018;32(17):2507-2516. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30134297">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30134297</a>.
- 9. van der Galien R, Ter Heine R, Greupink R, et al. Pharmacokinetics of HIV-integrase inhibitors during pregnancy: mechanisms, clinical implications and knowledge gaps. *Clin Pharmacokinet*. 2018. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29915921">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29915921</a>.
- 10. Badell ML, Sheth AN, Momplaisir F, et al. A multicenter analysis of elvitegravir use during pregnancy on HIV viral suppression and perinatal outcomes. *Open Forum Infect Dis*. 2019;6(4):ofz129. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31037241">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31037241</a>.
- 11. Best B, Caparelli E, Stek A, et al. Elvitegravir/cobicistat pharmacokinetics in pregnancy and postpartum. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2017. Seattle, WA.
- 12. Ford N, Mofenson L, Shubber Z, et al. Safety of efavirenz in the first trimester of pregnancy: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. *AIDS*. 2014;28 Suppl 2:S123-131. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24849471">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24849471</a>.
- 13. Martinez de Tejada B, European Pregnancy Paediatric HIV Cohort Collaboration Study Group. Birth defects after exposure to efavirenz-based antiretroviral therapy at conception/first trimester of pregnancy: a multicohort analysis. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2019;80(3):316-324. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30570524">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30570524</a>.

# Pregnant Women Living with HIV Who Have Previously Received Antiretroviral Medications but Are Not Currently Receiving Any Antiretroviral Medications (Last updated December 24, 2019; last reviewed December 24, 2019)

#### Panel's Recommendations

- Obtain an accurate history of all prior antiretroviral (ARV) medications used for HIV treatment or prevention of HIV transmission, including virologic efficacy, the patient's tolerance of the medications, the results of prior resistance testing, and problems with adherence (AIII).
- Choose and initiate an antiretroviral therapy (ART) regimen based on results of prior resistance testing, prior ARV drug use, concurrent medical conditions, and current recommendations for ART in pregnancy (see Table 5) (AII).
- If HIV RNA is above the threshold for resistance testing (i.e., >500 to 1,000 copies/mL), ARV drug-resistance testing should be performed prior to starting an ARV drug regimen (see <a href="Antiretroviral Drug Resistance">Antiretroviral Drug Resistance</a> and Resistance Testing in Pregnancy) (AIII).
- ART should be initiated prior to receiving results of current ARV resistance assays. ART should be modified based on the results of the resistance assay, if necessary (BIII).
- If the ART regimen results in insufficient viral suppression, repeat resistance testing and assess other considerations, including adherence, food requirements, and drug interactions (AII).
- Consider consulting an HIV treatment specialist when choosing an ART regimen for women who previously received ARV drugs or modifying ART in those who are not fully suppressed (BIII).

**Rating of Recommendations:** A = Strong: B = Moderate: C = Optional

Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

Pregnant women living with HIV who are currently not receiving antiretroviral therapy (ART) may have received antiretroviral (ARV) drugs in the past for their own health and/or prevention of perinatal or sexual HIV transmission. A small number of clinical trials and observational studies have generated information about the effectiveness of ART in individuals who previously received ART for prevention of perinatal transmission of HIV.<sup>1-4</sup>

There has been concern that prior, time-limited use of ART during pregnancy to prevent perinatal transmission may lead to resistance and, thus, reduced efficacy if these ARV drugs are used as a part of subsequent ART regimens. Standard genotyping has shown that rates of resistance after time-limited use of ART appear to be low. Resistance appears to be a concern primarily in patients who received time-limited non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NNRTI)-based therapy. In a comparison between 5,372 ARV-naive pregnant women and 605 women who had previously received ART (but who were not being treated immediately prior to the current pregnancy), ARV-experienced women had a small, but statistically significant, increase in the risk of detectable viral load at delivery (adjusted odds ratio 1.27; 95% confidence interval, 1.01–1.60). However, this increased risk was only seen in women who previously received NNRTI-based therapy, and not in those who previously received protease inhibitor (PI)-based therapies.

Both standard and sensitive genotyping techniques appear to show a low rate of resistance to PIs after pregnancy-limited use of PI-based ART, but these results reflect assessments in a limited number of women. 8,9 Increased risk of treatment failure has not been demonstrated with re-initiation of ART following reinitiation of ART or following the time-limited use of ART for prevention of perinatal transmission, especially when using ART regimens with a PI-based regimen or an integrase transfer strand inhibitor (INSTI). In ACTG 5227, 52 women who had previously received pregnancy-limited ART and who had no evidence of resistance were started on a fixed-dose combination of efavirenz/tenofovir disoproxil fumarate/emtricitabine once daily. After 6 months of therapy, 81% of these women achieved plasma viral loads that were below the limit of detection; the virologic suppression rate was not affected by the classes of previously used ARV drugs or whether women had received similar ART during one or more previous pregnancies. I

Data from the French Perinatal Cohort were used to assess rates of virologic suppression among women who received PI-based ART; ARV-naive women and women who had received ART during previous pregnancies to prevent perinatal transmission had similar rates of viral load suppression at delivery.<sup>10</sup>

ART is now recommended worldwide for women with HIV during pregnancy and throughout their lives. Pata have been reported regarding the benefits of ART for women with higher CD4 T lymphocyte (CD4) cell counts (>350 cells/mm³) and the potential harm of stopping ART after pregnancy in such women. Data from the PROMISE study (HAART Standard version) showed that women with CD4 counts ≥400 cells/mm³ who were randomized to continue ART postpartum had half the rate of World Health Organization stage 2 and 3 events as those who were randomized to discontinue ART. Further, poor adherence was a common problem for women during the postpartum period in this study. Among women who were randomized to continue ART, 189 of 827 women (23%) had virologic failure. Of the 156 women with virologic failure who had resistance testing, 33% had at least one mutation and 12% had resistance to their current ART regimen. Mutations and resistance occurred more often in women who experienced virologic failure on NNRTI-based regimens. However, most women did not have resistance to their current ART, which suggests nonadherence. When counselling women about the benefits of taking ART during pregnancy and continuing for life, health care providers should emphasize the health benefits of ART and the importance of adherence during the postpartum period (see Postpartum Follow-Up of Women Living with HIV Infection).

Women may choose to discontinue ART for a variety of reasons, and the length of time off treatment prior to pregnancy may vary. A woman's HIV treatment history and all prior drug resistance test results should be taken into account when choosing ART regimens for pregnant women who have previously received treatment, even when the results of drug resistance testing performed during the current pregnancy are not yet available. Interpretation of resistance testing can be complex, because resistance testing is most accurate when performed while an individual is still taking ART or within 4 weeks of discontinuing treatment (see Antiretroviral Drug Resistance and Resistance Testing in Pregnancy). In the absence of selective drug pressure, resistant virus may revert to wild type; therefore, a negative finding does not rule out the presence of archived resistant virus that could re-emerge once ART is restarted (see Antiretroviral Drug Resistance and Resistance Testing in Pregnancy). Therefore, when selecting a new ART regimen, all information, including regimens received, viral response, laboratory testing (including HLA-B\*5701 screening results), any tolerance or adherence problems, food requirements, concomitant medications, prior medical conditions, and the results of all prior resistance testing should be taken into consideration. In general, ART should be initiated prior to receiving the results of ARV drug-resistance testing, especially because longer durations of ART have been associated with reduced perinatal transmission rates compared to shorter treatment periods. 13,14 ART should be modified, when necessary, based on subsequent resistance assay results. Careful monitoring of virologic response is essential.

A woman may restart a previous ART regimen that successfully suppressed her viral load if the regimen was well tolerated and there is no evidence of resistance to that regimen. Ideally, the regimen should also be currently recommended as first-line or an alternative regimen for initial ART in pregnancy (see <u>Table 4</u>: <u>What to Start</u> and <u>Table 5</u>). Drugs that are not recommended for initial use because of toxicity (stavudine, didanosine, treatment-dose ritonavir) <u>should not be used</u>; drugs that are not recommended for initial use because of concerns about viral breakthrough during pregnancy should also be avoided, if possible (see <u>Table 5</u>). Even experienced health care providers may have difficulty with the selection of appropriate ART for women who have advanced HIV disease, a history of extensive prior ART, or previous significant toxicity or nonadherence. In addition to obtaining genotypic resistance testing, it is strongly recommended that specialists in the treatment of HIV be consulted early in the pregnancy about the choice of a suitable ART regimen for such women.

If ART produces an insufficient viral response (e.g., <1 log drop over 2–4 weeks),<sup>15</sup> repeat resistance testing, including testing for resistance to integrase strand transfer inhibitors if indicated (see Antiretroviral Drug Resistance and Resistance Testing in Pregnancy) and assess medication adherence, food requirements,

and potential drug interactions (including relevant pharmacokinetic studies when available) to inform potential regimen changes. Consultation with an HIV treatment specialist is recommended (see <u>Lack of Viral Suppression</u>).

#### **References**

- 1. Vogler MA, Smeaton LM, Wright RL, et al. Combination antiretroviral treatment for women previously treated only in pregnancy: week 24 results of AIDS clinical trials group protocol a5227. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2014;65(5):542-550. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24759064">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24759064</a>.
- 2. Aziz N, Sokoloff A, Kornak J, et al. Time to viral load suppression in antiretroviral-naive and -experienced HIV-infected pregnant women on highly active antiretroviral therapy: implications for pregnant women presenting late in gestation. *BJOG*. 2013;120(12):1534-1547. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23924192">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23924192</a>.
- 3. Huntington S, Thorne C, Anderson J, et al. Response to antiretroviral therapy (ART): comparing women with previous use of zidovudine monotherapy (ZDVm) in pregnancy with ART naive women. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2014;14:127. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24593018">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24593018</a>.
- 4. Geretti AM, Fox Z, Johnson JA, et al. Sensitive assessment of the virologic outcomes of stopping and restarting non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor-based antiretroviral therapy. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(7):e69266. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23874928">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23874928</a>.
- 5. French CE, Tookey PA, Cortina-Borja M, de Ruiter A, Townsend CL, Thorne C. Influence of short-course antenatal antiretroviral therapy on viral load and mother-to-child transmission in subsequent pregnancies among HIV-infected women. *Antivir Ther.* 2013;18(2):183-192. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23475123">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23475123</a>.
- 6. Perez H, Vignoles M, Laufer N, et al. Low rate of emergence of nevirapine and lamivudine resistance after post-partum interruption of a triple-drug regimen. *Antivir Ther*. 2008;13(1):135-139. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18389908">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18389908</a>.
- 7. Lehman DA, Chung MH, Mabuka JM, et al. Lower risk of resistance after short-course HAART compared with zidovudine/single-dose nevirapine used for prevention of HIV-1 mother-to-child transmission. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2009;51(5):522-529. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19502990">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19502990</a>.
- 8. Paredes R, Cheng I, Kuritzkes DR, Tuomala RE, Women, Infants Transmission Study Group. Postpartum antiretroviral drug resistance in HIV-1-infected women receiving pregnancy-limited antiretroviral therapy. *AIDS*. 2010;24(1):45-53. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19915448">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19915448</a>.
- 9. Gingelmaier A, Eberle J, Kost BP, et al. Protease inhibitor-based antiretroviral prophylaxis during pregnancy and the development of drug resistance. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2010;50(6):890-894. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20166821">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20166821</a>.
- 10. Briand N, Mandelbrot L, Blanche S, et al. Previous antiretroviral therapy for prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV does not hamper the initial response to PI-based multitherapy during subsequent pregnancy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2011;57(2):126-135. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21436712">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21436712</a>.
- 11. World Health Organization. Update of recommendations on first- and second-line antiretroviral regimens. 2019. Available at: <a href="https://www.who.int/hiv/pub/arv/arv-update-2019-policy/en/">https://www.who.int/hiv/pub/arv/arv-update-2019-policy/en/</a>.
- 12. Currier JS, Britto P, Hoffman RM, et al. Randomized trial of stopping or continuing ART among postpartum women with pre-ART CD4 >/= 400 cells/mm<sup>3</sup>. *PLoS One*. 2017;12(5):e0176009. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28489856">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28489856</a>.
- 13. Mandelbrot L, Tubiana R, Le Chenadec J, et al. No perinatal HIV-1 transmission from women with effective antiretroviral therapy starting before conception. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2015;61(11):1715-25. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26197844">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26197844</a>.
- 14. Townsend CL, Byrne L, Cortina-Borja M, et al. Earlier initiation of ART and further decline in mother-to-child HIV transmission rates, 2000–2011. *AIDS*. 2014;28(7):1049-1057. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24566097">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24566097</a>.
- 15. Rahangdale L, Cates J, Potter J, et al. Integrase inhibitors in late pregnancy and rapid HIV viral load reduction. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2016;214(3):385 e381-387. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26928154">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26928154</a>.

# Monitoring of the Woman and Fetus During Pregnancy (Last updated December 24, 2019; last reviewed December 24, 2019)

#### Panel's Recommendations

- Plasma HIV RNA levels of pregnant women with HIV should be monitored at the initial antenatal visit (AI), 2 to 4 weeks after initiating (or changing) an antiretroviral (ARV) drug regimen (BI), monthly until RNA levels are undetectable (BIII), and then at least every 3 months during pregnancy (BIII). HIV RNA levels also should be assessed at approximately 34 to 36 weeks' gestation to inform decisions about mode of delivery (see <u>Transmission and Mode of Delivery</u>) and to inform decisions about optimal management for the newborn (see <u>Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection</u>) (AIII).
- CD4 T lymphocyte (CD4) cell count should be monitored at the initial antenatal visit (AI). Patients who have been on antiretroviral therapy (ART) for ≥2 years and who have had consistent viral suppression and CD4 counts that are consistently >300 cells/mm³ do not need to have their CD4 counts monitored after the initial antenatal visit during this pregnancy, per the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines (CIII). Women who have been on ART for <2 years, women with CD4 counts <300 cells/mm³, and women with inconsistent adherence and/or detectable viral loads should have CD4 counts monitored every 3 to 6 months during pregnancy (CIII).
- HIV drug-resistance testing should be performed in women whose HIV RNA levels are above the threshold for standard resistance testing (i.e., >500 copies/mL to 1,000 copies/mL) before:
  - Initiating ART in ARV-naive pregnant women who have not been previously tested for ARV resistance (AII);
  - Initiating ART in ARV-experienced pregnant women (AIII); or
  - Modifying ART regimens for women who become pregnant while receiving ARV drugs or women who have suboptimal virologic response to ARV drugs that were started during pregnancy (AII).
- ART should be initiated in pregnant women prior to receiving results of ARV-resistance tests. ART should be modified, if necessary, based
  on the results of the resistance assay (BIII).
- Laboratory testing for monitoring of complications of ARV drugs during pregnancy should be based on what is known about the adverse effects of the drugs a woman is receiving (AIII).
- Women who are taking ART during pregnancy should undergo standard glucose screening at 24 to 28 weeks' gestation (AIII). Some experts
  suggest glucose screening early in pregnancy for women who are receiving protease inhibitor (PI)-based regimens that were initiated before
  pregnancy, in accordance with recommendations for women who are at risk for glucose intolerance (BIII). For more information on PIs, see
  Combination Antiretroviral Drug Regimens and Maternal and Neonatal Outcomes.
- Amniocentesis, if clinically indicated, should be performed on women with HIV only after initiation of an effective ART regimen and, ideally, when HIV RNA levels are undetectable (BIII). If a woman with detectable HIV RNA levels requires amniocentesis, consultation with an expert in the management of HIV in pregnancy should be considered (BIII).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong: B = Moderate: C = Optional

**Rating of Evidence:** I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

Viral loads should be monitored more frequently in pregnant individuals than in nonpregnant individuals because of the importance of rapid and sustained viral suppression in preventing perinatal HIV transmission. Individuals who are adherent to their antiretroviral (ARV) regimen and who do not harbor resistance mutations to the prescribed drugs should achieve viral suppression within 12 to 24 weeks. Individuals with higher viral loads and lower CD4 T lymphocyte (CD4) cell counts are more likely to require more time to achieve viral suppression<sup>1,2</sup> compared to those with lower viral loads and higher CD4 counts. In addition, those using integrase strand transfer inhibitors (INSTIs) are more likely to achieve suppression in much shorter time frames. Most patients with adequate viral response at 24 weeks of treatment have had at least a 1 log viral load decrease within 1 to 4 weeks after starting therapy.<sup>3,4</sup> Viral load should be monitored in pregnant women with HIV at the initial clinic visit, 2 to 4 weeks after initiating or changing an ARV regimen, monthly until undetectable, and at least every 3 months thereafter. If adherence is a concern, especially during early pregnancy, more frequent monitoring is recommended because of the potential increased risk of perinatal HIV transmission associated with detectable HIV viremia during pregnancy. 5-7 Similarly, pregnancy may affect the drug exposure levels or efficacy of some drugs; women who are taking these drugs may require a change in therapy or more frequent viral load monitoring (see Table 4 and Table 5). More frequent viral load monitoring is recommended for women receiving rilpivirine-based or cobicistat-boosted regimens

(elvitegravir, atazanavir, or darunavir). Although increasing the frequency of viral load monitoring may help detect viral rebound, this may be difficult to implement if visit attendance or access to viral load monitoring is limited. In addition, viremia detected in late pregnancy may be challenging to manage, requiring medication changes shortly before delivery, see <a href="Pregnant Women Living with HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy">Pregnant Women Living with HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy</a>.

Viral load also should be assessed at approximately 34 to 36 weeks' gestation to inform decisions about the mode of infant delivery and optimal treatment for newborns (see Transmission and Mode of Delivery).

In pregnant women with HIV, CD4 count should be monitored at the initial clinic visit. For patients who have been on antiretroviral therapy (ART) for ≥2 years, who have had consistent viral suppression and CD4 counts that are consistently >300 cells/mm³, and who are tolerating ART in pregnancy, CD4 count should be monitored only at the initial antenatal visit; CD4 counts do not need to be repeated for these patients during this pregnancy, as per the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines.³,8,9 Women who have been on ART for <2 years, women with CD4 counts of <300 cells/mm³, or women with inconsistent adherence and/or detectable viral loads should have CD4 counts monitored every 3 to 6 months during pregnancy. The safety of this approach is supported by research that demonstrates that patients who are stable on ART (defined as patients who have viral load levels <50 copies/mL and CD4 counts >500 cells/mm³ for 1 year) are highly unlikely to experience a CD4 count <350 cells/mm³ in the span of a year.¹0

HIV drug-resistance testing should be performed in women with HIV before starting or modifying ARV regimens if HIV RNA levels are above the threshold for standard resistance testing (i.e., >500 copies/mL to 1,000 copies/mL). See <u>Antiretroviral Drug Resistance and Resistance Testing in Pregnancy</u> for more information on resistance testing, including considerations regarding INSTI genotypic resistance testing. ART should not be delayed while waiting for resistance test results. If the results demonstrate resistance, then the regimen can be subsequently adjusted. ARV drug resistance testing should also be performed on women who are taking an ARV regimen but who have suboptimal viral suppression (i.e., failure to achieve undetectable levels of virus during an appropriate time frame, as noted above) or who have sustained viral rebound to detectable levels after prior viral suppression on an ARV regimen (see <u>Lack of Viral Suppression</u> and <u>Antiretroviral Drug Resistance and Resistance Testing in Pregnancy</u>). Drug-resistance testing in the setting of virologic failure is most useful when it is performed while patients are receiving ARV drugs or within 4 weeks after discontinuing drugs. Even if more than 4 weeks have elapsed since the ARV drugs were discontinued, resistance testing can still provide useful information to guide therapy, though it may not detect all resistance mutations that were selected by previous ART regimens.

Laboratory testing for monitoring of potential complications of ARV drugs during pregnancy should be based on what is known about the adverse effects of the drugs a woman is receiving. For example, routine hematologic monitoring is recommended for women who are receiving zidovudine-containing regimens, and routine renal monitoring is recommended for women on tenofovir disoproxil fumarate. Liver function should be monitored in all women who are receiving ARV drugs. Hepatic dysfunction has been observed in pregnant women on protease inhibitors (PIs), and use of any PI in pregnancy has been associated with higher rates of liver function test abnormalities than seen with NNRTI-based ART. Hepatic steatosis and lactic acidosis in pregnancy have been related to the use of nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors. Pregnant women in general are more likely to have elevated levels of liver enzymes than their nonpregnant counterparts. 11-13

Pregnancy increases the risk of glucose intolerance. PIs have been associated with increased risk of hyperglycemia, new-onset diabetes mellitus, exacerbation of existing diabetes mellitus, and diabetic ketoacidosis. 14-17 However, the majority of studies in pregnant women have not demonstrated an association between HIV infection and gestational diabetes, 18-22 though some studies with stringent definitions of gestational diabetes did show an increased risk of gestational diabetes in women who were taking PI-based regimens during pregnancy. Two studies reported higher odds of gestational diabetes in women who were receiving PI-based regimens, 24,25 but another prospective study reported that pregnant women with HIV who received PI-containing regimens did not have a greater risk for glucose intolerance or insulin resistance

than women who received regimens that did not contain a PI.<sup>26</sup> Women with HIV who are on ART during pregnancy should receive the standard glucose screening at 24 to 28 weeks' gestation that is recommended for all pregnant women. However, some experts would perform glucose screening earlier in pregnancy for women who are receiving PI-based ART that was initiated before pregnancy, similar to recommendations for women with risk factors for glucose intolerance.<sup>27</sup>

Accurate estimation of date of delivery is critical when planning scheduled cesarean deliveries at 38 weeks' gestation to prevent perinatal transmission in women with HIV who have elevated HIV RNA viral loads (or when scheduling cesarean delivery or induction for an obstetric indication). Therefore, it is recommended that health care providers follow the current obstetric guidelines for gestational age dating by ultrasound.

Noninvasive methods of aneuploidy screening should be offered, using tests with high sensitivity and low false-positive rates as recommended by American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Screening can be accomplished using any of the following:

- Serum analyte screening alone or combined with nuchal translucency,
- Cell-free DNA screening, or
- Ultrasonographic screening alone. 30,31

Women with HIV who have indications for invasive testing during pregnancy (e.g., abnormal ultrasound or aneuploidy screening) should be counseled about the potential risk of perinatal HIV transmission along with other risks of the procedure so that they can make an informed decision about testing. Although data for women receiving ART are still somewhat limited, the risk of perinatal HIV transmission does not appear to increase with the use of amniocentesis or other invasive diagnostic procedures in women who have virologic suppression on ART.<sup>32,33</sup> This is in contrast to the era before effective ART, during which invasive procedures such as amniocentesis and chorionic villus sampling (CVS) were associated with a two-fold to four-fold increase in risk of perinatal transmission of HIV.<sup>34-37</sup> Although no transmissions occurred among 159 reported cases of amniocentesis or other invasive diagnostic procedures performed in women who were on effective ART, a small increase in the risk of transmission cannot be ruled out.<sup>38-41</sup> Some experts consider CVS and cordocentesis too risky to offer to women with HIV, and they recommend limiting invasive procedures to amniocentesis.

At a minimum, pregnant women with HIV should receive effective ART before undergoing any invasive prenatal testing. In addition, they should ideally have undetectable HIV RNA levels at the time of the procedure, and every effort should be made to avoid inserting the needle through, or very close to, the placenta. If a woman with detectable HIV RNA levels requires amniocentesis, consultation with an expert in the management of HIV in pregnancy should be considered (see <a href="Other Intrapartum Management Considerations">Other Intrapartum Management Considerations</a>).

#### References

- 1. Aziz N, Sokoloff A, Kornak J, et al. Time to viral load suppression in antiretroviral-naive and -experienced HIV-infected pregnant women on highly active antiretroviral therapy: implications for pregnant women presenting late in gestation. *BJOG*. 2013;120(12):1534-1547. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23924192">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23924192</a>.
- 2. Snippenburg W, Nellen F, Smit C, Wensing A, Godfried MH, Mudrikova T. Factors associated with time to achieve an undetectable HIV RNA viral load after start of antiretroviral treatment in HIV-1-infected pregnant women. *J Virus Erad.* 2017;3(1):34-39. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28275456.
- 3. Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents. Guidelines for the use of antiretroviral agents in adults and adolescents living with HIV. 2018. Available at: http://aidsinfo.nih.gov/contentfiles/AdultandAdolescentGL.pdf.
- 4. Read PJ, Mandalia S, Khan P, et al. When should HAART be initiated in pregnancy to achieve an undetectable HIV viral load by delivery? *AIDS*. 2012;26(9):1095-1103. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22441248">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22441248</a>.
- 5. Garcia PM, Kalish LA, Pitt J, et al. Maternal levels of plasma human immunodeficiency virus type 1 RNA and the

- risk of perinatal transmission. *N Engl J Med*. 1999;341(6):394-402. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10432324">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10432324</a>.
- 6. Townsend CL, Byrne L, Cortina-Borja M, et al. Earlier initiation of ART and further decline in mother-to-child HIV transmission rates, 2000–2011. *AIDS*. 2014;28(7):1049-1057. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24566097">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24566097</a>.
- 7. Mandelbrot L, Tubiana R, Le Chenadec J, et al. No perinatal HIV-1 transmission from women with effective antiretroviral therapy starting before conception. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2015. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26197844">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26197844</a>.
- 8. Gale HB, Gitterman SR, Hoffman HJ, et al. Is frequent CD4+ T-lymphocyte count monitoring necessary for persons with counts ≥300 cells/muL and HIV-1 suppression? *Clin Infect Dis*. 2013;56(9):1340-1343. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23315315">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23315315</a>.
- 9. Girard PM, Nelson M, Mohammed P, Hill A, van Delft Y, Moecklinghoff C. Can we stop CD4+ testing in patients with HIV-1 RNA suppression on antiretroviral treatment? *AIDS*. 2013;27(17):2759-2763. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23842127">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23842127</a>.
- 10. Di Biagio A, Ameri M, Sirello D, et al. Is it still worthwhile to perform quarterly CD4+ T lymphocyte cell counts on hiv-1 infected stable patients? *BMC Infect Dis.* 2017;17(1):127. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28166729">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28166729</a>.
- 11. Huntington S, Thorne C, Anderson J, et al. Does pregnancy increase the risk of ART-induced hepatotoxicity among HIV-positive women? *J Int AIDS Soc.* 2014;17(4 Suppl 3):19486. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25393995">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25393995</a>.
- 12. Huntington S, Thorne C, Newell ML, et al. Pregnancy is associated with elevation of liver enzymes in HIV-positive women on antiretroviral therapy. *AIDS*. 2015;29(7):801-809. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25710412">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25710412</a>.
- 13. Sibiude J, Warszawski J, Tubiana R, et al. Liver enzyme elevation in pregnant women receiving antiretroviral therapy in the ANRS-French Perinatal Cohort. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2019;81(1):83-94. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30702449">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30702449</a>.
- 14. Food and Drug Administration. FDA Public Health Advisory: reports of diabetes and hyperglycemia in patients receiving protease inhibitors for treatment of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV). 1997. Available at: <a href="http://www.fda.gov/cder/news/proteaseletter.htm">http://www.fda.gov/cder/news/proteaseletter.htm</a>.
- 15. Eastone JA, Decker CF. New-onset diabetes mellitus associated with use of protease inhibitor [letter]. *Ann Intern Med*. 1997;127(10):948. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&list\_uids=9382376&dopt=Abstract">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&list\_uids=9382376&dopt=Abstract</a>.
- 16. Visnegarwala F, Krause KL, Musher DM. Severe diabetes associated with protease inhibitor therapy. *Ann Intern Med.* 1997;127(10):947. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9382374.
- 17. Dube MP, Sattler FR. Metabolic complications of antiretroviral therapies. *AIDS Clin Care*. 1998;10(6):41-44. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11365497.
- 18. Tang JH, Sheffield JS, Grimes J, et al. Effect of protease inhibitor therapy on glucose intolerance in pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2006;107(5):1115-1119. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16648418.
- 19. Haeri S, Shauer M, Dale M, et al. Obstetric and newborn infant outcomes in human immunodeficiency virus-infected women who receive highly active antiretroviral therapy. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2009;201(3):315 e311-315. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19733286">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19733286</a>.
- 20. Jao J, Wong M, Van Dyke RB, et al. Gestational diabetes mellitus in HIV-infected and -uninfected pregnant women in Cameroon. *Diabetes Care*. 2013;36(9):e141-142. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23970721.
- 21. Samuels EON, Isah AY, Offiong RA, Ekele BA. Foeto-maternal outcome of HIV-positive pregnant women on highly active antiretroviral therapy. *Int J Med Biomed Res.* 2014;3(3):202-208. Available at: <a href="https://www.ajol.info/index.php/ijmbr/article/download/111608/101385">https://www.ajol.info/index.php/ijmbr/article/download/111608/101385</a>.
- 22. Mmasa KN, Powis K, Makhema J, et al. Gestational diabetes in women on dolutegravir- or efavirenz-based ART

- in botswana. Abstract 740. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2018. Boston, Massachusetts. Available at: <a href="http://www.croiconference.org/sessions/gestational-diabetes-women-dolutegravir-or-efavirenz-based-art-botswana">http://www.croiconference.org/sessions/gestational-diabetes-women-dolutegravir-or-efavirenz-based-art-botswana</a>.
- 23. Soepnel LM, Norris SA, Schrier VJ, et al. The association between HIV, antiretroviral therapy, and gestational diabetes mellitus. *AIDS*. 2017;31(1):113-125. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27677165">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27677165</a>.
- 24. Gonzalez-Tome MI, Ramos Amador JT, Guillen S, et al. Gestational diabetes mellitus in a cohort of HIV-1 infected women. *HIV Med.* 2008;9(10):868-874. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18983478">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18983478</a>.
- 25. Marti C, Pena JM, Bates I, et al. Obstetric and perinatal complications in HIV-infected women. Analysis of a cohort of 167 pregnancies between 1997 and 2003. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand*. 2007;86(4):409-415. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17486461">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17486461</a>.
- 26. Hitti J, Andersen J, McComsey G, et al. Protease inhibitor-based antiretroviral therapy and glucose tolerance in pregnancy: AIDS Clinical Trials Group A5084. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2007;196(4):331 e331-337. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17403409">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17403409</a>.
- 27. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. ACOG practice bulletin no. 190 summary: gestational diabetes mellitus. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2018;131(2):406-408. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29370044.
- 28. Malaba TR, Newell ML, Madlala H, Perez A, Gray C, Myer L. Methods of gestational age assessment influence the observed association between antiretroviral therapy exposure, preterm delivery, and small-for-gestational age infants: a prospective study in Cape Town, South Africa. *Ann Epidemiol*. 2018;28(12):893-900. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30293920">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30293920</a>.
- 29. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Committee opinion No 700: methods for estimating the due date. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2017;129(5):e150-e154. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28426621">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28426621</a>.
- 30. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Committee Opinion No. 545: Noninvasive prenatal testing for fetal aneuploidy. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2012;120(6):1532-4. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23168792">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23168792</a>.
- 31. Gagnon A, Davies G, Wilson RD, et al. Prenatal invasive procedures in women with hepatitis B, hepatitis C, and/or human immunodeficiency virus infections. *JOGC*. 2014;36(7):648-655. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25184985">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25184985</a>.
- 32. Floridia M, Masuelli G, Meloni A, et al. Amniocentesis and chorionic villus sampling in HIV-infected pregnant women: a multicentre case series. *BJOG*. 2017;124(8):1218-1223. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27319948">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27319948</a>.
- 33. Peters H, Francis K, Harding K, Tookey PA, Thorne C. Operative vaginal delivery and invasive procedures in pregnancy among women living with HIV. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol*. 2017;210:295-299. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28092853">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28092853</a>.
- 34. Mandelbrot L, Mayaux MJ, Bongain A, et al. Obstetric factors and mother-to-child transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1: the French perinatal cohorts. SEROGEST French Pediatric HIV Infection Study Group. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 1996;175(3 Pt 1):661-667. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8828431">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8828431</a>.
- 35. Tess BH, Rodrigues LC, Newell ML, Dunn DT, Lago TD. Breastfeeding, genetic, obstetric and other risk factors associated with mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 in Sao Paulo State, Brazil. Sao Paulo collaborative study for vertical transmission of HIV-1. *AIDS*. 1998;12(5):513-520. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9543450">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9543450</a>.
- 36. Shapiro DE, Sperling RS, Mandelbrot L, Britto P, Cunningham BE. Risk factors for perinatal human immunodeficiency virus transmission in patients receiving zidovudine prophylaxis. Pediatric AIDS clinical trials group protocol 076 study group. *Obstet Gynecol*. 1999;94(6):897-908. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10576173">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10576173</a>.
- 37. Maiques V, Garcia-Tejedor A, Perales A, Cordoba J, Esteban RJ. HIV detection in amniotic fluid samples. Amniocentesis can be performed in HIV pregnant women? *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* 2003;108(2):137-141. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12781400">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12781400</a>.
- 38. Somigliana E, Bucceri AM, Tibaldi C, et al. Early invasive diagnostic techniques in pregnant women who are infected with the HIV: a multicenter case series. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2005;193(2):437-442. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16098867">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16098867</a>.

- 39. Coll O, Suy A, Hernandez S, et al. Prenatal diagnosis in human immunodeficiency virus-infected women: a new screening program for chromosomal anomalies. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2006;194(1):192-198. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16389031">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16389031</a>.
- 40. Ekoukou D, Khuong-Josses MA, Ghibaudo N, Mechali D, Rotten D. Amniocentesis in pregnant HIV-infected patients. Absence of mother-to-child viral transmission in a series of selected patients. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol*. 2008;140(2):212-217. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18584937">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18584937</a>.
- 41. Mandelbrot L, Jasseron C, Ekoukou D, et al. Amniocentesis and mother-to-child human immunodeficiency virus transmission in the Agence Nationale de Recherches sur le SIDA et les Hepatites Virales French Perinatal Cohort. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2009;200(2):160 e161-169. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18986640">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18986640</a>.

## Antiretroviral Drug Resistance and Resistance Testing in Pregnancy (Last updated

December 24, 2019; last reviewed December 24, 2019)

#### Panel's Recommendations

- HIV drug-resistance genotype testing should be performed in women living with HIV whose HIV RNA levels are above the threshold for
  resistance testing (i.e., >500 to 1,000 copies/mL) before:
  - Initiating antiretroviral therapy (ART) in antiretroviral (ARV)-naive pregnant women who have not been previously tested for ARV resistance (AII),
  - Initiating ART in ARV-experienced pregnant women (AIII), or
  - Modifying ART regimens for women who are newly pregnant and receiving ART drugs or who have suboptimal virologic response to the ARV drugs started during pregnancy (AII).
- ART should be initiated in pregnant women prior to receiving results of ARV-resistance testing; ART should be modified, if necessary, based on the results of resistance assays (BIII).
- If the use of an integrase strand transfer inhibitor (INSTI) is being considered and INSTI resistance is a concern, providers should supplement standard resistance testing with a specific INSTI genotypic resistance assay (BIII). INSTI resistance may be a concern if:
  - A patient received prior treatment that included an INSTI, or
  - · A patient has a history with a sexual partner on INSTI therapy.
- Documented zidovudine (ZDV) resistance does not affect the indications for use of intrapartum intravenous ZDV (see <a href="Intrapartum-ntravenous-zdv">Intrapartum intravenous zdv</a> (see <a href="Intrapartum-ntravenous-zdv">Intrapartum intravenous-zdv</a> (see <a href="Intrapartum-ntravenous-zdv">Intrapartum intravenous-zdv</a> (see <a href="Intrapartum-ntravenous-zdv">Intrapartum intravenous-zdv</a> (see <a href="Intrapartum-ntravenous-zdv">Intrapartum intravenous-zdv</a> (see <a href="Intrapartum-ntravenous-zdv">Intrapartum-ntravenous-zdv</a> (see <a href="
- Choice of ARV regimen for an infant born to a woman with known or suspected drug resistance should be determined in consultation
  with a pediatric HIV specialist, preferably before delivery (see <u>Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection</u>) (AIII).
- Pregnant women living with HIV should be given ART to maximally suppress viral replication, which is the most effective strategy for preventing development of resistance and minimizing risk of perinatal transmission (All).
- All pregnant and postpartum women should be counseled about the importance of adherence to prescribed ARV medications to reduce the risk of developing resistance (AII).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

**Rating of Evidence:** I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

## Indications for Antiretroviral Drug-Resistance Testing in Pregnant Women with HIV

Identification of baseline resistance mutations allows for the selection of more effective and durable antiretroviral (ARV) regimens. Genotypic resistance testing (in addition to obtaining a comprehensive history of ARV drug use) is recommended for women with HIV who have HIV RNA levels above the threshold for resistance testing (i.e., >500 to 1,000 copies/mL) before:

- Initiating antiretroviral therapy (ART) in ARV-naive pregnant women who have not been previously tested for ARV resistance,
- Initiating ART in ARV-experienced pregnant women, or
- Modifying ART regimens for women who are newly pregnant and receiving ART drugs or who have suboptimal virologic response to ARV drugs that were initiated during pregnancy.

In most settings, the results of resistance testing guide the selection of the initial ART regimen. However, ART should be initiated in ARV-naive pregnant women or ARV-experienced women who are not presently on ART without waiting for the results of resistance testing, as earlier viral suppression is associated with lower risk of perinatal transmission. The regimen can be modified, if required, when test results return.

It is increasingly common for integrase strand transfer inhibitors (INSTIs) to be included in ART regimens for pregnant women. Resistance to INSTIs is generally uncommon among ARV-naive individuals in the United States. INSTI resistance was detected in 2.4% of ART-naive persons and 9.6% of ART-experienced persons with HIV in North Carolina. The prevalence of INSTI resistance increased slightly from 0.0%

in 2004 to 1.4% in 2013 in Washington, DC.<sup>4</sup> A polymorphism or a substitution associated with INSTI resistance was found in 1.4% of INSTI-naive persons in 16 clinical trials.<sup>5</sup>

The development of INSTI resistance is infrequent among people who receive INSTI-based ART (only 1.48% to 3.80% of people develop resistance). A modelling study found that testing for INSTI resistance at ART initiation was not cost effective and did not improve clinical outcomes.<sup>6</sup> Routine INSTI-resistance testing is generally not indicated in pregnant women. However, such testing can be considered when a patient received prior treatment that included an INSTI or when a patient has a history with a sexual partner on INSTI therapy.

HIV drug resistance genotype testing detects mutations that confer resistance to protease inhibitors (PIs), nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs), and non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTIs). Phenotypic resistance testing is generally reserved for cases of complex NRTI-resistance patterns in patients with limited treatment options (see Drug-Resistance Testing in the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines). At some institutions, testing for INSTI resistance may have to be ordered separately.

## Incidence and Significance of Antiretroviral Drug Resistance in Pregnancy

The development of ARV drug resistance is one of the major factors leading to therapeutic failure in individuals with HIV. In addition, pre-existing resistance to a drug in an ART regimen may diminish the regimen's efficacy in preventing perinatal transmission. Maternal drug resistance can be transmitted to the fetus, which can limit treatment options for the infant. Resistance to ARV drugs appears to be more common in women who acquired HIV perinatally than in other women with HIV.<sup>7</sup> The complexities of managing pregnant women with perinatally acquired HIV warrant consultation with an expert in HIV (see Antiretroviral Therapy and HIV Management in Women with Perinatal HIV Infection for more information).

Several factors that are unique to pregnancy may increase the risk of developing resistance. Problems such as nausea and vomiting in early pregnancy may compromise adherence, increasing the risk of developing resistance in women receiving ARV drugs. Pharmacokinetic changes during pregnancy, such as increased plasma volume and renal clearance, may lead to sub-therapeutic drug levels, increasing the risk that resistance will develop.

# Impact of Resistance on the Risk of Perinatal HIV Transmission and Maternal Response to Subsequent Therapy

#### **Perinatal Transmission**

There is little evidence that the presence of resistance mutations increases the risk of perinatal transmission when pregnant women with HIV are on suppressive ART. Some studies have suggested that drug-resistance mutations may diminish viral fitness, possibly leading to a decrease in transmissibility. A nested case-control study that was conducted as part of the NICHD/HPTN 040 (P1043) study found that pre-existing drug-resistance mutations in pregnant women who did not receive antepartum ARV drugs were not associated with an increased risk of perinatal HIV transmission.

Neither resistance to NNRTI drugs that develops as a result of exposure to single-dose nevirapine (NVP) nor exposure to single-dose NVP during a prior pregnancy has been shown to affect perinatal transmission rates.<sup>10</sup>

In the era before suppressive ART was recommended for all pregnant women, the prevalence of ARV drug resistance among newborns diagnosed with HIV in New York State was 11 of 91 infants (12.1%) born between 1989 and 1999 and eight of 42 (19%) infants born between 2001 and 2002. Thus, for infants with HIV, there is a high risk of ARV drug resistance. In a study of 84 children with perinatal HIV infection in France that collected data between 2006 and 2017, transmitted drug resistance was found in 8.3% of participants. No participants had triple-class resistance; 5% had INSTI-related mutations (an E157Q mutation

#### **Maternal Response to Subsequent Treatment Regimens**

A study that used data collected from pregnant women enrolled in the French Perinatal Cohort between 2005 and 2009 evaluated the association between exposure to ARV drugs to prevent perinatal transmission during a previous pregnancy and the presence of a detectable viral load after exposure to ARV drugs during the current pregnancy. Among 1,166 women who were not receiving ARV drugs at the time of conception, 869 were ARV-naive and 247 had received ARV drugs to prevent perinatal transmission during a previous pregnancy. Forty-eight percent of these women had previously used a PI-based regimen for ARV prophylaxis, 4% had used a regimen that did not include a PI, 19% had used a dual-NRTI regimen, and 29% had used zidovudine (ZDV) alone. A PI-based ART regimen was initiated in 90% of the women during the current pregnancy; in multivariate analysis, ARV exposure during a prior pregnancy was not associated with detectable viral load in the current pregnancy.

A separate study (ACTG A5227) evaluated viral suppression in 52 women who had previously taken ART to prevent perinatal transmission. These women had stopped taking ARV drugs at least 24 weeks before study entry and had initiated a regimen of efavirenz, tenofovir disoproxil fumarate, and emtricitabine for treatment during the study. Previous drug-resistance tests had not documented resistance in any of the women, and standard bulk genotyping did not detect resistance in any of the women at screening. Viral suppression was observed in 81% of women after 24 weeks of follow-up. Neither the number of prior ARV drug exposures to prevent perinatal transmission nor the drug class of prior exposure were associated with a failure to achieve viral suppression. Recent clinical series have confirmed this observation. 16,17

## Management of Antiretroviral Drug Resistance during Pregnancy

Women who have documented ZDV resistance and who did not receive ZDV as part of their antepartum regimen should still receive intravenous (IV) ZDV during labor when indicated (IV zidovudine is indicated for women with HIV RNA > 1,000 copies/mL near delivery; see Intrapartum Antiretroviral Therapy/ Prophylaxis). A patient's normal ART regimen should be continued orally during labor to the extent possible. The rationale for including ZDV intrapartum when a woman is known to harbor virus with ZDV resistance is based on several factors. Only wild-type virus appears to be transmitted to infants by mothers who have mixed populations of wild-type virus and virus with low-level ZDV resistance. 18 Other studies have suggested that drug-resistance mutations may diminish viral fitness and possibly decrease transmissibility. 8 The efficacy of ZDV prophylaxis appears to be based not only on a reduction in maternal HIV viral load but also on the use of pre-exposure and post-exposure prophylaxis in the infant. <sup>19-21</sup> ZDV crosses the placenta readily and has a high cord-to-maternal-blood ratio. In addition, ZDV is metabolized to the active triphosphate within the placenta, <sup>22,23</sup> which may provide additional protection against transmission. ZDV penetrates the central nervous system (CNS) better than other nucleoside analogues except stavudine, which has similar CNS penetration; this may help eliminate a potential reservoir for transmitted HIV in the infant.<sup>24,25</sup> ZDV's unique characteristics and its proven record in reducing perinatal transmission support the recommendation to administer intrapartum IV ZDV when indicated, even in the presence of known ZDV resistance.

The optimal prophylactic regimen for newborns of women with drug-resistant virus is unknown. Therefore, ARV prophylaxis for infants born to women with known or suspected drug-resistant virus should be determined with the help of a pediatric HIV specialist, preferably before delivery (see <a href="Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection">Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection</a>). There is no evidence that neonatal prophylaxis regimens that have been customized to address maternal drug resistance are more effective than standard neonatal prophylaxis regimens.

## Prevention of Antiretroviral Drug Resistance

The most effective way for a patient to prevent the development of ARV drug resistance in pregnancy is to adhere to an effective ARV regimen that achieves maximal viral suppression. However, several studies have

demonstrated that women's adherence to ART may worsen during the postpartum period. <sup>26-31</sup>

Previous versions of the Perinatal Guidelines have provided guidance for clinicians in cases where women stop their ART regimen postpartum. However, the Panel on Treatment of Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission strongly recommends that ART, once initiated, not be discontinued. If a woman desires to discontinue ART after delivery, a consultation with an HIV specialist is strongly recommended (see Discontinuation or Interruption of Antiretroviral Therapy in the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines).

- 1. Pediatric HIV/AIDS Cohort Study. Surveillance monitor of ART toxitities (SMARTT) study annual administrative report. 2017. Available at: <a href="https://phacsstudy.org/cms\_uploads/Latest%20Documents/SMARTT\_Annual\_Administrative\_Report\_Apr2017\_web.pdf">https://phacsstudy.org/cms\_uploads/Latest%20Documents/SMARTT\_Annual\_Administrative\_Report\_Apr2017\_web.pdf</a>.
- 2. Stekler JD, McKernan J, Milne R, et al. Lack of resistance to integrase inhibitors among antiretroviral-naive subjects with primary HIV-1 infection, 2007-2013. *Antivir Ther*. 2015;20(1):77-80. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24831260">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24831260</a>.
- 3. Menza TW, Billock R, Samoff E, Eron JJ, Dennis AM. Pretreatment integrase strand transfer inhibitor resistance in North Carolina from 2010–2016. *AIDS*. 2017;31(16):2235-2244. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28991024">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28991024</a>.
- 4. Aldous AM, Castel AD, Parenti DM, D. C. Cohort Executive Committee. Prevalence and trends in transmitted and acquired antiretroviral drug resistance, Washington, DC, 1999-2014. *BMC Res Notes*. 2017;10(1):474. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28893321">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28893321</a>.
- 5. Abram ME, Ram RR, Margot NA, et al. Lack of impact of pre-existing T97A HIV-1 integrase mutation on integrase strand transfer inhibitor resistance and treatment outcome. *PLoS One*. 2017;12(2):e0172206. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28212411">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28212411</a>.
- 6. Koullias Y, Sax PE, Fields NF, Walensky RP, Hyle EP. Should we be testing for baseline Integrase resistance in patients newly diagnosed with human immunodeficiency virus? *Clin Infect Dis*. 2017;65(8):1274-1281. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28605418">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28605418</a>.
- 7. Lazenby GB, Mmeje O, Fisher BM, et al. Antiretroviral resistance and pregnancy characteristics of women with perinatal and nonperinatal HIV infection. *Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol*. 2016;2016:4897501. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27413359">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27413359</a>.
- 8. Sheth PM, Kovacs C, Kemal KS, et al. Persistent HIV RNA shedding in semen despite effective antiretroviral therapy. *AIDS*. 2009;23(15):2050-2054. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19710596">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19710596</a>.
- 9. Yeganeh N, Kerin T, Ank B, et al. Human immunodeficiency virus antiretroviral resistance and transmission in mother-infant pairs enrolled in a large perinatal study. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2018;66(11):1770-1777. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29272365">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29272365</a>.
- 10. Martinson NA, Ekouevi DK, Dabis F, et al. Transmission rates in consecutive pregnancies exposed to single-dose nevirapine in Soweto, South Africa and Abidjan, Cote d'Ivoire. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2007;45(2):206-209. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17438480">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17438480</a>.
- 11. Parker MM, Wade N, Lloyd RM, Jr., et al. Prevalence of genotypic drug resistance among a cohort of HIV-infected newborns. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2003;32(3):292-297. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12626889">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12626889</a>.
- 12. Karchava M, Pulver W, Smith L, et al. Prevalence of drug-resistance mutations and non-subtype B strains among HIV-infected infants from New York State. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2006;42(5):614-619. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16868498">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16868498</a>.
- 13. Frange P, Avettand-Fenoel V, Veber F, Blanche S, Chaix ML. Prevalence of drug resistance in children recently diagnosed with HIV-1 infection in France (2006-17): impact on susceptibility to first-line strategies. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2018;73(9):2475-2479. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29846602.
- 14. Briand N, Mandelbrot L, Blanche S, et al. Previous antiretroviral therapy for prevention of mother-to-child transmission

- of HIV does not hamper the initial response to PI-based multitherapy during subsequent pregnancy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2011;57(2):126-135. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21436712">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21436712</a>.
- 15. Vogler MA, Smeaton LM, Wright RL, et al. Combination antiretroviral treatment for women previously treated only in pregnancy: week 24 results of AIDS clinical trials group protocol a5227. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2014;65(5):542-550. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24759064.
- 16. Aziz N, Sokoloff A, Kornak J, et al. Time to viral load suppression in antiretroviral-naive and -experienced HIV-infected pregnant women on highly active antiretroviral therapy: implications for pregnant women presenting late in gestation. *BJOG*. 2013. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23924192.
- 17. Boltz VF, Bao Y, Lockman S, et al. Low-frequency nevirapine (NVP)-resistant HIV-1 variants are not associated with failure of antiretroviral therapy in women without prior exposure to single-dose NVP. *J Infect Dis*. 2014;209(5):703-10. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24443547.
- 18. Colgrove RC, Pitt J, Chung PH, Welles SL, Japour AJ. Selective vertical transmission of HIV-1 antiretroviral resistance mutations. *AIDS*. 1998;12(17):2281-2288. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9863870">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9863870</a>.
- 19. Sperling RS, Shapiro DE, Coombs RW, et al. Maternal viral load, zidovudine treatment, and the risk of transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 from mother to infant. Pediatric AIDS clinical trials group protocol 076 study group. *N Engl J Med.* 1996;335(22):1621-1629. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8965861">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8965861</a>.
- 20. Wade NA, Birkhead GS, Warren BL, et al. Abbreviated regimens of zidovudine prophylaxis and perinatal transmission of the human immunodeficiency virus. *N Engl J Med.* 1998;339(20):1409-1414. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9811915">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9811915</a>.
- 21. Melvin AJ, Burchett SK, Watts DH, et al. Effect of pregnancy and zidovudine therapy on viral load in HIV-1-infected women. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr Hum Retrovirol*. 1997;14(3):232-236. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9117455">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9117455</a>.
- 22. Qian M, Bui T, Ho RJ, Unadkat JD. Metabolism of 3'-azido-3'-deoxythymidine (AZT) in human placental trophoblasts and Hofbauer cells. *Biochem Pharmacol*. 1994;48(2):383-389. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8053935">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8053935</a>.
- 23. Sandberg JA, Binienda Z, Lipe G, et al. Placental transfer and fetal disposition of 2',3'-dideoxycytidine and 2',3'-dideoxyinosine in the rhesus monkey. *Drug Metabolism Dispos:* the biological fate of chemicals. 1995;23(8):881-884. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7493557">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7493557</a>.
- 24. Peters PJ, Stringer J, McConnell MS, et al. Nevirapine-associated hepatotoxicity was not predicted by CD4 count >/=250 cells/muL among women in Zambia, Thailand and Kenya. *HIV Med*. 2010;11(10):650-660. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20659176">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20659176</a>.
- 25. Thomas SA. Anti-HIV drug distribution to the central nervous system. *Curr Pharm Des.* 2004;10(12):1313-1324. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15134483.
- 26. Cohn SE, Umbleja T, Mrus J, Bardeguez AD, Andersen JW, Chesney MA. Prior illicit drug use and missed prenatal vitamins predict nonadherence to antiretroviral therapy in pregnancy: adherence analysis A5084. *AIDS Patient Care STDS*. 2008;22(1):29-40. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18442305.
- 27. Bardeguez AD, Lindsey JC, Shannon M, et al. Adherence to antiretrovirals among US women during and after pregnancy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2008;48(4):408-417. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18614923">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18614923</a>.
- 28. Mellins CA, Chu C, Malee K, et al. Adherence to antiretroviral treatment among pregnant and postpartum HIV-infected women. *AIDS Care*. 2008;20(8):958-968. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18608073">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18608073</a>.
- 29. Rana AI, Gillani FS, Flanigan TP, Nash BT, Beckwith CG. Follow-up care among HIV-infected pregnant women in Mississippi. *J Womens Health (Larchmt)*. 2010;19(10):1863-1867. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20831428">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20831428</a>.
- 30. Anderson J. Women and HIV: motherhood and more. *Curr Opin Infect Dis*. 2012;25(1):58-65. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22156896">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22156896</a>.
- 31. Nachega JB, Uthman OA, Anderson J, et al. Adherence to antiretroviral therapy during and after pregnancy in low-income, middle-income, and high-income countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *AIDS*. 2012;26(16):2039-2052. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22951634.

## Lack of Viral Suppression (Last updated December 24, 2019; last reviewed December 24, 2019)

#### Panel's Recommendations

- Because maternal antenatal viral load correlates with the risk of perinatal transmission of HIV, suppression of HIV RNA to undetectable
  levels should be achieved as rapidly as possible (AII).
- · For pregnant women who have not achieved viral suppression (after an adequate period of treatment):
  - Assess medication adherence, tolerability, dosing, potential problems with absorption, adherence to food requirements, and possible drug interactions.
  - If HIV RNA is >500 copies/mL, perform tests for resistance (All).
  - · Consult an HIV treatment expert and consider possible antiretroviral regimen modification (AIII).
- Intrapartum intravenous zidovudine prophylaxis and scheduled cesarean delivery at 38 weeks gestation are recommended for pregnant women living with HIV who have HIV RNA levels >1,000 copies/mL near the time of delivery (AII).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

**Rating of Evidence:** I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

Virologic suppression is defined as a confirmed HIV RNA level that is below the lower limits of detection of an ultrasensitive assay, and virologic failure is the inability to achieve or maintain an HIV RNA level <200 copies/mL. Baseline HIV RNA levels have been shown to affect the time to response in both pregnant and nonpregnant individuals, and no difference in time to viral response has been observed between pregnant and nonpregnant women.<sup>1,2</sup> In women living with HIV who participated in three prospective studies from seven African countries and who became pregnant after initiating antiretroviral therapy (ART), incident pregnancy did not affect time to viral suppression or time to virologic failure.<sup>3</sup>

HIV RNA levels should be assessed 2 to 4 weeks after an antiretroviral (ARV) drug regimen is initiated or changed to provide an initial assessment of the regimen's effectiveness.<sup>4</sup> Most patients with an adequate viral response at 24 weeks of treatment have had at least a 1 log<sub>10</sub> decrease in HIV RNA within 1 week to 4 weeks after starting therapy.<sup>4</sup> Suppression of HIV RNA to undetectable levels should be achieved as rapidly as possible, because maternal antenatal HIV RNA level correlates with the risk of perinatal transmission of HIV. In addition, an analysis from the Women's Interagency HIV Study cohort found that higher viral loads were associated with an increased risk of pregnancy loss through miscarriage or stillbirth.<sup>5</sup> However, a recent report from the HIV Outpatient Study noted that among 119 pregnancies that were analyzed between 2005 and 2015, 33 women (27.7%) were not virally suppressed (HIV RNA >500 copies/mL) at the end of pregnancy. Failure to achieve virologic suppression remains a common problem for pregnant women in the United States.<sup>6</sup>

## Causes of Detectable Viremia

Poor adherence is frequently associated with lack of virologic suppression, and this issue should be addressed when the viral load does not decline as expected. A systematic review and meta-analysis of ART adherence during and after pregnancy in low-, middle-, and high-income countries (27% of studies were from the United States) found that only 73.5% of pregnant women achieved adequate (>80%) ART adherence. Factors that can contribute to suboptimal adherence include unplanned pregnancy, a history of intimate partner violence, a lack of prior experience with taking ART, and a lack of knowledge about the role of ART in preventing perinatal transmission. Evaluation of and support for adherence during pregnancy is critical to achieving and maintaining maximal viral suppression.

The lack of virologic suppression by late pregnancy may indicate virologic failure, but it may also represent inadequate time on ART. In a retrospective multicenter cohort of 378 pregnant women, 77.2% of women achieved HIV RNA <50 copies/mL by delivery; success in achieving viral suppression varied by baseline HIV RNA level. In women with baseline HIV RNA levels <10,000 copies/mL, the gestational age of their

infants at ART initiation did not affect the likelihood of achieving viral suppression up to 26.3 weeks gestation. In women with baseline HIV RNA levels >10,000 copies/mL, however, delaying ART initiation past 20.4 weeks in women with baseline HIV RNA levels >10,000 copies/mL significantly reduced the probability of achieving maximal suppression at delivery. Among 1,070 treatment-naive pregnant women with HIV who participated in the prospective cohort study IMPAACT P1025, initiating ART at >32 weeks gestation was also associated with a significantly higher risk of having a viral load >400 copies/mL at delivery. A report from the French Perinatal Cohort found no perinatal transmission among 2,651 infants born to women who received ART before conception, continued ART throughout pregnancy, and delivered with a plasma HIV RNA <50 copies/mL with an upper limit for the 95% confidence interval [CI] of 0.1%). In the entire cohort of 8,075 mother-infant pairs that were followed from 2000 through 2011, HIV RNA level and timing of ART initiation were independently associated with perinatal transmission in a logistic regression analysis. In the entire cohort of 8,075 mother-infant pairs that were followed from 2000 through 2011, HIV RNA level and timing of ART initiation were independently associated with perinatal transmission in a logistic regression analysis.

The response to ART may also be affected by other factors. A prospective study recorded serial measures of plasma HIV RNA and CD4 T lymphocyte (CD4) counts after non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitorbased ART was initiated in 25 women with acute HIV infection and 30 women with chronic HIV infection in Kenya. The mean baseline HIV viral load was similar among women with acute HIV and women with chronic HIV after adjusting for baseline CD4 count, but the rate of viral decline following ART initiation was significantly slower among women with acute HIV.<sup>12</sup> Strategies to accelerate viral decline may be considered in women with acute HIV, though these strategies should be discussed with HIV treatment experts (see Acute HIV Infection). In a population-based surveillance study in the United Kingdom and Ireland that compared 70 pregnancies in 45 women with perinatally acquired HIV and 184 pregnancies in 118 women with horizontally-acquired HIV, perinatally acquired HIV in the mother was a risk factor for detectable viral load near delivery; this finding reflects complex clinical, psychosocial, adherence, and resistance issues. Among 2,123 births that occurred between 2007 and 2015 and were reported in the Surveillance Monitoring of ART Toxicities Study as part of the Pediatric HIV/AIDS Cohort Study, women with perinatally acquired HIV had a higher perinatal transmission rate (1.1%; 95% CI, 0.3% to 4.3% vs. 0.4%; 95% CI, 0.2% to 1.0%) and higher likelihood of having HIV RNA > 1,000 copies/mL close to delivery than women with non-perinatally acquired HIV.<sup>14</sup> If needed, ART regimens should be optimized in consultation with HIV treatment experts and other possible contributing factors should be considered (see Prenatal Care, Antiretroviral Therapy, and HIV Management in Women with Perinatal HIV Infection).

## Managing Suboptimal Viral Suppression

A three-pronged approach is indicated for managing women on ART regimens who have suboptimal suppression of HIV RNA, taking time on treatment into account. The three steps are:

- Assessing adherence, tolerability, correct dosing, or potential problems with absorption (e.g., nausea/vomiting, gastroesophageal reflux disease [GERD], lack of attention to food requirements);
- Ordering ARV drug resistance tests if plasma HIV RNA is above the threshold for resistance testing (generally >500 copies/mL); and
- Considering modifying the ART regimen (see <u>Pregnant Women Living with HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy</u> and <u>Table 5</u>).

The role of therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) in reducing the risk of virologic failure is still undefined.<sup>15</sup> In a cohort of pregnant women with HIV, 66 (39%) received TDM.<sup>16</sup> Multivariate analysis found that receiving TDM was associated with medication alterations during pregnancy. However, the incidences of viral breakthrough during pregnancy or detectable viral load at birth were similar between women who received TDM and those who did not, and no instances of perinatal transmission were reported in either group. However, this analysis was limited by the retrospective observational nature of this study, the presence of significant baseline differences in adherence between those who received TDM and those who did not, and

#### insufficient statistical power to establish some associations.

Before modifying an ARV regimen, consult an expert in clinical care for ARV-experienced adults. This is particularly important in cases where a drug regimen must be modified due to resistance or adverse effects. Regimen simplification may be considered to promote better adherence. Other possible interventions include adherence education, treating problems that may interfere with drug absorption (e.g., vomiting), ensuring that a patient is taking ART in accordance with food requirements, and directly observing drug administration in the home or hospital setting (see <u>Table 8</u>).<sup>17</sup>

Among 662 pregnancies that were followed in Italy between 2001 and 2008, treatment modification during pregnancy was independently associated with an HIV RNA level >400 copies/mL in late pregnancy (adjusted odds ratio 1.66; 95% CI, 1.07–2.57; P = 0.024). This highlights the importance of using potent and well-tolerated regimens during pregnancy to maximize effectiveness and minimize the need to modify treatment. These findings also highlight the importance of avoiding changing effective ARV regimens whenever possible in women who become pregnant while taking ART (see <u>Pregnant Women Living with HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy</u>).

# The Role of Integrase Strand Transfer Inhibitors in Women with Detectable HIV RNA Levels During Pregnancy

The integrase strand transfer inhibitor (INSTI) class of drugs has been associated with rapid viral load reduction. Raltegravir (RAL) has been shown to reduce viral load by approximately 2 log<sub>10</sub> copies/mL by Week 2 of therapy in ART-naive patients. Because of this, the use of INSTIs have been suggested in three distinct scenarios in pregnancy:

- As part of a regimen for women who are not on ART and who present to care late in pregnancy;
- As the fourth ARV drug in a regimen for women with high viral loads; or
- As part of a new regimen for a woman who is experiencing virologic failure while on ART.

Including RAL or dolutegravir (DTG) as part of an ART regimen for women who have never been on ART and who present late in pregnancy with high viral loads is the preferred method to rapidly reduce viral load and decrease the risk of perinatal transmission (see Pregnant Women Living with HIV Who Have Never Received Antiretroviral Drugs, Table 4, and Table 5).<sup>21,22</sup> Two studies in pregnant women who presented for treatment late in pregnancy demonstrated more rapid viral decline in those who received INSTI-based regimens than in those who received efavirenz (EFV)-based ART. In the DolPHIN-2 study, 268 ARTnaive women in Uganda and South Africa were randomized to receive DTG plus two nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs) or EFV plus two NRTIs at a median gestational age of 31 weeks. At delivery, women in the DTG arm were significantly more likely than women in the EFV arm to achieve viral loads of <50 copies/mL (73.8% vs. 42.6%; adjusted risk ratio 1.66 [1.2–2.1], P < 0.0001). Similarly, IMPAACT 1081 randomized 408 ART-naive women in South America, Africa, Thailand, and the United States who presented late in pregnancy to receive RAL plus two NRTIs or EFV plus two NRTIs. The median time to achieve a viral load of <200 copies/mL was 8 days for women who received RAL-based ART and 15 days for women who received EFV-based ART. The decline in viral load was greater in the women who received RAL than in those who received EFV at 2, 4, and 6 weeks after initiation.<sup>24</sup> The use of RAL or DTG (after the first trimester) as a fourth ARV drug can be considered in ART-naive women with high viral loads; however, there is limited evidence of benefit in this situation.

Adding RAL or another INSTI to a three-drug ARV regimen has also been suggested in the setting of incomplete viral suppression due to known or suspected drug-resistant mutations or nonadherence.<sup>25</sup> However, the efficacy and safety of this approach during pregnancy have not been evaluated in clinical trials. The available data comes from case series and two retrospective cohorts, and most of this data focuses on the use of RAL.<sup>26-28</sup> A recent prospective cohort study from Thailand enrolled 154 pregnant women with HIV. These women had either started ART at ≥32 weeks gestation (73% of women) or were receiving ART and

had plasma HIV RNA levels >1,000 copies/mL at 32 to 38 weeks gestation (27% of women). These women received a standard, three-drug ART regimen plus RAL intensification until delivery. The median gestational age at entry was 34 weeks (interquartile range [IQR] 33–36 weeks) and median duration of treatment was 21 days (IQR 8–34 days). The proportion of women with HIV RNA levels of <50 copies/mL and <1,000 copies/mL at delivery overall was 45% and 76%, respectively; 83% of those who were ART-naive had HIV RNA <1,000 copies/mL at delivery as compared to 60% of those who were already on ART but who had not achieved virologic suppression. The overall perinatal transmission rate in this high-risk group of women was 3.9% (95% CI, 1.4% to 8.2%). Six instances of perinatal transmission occurred in this group; three of those instances occurred in utero.<sup>29</sup>

In cases where treatment failure is attributed to nonadherence and/or resistance, there are concerns that the addition of a single agent may further increase the risk of resistance and lead to the potential loss of future effectiveness of this agent. In addition, when poor adherence is the reason that the patient has not achieved or maintained virologic suppression, it is unclear that adding a new drug to the existing regimen will improve adherence. Currently, there are insufficient data to recommend adding an INSTI to a failing ART regimen for women in late pregnancy. However, after reviewing a woman's full treatment history and drug resistance results, a clinician may consider using an INSTI as part of a new regimen for pregnant women who are experiencing virologic failure on a non-INSTI ART regimen.

## Viral Rebound in Late Pregnancy

A recent retrospective study of 318 pregnant women addressed the risk of viral rebound in pregnancy among women who received ART for ≥4 weeks and who had had ≥1 prior undetectable viral load. Nineteen women (6%) had viral rebound (HIV RNA >50 copies/mL) within 1 month before delivery; six of these 19 women had viral loads above 1,000 copies/mL. Significant predictors of viral rebound included cocaine use and testing positive for hepatitis C virus RNA.<sup>30</sup> Viral load testing is currently recommended at 34 to 36 weeks gestation for delivery planning; providers may consider repeat testing subsequently in selected women who are at increased risk for viral rebound.

## Intrapartum Management of Women with a Lack of Viral Suppression

Scheduled cesarean delivery at 38 weeks gestation and intrapartum intravenous zidovudine prophylaxis are recommended for pregnant women with HIV who have HIV RNA levels >1,000 copies/mL near the time of delivery (see Intrapartum Antiretroviral Therapy/Prophylaxis and Transmission and Mode of Delivery).<sup>31,32</sup>

- 1. Read PJ, Mandalia S, Khan P, et al. When should HAART be initiated in pregnancy to achieve an undetectable HIV viral load by delivery? *AIDS*. 2012;26(9):1095-1103. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22441248">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22441248</a>.
- 2. Rachas A, Warszawski J, Le Chenadec J, et al. Does pregnancy affect the early response to cART? *AIDS*. 2013;27(3):357-367. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23079802">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23079802</a>.
- 3. Kourtis AP, Wiener J, King CC, et al. Effect of pregnancy on response to antiretroviral therapy in HIV-infected African women. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2017;74(1):38-43. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27787340">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27787340</a>.
- 4. Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents. Guidelines for the use of antiretroviral agents in adults and adolescents living with HIV. 2018. Available at: <a href="http://aidsinfo.nih.gov/contentfiles/lvguidelines/AdultandAdolescentGL.pdf">http://aidsinfo.nih.gov/contentfiles/lvguidelines/AdultandAdolescentGL.pdf</a>.
- 5. Cates JE, Westreich D, Edmonds A, et al. The effects of viral load burden on pregnancy loss among HIV-infected women in the United States. *Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol*. 2015;2015:362357. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26582966">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26582966</a>.
- 6. Patel M, Tedaldi E, Armon C, et al. HIV RNA suppression during and after pregnancy among women in the HIV outpatient study, 1996 to 2015. *J Int Assoc Provid AIDS Care*. 2018;17:2325957417752259. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29357772">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29357772</a>.

- 7. Nachega JB, Uthman OA, Anderson J, et al. Adherence to antiretroviral therapy during and after pregnancy in low-income, middle-income, and high-income countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *AIDS*. 2012;26(16):2039-2052. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22951634.
- 8. Yee LM, Crisham Janik M, Dorman RM, Chong PS, Garcia PM, Miller ES. Relationship between intimate partner violence and antiretroviral adherence and viral suppression in pregnancy. *Sex Reprod Healthc*. 2018;17:7-11. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30193723">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30193723</a>.
- Zahedi-Spung L, Young M, Haddad LB, Badell ML. Perceived barriers to antepartum HIV medication adherence in HIV infected pregnant women. *Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol*. 2018;2018:4049212. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30410301">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30410301</a>.
- 10. Katz IT, Leister E, Kacanek D, et al. Factors associated with lack of viral suppression at delivery among highly active antiretroviral therapy-naive women with HIV: a cohort study. *Ann Intern Med.* 2015;162(2):90-99. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25599347">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25599347</a>.
- 11. Mandelbrot L, Tubiana R, Le Chenadec J, et al. No perinatal HIV-1 transmission from women with effective antiretroviral therapy starting before conception. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2015;1;61(11):1715-25. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26197844">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26197844</a>.
- 12. Drake AL, Kinuthia J, Matemo D, et al. ART response among pregnant and postpartum women with acute versus chronic HIV-1. Presented at: 22nd Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2015. Seattle, WA.
- 13. Byrne L, Sconza R, Foster C, Tookey PA, Cortina-Borja M, Thorne C. Pregnancy incidence and outcomes in women with perinatal HIV infection. *AIDS*. 2017;31(12):1745-1754. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28590327">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28590327</a>.
- 14. Goodenough CJ, Patel K, Van Dyke RB, Pediatric HIV AIDS Cohort Study. Is there a higher risk of mother-to-child transmission of HIV among pregnant women with perinatal HIV infection? *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2018;37(12):1267-1270 Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29742647">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29742647</a>.
- 15. Matsui DM. Therapeutic drug monitoring in pregnancy. *Ther Drug Monit*. 2012;34(5):507-511. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22846897">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22846897</a>.
- 16. Whitfield T, Dessain A, Taylor K, McQuillan O, Kingston M, Ajdukiewicz K. Retrospective analysis of the associations and effectiveness of performing therapeutic drug monitoring in pregnant HIV-positive women in two large centres in Manchester. *Int J STD AIDS*. 2017;28(5):499-504. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27335118.
- 17. McCabe CJ, Goldie SJ, Fisman DN. The cost-effectiveness of directly observed highly-active antiretroviral therapy in the third trimester in HIV-infected pregnant women. *PLoS One*. 2010;5(4):e10154. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20405011">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20405011</a>.
- 18. Floridia M, Ravizza M, Pinnetti C, et al. Treatment change in pregnancy is a significant risk factor for detectable HIV-1 RNA in plasma at end of pregnancy. *HIV Clin Trials*. 2010;11(6):303-311. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21239358">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21239358</a>.
- 19. Markowitz M, Morales-Ramirez JO, Nguyen BY, et al. Antiretroviral activity, pharmacokinetics, and tolerability of MK-0518, a novel inhibitor of HIV-1 integrase, dosed as monotherapy for 10 days in treatment-naive HIV-1-infected individuals. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2006;43(5):509-515. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17133211">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17133211</a>.
- 20. Lennox JL, DeJesus E, Lazzarin A, et al. Safety and efficacy of raltegravir-based versus efavirenz-based combination therapy in treatment-naive patients with HIV-1 infection: a multicentre, double-blind randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2009;374(9692):796-806. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19647866">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19647866</a>.
- 21. Papendorp SG, van den Berk GE. Preoperative use of raltegravir-containing regimen as induction therapy: very rapid decline of HIV-1 viral load. *AIDS*. 2009;23(6):739. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19279447.
- 22. McKeown DA, Rosenvinge M, Donaghy S, et al. High neonatal concentrations of raltegravir following transplacental transfer in HIV-1 positive pregnant women. *AIDS*. 2010;24(15):2416-2418. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20827058">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20827058</a>.

- Kintu K, Malaba T, Nakibuka J, et al. RCT of dolutegravir vs efavirenz-based therapy initiated in late pregnancy: DolPHIN-2. Abstract 40. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2019. Seattle, Washington. Available at: <a href="http://www.croiconference.org/sessions/rct-dolutegravir-vs-efavirenz-based-therapy-initiated-late-pregnancy-dolphin-2">http://www.croiconference.org/sessions/rct-dolutegravir-vs-efavirenz-based-therapy-initiated-late-pregnancy-dolphin-2</a>.
- 24. Mirochnick M, Shapiro D, Morrison L, et al. Randomized trial of raltegravir-art vs efavirenz-art when initiated during pregnancy. Abstract 39. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2019. Seattle, Washington. Available at: <a href="http://www.croiconference.org/sessions/randomized-trial-raltegravir-art-vs-efavirenz-art-when-initiated-during-pregnancy">http://www.croiconference.org/sessions/randomized-trial-raltegravir-art-vs-efavirenz-art-when-initiated-during-pregnancy</a>.
- 25. Grinsztejn B, Nguyen BY, Katlama C, et al. Safety and efficacy of the HIV-1 integrase inhibitor raltegravir (MK-0518) in treatment-experienced patients with multidrug-resistant virus: a phase II randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2007;369(9569):1261-1269. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17434401">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17434401</a>.
- 26. Boucoiran I, Tulloch K, Pick N, et al. A case series of third-trimester raltegravir initiation: Impact on maternal HIV-1 viral load and obstetrical outcomes. *Can J Infect Dis Med Microbiol*. 2015;26(3):145-150. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26236356">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26236356</a>.
- 27. Rahangdale L, Cates J, Potter J, et al. Integrase inhibitors in late pregnancy and rapid HIV viral load reduction. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2016;214(3):385 e381-387. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26928154">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26928154</a>.
- 28. Cecchini DM, Martinez MG, Morganti LM, Rodriguez CG. Antiretroviral therapy containing raltegravir to prevent mother-to-child transmission of HIV in infected pregnant women. *Infect Dis Rep.* 2017;9(2):7017. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28663779">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28663779</a>.
- 29. Puthanakit T, Thepnarong N, Chaithongwongwatthana S, et al. Intensification of antiretroviral treatment with raltegravir for pregnant women living with HIV at high risk of vertical transmission. *J Virus Erad*. 2018;4(2):61-65. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29682296">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29682296</a>.
- 30. Boucoiran I, Albert AYK, Tulloch K, et al. Human immunodeficiency virus viral load rebound near delivery in previously suppressed, combination antiretroviral therapy-treated pregnant women. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2017;130(3):497-501. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28796673">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28796673</a>.
- 31. International Perinatal HIV Group, Andiman W, Bryson Y, et al. The mode of delivery and the risk of vertical transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1--a meta-analysis of 15 prospective cohort studies. *N Engl J Med*. 1999;340(13):977-987. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10099139.
- 32. European Mode of Delivery Collaboration. Elective caesarean-section versus vaginal delivery in prevention of vertical HIV-1 transmission: a randomised clinical trial. *Lancet*. 1999;353(9158):1035-1039. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10199349">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10199349</a>.

## Stopping Antiretroviral Drugs during Pregnancy (Last updated December 24, 2019; last reviewed December 24, 2019)

#### Panel's Recommendations

 If an antiretroviral (ARV) drug regimen must be stopped during pregnancy (e.g., for severe toxicity), all ARV drugs should be stopped simultaneously, and a complete, effective antiretroviral therapy regimen should be reinitiated as soon as possible (AIII).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

Temporary discontinuation of antiretroviral (ARV) drug regimens during pregnancy may be indicated in some situations, including cases of serious drug-related toxicity, pregnancy-induced hyperemesis that is unresponsive to antiemetics, or acute illnesses or planned surgeries that prevent a patient from taking oral medications. Other reasons for discontinuing ARV drug regimens during pregnancy include a lack of available medication or patient request. The Panel on Treatment of Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission strongly recommends against discontinuing antiretroviral therapy (ART). If a woman wants to discontinue ART during pregnancy or after delivery, it is strongly recommended that her clinician consult an HIV specialist for guidance (see Discontinuation or Interruption of Antiretroviral Therapy in the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines). If an ARV drug regimen must be stopped for any reason, all ARV drugs should be stopped simultaneously. ART should be reinitiated as soon as possible, whether the patient restarts the same regimen or initiates a new regimen.

Discontinuation of therapy could lead to an increase in viral load, with possible disease progression and decline in immune status. There may also be adverse consequences for the fetus, including an increased risk of *in utero* transmission of HIV. An analysis from a prospective cohort of 937 mother-child pairs found that interruption of ART during pregnancy, including interruption in the first and third trimesters, was independently associated with perinatal transmission of HIV. In the first trimester, the median gestational age at interruption was 6 weeks gestation and length of time without therapy was 8 weeks (interquartile range [IQR] 7–11 weeks); in the third trimester, the median gestational age at interruption was 32 weeks and length of time without therapy was 6 weeks (IQR 2–9 weeks). Although the perinatal transmission rate for the entire cohort was only 1.3%, transmission occurred in 4.9% of mother-child pairs (95% confidence interval [CI], 1.9% to 13.2%; adjusted odds ratio [aOR] 10.33; P = 0.005) with first-trimester interruption and 18.2% of mother-child pairs (95% CI, 4.5% to 72.7%; aOR 46.96; P = 0.002) with third-trimester interruption.

Continuing all drugs during the intrapartum period is recommended. Women who are having elective cesarean delivery can take oral medications before the procedure and restart drugs following surgery. Because most drugs are given once or twice daily, it is likely that no doses would be missed or that the postpartum dose would be given a few hours late at most.

Some ARV drugs, particularly non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors, have longer serum half-lives than other ARV agents; if an ART regimen that contains these ARV drugs is stopped, the woman may have subtherapeutic blood levels of these agents. This exposes the patient to what is essentially monotherapy, which may lead to drug resistance. For example, efavirenz can be detected in blood for longer than 3 weeks after discontinuation.<sup>2,3</sup> If an ARV drug that is known to have a long serum half-life must be stopped for more than a few days, clinicians should consider assessing the patient for rebound viremia and potential drug resistance and consider restarting an approved ART regimen when possible.<sup>4</sup> If an ARV drug regimen must be stopped for any reason, all ARV drugs should be stopped simultaneously to minimize the disruption of viral suppression.

In rare cases, a woman may not be able to meet the food requirements for certain ARV agents. In these instances, decisions about the ART administered during the antepartum or intrapartum period should be made on an individual basis and in consultation with an HIV treatment expert and a clinical pharmacologist who is

experienced with ARV medications.

- 1. Galli L, Puliti D, Chiappini E, et al. Is the interruption of antiretroviral treatment during pregnancy an additional major risk factor for mother-to-child transmission of HIV type 1? *Clin Infect Dis.* 2009;48(9):1310-1317. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19309307">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19309307</a>.
- 2. Sadiq ST, Fredericks S, Khoo SH, Rice P, Holt DW. Efavirenz detectable in plasma 8 weeks after stopping therapy and subsequent development of non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor-associated resistance. *AIDS*. 2005;19(15):1716-1717. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16184054">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16184054</a>.
- 3. Ribaudo HJ, Haas DW, Tierney C, et al. Pharmacogenetics of plasma efavirenz exposure after treatment discontinuation: an adult AIDS clinical trials group study. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2006;42(3):401-407. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16392089">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16392089</a>.
- 4. Geretti AM, Fox Z, Johnson JA, et al. Sensitive assessment of the virologic outcomes of stopping and restarting non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor-based antiretroviral therapy. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(7):e69266. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23874928">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23874928</a>.

## Special Populations: Hepatitis B Virus/HIV Coinfection (Last updated December 24, 2019; last

reviewed December 24, 2019)

#### Panel's Recommendations

- · All pregnant women living with HIV should be screened during the current pregnancy for:
  - Hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection, unless they are already known to have HBV/HIV coinfection or have serologic documentation of HBV immunity, and
  - Hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection, unless they are already known to have HCV/HIV coinfection (see <u>Hepatitis C Virus/HIV Coinfection</u>)
    (AIII).
- All pregnant women with HIV who screen negative for HBV infection (i.e., HBV surface antigen negative, HBV core antibody negative, and HBV surface antibody negative) or who lack HBV immunity (i.e., HBV surface antibody negative) should receive the HBV vaccine series (All).
- Women with chronic HBV infection who have not already received the hepatitis A virus (HAV) vaccine series should be screened for immunity to HAV. If they screen negative for HAV immunoglobulin G antibody, they should receive the HAV vaccine series (AIII).
- All pregnant and postpartum women with HBV/HIV coinfection should receive antiretroviral therapy (ART) that includes tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) plus lamivudine or emtricitabine (AI). If a woman with HBV/HIV coinfection becomes pregnant while virally suppressed on an antiretroviral regimen that includes tenofovir alafenamide (TAF), she can be offered the choice of continuing TAF or switching from TAF to TDF (BIII).
- Pregnant women with HBV/HIV coinfection who are receiving ART should be counseled about signs and symptoms of liver toxicity, and liver transaminases should be assessed 1 month after initiating ART and at least every 3 months thereafter during pregnancy (BIII).
- During and after pregnancy, women with chronic HBV should be counseled on the importance of continuing anti-HBV medications indefinitely. If ART that includes medications with anti-HBV activity is discontinued in women with HBV/HIV coinfection, frequent monitoring of liver function tests for potential exacerbation of HBV infection is recommended, with prompt re-initiation of treatment for HBV when a flare is suspected (BIII).
- Decisions concerning mode of delivery of the infant in a pregnant woman with HBV/HIV coinfection should be based on standard obstetric and HIV-related indications alone; HBV/HIV coinfection does not necessitate a cesarean delivery if not otherwise indicated (see <u>Transmission and Mode of Delivery</u>) (AIII).
- Within 12 hours of birth, infants born to women with HBV should receive hepatitis B immune globulin and the first dose of the HBV vaccine series (AI).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

**Rating of Evidence:** I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

For additional information on hepatitis B virus (HBV) and HIV, see <u>Hepatitis B Virus/HIV Coinfection</u> in the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines and <u>Hepatitis B Virus Infection</u> in the Adult and Adolescent Opportunistic Infection Guidelines. The management of HBV/HIV coinfection in pregnancy is complex, and consultation with an expert in HIV and HBV infection is strongly recommended.

## Screening and Vaccination

All women living with HIV should be screened for HBV and hepatitis C virus (HCV) at entry into general HIV care. All pregnant women with HIV should be screened for HBV during each pregnancy, unless they are known to have HBV/HIV coinfection or they have serologic documentation of HBV immunity. They should also be screened for HCV during each pregnancy, unless they are known to have HCV/HIV coinfection. Screening for HBV should include hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg), hepatitis B core antibody (anti-HBc), and hepatitis B surface antibody (anti-HBs). Women who test positive for HBsAg should have follow-up testing to evaluate liver function, prothrombin time, and levels of HBV DNA, HB e antigen, and HB e antibody.<sup>1,2</sup>

To prevent transmission of HIV and HBV from women with HBV/HIV coinfection to their sex partners, their sexual contacts should be counseled and tested for HIV and HBV. All HBV-susceptible contacts should then receive the HBV vaccine series, and all partners who do not have HIV infection should be counseled about

condom use and the potential benefits and risks of starting pre-exposure prophylaxis.<sup>2,3</sup> For more information about preventing HBV transmission, see the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's guidelines on pre-exposure prophylaxis and the <u>Hepatitis B Virus Infection</u> section of the Adult and Adolescent Opportunistic Infection Guidelines.

Pregnant women with HIV who screen negative for HBV (i.e., HBsAg negative, anti-HBc negative, and anti-HBs negative) or who lack HBV immunity (i.e., anti-HBs negative) should receive the HBV vaccine series. Women with HIV who have remote HBV infection and who only have current anti-HBc antibody detected (they test negative for HBV DNA, HBsAg, and anti-HBs) may have lost immunity to HBV and should be vaccinated.<sup>2</sup> Anti-HBs titers should be obtained 1 to 2 months after the vaccine series is completed in patients with HIV; if anti-HBs titers are below 10 IU/mL, a second vaccine series is recommended.<sup>2,4</sup> Some experts advise using a double dose of HBV vaccine (i.e., a 40-mg dose) and delaying revaccination until after a sustained increase in CD4 T lymphocyte (CD4) cell count >350 cells/mm³ is achieved on ART.<sup>2,4-6</sup> There is no evidence that the HBV vaccine causes adverse effects in developing fetuses or newborns; current vaccines contain noninfectious HBsAg and are recommended for use in pregnancy for women with HIV.<sup>7,8</sup> There is no consensus on how to manage patients whose anti-HBs titers remain below 10 IU/mL following a second HBV vaccine series.<sup>2</sup>

A positive test for anti-HBc alone can be a false positive, especially in regions of low HBV prevalence; alternatively, it may signify remote infection with subsequent loss of anti-HBs antibodies or longstanding chronic HBV infection with loss of surface antigen (this is known as "occult" HBV infection, which can be confirmed by detection of HBV DNA). 9,10 Incidence of HBV viremia with the isolated anti-HBc pattern ranges from 1% to 36% in patients with HIV.11 The clinical significance of isolated anti-HBc is unknown.12,13 Some experts recommend that individuals with HIV infection and anti-HBc alone be tested for HBV DNA to inform decisions about vaccination for HBV and treatment with antiretroviral (ARV) drugs that have specific activity against HBV. In areas where the prevalence of HBV is low, patients with isolated anti-HBc should be vaccinated with one standard dose of HBV vaccine, and anti-HBs titers should be checked 1 to 2 months after vaccination. If the anti-HBs titer is >100 IU/mL, no further vaccination is needed. If the titer is <100 IU/mL, the patient should receive a complete HBV vaccine series, followed by anti-HBs testing. The cut-off of 100 IU/mL is used in this situation because one study demonstrated that 100% of patients with isolated anti-HBc who achieved a titer of 100 IU/mL after a booster dose maintained an anti-HBs response for >18 months, compared to only 23% of those who achieved a titer of 10 IU/mL to 100 IU/mL.<sup>2</sup> Pregnant women with HIV who have isolated anti-HBc and occult HBV infection typically have very low levels of HBV DNA and are thought to be at extremely low risk of transmitting HBV to their infants.<sup>2,14</sup>

Women who have HBV infection and who have not already received the hepatitis A virus (HAV) vaccine series should also be screened for HAV using antibody testing for immunoglobulin G (IgG). There is an added risk of hepatic decompensation from acute infection with HAV in individuals with chronic HBV (note that some labs only provide a combined IgG and immunoglobulin M [IgM] HAV titer, which is acceptable). Women with chronic HBV infection who have not already received the HAV vaccine series and who are HAV IgG negative should receive the HAV vaccine series. Responses to the HAV vaccine are reduced in patients with HIV who have CD4 counts <200 cells/mm³. Antibody response should be assessed in such patients 1 month after the HAV vaccine series is complete. If HAV antibody immunoglobulin (HAV Ab IgG) is negative, patients should be revaccinated when the CD4 count is >200 cells/mm³.² Women who received the HAV vaccine series when their CD4 count was  $\geq 200$  cells/mm³ do not need to be revaccinated for HAV, because they are likely protected (even if their HAV IgG levels are undetectable using commercially available assays). Although the safety of HAV vaccination during pregnancy has not been directly evaluated, the HAV vaccine contains inactivated HAV, and the theoretical risk to the developing fetus is expected to be low.

## Outcomes of HBV/HIV Coinfection in Pregnancy

A study of 4,236 pregnant women with HIV in France who were followed between 2005 and 2013 found that the prevalence of HBV (HBsAg positive) was 6.2%; HBV/HIV coinfection was six times more frequent

in pregnant women who were born in sub-Saharan Africa than in those who were born in France. <sup>15</sup> HBV/ HIV coinfection was not associated with preterm delivery, lower CD4 counts, or detectable HIV viral load in this cohort. <sup>15</sup> In a retrospective analysis of response to ART among Italian women with HIV during 1,462 pregnancies, 12% of women had HBV/HIV coinfection. <sup>16</sup> In a multivariable analysis, women with only HIV had better CD4 responses on ART during pregnancy than women with HBV/HIV coinfection. However, no differences in maternal and infant outcomes were observed between women with HBV/HIV coinfection and women with HIV alone.

## Therapy for HIV and HBV in Pregnancy

An ART regimen that includes drugs that are active against both HIV and HBV is recommended for all individuals with HBV/HIV coinfection, including all pregnant women. Initiation of ART may be associated with reactivation of HBV and development of immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome, particularly in patients with high HBV DNA levels and severe liver disease.<sup>2,17</sup> Risk of miscarriage<sup>18</sup> and preterm labor and delivery may increase in people with acute HBV infection;<sup>19</sup> see <a href="Hepatitis B Virus Infection">Hepatitis B Virus Infection</a> in the Adult and Adolescent Opportunistic Infection Guidelines.

The use of ARV drugs with anti-HBV activity during pregnancy in women with HBV mono-infection lowers HBV viremia and lowers the risk of HBV transmission to the infant. Lowering HBV viremia may reduce the risk of HBV transmission to an even greater extent than neonatal prophylaxis with hepatitis B immune globulin (HBIG) and HBV vaccine (known as passive-active immunoprophylaxis). High maternal HBV DNA levels are strongly correlated with perinatal HBV transmission and with failures of HBV passive-active immunoprophylaxis. Several studies and a meta-analysis of women with HBV mono-infection suggest that lamivudine (3TC) or telbivudine may reduce the risk of perinatal transmission of HBV if given during the third trimester to HIV-seronegative women with HBV infection and high HBV DNA levels. In addition to HBV viral load, the presence of certain HBV variants is also a risk factor for failure of HBV prophylaxis.

Lamivudine (3TC), emtricitabine (FTC), tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF), and tenofovir alafenamide (TAF, a prodrug of tenofovir) have activity against both HIV and HBV. All of these drugs are preferred nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors for use during pregnancy in women with HBV/HIV coinfection, except TAF, because it has not been adequately studied in pregnancy (see Table 4). Some pregnant women may already be receiving TAF-containing ART regimens prior to pregnancy; these women can choose to continue that ART regimen or they can replace TAF with TDF. Please see individual drug sections for TDF, TAF, FTC, and 3TC for detailed reviews of safety, pharmacologic, and other clinical data for use in pregnancy.

Consultation with an expert in HIV and HBV is strongly recommended when providing care for a pregnant woman with HBV/HIV coinfection who continues to have detectable HBV DNA viremia despite receiving an ART regimen that includes two anti-HBV nucleos(t)ides.

Several other antiviral agents have activity against HBV, including entecavir, adefovir, and telbivudine. However, these drugs have not been well evaluated in pregnancy, with too few exposures to assess overall risk. They <u>are currently not recommended</u> for pregnant women with HBV/HIV coinfection.<sup>35</sup>

In a systematic review and meta-analysis of single-drug anti-HBV therapy during pregnancy in women with chronic HBV mono-infection, antiviral therapy reduced perinatal transmission with no significant differences in congenital malformation rate, prematurity rate, and Apgar scores. TDF, 3TC, or telbivudine all improved maternal HBV viral suppression at delivery with no significant increase in the incidence of postpartum hemorrhage or cesarean section, and no significant increase in creatinine kinase levels. For pregnant women with HBV/HIV coinfection, entecavir and telbivudine should be administered only in addition to a fully suppressive ART regimen for HIV and only if the potential benefits outweigh the potential risks. Because these anti-HBV drugs also have weak activity against HIV, their use in the absence of a fully suppressive ART regimen may lead to development of cross-resistance to other ARV drugs (e.g., entecavir can select for the M184V mutation, which confers resistance to 3TC and FTC). The Panel on Opportunistic Infections

in Adults and Adolescents with HIV does not currently recommend the use of adefovir or telbivudine for patients with HBV/HIV coinfection, because these agents have lower potency than the preferred agents and are associated with certain adverse events—renal disease with adefovir-containing regimens, and myopathy and neuropathy with telbivudine-containing regimens.<sup>2</sup> (See the <u>Adult and Adolescent Opportunistic Infection Guidelines.</u>)

Interferon alfa and pegylated interferon alfa are also not recommended for use during pregnancy, and they should be used only if the potential benefits outweigh the potential risks. Although interferons are not teratogenic, they are abortifacient at high doses in monkeys and should not be used in pregnant women because of their direct antigrowth and antiproliferative effects.<sup>37</sup>

Cases of exposure during pregnancy to any of the ARV drugs and HBV drugs listed above should be reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry (online or by telephone at 1-800-258-4263).

## Monitoring Women With HBV/HIV Coinfection During Pregnancy

Prior to initiating ARV drugs that are active against HBV, a baseline HBV DNA level should be measured. After initiating therapy, HBV DNA should be monitored every 12 weeks to ensure adequate response to therapy (see <a href="Hepatitis B Virus Infection">Hepatitis B Virus Infection</a> in the Adult and Adolescent Opportunistic Infection Guidelines).

Following initiation of ART, an elevation in hepatic enzymes can occur in women with HBV/HIV coinfection—particularly those with low CD4 counts at the time of treatment initiation—as a result of an immune-mediated flare in HBV disease triggered by immune reconstitution with effective HIV therapy. HBV infection can also increase the hepatotoxic risk of certain ARV drugs, specifically protease inhibitors and nevirapine. Pregnant women with HBV/HIV coinfection should be counseled about signs and symptoms of liver toxicity, and transaminase levels should be assessed 1 month after initiating ARV drugs and at least every 3 months thereafter. If hepatotoxicity occurs, it may be necessary to consider substituting a less hepatotoxic regimen or, if clinical symptoms or significant elevations of transaminases occur, drugs may need to be temporarily discontinued. Differentiating between the effects of drug toxicity and a flare in HBV disease caused by immune reconstitution often can be difficult, and consultation with an expert in HIV and HBV coinfection is strongly recommended. Because TDF can potentially cause renal toxicity, kidney function should be monitored in pregnant women using the same monitoring schedule as the one recommended for nonpregnant adults.

Once HBV therapy with anti-HBV nucleos(t)ide analogs is initiated, lifelong treatment is recommended.<sup>1,2</sup> Discontinuing anti-HBV agents may lead to reactivation of HBV, resulting in hepatocellular damage. If anti-HBV drugs are discontinued, serum transaminase levels should be monitored every 6 weeks for 3 months, then every 3 to 6 months thereafter, with prompt re-initiation of HBV treatment if a flare is suspected.<sup>2</sup>

## Mode of Delivery

Decisions concerning mode of delivery of the infant in a pregnant woman with HBV/HIV coinfection should be based on standard obstetric and HIV-related indications alone (see <u>Transmission and Mode of Delivery</u>). There are no published data on the role of cesarean delivery in reducing the risk of perinatal transmission of HBV in women with HBV/HIV coinfection. Currently, the guidelines for women with HBV mono-infection do not recommend performing a cesarean delivery to prevent perinatal transmission of HBV.<sup>38-40</sup>

## Evaluating and Managing Infants Who Were Exposed to HBV

Within 12 hours of birth, all infants born to mothers with chronic HBV infection, including those with HIV, should receive HBIG and the first dose of the HBV vaccination series to prevent perinatal transmission of HBV. For infants weighing  $\geq 2,000$  g at birth, the second and final doses of the vaccine series should be administered at ages 1 month and 6 months, respectively. For infants with birth weights  $\leq 2,000$  g, do not count the birth dose as part of the vaccine series and administer three additional doses at ages 1 month, 2 to 3 months, and 6 months. This regimen is  $\geq 95\%$  effective in preventing HBV infection in these infants. Maternal ART that includes nucleos(t)ides with anti-HBV activity will result in low or suppressed HBV

viral loads near delivery, which should further reduce the risk of perinatal HBV transmission in women with HBV/HIV coinfection.

Infant postvaccination testing for anti-HBs and HBsAg should be performed after completing the vaccine series, between the ages of 9 months and 18 months. Serologic testing should not be performed before age 9 months; this delay helps avoid detecting anti-HBs from HBIG that was administered during infancy and maximizes the likelihood of detecting late HBV infection. Anti-HBc testing of infants is not recommended, because passively acquired maternal anti-HBc might be detected in infants aged ≤24 months who were born to mothers with HBV. HBsAg-negative infants with anti-HBs levels >10 mIU/mL are protected and need no further medical management. HBsAg-negative infants with anti-HBs levels <10 mIU/mL should be revaccinated with a single dose of HBV vaccine and receive postvaccination serologic testing 1 to 2 months later. Infants whose anti-HBs levels remain < 10 mIU/mL following single-dose re-vaccination should receive two additional doses of HBV vaccine to complete the second series, followed by post-vaccination serologic testing at 1 to 2 months after the final dose. 43

- Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents. Guidelines for the use of antiretroviral agents in adults and adolescents living with HIV. 2018. Available at: http://aidsinfo.nih.gov/contentfiles/lvguidelines/ AdultandAdolescentGL.pdf.
- Panel on Opportunistic Infections in Adults and Adolescents with HIV. Guidelines for the prevention and treatment of opportunistic infections in adults and adolescents with HIV: recommendations from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the National Institutes of Health, and the HIV Medicine Association of the Infectious Diseases Society of America. 2018. Available at: http://aidsinfo.nih.gov/contentfiles/lyguidelines/adult\_oi.pdf.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Preexposure prophylaxis for the prevention of HIV infection in the United 3. States-2017 update: a clinical practice guideline. 2017. Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/pdf/risk/prep/cdc-hivprep-guidelines-2017.pdf.
- Catherine FX, Piroth L. Hepatitis B virus vaccination in HIV-infected people: A review. *Hum Vaccin Immunother*. 2017;13(6):1-10. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28267387.
- Potsch DV, Camacho LA, Tuboi S, et al. Vaccination against hepatitis B with 4-double doses increases response rates and antibodies titers in HIV-infected adults. Vaccine. 2012;30(41):5973-5977. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/pubmed/22828589.
- Launay O, van der Vliet D, Rosenberg AR, et al. Safety and immunogenicity of 4 intramuscular double doses and 4 intradermal low doses vs standard hepatitis B vaccine regimen in adults with HIV-1: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA. 2011;305(14):1432-1440. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21486976.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Guidelines for vaccinating pregnant women. 2017. Available at: https:// www.cdc.gov/vaccines/pregnancy/hcp/guidelines.html.
- Groom HC, Irving SA, Koppolu P, et al. Uptake and safety of hepatitis B vaccination during pregnancy: a vaccine safety datalink study. Vaccine. 2018;36(41):6111-6116. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30194002
- Grob P, Jilg W, Bornhak H, et al. Serological pattern "anti-HBc alone": report on a workshop. J Med Virol. 2000;62(4):450-455. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11074473.
- 10. Hofer M, Joller-Jemelka HI, Grob PJ, Luthy R, Opravil M. Frequent chronic hepatitis B virus infection in HIV-infected patients positive for antibody to hepatitis B core antigen only Swiss HIV Cohort Study. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 1998;17(1):6-13. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9512175">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9512175</a>.
- 11. Chasela CS, Kourtis AP, Wall P, et al. Hepatitis B virus infection among HIV-infected pregnant women in Malawi and transmission to infants. J Hepatol. 2014;60(3):508-514. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24211737.
- Silva AE, McMahon BJ, Parkinson AJ, Sjogren MH, Hoofnagle JH, Di Bisceglie AM. Hepatitis B virus DNA in persons with isolated antibody to hepatitis B core antigen who subsequently received hepatitis B vaccine. Clin Infect Dis. 1998;26(4):895-897. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9564471.

- 13. Lok AS, Lai CL, Wu PC. Prevalence of isolated antibody to hepatitis B core antigen in an area endemic for hepatitis B virus infection: implications in hepatitis B vaccination programs. *Hepatology*. 1988;8(4):766-770. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2968945">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2968945</a>.
- 14. Khamduang W, Gaudy-Graffin C, Ngo-Giang-Huong N, et al. Analysis of residual perinatal transmission of hepatitis B virus (HBV) and of genetic variants in human immunodeficiency virus and HBV co-infected women and their offspring. *J Clin Virol*. 2013;58(2):415-421. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23916828">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23916828</a>.
- 15. Benhammou V, Tubiana R, Matheron S, et al. HBV or HCV coinfection in HIV-1-infected pregnant women in France: prevalence and pregnancy outcomes. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2018;77(5):439-450. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29287028">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29287028</a>.
- 16. Floridia M, Masuelli G, Tamburrini E, et al. HBV coinfection is associated with reduced CD4 response to antiretroviral treatment in pregnancy. *HIV Clin Trials*. 2017;18(2):54-59. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28067163">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28067163</a>.
- 17. Crane M, Oliver B, Matthews G, et al. Immunopathogenesis of hepatic flare in HIV/hepatitis B virus (HBV)-coinfected individuals after the initiation of HBV-active antiretroviral therapy. *J Infect Dis.* 2009;199(7):974-981. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19231993">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19231993</a>.
- 18. Cui AM, Cheng XY, Shao JG, et al. Maternal hepatitis B virus carrier status and pregnancy outcomes: a prospective cohort study. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2016;16:87. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27113723">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27113723</a>.
- 19. Huang QT, Wei SS, Zhong M, et al. Chronic hepatitis B infection and risk of preterm labor: a meta-analysis of observational studies. *J Clin Virol*. 2014;61(1):3-8. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24973811.
- 20. Kubo A, Shlager L, Marks AR, et al. Prevention of vertical transmission of hepatitis B: an observational study. *Ann Intern Med.* 2014;160(12):828-835. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24862434.
- 21. del Canho R, Grosheide PM, Schalm SW, de Vries RR, Heijtink RA. Failure of neonatal hepatitis B vaccination: the role of HBV-DNA levels in hepatitis B carrier mothers and HLA antigens in neonates. *J Hepatol*. 1994;20(4):483-486. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8051386.
- 22. Ngui SL, Andrews NJ, Underhill GS, Heptonstall J, Teo CG. Failed postnatal immunoprophylaxis for hepatitis B: characteristics of maternal hepatitis B virus as risk factors. *Clin Infect Dis.* 1998;27(1):100-106. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9675462">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9675462</a>.
- 23. Wiseman E, Fraser MA, Holden S, et al. Perinatal transmission of hepatitis B virus: an Australian experience. *Med J Aust*. 2009;190(9):489-492. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19413519.
- 24. Jourdain G, Ngo-Giang-Huong N, Harrison L, et al. Tenofovir versus placebo to prevent perinatal transmission of hepatitis B. *N Engl J Med*. 2018;378(10):911-923. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29514030">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29514030</a>.
- 25. van Nunen AB, de Man RA, Heijtink RA, Niesters HG, Schalm SW. Lamivudine in the last 4 weeks of pregnancy to prevent perinatal transmission in highly viremic chronic hepatitis B patients. *J Hepatol*. 2000;32(6):1040-1041. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10898328">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10898328</a>.
- 26. van Zonneveld M, van Nunen AB, Niesters HG, de Man RA, Schalm SW, Janssen HL. Lamivudine treatment during pregnancy to prevent perinatal transmission of hepatitis B virus infection. *J Viral Hepat*. 2003;10(4):294-297. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12823596">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12823596</a>.
- 27. Shi Z, Yang Y, Ma L, Li X, Schreiber A. Lamivudine in late pregnancy to interrupt *in utero* transmission of hepatitis B virus: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2010;116(1):147-159. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20567182">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20567182</a>.
- 28. Pan CQ, Han GR, Jiang HX, et al. Telbivudine prevents vertical transmission from HBeAg-positive women with chronic hepatitis B. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2012;10(5):520-526. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22343511">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22343511</a>.
- 29. Deng M, Zhou X, Gao S, et al. The effects of telbivudine in late pregnancy to prevent intrauterine transmission of the hepatitis B virus: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Virol J.* 2012;9:185. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22947333">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22947333</a>.
- 30. Liu M, Cai H, Yi W. Safety of telbivudine treatment for chronic hepatitis B for the entire pregnancy. J Viral Hepat.

- 2013;20 Suppl 1:65-70. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23458527.
- 31. Cheung KW, Seto MT, Wong SF. Towards complete eradication of hepatitis B infection from perinatal transmission: review of the mechanisms of *in utero* infection and the use of antiviral treatment during pregnancy. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol*. 2013;169(1):17-23. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23465469">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23465469</a>.
- 32. Zhang H, Pan CQ, Pang Q, Tian R, Yan M, Liu X. Telbivudine or lamivudine use in late pregnancy safely reduces perinatal transmission of hepatitis B virus in real-life practice. *Hepatology*. 2014. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25227594">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25227594</a>.
- 33. Chen HL, Lee CN, Chang CH, et al. Efficacy of maternal tenofovir disoproxil fumarate in interrupting mother-to-infant transmission of hepatitis B virus. *Hepatology*. 2015;62(2):375-386. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25851052">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25851052</a>.
- 34. Kazim SN, Wakil SM, Khan LA, Hasnain SE, Sarin SK. Vertical transmission of hepatitis B virus despite maternal lamivudine therapy. *Lancet*. 2002;359(9316):1488-1489. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11988251">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11988251</a>.
- 35. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry international interim report for 1 January–31 January 2019. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2019. Available at: <a href="http://www.apregistry.com/">http://www.apregistry.com/</a>.
- 36. Brown RS Jr, McMahon BJ, Lok AS, et al. Antiviral therapy in chronic hepatitis B viral infection during pregnancy: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Hepatology*. 2016;63(1):319-333. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26565396">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26565396</a>.
- 37. Boskovic R, Wide R, Wolpin J, Bauer DJ, Koren G. The reproductive effects of beta interferon therapy in pregnancy: a longitudinal cohort. *Neurology*. 2005;65(6):807-811. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16186517">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16186517</a>.
- 38. Keeffe EB, Dieterich DT, Han SH, et al. A treatment algorithm for the management of chronic hepatitis B virus infection in the United States: 2008 update. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2008;6(12):1315-1341; quiz 1286. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18845489">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18845489</a>.
- 39. Asian Pacific Association for the Study of the Liver. Asian-Pacific consensus statement on the management of chronic hepatitis B: a 2012 update. *Hepatol Int*. 2012(6):531-561. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26201469">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26201469</a>.
- 40. European Association for the Study of the Liver. EASL 2017 clinical practice guidelines on the management of hepatitis B virus infection. *J Hepatol*. 2017;67(2):370-398. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28427875">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28427875</a>.
- 41. Mast EE, Margolis HS, Fiore AE, et al. A comprehensive immunization strategy to eliminate transmission of hepatitis B virus infection in the United States: recommendations of the advisory committee on immunization practices (ACIP) part 1: immunization of infants, children, and adolescents. *MMWR Recomm Rep.* 2005;54(RR-16):1-31. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16371945">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16371945</a>.
- 42. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Trends in childhood cancer mortality—United States, 1990-2004. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2007;56(48):1257-1261. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18059256">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18059256</a>.
- 43. Schillie S, Vellozzi C, Reingold A, et al. Prevention of hepatitis B virus infection in the United States: recommendations of the advisory committee on immunization practices. *MMWR Recomm Rep.* 2018;67(1):1-31. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29939980">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29939980</a>.

## Special Populations: Hepatitis C Virus/HIV Coinfection (Last updated December 24, 2019; last

reviewed December 24, 2019)

#### Panel's Recommendations

- All pregnant women with HIV should be screened during the current pregnancy for hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection unless they are known to have HCV/HIV coinfection (AIII).
- HCV screening should be repeated later in pregnancy in women who initially screen negative for HCV but who have persistent or new risk factors for HCV (e.g., new or ongoing injection or intranasal substance use) (AIII).
  - All pregnant women with HIV should also be tested for hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection, unless they are known to have HBV/HIV
    coinfection or if they have serologic documentation of HBV immunity (see <u>Hepatitis B Virus/HIV Coinfection</u>).
- Women with HCV infection who have not already received the hepatitis A virus (HAV) vaccine series should be screened for immunity to HAV (AIII). If they screen negative for HAV antibodies (IgG or IgG plus IgM), they should receive the HAV vaccine series (AIII).
- All pregnant women with HIV and/or HCV who screen negative for HBV infection (i.e., HBV surface antigen negative, HBV core antibody negative, and HBV surface antibody negative) or who lack HBV immunity (i.e., HBV surface antibody negative) should receive the HBV vaccine series (AII).
- Currently, treatment of HCV during pregnancy is not recommended due to the lack of safety data on the use of HCV direct-acting antiviral medications in pregnant women. When considering initiating HCV treatment in a pregnant woman with HIV coinfection, consultation with an expert in HIV and HCV is strongly recommended (AIII).
- Recommendations for antiretroviral therapy (ART) during pregnancy are the same for all women living with HIV, whether they have HCV or not (AIII).
- Pregnant women with HCV/HIV coinfection who are receiving ART should be counseled about the signs and symptoms of liver toxicity, and hepatic transaminases should be assessed 1 month following initiation of ART and at least every 3 months thereafter during pregnancy (BIII).
- Infants born to women with HCV/HIV coinfection should be evaluated for HCV infection (AIII). Decisions regarding the specific type of assays to use for HCV screening in children and the timing of those assays should be made after consultation with an expert in pediatric HCV infection (AIII).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

**Rating of Evidence:** I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

For additional information on hepatitis C virus (HCV) and HIV, see <u>Hepatitis C Virus</u> in the <u>Pediatric Opportunistic Infection Guidelines</u>, <u>Hepatitis C Virus/HIV Coinfection</u> in the <u>Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines</u>, and <u>Hepatitis C Virus Infection</u> in the <u>Adult and Adolescent Opportunistic Infection Guidelines</u>. The American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases, the Infectious Diseases Society of America, and the International Antiviral Society-USA maintain updated information about treating patients with HCV/HIV coinfection. The guidelines are available online at <u>HCVguidelines.org</u>. The management of HCV/HIV coinfection in pregnancy is complex, and none of the approved HCV direct-acting antivirals (DAAs) have yet been fully evaluated in pregnant women; thus, consultation with an expert in HIV and HCV infection <u>is strongly recommended</u> when managing HCV during pregnancy.

## Screening and Vaccination

All pregnant women living with HIV should be screened at entry into general HIV care and during each pregnancy for:

- Hepatitis B virus (HBV), unless they are known to have HBV/HIV coinfection or they have serologic documentation of HBV immunity, *and*
- HCV infection, unless they are known to have HCV/HIV coinfection.

Among women with HIV, the observed risks for HCV infection were 2% to 12% in European cohorts of pregnant women with HIV<sup>1</sup> and 3.8% among women with HIV in New York State.<sup>2</sup> Although data about secular trends in HCV risk among women living with HIV are limited in the United States, the prevalence of HCV among women of childbearing age and children aged <2 years in the general population has increased substantially in recent years, due in part to the ongoing opioid epidemic in the United States.<sup>3-9</sup>

The Society for Maternal-Fetal Medicine and the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists recommend repeating HCV testing later in pregnancy for women who initially screen negative for HCV but who have persistent risk factors for HCV or who develop new risk factors for HCV infection (e.g., new or ongoing use of injected or intranasal substance use). The male partners of all women with HCV/HIV coinfection should be referred for both HIV and hepatitis counseling and testing to prevent the sexual transmission of HIV and HCV; however, HCV is infrequently transmitted via heterosexual sex. People who do not share injection equipment have a very low risk of horizontal transmission of HCV. Partners who do not have HIV infection should be counseled about the potential benefits and risks of starting oral pre-exposure prophylaxis to prevent HIV acquisition (see Preconception Counseling and Care for Women of Childbearing Age Living with HIV).

Newly available DAAs have dramatically improved HCV therapy; it is now possible to cure HCV infection in most patients. Current HCV treatment guidelines recommend therapy for nearly all patients with HCV infection. The management of HCV/HIV coinfection during pregnancy is complex, however. A Phase 1 study is now evaluating the safety and pharmacokinetics (PKs) of ledipasvir/sofosbuvir in pregnancy; early data from this study were recently presented at a conference. Ribavirin is also contraindicated in pregnancy, though it is no longer commonly needed for the treatment of HCV. When considering HCV treatment for a pregnant person, consultation with an expert in HIV and HCV is strongly recommended. In addition, the risk of perinatal HCV transmission is much lower than the risk of perinatal HIV transmission, and some children will clear HCV infection spontaneously; 5,14,15 therefore, treating HCV during pregnancy presents different risks and benefits than treating HIV during pregnancy.

The primary reasons for HCV testing during pregnancy are:

- To identify women with HCV/HIV coinfection at a time when they are engaged with the health care system, so that HCV treatment can be offered after delivery (ideally before a subsequent pregnancy);
- To monitor for HCV-related hepatotoxicity, which has been associated with the use of antiretroviral (ARV) drugs in women with HCV/HIV coinfection; 16
- To monitor for preterm birth, which has been associated with HIV/HCV coinfection in pregnant women; 1,8,17,18
- To ensure vaccination against other viral hepatitis infections (hepatitis A virus [HAV] and HBV) when needed; and
- To ensure appropriate follow-up and evaluation of infants who were exposed to HCV.

Screening for chronic HCV infection using a sensitive immunoassay for HCV antibodies is recommended for all individuals with HIV, including those who are pregnant. False-negative anti-HCV immunoassay results can occur in individuals with HIV, but it is uncommon with the more sensitive immunoassays. If HCV infection is suspected despite a negative HCV antibody screen, a commercially available diagnostic quantitative plasma HCV RNA assay can be performed. <sup>19,20</sup> Individuals who have a positive HCV antibody test should undergo confirmatory testing for HCV RNA with this quantitative assay. Many laboratories now perform reflex RNA testing for individuals who test positive for HCV antibodies. Pregnant women should also be tested for HCV RNA when they have indeterminate or negative serologic test results for HCV but are suspected of having HCV infection because of elevated aminotransaminase levels or risk factors such as a history of injection drug use. <sup>21</sup>

Because of the added risk of hepatic decompensation from acute infection with any viral hepatitis, women with HCV infection should also be screened for both HAV and HBV. Women with chronic HCV infection who have not already received the HAV vaccine series should be screened for immunity to HAV (either IgG alone or IgG and IgM together). If they screen negative for HAV antibodies, they should receive the HAV vaccine

series. In women with CD4 T lymphocyte (CD4) cell counts <200 cells/mm³, antibody responses to the HAV vaccine should be assessed 1 month after the patient completes the vaccination series; those who are HAV antibody IgG negative should be revaccinated when the CD4 count is >200 cells/mm³.²² Women with HCV/ HIV coinfection who screen negative for HBV (i.e., they are hepatitis B surface antigen [HBsAg] negative, hepatitis B core antibody negative, and hepatitis B surface antibody negative [HBsAb]) or who lack HBV immunity (i.e., they are HBV surface antibody negative) should receive the HBV vaccine series. Women with HCV/HIV coinfection who are HBsAb negative despite receiving the HBV vaccine series may benefit from revaccination (see Hepatitis B Virus/HIV Coinfection).²³ The hepatitis B vaccination poses no apparent risk to developing fetuses, as current vaccines contain noninfectious HBsAg.²⁴

## Impact of HCV/HIV Coinfection on Progression and Perinatal Transmission of Both Viruses

Although the HCV viral load appears to peak in the third trimester, pregnancy does not appear to influence the course of HCV infection clinically. Women with chronic HCV generally do well during pregnancy, provided that they have not progressed to decompensated cirrhosis.<sup>25,26</sup>

#### **Hepatitis C Virus Transmission**

Approximately six of every 100 infants born to women with HCV acquire HCV infection.<sup>20</sup> In most studies of women with HCV/HIV coinfection who are not receiving treatment for either infection, the incidence of perinatal HCV transmission is approximately two-fold higher among women with HCV/HIV coinfection (10% to 20% transmission risk) than among women with HCV mono-infection.<sup>27-30</sup> These higher transmission rates are likely related to the higher levels of HCV viremia observed in patients with HCV/HIV coinfection and/or other HIV-related impacts on HCV disease activity.<sup>18,31</sup> However, early and sustained control of HIV viremia with antiretroviral therapy (ART) may reduce the risk of HCV transmission to infants.<sup>26,32,33</sup> A European study of perinatal HCV transmission found that the use of effective ART for HIV was associated with a strong trend toward reduced rates of HCV transmission (odds ratio [OR] 0.26; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.07–1.01).<sup>32</sup> In an Italian cohort, HCV transmission occurred in 9% of infants born to women with HCV/HIV coinfection, most of whom were on ART. No HCV transmissions occurred in infants born to women with HCV viral loads of <5 log IU/mL.<sup>18</sup>

#### **HIV Transmission**

In the absence of ART, maternal HCV/HIV coinfection also may increase the risk of perinatal HIV transmission.<sup>34,35</sup> The risk of perinatal HIV transmission can likely be reduced in pregnant women with HCV/HIV coinfection by following the standard recommendations for ART for all women with HIV.

## Impact of Hepatitis C Virus on HIV Management

Data are limited on the optimal management of pregnant women with HCV/HIV coinfection. Recommendations on the use of ART during pregnancy for treating HIV and preventing perinatal HIV transmission are the same for women who have HCV/HIV coinfection as for those with HIV mono-infection (see <u>General Principles Regarding Use of Antiretroviral Drugs during Pregnancy</u>). In one Canadian study, HCV/HIV coinfection was associated with an increased risk of HIV viral rebound among women who were on previously effective ART. Although the authors suggest that additional factors (e.g., adherence) may have varied between the groups, these findings support the need to follow recommendations for HIV RNA monitoring during pregnancy.<sup>36</sup>

## Hepatitis C Virus-Specific Therapy in Pregnancy

All currently available DAAs lack sufficient safety data to be recommended for use during pregnancy. In the past, most anti-HCV therapy included both interferon and ribavirin. Interferons are not recommended for use in pregnancy because they are abortifacient at high doses in monkeys and have direct antigrowth and antiproliferative effects.<sup>37</sup> Some DAA regimens are approved for use with ribavirin in specific nonpregnant populations, due to the suboptimal treatment responses observed with the use of DAAs alone. Any

treatment regimens that include ribavirin are **contraindicated** in pregnant women due to the teratogenic and embryocidal effects observed in all animal species exposed to ribavirin. Ribavirin-associated defects in animals include limb abnormalities, craniofacial defects, anencephaly, and anophthalmia. Pregnancies that occur in women taking ribavirin should be reported to the <u>Ribavirin Pregnancy Registry</u> (online or by phone at 1-800-593-2214).

There are many interferon-free DAA regimens that have been approved for the treatment of HCV. When determining the optimal regimen for an individual patient, clinicians must consider many factors, including HCV genotype, prior treatment experience, and stage of liver disease (e.g., compensated or decompensated cirrhosis). There are four main classes of DAAs:<sup>11,38</sup>

- NS5A inhibitors: daclatasvir, elbasvir, ledipasvir, ombitasvir, pibrentasvir, velpatasvir
- NS5B nucleoside polymerase inhibitors: sofosbuvir
- NS5B non-nucleoside polymerase inhibitors: dasabuvir
- NS3/4A protease inhibitors (PIs): glecaprevir, grazoprevir, paritaprevir, simeprevir, voxilaprevir

DAAs are not yet recommended for use in pregnancy because of the lack of PK and safety data; <u>one small PK study</u> that is investigating the use of ledipasvir/sofosbuvir in pregnant women with HCV alone is ongoing. In addition, potential drug interactions exist between these newer anti-HCV drugs and ARV drugs that may produce clinically significant changes in serum levels of both ARV drugs and anti-HCV medications. For detailed information on the interactions between ARV drugs and anti-HCV drugs, see the <u>Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines</u>, the <u>Adult and Adolescent Opportunistic Infection Guidelines</u>, HCVGuidelines.org, and the HEP Drug Interaction Checker.

## Monitoring Women with HCV/HIV Coinfection During Pregnancy

Hepatic enzyme levels can increase after ART is initiated in women with HCV/HIV coinfection—particularly in those with low CD4 counts at treatment initiation—as a result of an immune-mediated flare in HCV disease triggered by immune reconstitution with ART. In patients with HIV, HCV infection may increase the hepatotoxic risk of certain ARV agents, specifically PIs and nevirapine. HCV mono-infection may increase the risk of intrahepatic cholestasis of pregnancy;<sup>39</sup> this risk is also higher among women with HCV/HIV coinfection than among women with HIV infection alone. Pregnant women with HCV/HIV coinfection should be counseled about the signs and symptoms of liver toxicity, and transaminase levels should be assessed 1 month after initiating ART and then every 3 months thereafter. If hepatic toxicity occurs, a clinician may need to consider initiating a less hepatotoxic drug regimen, and, if clinical symptoms or significant elevations of transaminases occur, drugs may need to be temporarily discontinued. Differentiating between drug toxicity and a flare of HCV disease that is associated with immune reconstitution can be difficult; therefore, consulting an expert in HCV/HIV coinfection is strongly recommended.

Rates of preterm delivery are also high among women with HCV/HIV coinfection. In an Italian cohort of mostly ART-treated women with HCV/HIV coinfection, preterm delivery occurred in 41% of women overall. The rate of preterm delivery was 29% among women with HCV RNA <5 log IU/mL and 43% among women with HCV RNA >5 log IU/mL; the difference in rates of preterm delivery was not statistically significant between the two groups. Women with preterm delivery had significantly higher levels of HCV RNA than those who delivered at term. In a population-based retrospective cohort of 87,924 pregnant women in the United States who delivered between 2006 and 2014, infants born to women with HCV (n = 1,043; 1.2%) were more likely to be preterm (defined as <37 weeks gestation) than those born to women without HCV (22% vs. 10%, P < 0.01). Infants born to women with HCV were also more likely to have low birth weights (defined as weighing <2,500 g) than those born to women without HCV (23% vs. 8%, P < 0.01).

HCV infection in pregnancy may also be associated with increased risks for gestational diabetes, small-forgestational-age infants, and low birth weight infants.<sup>5,40</sup> A study of 4,236 pregnant women with HIV reported a higher risk of preterm delivery in women with HCV coinfection (OR 3.0; 95% CI, 1.6–5.7) than in women with HIV alone. Although currently no obstetric guidelines suggest that women with HCV infection should

be monitored more frequently for diabetes or for fetal growth,<sup>41</sup> knowledge of these increased risks may inform clinical care.<sup>10</sup>

## Mode of Delivery

The majority of studies of scheduled cesarean delivery in women with HCV infection (with or without HIV coinfection) have found that the procedure does not reduce the risk of perinatal HCV transmission.<sup>32,42-44</sup> Thus, the general recommendations for mode of delivery are the same for women with HCV/HIV coinfection as for those with HIV infection alone (see <u>Transmission and Mode of Delivery</u>).

## Evaluation of Infants Exposed to Hepatitis C Virus

Infants born to women with HCV/HIV coinfection should be assessed for chronic HCV infection. An HCV antibody test should be performed after age 18 months, when the maternal anti-HCV antibody level has waned. Sensitivity of HCV RNA testing is low at birth, and viremia can be intermittent or infection may resolve spontaneously; thus, HCV RNA testing should not be performed before age 2 months, and a single negative test is not conclusive evidence of lack of infection. HCV testing is very low for infants who were exposed to HCV; therefore, it is important for providers to counsel women about the need for pediatric follow-up and testing during the first few years of life. HCV The Pediatric Opportunistic Infection Guidelines provide further details about the diagnostic evaluation of infants who were exposed to HCV.

- 1. Benhammou V, Tubiana R, Matheron S, et al. HBV or HCV coinfection in HIV-1-infected pregnant women in France: prevalence and pregnancy outcomes. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2018;77(5):439-450. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29287028">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29287028</a>.
- 2. Ghazaryan L, Smith L, Parker M, et al. Hepatitis C seroprevalence among HIV-infected childbearing women in New York state in 2006. *Matern Child Health J.* 2016;20(3):550-555. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26520159">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26520159</a>.
- 3. Koneru A, Nelson N, Hariri S, et al. Increased hepatitis C virus (HCV) detection in women of childbearing age and potential risk for vertical transmission United States and Kentucky, 2011-2014. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2016;65(28):705-710. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27442053">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27442053</a>.
- 4. Ly KN, Jiles RB, Teshale EH, Foster MA, Pesano RL, Holmberg SD. Hepatitis C virus infection among reproductive-aged women and children in the United States, 2006 to 2014. *Ann Intern Med.* 2017;166(11):775-782. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28492929">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28492929</a>.
- 5. Barritt AS, 4th, Jhaveri R. Treatment of hepatitis C during pregnancy-weighing the risks and benefits in contrast to HIV. *Curr HIV/AIDS Rep.* 2018;15(2):155-161. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29470782">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29470782</a>.
- 6. Salemi JL, Spooner KK, Mejia de Grubb MC, Aggarwal A, Matas JL, Salihu HM. National trends of hepatitis B and C during pregnancy across sociodemographic, behavioral, and clinical factors, United States, 1998-2011. *J Med Virol*. 2017;89(6):1025-1032. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27805270">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27805270</a>.
- 7. Patrick SW, Bauer AM, Warren MD, Jones TF, Wester C. Hepatitis C virus infection among women giving birth Tennessee and United States, 2009-2014. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2017;66(18):470-473. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28493860">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28493860</a>.
- 8. Chappell CA, Hillier SL, Crowe D, Meyn LA, Bogen DL, Krans EE. Hepatitis C virus screening among children exposed during pregnancy. *Pediatrics*. 2018;141(6). Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29720535">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29720535</a>.
- 9. Schillie SF, Canary L, Koneru A, et al. Hepatitis C virus in women of childbearing age, pregnant women, and children. *Am J Prev Med.* 2018;55(5):633-641. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30342628">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30342628</a>.
- 10. Society for Maternal-Fetal Medicine, Hughes BL, Page CM, Kuller JA. Hepatitis C in pregnancy: screening, treatment, and management. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2017;217(5):B2-B12. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28782502">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28782502</a>.
- 11. American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases and the Infectious Diseases Society of America. HCV guidance: recommendations for testing, managing, and treating hepatitis C. 2017. Available at: <a href="http://hcvguidelines.org/">http://hcvguidelines.org/</a>.

- 12. Chappell CA, Kranss E, Bunge K, et al. A phase 1 study of ledipasvir/sofosbuvir in pregnant women with hepatitis C virus. Abstract 87. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2019. Seattle, WA. Available at: <a href="http://www.croiconference.org/sessions/phase-1-study-ledipasvirsofosbuvir-pregnant-women-hepatitis-c-virus">http://www.croiconference.org/sessions/phase-1-study-ledipasvirsofosbuvir-pregnant-women-hepatitis-c-virus</a>.
- 13. Spera AM, Eldin TK, Tosone G, Orlando R. Antiviral therapy for hepatitis C: has anything changed for pregnant/lactating women? *World J Hepatol*. 2016;8(12):557-565. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27134703">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27134703</a>.
- 14. Mack CL, Gonzalez-Peralta RP, Gupta N, et al. NASPGHAN practice guidelines: Diagnosis and management of hepatitis C infection in infants, children, and adolescents. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* 2012;54(6):838-855. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22487950">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22487950</a>.
- 15. Bernstein HB, Dunkelberg JC, Leslie KK. Hepatitis C in pregnancy in the era of direct-acting antiviral treatment: potential benefits of universal screening and antepartum therapy. *Clin Obstet Gynecol*. 2018;61(1):146-156. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29351151">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29351151</a>.
- 16. Sibiude J, Warszawski J, Tubiana R, et al. High risk of liver enzyme elevation in pregnant women receiving protease inhibitors. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2016. Boston, MA.
- 17. Huang QT, Huang Q, Zhong M, et al. Chronic hepatitis C virus infection is associated with increased risk of preterm birth: a meta-analysis of observational studies. *J Viral Hepat*. 2015;22(12):1033-1042. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26081198">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26081198</a>.
- 18. Baroncelli S, Pirillo MF, Amici R, et al. HCV-HIV coinfected pregnant women: data from a multicentre study in Italy. *Infection*. 2016;44(2):235-242. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26507133">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26507133</a>.
- 19. Alter MJ, Kuhnert WL, Finelli L, Centers for Disease C, Prevention. Guidelines for laboratory testing and result reporting of antibody to hepatitis C virus. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *MMWR Recomm Rep.* 2003;52(RR-3):1-13, 15; quiz CE11-14. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12585742">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12585742</a>.
- 20. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Hepatitis C questions and answers for health professionals. 2019. Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/hepatitis/hcv/hcvfaq.htm.
- 21. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Viral hepatitis hepatitis C information. 2019. Available at: <a href="http://www.cdc.gov/hepatitis/hcv/">http://www.cdc.gov/hepatitis/hcv/</a>.
- 22. Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents. Hepatitis B virus/HIV coinfection. 2018. Available at: <a href="https://aidsinfo.nih.gov/guidelines/html/1/adult-and-adolescent-arv/25/hbv-hiv">https://aidsinfo.nih.gov/guidelines/html/1/adult-and-adolescent-arv/25/hbv-hiv</a>.
- 23. Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents. Guidelines for the use of antiretroviral agents in HIV-1-infected adults and adolescents—Hepatitis C Virus Infection. 2018. Available at: <a href="https://aidsinfo.nih.gov/guidelines/httml/1/adult-and-adolescent-arv-guidelines/26/hcv-hiv">httml/1/adult-and-adolescent-arv-guidelines/26/hcv-hiv</a>.
- 24. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Guidelines for vaccinating pregnant women. 2017. Available at: <a href="https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/pregnancy/hcp/guidelines.html">https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/pregnancy/hcp/guidelines.html</a>.
- 25. Sookoian S. Effect of pregnancy on pre-existing liver disease: chronic viral hepatitis. *Ann Hepatol.* 2006;5(3):190-197. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17060881">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17060881</a>.
- 26. Benova L, Mohamoud YA, Calvert C, Abu-Raddad LJ. Vertical transmission of hepatitis C virus: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2014;59(6):765-773. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24928290">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24928290</a>.
- 27. Tovo PA, Palomba E, Ferraris G, et al. Increased risk of maternal-infant hepatitis C virus transmission for women coinfected with human immunodeficiency virus type 1. Italian Study Group for HCV Infection in Children. *Clin Infect Dis.* 1997;25(5):1121-1124. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9402369">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9402369</a>.
- 28. Gibb DM, Goodall RL, Dunn DT, et al. Mother-to-child transmission of hepatitis C virus: evidence for preventable peripartum transmission. *Lancet*. 2000;356(9233):904-907. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11036896">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11036896</a>.
- 29. Mast EE, Hwang LY, Seto DS, et al. Risk factors for perinatal transmission of hepatitis C virus (HCV) and the natural history of HCV infection acquired in infancy. *J Infect Dis*. 2005;192(11):1880-1889. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16267758">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16267758</a>.
- 30. Alter MJ. Epidemiology of viral hepatitis and HIV co-infection. *J Hepatol*. 2006;44(1 Suppl):S6-9. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16352363">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16352363</a>.
- 31. Polis CB, Shah SN, Johnson KE, Gupta A. Impact of maternal HIV coinfection on the vertical transmission of hepatitis C

  Recommendations for the Use of Antiretroviral Drugs in Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Interventions to Reduce Perinatal HIV Transmission in the United States

  C-89

  Downloaded from <a href="https://aidsinfo.nih.gov/guidelines">https://aidsinfo.nih.gov/guidelines</a> on 8/30/2020

- virus: a meta-analysis. Clin Infect Dis. 2007;44(8):1123-1131. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17366462.
- 32. European Paediatric Hepatitis C Virus Network. A significant sex--but not elective cesarean section--effect on mother-to-child transmission of hepatitis C virus infection. *J Infect Dis*. 2005;192(11):1872-1879. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16267757">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16267757</a>.
- 33. Checa Cabo CA, Stoszek SJ, Quarleri J, et al. Mother-to-child transmission of hepatitis C virus (HCV) among HIV/HCV-coinfected women. *J Ped Infect Dis.* 2013;2(2):126-135. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26199724">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26199724</a>.
- 34. Hershow RC, Riester KA, Lew J, et al. Increased vertical transmission of human immunodeficiency virus from hepatitis C virus-coinfected mothers. Women and infants transmission study. *J Infect Dis.* 1997;176(2):414-420. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9237706">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9237706</a>.
- 35. England K, Thorne C, Newell ML. Vertically acquired paediatric coinfection with HIV and hepatitis C virus. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2006;6(2):83-90. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16439328">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16439328</a>.
- 36. Boucoiran I, Albert AYK, Tulloch K, et al. Human immunodeficiency virus viral load rebound near delivery in previously suppressed, combination antiretroviral therapy-treated pregnant women. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2017;130(3):497-501. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28796673.
- 37. Boskovic R, Wide R, Wolpin J, Bauer DJ, Koren G. The reproductive effects of beta interferon therapy in pregnancy: a longitudinal cohort. *Neurology*. 2005;65(6):807-811. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16186517">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16186517</a>.
- 38. Elbasvir/grazoprevir (Zepatier) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2016. Available at: <a href="http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda">http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda</a> docs/label/2016/208261Orig1s000lbl.pdf.
- 39. Wijarnpreecha K, Thongprayoon C, Sanguankeo A, Upala S, Ungprasert P, Cheungpasitporn W. Hepatitis C infection and intrahepatic cholestasis of pregnancy: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Res Hepatol Gastroenterol*. 2017;41(1):39-45. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27542514.
- 40. Pergam SA, Wang CC, Gardella CM, Sandison TG, Phipps WT, Hawes SE. Pregnancy complications associated with hepatitis C: data from a 2003-2005 Washington state birth cohort. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2008;199(1):38 e31-39. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18486089.
- 41. American College of Obstetricians Gynecologists. ACOG practice bulletin No. 86: viral hepatitis in pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2007;110(4):941-956. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17906043">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17906043</a>.
- 42. Ghamar Chehreh ME, Tabatabaei SV, Khazanehdari S, Alavian SM. Effect of cesarean section on the risk of perinatal transmission of hepatitis C virus from HCV-RNA+/HIV- mothers: a meta-analysis. *Arch Gynecol Obstet*. 2011;283(2):255-260. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20652289">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20652289</a>.
- 43. Marine-Barjoan E, Berrebi A, Giordanengo V, et al. HCV/HIV co-infection, HCV viral load and mode of delivery: risk factors for mother-to-child transmission of hepatitis C virus? *AIDS*. 2007;21(13):1811-1815. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17690581">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17690581</a>.
- 44. McMenamin MB, Jackson AD, Lambert J, et al. Obstetric management of hepatitis C-positive mothers: analysis of vertical transmission in 559 mother-infant pairs. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2008;199(3):315 e311-315. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18771997">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18771997</a>.
- 45. Bal A, Petrova A. Single clinical practice's report of testing initiation, antibody clearance, and transmission of hepatitis C virus (HCV) in infants of chronically HCV-infected mothers. *Open Forum Infect Dis.* 2016;3(1):ofw021. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26985444">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26985444</a>.
- 46. Polywka S, Pembrey L, Tovo PA, Newell ML. Accuracy of HCV-RNA PCR tests for diagnosis or exclusion of vertically acquired HCV infection. *J Med Virol*. 2006;78(2):305-310. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16372293">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16372293</a>.
- 47. Kuncio DE, Newbern EC, Johnson CC, Viner KM. Failure to test and identify perinatally infected children born to hepatitis C virus-infected women. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2016;62(8):980-985. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26797211">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26797211</a>.
- 48. Watts T, Stockman L, Martin J, Guilfoyle S, Vergeront JM. Increased risk for mother-to-infant transmission of hepatitis C virus among medicaid recipients Wisconsin, 2011-2015. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2017;66(42):1136-1139. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29072864">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29072864</a>.
- 49. Towers CV, Fortner KB. Infant follow-up postdelivery from a hepatitis C viral load positive mother. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med.* 2019;32(19):3303-3305. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29587561">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29587561</a>.

## HIV-2 Infection and Pregnancy (Last updated December 12, 2019; last reviewed December 12, 2019)

#### **Panel's Recommendations**

- HIV-2 infection should be considered in pregnant women who are from—or who have partners who are from—countries in which the
  disease is endemic and who have positive results on an HIV-1/HIV-2 antibody or HIV-1/HIV-2 antigen/antibody immunoassay. They
  should be tested with a supplemental HIV-1/HIV-2 antibody differentiation assay. If they have only HIV-2 infection, the test will be
  negative for HIV-1 antibodies and positive for HIV-2 antibodies (AII).
- Pregnant women living with HIV-2 should be treated as per guidelines for HIV-1 mono-infection but using antiretroviral drugs that are
  active against HIV-2. Non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors and enfuvirtide are not active against HIV-2 and <u>should not be</u>
  <u>used</u> (AIII).
- No randomized clinical trials have been performed to address when to start treatment or what the optimal treatment is for HIV-2 infection (AIII). A regimen with two nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors and integrase strand transfer inhibitors or certain boosted protease inhibitors is recommended for all pregnant women with HIV-2 infection (AIII).
- Dolutegravir (irrespective of trimester), raltegravir, ritonavir-boosted darunavir, or ritonavir-boosted lopinavir plus a dual-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NRTI) backbone of abacavir plus lamivudine or tenofovir disoproxil fumarate plus emtricitabine or lamivudine are recommended for treating HIV-2 mono-infection in pregnant women and in women trying to conceive (AIII). Zidovudine (ZDV) plus lamivudine can be used as an alternative dual-NRTI backbone. See <a href="Updated Guidance about the Use of Dolutegravir in Pregnancy">Updated Guidance about the Use of Dolutegravir in Pregnancy</a> in Recommendations for the Use of Antiretroviral Drugs in Pregnancy and Appendix D: Dolutegravir Counseling Guide for Health Care Poviders.
- As with HIV-1, the possibility of hepatitis B virus/HIV-2 coinfection should be considered when choosing an antiretroviral regimen to treat HIV-2 (AI), see Hepatitis B Virus/HIV Coinfection.
- All infants born to women with HIV-2 infection (who do not have HIV-1 infection) should receive the 4-week ZDV prophylactic regimen (BIII).
- In the United States, where safe infant formula is readily available, breastfeeding is not recommended for infants born to mothers with HIV-2 infection (AIII).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

**Rating of Evidence:** I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

HIV-2 infection is endemic in West African countries, including the Ivory Coast, Ghana, Cape Verde, The Gambia, Mali, Senegal, Liberia, Guinea, Burkina Faso, Nigeria, Mauritania, Sierra Leone, Guinea Bissau, Niger, Sao Tome, and Togo. It is also endemic in Angola, Mozambique, and in parts of India. <sup>1-4</sup> It also occurs in countries such as France and Portugal, which have large numbers of immigrants from these regions. <sup>5</sup>

HIV-2 remains rare in the United States. Between 1998 and 2010, 242 HIV-2 cases were reported to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), with 166 cases meeting the criteria for HIV-2 diagnosis. These 166 cases constituted only 0.01% of the >1.4 million U.S. cases of HIV infection.<sup>6</sup> Fifty women aged 15 to 44 years at diagnosis were among the 166 cases; 24 (48%) were pregnant at HIV-2 diagnosis or became pregnant after diagnosis.<sup>6</sup> HIV-2 infection should be suspected in pregnant women who are from—or who have partners from—countries in which the disease is endemic and who have positive results on an HIV-1/HIV-2 antibody or HIV-1/HIV-2 antibody immunoassay. They should be tested with a supplemental HIV-1/HIV-2 antibody differentiation immunoassay. If they have only HIV-2 infection, the test will be negative for HIV-1 antibodies and positive for HIV-2 antibodies. In rare instances, a woman may have dual infection with HIV-1 and HIV-2, and both tests will be positive.

In 2014, CDC released a new HIV testing algorithm. The first step in that algorithm is performing an HIV-1/HIV-2 antigen/antibody combination assay on serum or plasma (e.g., Abbott Architect HIV Ag/Ab combo assay, BioRad GS Combo Ag/Ab EIA, Alere Determine). This test does not distinguish between HIV-1 antibodies and HIV-2 antibodies. Specimens which are reactive on this test must be tested with a Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved antibody assay to distinguish HIV-1 antibodies from HIV-2 antibodies. The FDA-approved HIV-2 antibody supplemental test Geenius (Bio-Rad Laboratories) is used as part of the CDC-recommended HIV laboratory testing algorithm. Viral load assays for HIV-2 are not commercially

available, but they may be available under research protocols. The University of Washington<sup>8</sup> and the New York State Department of Health<sup>9</sup> also offer HIV-2 viral load assays. All HIV-2 cases should be reported to the HIV surveillance program of the state or local health department, which can arrange for additional confirmatory testing for HIV-2 by the CDC. <sup>10</sup> No validated HIV-2 genotype or phenotype resistance assays are available in the United States. HIV-2 genotypic resistance assays are available for research use only at the University of Washington. European experts developed a rule set and an automated tool for HIV-2 drug resistance analyses that is freely available online. <sup>11</sup>

HIV-2 has a longer asymptomatic phase than HIV-1, with a slower progression to AIDS. However, without effective antiretroviral therapy (ART), HIV-2 will progress to AIDS and death in the majority of individuals over time. The most common mode of HIV-2 transmission is through heterosexual sex. HIV-2 is less infectious than HIV-1, with a five-fold lower rate of sexual transmission and 20-fold to 30-fold lower rate of vertical transmission. Several studies confirm that rates of perinatal transmission of HIV-2 are low with and without interventions (0% to 4%), which may be a result of reduced plasma viral loads and less cervical viral shedding in women with HIV-2 than in women with HIV-1. HIV-2 also can be transmitted through breastfeeding. HIV-2 infection does not protect against HIV-1, and dual infection, which carries the same prognosis as HIV-1 mono-infection, can occur. HIV-1

## Recommended Antiretroviral Therapy for Pregnant Women Living with HIV-2

Pregnant women living with HIV-2 should be treated according to the guidelines for patients with HIV-1 mono-infection, though clinicians should make sure that the chosen ART regimen is also appropriate for treatment of HIV-2. Once treatment is started, ART should be continued postpartum, as is recommended for all patients with HIV-1. A systematic review analyzed data collected from 1996 to 2012 on treatment outcomes among nonpregnant patients with HIV-2. The review reported a heterogeneity of treatment outcomes among patients who initiated ART, especially in resource-limited settings.<sup>20</sup> Non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTIs) and enfuvirtide are not active against HIV-2 and should not be used for treatment or prophylaxis.<sup>21,22</sup> The integrase strand transfer inhibitors (INSTIs) raltegravir (RAL), elvitegravir, dolutegravir (DTG), and bictegravir are effective against HIV-2.<sup>23,24</sup> HIV-2 has variable susceptibility to protease inhibitors (PIs), with lopinavir, saquinavir, and darunavir having the most activity. <sup>25</sup> Although DTG may be able to rescue a failing RAL-based regimen in a person with HIV-2, a study has reported the emergence of DTG resistance mutations in people with HIV-2.<sup>26</sup> The CCR5 antagonist maraviroc appears to be active against some strains of HIV-2, although there are no approved assays to determine HIV-2 co-receptor tropism. 27,28 HIV-2 drug resistance has been documented with various antiretroviral (ARV) drugs. <sup>29,30</sup> Among 47 ARTnaive persons with HIV-2, ultradeep sequencing showed that three people displayed plasma viruses with a resistance-associated mutation (RAM) above the 20% detection threshold, with a prevalence of transmitted drug resistance for nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs) of 7.9% (95% confidence interval, 0.0% to 16.5%). No RAM above the 20% detection threshold was found for PIs or INSTIs.<sup>31</sup>

The care of pregnant women with HIV-2 mono-infection has been based on expert opinion. A regimen with two NRTIs and an INSTI or a ritonavir-boosted PI currently is recommended for all pregnant women with HIV-2. The following regimens can be used to treat HIV-2, based on the available efficacy and safety data on these drugs from clinical trials of pregnant women with HIV-1:

- DTG (irrespective of trimester), RAL, ritonavir-boosted darunavir, or ritonavir-boosted lopinavir plus a dual-NRTI backbone of abacavir plus lamivudine or tenofovir disoproxil fumarate plus emtricitabine or lamivudine are the recommended regimens for treating HIV-2 mono-infection in pregnant women and women trying to conceive. See <u>Updated Guidance about the Use of Dolutegravir in Pregnancy in Recommendations for the Use of Antiretroviral Drugs in Pregnancy and Appendix D: Dolutegravir Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers.</u>
- Zidovudine (ZDV)/lamivudine can be used as an alternative dual-NRTI backbone. 32,33
- NNRTIs **should not be used**, because they are not active against HIV-2.

When monitoring the plasma viral loads and CD4 T lymphocyte (CD4) cell counts in pregnant women with HIV-2, clinicians should follow the guidelines outlined for people with HIV-1 (see Monitoring of the Woman and Fetus During Pregnancy). However, disease progression can occur in the setting of undetectable HIV-2 plasma viral load. Patients who have HIV-2 plasma viral loads that are below the limits of detection should still have routine CD4 counts and clinical monitoring (see Plasma HIV-1 RNA (Viral Load) and CD4 Count Monitoring in the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines).

There are no data to address whether treatment should be continued after pregnancy in women with HIV-2 mono-infection. To date, no randomized trials have addressed the question of an optimal treatment strategy for HIV-2 infection, although clinical trials are underway. The Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines recommend that all patients with HIV-2 should be treated using the guidelines provided for patients with HIV-1 (see the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines).

All infants born to mothers with HIV-2 (who do not have HIV-1) should receive a 4-week ZDV prophylaxis regimen.<sup>33</sup> The possible risks and benefits of ARV prophylaxis should be discussed with the mothers. As noted above, rates of perinatal transmission of HIV-2 are low with and without interventions, and it is unclear whether infants born to women with undetectable HIV-2 viral loads will benefit from ARV prophylaxis. However, monitoring maternal HIV-2 plasma viral loads and receiving the results in a timely manner can be difficult, as plasma samples must be sent to the University of Washington or New York State Department. Therefore, the Panel recommends that all infants born to mothers with HIV-2 receive prophylaxis. The use of ZDV prophylaxis is recommended in this clinical situation because nevirapine lacks activity against HIV-2.

There are no data on the impact of scheduled cesarean delivery on HIV-2 perinatal transmission. The risk to infants from breastfeeding is lower for HIV-2 than for HIV-1, but breastfeeding should be avoided in the United States and other countries where safe infant formula is readily available.<sup>16</sup>

Infants born to mothers with HIV-2 should be tested for HIV-2 infection with HIV-2—specific virologic assays at time points similar to those used for HIV-1 testing.<sup>34</sup> Quantitative HIV-2 plasma RNA viral load testing for clinical care is available from the University of Washington<sup>8</sup> and the New York State Department of Health.<sup>9</sup> Antibody testing of infants (e.g., with the Bio-Rad Laboratories Multispot HIV-1/HIV-2 test) can also be performed at age 18 months to confirm clearance of HIV-2 antibodies.<sup>33</sup>

- 1. De Cock KM, Brun-Vezinet F. Epidemiology of HIV-2 infection. *AIDS*. 1989;3 Suppl 1:S89-95. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2514761">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2514761</a>.
- 2. De Cock KM, Adjorlolo G, Ekpini E, et al. Epidemiology and transmission of HIV-2. Why there is no HIV-2 pandemic. *JAMA*. 1993;270(17):2083-2086. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8147962">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8147962</a>.
- 3. Campbell-Yesufu OT, Gandhi RT. Update on human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-2 infection. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2011;52(6):780-787. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21367732.
- 4. Heitzinger K, Sow PS, Dia Badiane NM, et al. Trends of HIV-1, HIV-2 and dual infection in women attending outpatient clinics in Senegal, 1990-2009. *Int J STD AIDS*. 2012;23(10):710-716. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23104745">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23104745</a>.
- 5. Cazein F, Lot F, Pillonel J, et al. HIV and AIDS surveillance in France, 2006. *Bull Epidemiol Hebd*. 2007(46-47):386-393
- 6. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. HIV-2 infection surveillance--United States, 1987-2009. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2011;60(29):985-988. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21796096.
- 7. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Laboratory testing for the diagnosis of HIV iInfection: updated recommendations. 2014. Available at: <a href="http://stacks.cdc.gov/view/cdc/23447">http://stacks.cdc.gov/view/cdc/23447</a>.
- 8. Chang M, Gottlieb GS, Dragavon JA, et al. Validation for clinical use of a novel HIV-2 plasma RNA viral load assay using the Abbott m2000 platform. *J Clin Virol*. 2012;55(2):128-133. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22832059">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22832059</a>.

- 9. Styer LM, Miller TT, Parker MM. Validation and clinical use of a sensitive HIV-2 viral load assay that uses a whole virus internal control. *J Clin Virol*. 2013;58 Suppl 1:e127-133. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24342472">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24342472</a>.
- 10. Branson BM, Pandori M. 2012 HIV diagnostics conference: the molecular diagnostics perspective. *Expert Rev of Mol Diagn*. 2013;13(3):243-245. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23570401">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23570401</a>.
- 11. Charpentier C, Camacho R, Ruelle J, et al. HIV-2EU: supporting standardized HIV-2 drug resistance interpretation in Europe. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2013;56(11):1654-1658. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23429380">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23429380</a>.
- 12. Esbjornsson J, Mansson F, Kvist A, et al. Long-term follow-up of HIV-2-related AIDS and mortality in Guinea-Bissau: a prospective open cohort study. *Lancet HIV*. 2018. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30392769">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30392769</a>.
- 13. Kanki PJ, Travers KU, S MB, et al. Slower heterosexual spread of HIV-2 than HIV-1. *Lancet*. 1994;343(8903):943-946. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7909009">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7909009</a>.
- 14. Matheron S, Courpotin C, Simon F, et al. Vertical transmission of HIV-2. *Lancet*. 1990;335(8697):1103-1104. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1970407">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1970407</a>.
- 15. O'Donovan D, Ariyoshi K, Milligan P, et al. Maternal plasma viral RNA levels determine marked differences in mother-to-child transmission rates of HIV-1 and HIV-2 in The Gambia. MRC/Gambia government/university college London medical school working group on mother-child transmission of HIV. *AIDS*. 2000;14(4):441-448. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10770548">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10770548</a>.
- 16. Burgard M, Jasseron C, Matheron S, et al. Mother-to-child transmission of HIV-2 infection from 1986 to 2007 in the ANRS French Perinatal Cohort EPF-CO1. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2010;51(7):833-843. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20804413">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20804413</a>.
- 17. Adjorlolo-Johnson G, De Cock KM, Ekpini E, et al. Prospective comparison of mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 and HIV-2 in Abidjan, Ivory Coast. *JAMA*. 1994;272(6):462-466. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8040982">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8040982</a>.
- 18. Andreasson PA, Dias F, Naucler A, Andersson S, Biberfeld G. A prospective study of vertical transmission of HIV-2 in Bissau, Guinea-Bissau. *AIDS*. 1993;7(7):989-993. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8357558">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8357558</a>.
- 19. Prince PD, Matser A, van Tienen C, Whittle HC, Schim van der Loeff MF. Mortality rates in people dually infected with HIV-1/2 and those infected with either HIV-1 or HIV-2: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *AIDS*. 2014;28(4):549-558. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23921613">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23921613</a>.
- 20. Ekouevi DK, Tchounga BK, Coffie PA, et al. Antiretroviral therapy response among HIV-2 infected patients: a systematic review. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2014;14:461. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25154616">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25154616</a>.
- 21. Tuaillon E, Gueudin M, Lemee V, et al. Phenotypic susceptibility to nonnucleoside inhibitors of virion-associated reverse transcriptase from different HIV types and groups. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2004;37(5):1543-1549. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15577405">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15577405</a>.
- 22. Poveda E, Rodes B, Toro C, Soriano V. Are fusion inhibitors active against all HIV variants? *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses*. 2004;20(3):347-348. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15117459">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15117459</a>.
- 23. Le Hingrat Q, Collin G, Le M, et al. A new mechanism of resistance of HIV-2 to integrase inhibitors: a 5 amino-acids insertion in the integrase C-terminal domain. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2018. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30383215">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30383215</a>.
- 24. Smith RA, Raugi DN, Wu VH, et al. Comparison of the antiviral activity of bictegravir against HIV-1 and HIV-2 isolates and integrase inhibitor-resistant HIV-2 mutants. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2019;63(5). Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30803972">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30803972</a>.
- 25. Desbois D, Roquebert B, Peytavin G, et al. In vitro phenotypic susceptibility of human immunodeficiency virus type 2 clinical isolates to protease inhibitors. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2008;52(4):1545-1548. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18227188">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18227188</a>.
- 26. Requena S, Trevino A, Cabezas T, et al. Drug resistance mutations in HIV-2 patients failing raltegravir and influence on dolutegravir response. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2017;72(7):2083-2088. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28369593">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28369593</a>.

- 27. Borrego P, Taveira N. HIV-2 susceptibility to entry inhibitors. *AIDS Rev.* 2013;15(1):49-61. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23449229">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23449229</a>.
- 28. Visseaux B, Charpentier C, Hurtado-Nedelec M, et al. In vitro phenotypic susceptibility of HIV-2 clinical isolates to CCR5 inhibitors. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2012;56(1):137-139. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22064539">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22064539</a>.
- 29. Charpentier C, Visseaux B, Benard A, et al. Transmitted drug resistance in French HIV-2-infected patients. *AIDS*. 2013;27(10):1671-1674. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23595155">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23595155</a>.
- 30. Menendez-Arias L, Alvarez M. Antiretroviral therapy and drug resistance in human immunodeficiency virus type 2 infection. *Antiviral Res.* 2014;102:70-86. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24345729.
- 31. Storto A, Visseaux B, Bertine M, et al. Minority resistant variants are also present in HIV-2-infected antiretroviral-naive patients. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2018. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29415189">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29415189</a>.
- 32. Gilleece Y, Chadwick DR, Breuer J, et al. British HIV association guidelines for antiretroviral treatment of HIV-2-positive individuals 2010. *HIV Med*. 2010;11(10):611-619. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20961377">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20961377</a>.
- 33. de Ruiter A, Mercey D, Anderson J, et al. British HIV association and children's HIV association guidelines for the management of HIV infection in pregnant women 2008. *HIV Med*. 2008;9(7):452-502. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18840151">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18840151</a>.
- 34. Panel on Antiretroviral Therapy and Medical Management of Children Living with HIV. Guidelines for the use of antiretroviral agents in pediatric HIV infection. 2018. Available at: <a href="http://aidsinfo.nih.gov/contentfiles/lvguidelines/pediatricguidelines.pdf">http://aidsinfo.nih.gov/contentfiles/lvguidelines/pediatricguidelines.pdf</a>.

# Prenatal Care, Antiretroviral Therapy, and HIV Management in Women with Perinatal HIV Infection (Last updated December 24, 2019; last reviewed December 24, 2019)

#### Panel's Recommendations

- The management of prenatal care and general principles of antiretroviral therapy (ART) and HIV management do not differ between pregnant women with perinatally acquired HIV (PHIV) and those with nonperinatally acquired HIV (AII).
- Using the same guiding principles that are used for heavily ART-experienced adults, optimal ART regimens should be selected based on resistance testing, ART treatment history, and pill burden (All).
- Consultation with experts in HIV and pregnancy is recommended when the presence of extensive drug resistance warrants the use of antiretroviral drugs for which there is limited experience in pregnancy (AIII).
- Pregnant women with PHIV warrant enhanced focus on adherence interventions during pregnancy and after delivery (AII).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

**Rating of Evidence:** I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

With the availability of potent antiretroviral therapy (ART), morbidity and mortality have significantly declined in individuals living with HIV, including those with perinatally acquired HIV (PHIV). The majority of women with PHIV have reached childbearing age, and many are becoming pregnant. A significant number of these pregnancies are unplanned.<sup>1-3</sup> The components of prenatal care and general principles of ART and HIV management do not differ between pregnant women with PHIV and those with nonperinatally acquired HIV (NPHIV) who acquired HIV through sexual contact or injection drug use. However, the reproductive health care needs and the prevention of perinatal transmission in women with PHIV pose unique challenges. Adherence to ART is often a major challenge for women with PHIV. In addition, because most of these women are still adolescents and young adults, they may be at higher risk of certain pregnancy complications, such as preterm delivery, small-for-gestational-age (SGA) infants, low birth weight, and preeclampsia.<sup>4-9</sup> However, in some studies, the risk of premature delivery tends be similar among women with PHIV and women with NPHIV when adjusting for age.<sup>10</sup>

Because women with PHIV have extensive ART experience, optimal ART regimens should be selected using the same guiding principles used for ART-experienced adults; in particular, the ART regimen should be selected on the basis of resistance testing, pill burden, and the woman's specific ART history and preferences. Because individuals who acquired HIV perinatally have the potential to develop complex drug-resistance mutation patterns, clinicians may consider performing phenotypic resistance testing in these women during pregnancy when resistance testing is indicated. Regimens that optimize dosing intervals and minimize pill burden should be considered. Regimens should be constructed using antiretroviral (ARV) drugs that are recommended for use in pregnancy whenever possible. However, in many cases, the presence of extensive drug resistance may warrant the use of ARV drugs for which there is limited experience in pregnancy; consultation with experts in HIV and pregnancy is recommended in such cases.

Women with PHIV experience prolonged HIV infection, have received multiple ART regimens—including suboptimal monotherapy or dual-therapy regimens received as children, and are more likely to harbor drug-resistant virus. As many as 30% to 70% of pregnant women with PHIV have evidence of HIV drug resistance. PHIV have evidence of HIV drug resistance be increased in this population, as long as these women receive appropriate prenatal management and achieve viral suppression. PHACS that included 2,123 births from 2007 to 2015, pregnant women with PHIV had a higher perinatal HIV transmission rate (1.1%; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.3% to 4.3%) than pregnant women with NPHIV (0.4%; 95% CI, 0.2% to 1.0%); this higher rate was associated with a greater likelihood of detectable maternal viral load at delivery. Women with PHIV are more likely to have detectable viral loads at delivery.

lower CD4 T lymphocyte counts, and genotypic drug resistance than women with NPHIV; these factors can have implications during labor and delivery.<sup>8,13,16,18,19</sup> Several studies have suggested that pregnant women with PHIV are more likely to have a cesarean delivery in order to prevent HIV transmission; cesarean deliveries are most commonly indicated in these women due to a lack of viral load suppression.<sup>11,16</sup> Cesarean delivery in these young women raises concerns for increased risk of adverse obstetric outcomes if repeated cesarean deliveries are required for future pregnancies.

Evidence from studies is conflicting as to whether women with PHIV have higher rates of preterm and SGA infants than women with NPHIV.<sup>20,21</sup> Several studies have demonstrated no associations between perinatally acquired HIV status and preterm birth, SGA infants, or low birth weight.<sup>8,13,20-22</sup> Other studies with smaller sample sizes have reported conflicting results:

- A case series reported high rates of preterm birth (31%) among women with PHIV.<sup>11</sup>
- Jao et al. reported a four-fold increased risk for SGA births among women with PHIV compared to those with NPHIV.9
- Munjal et al. reported earlier gestational age at delivery and lower average birth weights in infants born to women with PHIV compared to those with NPHIV.<sup>16</sup>

Women with PHIV also have poor rates of retention in care and viral suppression for up to 2 years postpartum.<sup>23</sup> In a retrospective analysis of 37 pregnancies among women with PHIV and 40 pregnancies among age-matched women with NPHIV who delivered during the same time period, the viral load declines achieved during pregnancy in women with PHIV were not sustained during postpartum follow-up, in contrast to the age-matched comparison group. Another study found that, during 4 years of follow-up postpartum, there were four deaths due to AIDS-related complications among women with PHIV but none among the women with NPHIV.<sup>16</sup> Although genotypic mutations were more common in women with PHIV, loss of viral suppression that resulted in postpartum disease progression was more likely to be related to adherence difficulties, highlighting the need for adherence interventions after delivery.

Psychosocial challenges in PHIV may be magnified due to the presence of a lifelong chronic illness, high rates of depression, <sup>24</sup> and, frequently the loss of one or both parents. Attention to developmentally appropriate adherence counseling is critical. A systematic review and meta-analysis of 50 eligible studies on ART adherence in individuals with HIV aged 12 years to 24 years old reported 62.3% adherence overall among youth with HIV. Youth from U.S. studies had the lowest average rate of adherence at 53%. <sup>25</sup> In a 2014 study of 1,596 people with PHIV who were living in New York City, only 61% were virally suppressed. The authors attributed poor ART adherence to social, behavioral, and developmental factors. <sup>26</sup> A history of depression has also been associated with nonadherence to ART among pregnant women with PHIV. Focused attention on diagnosis and treatment of depression during the preconception period may lead to better medication adherence. Self-motivation and social support were key to achieving medication adherence in a study of adolescents with HIV in the United Kingdom. <sup>28</sup>

Studies have noted reduced rates of retention in care and viral suppression among pediatric and adolescent persons with HIV who are transitioning to adult health care.<sup>29</sup> Among adolescents with PHIV, pregnancy may create additional complications in the transition from pediatric/adolescent HIV care to adult care due to the complexity of navigating an adult health care system with multiple providers. However, pregnancy may also be an opportune time for a young woman to transition to adult care. There is a need to identify, develop or adapt, and implement culturally sensitive, women-centered interventions for improving HIV care continuum outcomes of pregnant and postpartum women living with HIV.<sup>30</sup> Coordination of care across multiple disciplines, including HIV primary care, OB/GYN, and perinatal case management, is advised.<sup>31</sup> Integration of reproductive health counseling and family planning services, including consistent counseling on condom use, sexually transmitted infection testing and prevention, optimal pregnancy spacing, and developmentally appropriate skill building to support disclosure, as indicated, is recommended.

- 1. Kenny J, Williams B, Prime K, Tookey P, Foster C. Pregnancy outcomes in adolescents in the UK and Ireland growing up with HIV. *HIV Med.* 2012;13(5):304-308. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22136754">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22136754</a>.
- 2. Brogly SB, Ylitalo N, Mofenson LM, et al. In utero nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor exposure and signs of possible mitochondrial dysfunction in HIV-uninfected children. *AIDS*. 2007;21(8):929-938. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17457086">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17457086</a>.
- 3. Badell ML, Lindsay M. Thirty years later: pregnancies in females perinatally infected with human immunodeficiency virus-1. *AIDS Res Treat*. 2012;2012:418630. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22970353.
- 4. Ganchimeg T, Ota E, Morisaki N, et al. Pregnancy and childbirth outcomes among adolescent mothers: a world health organization multicountry study. *BJOG*. 2014;121 Suppl 1:40-48. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24641534">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24641534</a>.
- 5. Ananth CV, Keyes KM, Wapner RJ. Pre-eclampsia rates in the United States, 1980-2010: age-period-cohort analysis. *BMJ*. 2013;347:f6564. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24201165">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24201165</a>.
- 6. Witt WP, Cheng ER, Wisk LE, et al. Preterm birth in the United States: the impact of stressful life events prior to conception and maternal age. *Am J Public Health*. 2014;104 Suppl 1:S73-80. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24354830">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24354830</a>.
- 7. Jao J, Agwu A, Mhango G, et al. Growth patterns in the first year of life differ in infants born to perinatally vs. nonperinatally HIV-infected women. *AIDS*. 2015;29(1):111-116. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25562495">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25562495</a>.
- 8. Badell ML, Kachikis A, Haddad LB, Nguyen ML, Lindsay M. Comparison of pregnancies between perinatally and sexually HIV-infected women: an observational study at an urban hospital. *Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol*. 2013;2013:301763. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24106419">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24106419</a>.
- 9. Jao J, Sigel KM, Chen KT, et al. Small for gestational age birth outcomes in pregnant women with perinatally acquired HIV. *AIDS*. 2012;26(7):855-859. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22313958">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22313958</a>.
- Lundberg P, Andersson R, Machado ES, Costa TPD, Hofer CB. Pregnancy outcomes in young mothers with perinatally and behaviorally acquired HIV infections in Rio de Janeiro. *Braz J Infect Dis*. 2018;22(5):412-417. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30339778">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30339778</a>.
- 11. Williams SF, Keane-Tarchichi MH, Bettica L, Dieudonne A, Bardeguez AD. Pregnancy outcomes in young women with perinatally acquired human immunodeficiency virus-1. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2009;200(2):149 e141-145. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18973871">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18973871</a>.
- 12. Cruz ML, Santos E, Benamor Teixeira Mde L, et al. Viral suppression and resistance in a cohort of perinatally-HIV infected (PHIV+) pregnant women. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2016;13(6). Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27338425">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27338425</a>.
- 13. Lazenby GB, Mmeje O, Fisher BM, et al. Antiretroviral resistance and pregnancy characteristics of women with perinatal and nonperinatal HIV infection. *Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol*. 2016;2016:4897501. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27413359">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27413359</a>.
- 14. Phillips UK, Rosenberg MG, Dobroszycki J, et al. Pregnancy in women with perinatally acquired HIV-infection: outcomes and challenges. *AIDS Care*. 2011;23(9):1076-1082. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21562997">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21562997</a>.
- 15. Calitri C, Gabiano C, Galli L, et al. The second generation of HIV-1 vertically exposed infants: a case series from the Italian Register for paediatric HIV infection. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2014;14:277. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24885649">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24885649</a>.
- 16. Munjal I, Dobroszycki J, Fakioglu E, et al. Impact of HIV-1 infection and pregnancy on maternal health: comparison between perinatally and behaviorally infected young women. *Adolesc Health Med Ther*. 2013;4:51-58. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24600295">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24600295</a>.
- 17. Millery M, Vazquez S, Walther V, Humphrey N, Schlecht J, Van Devanter N. Pregnancies in perinatally HIV-infected

- young women and implications for care and service programs. *J Assoc Nurses AIDS Care: JANAC*. 2012;23(1):41-51. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21820325">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21820325</a>.
- 18. Goodenough CJ, Patel K, Van Dyke RB, Pediatric HIV AIDS Cohort Study. Is there a higher risk of mother-to-child transmission of HIV among pregnant women with perinatal HIV infection? *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2018; 37(12):1267-1270. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29742647">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29742647</a>.
- 19. Byrne L, Sconza R, Foster C, Tookey PA, Cortina-Borja M, Thorne C. Pregnancy incidence and outcomes in women with perinatal HIV infection. *AIDS*. 2017;31(12):1745-1754. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28590327">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28590327</a>.
- 20. Hleyhel M, Tubiana R, Rouzioux C, et al. Pregnancies in women who acquired HIV perinatally. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2017. Seattle, WA.
- 21. Jao J, Kacanek D, Williams P, et al. Birth weight and preterm delivery outcomes of perinatally vs. non-perinatally HIV-infected pregnant women in the U.S.: results from the PHACS SMARTT study and IMPAACT P1025 protocol. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2017;65(6):982-989. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28575201.
- 22. Agwu AL, Jang SS, Korthuis PT, Araneta MR, Gebo KA. Pregnancy incidence and outcomes in vertically and behaviorally HIV-infected youth. *JAMA*. 2011;305(5):468-470. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21285423">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21285423</a>.
- 23. Meade CM, Hussen SA, Momplaisir F, Badell M, Hackett S, Sheth AN. Long term engagement in HIV care among postpartum women with perinatal HIV infection in the United States. *AIDS Care*. 2018;30(4):488-492. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29254363.
- 24. Mellins CA, Brackis-Cott E, Dolezal C, Abrams EJ. Psychiatric disorders in youth with perinatally acquired human immunodeficiency virus infection. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2006;25(5):432-437. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16645508">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16645508</a>.
- 25. Kim SH, Gerver SM, Fidler S, Ward H. Adherence to antiretroviral therapy in adolescents living with HIV: systematic review and meta-analysis. *AIDS*. 2014;28(13):1945-1956. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24845154">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24845154</a>.
- 26. Xia Q, Shah D, Gill B, Torian LV, Braunstein SL. Continuum of care among people living with perinatally acquired HIV infection in New York City, 2014. *Public Health Rep.* 2016;131(4):566-573. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27453601">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27453601</a>.
- 27. Sheth SS, Coleman J, Cannon T, et al. Association between depression and nonadherence to antiretroviral therapy in pregnant women with perinatally acquired HIV. *AIDS Care*. 2015;27(3):350-354. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25616659">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25616659</a>.
- 28. Kim SH, McDonald S, Kim S, Foster C, Fidler S. Importance of self-motivation and social support in medication adherence in HIV-infected Adolescents in the United Kingdom and Ireland: A Multicentre HYPNet Study. *AIDS Patient Care STDS*. 2015;29(6):354-364. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25825814.
- 29. Hatfield-Timajchy K, Brown JL, Haddad LB, Chakraborty R, Kourtis AP. Parenting among adolescents and young adults with human immunodeficiency virus infection in the United States: challenges, unmet needs, and opportunities. *AIDS Patient Care STDS*. 2016;30(7):315-323. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27410495">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27410495</a>.
- 30. Momplaisir FM, Storm DS, Nkwihoreze H, Jayeola O, Jemmott JB. Improving postpartum retention in care for women living with HIV in the United States. *AIDS*. 2018;32(2):133-142. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29194122">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29194122</a>.
- 31. Anderson EA, Momplaisir FM, Corson C, Brady KA. Assessing the impact of perinatal HIV case management on outcomes along the HIV care continuum for pregnant and postpartum women living with HIV, Philadelphia 2005-2013. *AIDS Behav.* 2017. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28176167.

#### Acute HIV Infection (Last updated December 12, 2019; last reviewed December 12, 2019)

#### Panel's Recommendations

- When acute HIV infection is suspected in pregnancy or during breastfeeding, a plasma HIV RNA test should be obtained in conjunction
  an antigen/antibody immunoassay test (see <u>Acute and Recent [Early] HIV Infection</u> in the <u>Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines</u>
  and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention <u>HIV testing algorithm</u> for more information) (AII).
- Repeat HIV testing in the third trimester is recommended for pregnant women with initial negative HIV test results who are known to be at risk of acquiring HIV, who are receiving care in facilities that have an HIV incidence of ≥1 case per 1,000 pregnant women per year, those who reside in jurisdictions with elevated HIV incidence, or those who reside in states that require third-trimester testing (see Revised Recommendations for HIV Testing of Adults, Adolescents, and Pregnant Women in Health Care Settings) (AII).
- All pregnant women with acute or recent HIV infection should start antiretroviral therapy (ART) as soon as possible to prevent perinatal transmission, with the goal of rapidly suppressing plasma HIV RNA below detectable levels (AI).
- In women with acute HIV infection, baseline genotypic resistance testing should be performed simultaneously with initiation of ART (AII), and the regimen should be adjusted, if necessary, to optimize virologic response (BIII).
- Dolutegravir plus tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) plus emtricitabine (FTC) is the *Preferred* ART regimen for pregnant and breastfeeding women with acute HIV, irrespective of trimester (see <u>Table 4, Table 5</u>, and <u>Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy and Appendix D: Dolutegravir Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers) (AII).</u>
- Alternatively, raltegravir plus TDF plus FTC or a regimen that includes a ritonavir-boosted protease inhibitor can be initiated (AIII). See <u>Table 4</u>, <u>Table 5</u>, and Updated Guidance about the Use of Dolutegravir in Pregnancy in <u>Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy</u> for more information.
- The Panel on Treatment of Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission emphasizes the importance of counseling and informed decision-making regarding all antiretroviral (ARV) regimens for people living with HIV (AIII).
- · Lactating women who receive a diagnosis of acute HIV infection should be counseled to discontinue breastfeeding.
- Infants born to women who received a diagnosis of acute HIV infection during pregnancy or breastfeeding are at high risk of perinatal
  HIV transmission and should receive an ARV regimen that is appropriate for this elevated risk (see Table 6 in <u>Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection</u>) (AII). Consulting a pediatric HIV specialist regarding appropriate infant management is strongly recommended (see <u>Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection</u>).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

**Rating of Evidence:** I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

Women may have an increased risk of HIV infection during pregnancy and breastfeeding.<sup>1,2</sup> In a recent study of 2,751 serodifferent couples in seven African countries, 686 pregnancies among HIV-negative women were identified and 82 incident HIV infections occurred. After adjusting for condom use, pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) use, and HIV viral load, the probability of HIV acquisition per condomless sex act was higher in late pregnancy (adjusted relative risk [aRR] 2.82; P = 0.01) and the postpartum period (aRR 3.97; P = 0.01) than during the nonpregnant period.<sup>1</sup> Women who are at risk for acquiring HIV during pregnancy and the postpartum period should consider using interventions that prevent HIV acquisition, such as PrEP.<sup>3</sup>

Acute or recent HIV infection during pregnancy or breastfeeding is associated with an increased risk of perinatal HIV transmission, and a significant proportion of perinatal transmission cases can be attributed to maternal acute infection.<sup>4</sup> Among 10,308 pregnant women with HIV who delivered live infants from 2005 to 2010 in 15 areas in the United States that conducted Enhanced Perinatal Surveillance, 124 women (1.2%) seroconverted during pregnancy. The rate of perinatal transmission was eight times higher among women who seroconverted during pregnancy (12.9%) than among those who seroconverted prior to pregnancy (1.6%) (P < 0.0001).<sup>5</sup> Similarly, among 108 new perinatal HIV infections that were identified between 2006 and 2013 in the United Kingdom, 23 were associated with a concurrent maternal seroconversion.<sup>6</sup> The high rate of transmission in people with acute infection is likely related to the high viral loads in plasma, breast milk, and the genital tract that are present during acute infection; in addition, acute HIV infection symptoms

can be nonspecific, which results in missed opportunities to diagnose and implement interventions that can reduce the risk of perinatal transmission.

Health care providers should maintain a high level of suspicion of acute HIV infection in women who are pregnant or breastfeeding and have clinical signs and symptoms that are compatible with acute infection. Even when women do not report high-risk behaviors, it is still possible that their sexual partners are practicing high-risk behaviors without their knowledge. An estimated 40% to 90% of patients with acute HIV infection will experience symptoms of acute retroviral syndrome, which is characterized by fever, lymphadenopathy, pharyngitis, skin rash, myalgias/arthralgias, and other symptoms. <sup>8-10</sup> Providers often do not recognize acute HIV infection because the symptoms are similar to those of other common illnesses, and individuals with acute HIV infection may also be asymptomatic.

When acute retroviral syndrome is suspected during pregnancy or breastfeeding, a plasma HIV RNA test should be obtained in conjunction with an antigen/antibody immunoassay test. Updated guidance for HIV testing recommends using a Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved antigen/antibody combination (fourth-generation) immunoassay that detects HIV-1 and HIV-2 antibodies and HIV-1 p24 antigen for initial testing. These tests are used to screen for established infection with HIV-1 or HIV-2 and for acute HIV-1 infection. More specific guidance on HIV testing can be found in the <u>Acute and Recent (Early) HIV Infection</u> section of the <u>Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines</u>, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) <u>HIV testing algorithm</u>, and the <u>Maternal HIV Testing and Identification of Perinatal HIV Exposure</u>.

Recent HIV infection also can be detected by repeat HIV testing later in pregnancy in women whose initial HIV test was negative. <sup>11</sup> A report from the MIRAD study found that six of 54 women (11%) whose HIV was identified with rapid HIV testing during labor had acute or recent infection. <sup>12</sup> Repeat HIV testing during the third trimester is recommended for pregnant women who are known to be at risk of HIV infection, who receive care in facilities with an HIV incidence of ≥1 case per 1,000 pregnant women per year, or who reside in jurisdictions with elevated HIV incidence (see <u>Prenatal and Perinatal Human Immunodeficiency Virus Testing, Revised Recommendations for HIV Testing of Adults, Adolescents, and Pregnant Women in Health Care Settings,</u> the CDC <u>HIV testing algorithm</u>, and <u>Maternal HIV Testing and Identification of Perinatal HIV Exposure</u>). <sup>13</sup> Despite this recommendation, a retrospective cohort study at a large metropolitan hospital in a high-prevalence jurisdiction reported that repeat prenatal HIV testing was performed in only 28.4% of women. <sup>14</sup>

Acute or recent HIV infection during pregnancy and breastfeeding is associated with a high risk of perinatal transmission of HIV. Therefore, all pregnant women with acute or recent HIV infection should start antiretroviral therapy (ART) as soon as possible, with the goal of preventing perinatal transmission by rapid suppression of plasma HIV RNA below detectable levels. Baseline genotypic resistance testing should be performed to guide adjustment of an optimal antiretroviral (ARV) drug regimen. Data from the United States and Europe demonstrate that in 6% to 16% of patients, transmitted virus may be resistant to  $\geq$ 1 ARV drug. If results of resistance testing are already available or the source virus's resistance pattern is known, that information can be used to guide the selection of the drug regimen.

A regimen that includes dolutegravir (DTG) plus tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) plus emtricitabine (FTC) should be initiated in pregnant women and breastfeeding women with acute HIV infection (see Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy, Table 4, and Table 5). DTG exposure around the time of conception has been associated with a small but significant increase in the risk of infant neural tube defects (NTDs) in Botswana (0.3%). Although this risk was higher than the risk for NTDs in infants born to women who were receiving efavirenz (EFV; 0.05%) and women without HIV (0.08%), there are not enough data to determine the risk of NTDs with preconception use of all *Preferred* and *Alternative* regimens, including DTG, in the United States. Based on the available evidence, the Panel on Treatment of Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission recommends DTG as a *Preferred* drug for pregnant women, irrespective of trimester. When DTG use is continued after delivery,

clinicians should discuss reproductive desires as well as the risks and benefits of conceiving on DTG and contraceptive options with the patient. For additional information and recommendations on the use of DTG, see Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy and Appendix D: Dolutegravir Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers.

DTG is associated with higher rates of virologic suppression, faster rates of viral load decline, and a higher genetic barrier to drug resistance than other *Preferred* and *Alternative* agents. DTG plus TDF plus FTC is considered a reasonable ARV regimen for treatment of acute infection in nonpregnant adults, but data are limited regarding the transmission of integrase strand transfer inhibitor (INSTI)-resistant HIV and the efficacy of this regimen when treating early infection. *Alternative* regimens for treatment of acute infection during pregnancy and breastfeeding include raltegravir (RAL) plus TDF plus FTC or a regimen that includes a ritonavir-boosted protease inhibitor-based regimen (see Table 4 and Table 5). TDF plus FTC is the *Preferred* nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NRTI) backbone for treatment of acute infection. Abacavir is not recommended for empiric treatment of acute infection unless the patient previously tested negative for HLA-B\*5701; this will avoid delays in ART initiation while awaiting HLA-B\*5701 test results.

Several studies have demonstrated that the use of INSTI-based regimens is associated with shorter time to viral suppression compared with other ARV regimens. An observational study evaluated time to viral suppression among 86 nonpregnant adults with newly diagnosed HIV infection: 36 participants (42%) had acute HIV infection, 27 (31%) had early HIV infection, and 23 (27%) had established HIV infection. ART was initiated within 30 days of diagnosis, and the median time to documented viral suppression was 12 weeks. Time to viral suppression was significantly shorter in those who received an INSTI-based regimen than in those who received a PI-based regimen. Median time to viral suppression was 12 weeks in those who received INSTIs (interquartile range [IQR] 4–24 weeks) and 24 weeks in those who received PIs (IQR 12–24 weeks; *P* = 0.022). The baseline viral loads did not differ between these two groups. In the ADVANCE study, 1,053 ART-naive individuals were randomized to receive DTG plus FTC plus TDF versus DTG plus FTC plus tenofovir alafenamide (TAF), or EFV plus FTC plus TDF. At 48 weeks, 84% of participants in the DTG plus FTC plus TAF group, 85% in the DTG plus FTC plus TDF group, and 79% in the EFV-based ART group had achieved HIV RNA <50 copies/mL. While both DTG-based regimens were noninferior to the EFV regimen, the time to viral suppression was substantially shorter among participants in the DTG arms. In the DTG arms.

While no data are available to inform the treatment of acute HIV during pregnancy, two recent studies in women who presented to care late in pregnancy demonstrated more rapid viral decline on INSTI-based regimens than on EFV-based ART. In the DolPHIN-2 study, 268 ART-naive pregnant women in Uganda and South Africa with a median gestational age of 31 weeks were randomized to receive either DTG plus two NRTIs or EFV plus two NRTIs. At delivery, women in the DTG arm were significantly more likely to have achieved HIV RNA <50 copies/mL than those in the EFV arm (73.8% vs. 42.6%; adjusted risk ratio 1.66; 95% confidence interval, 1.3–2.1; P < 0.0001). Similarly, IMPAACT 1081 randomized 408 ART-naive, late-presenting pregnant women in South America, Africa, Thailand, and the United States to receive RAL plus two NRTIs or EFV plus two NRTIs. Fifty percent of these women presented to care at 20 weeks to <28 weeks gestation and 50% presented at 28 weeks to <37 weeks gestation. Median time to achieve viral loads <200 copies/mL was 8 days for women who received RAL-based ART and 15 days for those who received EFV-based treatment. Viral load decline was greater in women who received RAL-based ART than in those who received EFV-based ART at Weeks 2, 4, and 6 after initiation. Significantly are required to care at 20 weeks and 15 days for those who received EFV-based ART at Weeks 2, 4, and 6 after initiation.

When acute HIV infection is diagnosed during pregnancy, and particularly when it is documented in late pregnancy, cesarean delivery may be necessary when there is insufficient time to fully suppress a patient's viral load. When acute HIV infection is diagnosed during breastfeeding, breastfeeding should be discontinued. In nursing mothers with suspected seroconversion, breastfeeding should be interrupted, and it should not resume if infection is confirmed (see <a href="Counseling and Managing Women Living with HIV in the United States Who Desire to Breastfeed">Counseling and Managing Women Living with HIV in the United States Who Desire to Breastfeed</a>). Women can continue to express and store breast milk while awaiting confirmation of infection status.

Given the high risk of transmission to the infant with acute maternal infection, an infant should receive an ARV regimen that is appropriate for this elevated risk when acute HIV infection is diagnosed during pregnancy or breastfeeding (see <u>Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection</u>). Consulting a pediatric HIV specialist regarding appropriate infant management is strongly recommended. All women who receive a diagnosis of acute infection should be asked whether they know the HIV status of their partner. HIV testing of the sexual partners of all pregnant women who test HIV positive should be encouraged, and PrEP should be offered to partners who test HIV negative.

- 1. Thomson KA, Hughes J, Baeten JM, et al. Increased risk of HIV acquisition among women throughout pregnancy and during the postpartum period: a prospective per-coital-act analysis among women with HIV-infected partners. *J Infect Dis*. 2018;218(1):16-25. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29514254">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29514254</a>.
- 2. Drake AL, Wagner A, Richardson B, John-Stewart G. Incident HIV during pregnancy and postpartum and risk of mother-to-child HIV transmission: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS Med.* 2014;11(2):e1001608. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24586123">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24586123</a>.
- 3. Mofenson LM. Risk of HIV acquisition during pregnancy and postpartum: a call for action. *J Infect Dis.* 2018;218(1):1-4. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29506075">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29506075</a>.
- 4. Nesheim S, Harris LF, Lampe M. Elimination of perinatal HIV infection in the USA and other high-income countries: achievements and challenges. *Curr Opin HIV AIDS*. 2013;8(5):447-456. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23925002">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23925002</a>.
- Singh S, Lampe MA, Surendera B, S. R, Borkowf CB, Nesheim SR. HIV seroconversion during pregnancy and mother-to-child HIV transmission: data from the enhanced perinatal surveillance projects, United States, 2005-2010. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2013. Atlanta, Georgia.
- 6. Peters H, Thorne C, Tookey PA, Byrne L. National audit of perinatal HIV infections in the UK, 2006-2013: what lessons can be learnt? *HIV Med.* 2018;19(4):280-289. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29336508.
- 7. Morrison CS, Demers K, Kwok C, et al. Plasma and cervical viral loads among Ugandan and Zimbabwean women during acute and early HIV-1 infection. *AIDS*. 2010;24(4):573-582. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20154581">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20154581</a>.
- 8. Yerly S, Hirschel B. Diagnosing acute HIV infection. *Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther*. 2012;10(1):31-41. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22149612">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22149612</a>.
- 9. Richey LE, Halperin J. Acute human immunodeficiency virus infection. *Am J Med Sci.* 2013;345(2):136-142. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23095473">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23095473</a>.
- 10. Crowell TA, Colby DJ, Pinyakorn S, et al. Acute retroviral syndrome is associated with high viral burden, CD4 depletion, and immune activation in systemic and tissue compartments. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2018;66(10):1540-1549. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29228130">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29228130</a>.
- 11. Wertz J, Cesario J, Sackrison J, Kim S, Dola C. Acute HIV infection in pregnancy: the case for third trimester rescreening. *Case Rep Infect Dis.* 2011;2011:340817. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22567467">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22567467</a>.
- 12. Nesheim S, Jamieson DJ, Danner SP, et al. Primary human immunodeficiency virus infection during pregnancy detected by repeat testing. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2007;197(2):149 e141-145. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17689629">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17689629</a>.
- 13. Branson BM, Handsfield HH, Lampe MA, et al. Revised recommendations for HIV testing of adults, adolescents, and pregnant women in health-care settings. *MMWR Recomm Rep.* 2006;55(RR-14):1-17; quiz CE11-14. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16988643.
- Liao C, Golden WC, Anderson JR, Coleman JS. Missed opportunities for repeat HIV testing in pregnancy: implications for elimination of mother-to-child transmission in the United States. *AIDS Patient Care STDS*. 2017;31(1):20-26. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27936863">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27936863</a>.

- 15. Rhee SY, Blanco JL, Jordan MR, et al. Geographic and temporal trends in the molecular epidemiology and genetic mechanisms of transmitted HIV-1 drug resistance: an individual-patient- and sequence-level meta-analysis. *PLoS Med*. 2015;12(4):e1001810. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25849352.
- 16. Buchacz K, Young B, Palella FJ Jr, et al. Trends in use of genotypic resistance testing and frequency of major drug resistance among antiretroviral-naive persons in the HIV Outpatient Study, 1999-2011. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2015;70(8):2337-2346. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25979729">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25979729</a>.
- 17. Hoenigl M, Chaillon A, Moore DJ, et al. Rapid HIV viral load suppression in those Initiating antiretroviral therapy at first visit after HIV diagnosis. *Sci Rep.* 2016;6:32947. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27597312.
- 18. Venter WDF, Moorhouse M, Sokhela S, et al. Dolutegravir plus two different prodrugs of tenofovir to treat HIV. *N Engl J Med*. 2019;381(9):803-815. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31339677">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31339677</a>.
- 19. Kintu K, Malaba T, Nakibuka J, et al. Rct of dolutegravir vs. efavirenz-based therapy initiated in late pregnancy: DolPHIN-2. Abstract 40. Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2019. Seattle, Washington. Available at: <a href="http://www.croiconference.org/sessions/rct-dolutegravir-vs-efavirenz-based-therapy-initiated-late-pregnancy-dolphin-2">http://www.croiconference.org/sessions/rct-dolutegravir-vs-efavirenz-based-therapy-initiated-late-pregnancy-dolphin-2</a>.
- 20. Mirochnick M, Shapiro D, Morrison L, et al. Randomized trial of raltegravir-ART vs. efavirenz-ART when initiated during pregnancy. Abstract 39. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2019. Seattle, Washington.

# Intrapartum Care (Last updated December 24, 2019; last reviewed December 24, 2019)

# **Intrapartum Antiretroviral Therapy/Prophylaxis**

#### Panel's Recommendations

- Women should continue taking their antepartum antiretroviral therapy (ART) on schedule as much as possible during labor and before scheduled cesarean delivery (AIII).
- Intravenous (IV) zidovudine (ZDV):
  - Should be administered to women with HIV if HIV RNA is known or suspected to be >1,000 copies/mL (or if HIV RNA is unknown) near delivery (AI).
    - Scheduled cesarean delivery at 38 weeks gestation (compared to 39 weeks for most indications) is recommended for women who have HIV RNA >1,000 copies/mL near delivery (see <u>Transmission and Mode of Delivery</u>) (AI).
  - Is not required for women who are receiving ART regimens and who have HIV RNA ≤50 copies/mL during late pregnancy and near delivery and no concerns regarding adherence to the ART regimen (BII).
  - May be considered for women with HIV RNA between 50 copies/mL and 999 copies/mL. There are inadequate data to determine
    whether administration of IV ZDV to women with HIV RNA levels between 50 copies/mL and 999 copies/mL provides any additional
    protection against perinatal HIV transmission. This decision can be made on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration the
    woman's recent ART adherence and her preferences and involving expert consultation if needed (CII).
- Women who present in labor with unknown HIV status should undergo expedited antigen/antibody HIV testing (AII). See <a href="Maternal HIV Exposure"><u>Maternal HIV Exposure</u></a> for more information.
  - If the results are positive, an HIV-1/HIV-2 antibody differentiation test and an HIV-1 RNA assay should be done as soon as possible, and maternal IV ZDV and infant combination antiretroviral (ARV) prophylaxis should be initiated pending results of the differentiation test (AII).
  - If the maternal HIV differentiation test is positive or if acute infection is suspected because the differentiation test is negative but
    the HIV RNA test is positive, infant ARV drugs should be managed as discussed in <u>Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with
    Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection</u> (AI). Women with positive expedited test results should not initiate breastfeeding until HIV
    infection is definitively ruled out (see <u>Postpartum Follow-Up of Women Living with HIV Infection</u>) (AII).
  - If the maternal HIV differentiation test is negative and <u>acute HIV infection</u> has been reasonably excluded with a negative HIV RNA test result, the maternal and infant ARV drugs should be stopped (AIII).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

# Women Who Have Received Antepartum Antiretroviral Drugs

#### Use of Intravenous Zidovudine During Labor

The PACTG 076 zidovudine (ZDV) regimen included a continuous intravenous (IV) infusion of ZDV during labor for all women. Antiretroviral therapy (ART) regimens are now recommended for treatment of HIV and prevention of perinatal HIV transmission in all pregnant women, regardless of CD4 T lymphocyte (CD4) cell count and HIV viral load; the additional benefit of IV ZDV in women who are receiving combination regimens has not been evaluated in randomized clinical trials.

The French Perinatal Cohort evaluated HIV transmission in >11,000 pregnant women with HIV who were receiving antiretroviral (ARV) drugs (10% of women were receiving ZDV alone, 18% were receiving dual-ARV regimens, and 72% were receiving triple-ARV regimens) and who delivered between 1997 and 2010, stratified by viral load at delivery; 95% of these women received IV intrapartum ZDV. The overall rate of perinatal HIV transmission was 0.9% (95 of 10,239 infants) with maternal IV ZDV and 1.8% (9 of 514 infants, P = 0.06) without maternal IV ZDV. Among women with HIV RNA <1,000 copies/mL at delivery, no transmission occurred among 369 women who did not receive IV ZDV; the transmission rate was 0.6% (47 of 8,132 infants, P > 0.20) among those who received IV ZDV. Among women with HIV RNA >1,000 copies/mL

whose infants received only ZDV for prophylaxis, the risk of transmission was 10.2% without maternal IV ZDV and 2.5% with maternal IV ZDV (P < 0.01). If a neonate received combination prophylaxis with two or more ARV drugs, there was no difference in the risk of transmission between women who received IV ZDV and those who did not (4.8% vs. 4.1%, P = 0.83).

In a cohort of 717 women who delivered between 1996 and 2008 in Miami, the majority of whom were receiving ART and had HIV RNA <1,000 copies/mL at delivery, not receiving IV ZDV during labor was not associated with an increased risk of perinatal HIV transmission.<sup>2</sup> Among a European cohort of infants who were considered to be at high risk of transmission, lack of IV ZDV during labor was associated with transmission on univariate analysis; however, lack of IV ZDV was not significantly associated with transmission once the results were adjusted for maternal HIV RNA and other factors (adjusted odds ratio with IV ZDV was 0.79; 95% confidence interval, 0.55-1.15; P = 0.23).<sup>3</sup> In a cohort of Irish women with HIV RNA <1,000 copies/mL who received ART for at least 4 weeks before delivery, no transmission occurred among 61 women who received either no ZDV during labor or <4 hours of IV ZDV.<sup>4</sup>

The results of these studies indicate that IV ZDV should continue to be administered to women with HIV RNA >1,000 copies/mL near delivery (or to women with HIV who have unknown HIV RNA levels), regardless of a woman's antepartum regimen. IV ZDV is not required for women who are receiving ART and who have HIV RNA ≤1,000 copies/mL in late pregnancy and/or near delivery and for whom there are no concerns about adherence to or tolerance of their ART regimens. However, many experts feel that there are inadequate data to determine whether administration of intrapartum IV ZDV to women with HIV RNA between 50 copies/mL and 999 copies/mL provides any additional protection against perinatal transmission. They recommend administering intrapartum IV ZDV to women with HIV RNA levels in this range, as the transmission risk is slightly higher (approximately 1% to 2%) when HIV RNA is in the range of 50 copies/mL to 999 copies/mL than when it is <50 copies/mL (transmission risk is ≤1%). <sup>1,5,6</sup> In addition, a recent study noted that 6% of women with suppressed HIV RNA levels during pregnancy had viral load rebound near delivery. <sup>7</sup> The clinician should use clinical judgement when making the decision to use intrapartum IV ZDV, regardless of the patient's viral load.

In women with HIV RNA >1,000 copies/mL who are undergoing a scheduled cesarean delivery for prevention of transmission, IV ZDV administration should begin 3 hours before the scheduled operative delivery. This recommendation is based on a pharmacokinetic (PK) study in which ZDV was administered orally during pregnancy and as a continuous infusion during labor. Maternal ZDV levels were measured at baseline, after the initial IV loading dose, and then every 3 to 4 hours until delivery. ZDV levels were also measured in cord blood.<sup>8</sup> Systemic and intracellular ZDV levels increased from baseline but appeared to stabilize after 3 hours of infusion; cord blood ZDV levels were associated with maternal levels and maternal infusion duration. If cesarean delivery is being performed for other indications and maternal viral load is ≤1,000 copies/mL near the time of delivery, administering IV ZDV is not required.

If ZDV was not used in the antenatal ART regimen because of known or suspected ZDV resistance, intrapartum use of the drug is still recommended in women with HIV RNA >1,000 copies/mL near delivery unless a woman has a documented history of hypersensitivity. This intrapartum use of the drug is recommended because of the unique characteristics of ZDV and its proven record in reducing the risk of perinatal HIV transmission, even in the presence of maternal resistance to the drug (see <u>Antiretroviral Drug Resistance and Resistance Testing in Pregnancy</u>).

In some international studies, oral (rather than IV) ZDV has been administered during labor. Data are limited on the PKs of oral versus IV ZDV during labor. In studies of oral dosing in labor, ZDV levels were lower than they were with IV dosing, and PK parameters suggested erratic absorption during labor. <sup>9,10</sup> Therefore, IV administration is recommended over oral administration in the United States for women with HIV RNA >1,000 copies/mL near delivery; in situations where IV administration is not possible, clinicians can consider administering oral ZDV using a 600-mg loading dose and then ZDV 400 mg every 3 hours. <sup>10</sup>

#### Continuation of Antenatal Antiretroviral Drugs during Labor

Women who are receiving an antepartum ART regimen should continue that regimen on schedule as much as possible during the intrapartum period to maintain maximal virologic suppression and to minimize the chance of developing drug resistance. If a woman is receiving oral ZDV as part of her antepartum regimen and she requires intrapartum IV ZDV, the oral ZDV component of the regimen can be held while she receives IV ZDV. When cesarean delivery is planned, oral medications can be administered preoperatively with sips of water. Medications that must be taken with food for absorption can be taken with liquid dietary supplements, contingent on consultation with the attending anesthesiologist during the preoperative period. If the maternal ARV drug regimen must be interrupted temporarily (meaning for <24 hours) during the peripartum period, all drugs should be stopped and reinstituted simultaneously to minimize the chance that resistance will develop.

# Women Who Have Received Antepartum Antiretroviral Drugs but Who Have Suboptimal Viral Suppression Near Delivery

Women who are receiving ART may not achieve complete viral suppression by the time of delivery due to factors such as difficulty with adherence, viral resistance, or late entry into care. Regardless of the reason, all women who have HIV RNA >1,000 copies/mL or who are presumed to have HIV RNA >1,000 copies/mL near the time of delivery should be offered a scheduled cesarean delivery at 38 weeks, which may significantly reduce the risk of transmission (see <u>Transmission and Mode of Delivery</u>).

Women with HIV RNA levels above 1,000 copies/mL at the time of delivery should receive IV ZDV along with oral administration of their other ARV drugs, as described above. While additional maternal ARV drugs, such as single-dose nevirapine (NVP), is not recommended, additional medications for prophylaxis in infants may be warranted in certain high-risk situations. These situations include cases where maternal HIV RNA levels are high at or near the time of delivery, especially if delivery is not a scheduled cesarean delivery (see Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or Perinatal HIV and Table 8).

# Women Who Have Not Received Antepartum Antiretroviral Drugs

#### Women Who Present in Labor without Documentation of HIV Status

All women without documentation of HIV status at the time of labor should be screened for HIV with expedited testing unless they decline (i.e., "opt-out" screening). Expedited repeat HIV testing is also recommended for women who present in labor and who tested negative for HIV in early pregnancy, but who are at increased risk of HIV infection and who were not retested in the third trimester. Factors that may increase the risk of infection include diagnosis of a sexually transmitted infection, illicit drug use, exchange of sex for money or drugs, multiple sexual partners during pregnancy, a sexual partner who is at risk of HIV infection or who is known to have HIV, signs or symptoms of acute HIV infection, or living in a region with an elevated incidence of HIV in women of childbearing age. 11

Initial testing for HIV should be done with a Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved antigen/ antibody combination immunoassay that detects HIV-1 and HIV-2 antibodies, and an HIV RNA assay to screen for both acute and established HIV-1 infection. No further testing is required for specimens that are nonreactive on the initial immunoassay. Women with a positive initial antigen/antibody combination immunoassay result should be tested with an FDA-approved antibody immunoassay that differentiates HIV-1 antibodies from HIV-2 antibodies. Reactive results on the initial antigen/antibody combination immunoassay and the HIV-1/HIV-2 antibody differentiation immunoassay should be interpreted as positive for HIV-1 antibodies, HIV-2 antibodies, or HIV antibodies, undifferentiated (see Revised Recommendations for HIV Testing of Adults, Adolescents, and Pregnant Women in Health-Care Settings and the resource page for laboratory testing for HIV from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention). Those with high levels of HIV-1 RNA and a negative confirmatory HIV assay most likely have acute HIV infection.

Expedited HIV testing should be available on a 24-hour basis at all facilities with a maternity service and/

or neonatal intensive care unit. Statutes and regulations regarding expedited testing vary from state to state (see <u>State HIV Testing Laws</u> from the Clinician Consultation Center for a review of these laws). Current information about testing also should be available at all facilities with a maternity service and/or neonatal intensive care unit.

Women who test positive on the initial test should be presumed to have HIV until follow-up testing clarifies their HIV status. IV ZDV should be started immediately in all women in labor who have positive initial HIV test results to prevent perinatal transmission of HIV, as discussed below. Women with positive initial test results should not initiate breastfeeding until HIV infection is definitively ruled out.

During the postpartum period, clinicians should follow up with these women on the results of the confirmatory HIV-1/HIV-2 antibody differentiation immunoassay and HIV-1 RNA testing and provide appropriate assessments of their health status as soon as possible, including performing a CD4 count and HIV genotypic resistance testing. Arrangements also should be made for establishing HIV care and providing ongoing psychosocial support after discharge. The infant should receive an appropriate ARV regimen for infants at high risk of perinatal HIV transmission (see <u>Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection</u> and <u>Table 8</u>). If the follow-up antibody test result is negative, results of the HIV RNA test should be reviewed to rule out acute infection as a cause of the initial positive test result before ART is stopped (see <u>Acute HIV Infection</u>).

# Choice of Intrapartum/Postpartum Antiretroviral Regimen for Women who Have Not Received Antepartum Antiretroviral Therapy

All women with HIV who have not received antepartum ARV drugs should start IV ZDV immediately to prevent perinatal transmission of HIV. Although intrapartum/neonatal ARV medications will not prevent perinatal transmission that occurs before labor, most transmission occurs near to or during labor and delivery. Pre-exposure prophylaxis can be provided for the fetus by giving mothers a drug that rapidly crosses the placenta. This produces systemic ARV drug levels in the fetus before the fetus experiences intensive exposure to HIV in maternal genital secretions and blood during birth. In general, ZDV and other nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors, non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors, and the integrase strand transfer inhibitor (INSTI) raltegravir cross the placenta well, whereas protease inhibitors do not (see Table 8). A small PK study and placental perfusion data suggest moderate-to-high placental transfer for elvitegravir. PTG), a PK study found that the median cord blood-to-maternal-plasma concentration ratio was 1.25 in 18 infants, corroborating data from case reports and placental perfusion models that showed moderate-to-high placental transfer of DTG. PTG. PTG. 14-16 Considerations for postpartum regimen choice are similar to those for women who have never received ART (see Pregnant Women Living with HIV Who Have Never Received Antiretroviral Drugs).

A large international trial (NICHD-HPTN 040/PACTG 1043) demonstrated that adding ARV agents to the neonatal portion of the intrapartum/neonatal ZDV regimen can further reduce the risk of perinatal HIV transmission for mothers who have received no antepartum ARV drugs (see <a href="Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection">ARV drugs</a> (see <a href="Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection">ARV drugs</a> (see <a href="Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection">ARV drugs</a> (see <a href="Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection">ARV drugs</a> (see <a href="Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection">ARV drugs</a> (see <a href="Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection">ARV drugs</a> (see <a href="Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection in this situation infants received either 6 weeks of ZDV alone or ZDV in combination with other agents. The combination infant regimens resulted in a 50% reduction in transmission risk when compared with ZDV alone. The combination infant regimens resulted in a 50% reduction in transmission risk when compared with ZDV alone. The combination infant regimens resulted in a 50% reduction in transmission risk when compared with ZDV alone. The combination infant regimens resulted in a 50% reduction in transmission risk when compared with ZDV alone. The combination infant regimens resulted in a 50% reduction in transmission risk when compared with ZDV alone. The combination infant regimens resulted in a 50% reduction in transmission risk when compared with ZDV alone. The combination infant regimens resulted in a 50% reduction in transmission risk when compared with ZDV alone. The combination infant regimens resulted in a 50% reduction in transmission risk when compared with ZDV alone. The combination i

- 1. Briand N, Warszawski J, Mandelbrot L, et al. Is intrapartum intravenous zidovudine for prevention of mother-to-child HIV-1 transmission still useful in the combination antiretroviral therapy era? *Clin Infect Dis.* 2013;57(6):903-914. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23728147">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23728147</a>.
- 2. Cotter AM, Brookfield KF, Duthely LM, Gonzalez Quintero VH, Potter JE, O'Sullivan MJ. Duration of membrane rupture and risk of perinatal transmission of HIV-1 in the era of combination antiretroviral therapy. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2012;207(6):482 e481-485. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23103331">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23103331</a>.
- 3. Chiappini E, Galli L, Giaquinto C, et al. Use of combination neonatal prophylaxis for the prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV infection in European high-risk infants. *AIDS*. 2013;27(6):991-1000. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23211776">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23211776</a>.
- 4. Wong VV. Is peripartum zidovudine absolutely necessary for patients with a viral load less than 1,000 copies/ml? *J Obstet Gynaecol*. 2011;31(8):740-742. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22085066">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22085066</a>.
- 5. Townsend CL, Byrne L, Cortina-Borja M, et al. Earlier initiation of ART and further decline in mother-to-child HIV transmission rates, 2000-2011. *AIDS*. 2014;28(7):1049-1057. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24566097">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24566097</a>.
- 6. Myer L, Phillips TK, McIntyre JA, et al. HIV viraemia and mother-to-child transmission risk after antiretroviral therapy initiation in pregnancy in Cape Town, South Africa. *HIV Med.* 2017;18(2):80-88. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27353189">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27353189</a>.
- 7. Boucoiran I, Albert AYK, Tulloch K, et al. Human immunodeficiency virus viral load rebound near delivery in previously suppressed, combination antiretroviral therapy-treated pregnant women. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2017;130(3):497-501. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28796673">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28796673</a>.
- 8. Rodman JH, Flynn PM, Robbins B, et al. Systemic pharmacokinetics and cellular pharmacology of zidovudine in human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected women and newborn infants. *J Infect Dis.* 1999;180(6):1844-1850. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10558940">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10558940</a>.
- 9. Bhadrakom C, Simonds RJ, Mei JV, et al. Oral zidovudine during labor to prevent perinatal HIV transmission, Bangkok: tolerance and zidovudine concentration in cord blood. Bangkok collaborative perinatal HIV transmission study group. *AIDS*. 2000;14(5):509-516. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10780713">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10780713</a>.
- 10. Mirochnick M, Rodman JH, Robbins BL, et al. Pharmacokinetics of oral zidovudine administered during labour: a preliminary study. *HIV Med.* 2007;8(7):451-456. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17760737">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17760737</a>.
- 11. Branson BM, Handsfield HH, Lampe MA, et al. Revised recommendations for HIV testing of adults, adolescents, and pregnant women in health-care settings. *MMWR Recomm Rep.* 2006;55(RR-14):1-17; quiz CE11-14. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16988643">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16988643</a>.
- 12. Best B, Caparelli E, Stek A, et al. Elvitegravir/cobicistat pharmacokinetics in pregnancy and postpartum. Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2017. Seattle, Washington.
- 13. Faure-Bardon V, Mandelbrot L, Duro D, Dussaux C, Le M, Peytavin G. Placental transfer of elvitegravir and cobicistat in an ex-vivo human cotyledon double perfusion model. *AIDS*. 2018;32(3):321-325. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29112064">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29112064</a>.
- 14. Lewis JM, Railton E, Riordan A, Khoo S, Chaponda M. Early experience of dolutegravir pharmacokinetics in pregnancy: high maternal levels and significant foetal exposure with twice-daily dosing. *AIDS*. 2016;30(8):1313-1315. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27128333">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27128333</a>.
- 15. Rimawi BH, Johnson E, Rajakumar A, et al. Pharmacokinetics and placental transfer of elvitegravir and dolutegravir, and other antiretrovirals during pregnancy. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2017. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28348149">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28348149</a>.
- 16. Mulligan N, Best BM, Wang J, et al. Dolutegravir pharmacokinetics in pregnant and postpartum women living with HIV. *AIDS*. 2018;32(6):729-737. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29369162">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29369162</a>.
- 17. Nielsen-Saines K, Watts DH, Veloso VG, et al. Three postpartum antiretroviral regimens to prevent intrapartum HIV infection. *N Engl J Med*. 2012;366(25):2368-2379. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22716975.
- 18. Shapiro RL, Thior I, Gilbert PB, et al. Maternal single-dose nevirapine versus placebo as part of an antiretroviral strategy to prevent mother-to-child HIV transmission in Botswana. *AIDS*. 2006;20(9):1281-1288. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16816557">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16816557</a>.

### Transmission and Mode of Delivery (Last updated December 24, 2019; last reviewed December 24, 2019)

#### Panel's Recommendations

- Scheduled cesarean delivery at 38 weeks gestation to minimize perinatal transmission of HIV is recommended for women with HIV
  RNA levels >1,000 copies/mL or unknown HIV levels near the time of delivery, irrespective of administration of antepartum antiretroviral
  therapy (ART) (AII).
- Scheduled cesarean delivery performed solely for prevention of perinatal transmission in women receiving ART with HIV RNA ≤1,000 copies/mL is not routinely recommended given the low rate of perinatal transmission in this group (AII).
- In women with HIV RNA levels ≤1,000 copies/mL, if scheduled cesarean delivery or induction is indicated, it should be performed at the standard time for obstetrical indications (AII).
- In women with an HIV RNA >1,000 copies/mL or unknown HIV RNA level who present in spontaneous labor or with ruptured membranes, there is insufficient evidence to determine whether cesarean delivery reduces the risk of perinatal HIV transmission. Management of women originally scheduled for cesarean delivery because of HIV who present in labor must be individualized at the time of presentation (BII). In these circumstances, consultation with an expert in perinatal HIV (e.g., telephone consultation with the National Perinatal HIV/AIDS Clinical Consultation Center at 1-888-448-8765) may be helpful in rapidly developing an individualized delivery plan.
- In women on ART with HIV RNA ≤1,000 copies/mL, duration of ruptured membranes is not associated with an increased risk of perinatal transmission and is not an indication for cesarean delivery to prevent HIV transmission (BII).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

**Rating of Evidence:** I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

#### **Basis for Current Recommendations**

Scheduled cesarean delivery, defined as cesarean delivery performed before the onset of labor and before rupture of membranes, is recommended for prevention of perinatal transmission of HIV in women with HIV RNA levels >1,000 copies/mL near delivery and for women with unknown HIV RNA levels.

This recommendation is based on findings from a multicenter, randomized clinical trial<sup>1</sup> and from a large individual patient data meta-analysis.<sup>2</sup> These two studies were conducted when most women with HIV received either no antiretroviral (ARV) drugs or zidovudine (ZDV) as a single drug and before the availability of viral load information. Study results have since been extrapolated to make current recommendations about the mode of delivery in an era when antiretroviral therapy (ART) during pregnancy is recommended and viral load information is readily available.

#### HIV RNA Level >1,000 copies/mL as a Threshold for Recommendation of Scheduled Cesarean Delivery

The American Congress of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) recommends that women with HIV RNA >1,000 copies/mL be counseled regarding the potential benefits of scheduled cesarean delivery.<sup>3</sup> Initially, the threshold of 1,000 copies/mL was based largely on data from the Women and Infants Transmission Study, a large prospective cohort study that reported no cases of HIV transmission among 57 women with HIV RNA levels <1,000 copies/mL.<sup>4</sup> Studies reported since then have demonstrated that HIV transmission can occur in infants born to women with low viral loads. Most studies do not specify the exact time that the HIV RNA levels closest to delivery were measured. The Panel on Treatment of Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission recommends viral load testing at approximately 34 to 36 weeks gestation to inform decisions about mode of delivery and optimal treatment of the newborn. A Canadian retrospective analysis reported that 6% of women (n = 318) who had had an undetectable HIV RNA level at some point during pregnancy had detectable virus at delivery, thus demonstrating that viral rebound near delivery may occur even among women in care.<sup>5</sup>

In an analysis of 957 women with plasma viral loads  $\leq 1,000$  copies/mL, cesarean delivery (scheduled or urgent) reduced the risk of HIV transmission when adjusting for potential confounders, including receipt of maternal ARV medications (adjusted odds ratio [AOR] 0.30; P = 0.022); however, ZDV alone was the

regimen primarily used as prophylaxis.<sup>6</sup> Among infants born to 834 women with HIV RNA ≤1,000 copies/mL receiving ARV medications, eight (1%) were born with HIV infection. In a report based on data from a comprehensive national surveillance system in the United Kingdom and Ireland, three of 2,117 infants born to women with HIV RNA levels <50 copies/mL at delivery were born with HIV. Two of the three infants had positive HIV DNA PCRs at birth, consistent with *in utero* transmission.<sup>7</sup>

Some studies demonstrate that transmission can occur even at very low HIV RNA levels. However, given the low rate of transmission among women with very low viral loads, it is unclear whether scheduled cesarean delivery confers any additional benefit in reducing transmission. Furthermore, there is evidence that complication rates for cesarean deliveries are higher in women with HIV than in women without HIV.<sup>8</sup> Therefore, decisions about mode of delivery for women receiving ART with HIV RNA levels ≤1,000 copies/mL should be individualized based on discussion between an obstetrician and a pregnant woman. Women should be informed that there is no evidence that a scheduled cesarean delivery performed solely for prevention of perinatal transmission is of any benefit in women receiving ART with HIV RNA ≤1,000 copies/mL and therefore **is not routinely recommended** for these women.

#### Scheduled Cesarean Delivery in the Antiretroviral Therapy Era

In surveillance data from the United Kingdom and Ireland published in 2008, pregnant women receiving ART (i.e., ≥3 drugs) had transmission rates of about 1%, unadjusted for mode of delivery. Given the low transmission rates achievable with use of maternal ART, the benefit of scheduled cesarean delivery is difficult to evaluate. In both the randomized clinical trial and the meta-analysis documenting the benefits of cesarean delivery, most women were receiving either no ARV drugs or ZDV alone. However, other data partially address this issue.

In a report on births to women with HIV from the United Kingdom and Ireland between 2000 and 2011, perinatal transmission rates in women on ART with HIV RNA < 1,000 copies/mL who had a planned cesarean delivery (13 of 3,544; 0.3%) were not significantly different than those in women who had a planned vaginal delivery (6 of 2,238; 0.3%), Similarly, data from the French Perinatal Cohort showed no difference in transmission rates between vaginal delivery and planned cesarean delivery among women on ART with suppressed viral loads (0.3% in both groups of women). For preterm deliveries in women with HIV RNA <1,000 copies/mL, transmission rates were slightly higher among planned vaginal deliveries than among planned cesarean deliveries, but the number of women with viral loads <400 copies/mL was low, and the differences across viral load levels were not statistically significant (1 of 9 [11.1%] vs. 1 of 17 [5.9%] for HIV RNA 400–1,000 copies/mL; 1 of 39 [2.6%] vs. 1 of 56 [1.8%] for HIV RNA 50–400 copies/mL; 1 of 189 [0.5%] vs. 0 of 143 [0%] for HIV RNA <50 copies/mL, for planned vaginal deliveries and elective cesarean deliveries, respectively). 10 Among 290 deliveries in women with HIV in Finland from 1993 to 2013, 75.4% of women delivered vaginally, 12.5% by elective cesarean, and 12.5% by emergency cesarean; 80% had HIV RNA <50 copies/mL. There were no perinatal HIV transmissions across the delivery methods. 11 Therefore, no evidence to date suggests any benefit from scheduled cesarean delivery in women who have been receiving ART for several weeks and who are virally suppressed at or near delivery.

When the delivery method selected is scheduled cesarean delivery and the maternal viral load is >1,000 copies/mL, a 1-hour loading dose followed by a continuous intravenous (IV) ZDV infusion for 2 hours (3 hours total) before scheduled cesarean delivery should be administered. In a study of the pharmacokinetics of IV ZDV in 28 pregnant women, the ratio of cord blood-to-maternal-ZDV concentration was significantly greater in women who received IV ZDV for 3 to 6 hours before delivery than in those who received the infusion for <3 hours before delivery (1.0 vs. 0.55, respectively). This suggests that an interval of  $\geq$ 3 hours may provide adequate time for ZDV to cross the placenta and equilibrate with maternal concentrations, although the relationship between specific cord blood ZDV levels or cord blood-to-maternal-ZDV levels and efficacy in preventing perinatal transmission of HIV is unknown.

Because unscheduled cesarean delivery is performed for both maternal and fetal indications, when an unscheduled cesarean delivery is indicated in a woman who has a viral load >1,000 copies/mL, consideration

can be given to shortening the interval between initiation of IV ZDV administration and delivery. For example, some experts recommend administering the 1-hour loading dose of IV ZDV and not waiting to complete additional administration before proceeding with delivery.

#### **Women Presenting Late in Pregnancy**

Women with HIV who present late in pregnancy and are not receiving ARV drugs may not have HIV RNA results available before delivery. Without current therapy, HIV RNA levels are unlikely to be ≤1,000 copies/mL at baseline. Even when ART is initiated immediately, reduction in plasma HIV RNA to undetectable levels may take several weeks, depending on the baseline viral load and kinetics of viral decay for a particular drug regimen.¹¹³¹¹⁵ In this instance, scheduled cesarean delivery is likely to provide additional benefit in reducing the risk of perinatal transmission of HIV, unless viral suppression can be documented before 38 weeks gestation. Although some experts would recommend a cesarean delivery in a woman who has virologic suppression for a brief period (e.g., <2 weeks), given this scenario, many others would support a vaginal delivery as long as the woman's plasma HIV RNA level was <1,000 copies/mL by the day of delivery. No data is available to address the management of an elite controller (i.e., someone who has previously maintained an undetectable HIV RNA level without ART) who presents in labor and is not receiving ART; however, in this setting, it would appear reasonable to administer IV ZDV and allow for vaginal delivery (CIII).

#### **Timing of Vaginal Delivery**

A comparison of 613 women (with HIV RNA levels <1,000 copies/mL) who delivered vaginally at 38 to 40 weeks gestation and 303 women who delivered vaginally at ≥40 weeks gestation demonstrated no difference (0.3 vs. 0.5%) in perinatal HIV transmission by estimated gestational age at delivery, which suggests that women without an indication for scheduled cesarean delivery for prevention of perinatal HIV transmission should be delivered according to standard obstetrical indications.<sup>16</sup>

#### **Timing of Scheduled Cesarean Delivery**

For the general obstetric population, ACOG recommends that a scheduled cesarean delivery not be performed before 39 weeks gestation because of the risk of iatrogenic prematurity. However, when cesarean delivery is indicated to prevent transmission of HIV, ACOG recommends scheduling cesarean delivery at 38 weeks gestation to decrease the likelihood of onset of labor or rupture of membranes before delivery. In all women undergoing repeat cesarean delivery, the risk of any neonatal adverse event—including neonatal death, respiratory complications, hypoglycemia, newborn sepsis, or admission to the neonatal intensive care unit—is 15.3% at 37 weeks gestation, 11.0% at 38 weeks gestation, and 8.0% at 39 weeks gestation. Gestational age should be determined by best obstetrical dating criteria, including last menstrual period and early ultrasound for dating purposes. Amniocentesis to document lung maturity should be avoided when possible in women with HIV and is rarely indicated before a scheduled cesarean section for prevention of HIV transmission.

Among 1,194 infants born to mothers with HIV, nine (1.6%) born vaginally and 18 (4.4%) delivered by scheduled cesarean had respiratory distress syndrome (RDS) (P < 0.001). There was no statistically significant association between mode of delivery and infant RDS in an adjusted model that included infant gestational age and birth weight.<sup>19</sup> Although newborn complications may be increased with planned cesarean delivery at <39 weeks gestation, the benefits of planned cesarean delivery at 38 weeks are generally thought to outweigh the risks if the procedure is performed for prevention of HIV transmission. When scheduled cesarean delivery is performed in women with HIV with an HIV RNA  $\leq$ 1,000 copies/mL for an indication other than preventing HIV transmission, cesarean delivery should be scheduled based on ACOG guidelines for women without HIV.

#### **Risk of Maternal Complications**

Administration of perioperative antimicrobial prophylaxis is recommended for all women to decrease maternal infectious morbidity associated with cesarean delivery. Most studies performed in the era before

routine ART was recommended demonstrated that women with HIV have higher rates of postoperative complications (mostly infectious) than women without HIV and that their risk of complications is related to degree of immunosuppression and the receipt of suppressive ART.<sup>20-25</sup> Furthermore, a Cochrane review of six studies in women with HIV concluded that urgent cesarean delivery was associated with the highest risk of postpartum morbidity, scheduled cesarean delivery was intermediate in risk, and vaginal delivery had the lowest risk of morbidity. <sup>26,27</sup> Complication rates in women with HIV in most studies <sup>1,28-32</sup> were within the range reported in populations of women without HIV with similar risk factors and not of sufficient frequency or severity to outweigh the potential benefit of reduced perinatal HIV transmission. A U.S. study of nationally representative data from a large administrative database demonstrated that—even in the era of ART—infectious complications, surgical trauma, prolonged hospitalization, and in-hospital deaths remain higher among women with HIV than among women without HIV.8 The rate of any complication associated with cesarean delivery was 117 per 1,000 deliveries among women with HIV and 67 per 1,000 deliveries among women without HIV. A meta-analysis of primarily observational studies in women with HIV also reported higher morbidity with elective cesarean delivery than with vaginal delivery (odds ratio [OR] 3.12) and no reduction in perinatal HIV transmission among the mothers on ART.33 Therefore, women with HIV should be counseled regarding the specific risks associated with undergoing cesarean delivery in the setting of HIV infection.

In addition, caution should be exercised in proceeding with a cesarean delivery in circumstances where there is no clear evidence of benefit, especially in younger women who are likely to have additional pregnancies and perhaps multiple cesarean deliveries. The risk of abnormal placentation (e.g., placenta previa, placenta accreta, placenta increta, placenta percreta) and intrapartum hemorrhage increases as the number of cesarean deliveries a woman has had increases. These risks should be considered and discussed with the woman before proceeding with a cesarean delivery.<sup>34,35</sup>

#### Managing Women Who Present in Early Labor or with Ruptured Membranes

Most studies have shown a similar risk of HIV transmission for cesarean delivery performed for obstetric indications after labor and membrane rupture as for vaginal delivery. In one study, the HIV transmission rate was similar in women undergoing emergency cesarean delivery and those delivering vaginally (1.6% vs. 1.9%, respectively). A meta-analysis of studies in women with HIV, most of whom were receiving no ARV drugs or only ZDV, demonstrated a 2% increased transmission risk for every additional hour of ruptured membranes.<sup>36</sup> However, it is not clear how soon after the onset of labor or the rupture of membranes the benefit of cesarean delivery is lost.<sup>37</sup> A prospective study of 707 women in Ireland showed that among the 493 women on ART with HIV RNA levels <1,000 copies/mL, no cases of perinatal transmission occurred among those with membranes ruptured for up to 25 hours. Only a viral load of >10,000 copies/mL was an independent risk factor for perinatal transmission.<sup>38</sup> A prospective review of 2,398 women with HIV in the United Kingdom and Ireland, most of whom were virally suppressed, showed no association between duration of ruptured membranes and perinatal HIV transmission in 2,116 term deliveries, regardless of maternal viral load. Eighty-nine percent of the women had HIV RNA levels <50 copies/mL; among the remaining 11%, 9% had HIV RNA levels 50 to 399 copies/ mL, 1% had HIV RNA levels 400 to 999 copies/mL, 0.4% had HIV RNA levels 1,000 to 9,999 copies/mL, and 0.6% had HIV RNA levels >10,000 copies/mL. Among mother-baby pairs with perinatal transmission and no evidence of *in utero* transmission, two mothers had undetectable HIV RNA levels (<50 copies/mL), one had an HIV RNA level of 50 to 399 copies/mL, and two had HIV RNA levels >10,000 copies/mL. Among term deliveries, median duration of rupture of membranes was 3 hours and 30 minutes; 71 (3.4%) had rupture of membranes >24 hours, and 24 (1.1%) had rupture of membranes >48 hours. The study authors concluded that obstetric care of women on ART at term with ruptured membranes should be "normalized." Because it is not clear whether cesarean delivery after onset of labor reduces the risk of perinatal HIV transmission, management of women originally scheduled for cesarean delivery who present in labor must be individualized at the time of presentation. In these circumstances, consultation with an expert in perinatal HIV may be helpful. Because the delivery plan in the setting of labor must be made quickly, telephone consultation via a 24-hour, 7-day-a-week hotline (e.g., the National Perinatal HIV/AIDS Clinical Consultation Center [1-888-448-8765]) may be helpful in rapidly developing an individualized plan.

The woman's oral ARV drug regimen should be continued, and IV ZDV initiated (if previously planned) regardless of the mode of delivery.

When membrane rupture occurs before 37 weeks gestation, decisions about timing of delivery should be based on best obstetrical practices, considering risks to the infant of prematurity and of HIV transmission. Steroids should be given, when appropriate, to accelerate fetal lung maturity because no data exist to suggest that these recommendations need to be altered for women with HIV. When the decision is made to deliver, route of delivery should be according to obstetrical indications.

#### **Operative Vaginal Delivery**

In the past, before data from the era of ART was available, HIV was considered a relative contraindication to operative vaginal delivery with forceps or vacuum device. Peters et al. reviewed the deliveries of 9,072 women living with HIV in the United Kingdom between 2008 and 2016. The percentage of women with viral suppression was 80% for the deliveries from 2007 through 2011 and 90% for those from 2012 through 2014. Among the 3,023 of 3,663 vaginal deliveries with data as to whether forceps or vacuum device were used, 249 (8.2%) involved operative delivery (5.6% using forceps, 2.4% using vacuum device, 0.1% using both forceps and vacuum device, and 0.2% device type unknown). Among the 222 infants with known HIV status at 18 months of age, there was one case of HIV transmission with multiple possible causes and not enough evidence to confirm intrapartum transmission. The study authors concluded that operative delivery is a safe option for women who are virally suppressed.<sup>41</sup>

- 1. European Mode of Delivery Collaboration. Elective caesarean-section versus vaginal delivery in prevention of vertical HIV-1 transmission: a randomised clinical trial. *Lancet*. 1999;353(9158):1035-1039. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10199349">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10199349</a>.
- 2. International Perinatal HIV Group. The mode of delivery and the risk of vertical transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1--a meta-analysis of 15 prospective cohort studies. The International Perinatal HIV Group. *N Engl J Med.* 1999;340(13):977-987. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10099139.
- 3. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. ACOG committee opinion no. 751: labor and delivery management of women with human immunodeficiency virus infection. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2018;132(3):e131-e137. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30134427">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30134427</a>.
- 4. Garcia PM, Kalish LA, Pitt J, et al. Maternal levels of plasma human immunodeficiency virus type 1 RNA and the risk of perinatal transmission. Women and infants transmission study group. *N Engl J Med.* 1999;341(6):394-402. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10432324.
- 5. Boucoiran I, Albert AYK, Tulloch K, et al. Human immunodeficiency virus viral load rebound near delivery in previously suppressed, combination antiretroviral therapy-treated pregnant women. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2017;130(3):497-501. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28796673.
- 6. Ioannidis JP, Abrams EJ, Ammann A, et al. Perinatal transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 by pregnant women with RNA virus loads <1000 copies/ml. *J Infect Dis.* 2001;183(4):539-545. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11170978">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11170978</a>.
- 7. Townsend CL, Cortina-Borja M, Peckham CS, de Ruiter A, Lyall H, Tookey PA. Low rates of mother-to-child transmission of HIV following effective pregnancy interventions in the United Kingdom and Ireland, 2000-2006. *AIDS*. 2008;22(8):973-981. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18453857.
- 8. Kourtis AP, Ellington S, Pazol K, Flowers L, Haddad L, Jamieson DJ. Complications of cesarean deliveries among HIV-infected women in the United States. *AIDS*. 2014;28(17):2609-2618. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25574961">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25574961</a>.
- 9. Townsend CL, Byrne L, Cortina-Borja M, et al. Earlier initiation of ART and further decline in mother-to-child HIV transmission rates, 2000-2011. *AIDS*. 2014;28(7):1049-1057. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24566097">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24566097</a>.

- 10. Briand N, Jasseron C, Sibiude J, et al. Cesarean section for HIV-infected women in the combination antiretroviral therapies era, 2000-2010. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2013;209(4):335 e331-335 e312. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23791563">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23791563</a>.
- 11. Aho I, Kaijomaa M, Kivela P, et al. Most women living with HIV can deliver vaginally: national data from Finland 1993-2013. *PLoS One*. 2018;13(3):e0194370. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29566017">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29566017</a>.
- 12. Rodman JH, Flynn PM, Robbins B, et al. Systemic pharmacokinetics and cellular pharmacology of zidovudine in human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected women and newborn infants. *J Infect Dis.* 1999;180(6):1844-1850. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10558940">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10558940</a>.
- 13. European Collaborative Study, Patel D, Cortina-Borja M, Thorne C, Newell ML. Time to undetectable viral load after highly active antiretroviral therapy initiation among HIV-infected pregnant women. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2007;44(12):1647-1656. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17516411">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17516411</a>.
- 14. Aziz N, Sokoloff A, Kornak J, et al. Time to viral load suppression in antiretroviral-naive and -experienced HIV-infected pregnant women on highly active antiretroviral therapy: implications for pregnant women presenting late in gestation. *BJOG.* 2013;120(12):1534-1547. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23924192">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23924192</a>.
- 15. Read PJ, Mandalia S, Khan P, et al. When should HAART be initiated in pregnancy to achieve an undetectable HIV viral load by delivery? *AIDS*. 2012;26(9):1095-1103. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22441248">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22441248</a>.
- 16. Scott RK, Chakhtoura N, Burke MM, Cohen RA, Kreitchmann R. Delivery after 40 weeks of gestation in pregnant women with well-controlled human immunodeficiency virus. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2017;130(3):502-510. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28796679">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28796679</a>.
- 17. American College of O, Gynecologists. ACOG practice bulletin no. 97: fetal lung maturity. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2008;112(3):717-726. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18757686">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18757686</a>.
- 18. Tita AT, Landon MB, Spong CY, et al. Timing of elective repeat cesarean delivery at term and neonatal outcomes. *N Engl J Med.* 2009;360(2):111-120. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19129525">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19129525</a>.
- 19. Livingston EG, Huo Y, Patel K, et al. Mode of delivery and infant respiratory morbidity among infants born to HIV-1-infected women. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2010;116(2 Pt 1):335-343. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20664394">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20664394</a>.
- 20. Grubert TA, Reindell D, Kastner R, Lutz-Friedrich R, Belohradsky BH, Dathe O. Complications after caesarean section in HIV-1-infected women not taking antiretroviral treatment. *Lancet*. 1999;354(9190):1612-1613. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10560681">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10560681</a>.
- 21. Maiques-Montesinos V, Cervera-Sanchez J, Bellver-Pradas J, Abad-Carrascosa A, Serra-Serra V. Post-cesarean section morbidity in HIV-positive women. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand.* 1999;78(9):789-792. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10535342">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10535342</a>.
- 22. Rodriguez EJ, Spann C, Jamieson D, Lindsay M. Postoperative morbidity associated with cesarean delivery among human immunodeficiency virus-seropositive women. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2001;184(6):1108-1111. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11349171">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11349171</a>.
- 23. Semprini AE, Castagna C, Ravizza M, et al. The incidence of complications after caesarean section in 156 HIV-positive women. *AIDS*. 1995;9(8):913-917. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7576327">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7576327</a>.
- 24. Urbani G, de Vries MM, Cronje HS, Niemand I, Bam RH, Beyer E. Complications associated with cesarean section in HIV-infected patients. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet*. 2001;74(1):9-15. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11430935">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11430935</a>.
- 25. Vimercati A, Greco P, Loverro G, Lopalco PL, Pansini V, Selvaggi L. Maternal complications after caesarean section in HIV infected women. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol*. 2000;90(1):73-76. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10767514">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10767514</a>.
- 26. Read JS, Newell MK. Efficacy and safety of cesarean delivery for prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2005(4):CD005479. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16235405">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16235405</a>.
- 27. Livingston EG, Huo Y, Patel K, et al. Complications and route of delivery in a large cohort study of HIV-1-infected women-IMPAACT P1025. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2016;73(1):74-82. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/</a>

- pubmed/27082506.
- 28. Faucher P, Batallan A, Bastian H, et al. Management of pregnant women infected with HIV at Bichat Hospital between 1990 and 1998: analysis of 202 pregnancies. *Gynecol Obstet Fertil.* 2001;29(3):211-225. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11300046">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11300046</a>.
- Fiore S, Newell ML, Thorne C, European HIV in Obstetrics Group. Higher rates of post-partum complications in HIV-infected than in uninfected women irrespective of mode of delivery. AIDS. 2004;18(6):933-938. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15060441">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15060441</a>.
- 30. Marcollet A, Goffinet F, Firtion G, et al. Differences in postpartum morbidity in women who are infected with the human immunodeficiency virus after elective cesarean delivery, emergency cesarean delivery, or vaginal delivery. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2002;186(4):784-789. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11967508">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11967508</a>.
- 31. Read JS, Tuomala R, Kpamegan E, et al. Mode of delivery and postpartum morbidity among HIV-infected women: the women and infants transmission study. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2001;26(3):236-245. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11242196">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11242196</a>.
- 32. Watts DH, Lambert JS, Stiehm ER, et al. Complications according to mode of delivery among human immunodeficiency virus-infected women with CD4 lymphocyte counts of < or = 500/microL. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2000;183(1):100-107. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10920316.
- 33. Kennedy CE, Yeh PT, Pandey S, Betran AP, Narasimhan M. Elective cesarean section for women living with HIV: a systematic review of risks and benefits. *AIDS*. 2017;31(11):1579-1591. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28481770">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28481770</a>.
- 34. Silver RM, Landon MB, Rouse DJ, et al. Maternal morbidity associated with multiple repeat cesarean deliveries. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2006;107(6):1226-1232. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16738145">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16738145</a>.
- 35. Greenbaum S, Wainstock T, Dukler D, Leron E, Erez O. Underlying mechanisms of retained placenta: Evidence from a population based cohort study. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* 2017;216:12-17. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28692888">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28692888</a>.
- 36. International Perinatal HIVG. Duration of ruptured membranes and vertical transmission of HIV-1: a meta-analysis from 15 prospective cohort studies. *AIDS*. 2001;15(3):357-368. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11273216">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11273216</a>.
- 37. Jamieson DJ, Read JS, Kourtis AP, Durant TM, Lampe MA, Dominguez KL. Cesarean delivery for HIV-infected women: recommendations and controversies. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2007;197(3 Suppl):S96-100. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17825656">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17825656</a>.
- 38. Cotter AM, Brookfield KF, Duthely LM, Gonzalez Quintero VH, Potter JE, O'Sullivan MJ. Duration of membrane rupture and risk of perinatal transmission of HIV-1 in the era of combination antiretroviral therapy. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2012;207(6):482 e481-485. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23103331">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23103331</a>.
- 39. Peters H, Byrne L, De Ruiter A, et al. Duration of ruptured membranes and mother-to-child HIV transmission: a prospective population-based surveillance study. *BJOG*. 2015;123(6):975-81. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26011825">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26011825</a>.
- 40. Eppes C. Is it time to leave the avoidance of rupture of membranes for women infected with HIV and receiving cART in the past? *BJOG*. 2015;123(6):982. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25998194.
- 41. Peters H, Francis K, Harding K, Tookey PA, Thorne C. Operative vaginal delivery and invasive procedures in pregnancy among women living with HIV. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* 2017;210:295-299. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28092853">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28092853</a>.

# Other Intrapartum Management Considerations (Last updated December 24, 2019; last reviewed December 24, 2019)

#### Panel's Recommendations

- Artificial rupture of membranes (ROM) can be performed for standard obstetric indications in virologically suppressed women with HIV
  who are on antiretroviral therapy (ART) (BII).
- The following procedures should generally be avoided because of a potential increased risk of perinatal HIV transmission, unless there
  are clear obstetric indications:
  - Artificial ROM (BIII) in women who have detectable viral load;
  - · Routine use of fetal scalp electrodes for fetal monitoring (BIII); and
  - Operative delivery with forceps or a vacuum extractor (BIII).
- The ART regimen a woman is receiving should be taken into consideration when treating excessive postpartum bleeding caused by uterine atony.
  - In women who are receiving a cytochrome P450 (CYP) 3A4 enzyme inhibitor (e.g., a protease inhibitor, integrase inhibitor, cobicistat), methergine should be used only if no alternative treatments for postpartum hemorrhage are available and the need for pharmacologic treatment outweighs the risks. If methergine is used, it should be administered at the lowest effective dose for the shortest possible duration (BIII).
  - In women who are receiving a CYP3A4 enzyme inducer such as nevirapine, efavirenz, or etravirine, additional uterotonic agents may be needed because of the potential for decreased methergine levels and inadequate treatment effect (BIII).

**Rating of Recommendations:** A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

**Rating of Evidence:** I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

Data on the association between the duration of rupture of membranes (ROM) and perinatal HIV transmission in the era of effective antiretroviral therapy (ART) are reassuring. A prospective cohort study of 707 pregnant women on ART included 493 women with HIV RNA <1,000 copies/mL at delivery with no cases of perinatal HIV transmission for up to 25 hours of membrane rupture; logistic regression found that a viral load >10,000 copies/mL was the only independent risk factor for transmission. A large, prospective, population-based surveillance study in the United Kingdom and Ireland evaluated data collected from 2007 through 2012 on 2,116 pregnancies; this data included information on the duration of ROM. The infants in this study were delivered at term vaginally or by emergency cesarean delivery to women with HIV who were on ART. The median duration of ROM was 3 hours 30 minutes (interquartile range [IOR] 1–8 hours), and the overall perinatal transmission rate was not significantly different with longer durations of ROM (0.64% with a duration of ROM >4 hours compared with 0.34% for a duration of ROM <4 hours; odds ratio [OR] 1.90, 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.45–7.97). In women with viral loads <50 copies/mL, there was no difference between the perinatal transmission rate for a duration of ROM ≥4 hours and the rate for a duration of ROM <4 hours (0.14% for ≥4 hours vs. 0.12% for <4 hours; OR 1.14, 95% CI, 0.07–18.27). Among preterm infants, no transmissions occurred during 163 deliveries where the maternal viral load was <50 copies/mL.<sup>2</sup> If spontaneous ROM occurs before labor or early in labor in virologically suppressed women with HIV. interventions to decrease the interval to delivery (e.g., administration of oxytocin) can be considered based on obstetric considerations. Women with detectable viral loads should not undergo artificial ROM unless there is a clear obstetric indication.

Obstetric procedures that increase the risk of fetal exposure to maternal blood, such as invasive fetal monitoring, have been associated with an increased risk of perinatal transmission in some studies, primarily those performed in the pre-ART era.<sup>3-6</sup> Data are limited on the use of fetal scalp electrodes during labor in women who are receiving suppressive ART and who have undetectable viral loads; routine use of fetal scalp electrodes for fetal monitoring should generally be avoided in the setting of maternal HIV infection.

Similarly, data are limited regarding the potential risk of perinatal HIV transmission associated with operative vaginal delivery using forceps or the vacuum extractor and/or the use of episiotomy;<sup>4,6</sup> existing Recommendations for the Use of Antiretroviral Drugs in Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Interventions to Reduce Perinatal HIV Transmission in the United States

data are mostly from the pre-ART era. A prospective, population-based surveillance study in the United Kingdom and Ireland reported 251 operative deliveries (using forceps or vacuum) from January 2008 through March 2016. One infant who was delivered operatively is known to have acquired HIV through perinatal transmission, although there were other significant risk factors that may have contributed to this transmission. Although information on HIV RNA levels was not included in this report, during this time period 80% to 90% of pregnant women with HIV in the United Kingdom achieved viral suppression by the time of delivery. Operative deliveries should be performed only if there are clear obstetric indications. There are no data from the ART era regarding the risk of perinatal HIV transmission associated with episiotomy or with vaginal or perineal tears in the absence of maternal viremia; indications for episiotomy should be the same as they are for women without HIV (e.g., a need for expedited vaginal delivery, a need for operative vaginal delivery, shoulder dystocia).

Delayed cord clamping has been associated with improved iron stores in both term and preterm infants, as well as a lower incidence of necrotizing enterocolitis and intraventricular hemorrhage in preterm infants born to mothers without HIV. The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists now recommends delaying cord clamping for  $\geq$ 30 to 60 seconds after birth in vigorous term and preterm infants. <sup>9-11</sup> In the setting of HIV infection, a recent study of 64 mother-infant pairs in which 32 infants had early cord clamping (performed <30 seconds after birth) and 32 infants had delayed cord clamping (performed 120 seconds after birth) found that mean hemoglobin levels at 24 hours of life were significantly higher in the delayed cord clamping group (P = 0.05). This difference persisted at 1 month of age (P < 0.05), despite differential prescribing of iron supplementation to infants with anemia. All mothers were on stable antiretroviral (ARV) regimens. During 18 months of follow-up, there were no HIV transmissions and no increased risk of jaundice or polycythemia in infants with delayed cord clamping. <sup>12</sup>

# Intrapartum Epidural Use and Pharmacologic Interactions with Antiretroviral Drugs

Ritonavir (RTV) inhibition of cytochrome P450 (CYP) 3A4 decreases the elimination of fentanyl by 67%. This raises concerns about a possible increased risk of respiratory depression, particularly with patient-controlled analgesia during labor, in women who are receiving regimens that contain RTV. However, a pharmacokinetic simulation study suggested that even with maximal clinical dosing regimens of epidural fentanyl over 24 hours, RTV-induced CYP3A4 inhibition is unlikely to produce the plasma fentanyl concentrations that are associated with a decrease in minute ventilation. This suggests that epidural anesthesia can be used safely regardless of a patient's ART regimen.

# Postpartum Hemorrhage, Antiretroviral Drugs, and Methergine Use

Oral or parenteral methergine or other ergot alkaloids are often used as first-line treatment for postpartum hemorrhage caused by uterine atony. However, methergine should not be coadministered with drugs that are potent CYP3A4 enzyme inhibitors, including protease inhibitors (PIs). Concomitant use of ergotamines with PIs and/or cobicistat (COBI) has been associated with exaggerated vasoconstrictive responses. He when uterine atony results in excessive postpartum bleeding in women who are receiving PIs or COBI, methergine should be used only if alternative treatments such as prostaglandin F2-alpha, misoprostol, or oxytocin are unavailable or are contraindicated. If no alternative medications are available and the need for pharmacologic treatment outweighs the risks, methergine should be used at the lowest effective dose for the shortest possible duration. In contrast, additional uterotonic agents may be needed when using other ARV drugs that are CYP3A4 inducers (e.g., nevirapine, efavirenz, etravirine) because of the potential for decreased methergine levels and inadequate treatment effect.

#### References

1. Cotter AM, Brookfield KF, Duthely LM, Gonzalez Quintero VH, Potter JE, O'Sullivan MJ. Duration of membrane rupture and risk of perinatal transmission of HIV-1 in the era of combination antiretroviral therapy. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2012;207(6):482 e481-485. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23103331">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23103331</a>.

- 2. Peters H, Francis K, Harding K, Tookey PA, Thorne C. Operative vaginal delivery and invasive procedures in pregnancy among women living with HIV. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol*. 2017;210:295-299. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28092853">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28092853</a>.
- 3. Boyer PJ, Dillon M, Navaie M, et al. Factors predictive of maternal-fetal transmission of HIV-1. Preliminary analysis of zidovudine given during pregnancy and/or delivery. *JAMA*. 1994;271(24):1925-1930. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7911164">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7911164</a>.
- 4. Mandelbrot L, Mayaux MJ, Bongain A, et al. Obstetric factors and mother-to-child transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1: the French perinatal cohorts. SEROGEST French Pediatric HIV Infection Study Group. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 1996;175(3 Pt 1):661-667. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8828431">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8828431</a>.
- 5. Mofenson LM, Lambert JS, Stiehm ER, et al. Risk factors for perinatal transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 in women treated with zidovudine. Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group Study 185 Team. *N Engl J Med*. 1999;341(6):385-393. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10432323">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10432323</a>.
- 6. Shapiro DE, Sperling RS, Mandelbrot L, Britto P, Cunningham BE. Risk factors for perinatal human immunodeficiency virus transmission in patients receiving zidovudine prophylaxis. Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group protocol 076 Study Group. *Obstet Gynecol*. 1999;94(6):897-908. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10576173">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10576173</a>.
- 7. Peters H, Francis K, Sconza R, et al. UK mother-to-child HIV transmission rates continue to decline: 2012–2014. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2017;64(4):527-528. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28174911.
- 8. Townsend CL, Byrne L, Cortina-Borja M, et al. Earlier initiation of ART and further decline in mother-to-child HIV transmission rates, 2000-2011. *AIDS*. 2014;28(7):1049-1057. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24566097">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24566097</a>.
- 9. McDonald SJ, Middleton P, Dowswell T, Morris PS. Effect of timing of umbilical cord clamping of term infants on maternal and neonatal outcomes. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2013;7:CD004074. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23843134">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23843134</a>.
- 10. Rabe H, Diaz-Rossello JL, Duley L, Dowswell T. Effect of timing of umbilical cord clamping and other strategies to influence placental transfusion at preterm birth on maternal and infant outcomes. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2012;8:CD003248. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22895933.
- 11. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Committee opinion No. 684: delayed umbilical cord clamping after birth. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2017;129(1):e5-e10. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28002310">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28002310</a>.
- 12. Pogliani L, Erba P, Nannini P, Giacomet V, Zuccotti GV. Effects and safety of delayed versus early umbilical cord clamping in newborns of HIV-infected mothers. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med*. 2017:1-4. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28969479">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28969479</a>.
- 13. Cambic CR, Avram MJ, Gupta DK, Wong CA. Effect of ritonavir-induced cytochrome P450 3A4 inhibition on plasma fentanyl concentrations during patient-controlled epidural labor analgesia: a pharmacokinetic simulation. *Int J Obstet Anesth.* 2014;23(1):45-51. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24333052.
- 14. Navarro J, Curran A, Burgos J, et al. Acute leg ischaemia in an HIV-infected patient receiving antiretroviral treatment. *Antivir Ther*. 2017;22(1):89-90. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27546463">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27546463</a>.

# Postpartum Follow-Up of Women Living with HIV (Last updated December)

24, 2019; last reviewed December 24, 2019)

#### Panel's Recommendations

- Antiretroviral therapy (ART) is currently recommended for all individuals living with HIV to reduce the risk of disease progression and to prevent the sexual transmission of HIV (AI).
- ART should be continued after delivery (AI). Any plans for modifying ART after delivery should be made in consultation with the woman and her HIV care provider, ideally before delivery, taking into consideration the recommended regimens for nonpregnant adults (AIII) and plans for future pregnancies.
- Clinicians should discuss future reproductive plans and timing, as well as the risks and benefits of conceiving on specific
  antiretroviral (ARV) medications, and the use of appropriate contraceptive options to prevent unintended pregnancy (AIII).
- Because the immediate postpartum period poses unique challenges to ARV adherence, arrangements for new or continued supportive services should be made before hospital discharge (AII).
- Contraceptive counseling should start during the prenatal period; a contraceptive plan should be developed prior to hospital discharge (AIII).
- Women with a positive rapid HIV antibody test during labor require immediate linkage to HIV care and comprehensive follow-up, including for confirmation of HIV infection (AII).
- Prior to hospital discharge, the woman should be given ARV medications for herself and her newborn to take at home (AIII).
- Breastfeeding <u>is not recommended</u> for women in the United States who have confirmed HIV or are presumed to be living with HIV because safer infant feeding alternatives are available (AI).
- Infant feeding counseling, including a discussion of potential barriers to formula feeding, should begin during the prenatal period, and this information should be reviewed after delivery (AIII).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

**Rating of Evidence:** I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

The postpartum period provides an opportunity to review and optimize women's health care. Comprehensive medical care and supportive services are particularly important for women living with HIV and their families, who often face multiple medical and social challenges. Components of comprehensive care include the following services as needed:

- Primary care, gynecologic/obstetric care, and HIV specialty care for the woman with HIV;
- Pediatric care for her infant;
- Family planning services;
- Mental health services;
- Substance abuse treatment;
- Supportive services;
- Coordination of care through case management for a woman, her child (or children), and other family members; and
- Prevention of secondary transmission for serodiscordant partners, including counseling on the use of condoms, antiretroviral therapy (ART) to maintain virologic suppression in the partner with HIV (i.e., treatment as prevention), and the potential use of pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) by the partner without HIV.

Supportive services should be tailored to the individual woman's needs and can include case management; child care; respite care; assistance with basic needs, such as housing, food, and transportation; peer

counseling; and legal and advocacy services. Ideally, these services should begin before pregnancy and continue throughout pregnancy and the postpartum period.

Immediate linkage to care, comprehensive medical assessment, counseling, and follow-up are required for all women with HIV and particularly for women who have a positive HIV test during labor or at delivery. The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists recommends that all women have contact with their obstetrician-gynecologists within 3 weeks postpartum and that postpartum care be provided as an on-going process based on a woman's individual needs rather than as a single postpartum visit. Women with HIV should have a follow-up appointment with the health care provider who manages their HIV care, whether that is an obstetrician or an HIV health care provider, within 2 to 4 weeks after hospital discharge.

When care is not co-located or not within the same health care system, a case manager can facilitate care coordination. Women who are receiving case management are also more likely to have virologic suppression and be retained in care.<sup>2</sup> It is especially critical to ensure continuity of ART between the antepartum and postpartum periods. Prior to hospital discharge, the mother should receive ART for herself and her newborn. Special hospital programs may need to be established to support dispensing ART to mothers before discharge.

# Postpartum Maternal Antiretroviral Therapy

ART should be continued postpartum. Decisions about any changes to an ART regimen after delivery should be made after discussion between the woman and her HIV care provider, ideally prior to delivery. When providing counseling about postpartum ART, health care providers should consider the woman's desire or potential for future planned or unplanned pregnancies in the context of the woman's anticipated ART regimen, choice of contraceptive, and the potential for any drug-drug interactions during the postpartum period that were not an issue during pregnancy (see Preconception Counseling and Care for Women of Childbearing Age Living with HIV and Appendix D: Dolutegravir Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers). Some ART regimens that are recommended for nonpregnant adults (see the Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines) may not be recommended for use during pregnancy or in women who are trying to conceive because of insufficient data or pharmacokinetic or safety concerns. See Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy, Table 4, Table 5, Teratogenicity, and Combination Antiretroviral Drug Regimens and Maternal and Neonatal Outcomes for additional information and specific recommendations regarding regimens to use in pregnant women and women who are trying to conceive.

ART is currently recommended for all individuals with HIV to reduce the risk of disease progression and to prevent secondary transmission of HIV.<sup>3</sup> The START and TEMPRANO trials were randomized clinical trials that demonstrated that early ART can reduce the risk of disease progression even in individuals with CD4 T lymphocyte cell counts >500 cells/mm<sup>3</sup>, and the HPTN 052 randomized clinical trial demonstrated that early ART can reduce the risk of sexual transmission of HIV to a discordant partner by 93%. According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, people living with HIV who take ART as prescribed and achieve and maintain an undetectable viral load have effectively no risk of transmitting HIV through sex (i.e., Undetectable = Untransmittable).<sup>5</sup>

Helping women with HIV understand the need for lifelong ART is a priority during postpartum care. Several studies have demonstrated significant decreases in ART adherence postpartum.<sup>6-10</sup> During the postpartum period, women may have difficulty with medical appointment follow-up, including appointment adherence, which can affect ART adherence. Systematic monitoring of retention in HIV care is recommended for all individuals living with HIV, but special attention is warranted for postpartum women. A number of studies have suggested that postpartum depression is common among women with HIV.<sup>11-19</sup> The U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommends screening all postpartum women for postpartum depression<sup>20</sup> using a validated tool (e.g., the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale); such screening is especially important for women living with HIV who appear to be at increased risk for postpartum depression and poor ART adherence during the postpartum period. Women should be counseled that postpartum physical and

psychological changes (and the stresses and demands of caring for a new baby) may make adherence more difficult and that additional support may be needed during this period.<sup>2,21-24</sup>

Poor adherence has been shown to be associated with virologic failure, development of resistance, and decreased long-term effectiveness of ART.<sup>25-27</sup> In women who achieve viral suppression by the time of delivery, postpartum ART simplification to once-daily, coformulated regimens—which are often the preferred initial regimens for nonpregnant adults—could promote adherence during this challenging time. Efforts to maintain adequate adherence during the postpartum period may ensure effectiveness of therapy (see <u>Adherence</u> in the <u>Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines</u>). For women who are continuing ART and who received increased protease inhibitor (PI) doses during pregnancy, available data suggest that doses can be reduced to standard doses immediately after delivery.

# Secondary Sexual Transmission and Contraception

The postpartum period is a critical time for addressing safer sex practices to reduce secondary transmission of HIV to partners, <sup>28</sup> and clinicians should begin discussing these practices with the patient during the prenatal period. Topics for discussion during counseling on prevention of secondary transmission to the partner without HIV should include condom use, ART for the partner with HIV to maintain viral suppression below the limit of detection, and the potential use of PrEP by the partner without HIV. With full, sustained HIV suppression in the woman—with or without reliable PrEP use by her serodiscordant partner—the possibility of HIV transmission is negligible (for additional information, see <u>Reproductive Options</u>).

It is important to integrate comprehensive family planning and preconception care into all health care visits, with special attention given to these topics during the routine prenatal and postpartum visits. Lack of breastfeeding is associated with earlier return of fertility; ovulation returns as early as 6 weeks postpartum, and it can occur earlier in some women—even before resumption of menses—putting them at risk of pregnancy soon after delivery.<sup>29</sup> If a long-acting reversible contraceptive (LARC), such as an injectable, implant, or intrauterine device (IUD), is desired by the patient, it should be inserted prior to hospital discharge or during the routine postpartum visit. If the insertion of a LARC is postponed until the postpartum visit, Depo-Provera is a contraceptive option that can be given to avoid unplanned pregnancy in the interim, particularly if the postpartum appointment is missed or delayed. Interpregnancy intervals of <18 months have been associated with an increased risk of poor perinatal and maternal outcomes in women without HIV.<sup>1,30</sup> Given the stresses and demands of caring for a new baby, women may be more receptive to the use of effective contraception, yet they are simultaneously at higher risk of nonadherence to contraception and, thus, unintended pregnancy.<sup>31</sup>

The potential for drug-drug interactions between several antiretroviral (ARV) drugs and hormonal contraceptives is discussed in <u>Preconception Counseling and Care for Women of Childbearing Age Living with HIV</u> and <u>Table 3</u>. A systematic review conducted for the World Health Organization summarized the research on hormonal contraception, IUD use, and risk of HIV infection and concluded that women with HIV can use all forms of contraception.<sup>32,33</sup> A systematic review of hormonal contraceptive methods and risk of HIV transmission to partners without HIV concluded that oral contraceptives and medroxyprogesterone in women on ART does not increase the risk of HIV transmission, although the data are limited and present methodological issues.<sup>34</sup> Permanent sterilization is appropriate only for women who are certain they do not desire future pregnancies.

# Infant Feeding

Avoidance of breastfeeding has been and continues to be a standard recommendation for women living with HIV in the United States, because maternal ART dramatically reduces but does not eliminate the risk of HIV transmission via breast milk and safe infant feeding alternatives are readily available. There are also other concerns, including the potential for drug toxicity in the neonate or, should HIV transmission occur, the risk that the infant will develop ARV drug resistance due to subtherapeutic drug levels in breast milk. However, clinicians should be aware that women may face social, familial, and personal pressures

to consider breastfeeding despite this recommendation; such pressures may be particularly problematic for women from cultures where breastfeeding is important, as they may fear that formula feeding would reveal their HIV status. 35,36 It is therefore important to address these possible barriers to formula feeding during the antenatal period (see Guidelines for Counseling and Managing Women Living with HIV in the United States Who Desire to Breastfeed). Women who have an initial positive HIV test should not breastfeed unless a confirmatory HIV test is negative (for detailed guidance on maternal HIV testing, please see Maternal HIV Testing and Identification of Perinatal HIV Exposure). If HIV infection is confirmed, a full health assessment is warranted, including counseling related to newly diagnosed HIV infections, a discussion of the need for lifelong ART, an assessment of the need for opportunistic infection prophylaxis, and an evaluation for associated medical conditions. The newborn should receive appropriate testing and ARV drug management. Other children and partner(s) should be referred for HIV testing. Similarly, women with HIV should be made aware of the risks of HIV transmission via premastication of infant food (i.e., by a mother prechewing or prewarming the food in her mouth).37 It is not yet known whether there is a risk of HIV transmission with premastication of food when the mother's viral load is below the limit of detection.

#### **Lactation Inhibition**

For women who do not breastfeed (as recommended for women with HIV), symptoms related to breast engorgement can be very unpleasant in the days following labor and delivery. Supportive measures, such as using acetaminophen or ibuprofen for pain control, alternating hot and cold compresses on the breasts, or wearing a tight-fitting sports bra, can help relieve symptoms related to breast engorgement. Although pharmacologic options for lactation inhibition are not generally used in the United States, recent data suggest cabergoline may be appropriate for some women. Cabergoline is a dopamine agonist/ergot derivative which reduces the production of prolactin. Bromocriptine, another dopamine agonist, is no longer used for lactation inhibition because of serious cardiovascular and neurologic complications associated with its use.

Because of its prolonged half-life, a single 1 mg dose of cabergoline given on the first day after delivery can suppress prolactin production for up to 21 days and effectively inhibit milk production. A systematic review and a scoping review assessing the safety and use of cabergoline for postpartum lactation inhibition both reported that side effects are common, but transient, and include dizziness, headache, nausea, and vomiting. However, severe and less common side effects such as postpartum psychosis have been reported. Available data on the safety profile of cabergoline are from small studies that may not have adequately captured the prevalence of serious side effects.

Cabergoline is **contraindicated** for women with hypertension (including pregnancy-induced hypertension, preeclampsia or eclampsia) or liver disease, and for women being treated with anti-psychotics or those who have a history of puerperal psychosis. When considering use of cabergoline for lactation suppression, the following factors should be discussed with women prior to delivery: limited data about its use, potential side effects, possible drug approval limitations by health insurance carriers, and the availability of non-pharmacologic alternatives.

# Drug-Drug Interaction of Ergotamines with Antiretroviral Therapy

Coadministration of bromocriptine with PIs and cobicistat is contraindicated because elevated exposures of dihydroergotamine, ergotamine, and methylergonovine are expected. However, an interaction with these antiretroviral drugs is not expected with cabergoline because cytochrome P450-mediated metabolism appears to be minimal with cabergoline, unlike with other members of the same drug class. <sup>42</sup>

# Postpartum Hemorrhage Prevention

The management of postpartum hemorrhage does not differ for women with or without HIV. Women with HIV at risk for postpartum hemorrhage may benefit from using uterotonic medications such as methergine or other ergotamines for prevention or treatment of postpartum hemorrhage after vaginal delivery or cesarean delivery (see Other Intrapartum Management).

- American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. ACOG committee opinion no. 736: optimizing postpartum care.
   *Obstet Gynecol.* 2018;131(5):e140-e150. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29683911">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29683911</a>.
- 2. Anderson EA, Momplaisir FM, Corson C, Brady KA. Assessing the impact of perinatal HIV case management on outcomes along the HIV care continuum for pregnant and postpartum women living with HIV, Philadelphia 2005-2013. *AIDS Behav.* 2017;21(9):2670-2681. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28176167.
- 3. Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents. Guidelines for the use of antiretroviral agents in adults and adolescents living with HIV. 2019. Available at: <a href="http://aidsinfo.nih.gov/contentfiles/lyguidelines/AdultandAdolescentGL.pdf">http://aidsinfo.nih.gov/contentfiles/lyguidelines/AdultandAdolescentGL.pdf</a>. AdultandAdolescentGL.pdf.
- Cohen MS, Chen YQ, McCauley M, et al. Antiretroviral therapy for the prevention of HIV-1 transmission. N Engl J Med. 2016;375(9):830-839. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27424812">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27424812</a>.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. HIV transmission. 2019. Available at: <a href="https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/basics/transmission.html">https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/basics/transmission.html</a>.
- Kreitchmann R, Harris R, Kakehasi Fea. Adherence during pregnancy and post-partum: Latin America. Abstract 1016.
  Presented at: 6th International AIDS Society Conference on HIV Pathogenesis, Treatment and Prevention. 2011. Rome, Italy.
- Kaida A, Kanters S, Chaworth-Musters T, et al. Antiretroviral adherence during pregnancy and postpartum among HIV-positive women receiving highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) in British Columbia (BC), Canada (1997-2008). CDB397-CD-ROM. Presented at: 6th International AIDS Society Conference on HIV Pathogenesis, Treatment and Prevention. 2011. Rome, Italy.
- 8. Mellins CA, Chu C, Malee K, et al. Adherence to antiretroviral treatment among pregnant and postpartum HIV-infected women. *AIDS Care*. 2008;20(8):958-968. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18608073">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18608073</a>.
- 9. Nachega J, Uthman C, Mills E, Muessig K, et al. Adherence to antiretroviral therapy (ART) during and after pregnancy in low, middle and high income countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Abstract 1006. Presented at: 19th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2012. Seattle, WA.
- 10. Adams JW, Brady KA, Michael YL, Yehia BR, Momplaisir FM. Postpartum engagement in HIV care: an important predictor of long-term retention in care and viral suppression. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2015;61(12):1880-1887. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26265499">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26265499</a>.
- 11. Ross R, Sawatphanit W, Mizuno M, Takeo K. Depressive symptoms among HIV-positive postpartum women in Thailand. *Arch Psychiatr Nurs*. 2011;25(1):36-42. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21251600">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21251600</a>.
- 12. Chibanda D, Mangezi W, Tshimanga M, et al. Postnatal depression by HIV status among women in Zimbabwe. *J Womens Health (Larchmt)*. 2010;19(11):2071-2077. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20849286">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20849286</a>.
- 13. Rubin LH, Cook JA, Grey DD, et al. Perinatal depressive symptoms in HIV-infected versus HIV-uninfected women: a prospective study from preconception to postpartum. *J Womens Health (Larchmt)*. 2011;20(9):1287-1295. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21732738">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21732738</a>.
- 14. Kapetanovic S, Christensen S, Karim R, et al. Correlates of perinatal depression in HIV-infected women. *AIDS Patient Care STDS*. 2009;23(2):101-108. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19196032">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19196032</a>.
- 15. Bonacquisti A, Geller PA, Aaron E. Rates and predictors of prenatal depression in women living with and without HIV. *AIDS Care*. 2014;26(1):100-106. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23750820">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23750820</a>.
- Aaron E, Bonacquisti A, Geller PA, Polansky M. Perinatal depression and anxiety in women with and without human immunodeficiency virus infection. Womens Health Issues. 2015;25(5):579-585. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26093677">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26093677</a>.
- 17. Ion A, Wagner AC, Greene S, Loutfy MR, HIV Mothering Study Team. HIV-related stigma in pregnancy and early postpartum of mothers living with HIV in Ontario, Canada. *AIDS Care*. 2017;29(2):137-144. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27449254">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27449254</a>.
- 18. Wielding S, Scott A. What women want: social characteristics, gender-based violence and social support preferences in Recommendations for the Use of Antiretroviral Drugs in Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Interventions to Reduce Perinatal HIV Transmission in the United States

- a cohort of women living with HIV. *Int J STD AIDS*. 2017;28(5):486-490. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27270691">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27270691</a>.
- 19. Gauthreaux C, Negron J, Castellanos D, et al. The association between pregnancy intendedness and experiencing symptoms of postpartum depression among new mothers in the United States, 2009 to 2011: A secondary analysis of PRAMS data. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2017;96(6):e5851. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28178128">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28178128</a>.
- 20. O'Connor E, Rossom RC, Henninger M, Groom HC, Burda BU. Primary care screening for and treatment of depression in pregnant and postpartum women: evidence report and systematic review for the US preventive services task force. *JAMA*. 2016;315(4):388-406. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26813212">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26813212</a>.
- 21. Cohn SE, Umbleja T, Mrus J, Bardeguez AD, Andersen JW, Chesney MA. Prior illicit drug use and missed prenatal vitamins predict nonadherence to antiretroviral therapy in pregnancy: adherence analysis A5084. *AIDS Patient Care STDS*. 2008;22(1):29-40. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18442305">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18442305</a>.
- 22. Ickovics JR, Wilson TE, Royce RA, et al. Prenatal and postpartum zidovudine adherence among pregnant women with HIV: results of a MEMS substudy from the perinatal guidelines evaluation project. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2002;30(3):311-315. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12131568.
- 23. Bardeguez AD, Lindsey JC, Shannon M, et al. Adherence to antiretrovirals among US women during and after pregnancy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2008;48(4):408-417. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18614923">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18614923</a>.
- 24. Buchberg MK, Fletcher FE, Vidrine DJ, et al. A mixed-methods approach to understanding barriers to postpartum retention in care among low-income, HIV-infected women. *AIDS Patient Care STDS*. 2015;29(3):126-132. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25612217">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25612217</a>.
- 25. Paterson DL, Swindells S, Mohr J, et al. Adherence to protease inhibitor therapy and outcomes in patients with HIV infection. *Ann Intern Med.* 2000;133(1):21-30. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10877736">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10877736</a>.
- 26. Le Moing V, Chene G, Carrieri MP, et al. Clinical, biologic, and behavioral predictors of early immunologic and virologic response in HIV-infected patients initiating protease inhibitors. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2001;27(4):372-376. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11468425.
- 27. Murri R, Ammassari A, Gallicano K, et al. Patient-reported nonadherence to HAART is related to protease inhibitor levels. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2000;24(2):123-128. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10935687">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10935687</a>.
- 28. Cates W, Jr., Steiner MJ. Dual protection against unintended pregnancy and sexually transmitted infections: what is the best contraceptive approach? *Sex Transm Dis.* 2002;29(3):168-174. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11875378">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11875378</a>.
- 29. Jackson E, Glasier A. Return of ovulation and menses in postpartum nonlactating women: a systematic review. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2011;117(3):657-662. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21343770">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21343770</a>.
- 30. Sholapurkar SL. Is there an ideal interpregnancy interval after a live birth, miscarriage or other adverse pregnancy outcomes? *J Obstet Gynaecol*. 2010;30(2):107-110. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20143964">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20143964</a>.
- 31. Sha BE, Tierney C, Cohn SE, et al. Postpartum viral load rebound in HIV-1-infected women treated with highly active antiretroviral therapy: AIDS Clinical Trials Group Protocol A5150. *HIV Clin Trials*. 2011;12(1):9-23. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21388937">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21388937</a>.
- 32. World Health Organization. Review of priorities in research: hormnonal contraception and IUDs and HIV infection. 2010. Available at: <a href="http://www.who.int/reproductivehealth/publications/rtis/rhr\_10\_21/en/">http://www.who.int/reproductivehealth/publications/rtis/rhr\_10\_21/en/</a>.
- 33. Polis CB, Curtis KM. Use of hormonal contraceptives and HIV acquisition in women: a systematic review of the epidemiological evidence. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2013;13(9):797-808. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23871397">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23871397</a>.
- 34. Haddad LB, Polis CB, Sheth AN, et al. Contraceptive methods and risk of HIV acquisition or female-to-male transmission. *Curr HIV/AIDS Rep.* 2014;11(4):447-458. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25297973">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25297973</a>.
- 35. Levison J, Weber S, Cohan D. Breastfeeding and HIV-infected women in the United States: harm reduction counseling strategies. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2014;59(2):304-309. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24771330">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24771330</a>.

- 36. Tariq S, Elford J, Tookey P, et al. "It pains me because as a woman you have to breastfeed your baby": decision-making about infant feeding among African women living with HIV in the UK. *Sex Transm Infect*. 2016;92(5):331-336. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26757986">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26757986</a>.
- 37. Gaur AH, Dominguez KL, Kalish ML, et al. Practice of feeding premasticated food to infants: a potential risk factor for HIV transmission. *Pediatrics*. 2009;124(2):658-666. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19620190">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19620190</a>.
- 38. Tulloch KJ, Dodin P, Tremblay-Racine F, Elwood C, Money D, Boucoiran I. Cabergoline: a review of its use in the inhibition of lactation for women living with HIV. *J Int AIDS Soc.* 2019;22(6):e25322. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31183987">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31183987</a>.
- 39. Food and Drug Administration. Bromocriptine mesylate (Parlodel) for the prevention of physiological lactation; opportunity for a hearing on a proposal to withdraw approval of the indication. In: Department of Health and Human Services. Vol 59. 1994.
- 40. Harris K, Murphy KE, Horn D, MacGilivray J, Yudin MH. Safety of cabergoline for postpartum lactation inhibition or suppression: a systematic review. *J Obstet Gynaecol Can.* 2019. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31285168">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31285168</a>.
- 41. Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents. Guidelines for the use of antiretroviral agents in adults and adolescents living with HIV. Department of Health and Human Services. 2019. Available at: <a href="https://aidsinfo.nih.gov/contentfiles/lvguidelines/adultandadolescentgl.pdf">https://aidsinfo.nih.gov/contentfiles/lvguidelines/adultandadolescentgl.pdf</a>.
- 42. Dostinex cabergoline tablets [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2014. Available at: <a href="https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2014/020664s013lbl.pdf">https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2014/020664s013lbl.pdf</a>.

# Counseling and Managing Women Living with HIV in the United States Who Desire to Breastfeed (Last updated December 24, 2019; last

reviewed December 24, 2019)

#### Panel's Recommendations

- In the United States, the safest way to feed infants born to women with HIV is with formula, because breastfeeding presents an ongoing risk of HIV exposure after birth, and because suppressive maternal antiretroviral therapy significantly reduces, but does not eliminate, the risk of transmitting HIV through breastfeeding. Therefore, breastfeeding is not recommended for women living with HIV in the United States (AII).
- Women who have questions about breastfeeding or who desire to breastfeed should receive patient-centered, evidence-based counseling on infant feeding options (AIII).
- When women with HIV choose to breastfeed, they should be counseled to use harm-reduction measures to minimize the risk of HIV transmission to their infants (BIII).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

**Rating of Evidence:** I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

The standard recommendation for women living with HIV in the United States is to avoid breastfeeding, because:

- Maternal antiretroviral therapy (ART) reduces, but does not eliminate, the risk of HIV transmission via breast milk;
- Safe and affordable infant feeding alternatives are readily accessible in the United States;
- The postpartum period can be a challenging time to be fully adherent to ART; and
- There is a paucity of safety data on most modern ART regimens during breastfeeding.

The recommendations in the United States differ from those in many low-income and middle-income countries, where cost limits access to formula and where inadequate quantities of formula and/or unsafe water mixed into formula have been associated with high rates of infant mortality. Women in some areas of the United States may also have limited access to safe water. Infant replacement feeding using formula (or formula powder mixed with safe water), banked breast milk, or a properly screened, HIV-negative wet nurse remains the only way to eliminate the risk of breast milk-associated HIV transmission. However, women may face environmental, social, familial, and personal pressures to consider breastfeeding, despite the risk of HIV transmission via breast milk. A survey of 93 U.S. clinicians who provide specialty care to women with HIV revealed that one-third of the providers were aware that women in their care had breastfed their infants after being advised not to do so. 7

A qualitative study of mothers with HIV in Canada found that many factors affected a woman's decision to breastfeed her infant; these included social, cultural, and emotional factors and concerns about HIV-related stigma. Some women, especially those from a country or cultural background where breastfeeding is the norm, fear that not breastfeeding will lead to disclosure of their HIV status. Multiple experts have called for a patient-centered, harm-reduction approach to counseling women with HIV on infant feeding options in high-income countries. 2,8-11

This section of the guidelines is intended to provide tools to help providers counsel women with HIV on the potential risks of HIV transmission that are associated with breastfeeding and to provide a harm-reduction approach for women who choose to breastfeed despite intensive counseling. It is not intended to be an endorsement of breastfeeding, nor to imply that breastfeeding is recommended for women with HIV in the United States.

# **Breastfeeding and Strategies to Reduce Risk of HIV Transmission**

Both the evidence regarding the risks of HIV transmission via breastfeeding and the strategies to reduce this type of transmission come from studies conducted in low-income and middle-income countries, where rates of infant mortality are high and many families do not have access to safe water and affordable formula. Without maternal ART and infant antiretroviral (ARV) prophylaxis, the risk of a breastfeeding infant acquiring HIV from a mother with HIV is 15% to 20% over 2 years. <sup>12,13</sup>

Studies have shown that maternal ART throughout pregnancy and breastfeeding and infant ARV prophylaxis during breastfeeding can reduce, but not eliminate, the risk of breast milk-associated HIV transmission. However, most of these studies only provided ARV drugs to women or their infants through 6 months postpartum and collected limited data on maternal plasma HIV viral load during breastfeeding.

As ART has become more widely available for women during pregnancy and the postpartum period, studies have evaluated HIV transmission during breastfeeding among women who initiated ART earlier in pregnancy and who continued ART longer than women in previous studies. Among more than 500 mothers who were on ART in the Mma Bana study, two cases of HIV transmission via breastfeeding occurred. In these cases, maternal plasma and breast milk HIV RNA levels were <50 copies/mL at 1 month and 3 months postpartum. <sup>19</sup> The PROMISE trial, which included more than 2,400 women with CD4 T lymphocyte cell counts ≥350 cells/mm³, compared the efficacy of prolonged infant prophylaxis to maternal ART in preventing HIV transmission during breastfeeding. Both treatments continued through cessation of breastfeeding or 18 months postpartum, whichever came first. This study reported estimated transmission rates of 0.3% at 6 months and 0.6% at 12 months in both arms. <sup>20</sup> Two cases of HIV transmission during breastfeeding were reported among 186 infants born during a study in Tanzania: the first occurred in the infant of a mother who had a high viral load 1 month after delivery, and the second occurred after a mother discontinued ART. There were no cases of HIV transmission among infants who were born to virally suppressed mothers who remained in care. <sup>21</sup>

Prior to the current accessibility of ART in low-income countries, studies demonstrated that exclusive breastfeeding during the first 6 months of life is associated with lower rates of HIV transmission than mixed feeding (a term used to describe infants fed breast milk plus other liquid or solid foods, including formula). After 6 months, when complementary foods are required for adequate infant nutrition, demand for breast milk decreases and gradual weaning can occur. Rapid weaning over several days is not recommended, because increased HIV shedding into breast milk and an increased rate of HIV transmission during rapid weaning were observed in studies from low-income countries that were conducted before ART was widely accessible for breastfeeding women. There are currently not enough data to determine whether exclusive breastfeeding or mixed feeding has an impact on perinatal transmission in the context of effective ART.

# Safety of Maternal and Infant Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Breastfeeding

The non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTIs), nevirapine (NVP), efavirenz, and etravirine have been detected in breast milk; however, the levels of these drugs that have been detected in breast milk are lower than those seen in maternal plasma. Among protease inhibitors (PIs), lopinavir, nelfinavir, ritonavir, indinavir, atazanavir have been found in very low concentrations in breast milk, with little to no drug detectable in the blood of the breastfed infant.<sup>27</sup> Nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors show more variability than PIs and NNRTIs. Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) concentrations are very low in breast milk, and the drug is undetectable in the blood of the breastfed infant.<sup>27-29</sup> Emtricitabine and lamivudine (3TC) have more accumulation in breast milk and can sometimes be detected in the blood of the breastfed infant (in 19% and 36% of infants, respectively).<sup>27</sup> Data on the transfer of integrase strand transfer inhibitors to breast milk in humans is limited; data do show that dolutegravir is found in breast milk at levels that are about 3% of those seen in maternal plasma.<sup>30</sup> For more details on the passage of ARV drugs into breast milk, see the individual drug sections in Appendix B.

One study showed a decrease in bone mineral content among breastfeeding mothers who were receiving TDF-based ART compared to mothers who received no ART, but whether this condition persists after discontinuation of breastfeeding is not known.<sup>31</sup>

In infants, serious adverse events that are associated with the use of ART by breastfeeding mothers appear to be relatively uncommon. In two studies that compared the efficacy of maternal ART (zidovudine [ZDV]-based ART in one study and TDF-based ART in the other) to infant NVP prophylaxis with no maternal ART during breastfeeding for prevention of postnatal HIV transmission, no significant differences in adverse events were observed between study arms. <sup>15,20</sup> One study reported that anemia occurred more frequently among infants who were exposed to ZDV-based ART during breastfeeding than among infants who were not exposed to ART. <sup>32</sup> An infant who acquires HIV while breastfeeding is at risk for developing ARV drug resistance due to subtherapeutic drug levels in breast milk. <sup>33,34</sup>

Likewise, the rates of serious adverse events among infants who receive extended ARV prophylaxis are low. In one study, the rate of adverse events in infants receiving 6 months of NVP was not significantly different from the rate in infants receiving placebo. A second study that compared two infant ARV prophylaxis regimens (lopinavir/ritonavir vs. 3TC) found no significant difference between the rates of adverse events among infants receiving the two regimens. Studies to date have only examined short-term adverse events, and there is little data on whether there might be long-term consequences of these drug exposures.

# **Approach to Counseling and Management**

Formula, banked donor milk, and milk from an HIV-negative wet nurse who has been properly screened remain the only completely reliable methods of preventing HIV transmission during breastfeeding. The Panel on Treatment of Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission (the Panel) recommends that women living with HIV in the United States not breastfeed their infants. However, patient-centered counseling on infant feeding must balance maternal psychosocial concerns, the health benefits of breastfeeding for the infant, and the risks of HIV transmission. Similarly, the British HIV guidelines recommend using formula as the safest approach to infant feeding, but they suggest supporting women who opt to breastfeed. Providers can initiate counseling with a nonjudgmental inquiry about infant feeding early in pregnancy, and then engage the mother by offering joint problem solving and shared decision making. One approach is to say, "In the United States, we recommend formula feeding to avoid the risk of HIV transmission to your baby through breast milk. Do you have any questions or concerns about this?" For women who are considering breastfeeding, the Panel recommends engaging each woman privately in a nonjudgmental conversation about the motivation behind her desire to breastfeed, as well as consulting with the clinician(s) who will be managing the infant's care.

If, despite extensive counseling, a woman decides to breastfeed, harm-reduction measures should be taken to reduce the risk of HIV transmission. Ideally, the woman should be adherent to her ARV regimen, she should maintain a suppressed viral load during pregnancy (or at least during the third trimester of pregnancy), and she should be fully engaged in her own care. Harm-reduction measures may include:

- Supporting maternal ART adherence and engagement in care both during pregnancy and throughout breastfeeding.
- Documenting consistent viral suppression prior to delivery and throughout breastfeeding. This can be accomplished by monitoring maternal plasma viral loads every 1 to 2 months during breastfeeding. Plasma viral loads should also be monitored whenever nonadherence to ART is suspected. If maternal viral load becomes detectable, consult an expert immediately and consider weaning the infant.
- Breastfeeding exclusively for up to 6 months postpartum, followed by breastfeeding in combination with
  the introduction of complementary foods. However, this recommendation is based on studies of exclusive
  breastfeeding and nonexclusive breastfeeding that were completed before effective ART was widely
  available.

- Developing a plan for weaning with input from the family and providers. Rapid weaning over a few days is not recommended, but data on weaning are lacking for infants born to women who are receiving ART and who are virologically suppressed.
- Administering at least 6 weeks of ARV prophylaxis with ZDV and/or NVP to infants. In nonbreastfeeding infants, there is high-quality evidence that 4 to 6 weeks of infant prophylaxis with ZDV prevents HIV transmission (see Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection). The most extensively studied prophylaxis in breastfeeding infants is daily NVP, which has been shown to be safe and effective when used for extended prophylaxis in infants whose mothers are **not** receiving ART. 16,20 If the mother is receiving ART, infant ARV prophylaxis can be discontinued after 6 weeks. Among mothers who were enrolled in the HPTN 046 trial and who received suppressive ART, there was no difference between the rates of postnatal transmission for infants who received NVP and infants who received placebo. <sup>16</sup> There are no data to support the added benefit of giving ARV drugs for more than 4 weeks to 6 weeks to infants of mothers who are on suppressive ART. However, some experts have felt more comfortable continuing infant ARV prophylaxis for 1 week to 4 weeks after cessation of weaning, even when the mother is receiving suppressive ART.<sup>35</sup>
- Monitoring the infant for HIV acquisition during breastfeeding. A reasonable approach to infant monitoring would include virologic HIV testing at the standard time points (see Maternal HIV Testing and Identification of Perinatal HIV Exposure) and then every 3 months throughout breastfeeding, followed by monitoring at 4 to 6 weeks, 3 months, and 6 months after cessation of breastfeeding.
- Promptly initiating a full ART regimen for the infant in the unlikely event of HIV transmission via breastfeeding. Resistance testing should be done on the infant viral isolate. If resistance is identified, the treatment regimen can be adjusted appropriately.
- Promptly identifying and treating maternal mastitis and infant thrush. Both conditions increase the risk of HIV transmission through breastfeeding. 36-38 Milk from the affected breast should be pumped and discarded until mastitis resolves

The immediate postpartum period poses unique challenges to adherence to medical care and ART. Although it has been shown that people with undetectable viral loads cannot transmit HIV through sexual contact, there are currently not enough data to say the same for transmission during breastfeeding. Many questions remain as to the mechanism for breast milk-associated HIV transmission in the cases where it has occurred. HIV RNA in cell-free breast milk may be controlled with ART, but cell-associated HIV (usually measured by HIV DNA) may provide a latent reservoir of HIV that is capable of causing perinatal infection via breastfeeding even among women on ART. 39-41 Close follow-up and enhanced support services should be considered for women who are planning to breastfeed (see Postpartum Follow-Up of Women Living with HIV Infection).

Clinicians who are caring for a woman with HIV who is considering breastfeeding should consult with an expert and, if necessary, the Perinatal HIV Hotline (888-448-8765).

- World Health Organization. Guideline: updates on HIV and infant feeding; the Duration of breastfeeding, and support from health services to improve feeding practices among mothers living with HIV. In: Guideline: Updates on HIV and Infant Feeding: The Duration of Breastfeeding, and Support from Health Services to Improve Feeding Practices Among Mothers Living with HIV. Geneva. 2016.
- Yudin MH, Kennedy VL, MacGillivray SJ. HIV and infant feeding in resource-rich settings: considering the clinical significance of a complicated dilemma. AIDS Care. 2016;28(8):1023-1026. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/26881474.
- Levison J, Weber S, Cohan D. Breastfeeding and HIV-infected women in the United States: harm reduction counseling strategies. Clin Infect Dis. 2014;59(2):304-309. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24771330.

- 4. Greene S, Ion A, Elston D, et al. "Why aren't you breastfeeding?": how mothers living with HIV talk about infant feeding in a "breast is best" world. *Health Care Women Int.* 2015;36(8):883-901. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24527767">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24527767</a>.
- 5. Tariq S, Elford J, Tookey P, et al. "It pains me because as a woman you have to breastfeed your baby": decision-making about infant feeding among African women living with HIV in the UK. Sex Transm Infect. 2016;92(5):331-336. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26757986">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26757986</a>.
- 6. Gross MS, Taylor HA, Tomori C, Coleman JS. Breastfeeding with HIV: an evidence-based case for new policy. *J Law Med Ethics*. 2019;47(1):152-160. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30994076">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30994076</a>.
- 7. Tuthill EL, Tomori C, Van Natta M, Coleman JS. "In the United States, we say, 'No breastfeeding,' but that is no longer realistic": provider perspectives towards infant feeding among women living with HIV in the United States. *J Int AIDS Soc.* 2019;22(1):e25224. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30657639">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30657639</a>.
- 8. Morrison P, Israel-Ballard K, Greiner T. Informed choice in infant feeding decisions can be supported for HIV-infected women even in industrialized countries. *AIDS*. 2011;25(15):1807-1811. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21811145">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21811145</a>.
- 9. Johnson G, Levison J, Malek J. Should providers discuss breastfeeding with women living with HIV in high-income countries? an ethical analysis. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2016;63(10):1368-1372. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27572099">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27572099</a>.
- 10. Kahlert C, Aebi-Popp K, Bernasconi E, et al. Is breastfeeding an equipoise option in effectively treated HIV-infected mothers in a high-income setting? *Swiss Med Wkly*. 2018;148:w14648. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30044473">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30044473</a>.
- 11. British HIV Association. British HIV association guidelines for the management of HIV in pregnancy and postpartum 2018. *HIV Med.* 2019;20 Suppl 3:s2-s85. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30869192">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30869192</a>.
- 12. Nduati R, John G, Mbori-Ngacha D, et al. Effect of breastfeeding and formula feeding on transmission of HIV-1: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2000;283(9):1167-1174. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10703779">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10703779</a>.
- 13. World Health Organization. HIV Transmission through breastfeeding: a review of available evidence; 2007 update. 2008. Available at: http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/43879/1/9789241596596\_eng.pdf.
- 14. White AB, Mirjahangir JF, Horvath H, Anglemyer A, Read JS. Antiretroviral interventions for preventing breast milk transmission of HIV. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2014(10):CD011323. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25280769">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25280769</a>.
- 15. Chasela CS, Hudgens MG, Jamieson DJ, et al. Maternal or infant antiretroviral drugs to reduce HIV-1 transmission. *N Engl J Med.* 2010;362(24):2271-2281. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20554982">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20554982</a>.
- 16. Coovadia HM, Brown ER, Fowler MG, et al. Efficacy and safety of an extended nevirapine regimen in infant children of breastfeeding mothers with HIV-1 infection for prevention of postnatal HIV-1 transmission (HPTN 046): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2012;379(9812):221-228. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22196945">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22196945</a>.
- 17. Nagot N, Kankasa C, Tumwine JK, et al. Extended pre-exposure prophylaxis with lopinavir-ritonavir versus lamivudine to prevent HIV-1 transmission through breastfeeding up to 50 weeks in infants in Africa (ANRS 12174): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2016;387(10018):566-573. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26603917">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26603917</a>.
- 18. Kesho Bora Study G, de Vincenzi I. Triple antiretroviral compared with zidovudine and single-dose nevirapine prophylaxis during pregnancy and breastfeeding for prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 (Kesho Bora study): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2011;11(3):171-180. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21237718">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21237718</a>.
- 19. Shapiro RL, Hughes MD, Ogwu A, et al. Antiretroviral regimens in pregnancy and breast-feeding in Botswana. *N Engl J Med*. 2010;362(24):2282-2294. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20554983">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20554983</a>.
- 20. Flynn PM, Taha TE, Cababasay M, et al. Prevention of HIV-1 transmission through breastfeeding: efficacy and safety of maternal antiretroviral therapy versus Infant nevirapine prophylaxis for duration of breastfeeding in HIV-1-infected

- women with high Cd4 cell count (impaact promise): a randomized, open label, clinical trial. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2017. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29239901">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29239901</a>.
- Luoga E, Vanobberghen F, Bircher R, et al. Brief report: No HIV transmission from virally suppressed mothers during breastfeeding in rural Tanzania. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2018;79(1):e17-e20. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29781882">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29781882</a>.
- 22. Coovadia HM, Rollins NC, Bland RM, et al. Mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 infection during exclusive breastfeeding in the first 6 months of life: an intervention cohort study. *Lancet*. 2007;369(9567):1107-1116. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17398310.
- 23. Coutsoudis A, Pillay K, Spooner E, Kuhn L, Coovadia HM. Influence of infant-feeding patterns on early mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 in Durban, South Africa: a prospective cohort study. South African vitamin A study group. *Lancet*. 1999;354(9177):471-476. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10465172">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10465172</a>.
- 24. Kuhn L, Aldrovandi GM, Sinkala M, et al. Effects of early, abrupt weaning on HIV-free survival of children in Zambia. *N Engl J Med.* 2008;359(2):130-141. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18525036">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18525036</a>.
- 25. Thea DM, Aldrovandi G, Kankasa C, et al. Post-weaning breast milk HIV-1 viral load, blood prolactin levels and breast milk volume. *AIDS*. 2006;20(11):1539-1547. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16847409">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16847409</a>.
- 26. Kuhn L, Kim HY, Walter J, et al. HIV-1 concentrations in human breast milk before and after weaning. *Sci Transl Med*. 2013;5(181):181ra151. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23596203.
- 27. Waitt C, Olagunju A, Nakalema S, et al. Plasma and breast milk pharmacokinetics of emtricitabine, tenofovir and lamivudine using dried blood and breast milk spots in nursing African mother-infant pairs. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2018. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29309634">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29309634</a>.
- 28. Mugwanya KK, Hendrix CW, Mugo NR, et al. Pre-exposure prophylaxis use by breastfeeding HIV-uninfected women: a prospective short-term study of antiretroviral excretion in breast milk and infant absorption. *PLoS Med.* 2016;13(9):e1002132. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27676257">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27676257</a>.
- 29. Palombi L, Pirillo MF, Marchei E, et al. Concentrations of tenofovir, lamivudine and efavirenz in mothers and children enrolled under the option B-plus approach in Malawi. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2016;71(4):1027-1030. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26679247">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26679247</a>.
- 30. Dickinson L, Kintu K, Coombs J, et al. Population pk of dolutegravir in plasma, cord, and breastmilk: results from dolphin-1. Abstract 757. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections 2019. Seattle, Washington. Available at: <a href="http://www.croiconference.org/sessions/population-pk-dolutegravir-plasma-cord-and-breastmilk-results-dolphin-1">http://www.croiconference.org/sessions/population-pk-dolutegravir-plasma-cord-and-breastmilk-results-dolphin-1</a>.
- 31. Mofenson LM, Baggaley RC, Mameletzis I. Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate safety for women and their infants during pregnancy and breastfeeding. *AIDS*. 2017;31(2):213-232. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27831952">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27831952</a>.
- 32. Dryden-Peterson S, Shapiro RL, Hughes MD, et al. Increased risk of severe infant anemia after exposure to maternal HAART, Botswana. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2011;56(5):428-436. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21266910">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21266910</a>.
- 33. Fogel J, Li Q, Taha TE, et al. Initiation of antiretroviral treatment in women after delivery can induce multiclass drug resistance in breastfeeding HIV-infected infants. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2011;52(8):1069-1076. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21460326">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21460326</a>.
- 34. Zeh C, Weidle PJ, Nafisa L, et al. HIV-1 drug resistance emergence among breastfeeding infants born to HIV-infected mothers during a single-arm trial of triple-antiretroviral prophylaxis for prevention of mother-to-child transmission: a secondary analysis. *PLoS Med.* 2011;8(3):e1000430. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21468304">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21468304</a>.
- 35. Van de Perre P, Kankasa C, Nagot N, et al. Pre-exposure prophylaxis for infants exposed to HIV through breast feeding. *BMJ*. 2017;356:j1053. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28279960">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28279960</a>.
- 36. Semba RD. Mastitis and transmission of human immunodeficiency virus through breast milk. *Ann N Y Acad Sci.* 2000;918:156-162. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11131699">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11131699</a>.
- 37. Kantarci S, Koulinska IN, Aboud S, Fawzi WW, Villamor E. Subclinical mastitis, cell-associated HIV-1 shedding in

- breast milk, and breast-feeding transmission of HIV-1. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2007;46(5):651-654. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18043320">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18043320</a>.
- 38. Semrau K, Kuhn L, Brooks DR, et al. Dynamics of breast milk HIV-1 RNA with unilateral mastitis or abscess. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2013;62(3):348-355. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23202812">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23202812</a>.
- 39. Waitt C, Low N, Van de Perre P, Lyons F, Loutfy M, Aebi-Popp K. Does U=U for breastfeeding mothers and infants? Breastfeeding by mothers on effective treatment for HIV infection in high-income settings. *Lancet HIV*. 2018;5(9):e531-e536. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29960731">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29960731</a>.
- 40. Shapiro RL, Holland DT, Capparelli E, et al. Antiretroviral concentrations in breast-feeding infants of women in Botswana receiving antiretroviral treatment. *J Infect Dis.* 2005;192(5):720-727. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16088821">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16088821</a>.
- 41. Lehman DA, Chung MH, John-Stewart GC, et al. HIV-1 persists in breast milk cells despite antiretroviral treatment to prevent mother-to-child transmission. *AIDS*. 2008;22(12):1475-1485. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18614871">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18614871</a>.

# Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection (Last

Updated April 14, 2020; last reviewed April 14, 2020)

#### Panel's Recommendations

- All newborns who were perinatally exposed to HIV should receive postpartum antiretroviral (ARV) drugs to reduce the risk of perinatal transmission of HIV (AI).
- Newborn ARV regimens administered at doses that are appropriate for the infant's gestational age should be initiated as close to the time of birth as possible, preferably within 6 to 12 hours of delivery (AII).
- A newborn's ARV regimen should be determined based on maternal and infant factors that influence the risk of perinatal transmission of HIV (AII). The uses of ARV regimens in newborns include:
  - ARV Prophylaxis: The administration of one or more ARV drugs to a newborn without documented HIV infection to reduce the risk of perinatal acquisition of HIV.
  - Presumptive HIV Therapy: The administration of a three-drug ARV regimen to newborns who are at highest risk of perinatal acquisition of HIV. Presumptive HIV therapy is intended to be preliminary treatment for a newborn who is later documented to have HIV, but it also serves as prophylaxis against HIV acquisition for those newborns who are exposed to HIV *in utero*, during the birthing process, or during breastfeeding and who do not acquire HIV.
  - **HIV Therapy:** The administration of a three-drug ARV regimen at treatment doses (called antiretroviral therapy [ART]) to newborns with documented HIV infection (see <u>Diagnosis of HIV Infection in Infants and Children</u>).
- A 4-week zidovudine (ZDV) ARV prophylaxis regimen can be used in newborns whose mothers received ART during pregnancy and had sustained viral suppression near delivery (defined as a confirmed HIV RNA level <50 copies/mL) and for whom there are no concerns related to maternal adherence (BII).
- Newborns at higher risk of perinatal acquisition of HIV should initiate presumptive HIV therapy (see Table 7 for recommended regimens).
   Newborns at higher risk of HIV acquisition include those born to women with HIV who:
  - Have not received antepartum or intrapartum ARV drugs (AI), or
  - · Have received only intrapartum ARV drugs (AI), or
  - · Have received antepartum ARV drugs but who did not achieve viral suppression near delivery (All), or
  - Have primary or acute HIV infection during pregnancy (AII), or
  - Have primary or acute HIV infection while breastfeeding (AII).
- Newborns of women with unknown HIV statuses who test HIV positive on expedited testing during labor or shortly after birth should
  initiate an ARV regimen (either presumptive HIV therapy or two-drug ARV prophylaxis, based on clinician assessment of risk) (AII). If
  supplemental testing is negative, the ARV regimen should be discontinued (AII).
- · For newborns with HIV infection, ART should be initiated (AI).
- The use of ARV drugs other than ZDV, lamivudine, and nevirapine cannot be recommended for any indication in premature newborns (<37 weeks gestational age) because of lack of dosing and safety data (BII).
- Providers with questions about ARV management of perinatal HIV exposure should consult the National Perinatal HIV Hotline (1-888-448-8765), which provides free clinical consultation on all aspects of perinatal HIV, including newborn care (AIII).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong: B = Moderate: C = Optional

**Rating of Evidence:** I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

# General Considerations for Antiretroviral Management of Newborns Exposed to HIV or Born with HIV

All newborns with perinatal exposure to HIV should receive antiretroviral (ARV) drugs during the neonatal period to reduce the risk of perinatal HIV transmission, with selection of the appropriate ARV regimen guided by the level of transmission risk. HIV transmission can occur *in utero*, intrapartum, or during breastfeeding.

Maternal viral load is the most important risk factor for HIV transmission to a newborn. Newborns are at an increased risk of transmission when their mothers did not receive antiretroviral therapy (ART) during pregnancy, started antepartum treatment late in pregnancy, or when antepartum treatment did not result in virologic suppression. Higher maternal viral load, especially in late pregnancy, correlates with higher risk of transmission. There is a spectrum of transmission risk that depends on these and other maternal and infant factors, including mode of delivery, gestational age at delivery, and maternal health status.

Historically, the use of ARV drugs in the newborn period was referred to as ARV prophylaxis, since it primarily focused on protection against newborn perinatal acquisition of HIV. More recently, clinicians have begun to identify newborns at highest risk for HIV acquisition and initiate three-drug ARV regimens as presumptive treatment of HIV. In this section, the following terms will be used:

- **ARV Prophylaxis:** The administration of ARV drugs to a newborn without documented HIV infection to reduce the risk of HIV acquisition. ARV prophylaxis includes administration of a single agent, usually zidovudine (ZDV), as well as combinations of two or three ARV drugs.
- **Presumptive HIV Therapy:** The administration of a three-drug ARV regimen to newborns at highest risk of HIV acquisition. Presumptive HIV therapy is intended to be early treatment for a newborn who is later documented to have acquired HIV, but it also serves as ARV prophylaxis against HIV acquisition for those newborns who are exposed to HIV *in utero*, during the birthing process, or during breastfeeding and who do not acquire HIV.
- **HIV Therapy:** The administration of a three-drug ARV treatment regimen to newborns with documented HIV (see <u>Diagnosis of HIV Infection in Infants and Children</u>).

The terms ARV prophylaxis and presumptive HIV therapy describe the clinician's intent when prescribing ARV drugs, and there may be an overlap between these two terms. For example, a presumptive HIV therapy regimen also provides ARV prophylaxis for a newborn. However, two-drug (or sometimes three-drug) ARV prophylaxis regimens, notably those that use prophylactic doses rather than therapeutic doses of nevirapine (NVP), are not considered presumptive HIV therapy.

The interval during which newborn ARV prophylaxis or presumptive HIV therapy can be initiated and still be beneficial is undefined; however, most studies support providing ARV drugs as early as possible after delivery. 1-6

Table 6 provides an overview of neonatal ARV management recommendations according to risk of perinatal HIV transmission to the newborn, and Table 7 summarizes the recommendations for ARV drug dosing in newborns. Additional information about dose selection for newborns, including premature infants (<37 weeks gestational age), can be found in the <u>Pediatric Antiretroviral Guidelines</u>. In addition, the <u>National Perinatal HIV Hotline</u> (1-888-448-8765) is a federally funded service that provides free clinical consultation on difficult cases to providers who are caring for pregnant women living with HIV and their newborns, and consultants can provide referrals to local or regional pediatric HIV specialists.

### Table 6. Neonatal Antiretroviral Management According to Risk of HIV Infection in the Newborn

Drug selection and dosing considerations are related to the age and gestational age of the newborn. Consultation is available through the <u>National Perinatal HIV Hotline</u> (1-888-448-8765).

Level of Perinatal HIV Transmission Risk	Description	Neonatal ARV Management	
Low Risk of Perinatal HIV Transmission	Mothers who received ART during pregnancy with sustained viral suppression (defined as a confirmed HIV RNA level <50 copies/mL) near delivery and no concerns related to adherence		
Higher Risk of Perinatal HIV Transmission <sup>a,b</sup>	Mothers who received neither antepartum nor intrapartum ARV drugs  Mothers who received only intrapartum ARV drugs	Presumptive HIV therapy using either ZDV, 3TC, and NVP (treatment dose) <i>or</i> ZDV, 3TC, and RAL administered from birth up to 6 weeks.d	
	Mothers who received antepartum and intrapartum ARV drugs but who have detectable viral loads near delivery, particularly when delivery was vaginal		
	Mothers with acute or primary HIV infection during pregnancy or breastfeeding (in which case, the mother should discontinue breastfeeding) <sup>c</sup>		
Presumed Newborn HIV Exposure	Mothers with unconfirmed HIV status who have at least one positive HIV test at delivery or postpartum	ARV management as described above for newborns with a higher risk of perinatal HIV transmission	
	or Whose newborns have a positive HIV antibody test	Infant ARV drugs should be discontinued immediately if supplemental testing confirms that the mother does not have HIV	
Newborn with HIV <sup>e</sup>	Positive newborn HIV virologic test/NAT	Three-drug ARV regimen using treatment doses	

a See text for evidence that supports the use of presumptive HIV therapy and a two-drug ARV prophylaxis regimen.

**Note:** ARV drugs should be initiated as close to the time of birth as possible, preferably within 6 to 12 hours of delivery. See Table 7 for dosing specifics.

**Key:** 3TC = lamivudine; ART = antiretroviral therapy; ARV = antiretroviral; IV = intravenous; NAT = nucleic acid test; NVP = nevirapine; the Panel = Panel on Treatment of Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission; RAL = raltegravir; ZDV = zidovudine

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> See Intrapartum Care for guidance on indications for scheduled cesarean delivery and intrapartum IV ZDV to reduce the risk of perinatal HIV transmission for mothers with an elevated viral load at delivery.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Most Panel members would opt to administer presumptive HIV therapy to infants whose mothers had acute HIV during pregnancy because of the higher risk for *in utero* transmission. If acute HIV is diagnosed during breastfeeding, the mother should stop breastfeeding.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> The optimal duration of presumptive HIV therapy in newborns who are at a higher risk of perinatal HIV transmission is unknown. If possible, newborns who are at a higher risk of HIV acquisition should receive ZDV for 6 weeks. Additional medications, such as 3TC, RAL, or NVP, may need to administered for 2 to 6 weeks; the recommended durations for these drugs vary based on HIV NAT results, maternal viral load at the time of delivery, and additional risk factors for HIV transmission. Consultation with an expert in pediatric HIV is recommended when selecting a therapy duration, as this decision should be based on case-specific risk factors and interim HIV NAT results. The two-drug regimen used in NICHD-HPTN 040/PACTG 1043 for infants who were at a higher risk of HIV acquisition is described in the text (see the Two-Drug Antiretroviral Prophylaxis section).

One of a false-positive HIV NAT, given the low likelihood of a false-positive HIV NAT.

**Table 7. Antiretroviral Dosing Recommendations for Newborns** (page 1 of 2)

Newborns at Low Risk of Perinatal HIV Transmission			
Recommended Regimen	Recommended Duration		
ZDV	ZDV administered for 4 weeks at the doses listed below		
Newborns at Higher Risk of Perinatal HIV Transmission			
Recommended Regimen	Recommended Duration		
Three-drug HIV therapy: ZDV plus 3TC plus (NVP or RAL)	ZDV administered for 6 weeks, with no increase to the 12 mg/kg dose unless the infant has confirmed HIV infection. Dosing for 3TC, NVP, and RAL is described below. Duration for these three drugs may vary; see the guidance in footnote.		
Newborns with HIV Infection			
Recommended Regimen	Lifelong Duration Recommended <sup>b</sup>		
Three-drug HIV therapy: ZDV plus 3TC plus (NVP or RAL)	Lifelong therapy in accordance with current treatment guidelines. The ARV regimen should be individualized based on the infant's age and clinical determinants. NVP can be replaced with LPV/r when the infant reaches a postmenstrual age of ≥42 weeks (defined as the time from the first day of the mother's last menstrual period to birth plus the time elapsed after birth) and a postnatal age ≥14 days. NVP can be replaced with RAL at any age in infants who were born at a postmenstrual age of ≥37 weeks and who weigh ≥2 kg.		

Drug	Drug Doses by Gestational Age at Birth			
ZDV	≥35 Weeks Gestation at Birth			
Note: For newborns	rns Birth to Age 4 Weeks:			
who are unable to	• ZDV 4 mg/kg per dose orally twice daily			
tolerate oral agents, the IV dose is 75%	Age >4 Weeks:			
of the oral dose	• ZDV 12 mg/kg per dose orally twice daily; only make this dose increase for infants with confirmed HIV infection.			
while maintaining the	Simplified Weight-Band Dosing for Newborns Aged ≥35 Weeks Gestation from Birth to 4 Weeks			
same dosing interval.	Weight Band	Volume of ZDV 10 mg/mL Oral Syrup Twice Daily		
	2 to <3 kg	1 mL		
	3 to <4 kg	1.5 mL		
	4 to <5 kg	2 mL		
	≥30 to <35 Weeks Gestation at Birth			
	Birth to Age 2 Weeks:  • ZDV 2 mg/kg per dose orally twice daily  Age 2 Weeks to 6 to 8 Weeks:			
	• ZDV 3 mg/kg per dose orally twice daily			
	Age >6 to 8 Weeks:  • ZDV 12 mg/kg per dose orally twice daily; only make this dose increase for infants with confirmed HIV infection.  <30 Weeks Gestation at Birth  Birth to Age 4 Weeks:  • ZDV 2 mg/kg per dose orally twice daily  Age 4 to 8–10 Weeks:  • ZDV 3 mg/kg per dose orally twice daily  Age >8 to 10 Weeks:  • ZDV 12 mg/kg per dose orally twice daily; only make this dose increase for infants with confirmed HIV infection			
3TC	≥32 Weeks Gestation at Birth			
Birth to Age 4 Weeks:				
	• 3TC 2 mg/kg per dose orally twice daily			
	Age >4 Weeks:			
	• 3TC 4 mg/kg per dose orally twice daily			
		orally twice daily		

**Table 7. Antiretroviral Dosing Recommendations for Newborns** (page 2 of 2)

Drug	Drug Do	Drug Doses by Gestational Age at Birth		
NVP	≥37 Weeks Gestation at Birth			
	Birth to Age 4 Weeks:			
	NVP 6 mg/kg per dose orally twice daily <sup>c</sup>			
	Age >4 Weeks:			
	NVP 200 mg/m² of BSA per dose orally twice daily; only make this dose increase for infants with confirmed Finfection.			
	≥34 to <37 Weeks Gestation at Birth			
	Birth to Age 1 Week:			
	NVP 4 mg/kg per dose orally twice daily			
	Age 1 to 4 Weeks:			
	NVP 6 mg/kg per dose orally twice daily			
	Age >4 Weeks:			
	• NVP 200 mg/m² of BSA per dose orally twice daily; only make this dose increase for infants with confirmed HIV			
	infection.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
RAL	≥37 Weeks Gestation at Birth and Weighing ≥2 kgd			
	Birth to Age 6 Weeks:			
Note: If the mother	Birth to Age 6 Weeks:			
has taken RAL	Birth to Age 6 Weeks:  Body Weight	Volume (Dose) of RAL 10 mg/mL Suspension		
has taken RAL 2–24 hours prior		Volume (Dose) of RAL 10 mg/mL Suspension Approximately 1.5 mg/kg per dose		
has taken RAL 2–24 hours prior	Body Weight	, , ,		
has taken RAL 2–24 hours prior to delivery, the neonate's first dose of RAL should be	Body Weight  Birth to 1 Week: Once-Daily Dosing  2 to <3 kg  3 to <4 kg	Approximately 1.5 mg/kg per dose		
has taken RAL 2–24 hours prior to delivery, the neonate's first dose of RAL should be delayed until 24–48	Body Weight  Birth to 1 Week: Once-Daily Dosing  2 to <3 kg	Approximately 1.5 mg/kg per dose 0.4 mL (4 mg) once daily		
has taken RAL 2–24 hours prior to delivery, the neonate's first dose of RAL should be delayed until 24–48 hours after birth;	Body Weight  Birth to 1 Week: Once-Daily Dosing  2 to <3 kg  3 to <4 kg	Approximately 1.5 mg/kg per dose  0.4 mL (4 mg) once daily  0.5 mL (5 mg) once daily  0.7 mL (7 mg) once daily  Approximately 3 mg/kg per dose		
has taken RAL 2–24 hours prior to delivery, the neonate's first dose of RAL should be delayed until 24–48 hours after birth; additional ARV drugs should be started as	Body Weight  Birth to 1 Week: Once-Daily Dosing  2 to <3 kg  3 to <4 kg  4 to <5 kg  1 to 4 Weeks: Twice-Daily Dosing  2 to <3 kg	Approximately 1.5 mg/kg per dose  0.4 mL (4 mg) once daily  0.5 mL (5 mg) once daily  0.7 mL (7 mg) once daily  Approximately 3 mg/kg per dose  0.8 mL (8 mg) twice daily		
has taken RAL 2–24 hours prior to delivery, the	Body Weight  Birth to 1 Week: Once-Daily Dosing  2 to <3 kg  3 to <4 kg  4 to <5 kg  1 to 4 Weeks: Twice-Daily Dosing	Approximately 1.5 mg/kg per dose  0.4 mL (4 mg) once daily  0.5 mL (5 mg) once daily  0.7 mL (7 mg) once daily  Approximately 3 mg/kg per dose  0.8 mL (8 mg) twice daily  1 mL (10 mg) twice daily		
has taken RAL 2–24 hours prior to delivery, the neonate's first dose of RAL should be delayed until 24–48 hours after birth; additional ARV drugs should be started as	Body Weight  Birth to 1 Week: Once-Daily Dosing  2 to <3 kg  3 to <4 kg  4 to <5 kg  1 to 4 Weeks: Twice-Daily Dosing  2 to <3 kg  3 to <4 kg  4 to <5 kg	Approximately 1.5 mg/kg per dose  0.4 mL (4 mg) once daily  0.5 mL (5 mg) once daily  0.7 mL (7 mg) once daily  Approximately 3 mg/kg per dose  0.8 mL (8 mg) twice daily		
has taken RAL 2–24 hours prior to delivery, the neonate's first dose of RAL should be delayed until 24–48 hours after birth; additional ARV drugs should be started as	Body Weight  Birth to 1 Week: Once-Daily Dosing  2 to <3 kg  3 to <4 kg  4 to <5 kg  1 to 4 Weeks: Twice-Daily Dosing  2 to <3 kg  3 to <4 kg  4 to <5 kg  4 to <5 kg	Approximately 1.5 mg/kg per dose  0.4 mL (4 mg) once daily  0.5 mL (5 mg) once daily  0.7 mL (7 mg) once daily  Approximately 3 mg/kg per dose  0.8 mL (8 mg) twice daily  1 mL (10 mg) twice daily  1.5 mL (15 mg) twice daily  Approximately 6 mg/kg per dose		
has taken RAL 2–24 hours prior to delivery, the neonate's first dose of RAL should be delayed until 24–48 hours after birth; additional ARV drugs should be started as	Body Weight  Birth to 1 Week: Once-Daily Dosing  2 to <3 kg  3 to <4 kg  4 to <5 kg  1 to 4 Weeks: Twice-Daily Dosing  2 to <3 kg  3 to <4 kg  4 to <5 kg  4 to 6 Weeks: Twice-Daily Dosing  3 to <4 kg	Approximately 1.5 mg/kg per dose  0.4 mL (4 mg) once daily  0.5 mL (5 mg) once daily  0.7 mL (7 mg) once daily  Approximately 3 mg/kg per dose  0.8 mL (8 mg) twice daily  1 mL (10 mg) twice daily  1.5 mL (15 mg) twice daily  Approximately 6 mg/kg per dose  2.5 mL (25 mg) twice daily		
has taken RAL 2–24 hours prior to delivery, the neonate's first dose of RAL should be delayed until 24–48 hours after birth; additional ARV drugs should be started as	Body Weight  Birth to 1 Week: Once-Daily Dosing  2 to <3 kg  3 to <4 kg  4 to <5 kg  1 to 4 Weeks: Twice-Daily Dosing  2 to <3 kg  3 to <4 kg  4 to <5 kg  4 to <5 kg	Approximately 1.5 mg/kg per dose  0.4 mL (4 mg) once daily  0.5 mL (5 mg) once daily  0.7 mL (7 mg) once daily  Approximately 3 mg/kg per dose  0.8 mL (8 mg) twice daily  1 mL (10 mg) twice daily  1.5 mL (15 mg) twice daily  Approximately 6 mg/kg per dose		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> The optimal duration of presumptive HIV therapy in newborns who are at a higher risk of perinatal HIV transmission is unknown. If possible, newborns who are at a higher risk of HIV acquisition should receive ZDV for 6 weeks. Additional medications, such as 3TC, RAL, or NVP, may need to administered for 2 to 6 weeks; the recommended durations for these drugs vary based on HIV NAT results, maternal viral load at the time of delivery, and additional risk factors for HIV transmission. Consultation with an expert in pediatric HIV is recommended when selecting a therapy duration, as this decision should be based on case-specific risk factors and interim HIV NAT results. The two-drug regimen used in NICHD-HPTN 040/PACTG 1043 for infants who were at a higher risk of HIV acquisition is described in the text (see the Two-Drug Antiretroviral Prophylaxis section).

**Key:** 3TC = lamivudine; ARV =antiretroviral; BSA = body surface area; FDA = Food and Drug Administration; IV = intravenous; LPV/r = lopinavir/ritonavir; NAT = nucleic acid test; NVP = nevirapine; the Panel = the Panel on Treatment of Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission; RAL = raltegravir; UGT = uridine diphosphate glucotransferase; ZDV = zidovudine

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> For ARV management after the newborn period, see the <u>Pediatric Antiretroviral Guidelines</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> This dose is an investigational NVP treatment dose recommended by the Panel; the FDA has not approved a dose of NVP for infants aged <1 month. See the Two-Drug Antiretroviral Prophylaxis section for prophylactic NVP dosing if using the NICHD-HPTN 040/PACTG 1043 prophylaxis regimen.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> RAL dosing is increased at 1 and 4 weeks of age because metabolism by UGT1A1 is low at birth and increases rapidly during the next 4–6 weeks of life. No dosing information is available for preterm infants or infants weighing <2 kg at birth.

### Recommendations for Antiretroviral Drugs in Specific Clinical Situations

In this section and Table 6, the Panel on Treatment of Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission (the Panel) presents available data and recommendations for management of newborns with documented HIV and newborns born to mothers who:

- Received antepartum/intrapartum ARV drugs and achieved effective viral suppression
- Are at higher risk of transmitting HIV to their newborns, including mothers who:
  - Received neither antepartum nor intrapartum ARV drugs, or
  - Received only intrapartum ARV drugs, or
  - Received antepartum and intrapartum ARV drugs but who had detectable viral load near delivery, particularly if delivery was vaginal
- Had acute or primary HIV infection during pregnancy or breastfeeding
- Have unknown HIV status
- Have known ARV drug-resistant virus

# Newborns Born to Mothers Who Achieved Viral Suppression on Antepartum/Intrapartum Antiretroviral Drugs

The risk of HIV acquisition in newborns born to women who received ART during pregnancy and labor and who had undetectable viral loads at delivery is <1%. In the PACTG 076 study, ZDV alone reduced the incidence of perinatal HIV transmission, and ZDV is recommended as prophylaxis for neonates whose mothers received ART that resulted in consistent virologic suppression during pregnancy. The optimal minimum duration of neonatal ZDV prophylaxis has not been established in clinical trials. A 6-week ZDV regimen was studied in newborns in PACTG 076. However, evidence that supports a reduced duration of ZDV prophylaxis in infants born to women who were virologically suppressed during pregnancy and at delivery is mounting. In the United Kingdom and many other European countries, a 2-week neonatal ZDV prophylaxis regimen is recommended for infants born to women who have been on ART for longer than 10 weeks and have had at least two documented maternal HIV viral loads <50 copies/mL at least 4 weeks apart and have viral loads <50 copies/mL at or after 36 weeks gestation. If all of these criteria are not fulfilled but the maternal viral load is <50 copies/mL at or after 36 weeks gestation, a 4-week course of ZDV is recommended. Compared with the 6-week ZDV regimen, 2 to 4 weeks on a ZDV regimen has been reported to allow earlier recovery from anemia in otherwise healthy newborns.

Currently, the Panel recommends a 4-week neonatal ZDV prophylaxis regimen for newborns if the mother achieved viral suppression on ART during pregnancy (defined as a confirmed HIV RNA level <50 copies/mL) at or after 36 weeks gestation, and there are no concerns related to maternal adherence. Dosing recommendations for ZDV are available for premature newborns, and an intravenous preparation of ZDV is available. Table 7 shows recommended neonatal ZDV dosing based on gestational age and birth weight.

Newborns Born to Mothers Who Received No Antepartum or Intrapartum Antiretroviral Drugs, Who Received Intrapartum Antiretroviral Drugs Only, Who Received Antiretroviral Drugs and Were Not Virally Suppressed Near Delivery, or Who Acquired HIV During Pregnancy or Breastfeeding

The Panel recommends that all newborns born to mothers who had detectable viral loads at delivery, who received only intrapartum ARV drugs, or who received no ARV drugs during pregnancy or delivery are at higher risk of HIV acquisition and **should receive presumptive HIV therapy**. 5,14-18 Primary or acute HIV infection during pregnancy is also associated with an increased risk of perinatal transmission of HIV. Infants born to women who acquired HIV during pregnancy **should receive presumptive HIV therapy** (see Acute HIV Infection). The experience with these two strategies is described below.

#### **Presumptive** HIV Therapy

Early effective treatment of HIV infection in infants restricts the viral reservoir size, reduces HIV genetic variability, and modifies the immune response.<sup>19-24</sup> As demonstrated with the "Mississippi baby" and other infants who were treated shortly after birth, early treatment may provide an opportunity for an "ART-free remission" of HIV infection.<sup>25-27</sup> Because of these potential benefits of early ART, the Panel recommends a three-drug ARV presumptive HIV therapy regimen consisting of ZDV, lamivudine (3TC), and either NVP (at treatment dose) or raltegravir (RAL) for newborns at higher risk of perinatal acquisition of HIV.

Although no clinical trials have compared the safety and efficacy of presumptive ART with single-drug or two-drug regimens, emerging data suggests that early presumptive HIV therapy is not associated with serious adverse events. Many infants develop anemia or neutropenia that may be drug-related regardless of whether the ARV drugs are administered as prophylaxis or treatment.<sup>28-32</sup> In a prospective cohort in Thailand, infants who received an presumptive HIV therapy regimen that contained ZDV, 3TC, and NVP were more likely to have Grade 2 or higher anemia at 1 and 2 months of life compared to infants who received ZDV alone (48.5% vs. 32.3%; P = 0.02). However, there was no difference in the incidence of severe anemia between the two groups.<sup>33</sup> Additionally, in a Canadian study, nonspecific signs and symptoms (e.g., vomiting, diarrhea, rash, jitteriness, irritability) that were potentially attributable to medication-related adverse effects were reported among the newborns who received presumptive HIV therapy but not among those who received ZDV only (10.2% vs. 0%; P < 0.001). Infants were more likely to discontinue presumptive HIV therapy prematurely than a regimen of ZDV alone (9.5% vs. 2.1%; P = 0.01).<sup>29</sup>

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention recommend a three-drug ARV regimen for HIV-post-exposure prophylaxis following occupational and non-occupational HIV exposure. HIV acquisition risk in these circumstances is often lower than for newborns at higher risk of HIV acquisition. Presumptive HIV therapy pharmacokinetic (PK) and safety data has provided reassuring evidence for its use in the neonatal period. Although the use of NVP to prevent perinatal HIV transmission has been found to be safe in neonates and low-birthweight newborns, these prophylaxis-dose regimens target trough drug levels which are  $\geq 10$ -fold lower than targeted therapeutic levels. However, recent studies of therapeutic doses of NVP and RAL have established safe doses that achieve targeted PK parameters.  $^{36-40}$ 

At this time, if a presumptive HIV therapy regimen is required, the Panel recommends using a combination of ZDV, 3TC, and NVP (treatment dose) or ZDV, 3TC, and RAL (see Tables 6 and 7). The optimal duration of presumptive HIV therapy in newborns at higher risk of perinatal HIV transmission is unknown. Some Panel members opt to discontinue additional medications if birth nucleic acid test (NAT) results are negative, while others would continue presumptive HIV therapy for 2 to 6 weeks depending on the risk of HIV transmission. In all cases, ZDV should be continued for 6 weeks. If HIV infection is confirmed and the infant is receiving NVP, a switch from NVP to lopinavir/ritonavir (LPV/r) is recommended when the infant reaches a postmenstrual age (defined as the time from the first day of the mother's last menstrual period to birth plus the time elapsed after birth) of  $\geq$ 42 weeks and a postnatal age of  $\geq$ 14 days; a switch to RAL can be made at any age (see What to Start in the Pediatric Antiretroviral Guidelines). Consulting an expert in pediatric HIV is recommended when selecting a therapy duration based on case-specific risk factors and interim HIV NAT results.

### **Two-Drug** Antiretroviral Prophylaxis

To date, the NICHD-HPTN 040/PACTG 1043 trial is the only randomized clinical trial of multi-ARV prophylaxis in newborns at higher risk of HIV acquisition. In this study, 1,746 formula-fed infants born to women with HIV who did not receive any ARV drugs during pregnancy were randomized to receive one of three newborn prophylaxis regimens: the standard 6-week ZDV regimen; 6 weeks of ZDV plus three doses of NVP given during the first week of life (first dose given at birth or within 48 hours of birth, second dose 48 hours after the first dose, and third dose 96 hours after the second dose); and 6 weeks of ZDV plus 2 weeks of 3TC plus nelfinavir (NFV).

Forty-one percent of the mothers received ZDV during labor. The risk of intrapartum transmission was significantly lower in the two-drug and three-drug arms (2.2% and 2.5%, respectively, vs. 4.9% for 6 weeks of ZDV alone; P = 0.046 for each experimental arm vs. ZDV alone). The NICHD-HPTN 040/PACTG 1043 regimen was associated with nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NRTI) resistance in three of 53 participants (5.7%) with *in utero* infection who were treated with ZDV alone, and in six of 33 participants (18.2%) who were treated with ZDV plus NVP (P > 0.05). In addition, the third drug in the three-arm regimen was NFV, which has highly variable PKs in this age group and did not reach the NFV target plasma concentration in 46% of study participants.

Although transmission rates with the two regimens were similar, neutropenia was significantly more common with the three-drug regimen than with the two-drug or ZDV-alone regimens (27.5% vs. 14.9% vs. 16.4%; P < 0.001 for both comparisons). For newborns who are at a higher risk of HIV acquisition, the two-drug regimen used in NICHD-HPTN 040/PACTG 1043 is an option for preventing HIV transmission in infants aged  $\ge 32$  weeks gestation at birth who weigh  $\ge 1.5$  kg. This two-drug regimen consists of 6 weeks of ZDV plus three doses of the prophylactic dose of NVP, with the NVP doses given within 48 hours of birth, 48 hours after the first dose, and 96 hours after the second dose. The prophylactic doses are NVP 12 mg per dose orally for infants weighing  $\ge 2$  kg and NVP 8 mg per dose orally for infants weighing 1.5 kg to 2 kg. These are the actual doses, not the mg/kg doses. ZDV dosing is shown in Table 7.

### Choosing between Presumptive HIV Therapy and Two-Drug Antiretroviral Prophylaxis

Because there is a spectrum of transmission risk that depends on maternal viral load and other maternal and infant factors <u>and</u> there are no randomized trials that have compared the safety and efficacy of <u>presumptive</u> HIV therapy and <u>two-drug</u> ARV prophylaxis, experts have differing opinions about when to initiate <u>presumptive</u> HIV therapy and when to initiate <u>two-drug</u> prophylaxis. For instance, among women who received ARV drugs during pregnancy but who have a detectable viral load near delivery (on or after 36 weeks gestation), the level of maternal viremia that would prompt the use of a <u>two-drug</u> ARV prophylaxis regimen or <u>presumptive</u> HIV therapy is not definitively known.

In two large observational studies of women on combination antenatal ARV drugs, perinatal transmission rates were 0.05% and 0.3% when the mother had a viral load <50 copies/mL at delivery. Rates of transmission in these studies increased to 1.1% and 1.5% when viral load was 50 to 399 copies/mL, and 2.8% and 4.1% when viral load was >400 copies/mL.<sup>42,43</sup> While most Panel members would recommend initiating presumptive HIV therapy with any detectable level of viremia near delivery, others may opt for a two-drug prophylaxis regimen if maternal viral load was less than 200 to 400 copies/mL. Emerging data about the lack of serious safety issues associated with presumptive HIV therapy in newborns is reassuring, even though nonserious adverse events may occur more frequently.

In summary, in scenarios where the infant is at higher risk of HIV transmission, most Panel members recommend presumptive HIV therapy. In some situations, a two-drug ARV prophylaxis regimen may be considered, see Two-Drug Antiretroviral Prophylaxis in the text. Choosing between these regimens will depend on the clinician's assessment of the likelihood of HIV transmission, and a decision should be made after weighing the risks and benefits of the proposed regimen and discussing these transmission prevention strategies with the parents.

Consulting an expert in pediatric HIV or the <u>National Perinatal HIV Hotline</u> (1-888-448-8765) is recommended when selecting a regimen based on case-specific risk factors.

#### Newborns Born to Mothers with Unknown HIV Status Who Present in Labor

Expedited HIV testing is recommended during labor for women with unknown HIV status; if testing is not performed during labor, it should be performed as soon as possible after birth for the mothers and/or their newborns (see <u>Maternal HIV Testing and Identification of Perinatal HIV Exposure</u>). Expedited test results should be available within 60 minutes. If maternal or infant expedited testing is positive, the newborn <u>should</u>

<u>immediately initiate presumptive HIV therapy</u>, without waiting for the results of supplemental tests. Expedited HIV testing should be available on a 24-hour basis at all facilities with a maternity service and/or neonatal intensive care unit or special care or newborn nursery.

A positive initial test result in mothers or newborns should be presumed to indicate maternal HIV until supplemental testing clarifies maternal and newborn status. If appropriate test results for a mother (or newborn) are negative, newborn ARV drugs can be discontinued. Clinicians should be aware of their state laws, as not all states allow HIV testing in infants without parental consent.

A nursing mother who is suspected of having HIV based on an initial positive antibody or antibody/antigen test result should stop breastfeeding until HIV is confirmed or ruled out.

Pumping and temporarily discarding or freezing breast milk can be recommended. If HIV is ruled out, breastfeeding can resume. If HIV is confirmed, breastfeeding should be discontinued permanently.<sup>44</sup>

### Newborns Born to Mothers with Antiretroviral Drug-Resistant Virus

The optimal ARV regimen for newborns born to women with ARV drug-resistant virus is unknown. Although some studies have suggested that ARV drug-resistant virus may have decreased replicative capacity (reduced viral fitness) and transmissibility, <sup>45</sup> perinatal transmission of multidrug-resistant virus does occur. <sup>46-51</sup> It is also unknown whether resistant virus in the mother increases the antepartum/intrapartum risk of HIV acquisition by the infant. A recently reported secondary analysis of data from the NICHD-HPTN 040/ PACTG 1043 study demonstrated that the risk of perinatal transmission was not related to the presence of drug resistance mutations in mothers who had not received ARV drugs prior to the start of the study (adjusted odds ratio 0.8; 95% confidence interval, 0.4–1.5). <sup>51</sup> The ARV regimen for newborns born to mothers with known or suspected drug resistance should be determined in consultation with a pediatric HIV specialist before delivery, or through consultation via the National Perinatal HIV Hotline (1-888-448-8765). However, there is no evidence that neonatal prophylaxis regimens customized based on presence of maternal drug resistance are more effective than standard neonatal prophylaxis regimens.

### **Newborns with HIV Infection**

Until recently, neonatal ARV regimens were designed for prophylaxis against perinatal HIV transmission and were intended to be as simple as possible for practical use. There was little reason to develop ARV regimens for treatment of neonates, as the long turnaround times to receive HIV NAT results meant that neonatal infections were generally not diagnosed during the first weeks of life. HIV NAT results are now available within a few days, and HIV in newborns is being diagnosed as early as the first days of life in many centers. A positive HIV NAT must be repeated to confirm HIV. However, most Panel members do not recommend delaying the initiation of ART while waiting for the results of the confirmatory HIV NAT, given the low likelihood of a false-positive HIV NAT. However, evidence that early treatment (before age 2 weeks) will conclusively lead to prolonged remission or better outcomes in newborns with HIV is lacking.

Information regarding the safety of early treatment of HIV in newborns has been reported from two studies. In the IMPAACT P1115 study, 54 infants with HIV initiated presumptive HIV therapy between 0.4 and 40 hours of life. Grade 3 or 4 related events, most of which were hematologic, occurred in 22 of 54 infants (41%) through 52 weeks of the study.<sup>30</sup> Forty infants with HIV in Botswana initiated NVP plus ZDV plus 3TC at a median age of 2 days (range 1–5 days) and transitioned to LPV/r plus ZDV plus 3TC at approximately 2 weeks of age. These infants had minimal toxicity during the first 12 weeks of treatment. Only one instance of Grade 3 neutropenia was reported and no instances of Grade 3 or 4 anemia were reported.<sup>32</sup>

Earlier diagnosis of HIV in newborns and the increasing use of presumptive HIV therapy in newborns at higher risk for HIV acquisition have necessitated investigation of dosing and safety of ARV drugs in term and preterm newborns. Although data are still incomplete, especially for preterm newborns, PK and safety profiles of ARV drugs are increasingly available. As already noted, the recommended neonatal ARV doses for prophylaxis and for treatment are the same, with the important exception of NVP (see the Pediatric Antiretroviral Guidelines).

Sufficient data exist to provide dosing recommendations for the treatment of HIV in neonates using the following medications (see the <u>Pediatric Antiretroviral Guidelines</u>):

- From birth in term and preterm newborns: <u>ZDV</u>, <u>3TC</u>, <u>NVP</u>
- From birth in term newborns: emtricitabine, RAL
- From age 2 weeks in term newborns: <u>LPV/r</u>

Dosing recommendations for *premature* newborns are available for ZDV, 3TC, and NVP only. Neonatal dosing advice, including dosing advice for premature newborns, is summarized in Table 7. For more detailed information about neonatal dosing recommendations and considerations when using these drugs, please see the Pediatric Antiretroviral Guidelines.

### Newborns of Mothers Who Receive an HIV Diagnosis while Breastfeeding

Women with suspected HIV (e.g., a positive initial screening test) should stop breastfeeding until HIV is ruled out. Pumping and temporarily discarding or freezing breast milk can be recommended to mothers who are suspected of having HIV but whose HIV serostatus is not yet confirmed and who want to continue to breastfeed. If HIV is ruled out, breastfeeding can resume. Breastfeeding <u>is not recommended</u> for women with confirmed HIV in the United States, including those receiving ART (see <u>Counseling and Managing</u> Women Living with HIV in the United States Who Desire to Breastfeed).<sup>52</sup>

The risk of HIV acquisition associated with breastfeeding depends on multiple newborn and maternal factors, including maternal viral load and CD4 T lymphocyte (CD4) cell count.<sup>53</sup> Newborns of women who develop acute HIV while breastfeeding are at greater risk of acquiring HIV than those whose mothers have chronic HIV infection,<sup>54</sup> because acute HIV infection is accompanied by a rapid increase in viral load and a corresponding decrease in CD4 count.<sup>55</sup>

Other than discontinuing breastfeeding, optimal strategies for managing a newborn who was breastfed by a mother with HIV (often because the mother just learned of her own HIV diagnosis) have yet to be defined. Some Panel members would consider the use of post-exposure prophylaxis in newborns for 4 to 6 weeks after cessation of breastfeeding. Post-exposure prophylaxis, however, is less likely to be effective in this circumstance than with other non-occupational exposures, because the exposure to breast milk is likely to have occurred over a prolonged period rather than during a single exposure to the virus.<sup>56</sup>

Several studies of newborns who were breastfed by women with chronic HIV infection in low-resource settings have shown that daily newborn NVP, 3TC, LPV/r, or NVP plus ZDV can reduce the risk of postnatal infection during breastfeeding. <sup>57-61</sup> No trials have evaluated the use of multidrug-regimens to prevent transmission after cessation of breastfeeding in mothers with acute HIV infection.

Given the higher risk of postnatal transmission from a breastfeeding woman with acute HIV infection, an alternative approach favored by some Panel members is to offer presumptive HIV therapy until the infant's HIV status can be determined. If the infant's initial HIV NAT is negative, the optimal duration of presumptive HIV therapy is unknown. A 28-day course may be reasonable based on current recommendations for non-occupational HIV exposure. When making decisions about ARV management, clinicians should consult a pediatric HIV specialist and counsel the parents on the potential risks and benefits of a particular treatment strategy. The National Perinatal HIV Hotline (1-888-448-8765) can provide referrals to local or regional pediatric HIV specialists.

Newborns exposed to HIV during breastfeeding should be tested for HIV infection prior to initiating presumptive HIV therapy, as well as 4 to 6 weeks and 4 to 6 months after diagnosis of maternal HIV infection and cessation of breastfeeding. An additional virologic test should be performed 2 to 4 weeks after discontinuing presumptive HIV therapy (see <u>Diagnosis of HIV Infection in Infants and Children</u>). If an HIV-exposed newborn is already receiving an ARV prophylaxis regimen other than presumptive HIV therapy and is found to have HIV, prophylaxis should be discontinued and treatment for HIV should be initiated.

Resistance testing should be performed, and the ART regimen should be modified if needed (see the <u>Pediatric</u> Antiretroviral Guidelines).

### Short-Term Antiretroviral Drug Safety

Newborn prophylaxis with ZDV has been associated with only minimal toxicity, primarily transient hematologic toxicity (mainly anemia), which generally resolves by age 12 weeks (see <a href="Initial Postnatal">Initial Postnatal</a> <a href="Management of the Neonate Exposed to HIV">Management of the Neonate Exposed to HIV</a>). Data on toxicities in newborns who were exposed to multiple ARV drugs are limited.

Other than ZDV, 3TC is the NRTI with the most clinical experience for neonatal prophylaxis. In early studies, neonatal exposure to combination ZDV/3TC was generally limited to 1<sup>17,62,63</sup> or 2 weeks.<sup>5</sup> Six weeks of ZDV/3TC exposure in newborns has also been reported. These studies suggest that hematologic toxicity may be greater with ZDV/3TC than with ZDV alone, although the newborns in these studies also had *in utero* exposure to maternal HIV therapy that may have contributed to the toxicity.

In a French study, more cases of severe anemia and neutropenia were observed in newborns who were exposed to 6 weeks of ZDV/3TC for prophylaxis plus maternal antepartum ZDV/3TC than in a historical cohort of newborns who were exposed only to maternal and newborn ZDV. Anemia was reported in 15% of newborns and neutropenia was reported in 18% of newborns who were exposed to ZDV/3TC, with 2% of newborns requiring blood transfusion and 4% requiring treatment discontinuation for toxicity. 64 Similarly, in a Brazilian study of maternal antepartum ZDV/3TC and 6-week newborn ZDV/3TC prophylaxis, neonatal hematologic toxicity was common, with anemia seen in 69% and neutropenia seen in 13% of newborns. 65

Experience with other NRTI drugs for neonatal prophylaxis is more limited. 66,67 Hematologic and mitochondrial toxicity may be more common with exposure to multiple NRTI drugs than with exposure to a single NRTI. 64,68-71

In rare cases, chronic multiple-dose NVP prophylaxis in pregnant women has been associated with severe and potentially life-threatening rash and hepatic toxicity. These toxicities have not been observed in newborns receiving prophylactic dosing with single-dose NVP or the two-drug ZDV regimen plus three doses of NVP in the first week of life used in NICHD-HPTN 040/PACTG 1043, or in breastfeeding newborns receiving NVP prophylaxis daily for 6 weeks to 18 months to prevent transmission of HIV via breast milk 5,57-59,61,73

The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) recently approved infant dosing of RAL for term neonates aged ≥37 weeks gestation at birth and weighing ≥2 kg. Dosing information for RAL is not available for preterm or low birthweight infants. Infant RAL dosing needs to be increased at 1 week and 4 weeks of age. RAL is metabolized by uridine diphosphate glucuronosyltransferase (UGT) 1A1, the same enzyme responsible for the elimination of bilirubin. UGT enzyme activity is low at birth, and RAL elimination is prolonged in neonates. In addition, bilirubin and RAL may compete for albumin binding sites, and extremely elevated neonatal plasma RAL concentrations could pose a risk of kernicterus. HIVAACT P1110 is a Phase 1, multicenter trial that enrolled full-term neonates who were exposed to HIV and who were at risk of acquiring perinatal HIV-1 infection, with or without *in utero* RAL exposure. Daily RAL was safe and well tolerated during the first 6 weeks of life. Infants were treated for ≤6 weeks from birth and followed for 24 weeks. There were no drug-related clinical adverse reactions, and only three laboratory adverse reactions were observed: one case of Grade 4 transient neutropenia in an infant receiving a ZDV-containing regimen; and two cases of bilirubin elevations (one Grade 1 and one Grade 2) that were considered nonserious and did not require specific therapy (see the Raltegravir section of the Pediatric Antiretroviral Guidelines for additional information).

The safety and PK data on daily dosing from P1110 are from RAL-naive infants whose mothers did not receive RAL; data collection from infants born to mothers who were receiving RAL is ongoing. However, the FDA currently recommends delaying the first dose of RAL in infants for 24 to 48 hours after birth if the mother received RAL 2 to 24 hours before delivery, and the Panel believes that this recommendation is reasonable based on current data about clearance of the drug in RAL-exposed infants.

Of the protease inhibitors, pediatric drug formulations are available for LPV/r, ritonavir (RTV), darunavir, tipranavir, and fosamprenavir; however, the use of these drugs in neonates during the first weeks of life <u>is</u> <u>not recommended</u> given the lack of dosing and safety information. In addition, LPV/r oral solution contains 42.4% alcohol and 15.3% propylene glycol. The enzymes that metabolize these compounds are immature in neonates, particularly preterm newborns. Four premature newborns (two sets of twins) who initiated LPV/r at birth developed heart block that resolved after drug discontinuation.<sup>76,77</sup> In studies of adults, both RTV and LPV/r caused dose-dependent prolongation of the PR interval, and cases of significant heart block, including complete heart block, have been reported.

Elevation of 17-hydroxyprogesterone and dehydroepiandrosterone-sulfate has also been associated with administering LPV/r during the neonatal period, an association not found with ZDV. Levels of 17-hydroxyprogesterone were greater in newborns who were also exposed to LPV/r *in utero* than in those exposed only during the neonatal period. Term newborns were asymptomatic, but three premature newborns experienced life-threatening symptoms compatible with adrenal insufficiency, including hyponatremia and hyperkalemia with, in one case, cardiogenic shock.<sup>78</sup>

On the basis of these and other post-marketing reports of cardiac toxicity (including complete atrioventricular block, bradycardia, and cardiomyopathy), lactic acidosis, acute renal failure, adrenal dysfunction, central nervous system depression, respiratory complications leading to death, and metabolic toxicity,<sup>79</sup> predominantly in preterm neonates, the FDA now recommends that LPV/r oral solution **not be administered** to neonates before the infant reaches a postmenstrual age (defined as time from the first day of the mother's last menstrual period to birth plus the time elapsed after birth) of ≥42 weeks and a postnatal age of ≥14 days.<sup>80</sup> However, the ANRS 12174 study randomized 1,273 newborns to receive either LPV/r (n = 615) or 3TC (n = 621) as prophylaxis during breastfeeding in women with CD4 counts above the local threshold for treatment at the time. Newborn study prophylaxis was initiated at 7 days of life, and only newborns weighing >2 kg were randomized. The frequency of clinical and biological severe adverse events did not differ between the groups, suggesting that LPV/r is safe to use in term newborns aged 7 days and older.<sup>81</sup> At this time, the Panel **does not recommend** the use of LPV/r before a postmenstrual age of 42 weeks (defined as time from the first day of the mother's last menstrual period to birth plus the time elapsed after birth) and a postnatal age of ≥14 days.

### References

- 1. Wade NA, Birkhead GS, Warren BL, et al. Abbreviated regimens of zidovudine prophylaxis and perinatal transmission of the human immunodeficiency virus. *N Engl J Med*. 1998;339(20):1409-1414. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9811915">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9811915</a>.
- 2. Van Rompay KK, Otsyula MG, Marthas ML, Miller CJ, McChesney MB, Pedersen NC. Immediate zidovudine treatment protects simian immunodeficiency virus-infected newborn macaques against rapid onset of AIDS. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 1995;39(1):125-131. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7695293.
- 3. Tsai CC, Follis KE, Sabo A, et al. Prevention of SIV infection in macaques by (R)-9-(2-phosphonylmethoxypropyl) adenine. *Science*. 1995;270(5239):1197-1199. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7502044">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7502044</a>.
- 4. Bottiger D, Johansson NG, Samuelsson B, et al. Prevention of simian immunodeficiency virus, SIVsm, or HIV-2 infection in cynomolgus monkeys by pre- and postexposure administration of BEA-005. *AIDS*. 1997;11(2):157-162. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9030361.
- 5. Nielsen-Saines K, Watts DH, Veloso VG, et al. Three postpartum antiretroviral regimens to prevent intrapartum HIV infection. *N Engl J Med*. 2012;366(25):2368-2379. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22716975.
- 6. Dunn DT, Brandt CD, Krivine A, et al. The sensitivity of HIV-1 DNA polymerase chain reaction in the neonatal period and the relative contributions of intra-uterine and intra-partum transmission. *AIDS*. 1995;9(9):F7-11. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8527070">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8527070</a>.
- 7. Lommerse J, Clarke D, Kerbusch T, et al. Maternal-neonatal raltegravir population pharmacokinetics modeling: implications for initial neonatal dosing. *CPT Pharmacometrics Syst Pharmacol*. 2019. Available at: https://www.ncbi.

- nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31215170.
- 8. de Ruiter A, Mercey D, Anderson J, et al. British HIV association and children's HIV association guidelines for the management of HIV infection in pregnant women 2008. *HIV Med.* 2008;9(7):452-502. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18840151">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18840151</a>.
- 9. Ferguson W, Goode M, Walsh A, Gavin P, Butler K. Evaluation of 4 weeks' neonatal antiretroviral prophylaxis as a component of a prevention of mother-to-child transmission program in a resource-rich setting. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2011;30(5):408-412. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21266939">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21266939</a>.
- 10. Neubert J, Pfeffer M, Borkhardt A, et al. Risk adapted transmission prophylaxis to prevent vertical HIV-1 transmission: effectiveness and safety of an abbreviated regimen of postnatal oral zidovudine. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2013;13:22. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23347580">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23347580</a>.
- 11. British HIV Association. BHIVA guidelines on the management of HIV in pregnancy and postpartum 2018 (2019 interim update). 2019. Available at: https://www.bhiva.org/pregnancy-guidelines.
- 12. Lahoz R, Noguera A, Rovira N, et al. Antiretroviral-related hematologic short-term toxicity in healthy infants: implications of the new neonatal 4-week zidovudine regimen. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2010;29(4):376-379. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19949355.
- 13. Nguyen TTT, Kobbe R, Schulze-Sturm U, et al. Reducing hematologic toxicity with short course postexposure prophylaxis with zidovudine for HIV-1 exposed infants with low transmission risk. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2019;38(7):727-730. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31033907">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31033907</a>.
- 14. Mofenson LM, Lambert JS, Stiehm ER, et al. Risk factors for perinatal transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 in women treated with zidovudine. Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group Study 185 Team. *N Engl J Med*. 1999;341(6):385-393. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10432323">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10432323</a>.
- 15. Garcia PM, Kalish LA, Pitt J, et al. Maternal levels of plasma human immunodeficiency virus type 1 RNA and the risk of perinatal transmission. Women and infants transmission study group. *N Engl J Med.* 1999;341(6):394-402. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10432324.
- 16. Cooper ER, Charurat M, Mofenson L, et al. Combination antiretroviral strategies for the treatment of pregnant HIV-1-infected women and prevention of perinatal HIV-1 transmission. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2002;29(5):484-494. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11981365">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11981365</a>.
- 17. Petra Study Team. Efficacy of three short-course regimens of zidovudine and lamivudine in preventing early and late transmission of HIV-1 from mother to child in Tanzania, South Africa, and Uganda (Petra study): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2002;359(9313):1178-1186. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11955535">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11955535</a>.
- 18. Lallemant M, Jourdain G, Le Coeur S, et al. A trial of shortened zidovudine regimens to prevent mother-to-child transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1. Perinatal HIV Prevention Trial (Thailand) Investigators. *N Engl J Med*. 2000;343(14):982-991. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11018164">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11018164</a>.
- 19. Persaud D, Ray SC, Kajdas J, et al. Slow human immunodeficiency virus type 1 evolution in viral reservoirs in infants treated with effective antiretroviral therapy. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses*. 2007;23(3):381-390. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17411371">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17411371</a>.
- 20. Luzuriaga K, Tabak B, Garber M, et al. HIV type 1 (HIV-1) proviral reservoirs decay continuously under sustained virologic control in HIV-1-infected children who received early treatment. *J Infect Dis.* 2014;210(10):1529-1538. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24850788.
- 21. Persaud D, Patel K, Karalius B, et al. Influence of age at virologic control on peripheral blood human immunodeficiency virus reservoir size and serostatus in perinatally infected adolescents. *JAMA Pediatrics*. 2014;168(12):1138-1146. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25286283.
- 22. Rainwater-Lovett K, Ziemniak C, Watson D, et al. Paucity of intact non-induced provirus with early, long-term antiretroviral therapy of perinatal HIV infection. *PLoS One*. 2017;12(2):e0170548. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28178277">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28178277</a>.

- 23. Rocca S, Zangari P, Cotugno N, et al. Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-antibody repertoire estimates reservoir size and time of antiretroviral therapy initiation in virally suppressed perinatally HIV-infected children. J Pediatric Infect Dis Soc. 2018. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30169837.
- 24. Shiau S, Abrams EJ, Arpadi SM, Kuhn L. Early antiretroviral therapy in HIV-infected infants: can it lead to HIV remission? Lancet HIV. 2018;5(5):e250-e258. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29739699.
- 25. Persaud D, Gaye H, et al. Absence of detectable HIV-1 viremia following treatment cessation in an infant. N Engl J Med. 2013;369(19):1828-35. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24152233
- Butler KM, Gavin P, Coughlan S, et al. Rapid viral rebound after 4 years of suppressive therapy in a seronegative HIV-1 infected infant treated from birth. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2014. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/25251719.
- 27. Violari A, Cotton MF, Kuhn L, et al. A child with perinatal HIV infection and long-term sustained virological control following antiretroviral treatment cessation. Nat Commun. 2019;10(1):412. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/30679439.
- Bitnun A, Samson L, Chun TW, et al. Early initiation of combination antiretroviral therapy in HIV-1-infected newborns can achieve sustained virologic suppression with low frequency of CD4+ T cells carrying HIV in peripheral blood. Clin Infect Dis. 2014;59(7):1012-1019. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24917662.
- Kakkar FW, Samson L, Vaudry W, et al. Safety of combination antiretroviral prophylaxis in high-risk HIV-exposed newborns: a retrospective review of the Canadian experience. J Int AIDS Soc. 2016;19(1):20520. Available at: http:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26880241.
- Persaud D, Chadwick E, Tierney C, et al. Virologic response to very early ART in neonates with in utero HIV: IMPAACT P115, Abstract 799, Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections, 2019, Seattle, Washington, Available at: http://www.croiconference.org/sessions/virologic-response-very-early-art-neonates-utero-hivimpaact-p1115.
- 31. Ruel T, Hazra R, Jean-Philippe P, et al. Outcomes of neonates with rapid hiv treatment in us: treating infants early study. Abstract 802. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2019. Seattle, Washington. Available at: https://www.croiconference.org/sessions/outcomes-neonates-rapid-hiv-treatment-us-treating-infants-earlystudy.
- 32. Shapiro R, Bennett K, Hughes M, et al. Safety and efficacy of starting antiretroviral therapy in the first week of life. Abstract 826. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2019. Seattle, Washington. Available at: https://www.croiconference.org/sessions/safety-and-efficacy-starting-antiretroviral-therapy-first-week-life.
- 33. Anugulruengkitt S, Suntarattiwong P, Ounchanum P, et al. Safety of 6-week neonatal triple-combination antiretroviral postexposure prophylaxis in high-risk HIV-exposed infants. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2019;38(10):1045-1050. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31365477.
- 34. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Updated guidelines for antiretroviral postexposure prophylaxis after sexual, injection drug use, or other nonoccupational exposure to HIV—United States. 2016. Available at: http://www.cdc.gov/ hiv/pdf/programresources/cdc-hiv-npep-guidelines.pdf...
- 35. Kuhar DT, Henderson DK, Struble KA, et al. Updated US Public Health Service guidelines for the management of occupational exposures to human immunodeficiency virus and recommendations for postexposure prophylaxis. *Infect* Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2013;34(9):875-892. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23917901.
- 36. Lau E, Brophy J, Samson L, et al. Nevirapine pharmacokinetics and safety in neonates receiving combination antiretroviral therapy for prevention of vertical HIV transmission. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2017;74(5):493-498. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28114187.
- 37. Cressey TR, Punyawudho B, Le Coeur S, et al. Assessment of nevirapine prophylactic and therapeutic dosing regimens for neonates. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2017;75(5):554-560. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/28489732.
- Clarke DF, Acosta EP, Rizk ML, et al. Raltegravir pharmacokinetics in neonates following maternal dosing. J Acquir

- Immune Defic Syndr, 2014;67(3):310-315. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25162819.
- 39. Clarke DF, Wong RJ, Wenning L, Stevenson DK, Mirochnick M. Raltegravir in vitro effect on bilirubin binding. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2013;32(9):978-980. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23470680.
- Clarke DF, Penazzato M, Capparelli E, et al. Prevention and treatment of HIV infection in neonates: evidence base for existing WHO dosing recommendations and implementation considerations. Expert Rev Clin Pharmacol. 2018;11(1):83-93. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29039686">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29039686</a>.
- 41. Mirochnick M, Nielsen-Saines K, Pilotto JH, et al. Nelfinavir and lamivudine pharmacokinetics during the first two weeks of life. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2011;30(9):769-772. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21666540.
- Mandelbrot L, Tubiana R, Le Chenadec J, et al. No perinatal HIV-1 transmission from women with effective antiretroviral therapy starting before conception. Clin Infect Dis. 2015. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/26197844.
- 43. Townsend CL, Byrne L, Cortina-Borja M, et al. Earlier initiation of ART and further decline in mother-to-child HIV transmission rates, 2000-2011. AIDS. 2014;28(7):1049-1057. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/24566097.
- 44. American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP). Breastfeeding and the use of human milk, 2012. Available at: http://www. pediatrics.org/cgi/doi/10.1542/peds.2011-3552.
- 45. Bauer GR, Colgrove RC, Larussa PS, Pitt J, Welles SL. Antiretroviral resistance in viral isolates from HIV-1transmitting mothers and their infants. AIDS. 2006;20(13):1707-1712. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/16931934.
- 46. De Jose MI, Ramos JT, Alvarez S, Jimenez JL, Munoz-Fernandez MA. Vertical transmission of HIV-1 variants resistant to reverse transcriptase and protease inhibitors. Arch Intern Med. 2001;161(22):2738-2739. Available at: http://www. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11732941.
- 47. Desai N, Mathur M. Selective transmission of multidrug resistant HIV to a newborn related to poor maternal adherence. Sex Transm Infect. 2003;79(5):419-421. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14573842.
- Cohan D, Feakins C, Wara D, et al. Perinatal transmission of multidrug-resistant HIV-1 despite viral suppression on an enfuvirtide-based treatment regimen. AIDS. 2005;19(9):989-990. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/15905684.
- 49. Fogel J, Li Q, Taha TE, et al. Initiation of antiretroviral treatment in women after delivery can induce multiclass drug resistance in breastfeeding HIV-infected infants. Clin Infect Dis. 2011;52(8):1069-1076. Available at: http://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21460326.
- Zeh C, Weidle PJ, Nafisa L, et al. HIV-1 drug resistance emergence among breastfeeding infants born to HIV-infected mothers during a single-arm trial of triple-antiretroviral prophylaxis for prevention of mother-to-child transmission: a secondary analysis. PLoS Med. 2011;8(3):e1000430. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21468304.
- 51. Yeganeh N, Kerin T, Ank B, et al. Human immunodeficiency vrus antiretroviral resistance and transmission in motherinfant pairs enrolled in a large perinatal study. Clin Infect Dis. 2018;66(11):1770-1777. Available at: https://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29272365.
- 52. Committee On Pediatric AIDS. Infant feeding and transmission of human immunodeficiency virus in the United States. Pediatrics. 2013;131(2):391-396. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23359577.
- 53. Kuhn L, Reitz C, Abrams EJ. Breastfeeding and AIDS in the developing world. Curr Opin Pediatr. 2009;21(1):83-93. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19242244.
- Van de Perre P, Lepage P, Homsy J, Dabis F. Mother-to-infant transmission of human immunodeficiency virus by breast milk: presumed innocent or presumed guilty? Clin Infect Dis. 1992;15(3):502-507. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/pubmed/1445596.
- Daar ES. Virology and immunology of acute HIV type 1 infection. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses. 1998;14 Suppl 3:S229-234. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9814948.

- 56. Smith DK, Grohskopf LA, Black RJ, et al. Antiretroviral postexposure prophylaxis after sexual, injection-drug use, or other nonoccupational exposure to HIV in the United States: recommendations from the U.S. department of health and human services. *MMWR Recomm Rep.* 2005;54(RR-2):1-20. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15660015">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15660015</a>.
- 57. Six Week Extended-Dose Nevirapine Study Team, Bedri A, Gudetta B, et al. Extended-dose nevirapine to 6 weeks of age for infants to prevent HIV transmission via breastfeeding in Ethiopia, India, and Uganda: an analysis of three randomised controlled trials. *Lancet*. 2008;372(9635):300-313. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18657709">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18657709</a>.
- 58. Kumwenda NI, Hoover DR, Mofenson LM, et al. Extended antiretroviral prophylaxis to reduce breast-milk HIV-1 transmission. *N Engl J Med*. 2008;359(2):119-129. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18525035">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18525035</a>.
- 59. Chasela CS, Hudgens MG, Jamieson DJ, et al. Maternal or infant antiretroviral drugs to reduce HIV-1 transmission. *N Engl J Med.* 2010;362(24):2271-2281. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20554982">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20554982</a>.
- 60. Kilewo C, Karlsson K, Massawe A, et al. Prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 through breast-feeding by treating infants prophylactically with lamivudine in Dar es Salaam, Tanzania: the Mitra Study. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2008;48(3):315-323. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18344879.
- 61. Taha T, Flynn P, Cababasay M, et al. Comparing maternal triple antiretrovirals (mART) and infant nevirapine (iNVP) prophylaxis for the prevention of mother to child transmission (MTCT) of HIV during breastfeeding (Bf). 21st International AIDS Conference. 2016. Durban, SA.
- 62. Moodley J, Moodley D, Pillay K, et al. Pharmacokinetics and antiretroviral activity of lamivudine alone or when coadministered with zidovudine in human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected pregnant women and their offspring. *J Infect Dis.* 1998;178(5):1327-1333. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9780252.
- 63. Moodley D, Moodley J, Coovadia H, et al. A multicenter randomized controlled trial of nevirapine versus a combination of zidovudine and lamivudine to reduce intrapartum and early postpartum mother-to-child transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1. *J Infect Dis.* 2003;187(5):725-735. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12599045">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12599045</a>.
- 64. Mandelbrot L, Landreau-Mascaro A, Rekacewicz C, et al. Lamivudine-zidovudine combination for prevention of maternal-infant transmission of HIV-1. *JAMA*. 2001;285(16):2083-2093. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11311097">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11311097</a>.
- 65. Lambert JS, Nogueira SA, Abreu T, et al. A pilot study to evaluate the safety and feasibility of the administration of AZT/3TC fixed dose combination to HIV infected pregnant women and their infants in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. *Sex Transm Infect*. 2003;79(6):448-452. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14663118">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14663118</a>.
- 66. Gray G, Violari A, McIntyre J, et al. Antiviral activity of nucleoside analogues during short-course monotherapy or dual therapy: its role in preventing HIV infection in infants. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2006;42(2):169-176. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16639342">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16639342</a>.
- 67. Rongkavilit C, van Heeswijk RP, Limpongsanurak S, et al. Dose-escalating study of the safety and pharmacokinetics of nelfinavir in HIV-exposed neonates. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2002;29(5):455-463. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11981361">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11981361</a>.
- 68. Torres SM, Walker DM, Carter MM, et al. Mutagenicity of zidovudine, lamivudine, and abacavir following in vitro exposure of human lymphoblastoid cells or *in utero* exposure of CD-1 mice to single agents or drug combinations. *Environ Mol Mutagen*. 2007;48(3-4):224-238. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17358033.
- 69. Le Chenadec J, Mayaux MJ, Guihenneuc-Jouyaux C, Blanche S, Enquete Perinatale Francaise Study Group. Perinatal antiretroviral treatment and hematopoiesis in HIV-uninfected infants. *AIDS*. 2003;17(14):2053-2061. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14502008.
- 70. Pacheco SE, McIntosh K, Lu M, et al. Effect of perinatal antiretroviral drug exposure on hematologic values in HIV-uninfected children: An analysis of the women and infants transmission study. *J Infect Dis.* 2006;194(8):1089-1097. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16991083.

- 71. Feiterna-Sperling C, Weizsaecker K, Buhrer C, et al. Hematologic effects of maternal antiretroviral therapy and transmission prophylaxis in HIV-1-exposed uninfected newborn infants. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2007;45(1):43-51. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17356471.
- 72. Hitti J, Frenkel LM, Stek AM, et al. Maternal toxicity with continuous nevirapine in pregnancy: results from PACTG 1022. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2004;36(3):772-776. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15213559">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15213559</a>.
- 73. Coovadia HM, Brown ER, Fowler MG, et al. Efficacy and safety of an extended nevirapine regimen in infant children of breastfeeding mothers with HIV-1 infection for prevention of postnatal HIV-1 transmission (HPTN 046): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2012;379(9812):221-228. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22196945">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22196945</a>.
- 74. Clarke DF, Wong RJ, Wenning L, Stephenson DK, Mirochnick M. Raltegravir In vitro effect on bilirubin binding. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2013;32(9):978-80. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23470680">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23470680</a>.
- 75. Raltegravir [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2018. Available at: <a href="https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda">https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda</a> docs/label/2018/022145s038,205786s007,0203045s015lbl.pdf
- 76. Lopriore E, Rozendaal L, Gelinck LB, Bokenkamp R, Boelen CC, Walther FJ. Twins with cardiomyopathy and complete heart block born to an HIV-infected mother treated with HAART. *AIDS*. 2007;21(18):2564-2565. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18025905.
- 77. McArthur MA, Kalu SU, Foulks AR, Aly AM, Jain SK, Patel JA. Twin preterm neonates with cardiac toxicity related to lopinavir/ritonavir therapy. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2009;28(12):1127-1129. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19820426">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19820426</a>.
- 78. Simon A, Warszawski J, Kariyawasam D, et al. Association of prenatal and postnatal exposure to lopinavir-ritonavir and adrenal dysfunction among uninfected infants of HIV-infected mothers. *JAMA*. 2011;306(1):70-78. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21730243">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21730243</a>.
- 79. Boxwell D, Cao K, Lewis L, Marcus K, Nikhar B. Neonatal toxicity of Kaletra oral solution: LPV, ethanol or prophylene glycol? Presented at: 18th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2011. Boston, MA.
- 80. Food and Drug Administration. FDA drug safety communication: serious health problems seen in premature babies given kaletra (lopinavir/ritonavir) oral solution. 2011. Available at: <a href="http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/DrugSafety/ucm246002.htm">http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/DrugSafety/ucm246002.htm</a>.
- 81. Nagot N, Kankasa C, Tumwine JK, et al. Extended pre-exposure prophylaxis with lopinavir-ritonavir versus lamivudine to prevent HIV-1 transmission through breastfeeding up to 50 weeks in infants in Africa (ANRS 12174): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2016;387(10018):566-573. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26603917.

# Diagnosis of HIV Infection in Infants and Children (Last updated December 24, 2019; last reviewed December 24, 2019)

#### **Panel's Recommendations**

- Virologic assays (i.e., HIV RNA or HIV DNA nucleic acid tests [NATs]) that directly detect HIV must be used to diagnose HIV in infants and children aged <18 months with perinatal and postnatal HIV exposure; HIV antibody tests should not be used (AII).
- · HIV RNA or HIV DNA NATs are generally equally recommended (AII).
- An assay that detects HIV non-B subtype viruses or Group O infections (e.g., an HIV RNA NAT or a dual-target total DNA/RNA test) is
  recommended for use in infants and children who were born to mothers with known or suspected non-B subtype virus or Group O infections
  (AII). If a mother of an infant acquired HIV outside of the United States and has had repeated undetectable HIV RNA by standard testing,
  consultation with a clinical virologist on more sensitive HIV nucleic acid testing may be indicated.
- Virologic diagnostic testing (see Figure 1 and 2) is recommended for all infants with perinatal HIV exposure at the following ages:
  - 14 to 21 days (AII)
  - 1 to 2 months (AII)
  - 4 to 6 months (AII)
- For infants who are at higher risk of perinatal HIV transmission, additional virologic diagnostic testing is recommended at birth (AII) and at 2 to 6 weeks after cessation of antiretroviral prophylaxis (BII).
- · A positive virologic test should be confirmed as soon as possible by repeat virologic testing (AII).
- Definitive exclusion of HIV infection in nonbreastfed infants is based on two or more negative virologic tests, with one obtained at age ≥1
  month and one at age ≥4 months, or two negative HIV antibody tests from separate specimens that were obtained at age ≥6 months (AII).
- Some experts confirm the absence of HIV at age 12 to 18 months in children with prior negative virologic tests by performing an HIV antibody test to document loss of maternal HIV antibodies (BIII).
- Since children aged 18 to 24 months with perinatal HIV exposure occasionally have residual maternal HIV antibodies, definitive exclusion or confirmation of HIV infection in children in this age group who remain HIV antibody-positive should be based on an HIV NAT and antibody retesting at 24 months (AII).
- Diagnostic testing in children with nonperinatal exposure only or in children with perinatal exposure aged >24 months relies primarily on the
  use of HIV antibody (or antigen/antibody) tests.
- When acute HIV infection is suspected, additional testing with an HIV NAT may be necessary to diagnose HIV infection (AII).

**Note:** The <u>National Clinician Consultation Center</u> provides consultations on issues related to the management of perinatal HIV infection (1-888-448-8765; 24 hours a day, 7 days a week).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials in children<sup>†</sup> with clinical outcomes and/or validated endpoints; I\* = One or more randomized trials in adults with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints with accompanying data in children<sup>†</sup> from one or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies in children<sup>†</sup> with long-term outcomes; II\* = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational studies in adults with long-term clinical outcomes with accompanying data in children<sup>†</sup> from one or more similar nonrandomized trials or cohort studies with clinical outcome data; III = Expert opinion

† Studies that include children or children and adolescents, but not studies limited to post-pubertal adolescents

## **Diagnosis of HIV in Infants and Children**

HIV can be definitively diagnosed by virologic testing in most nonbreastfed infants with perinatal HIV exposure by age 1 to 2 months, and in virtually all infants with HIV by age 4 to 6 months. Antibody tests, including the newer antigen-antibody combination immunoassays (sometimes referred to as fourth- and fifth-generation tests), do not establish the presence of HIV in infants because of transplacental transfer of maternal HIV antibodies; therefore, a virologic test must be used.<sup>1,2</sup> Positive virologic tests (i.e., nucleic acid tests [NATs]—a class of tests that includes HIV RNA and HIV DNA polymerase chain reaction [PCR] assays and related RNA qualitative or quantitative assays) indicate likely HIV infection. The first test result should

be confirmed as soon as possible by repeat virologic testing, because false-positive results can occur with both RNA and DNA assays.<sup>3</sup> For additional information on the diagnosis of Group M non-subtype B, Group O HIV-1 infections, and HIV-2 infections, see the relevant sections below.

Antigen/antibody combination immunoassays that detect HIV-1/2 antibodies as well as HIV-1 p24 antigen are not recommended for diagnosis of HIV infection in infants. In the first months of life, the antigen component of antigen/antibody tests is less sensitive than an HIV NAT, and antibody tests should not be used for HIV diagnosis in infants and children <18 months of age. <sup>4-6</sup> Children with perinatal HIV exposure who are aged 18 to 24 months occasionally have residual maternal HIV antibodies; definitive confirmation of HIV infection in children in this age group who remain HIV antibody-positive should be based on a NAT and antibody retesting at 24 months (see the section below titled Diagnostic Testing in Children with Perinatal HIV Exposure in Special Situations). Diagnosis in children aged >24 months relies primarily on HIV antibody and antigen/antibody tests (see the section below titled Diagnostic Testing in Children with Nonperinatal HIV Exposure or Children with Perinatal Exposure Aged >24 Months). <sup>1</sup>

An infant who has a positive HIV antibody test but whose mother's HIV status is unknown (see <u>Maternal HIV Testing and Identification of Perinatal HIV Exposure</u>) should be assumed to have been exposed to HIV. The infant should undergo HIV diagnostic testing as described below<sup>7</sup> and receive antiretroviral (ARV) prophylaxis or empiric HIV therapy as soon as possible. For ARV management of newborns who have been exposed to HIV and newborns with HIV infection (including those who do not yet have confirmed infection), see <u>Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection</u>. 8,9

### Timing of Diagnostic Testing in Infants with Perinatal HIV Exposure

Confirmation of HIV infection is based on the results of two positive virologic tests from separate blood samples in infants and children younger than 18 months. Figure 1 and 2 summarize the timing of recommended virologic diagnostic testing for infants based on HIV transmission risk. Infants at higher risk on combination ARV prophylaxis regimens may require testing at additional time points (see Figure 1) compared to infants at low risk of transmission (see Figure 2). The risk of transmission is determined based on whether a mother is receiving antiretroviral therapy (ART) and virally suppressed.

HIV infection can be **presumptively** excluded in nonbreastfed infants with two or more negative virologic tests (one at age  $\ge 2$  weeks and one at age  $\ge 4$  weeks) or one negative virologic test (i.e., negative NAT [RNA or DNA]) at age  $\ge 8$  weeks, or one negative HIV antibody test at age  $\ge 6$  months.<sup>1,7</sup>

**Definitive** exclusion of HIV infection in a nonbreastfed infant is based on two or more negative virologic tests (i.e., negative NATs [RNA or DNA]), one at age  $\geq 1$  month and one at age  $\geq 4$  months, or two negative HIV antibody tests from separate specimens obtained at age  $\geq 6$  months.

For both presumptive and definitive exclusion of HIV infection, a child must have no other laboratory evidence (i.e., no positive virologic test results or low CD4 T lymphocyte [CD4] cell count/percent) or clinical evidence of HIV infection and must not be breastfeeding. Many experts confirm the absence of HIV infection in infants with negative virologic tests by performing an antibody test at age 12 to 18 months to document seroreversion to HIV antibody-negative status.

*Pneumocystis jirovecii* pneumonia (PCP) prophylaxis is recommended for infants with **indeterminate** HIV infection status starting at age 4 to 6 weeks until they are determined to be definitively or presumptively without HIV.<sup>10</sup> Thus, PCP prophylaxis can be avoided or discontinued if HIV infection is presumptively excluded (see <u>Initial Postnatal Management of the Neonate Exposed to HIV</u> and the <u>Pediatric Opportunistic Infection Guidelines</u>).

The case definition for indeterminate HIV infection status is a child who has been exposed to HIV, who is aged <18 months, who was born to a woman living with HIV, and who does not meet the criteria for having HIV infection or for not having acquired HIV. This includes infants who do not meet the minimum

requirement for presumptively uninfected.

### Virologic Testing at Birth for Newborns at Higher Risk of Perinatal HIV Transmission

Virologic testing at birth should be considered for newborns who are at higher risk of perinatal HIV transmission, 11-16 such as infants born to women with HIV who:

- Did not receive prenatal care
- Did not receive antepartum or intrapartum ARV drugs
- Received intrapartum ARV drugs only
- Initiated ART late in pregnancy (late second or third trimester)
- Received a diagnosis of acute HIV infection during pregnancy
- Had detectable HIV viral load close to the time of delivery
- Received combination ARV drugs but did not have sustained viral suppression

Blood samples from the umbilical cord should not be used for diagnostic evaluation because of the potential for contamination with maternal blood.

Prompt diagnosis of infant HIV infection is critical to allow for discontinuing ARV prophylaxis and instituting early ART (see When to Initiate Therapy in Antiretroviral-Naive Children in the Pediatric Antiretroviral Guidelines). Infants who have a positive virologic test result at or before age 48 hours are considered to have early (intrauterine) infection, whereas infants who have a negative virologic test result during the first week of life and subsequently have positive test results are considered to have late (intrapartum) infection. 11,12,17

## Virologic Testing at Age 14 to 21 Days

The diagnostic sensitivity of virologic testing increases rapidly by age 2 weeks,<sup>7</sup> and early identification of infection permits discontinuation of neonatal ARV prophylaxis and initiation of ART (see the Infants Younger than 12 Months section and Table A in When to Initiate Therapy in Antiretroviral-Naive Children in the Pediatric Antiretroviral Guidelines).

## Virologic Testing at Age 1 to 3 Months

Testing performed at age 1 to 2 months is intended to maximize the likelihood of detecting HIV infection in infants. In the HPTN 040 study, 93 of 140 infants with HIV (66.4%) were identified at birth. Infants who received negative test results in the first 7 days of life received an HIV diagnosis when the next diagnostic test was performed at 3 months of age. For infants at higher risk of perinatal HIV transmission, the Panel on Treatment of Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission suggests performing an additional virologic test 2 to 6 weeks after cessation of ARV prophylaxis or empiric HIV therapy (i.e., at age 8–12 weeks), given the increased risk of infection and concern that ARV prophylaxis, particularly combination ARV prophylaxis or empiric HIV therapy, may reduce the sensitivity of testing. In these situations, many experts recommend one test at age 4 to 6 weeks to allow prompt recognition of infants with HIV, with an additional test at 8 to 12 weeks of life (i.e., 2 to 6 weeks after cessation of prophylaxis or empiric HIV therapy) to capture additional cases (see Figure 1). For infants at low risk of transmission, a single test obtained at 1 to 2 months of age may be timed to occur 2 to 4 weeks after cessation of ARV prophylaxis (see Figure 2).

An infant with two negative virologic test results (one at age  $\ge 14$  days and the other at age  $\ge 4$  weeks) or one negative test result at age  $\ge 8$  weeks can be viewed as presumptively HIV uninfected, assuming the child has not had a positive prior virologic test result, laboratory evidence of CD4 immunosuppression, or clinical evidence indicative of HIV infection, and is not breastfed.

### Virologic Testing at Age 4 to 6 Months

Infants with HIV exposure who have had negative virologic assays at age 14 to 21 days and at age 1 to 2 months, who have no clinical evidence of HIV infection, and who are not breastfed should be retested at age 4 to 6 months for definitive exclusion of HIV infection.

# Figure 1. Recommended Virologic Testing Schedules for Infants Who Were Exposed to HIV and Who Are at Higher Risk of Perinatal HIV Transmission

**Higher Risk:** Infants born to mothers with HIV who did not receive prenatal care, did not receive antepartum or intrapartum ARV drugs, received intrapartum ARV drugs only, who initiated ART late in pregnancy (during the late second or third trimester), received a diagnosis of acute HIV infection during pregnancy, or had detectable HIV viral loads close to the time of delivery, including those who received combination ARV drugs and did not have sustained viral suppression.

Age at NAT testing	<b>Birth</b>	14-21 days	1–2 months	2–3 months <sup>a</sup>	4–6 months
--------------------	--------------	------------	------------	-------------------------	------------

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> For higher-risk infants, additional virologic diagnostic testing is recommended at birth and 2 to 6 weeks after cessation of ARV prophylaxis (i.e., at 8–12 weeks of life).

Key: ART = antiretroviral therapy; ARV = antiretroviral; NAT = nucleic acid test

# Figure 2. Recommended Virologic Testing Schedules for Infants Who Were Exposed to HIV and Who Are at Low Risk of Perinatal HIV Transmission

**Low Risk:** Infants born to mothers with HIV who received standard ART during pregnancy and who had sustained viral suppression (usually defined as confirmed HIV RNA level below the lower limits of detection of an ultrasensitive assay) with no concerns related to maternal adherence.

Age at NAT testing 14–21 day	s 1–2 months	4–6 months
------------------------------	--------------	------------

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Test may be timed to occur at least 2 weeks after cessation of ARV prophylaxis.

Key: ART = antiretroviral therapy; ARV = antiretroviral; NAT = nucleic acid test

## Antibody Testing at Age 6 Months and Older

Two or more negative results of HIV antibody tests that were performed in nonbreastfed infants at age ≥6 months can also be used to definitively exclude HIV infection in children with no clinical or virologic laboratory-documented evidence of HIV infection.<sup>20,21</sup>

## Antibody Testing at Age 12 to 18 Months to Document Seroreversion

In cases where an infant or child has not previously received two negative antibody test results, some experts confirm the absence of HIV infection with negative virologic test results by repeating serologic testing between 12 months and 18 months of age to confirm that the maternal HIV antibodies that transferred *in utero* have cleared.<sup>1</sup> In a study from 2012, the median age at seroreversion was 13.9 months.<sup>22</sup> Although the majority of infants who do not have HIV will serorevert by age 15 months to 18 months, there are reports of late seroreversion after 18 months (see below). Factors that might influence the time to seroreversion include maternal disease stage and assay sensitivity.<sup>22-25</sup>

## Diagnostic Testing in Children with Perinatal HIV Exposure in Special Situations

## Late Seroreversion (Aged ≤24 Months)

Nonbreastfed children with perinatal HIV exposure, no other HIV transmission risk factor, and no clinical or virologic laboratory evidence of HIV infection may have residual HIV antibodies up to age 24 months.

These children are called late seroreverters. <sup>22-25</sup> In one study, 14% of children with HIV exposure who did not have HIV seroreverted after age 18 months. <sup>22</sup> More recent data from Thailand associated late seroreversion with the antenatal use of protease inhibitors in pregnant women with HIV. In this study, late seroreversion was also associated with the use of fourth-generation combination antigen/antibody immunoassays. <sup>26</sup> These children may have had positive immunoassay results, but supplemental antibody test results indicated indeterminate HIV status (such as Western blot or immunofluorescence assay [IFA]). In such cases, repeat antibody testing at a later date confirmed seroreversion. Due to the possibility of residual HIV antibodies, virologic testing (i.e., with a NAT) is necessary to definitively exclude or confirm HIV infection in children with perinatal HIV exposure who have a positive HIV antibody (or antigen/antibody) test at age 18 months to 24 months. Virologic testing will distinguish late-seroreverting children who do not have HIV but who have residual antibodies from children who have antibodies due to underlying HIV infection. Antibody retesting at 24 months should also occur after a negative virologic test result.

# Postnatal HIV Infection in Children with Perinatal HIV Exposure and Prior Negative Virologic Test Results for Whom There Are Additional HIV Transmission Risks

In contrast to late seroreverters, in rare situations postnatal HIV infections have been reported in children with HIV exposure who had prior negative HIV virologic test results. This occurs in children who acquire HIV through an additional risk factor after completion of testing (see Diagnostic Testing in Children with Nonperinatal HIV Exposure or Children with Perinatal Exposure Aged >24 Months below).

# Suspicion of HIV-2 or Non-Subtype B HIV-1 Infections with False-Negative Virologic Test Results

Children with non-subtype B HIV-1 and children with HIV-2 may have false-negative virologic tests but persistent positive immunoassay results and indeterminate HIV-1 Western blot results.<sup>27-29</sup> The diagnostic approach in these situations is discussed below in the sections on Virologic Assays to Diagnose Group M Non-Subtype B and Group O HIV-1 Infections and on Virologic Assays to Diagnose HIV-2 Infections.

# Diagnostic Testing in Children with Nonperinatal HIV Exposure or Children with Perinatal HIV Exposure Aged >24 Months

## **Breastfeeding**

Women with HIV should be encouraged to avoid breastfeeding. Monitoring of infants born to women with HIV who opt to breastfeed after comprehensive counseling should include immediate HIV diagnostic virologic testing with a NAT at standard time points (see Figure 1). Many experts then recommend testing every 3 months throughout breastfeeding, followed by monitoring at 4 weeks to 6 weeks, 3 months, and 6 months after cessation of breastfeeding. Clinicians caring for a woman with HIV who is considering breastfeeding should consult with an expert and, if necessary, the Perinatal HIV Hotline (1-888-448-8765). See Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection and Counseling and Managing Women Living with HIV in the United States Who Desire to Breastfeed. 30-32

### **Premastication**

Receipt of solid food that has been premasticated or prewarmed (in the mouth) by a caregiver with HIV is associated with risk of HIV transmission.<sup>33-38</sup> If this occurs in children with perinatal HIV exposure aged ≤24 months with prior negative virologic tests, it will be necessary for such children to undergo virologic diagnostic testing, as they may have residual maternal HIV antibodies (see Diagnostic Testing in Children with Perinatal HIV Exposure in Special Situations above).

## Additional Routes of HIV Transmission

Additional routes of HIV transmission in children include sexual abuse, receipt of contaminated blood products, and needlestick with contaminated needles. In such cases, maternal HIV status may be negative. If Recommendations for the Use of Antiretroviral Drugs in Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Interventions to Reduce Perinatal HIV Transmission in the United States

the mother's HIV status is unknown, age-appropriate testing should be performed as described for children with perinatal HIV exposure. Acquisition of HIV in older children is possible through accidental needlestick injuries, sexual transmission, or injection drug use. Medical procedures performed in settings with inadequate infection control practices may pose a potential risk; although tattooing or body piercing presents a potential risk of HIV transmission, no reported cases of HIV transmission from these activities have been documented.<sup>39</sup>

## Diagnostic Testing

Diagnosis of HIV-1 infection in infants and children with nonperinatal HIV exposure only or children with perinatal HIV exposure who are aged >24 months relies primarily on HIV antibody and antigen/antibody tests. <sup>1,40</sup> Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved diagnostic tests include:

- Antigen/antibody combination immunoassays, which detect HIV-1/2 antibodies as well as HIV-1 p24 antigen. These tests are recommended for initial testing to screen for established infection with HIV-1 or HIV-2 and for acute HIV-1 infection. However, p24 antigen from HIV-1 non-B strains, HIV-1 non-M strains, and HIV-2 strains may not be detected.<sup>41</sup>
- HIV-1/HIV-2 antibody differentiation immunoassay, which differentiates HIV-1 antibodies from HIV-2 antibodies. This immunoassay is recommended for supplemental testing.
- HIV-1 NAT. A NAT is always indicated as an additional test to diagnose acute HIV infection.
- HIV-1 Western blot and HIV-1 indirect IFAs (first-generation tests). These tests are alternatives for supplemental testing, but they will not detect HIV during acute infection. These tests are rarely performed and <a href="mailto:not recommended">not recommended</a> by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) for HIV screening in the United States.

Diagnosis of HIV-2 in children with nonperinatal exposure only or children with perinatal exposure aged >24 months relies on the 2014 CDC/Association of Public Health Laboratories laboratory testing guidelines. These guidelines recommend using an HIV-1/HIV-2 antibody differentiation immunoassay that distinguishes between HIV-1 and HIV-2 antibodies for supplemental testing. When used as a supplemental test, the results of the HIV-1 Western blot are more ambiguous than those of the HIV-1/HIV-2 antibody differentiation immunoassay; >60% of individuals with HIV-2 are misclassified as having HIV-1 by the HIV-1 Western blot. All HIV-2 cases should be reported to the HIV surveillance program of the state or local health department; additional HIV-2 DNA PCR testing can be arranged by a local public health laboratory or by CDC if an HIV-1/HIV-2 antibody differentiation immunoassay is inconclusive. HIV-2 DNA PCR testing may be necessary for definitive diagnosis, although this assay is not commercially available. All-43,44

# Virologic Assays to Diagnose HIV in Infants Younger Than 18 Months with Perinatal HIV-1 Exposure

## HIV RNA Assays

HIV quantitative RNA assays detect extracellular viral RNA in plasma. Their specificity has been shown to be 100% at birth and at ages 1 month, 3 months, and 6 months and is comparable to the specificity of HIV DNA PCR.<sup>19</sup> Results of quantitative assays that show HIV RNA levels <5,000 copies/mL may not be reproducible, and the test should be repeated before these results are interpreted as documentation of HIV infection in an infant.<sup>45,46</sup> Testing at birth will detect HIV RNA in infants who acquire HIV *in utero* and not in those who acquire HIV from exposure during delivery or immediately prior to delivery (i.e., during the intrapartum period). Studies have shown that HIV RNA assays identify 25% to 58% of infants with HIV infection from birth through the first week of life, 89% at age 1 month, and 90% to 100% by age 2 months to 3 months. These results are similar to the results of HIV DNA PCR for early diagnosis of HIV.<sup>3,7,19,47</sup>

HIV RNA undergoes reverse transcription in the cytoplasm to double-stranded DNA, which persists in the

nucleus of an infected cell. The sensitivity of HIV RNA assays are affected by maternal antenatal ART or infant combination ARV prophylaxis. <sup>48</sup> In one study, the sensitivity of HIV RNA assays were not associated with the type of maternal ART or infant ARV prophylaxis, but HIV RNA levels at 1 month were significantly lower in infants with HIV who were receiving multidrug prophylaxis (n = 9; median HIV RNA 2.5 log<sub>10</sub> copies/mL) than those in infants who were receiving single-drug zidovudine (ZDV) prophylaxis (n = 47; median HIV RNA 5.4 log<sub>10</sub> copies/mL). In contrast, the median HIV RNA levels were high (median HIV RNA 5.6 log<sub>10</sub> copies/mL) by age 3 months in both groups after stopping prophylaxis. <sup>19</sup> Between 2010 and 2016, a significant decline in baseline viremia was noted in South Africa's Early Infant Diagnosis program, with loss of detectability documented among some infants with HIV. This decline may have reflected the administration of various prophylactic regimens during those years, including Option A, Option B, and Option B+, as recommended by the World Health Organization (WHO). <sup>49</sup> Further studies are necessary to evaluate the sensitivity of HIV RNA assays in infants during receipt of multidrug ARV prophylaxis, whose mothers also receive antenatal ART.

An HIV quantitative RNA assay can be used as a confirmatory test for infants who have an initial positive HIV DNA PCR test result. In addition to providing virologic confirmation of infection status, the expense of repeat HIV DNA PCR testing is spared, and an HIV RNA measurement is available to assess baseline viral load. This viral load can also be used to determine HIV genotype and to guide initial ARV treatment in an infant with HIV. HIV RNA assays may be more sensitive than HIV DNA PCR for detecting non-subtype B HIV (see Virologic Assays to Diagnose Group M Non-Subtype B and Group O HIV-1 Infections below).

The HIV qualitative RNA assay (APTIMA HIV-1 RNA Qualitative Assay) is an alternative diagnostic test that can be used for infant testing. It is the only qualitative RNA test that is approved by the FDA. 17,50-53

## HIV DNA PCR and Related Assays

HIV DNA PCR is a sensitive technique that is used to detect intracellular HIV viral DNA in peripheral blood mononuclear cells. The specificity of the HIV DNA PCR is 99.8% at birth and 100% at ages 1 month, 3 months, and 6 months. Studies have shown that HIV DNA PCR assays identify 20% to 55% of infants with HIV infection from birth through the first week of life, with the same caveat as for RNA testing—testing at birth only detects *in utero* HIV infection and not infection in those infants who acquire HIV during the intrapartum period. This percentage increases to >90% by age 2 weeks to 4 weeks and to 100% at ages 3 months and 6 months.<sup>7,17,19,47</sup>

Two studies provided data on diagnostic testing at different time points in infants with confirmed HIV infection, including those who had negative test results at birth (i.e., infants who were considered to have acquired HIV during the intrapartum period). A randomized, international study of 1,684 infants evaluated the efficacy of three different regimens of neonatal prophylaxis that consisted of 6 weeks of ZDV either alone or with two or three other ARV drugs; none of the infants' mothers had received prenatal ARV drugs. Infant testing was performed at birth, 10 to 14 days, 4 to 6 weeks, and 3 and 6 months (no testing was performed between 6 weeks and 3 months). Ninety-three of 140 infants (66.4%) with HIV were identified at birth, and by 4 to 6 weeks of age, 89% of the 140 infants were identified. Of the 47 infants with HIV infection who had negative DNA PCR test results at birth, 68% were identified during the period of neonatal ARV prophylaxis at 4 to 6 weeks; by 3 months, all 47 infants were identified. Data from Thailand in nonbreastfed infants showed that a prophylactic regimen of ZDV plus lamivudine plus nevirapine for 6 weeks was associated with delayed HIV DNA detection. In this cohort, up to 20% of infants who were exposed to HIV had their first positive DNA PCR test result after 2 months of age, prompting the authors to recommend infant testing at 4 months of age, after neonatal prophylaxis had been discontinued for at least 4 to 6 weeks. <sup>54</sup>

A study from Cape Town evaluated the sensitivity of HIV DNA assays within 8 days of life, during and after initiating ART in infants with HIV. The infants had been exposed to a combination of maternal ART *in utero* and ARV drugs for prophylaxis and treatment. The authors noted that one infant had undetectable HIV DNA after 6 days on treatment, another had undetectable HIV DNA after 3 months, and a third had undetectable HIV DNA after 4 months. In seven infants who achieved virologic suppression (defined as a continuous

downward trend in plasma HIV RNA, with <100 copies/mL after 6 months), total HIV DNA continued to decay over 12 months. The authors suggested that rapid decline of HIV-1 RNA and DNA may complicate definitive diagnosis. <sup>55</sup> A dataset of 38,043 infants from the Western Cape province of South Africa who were tested at a median age of 45 days of life showed that infants who received the WHO Option B+ regimen had fewer indeterminate DNA PCR results than infants who were receiving older regimens. These findings should be regarded with a high index of suspicion, since many patients had positive results that were representative of true HIV infections on subsequent samples. These findings point to the need for additional virologic testing to establish definitive diagnosis. <sup>56</sup> Another group of South African investigators reported similar conclusions in a study of a cohort of 5,743 neonates from Johannesburg who were exposed to HIV. <sup>57</sup>

The AMPLICOR® HIV-1 DNA test has been widely used for diagnosis of HIV in infants born to mothers with HIV-1 infection since it was introduced in 1992. However, it is no longer commercially available in the United States. The sensitivity and specificity of noncommercial HIV-1 DNA tests that use individual laboratory reagents may differ from the sensitivity and specificity of an FDA-approved commercial test. The COBAS® AmpliPrep/COBAS® TaqMan® HIV-1 version 2.0 qualitative test (which detects both HIV-1 RNA and proviral DNA in plasma, whole blood, and dried blood spots) may be used for HIV diagnosis in infants, but is not approved by the FDA. 57-59 The sensitivity of these DNA assays may be lower than the sensitivity of RNA assays in children who are not currently being treated with ARV drugs.

These considerations underscore the importance of testing with HIV NATs at 4 months, well after neonatal prophylaxis has stopped, and highlights the utility of antibody retesting at 24 months of life.

### Other Issues

### Virologic Assays to Diagnose Group M Non-Subtype B and Group O HIV-1 Infections

Although HIV-1 Group M subtype B is the predominant viral subtype found in the United States, multiple subtypes and recombinant forms are found in the United States. Recent data from the CDC National HIV Surveillance System showed that the number of foreign-born children with HIV has exceeded the number of U.S.-born children with HIV since 2011, with 65.5% of foreign-born children with HIV being born in sub-Saharan Africa and 14.3% in Eastern Europe. In an evaluation of infants who received a perinatal HIV infection diagnosis in New York State in 2001 and 2002, 16.7% of infants had acquired a non-subtype B strain of HIV, compared with 4.4% of infants born in 1998 and 1999. Among a group of 40 children who visited a pediatric HIV clinic in Rhode Island between 1991 and 2012, 14 (35%) acquired HIV with non-B HIV-1 subtypes. All 14 children were either born outside the United States or their parents were of foreign origin. In an analysis of 1,277 unique sequences collected in Rhode Island from 2004 to 2011, 8.3% were non-B subtypes (including recombinant forms). Twenty-two percent of participants with non-B subtypes formed transmission clusters, including individuals with perinatally acquired infection. In an analysis of 3,895 HIV-1 sequences that were collected between July 2011 and June 2012 in the United States, 5.3% were determined to be non-B subtypes (including recombinant forms).

Evolving immigration patterns may be contributing to local and regional increases in HIV-1 subtype diversity. Non-subtype B viruses predominate in other parts of the world, such as subtype C in regions of Africa and India and subtype CRF01 in much of Southeast Asia. Group O HIV strains are seen in West-Central Africa. Non-subtype B and Group O strains may be seen in countries with links to these geographical regions. The geographical distribution of HIV groups is available at the HIV Sequence Database.

Real-time HIV RNA PCR assays and the qualitative diagnostic RNA assay are better at detecting non-subtype B HIV infection and the less-common Group O strains than older RNA assays.<sup>71-76</sup> (see <u>Clinical and Laboratory Monitoring of Pediatric HIV Infection</u>). The COBAS® AmpliPrep/COBAS® TaqMan® HIV-1 qualitative test (a dual-target DNA/RNA test) can identify non-subtype B and Group O infections.<sup>58,59</sup>

Thus, a real-time PCR assay, qualitative RNA assay, or a dual-target total DNA/RNA test should be used for

infant testing instead of a DNA PCR assay when evaluating an infant born to a mother whose HIV infection is linked to an area that is endemic for non-subtype B HIV or Group O strains, such as Africa or Southeast Asia. Another indication is when initial testing is negative using a HIV DNA PCR test and non-subtype B or Group O perinatal exposure is suspected. Two negative HIV antibody test results obtained at age ≥6 months provide further evidence to definitively rule out HIV infection. Clinicians should consult with an expert in pediatric HIV infection; state or local public health departments or CDC may be able to assist in obtaining referrals for diagnostic testing.

### Virologic Assays to Diagnose HIV-2 Infections

HIV-2 infection is endemic in Angola; Mozambique; West African countries, including Cape Verde, Ivory Coast, the Gambia, Guinea-Bissau, Mali, Mauritania, Nigeria, Sierra Leone, Benin, Burkina Faso, Ghana, Guinea, Liberia, Niger, Nigeria, Sao Tome, Senegal, and Togo; and parts of India.<sup>77-79</sup> Infection is well documented in France and Portugal, which have large numbers of immigrants from these regions.<sup>80,81</sup> HIV-1 and HIV-2 coinfection may occur, but is rarely described outside areas where HIV-2 is endemic. HIV-2 is rare in the United States. Although accurately diagnosing HIV-2 can be difficult, it is clinically important because HIV-2 strains are resistant to several ARV drugs that were developed to suppress HIV-1.<sup>82-84</sup> (See HIV-2 Infection and Pregnancy.)

Infant testing with HIV-2–specific DNA PCR tests should be performed at time points similar to those used for HIV-1 testing when evaluating an infant born to a mother with a known or suspected HIV-2 infection. A mother should be suspected of having HIV-2 if her infection is linked to an area that is endemic for HIV-2 infection or if her HIV test results are suggestive of HIV-2 infection (i.e., the mother has a positive initial HIV 1/2 immunoassay test result, repeatedly indeterminate results on HIV-1 Western blot, and HIV-1 RNA viral loads that are at or below the limit of detection); however, the current recommendation is to use an HIV-1/HIV-2 antibody differentiation immunoassay for supplemental testing, as the results of this test are less ambiguous than the results of the HIV-1 Western blot when it is used as a supplemental test. HIV-2 DNA PCR testing can be arranged by the HIV surveillance program of the state or local health department through their public health laboratory or the CDC, because this assay is not commercially available. Unlinearly available or known exposure to HIV-2.

#### References

- 1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the Association of Public Health Laboratories. Laboratory testing for the diagnosis of HIV infection: updated recommendations. 2014. Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.15620/cdc.23447.
- 2. Donovan M, Palumbo P. Diagnosis of HIV: challenges and strategies for HIV prevention and detection among pregnant women and their infants. *Clin Perinatol*. 2010;37(4):751-763, viii. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21078448">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21078448</a>.
- 3. Read JS, Committee on Pediatric AIDS, American Academy of Pediatrics. Diagnosis of HIV-1 infection in children younger than 18 months in the United States. *Pediatrics*. 2007;120(6):e1547-1562. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18055670">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18055670</a>.
- 4. Tamhane M, Gautney B, Shiu C, et al. Analysis of the optimal cut-point for HIV-p24 antigen testing to diagnose HIV infection in HIV-exposed children from resource-constrained settings. *J Clin Virol*. 2011;50(4):338-341. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21330193">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21330193</a>.
- 5. Wessman MJ, Theilgaard Z, Katzenstein TL. Determination of HIV status of infants born to HIV-infected mothers: a review of the diagnostic methods with special focus on the applicability of p24 antigen testing in developing countries. *Scandinavian J Infect Dis.* 2012;44(3):209-215. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22074445">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22074445</a>.
- 6. Bhowan K, Sherman GG. Performance of the first fourth-generation rapid human immunodeficiency virus test in children. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2013;32(5):486-488. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23190776.
- 7. Havens PL, Mofenson LM, American Academy of Pediatrics Committee on Pediatric AIDS. Evaluation and

- management of the infant exposed to HIV-1 in the United States. *Pediatrics*, 2009;123(1):175-187. Available at: http:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19117880.
- Ferguson W, Goode M, Walsh A, Gavin P, Butler K. Evaluation of 4 weeks' neonatal antiretroviral prophylaxis as a component of a prevention of mother-to-child transmission program in a resource-rich setting. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2011;30(5):408-412. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21266939.
- Sollai S, Noguera-Julian A, Galli L, et al. Strategies for the prevention of mother to child transmission in Western countries: an update. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2015;34(5 Suppl 1):S14-30. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/25894973.
- 10. Panel on Opportunistic Infections in HIV-Exposed and HIV-Infected Children. Guidelines for the prevention and treatment of opportunistic infections in HIV-exposed and HIV-infected children. 2019. Available at http://aidsinfo.nih. gov/contentfiles/lyguidelines/oi guidelines pediatrics.pdf.
- 11. Lilian RR, Kalk E, Technau KG, Sherman GG. Birth diagnosis of HIV infection on infants to reduce infant mortality and monitor for elimination of mother-to-child transmission. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2013. Available at: http://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23574775.
- 12. Jourdain G, Mary JY, Coeur SL, et al. Risk factors for in utero or intrapartum mother-to-child transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 in Thailand. J Infect Dis., 2007;196(11):1629-1636. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/pubmed/18008246.
- 13. Tubiana R, Le Chenadec J, Rouzioux C, et al. Factors associated with mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 despite a maternal viral load <500 copies/ml at delivery; a case-control study nested in the French perinatal cohort (EPF-ANRS CO1). Clin Infect Dis. 2010;50(4):585-596. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20070234.
- 14. Katz IT, Shapiro DE, Tuomala R, Factors associated with lack of viral suppression at delivery. Ann Intern Med. 2015;162(12):874-875. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26075762.
- 15. Momplaisir FM, Brady KA, Fekete T, Thompson DR, Diez Roux A, Yehia BR. Time of HIV diagnosis and engagement in prenatal care impact virologic outcomes of pregnant women with HIV. PLoS One. 2015;10(7):e0132262. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26132142.
- 16. Mandelbrot L, Tubiana R, Le Chenadec J, et al. No perinatal HIV-1 transmission from women with effective antiretroviral therapy starting before conception. Clin Infect Dis. 2015;61(11):1715-1725. Available at: http://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26197844.
- 17. Lilian RR, Kalk E, Bhowan K, et al. Early diagnosis of *in utero* and intrapartum HIV infection in infants prior to 6 weeks of age. J Clin Microbiol. 2012;50(7):2373-2377. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22518871.
- 18. Nielsen-Saines K, Watts DH, Veloso VG, et al. Three postpartum antiretroviral regimens to prevent intrapartum HIV infection. N Engl J Med. 2012;366(25):2368-2379. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22716975.
- Burgard M, Blanche S, Jasseron C, et al. Performance of HIV-1 DNA or HIV-1 RNA tests for early diagnosis of perinatal HIV-1 infection during anti-retroviral prophylaxis. J Pediatr. 2012;160(1):60-66 e61. Available at: http:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21868029.
- 20. Kuhn L, Schramm DB, Shiau S, et al. Young age at start of antiretroviral therapy and negative HIV antibody results in HIV-infected children when suppressed. AIDS, 2015;29(9):1053-1060. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/25870988.
- 21. Payne H, Mkhize N, Otwombe K, et al. Reactivity of routine HIV antibody tests in children who initiated antiretroviral therapy in early infancy as part of the children with HIV early antiretroviral therapy (CHER) trial: a retrospective analysis. Lancet Infect Dis. 2015;15(7):803-809. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26043884.
- 22. Gutierrez M, Ludwig DA, Khan SS, et al. Has highly active antiretroviral therapy increased the time to seroreversion in HIV exposed but uninfected children? Clin Infect Dis. 2012;55(9):1255-1261. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/pubmed/22851494.
- 23. Gulia J, Kumwenda N, Li Q, Taha TE. HIV seroreversion time in HIV-1-uninfected children born to HIV-1-infected mothers in Malawi. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2007;46(3):332-337. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/17786126.

- 24. Alcantara KC, Pereira GA, Albuquerque M, Stefani MM. Seroreversion in children born to HIV-positive and AIDS mothers from Central West Brazil. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 2009;103(6):620-626. Available at: http://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19339030.
- Sohn AH, Thanh TC, Thinh le Q, et al. Failure of human immunodeficiency virus enzyme immunoassay to rule out infection among polymerase chain reaction-negative Vietnamese infants at 12 months of age. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2009;28(4):273-276. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19289981">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19289981</a>.
- 26. Chatpornyorarux S, Maleesatharn A, Rungmaitree S, et al. Delayed seroreversion in HIV-exposed uninfected infants. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2019;38(1):65-69. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30239474.
- Kline NE, Schwarzwald H, Kline MW. False negative DNA polymerase chain reaction in an infant with subtype C human immunodeficiency virus 1 infection. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2002;21(9):885-886. Available at: http://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12380591.
- Zaman MM, Recco RA, Haag R. Infection with non-B subtype HIV type 1 complicates management of established infection in adult patients and diagnosis of infection in newborn infants. Clin Infect Dis. 2002;34(3):417-418. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11774090.
- Obaro SK, Losikoff P, Harwell J, Pugatch D. Failure of serial human immunodeficiency virus type 1 DNA polymerase chain reactions to identify human immunodeficiency virus type 1 clade A/G. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2005;24(2):183-184. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15702052.
- Panel on Treatment of Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission. Recommendations for the use of antiretroviral drugs in pregnant women with HIV infection and interventions to reduce perinatal HIV transmission in the United States. 2019. Available at http://aidsinfo.nih.gov/contentfiles/lyguidelines/ PerinatalGL.pdf.
- 31. Committee On Pediatric AIDS. Infant feeding and transmission of human immunodeficiency virus in the United States. Pediatrics. 2013;131(2):391-396. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23359577.
- King CC, Kourtis AP, Persaud D, et al. Delayed HIV detection among infants exposed to postnatal antiretroviral prophylaxis during breastfeeding. AIDS. 2015;29(15):1953-1961. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/26153671.
- 33. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Premastication of food by caregivers of HIV-exposed children--nine U.S. sites, 2009-2010. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2011;60(9):273-275. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/21389930.
- 34. Gaur AH, Freimanis-Hance L, Dominguez K, et al. Knowledge and practice of prechewing/prewarming food by HIVinfected women, Pediatrics, 2011;127(5):e1206-1211, Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21482608,
- Hafeez S, Salami O, Alvarado M, Maldonado M, Purswani M, Hagmann S. Infant feeding practice of premastication: an anonymous survey among human immunodeficiency virus-infected mothers. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 2011;165(1):92-93. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21199989">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21199989</a>.
- 36. Maritz ER, Kidd M, Cotton MF. Premasticating food for weaning African infants: a possible vehicle for transmission of HIV. Pediatrics. 2011;128(3):e579-590. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21873699.
- 37. Ivy W, 3rd, Dominguez KL, Rakhmanina NY, et al. Premastication as a route of pediatric HIV transmission: casecontrol and cross-sectional investigations. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2012;59(2):207-212. Available at: http://www. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22027873.
- 38. Gaur AH, Cohen RA, Read JS, et al. Prechewing and prewarming food for HIV-exposed children: a prospective cohort experience from Latin America. AIDS Patient Care STDS. 2013;27(3):142-145. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/pubmed/23477456.
- 39. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. HIV transmission 2018. Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/basics/ transmission.html. 2018.
- Alexander TS. Human immunodeficiency virus diagnostic testing: 30 years of evolution. Clin Vaccine Immunol. 2016;23(4):249-253. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26936099.
- 41. Ly TD, Plantier JC, Leballais L, Gonzalo S, Lemee V, Laperche S. The variable sensitivity of HIV Ag/Ab combination

- assays in the detection of p24Ag according to genotype could compromise the diagnosis of early HIV infection. *J Clin Virol*. 2012;55(2):121-127. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22795598.
- 42. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. HIV-2 Infection Surveillance—United States, 1987-2009. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2011;60(29):985-988. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21796096">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21796096</a>.
- 43. Shanmugam V, Switzer WM, Nkengasong JN, et al. Lower HIV-2 plasma viral loads may explain differences between the natural histories of HIV-1 and HIV-2 infections. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2000;24(3):257-263. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10969350">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10969350</a>.
- 44. Damond F, Benard A, Balotta C, et al. An international collaboration to standardize HIV-2 viral load assays: results from the 2009 ACHI(E)V(2E) quality control study. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2011;49(10):3491-3497. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21813718">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21813718</a>.
- 45. Lilian RR, Bhowan K, Sherman GG. Early diagnosis of human immunodeficiency virus-1 infection in infants with the NucliSens EasyQ assay on dried blood spots. *J Clin Virol*. 2010;48(1):40-43. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20211580">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20211580</a>.
- 46. Patel JA, Anderson EJ, Dong J. False positive ultrasensitive HIV bDNA viral load results in diagnosis of perinatal HIV-infection in the era of low transmission. *Laboratory Medicine*. 2009;40(10):611-614. Available at: <a href="http://labmed.oxfordjournals.org/content/40/10/611">http://labmed.oxfordjournals.org/content/40/10/611</a>.
- 47. American Academy of Pediatrics Committee on Pediatric AIDS. HIV testing and prophylaxis to prevent mother-to-child transmission in the United States. *Pediatrics*. 2008;122(5):1127-1134. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18977995">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18977995</a>.
- 48. Saitoh A, Hsia K, Fenton T, et al. Persistence of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) type 1 DNA in peripheral blood despite prolonged suppression of plasma HIV-1 RNA in children. *J Infect Dis*. 2002;185(10):1409-1416. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11992275">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11992275</a>.
- 49. Mazanderani AH, Moyo F, Kufa T, Sherman GG. Brief Report: Declining baseline viremia and escalating discordant HIV-1 confirmatory results within South Africa's early infant diagnosis program, 2010-2016. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2018;77(2):212-216. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29084045">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29084045</a>.
- 50. Food and Drug Administration. APTIMA HIV-1 RNA qualitative assay. 2006. Available at: <a href="http://www.fda.gov/BiologicsBloodVaccines/BloodBloodProducts/ApprovedProducts/LicensedProductsBLAs/BloodDonorScreening/InfectiousDisease/ucm149922.htm">http://www.fda.gov/BiologicsBloodVaccines/BloodBloodProducts/ApprovedProducts/LicensedProductsBLAs/BloodDonorScreening/InfectiousDisease/ucm149922.htm</a>.
- 51. Pierce VM, Neide B, Hodinka RL. Evaluation of the gen-probe aptima HIV-1 RNA qualitative assay as an alternative to Western blot analysis for confirmation of HIV infection. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2011;49(4):1642-1645. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21346052">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21346052</a>.
- 52. Fiscus SA, McMillion T, Nelson JA, Miller WC. Validation of the gen-probe aptima qualitative HIV-1 RNA assay for diagnosis of human immunodeficiency virus infection in infants. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2013;51(12):4137-4140. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24088864">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24088864</a>.
- 53. Nelson JA, Hawkins JT, Schanz M, et al. Comparison of the gen-probe aptima HIV-1 and abbott HIV-1 qualitative assays with the roche amplicor HIV-1 DNA assay for early infant diagnosis using dried blood spots. *J Clin Virol*. 2014;60(4):418-421. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24929752">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24929752</a>.
- 54. Puthankit T, Rojanwiwat T. Delayed HIV DNA PCR detection among infants received combination ART prophylaxis. Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2017. Seattle, WA
- 55. Veldsman KA, Maritz J, Isaacs S, et al. Rapid decline of HIV-1 DNA and RNA in infants starting very early antiretroviral therapy may pose a diagnostic challenge. *AIDS*. 2018;32(5):629-634. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29334551">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29334551</a>.
- 56. Maritz J, Maharaj JN, Cotton MF, Preiser W. Interpretation of indeterminate HIV-1 PCR results are influenced by changing vertical transmission prevention regimens. *J Clin Virol*. 2017;95:86-89. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28898704">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28898704</a>.
- 57. Technau KG, Mazanderani AH, Kuhn L, et al. Prevalence and outcomes of HIV-1 diagnostic challenges during universal birth testing an urban South African observational cohort. *J Int AIDS Soc.* 2017;20(Suppl 6):21761. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28872276">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28872276</a>.

- 58. Templer SP, Seiverth B, Baum P, Stevens W, Seguin-Devaux C, Carmona S. Improved sensitivity of a dual-target HIV-1 qualitative test for plasma and dried blood spots. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2016;54(7):1877-1882. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27194686">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27194686</a>.
- 59. Mossoro-Kpinde CD, Jenabian MA, Gody JC, et al. Evaluation of the upgraded version 2.0 of the Roche COBAS((R)) AmpliPrep/COBAS((R)) TaqMan HIV-1 qualitative assay in Central African children. *Open AIDS J.* 2016;10:158-163. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27857825">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27857825</a>.
- 60. Pyne MT, Hackett J Jr, Holzmayer V, Hillyard DR. Large-scale analysis of the prevalence and geographic distribution of HIV-1 non-B variants in the United States. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2013;51(8):2662-2669. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23761148">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23761148</a>.
- 61. Nesheim SR, Linley L, Gray KM, et al. Country of birth of children with diagnosed HIV infection in the United States, 2008-2014. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2018;77(1):23-30. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29040167">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29040167</a>.
- 62. Karchava M, Pulver W, Smith L, et al. Prevalence of drug-resistance mutations and non-subtype B strains among HIV-infected infants from New York State. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2006;42(5):614-619. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16868498">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16868498</a>.
- 63. Rogo T, DeLong AK, Chan P, Kantor R. Antiretroviral treatment failure, drug resistance, and subtype diversity in the only pediatric HIV clinic in Rhode Island. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2015;60(9):1426-1435. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25637585">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25637585</a>.
- 64. Chan PA, Reitsma MB, DeLong A, et al. Phylogenetic and geospatial evaluation of HIV-1 subtype diversity at the largest HIV center in Rhode Island. *Infect Genet Evol.* 2014;28:358-366. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24721515">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24721515</a>.
- 65. Bush S, Tebit DM. HIV-1 group O origin, evolution, pathogenesis, and treatment: unraveling the complexity of an outlier 25 years later. *AIDS reviews*. 2015;17(3):147-158. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26450803">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26450803</a>.
- 66. Auwanit W, Isarangkura-Na-Ayuthaya P, Kasornpikul D, Ikuta K, Sawanpanyalert P, Kameoka M. Detection of drug resistance-associated and background mutations in human immunodeficiency virus type 1 CRF01\_AE protease and reverse transcriptase derived from drug treatment-naive patients residing in central Thailand. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses* 2009;25(6):625-631. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19500016.
- 67. Deshpande A, Jauvin V, Pinson P, Jeannot AC, Fleury HJ. Phylogenetic analysis of HIV-1 reverse transcriptase sequences from 382 patients recruited in JJ Hospital of Mumbai, India, between 2002 and 2008. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses*. 2009;25(6):633-635. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19534630.
- 68. Chaix ML, Seng R, Frange P, et al. Increasing HIV-1 non-B subtype primary infections in patients in France and effect of HIV subtypes on virological and immunological responses to combined antiretroviral therapy. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2013;56(6):880-887. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23223603.
- 69. Hemelaar J, Gouws E, Ghys PD, Osmanov S, Who-Unaids Network for HIV Isolation Characterisation. Global trends in molecular epidemiology of HIV-1 during 2000–2007. *AIDS*. 2011;25(5):679-689. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21297424">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21297424</a>.
- 70. Dauwe K, Mortier V, Schauvliege M, et al. Characteristics and spread to the native population of HIV-1 non-B subtypes in two European countries with high migration rate. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2015;15:524. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26572861">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26572861</a>.
- 71. Church D, Gregson D, Lloyd T, et al. Comparison of the RealTime HIV-1, COBAS TaqMan 48 v1.0, Easy Q v1.2, and Versant v3.0 assays for determination of HIV-1 viral loads in a cohort of Canadian patients with diverse HIV subtype infections. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2011;49(1):118-124. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21084515">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21084515</a>.
- 72. Cobb BR, Vaks JE, Do T, Vilchez RA. Evolution in the sensitivity of quantitative HIV-1 viral load tests. *J Clin Virol*. 2011;52 Suppl 1:S77-82. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22036041">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22036041</a>.
- 73. Katsoulidou A, Rokka C, Issaris C, et al. Comparative evaluation of the performance of the abbott realtime HIV-1 assay for measurement of HIV-1 plasma viral load on genetically diverse samples from Greece. *Virol J.* 2011;8:10. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21219667">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21219667</a>.

- 74. Gueudin M, Leoz M, Lemee V, et al. A new real-time quantitative PCR for diagnosis and monitoring of HIV-1 group O infection. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2012;50(3):831-836. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22170927">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22170927</a>.
- 75. Xu S, Song A, Nie J, et al. Comparison between the automated Roche Cobas AmpliPrep/Cobas TaqMan HIV-1 test version 2.0 assay and its version 1 and Nuclisens HIV-1 EasyQ version 2.0 assays when measuring diverse HIV-1 genotypes in China. *J Clin Virol*. 2012;53(1):33-37. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22051503">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22051503</a>.
- 76. Muenchhoff M, Madurai S, Hempenstall AJ, et al. Evaluation of the NucliSens EasyQ v2.0 assay in comparison with the Roche Amplicor v1.5 and the Roche CAP/CTM HIV-1 Test v2.0 in quantification of C-clade HIV-1 in plasma. *PLoS One*, 2014;9(8):e103983. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25157919.
- 77. Torian LV, Eavey JJ, Punsalang AP, et al. HIV type 2 in New York City, 2000–2008. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2010;51(11):1334-1342. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21039219">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21039219</a>.
- 78. Campbell-Yesufu OT, Gandhi RT. Update on human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-2 infection. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2011;52(6):780-787. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21367732.
- 79. Prince PD, Matser A, van Tienen C, Whittle HC, Schim van der Loeff MF. Mortality rates in people dually infected with HIV-1/2 and those infected with either HIV-1 or HIV-2: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *AIDS*. 2014;28(4):549-558. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23921613.
- 80. Barin F, Cazein F, Lot F, et al. Prevalence of HIV-2 and HIV-1 group O infections among new HIV diagnoses in France: 2003-2006. *AIDS*. 2007;21(17):2351-2353. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18090288.
- 81. Thiebaut R, Matheron S, Taieb A, et al. Long-term nonprogressors and elite controllers in the ANRS CO5 HIV-2 cohort. *AIDS*. 2011;25(6):865-867. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21358376">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21358376</a>.
- 82. Menendez-Arias L, Alvarez M. Antiretroviral therapy and drug resistance in human immunodeficiency virus type 2 infection. *Antiviral Res.* 2014;102:70-86. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24345729">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24345729</a>.
- 83. Tchounga BK, Inwoley A, Coffie PA, et al. Re-testing and misclassification of HIV-2 and HIV-1&2 dually reactive patients among the HIV-2 cohort of the West African database to evaluate AIDS collaboration. *J Int AIDS Soc.* 2014;17:19064. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25128907">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25128907</a>.
- 84. Balestre E, Ekouevi DK, Tchounga B, et al. Immunologic response in treatment-naive HIV-2-infected patients: the IeDEA West Africa cohort. *J Int AIDS Soc.* 2016;19(1):20044. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26861115">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26861115</a>.
- 85. Linley L, Ethridge SF, Oraka E, et al. Evaluation of supplemental testing with the multispot HIV-1/HIV-2 rapid test and APTIMA HIV-1 RNA qualitative assay to resolve specimens with indeterminate or negative HIV-1 Western blots. *J Clin Virol*. 2013;58 Suppl 1:e108-112. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24342469">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24342469</a>.
- 86. Burgard M, Jasseron C, Matheron S, et al. Mother-to-child transmission of HIV-2 infection from 1986 to 2007 in the ANRS French Perinatal Cohort EPF-CO1. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2010;51(7):833-843. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20804413">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20804413</a>.

# Initial Postnatal Management of the Neonate Exposed to HIV (Last updated December 24, 2019; last reviewed December 24, 2019)

#### Panel's Recommendations

- All newborns who were perinatally exposed to HIV should receive appropriate antiretroviral (ARV) drugs as soon as possible after delivery (see Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection) (AI).
- A complete blood count and differential should be performed on newborns as a baseline evaluation (BIII).
- Infants who are found to have hematologic abnormalities may need to discontinue ARV drugs. Clinicians should base the decision to
  discontinue ARV drugs on the individual needs of the patient. Consultation with an expert in pediatric HIV infection is advised if early
  discontinuation of ARV drugs is considered (CIII).
- When determining the timing for subsequent monitoring of hematologic parameters in infants, clinicians need to consider the infant's
  baseline hematologic values, gestational age at birth, and clinical condition; whether the infant is receiving zidovudine (ZDV), other ARV
  drugs, or certain concomitant medications; and the specific ARV drugs used in the mother's antepartum drug regimen (CIII).
- · Hemoglobin and neutrophil counts should be remeasured 4 weeks after initiating an ARV regimen that contains ZDV and lamivudine (AI).
- Virologic tests are required to diagnose HIV infection in infants aged <18 months (see <u>Diagnosis of HIV Infection in Infants and Children</u>)
  (All).
- To prevent *Pneumocystis jirovecii* pneumonia (PCP), all infants born to women with HIV should begin PCP prophylaxis at ages 4 to 6 weeks, after completing their ARV prophylaxis or an empiric HIV therapy regimen, unless there is adequate test information to presumptively exclude HIV infection (see the Pediatric Opportunistic Infection Guidelines) (AII).
- Health care providers should routinely inquire about infant feeding plans and/or breastfeeding desires, as well as the use of
  premasticated (prechewed or prewarmed) food. Counseling against premastication and discussion of safe infant feeding options should
  be provided (see Counseling and Managing Women Living with HIV in the United States Who Desire to Breastfeed) (AIII).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong; B = Moderate; C = Optional

**Rating of Evidence:** I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more well-designed, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

## Postnatal Management of the Neonate Exposed to HIV

Following birth, infants who were exposed to HIV should have a detailed physical examination, and a thorough maternal history should be obtained. Women with HIV may have coinfections with other pathogens that can be transmitted from mother to child, such as cytomegalovirus, Zika virus, herpes simplex virus, hepatitis B, hepatitis C, syphilis, toxoplasmosis, or tuberculosis. Infants born to mothers with such coinfections should undergo the appropriate evaluations to exclude the possibility of transmission of additional infectious agents. The routine primary immunization schedule for children should be followed for infants born to women with HIV. The schedule may need to be modified for infants with known HIV infection (see the Pediatric Opportunistic Infection Guidelines for more information).

Infants should be monitored for the toxicities that are associated with the antiretroviral (ARV) drugs they were exposed to *in utero* or the ARV drugs that they are receiving for the prevention of perinatal HIV transmission (see Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection). Comprehensive care also includes appropriate HIV diagnostic testing and infant feeding support to assist mothers in abstaining from breastfeeding. No evidence is available to enable the Panel on Treatment of Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission to assess whether any changes in routine bathing practices, or timing of circumcision, are indicated for newborns with perinatal HIV exposure.

## Hematologic Toxicity

A complete blood count and differential should be performed before initiating ARV drugs in newborns who were exposed to HIV (see <u>Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV</u>

<u>Infection</u>). Decisions about the timing of hematologic monitoring after birth depend on several factors, including the infant's baseline hematologic values, gestational age at birth, and clinical condition; the infant's ARV drugs and concomitant medications; and the maternal antepartum ARV drug regimen.

Older studies have shown that anemia is the primary complication seen in neonates who received a 6-week postnatal prophylaxis regimen with zidovudine (ZDV). Some experts remeasure hemoglobin and neutrophil counts routinely after 4 weeks of ZDV prophylaxis and/or when the results of diagnostic HIV polymerase chain reaction (PCR) tests are obtained. Data are limited and somewhat mixed on infants who received ZDV in combination with other ARV drugs. Higher rates of hematologic toxicity have been observed in infants who received ZDV plus lamivudine (3TC) and other combination infant ARV regimens, such as ZDV plus 3TC plus nevirapine (NVP), than in those who received ZDV alone.<sup>2-6</sup> Although a recent study from Thailand observed significantly higher Grade 2 anemia at age 1 month in high-risk infants who received ZDV plus 3TC plus NVP compared to low-risk infants who received ZDV alone, these differences did not persist past 2 months of age. In addition, a recent study from the European Pregnancy and Paediatric HIV Cohort Collaboration (EPPICC) evaluated 1,836 infants who were exposed to HIV but who did not contract HIV and who were receiving ARV drugs. The presence of Grade 3 or 4 anemia in the first 6 months of life was not associated with the infants' ARV regimens (adjusted odds ratio [aOR] 1.04 for one-drug regimens, P =0.879; aOR 1.60 for three-drug vs. two-drug regimens, P = 0.277). Likewise, the presence of Grade 3 or 4 neutropenia in the first 6 months of life was not associated with the infants' ARV regimens (aOR 1.33 for one-drug regimens, P = 0.330; aOR 1.98 for three-drug vs. two-drug regimens, P = 0.113). Hemoglobin level and neutrophil count testing should be repeated 4 weeks after initiating ARV drugs and/or at the time that diagnostic HIV PCR testing is done in infants who receive regimens that contain ZDV and 3TC.<sup>5,6</sup>

Older studies have previously shown that the association between *in utero* exposure to maternal ARV drugs and anemia and/or neutropenia in infants was greater in infants with *in utero* exposure to combination ARV drug regimens than in infants with exposure to ZDV alone. <sup>8-10</sup> In PACTG 316, where 77% of mothers received antenatal combination therapy, significant Grade 3 or higher anemia was noted in 13% of infants and significant Grade 3 or higher neutropenia was noted in 12% of infants. Some experts recommend more intensive hematologic monitoring in infants who were exposed to combination ARV drug regimens *in utero* or during the neonatal period. These tests should be performed at birth and when diagnostic HIV PCR tests are also obtained.

Infants who are found to have hematologic abnormalities may need to discontinue ARV drugs. Clinicians should base the decision to discontinue ARV drugs on the individual needs of the patient. Considerations include the extent of the abnormality, whether related symptoms are present, the duration of ARV drugs received by the infant, and the risk of HIV infection (as assessed by maternal history of ARV drugs, maternal viral load near delivery, and mode of delivery). A 4-week ZDV regimen has been reported to result in earlier recovery from anemia in HIV-exposed but otherwise healthy infants than the 6-week ZDV regimen. A 4-week (instead of a 6-week) ZDV neonatal regimen is recommended when the mother has received standard antiretroviral therapy (ART) during pregnancy and has had consistent viral suppression and appropriate adherence; the shorter regimen may mitigate the risk of anemia in infants who have been exposed to HIV but who have not contracted HIV (see Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection). 12,13

# Hyperlactatemia

Hyperlactatemia has been reported in infants with *in utero* exposure to ARV drugs, but it appears to be transient and, in most cases, asymptomatic. <sup>14,15</sup> Routine measurement of serum lactate to assess for potential mitochondrial toxicity is not recommended in asymptomatic neonates because the clinical relevance of hyperlactatemia is unknown and the value of lactate levels as a predictive measure of toxicity appears to be poor. <sup>14,15</sup> However, serum lactate measurement should be considered for infants who develop severe clinical symptoms of unknown etiology, particularly neurologic symptoms. ARV drugs should be discontinued in cases where infants develop symptoms or when serum lactate levels are significantly abnormal (i.e., levels >5

mmol/L). An expert in pediatric HIV infection should be consulted about initiating alternative ARV regimens or the discontinuation of ARV drugs.

### Prophylaxis Against Pneumocystis jirovecii Pneumonia

To prevent *Pneumocystis jirovecii* pneumonia, all infants born to women with HIV should begin trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole prophylaxis at age 4 to 6 weeks, after completing the infant ARV regimen, unless there is adequate virologic test information to presumptively exclude HIV infection (see the Pediatric Opportunistic Infection Guidelines).<sup>16</sup>

## HIV Testing of the Infant

All infants who were perinatally exposed to HIV require virologic HIV testing (i.e., HIV RNA and HIV DNA nucleic acid tests) to diagnose or exclude HIV infection. For a detailed discussion of HIV testing, including types of tests and the recommended HIV testing schedule, see Diagnosis of HIV Infection in Infants and Children.

## Infant Feeding Practices and Risk of HIV Transmission

In the United States, it is recommended that women with HIV refrain from breastfeeding their infants as safe infant feeding alternatives are available.<sup>17</sup> Maternal ART is likely to reduce free virus in breast milk, but cellassociated virus (intracellular HIV DNA) remains unaffected and may continue to pose a transmission risk.<sup>18</sup> However, clinicians should be aware that some women may face considerable social, familial, and personal pressures to breastfeed despite this recommendation (see Counseling and Managing Women Living with HIV in the United States Who Desire to Breastfeed). It is important to address a woman's desire to breastfeed and potential barriers to formula feeding as early as possible in the antenatal period.

Some HIV transmission events that occurred in later infancy are thought to have resulted from infants being fed solid food that had been premasticated (prechewed or prewarmed) by caregivers with HIV. Phylogenetic comparisons of virus from cases and suspected sources as well as supporting clinical history identified the practice of feeding premasticated foods to infants as a potential risk factor for HIV transmission. Health care providers should routinely inquire about premastication, instruct caregivers with HIV not to perform this feeding practice, and advise on safer feeding options. 19,20

### References

- Connor EM, Sperling RS, Gelber R, et al. Reduction of maternal-infant transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 with zidovudine treatment. Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group Protocol 076 Study Group. N Engl J Med. 1994;331(18):1173-1180. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7935654.
- Nielsen-Saines K, Watts DH, Veloso VG, et al. Three postpartum antiretroviral regimens to prevent intrapartum HIV infection. N Engl J Med. 2012;366(25):2368-2379. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22716975.
- Smith C, Forster JE, Levin MJ, et al. Serious adverse events are uncommon with combination neonatal antiretroviral 3. prophylaxis: a retrospective case review. PLoS One. 2015;10(5):e0127062. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/26000984.
- Kakkar FW, Samson L, Vaudry W, et al. Safety of combination antiretroviral prophylaxis in high-risk HIV-exposed 4. newborns: a retrospective review of the Canadian experience. J Int AIDS Soc. 2016;19(1):20520. Available at: http:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26880241.
- Mandelbrot L, Landreau-Mascaro A, Rekacewicz C, et al. Lamivudine-zidovudine combination for prevention of maternal-infant transmission of HIV-1. JAMA. 2001;285(16):2083-2093. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/11311097.
- Anugulruengkitt S, Suntarattiwong P, Ounchanum P, et al. Safety of 6-week neonatal triple-combination antiretroviral postexposure prophylaxis in high-risk HIV-exposed infants. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2019;38(10):1045-1050. Available at:

- https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31365477.
- 7. European Pregnancy Paediatric HIV Cohort Collaboration study group in EuroCoord. Severe haematologic toxicity is rare in high risk HIV-exposed infants receiving combination neonatal prophylaxis. *HIV Med.* 2019;20(5):291-307. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30844150">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30844150</a>.
- 8. Feiterna-Sperling C, Weizsaecker K, Buhrer C, et al. Hematologic effects of maternal antiretroviral therapy and transmission prophylaxis in HIV-1-exposed uninfected newborn infants. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2007;45(1):43-51. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17356471">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17356471</a>.
- 9. El Beitune P, Duarte G. Antiretroviral agents during pregnancy: consequences on hematologic parameters in HIV-exposed, uninfected newborn infant. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol*. 2006;128(1-2):59-63. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16876310">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16876310</a>.
- 10. Dryden-Peterson S, Shapiro RL, Hughes MD, et al. Increased risk of severe infant anemia after exposure to maternal HAART, Botswana. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2011;56(5):428-436. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21266910">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21266910</a>.
- 11. Lahoz R, Noguera A, Rovira N, et al. Antiretroviral-related hematologic short-term toxicity in healthy infants: implications of the new neonatal 4-week zidovudine regimen. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2010;29(4):376-379. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19949355">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19949355</a>.
- 12. de Ruiter A, Taylor GP, Clayden P, et al. British HIV association guidelines for the management of HIV infection in pregnant women 2012 (2014 interim review). *HIV Med*. 2014;15 Suppl 4:1-77. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25604045">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25604045</a>.
- 13. Ferguson W, Goode M, Walsh A, Gavin P, Butler K. Evaluation of 4 weeks' neonatal antiretroviral prophylaxis as a component of a prevention of mother-to-child transmission program in a resource-rich setting. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2011;30(5):408-412. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21266939">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21266939</a>.
- 14. Ekouevi DK, Toure R, Becquet R, et al. Serum lactate levels in infants exposed peripartum to antiretroviral agents to prevent mother-to-child transmission of HIV: Agence Nationale de Recherches Sur le SIDA et les Hepatites Virales 1209 study, Abidjan, Ivory Coast. *Pediatrics*. 2006;118(4):e1071-1077. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16950945">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16950945</a>.
- 15. Noguera A, Fortuny C, Munoz-Almagro C, et al. Hyperlactatemia in human immunodeficiency virus-uninfected infants who are exposed to antiretrovirals. *Pediatrics*. 2004;114(5):e598-603. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15492359">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15492359</a>.
- 16. Mofenson LM, Brady MT, Danner SP, et al. Guidelines for the prevention and treatment of opportunistic infections among HIV-exposed and HIV-infected children: recommendations from CDC, the National Institutes of Health, the HIV Medicine Association of the Infectious Diseases Society of America, the Pediatric Infectious Diseases Society, and the American Academy of Pediatrics. MMWR Recomm Rep. 2009;58(RR-11):1-166. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19730409">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19730409</a>.
- 17. Committee on Pediatric AIDS. Infant feeding and transmission of human immunodeficiency virus in the United States. *Pediatrics*. 2013;131(2):391-396. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23359577">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23359577</a>.
- 18. Gaillard P, Fowler MG, Dabis F, et al. Use of antiretroviral drugs to prevent HIV-1 transmission through breast-feeding: from animal studies to randomized clinical trials. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2004;35(2):178-187. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14722452">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14722452</a>.
- 19. Ivy W, 3rd, Dominguez KL, Rakhmanina NY, et al. Premastication as a route of pediatric HIV transmission: case-control and cross-sectional investigations. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2012;59(2):207-212. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22027873">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22027873</a>.
- 20. Gaur AH, Dominguez KL, Kalish ML, et al. Practice of feeding premasticated food to infants: a potential risk factor for HIV transmission. *Pediatrics*. 2009;124(2):658-666. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19620190.

### Long-Term Follow-Up of Infants Exposed to Antiretroviral Drugs (Last updated January 17, 2020; last reviewed January 17, 2020)

#### Panel's Recommendation

- Children with in utero or neonatal exposure to antiretroviral (ARV) drugs who develop significant organ system abnormalities of unknown etiology, particularly of the nervous system or heart, should be evaluated for potential mitochondrial dysfunction (CIII).
- It is important that the long-term medical record of a child without HIV includes information about in utero and neonatal ARV exposure (BIII).

Rating of Recommendations: A = Strong: B = Moderate: C = Optional

Rating of Evidence: I = One or more randomized trials with clinical outcomes and/or validated laboratory endpoints; II = One or more welldesigned, nonrandomized trials or observational cohort studies with long-term clinical outcomes; III = Expert opinion

Beginning in the 1990s, evolving long-term monitoring studies, outcomes studies, and other types of surveillance and research have been conducted to assess whether *in utero* exposure to antiretroviral (ARV) drugs may pose later risks to children's health. These include studies of children without HIV infection born to women with HIV (e.g., the Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trial Group [PACTG] Late Outcomes Study and the Surveillance Monitoring for ART Toxicities [SMARTT] study from the Pediatric HIV/AIDS Cohort Study [PHACS]). Participation of children and their parents in observational studies provides an essential contribution to the research needed to monitor and identify long-term health outcomes from in utero HIV and ARV exposure. Available evidence does not permit definitive conclusions about whether in utero exposure to HIV and ARV agents might affect immunity, infectious morbidity, growth, cardiometabolic, neurodevelopmental, mitochondrial toxicity, or cancer outcomes from infancy through adulthood. Further, long-term investigation of potential HIV- and/or ARV-related toxicities is required, especially as new antiretroviral therapy (ART) for pregnant women with HIV evolves. It is important to include information about perinatal exposure to HIV and ARV agents in the long-term medical record of a child without HIV in the event that the child develops unusual symptoms later in life, or if adverse late effects of HIV or ARV exposure in children without HIV are identified in the future. 1-3

### Potential Increased Morbidity and Mortality

In general, the risks for increased morbidity and mortality are greater in infants who are HIV exposed but uninfected (HEU) than in infants who were HIV unexposed and uninfected (HUU). These differences are more pronounced in infants from low-middle income countries with fewer reports of similar findings in infants from high-income countries.<sup>4</sup> Data from Botswana show higher rates of morbidity and mortality in infants and children who were HEU than in those who were HUU.5-7 A meta-analysis assessing the difference in all-cause mortality between infants and children who were HEU and those who were HUU. observed increased risk in those who were HEU.8 Further research is needed to reproduce these results and to determine an immunological basis for the increased susceptibility of infants and children who were HEU to invasive infections.9

### Potential Immunologic Dysfunction and Infectious Morbidity

The potential long-term impact of HIV/ARV exposure on the immune system of an infant without HIV is unclear. A recent meta-analysis reported that, compared to infants who were HUU, infants who were HEU had a 50% and 70% increased risk for diarrhea and pneumonia, respectively, in the first 6 months of life. 10 The French Perinatal Cohort Group has observed an increased risk of serious bacterial infections with encapsulated organisms in HEU infants born to women with HIV with low CD4 T lymphocyte (CD4) cell counts near the time of delivery. 11 Another study of HEU infants reported that those born to mothers whose viral load at delivery was >1,000 copies/mL had lower CD4 counts than those born to mothers whose viral load was <50 copies/mL at delivery. 12 Other data suggest that exposure to HIV in utero may be associated with disturbances in infant CD4 and CD8 cell-mediated immune responses resulting in T-cell dysfunction, altered vaccine responses, and non-specific antigens in infants. 13,14 More recent data indicate immune Recommendations for the Use of Antiretroviral Drugs in Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Interventions to Reduce Perinatal HIV Transmission in the United States

activation and proinflammatory responses are greater in infants who were HIV exposed than in those who were unexposed.<sup>15-21</sup>

#### Potential Adverse Growth and Metabolic Outcomes

Similar to data on overall morbidity and mortality in infants who were HEU, observations on the effect of in utero HIV/ARV exposure on infant and child growth have largely differed between low- and high-income settings.<sup>22-24</sup> Among studies that compared growth in children who were HEU and those who were HUU, a recent Nigerian study reported compromised growth in children who were HEU, and a South African study found persistently lower weight-for-age z-scores (WAZ) over the first year of life, as well as higher rates of stunting, in those who were HEU.<sup>25,26</sup> However, in a large Danish study of postnatal growth through 5 years of life, no significant differences in WAZ after 2 weeks of life or length-for-age z-scores after 6 months of life were noted between children who were HEU and a matched comparator group of children who were HUU.<sup>27</sup> In addition, the PHACS SMARTT study in the United States has demonstrated above-average growth in children who were HEU compared to children in the general pediatric population.<sup>23</sup> This positive relationship may carry potential long-term cardiometabolic risk for children from high-income settings who were HEU. PHACS SMARTT has found high rates of obesity in children and adolescents who were HEU.<sup>28</sup> and obese children and adolescents who were HEU have a greater risk for systolic and diastolic hypertension than obese children and adolescents in the general pediatric population.<sup>29</sup> In addition, although data have revealed early derangements in fuel utilization and intermediary metabolism in infants who were HEU in the United States and Africa, the significance of these findings on the long-term metabolic health of this population is still undetermined. 30,31

### Potential Neurodevelopmental Outcomes

Studies investigating whether the risk for poor neurodevelopmental outcomes is higher in children who were HEU than in those who were HUU have not been conclusive.<sup>32</sup> In addition, the heterogeneity of study populations and study designs may further complicate interpretation of cumulative data. Several studies found no differences in early neurodevelopment between children who were HEU and those who were HUU, although some studies reported an increased risk for poorer neurodevelopmental outcomes in children who were HEU.<sup>33-35</sup> Some studies evaluated whether maternal factors or *in utero* ARV drug exposure contribute to adverse neurodevelopmental outcomes among children who were HEU. Although worse infant neurodevelopment was observed with maternal viremia in one study<sup>36</sup> and with *in utero* efavirenz exposure in another,<sup>37</sup> several studies have not identified associations between maternal ARV use and infant neurodevelopment. 35,36,38-40 Recently, the PHACS SMARTT study evaluated the risk of microcephaly associated with *in utero* ARV exposure in children who were HEU and found that those with *in utero* exposure to efavirenz had a greater risk of microcephaly than those without *in utero* efavirenz exposure (see Teratogenicity). Neurodevelopmental assessments at ages 1 and 5 years demonstrated that HEU children with microcephaly had lower mean scores and a higher prevalence of neurodevelopmental impairment than HEU children without microcephaly. 41,42 At present, there is no definitive evidence showing an association between in utero exposure to specific ARV drugs and poorer neurodevelopmental outcomes, although recent studies<sup>37,41</sup> provide data suggesting a possible association with EFV.

### Potential Mitochondrial Toxicity

Nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NRTI) drugs induce some degree of mitochondrial dysfunction reflecting varying affinity for mitochondrial gamma DNA polymerase. This affinity can interfere with mitochondrial replication, resulting in mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) depletion and dysfunction. Aberrant histological morphology of mitochondria, mtDNA mutations, alterations in mtDNA levels in cord blood mononuclear cells, and even aneuploidy in cord blood cells have all been described in both non-human primates and neonates exposed *in utero* to NRTI drugs. Aberrant histological morphology of mitochondrial dysfunction. The degree to which these documented mitochondrial abnormalities are clinically relevant is unknown, but they are significantly outweighed by the robust, proven efficacy of maternal and infant ARV prophylaxis in preventing perinatal HIV transmission.

Evidence of clinically apparent effects of mitochondrial toxicity are also conflicting. Though earlier studies from the French Perinatal Study Group cohort noted a significantly increased incidence of clinical effects possibly reflecting mitochondrial dysfunction including seizures, cognitive and motor delays, abnormal neuroimaging, hyperlactatemia, cardiac dysfunction, and two deaths (12 of 2,644 infants vs. 0 of 1,748 infants with and without exposure to *in utero* ARV drugs, respectively, P = 0.002), <sup>52,53</sup> low rates of hyperlactatemia (3.4%) have been documented among infants who were HEU born to women with HIV in the United States who were receiving ART during pregnancy. <sup>54</sup> In addition, further clinical studies from the United States and Europe have not duplicated findings from the French studies. <sup>55-61</sup> Some small alterations in mtDNA and oxidative phosphorylation enzyme activities were documented in stored specimens from children who were HEU in the United States. PACTG 219/219C trial, but the clinical significance of these observations is unknown. <sup>62,63</sup>

Given the above data, mitochondrial dysfunction should be considered in children without HIV, but with perinatal exposure to ARV drugs who present with severe clinical findings of unknown etiology, particularly neurologic findings.

### Potential Cancer Risk and Exposure to Nucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitor Drugs

Animal studies have reported potential transplacental genotoxicity of nucleoside analogue therapy in monkeys, and micro-nucleated erythrocytes have been identified in infants with *in utero* nucleoside analogue exposure. An updated report from the French Perinatal Cohort described 21 cancers among 15,163 children without HIV (median age 9.9 years) exposed *in utero* to HIV and ≥1 NRTI drug. Among the NRTIs studied, didanosine (which **is no longer recommended**) was potentially associated with risk of cancer. In a study in the United States, there were four cancer diagnoses among 3,087 children exposed to HIV; the number of cancer cases did not differ significantly from the number of cases expected based on national reference rates. Continued follow-up of children who were HIV- and ARV-exposed but uninfected is needed to evaluate the potential risk of cancer as these children age into adulthood.

#### Conclusion

In the United States, ongoing evaluation of the early and late effects of *in utero* exposure to ARV drugs and of infant feeding approaches include the Pediatric HIV/AIDS Cohort Study Surveillance Monitoring of Antiretroviral Toxicity study, natural history studies, and HIV/AIDS surveillance conducted by state health departments and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. It is critical that studies to evaluate potential adverse effects of *in utero* drug exposure continue to be supported given the fast pace at which newly developed ARV drugs are being made available to pregnant women living with HIV. HIV surveillance databases from states that require HIV reporting provide an opportunity to collect population-based information concerning *in utero* exposure to ARV drugs. To the extent permitted by federal law and regulations, data from these confidential registries can be compared with information from birth defects and cancer registries to identify potential adverse outcomes of *in utero* ARV drug exposure.

#### References

- 1. Mofenson LM, Watts DH. Safety of pediatric HIV elimination: the growing population of HIV- and antiretroviral-exposed but uninfected infants. *PLoS Med.* 2014;11(4):e1001636. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24781352.
- 2. Jao J, Abrams EJ. Metabolic complications of *in utero* maternal HIV and antiretroviral exposure in HIV-exposed Infants. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2014;33(7):734-740. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24378947">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24378947</a>.
- 3. Hazra R, Siberry GK, Mofenson LM. Growing up with HIV: children, adolescents, and young adults with perinatally acquired HIV infection. *Ann Rev Med.* 2010;61:169-185. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19622036.
- 4. Yeganeh N, Watts DH, Xu J, et al. Infectious morbidity, mortality and nutrition in HIV-exposed, uninfected, formula-fed infants: results from the HPTN 040/PACTG 1043 trial. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2018;37(12):1271-1278. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29750766">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29750766</a>.

- 5. Zash R, Leidner J, Souda S, et al. HIV-exposed children account for more than half of 24-month mortality in Botswana. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2016. Boston, MA.
- 6. Dryden-Peterson S, Ramos T, Shapiro R, Lockman S. Maternal ART and hospitalization or death among HIV-exposed uninfected infants. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2016. Seattle, WA.
- 7. Ajibola G, Mayondi G, Leidner J, et al. Higher mortality in HIV-exposed/uninfected vs HIV-unexposed infants, Botswana. The 23rd Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2016. Seattle, WA.
- 8. Brennan AT, Bonawitz R, Gill CJ, et al. A meta-analysis assessing all-cause mortality in HIV-exposed uninfected compared with HIV-unexposed uninfected infants and children. *AIDS*. 2016;30(15):2351-2360. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27456985">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27456985</a>.
- 9. Ruck C, Reikie BA, Marchant A, Kollmann TR, Kakkar F. Linking susceptibility to infectious diseases to immune system abnormalities among HIV-exposed uninfected infants. *Front Immunol.* 2016;7:310. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27594857">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27594857</a>.
- Brennan AT, Bonawitz R, Gill CJ, et al. A meta-analysis assessing diarrhea and pneumonia in HIV-exposed uninfected compared with HIV-unexposed uninfected infants and children. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2019;82(1):1-8. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31408450">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31408450</a>.
- 11. Taron-Brocard C, Le Chenadec J, Faye A, et al. Increased risk of serious bacterial infections due to maternal immunosuppression in HIV-exposed uninfected infants in a European country. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2014;59(9):1332-1345. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25053719">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25053719</a>.
- 12. Kakkar F, Lamarre V, Ducruet T, et al. Impact of maternal HIV-1 viremia on lymphocyte subsets among HIV-exposed uninfected infants: protective mechanism or immunodeficiency. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2014;14:236. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24885498">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24885498</a>.
- 13. Kidzeru EB, Hesseling AC, Passmore JA, et al. In-utero exposure to maternal HIV infection alters T-cell immune responses to vaccination in HIV-uninfected infants. *AIDS*. 2014;28(10):1421-1430. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24785950">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24785950</a>.
- 14. Jalbert E, Williamson KM, Kroehl ME, et al. HIV-exposed uninfected infants have increased regulatory T cells that correlate with decreased T cell function. *Front Immunol*. 2019;10:595. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30972079">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30972079</a>.
- 15. Schoeman JC, Moutloatse GP, Harms AC, et al. Fetal metabolic stress disrupts immune homeostasis and induces proinflammatory responses in human immunodeficiency virus type 1- and combination antiretroviral therapy-exposed infants. *J Infect Dis.* 2017;216(4):436-446. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28633455.
- 16. Evans C, Chasekwa B, Rukobo S, et al. Inflammation, CMV and the growth hormone axis in HIV-exposed uninfected infants. Abstract 873. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2018. Boston, Massachusetts. Available at: <a href="http://www.croiconference.org/sessions/inflammation-cmv-and-growth-hormone-axis-hiv-exposed-uninfected-infants">http://www.croiconference.org/sessions/inflammation-cmv-and-growth-hormone-axis-hiv-exposed-uninfected-infants</a>.
- 17. Mussi-Pinhata MM, Weinberg A, Yu Q, et al. Increased inflammation and monocyte activation in HIV-exposed uninfected infants. Presented at: Conference Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2018. Boston, Massachusetts. Available at: <a href="http://www.croiconference.org/sessions/increased-inflammation-and-monocyte-activation-hiv-exposed-uninfected-infants-0">http://www.croiconference.org/sessions/increased-inflammation-and-monocyte-activation-hiv-exposed-uninfected-infants-0</a>.
- 18. Broncano PG, Kgole SW, Masasa G, et al. Innate immune activation among HIV-1 exposed uninfected infants from Botwswana. Abstract 881. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses Opportunistic Infections. 2018. Boston, Massachusetts. Available at: <a href="http://www.croiconference.org/sessions/innate-immune-activation-among-hiv-1-exposed-uninfected-infants-botswana">http://www.croiconference.org/sessions/innate-immune-activation-among-hiv-1-exposed-uninfected-infants-botswana</a>.
- Mitchell C, Dominguez S, George V, et al. Microbial Translocation, immune activation, and gut dysbiosis in HIVexposed infants. Abstract 882. Presented at: Conferences on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2018. Boston, Massachusetts. Available at: <a href="http://www.croiconference.org/sessions/microbial-translocation-immune-activation-and-gut-dysbiosis-hiv-exposed-infants-0">http://www.croiconference.org/sessions/microbial-translocation-immune-activation-and-gut-dysbiosis-hiv-exposed-infants-0</a>.
- 20. Dirajlal-Fargo S, Mussi-Pinhata MM, Weinberg A, et al. HIV-exposed-uninfected infants have increased inflammation and monocyte activation. *AIDS*. 2019;33(5):845-853. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30649056">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30649056</a>.

- Gabriel B, Medin C, Alves J, et al. Analysis of the TCR Repertoire in HIV-exposed but uninfected infants. Sci Rep. 2019;9(1):11954. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31420576.
- Neri D, Somarriba GA, Schaefer NN, et al. Growth and body composition of uninfected children exposed to human immunodeficiency virus: comparison with a contemporary cohort and United States national standards. J Pediatr. 2013;163(1):249-254 e241-242. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23360565">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23360565</a>.
- Jacobson DL, Patel K, Williams PL, et al. Growth at 2 years of age in HIV-exposed uninfected children in the United States by trimester of maternal antiretroviral initiation. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2017;36(2):189-197. Available at: https:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27798548.
- Sudfeld CR, Lei O, Chinyanga Y, et al. Linear growth faltering among HIV-exposed uninfected children. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2016;73(2):182-189. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27116046.
- Jumare J, Datong P, Osawe S, et al. Compromised growth among HIV-exposed uninfected compared with unexposed children in Nigeria. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2019;38(3):280-286. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/30418356.
- le Roux SM, Abrams EJ, Donald KA, et al. Growth trajectories of breastfed HIV-exposed uninfected and HIV-unexposed children under conditions of universal maternal antiretroviral therapy: a prospective study. Lancet Child Adolesc Health. 2019;3(4):234-244. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30773459">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30773459</a>.
- Moseholm E, Helleberg M, Sandholdt H, et al. Children exposed or unexposed to HIV: weight, height and BMI during the first five years of life. A Danish nationwide cohort study. Clin Infect Dis. 2019. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/31271208.
- Jacobson DL, Patel K, Siberry GK, et al. Body fat distribution in perinatally HIV-infected and HIV-exposed but uninfected children in the era of highly active antiretroviral therapy; outcomes from the Pediatric HIV/AIDS Cohort Study. Am J Clin Nutr. 2011;94(6):1485-1495. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22049166.
- Jao J, Jacobson DL, Yu W, et al. A comparison of metabolic outcomes between obese HIV-exposed uninfected youth from the PHACS SMARTT study and HIV-unexposed youth from the NHANES study in the United States, J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2019;81(3):319-327. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30844997.
- Kirmse B, Hobbs CV, Peter I, et al. Abnormal newborn screens and acylcarnitines in HIV-exposed and ARV-exposed infants, Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2013;32(2):146-150. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22935866.
- Jao J, Kirmse B, Yu C, et al. Lower preprandial insulin and altered fuel use in HIV/antiretroviral-exposed infants in Cameroon. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2015;100(9):3260-3269. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/26133363.
- 32. McHenry MS, McAteer CI, Oyungu E, et al. Neurodevelopment in young children born to HIV-infected mothers: a metaanalysis. Pediatrics. 2018;141(2). Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29374109.
- Wedderburn CJ, Yeung S, Rehman AM, et al. Neurodevelopment of HIV-exposed uninfected children in South Africa: outcomes from an observational birth cohort study. Lancet Child Adolesc Health. 2019;3(11):803-813. Available at: https:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31515160.
- Kerr SJ, Puthanakit T, Vibol U, et al. Neurodevelopmental outcomes in HIV-exposed-uninfected children versus those not exposed to HIV. AIDS Care. 2014;26(11):1327-1335. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24878112.
- 35. Piske M, Budd MA, Qiu AQ, et al. Neurodevelopmental outcomes and in-utero antiretroviral exposure in HIV-exposed uninfected children. AIDS. 2018;32(17):2583-2592. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30134292.
- le Roux SM, Donald KA, Kroon M, et al. HIV viremia during pregnancy and neurodevelopment of HIV-exposed uninfected children in the context of universal antiretroviral therapy and breastfeeding: a prospective study. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2019;38(1):70-75. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30234792.
- Cassidy AR, Williams PL, Leidner J, et al. *In utero* efavirenz exposure and neurodevelopmental outcomes in HIV-exposed uninfected children in Botswana. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2019;38(8):828-834. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/30985518.
- Chaudhury S, Mayondi GK, Williams PL, et al. *In-utero* exposure to antiretrovirals and neurodevelopment among HIVexposed-uninfected children in Botswana. AIDS. 2018;32(9):1173-1183. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/

#### pubmed/29547434

- 39. Kacanek D, Williams PL, Mayondi G, et al. Pediatric neurodevelopmental functioning after *in utero* exposure to triple-NRTI vs. dual-NRTI + PI ART in a randomized trial, Botswana. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2018;79(3):e93-e100. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30015793">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30015793</a>.
- 40. Williams PL, Marino M, Malee K, et al. Neurodevelopment and *in utero* antiretroviral exposure of HIV-exposed uninfected infants. *Pediatrics*. 2010;125(2):e250-260. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20083530">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20083530</a>.
- Williams PL, Yildirim C, Chadwick EG, et al. Association of maternal antiretroviral use with microcephaly in children who are HIV-exposed but uninfected (SMARTT): a prospective cohort study. *Lancet HIV*. 2019;S2352-3018(19)30340-6. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31740351">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31740351</a>.
- 42. le Roux SM, Abrams EJ. Efavirenz in pregnancy. *Lancet HIV*. 2019;S2352-3018(19)30330-3. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31740349">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31740349</a>.
- 43. Brinkman K, Ter Hofstede HJ, Burger DM, et al. Adverse effects of reverse transcriptase inhibitors: mitochondrial toxicity as common pathway. *AIDS*. 1998;12(14):1735-1744. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&list\_uids=9792373&dopt=Abstract">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&list\_uids=9792373&dopt=Abstract</a>.
- 44. Birkus G, Hitchcock MJ, Cihlar T. Assessment of mitochondrial toxicity in human cells treated with tenofovir: comparison with other nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2002;46(3):716-723. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11850253">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11850253</a>.
- 45. Saitoh A, Haas RH, Naviaux RK, Salva NG, Wong JK, Spector SA. Impact of nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors on mitochondrial DNA and RNA in human skeletal muscle cells. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2008;52(8):2825-2830. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18541728">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18541728</a>.
- 46. Divi RL, Leonard SL, Kuo MM, et al. Transplacentally exposed human and monkey newborn infants show similar evidence of nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor-induced mitochondrial toxicity. *Environ Mol Mutagen*. 2007;48(3-4):201-209. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16538687">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16538687</a>.
- 47. Poirier MC, Divi RL, Al-Harthi L, et al. Long-term mitochondrial toxicity in HIV-uninfected infants born to HIV-infected mothers. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2003;33(2):175-183. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12794551">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12794551</a>.
- 48. Martin F, Taylor GP. The safety of highly active antiretroviral therapy for the HIV-positive pregnant mother and her baby: is 'the more the merrier'? *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2009;64(5):895-900. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19706669">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19706669</a>.
- 49. Jao J, Powis KM, Kirmse B, et al. Lower mitochondrial DNA and altered mitochondrial fuel metabolism in hiv-exposed uninfected infants in cameroon. *AIDS*. 2017. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28926411">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28926411</a>.
- 50. Budd MA, Calli K, Samson L, et al. Blood mitochondrial DNA content in HIV-exposed uninfected children with autism spectrum disorder. *Viruses*. 2018;10(2). Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29439467">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29439467</a>.
- 51. Newell ML, Bunders MJ. Safety of antiretroviral drugs in pregnancy and breastfeeding for mother and child. *Curr Opin HIV AIDS*. 2013;8(5):504-510. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23743789">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23743789</a>.
- 52. Blanche S, Tardieu M, Rustin P, et al. Persistent mitochondrial dysfunction and perinatal exposure to antiretroviral nucleoside analogues. *Lancet*. 1999;354(9184):1084-1089. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10509500">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10509500</a>.
- 53. Barret B, Tardieu M, Rustin P, et al. Persistent mitochondrial dysfunction in HIV-1-exposed but uninfected infants: clinical screening in a large prospective cohort. *AIDS*. 2003;17(12):1769-1785. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12891063">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12891063</a>.
- 54. Crain MJ, Williams PL, Griner R, et al. Point-of-care capillary blood lactate measurements in human immunodeficiency virus-uninfected children with *in utero* exposure to human immunodeficiency virus and antiretroviral medications. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2011;30(12):1069-1074. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22051859">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22051859</a>.
- 55. Sperling RS, Shapiro DE, McSherry GD, et al. Safety of the maternal-infant zidovudine regimen utilized in the pediatric AIDS clinical trial group 076 study. *AIDS*. 1998;12(14):1805-1813. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9792381">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9792381</a>.

- 56. The Perinatal Safety Review Working Group. Nucleoside exposure in the children of HIV-infected women receiving antiretroviral drugs: absence of clear evidence for mitochondrial disease in children who died before 5 years of age in five United States cohorts. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2000;25(3):261-268. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/pubmed/11115957.
- 57. Lipshultz SE, Easley KA, Orav EJ, et al. Absence of cardiac toxicity of zidovudine in infants. Pediatric pulmonary and cardiac complications of vertically transmitted HIV infection study group. N Engl J Med. 2000;343(11):759-766. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10984563.
- 58. European Collaborative Study. Exposure to antiretroviral therapy in utero or early life: the health of uninfected children born to HIV-infected women. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2003;32(4):380-387. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/entrez/guery.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&list\_uids=12640195&dopt=Abstract.
- 59. Alimenti A, Forbes JC, Oberlander TF, et al. A prospective controlled study of neurodevelopment in HIV-uninfected children exposed to combination antiretroviral drugs in pregnancy. *Pediatrics*. 2006:118(4):e1139-1145. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16940166.
- 60. Brogly SB, Ylitalo N, Mofenson LM, et al. In utero nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor exposure and signs of possible mitochondrial dysfunction in HIV-uninfected children. AIDS. 2007;21(8):929-938. Available at: http://www. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17457086.
- 61. Hankin C, Lyall H, Peckham C, Tookey P. Monitoring death and cancer in children born to HIV-infected women in England and Wales: use of HIV surveillance and national routine data. AIDS. 2007;21(7):867-869. Available at: http:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17415042.
- 62. Brogly SB, DiMauro S, Van Dyke RB, et al. Short communication: transplacental nucleoside analogue exposure and mitochondrial parameters in HIV-uninfected children. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses. 2011;27(7):777-783. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21142587.
- Brogly SB, Foca M, Deville JG, et al. Potential confounding of the association between exposure to nucleoside analogues and mitochondrial dysfunction in HIV-uninfected and indeterminate infants. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2010;53(1):154-157. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20035168">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20035168</a>.
- 64. Olivero OA, Fernandez JJ, Antiochos BB, Wagner JL, St Claire ME, Poirier MC. Transplacental genotoxicity of combined antiretroviral nucleoside analogue therapy in Erythrocebus patas monkeys. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2002;29(4):323-329. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11917235.
- 65. Witt KL, Cunningham CK, Patterson KB, et al. Elevated frequencies of micronucleated erythrocytes in infants exposed to zidovudine in utero and postpartum to prevent mother-to-child transmission of HIV. Environ Mol Mutagen. 2007;48(3-4):322-329. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17358032.
- 66. Hleyhel M, Goujon S, Delteil C, et al. Risk of cancer in children exposed to didanosine *in utero*. AIDS. 2016;30(8):1245-1256. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26854809.
- 67. Hleyhel M, Goujon S, Sibiude J, et al. Risk of cancer in children exposed to antiretroviral nucleoside analogues in utero: The french experience. Environ Mol Mutagen. 2019;60(5):404-409. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/29206312.
- 68. Ivy W, 3rd, Nesheim SR, Paul SM, et al. Cancer among children with perinatal exposure to HIV and antiretroviral medications—New Jersey, 1995–2010. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2015;70(1):62-66. Available at: https://www. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26017660.

# Appendix A: Review of Clinical Trials of Antiretroviral Interventions to Prevent Perinatal HIV Transmission (Last updated December 7, 2018; last

#### reviewed December 7, 2018)

One of the major achievements in HIV research was the demonstration by the PACTG 076 clinical trial that administering zidovudine to pregnant women and their infants could reduce the risk of perinatal transmission by nearly 70%. Following the results of PACTG 076, researchers began to explore the development of shorter, less expensive prophylactic regimens that are more applicable in resource-constrained settings. In addition, multiple studies have tried to determine the optimal regimens for reducing the risk of postnatal transmission during breastfeeding. More recently, in the context of recommendations for universal antiretroviral therapy (ART), studies have also explored the efficacy of universal ART during pregnancy and breastfeeding. This Appendix provides a table summarizing the results of major studies of antiretroviral (ARV) interventions used to prevent perinatal transmission (see Supplemental Table 1) and a brief discussion of lessons learned. In many cases, a direct comparison of results from these trials is not possible because the studies involved diverse patient populations from different geographic locations, with differing viral subtypes and infant feeding practices. However, some generalizations are relevant to understanding the use of ARV drugs for prevention of perinatal transmission in both resource-limited and resource-rich countries. Furthermore, these studies have provided critical information elucidating the risks, timing, and mechanisms of perinatal transmission.

#### ART is more effective antenatally in reducing perinatal transmission than a single-drug prophylactic regimen.

ARV drugs are highly effective at preventing perinatal transmission, even in women living with advanced HIV.<sup>2,3</sup> Efficacy has been demonstrated for a number of short-course ARV regimens, including zidovudine alone, zidovudine plus lamivudine, single-dose nevirapine, and single-dose nevirapine combined with either short-course zidovudine or zidovudine/lamivudine.<sup>4-13</sup> In general, combination regimens are more effective than single-drug regimens in reducing the risk of perinatal transmission. In addition, administering ARV drugs during the antepartum, intrapartum, and postpartum periods is a more effective approach for preventing perinatal transmission than administering ARV drugs during only the antepartum and intrapartum periods or the intrapartum and postpartum periods.<sup>5,14,15</sup>

Almost all trials in resource-limited countries have included oral intrapartum prophylaxis, with varying durations of maternal and/or infant (and sometimes maternal) postpartum prophylaxis. Regimens with antenatal components, including those starting as late as 36 weeks' gestation, can reduce the risk of perinatal transmission, even when these regimens are lacking an infant prophylaxis component. However, longer-duration antenatal zidovudine prophylaxis that begins at 28 weeks' gestation is more effective than shorter-duration zidovudine prophylaxis that begins at 35 weeks' gestation. The Perinatal HIV Prevention Trial (PHPT)-5 trial demonstrated that women who received <8 weeks of prophylaxis during pregnancy had a significantly greater risk of perinatal transmission than women who received longer durations of prophylaxis. The European National Study of HIV in Pregnancy and Childhood demonstrated that each additional week of an antenatal, triple-drug regimen corresponded to a 10% reduction in risk of transmission. More prolonged infant post-exposure prophylaxis does not appear to substitute for longer-duration maternal ARV prophylaxis.

The Promoting Maternal and Infant Survival Everywhere (PROMISE) study was a large randomized clinical trial that demonstrated the superiority of ART over zidovudine-based prophylaxis for prevention of *in utero* transmission in women with CD4 T lymphocyte (CD4) cell counts >350 cells/mm<sup>3</sup>. Pregnant women were randomized to one of three study arms:

- Zidovudine plus single-dose nevirapine at delivery plus postpartum tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF)/ emtricitabine tail
- Zidovudine plus lamivudine plus lopinavir/ritonavir (LPV/r)
- TDF plus emtricitabine plus LPV/r

The rate of perinatal transmission through 1 week of life was significantly lower among women receiving ART

(0.5%, 9 infections among 1,710 infants) than among those randomized to receive zidovudine plus single-dose nevirapine plus postpartum TDF/emtricitabine tail (1.8%, 25 infections among 1,386 infants).

Regimens that do not include maternal ARV therapy during pregnancy have been evaluated because some women may lack antenatal care and present for prenatal care for the first time when they go into labor. Regimens that include only intrapartum and postpartum drug administration also have been shown to be effective in reducing the risk of perinatal transmission. However, without continued infant post-exposure prophylaxis, intrapartum pre-exposure prophylaxis alone with nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor drugs (zidovudine/lamivudine) is not effective in reducing the risk of transmission. The South African Intrapartum Nevirapine Trial (SAINT) trial demonstrated that intrapartum/postpartum zidovudine/lamivudine and single-dose intrapartum/newborn nevirapine are similar in efficacy and safety.

# Combination infant ARV prophylaxis is recommended in the United States for infants at high risk for HIV acquisition.

Delayed maternal HIV diagnosis or delayed presentation for pregnancy care may result in missing the opportunity to provide maternal ARV drugs during pregnancy or labor. In the absence of maternal therapy, the standard infant prophylaxis regimen of 6 weeks of zidovudine was effective in reducing the risk of HIV transmission compared with no prophylaxis, based on epidemiological data in resource-rich countries. A trial in Malawi in breastfeeding infants demonstrated that adding 1 week of zidovudine therapy to infant single-dose nevirapine reduced risk of transmission by 36% compared with infant single-dose nevirapine alone.

To define the optimal infant prophylaxis regimen in the absence of maternal antepartum ARV drug administration in a formula-fed population of infants such as in the United States, the NICHD-HPTN 040/P1043 (NCT00099359) clinical trial compared three infant ARV regimens in formula-fed infants born to mothers who did not receive ARV drugs during the current pregnancy:

- Standard 6 weeks of zidovudine alone
- 6 weeks of zidovudine plus three doses of nevirapine given in the first week of life (first dose given within 48 hours of birth, second dose given 48 hours after first dose, third dose given 96 hours after second dose)
- 6 weeks of zidovudine plus lamivudine and nelfinavir given from birth through age 2 weeks.<sup>20</sup>

The study demonstrated that both the dual- and triple-combination regimens reduced the risk of intrapartum transmission by approximately 50% compared with infant prophylaxis with zidovudine alone, although there was more hematologic toxicity with the triple regimen (see Supplemental Table 1). Based on these data, combination ARV prophylaxis is now recommended in the United States for infants born to women who are at increased risk for transmission (see Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection).

### <u>Single-dose intrapartum nevirapine is not recommended for women in the United States who are receiving standard recommended antenatal ARV prophylaxis.</u>

PACTG 316 (a clinical trial conducted in the United States, Europe, Brazil, and the Bahamas) demonstrated that adding single-dose nevirapine to combination antenatal ARV prophylaxis for non-breastfeeding women with very low viral loads at the time of delivery did not offer significant benefit.<sup>21</sup> Thus, adding single-dose intrapartum nevirapine is not recommended for women in the United States who are receiving standard recommended antenatal ARV prophylaxis (see Intrapartum Antiretroviral Therapy/Prophylaxis).

### Breastfeeding by women with HIV infection is not recommended in the United States.

Breastfeeding by women living with HIV (including those receiving ARV drugs) is not recommended in the United States, where replacement feeding is affordable, feasible, acceptable, sustainable, and safe, and the risk of infant mortality due to diarrheal and respiratory infections is low.<sup>22</sup>

Clinical trials in resource-limited settings have demonstrated that both infant prophylaxis (daily infant nevirapine, lamivudine, and LPV/r) during breastfeeding and maternal triple-drug prophylaxis during breastfeeding decrease the risk of postnatal infection (see Supplemental Table 1).<sup>2,23-31</sup> The PROMISE trial

was a large, randomized clinical trial that demonstrated that daily infant nevirapine and maternal ART have similar safety and efficacy for prevention of perinatal transmission during breastfeeding in women with CD4 cell counts ≥350 cells/mm<sup>3</sup>. <sup>18,32</sup> At 6 to 14 days postpartum, the study randomized participants to receive either infant nevirapine or maternal ART until 18 months after delivery or breastfeeding cessation. The rates of perinatal transmission were similar (0.58%, 5 infections among 1,211 infants receiving nevirapine vs. 0.57%, 7 infections among 1,219 infants whose mothers received ART), both strategies were safe, and infant HIV-1–free survival was high across both arms (97.7% with infant nevirapine vs. 97.1% with maternal ART at 24 months).

Hypothetically, maternal triple-drug prophylaxis may be less effective than infant prophylaxis if the maternal regimen is first started postpartum or late in pregnancy, because it takes several weeks to months to achieve full viral suppression in breast milk. <sup>27,33</sup> Importantly, although prophylaxis significantly lowers the risk of postnatal infection, neither infant nor maternal postpartum ARV prophylaxis eliminates the risk of HIV transmission through breast milk. Therefore, breastfeeding is not recommended for women living in the United States (including those receiving combination ARV drug regimens). <sup>22</sup> Finally, both infant nevirapine prophylaxis and maternal ART during breastfeeding may be associated with the development of ARV drug resistance in infants who acquire HIV despite prophylaxis; multiclass drug resistance has been described in breastfeeding infants with HIV despite maternal triple-drug prophylaxis. <sup>34-38</sup>

### **Supplemental Table 1. Results of Major Studies on Antiretroviral Interventions to Prevent Perinatal HIV Transmission** (page 1 of 7)

Study Name; Location(s); Mode of Infant Feeding	Antiretroviral Drugs	Antepartum and Intrapartum Interventions	Postpartum Interventions	Perinatal Transmission Rate and Efficacy
PACTG 076; United States, France; <sup>1</sup> Formula feeding	ZDV vs. placebo	Long (from 14 weeks) IV IP	Long (6 weeks); infant only	Perinatal transmission at 18 months was 8.3% in ZDV arm vs. 25.5% in placebo arm (68% efficacy).
CDC Short-Course ZDV Trial; Thailand; <sup>12</sup> Formula feeding	ZDV vs. placebo	Short (from 36 weeks) Oral IP	None	Perinatal transmission at 6 months was 9.4% in ZDV arm vs. 18.9% in placebo arm (50% efficacy).
DITRAME (ANRS 049a) Trial; Ivory Coast, Burkina Faso; <sup>11,39</sup> Breastfeeding	ZDV vs. placebo	Short (from 36 weeks) Oral IP	Short (1 week); mother only	Perinatal transmission at 6 months was 18.0% in ZDV arm vs. 27.5% in placebo arm (38% efficacy).  Perinatal transmission at 15 months was 21.5% in ZDV arm vs. 30.6% in placebo arm (30% efficacy).  Perinatal transmission was 22.5% in ZDV arm vs. 30.2% in placebo arm in pooled analysis at 24 months (26% efficacy).
CDC Short-Course ZDV Trial; Ivory Coast; <sup>10,11</sup> Breastfeeding	ZDV vs. placebo	Short (from 36 weeks) Oral IP	None	Perinatal transmission at 3 months was 16.5% in ZDV arm vs. 26.1% in placebo arm (37% efficacy).  Perinatal transmission was 22.5% in ZDV arm vs. 30.2% in placebo arm in pooled analysis at 24 months (26% efficacy).
PETRA Trial; South Africa, Tanzania, Uganda; <sup>5</sup> Breastfeeding and formula feeding	AP/IP/PP ZDV plus 3TC vs. IP/PP ZDV plus 3TC vs. IP-only ZDV plus 3TC vs. Placebo	Short (from 36 weeks) Oral IP	Short (1 week); mother and infant	Perinatal transmission at 6 weeks was 5.7% for AP/IP/PP ZDV plus 3TC, 8.9% for IP/PP ZDV plus 3TC, 14.2% for IP-only ZDV plus 3TC, and 15.3% for placebo (efficacy compared with placebo: 63%, 42%, and 0%, respectively).  Perinatal transmission at 18 months was 14.9% for AP/IP/PP ZDV plus 3TC, 18.1% for IP/PP ZDV plus 3TC, 20.0% for IP-only ZDV plus 3TC, and 22.2% for placebo (efficacy compared with placebo: 34%, 18%, and 0%, respectively).

# Supplemental Table 1. Results of Major Studies on Antiretroviral Interventions to Prevent Perinatal HIV Transmission (page 2 of 7)

Study Name; Location(s); Mode of Infant Feeding	Antiretroviral Drugs	Antepartum and Intrapartum Interventions	Postpartum Interventions	Perinatal Transmission Rate and Efficacy
HIVNET 012 Trial; Uganda; <sup>4</sup> Breastfeeding	SD NVP vs. ZDV	No AP ARV drugs  Oral IP: SD NVP vs. oral ZDV	SD NVP within 72 hours of birth; infant only vs. ZDV for 1 week; infant only	Perinatal transmission at 6–8 weeks was 11.8% in NVP arm vs. 20.0% in ZDV arm (42% efficacy) and 15.7% in NVP arm vs. 25.8% in ZDV arm at 18 months (41% efficacy).
SAINT Trial; South Africa; <sup>6</sup> Breastfeeding and formula feeding	SD NVP vs. ZDV plus 3TC	No AP ARV drugs Oral IP: SD NVP vs. ZDV plus 3TC	SD NVP within 48 hours of birth; mother and infant vs. ZDV plus 3TC for 1 week; mother and infant	Perinatal transmission at 8 weeks was 12.3% in SD NVP arm vs. 9.3% in ZDV plus 3TC arm (difference not statistically significant, <i>P</i> = 0.11).
PHPT-1; Thailand; <sup>13</sup> Formula feeding	4 ZDV regimens with different durations of AP and infant PP administration; no placebo	Long (from 28 weeks) or short (from 36 weeks) Oral IP	Long (6 weeks) or short (3 days); infant only	Perinatal transmission rate was 10.5% in the short-short arm. This arm was stopped at interim analysis.  Perinatal transmission at 6 months was 6.5% in long-long arm vs. 4.7% in long-short arm and 8.6% in short-long arm (no statistical difference). <i>In utero</i> transmission was significantly higher with short vs. long maternal therapy regimens (5.1% vs. 1.6%).
PACTG 316 Trial; Bahamas, Belgium, Brazil, France, Germany, Italy, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, United Kingdom, United States; <sup>21</sup> Formula feeding	SD NVP vs. placebo among women already receiving ZDV alone (23%) or ZDV plus other ARV drugs (77% combination therapy)	Nonstudy ARV regimen  Oral IP:  Placebo vs. SD NVP plus IV ZDV	Placebo vs. SD NVP within 72 hours of birth plus nonstudy ARV drugs (ZDV); infant only	77% of women received dual- or triple-combination ARV regimens during pregnancy.  Trial stopped early because of very low perinatal transmission in both arms: 1.4% in SD NVP arm vs. 1.6% in placebo arm (53% of perinatal transmission was <i>in utero</i> ).
PHPT-2; Thailand; <sup>40</sup> Formula feeding	ZDV alone vs. ZDV plus maternal and infant SD NVP vs. ZDV plus maternal SD NVP	ZDV from 28 weeks  Oral IP:  ZDV alone, or  ZDV plus SD NVP	ZDV for 1 week with or without SD NVP; infant only	ZDV-alone arm was stopped because the rate of perinatal transmission was higher in this arm than in the ZDV/NVP arm (6.3% vs. 1.1%, respectively). In arms in which the mother received SD NVP, the perinatal transmission rate did not differ significantly whether the infant received SD NVP or not (2.0% vs. 2.8%, respectively).
DITRAME Plus (ANRS 1201.0) Trial; Ivory Coast; <sup>15</sup> Breastfeeding and formula feeding	Open label, ZDV plus SD NVP	ZDV from 36 weeks  Oral IP:  ZDV plus SD NVP	SD NVP plus ZDV for 1 week; infant only	Perinatal transmission at 6 weeks was 6.5% (95% CI, 3.9% to 9.1%); perinatal transmission for historical control group receiving short ZDV (98% of whom were breastfed) was 12.8%.
DITRAME Plus (ANRS 1201.1) Trial; Ivory Coast; <sup>15</sup> Breastfeeding and formula feeding	Open label, ZDV plus 3TC plus SD NVP	ZDV plus 3TC from 32 weeks (stopped at 3 days PP) Oral IP: • ZDV plus 3TC plus SD NVP	SD NVP plus ZDV for 1 week; infant only	Perinatal transmission at 6 weeks was 4.7% (95% CI, 2.4% to 7.0%); perinatal transmission for historical control group receiving short ZDV (98% of whom were breastfed) was 12.8%.

# Supplemental Table 1. Results of Major Studies on Antiretroviral Interventions to Prevent Perinatal HIV Transmission (page 3 of 7)

Study Name; Location(s); Mode of Infant Feeding	Antiretroviral Drugs	Antepartum and Intrapartum Interventions	Postpartum Interventions	Perinatal Transmission Rate and Efficacy
NVAZ Trial; Malawi; <sup>7</sup> Breastfeeding	Neonatal SD NVP vs. SD NVP plus ZDV	No AP or IP ARV drugs	SD NVP with or without ZDV for 1 week; infant only	Perinatal transmission at 6–8 weeks was 15.3% in SD NVP plus ZDV arm vs. 20.9% in SD NVP-only arm.  Perinatal transmission rates at 6–8 weeks among infants without HIV at birth were 7.7% and 12.1%, respectively (36% efficacy).
Postnatal NVP plus ZDV Trial; Malawi; <sup>8</sup> Breastfeeding	Neonatal SD NVP vs. SD NVP plus ZDV	No AP ARV  Oral IP:  • SD NVP	SD NVP with or without ZDV for 1 week; infant only	Perinatal transmission at 6–8 weeks was 16.3% in NVP plus ZDV arm vs. 14.1% in SD NVP-only arm (difference not statistically significant).  Perinatal transmission rates at 6–8 weeks among infants without HIV at birth were 6.5% and 16.9%, respectively.
Post-Exposure Infant Prophylaxis; South Africa; <sup>9</sup> Breastfeeding and formula feeding	Neonatal SD NVP vs. ZDV for 6 weeks	No AP or IP ARV drugs	SD NVP vs. ZDV for 6 weeks	For formula-fed infants only, perinatal transmission at 6 weeks was 14.3% in SD NVP arm vs. 14.1% in ZDV arm (not significant, $P = 0.30$ ). For breastfed infants only, perinatal transmission was 12.2% in SD NVP arm vs. 19.6% in ZDV arm ( $P = 0.03$ ).
Mashi; Botswana; <sup>41,42</sup> Breastfeeding and formula feeding	Initial:  • Short-course ZDV with/without maternal and infant SD NVP and with/without breastfeeding  Revised:  • Short-course ZDV plus infant SD NVP with/without maternal SD NVP and with/without breastfeeding; women with CD4 counts <200 cells/ mm³ received combination therapy.	First Randomization:  • ZDV from 34 weeks  Oral IP:  • ZDV plus either SD NVP or placebo	Second Randomization:  • Breastfeeding plus ZDV (infant) 6 months plus SD NVP; infant only, vs.  • Formula feeding plus ZDV (infant) 4 weeks plus SD NVP; infant only	<ul> <li>Initial Design:</li> <li>In formula-feeding arm, perinatal transmission at 1 month was 2.4% in maternal and infant SD NVP arm vs. 8.3% in placebo arm (P = 0.05).</li> <li>In breastfeeding plus infant ZDV arm, perinatal transmission at 1 month was 8.4% in SD NVP arm vs. 4.1% in placebo arm (difference not statistically significant).</li> <li>Revised Design:</li> <li>Perinatal transmission at 1 month was 4.3% in maternal plus infant SD NVP arm vs. 3.7% in maternal placebo plus infant SD NVP arm (no significant difference; no interaction with mode of infant feeding).</li> <li>Perinatal transmission at 7 months was 9.1% in breastfeeding plus ZDV arm vs. 5.6% in formula-feeding arm; mortality at 7 months was 4.9% in breastfeeding plus ZDV arm vs. 9.3% in formula-feeding arm; HIV-free survival at 18 months was 15.6% in the breastfeeding plus ZDV arm vs. 14.2% in the formula-feeding arm.</li> </ul>
SWEN; Uganda, Ethiopia, India; <sup>24</sup> Breastfeeding	SD NVP vs. NVP for 6 weeks	No AP ARV drugs  Oral IP:  • SD NVP	Infant SD NVP vs. NVP for 6 weeks	Postnatal Infection in Infants Without HIV at Birth:  • Perinatal transmission at 6 weeks was 5.3% in SD NVP arm vs. 2.5% in extended NVP arm (risk ratio 0.54, P = 0.009).  • Perinatal transmission at 6 months was 9.0% in SD NVP arm vs. 6.9% in extended NVP arm (risk ratio 0.80, P = 0.16).  HIV-free survival was significantly lower in extended NVP arm at both 6 weeks and 6 months of age.

# Supplemental Table 1. Results of Major Studies on Antiretroviral Interventions to Prevent Perinatal HIV Transmission (page 4 of 7)

Study Name; Location(s); Mode of Infant Feeding	Antiretroviral Drugs	Antepartum and Intrapartum Interventions	Postpartum Interventions	Perinatal Transmission Rate and Efficacy
PEPI-Malawi Trial; Malawi; <sup>23</sup> Breastfeeding	SD NVP plus ZDV for 1 week (control) vs. 2 extended infant regimens (NVP or NVP/ZDV) for 14 weeks	No AP ARV drugs  Oral IP: SD NVP (if mother presents in time)	Infant SD NVP plus ZDV for 1 week (control) vs. Control plus NVP for 14 weeks vs. Control plus NVP/ ZDV for 14 weeks	Postnatal Infection in Infants Without HIV at Birth:  • Perinatal transmission at 6 weeks was 5.1% in control arm vs. 1.7% in extended NVP arm (67% efficacy) and 1.6% in extended NVP/ZDV arm (69% efficacy).  • Perinatal transmission at 9 months was 10.6% in control arm vs. 5.2% in extended NVP arm (51% efficacy) and 6.4% in extended NVP/ZDV arm (40% efficacy).  No significant difference in perinatal transmission between the extended prophylaxis arms; however, more hematologic toxicity with NVP/ZDV.
MITRA; Tanzania; <sup>26</sup> Breastfeeding	Infant 3TC for 6 months (observational)	ZDV/3TC from 36 weeks through labor	Maternal ZDV/3TC for 1 week; infant 3TC for 6 months	Perinatal transmission at 6 months was 4.9% (postnatal perinatal transmission between 6 weeks and 6 months was 1.2%).
Kisumu Breastfeeding Study; Kenya; <sup>29</sup> Breastfeeding	Maternal triple- drug prophylaxis (observational)	ZDV/3TC/NVP (NFV if CD4 count >250 cells/mm³) from 34 weeks through labor	Maternal ZDV/3TC/ NVP (NFV if CD4 count >250 cells/ mm³) for 6 months, infant SD NVP	Perinatal transmission at 6 months was 5.0% (postnatal perinatal transmission between 7 days and 6 months was 2.6%).
MITRA-PLUS; Tanzania; <sup>25</sup> Breastfeeding	Maternal triple- drug prophylaxis (observational)	ZDV/3TC/NVP (NFV if CD4 count >200 cells/mm³) from 34 weeks through labor	Maternal ZDV/3TC/ NVP (NFV if CD4 count >200 cells/mm³) for 6 months, infant ZDV/3TC for 1 week	Perinatal transmission at 6 months was 5.0% (postnatal perinatal transmission between 6 weeks and 6 months was 0.9%), not significantly different from 6-month infant prophylaxis in MITRA.
Kesho Bora; Multi-African; <sup>28</sup> Breastfeeding primarily	AP ZDV/SD NVP with no postnatal prophylaxis vs. Maternal triple-drug prophylaxis in women with CD4 counts 200–500 cells/mm³	Arm 1:  • ZDV/3TC/LPV/r  Arm 2:  • ZDV plus SD NVP  From 28 weeks through labor	Arm 1:  • Maternal ZDV/3TC/ LPV/r for 6 months, infant SD NVP plus ZDV for 1 week  Arm 2:  • Maternal ZDV/3TC for 1 week (no further postnatal prophylaxis), infant SD NVP plus ZDV for 1 week (no further postnatal prophylaxis)	Perinatal transmission at birth was 1.8% with maternal triple-drug prophylaxis (Arm 1) vs. 2.5% with ZDV/SD NVP (Arm 2), not significantly different. In women with CD4 counts 350–500 cells/mm³, perinatal transmission at birth was 1.7% in both arms.  Perinatal transmission at 12 months was 5.4% with maternal triple-drug prophylaxis (Arm 1) vs. 9.5% with ZDV/SD NVP (with no further postnatal prophylaxis after 1 week) (Arm 2) ( <i>P</i> = 0.029).
Mma Bana; Botswana; <sup>2</sup> Breastfeeding	Compared 2 maternal triple-drug prophylaxis regimens in women with CD4 counts >200 cells/ mm <sup>3</sup>	Arm 1: • ZDV/3TC/ABC  Arm 2: • ZDV/3TC/LPV/r  From 26 weeks through labor	Arm 1:  • Maternal ZDV/3TC/ ABC for 6 months, infant SD NVP plus ZDV for 4 weeks  Arm 2:  • Maternal ZDV/3TC/ LPV/r for 6 months, infant SD NVP plus ZDV for 4 weeks	Perinatal transmission at 6 months overall was 1.3%: 2.1% in ZDV/3TC/ABC Arm 1 vs. 0.4% in ZDV/3TC/LPV/r Arm 2 ( <i>P</i> = 0.53).

# Supplemental Table 1. Results of Major Studies on Antiretroviral Interventions to Prevent Perinatal HIV Transmission (page 5 of 7)

Study Name; Location(s); Mode of Infant Feeding	Antiretroviral Drugs	Antepartum and Intrapartum Interventions	Postpartum Interventions	Perinatal Transmission Rate and Efficacy
BAN; Malawi; <sup>27,43</sup> Breastfeeding	Postpartum maternal triple-drug prophylaxis vs. infant NVP in women with CD4 counts ≥250 cells/mm³	No AP drugs  IP Regimens  Arm 1 (Control):  • ZDV/3TC plus SD  NVP  Arm 2:  • ZDV/3TC plus SD  NVP  Arm 3:  • ZDV/3TC plus SD  NVP	Arm 1 (Control):  • Maternal ZDV/ 3TC for 1 week; infant SD NVP plus ZDV/3TC for 1 week  Arm 2:  • Control as above, then maternal ZDV/3TC/LPV/r for 6 months  Arm 3:  • Control as above, then infant NVP for 6 months	<ul> <li>Postnatal Infection in Infants Without HIV at 2 Weeks:</li> <li>Perinatal transmission at 28 weeks was 5.7% in control Arm 1, 2.9% in maternal triple-drug prophylaxis Arm 2 (<i>P</i> = 0.009 vs. control), and 1.7% in infant NVP Arm 3 (<i>P</i> &lt; 0.001 vs. control).</li> <li>Perinatal transmission at 48 weeks was 7.0% in control Arm 1, 4.0% in maternal triple-drug prophylaxis Arm 2 (<i>P</i> = 0.0273 vs. control), and 4% in infant NVP Arm 3 (<i>P</i> = 0.0027 vs. control).</li> <li>No significant difference between maternal triple-drug prophylaxis (Arm 2) and infant NVP (Arm 3) (<i>P</i> = 0.12 at 28 weeks and <i>P</i> = 0.426 at 48 weeks).</li> </ul>
HPTN 046; South Africa, Tanzania, Uganda, Zimbabwe; <sup>38,44</sup> Breastfeeding	Postpartum prophylaxis to prevent breast milk transmission of HIV with 6 weeks of infant NVP vs. 6 months of infant NVP	AP drugs allowed if required for maternal health	All infants received daily NVP from birth through age 6 weeks.  Arm 1:  Daily infant NVP from 6 weeks through 6 months  Arm 2:  Daily infant placebo from 6 weeks through 6 months	In infants without HIV at age 6 weeks, the 6-month infant HIV infection rate was 1.1% (0.3% to 1.8%) in the extended NVP arm vs. 2.4% (1.3% to 3.6%) in the placebo arm ( <i>P</i> = 0.048).  18-month postnatal infection rates were 2.2% (1.1% to 3.3%) in the extended NVP arm vs. 3.1% (1.9% to 4.4%) in the placebo arm ( <i>P</i> = 0.28). HIV infection and mortality rates did not differ between arms at any age through 18 months.  At infant randomization at age 6 weeks, 29% of mothers in each arm were receiving a triple-drug ARV regimen for the treatment of HIV.  For mothers receiving triple-drug ARV regimens at the time of randomization, in infants without HIV at age 6 weeks, the 6-month infant HIV infection rate was 0.2% and not statistically different from the rates seen in the extended NVP arm (0.5%) and placebo arm (0%).  For mothers with CD4 counts >350 cells/mm³ who were not receiving triple-drug ARV regimens, in infants without HIV at age 6 weeks, the 6-month infant HIV infection rate was 0.7% (0% to 1.5%) in the extended NVP arm vs. 2.8% (1.3% to 4.4%) in the placebo arm ( <i>P</i> = 0.014).

# Supplemental Table 1. Results of Major Studies on Antiretroviral Interventions to Prevent Perinatal HIV Transmission (page 6 of 7)

Study Name; Location(s); Mode of Infant Feeding	Antiretroviral Drugs	Antepartum and Intrapartum Interventions	Postpartum Interventions	Perinatal Transmission Rate and Efficacy
NICHD-HPTN 040/ PACTG 1043 Trial; Brazil, Argentina, South Africa, United States; <sup>45</sup> Formula feeding	Infant prophylaxis with 6 weeks of ZDV vs. 6 weeks of infant ZDV plus 3 doses of NVP in first week of life vs. 6 weeks of infant ZDV plus 2 weeks 3TC/NFV	No AP drugs  If mother presented early enough, IV ZDV during labor through delivery	Arm 1 (Control):  Infant ZDV for 6 weeks  Arm 2:  Control as above plus NVP, with first dose within 48 hours of birth, second dose 48 hours later, and third dose 96 hours after second dose  Arm 3:  Control as above, plus 3TC and NFV from birth through age 2 weeks	IP HIV transmission among infants with negative HIV test at birth: 4.8% (3.2% to 7.1%) with ZDV (Arm 1) vs. 2.2% (1.2% to 3.9%) with ZDV plus NVP (Arm 2) ( $P$ = 0.046 compared with Arm 1) vs. 2.4% (1.4% to 4.3%) with ZDV plus 3TC/NFV (Arm 3) ( $P$ = 0.046 compared with Arm 1). Overall HIV transmission rates, including <i>in utero</i> infection: 11.0% (8.7% to 14.0%) with ZDV (Arm 1) vs. 7.1% (5.2% to 9.6%) with ZDV plus NVP (Arm 2) ( $P$ = 0.035 compared with Arm 1) vs. 7.4% (5.4% to 9.9%) with ZDV plus 3TC/NFV (Arm 3) ( $P$ = 0.035 compared with Arm 1). Grade 3 or 4 neutropenia more frequent in ZDV/3TC/NFV Arm 3 (70 infants) than in ZDV-alone Arm 1 (33 infants) or ZDV/NVP Arm 2 (32 infants) ( $P$ < 0.001).
ANRS 12174 Trial; Burkina Faso, South Africa, Uganda, Zambia; <sup>30,31</sup> Breastfeeding	Compared 2 infant ARV prophylaxis regimens during breastfeeding; infants tested PCR-negative at birth and were born to mothers with CD4 counts >350 cells/mm³	As per standard of care	Arm 1:  Daily infant LPV/r from 1 week through 50 weeks of age  Arm 2: Daily infant 3TC from 1 week through 50 weeks of age	Postnatal Infection in Infants Without HIV at Birth:  • Postnatal transmission at age 50 weeks was 1.4% (0.70–2.76) in Arm 1 vs. 1.5% (0.80–2.91) in Arm 2 ( <i>P</i> = 0.83).  • HIV-free survival was 96.5% (84.6–97.7) in Arm 1 vs. 96.3% (94.4–97.5) in Arm 2 ( <i>P</i> = 0.85).
PROMOTE; Uganda; <sup>46</sup> Breastfeeding	Compared 2 triple- ARV regimens; no CD4 restriction	Arm 1:  • ZDV/3TC/LPV/r  Arm 2:  • ZDV/3TC/EFV  • ARVs started at 12–28 weeks' gestation and continued through labor	Randomized regimen continued postpartum through 1 year of breastfeeding	HIV-free survival was 92.9% in the LPV/r arm vs. 97.2% in the EFV arm ( <i>P</i> = 0.10). Only 2 of 374 liveborn infants acquired infection, both in the LPV/r arm.
PROMISE; India, Malawi, South Africa, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia, Zimbabwe; <sup>18</sup> Breastfeeding and formula feeding (antepartum component)	Compared ZDV prophylaxis and 2 ART regimens during pregnancy among women at >14 weeks' gestation and with CD4 counts ≥350 cells/mm³	Arm 1:  • ZDV during pregnancy plus SD NVP plus TDF plus FTC at delivery  Arm 2:  • ZDV plus 3TC plus LPV/r  Arm 3:  • TDF plus FTC plus LPV/r	Arm 1:  • TDF/FTC tail continued for 6–14 days postpartum  Arms 2 and 3:  • ART regimen continued for 6–14 days postpartum  Infants received once-daily NVP for 6 weeks.	Infant HIV Infection Rates by Age 14 Days  Arm 1:  • 1.8% (25/1,386)  Arm 2:  • 0.5% (7/1,385)  Arm 3:  • 0.6% (2/325)  Combined ART arms vs. ZDV arm difference in perinatal transmission risk:  -1.3% (95% CI, -2.1% to -0.4%)

### Supplemental Table 1. Results of Major Studies on Antiretroviral Interventions to Prevent Perinatal **HIV Transmission** (page 7 of 7)

Study Name; Location(s); Mode of Infant Feeding	Antiretroviral Drugs	Antepartum and Intrapartum Interventions	Postpartum Interventions	Perinatal Transmission Rate and Efficacy
PROMISE; India, Malawi, South Africa, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia, Zimbabwe; <sup>18</sup> Breastfeeding (postpartum component)	Compared infant NVP and maternal ART during breastfeeding among infants born to women with CD4 counts ≥350 cells/ mm³	This was a postpartum study. intervention only.  Eligible women included women enrolled in PROMISE antepartum (see above) and women who received no ARV drugs during pregnancy.	Arm 1:  • Mothers received TDF plus FTC plus LPV/r  Arm 2:  • Once-daily infant NVP  Regimens were continued until 42 days after last breastmilk exposure or age 18 months, whichever came first.	Infant Infection Rates:  Arm 1:  • 0.57% (7/1,219)  Arm 2:  • 0.58% (7/1,211)  Rates of Infant HIV-1–Free Survival at 24 Months  Arm 1:  • 97.1%  Arm 2:  • 97.7%

Key to Acronyms: 3TC = lamivudine; ABC = abacavir; AP = antepartum; ARV = antiretroviral; ART = antiretroviral therapy; CD4 = CD4 T lymphocyte: CDC = Centers for Disease Control and Prevention: CI = confidence interval: EFV = efavirenz: FTC = emtricitabine: IP = intrapartum; IV = intravenous; LPV/r = lopinavir/ritonavir; NFV = nelfinavir; NVP = nevirapine; PCR = polymerase chain reaction; PP = postpartum; SD = single-dose; TDF = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate; ZDV = zidovudine

#### References

- Connor EM, Sperling RS, Gelber R, et al. Reduction of maternal-infant transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 with zidovudine treatment. Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group Protocol 076 Study Group. N Engl J Med. 1994;331(18):1173-1180. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7935654.
- Shapiro RL, Hughes MD, Ogwu A, et al. Antiretroviral regimens in pregnancy and breast-feeding in Botswana. N Engl 2. J Med. 2010;362(24):2282-2294. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20554983.
- Kesho Bora Study Group. Eighteen-month follow-up of HIV-1-infected mothers and their children enrolled in the kesho bora study observational cohorts, J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2010;54(5):533-541. Available at: http://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20543706.
- Jackson JB, Musoke P, Fleming T, et al. Intrapartum and neonatal single-dose nevirapine compared with zidovudine for prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 in Kampala, Uganda: 18-month follow-up of the HIVNET 012 randomised trial. Lancet. 2003;362(9387):859-868. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/13678973.
- Petra Study Team. Efficacy of three short-course regimens of zidovudine and lamivudine in preventing early and late transmission of HIV-1 from mother to child in Tanzania, South Africa, and Uganda (Petra study): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet. 2002;359(9313):1178-1186. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/11955535.
- Moodley D, Moodley J, Coovadia H, et al. A multicenter randomized controlled trial of nevirapine versus a combination of zidovudine and lamivudine to reduce intrapartum and early postpartum mother-to-child transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1. J Infect Dis. 2003;187(5):725-735. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/12599045.
- Taha TE, Kumwenda NI, Gibbons A, et al. Short postexposure prophylaxis in newborn babies to reduce mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1: NVAZ randomised clinical trial. Lancet. 2003;362(9391):1171-1177. Available at: http://www. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14568737.
- Taha TE, Kumwenda NI, Hoover DR, et al. Nevirapine and zidovudine at birth to reduce perinatal transmission of HIV 8. in an African setting: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA. 2004;292(2):202-209. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/pubmed/15249569.

- Gray GE, Urban M, Chersich MF, et al. A randomized trial of two postexposure prophylaxis regimens to reduce motherto-child HIV-1 transmission in infants of untreated mothers, AIDS, 2005;19(12):1289-1297, Available at: http://www. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16052084.
- 10. Wiktor SZ, Ekpini E, Karon JM, et al. Short-course oral zidovudine for prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 in Abidjan, Cote d'Ivoire: a randomised trial. *Lancet*. 1999;353(9155):781-785. Available at: http://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10459958.
- 11. Leroy V, Karon JM, Alioum A, et al. Twenty-four month efficacy of a maternal short-course zidovudine regimen to prevent mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 in West Africa. AIDS. 2002;16(4):631-641. Available at: http://www. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11873008.
- Shaffer N, Chuachoowong R, Mock PA, et al. Short-course zidovudine for perinatal HIV-1 transmission in Bangkok, Thailand: a randomised controlled trial. Bangkok collaborative perinatal HIV transmission study group. Lancet. 1999;353(9155);773-780. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10459957.
- 13. Lallemant M, Jourdain G, Le Coeur S, et al. A trial of shortened zidovudine regimens to prevent mother-to-child transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1. Perinatal HIV prevention trial (Thailand) investigators. N Engl J Med. 2000;343(14):982-991. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11018164.
- 14. Leroy V, Sakarovitch C, Cortina-Borja M, et al. Is there a difference in the efficacy of peripartum antiretroviral regimens in reducing mother-to-child transmission of HIV in Africa? AIDS. 2005;19(16):1865-1875. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16227795.
- 15. Dabis F, Bequet L, Ekouevi DK, et al. Field efficacy of zidovudine, lamivudine and single-dose nevirapine to prevent peripartum HIV transmission. AIDS. 2005;19(3):309-318. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/15718842.
- 16. Lallemant M, Le Coeur S, Sirirungsi W, et al. Randomized noninferiority trial of two maternal single-dose nevirapinesparing regimens to prevent perinatal HIV in Thailand. AIDS. 2015;29(18):2497-2507. Available at: http://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26372485.
- Townsend CL, Cortina-Borja M, Peckham CS, de Ruiter A, Lyall H, Tookey PA. Low rates of mother-to-child transmission of HIV following effective pregnancy interventions in the United Kingdom and Ireland, 2000-2006. AIDS. 2008;22(8):973-981. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18453857.
- 18. Fowler MG, Oin M, Fiscus SA, et al. Benefits and risks of antiretroviral therapy for perinatal HIV prevention. N Engl J Med. 2016;375(18):1726-1737. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27806243.
- 19. Wade NA, Birkhead GS, Warren BL, et al. Abbreviated regimens of zidovudine prophylaxis and perinatal transmission of the human immunodeficiency virus. N Engl J Med. 1998;339(20):1409-1414. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/pubmed/9811915.
- 20. Nielsen-Saines K, Watts DH, Veloso VG, et al. Three postpartum antiretroviral regimens to prevent intrapartum HIV infection. N Engl J Med. 2012;366(25):2368-2379. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22716975.
- Dorenbaum A, Cunningham CK, Gelber RD, et al. Two-dose intrapartum/newborn nevirapine and standard antiretroviral therapy to reduce perinatal HIV transmission: a randomized trial. JAMA. 2002;288(2):189-198. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12095383.
- 22. Committee on Pediatric AIDS. Infant feeding and transmission of human immunodeficiency virus in the United States. Pediatrics. 2013;131(2):391-396. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23359577.
- 23. Kumwenda NI, Hoover DR, Mofenson LM, et al. Extended antiretroviral prophylaxis to reduce breast-milk HIV-1 transmission. N Engl J Med. 2008;359(2):119-129. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18525035.
- 24. Six Week Extended-Dose Nevirapine Study Team, Bedri A, Gudetta B, et al. Extended-dose nevirapine to 6 weeks of age for infants to prevent HIV transmission via breastfeeding in Ethiopia, India, and Uganda: an analysis of three randomised controlled trials. Lancet. 2008;372(9635):300-313. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/18657709.
- Kilewo C, Karlsson K, Ngarina M, et al. Prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 through breastfeeding by treating mothers with triple antiretroviral therapy in Dar es Salaam, Tanzania: the mitra plus study, J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2009;52(3):406-416. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19730269.
- Kilewo C, Karlsson K, Massawe A, et al. Prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 through breast-feeding by treating infants prophylactically with lamivudine in Dar es Salaam, Tanzania: the mitra study. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2008;48(3):315-323. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18344879.

- 27. Chasela CS, Hudgens MG, Jamieson DJ, et al. Maternal or infant antiretroviral drugs to reduce HIV-1 transmission. *N Engl J Med.* 2010;362(24):2271-2281. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20554982.
- 28. Kesho Bora Study Group, de Vincenzi I. Triple antiretroviral compared with zidovudine and single-dose nevirapine prophylaxis during pregnancy and breastfeeding for prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 (kesho bora study): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2011;11(3):171-180. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21237718">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21237718</a>.
- 29. Thomas TK, Masaba R, Borkowf CB, et al. Triple-antiretroviral prophylaxis to prevent mother-to-child HIV transmission through breastfeeding--the Kisumu Breastfeeding Study, Kenya: a clinical trial. *PLoS Med*. 2011;8(3):e1001015. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21468300">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21468300</a>.
- 30. Kankasa C, Nagot N, Meda N. Infant lopinavir/r versus 3TC to prevent postnatal HIV-1 transmission: the ANRS 12174 trial. Presented at: 21st Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2014. Boston, MA.
- 31. Nagot N, Kankasa C, Tumwine JK, et al. Extended pre-exposure prophylaxis with lopinavir-ritonavir versus lamivudine to prevent HIV-1 transmission through breastfeeding up to 50 weeks in infants in Africa (ANRS 12174): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2016;387(10018):566-573. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26603917.
- 32. Flynn PM, Taha TE, Cababasay M, et al. Prevention of HIV-1 transmission through breastfeeding: efficacy and safety of maternal antiretroviral therapy versus infant nevirapine prophylaxis for duration of breastfeeding in HIV-1-infected women with high CD4 cell count (IMPAACT PROMISE): a randomized, open-label, clinical trial. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2018;77(4):383-392. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29239901.
- 33. Mofenson LM. Protecting the next generation--eliminating perinatal HIV-1 infection. *N Engl J Med*. 2010;362(24):2316-2318. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20554987">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20554987</a>.
- 34. Moorthy A, Gupta A, Bhosale R, et al. Nevirapine resistance and breast-milk HIV transmission: effects of single and extended-dose nevirapine prophylaxis in subtype C HIV-infected infants. *PLoS One*. 2009;4(1):e4096. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19119321">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19119321</a>.
- 35. Lidstrom J, Guay L, Musoke P, et al. Multi-class drug resistance arises frequently in HIV-infected breastfeeding infants whose mothers initiate HAART postpartum. Presented at: 17th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2010. San Francisco, CA.
- 36. Zeh C, Weidle PJ, Nafisa L, et al. HIV-1 drug resistance emergence among breastfeeding infants born to HIV-infected mothers during a single-arm trial of triple-antiretroviral prophylaxis for prevention of mother-to-child transmission: a secondary analysis. *PLoS Med.* 2011;8(3):e1000430. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21468304">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21468304</a>.
- 37. Fogel J, Li Q, Taha TE, et al. Initiation of antiretroviral treatment in women after delivery can induce multiclass drug resistance in breastfeeding HIV-infected infants. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2011;52(8):1069-1076. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21460326">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21460326</a>.
- 38. Coovadia HM, Brown ER, Fowler MG, et al. Efficacy and safety of an extended nevirapine regimen in infant children of breastfeeding mothers with HIV-1 infection for prevention of postnatal HIV-1 transmission (HPTN 046): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2012;379(9812):221-228. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22196945">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22196945</a>.
- 39. Dabis F, Msellati P, Meda N, et al. 6-month efficacy, tolerance, and acceptability of a short regimen of oral zidovudine to reduce vertical transmission of HIV in breastfed children in Cote d'Ivoire and Burkina Faso: a double-blind placebo-controlled multicentre trial. DITRAME Study Group. DIminution de la transmission mere-enfant. *Lancet*. 1999;353(9155):786-792. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10459959">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10459959</a>.
- 40. Lallemant M, Jourdain G, Le Coeur S, et al. Single-dose perinatal nevirapine plus standard zidovudine to prevent mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 in Thailand. *N Engl J Med*. 2004;351(3):217-228. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15247338">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15247338</a>.
- 41. Shapiro RL, Thior I, Gilbert PB, et al. Maternal single-dose nevirapine versus placebo as part of an antiretroviral strategy to prevent mother-to-child HIV transmission in Botswana. *AIDS*. 2006;20(9):1281-1288. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16816557">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16816557</a>.
- 42. Thior I, Lockman S, Smeaton LM, et al. Breastfeeding plus infant zidovudine prophylaxis for 6 months vs formula feeding plus infant zidovudine for 1 month to reduce mother-to-child HIV transmission in Botswana: a randomized trial: the Mashi Study. *JAMA*. 2006;296(7):794-805. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16905785">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16905785</a>.
- 43. Jamieson DJ, Chasela CS, Hudgens MG, et al. Maternal and infant antiretroviral regimens to prevent postnatal HIV-1 transmission: 48-week follow-up of the BAN randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2012. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22541418">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22541418</a>.

- 44. Fowler MG, Coovadia H, Herron CM, et al. Efficacy and safety of an extended nevirapine regimen in infants of breastfeeding mothers with HIV-1 infection for prevention of HIV-1 transmission (HPTN 046): 18-month results of a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2014;65(3):366-374. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24189151">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24189151</a>.
- 45. Nielsen-Saines K, et al. Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) pharmacokinetics (PK) with daily dosing in the first week of life (HPTN 057). Abstract no. TUAB0201. Presented at: 19th International AIDS Conference. 2012. Washington, DC.
- 46. Cohan D, Natureeba P, Koss CA, et al. Efficacy and safety of lopinavir/ritonavir versus efavirenz-based antiretroviral therapy in HIV-infected pregnant Ugandan women. *AIDS*. 2015;29(2):183-191. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25426808">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25426808</a>.

### **Appendix B: Safety and Toxicity of Individual Antiretroviral Agents in Pregnancy**

Table 8. Antiretroviral Drug Use in Pregnant Women with HIV: Pharmacokinetic and Toxicity Data in Human Pregnancy and Recommendations for Use in Pregnancy<sup>a</sup> (page 1 of 18)

**Note:** When using FDCs, refer to other sections in Appendix B and Table 8 for information about the dosing and safety of individual drug components of the FDC during pregnancy.

(Abbreviation)  Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations	Use in Pregnancy	Last Reviewed
		egimens, usually including 2 NRTIs with either an NNRTI or 1 or more PIs. Us ntial maternal and infant mitochondrial toxicity.	e of single or dual NRTIs alone is not recomm	mended for
Abacavir (ABC) Ziagen (ABC/3TC) Epzicom (ABC/DTG/3TC) Triumeq (ABC/3TC/ZDV) Trizivir Note: Generic products are available for some formulations.	ABC (Ziagen) <sup>d</sup> Tablet: • 300 mg Oral Solution: • 20 mg/mL ABC/3TC (Epzicom): <sup>d</sup> • ABC 600 mg/3TC 300 mg tablet ABC/DTG/3TC (Triumeq): • ABC 600 mg/DTG 50 mg/3TC 300 mg tablet ABC/3TC/ZDV (Trizivir): <sup>d</sup> • ABC 300 mg/3TC 150 mg/ZDV 300 mg tablet	Standard Adult Doses  ABC (Ziagen):  • ABC 300 mg twice daily or ABC 600 mg once daily, without regard to food  ABC/3TC (Epzicom):  • One tablet once daily without regard to food  ABC/DTG/3TC (Triumeq):  • One tablet daily without regard to food  ABC/3TC/ZDV (Trizivir):  • One tablet twice daily without regard to food  Pregnancy  PKs in Pregnancy:  • PKs not significantly altered in pregnancy.  Dosing in Pregnancy:  • No change in dose indicated.	High placental transfer to fetus. <sup>b</sup> No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects).  HSRs occur in approximately 5% to 8% of nonpregnant individuals. A small percentage of reactions are fatal, and these fatal reactions are usually associated with re-challenge. Rate of reactions during pregnancy is unknown. Testing for HLA-B*5701 identifies patients at risk of reactions, and a patient's status should be documented as negative before initiating ABC. Patients should be educated regarding symptoms of HSR.	December 2 2019
		For guidance about use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., 3TC, ZDV, DTG).		

Generic Name

Table 8. Antiretroviral Drug Use in Pregnant HIV-Infected Women: Pharmacokinetic and Toxicity Data in Human Pregnancy and Recommendations for Use in Pregnancy<sup>a</sup> (page 2 of 18)

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations	Use in Pregnancy	Last Reviewed
Emtricitabine	FTC (Emtriva)	Standard Adult Doses	High placental transfer	December
(FTC)	Capsule:d	FTC (Emtriva)	to fetus. <sup>b</sup>	<mark>24, 2019</mark>
Emtriva	• 200 mg	Capsule:	No evidence of human	
(FTC/EFV/TDF)	Oral Solution:	• FTC 200 mg once daily without regard to food	teratogenicity (can rule	
Atripla	• 10 mg/mL	Oral Solution: • FTC 240 mg (24 mL) once daily without regard to food	out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects).	
(FTC/BIC/TAF)	FTC/EFV/TDF (Atripla):d	FTC/EFV/TDF (Atripla):	If patient has HBV/HIV	
Biktarvy	• FTC 200 mg/EFV 600 mg/TDF 300	One tablet once daily at or before bedtime	coinfection, it is possible	
(FTC/RPV/TDF)	mg tablet	Take on an empty stomach to reduce or mitigate side effects.	that a HBV flare may occur if the drug is	
Complera	FTC/BIC/TAF (Biktarvy):	FTC/BIC/TAF (Biktarvy):	stopped; see <u>Hepatitis B</u>	
(FTC/TAF)	FTC 200 mg/BIC 50 mg/TAF 25 mg tablet	One tablet once daily with or without food	Virus/HIV Coinfection.	
Descovy		FTC/RPV/TDF (Complera):		
(FTC/EVG/c/TAF)	FTC/RPV/TDF (Complera):	One tablet once daily with food		
Genvoya	• FTC 200 mg/RPV 25 mg/TDF 300 mg tablet	FTC/TAF (Descovy):		
•		One tablet once daily with or without food		
(FTC/RPV/TAF)	FTC/TAF (Descovy):	FTC/EVG/c/TAF (Genvoya):		
Odefsey	• FTC 200 mg/TAF 25 mg tablet	One tablet once daily with food		
(FTC/EVG/c/TDF)	FTC/EVG/c/TAF (Genvoya):	FTC/RPV/TAF (Odefsey):		
Stribild	• FTC 200 mg/EVG 150 mg/COBI 150	One tablet once daily with food		
(FTC/DRV/c/TAF)	mg/TAF 10 mg tablet	FTC/EVG/c/TDF (Stribild):		
Symtuza	FTC/RPV/TAF (Odefsey):	One tablet once daily with food		
(FTC/TDF)	• FTC 200 mg/RPV 25 mg/TAF 25 mg	FTC/DRV/c/TAF (Symtuza):		
Truvada	tablet	One tablet once daily with food		
Note: Generic products	FTC/EVG/c/TDF (Stribild):	FTC/TDF (Truvada):		
are available for some	• FTC 200 mg/EVG 150 mg/COBI 150 mg/TDF 300 mg tablet	One tablet once daily without regard to food		
formulations.		Pregnancy		
	FTC/DRV/c/TAF (Symtuza): • FTC 200 mg/DRV 800 mg/COBI 150	PKs in Pregnancy:		
	mg/TAF 10 mg tablet	PKs of FTC are not significantly altered in pregnancy.		
		Dosing in Pregnancy:		
	FTC/TDF (Truvada):d	No change in dose indicated.		
	• FTC 200 mg/TDF 300 mg tablet	For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., TDF, TAF, EFV, RPV, DRV, EVG, BIC, COBI).		

Table 8. Antiretroviral Drug Use in Pregnant HIV-Infected Women: Pharmacokinetic and Toxicity Data in Human Pregnancy and Recommendations for Use in Pregnancy<sup>a</sup> (page 3 of 18)

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations	Use in Pregnancy	Last Reviewed
Lamivudine	3TC (Epivir) <sup>d</sup>	Standard Adult Doses	High placental transfer to	December
(3TC) Epivir	Tablets:	3TC (Epivir):	fetus. <sup>b</sup>	24, 2019
	• 150 mg	• 3TC 150 mg twice daily or 300 mg once daily, without regard to food	No evidence of human	
(3TC/TDF) Cimduo	• 300 mg	3TC/TDF (Cimduo):	teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall	
	Oral Solution:	One tablet once daily without regard to food	birth defects).	
(3TC/ZDV) Combivir	• 10 mg/mL	3TC/ZDV (Combivir):	If patient has HBV/HIV	
(3TC/DOR/TDF)	3TC/TDF (Cimduo):	One tablet twice daily without regard to food	coinfection, it is possible	
Delstrigo	• 3TC 300 mg/TDF 300 mg tablet	3TC/DOR/TDF (Delstrigo):	that an HBV flare may occur if the drug is stopped;	
(3TC/DTG)	3TC/ZDV (Combivir):d	One tablet once daily without regard to food	see <u>Hepatitis B Virus/HIV</u>	
Dovato	• 3TC 150 mg/ZDV 300 mg tablet	3TC/DTG (Dovato):	Coinfection.	
(3TC/ABC)	3TC/DOR/TDF (Delstrigo):	<ul> <li>One tablet once daily without regard to food</li> </ul>	3TC products that were	
Epzicom	• 3TC 300 mg/DOR 100 mg/TDF 300 mg tablet	3TC/ABC (Epzicom):	developed specifically for treatment of HBV (e.g.,	
(3TC/EFV/TDF)		One tablet once daily without regard to food	Epivir-HBV) contain a lower	
Symfi	<ul><li>3TC/DTG (Dovato):</li><li>3TC 300 mg/DTG 50 mg tablet</li></ul>	3TC/EFV/TDF (Symfi or Symfi Lo):	dose of 3TC that is not	
(3TC/EFV/TDF)		One tablet once daily on an empty stomach and preferably at bedtime	appropriate for treatment of HIV.	
Symfi Lo	3TC/ABC (Epzicom):d	3TC/TDF (Temixys):	0.1	
(3TC/TDF)	• 3TC 300 mg/ABC 600 mg tablet	One tablet once daily without regard to food		
Temixys	3TC/EFV/TDF (Symfi):	3TC/ABC/DTG (Triumeg):		
(3TC/ABC/DTG)	• 3TC 300 mg/EFV 600 mg/TDF 300 mg tablet	One tablet once daily without regard to food		
Triumeq	3TC/EFV/TDF (Symfi Lo):	3TC/ABC/ZDV (Trizivir):		
(3TC/ABC/ZDV) Trizivir	• 3TC 300 mg/EFV 400 mg/TDF 300 mg tablet	One tablet twice daily without regard to food		
	3TC/TDF (Temixys):	Pregnancy		
Note: Generic products are	• 3TC 300 mg/TDF 300 mg tablet	PKs in Pregnancy:		
available for some	3TC/ABC/DTG (Triumeq):	PKs not significantly altered in pregnancy.		
formulations.	• 3TC 300 mg/ABC 600 mg/DTG 50 mg tablet	Dosing in Pregnancy:		
	3TC/ABC/ZDV (Trizivir):d	No change in dose indicated.		
	• 3TC 150 mg/ABC 300 mg/ZDV 300 mg tablet	For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., ABC, DOR, DTG, EFV, TDF, ZDV)		

Table 8. Antiretroviral Drug Use in Pregnant HIV-Infected Women: Pharmacokinetic and Toxicity Data in Human Pregnancy and Recommendations for Use in Pregnancy<sup>a</sup> (page 4 of 18)

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations	Use in Pregnancy	Last Reviewed
Tenofovir Alafenamide (TAF) Vemlidy (TAF/BIC/FTC) Biktarvy (TAF/FTC) Descovy (TAF/EVG/c/FTC) Genvoya (TAF/FTC/RPV) Odefsey (TAF/DRV/c/FTC) Symtuza	TAF (Vemlidy) Tablet: • 25 mg  TAF/BIC/FTC (Biktarvy): • TAF 25 mg/BIC 50 mg/FTC 200 mg tablet  TAF/FTC (Descovy): • TAF 25 mg/FTC 200 mg tablet  TAF/EVG/c/FTC (Genvoya): • TAF 10 mg/EVG 150 mg/COBI 150 mg/FTC 200 mg tablet  TAF/FTC/RPV (Odefsey): • TAF 25 mg/FTC 200 mg/RPV 25 mg tablet  TAF/DRV/c/FTC (Symtuza): • TAF 10 mg/DRV 800 mg/COBI 150 mg/FTC 200 mg tablet	Standard Adult Doses  TAF (Vemlidy):  One tablet once daily with food  TAF/BIC/FTC (Biktarvy):  One tablet once daily with or without food  TAF/FTC (Descovy):  One tablet once daily with or without food  Same dose (TAF 25 mg) can be used with or without PK enhancers.  TAF/EVG/c/FTC (Genvoya):  One tablet once daily with food  TAF/FTC/RPV (Odefsey):  One tablet once daily with food  TAF/DRV/c/FTC (Symtuza):  One tablet once daily with food  Pregnancy  PKs in Pregnancy:  Plasma PKs not significantly altered in pregnancy.  Dosing in Pregnancy:  No change in dose indicated.  For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., BIC, COBI, DRV, EVG, FTC, RPV).	Low placental transfer to fetus. <sup>b</sup> Insufficient data to assess for teratogenicity in humans. No evidence of teratogenicity in rats.  Renal function should be monitored because of the potential for renal toxicity.	December 24, 2019

Table 8. Antiretroviral Drug Use in Pregnant HIV-Infected Women: Pharmacokinetic and Toxicity Data in Human Pregnancy and Recommendations for Use in Pregnancy<sup>a</sup> (page 5 of 18)

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations	Use in Pregnancy	Last Reviewed
(Abbreviation)	TDF (Viread)  Tablet:d  • 300 mg  Powder: • 40 mg/1 g oral powder  TDF/EFV/FTC (Atripla): • TDF 300 mg/EFV 600 mg/FTC 200 mg tablet  TDF/3TC (Cimduo): • TDF 300 mg/3TC 300 mg tablet  TDF/FTC/RPV (Complera): • TDF 300 mg/FTC 200 mg/RPV 25 mg tablet  TDF/DOR/3TC (Delstrigo): • TDF 300 mg/DOR 100 mg/3TC 300 mg tablet  TDF/EVG/c/FTC (Stribild): • TDF 300 mg/EVG 150 mg/COBI 150 mg/FTC 200 mg tablet	Standard Adult Doses  TDF (Viread)  Tablet:  • TDF 300 mg once daily without regard to food  Powder:  • TDF 8 mg/kg daily (up to a maximum of TDF 300 mg). Take with food.  TDF/EFV/FTC (Atripla):  • One tablet once daily at or before bedtime. Take on an empty stomach to reduce side effects.  TDF/3TC (Cimduo):  • One tablet once daily without regard to food  TDF/FTC/RPV (Complera):  • One tablet once daily with food  TDF/DOR/3TC (Delstrigo):  • One tablet once daily without regard to food  TDF/EVG/c/FTC (Stribild):  • One tablet once daily with food  TDF/EFV/3TC (Symfi or Symfi Lo):  • One tablet once daily on an empty stomach and preferably at bedtime	High placental transfer to fetus. <sup>b</sup> No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects).  Studies in monkeys (at doses approximately 2-fold higher than those for human therapeutic use) show decreased fetal growth and reduction in fetal bone porosity within 2 months of starting maternal therapy. Human studies demonstrate no consistent link to low birth weight, but data are conflicting about potential effects on growth outcomes later in infancy.  If patient has HBV/HIV coinfection, it is possible that an HBV flare may occur if TDF is stopped; see Hepatitis	December 24, 2019
(TDF/FTC) Truvada	TDF/EFV/3TC (Symfi): • TDF 300 mg/EFV 600 mg/3TC 300 mg tablet	**TDF/3TC (Temixys):  • One tablet once daily without regard to food  **TDF/FTC (Truvada):	B Virus/HIV Coinfection.  Renal function should be monitored because of	
Note: Generic products are available for some formulations.	TDF/EFV/3TC (Symfi Lo): • TDF 300 mg/EFV 400 mg/3TC 300 mg tablet	One tablet once daily without regard to food     Pregnancy     PKs in Pregnancy:	potential for renal toxicity.	
	TDF/3TC (Temixys):	• AUC is lower in third trimester than postpartum, but trough levels are adequate.		
	• TDF 300 mg/3TC 300 mg tablet  TDF/FTC (Truvada):	Dosing in Pregnancy:     No change in dose is indicated.		
	• TDF 300 mg/FTC 200 mg tablet	For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., 3TC, COBI, DOR, EFV, EVG, FTC, RPV)		

Table 8. Antiretroviral Drug Use in Pregnant HIV-Infected Women: Pharmacokinetic and Toxicity Data in Human Pregnancy and Recommendations for Use in Pregnancy<sup>a</sup> (page 6 of 18)

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations	Use in Pregnancy	Last Reviewed
Zidovudine (ZDV) Retrovir  (ZDV/3TC) Combivir  (ZDV/ABC/3TC) Trizivir  Note: Generic products are available for all formulations.	ZDV (Retrovir) Capsule: • 100 mg Tablet: • 300 mg Oral Solution: • 10 mg/mL IV Solution: • 10 mg/mL ZDV/3TC (Combivir): • ZDV 300 mg/3TC 150 mg tablet ZDV/ABC/3TC (Trizivir): • ZDV 300 mg/ABC 300 mg/3TC 150 mg tablet	Standard Adult Doses  ZDV (Retrovir):  • ZDV 300 mg twice daily or ZDV 200 mg three times a day without regard to food  • Patients in active labor should receive ZDV 2 mg/kg IV as a loading dose, followed by ZDV 1 mg/kg/hour continuous infusion from beginning of active labor until delivery.  ZDV/3TC (Combivir):  • One tablet twice daily without regard to food  ZDV/ABC/3TC (Trizivir):  • One tablet twice daily without regard to food  Pregnancy  PKs in Pregnancy:  • PKs not significantly altered in pregnancy.  Dosing in Pregnancy:  • No change in dose indicated.  For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., ABC, 3TC)	High placental transfer to fetus. <sup>b</sup> No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects).	December 24, 2019

Table 8. Antiretroviral Drug Use in Pregnant HIV-Infected Women: Pharmacokinetic and Toxicity Data in Human Pregnancy and Recommendations for Use in Pregnancy<sup>a</sup> (page 7 of 18)

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations	Use in Pregnancy	Last Reviewed
NNRTI NNRTIs are recomm	nended for use in combination regime	ens with 2 NRTI drugs. Hypersensitivity reactions, including hepatic	toxicity and rash, more common in women; unclear if increas	ed in pregnancy.
Doravirine (DOR) Pifeltro (DOR/3TC/TDF) Delstrigo	• 100 mg tablet  DOR/3TC/TDF (Delstrigo):  • DOR 100 mg/ 3TC 300 mg/ TDF 300 mg tablet	Standard Adult Doses  DOR (Pifeltro):  DOR 100 mg once daily with or without food  DOR/3TC/TDF (Delstrigo):  One tablet once daily with or without food  Pregnancy  PKs in Pregnancy:  No PK studies in human pregnancy.  Dosing in Pregnancy:  Insufficient data to make dosing recommendations.  For guidance about use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., 3TC, TDF)	No data are available on the placental transfer of DOR in humans, but animal studies suggest that DOR crosses the placenta.  Insufficient data to assess for teratogenicity in humans. No evidence of teratogenicity in rats or rabbits.	December 24, 2019
Efavirenz (EFV) Sustiva (EFV/FTC/TDF) Atripla (EFV/3TC/TDF) Symfi (EFV/3TC/TDF) Symfi Lo Note: Generic products are available for some formulations.	EFV (Sustiva) <sup>d</sup> Capsules:  • 50 mg  • 200 mg  Tablet:  • 600 mg  EFV/FTC/TDF (Atripla):  • EFV 600 mg/FTC 200 mg/TDF 300 mg tablet  EFV/3TC/TDF (Symfi):  • EFV 600 mg/3TC 300 mg/TDF 300 mg tablet  EFV/3TC/TDF (Symfi Lo):  • EFV 400 mg/3TC 300 mg/TDF 300 mg tablet	Standard Adult Doses  EFV (Sustiva):  • EFV 600 mg once daily at or before bedtime  • Take on an empty stomach to reduce side effects.  EFV/FTC/TDF (Atripla):  • One tablet once daily at or before bedtime  • Take on an empty stomach to reduce side effects.  EFV/3TC/TDF (Symfi or Symfi Lo):  • One tablet once daily on an empty stomach and preferably at bedtime  Pregnancy  PKs in Pregnancy:  • AUC is decreased during the third trimester compared with postpartum, but nearly all third-trimester participants exceeded target exposure.	Moderate placental transfer to fetus. <sup>b</sup> The FDA advises women to avoid becoming pregnant while taking EFV and advises health care providers to avoid administration during the first trimester of pregnancy, as fetal harm may occur. However, the data on more than 7,900 periconception EFV exposures from Botswana rules out a ≥3-fold increased risk of NTDs. As a result, the current Perinatal Guidelines do not restrict the use of EFV in pregnant women or in women who are planning to become pregnant. This is consistent with both the British HIV Association and WHO guidelines for use of ARV drugs in pregnancy.  EFV should be continued in pregnant women who are on a virally suppressive, EFV-based regimen, because ARV drug changes during pregnancy may be associated with loss of viral control and an increased risk of perinatal transmission (see Pregnant Women Living with HIV Who are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy).	January 17, 2020

Table 8. Antiretroviral Drug Use in Pregnant HIV-Infected Women: Pharmacokinetic and Toxicity Data in Human Pregnancy and Recommendations for Use in Pregnancy<sup>a</sup> (page 8 of 18)

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations	Use in Pregnancy	Last Reviewed
Efavirenz, continued		Dosing in Pregnancy: • No change in dose is indicated.		
		For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., 3TC, FTC, TDF)		
Etravirine (ETR) Intelence	<b>Tablets:</b> • 25 mg • 100 mg	Standard Adult Dose: • ETR 200 mg twice daily with food  Pregnancy	Placental transfer varies; it is usually in the moderate to high categories, ranging from 0.19–4.25.b Insufficient data to assess for teratogenicity in humans.	December 24, 2019
	200 mg  For patients who are unable to swallow tablets whole, the tablets may be dispersed in a glass of water.	<ul> <li>PKs in Pregnancy:</li> <li>PK data in pregnancy suggest 1.2-fold to 1.6-fold increases in ETR exposure during pregnancy.</li> <li>Dosing in Pregnancy:</li> <li>No change in dose indicated.</li> </ul>	No evidence of teratogenicity in rats or rabbits.	
Nevirapine (NVP) Viramune	NVP (Viramune) Tablet:	Standard Adult Doses:  NVP 200 mg once daily (using Viramune immediate release) for a 14-day lead-in period; thereafter, NVP 200 mg twice	High placental transfer to fetus. <sup>b</sup> No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-	December 24, 2019
Viramune XR  Note: Generic	• 200 mg <sup>d</sup> Oral Suspension: • 50 mg/5 mL <sup>d</sup> Viramune XR  Tablets: • 100 mg	daily or 400 mg (using Viramune XR tablet) once daily, without regard to food.	fold increase in overall birth defects and 2-fold increase in cardiovascular and genitourinary defects).	
products are available for some formulations.		<ul> <li>Repeat lead-in period if therapy is discontinued for &gt;7 days.</li> <li>In patients who develop mild-to-moderate rash without constitutional symptoms during the lead-in period, continue lead-in dosing until rash resolves, but administer for ≤28 days total.</li> </ul>	There is an increased risk of symptomatic liver toxicity when first initiating therapy in women with CD4 counts ≥250/mm³. Liver toxicity is often associated with a rash and can be fatal. Pregnancy does not appear to increase this risk.	
	• 400 mg <sup>d</sup>	Pregnancy PKs in Pregnancy:	NVP should be initiated in pregnant women with CD4 counts ≥250 cells/mm³ only if benefit clearly outweighs risk. There is a potential increased risk of	
		PKs of immediate-release tablets not significantly altered in pregnancy.  No data available on extended-release formulations in	life-threatening hepatotoxicity in women with high CD4 counts. Elevated transaminase levels at baseline may increase the risk of NVP toxicity.	
		pregnancy.  Dosing in Pregnancy:  No change in dose indicated.	Women who become pregnant while taking NVP-containing regimens and who are tolerating their regimens well can continue taking those regimens, regardless of their CD4 counts.	

Table 8. Antiretroviral Drug Use in Pregnant HIV-Infected Women: Pharmacokinetic and Toxicity Data in Human Pregnancy and Recommendations for Use in Pregnancy<sup>a</sup> (page 9 of 18)

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations	Use in Pregnancy	Last Reviewed
Rilpivirine (RPV) Edurant (RPV/FTC/TDF) Complera (RPV/DTG) Juluca (RPV/FTC/TAF) Odefsey	RPV (Edurant) Tablets: • 25 mg RPV/FTC/TDF (Complera): • RPV 25 mg/FTC 200 mg/TDF 300 mg tablet RPV/DTG (Juluca): • RPV 25 mg/DTG 50 mg tablet RPV/FTC/TAF (Odefsey): • RPV 25 mg/FTC 200 mg/TAF 25 mg tablet	Standard Adult Doses RPV (Edurant): RPV 25 mg once daily with food RPV/FTC/TDF (Complera): One tablet once daily with food RPV/DTG (Juluca): One tablet once daily with food RPV/FTC/TAF (Odefsey): One tablet once daily with food Pregnancy PKs in Pregnancy: RPV PKs are highly variable during pregnancy. RPV AUC and trough concentration are 20% to 50% lower in pregnancy than postpartum. While most pregnant women exceeded target exposure, those with detectable viral loads had lower RPV troughs.  Dosing in Pregnancy: While RPV plasma concentration is reduced during pregnancy, higher-than-standard doses have not been studied, and there is not enough data available to recommend a dosing change during pregnancy. Pregnant women receiving standard dosing should have their viral loads monitored more frequently than women who are not receiving RPV. For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other	Moderate to high placental transfer to fetus. <sup>b</sup> No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 2-fold increase in overall birth defects).  Two-drug regimens (e.g., the RPV/DTG FDC) are not recommended for use in pregnancy.	December 24, 2019

Table 8. Antiretroviral Drug Use in Pregnant HIV-Infected Women: Pharmacokinetic and Toxicity Data in Human Pregnancy and Recommendations for Use in Pregnancy<sup>a</sup> (page 10 of 18)

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations	Use in Pregnancy	Last Reviewed
PIs Pls block the activity Atazanavir (ATV)	ATV (Reyataz)	vme, which is required to assemble new HIV viral particles that are capable of infecting new cells.  Standard Adult Doses	Low placental transfer to fetus.b	December 24, 2019
Reyataz  Note: Generic products are available for some formulations.  Note: ATV must be	Capsules:  • 100 mg (generic product only)  • 150 mg <sup>d</sup> • 200 mg <sup>d</sup> • 300 mg <sup>d</sup>	<ul> <li>In ARV-Naive Patients without RTV Boosting:</li> <li>ATV 400 mg once daily with food; ATV without RTV boosting is not recommended when used with TDF, H2-receptor antagonists, PPIs, or during pregnancy.</li> <li>In ARV-Naive Patients with RTV Boosting:</li> <li>ATV 300 mg plus RTV 100 mg once daily with food</li> <li>When combined with EFV in ARV-naive patients: ATV 400 mg plus RTV 100 mg once daily with</li> </ul>	No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects).  Must be given with RTV boosting in pregnancy.	24, 2010
combined with low-dose RTV boosting in pregnancy.  (ATV/c)  Evotaz	Oral Powder: • 50 mg packet  ATV/c (Evotaz): • ATV 300 mg/ COBI 150 mg tablet	food  In ARV-Experienced Patients:  • ATV 300 mg plus RTV 100 mg once daily with food  • <u>Do not use</u> with PPIs or EFV  In ARV-Experienced Patients Who Are Receiving an H2-Receptor Antagonist:  • ATV 300 mg plus RTV 100 mg once daily with food  In ARV-Experienced Patients Who Are Receiving an H2-Receptor Antagonist and TDF:  • ATV 400 mg plus RTV 100 mg once daily with food	Effect of <i>in utero</i> ATV exposure on infant indirect bilirubin levels is unclear. Nonpathologic elevations of neonatal bilirub have been observed in some, but not all, clinical trials to date.  Oral powder (but <u>not</u> capsules) contains phenylalanine, which can be harmful to patients with phenylketonuria.	
		Powder Formulation:  Oral powder is taken with RTV once daily with food at the same recommended adult dose as the capsules.  ATV/c (Evotaz): One tablet once daily with food  Pregnancy PKs in Pregnancy ATV (Reyataz): ATV concentrations are reduced during pregnancy, and they are further reduced when ATV is given concomitantly with TDF or an H2-receptor antagonist.  ATV/c (Evotaz):	Use of ATV/c is not recommended during pregnancy. See Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy, Table 4, and Table 5 for discussions about avoiding the use of ATV/c during pregnancy.	
		• Use of ATV/c <u>is not recommended</u> during pregnancy, because ATV trough concentrations are 80% to 85% lower than the ATV concentrations seen in nonpregnant adults.		

Table 8. Antiretroviral Drug Use in Pregnant HIV-Infected Women: Pharmacokinetic and Toxicity Data in Human Pregnancy and Recommendations for Use in Pregnancy<sup>a</sup> (page 11 of 18)

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations	Use in Pregnancy	Last Reviewed
Atazanavir, continued		<ul> <li>Dosing in Pregnancy</li> <li>ATV (Reyataz):</li> <li>Use of unboosted ATV is not recommended for ARV-experienced pregnant women who are taking TDF and an H2-receptor antagonist.</li> <li>Use of an increased dose (ATV 400 mg plus RTV 100 mg once daily with food) during the second and third trimesters results in plasma ATV concentrations equivalent to those seen in nonpregnant adults receiving standard dosing. Although some experts recommend increased ATV dosing in all women during the second and third trimesters, the package insert recommends increased ATV dosing only for ARV-experienced pregnant women in the second and third trimesters who are also receiving either TDF or an H2-receptor antagonist.</li> <li>ATV/c (Evotaz):</li> <li>Insufficient data to make dosing recommendation in pregnancy (see COBI).</li> <li>For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., COBI).</li> </ul>		
Darunavir (DRV) Prezista  Note: Must be combined with low-dose RTV or COBI boosting. (DRV/c) Prezcobix (DRV/c/FTC/TAF) Symtuza	DRV (Prezista) Tablet: • 75 mg • 150 mg • 600 mg • 800 mg Oral Suspension: • 100 mg/mL DRV/c (Prezcobix): • DRV/c 800 mg/150 mg tablet DRV/c/FTC/TAF (Symtuza): • DRV 800 mg/COBI 150 mg/FTC 200 mg/ TAF 10 mg tablet	Standard Adult Doses  ARV-Naive Patients:  • DRV 800 mg plus RTV 100 mg once daily with food  • DRV 800 mg plus COBI 150 mg once daily with food  ARV-Experienced Patients  If Patient Has No DRV Resistance Mutations:  • DRV 800 mg plus RTV 100 mg once daily with food  • DRV 800 mg plus COBI 150 mg once daily with food  If Any DRV Resistance Mutations Are Present:  • DRV 600 mg plus RTV 100 mg twice daily with food  DRV/c (Prezcobix):  • One tablet once daily with food  DRV/c/FTC/TAF (Symtuza):  • One tablet once daily with food  Pregnancy  PKs in Pregnancy:  • Decreased exposure in pregnancy with use of DRV/r.	Low placental transfer to fetus. b  No evidence of teratogenicity in mice, rats, or rabbits. No evidence of human teratogenicity.  Must be boosted with low-dose RTV.  The Panel does not recommend once-daily dosing with DRV/r during pregnancy or the use of DRV/c during pregnancy. If a DRV/c regimen is continued during pregnancy, viral load should be monitored frequently.	December 24, 2019

Table 8. Antiretroviral Drug Use in Pregnant HIV-Infected Women: Pharmacokinetic and Toxicity Data in Human Pregnancy and Recommendations for Use in Pregnancy<sup>a</sup> (page 12 of 18)

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations	Use in Pregnancy	Last Reviewed
Darunavir, continued		<ul> <li>Dosing in Pregnancy:         <ul> <li>The Panel <u>does not recommend</u> once-daily dosing with DRV/r during pregnancy or the use of DRV/c during pregnancy.</li> <li>Twice-daily DRV/r dosing (DRV 600 mg plus RTV 100 mg with food) is recommended for all pregnant women.</li> <li>Increased twice-daily DRV dose (DRV 800 mg plus RTV 100 mg with food) during pregnancy does not result in an increase in DRV exposure and <u>is not recommended</u>.</li> </ul> </li> <li>For guidance about use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections</li> </ul>		
Lopinavir/ Ritonavir (LPV/r) Kaletra	LPV/r (Kaletra) Tablets: LPV/r 200 mg/50 mg LPV/r 100 mg/25 mg Oral Solution: Each 5 mL contains LPV/r 400 mg/100 mg	on other components (i.e., COBI, FTC, TAF)  Standard Adult Doses:  LPV/r 400 mg/100 mg twice daily, or  LPV/r 800 mg/200 mg once daily  Tablets:  Take without regard to food.  Oral Solution:  Take with food.  With EFV or NVP in PI-Naive or PI-Experienced Patients:  LPV/r 500 mg/125 mg tablets twice daily without regard to meals (use a combination of two LPV/r 200 mg/50 mg tablets and one LPV/r 100 mg/25 mg tablet), or  LPV/r 520 mg/130 mg oral solution (6.5 mL) twice daily with food  Pregnancy  PKs in Pregnancy:  With twice-daily dosing, LPV exposure is reduced in pregnant women who receive standard adult doses; increasing the dose by 50% results in exposure equivalent to that seen in nonpregnant adults receiving standard doses.  No PK data are available for once-daily dosing in pregnancy.  Dosing in Pregnancy:  Once-daily dosing is not recommended during pregnancy.  Some experts recommend that an increased dose (i.e., LPV/r 600 mg/150 mg twice daily without regard to meals or LPV/r 500 mg/125 mg twice daily without regard to meals) should be used in the second and third trimesters, especially in PI-experienced pregnant women and women who start treatment during pregnancy with a baseline viral load >50 copies/mL.  When standard dosing is used, monitor virologic response and, if possible, LPV drug levels.	Low placental transfer to fetus. <sup>b</sup> No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects).  Oral solution contains 42% alcohol and 15% propylene glycol and is not recommended for use in pregnancy.  Once-daily LPV/r dosing is not recommended during pregnancy.	December 24, 2019

Table 8. Antiretroviral Drug Use in Pregnant HIV-Infected Women: Pharmacokinetic and Toxicity Data in Human Pregnancy and Recommendations for Use in Pregnancy<sup>a</sup> (page 13 of 18)

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations	Use in Pregnancy	Last Reviewed
Entry Inhibitors Entry and attachme	ent inhibitors block viral binding or fusion of HIV to	host cells.		
Ibalizumab (IBA) <i>Trogarzo</i>	IBA (Trogarzo):  • Solution for IV infusion is available in single-dose vials	Standard Adult Dose:  • IBA 2,000-mg loading dose, followed by IBA 800-mg maintenance doses administered every 2 weeks  Pregnancy  PKs in Pregnancy:  • No PK studies in human pregnancy.  Dosing in Pregnancy:  • Insufficient data to make dosing recommendations.	No data available, but placental transfer of IBA, a monoclonal antibody, is possible.  Insufficient data to assess for teratogenicity in humans.	December 24, 2019
Maraviroc (MVC) Selzentry	MVC (Selzentry) Tablets: • 150 mg • 300 mg	Standard Adult Doses:  • MVC 300 mg twice daily with or without food  • MVC should only be used for patients with CCR5-tropic virus (and no X4-tropic virus).  Dose Adjustments:  • Increase to MVC 600 mg twice daily when used with the potent CYP3A inducers EFV, ETR, and rifampin.  • Decrease to MVC 150 mg twice daily when used with CYP3A inhibitors, which includes all PIs except TPV/r and itraconazole.  Pregnancy  PKs in Pregnancy:  • A PK study in human pregnancy demonstrated a 20% to 30% overall decrease in MVC AUC, but Ctrough exceeded the recommended minimum concentration of 50 ng/mL.  Dosing in Pregnancy:  • Adjusting the standard adult MVC dose for concomitant use with ARV drugs seems appropriate.	Moderate placental transfer to fetus. <sup>b</sup> No evidence of teratogenicity in rats or rabbits; insufficient data to assess for teratogenicity in humans.	December 24, 2019

Table 8. Antiretroviral Drug Use in Pregnant HIV-Infected Women: Pharmacokinetic and Toxicity Data in Human Pregnancy and Recommendations for Use in Pregnancy<sup>a</sup> (page 14 of 18)

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Fo Trade Name	ormulation	Dosing Recommendations	Use in Pregnancy	Last Reviewed			
INSTIs INSTIS block integrase, the viral enzyme that catalyzes the two-step process that inserts HIV DNA into the genome of the host cell.							
Emtricitabine/ (Bikt Tenofovir BIC Alafenamide 200	/FTC/TAF tarvy): C 50 mg/FTC 0 mg/TAF 25 tablet	Standard Adult Dose:  One tablet once daily with or without food  Pregnancy  PKs in Pregnancy:  No PK studies in human pregnancy.  Dosing in Pregnancy:  Insufficient data to make dosing recommendations.	No data are available on placental transfer of BIC.  Insufficient data to assess for teratogenicity in humans.  No evidence of teratogenicity in rats or rabbits.  BIC can be taken with food at the same time as any preparation containing iron or calcium, including prenatal vitamins, but should not be administered within 2 hours of these preparations when taken on an empty stomach. BIC can be taken at least 2 hours	December 24, 2019			
	G (Tivicay):	For guidance about use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., FTC, TAF).  Standard Adult Doses	before or 6 hours after antacids containing aluminum or magnesium.  High placental transfer to fetus. <sup>b</sup>	December			
(DTG) Tivicay • DTG table	G 50 mg	In ARV-Naive or ARV-Experienced (but INSTI-Naive) Patients  DTG (Tivicay):	No evidence of teratogenicity in rats or rabbits. In pregnancy surveillance data from Botswana, there	12, 2019			
(DTG/3TC) Dovato (Dovato (DTG/RPV) Juluca (DTG/ABC/3TC) Triumeq  DTG (Julu DTG/ABC/3TC) Triumeq  DTG (Julu DTG/ABC/3TC) RP table DTG (Triumed) DTG (Triumed)	G/3TC vato): G 50 g/3TC 300 mg olet G/RPV uca): G 50 mg/ olet G/ABC/3TC umeq): G 50 mg/ G 600 g/3TC 300 mg	One tablet once daily, without regard to food  DTG/3TC (Dovato):  One tablet once daily, without regard to food  DTG/RPV (Juluca):  One tablet once daily with food  DTG/ABC/3TC (Triumeq):  One tablet once daily, without regard to food  In ARV-Naive or ARV-Experienced (but INSTI-Naive) Patients Who Are Also Receiving EFV, FPV/r, TPV/r, or Rifampin  DTG (Tivicay):  One tablet twice daily, without regard to food  In INSTI-Experienced Patients  DTG (Tivicay):  One tablet twice daily, without regard to food  Pregnancy  PKs in Pregnancy:  AUC may be decreased during the third trimester compared with postpartum, but exposures during pregnancy are well above those needed to inhibit viral replication.	was a slightly increased risk of NTDs in infants born to women who initiated DTG prior to pregnancy and who were receiving it at the time of conception.  DTG may be used as part of a <i>Preferred</i> regimen in all pregnant women at all gestational ages and as part of an <i>Alternative</i> regimen in women who are trying to conceive. Clinicians should discuss the risks and benefits of DTG use with the patient. For more information, see Updated Guidance About the Use of Dolutegravir in Pregnancy in Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy.  To maximize DTG absorption, doses should not be administered within 2 hours of ingesting any preparation that contains minerals such as iron or calcium, including prenatal vitamins.				

Table 8. Antiretroviral Drug Use in Pregnant HIV-Infected Women: Pharmacokinetic and Toxicity Data in Human Pregnancy and **Recommendations for Use in Pregnancy**<sup>a</sup> (page 15 of 18)

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations	Use in Pregnancy	Last Reviewed
Dolutegravir, continued		Dosing in Pregnancy: • No change in dose indicated.		
		For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., ABC, 3TC, RPV).		
Elvitegravir (EVG)	EVG/c/FTC/TAF (Genvoya):	Standard Adult Dose Genvoya and Stribild:	Evidence of high placental transfer of EVG and low transfer of COBI. <sup>b</sup>	December 24, 2019
Note: As of October 2017, the single-drug formulation of	• EVG 150 mg/ COBI 150 mg/ FTC 200 mg/ TAF 10 mg	One tablet once daily with food     Pregnancy     PKs in Pregnancy:	Insufficient data to assess for teratogenicity in humans. No evidence of teratogenicity in rats or rabbits.	
EVG (Vitekta) is no longer available.	tablet  EVG/c/FTC/TDF (Stribild):	PK studies in women who received EVG/c demonstrated significant reduction in EVG plasma exposure during pregnancy.      Dosing in Pregnancy:	EVG/c is not recommended for use in pregnancy. For women who become pregnant while taking EVG/c, consider switching to a more effective, recommended regimen.	
(EVG/c/FTC/TAF) Genvoya	• EVG 150 mg/ COBI 150 mg/ FTC 200 mg/	• EVG plasma concentrations are reduced with use of standard adult doses during pregnancy; however, higher-than-standard doses of EVG have not been studied. Insufficient data are available to recommend a dose for use in pregnancy.	If a woman continues taking a regimen that contains EVG/c, doses should be administered with a meal and should not be	
(EVG/c/FTC/ TDF) Stribild	TDF 300 mg tablet	For guidance about use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., COBI, FTC, TAF).	administered within 2 hours of ingesting any preparation that contains minerals such as iron or calcium, including prenatal vitamins.	
Raltegravir	RAL (Isentress)	Standard Adult Doses	High placental transfer to fetus. <sup>b</sup>	January 17,
(RAL) Isentress Isentress HD	Film-Coated Tablets: • 400 mg	In Patients Who Are Not Receiving Rifampin:  RAL 400-mg, film-coated tablets twice daily without regard to food  Two RAL 600-mg, film-coated tablets (1,200 mg) once daily without regard to food for ARV-naive	No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects).	2020
	Chewable Tablets:	patients or patients who are already virologically suppressed on an initial regimen of RAL 400 mg twice daily	There is a case report of markedly elevated liver transaminases with RAL use in	
	• 25 mg • 100 mg	• Chewable tablets and oral suspension doses <u>are not interchangeable</u> with either film-coated tablets or each other.	late pregnancy. Severe, potentially life- threatening, and fatal skin and HSRs have been reported in nonpregnant adults.	
	RAL (Isentress HD)	In Patients Who Are Receiving Rifampin:  • Two RAL 400-mg, film-coated tablets (800 mg) twice daily without regard to food	RAL chewable tablets contain phenylalanine.	
	Film-Coated Tablets: • 600 mg	Pregnancy PKs in Pregnancy:	To maximize RAL absorption, doses should not be administered within 2 hours of ingestion of any preparation containing	
	- ooo mg	Decreased drug concentrations in third trimester are not of sufficient magnitude to warrant a change in dosing.	minerals such as iron or calcium, including prenatal vitamins.	

Table 8. Antiretroviral Drug Use in Pregnant HIV-Infected Women: Pharmacokinetic and Toxicity Data in Human Pregnancy and Recommendations for Use in Pregnancy<sup>a</sup> (page 16 of 18)

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations	Use in Pregnancy	Last Reviewed
Integrase Inhibitors	s, continued			
Raltegravir, continued		Dosing in Pregnancy:     No change in dose is indicated.     Once-daily dosing (i.e., two RAL 600-mg, film-coated tablets) should not be used in pregnant women until more information is available.		
Pharmacoenhancers Pharmacoenhancers		of antiretroviral drugs and prolong their presence in plasma, allowing for more convenient dosing regimens.		
Cobicistat (COBI)	COBI (Tybost) Tablet:	Standard Adult Doses  COBI (Tybost):	Low placental transfer to	December 24, 2019
Tybost	• COBI 150 mg	When used as an alternative PK booster with ATV or DRV, the dose is one tablet once daily with food	fetus. <sup>b</sup>	
(ATV/c) Evotaz (EVG/c/FTC/TAF)	ATV/c (Evotaz):  • ATV 300 mg/COBI 50 mg tablet	ATV/c (Evotaz):  • One tablet once daily with food  EVG/c/FTC/TAF (Genvoya):	No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out	
Genvoya (DRV/c) Prezcobix	EVG/c/FTC/TAF (Genvoya): • EVG 150 mg/COBI	One tablet once daily with food  DRV/c (Prezcobix):	2-fold increase in overall birth defects).	
(EVG/c/FTC/TDF) Stribild	150 mg/FTC 200 mg/ TAF 10 mg tablet	One tablet once daily with food  EVG/c/FTC/TDF (Stribild):	Use of COBI- boosted ATV, DRV, or	
(DRV/c/FTC/TAF) Symtuza	DRV/c (Prezcobix): DRV 800 mg/COBI 150 mg tablet	One tablet once daily with food  DRV/c/FTC/TAF (Symtuza):  One tablet once daily with food	EVG <u>is not</u> recommended in pregnancy.	
	EVG/c/FTC/TDF (Stribild): • EVG 150 mg/COBI 150 mg/FTC 200 mg/ TDF 300 mg tablet  DRV/c/FTC/TAF (Symtuza): • DRV 800 mg/COBI 150 mg/FTC 200 mg/ TAF 10 mg tablet	<ul> <li>Pregnancy</li> <li>PKs in Pregnancy:</li> <li>Based on limited data, COBI exposure and its pharmaco-enhancing effect on ATV, DRV, and EVG are markedly reduced in pregnancy.</li> <li>When coadministered with COBI, TAF exposure is not significantly different between pregnancy and the postpartum period.</li> <li>Dosing in Pregnancy:</li> <li>While COBI exposure is markedly reduced during pregnancy, higher-than-standard doses have not been studied. The Panel recommends RTV as the preferred pharmaco-enhancer for PIs and INSTIs during pregnancy until more data are available on COBI activity during pregnancy.</li> </ul>		
		For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., FTC, TAF, TDF, ATV, DRV, EVG).		

Table 8. Antiretroviral Drug Use in Pregnant HIV-Infected Women: Pharmacokinetic and Toxicity Data in Human Pregnancy and Recommendations for Use in Pregnancy<sup>a</sup> (page 17 of 18)

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations	Use in Pregnancy	Last Reviewed
(Abbreviation)	RTV (Norvir) Capsules: RTV 100 mg Tablets: RTV 100 mg Oral Solution: RTV 80 mg/mL Powder: RTV 100 mg/sachet LPV/r (Kaletra) Tablets: LPV/r 200 mg/50 mg LPV/r 100 mg/25 mg Oral Solution: Each 5 mL contains LPV/r 400 mg/100 mg	Standard Adult Dose of RTV (Norvir) When Used as PK Booster for Other PIs:  RTV 100–400 mg per day in one or two divided doses (refer to other PI sections for specific dosing recommendations)  Tablet: Take with food  Capsule or Oral Solution: To improve tolerability, take with food if possible  Standard Adult Doses of LPV/r (Kaletra): LPV/r 400 mg/100 mg twice daily, or LPV/r 800 mg/200 mg once daily  Tablets: Take without regard to food.  Oral Solution: Take with food.  With EFV or NVP in PI-Naive or PI-Experienced Patients: LPV/r 500 mg/125 mg tablets twice daily without regard to meals (use a combination of two LPV/r 200 mg/50)	Low placental transfer to fetus. <sup>b</sup> No evidence of increased risk of human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects). RTV should only be used as low-dose booster for other Pls. RTV oral solution contains 43% alcohol and therefore is not recommended for use during pregnancy, because there is no known safe level of alcohol exposure during pregnancy. LPV/r	
		<ul> <li>mg tablets and one LPV/r 100 mg/25 mg tablet), or</li> <li>LPV/r 520 mg/130 mg oral solution (6.5 mL) twice daily with food</li> <li>Pregnancy</li> <li>PKs in Pregnancy:</li> <li>Lower RTV levels are seen during pregnancy than during postpartum, which may reduce the pharmacoenhancing effect of RTV in pregnancy.</li> <li>RTV Dosing in Pregnancy:</li> <li>No dose adjustment necessary when RTV is used as booster.</li> <li>LPV/r Dosing in Pregnancy:</li> <li>Once-daily dosing is not recommended during pregnancy.</li> <li>Some experts recommend that an increased dose (i.e., LPV/r 600 mg/150 mg twice daily without regard to meals or LPV/r 500 mg/125 mg twice daily without regard to meals) should be used in the second and third trimesters, especially in PI-experienced pregnant women and women who start treatment during pregnancy with a baseline viral load &gt;50 copies/mL.</li> <li>When standard dosing is used, monitor virologic response and, if possible, LPV drug levels.</li> <li>For guidance about use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., LPV/r).</li> </ul>	oral solution contains 42% alcohol and 15% propylene glycol and is not recommended for use in pregnancy.  Once-daily LPV/r dosing is not recommended during pregnancy.	

## Table 8. Antiretroviral Drug Use in Pregnant HIV-Infected Women: Pharmacokinetic and Toxicity Data in Human Pregnancy and Recommendations for Use in Pregnancy<sup>a</sup> (page 18 of 18)

a Individual ARV drug dosages may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the Adult and Adolescent Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 10).

**High:** >0.6 **Moderate:** 0.3–0.6 **Low:** <0.3

<sup>c</sup> Only indicated for use in chronic HBV virus infection in adults.

**Key:** 3TC = lamivudine; ABC = abacavir; ART = antiretroviral therapy; ARV = antiretroviral; ATV = atazanavir/cobicistat; ATV/r = atazanavir/cobicistat; ATV/r = atazanavir/ritonavir; AUC = area under the curve; BIC = bictegravir; CD4 = CD4 T lymphocyte; COBI = cobicistat; CYP = cytochrome P; DOR = doravirine; DRV = darunavir; DRV/r = darunavir/cobicistat; DRV/r = darunavir/ritonavir; DTG = dolutegravir; EFV = efavirenz; ETR = etravirine; EVG = elvitegravir; EVG/c = elvitegravir/cobicistat; FDA = Food and Drug Administration; FDC = fixed-dose combination; FTC = emtricitabine; HBV = hepatitis b virus; HSR = hypersensitivity reaction; IBA = ibalizumab; INSTI = integrase strand transfer inhibitor; IV = intravenous; LPV/r = lopinavir/ritonavir; MVC = maraviroc; NNRTI = non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor; NTD = neural tube defect; NVP = nevirapine; PI = protease inhibitor; PK = pharmacokinetic; PPI = proton pump inhibitor; RAL = raltegravir; RPV = ritonavir; TAF = tenofovir alafenamide; TDF = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate; TPV = tipranavir; TPV/r = tipranavir/ritonavir; WHO = World Health Organization; ZDV = zidovudine

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

d Generic product available

# **Supplement: Safety and Toxicity of Individual Antiretroviral Agents in Pregnancy**

## **Nucleoside and Nucleotide Analogue Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitors**

Nucleoside and nucleotide reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs) interfere with HIV reverse transcriptase by competitive inhibition. Nucleoside analogue drugs require three intracellular phosphorylation steps to form the triphosphate nucleoside, which is the active drug moiety. The nucleotide analogue tenofovir contains a monophosphate component attached to the adenine base and requires only two phosphorylation steps to form the active moiety.

For information regarding the nucleoside analogue drug class and potential mitochondrial toxicity in pregnant women and infants, see <u>Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy and Long-Term Follow-Up of Infants Exposed to Antiretroviral Drugs</u>.

Abacavir (Ziagen, ABC)

Emtricitabine (Emtriva, FTC)

Lamivudine (Epivir, 3TC)

Tenofovir Alfenamide (Vemlidy, TAF)

Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate (Viread, TDF)

**Zidovudine** (Retrovir, AZT, ZDV)

Didanosine and stavudine are no longer recommended for use in pregnant women. <u>Zalcitabine</u> is not available in the United States. Information on these drugs can be found in the <u>Archived Drugs</u> section.

## **Non-Nucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitors**

Non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTIs) interfere with HIV reverse transcriptase by binding directly to the enzyme.

**Doravirine** (Pifeltro, DOR)

Efavirenz (Sustiva, EFV)

Etravirine (Intelence, ETR)

Nevirapine (Viramune, NVP)

Rilpivirine (Edurant, RPV)

<u>Delavirdine</u> is no longer available in the United States. Information on this drug can be found in the <u>Archived Drugs</u> section.

#### **Protease Inhibitors**

Protease inhibitors (PIs) block the activity of the protease enzyme, which is required to assemble new HIV viral particles that are capable of infecting new cells.

Using PIs during pregnancy may increase the risk of adverse maternal and neonatal outcomes; see Combination Antiretroviral Drug Regimens and Maternal and Neonatal Outcomes for more information.

Atazanavir (Reyataz, ATV)

Darunavir (Prezista, DRV)

Lopinavir/Ritonavir (Kaletra, LPV/r)

Fosamprenavir, indinavir, nelfinavir, saquinavir, and tipranavir are no longer recommended for use in

pregnant women. <u>Amprenavir</u> is no longer available in the United States. Information on these drugs can be found in the Archived Drugs section.

## **Entry and Attachment Inhibitors**

Entry and attachment inhibitors block viral binding or fusion of HIV to host cells.

Ibalizumab-uiyk (Trogarzo, IBA)

Maraviroc (Selzentry, MVC)

<u>Enfuvirtide</u> is not recommended for use in pregnant women. Information on this drug can be found in the <u>Archived Drugs</u> section.

## **Integrase Inhibitors**

Integrase inhibitors block integrase, the viral enzyme that catalyzes the two-step process that inserts HIV DNA into the genome of the host cell.

Bictegravir (BIC)

**Dolutegravir** (Tivicay, DTG)

Elvitegravir (EVG)

Raltegravir (Isentress, RAL)

For information regarding the possible increased risk of neural tube defects in infants born to women who were receiving dolutegravir at the time of conception, see <u>Teratogenicity</u> and <u>Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy</u>.

#### **Pharmacoenhancers**

Pharmacoenhancers reduce the metabolism of antiretroviral drugs and prolong their presence in plasma, allowing for more convenient dosing regimens.

Cobicistat (Tybost, COBI)

Ritonavir (Norvir, RTV)

## Abacavir (Ziagen, ABC)

## (Last updated December 24, 2019; last reviewed December 24, 2019)

#### **Animal Studies**

Carcinogenicity

Abacavir (ABC) has been found to be mutagenic and clastogenic in some *in vitro* and *in vivo* assays. In long-term carcinogenicity studies in mice and rats, malignant tumors of the preputial gland of males and the clitoral gland of females were observed in both species, and malignant hepatic tumors and nonmalignant hepatic and thyroid tumors were observed in female rats. The tumors were seen in rodents at exposures that were six to 32 times those observed in humans who received the recommended dose.<sup>1</sup>

#### Reproduction/Fertility

No effect of ABC on reproduction or fertility in male and female rodents has been seen at doses of up to 500 mg/kg per day. These doses produced exposures in rodents that were about eight times the exposures observed in humans who received the recommended dose. Exposures in this study were based on body surface area.

#### Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

Rats treated with a dose of ABC 1,000 mg/kg during organogenesis showed signs of developmental toxicity (i.e., decreased fetal body weight and reduced crown-rump length) and had an increased incidence of fetal anasarca and skeletal malformations. This dose produced exposures in rats that were about 35 times those seen in humans who received the recommended dose; exposure was based on area under the curve. An increased number of resorptions and an increased incidence of stillbirths occurred among pregnant rats that received ABC 500 mg/kg once daily, beginning at embryo implantation and ending when the pups were weaned. Decreased fetal body weights were also observed, and the offspring had persistently low body weights throughout their lives. However, in rabbits, no evidence of drug-related developmental toxicity and no increase in fetal malformations were observed at doses of ABC up to 700 mg/kg. These doses produced exposures in rabbits that were about 8.5 times the exposures seen in humans who received the recommended dose.<sup>1</sup>

## Placental and Breast Milk Passage

ABC crosses the placenta and is excreted into the breast milk of lactating rats.<sup>1</sup>

#### **Human Studies in Pregnancy**

#### **Pharmacokinetics**

In pregnant women, pharmacokinetic (PK) studies of ABC 300 mg twice daily<sup>2</sup> and ABC 600 mg once daily showed<sup>3</sup> that the PKs during pregnancy are equivalent to the PKs observed during the postpartum period. A population PK study (that analyzed 266 plasma samples from 150 pregnant women) found no effect of any co-variate (including age, body weight, pregnancy, or gestational age) on ABC PKs.<sup>4</sup> Thus, no dose adjustment for ABC is needed during pregnancy.

#### Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Placental transfer of ABC is high, with ratios of ABC concentration in cord blood to ABC concentration in maternal plasma at delivery of approximately 1.0.<sup>2,5</sup> In the Mma Bana study,<sup>6</sup> the median breast milk-to-plasma ratio for ABC was 0.85 in the 15 women tested at 1 month postpartum, and the drug was detected in the plasma of one out of nine breastfeeding infants whose mothers were receiving ABC.

#### Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

In the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to ABC in humans have been monitored to be able to detect at least a 1.5-fold increase in risk of overall birth defects. No such

increase in birth defects has been observed with ABC. Among the cases of first-trimester ABC exposure that have been reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, the prevalence of birth defects was 2.9% (36 infants out of 1,228 live births; 95% confidence interval, 2.1% to 4.0%). This prevalence is similar to the prevalence of birth defects in the U.S. population, which is 2.72%, according to Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance. First-trimester exposure to ABC was not associated with birth defects in the SMARTT study (adjusted odds ratio [aOR] 0.94, 0.53–1.65), in the French Perinatal Study (aOR 1.01, 0.73–1.41), or in a series of 897 births to women with HIV in Spain between 2000 and 2009 (aOR 0.99, 0.34–2.87).

Pregnancy outcomes were similar between pregnant women who received an ABC/lamivudine (3TC) backbone (n = 252) and women who received a tenofovir disoproxil fumarate/emtricitabine backbone (n = 661) in the Italian National Program on Surveillance on Antiretroviral Treatment in Pregnancy. However, total cholesterol levels were higher in the group that received ABC.<sup>11</sup>

Ten percent of participants (711 pregnancies) received ABC plus 3TC in the EPPICC Study Group. The proportions of preterm deliveries and small-for-gestational-age infants that occurred among women who received ABC were similar to those seen among women who received other antiretroviral drugs.<sup>12</sup>

## Other Safety Information

Serious hypersensitivity reactions (HSRs) have been associated with ABC therapy in nonpregnant adults, but these reactions have rarely been fatal; symptoms include fever, skin rash, fatigue, and gastrointestinal symptoms such as nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, or abdominal pain. ABC **should not be restarted** following an HSR, because more severe symptoms will occur within hours and may include life-threatening hypotension and death. Patients who test positive for HLA-B\*5701 are at the highest risk of HSRs and should not receive ABC; HLA screening should be done before initiating ABC. Two meta-analyses have confirmed the association between this genotype and the HSR. 13,14

After adjusting for birth cohort and other factors, the PHACS/SMARTT study (which followed participants for a median of 2.4 years) reported no increases in the likelihood of metabolic, cardiac, neurological, growth and development, or neurodevelopmental adverse events among infants whose mothers took ABC during pregnancy.<sup>15</sup>

**Note:** When using FDC tablets, refer to other sections in Appendix B and Table 8 for information about the dosing and safety of individual drug components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations <sup>a</sup>	Use in Pregnancy
Abacavir (ABC) Ziagen (ABC/3TC) Epzicom (ABC/DTG/3TC) Triumeq (ABC/3TC/ZDV) Trizivir  Note: Generic products are available for some formulations.	ABC (Ziagen) <sup>d</sup> Tablet: • 300 mg Oral Solution: • 20 mg/mL ABC/3TC (Epzicom): <sup>d</sup> • ABC 600 mg/3TC 300 mg tablet ABC/DTG/3TC (Triumeq): • ABC 600 mg/DTG 50 mg/3TC 300 mg tablet ABC/3TC/ZDV (Trizivir): <sup>d</sup> • ABC 300 mg/3TC 150 mg/ ZDV 300 mg tablet	Standard Adult Doses  ABC (Ziagen):  • ABC 300 mg twice daily or ABC 600 mg once daily, without regard to food  ABC/3TC (Epzicom):  • One tablet once daily without regard to food  ABC/DTG/3TC (Triumeq):  • One tablet daily without regard to food  ABC/3TC/ZDV (Trizivir):  • One tablet twice daily without regard to food  Pregnancy  PKs in Pregnancy:  • PKs not significantly altered in pregnancy.  Dosing in Pregnancy:  • No change in dose indicated.  For guidance about use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., 3TC, ZDV, DTG).	High placental transfer to fetus. <sup>b</sup> No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects).  HSRs occur in approximately 5% to 8% of nonpregnant individuals. A small percentage of reactions are fatal, and these fatal reactions are usually associated with re-challenge. Rate of reactions during pregnancy is unknown. Testing for HLA-B*5701 identifies patients at risk of reactions, and a patient's status should be documented as negative before initiating ABC. Patients should be educated regarding symptoms of HSR.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the <u>Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines. Appendix B, Table 10</u>).

High: >0.6 Moderate: 0.3–0.6 Low: <0.3

**Key:** 3TC = lamivudine; ABC = abacavir; ARV = antiretroviral; DTG = dolutegravir; FDC = fixed-dose combination; HSR = hypersensitivity reaction; PK = pharmacokinetic; ZDV = zidovudine

#### References

- 1. Abacavir [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2018. Available at: <a href="https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2018/020977s033s034,020978s036s037lbl.pdf">https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2018/020977s033s034,020978s036s037lbl.pdf</a>.
- 2. Best BM, Mirochnick M, Capparelli EV, et al. Impact of pregnancy on abacavir pharmacokinetics. *AIDS*. 2006;20(4):553-560. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16470119.
- 3. Schalkwijk S, Colbers A, Konopnicki D, et al. The pharmacokinetics of abacavir 600 mg once daily in HIV-1-positive pregnant women. *AIDS*. 2016;30(8):1239-1244. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26836789">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26836789</a>.
- 4. Fauchet F, Treluyer JM, Preta LH, et al. Population pharmacokinetics of abacavir in pregnant women. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2014;58(10):6287-6289. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25070097">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25070097</a>.
- 5. Chappuy H, Treluyer JM, Jullien V, et al. Maternal-fetal transfer and amniotic fluid accumulation of nucleoside analogue reverse transcriptase inhibitors in human immunodeficiency virus-infected pregnant women. *Antimicrob*

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> Generic formulation available.

- Agents Chemother. 2004;48(11):4332-4336. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15504861.
- 6. Shapiro RL, Rossi S, Ogwu A, et al. Therapeutic levels of lopinavir in late pregnancy and abacavir passage into breast milk in the Mma Bana Study, Botswana. *Antivir Ther*. 2013;18(4):585-590. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23183881">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23183881</a>.
- Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry international interim report for 1 January 1989–31 January 2019. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2019. Available at: <a href="http://www.apregistry.com">http://www.apregistry.com</a>.
- 8. Williams PL, Crain MJ, Yildirim C, et al. Congenital anomalies and in utero antiretroviral exposure in human immunodeficiency virus-exposed uninfected infants. *JAMA Pediatr*. 2015;169(1):48-55. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25383770">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25383770</a>.
- 9. Sibiude J, Le Chenadec J, Bonnet D, et al. In utero exposure to zidovudine and heart anomalies in the ANRS French perinatal cohort and the nested PRIMEVA randomized trial. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2015. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25838291">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25838291</a>.
- 10. Prieto LM, Gonzalez-Tome MI, Munoz E, et al. Birth defects in a cohort of infants born to HIV-infected women in Spain, 2000-2009. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2014;14:700. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25808698">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25808698</a>.
- 11. Floridia M, Pinnetti C, Ravizza M, et al. Brief report: abacavir/lamivudine and tenofovir/emtricitabine in pregnant women with HIV: laboratory and clinical outcomes in an observational national study. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2018;78(1):99-104. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29406430.
- 12. European Pregnancy Paediatric HIV Cohort Collaboration Study Group. Nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor backbones and pregnancy outcomes. *AIDS*. 2019;33(2):295-304. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30562172">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30562172</a>.
- 13. Sousa-Pinto B, Pinto-Ramos J, Correia C, et al. Pharmacogenetics of abacavir hypersensitivity: A systematic review and meta-analysis of the association with HLA-B\*57:01. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2015;136(4):1092-1094 e1093. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25934581">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25934581</a>.
- 14. Tangamornsuksan W, Lohitnavy O, Kongkaew C, et al. Association of HLA-B\*5701 genotypes and abacavir-induced hypersensitivity reaction: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Pharm Pharm Sci.* 2015;18(1):68-76. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25877443">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25877443</a>.
- 15. Williams PL, Hazra R, Van Dyke RB, et al. Antiretroviral exposure during pregnancy and adverse outcomes in HIV-exposed uninfected infants and children using a trigger-based design. *AIDS*. 2016;30(1):133-144. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26731758">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26731758</a>.

## Emtricitabine (Emtriva, FTC)

## (Last updated December 24, 2019; last reviewed December 24, 2019)

#### **Animal Studies**

#### Carcinogenicity

Emtricitabine (FTC) was neither mutagenic nor clastogenic in a series of *in vitro* and animal *in vivo* screening tests. In long-term carcinogenicity studies of oral FTC, no drug-related increases in tumor incidence were found at doses up to 26 times (in mice) or 31 times (in rats) the exposures seen in humans who received the therapeutic dose.<sup>1</sup>

## Reproduction/Fertility

FTC had no observable effect on reproduction or fertility at doses that produced systemic drug exposures (as measured by area under the curve [AUC]) that were approximately 60-fold higher in female and male mice and 140-fold higher in male rats than human exposure at the recommended therapeutic dose.<sup>1</sup>

## Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

No fetal variations or malformations were observed following maternal FTC doses that produced systemic drug exposures that were 60-fold higher (in mice) or 120-fold higher (in rabbits) than those observed in humans who received the recommended dose.<sup>1</sup>

#### Placental and Breast Milk Passage

FTC has been shown to cross the placenta in mice and rabbits; the average fetal/maternal drug concentration ratio was 0.4 in mice and 0.5 in rabbits.<sup>2</sup>

## **Human Studies in Pregnancy**

#### **Pharmacokinetics**

In the IMPAACT P1026s study, FTC exposure was modestly lower during the third trimester (geometric mean 8.0 mcg·h/mL; 90% confidence interval [CI], 7.1–8.9 mcg·h/mL) than during the postpartum period (9.7 mcg·h/mL; 90% CI, 8.6–10.9 mcg·h/mL). Fifty-eight percent of pregnant women (15 of 26 women) met the AUC target (≤30% reduction from typical exposure for nonpregnant historical controls) compared to 95% of postpartum women (21 of 22 women). Trough FTC levels were also lower during pregnancy (C<sub>24h</sub> geometric mean concentration [GMC] 58 ng/mL; 90% CI, 37–63 ng/mL) than during the postpartum period (C<sub>24h</sub> GMC 85 ng/mL; 90% CI, 70–100 ng/mL).³ Similar differences in pharmacokinetic parameters of FTC were found among women during pregnancy or after delivery in the PACTG 394 study⁴ and in a European study.⁵,6 The increase in FTC clearance during pregnancy correlated with the normal pregnancy-related increase in glomerular filtration rate.⁶ These changes are not believed to be large enough to warrant a dose adjustment during pregnancy.

## Placental and Breast Milk Passage

FTC has been shown to have high placental transfer in pregnant women. In a study of 15 women who received FTC during pregnancy, the mean cord blood-to-maternal-plasma ratio was 1.2 (90% CI, 1.0–1.5).<sup>3</sup> In eight women who were given a single dose of FTC 600 mg with tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) 900 mg, the median cord blood FTC concentration was 717 ng/mL (range 21–1,072 ng/mL), and the median cord blood-to-maternal-plasma ratio was 0.85 (range 0.46–1.07).<sup>4</sup>

FTC is excreted into human milk. Among women in Uganda and Nigeria who were taking first-line antiretroviral therapy that contained FTC 200 mg, FTC concentrations in breast milk peaked later than they did in maternal plasma (at 4–8 hours compared with 2–4 hours) and were three-fold higher than maternal plasma concentrations. FTC was detectable in three infants (19%).<sup>7</sup> In a study in the Ivory Coast, five women with HIV who exclusively breastfed their newborn infants were given FTC 400 mg, TDF 600 mg,

and nevirapine 200 mg at onset of labor, followed by FTC 200 mg and TDF 300 mg once daily for 7 days postpartum. The median minimal and maximal concentrations of FTC in breast milk were 177 ng/mL and 679 ng/mL, respectively (interquartile ranges [IQR] 105–254 ng/mL and 658–743 ng/mL, respectively), well above the estimated FTC IC<sub>50</sub> for HIV-1.8 In a study of 50 women without HIV who received daily oral FTC 200 mg and TDF 300 mg as pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP), median peak and trough breast milk concentrations of FTC were 212.5 ng/mL (IQR 140.0–405.0 ng/mL) and 183.0 ng/mL (IQR 113.0–250.0 ng/mL), respectively. FTC was detectable in 47 of 49 infants at a median concentration of 13.2 ng/mL (IQR 9.3–16.7 ng/mL), corresponding to estimated daily infant ingestion of a 31.9-mcg/kg dose (IQR 21.0–60.8 mcg/kg) of FTC, or 0.5% of the daily dose for treating infants.9

## Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

A study of pregnancies conducted during an HIV PrEP trial randomized participants without HIV to receive placebo, TDF, or TDF plus FTC. No increase in the incidence of congenital anomalies was observed in the TDF plus FTC arm.<sup>10</sup> There was no overall difference between the rate of pregnancy loss in the TDF plus FTC arm and the rate of pregnancy loss in the TDF arm of this PrEP study.

In the U.S. PHACS/SMARTT cohort study, FTC exposure was not associated with an increase in specific or overall birth defect risk.<sup>11</sup> In a large French cohort, FTC exposure in the first trimester was associated with lower risk of birth defects.<sup>12</sup> In the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to FTC have been monitored to be able to detect at least a 1.5-fold increased risk of overall birth defects and a two-fold increase in cardiovascular and genitourinary defects. No such increase in birth defects has been observed with FTC. Among the cases of first-trimester FTC exposure that have been reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, the prevalence of birth defects was 2.44% (77 of 3,158 births; 95% CI, 1.93% to 3.04%), compared with a total prevalence of 2.72% in the U.S. population, based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance.<sup>13</sup>

## Other Safety Information

In the U.S. PHACS/SMARTT cohort study, after adjusting for birth cohort and other factors, maternal use of FTC led to no increase in the likelihood of adverse metabolic, growth and development, cardiac, neurological, or neurodevelopmental outcomes.<sup>14</sup>

**Note:** When using FDC tablets, refer to other sections in Appendix B and Table 8 for information about the dosing and safety of individual drug components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations <sup>a</sup>	Use in Pregnancy
Emtricitabine	FTC (Emtriva)	Standard Adult Doses	High placental
(FTC)	Capsule:d	FTC (Emtriva)	transfer to fetus.b
Emtriva	• 200 mg	Capsule:	No evidence of
(FTC/EFV/TDF)	Oral Solution:	FTC 200 mg once daily without regard to food	human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold
Atripla	• 10 mg/mL	Oral Solution:	increase in overall
(FTC/BIC/TAF)	FTC/EFV/TDF (Atripla):d	• FTC 240 mg (24 mL) once daily without regard to food	birth defects).
Biktarvy	• FTC 200 mg/EFV 600 mg/TDF	FTC/EFV/TDF (Atripla):	If patient has HBV/
(FTC/RPV/TDF)	300 mg tablet	One tablet once daily at or before bedtime	HIV coinfection, it is possible that a HBV
Complera	FTC/BIC/TAF (Biktarvy):	Take on an empty stomach to reduce or mitigate side effects.	flare may occur if the
(FTC/TAF)	• FTC 200 mg/BIC 50 mg/TAF 25	FTC/BIC/TAF (Biktarvy):	drug is stopped; see
Descovy	mg tablet	One tablet once daily with or without food	Hepatitis B Virus/HIV Coinfection.
(FTC/EVG/c/TAF)	FTC/RPV/TDF (Complera):	FTC/RPV/TDF (Complera):	
Genvoya	• FTC 200 mg/RPV 25 mg/TDF 300 mg tablet	One tablet once daily with food	
(FTC/RPV/TAF)	FTC/TAF (Descovy):	FTC/TAF (Descovy):	
Odefsey	• FTC 200 mg/TAF 25 mg tablet	One tablet once daily with or without food	
(FTC/EVG/c/TDF)	FTC/EVG/c/TAF (Genvoya):	FTC/EVG/c/TAF (Genvoya):	
Stribild	• FTC 200 mg/EVG 150 mg/COBI	One tablet once daily with food	
(FTC/DRV/c/TAF)	150 mg/TAF 10 mg tablet	FTC/RPV/TAF (Odefsey):	
Symtuza	FTC/RPV/TAF (Odefsey):	One tablet once daily with food	
(FTC/TDF)	• FTC 200 mg/RPV 25 mg/TAF 25	FTC/EVG/c/TDF (Stribild):	
Truvada	mg tablet	One tablet once daily with food	
Note: Generic	FTC/EVG/c/TDF (Stribild):	FTC/DRV/c/TAF (Symtuza):	
products are	• FTC 200 mg/EVG 150 mg/COBI 150 mg/TDF 300 mg tablet	One tablet once daily with food	
available for some		FTC/TDF (Truvada):	
formulations.	FTC/DRV/c/TAF (Symtuza): • FTC 200 mg/DRV 800 mg/COBI	One tablet once daily without regard to food	
	150 mg/TAF 10 mg tablet	Pregnancy	
	FTC/TDF (Truvada):d	PKs in Pregnancy:	
	• FTC 200 mg/TDF 300 mg tablet	PKs of FTC are not significantly altered in pregnancy.	
		Dosing in Pregnancy:	
		No change in dose indicated.	
		For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., TDF, TAF, EFV, RPV, DRV, EVG, BIC, COBI).	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the <u>Adult and Adolescent</u> Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 10).

**High:** >0.6 **Moderate:** 0.3–0.6 **Low:** <0.3

**Key:** BIC = bictegravir; COBI = cobicistat; DRV/c = darunavir/cobicistat; EFV = efavirenz; EVG/c = elvitegravir/cobicistat; FDC = fixed-dose combination; FTC = emtricitabine; HBV = hepatitis B virus; PK = pharmacokinetic; RPV = rilpivirine; TAF = tenofovir alafenamide; TDF = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

d Generic product is available.

## **References**

- 1. Emtricitabine [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2018. Available at: <a href="https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda">https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda</a> docs/label/2018/021896s026lbl.pdf.
- 2. Szczech GM, Wang LH, Walsh JP, Rousseau FS. Reproductive toxicology profile of emtricitabine in mice and rabbits. *Reprod Toxicol*. 2003;17(1):95-108. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12507664.
- 3. Stek AM, Best BM, Luo W, et al. Effect of pregnancy on emtricitabine pharmacokinetics. *HIV Med.* 2012;13(4):226-235. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22129166">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22129166</a>.
- 4. Flynn PM, Mirochnick M, Shapiro DE, et al. Pharmacokinetics and safety of single-dose tenofovir disoproxil fumarate and emtricitabine in HIV-1-infected pregnant women and their infants. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2011;55(12):5914-5922. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21896911.
- 5. Colbers AP, Hawkins DA, Gingelmaier A, et al. The pharmacokinetics, safety and efficacy of tenofovir and emtricitabine in HIV-1-infected pregnant women. *AIDS*. 2013;27(5):739-748. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23169329">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23169329</a>.
- 6. Valade E, Treluyer JM, Dabis F, et al. Modified renal function in pregnancy: impact on emtricitabine pharmacokinetics. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2014;78(6):1378-1386. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24995851">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24995851</a>.
- 7. Waitt C, Olagunju A, Nakalema S, et al. Plasma and breast milk pharmacokinetics of emtricitabine, tenofovir and lamivudine using dried blood and breast milk spots in nursing African mother-infant pairs. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2018;73(4):1013-1019. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29309634">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29309634</a>.
- 8. Benaboud S, Pruvost A, Coffie PA, et al. Concentrations of tenofovir and emtricitabine in breast milk of HIV-1-infected women in Abidjan, Cote d'Ivoire, in the ANRS 12109 tEmAA study, step 2. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2011;55(3):1315-1317. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21173182">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21173182</a>.
- 9. Mugwanya KK, Hendrix CW, Mugo NR, et al. Pre-exposure prophylaxis use by breastfeeding HIV-uninfected women: a prospective short-term study of antiretroviral excretion in breast milk and infant absorption. *PLoS Med.* 2016;13(9):e1002132. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27676257">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27676257</a>.
- Mugo NR, Hong T, Celum C, et al. Pregnancy incidence and outcomes among women receiving preexposure prophylaxis for HIV prevention: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2014;312(4):362-371. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25038355">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25038355</a>.
- 11. Williams PL, Crain M, Yildirim C, et al. Congenital anomalies and in utero antiretroviral exposure in human immunodeficiency virus-exposed uninfected infants. *JAMA Pediatr*. 2015;169(1):45-55. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25383770">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25383770</a>.
- 12. Sibiude J, Mandelbrot L, Blanche S, et al. Association between prenatal exposure to antiretroviral therapy and birth defects: an analysis of the French perinatal cohort study (ANRS CO1/CO11). *PLoS Med.* 2014;11(4):e1001635. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24781315.
- 13. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry international interim report for 1 January 1989–31 January 2019. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2019. Available at: <a href="http://www.apregistry.com">http://www.apregistry.com</a>.
- 14. Williams PL, Hazra R, Van Dyke RB, et al. Antiretroviral exposure during pregnancy and adverse outcomes in HIV-exposed uninfected infants and children using a trigger-based design. *AIDS*. 2016;30(1):133-144. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26731758">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26731758</a>.

## Lamivudine (Epivir, 3TC)

## (Last updated December 24, 2019; last reviewed December 24, 2019)

#### **Animal Studies**

#### Carcinogenicity

Lamivudine (3TC) was found to have weak mutagenic activity in one *in vitro* assay, but there was no evidence of *in vivo* genotoxicity in rats at 35 times to 45 times the exposure observed in humans who received the standard dose. Long-term animal studies have shown no evidence of carcinogenicity at exposures that were 10 times (in mice) and 58 times (in rats) the exposure seen in humans who received the standard dose.<sup>1</sup>

#### Reproduction/Fertility

In rats that received 3TC in doses up to 4,000 mg/kg per day, which produced plasma levels 47 times to 70 times those seen in humans who received the standard dose, there was no evidence of impaired fertility and no effects on the offspring's survival, growth, or development up to the time of weaning.<sup>1</sup>

## Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

There is no evidence of 3TC-induced teratogenicity in rats and rabbits at plasma concentrations of 3TC that are 35 times those seen in human plasma. Early embryo lethality was seen in rabbits at exposures that were similar to human therapeutic exposure, but no early embryo lethality was seen in rats with 3TC exposures that were 35 times the exposure observed in humans who received the standard dose.<sup>1</sup>

#### Placental and Breast Milk Passage

In studies of pregnant rats, 3TC was transferred to the fetus through the placenta.<sup>1</sup>

## **Human Studies in Pregnancy**

#### **Pharmacokinetics**

Two separate studies have reported that pregnancy does not significantly affect 3TC pharmacokinetic parameters.<sup>2,3</sup> This was confirmed in an analysis of 114 pregnant women, 123 women in labor, and 47 nonpregnant women, in which all participants received standard once-daily or twice-daily 3TC doses.<sup>4</sup> Pregnant women had a 22% higher apparent clearance rate than nonpregnant and postpartum women, but this increase did not lead to subtherapeutic exposure. Although the level of 3TC exposure in pregnant women was lower than the exposure in nonpregnant and parturient women, it was relatively close to levels that were reported previously for nonpregnant adults.<sup>4</sup> Thus, no dose adjustment is necessary for 3TC during pregnancy.

#### Placental and Breast Milk Passage

3TC readily crosses the placenta in humans, achieving cord blood concentrations comparable to maternal plasma concentrations.<sup>3</sup> In a study of 123 mother/infant pairs, the placental transfer, expressed as the fetal-to-maternal area under the curve (AUC) ratio, was 0.86. The 3TC amniotic fluid accumulation, expressed as the amniotic fluid-to-fetal AUC ratio, was 2.9.<sup>4</sup> Other studies have also noted that urinary excretion of 3TC by the fetus can cause 3TC to accumulate in the amniotic fluid.<sup>2</sup>

3TC is excreted into human breast milk. In a study in Kenya of 67 nursing mothers who received a combination regimen of zidovudine, 3TC, and nevirapine, the median breast milk 3TC concentration was 1,214 ng/mL and the median ratio of 3TC concentration in breast milk to the concentration in plasma was  $2.56.^5$  In infants who were exposed to 3TC only via breast milk, the median plasma 3TC concentration was 23 ng/mL (inhibitory concentration 50% [IC50] of 3TC against wild-type HIV = 0.6-21 ng/mL). In a separate study of breastfeeding women in Malawi who were receiving 3TC in combination with tenofovir disoproxil fumarate and efavirenz, concentrations of 3TC in breast milk were higher than those in maternal plasma at 1 month (3.29-fold higher) and 12 months (2.35-fold higher) after delivery. Infant plasma levels at ages 6 and 12 months, on the other hand, revealed median 3TC concentrations of only 2.5 ng/mL (with an

interquartile range [IQR] of 2.5–7.6) and 0 ng/mL (with an IQR of 0–2.5), respectively.<sup>6</sup>

## Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

In a large French cohort, 3TC exposure during the first trimester was associated with an increased risk of overall birth defects (adjusted odds ratio = 1.37; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.06–1.73), but not of a defect in any specific organ system or of a specific birth defect.<sup>7</sup> In the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to 3TC have been monitored to detect at least a 1.5-fold increase in risk of overall birth defects and a two-fold increase in the risk of cardiovascular and genitourinary defects (the most common classes of birth defects in the general population). No such increase in the risk of birth defects has been observed with 3TC. Among the cases of first-trimester 3TC exposure that have been reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, the prevalence of birth defects was 3.0% (156 of 5,132 births; 95% CI, 2.6% to 3.5%) compared with a 2.7% total prevalence in the U.S. population, based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance.<sup>8</sup>

An analysis of Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry data demonstrated that there is a lower risk of spontaneous abortions, induced abortions, and preterm births with use of lamivudine-containing regimens than with use of antiretroviral regimens that do not include lamivudine.<sup>9</sup>

## Other Safety Information

In a large U.S. cohort study of infants without HIV born to women with HIV, 3TC exposure during pregnancy was not associated with increased risk of adverse infant outcomes in any of the growth, hearing, language, neurology, neurodevelopment, metabolic, hematologic/clinical chemistry, and blood lactate domains assessed <sup>10</sup>

**Note:** When using FDC tablets, refer to other sections in Appendix B and Table 8 for information about the dosing and safety of the individual drug components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations <sup>a</sup>	Use in Pregnancy
Lamivudine (3TC)	3TC (Epivir) <sup>d</sup>	Standard Adult Doses	High placental transfer to fetus. <sup>b</sup>
Epivir	Tablets:	3TC (Epivir):	
(3TC/TDF) Cimduo	• 150 mg • 300 mg	3TC 150 mg twice daily or 300 mg once daily, without regard to food	No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall
	Oral Solution:	3TC/TDF (Cimduo):	birth defects).
(3TC/ZDV) Combivir	• 10 mg/mL	One tablet once daily without regard to food	If patient has HBV/HIV
(3TC/DOR/TDF)	3TC/TDF (Cimduo):	3TC/ZDV (Combivir):	coinfection, it is possible
Delstrigo	• 3TC 300 mg/TDF 300 mg tablet	One tablet twice daily without regard to food	that an HBV flare may occur if the drug is
(3TC/DTG)	3TC/ZDV (Combivir):d	3TC/DOR/TDF (Delstrigo):	stopped; see <u>Hepatitis B</u>
Dovato	• 3TC 150 mg/ZDV 300 mg tablet	One tablet once daily without regard to food	<u>Virus/HIV Coinfection</u> .
(3TC/ABC)	3TC/DOR/TDF (Delstrigo):	3TC/DTG (Dovato):	3TC products that were developed specifically for
Epzicom	• 3TC 300 mg/DOR 100 mg/TDF	<ul> <li>One tablet once daily without regard to food</li> </ul>	treatment of HBV (e.g.,
(3TC/EFV/TDF)	300 mg tablet	3TC/ABC (Epzicom):	Epivir-HBV) contain a
Symfi	3TC/DTG (Dovato):	One tablet once daily without regard to food	lower dose of 3TC that is not appropriate for
(3TC/EFV/TDF) Symfi Lo	• 3TC 300 mg/DTG 50 mg tablet	3TC/EFV/TDF (Symfi or Symfi Lo):	treatment of HIV.
(3TC/TDF)	3TC/ABC (Epzicom):d	One tablet once daily on an empty stomach	
Temixys	3TC 300 mg/ABC 600 mg tablet	and preferably at bedtime	
(3TC/ABC/DTG)	3TC/EFV/TDF (Symfi):	3TC/TDF (Temixys):	
Triumeq	• 3TC 300 mg/EFV 600 mg/TDF	One tablet once daily without regard to food	
(3TC/ABC/ZDV)	300 mg tablet	3TC/ABC/DTG (Triumeq):	
Trizivir	3TC/EFV/TDF (Symfi Lo):	One tablet once daily without regard to food	
Note: Generic		3TC/ABC/ZDV (Trizivir):	
products are available for some formulations.		One tablet twice daily without regard to food	
	3TC/TDF (Temixys):  • 3TC 300 mg/TDF 300 mg tablet	Pregnancy	
	3TC/ABC/DTG (Triumeq):	PKs in Pregnancy:	
	• 3TC 300 mg/ABC 600 mg/DTG	PKs not significantly altered in pregnancy.	
	50 mg tablet	Dosing in Pregnancy:	
	3TC/ABC/ZDV (Trizivir):d	No change in dose indicated.	
	3TC 150 mg/ABC 300 mg/ZDV 300 mg tablet	For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., ABC, DOR, DTG, EFV, TDF, ZDV)	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the <u>Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines</u>, Appendix B, Table 10).

High: >0.6 Moderate: 0.3–0.6 Low: <0.3

**Key:** 3TC = lamivudine; ABC = abacavir; ARV = antiretroviral; DOR = doravirine; DTG = dolutegravir; EFV = efavirenz; FDC = fixed-dose combination; HBV = hepatitis B virus; PK = pharmacokinetic; TDF = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate; ZDV = zidovudine

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> Generic formulation available

## **References**

- 1. Lamivudine [package insert]. 2018. Available at: <a href="https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2018/020564s038,020596s037lbl.pdf">https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2018/020564s038,020596s037lbl.pdf</a>.
- 2. Mandelbrot L, Peytavin G, Firtion G, Farinotti R. Maternal-fetal transfer and amniotic fluid accumulation of lamivudine in human immunodeficiency virus-infected pregnant women. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2001;184(2):153-158. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11174495">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11174495</a>.
- 3. Moodley J, Moodley D, Pillay K, et al. Pharmacokinetics and antiretroviral activity of lamivudine alone or when coadministered with zidovudine in human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected pregnant women and their offspring. *J Infect Dis.* 1998;178(5):1327-1333. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9780252.
- 4. Benaboud S, Treluyer JM, Urien S, et al. Pregnancy-related effects on lamivudine pharmacokinetics in a population study with 228 women. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2012;56(2):776-782. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22106227">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22106227</a>.
- 5. Mirochnick M, Thomas T, Capparelli E, et al. Antiretroviral concentrations in breast-feeding infants of mothers receiving highly active antiretroviral therapy. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2009;53(3):1170-1176. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19114673">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19114673</a>.
- 6. Palombi L, Pirillo MF, Marchei E, et al. Concentrations of tenofovir, lamivudine and efavirenz in mothers and children enrolled under the option B-plus approach in Malawi. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2016;71(4):1027-1030. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26679247">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26679247</a>.
- 7. Sibiude J, Mandelbrot L, Blanche S, et al. Association between prenatal exposure to antiretroviral therapy and birth defects: an analysis of the French perinatal cohort study (ANRS CO1/CO11). *PLoS Med.* 2014;11(4):e1001635. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24781315">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24781315</a>.
- 8. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry international interim report for 1 January 1989–31 January 2019. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2019. Available at: <a href="http://www.apregistry.com/">http://www.apregistry.com/</a>.
- 9. Vannappagari V, Koram N, Albano J, Tilson H, Gee C. Abacavir and lamivudine exposures during pregnancy and non-defect adverse pregnancy outcomes: data from the antiretroviral pregnancy registry. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2015;68(3):359-364. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25469525.
- 10. Williams PL, Hazra R, Van Dyke RB, et al. Antiretroviral exposure during pregnancy and adverse outcomes in HIV-exposed uninfected infants and children using a trigger-based design. *AIDS*. 2016;30(1):133-144. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26731758">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26731758</a>.

## Tenofovir Alafenamide (Vemlidy, TAF)

(Last updated December 24, 2019; last reviewed December 24, 2019)

#### **Animal Studies**

#### Carcinogenicity

Because tenofovir alafenamide (TAF) is rapidly converted to tenofovir (TFV), and TFV exposure in rats and mice is lower after TAF administration than after tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) administration, carcinogenicity studies were performed with TDF. Long-term oral carcinogenicity studies of TFV in mice and rats were carried out at TFV exposures that were 167 times (in mice) and 55 times (in rats) the exposures observed in humans who received the recommended doses of TAF. In female mice, liver adenomas were increased. TAF showed no evidence of carcinogenic activity in rats.<sup>1,2</sup>

## Reproduction/Fertility

Reproduction studies have been performed at TAF exposures that were similar to (in rats) and 53 times higher than (in rabbits) the exposure seen in humans who received the recommended dose. These studies revealed no evidence of impaired fertility or mating performance associated with TAF administration.<sup>1,2</sup>

## Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

No effects on early embryonic development were seen when TAF was administered to male or female rats at doses that produced exposures that were 62 times the exposure seen in humans who received the therapeutic dose.<sup>1,2</sup>

#### Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Rat studies demonstrated secretion of TFV in breast milk after administration of TDF; whether TAF is present in animal milk is unknown.<sup>1</sup>

#### **Human Studies in Pregnancy**

#### **Pharmacokinetics**

The pharmacokinetics (PKs) of TAF were evaluated in 31 women who were taking TAF 25 mg without a PK enhancer, and in 27 women who were taking TAF 10 mg boosted with cobicistat (COBI) 150 mg.<sup>3</sup> This study evaluated plasma TAF exposures with and without boosting in pregnant and postpartum women relative to those in nonpregnant adults. No significant differences in PKs were seen between pregnant and postpartum women who were taking TAF 10 mg boosted with COBI. Pregnant women who were taking unboosted TAF had plasma TAF exposures that were similar to those observed in nonpregnant adults. During the postpartum period, however, TAF exposures in these women increased significantly. Another report described TAF PKs in 17 women who were taking TAF 25 mg boosted with either COBI or ritonavir. Plasma exposures for TAF during pregnancy were similar to those seen during the postpartum period.<sup>4</sup>

#### Placental and Breast Milk Passage

TAF was below the assay limit of quantification (<3.9 ng/mL) in 15 of 15 cord blood samples tested.<sup>3</sup> Intracellular TFV diphosphate was not measured in the cord blood or the samples of maternal plasma at delivery. Maternal plasma TAF concentrations at delivery were measurable in two of the 15 paired samples. No data are available on the breast milk passage of TAF in humans.

#### Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

In the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, the number of reported cases of TAF exposures is insufficient to draw any conclusions about the risk of birth defects.<sup>5</sup>

**Note:** When using FDC tablets, refer to other sections in Appendix B and Table 8 for information about the dosing and safety of the individual drug components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations <sup>a</sup>	Use in Pregnancy
Tenofovir	TAF (Vemlidy)	Standard Adult Doses	Low placental
Alafenamide	Tablet:	TAF (Vemlidy):	transfer to fetus.b
(TAF) Vemlidy	• 25 mg	One tablet once daily with food	Insufficient data
(TAF/BIC/FTC) Biktarvy	TAF/BIC/FTC (Biktarvy):  • TAF 25 mg/BIC 50 mg/ FTC 200 mg tablet	TAF/BIC/FTC (Biktarvy):  • One tablet once daily with or without food  TAF/FTC (Descovy):	to assess for teratogenicity in humans. No evidence of
(TAF/FTC) Descovy	TAF/FTC (Descovy):	One tablet once daily with or without food	teratogenicity in rats.
	• TAF 25 mg/FTC 200 mg	• Same dose (TAF 25 mg) can be used with or without PK enhancers.	Renal function
(TAF/EVG/c/FTC) Genvoya	tablet	TAF/EVG/c/FTC (Genvoya):	should be monitored because of the
(TAF/FTC/RPV)	TAF/EVG/c/FTC	One tablet once daily with food	potential for renal
Odefsey	(Genvoya):	TAF/FTC/RPV (Odefsey):	toxicity.
(TAF/DRV/c/FTC)	TAF 10 mg/EVG 150 mg/ COBI 150 mg/FTC 200 mg tablet	One tablet once daily with food	
Symtuza		TAF/DRV/c/FTC (Symtuza):	
	TAF/FTC/RPV (Odefsey):	One tablet once daily with food	
	• TAF 25 mg/FTC 200 mg/	Pregnancy	
	RPV 25 mg tablet  TAF/DRV/c/FTC (Symtuza):  • TAF 10 mg/DRV 800 mg/ COBI 150 mg/FTC 200 mg tablet	PKs in Pregnancy:	
		Plasma PKs not significantly altered in pregnancy.	
		Dosing in Pregnancy:	
		No change in dose indicated.	
		For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., BIC, COBI, DRV, EVG, FTC, RPV).	

a Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the <u>Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines</u>, <u>Appendix B</u>, <u>Table 10</u>).

High: >0.6 Moderate: 0.3–0.6 Low: <0.3

**Key:** ARV = antiretroviral; BIC = bictegravir; COBI = cobicistat; DRV/c = darunavir/cobicistat; EVG/c = elvitegravir/cobicistat; FDC = fixed-dose combination; FTC = emtricitabine; PK = pharmacokinetic; RPV = rilpivirine; TAF = tenofovir alafenamide

#### References

- 1. Emtricitabine/rilpivirine/tenofovir alafenamide (Odefsey) [package insert]. 2018. Available at: <a href="https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2018/208351s006lbl.pdf">https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2018/208351s006lbl.pdf</a>.
- 2. Elvitegravir/cobicistat/emtricitabine/tenofovir alafenamide (Genvoya) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2019. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2019/207561s023lbl.pdf.
- 3. Momper J, Best B, Wang J, et al. Tenofovir alafenamide pharmacokinetics with and without cobicistat in pregnancy. Presented at: 22nd International AIDS Conference. 2018. Amsterdam, Netherlands.
- 4. Brooks K, Pinilla M, Shapiro D, et al. Pharmacokinetics of tenofovir alafenamide 25 mg with PK boosters during pregnancy and postpartum. Presented at: Workshop on Clinical Pharmacology of HIV, Hepatitis, and Other Antiviral Drugs. 2019. Noordwijk, Netherlands.
- 5. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry international interim report for 1 January 1989–31 January 2019. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2019. Available at: <a href="http://www.apregistry.com/">http://www.apregistry.com/</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

## Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate (Viread, TDF)

## (Last updated December 24, 2019; last reviewed December 24, 2019)

Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) is an orally bioavailable form of tenofovir (TFV). For information about tenofovir alafenamide (TAF), see the <u>TAF section</u>.

#### **Animal Studies**

#### Carcinogenicity

TFV was mutagenic in one of two *in vitro* assays and has shown no evidence of clastogenic activity. Longterm oral carcinogenicity studies of TFV were carried out at 16 times (in mice) and five times (in rats) the exposure seen in humans who received the standard dose. In female mice, the incidence of liver adenomas was increased at exposures that were 16 times those observed in humans who received therapeutic doses. In rats, there was no evidence of carcinogenicity at exposures up to five times those observed in humans who received the therapeutic dose.<sup>1</sup>

## Reproduction/Fertility

Reproduction studies have been performed using doses of TFV up to 14 times (in rats) and 19 times (in rabbits) the human dose, based on body surface area comparisons. The use of TFV was not associated with impaired fertility or harm to the fetus in these studies. There were also no effects on fertility, mating performance, or early embryonic development when TFV was administered (at a dose of 600 mg/kg per day; equivalent to 10 times the human dose based on body surface area) to male rats for 28 days before mating, and to female rats from 15 days before mating through Day 7 of gestation. However, an alteration of the estrous cycle in female rats was observed.<sup>1</sup>

#### Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

Fetal monkeys with chronic, high-level exposure to TFV that was equivalent to 25 times the area under the curve [AUC] achieved with therapeutic dosing in humans had lower fetal circulating insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-1, higher IGF binding protein-3 levels, and lower body weights than TFV-unexposed fetal monkeys. A slight reduction in fetal bone porosity was also observed in TFV-exposed fetal monkeys. These effects were observed within 2 months of maternal treatment.<sup>1</sup>

#### Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Intravenous administration of TFV to pregnant cynomolgus monkeys resulted in a fetal/maternal plasma ratio of 0.17, demonstrating that TFV crosses the placenta.<sup>2</sup>

#### **Human Studies in Pregnancy**

#### **Pharmacokinetics**

In a retrospective population pharmacokinetic study of 46 pregnant women and 156 nonpregnant women who were receiving combination regimens that included TDF, pregnant women had a 39% higher apparent clearance of TFV than nonpregnant women. Apparent clearance decreased slightly but significantly with increasing age.<sup>3</sup> In the P1026s study of 37 women who received TDF-based combination therapy during pregnancy and postpartum, the percentage of women with TFV AUC that exceeded the target of 1.99 µg·hour/mL (the 10th percentile in nonpregnant adults) was lower at 30 to 36 weeks gestation (73%, 27 of 37 women) than at 6 to 12 weeks postpartum (84%, 27 of 32 women). TFV trough levels and AUC were 17% to 20% lower during the third trimester compared to postpartum. The median weight of the women below the target exposure (97.9 kg) was significantly higher than the median weight of the women who met the target exposure (74.2 kg).<sup>4</sup>

In another study of 34 women who received TDF plus emtricitabine (FTC) in the third trimester and postpartum, TFV AUC, peak concentration, and trough concentration were all about 25% lower in pregnant women than in postpartum women, but these decreased exposures were not associated with virologic failure.<sup>5</sup> In a study of women who did not have HIV and who were using TDF as part of pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP), intracellular concentrations of tenofovir diphosphate (TFV-DP) in pregnant women were about 70%

of those in nonpregnant women, even after adjusting for adherence. In pregnant women who had hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection but who did not have HIV infection, the estimated geometric mean TFV AUC<sub>0-24h</sub> was 20% lower during pregnancy (95% confidence interval [CI], 19% to 21%) than during the postpartum period. There were no cases of perinatal HBV transmission in this study.

Thus, in light of only modestly lower TFV exposure during pregnancy without evidence of adverse impact on virologic efficacy, standard dosing of TDF during pregnancy continues to be recommended.

#### Placental and Breast Milk Passage

In studies of pregnant women who were receiving chronic TDF, the cord blood-to-maternal-plasma ratio of TFV ranged from 0.60 to 1.03, indicating high placental transfer.<sup>4,5,8,9</sup> In studies of pregnant women who received single-dose TDF (with and without FTC) during labor, the median cord blood-to-maternal-plasma ratio of TFV at delivery ranged from 0.55 to 0.73.<sup>10,11</sup> Intracellular TFV concentrations were detected in the peripheral blood mononuclear cells from cord blood in all infants after a single maternal dose of TDF 600 mg with FTC 400 mg, but intracellular TFV-DP was detectable in only two of 36 infants (5.5%).<sup>12</sup>

In a study of 50 breastfeeding women without HIV who received TDF/FTC (under directly observed therapy for 10 days) as PrEP, median peak and trough time-averaged TFV breast milk concentrations were similar at 3.2 ng/mL (interquartile range [IQR] 2.3–4.7) and 3.3 ng/mL (IQR 2.3–4.4), respectively. The infant plasma TFV concentration was unquantifiable (<0.31 ng/mL) in 46 of 49 infants (94%); in the three infants with detectable TFV concentrations, the level was 0.9 ng/mL in two and 17.4 ng/mL in one. Based on this study's results, the median TFV dose ingested through breast milk was estimated to be 0.47 mcg/kg, or <0.01% of the proposed daily pediatric dose of TDF 6 mg/kg. <sup>13</sup> In a study of 59 breastfeeding women with HIV who received TDF/lamivudine (3TC)/efavirenz (EFV) in Uganda and Nigeria, no infant had detectable TFV in plasma after observed dosing. <sup>14</sup>

## Reproduction/Fertility

In a retrospective analysis of 7,275 women who were receiving antiretroviral therapy (ART) (1,199 of whom were receiving regimens that contained TDF), women who used TDF had a slightly lower pregnancy rate than women who did not use TDF. However, the findings were limited by the observational nature of the data, and additional studies are needed for confirmation.<sup>15</sup> A trial in Kenya and Uganda randomized participants who did not have HIV but whose sexual partners had HIV (serodiscordant heterosexual couples) to receive daily TDF, TDF/FTC, or placebo for PrEP. Pregnancy incidence was not significantly different among the arms: pregnancy incidence per 100 patient-years was 10.0 among women assigned to receive placebo, 11.9 among those assigned to receive TDF (P = 0.22 vs. placebo), and 8.8 among those assigned to receive TDF/FTC (P = 0.39 vs. placebo).<sup>16</sup>

#### *Teratogenicity*

In a study of 431 pregnancies that occurred during an HIV PrEP trial in which women who did not have HIV were randomized to receive placebo, TDF, or TDF plus FTC, there was no difference in risk of congenital anomalies between the TDF-containing arms and placebo arms. <sup>16</sup> No association was seen between maternal TDF use and the occurrence of birth defects among offspring in three large U.S. cohorts of children born to women with HIV: PACTG 219/219C (n = 2,202, with 214 first-trimester TDF exposures), P1025 (n = 1,112, with 138 first-trimester TDF exposures), <sup>17,18</sup> and PHACS (n = 2,580, with 431 first-trimester TDF exposures). <sup>19</sup> In the French Perinatal Cohort, no association was found between birth defects and the use of TDF, with a power of 70% for an odds ratio of 1.5 (n = 13,124, with 823 first-trimester TDF exposures). <sup>20</sup> Among 382 pregnancies that occurred in 302 women in Uganda and Zimbabwe who participated in the DART trial—approximately two-thirds of whom received TDF for >90% of their pregnancies—TDF use was not associated with birth defect risk. <sup>21</sup>

Finally, in the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to TDF have been monitored to be able to detect at least a 1.5-fold increased risk of overall birth defects and a two-fold increase in the risk of birth defects in the cardiovascular and genitourinary systems. No increase in birth

defects has been observed with TDF. Among the cases of first-trimester TDF exposure that have been reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, the prevalence of birth defects was 2.36% (91 of 3,851 births; 95% CI, 1.91% to 2.89%), compared with a total prevalence of 2.72% in the U.S. population, based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance.<sup>22</sup>

In summary, there is no evidence that the use of TDF increases the risk of birth defects.

#### Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

## **Overall Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes**

In an observational study in Botswana of >11,000 births among women with HIV who received ART during pregnancy and gave birth between August 2014 and August 2016, the risk of any adverse birth outcome (i.e., stillbirth, neonatal death, preterm delivery or very preterm delivery, small for gestational age [SGA] or very small for gestational age) was lower in women who received TDF/FTC/EFV than in women who received any other regimen (TDF/FTC plus nevirapine [NVP], adjusted relative risk [ARR] 1.15; TDF/FTC plus lopinavir/ritonavir [LPV/r], ARR 1.31; zidovudine [ZDV]/3TC plus NVP, ARR 1.30; ZDV/3TC plus LPV/r, ARR 1.21). Furthermore, among infants who were exposed to ART from conception, TDF/FTC/EFV was associated with lower risk for adverse birth outcomes than other antiretroviral (ARV) regimens.<sup>23</sup>

#### Fetal Growth Effects

In the PHACS study from the United States, 449 of the 2,029 infants (21%) who were exposed to HIV but who were uninfected had *in utero* exposure to TDF. TDF-exposed infants and infants without exposure to TDF had similar rates of low birth weight (LBW) and SGA and similar newborn length-for-age and head circumference-for-age z-scores (LAZ and HCAZ, respectively).<sup>24</sup> In a different U.S. cohort study, P1025, maternal TDF use was similarly not associated with differences in body size parameters at birth.<sup>25</sup> In a combined analysis of data from 4,646 births that occurred during the PHACS and P1025 studies, there were no differences in the risks of LBW infants (<2,500 g) and very LBW infants (<1,500 g) for women who received TDF/3TC plus LPV/r and those who received ZDV/3TC plus LPV/r during pregnancy.<sup>26</sup> In a European cohort study (EPICC), the use of TDF was similarly not associated with SGA infants.<sup>27</sup> However, in a Dutch study of 74 HIV-exposed infants (including nine with *in utero* TDF exposure), maternal TDF use was linked to an increased risk of LBW (<2,500 g).<sup>28</sup>

In the largely Africa-based PROMISE trial, pregnant women with HIV but without advanced disease or immunosuppression (defined as CD4 T lymphocyte counts  $\geq$ 350 cells/mm³) were randomized at  $\geq$ 14 weeks gestation (with a median of 26 weeks gestation) to receive ZDV alone, ZDV/3TC plus LPV/r (ZDV-based ART), or TDF/FTC plus LPV/r (TFV-based ART). The TFV-based ART arm and ZDV-based ART arms showed no significant differences in the incidence of LBW infants (<2,500 g; 16.9% vs. 20.4%, P = 0.3). In the large observational study in Botswana, the use of TDF/FTC/EFV was associated with a lower risk of SGA infants than all other regimens. A fetal ultrasound study in South Africa demonstrated no association between duration of maternal TDF use and long-bone (femur and humerus) growth in the infant. This same research group also demonstrated that the duration of *in utero* TFV exposure was not related to infant length at birth.

Additionally, a placebo-controlled trial of TDF 300 mg that was initiated at 28 weeks gestation in Thai women with HBV (but not HIV) permits an assessment of the potential impact of TDF on birth outcomes when TDF is used in pregnancy without other antiviral drugs and outside the context of maternal HIV infection. In this study, 322 deliveries resulted in 323 live births (including two twin pairs and one stillbirth in the TDF arm). No difference was observed in birthweights between infants born to women who received TDF and those who received placebo: median birth weight was 3,028 g in the TDF arm and 3,061 g in the placebo arm.<sup>32</sup>

#### **Preterm Delivery**

In the PROMISE trial, there were no significant differences between the TFV-based ART arm and the ZDV-based ART arms in the incidence of preterm delivery (delivery at <37 weeks; 18.5% vs. 19.7%, P = 0.77). However, TFV-based ART was associated with higher rates of very preterm delivery (delivery before 34 weeks; 6.0% vs. 2.6%, P = 0.04) and early infant death (4.4% vs. 0.6%, P = 0.001) than ZDV-based ART.<sup>29</sup> The greater number of early infant deaths was likely attributable to poor outcomes for very preterm infants in the settings where the trial

took place, but the higher rate of very preterm delivery in the TFV-based ART arm remains unexplained. Potential explanations include a lower than expected very preterm delivery rate in the ZDV-based ART arm or increased TFV exposure due to coadministration with LPV/r (LPV/r doses were increased in late pregnancy).

In contrast to the PROMISE trial results, the use of ZDV/3TC plus LPV/r was associated with a higher risk of preterm birth, very preterm birth, and neonatal death than TDF/FTC/EFV in the large observational study in Botswana.<sup>33</sup> There was a higher risk of preterm delivery, however, for women who started treatment with TDF/FTC/EFV in the year prior to conception compared to women who started the same regimen late in the second trimester (adjusted risk ratio 1.33; 95% CI, 1.04–1.7).<sup>23</sup>

In a combined analysis of data from 4,646 births that occurred during the PHACS and P1025 studies, women who received TDF/3TC plus LPV/r and those who received ZDV/3TC plus LPV/r during pregnancy had no significant differences in the risks of preterm delivery overall (defined as a gestational age of <37 weeks) or very preterm delivery (<34 weeks).<sup>26</sup> Among women with HIV who became pregnant and started ART while enrolled in serodiscordant couple PrEP studies, preterm birth (defined as live birth at <37 weeks gestation) occurred less frequently among women who received TDF (adjusted prevalence rate ratio [aPRR] 0.34, P = 0.02), and there was no difference in the rates of neonatal death (aPRR 0.68, P = 0.6).<sup>34</sup>

Additionally, in the trial of TDF 300 mg in Thai women with HBV (but not HIV), no difference was observed in the frequency of preterm delivery between the TDF and placebo arms: preterm delivery occurred for eight of 162 infants (5%) in the TDF arm (with none at <35 weeks), and 13 of 160 infants [8%] experienced preterm delivery in the placebo arm, including three infants (2%) who were delivered between 32 and 34 weeks gestation.<sup>32</sup>

However, in an observational, multicenter, Canadian study of 2,787 mother-infant pairs in which the mothers received ART during pregnancy, the rate of preterm delivery (defined as delivery at <37 weeks) was significantly higher in mothers who received TDF-containing ART than in mothers who received ART that did not contain TDF (19.4% vs. 15.2%, P = 0.024). This higher rate of preterm delivery was not associated with whether the regimen also included a protease inhibitor, non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor, or integrase strand transfer inhibitor.<sup>35</sup>

In all, there remains some concern for a link between maternal TDF use and preterm birth or LBW, but the evidence is mixed; the role of concomitant medications and other cofactors and/or confounders requires further investigation.

Other Safety Data

#### **Maternal Safety Outcomes**

In a United Kingdom cohort of 71 pregnant women who were receiving TDF, retrospective analysis of serum creatinine and estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) measured throughout pregnancy and 6 weeks after delivery revealed no decline in renal function during pregnancy and normal renal function (>90 mL/min) at 6 weeks postpartum (one woman's postpartum eGFR was 60 mL/min).<sup>36</sup>

In the Thai trial in which pregnant women received TDF or placebo from a gestational age of 28 weeks to 2 months postpartum to prevent HBV transmission, there was no significant effect of maternal TDF use on maternal bone mineral density (BMD) 1 year after delivery.<sup>37</sup>

#### **Infant Safety Outcomes**

In the U.S. PHACS/SMARTT cohort study, after adjusting for birth cohort and other factors, maternal use of TDF led to no increase in the likelihood of adverse infant metabolic, growth/development, cardiac, neurological, or neurodevelopmental outcomes.<sup>38</sup>

In the DART trial described above, there were no differences in infant mortality between infants born to mothers who received TDF during pregnancy and those born to mothers who received other ARV drugs.<sup>21</sup>

#### **Infant Growth Effects**

In the U.S. PHACS study, there were no differences at birth in rates of LBW, SGA, or newborn LAZ and

HCAZ between infants who were exposed to combination drug regimens that contained versus didn't contain TDF; however, at age 1 year, infants exposed to combination regimens with TDF had a slight but significantly lower adjusted mean LAZ and HCAZ than those without TDF exposure (LAZ: -0.17 vs. -0.03, P = 0.04; HCAZ: 0.17 vs. 0.42, P = 0.02). There was no difference in weight-for-age z-score (WAZ). There were also no significant differences between infants with and without TDF exposure at age 1 year when defining low LAZ or HCAZ as  $\leq 1.5$  z-score. Thus, these slightly lower mean LAZ and HCAZ scores are of uncertain significance. In the U.S. P1025 study, maternal TDF use was similarly not associated with differences in body size parameters at birth; however, among the 1,496 infants who were followed for 6 months, TDF exposure after the first trimester was associated with being underweight (WAZ  $\leq 5\%$ ) at age 6 months (OR 2.06; 95% CI, 1.01–3.95, P = 0.04) when compared to no exposure. Significant did in the contained versus didn't associated with differences in body size parameters at birth; however, among the 1,496 infants who were followed for 6 months (OR 2.06; 95% CI, 1.01–3.95, P = 0.04) when compared to no exposure.

A Kenyan cohort study also found an association between maternal TDF use (compared to ART without TDF) and lower infant 6-week WAZ despite no difference in infant weight at birth; however, TDF exposure was not associated with infant WAZ differences at age 9 months, and no associations were found with any other infant anthropometric measures at the 6-week or 9-month time points.<sup>39</sup> In the Dutch study of 74 HIV-exposed infants, maternal TDF use was linked to lower 6-month HAZ and WAZ after adjusting for differences in birthweight and prematurity.<sup>28</sup>

On the other hand, results from a South African study demonstrated that the duration of *in utero* TFV exposure was not related to infant length at birth or to linear growth through the first 48 weeks of life.<sup>31</sup> In the DART trial, there were also no differences in infant growth rates between infants born to mothers who received TDF during pregnancy and those born to mothers who received other ARV drugs.<sup>21</sup>

Finally, in the placebo-controlled trial that involved Thai women with HBV (but not HIV) who initiated TDF at 28 weeks gestation, there was no difference in growth outcomes at age 6 months between infants in the maternal TDF arm and infants in the placebo arm.<sup>32</sup>

The evidence is inconsistent regarding the association between maternal TDF use during pregnancy and transient, small growth delays during the first year of life. These delays are of uncertain clinical significance.<sup>40</sup>

#### **Infant Bone Effects**

In a cross-sectional study of 68 children aged 1 to 6 years who were exposed to HIV (but who were not infected) and who had *in utero* exposure to combination regimens that contained TDF (n = 33) or that did not contain TDF (n = 35), quantitative bone ultrasound measures and bone metabolism marker levels were similar for both groups.<sup>41</sup> Another study evaluated whole body dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry scans performed within 4 weeks of birth among 74 infants who were exposed to >8 weeks of TDF *in utero* and 69 infants with no TDF exposure. The adjusted mean whole-body bone mineral content (BMC) was significantly lower in the TDF group (-6.5g, P = 0.004), as was the whole-body-less-head BMC (-2.6 g, P = 0.056).<sup>42</sup> In a small, randomized trial that enrolled pregnant women in China with HBV/HIV coinfection, BMD and BMC at age 6 months were nonsignificantly lower in 14 TFV-exposed infants than in 13 infants who were not exposed to TDF.<sup>43</sup>

On the other hand, in the randomized PROMISE trial, there was no difference in BMC between infants whose mothers received LPV/r-based ART with TDF and those whose mothers received LPV/r-based ART with ZDV. In addition, in the Thai trial in which women with HBV (but not HIV) received TDF or placebo from a gestational age of 28 weeks to 2 months postpartum to prevent HBV transmission, there was no significant effect of maternal TDF use on infant BMD at age 1 year. In the significant effect of maternal TDF use on infant BMD at age 1 year.

A study of 136 infants in Malawi whose mothers received TDF/FTC/EFV during pregnancy (with no control group for comparison) documented low-grade, transient abnormalities of serum phosphate and serum creatinine at ages 6 and 12 months.<sup>45</sup>

The impact of maternal TDF use on infant bone mineral status remains uncertain and requires further longitudinal evaluation.

**Note:** When using FDC tablets, refer to other sections in Appendix B and Table 8 for information about the dosing and safety of the individual drug components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

	j or the marvidual drug	components of the FDC tablet during pregnanc	/J·
Generic Name (Abbreviation)	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations <sup>a</sup>	Use in Pregnancy
Trade Name	Tomilation	Dosing Recommendations	Ose in Fregulaticy
Tenofovir	TDF (Viread)	Standard Adult Doses	High placental transfer to
Disoproxil	Tablet: <sup>d</sup>	TDF (Viread)	fetus. <sup>b</sup>
Fumarate	• 300 mg	Tablet:	No evidence of human
(TDF) Viread	Powder:	*TDF 300 mg once daily without regard to food	teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in
(TDF/EFV/FTC)	• 40 mg/1 g oral powder	Powder:	overall birth defects).
Atripla (TDF/3TC)	TDF/EFV/FTC (Atripla):	• TDF 8 mg/kg daily (up to a maximum of TDF 300 mg).  Take with food.	Studies in monkeys (at doses approximately
Cimduo	• TDF 300 mg/EFV 600 mg/ FTC 200 mg tablet	TDF/EFV/FTC (Atripla):	2-fold higher than those for human
(TDF/FTC/RPV) Complera	TDF/3TC (Cimduo):	One tablet once daily at or before bedtime. Take on an empty stomach to reduce side effects.	therapeutic use) show decreased fetal growth
(TDF/DOR/3TC)	• TDF 300 mg/3TC 300 mg tablet	TDF/3TC (Cimduo):	and reduction in fetal
Delstrigo	TDF/FTC/RPV (Complera):	One tablet once daily without regard to food	bone porosity within
(TDF/EVG/c/FTC	• TDF 300 mg/FTC 200 mg/	TDF/FTC/RPV (Complera):	2 months of starting maternal therapy. Human
Stribild	RPV 25 mg tablet	One tablet once daily with food	studies demonstrate no
(TDF/EFV/3TC) Symfi	TDF/DOR/3TC (Delstrigo):	TDF/DOR/3TC (Delstrigo):	consistent link to low birth weight, but data are
	• TDF 300 mg/DOR 100	One tablet once daily without regard to food	conflicting about potential
(TDF/EFV/3TC) Symfi Lo	mg/3TC 300 mg tablet	TDF/EVG/c/FTC (Stribild):	effects on growth outcomes later in infancy.
	TDF/EVG/c/FTC (Stribild):	One tablet once daily with food	If patient has HBV/HIV
(TDF/3TC) Temixys	• TDF 300 mg/EVG 150 mg/ COBI 150 mg/FTC 200 mg	TDF/EFV/3TC (Symfi or Symfi Lo):	coinfection, it is possible
(TDF/FTC)	tablet	One tablet once daily on an empty stomach and preferably at bedtime	that an HBV flare may occur if TDF is stopped;
Truvada	TDF/EFV/3TC (Symfi):	TDF/3TC (Temixys):	see <u>Hepatitis B Virus/HIV</u> <u>Coinfection</u> .
Note: Generic products are	TDF 300 mg/EFV 600 mg/3TC 300 mg tablet	One tablet once daily without regard to food	Renal function should be
available for some	TDF/EFV/3TC (Symfi Lo):	TDF/FTC (Truvada):	monitored because of
formulations.	• TDF 300 mg/EFV 400	One tablet once daily without regard to food	potential for renal toxicity
	mg/3TC 300 mg tablet	Pregnancy	
	TDF/3TC (Temixys):	PKs in Pregnancy:	
	TDF 300 mg/3TC 300 mg tablet	AUC is lower in third trimester than postpartum, but trough levels are adequate.	
	TDF/FTC (Truvada):	Dosing in Pregnancy:	
	• TDF 300 mg/FTC 200 mg	No change in dose is indicated.	
	tablet	For guidance about the use of combination products in	
		pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., 3TC, COBI, DOR, EFV, EVG, FTC, RPV)	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the <u>Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 10</u>).

**High:** >0.6 **Moderate:** 0.3–0.6 **Low:** <0.3

**Key:** 3TC = lamivudine; ARV = antiretroviral; AUC = area under the curve; COBI = cobicistat; DOR = doravirine; EFV = efavirenz; EVG/c = elvitegravir/cobicistat; FDC = fixed-dose combination; FTC = emtricitabine; HBV = hepatitis B virus; PK = pharmacokinetic; RPV = rilpivirine; TDF = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> Generic product is available.

## References

- 1. Tenofovir disproxil fumarate [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2019. Available at: https://www. accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2019/021356s058.022577s014lbl.pdf.
- Tarantal AF, Marthas ML, Shaw JP, Cundy K, Bischofberger N. Administration of 9-[2-(R)-(phosphonomethoxy) 2. propyl]adenine (PMPA) to gravid and infant rhesus macaques (Macaca mulatta): safety and efficacy studies. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr Hum Retrovirol. 1999;20(4):323-333. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/10096575.
- Benaboud S, Hirt D, Launay O, et al. Pregnancy-related effects on tenofovir pharmacokinetics: a population study 3. with 186 women, Antimicrob Agents Chemother, 2012;56(2):857-862. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/22123690.
- Best BM, Burchett S, Li H, et al. Pharmacokinetics of tenofovir during pregnancy and postpartum. HIV Med. 4. 2015;16(8):502-511. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25959631">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25959631</a>.
- Colbers AP, Hawkins DA, Gingelmaier A, et al. The pharmacokinetics, safety and efficacy of tenofovir and 5. emtricitabine in HIV-1-infected pregnant women. AIDS. 2013;27(5):739-748. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/pubmed/23169329.
- Pyra M, Anderson PL, Mugwanya KK, et al. Concentrations of TFV-DP during pregnancy among women using PrEP. Abstract 809. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2018. Boston, Massachusetts. Available at: http://www.croiconference.org/sessions/concentrations-tfv-dp-during-pregnancy-among-women-usingprep.
- Cressey TR, Harrison L, Achalapong J, et al. Tenofovir exposure during pregnancy and postpartum in women receiving tenofovir disoproxil fumarate for the prevention of mother-to-child transmission of hepatitis B virus. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2018;62(12). Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30275094.
- 8. Bonora S, de Requena DG, Chiesa E, et al. Transplacental passage of tenofovir and other ARVs at delivery. Presented at: 14th Conference on Retoviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2007. Los Angeles, CA.
- 9. Hirt D, Urien S, Ekouevi DK, et al. Population pharmacokinetics of tenofovir in HIV-1-infected pregnant women and their neonates (ANRS 12109), Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2009;85(2):182-189, Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/18987623.
- Flynn PM. Mirochnick M. Shapiro DE, et al. Pharmacokinetics and safety of single-dose tenofovir disoproxil fumarate and emtricitabine in HIV-1-infected pregnant women and their infants. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2011:55(12):5914-5922. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21896911.
- Mirochnick M, Taha T, Kreitchmann R, et al. Pharmacokinetics and safety of tenofovir in HIV-infected women during labor and their infants during the first week of life. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2014;65(1):33-41. Available at: http:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23979002.
- Hirt D, Ekouevi DK, Pruvost A, et al. Plasma and intracellular tenofovir pharmacokinetics in the neonate (ANRS 12109 trial, step 2). Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2011;55(6):2961-2967. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/21464249.
- Mugwanya KK, Hendrix CW, Mugo NR, et al. Pre-exposure prophylaxis use by breastfeeding HIV-uninfected women: a prospective short-term study of antiretroviral excretion in breast milk and infant absorption. PLoS Med. 2016;13(9):e1002132. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27676257.
- Waitt C, Olagunju A, Nakalema S, et al. Plasma and breast milk pharmacokinetics of emtricitabine, tenofovir and lamivudine using dried blood and breast milk spots in nursing African mother-infant pairs. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2018;73(4):1013-1019 Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29309634.
- Maskew M, Westreich D, Firnhaber C, Sanne I. Tenofovir use and pregnancy among women initiating HAART. AIDS. 2012;26(18):2393-2397. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22951630.
- Mugo NR, Hong T, Celum C, et al. Pregnancy incidence and outcomes among women receiving preexposure prophylaxis for HIV prevention; a randomized clinical trial, JAMA, 2014;312(4):362-371. Available at: http://www. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25038355.
- Brogly SB, Abzug MJ, Watts DH, et al. Birth defects among children born to human immunodeficiency virus-infected women: pediatric AIDS clinical trials protocols 219 and 219C. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2010;29(8):721-727. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20539252.
- Knapp KM, Brogly SB, Muenz DG, et al. Prevalence of congenital anomalies in infants with in utero exposure to

- antiretrovirals. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2012;31(2):164-170. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21983213.
- 19. Williams PL, Crain M, Yildirim C, et al. Congenital anomalies and *in utero* antiretroviral exposure in human immunodeficiency virus-exposed uninfected infants. *JAMA Pediatr*. 2015;169(1):45-55. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25383770">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25383770</a>.
- 20. Sibiude J, Mandelbrot L, Blanche S, et al. Association between prenatal exposure to antiretroviral therapy and birth defects: an analysis of the French perinatal cohort study (ANRS CO1/CO11). *PLoS Med.* 2014;11(4):e1001635. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24781315">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24781315</a>.
- 21. Gibb DM, Kizito H, Russell EC, et al. Pregnancy and infant outcomes among HIV-infected women taking long-term ART with and without tenofovir in the DART trial. *PLoS Med*. 2012;9(5):e1001217. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22615543">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22615543</a>.
- 22. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry international interim report for 1 January 1989–31 January 2019. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2019. Available at: <a href="http://www.apregistry.com">http://www.apregistry.com</a>.
- 23. Zash R, Rough K, Jacobson DL, et al. Effect of gestational age at tenofovir-emtricitabine-efavirenz initiation on adverse birth outcomes in Botswana. *J Pediatric Infect Dis Soc.* 2018;7(3):e148-e151. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29688554">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29688554</a>.
- 24. Siberry GK, Williams PL, Mendez H, et al. Safety of tenofovir use during pregnancy: early growth outcomes in HIV-exposed uninfected infants. *AIDS*. 2012;26(9):1151-1159. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22382151">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22382151</a>.
- 25. Ransom CE, Huo Y, Patel K, et al. Infant growth outcomes after maternal tenofovir disoproxil fumarate use during pregnancy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2013;64(4):374-381. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24169122">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24169122</a>.
- 26. Rough K, Seage GR, 3rd, Williams PL, et al. Birth outcomes for pregnant women with HIV using tenofovir-emtricitabine. *N Engl J Med*. 2018;378(17):1593-1603. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29694825">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29694825</a>.
- European Pregnancy Paediatric HIV Cohort Collaboration Study Group. Nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor backbones and pregnancy outcomes. *AIDS*. 2019;33(2):295-304. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30562172">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30562172</a>.
- 28. Denneman L, Cohen S, Godfried MH, et al. In-utero exposure to tenofovir is associated with impaired fetal and infant growth: need for follow-up studies in combination antiretroviral therapy/HIV-exposed infants. *AIDS*. 2016;30(13):2135-2137. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27465280.
- 29. Fowler MG, Qin M, Fiscus SA, et al. Benefits and risks of antiretroviral therapy for perinatal HIV prevention. *N Engl J Med*. 2016;375(18):1726-1737. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27806243.
- 30. Jao J, Abrams EJ, Phillips T, Petro G, Zerbe A, Myer L. *In utero* tenofovir exposure Is not associated with fetal long bone growth. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2016. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27009251">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27009251</a>.
- 31. le Roux SM, Jao J, Brittain K, et al. Tenofovir exposure *in utero* and linear growth in HIV-exposed, uninfected infants. *AIDS*. 2017;31(1):97-104. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27898591">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27898591</a>.
- 32. Jourdain G, Ngo-Giang-Huong N, Harrison L, et al. Tenofovir versus placebo to prevent perinatal transmission of hepatitis B. *N Engl J Med*. 2018;378(10):911-923. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29514030">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29514030</a>.
- 33. Zash R, Jacobsen DM, Mayondi G, et al. Dolutegravir/tenofovir/emtricitabine (DTG/TDF/FTC) started in pregnancy is as safe as efavirenz/tenofovir/emtricitabine (EFV/TDF/FTC) in nationwide birth outcomes surveillance in Botswana. Presented at: 9th International AIDS Society Conference.2017. Paris, France.
- 34. Pintye J, Baeten JM, Celum C, et al. Maternal tenofovir disoproxil fumarate use during pregnancy is not associated with adverse perinatal outcomes among HIV-infected East African women: a prospective study. *J Infect Dis*. 2017;216(12):1561-1568. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29040666">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29040666</a>.
- 35. Brophy J, Lee T, Bitnun A, Kakkar F, et al. Is tenofovir use in pregnancy associated with preterm delivery? A Canadian perinatal HIV surveillence program analysis. Presented at: 9th IAS Conference on HIV Science. 2017. Paris, France. Available at: http://programme.ias2017.org/PAGMaterial/eposters/3898.pdf.
- 36. Flanagan S, Barnes L, Anderson J, Barber T. The effect of tenofovir on renal function in HIV-positive pregnant women. *J Int AIDS Soc.* 2014;17(4 Suppl 3):19694. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25397444">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25397444</a>.
- 37. Salvadori N, Fan B, Teeyasoontranon W, et al. Maternal and infant bone mineral density 1 year after delivery in a randomized, controlled trial of maternal tenofovir disoproxil fumarate to prevent mother-to-child transmission of hepatitis B Virus. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2019. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30924492">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30924492</a>.

- 38. Williams PL, Hazra R, Van Dyke RB, et al. Antiretroviral exposure during pregnancy and adverse outcomes in HIV-exposed uninfected infants and children using a trigger-based design. *AIDS*. 2016;30(1):133-144. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26731758">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26731758</a>.
- 39. Pintye J, Langat A, Singa B, et al. Maternal tenofovir disoproxil fumarate use in pregnancy and growth outcomes among HIV-exposed uninfected infants in Kenya. *Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol*. 2015;2015:276851. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26823647">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26823647</a>.
- 40. Liotta G, Floridia M, Andreotti M, et al. Growth indices in breastfed infants pre and postnatally exposed to tenofovir compared with tenofovir-unexposed infants. *AIDS*. 2016;30(3):525-527. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26765942">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26765942</a>.
- 41. Vigano A, Mora S, Giacomet V, et al. *In utero* exposure to tenofovir disoproxil fumarate does not impair growth and bone health in HIV-uninfected children born to HIV-infected mothers. *Antivir Ther*. 2011;16(8):1259-1266. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22155907">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22155907</a>.
- 42. Siberry GK, Jacobson DL, Kalkwarf HJ, et al. Lower newborn bone mineral content associated with maternal use of tenofovir disoproxil fumarate during pregnancy. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2015;61(6):996-1003. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26060285">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26060285</a>.
- 43. Kourtis AP, Wiener J, Wang L, et al. Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate use during pregnancy and infant bone health: the tenofovir in pregnancy pilot study. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2018;37(11):e264-e268. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30067600">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30067600</a>.
- 44. Siberry G, Tierney C, Stanix-Chibanda L. Impact of maternal tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) use on HIV-exposed newborn bone mineral content. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2016. Boston, MA.
- 45. Floridia M, Liotta G, Andreotti M, et al. Serum phosphate and creatinine levels in the first year of life in infants born to HIV-positive mothers receiving tenofovir-based combination regimens during pregnancy and prolonged breastfeeding in an option B+ program in Malawi. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2016;73(5):e90-e91. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27559686">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27559686</a>.

## Zidovudine (Retrovir, ZDV)

(Last updated December 24, 2019; last reviewed December 24, 2019)

#### **Animal Studies**

#### Carcinogenicity

Zidovudine (ZDV) was shown to be mutagenic in two *in vitro* assays and clastogenic in one *in vitro* assay and two *in vivo* assays, but not cytogenic in a single-dose *in vivo* rat study. Long-term carcinogenicity studies of ZDV have been performed in mice and rats. In mice, seven late-appearing (>19 months) vaginal neoplasms (five nonmetastasizing squamous cell carcinomas, one squamous cell papilloma, and one squamous polyp) occurred in animals given the highest dose. One late-appearing squamous cell papilloma occurred in the vagina of an animal given an intermediate dose. No vaginal tumors were found in animals given the lowest dose. In rats, two late-appearing (>20 months), nonmetastasizing vaginal squamous cell carcinomas occurred in animals given the highest dose. No vaginal tumors occurred at the low or middle dose in rats. No other drug-related tumors were observed in either sex in either species. At doses that produced tumors in mice and rats, the estimated drug exposure (as measured by area under the curve [AUC]) was approximately three times (in mice) and 24 times (in rats) the estimated human exposure at the recommended therapeutic dose of ZDV 100 mg every 4 hours. The predictive value of rodent carcinogenicity studies for adverse effects in humans is unknown.<sup>2</sup>

Two trans-placental carcinogenicity studies were conducted in mice.<sup>3,4</sup> In one study, ZDV was administered at doses of 20 mg/kg per day or 40 mg/kg per day from gestational day 10 through parturition and lactation, with postnatal dosing continuing in offspring for 24 months.<sup>4</sup> The drug doses administered in this study produced ZDV exposures approximately three times the estimated exposure for humans who receive the recommended dose. After 24 months, an increase in the incidence of vaginal tumors was noted, with no increase in the incidence of tumors in the liver, lung, or any other organ in either gender. These findings are consistent with results of the standard oral carcinogenicity study in mice, as described earlier. In a second study, ZDV was administered at the maximum tolerated doses of 12.5 mg per day or 25 mg per day (approximately 1,000 mg/kg of nonpregnant body weight or approximately 450 mg/kg of term body weight) to pregnant mice from days 12 to 18 of gestation.<sup>3</sup> There was an increase in the number of tumors in the lung, liver, and female reproductive tracts in the offspring of mice receiving the higher dose of ZDV.

#### Reproduction/Fertility

ZDV had no effect on fertility when it was administered to male and female rats at doses up to seven times the usual adult dose based on body surface area; in this instance, fertility was judged by rates of conception. ZDV has been shown to have no effect on reproduction or fertility in rodents. A dose-related cytotoxic effect on preimplantation mouse embryos can occur, with inhibition of blastocyst and post-blastocyst development observed at ZDV concentrations similar to levels achieved with human therapeutic doses.<sup>5</sup>

## Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

In animal reproduction studies, administration of oral ZDV to female rats prior to mating and throughout gestation resulted in embryotoxicity at doses that produced systemic exposures (expressed as AUC) approximately 33 times higher than the exposures observed in humans who received the recommended clinical dose. However, no embryotoxicity was observed in pregnant rats during organogenesis at exposures that were approximately 117 times higher than clinical exposures. Embryotoxicity occurred in pregnant rabbits that received oral ZDV during organogenesis at doses that produced exposures approximately 108 times higher than the exposure observed in humans who received the recommended dose. No embryotoxicity was observed at doses that produced exposures approximately 23 times higher than the exposures observed in humans who received the recommended dose of ZDV.<sup>2</sup>

In an additional teratology study in rats, a dose of ZDV 3,000 mg/kg per day (which was very near the median lethal oral dose in rats of 3,683 mg/kg) caused marked maternal toxicity and an increase in the incidence of fetal malformations. This dose resulted in peak ZDV plasma concentrations that were 350 times

peak human plasma concentrations (estimated AUC in rats at this dose level was 300 times the daily AUC in humans given 600 mg per day). No evidence of teratogenicity was seen in this experiment at doses of ZDV 600 mg/kg per day or less.

## **Human Studies in Pregnancy**

#### **Pharmacokinetics**

ZDV pharmacokinetics (PKs) are not significantly altered by pregnancy, and standard adult doses are recommended during pregnancy.<sup>6,7</sup> A population PK analysis that evaluated oral and intravenous (IV) ZDV doses during pregnancy and labor found high fetal exposure to ZDV with current IV intrapartum dosing regimens. Simulations suggested that reduced intrapartum ZDV dosing regimens might provide lower, but still adequate, fetal ZDV exposures.<sup>8</sup> However, standard dosing of IV ZDV during labor continues to be recommended for women with unknown or elevated viral loads. In pregnant women, as with nonpregnant adults, intracellular ZDV triphosphate concentrations do not vary with plasma concentrations, over a wide range of plasma ZDV concentrations.<sup>9</sup>

## Placental and Breast Milk Passage

ZDV rapidly crosses the human placenta, achieving cord blood-to-maternal-plasma ratios of about 0.80. The ratio of ZDV in amniotic fluid to ZDV in maternal plasma is 1.5.<sup>10</sup> ZDV is excreted into human breast milk, with breast milk-to-maternal-plasma ZDV concentration ratios ranging from 0.44 to 1.35. No ZDV was detectable in the plasma of nursing infants who were only exposed to ZDV via breast milk.<sup>11-13</sup>

## Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

In PACTG 076, the incidence of minor and major congenital abnormalities was similar between groups that received either ZDV or placebo, and no specific patterns of defects were seen.<sup>6,14</sup> Similarly, no increase in the incidence of birth defects was detected among infants enrolled in the large observational cohorts PACTG 219/219C and P1025.<sup>15,16</sup> A previous report from the Women and Infants Transmission Study described a 10-fold increase in the risk of hypospadias among infants who were exposed to ZDV, but this finding was not confirmed in a more detailed analysis.<sup>17,18</sup> In the PHACS/SMARTT cohort, there was no association between first-trimester exposure to ZDV and congenital anomalies.<sup>19</sup>

In the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to ZDV have been monitored to be able to detect at least a 1.5-fold increase in risk of overall birth defects and a two-fold increase in risk of defects in the more common classes, including the cardiovascular and genitourinary systems. No such increase in the risk of birth defects has been observed in infants who were exposed to ZDV. With first-trimester ZDV exposure, the prevalence of birth defects was 3.2% (134 of 4,196 births; 95% confidence interval [CI], 2.7% to 3.8%), compared with a total prevalence in the U.S. population of 2.72%, based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance. Similarly, a series of 897 infants exposed to HIV born in Spain during 2000 through 2009 reported no increase in the incidence of birth defects among infants with first-trimester ZDV exposure (adjusted odds ratio [aOR] 1.21, 0.56–2.63). A Bayesian analysis that combined a meta-analysis with data from Medicaid Analytic eXtract found no association between ZDV exposure during the first trimester and most congenital malformations.

The French Perinatal Cohort reported that first-trimester ZDV exposure was associated with congenital heart defects (1.5% of 3,262 exposures vs. 0.7% of nonexposures; aOR 2.2, 95% CI, 1.5–3.2). However, an analysis of cardiac defects among all prenatal ZDV-exposed infants in the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry (n = 13,073) reported no difference in the prevalence of ventricular septal defect and congenital heart defects among infants exposed to ZDV-containing regimens (nine of 4,000 infants exposed during the first trimester, rate 0.23; 22 of 9,047 infants with later exposure, rate 0.24, P = 1.00) and regimens that did not contain ZDV (two of 1,839 infants exposed during the first trimester, rate 0.11; three of 538 infants with later exposure, rate 0.56, P = 0.08).<sup>23</sup>

In the PRIMEVA trial, mothers were randomized to receive antepartum treatment with ZDV plus lamivudine

plus lopinavir/ritonavir (LPV/r) or LPV/r alone. Female infants of women in the first group had a higher left ventricular shortening fraction at 1 month and increased posterior wall thickness at 1 year, suggestive of myocardial remodeling, when compared to infants whose mothers received LPV/r alone.<sup>24</sup> In a study that performed fetal echocardiography on 42 fetuses who had been exposed to HIV but who were not infected and 84 fetuses who had not been exposed to HIV, infants born to mothers who received ZDV were more likely to have thicker myocardial walls and smaller left ventricular cavities than other infants, regardless of HIV exposure. Maternal ZDV treatment was the only factor significantly associated with fetal cardiac changes.<sup>25</sup> Another study by the same authors reported the presence of hypertrophic myocardium and signs of increased mitochondrial content in the cord blood of infants who had been exposed to HIV. In this study, both conditions were associated with maternal use of ZDV during pregnancy.<sup>26</sup>

Cancer has been observed no more frequently among ZDV-exposed infants than among other HIV-exposed or HIV-unexposed infants in a long-term follow-up study for the original PACTG 076 study,<sup>27</sup> in prospective cohort studies,<sup>28</sup> and in matches between HIV surveillance and cancer registries.<sup>29,30</sup>

## Other Safety Information

In the placebo-controlled perinatal trial PACTG 076, no difference in disease progression was seen between women who received ZDV and those who received a placebo during 4 years of follow-up postpartum.<sup>31</sup>

No differences in immunologic, neurologic, or growth parameters were seen between PACTG 076 infants with *in utero* ZDV exposure and those who received a placebo during nearly 6 years of follow-up. 14,27

Mitochondrial dysfunction in mothers and infants who were exposed to nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs) during pregnancy has been described in some case reports, case series, prospective cohorts, and surveillance systems, but not in others. The result of the dysfunction, although fatal in a few cases, is more often asymptomatic and self-limited (e.g., leukopenia, anemia). At present, the risk of NRTI-associated mitochondrial dysfunction in these mother-infant pairs is a recognized possibility; however, this risk does not outweigh the clear benefit of these drugs in preventing perinatal HIV transmission.<sup>2</sup>

The PHACS/SMARTT cohort used a "trigger-based design" in which several domains (e.g., metabolic) had predetermined "triggers." Children meeting the definition of a trigger were further investigated to determine if they had met the definition of a "case" in that domain. The study found that after adjusting for birth cohort and other factors, ZDV use was associated with an increased risk of meeting the study's definition of a metabolic case (adjusted relative risk 1.69; 95% CI, 1.08–2.64).<sup>32,33</sup>

**Note:** When using FDC tablets, refer to other sections in Appendix B and Table 8 for information about the dosing and safety of the individual drug components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations <sup>a</sup>	Use in Pregnancy
Zidovudine (ZDV) Retrovir (ZDV/3TC) Combivir (ZDV/ABC/3TC) Trizivir Note: Generic products are available for all formulations.	ZDV (Retrovir) Capsule: • 100 mg  Tablet: • 300 mg  Oral Solution: • 10 mg/mL  IV Solution: • 10 mg/mL  ZDV/3TC (Combivir): • ZDV 300 mg/3TC 150 mg tablet  ZDV/ABC/3TC (Trizivir): • ZDV 300 mg/ABC 300 mg/3TC 150 mg tablet	Standard Adult Dose  ZDV (Retrovir):  • ZDV 300 mg twice daily or ZDV 200 mg three times a day without regard to food  • Patients in active labor should receive ZDV 2 mg/kg IV as a loading dose, followed by ZDV 1 mg/kg/hour continuous infusion from beginning of active labor until delivery.  ZDV/3TC (Combivir):  • One tablet twice daily without regard to food  ZDV/ABC/3TC (Trizivir):  • One tablet twice daily without regard to food  Pregnancy  PKs in Pregnancy:  • PKs not significantly altered in pregnancy.  Dosing in Pregnancy:  • No change in dose indicated.  For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., ABC, 3TC)	High placental transfer to fetus. <sup>b</sup> No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the <u>Adult and Adolescent</u> Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 10).

**High:** >0.6 **Moderate:** 0.3–0.6 **Low:** <0.3

**Key:** 3TC = lamivudine; ABC = abacavir; ARV = antiretroviral; FDC = fixed-dose combination; IV = intravenous; PK = pharmacokinetic; ZDV = zidovudine

#### References

- 1. Ayers KM, Clive D, Tucker WE, Jr., Hajian G, de Miranda P. Nonclinical toxicology studies with zidovudine: genetic toxicity tests and carcinogenicity bioassays in mice and rats. *Fundam Appl Toxicol*. 1996;32(2):148-158. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8921318">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8921318</a>.
- Zidovdine [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2018. Available at: <a href="https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda">https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda</a> docs/label/2018/019655s058,019910s045,019951s036lbl.pdf.
- 3. Olivero OA, Anderson LM, Diwan BAea. Transplacental effects of 3'-azido-2',3'-dideoxythymidine (AZT): tumorigenicity in mice and genotoxicity in mice and monkeys. *J Nat Cancer Inst*. 1997;89(21):1602-1608. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9362158?dopt=Abstract">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9362158?dopt=Abstract</a>.
- 4. Ayers KM, Torrey CE, Reynolds DJ. A transplacental carcinogenicity bioassay in CD-1 mice with zidovudine. *Fundam Appl Toxicol*. 1997;38(2):195-198. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9299194">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9299194</a>.
- 5. Toltzis P, Marx CM, Kleinman N, Levine EM, Schmidt EV. Zidovudine-associated embryonic toxicity in mice. *J Infect Dis*. 1991;163(6):1212-1218. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2037787">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2037787</a>.
- 6. Connor EM, Sperling RS, Gelber R, et al. Reduction of maternal-infant transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 with zidovudine treatment. Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group Protocol 076 Study Group. *N Engl J Med*. 1994;331(18):1173-1180. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7935654">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7935654</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

- 7. O'Sullivan MJ, Boyer PJ, Scott GBea. The pharmacokinetics and safety of zidovudine in the third trimester of pregnancy for women infected with human immunodeficiency virus and their infants: phase I acquired immunodeficiency syndrome clinical trials group study (protocol 082). Zidovudine collaborative working group. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 1993;168(5):1510-1516. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8098905?dopt=Abstract.
- 8. Fauchet F, Treluyer JM, Valade E, et al. Maternal and fetal zidovudine pharmacokinetics during pregnancy and labour: too high dose infused at labour? *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2014;78(6):1387-1396. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25040510">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25040510</a>.
- 9. Kinai E, Kato S, Hosokawa S, et al. High plasma concentrations of zidovudine (AZT) do not parallel intracellular concentrations of AZT-triphosphates in infants during prevention of mother-to-child HIV-1 transmission. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2016;72(3):246-253. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26859826">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26859826</a>.
- 10. Bennetto-Hood C, Bryson YJ, Stek A, King JR, Mirochnick M, Acosta EP. Zidovudine, lamivudine, and nelfinavir concentrations in amniotic fluid and maternal serum. *HIV Clin Trials*. 2009;10(1):41-47. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19362995">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19362995</a>.
- 11. Mirochnick M, Thomas T, Capparelli E, et al. Antiretroviral concentrations in breast-feeding infants of mothers receiving highly active antiretroviral therapy. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2009;53(3):1170-1176. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19114673">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19114673</a>.
- 12. Palombi L, Pirillo MF, Andreotti M, et al. Antiretroviral prophylaxis for breastfeeding transmission in Malawi: drug concentrations, virological efficacy and safety. *Antivir Ther*. 2012;17(8):1511-1519. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22910456">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22910456</a>.
- 13. Corbett AH, Kayira D, White NR, et al. Antiretroviral pharmacokinetics in mothers and breastfeeding infants from 6 to 24 weeks post partum: results of the BAN study. *Antivir Ther*. 2014. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24464632">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24464632</a>.
- 14. Sperling RS, Shapiro DE, McSherry GD, et al. Safety of the maternal-infant zidovudine regimen utilized in the pediatric AIDS clinical trial group 076 study. *AIDS*. 1998;12(14):1805-1813. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9792381">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9792381</a>.
- 15. Brogly SB, Abzug MJ, Watts DH, et al. Birth defects among children born to human immunodeficiency virus-infected women: pediatric AIDS clinical trials protocols 219 and 219C. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2010;29(8):721-727. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20539252">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20539252</a>.
- 16. Knapp KM, Brogly SB, Muenz DG, et al. Prevalence of congenital anomalies in infants with *in utero* exposure to antiretrovirals. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2012;31(2):164-170. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21983213">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21983213</a>.
- 17. Watts DH, Li D, Handelsman E, et al. Assessment of birth defects according to maternal therapy among infants in the women and infants transmission study. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2007;44(3):299-305. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17159659">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17159659</a>.
- 18. Vannappagari V, et al. Zidovudine exposure during pregnancy and hypospadias in infants: data from the antiretroviral pregnancy registry, 1989-2011. Abstract no. MOPE070. Presented at: 19th International AIDS Conference. 2012. Washington, DC.
- 19. Williams PL, Crain M, Yildirim C, et al. Congenital anomalies and *in utero* antiretroviral exposure in human immunodeficiency virus-exposed uninfected infants. *JAMA Pediatr*. 2015;169(1):45-55. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25383770">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25383770</a>.
- 20. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral pregnancy registry international interim report for 1 January 1989–31 January 2019. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2019. Available at: <a href="http://www.apregistry.com/">http://www.apregistry.com/</a>.
- 21. Prieto LM, Gonzalez-Tome MI, Munoz E, et al. Birth defects in a cohort of infants born to HIV-infected women in Spain, 2000-2009. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2014;14:700. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25808698.
- 22. Rough K, Sun JW, Seage GR, 3rd, et al. Zidovudine use in pregnancy and congenital malformations. *AIDS*. 2017;31(12):1733-1743. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28537936">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28537936</a>.
- 23. Vannappagari V, Albano JD, Koram N, Tilson H, Scheuerle AE, Napier MD. Prenatal exposure to zidovudine and risk for ventricular septal defects and congenital heart defects: data from the antiretroviral pregnancy registry. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* 2016;197:6-10. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26687320">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26687320</a>.
- 24. Sibiude J, Le Chenadec J, Bonnet D, et al. *In utero* exposure to zidovudine and heart anomalies in the ANRS French perinatal cohort and the nested PRIMEVA randomized trial. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2015. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25838291">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25838291</a>.
- 25. Garcia-Otero L, Lopez M, Gomez O, et al. Zidovudine treatment in HIV-infected pregnant women is associated with fetal cardiac remodelling. *AIDS*. 2016;30(9):1393-1401. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26919731.
- 26. Garcia-Otero L, Lopez M, Guitart-Mampel M, et al. Cardiac and mitochondrial function in HIV-uninfected fetuses exposed to antiretroviral treatment. *PLoS One*. 2019;14(3):e0213279. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30830946">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30830946</a>.

- 27. Culnane M, Fowler M, Lee SS, et al. Lack of long-term effects of *in utero* exposure to zidovudine among uninfected children born to HIV-infected women. Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group Protocol 219/076 Teams. *JAMA*. 1999;281(2):151-157. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9917118.
- 28. Hanson IC, Antonelli TA, Sperling RS, et al. Lack of tumors in infants with perinatal HIV-1 exposure and fetal/neonatal exposure to zidovudine. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr Hum Retrovirol*. 1999;20(5):463-467. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10225228">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10225228</a>.
- 29. Ivy W, 3rd, Nesheim SR, Paul SM, et al. Cancer among children with perinatal exposure to HIV and antiretroviral medications--New Jersey, 1995-2010. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2015;70(1):62-66. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26017660">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26017660</a>.
- 30. Hankin C, Lyall H, Peckham C, Tookey P. Monitoring death and cancer in children born to HIV-infected women in England and Wales: use of HIV surveillance and national routine data. *AIDS*. 2007;21(7):867-869. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17415042">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17415042</a>.
- 31. Bardeguez AD, Shapiro DE, Mofenson LM, et al. Effect of cessation of zidovudine prophylaxis to reduce vertical transmission on maternal HIV disease progression and survival. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2003;32(2):170-181. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12571527.
- 32. Williams PL, Hazra R, Van Dyke RB, et al. Antiretroviral exposure during pregnancy and adverse outcomes in HIV-exposed uninfected infants and children using a trigger-based design. *AIDS*. 2016;30(1):133-144. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26731758">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26731758</a>.
- 33. Van Dyke RB, Chadwick EG, Hazra R, Williams PL, Seage GR, 3rd. The PHACS SMARTT study: assessment of the safety of *in utero* exposure to antiretroviral drugs. *Front Immunol*. 2016;7:199. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27242802">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27242802</a>.

## **Non-Nucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitors**

## Doravirine (Pifeltro, DOR)

(Last updated December 24, 2019; last reviewed December 24, 2019)

#### **Animal Studies**

Carcinogenicity

DOR was not carcinogenic in long-term oral carcinogenicity studies in mice and rats at exposures up to six times and seven times, respectively, the exposure seen in humans who received the recommended dose. A statistically significant incidence of thyroid parafollicular cell adenoma and carcinoma was observed among female rats that received the high dose (which produced the seven-fold increase in exposure) of DOR; however, the incidence was similar to the incidence observed among historical controls that did not receive DOR. DOR was not genotoxic in a battery of *in vitro* or *in vivo* mutagenicity assays.<sup>1</sup>

## Reproduction/Fertility

In rats, DOR did not affect fertility, reproductive performance, or early embryonic development at exposures (based on area under the curve [AUC]) that were approximately seven times the exposure seen in humans who received the recommended dose.<sup>1</sup>

## Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

No adverse embryo-fetal effects were observed in rats and rabbits at DOR exposures (based on AUC) that were approximately nine times (in rats) and eight times (in rabbits) the exposures seen in humans who received the recommended dose. Similarly, no adverse developmental findings were reported in a prenatal/postnatal study in rats at DOR exposures that were approximately nine times the exposure seen in humans who received the recommended dose.<sup>1</sup>

#### Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Embryo-fetal studies in rats and rabbits demonstrate placental passage of DOR. Fetal plasma concentrations observed on gestation day 20 were up to 40% (in rabbits) and 52% (in rats) of maternal concentrations. DOR was excreted into the milk of lactating rats at concentrations that were approximately 1.5 times the maternal concentrations measured 2 hours post-dose on lactation day 14.1

#### **Human Studies in Pregnancy**

**Pharmacokinetics** 

No pharmacokinetic studies of DOR in pregnant women have been reported.

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

No data are available on placental or breast milk passage of DOR in humans.

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

There are currently no data on the risk of birth defects in infants born to women who received DOR during pregnancy.

**Note:** When using FDC tablets, refer to other sections in Appendix B and Table 8 for information about the dosing and safety of the individual drug components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations <sup>a</sup>	Use in Pregnancy
Doravirine (DOR) Pifeltro (DOR/3TC/TDF) Delstrigo	DOR (Pifeltro):  • 100 mg tablet  DOR/3TC/TDF (Delstrigo):  • DOR 100 mg/ 3TC 300 mg/ TDF 300 mg tablet	Standard Adult Doses  DOR (Pifeltro):  DOR 100 mg once daily with or without food  DOR/3TC/TDF (Delstrigo):  One tablet once daily with or without food  Pregnancy  PKs in Pregnancy:  No PK studies in human pregnancy.  Dosing in Pregnancy:  Insufficient data to make dosing recommendations.  For guidance about use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., 3TC, TDF)	No data are available on the placental transfer of DOR in humans, but animal studies suggest that DOR crosses the placenta.  Insufficient data to assess for teratogenicity in humans. No evidence of teratogenicity in rats or rabbits.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the <u>Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 10</u>).

**Key:** 3TC = lamivudine; ARV = antiretroviral; DOR = doravirine; FDC = fixed-dose combination; PK = pharmacokinetic; TDF = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate

## **References**

1. Doravirine [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2019. Available at: <a href="https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda">https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda</a> docs/label/2019/210806s003lbl.pdf.

## Efavirenz (Sustiva, EFV)

(Last updated January 17, 2020; last reviewed January 17, 2020)

#### **Animal Studies**

Carcinogenicity

Efavirenz (EFV) was neither mutagenic nor clastogenic in a series of *in vitro* and animal *in vivo* screening tests. A study that evaluated the genotoxicity of EFV in mice noted DNA damage in brain cells after daily dosing for 36 days; no damage was seen in liver, heart, or peripheral blood cells. Long-term animal carcinogenicity studies with EFV have been completed in mice and rats. No increase in tumor incidence above background was observed in male mice at systemic drug exposures that were approximately 1.7-fold higher than the exposures seen in humans who received standard therapeutic doses. In female mice, an increase in tumor incidence above background was seen for hepatocellular adenomas and carcinomas and pulmonary alveolar/bronchiolar adenomas. No increase in tumor incidence above background was observed in male and female rats with systemic EFV exposures that were lower than those seen in humans who received therapeutic doses.

#### Reproduction/Fertility

EFV has had no observable effects on reproduction or fertility in rodents.<sup>2</sup>

#### Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

An increase in fetal resorption was observed in female rats at EFV doses that produced peak plasma concentrations and area under the curve (AUC) values that were less than or equal to those achieved in humans who received the recommended dose of EFV 600 mg once daily. EFV produced no reproductive toxicities when given to pregnant rabbits at doses that produced peak plasma concentrations similar to those achieved in humans who received EFV 600 mg once daily. AUC values in these rabbits were approximately half of the values seen in humans who received EFV 600 mg once daily.<sup>2</sup>

Central nervous system malformations and cleft palate were observed in three of 20 infant monkeys born to pregnant cynomolgus monkeys that received EFV between gestational day 20 and gestational day 150 at a dose of EFV 60 mg/kg per day. This dose resulted in plasma concentrations that were 1.3 times that of systemic human therapeutic exposure, with fetal umbilical venous drug concentrations that were approximately 0.7 times the maternal values.<sup>3</sup> The malformations included anencephaly and unilateral anophthalmia in one fetus, microphthalmia in another fetus, and cleft palate in a third fetus.<sup>2</sup>

## Placental and Breast Milk Passage

EFV readily crosses the placenta in rats, rabbits, and primates, producing cord blood concentrations that are similar to the concentrations observed in maternal plasma. Maternal and fetal blood concentrations in pregnant rabbits and cynomolgus monkeys are equivalent, while fetal concentrations in rats exceeded maternal concentrations.<sup>2</sup>

#### **Human Studies in Pregnancy**

#### Pharmacokinetics/Pharmacogenomics

In an intensive sampling pharmacokinetic (PK) study of 25 pregnant women who received EFV during the third trimester, EFV clearance was slightly increased and trough levels were decreased compared with levels measured postpartum.<sup>4</sup> These differences are not of sufficient magnitude to warrant dose adjustment during pregnancy. A review of this study plus four others that measured EFV concentrations in pregnant women found that EFV concentrations were not significantly affected by pregnancy and that high rates of HIV RNA suppression at delivery were achieved with EFV-based regimens.<sup>5</sup>

In a PK study of 42 pregnant women who received EFV 600 mg once daily, EFV exposure was similar during pregnancy and postpartum. EFV PK data were available for 15 women during their second trimester, 42 women during their third trimester, and 40 women postpartum. EFV AUC during the third trimester (60 mcg·h/mL) was similar to the AUC observed in nonpregnant adults (58 mcg·h/mL). EFV drug levels in the second

trimester were lower than postpartum values, but they remained within 80% to 125% of postpartum values. Viral loads at delivery were <400 copies/mL and <50 copies/mL for 96.7% and 86.7% of women, respectively.<sup>6</sup>

In a pharmacogenomics study, nonpregnant individuals with the cytochrome P450 (CYP) 2B6 516 TT genotype had >3-fold increases in both short-term and long-term EFV exposure, as measured by drug levels in plasma and hair. This suggests that drug levels could vary significantly with CYP2B6 polymorphisms.<sup>7</sup> The frequency of this allele varies between different ethnic populations, with a prevalence of 3.4% in white people, 6.7% in Hispanic people, and 20% in African Americans.<sup>4</sup>

In an open-label, two-center study in the United Kingdom and Uganda, 25 pregnant women with HIV who were virally suppressed (defined as a viral load <50 copies/mL) on a regimen that included EFV 600 mg once daily had their dose reduced to EFV 400 mg in the third trimester. PK parameters, AUC, and plasma concentrations at 24 hours post-dose were slightly lower in the third trimester than during the postpartum period but generally remained within the therapeutic range; all participants maintained viral suppression.<sup>8</sup>

A PK modeling study was conducted using pooled data from seven studies of women who were taking regimens that included EFV. The study included an analysis of 1,968 PK samples, 774 of which were collected during pregnancy. This analysis predicted that the reduced EFV dose of 400 mg would generate median EFV AUC<sub>24h</sub> and C<sub>12h</sub> during the third trimester that were 91% and 87%, respectively, of the values observed among nonpregnant women.<sup>9</sup>

#### Placental and Breast Milk Passage

In a PK study of 42 pregnant women who received EFV 600 mg once daily, EFV readily crossed the placenta, and infant elimination half-life was over twice that of maternal participants. The cord blood-to-maternal-plasma concentration ratio was 0.67 (range 0.36–0.95). Among 23 infants for whom washout data was available, median elimination half-life was 65.6 hours (interquartile range 40.6–129 hours). Viral loads at delivery were <400 copies/mL and <50 copies/mL for 96.7% and 86.7% of women, respectively.<sup>6</sup>

In a study of 25 mother-infant pairs, the median EFV cord blood-to-maternal-blood concentration ratio was 0.49 (range 0.37–0.74).<sup>4</sup> In a study of 13 women in Rwanda, EFV was given during the last trimester of pregnancy and for 6 months after delivery.<sup>10</sup> EFV concentrations were measured in maternal plasma, breast milk, and infant plasma. EFV concentration was significantly higher in maternal plasma than in skim breast milk (with a mean breast milk-to-maternal-plasma concentration ratio of 0.54) and higher in skim breast milk than in infant plasma (with a mean skim breast-milk-to-newborn-plasma concentration ratio of 4.08). The mean infant plasma EFV concentration was 860 ng/mL, and the mean infant plasma EFV concentration was 13.1% of maternal plasma concentrations. All infants had detectable plasma concentrations of EFV, and eight of 13 newborns had plasma EFV concentrations that were below the minimum therapeutic concentration of 1,000 ng/mL that is recommended for treatment of adults with HIV.

In a study of 51 women in Nigeria who received EFV 600 mg once daily, the median milk-to-maternal-plasma concentration ratio was 0.82 (range 0.51–1.1) and the median infant EFV concentration was 178 ng/mL (range 88–340 ng/mL). In a study of 56 mother-infant pairs in which the mothers received EFV-based therapy during pregnancy and breastfeeding, infant plasma drug concentration levels at delivery and hair drug concentration levels at age 12 weeks suggested moderate *in utero* transfer of EFV during pregnancy and breastfeeding, with approximately one-third of transfer occurring postpartum (40% cumulative transfer, with 15% of transfer occurring during breastfeeding). All mothers and infants had detectable EFV plasma levels at 0, 8, and 12 weeks, and mean infant-to-maternal-hair concentration at 12 weeks postpartum was 0.40 for EFV. No data are currently available about the safety and PKs of EFV in neonates.

#### Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

In pregnancies with prospectively reported exposure to EFV-based regimens in the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry through January 2019, birth defects were observed in 25 of 1,061 live births with first-trimester exposure (2.36%; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.53% to 3.46%). Although these data provide sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to rule out a 1.5-fold or greater increase in the risk of overall birth

defects, the low incidence of neural tube defects (NTDs) in the general population means that a larger number of exposures are still needed to be able to definitively rule out an increased risk of this specific defect. Prospective reports to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry of defects after first-trimester EFV exposure have documented one NTD case (sacral aplasia, myelomeningocele, and hydrocephalus with fetal alcohol syndrome) and one case of bilateral facial clefts, anophthalmia, and amniotic band syndrome. An undefined abnormality of the cerebral vermis was seen on ultrasound and reported in 2014; however, during follow-up, the parents have reported that the infant is developing normally, and they have also declined further testing.

In a meta-analysis of 23 studies that was designed to update the 2013 World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines for antiretroviral therapy (ART) in low- and middle-income countries, there were 44 infants with birth defects among 2,026 live births to women who received EFV during the first trimester. The pooled proportion of overall birth defects was 1.63% (95% CI, 0.78% to 2.48%). The rate of overall birth defects was similar among women who received EFV-containing regimens and women who received regimens that did not contain EFV during the first trimester (pooled relative risk [RR] 0.78; 95% CI, 0.56–1.08). Across all births, one NTD (myelomeningocele) was observed, giving a point prevalence of 0.05% (95% CI, <0.01 to 0.28), which is within the range reported in the general population. However, the number of reported first-trimester EFV exposures was insufficient to rule out a significant increase in low-incidence birth defects such as NTDs. The incidence of NTDs in the general U.S. population is 0.06% to 0.07%. The incidence of NTDs in the general U.S. population is 0.06% to 0.07%.

A French study of 13,124 live births between 1994 and 2010 included an analysis of 372 infants born after first-trimester exposure to EFV. In the primary analysis, which used the European Surveillance of Congenital Anomalies (EUROCAT) classification system, no increase in the incidence of birth defects was detected among infants with first-trimester EFV exposure compared to those without exposure to EFV during pregnancy (adjusted odds ratio 1.16; 95% CI, 0.73–1.85). In a secondary analysis that used the modified Metropolitan Atlanta Congenital Defect Program classification used by the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, an association was found between first-trimester EFV exposure and neurologic defects. However, none of the four defects that were reported during this study (ventricular dilatation with anomalies of the white substance, partial agenesis of the corpus callosum, subependymal cyst, and pachygyria) were NTDs, and none of the defects had similar embryologic origins. In the primary analysis of the corpus callosum, subependymal cyst, and pachygyria) were NTDs, and none of the defects had similar embryologic origins.

Recently, Zash et al. reported on the outcomes of a large birth surveillance study in Botswana. Among 7,959 deliveries to women who were taking EFV around the time of conception, there were three NTDs (0.04%; 95% CI, 0.01% to 0.11%), which is similar to the rate of NTDs that was observed among infants born to 89,372 women without HIV (0.08%; 95% CI, 0.06% to 0.10%). This study adds to available data on first-trimester EFV exposures, providing strong evidence against an elevated risk of NTDs in infants who were exposed to EFV.

The Food and Drug Administration advises women to avoid becoming pregnant while taking EFV and advises health care providers to avoid administering EFV during the first trimester of pregnancy, as fetal harm may occur. However, the data on more than 7,900 periconception exposures to EFV from Botswana is sufficient to rule out a ≥3-fold increased risk of NTDs with the use of EFV. As a result, the Perinatal Guidelines do not restrict the use of EFV during pregnancy or in women who are planning to become pregnant; this is consistent with the British HIV Association guidelines and WHO guidelines for use of antiretroviral (ARV) drugs in pregnancy, both of which note that EFV can be used throughout pregnancy. EFV should be continued in pregnant women who are receiving a virologically suppressive, EFV-based regimen, because ARV drug changes during pregnancy may be associated with loss of viral control and an increased risk of perinatal HIV transmission. <sup>22</sup>

A recent report from the Surveillance Monitoring for ART Toxicities (SMARTT) study of the Pediatric HIV/AIDS Cohort Study (PHACS) network detected an increased rate of microcephaly in HIV-exposed but uninfected children with *in utero* EFV exposure. The relative risk of microcephaly in infants with *in utero* EFV exposure was 2.56 (95% CI, 1.22–5.37). In this study, microcephaly was defined as a z-score of less than -2 between 6 and 36 months of age or head size below the second percentile after 36 months.<sup>23</sup> Only 4.7% of children had been exposed to EFV *in utero*. The relative risk of microcephaly was higher among children

who had been exposed to EFV plus zidovudine and lamivudine than among those who had been exposed to EFV plus tenofovir disoproxil fumarate and emtricitabine. Children with microcephaly had lower scores on neurodevelopmental assessments at ages 1 year and 5 years and a higher rate of neurodevelopmental impairment than those without microcephaly. Additional evaluation of the association between microcephaly and *in utero* EFV exposure is needed (see the Teratogenicity section).

### **Drug-Drug Interactions**

PK interactions between EFV and some hormonal contraceptives have been reported; these interactions may lead to failure of the progesterone component of some contraceptives. This may potentially affect the efficacy of emergency contraception, combined oral contraceptive pills, progestin-only pills, and progestin implants.<sup>24-27</sup> A retrospective chart review study suggests that EFV may decrease the efficacy of levonorgestrel implants (e.g., Jadelle).<sup>28</sup> Pregnancy occurred in 15 of 115 women (12.4%) who were on EFV and using Jadelle; no pregnancies occurred among 208 women who were on nevirapine (NVP)-based regimens, and no pregnancies occurred among 13 women who were on lopinavir/ritonavir (LPV/r)-based regimens (*P* < 0.001) (see <u>Preconception Counseling and Care for Women of Childbearing Age Living with HIV</u>). In a prospective clinical trial by Scarsi et al., three out of 20 Ugandan women (15%) became pregnant while receiving a combination of levonorgestrel and an EFV-based ARV regimen; pregnancy occurred between 36 and 48 weeks after the women began receiving this combination. When compared to ART-naive women, the women on EFV-based regimens had lower levonorgestrel PKs.<sup>29</sup>

P1026s evaluated the interaction between the etonogestrel-releasing implants and atazanavir/ritonavir-LPV/r-, or EFV-based ARV regimens in postpartum women who chose an etonogestrel implant for contraception. There was no significant change in the concentration levels of the ARV drugs after insertion of the etonogestrel implant. However, of the three ARV drug regimens, the EFV-based regimen was associated with greatly decreased etonogestrel concentrations; these etonogestral concentrations reached levels that could impair contraceptive efficacy.<sup>30</sup> A nonrandomized parallel group study in Ugandan women with HIV characterized the PKs of etonogestrel released from a contraceptive implant. Women who were receiving either EFV-based regimens or NVP-based regimens were compared to women who were ART-naive. At 24 weeks, etonogestrel concentrations were 82% lower in women who were taking EFV than in ARTnaive women. No significant changes in etonogestrel concentration were observed when etonogestrel was combined with NVP.<sup>31</sup> An ACTG study (A5316) evaluated PK interactions between etonogestrel and ethinyl estradiol from a vaginal ring and EFV or ATV/r. When compared to ART-naive women, women in the EFV group had etonogestrel levels that were 76% to 79% lower and ethynyl estradiol plasma concentrations that were 53% to 57% lower over 21 days.<sup>32</sup> Thus, women who are receiving EFV and using combined oral contraceptive pills, progestin-only pills, the contraceptive vaginal ring, or progestin implants should be informed of the possible decreased effectiveness of these contraceptive methods and strongly advised to also use barrier contraception.

Clinicians may consider the use of alternative contraceptive regimens that do not have reduced efficacy when used concomitantly with EFV. A study that evaluated the interaction between EFV and depot medroxyprogesterone acetate (DMPA) in 17 women found no change in the PK profile of either EFV or DMPA with concomitant use.<sup>33</sup> DMPA levels remained above the level needed for inhibition of ovulation throughout the dosing interval. In addition, intrauterine devices (both copper-containing and levonorgestrel-containing devices) would be expected to maintain efficacy when used with EFV-based regimens.

**Note:** When using FDC tablets, refer to other sections in Appendix B and Table 8 for information about the dosing and safety of the individual drug components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations <sup>a</sup>	Use in Pregnancy
,	EFV (Sustiva) <sup>d</sup> Capsules: • 50 mg • 200 mg Tablet: • 600 mg EFV/FTC/TDF (Atripla): • EFV 600 mg/FTC 200 mg/TDF 300 mg tablet EFV/3TC/TDF (Symfi): • EFV 600 mg/3TC 300 mg/TDF 300 mg tablet EFV/3TC/TDF (Symfi): • EFV 400 mg/3TC 300 mg/TDF 300 mg tablet	Standard Adult Doses  EFV (Sustiva):  • EFV 600 mg once daily at or before bedtime  • Take on an empty stomach to reduce side effects.  EFV/FTC/TDF (Atripla):  • One tablet once daily at or before bedtime  • Take on an empty stomach to reduce side effects.  EFV/3TC/TDF (Symfi or Symfi Lo):  • One tablet once daily on an empty stomach and preferably at bedtime  Pregnancy  PKs in Pregnancy:  • AUC is decreased during the third trimester compared with postpartum, but nearly all third-trimester participants exceeded target exposure.  Dosing in Pregnancy:  • No change in dose is indicated.	Moderate placental transfer to fetus. <sup>b</sup> The FDA advises women to avoid becoming pregnant while taking EFV and advises health care providers to avoid administration during the first trimester of pregnancy, as fetal harm may occur. However, the data on more than 7,900 periconception EFV exposures from Botswana rules out a ≥3-fold increased risk of NTDs. As a result, the current Perinatal Guidelines do not restrict the use of EFV in pregnant women or in women who are planning to become pregnant. This is consistent with both the British HIV Association and WHO guidelines for use of ARV drugs in pregnancy.  EFV should be continued in pregnant women who are on a virally suppressive, EFV-based regimen, because ARV drug changes during pregnancy may be associated with
		For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., 3TC, FTC, TDF)	loss of viral control and an increased risk of perinatal transmission (see Pregnant Women Living with HIV Who are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the <u>Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 10</u>).

**High:** > 0.6 **Moderate:** 0.3-0.6 **Low:** < 0.3

**Key:** 3TC = lamivudine; ARV = antiretroviral; AUC = area under the curve; EFV = efavirenz; FDA = Food and Drug Administration; FDC = fixed-dose combination; FTC = emtricitabine; NTDs = neural tube defects; PK = pharmacokinetic; TDF = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate; WHO = World Health Organization

- 1. de Oliveira HM, Damiani AP, Dias Rde O, Romao PR, Andrade VM. Effect of antiretroviral drugs on the DNA damage in mice. *Environ Toxicol Pharmacol*. 2014;37(1):390-395. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24441026">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24441026</a>.
- 2. Efavirenz [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2019. Available at: <a href="https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2019/020972s057,021360s045lbl.pdf">https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2019/020972s057,021360s045lbl.pdf</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> Generic product is available.

- 3. Nightingale SL. From the food and drug administration. *JAMA*. 1998;280(17):1472. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9809716">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9809716</a>.
- 4. Cressey TR, Stek A, Capparelli E, et al. Efavirenz pharmacokinetics during the third trimester of pregnancy and postpartum. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2012;59(3):245-252. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22083071">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22083071</a>.
- 5. Hill A, Ford N, Boffito M, Pozniak A, Cressey TR. Does pregnancy affect the pharmacokinetics of efavirenz? *AIDS*. 2014;28(10):1542-1543. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24896806">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24896806</a>.
- 6. Kreitchmann R, Schalkwijk S, Best B, et al. Efavirenz pharmacokinetics during pregnancy and infant washout. *Antivir Ther.* 2019;24(2):95-103. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30530925">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30530925</a>.
- 7. Gandhi M, Greenblatt RM, Bacchetti P, et al. A single-nucleotide polymorphism in CYP2B6 leads to >3-fold increases in efavirenz concentrations in plasma and hair among HIV-infected women. *J Infect Dis.* 2012;206(9):1453-1461. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22927450.
- 8. Lamorde M, Wang X, Neary M, et al. Pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics, and pharmacogenetics of efavirenz 400 mg once daily during pregnancy and post-partum. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2018;67(5):785-790. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30124823">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30124823</a>.
- 9. Schalkwijk S, Ter Heine R, Colbers AC, et al. A mechanism-based population pharmacokinetic analysis assessing the feasibility of efavirenz dose reduction to 400 mg in pregnant women. *Clin Pharmacokinet*. 2018;57(11):1421-1433. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29520730">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29520730</a>.
- 10. Schneider E, Whitmore S, Glynn KM, et al. Revised surveillance case definitions for HIV infection among adults, adolescents, and children aged <18 months and for HIV infection and AIDS among children aged 18 months to <13 years—United States, 2008. *MMWR Recomm Rep.* 2008;57(RR-10):1-12. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19052530">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19052530</a>.
- 11. Olagunju A, Siccardi M, et al. Pharmacogenetics of efavirenz excretion into human breast milk and transfer To breastfed infants. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2014. Boston, MA.
- 12. Gandhi M, Mwesigwa J, Aweeka F, et al. Hair and plasma data show that lopinavir, ritonavir, and efavirenz all transfer from mother to infant in utero, but only efavirenz transfers via breastfeeding. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2013;63(5):578-584. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24135775">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24135775</a>.
- 13. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry international interim report for 1 January 1989–31 January 2019. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2019. Available at: <a href="http://www.apregistry.com">http://www.apregistry.com</a>.
- 14. Ford N, Mofenson L, Shubber Z, et al. Safety of efavirenz in the first trimester of pregnancy: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. *AIDS*. 2014;28 Suppl 2:S123-131. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24849471">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24849471</a>.
- 15. Williams J, Mai CT, Mulinare J, et al. Updated estimates of neural tube defects prevented by mandatory folic Acid fortification—United States, 1995–2011. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2015;64(1):1-5. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25590678">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25590678</a>.
- 16. Sibiude J, Mandelbrot L, Blanche S, et al. Association between prenatal exposure to antiretroviral therapy and birth defects: an analysis of the French perinatal cohort study (ANRS CO1/CO11). *PLoS Med.* 2014;11(4):e1001635. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24781315">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24781315</a>.
- 17. Mofenson LM, Watts DH. Safety of pediatric HIV elimination: the growing population of HIV- and antiretroviral-exposed but uninfected infants. *PLoS Med.* 2014;11(4):e1001636. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24781352">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24781352</a>.
- 18. Zash R, Holmes L, Diseko M, et al. Neural-tube defects and antiretroviral treatment regimens in Botswana. *N Engl J Med.* 2019;381(9):827-840. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31329379">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31329379</a>.
- 19. de Ruiter A, Taylor GP, Clayden P, et al. British HIV Association guidelines for the management of HIV infection in pregnant women 2012 (2014 interim review). *HIV Med.* 2014;15 Suppl 4:1-77. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25604045">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25604045</a>.

- 20. World Health Organization. Consolidated guidelines on the use of antiretroviral drugs for treating and prevention HIV infection–recommendations for a public health approach; second edition 2016. 2016. Available at: <a href="http://www.who.int/hiv/pub/arv/arv-2016/en/">http://www.who.int/hiv/pub/arv/arv-2016/en/</a>.
- 21. British HIV Association. British HIV association guidelines for the management of HIV in pregnancy and postpartum 2018. *HIV Med.* 2019;20 Suppl 3:s2-s85. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30869192">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30869192</a>.
- 22. Floridia M, Ravizza M, Pinnetti C, et al. Treatment change in pregnancy is a significant risk factor for detectable HIV-1 RNA in plasma at end of pregnancy. *HIV Clin Trials*. 2010;11(6):303-311. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21239358">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21239358</a>.
- 23. Williams PL, Yildirim C, Chadwick EG, et al. Association of maternal antiretroviral use with microcephaly in children who are HIV-exposed but uninfected (SMARTT): a prospective cohort study. *Lancet HIV*. 2020;7(1):e49-e58 Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31740351">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31740351</a>.
- 24. Tseng A, Hills-Nieminen C. Drug interactions between antiretrovirals and hormonal contraceptives. *Expert Opin Drug Metabol Toxicol*. 2013;9(5):559-72 Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23425052">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23425052</a>.
- 25. Landolt NK, Phanuphak N, Ubolyam S, et al. Efavirenz, in contrast to nevirapine, is associated with unfavorable progesterone and antiretroviral levels when co-administered with combined oral contraceptives. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2013;62(5):534-9. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23187949.
- 26. Leticee N, Viard JP, Yamgnane A, Karmochkine M, Benachi A. Contraceptive failure of etonogestrel implant in patients treated with antiretrovirals including efavirenz. *Contraception*. 2012;85(4):425-427. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22036046">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22036046</a>.
- 27. Carten ML, Kiser JJ, Kwara A, Mawhinney S, Cu-Uvin S. Pharmacokinetic interactions between the hormonal emergency contraception, levonorgestrel (Plan B), and efavirenz. *Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol*. 2012;2012:137192. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22536010.
- 28. Perry SH, Swamy P, Preidis GA, Mwanyumba A, Motsa N, Sarero HN. Implementing the jadelle implant for women living with HIV in a resource-limited setting in sub-Saharan Africa: concerns for drug interactions leading to unintended pregnancies. *AIDS*. 2014;28(5):791-3. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24401645">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24401645</a>.
- 29. Scarsi KK, Darin KM, Nakalema S, et al. Unintended pregnancies observed with combined use of the levonorgestrel contraceptive implant and efavirenz-based antiretroviral therapy: a three-arm pharmacokinetic evaluation over 48 weeks. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2016;62(6):675-682. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26646680.
- 30. Kreitchmann R, Stek A, Best B, et al. Interaction between etonogestrel-releasing implant and 3 antiretroviral regimens. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2017. Seattle, WA.
- 31. Chappell CA, Lamorde M, Nakalema S, et al. Efavirenz decreases etonogestrel exposure: a pharmacokinetic evaluation of implantable contraception with antiretroviral therapy. *AIDS*. 2017;31(14):1965-1972. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28692531">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28692531</a>.
- 32. Scarsi KK, Cramer Y, Gingrich D, et al. Vaginal contraceptive hormone exposure profoundly altered by EFV- and ATV/R-based ART. Abstract 141. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2018. Boston, Massachusetts. Available at: <a href="http://www.croiconference.org/sessions/vaginal-contraceptive-hormone-exposure-profoundly-altered-efv-and-atvr-based-art">http://www.croiconference.org/sessions/vaginal-contraceptive-hormone-exposure-profoundly-altered-efv-and-atvr-based-art</a>.
- 33. Cohn SE, Park JG, Watts DH, et al. Depo-medroxyprogesterone in women on antiretroviral therapy: effective contraception and lack of clinically significant interactions. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 2007;81(2):222-227. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17192768.

# Etravirine (Intelence, ETR)

### (Last updated December 24, 2019; last reviewed December 24, 2019)

Etravirine is classified as Food and Drug Administration Pregnancy Category B.

#### **Animal Studies**

### Carcinogenicity

Etravirine (ETR) was neither mutagenic nor clastogenic in a series of *in vitro* and animal *in vivo* screening tests. ETR was evaluated for carcinogenic potential in mice and rats for up to approximately 104 weeks. Due to intolerance of the formulation, areas under the concentration-time curve (AUC) for ETR were 0.6-fold (in mice) and 0.2-fold to 0.7-fold (in rats) compared to the typical AUC in humans receiving standard dosing. In rats and male mice, no significant findings were noted. In female mice, increased incidences of hepatocellular carcinoma and increased incidences of hepatocellular adenomas or carcinomas combined were observed. It is unclear whether these liver tumor findings in mice are relevant to humans.

### Reproduction/Fertility

ETR had no effect on fertility and early embryonic development when tested in pregnant rats at doses that produced systemic drug exposures equivalent to those observed in humans who received the recommended dose of ETR 400 mg per day.<sup>1</sup>

### Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

Animal reproduction studies in rats and rabbits revealed no evidence of fetal toxicity or altered development at systemic exposures equivalent to those seen in humans who received the recommended dose of ETR 400 mg per day.<sup>1</sup>

### **Human Studies in Pregnancy**

#### **Pharmacokinetics**

ETR pharmacokinetics (PKs) in pregnant women have been reported in two studies. Ramgopal et al. found approximately 1.1-fold to 1.4-fold increases in total ETR AUC,  $C_{min}$ , and  $C_{max}$  during the second trimester (n = 13) and third trimester (n = 10) compared with levels in the same women postpartum (n = 10). Differences in unbound ETR concentrations were less pronounced, with least-squares mean ratios of approximately 0.9 to 1.2.2 Similarly, Mulligan et al. found 1.3-fold to 1.9-fold increases in total ETR AUC,  $C_{min}$ , and  $C_{max}$  during the third trimester (n = 13) compared with levels in the same women postpartum (n = 8).3 ETR was well tolerated in both of these studies. ETR is a substrate for cytochrome P (CYP) 2C19 metabolism, and the increase in ETR exposure during pregnancy is consistent with the previously observed decrease in CYP2C19 activity during pregnancy.4

## Placental and Breast Milk Passage

In seven mother-infant pairs, the median ratio of ETR concentration in cord blood to ETR concentration in maternal plasma at delivery was 0.52 (with a range of 0.19–4.25).<sup>3</sup> In another study, the median ratio of cord blood to maternal plasma concentration in 10 mother-infant pairs was 0.32 (with a range of 0.19–0.63).<sup>2</sup> Placental passage of ETR was described in a report on the use of ETR, darunavir/ritonavir, and enfuvirtide in a woman who gave birth to twins. Cord blood ETR levels were 414 ng/mL in Twin 1 and 345 ng/mL in Twin 2 (maternal plasma ETR concentration at delivery was not reported).<sup>5</sup>

Plasma and breast milk concentrations were measured on postpartum Days 5 and 14 in eight women who began taking ETR on postpartum Day 1.6 Plasma PKs were similar between Days 5 and 14 and were similar to published PK parameters of ETR in nonpregnant adults. ETR AUC $_{0-12h}$  in breast milk was higher in mature milk (collected on Day 14) than in colostrum/transitional milk (collected on Day 5): 12,954 ± 10,200 ng·h/mL versus 4,372 ± 3,016 ng·h/mL (P = 0.046). Median ETR concentrations in plasma and breast milk on Day 5 were 300 ng/mL and 241 ng/mL, respectively (within-subject breast milk concentration/plasma concentration ratio was 109%). Median plasma and breast milk concentrations on Day 14 were 197 ng/mL and 798 ng/mL, respectively (within-subject breast milk concentration/plasma concentration ratio was 327%). The maximum ETR concentration in breast milk was significantly higher than the maximum concentration in plasma (1,245 ± 1,159 ng/mL vs. 531 ± 336 ng/mL, P = 0.04). Two women had detectable HIV RNA in breast milk on Day 14 despite having suppressed plasma

viral loads. ETR concentrations in the plasma and breast milk of these women were similar to those observed in women with undetectable HIV RNA in breast milk. ETR penetrates well and may accumulate in breast milk.

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

In eight reported cases of ETR use in pregnancy, no maternal, fetal, or neonatal toxicities were noted.<sup>5,7</sup> One infant was born with a small accessory auricle on the right ear but no other malformations, and no birth defects were noted in the other children.<sup>5</sup> Among the cases of first-trimester ETR exposure that have been reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, one infant with a defect has been noted out of 69 live births; due to this low number of cases to date, no conclusions can be made about risk of birth defects.<sup>8</sup>

### **Excerpt from Table 8**

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations <sup>a</sup>	Use in Pregnancy
Etravirine	Tablets:	Standard Adult Dose:	Placental transfer varies; it
(ETR)	• 25 mg	ETR 200 mg twice daily with food	is usually in the moderate to
Intelence	• 100 mg	Pregnancy	high categories, ranging from 0.19–4.25. <sup>b</sup>
	• 200 mg	PKs in Pregnancy:	
	For patients who are unable to swallow tablets whole, the	PK data in pregnancy suggest 1.2-fold to 1.6-fold increases in ETR exposure during pregnancy.	Insufficient data to assess for teratogenicity in humans. No
	tablets may be dispersed in a	, , ,	evidence of teratogenicity in
	glass of water.	Dosing in Pregnancy:	rats or rabbits.
	3	No change in dose indicated.	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the <u>Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 10</u>).

**High:** >0.6 **Moderate:** 0.3–0.6 **Low:** <0.3

**Key:** ARV = antiretroviral; ETR= etravirine; PK = pharmacokinetic

- Etravirine [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2019. Available at: <a href="https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2019/022187s025lbl.pdf">https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2019/022187s025lbl.pdf</a>.
- 2. Ramgopal M, Osiyemi O, Zorrilla C, et al. Pharmacokinetics of total and unbound etravirine in HIV-1-infected pregnant women. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2016;73(3):268-274. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27159225">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27159225</a>.
- 3. Mulligan N, Schalkwijk S, Best BM, et al. Etravirine pharmacokinetics in HIV-infected pregnant women. *Front Pharmacol*. 2016;7:239. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27540363.
- 4. Ke AB, Nallani SC, Zhao P, Rostami-Hodjegan A, Unadkat JD. Expansion of a PBPK model to predict disposition in pregnant women of drugs cleared via multiple CYP enzymes, including CYP2B6, CYP2C9 and CYP2C19. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2014;77(3):554-570. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23834474">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23834474</a>.
- 5. Furco A, Gosrani B, Nicholas S, et al. Successful use of darunavir, etravirine, enfuvirtide and tenofovir/emtricitabine in pregnant woman with multiclass HIV resistance. *AIDS*. 2009;23(3):434-435. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19188762">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19188762</a>.
- 6. Spencer L, Liu S, Wang C, Neely M, Louie S, Kovacs A. Intensive etravirine PK and HIV-1 viral load in breast milk and plasma in HIV+ women receiving HAART. Poster 891. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2014. Boston, MA.
- 7. Calcagno A, Trentini L, Marinaro L, et al. Transplacental passage of etravirine and maraviroc in a multidrug-experienced HIV-infected woman failing on darunavir-based HAART in late pregnancy. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2013;68(8):1938-1939. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23535879">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23535879</a>.
- 8. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry international interim report for 1 January 1989–31 January 2019. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2019. Available at: <a href="http://www.apregistry.com/">http://www.apregistry.com/</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

# Nevirapine (Viramune, NVP)

# (Last updated December 24, 2019; last reviewed December 24, 2019)

#### **Animal Studies**

### Carcinogenicity

NVP showed no evidence of mutagenic or clastogenic activity in a battery of *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies. The occurrence of hepatocellular adenomas and carcinomas increased at all doses of NVP in male mice and rats and at higher doses of NVP in female mice and rats. Systemic exposure to NVP at all studied doses was lower in rodents than the systemic exposure observed in humans who received therapeutic doses. Given the lack of genotoxic activity of NVP, it is unclear whether the appearance of hepatocellular neoplasms in NVP-treated mice and rats is relevant to humans.<sup>1</sup>

## Reproduction/Fertility

Female rats showed evidence of impaired fertility at systemic exposures to NVP that were comparable to therapeutic exposures in humans.<sup>1</sup>

## Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

In reproductive studies of rats and rabbits, no teratogenic effects of NVP have been observed at systemic exposures approximately equivalent to or 50% greater than the systemic exposure (based on area under the curve [AUC]) seen in humans who received the recommended dose. In pregnant rats, however, a significant decrease in fetal weight occurred at doses that produced systemic concentrations approximately 50% higher than human therapeutic exposure.<sup>1</sup>

### **Human Studies in Pregnancy**

#### **Pharmacokinetics**

The pharmacokinetics (PKs) of NVP have been evaluated in pregnant women who received NVP as part of antiretroviral therapy (ART) during pregnancy. A study that evaluated NVP PKs in 26 women during pregnancy (which included seven women in their second trimester and 19 women in their third trimester) and again in the same women 4 to 12 weeks after delivery found that pregnancy did not alter NVP PK parameters.<sup>2</sup> In contrast, NVP clearance was 20% greater, AUC was 28% lower, and maximum plasma concentration was 30% lower in 16 pregnant women than in 13 nonpregnant women during a therapeutic drug monitoring program that collected plasma samples over a 12-hour period. The authors of that study also reported high variability in plasma NVP concentrations.<sup>3</sup> A Dutch study reported a nonsignificant trend toward lower NVP exposure during pregnancy, with a median steady-state NVP concentration of 5.2 mcg/mL in 45 pregnant women compared to a median of 5.8 mcg/mL in 152 nonpregnant women (*P* = 0.08).<sup>4</sup> An intensive PK study of 59 women found that pregnant women who had one or two mutations in cytochrome P (CYP) 2B6 had higher NVP clearance than a different group of postpartum women who had one or two mutations in CYP2B6.<sup>5</sup> In fast metabolizers who had no mutations in CYP2B6, no differences in NVP exposure were seen between pregnant women and postpartum women. No dose adjustment is currently recommended for NVP during pregnancy.

#### Placental and Breast Milk Passage

NVP demonstrates rapid and effective placental transfer, achieving near-equivalent concentrations in maternal and cord blood (cord blood-to-maternal-plasma ratio ranges from 0.60–1.02).<sup>6,7</sup>

NVP has also been shown to be excreted into human breast milk. In a study of 57 Malawian women who received postpartum NVP-based therapy, the breast milk-to-maternal-plasma concentration ratio was approximately 0.6; detectable NVP concentrations were found in the breastfeeding infants (interquartile range 0.54–1.06 mcg/mL).<sup>8</sup> In data from 15 breastfeeding women who received NVP-based therapy in Botswana, median maternal plasma concentration of NVP at 1 month postpartum was 6.71 mcg/mL and median maternal breast milk concentration was 1.83 mcg/mL, for a median maternal breast milk-to-plasma

ratio of 0.27.9 Infant exposure was measured at 1 month in nine infants; all infants had biologically significant, detectable NVP concentrations in their blood, with a median level of 0.37 mcg/mL (and a range of 0.24–1.2 mcg/mL), representing approximately 6% of the median maternal value. Similar data were reported in a study of 67 mothers who received NVP-based therapy in Kenya; the median concentration of NVP in breast milk was 4.55 mcg/mL, with median concentrations in breastfeeding infants of 0.99 mcg/mL at 2 weeks postpartum, 1.03 mcg/mL at 6 weeks postpartum, and 0.73 mcg/mL at 14 weeks postpartum. An additional study in 122 Nigerian mother-infant pairs found that the median breast milk-to-plasma NVP AUC ratio was 0.95 (with a range of 0.56–1.5).

### Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

In the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to NVP in humans have been monitored to be able to detect at least a 1.5-fold increase in risk of overall birth defects and a two-fold increase in risk of birth defects in the cardiovascular and genitourinary systems. No such increase in the risk of birth defects has been observed in infants who were exposed to NVP. Among the cases of first-trimester NVP exposure that have been reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, the prevalence of birth defects was 2.80% (32 of 1,153 births; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.9% to 3.9%) compared with a total prevalence of 2.72% in the U.S. population, based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance. Similarly, the French Perinatal Cohort reported no association between exposure to NVP and birth defects with 71% power to detect a 1.5-fold increase.

#### Other Safety Information

Severe, life-threatening, and (in some cases) fatal hepatotoxicity—including fulminant and cholestatic hepatitis, hepatic necrosis, hepatic failure, and severe, life-threatening hypersensitivity skin reactions, including Stevens-Johnson syndrome—have been reported in patients with HIV who were receiving NVP in combination with other drugs for treatment of HIV and in a small number of individuals who were receiving NVP as a component of postexposure prophylaxis of nosocomial or sexual exposure to HIV.<sup>13</sup> In general, clinical hepatic events, regardless of severity, have occurred in 4.0% of patients (with a range of 0% to 11.0%) who received NVP in controlled clinical trials; however, the risk of NVP-associated liver failure or hepatic mortality has been lower, ranging from 0.04% to 0.40%.<sup>1,14</sup> The greatest risk of severe rash or hepatic events occurs during the first 6 to 18 weeks of therapy, although the risk of toxicity continues past this period and patients should be regularly monitored for signs of toxicity.

Incidence of severe NVP-associated skin rash has been reported to be 5.5 times to 7.3 times more common in women than men, and cases have been reported in pregnant women. Other studies have found that hepatic adverse events with systemic symptoms (often rash) were 3.2 times more common in women than men. Several studies suggest that the degree of risk of hepatic toxicity varies with CD4 T lymphocyte (CD4) cell count. In a summary analysis of data from 17 clinical trials of NVP therapy, women with CD4 counts cells/mm³ were 9.8 times more likely to experience symptomatic, often rash-associated, NVP-related hepatotoxicity than women with lower CD4 counts. Higher CD4 counts have also been associated with an increased risk of severe, NVP-associated skin rash.

Rates of hepatotoxicity and rash similar to those in U.S. studies have been seen in international cohorts of nonpregnant women, although not all studies have reported an association between rates of hepatotoxicity and rash and CD4 counts >250 cells/mm³.¹8 In a study of 359 nonpregnant women who were randomized to receive NVP-based therapy in sub-Saharan Africa, higher NVP exposure was associated with the development of severe skin toxicity, and baseline CD4 counts ≥250 cells/mm³ were associated with the development of NVP-related liver toxicity and drug discontinuation.¹9 Some researchers have suggested that genetic variation in drug metabolism polymorphisms (e.g., CYP2B6 variants), TRAF proteins, and immune human leukocyte antigen loci may be associated with a higher risk of NVP-associated adverse events, and that the relationship between genetic variants and adverse events may vary by race.²0-23 Published literature indicates that rash and hyperbilirubinemia have been seen in infants who were exposed to NVP through breastmilk.¹

Although fatal cases of hepatic failure have been reported in pregnant women with HIV who were receiving

NVP as part of an ART regimen, it is uncertain whether pregnancy increases the risk of hepatotoxicity in women receiving NVP or other antiretroviral drugs.<sup>24</sup> In a systematic review of 20 studies that included 3,582 pregnant women from 14 countries who initiated NVP while pregnant, the pooled proportion of women who experienced a severe hepatotoxic event was 3.6% (95% CI, 2.4% to 4.8%) and the proportion of women who experienced severe rash was 3.3% (95% CI, 2.1% to 4.5%); overall, 6.2% of women stopped taking NVP due to an adverse event (95% CI, 4.0% to 8.4%).<sup>25</sup> These results were comparable to published frequencies in the general adult population and comparable to frequencies in nonpregnant women within the same cohorts. These data suggest that women who take NVP during pregnancy do not experience adverse events more frequently than the general population of people who take NVP. This is consistent with data from two multicenter prospective cohorts in which pregnancy was not associated with an increased risk of NVP-associated hepatic toxicity.<sup>26,27</sup> In contrast, an analysis of data collected in the United Kingdom and Ireland from 2000 to 2011 evaluated 3,426 women, one-quarter of whom were pregnant, and found that pregnant women who were taking efavirenz, maraviroc, or NVP had an increased risk of liver enzyme elevation.<sup>28</sup>

The systematic review discussed above also reported an increased likelihood of cutaneous events (odds ratio [OR] 1.1; 95% CI, 0.8–1.6) and severe cutaneous adverse events in pregnant women with CD4 counts  $\geq$ 250 cell/mm³ (OR 1.4, 95% CI, 0.8–2.4); however, these trends were not significant.<sup>25</sup> A separate systematic review of 14 studies did report a significant association between increased toxicity risk and the initiation of NVP-based therapy during pregnancy in women with CD4 counts  $\geq$ 250 cells/mm³.<sup>29</sup> A small case-control study (six cases, 30 controls) in South Africa reported that pregnancy increased the chance of developing Stevens-Johnson syndrome (OR 14.28, P = 0.006; 95% CI, 1.54–131.82).<sup>30</sup> NVP (as a component of a combination regimen) should be initiated in pregnant women with CD4 counts  $\geq$ 250 cells/mm³ can receive NVP-based regimens, and women who become pregnant while taking NVP and who are tolerating their regimens well can continue using those regimens, regardless of their CD4 counts.

In a chart abstraction study that used data collected at eight government hospitals in Botswana, women who received ART regimens that contained NVP were more likely to experience certain adverse events than women on ART regimens that did not contain NVP, including hypertension (30% vs. 16%), severe hypertension (3.3% vs. 1.2%), gestational hypertension (18% vs. 10%), and early gestational hypertension (12% vs. 7%).<sup>31</sup>

Because pregnancy itself can mimic some of the early symptoms of hepatotoxicity (i.e., pregnancy-related nausea and vomiting), health care providers who are caring for pregnant women who are receiving NVP should be aware of this potential complication. Frequent and careful monitoring of clinical symptoms and hepatic transaminases (i.e., alanine aminotransferase [ALT] and aspartate aminotransferase [AST]) is necessary, particularly during the first 18 weeks of therapy. Some clinicians measure serum transaminases at baseline, every 2 weeks for the first month, and then monthly for the first 18 weeks; in patients with pre-existing liver disease, monitoring should be performed more frequently when initiating therapy and monthly thereafter.<sup>32</sup> Transaminase levels should be checked in all women who develop a rash while receiving NVP. Patients who develop suggestive clinical symptoms accompanied by elevation in serum transaminase levels (ALT and/or AST) or who have asymptomatic but severe transaminase elevations should stop taking NVP and not receive the drug in the future.

#### **Additional Information**

In a nonrandomized parallel-group study of etonogestrel exposure in women who were on ART, NVP had no effect on etonogestrel levels; in contrast, lower levels of etonogestrel were seen in recipients who were taking efavirenz.<sup>33</sup>

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations <sup>a</sup>	Use in Pregnancy
Nevirapine (NVP) Viramune Viramune XR  Note: Generic products are available for some formulations.	NVP (Viramune) Tablet: • 200 mg <sup>d</sup> Oral Suspension: • 50 mg/5 mL <sup>d</sup> Viramune XR Tablets: • 100 mg • 400 mg <sup>d</sup>	<ul> <li>Standard Adult Doses:</li> <li>NVP 200 mg once daily (using Viramune immediate release) for a 14-day lead-in period; thereafter, NVP 200 mg twice daily or 400 mg (using Viramune XR tablet) once daily, without regard to food.</li> <li>Repeat lead-in period if therapy is discontinued for &gt;7 days.</li> <li>In patients who develop mild-to-moderate rash without constitutional symptoms during the lead-in period, continue lead-in dosing until rash resolves, but administer for ≤28 days total.</li> <li>Pregnancy</li> <li>PKs in Pregnancy:</li> <li>PKs of immediate-release tablets not significantly altered in pregnancy.</li> <li>No data available on extended-release formulations in pregnancy.</li> <li>Dosing in Pregnancy:</li> <li>No change in dose indicated.</li> </ul>	No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects and two-fold increase in cardiovascular and genitourinary defects).  There is an increased risk of symptomatic liver toxicity when first initiating therapy in women with CD4 counts ≥250/mm³. Liver toxicity is often associated with a rash and can be fatal. Pregnancy does not appear to increase this risk.  NVP should be initiated in pregnant women with CD4 counts ≥250 cells/mm³ only if benefit clearly outweighs risk. There is a potential increased risk of life-threatening hepatotoxicity in women with high CD4 counts. Elevated transaminase levels at baseline may increase the risk of NVP toxicity.  Women who become pregnant while taking NVP-containing regimens and who are tolerating their regimens well can continue taking those regimens, regardless of their CD4 counts.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the <u>Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 10</u>).

High: >0.6 Moderate: 0.3–0.6 Low: <0.3

Key: ARV = antiretroviral; CD4 = CD4 T lymphocyte; NVP = nevirapine; PK = pharmacokinetic XR = extended release

- 1. Nevirapine [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2018. Available at: <a href="https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda">https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda</a> docs/label/2018/020636s050,020933s040lbl.pdf.
- 2. Capparelli EV, Aweeka F, Hitti J, et al. Chronic administration of nevirapine during pregnancy: impact of pregnancy on pharmacokinetics. *HIV Med.* 2008;9(4):214-220. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18366444">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18366444</a>.
- 3. von Hentig N, Carlebach A, Gute P, et al. A comparison of the steady-state pharmacokinetics of nevirapine in men, nonpregnant women and women in late pregnancy. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2006;62(5):552-559. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17061962">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17061962</a>.
- 4. Nellen JF, Damming M, Godfried MH, et al. Steady-state nevirapine plasma concentrations are influenced by pregnancy. *HIV Med.* 2008;9(4):234-238. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18366447">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18366447</a>.
- 5. Olagunju A, Bolaji O, Neary M, Back D, Khoo S, Owen A. Pregnancy affects nevirapine pharmacokinetics: evidence from a CYP2B6 genotype-guided observational study. *Pharmacogenet Genomics*. 2016;26(8):381-389. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27195527">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27195527</a>.
- 6. Else LJ, Taylor S, Back DJ, Khoo SH. Pharmacokinetics of antiretroviral drugs in anatomical sanctuary sites: the fetal compartment (placenta and amniotic fluid). *Antivir Ther*. 2011;16(8):1139-1147. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22155898">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22155898</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

d Generic formulation available.

- 7. Benaboud S, Ekouevi DK, Urien S, et al. Population pharmacokinetics of nevirapine in HIV-1-infected pregnant women and their neonates. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2011;55(1):331-337. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20956588">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20956588</a>.
- 8. Palombi L, Pirillo MF, Andreotti M, et al. Antiretroviral prophylaxis for breastfeeding transmission in Malawi: drug concentrations, virological efficacy and safety. *Antivir Ther*. 2012;17(8):1511-1519. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22910456">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22910456</a>.
- 9. Shapiro RL, Rossi S, Ogwu A, et al. Therapeutic levels of lopinavir in late pregnancy and abacavir passage into breast milk in the Mma Bana Study, Botswana. *Antivir Ther*. 2013;18(4):585-590. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23183881">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23183881</a>.
- 10. Mirochnick M, Thomas T, Capparelli E, et al. Antiretroviral concentrations in breast-feeding infants of mothers receiving highly active antiretroviral therapy. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2009;53(3):1170-1176. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19114673">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19114673</a>.
- 11. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry international interim report for 1 January 1989–31 January 2019. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2019. Available at: <a href="http://www.apregistry.com/">http://www.apregistry.com/</a>.
- 12. Sibiude J, Mandelbrot L, Blanche S, et al. Association between prenatal exposure to antiretroviral therapy and birth defects: an analysis of the French perinatal cohort study (ANRS CO1/CO11). *PLoS Med*. 2014;11(4):e1001635. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24781315.
- 13. Patel SM, Johnson S, Belknap SM, Chan J, Sha BE, Bennett C. Serious adverse cutaneous and hepatic toxicities associated with nevirapine use by non-HIV-infected individuals. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2004;35(2):120-125. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14722442">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14722442</a>.
- 14. Stern JO, Robinson PA, Love J, Lanes S, Imperiale MS, Mayers DL. A comprehensive hepatic safety analysis of nevirapine in different populations of HIV infected patients. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2003;34 Suppl 1:S21-33. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14562855.
- 15. Mazhude C, Jones S, Murad S, Taylor C, Easterbrook P. Female sex but not ethnicity is a strong predictor of non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor-induced rash. *AIDS*. 2002;16(11):1566-1568. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12131201">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12131201</a>.
- 16. Bersoff-Matcha SJ, Miller WC, Aberg JA, et al. Sex differences in nevirapine rash. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2001;32(1):124-129. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11118391">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11118391</a>.
- 17. Knudtson E, Para M, Boswell H, Fan-Havard P. Drug rash with eosinophilia and systemic symptoms syndrome and renal toxicity with a nevirapine-containing regimen in a pregnant patient with human immunodeficiency virus. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2003;101(5 Pt 2):1094-1097. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12738113">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12738113</a>.
- 18. Peters PJ, Stringer J, McConnell MS, et al. Nevirapine-associated hepatotoxicity was not predicted by CD4 count ≥250 cells/muL among women in Zambia, Thailand and Kenya. *HIV Med*. 2010;11(10):650-660. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20659176">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20659176</a>.
- 19. Dong BJ, Zheng Y, Hughes MD, et al. Nevirapine pharmacokinetics and risk of rash and hepatitis among HIV-infected sub-Saharan African women. *AIDS*. 2012;26(7):833-841. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22301417">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22301417</a>.
- 20. Yuan J, Guo S, Hall D, et al. Toxicogenomics of nevirapine-associated cutaneous and hepatic adverse events among populations of African, Asian, and European descent. *AIDS*. 2011;25(10):1271-1280. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21505298">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21505298</a>.
- 21. Carr DF, Chaponda M, Jorgensen AL, et al. Association of human leukocyte antigen alleles and nevirapine hypersensitivity in a malawian HIV-infected population. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2013;56(9):1330-1339. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23362284">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23362284</a>.
- 22. Ciccacci C, Rufini S, Mancinelli S, et al. A pharmacogenetics study in Mozambican patients treated with nevirapine: full resequencing of TRAF3IP2 gene shows a novel association with SJS/TEN susceptibility. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2015;16(3):5830-5838. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25775161">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25775161</a>.
- 23. Carr DF, Chaponda M, Cornejo Castro EM, et al. CYP2B6 c.983T>C polymorphism is associated with nevirapine hypersensitivity in Malawian and Ugandan HIV populations. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2014;69(12):3329-3334. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25147095">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25147095</a>.
- 24. Lyons F, Hopkins S, Kelleher B, et al. Maternal hepatotoxicity with nevirapine as part of combination antiretroviral therapy in pregnancy. *HIV Med.* 2006;7(4):255-260. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16630038">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16630038</a>.
- 25. Ford N, Calmy A, Andrieux-Meyer I, Hargreaves S, Mills EJ, Shubber Z. Adverse events associated with nevirapine Recommendations for the Use of Antiretroviral Drugs in Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Interventions to Reduce Perinatal HIV Transmission in the United States

- use in pregnancy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *AIDS*. 2013. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23299174">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23299174</a>.
- 26. Ouyang DW, Brogly SB, Lu M, et al. Lack of increased hepatotoxicity in HIV-infected pregnant women receiving nevirapine compared with other antiretrovirals. *AIDS*. 2010;24(1):109-114. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19926957">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19926957</a>.
- 27. Ouyang DW, Shapiro DE, Lu M, et al. Increased risk of hepatotoxicity in HIV-infected pregnant women receiving antiretroviral therapy independent of nevirapine exposure. *AIDS*. 2009;23(18):2425-2430. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19617813">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19617813</a>.
- 28. Huntington S, Thorne C, Anderson J, et al. Does pregnancy increase the risk of ART-induced hepatotoxicity among HIV-positive women? *J Int AIDS Soc.* 2014;17(4 Suppl 3):19486. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25393995">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25393995</a>.
- 29. Bera E, Mia R. Safety of nevirapine in HIV-infected pregnant women initiating antiretroviral therapy at higher CD4 counts: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *S Afr Med J.* 2012;102(11 Pt 1):855-859. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23116743">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23116743</a>.
- 30. Dube N, Adewusi E, Summers R. Risk of nevirapine-associated Stevens-Johnson syndrome among HIV-infected pregnant women: the Medunsa National Pharmacovigilance Centre, 2007–2012. *S Afr Med J.* 2013;103(5):322-325. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23971123">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23971123</a>.
- 31. Zash R, Williams P, Jacobson D, et al. Increased risk of hypertension in pregnancy among women on nevirapine-based regimens. Poster 803. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2018.
- 32. Kontorinis N, Dieterich DT. Toxicity of non-nucleoside analogue reverse transcriptase inhibitors. *Semin Liver Dis.* 2003;23(2):173-182. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12800070">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12800070</a>.
- 33. Chappell CA, Lamorde M, Nakalema S, et al. Efavirenz decreases etonogestrel exposure: a pharmacokinetic evaluation of implantable contraception with antiretroviral therapy. *AIDS*. 2017;31(14):1965-1972. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28692531">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28692531</a>.

# Rilpivirine (Edurant, RPV)

### (Last updated December 24, 2019; last reviewed December 24, 2019)

#### **Animal Studies**

#### Carcinogenicity

RPV was neither mutagenic nor clastogenic in a series of *in vitro* and animal *in vivo* screening tests. RPV was not carcinogenic in rats when administered at doses that resulted in drug exposures that were three times higher than those seen in humans who received the recommended dose of RPV 25 mg once daily. Hepatocellular neoplasms were observed in both male and female mice at doses that produced exposures 21 times higher than human therapeutic exposure; however, it is unclear whether these hepatocellular findings in mice are relevant to humans.<sup>1</sup>

### Reproduction/Fertility

No effect on fertility was observed when RPV was administered to rats at a dose of 400 mg/kg per day, which produced systemic drug exposure that was 40 times the exposure seen in humans who received the recommended dose.<sup>1</sup>

# Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

Rat and rabbit dams treated with RPV during pregnancy showed no evidence of embryonic or fetal toxicity, and reproductive function was unaffected. RPV exposures were 15 times higher (in rats) and 70 times higher (in rabbits) than the exposures observed in humans who received the recommended dose of RPV 25 mg once daily. When rats were administered RPV 400 mg/kg/day through lactation, no drug-related adverse effects were seen in the offspring.<sup>1</sup>

### Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Studies in lactating rats and their offspring indicate that RPV is present in rat milk.<sup>1</sup>

### **Human Studies in Pregnancy**

#### **Pharmacokinetics**

A study that presented pharmacokinetic (PK) and safety data from 32 pregnant women with HIV found that median RPV area under the curve (AUC) and trough concentrations were about 20% to 30% lower in the second and third trimesters than in the postpartum period. Median trough RPV concentrations were significantly lower at 14 visits where the women had detectable HIV RNA (30 ng/mL) than at 62 visits where they had undetectable HIV RNA (63 ng/mL). Ninety percent of women had trough concentrations above the protein-adjusted EC<sub>90</sub> for RPV. PK parameters between participants were highly variable in this study.<sup>2</sup> Another study in 16 pregnant women with HIV similarly found that exposure was approximately 50% lower in the third trimester than in the postpartum period, with four of the 16 women having troughs below the target levels during pregnancy.<sup>3</sup> Schalkwijk et al recommended the use of therapeutic drug monitoring during the third trimester. In addition, they recommended that providers remind patients to take RPV doses with meals. A third study reported that total RPV exposure decreased by approximately 30% and unbound RPV levels decreased by 22% to 25% during pregnancy in 15 women when compared to the RPV exposures seen in the same women postpartum.<sup>4</sup> Cervicovaginal fluid RPV concentrations were described in a study of 24 women who took RPV daily during pregnancy and postpartum. RPV steady-state concentrations in the cervicovaginal fluid of these women were similar to the concentrations seen in their plasma. The RPV cervicovaginal fluid-to-plasma AUC ratio was higher during pregnancy than postpartum. 5 While RPV plasma concentration is reduced during pregnancy, higher-than-standard doses of RPV have not been studied, and there is not enough data available to recommend a dosing change during pregnancy. Pregnant women who are receiving the standard dose of RPV should have their viral loads monitored more frequently than women who are not receiving RPV (see Monitoring of the Woman and Fetus During Pregnancy).

#### Placental and Breast Milk Passage

One of the PK and safety studies described above included data on RPV concentration at delivery for 21 mother-infant pairs, with a median cord blood RPV plasma concentration of 29.2 ng/mL (range <10.0 to 101.5 ng/mL), a median maternal delivery plasma RPV concentration of 55.2 ng/mL (range <10.0 to 233.8 ng/mL)

Recommendations for the Use of Antiretroviral Drugs in Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Interventions to Reduce Perinatal HIV Transmission in the United States

and a median cord blood-to-maternal-plasma ratio of 0.55 (range 0.3–0.8).<sup>2</sup> Osiyemi et al. found that the median ratio of cord blood to maternal plasma concentration of total RPV in eight women was 0.55 (range 0.43–0.98).<sup>4</sup> Similarly, Schalkwijk et al. found a median cord blood-to-maternal-plasma ratio of 0.5 (range 0.35–0.81) in five women.<sup>3</sup> An *ex vivo* human cotyledon perfusion model also showed that RPV crosses the placenta, with fetal transfer rates ranging from 17% to 37%.<sup>6,7</sup> No data exist on whether RPV is excreted in breast milk in humans.

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

In the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to RPV in humans have been monitored to be able to detect at least a two-fold increase in the risk of overall birth defects; no such increase in the risk of birth defects has been observed among infants who were exposed to RPV. Among cases of first-trimester exposures to RPV that have been reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, the prevalence of birth defects was 1.28% (five infants out of 392 live births; 95% confidence interval, 0.42% to 2.95%), whereas the total prevalence for the U.S. population is 2.7% based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance.

### **Excerpt from Table 8**

**Note:** When using FDC tablets, refer to other sections in Appendix B and Table 8 for information about the dosing and safety of the individual drug components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations <sup>a</sup>	Use in Pregnancy
Rilpivirine (RPV) Edurant (RPV/FTC/TDF) Complera (RPV/DTG) Juluca (RPV/FTC/TAF) Odefsey	RPV (Edurant) Tablets: • 25 mg RPV/FTC/TDF (Complera): • RPV 25 mg/FTC 200 mg/ TDF 300 mg tablet RPV/DTG (Juluca): • RPV 25 mg/DTG 50 mg tablet RPV/FTC/TAF (Odefsey): • RPV 25 mg/FTC 200 mg/ TAF 25 mg tablet	Standard Adult Doses  RPV (Edurant): RPV 25 mg once daily with food  RPV/FTC/TDF (Complera): One tablet once daily with food  RPV/DTG (Juluca): One tablet once daily with food  RPV/FTC/TAF (Odefsey): One tablet once daily with food  Pregnancy PKs in Pregnancy: RPV PKs are highly variable during pregnancy. RPV AUC and trough concentration are 20% to 50% lower in pregnancy than postpartum. While most pregnant women exceeded target exposure, those with detectable viral loads had lower RPV troughs.  Dosing in Pregnancy: While RPV plasma concentration is reduced during pregnancy, higherthan-standard doses have not been studied, and there is not enough data available to recommend a dosing change during pregnancy. Pregnant women receiving standard dosing should have their viral loads monitored more frequently than women who are not receiving RPV.  For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., DTG, FTC, TAF, TDF).	Moderate to high placental transfer to fetus. <sup>b</sup> No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 2-fold increase in overall birth defects). Two-drug regimens (e.g., the RPV/DTG FDC) are not recommended for use in pregnancy.

a Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the <u>Adult and Adolescent</u> Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 10).

High: >0.6 Moderate: 0.3–0.6 Low: <0.3

**Key:** ARV = antiretroviral; AUC = area under the curve; DTG = dolutegravir; FDC = fixed-dose combination; FTC = emtricitabine; PK = pharmacokinetic; RPV = rilpivirine; TAF = tenofovir alafenamide; TDF = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

- 1. Rilpivirine (Edurant) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2019. Available at: <a href="https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2019/202022s013lbl.pdf">https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2019/202022s013lbl.pdf</a>.
- 2. Tran AH, Best BM, Stek A, et al. Pharmacokinetics of rilpivirine in HIV-infected pregnant women. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2016;72(3):289-296. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26918544">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26918544</a>.
- 3. Schalkwijk S, Colbers A, Konopnicki D, et al. Lowered rilpivirine exposure during third trimester of pregnancy in HIV-1-positive women. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2017;65(8):1335-1341. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28595298">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28595298</a>.
- 4. Osiyemi O, Yasin S, Zorrilla C, et al. Pharmacokinetics, antiviral activity, and safety of rilpivirine in pregnant women with HIV-1 infection: results of a Phase 3b, multicenter, open-label study. *Infect Dis Ther*. 2018;7(1):147-159. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29335895.
- 5. Eke AC, Chakhtoura N, Kashuba A, et al. Rilpivirine plasma and cervicovaginal concentrations in women during pregnancy and postpartum. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2018;78(3):308-313. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29528944">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29528944</a>.
- 6. Mandelbrot L, Duro D, Belissa E, Peytavin G. Erratum for mandelbrot et al., placental transfer of rilpivirine in an *ex vivo* human cotyledon perfusion model. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2015;59(9):5869. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26276897">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26276897</a>.
- 7. Mandelbrot L, Duro D, Belissa E, Peytavin G. Placental transfer of rilpivirine in an *ex vivo* human cotyledon perfusion model. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2015;59(5):2901-2903. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25691637">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25691637</a>.
- 8. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry international interim report for 1 January 1989–31 January 2019. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2019. Available at: <a href="http://www.apregistry.com/">http://www.apregistry.com/</a>.

# Atazanavir (Reyataz, ATV)

## (Last updated December 24, 2019; last reviewed December 24, 2019)

#### **Animal Studies**

### Carcinogenicity

In *in vitro* and *in vivo* assays, atazanavir (ATV) shows evidence of clastogenicity but not mutagenicity. Two-year carcinogenicity studies in mice and rats were conducted with ATV. In female mice, the incidence of benign hepatocellular adenomas increased at systemic exposures that were 2.8-fold to 2.9-fold higher than those seen in humans who received the recommended therapeutic dose (ATV 300 mg boosted with ritonavir [RTV] 100 mg once daily). There was no increase in the incidence of tumors in male mice at any dose and no significant increase in the incidence of neoplasms in rats at systemic exposures up to 1.1-fold (in males) or 3.9-fold (in females) higher than those seen in humans who received the recommended therapeutic dose.<sup>1</sup>

## Reproduction/Fertility

No effect of ATV on reproduction or fertility in male and female rodents was observed at drug exposure levels (as measured by area under the curve [AUC]) that were 0.9-fold (in males) and 2.3-fold (in females) higher than the exposures achieved in humans who received the recommended therapeutic dose.<sup>1</sup>

# Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

In animal reproduction studies, there was no evidence of teratogenicity in offspring born to animals that had systemic ATV exposure levels (as measured by AUC) that were 0.7 times (in rabbits) and 1.2 times (in rats) those observed in humans who received the recommended therapeutic dose. In developmental toxicity studies in rats, maternal dosing (through pregnancy and lactation) that produced systemic ATV exposure that was 1.3 times the human exposure resulted in reversible neonatal growth retardation. However, offspring were unaffected at lower maternal doses that produced systemic drug exposures equivalent to those observed in humans who received the recommended therapeutic dose. A separate study demonstrated an association between maternal protease inhibitor (PI) use (including the use of ATV) and lower progesterone levels, which correlated with lower birthweight in mice. AUC)

#### Placental and Breast Milk Passage

ATV maternal-to-fetal (transplacental) transfer is reduced, which may be because ATV is a substrate of the placental drug efflux ATP-binding cassette transporter p-glycoprotein.<sup>4</sup>

ATV is excreted in the milk of lactating rats. Maternal ATV use in rats that produced systemic ATV exposure that was 1.3 times the human exposure was associated with neonatal growth restriction that reversed after weaning.<sup>1</sup>

#### **Human Studies in Pregnancy**

#### **Pharmacokinetics**

Several studies have investigated the pharmacokinetics (PKs) and virologic outcomes of using atazanavir/ritonavir (ATV/r) during pregnancy.<sup>5</sup> Overall, most pregnant women achieved undetectable HIV RNA at the time of delivery in these studies.<sup>1,6-10</sup> In a retrospective study that measured trough ATV concentrations at a median of 30 weeks gestation in 19 pregnant women (including 14 who were in the third trimester of pregnancy) who received ATV 300 mg and RTV 100 mg once daily, all but two women had trough ATV concentrations >100 ng/mL.<sup>11</sup>

In studies that evaluated full PK profiles of daily ATV 300 mg with RTV 100 mg during pregnancy, ATV AUC was lower during pregnancy than the ATV AUC reported in other studies of nonpregnant adults with HIV.<sup>6,8,9,12,13</sup> In one of the studies, there was no difference between ATV AUC during pregnancy and postpartum, but AUC at both times was lower than the AUC observed in nonpregnant historic controls with HIV.<sup>8</sup> In the other studies, ATV AUC was lower during pregnancy than it was in the same patients postpartum

and in nonpregnant control populations.<sup>6,7,9,12,13</sup> Intracellular ATV levels in women taking ATV 300 mg and RTV 100 mg appear to be stable throughout pregnancy.<sup>14</sup> Genetic variants appear to partially explain the interpatient variability in third trimester ATV exposure seen in pregnant women who receive ATV/r.<sup>15</sup>

ATV/r combined with tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) and emtricitabine provides a complete, oncedaily antiretroviral therapy regimen for pregnant women. However, the ATV AUC of pregnant women in the third trimester who received concomitant TDF was 30% lower than the ATV AUC of women who were not receiving concomitant TDF, an effect similar to that seen in nonpregnant adults. Provided the increase in ATV AUC postpartum relative to ATV AUC in the third trimester was similar for women taking concomitant TDF and for those not taking concomitant TDF. On the other hand, a smaller PK study demonstrated that concomitant TDF did not result in lower ATV AUC or a higher risk of ATV trough concentrations <0.15 mg/L (the target trough concentration for treatment-naive patients) in pregnant women during their third trimester. In a therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) study of 103 women (who were mostly African) in Paris, the proportions of women with ATV trough concentration <0.15 mg/L were similar for women who did and women who did not take concomitant TDF.

In studies that evaluated the use of once-daily ATV 400 mg with RTV 100 mg during pregnancy,<sup>6,7</sup> pregnant women who received this increased dose without TDF had an ATV AUC that was equivalent to the ATV AUC seen in historic nonpregnant controls with HIV who received the standard ATV 300 mg dose without TDF. Pregnant women who received the increased ATV 400 mg dose with TDF had an ATV AUC equivalent to that seen in nonpregnant patients with HIV who received standard ATV 300 mg dose with TDF.<sup>6,7</sup> Although some experts recommend an increased dose of ATV for all women during the second and third trimesters, the package insert recommends the use of an increased dose of ATV during the second and third trimesters only for treatment-experienced pregnant women who are also receiving either TDF or an H2-receptor antagonist. TDM of ATV in pregnancy may also be useful.<sup>17</sup> For additional details about interactions between concomitant medications, please see <u>Drug-Drug Interactions</u> in the <u>Adult and Adolescent</u> Antiretroviral Guidelines.

The pharmaco-enhancing effect of cobicistat (COBI) on ATV is impacted during pregnancy. Pregnant women who received ATV boosted with COBI had trough ATV concentrations that were 80% and 85% lower during the second and third trimesters than historical ATV trough concentrations in nonpregnant adults with HIV.<sup>18</sup> Concomitant use of ATV and COBI **is not recommended** during pregnancy because of these substantial reductions in drug exposures (see Cobicistat).<sup>19</sup>

#### Placental and Breast Milk Passage

In studies of women receiving ATV/r combination therapy during pregnancy, cord blood ATV concentration averaged 13% to 21% of maternal serum levels at delivery. 1,8,9

In a study of three women, the median ratio of breast milk ATV concentration to plasma ATV concentration was  $0.13^{20}$ 

### Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

In a multicenter study that evaluated a U.S. cohort of children who were exposed to HIV but who did not contract HIV, first-trimester ATV exposure was associated with increased odds of congenital anomalies of the skin (adjusted odds ratio [aOR] 5.24; P = 0.02) and the musculoskeletal system (aOR 2.55; P = 0.007). On the other hand, there was no association between first-trimester ATV exposure and birth defects in a French cohort, although this study had <50% power to detect an aOR of 1.5. The Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry has monitored sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to ATV in humans to be able to detect at least a 1.5-fold increase in the risk of overall birth defects, and no such increase in birth defects has been observed with ATV. The prevalence of birth defects with first-trimester ATV exposure was 2.2% (29 of 1,328 births; 95% CI, 1.5% to 3.1%) compared with a 2.7% total prevalence in the U.S. population, based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance.

Please see <u>Combination Antiretroviral Drug Regimens</u> and <u>Maternal and Neonatal Outcomes</u> for a discussion of the potential association between the use of boosted PIs and preterm delivery.

### Other Safety Data

Elevation in indirect (unconjugated) bilirubin that can be attributed to ATV-related inhibition of hepatic uridine diphosphate glucuronosyltransferase (UGT) enzyme occurs frequently during treatment with ATV, including during pregnancy.<sup>24</sup> It is unknown whether elevated maternal indirect bilirubin throughout pregnancy has any effects on the fetus. Dangerous or pathologic postnatal elevations in bilirubin have not been reported in infants born to mothers who received ATV during pregnancy.<sup>1,6,8,9,11,25-27</sup> In some studies, neonatal bilirubin elevations that require treatment with phototherapy occur more frequently after prenatal ATV exposure. However, decisions to use phototherapy frequently are subjective and guidelines for phototherapy vary across countries, making it difficult to compare the severity of hyperbilirubinemia between patients within a study and across different studies.<sup>25,26</sup> Elevated neonatal bilirubin in neonates exposed to ATV is not associated with UGT-1 genotypes that have been linked decreased UGT function.<sup>27</sup>

In an evaluation of neurodevelopmental outcomes in 374 infants aged 9 to 15 months who were exposed to HIV but who did not contract HIV, the adjusted mean scores on the language and social-emotional domains of the Bayley-III test were significantly lower for infants with perinatal exposure to ATV than for infants who were exposed to other drugs.<sup>28,29</sup> In a study of language assessments among 792 children aged 1 to 2 years who were exposed to HIV but who did not contract HIV, children with ATV exposure had an increased risk of late language emergence at age 12 months (aOR 1.83; 95% CI, 1.10–3.04) compared to children without ATV exposure, but this association was not significant at 24 months.<sup>30</sup>

Hypoglycemia (glucose <40 mg/dL) that could not be attributed to maternal glucose intolerance, difficult delivery, or sepsis was reported in three of 38 ATV-exposed infants who had glucose samples collected during the first day of life. All three hypoglycemic infants' glucose samples were adequately collected and processed in a timely fashion. This report of infant hypoglycemia is similar to a prior report in which two of 14 infants who were exposed to PIs (nelfinavir, saquinavir, and indinavir) developed hypoglycemia during the first day of life; both infants with hypoglycemia had been exposed to nelfinavir.

**Note:** When using FDC tablets, refer to other sections in Appendix B and Table 8 for information about the dosing and safety of individual drug components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

Note: Generic products are available for some formulations.  Note: ATV must be combined with low-dose RTV boosting in pregnancy.  (ATV/c)  Evotaz   **100 mg (generic product only)*  **150 mg*  **200	Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations <sup>a</sup>	Use in Pregnancy
Note: Generic products are available for some formulations.  Note: ATV must be combined with low-dose RTV boosting in pregnancy.  (ATV/c)  Evolaz  100 mg (generic product only)  150 mg <sup>4</sup> 200 mg <sup>4</sup> 300 mg <sup>4</sup> 300 mg <sup>4</sup> 200 mg acket in PAV-Naive Patients with RTV Boosting:  107al Powder:  250 mg packet in ATV 300 mg plus RTV 100 mg once daily with food  150 mg tablet  150 mg t		ATV (Reyataz)	Standard Adult Doses	Low placental transfer to fetus.b
PKs in Pregnancy  ATV (Reyataz):  • ATV concentrations are reduced during pregnancy, and they are further reduced when ATV is given concomitantly with TDF or an H2-receptor antagonist.	Trade Name  Atazanavir (ATV) Reyataz  Note: Generic products are available for some formulations.  Note: ATV must be combined with low- dose RTV boosting in pregnancy. (ATV/c)	ATV (Reyataz) Capsules: • 100 mg (generic product only) • 150 mg <sup>d</sup> • 200 mg <sup>d</sup> • 300 mg <sup>d</sup> Oral Powder: • 50 mg packet ATV/c (Evotaz): • ATV 300 mg/COBI	Standard Adult Doses  In ARV-Naive Patients without RTV Boosting:  ATV 400 mg once daily with food; ATV without RTV boosting is not recommended when used with TDF, H2-receptor antagonists, PPIs, or during pregnancy.  In ARV-Naive Patients with RTV Boosting:  ATV 300 mg plus RTV 100 mg once daily with food  When combined with EFV in ARV-naive patients: ATV 400 mg plus RTV 100 mg once daily with food  In ARV-Experienced Patients:  ATV 300 mg plus RTV 100 mg once daily with food  • Do not use with PPIs or EFV  In ARV-Experienced Patients Who Are Receiving an H2-Receptor Antagonist:  ATV 300 mg plus RTV 100 mg once daily with food  In ARV-Experienced Patients Who Are Receiving an H2-Receptor Antagonist and TDF:  ATV 400 mg plus RTV 100 mg once daily with food  Powder Formulation:  Oral powder is taken with RTV once daily with food at the same recommended adult dose as the capsules.  ATV/c (Evotaz):  One tablet once daily with food  Pregnancy  PKs in Pregnancy  ATV (Reyataz):  ATV concentrations are reduced during pregnancy, and they are further reduced when ATV is given concomitantly with TDF or an H2-	Low placental transfer to fetus. <sup>b</sup> No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects).  Must be given with RTV boosting in pregnancy.  Effect of <i>in utero</i> ATV exposure on infant indirect bilirubin levels is unclear. Nonpathologic elevations of neonatal bilirub have been observed in some, but not all, clinical trials to date.  Oral powder (but <u>not</u> capsules) contains phenylalanine, which can be harmful to patients with phenylketonuria.  Use of ATV/c <u>is not recommended</u> during pregnancy. See Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy, Table 4, and Table 5 for discussions about avoiding the use of

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations <sup>a</sup>	Use in Pregnancy
		Dosing in Pregnancy	
		ATV (Reyataz):	
		• Use of unboosted ATV is not recommended during pregnancy.	
		• Use of ATV is not recommended for ARV-experienced pregnant women who are taking TDF and an H2-receptor antagonist.	
		Use of an increased dose (ATV 400 mg plus RTV 100 mg once daily with food) during the second and third trimesters results in plasma ATV concentrations equivalent to those seen in nonpregnant adults receiving standard dosing. Although some experts recommend increased ATV dosing in all women during the second and third trimesters, the package insert recommends increased ATV dosing only for ARV-experienced pregnant women in the second and third trimesters who are also receiving either TDF or an H2-receptor antagonist.	
		ATV/c (Evotaz):	
		• Insufficient data to make dosing recommendation in pregnancy (see <u>COBI</u> ).	
		For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., COBI).	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the <u>Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 10</u>).

High: >0.6 Moderate: 0.3–0.6 Low: <0.3

**Key:** ARV = antiretroviral; ATV = atazanavir; ATV/c = atazanavir/cobicistat; COBI = cobicistat; EFV = efavirenz; FDC = fixed-dose combination; PK = pharmacokinetic; PPI = proton pump inhibitor; RTV = ritonavir; TDF = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate

- Atazanavir [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2018. Available at: <a href="https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda">https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda</a> docs/label/2018/021567s042,206352s007lbl.pdf.
- 2. Papp E, Mohammadi H, Loutfy MR, et al. HIV protease inhibitor use during pregnancy Is associated with decreased progesterone levels, suggesting a potential mechanism contributing to fetal growth restriction. *J Infect Dis*. 2014;211(1):10-8. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25030058.
- 3. Powis KM, Shapiro RL. Protease inhibitors and adverse birth outcomes: is progesterone the missing piece to the puzzle? *J Infect Dis.* 2015;211(1):4-7. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25030057">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25030057</a>.
- 4. Cerveny L, Ptackova Z, Durisova M, Staud F. Interactions of protease inhibitors atazanavir and ritonavir with ABCB1, ABCG2, and ABCC2 transporters: Effect on transplacental disposition in rats. *Reprod Toxicol*. 2018;79:57-65. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29859254">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29859254</a>.
- 5. Eley T, Bertz R, Hardy H, Burger D. Atazanavir pharmacokinetics, efficacy and safety in pregnancy: a systematic review. *Antivir Ther*. 2013;18(3):361-375. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23676668.
- 6. Conradie F, Zorrilla C, Josipovic D, et al. Safety and exposure of once-daily ritonavir-boosted atazanavir in HIV-infected pregnant women. *HIV Med.* 2011;12(9):570-579. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21569187">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21569187</a>.
- 7. Kreitchmann R, Best BM, Wang J, et al. Pharmacokinetics of an increased atazanavir dose with and without tenofovir during the third trimester of pregnancy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2013;63(1):59-66. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23392467">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23392467</a>.
- 8. Ripamonti D, Cattaneo D, Maggiolo F, et al. Atazanavir plus low-dose ritonavir in pregnancy: pharmacokinetics and placental transfer. *AIDS*. 2007;21(18):2409-2415. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18025877.
- 9. Mirochnick M, Best BM, Stek AM, et al. Atazanavir pharmacokinetics with and without tenofovir during pregnancy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2011;56(5):412-419. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21283017">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21283017</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

d Generic formulation available

- 10. Le MP, Mandelbrot L, Descamps D, et al. Pharmacokinetics, safety and efficacy of ritonavir-boosted atazanavir (300/100 mg once daily) in HIV-1-infected pregnant women. *Antivir Ther*. 2015;20(5):507-13. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25599649">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25599649</a>.
- 11. Natha M, Hay P, Taylor G, et al. Atazanavir use in pregnancy: a report of 33 cases. Presented at: 14th Conference on Retoviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2007. Los Angeles, CA.
- 12. Taburet AM, Piketty C, Chazallon C, et al. Interactions between atazanavir-ritonavir and tenofovir in heavily pretreated human immunodeficiency virus-infected patients. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2004;48(6):2091-2096. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15155205">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15155205</a>.
- 13. Colbers A, Molto J, Ivanovic J, et al. A comparison of the pharmacokinetics of darunavir, atazanavir and ritonavir during pregnancy and post-partum. Abstract 1013. Presented at: 19th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2012. Seattle, WA.
- 14. Foca E, Calcagno A, Bonito A, et al. Atazanavir intracellular concentrations remain stable during pregnancy in HIV-infected patients. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2017;72(11):3163-3166. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28961777">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28961777</a>.
- 15. Foca E, Calcagno A, Bonito A, et al. Pharmacokinetic changes during pregnancy according to genetic variants: a prospective study in HIV-infected patients receiving atazanavir-ritonavir. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2018;62(7). Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29760129.
- 16. Colbers A, Hawkins D, Hidalgo-Tenorio C, et al. Atazanavir exposure is effective during pregnancy regardless of tenofovir use. *Antivir Ther*. 2015;20(1):57-64. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24992294">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24992294</a>.
- 17. Else LJ, Jackson V, Brennan M, et al. Therapeutic drug monitoring of atazanavir/ritonavir in pregnancy. *HIV Med.* 2014;15(10):604-610. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24825070">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24825070</a>.
- 18. Momper J, Best B, Wang J, et al. Pharmacokinetics of darunavir boosted with cobicistat during pregnancy and postpartum. Presented at: International AIDS Conference. 2018. Amsterdam, Netherlands.
- 19. Boyd SD, Sampson MR, Viswanathan P, Struble KA, Arya V, Sherwat AI. Cobicistat-containing antiretroviral regimens are not recommended during pregnancy: viewpoint. *AIDS*. 2019;33(6):1089-1093. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30946163">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30946163</a>.
- 20. Spencer L, Neely M, Mordwinkin N, et al. Intensive pharmacokinetics of zidovudine, lamivudine, and atazanavir and HIV-1 viral load in breast milk and plasma in HIV+ women receiving HAART. Presented at: 16th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2009. Montreal, Canada.
- 21. Williams PL, Crain MJ, Yildirim C, et al. Congenital anomalies and *in utero* antiretroviral exposure in human immunodeficiency virus-exposed uninfected infants. *JAMA Pediatr*. 2015;169(1):48-55. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25383770">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25383770</a>.
- 22. Sibiude J, Mandelbrot L, Blanche S, et al. Association between prenatal exposure to antiretroviral therapy and birth defects: an analysis of the French perinatal cohort study (ANRS CO1/CO11). *PLoS Med*. 2014;11(4):e1001635. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24781315">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24781315</a>.
- 23. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry international interim report for 1 January 1989–31 January 2019. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2019. Available at: <a href="http://www.apregistry.com">http://www.apregistry.com</a>.
- 24. Floridia M, Ravizza M, Masuelli G, et al. Atazanavir and lopinavir profile in pregnant women with HIV: tolerability, activity and pregnancy outcomes in an observational national study. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2014;69(5):1377-1384. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24370933">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24370933</a>.
- 25. Mandelbrot L, Mazy F, Floch-Tudal C, et al. Atazanavir in pregnancy: impact on neonatal hyperbilirubinemia. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol*. 2011;157(1):18-21. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21492993">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21492993</a>.
- 26. Atrio JM, Sperling RS, Posada R, Rodriguez Caprio G, Chen KT. Maternal atazanavir usage in HIV-infected pregnant women and the risk of maternal and neonatal hyperbilirubinemia. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2013;63(5):e158-159. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23970241">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23970241</a>.
- 27. Eley T, Huang SP, Conradie F, et al. Clinical and pharmacogenetic factors affecting neonatal bilirubinemia following atazanavir treatment of mothers during pregnancy. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses*. 2013;29(10):1287-1292. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23782005">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23782005</a>.
- 28. Sirois PA, Huo Y, Williams PL, et al. Safety of perinatal exposure to antiretroviral medications: developmental outcomes in infants. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2013;32(6):648-655. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23340561.

- 29. Caniglia EC, Patel K, Huo Y, et al. Atazanavir exposure *in utero* and neurodevelopment in infants: a comparative safety study. *AIDS*. 2016;30(8):1267-1278. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26867136.
- 30. Rice ML, Zeldow B, Siberry GK, et al. Evaluation of risk for late language emergence after *in utero* antiretroviral drug exposure in HIV-exposed uninfected infants. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2013;32(10):e406-413. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24067563">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24067563</a>.
- 31. Dinsmoor MJ, Forrest ST. Lack of an effect of protease inhibitor use on glucose tolerance during pregnancy. *Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol*. 2002;10(4):187-191. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12648312">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12648312</a>.

# Darunavir (Prezista, DRV)

# (Last reviewed December 24, 2019; last updated December 24, 2019)

#### **Animal Studies**

Carcinogenicity

DRV was neither mutagenic nor clastogenic in a series of *in vitro* and animal *in vivo* screening tests. A dose-related increase in the incidence of hepatocellular adenomas and carcinomas was observed in both male and female mice and rats, as well as an increase in thyroid follicular cell adenomas in male rats. The observed hepatocellular findings in rodents are considered to be of limited relevance to humans. Repeated administration of DRV to rats caused hepatic microsomal enzyme induction and increased thyroid hormone elimination; this predisposes rats, but not humans, to thyroid neoplasms. At the highest tested doses, the systemic exposures to DRV (based on area under the curve [AUC]) were between 0.4-fold and 0.7-fold (in mice) and 0.7-fold and one-fold (in rats) the exposures observed in humans who received the recommended therapeutic doses of DRV/ritonavir (DRV/r) 600 mg/100 mg twice daily or DRV/r 800 mg/100 mg daily.<sup>1</sup>

## Reproduction/Fertility

No effects on fertility and early embryonic development were seen in rats that received DRV.<sup>1</sup>

## Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

No embryotoxicity or teratogenicity was seen in rats that experienced DRV exposures (based on AUC) that were three-fold higher than those seen in humans who received recommended DRV/r doses; likewise, no embryotoxicity or teratogenicity was seen in mice and rabbits that experienced DRV exposures that were less than one-fold those seen in humans who received the recommended DRV/r doses. Administering DRV alone or with ritonavir to female rats during lactation resulted in a reduction in pup weight gain during a rat prenatal and postnatal development study. DRV/r **is not recommended** for pediatric patients aged <3 years due to the toxicity and mortality observed in juvenile rats dosed with DRV up to 23 to 26 days of age.<sup>1</sup>

#### Placental and Breast Milk Passage

No animal studies of placental passage of DRV have been reported. Passage of DRV into breast milk has been noted in rats.<sup>1</sup>

# **Human Studies in Pregnancy**

### **Pharmacokinetics**

Several studies of the pharmacokinetics (PKs) of DRV/r during pregnancy have been completed.<sup>2-6</sup> Compared with postpartum DRV plasma AUC, DRV plasma AUC during the third trimester was reduced by 17% to 26% with DRV/r 600 mg/100 mg twice-daily dosing and by 33% to 39% with DRV/r 800 mg/100 mg once-daily dosing.<sup>2-5</sup> Compared with postpartum DRV trough concentration, trough concentration during the third trimester was reduced by 8% to 12% with DRV/r 600 mg/100 mg twice-daily dosing and by 42% to 58% with DRV/r 800 mg/100 mg once-daily dosing.<sup>3-5</sup>

Three studies measured DRV protein binding during pregnancy. One study found no change in DRV protein binding during the third trimester. The other two studies reported decreased unbound DRV concentrations during pregnancy that were not considered clinically significant.<sup>2,4,5</sup> Because of the low DRV trough levels that occur with once-daily dosing, twice-daily dosing of DRV is recommended during pregnancy, especially for antiretroviral-experienced patients.<sup>3,7</sup> The Food and Drug Administration recommends the use of once-daily DRV/r 800 mg/100 mg dosing only for pregnant women who are virally suppressed on a stable, once-daily DRV/r regimen prior to pregnancy and whose adherence or ability to tolerate a regimen may be compromised by a switch to twice-daily DRV/r.<sup>1</sup> After reviewing the available evidence, the Panel on Treatment of Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission does not recommend once-daily dosing of DRV/r in pregnancy. An 800-mg DRV dose administered twice daily did not increase DRV exposure in pregnant women; use of this increased twice-daily DRV dose during pregnancy is not recommended.<sup>6</sup>

Two studies describing the PK and safety of once-daily DRV/cobicistat (DRV/c) 800 mg/150 mg during pregnancy have been presented.<sup>8,9</sup> In a study of seven pregnant women with HIV who were treated with DRV/c, no drug-related adverse events were observed. When PK parameters during the second and third trimesters were compared to postpartum PK parameters, total DRV AUC was reduced by 56% and 50% and trough concentration was reduced by 92% and 89%, respectively. Unbound DRV concentrations decreased during the second and third trimesters of pregnancy compared to postpartum, with AUC 45% and 40% lower and trough concentration 92% and 88% lower, respectively. Cobicistat (COBI) exposures were lower during pregnancy, with reductions during the second and third trimesters of 63% and 49% for AUC and 83% and 83% for trough concentration compared to postpartum. Six of seven participants remained virally suppressed during pregnancy. One woman who was not suppressed was found to be nonadherent to treatment after a pill count. No infants born to study mothers contracted HIV. On the basis of these data, the package insert for the fixed-dose combination of DRV/c was edited to include a statement saying that this product is not recommended for use in pregnant women because of substantially lower exposures of DRV and COBI during pregnancy. 10 These findings are consistent with a larger study of 29 pregnant women who received the DRV/c combination. When PK parameters during the second and third trimesters were compared to postpartum PK parameters in these women, total DRV AUC was reduced by 33% and 48% and DRV trough concentrations were reduced by 71% and 75%.8

#### Placental and Breast Milk Passage

In an *ex vivo* human cotyledon perfusion model, the mean fetal transfer rate of DRV was 15%.<sup>11</sup> In five studies that reported data from between six and 14 subjects each, the median ratio of DRV concentration in cord blood to DRV concentration in maternal delivery plasma ranged from 13% to 24%.<sup>2-4,9,12</sup> No data are available that describe the breast milk passage of DRV in humans.

### Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

Among cases of first-trimester DRV exposure that have been reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, prevalence of birth defects is 3.1% (16 of 524 births; 95% confidence interval, 1.8% to 4.9%), whereas the total prevalence for the U.S. population is 2.7% based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance.<sup>13</sup> This number of first-trimester exposures is sufficient to conclude that there is not a two-fold increase in the risk of overall birth defects among infants with first-trimester exposure to DRV compared to control populations.<sup>13</sup>

**Note:** When using FDC tablets, refer to other sections in Appendix B and Table 8 for information about the dosing and safety of individual drug components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations <sup>a</sup>	Use in Pregnancy
,	DRV (Prezista)  Tablet:  • 75 mg  • 150 mg  • 600 mg  • 800 mg  Oral Suspension:  • 100 mg/mL  DRV/c (Prezcobix):  • DRV/c 800 mg/150 mg  tablet	Standard Adult Doses  ARV-Naive Patients:  • DRV 800 mg plus RTV 100 mg once daily with food  • DRV 800 mg plus COBI 150 mg once daily with food  ARV-Experienced Patients  If Patient Has No DRV Resistance Mutations:  • DRV 800 mg plus RTV 100 mg once daily with food  • DRV 800 mg plus COBI 150 mg once daily with food  If Any DRV Resistance Mutations Are Present:  • DRV 600 mg plus RTV 100 mg twice daily with food  DRV/c (Prezcobix):	Low placental transfer to fetus. <sup>b</sup> No evidence of teratogenicity in mice, rats, or rabbits. No evidence of human teratogenicity.  Must be boosted with low-dose RTV.  The Panel does not recommend oncedaily dosing with DRV/r during pregnancy or the
	DRV/c/FTC/TAF (Symtuza): • DRV 800 mg/COBI 150 mg/FTC 200 mg/ TAF 10 mg tablet	<ul> <li>One tablet once daily with food  DRV/c/FTC/TAF (Symtuza): <ul> <li>One tablet once daily with food</li> </ul> </li> <li>Pregnancy  PKs in Pregnancy: <ul> <li>Decreased exposure in pregnancy with use of DRV/r.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Dosing in Pregnancy: <ul> <li>The Panel does not recommend once-daily dosing with DRV/r during pregnancy or the use of DRV/c during pregnancy.</li> <li>Twice-daily DRV/r dosing (DRV 600 mg plus RTV 100 mg with food) is recommended for all pregnant women.</li> <li>Increased twice-daily DRV dose (DRV 800 mg plus RTV 100 mg with food) during pregnancy does not result in an increase in DRV exposure and is not recommended.</li> </ul> </li> <li>For guidance about use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., COBI, FTC, TAF)</li> </ul>	use of DRV/c during pregnancy. If a DRV/c regimen is continued during pregnancy, viral load should be monitored frequently.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the <u>Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 10</u>).

High: >0.6 Moderate: 0.3–0.6 Low: <0.3

**Key:** ARV = antiretroviral; COBI = cobicistat; DRV = darunavir; DRV/c = darunavir/cobicistat; DRV/r = darunavir/ritonavir; FDC = fixed-dose combination; FTC = emtricitabine; PK = pharmacokinetic; RTV = ritonavir; TAF = tenofovir alafenamide

- 1. Darunavir [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2019. Available at: <a href="https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2019/021976s054,202895s025lbl.pdf">https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2019/021976s054,202895s025lbl.pdf</a>.
- Zorrilla CD, Wright R, Osiyemi OO, et al. Total and unbound darunavir pharmacokinetics in pregnant women infected with HIV-1: results of a study of darunavir/ritonavir 600/100 mg administered twice daily. HIV Med. 2014;15(1):50-56. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23731450">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23731450</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

- 3. Stek A, Best BM, Wang J, et al. Pharmacokinetics of once versus twice daily darunavir In pregnant HIV-infected women. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2015. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25950206">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25950206</a>.
- 4. Colbers A, Molto J, Ivanovic J, et al. Pharmacokinetics of total and unbound darunavir in HIV-1-infected pregnant women. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2015;70(2):534-542. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25326090.
- 5. Crauwels HM, Kakuda TN, Ryan B, et al. Pharmacokinetics of once-daily darunavir/ritonavir in HIV-1-infected pregnant women. *HIV Med.* 2016;17(9):643-652. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27187894">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27187894</a>.
- 6. Stek A, Best B, Capparelli E, et al. Pharmacokinetics of increased dose darunavir during late pregnancy and postpartum. Presented at: 23rd Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2016. Boston, MA.
- Schalkwijk S, Ter Heine R, Colbers A, et al. Evaluating darunavir/ritonavir dosing regimens for HIV-positive pregnant women using semi-mechanistic pharmacokinetic modelling. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2019. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30715324">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30715324</a>.
- 8. Momper J, Best B, Wang J, et al. Pharmacokinetics of darunavir boosted with cobicistat during pregnancy and postpartum. Presented at: International AIDS Conference. 2018. Amsterdam, Netherlands.
- 9. Crauwels HM, Osiyemi O, Zorrilla C, Bicer C, Brown K. Reduced exposure to darunavir and cobicistat in HIV-1-infected pregnant women receiving a darunavir/cobicistat-based regimen. *HIV Med.* 2019;20(5):337-343. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30873741">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30873741</a>.
- 10. Darunavir/cobicistat (Prezcobix) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2018. Available at: <a href="https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda">https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda</a> docs/label/2018/205395s009lbl.pdf.
- 11. Mandelbrot L, Duro D, Belissa E, Peytavin G. Placental transfer of darunavir in an *ex vivo* human cotyledon perfusion model. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2014;58(9):5617-5620. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24982090">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24982090</a>.
- 12. Courbon E, Matheron S, et al. Efficacy, and pharmacokinetic of darunavir/ritonavir-containing regimen in pregnant HIV+ women. Presented at: 19th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2012. Seattle, WA.
- 13. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry international interim report for 1 January 1989–31 January 2019. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2019. Available at: <a href="http://www.apregistry.com">http://www.apregistry.com</a>.

# Lopinavir/Ritonavir (Kaletra, LPV/r)

(Last updated December 24, 2019; last reviewed December 24, 2019)

#### **Animal Studies**

## Carcinogenicity

Neither lopinavir (LPV) nor ritonavir (RTV) was found to be mutagenic or clastogenic in a battery of *in vitro* and *in vivo* assays. The LPV/r combination was evaluated for carcinogenic potential by oral gavage administration to mice and rats for ≤104 weeks. Results showed an increased incidence of benign hepatocellular adenomas and an increased combined incidence of hepatocellular adenomas plus carcinoma in male and female mice and male rats at doses that produced approximately 1.6 times to 2.2 times (in mice) and 0.5 times (in rats) the exposure seen in humans who received the recommended therapeutic dose of LPV/r 400 mg/100 mg (exposure was based on area under the curve [AUC]<sub>0-24hr</sub> measurement). Administration of LPV/r did not cause a statistically significant increase in the incidence of any other benign or malignant neoplasm in mice or rats.¹

### Reproduction/Fertility

No effects on fertility were observed in male and female rats that received LPV and RTV at a 2:1 ratio. These rats experienced exposures that were approximately 0.7-fold (for LPV) and 1.8-fold (for RTV) the exposures seen in humans who received the recommended therapeutic dose.<sup>1</sup>

### Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

No teratogenicity has been reported in studies where LPV/r was administered to pregnant rats and rabbits. In rats treated with a maternally toxic dosage (LPV/r 100 mg/kg and 50 mg/kg per day), embryonic and fetal developmental toxicities (i.e., early resorption, decreased fetal viability, decreased fetal body weight, increased incidence of skeletal variations, and skeletal ossification delays) were observed. Drug exposure in the pregnant rats was 0.7-fold (for LPV) and 1.8-fold (for RTV) the exposures observed in humans who received the recommended therapeutic dose. In a perinatal and postnatal study in rats, a decrease in survival of pups between birth and postnatal day 21 occurred with exposure to LPV/r 40 mg/kg and 20 mg/kg per day or greater. In rabbits, no embryonic or fetal developmental toxicities were observed with a maternally toxic dose, where drug exposure was 0.6-fold (for LPV) and one-fold (for RTV) the exposures seen in humans who received the recommended therapeutic dose. In a study of pregnant rats receiving chronic administration of zidovudine (ZDV), LPV, and RTV, maternal body weight gain was significantly reduced compared to weight gain in rats that received no antiretroviral (ARV) drugs, but no adverse effects were observed in fetuses. In pregnant mice, the use of RTV, LPV, and atazanavir was associated with significantly lower progesterone levels than those seen in mice who received no ARV drugs, and the lower progesterone levels directly correlated with lower fetal weight.

### Placental and Breast Milk Passage

No information is available on placental transfer of LPV in animals.<sup>1</sup>

# **Human Studies in Pregnancy**

#### Pharmacokinetics

The original capsule formulation of LPV/r has been replaced by a heat-stable tablet formulation that has improved bioavailability characteristics and does not have to be administered with food.<sup>4,5</sup> Pharmacokinetic (PK) studies of standard adult LPV/r doses (400 mg/100 mg twice a day) that used either the capsule or tablet formulations in pregnant women have demonstrated a reduction in LPV plasma concentrations during pregnancy of around 30% compared with those seen in nonpregnant adults.<sup>6-8</sup> A further 33% reduction in LPV exposure was demonstrated in food-insecure, malnourished pregnant women in Uganda compared to well-nourished, historical pregnant controls. The authors attributed this reduction to decreased bioavailability of LPV.<sup>9</sup> Increasing the dose of LPV/r during pregnancy to 600 mg/150 mg using the tablet formulation

results in LPV plasma concentrations that are equivalent to those seen in nonpregnant adults who received standard doses. 10,11

Clinical experience suggests that most, but not all, pregnant women who receive standard LPV/r tablet dosing during pregnancy will have trough LPV concentrations that exceed 1.0 mcg/mL, the usual target for trough concentration in therapeutic drug monitoring programs for ARV-naive subjects. However, higher trough concentrations are recommended for protease inhibitor (PI)-experienced subjects, and some PI-experienced women who take the standard LPV/r dose during pregnancy will not achieve these concentrations.<sup>4,7</sup> A population PK study of LPV/r in 154 pregnant women demonstrated that body weight influences LPV clearance and volume of distribution; larger women (>100 kg) or women who missed a dose were at higher risk for subtherapeutic trough concentrations when taking the standard dose during pregnancy. 12 Another population PK study in 84 pregnant women and 595 nonpregnant adults found no significant difference between the LPV concentrations observed in pregnant women who were taking the more bioavailable tablet formulation and those seen in nonpregnant adults taking the original capsule formulation.<sup>13</sup> In one study of 29 women, LPV plasma protein binding was reduced during pregnancy, but the resulting increase in free (unbound) drug was insufficient to make up for the reduction in total plasma LPV concentration associated with pregnancy. <sup>14</sup> In a study of 12 women, total LPV exposure was significantly decreased throughout pregnancy, but the AUC and concentration at 12 hours post-dose (C<sub>12h</sub>) for unbound LPV did not differ throughout pregnancy, even with an increased dose of LPV/r 500 mg/125 mg. Modeling of these data showed that standard dosing should be effective during pregnancy in people with susceptible virus. <sup>15,16</sup> A population PK study found a 39% increase in total LPV clearance during pregnancy, but measured unbound LPV concentrations in pregnancy were within the range of those simulated in nonpregnant adults.<sup>17</sup> Bonafe et al. randomized 32 pregnant women to receive the standard dose and 31 pregnant women to receive the 600 mg/150 mg dose of LPV/r at gestational ages between 14 and 33 weeks. No differences in adverse events were seen between groups. In women with baseline viral loads >50 copies/mL, 45% of women in the standard dose group had plasma viral loads >50 copies/mL during the last 4 weeks of pregnancy, compared to 10.5% of women in the increased dose group (P = 0.01). In women with baseline viral loads <50 copies/mL, no difference was seen between groups in viral load measurements during the last 4 weeks of pregnancy.<sup>18</sup>

These studies have led some experts to support the use of an increased dose of LPV/r in pregnant women with HIV during the second and third trimesters, especially in women who are PI-experienced and women who start treatment during pregnancy with a baseline viral load >50 copies/mL. If possible, when standard doses of LPV/r are used during pregnancy, virologic response and LPV drug concentrations should be monitored. Instead of using three adult tablets (LPV/r 200 mg/50 mg each) to increase the dose of LPV/r to 600 mg/150 mg during pregnancy, clinicians may consider using two adult tablets and one pediatric LPV/r tablet (100 mg/25 mg) to provide a dose of LPV/r 500 mg/125 mg. Once-daily dosing of LPV/r is not recommended in pregnancy, because no data exist to address whether once-daily dosing produces adequate drug levels.

#### Placental and Breast Milk Passage

LPV crosses the human placenta; in the P1026s PK study, the average ratio of LPV concentration in cord blood to LPV concentration in maternal plasma at delivery was  $0.20 \pm 0.13$ . In contrast, in a study of 51 mother-infant pairs in Uganda where the mother received LPV/r during pregnancy and breastfeeding, infant LPV plasma levels at delivery and LPV hair levels at age 12 weeks suggested significant *in utero* transfer: 41% of infants had detectable plasma LPV concentrations at birth, and mean infant-to-maternal-hair concentrations at 12 weeks postpartum were 0.87 for LPV. However, transfer during breastfeeding was not observed, and no infant had detectable plasma LPV levels at 12 weeks. LPV concentrations in human breast milk are very low to undetectable, and LPV concentrations in breastfeeding infants whose mothers received LPV are not clinically significant.  $^{19-24}$ 

#### Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

The French Perinatal Cohort found no association between birth defects and LPV or RTV use with 85% power to detect a 1.5-fold increase.<sup>25</sup> The Pediatric HIV/AIDS Cohort Study found no association between

LPV and congenital anomalies.<sup>26</sup> Surveillance data from the United Kingdom and Ireland during a 10-year period showed that, among the infants born after 4,609 LPV-exposed pregnancies, 134 infants had an identified birth defect, resulting in an overall congenital abnormality rate of 2.9%. This rate is comparable to rates of congenital abnormalities observed in populations without HIV.<sup>27</sup> In the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to LPV/r have been monitored to detect at least a 1.5-fold increase in risk of overall birth defects and a two-fold increase in risk of birth defects in the cardiovascular and genitourinary systems. No such increase in the risk of birth defects has been observed with LPV/r. Among cases of first-trimester exposure to LPV/r reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, the prevalence of birth defects was 2.1% (30 infants out of 1,421 live births; 95% confidence interval, 1.4% to 3.0%) compared with a prevalence of either 2.7% when using data from the Metropolitan Atlanta Congenital Defects Program (MACDP) or 4.2% when using data from the Texas Birth Defects Registry (TBDR).<sup>28</sup>

In the PROMISE study, administering LPV/r with ZDV plus lamivudine (3TC) or with tenofovir disoproxil fumarate plus 3TC resulted in transmission rates that were lower than those seen with ZDV alone; however, the use of these LPV/r-containing regimens increased the incidence of low birth weight (<2,500 g).<sup>29</sup> Compared to ZDV alone, ZDV plus 3TC plus LPV/r was associated with increased rates of preterm delivery (<37 weeks). PHACS SMARTT also found an increased rate of preterm birth among women who received PI-based ARV therapy, although not with specific individual drugs.<sup>30</sup> Similarly, a study in China found that women who received PI-based regimens had higher rates of preterm birth than those who received non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NNRTI)-based regimens.<sup>31</sup> In the United Kingdom/Ireland National Study of HIV in Pregnancy and Childhood, 2,368 out of 6,073 women had taken LPV/r during their pregnancies; after adjusting for other factors, the use of LPV/r carried a greater risk of preterm delivery than the use of NNRTI-based regimens.<sup>32</sup> For a more detailed discussion of ARV drug regimens and adverse pregnancy outcomes, please refer to Combination Antiretroviral Drug Regimens and Maternal and Neonatal Outcomes.

### Other Safety Information

LPV/r oral solution contains 42.4% (volume/volume) alcohol and 15.3% (weight/volume) propylene glycol and **is not recommended** for use during pregnancy. Reduced hepatic metabolic function and kidney excretory function in newborns can lead to accumulation of LPV as well as alcohol and propylene glycol, resulting in adverse events (e.g., serious cardiac, renal, metabolic, or respiratory problems). For more information about LPV/r use in newborns, refer to Antiretroviral Management of Newborns with Perinatal HIV Exposure or HIV Infection. 33,34

**Note:** When using FDC tablets, refer to other sections in Appendix B and Table 8 for information about the dosing and safety of individual drug components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations <sup>a</sup>	Use in Pregnancy
Lopinavir/Ritonavir	LPV/r (Kaletra)	Standard Adult Doses:	Low placental transfer
(LPV/r) Kaletra	Tablets:	LPV/r 400 mg/100 mg twice daily, or	to fetus.b
Naletra	• LPV/r 200 mg/50 mg	LPV/r 800 mg/200 mg once daily	No evidence of
	• LPV/r 100 mg/25 mg  Oral Solution: • Each 5 mL contains	Tablets:	human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall
		Take without regard to food.	
		Oral Solution:	birth defects).
	LPV/r 400 mg/100 mg	Take with food.	Oral solution contains
		With EFV or NVP in PI-Naive or PI-Experienced Patients:	42% alcohol and 15% propylene glycol and
		LPV/r 500 mg/125 mg tablets twice daily without regard to meals (use a combination of two LPV/r 200 mg/50 mg tablets and one LPV/r 100 mg/25 mg tablet), or	is not recommended for use in pregnancy.
		LPV/r 520 mg/130 mg oral solution (6.5 mL) twice daily with food	Once-daily LPV/r dosing <u>is not</u> recommended during
		Pregnancy	pregnancy.
		PKs in Pregnancy:	
		With twice-daily dosing, LPV exposure is reduced in pregnant women who receive standard adult doses; increasing the dose by 50% results in exposure equivalent to that seen in nonpregnant adults receiving standard doses.	
		No PK data are available for once-daily dosing in pregnancy.	
		Dosing in Pregnancy:	
		Once-daily dosing is not recommended during pregnancy.	
		Some experts recommend that an increased dose (i.e., LPV/r 600 mg/150 mg twice daily without regard to meals or LPV/r 500 mg/125 mg twice daily without regard to meals) should be used in the second and third trimesters, especially in Plexperienced pregnant women and women who start treatment during pregnancy with a baseline viral load >50 copies/mL.	
		When standard dosing is used, monitor virologic response and, if possible, LPV drug levels.	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the <u>Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 10</u>).

**High:** >0.6 **Moderate:** 0.3–0.6 **Low:** <0.3

**Key:** EFV = efavirenz; FDC = fixed-dose combination; LPV = lopinavir; LPV/r = lopinavir/ritonavir; NVP = nevirapine; PI = protease inhibitor; PK = pharmacokinetic; RTV = ritonavir

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

- 1. Lopinavir and ritonavir (Kaletra) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2018. Available at: <a href="https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda">https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda</a> docs/label/2018/021251s056lbl.pdf.
- 2. Carvalho LP, Simoes RS, Araujo JE, Oliveira Filho RM, Kulay Junior L, Nakamura MU. Highly active antiretroviral therapy during gestation: effects on a rat model of pregnancy. *Ceska Gynekologie*. 2014;79(2):128-133. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24874827">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24874827</a>.
- 3. Papp E, Mohammadi H, Loutfy MR, et al. HIV protease inhibitor use during pregnancy is associated with decreased progesterone levels, suggesting a potential mechanism contributing to fetal growth restriction. *J Infect Dis*. 2015;211(1):10-18. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25030058">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25030058</a>.
- 4. Khuong-Josses MA, Azerad D, Boussairi A, Ekoukou D. Comparison of lopinavir level between the two formulations (soft-gel capsule and tablet) in HIV-infected pregnant women. *HIV Clin Trials*. 2007;8(4):254-255. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17720666">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17720666</a>.
- 5. Else LJ, Douglas M, Dickinson L, Back DJ, Khoo SH, Taylor GP. Improved oral bioavailability of lopinavir in melt-extruded tablet formulation reduces impact of third trimester on lopinavir plasma concentrations. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2012;56(2):816-824. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22106215.
- 6. Stek AM, Mirochnick M, Capparelli E, et al. Reduced lopinavir exposure during pregnancy. *AIDS*. 2006;20(15):1931-1939. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16988514">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16988514</a>.
- 7. Bouillon-Pichault M, Jullien V, Azria E, et al. Population analysis of the pregnancy-related modifications in lopinavir pharmacokinetics and their possible consequences for dose adjustment. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2009;63(6):1223-1232. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19389715">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19389715</a>.
- 8. Ramautarsing RA, van der Lugt J, Gorowara M, et al. Thai HIV-1-infected women do not require a dose increase of lopinavir/ritonavir during the third trimester of pregnancy. *AIDS*. 2011;25(10):1299-1303. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21516029">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21516029</a>.
- 9. Bartelink IH, Savic RM, Mwesigwa J, et al. Pharmacokinetics of lopinavir/ritonavir and efavirenz in food insecure HIV-infected pregnant and breastfeeding women in Tororo, Uganda. *J Clin Pharmacol*. 2014;54(2):121-132. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24038035">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24038035</a>.
- 10. Mirochnick M, Best BM, Stek AM, et al. Lopinavir exposure with an increased dose during pregnancy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2008;49(5):485-491. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18989231.
- 11. Best BM, Stek AM, Mirochnick M, et al. Lopinavir tablet pharmacokinetics with an increased dose during pregnancy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2010;54(4):381-388. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20632458.
- 12. Cressey TR, Urien S, Capparelli EV, et al. Impact of body weight and missed doses on lopinavir concentrations with standard and increased lopinavir/ritonavir doses during late pregnancy. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2015;70(1):217-224. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25261418">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25261418</a>.
- 13. Salem AH, Jones AK, Santini-Oliveira M, et al. No need for lopinavir dose adjustment during pregnancy: a population pharmacokinetic and exposure-response analysis in pregnant and nonpregnant HIV-infected subjects. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2016;60(1):400-408. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26525798">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26525798</a>.
- 14. Aweeka FT, Stek A, Best BM, et al. Lopinavir protein binding in HIV-1-infected pregnant women. *HIV Med*. 2010;11(4):232-238. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20002783">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20002783</a>.
- 15. Patterson KB, Dumond JB, Prince HA, et al. Protein binding of lopinavir and ritonavir during 4 phases of pregnancy: implications for treatment guidelines. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2013;63(1):51-58. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23221983">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23221983</a>.
- Chen J, Malone S, Prince HM, Patterson KB, Dumond JB. Model-based analysis of unbound lopinavir pharmacokinetics in HIV-infected pregnant women supports standard dosing in the third trimester. CPT Pharmacometrics Syst Pharmacol. 2016;5(3):147-157. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27069778">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27069778</a>.
- 17. Fauchet F, Treluyer JM, Illamola SM, et al. Population approach to analyze the pharmacokinetics of free and total lopinavir in HIV-infected pregnant women and consequences for dose adjustment. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2015;59(9):5727-5735. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26149996.
- 18. Bonafe SM, Costa DA, Vaz MJ, et al. A randomized controlled trial to assess safety, tolerability, and antepartum viral load with increased lopinavir/ritonavir dosage in pregnancy. *AIDS Patient Care STDS*. 2013;27(11):589-595. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24138537">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24138537</a>.

- 19. Gandhi M, Mwesigwa J, Aweeka F, et al. Hair and plasma data show that lopinavir, ritonavir, and efavirenz all transfer from mother to infant *in utero*, but only efavirenz transfers via breastfeeding. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2013;63(5):578-584. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24135775.
- 20. Rezk NL, White N, Bridges AS, et al. Studies on antiretroviral drug concentrations in breast milk: validation of a liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometric method for the determination of 7 anti-human immunodeficiency virus medications. *Ther Drug Monit*. 2008;30(5):611-619. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18758393">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18758393</a>.
- 21. Shapiro RL, Rossi S, Ogwu A, et al. Therapeutic levels of lopinavir in late pregnancy and abacavir passage into breast milk in the Mma Bana Study, Botswana. *Antivir Ther*. 2013;18(4):585-590. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23183881">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23183881</a>.
- 22. Palombi L, Pirillo MF, Andreotti M, et al. Antiretroviral prophylaxis for breastfeeding transmission in Malawi: drug concentrations, virological efficacy and safety. *Antivir Ther*. 2012;17(8):1511-1519. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22910456">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22910456</a>.
- 23. Corbett AH, Kayira D, White NR, et al. Antiretroviral pharmacokinetics in mothers and breastfeeding infants from 6 to 24 weeks post-partum: results of the BAN Study. *Antivir Ther*. 2014;19(6):587-595. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24464632">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24464632</a>.
- Oumar AA, Bagayoko-Maiga K, Bahachimi A, et al. Efavirenz and lopinavir levels in HIV-infected women and their nursing infants, in Mali. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther*. 2018;366(3):479-484. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29986950">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29986950</a>.
- 25. Sibiude J, Mandelbrot L, Blanche S, et al. Association between prenatal exposure to antiretroviral therapy and birth defects: an analysis of the French perinatal cohort study (ANRS CO1/CO11). *PLoS Med*. 2014;11(4):e1001635. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24781315">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24781315</a>.
- 26. Williams PL, Crain M, Yildirim C, et al. Congenital anomalies and *in utero* antiretroviral exposure in human immunodeficiency virus-exposed uninfected infants. *JAMA Pediatr*. 2015;169(1):45-55. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25383770">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25383770</a>.
- 27. Tookey PA, Thorne C, van Wyk J, Norton M. Maternal and foetal outcomes among 4118 women with HIV infection treated with lopinavir/ritonavir during pregnancy: analysis of population-based surveillance data from the national study of HIV in pregnancy and childhood in the United Kingdom and Ireland. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2016;16:65. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26847625">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26847625</a>.
- 28. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry international interim report for 1 January 1989–31 January 2019. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2019. Available at: <a href="http://www.apregistry.com/">http://www.apregistry.com/</a>.
- 29. Fowler MG, Qin M, Fiscus SA, et al. Benefits and risks of antiretroviral therapy for perinatal HIV prevention. *N Engl J Med*. 2016;375(18):1726-1737. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27806243.
- 30. Van Dyke RB, Chadwick EG, Hazra R, Williams PL, Seage GR, 3rd. The PHACS SMARTT study: assessment of the safety of *in utero* exposure to antiretroviral drugs. *Front Immunol*. 2016;7:199. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27242802">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27242802</a>.
- 31. Wang L, Zhao H, Tao J, et al. Risk factors associated with preterm and low birth weight among infants born to HIV-infected mothers in five tertiary hospitals in China, 2009-2014. Presented at: AIDS. 2016. Durban, South Africa.
- 32. Favarato G, Townsend CL, Bailey H, et al. Protease inhibitors and preterm delivery: another piece in the puzzle. *AIDS*. 2018;32(2):243-252. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29135577.
- 33. Boxwell D, Cao K, Lewis L, Marcus K, Nikhar B. Neonatal toxicity of Kaletra oral solution: LPV, ethanol or prophylene glycol? Presented at: 18th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2011. Boston, MA.
- 34. Simon A, Warszawski J, Kariyawasam D, et al. Association of prenatal and postnatal exposure to lopinavir-ritonavir and adrenal dysfunction among uninfected infants of HIV-infected mothers. *JAMA*. 2011;306(1):70-78. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21730243">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21730243</a>.

# Ibalizumab (Trogarzo, IBA)

### (Last updated December 24, 2019, last reviewed December 24, 2019)

#### **Animal studies**

Carcinogenicity

Carcinogenicity and mutagenicity studies of IBA have not been conducted.<sup>1</sup>

Reproduction/Fertility

Reproductive toxicology studies of IBA have not been conducted.<sup>1</sup>

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

Early embryonic development and embryo-fetal development studies with IBA have not been conducted.

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

No data are available on placental or breast milk passage of IBA in animals.

### **Human Studies in Pregnancy**

**Pharmacokinetics** 

No pharmacokinetic studies of IBA in pregnant women have been reported.

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

No data are available on placental or breast milk passage of IBA in humans. However, since monoclonal antibodies are transported across the placenta during pregnancy, IBA has the potential to be transmitted from the mother to the developing fetus. Human immunoglobulin G is also present in human milk, although published data indicate that antibodies in breast milk do not enter the neonatal or infant circulation system in substantial amounts.<sup>1</sup>

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

There are currently no data on the risk of birth defects in infants born to women who received IBA during pregnancy.

#### **Excerpt from Table 8**

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations <sup>a</sup>	Use in Pregnancy
Ibalizumab	IBA (Trogarzo):	Standard Adult Dose:	No data available, but placental
(IBA) Trogarzo	Solution for IV infusion is available in single-	IBA 2,000-mg loading dose, followed by IBA 800-mg maintenance doses administered every 2 weeks	transfer of IBA, a monoclonal antibody, is possible.
	dose vials	Pregnancy	Insufficient data to assess for teratogenicity in humans.
		PKs in Pregnancy:	teratogerilotty in numaris.
		No PK studies in human pregnancy.	
		Dosing in Pregnancy:	
		Insufficient data to make dosing recommendations.	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the <u>Adult and Adolescent</u> Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 10).

Key: ARV = antiretroviral; IBA = ibalizumab; IV = intravenous; PK = pharmacokinetic

#### References

Ibalizumab (Trogarzo) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2018. Available at: <a href="https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda">https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda</a> docs/label/2018/761065lbl.pdf.

# Maraviroc (Selzentry, MVC)

## (Last updated December 24, 2019; last reviewed December 24, 2019)

#### **Animal Studies**

#### Carcinogenicity

MVC was neither mutagenic nor clastogenic in a series of *in vitro* and animal *in vivo* screening tests. Long-term carcinogenicity studies of MVC in rats showed no drug-related increases in tumor incidence at exposures that were approximately 11 times those observed in humans who received the therapeutic dose.

### Reproduction/Fertility

No adverse effects were observed on the fertility of male or female rats at doses of MVC that produced exposures (based on area under the curve [AUC]) up to 20-fold higher than those seen in humans given the recommended 300-mg, twice-daily dose.

### Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

In animal reproduction studies, no evidence of adverse developmental outcomes was observed in animals that received MVC. During organogenesis in the rat and rabbit, systemic exposures to MVC (based on AUC) were approximately 20 times (in rats) and five times (in rabbits) the exposure seen in humans given the recommended 300-mg, twice-daily dose. In a rat prenatal and postnatal development study, maternal MVC AUC was approximately 14 times the exposure seen in humans given the recommended 300-mg, twice-daily dose. In a rat prenatal and postnatal development study, maternal MVC AUC was approximately 14 times the exposure seen in humans given the recommended 300-mg, twice-daily dose. In a rat prenatal and postnatal development study, maternal MVC AUC was approximately 14 times the exposure seen in humans given the recommended 300-mg, twice-daily dose.

### Placental and Breast Milk Passage

A study in rhesus macaques showed that single-dose MVC had poor placental transfer and rapid clearance from infant monkeys' blood.<sup>2</sup> Studies in lactating rats indicate that MVC is extensively secreted into rat milk.<sup>1</sup>

### **Human Studies in Pregnancy**

#### **Pharmacokinetics**

A U.S./European intensive pharmacokinetic (PK) study measured 12 hour PK profiles in the third trimester and at least 2 weeks postpartum included 18 women who were taking MVC as part of clinical care.<sup>3</sup> Sixty-seven percent of the women in the study were taking MVC 150 mg twice daily with a protease inhibitor; 11% took MVC 300 mg twice daily and 22% took an alternative regimen. The geometric mean ratio for third-trimester AUC versus postpartum AUC was 0.72; the geometric mean ratio for maximum MVC concentration in the third trimester versus maximum MVC concentration postpartum was 0.70. Despite an overall 30% decrease in MVC AUC during pregnancy and a 15% decrease in C<sub>trough</sub>, C<sub>trough</sub> exceeded the minimum target concentration of 50 ng/mL in all participants except for one woman who had a C<sub>trough</sub> below 50 ng/mL during both pregnancy and the postpartum period. These data suggest that the standard adult dose adjusted for concomitant antiretroviral (ARV) drugs seems appropriate in pregnancy. A review of interactions between ARV drugs and oral contraceptives found that it is safe to coadminister oral contraceptives with MVC.<sup>4</sup>

### Placental and Breast Milk Passage

An *ex vivo* human placental cotyledon perfusion model demonstrated minimal placental passage of MVC.<sup>5</sup> In a study of six mother-infant pairs, the median ratio of MVC concentration in cord blood to MVC concentration in maternal plasma was 0.33 (with a range of 0.03–0.56).<sup>3</sup> Whether MVC is secreted into human milk is unknown.

#### Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

The 30 cases of first-trimester exposure to MVC that have been monitored to date in the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry and other available first-trimester exposure data are insufficient to make a risk determination regarding birth defects.<sup>6,7</sup>

#### Other Safety Information

A retrospective study from an English-Irish cohort of 857 pregnant women showed an increased rate of hepatotoxicity among the 492 women who started ARV therapy during pregnancy. MVC, efavirenz, and nevirapine were associated with an increased risk of liver enzyme elevation during pregnancy; the adjusted hazard ratio for MVC was 4.19 (1.34-13.1, P=0.01). In a model that used human placental BeWo cells, MVC inhibited transplacental passage of two fluorescent organic cations, suggesting that it might influence placental drug transfer and cause drug-drug interactions.

### **Excerpt from Table 8**

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations <sup>a</sup>	Use in Pregnancy
Maraviroc	MVC (Selzentry)	Standard Adult Dose:	Moderate placental transfer to fetus. <sup>b</sup>
(MVC) Selzentry	Tablets: • 150 mg • 300 mg	MVC 300 mg twice daily with or without food	to letus."
		MVC should only be used for patients with CCR5-tropic virus (and no X4-tropic virus).	No evidence of teratogenicity in rats or rabbits; insufficient
		Dose Adjustments:	data to assess for
		• Increase to MVC 600 mg twice daily when used with the potent CYP3A inducers EFV, ETR, and rifampin.	teratogenicity in humans.
		Decrease to MVC 150 mg twice daily when used with CYP3A inhibitors, which includes all PIs except TPV/r and itraconazole.	
		Pregnancy	
		PKs in Pregnancy:	
		A PK study in human pregnancy demonstrated a 20% to 30% overall decrease in MVC AUC, but C <sub>trough</sub> exceeded the recommended minimum concentration of 50 ng/mL.	
		Dosing in Pregnancy:	
		Adjusting the standard adult MVC dose for concomitant use with ARV drugs seems appropriate.	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the <u>Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 10</u>).

High: >0.6 Moderate: 0.3–0.6 Low: <0.3

**Key:** ARV = antiretroviral; AUC = area under the curve; CYP = cytochrome P; EFV = efavirenz; ETR = etravirine; MVC = maraviroc; PI = protease inhibitor; PK = pharmacokinetic; TPV/r = tipranavir/ritonavir

- 1. Maraviroc [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2018. Available at: <a href="https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda">https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda</a> docs/label/2018/022128s018,208984s001lbl.pdf.
- 2. Winters MA, Van Rompay KK, Kashuba AD, Shulman NS, Holodniy M. Maternal-fetal pharmacokinetics and dynamics of a single intrapartum dose of maraviroc in rhesus macaques. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2010;54(10):4059-4063. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20696881">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20696881</a>.
- 3. Colbers A, Best B, Schalkwijk S, et al. Maraviroc pharmacokinetics in HIV-1-infected pregnant women. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2015;61(10):1582-1589. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26202768.
- 4. Tittle V, Bull L, Boffito M, Nwokolo N. Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic drug interactions between antiretrovirals and oral contraceptives. *Clin Pharmacokinet*. 2015;54(1):23-34. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25331712">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25331712</a>.
- 5. Vinot C, Gavard L, Treluyer JM, et al. Placental transfer of maraviroc in an ex vivo human cotyledon perfusion model

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

- and influence of ABC transporter expression. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2013;57(3):1415-1420. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23295922">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23295922</a>.
- 6. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry international interim report for 1 January 1989–31 January 2019. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2019. Available at: <a href="http://www.apregistry.com/">http://www.apregistry.com/</a>.
- 7. Floridia M, Mastroiacovo P, Tamburrini E, et al. Birth defects in a national cohort of pregnant women with HIV infection in Italy, 2001-2011. *BJOG*. 2013;120(12):1466-1475. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23721372">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23721372</a>.
- 8. Huntington S, Thorne C, Anderson J, et al. Does pregnancy increase the risk of ART-induced hepatotoxicity among HIV-positive women? *J Int AIDS Soc.* 2014;17(4 Suppl 3):19486. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25393995">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25393995</a>.
- 9. Nabekura T, Kawasaki T, Kamiya Y, Uwai Y. Effects of antiviral drugs on organic anion transport in human placental BeWo cells. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2015;59(12):7666-7670. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26416870">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26416870</a>.

# Bictegravir (BIC)

# (Last updated December 24, 2019; last reviewed December 24, 2019)

#### **Animal Studies**

Carcinogenicity

Bictegravir (BIC) has not been shown to be genotoxic or mutagenic in vitro.<sup>1</sup>

Reproduction/Fertility

BIC did not affect fertility, reproductive performance, or embryonic viability in male and female rats at exposures (based on area under the curve [AUC]) that were 29 times higher than those seen in humans who received the recommended dose.<sup>1</sup>

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

No adverse embryo-fetal effects were observed in rats and rabbits at BIC exposures (based on AUC) of up to approximately 36 times (in rats) and 0.6 times (in rabbits) the exposures seen in humans who received the recommended dose. Spontaneous abortion, increased clinical signs (e.g., fecal changes, thin body, cold-to-touch), and decreased body weight were observed in rabbits at a maternally toxic dose (i.e., 1,000 mg/kg per day, which produced an exposure approximately 1.4 times higher than the exposure observed in humans who received the recommended dose).<sup>1</sup>

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

No data are available on placental passage of BIC. In a pre- and postnatal development study conducted in rats, BIC was detected in the plasma of nursing rat pups on postnatal Day 10, likely due to the presence of BIC in milk.<sup>1</sup>

# **Human Studies in Pregnancy**

**Pharmacokinetics** 

No pharmacokinetic studies of BIC in pregnant women have been reported.

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

No data are available on placental or breast milk passage of BIC in humans.

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

There are currently no data on the risk of birth defects in infants born to women who received BIC during pregnancy.

## **Excerpt from Table 8**

**Note:** When using FDC tablets, refer to other sections in Appendix B and Table 8 for information about the dosing and safety of individual drug components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations <sup>a</sup>	Use in Pregnancy
Bictegravir/ Emtricitabine/ Tenofovir Alafenamide (BIC/FTC/TAF) Biktarvy Note: BIC is only available as part of an FDC tablet.	BIC/FTC/TAF (Biktarvy):  • BIC 50 mg/FTC 200 mg/TAF 25 mg tablet	Standard Adult Dose: One tablet once daily with or without food  Pregnancy PKs in Pregnancy: No PK studies in human pregnancy.  Dosing in Pregnancy: Insufficient data to make dosing recommendations.  For guidance about use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., FTC, TAF).	No data are available on placental transfer of BIC.  Insufficient data to assess for teratogenicity in humans. No evidence of teratogenicity in rats or rabbits.  BIC can be taken with food at the same time as any preparation containing iron or calcium, including prenatal vitamins, but should not be administered within 2 hours of these preparations when taken on an empty stomach. BIC can be taken at least 2 hours before or 6 hours after antacids containing aluminum or magnesium.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the <u>Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 10</u>).

**Key:** ARV = antiretroviral; BIC = bictegravir; FDC = fixed-dose combination; FTC = emtricitabine; PK = pharmacokinetic; TAF = tenofovir alafenamide

## **References**

1. Bicitegravir/emtricitabine/tenofovir alafendamide (Biktarvy) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2019. Available at: <a href="https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda">https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda</a> docs/label/2019/210251s006lbl.pdf.

# Dolutegravir (Tivicay, DTG)

## (Last updated December 12, 2019; last reviewed December 12, 2019)

#### **Animal Studies**

Carcinogenicity

Dolutegravir (DTG) has not been shown to be genotoxic or mutagenic *in vitro*. No carcinogenicity was detected in 2-year, long-term studies in mice at DTG exposures that were up to 14-fold higher than the exposures achieved in humans with systemic exposure to the recommended dose. In addition, no carcinogenicity was detected in rats at DTG exposures up to 10-fold higher in males and 15-fold higher in females than the exposures seen in humans who received the recommended dose.<sup>1</sup>

# Reproduction/Fertility

DTG did not affect fertility in male and female rats and rabbits at doses that produced exposures (based on area under the curve [AUC]) that were approximately 27-fold higher than that achieved in humans who received the recommended dose.<sup>1</sup>

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

Studies of DTG in rats and rabbits have shown no evidence of developmental toxicity, teratogenicity, or effects on reproductive function.<sup>1</sup>

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Studies in rats have demonstrated that DTG crosses the placenta and is excreted into breast milk.<sup>1</sup>

## **Human Studies in Pregnancy**

#### **Pharmacokinetics**

DTG pharmacokinetics (PK) in human pregnancy have been reported in three studies and a series of case reports.  $^{2-8}$  In a safety and PK study of 29 pregnant women in the United States, DTG plasma concentrations were lower during pregnancy than postpartum, with DTG AUC reduced by 21% during pregnancy. Although trough concentrations were reduced by 34% during the third trimester compared to postpartum, trough concentrations during pregnancy were well above 0.064  $\mu$ g/mL, the 90% effective concentration for DTG. DTG was well tolerated by these pregnant women. During the third trimester, HIV-1 RNA was below 50 copies/mL in 27 of 29 participants, and no infants acquired HIV.

In contrast, PK sampling during pregnancy and the early postpartum period of 17 African women who were receiving DTG showed a small reduction in DTG C<sub>max</sub> and no differences in C<sub>24h</sub> and AUC<sub>0-24h</sub> when geometric mean ratios in pregnancy were compared to the postpartum period. However, postpartum sampling was performed at a median of 10 days postpartum, when maternal physiology had likely not yet returned to the nonpregnant state.<sup>8</sup> In a smaller study of five European pregnant women, DTG was well tolerated and the reduction in plasma exposures during pregnancy was similar to that observed in the U.S. study described above.<sup>6</sup> In the case reports, DTG was used safely and effectively in individual pregnant women and plasma exposures were adequate.<sup>2-5</sup>

## Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Placental transfer of DTG in an *ex vivo* perfusion model was high, with a mean fetal-to-maternal concentration ratio of 0.6.9 In two *in vivo* PK studies, the median DTG cord blood-to-maternal-plasma concentration ratios were 1.21 and 1.25.<sup>7,8</sup> High placental transfer of DTG has also been reported in several of the case reports.<sup>2,4,5</sup> In 17 breastfeeding mothers, the median ratio of DTG in breast milk to maternal plasma was 0.03. Their infants had a median maximum DTG concentration of 66.7 ng/mL (range 21–654 ng/mL) and a median minimum concentration of 60.9 ng/mL (range 16.3–479 ng/mL) at a median age of 10 days (range 7–18 days). The geometric mean ratio of infant plasma to maternal plasma DTG concentrations in these 17 mother-infant pairs was 0.03.<sup>8</sup>

### Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

Among live births that have been reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry as of January 31, 2019, the overall birth defect rate for infants with first-trimester exposure to DTG is 3.6% (11 infants out of 302 live births). There has been one neural tube defect (NTD) among the 248 infants with periconception exposure to DTG that have been reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry. In the U.S. PK study in pregnant women discussed above, birth abnormalities were reported in seven of 29 infants: three with normal variants; one with total anomalous pulmonary venous return (DTG was initiated at 16 weeks gestation); one with a polycystic right kidney (DTG was initiated at 11 weeks gestation); one with an isolated left renal cyst (DTG was initiated at 12 weeks gestation); and one with jitteriness and chin tremors (DTG was initiated at 28 weeks gestation). DTG was initiated at 28 weeks gestation or later in the PK study in African women discussed above, and no congenital anomalies were observed among 28 live births. In two reviews of clinical experience with pregnant women who received DTG, birth defects were noted in four infants born to 81 European women, in two infants born to 66 women from the United States, and in no infants born to 116 women from Botswana who received DTG during the first trimester. II-13

In July 2019, a report from a National Institutes of Health-funded surveillance study of birth outcomes among pregnant women in Botswana who were receiving antiretroviral therapy found that DTG exposure at the time of conception was associated with a slightly higher rate of NTDs than other types of antiretroviral drug exposure (0.3% vs. 0.1%). Unlike in the United States, there is no folate fortification of food in Botswana, and it is unknown how folate levels may affect the possible association between periconceptual DTG exposure and NTDs. The Panel on Treatment of Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission recommends the use of DTG as part of a *Preferred* regimen in all pregnant women at any gestational age and as part of an *Alternative* regimen in women who are trying to conceive. Decisions about DTG use should be made after discussing the risks and benefits of using DTG with the patient. This discussion should include the potential risk of NTDs, as well as the benefits of the DTG-containing regimen and the risks and benefits of alternative regimens (see Appendix D: Dolutegravir Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers). For additional information, please contact the National Perinatal HIV Hotline (1-888-448-8765) and see Updated Guidance About the Use of Dolutegravir in Pregnancy in Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy and Teratogenicity.

## **Excerpt from Table 8**

**Note:** When using FDC tablets, refer to other sections in Appendix B and Table 8 for information about the dosing and safety of individual drug components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations <sup>a</sup>	Use in Pregnancy
Dolutegravir (DTG) Tivicay  (DTG/3TC) Dovato  (DTG/RPV) Juluca  (DTG/ABC/3TC) Triumeq	DTG (Tivicay): DTG 50 mg tablet  DTG/3TC (Dovato): DTG 50 mg/3TC 300 mg tablet  DTG/RPV (Juluca): DTG 50 mg/RPV 25 mg tablet  DTG/ABC/3TC (Triumeq): DTG 50 mg/ABC 600 mg/3TC 300 mg tablet	Standard Adult Doses In ARV-Naive or ARV-Experienced (but INSTI-Naive) Patients DTG (Tivicay): • One tablet once daily, without regard to food DTG/3TC (Dovato): • One tablet once daily, without regard to food DTG/RPV (Juluca): • One tablet once daily with food DTG/ABC/3TC (Triumeq): • One tablet once daily, without regard to food In ARV-Naive or ARV-Experienced (but INSTI-Naive) Patients Who Are Also Receiving EFV, FPVIr, TPVIr, or Rifampin DTG (Tivicay): • One tablet twice daily, without regard to food In INSTI-Experienced Patients DTG (Tivicay): • One tablet twice daily, without regard to food Pregnancy PKs in Pregnancy: • AUC may be decreased during the third trimester compared with postpartum, but exposures during pregnancy are well above those needed to inhibit viral replication. Dosing in Pregnancy: • No change in dose indicated. • For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., ABC, 3TC, RPV).	High placental transfer to fetus. <sup>b</sup> No evidence of teratogenicity in rats or rabbits. In pregnancy surveillance data from Botswana, there was a slightly increased risk of NTDs in infants born to women who initiated DTG prior to pregnancy and who were receiving it at the time of conception.  DTG may be used as part of a Preferred regimen in all pregnant women at all gestational ages and as part of an Alternative regimen in women who are trying to conceive. Clinicians should discuss the risks and benefits of DTG use with the patient. For more information, see Updated Guidance About the Use of Dolutegravir in Pregnancy in Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy.  To maximize DTG absorption, doses should not be administered within 2 hours of ingesting any preparation that contains minerals such as iron or calcium, including prenatal vitamins.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the <u>Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 10</u>).

**High:** >0.6 **Moderate:** 0.3–0.6 **Low:** <0.3

**Key:** 3TC = lamivudine; ABC = abacavir; ARV = antiretroviral; AUC = area under the curve; DTG = dolutegravir; EFV = efavirenz; FDC = fixed-dose combination; FPV/r = fosamprenavir/ritonavir; INSTI = integrase strand transfer inhibitor; NTD = neural tube defect; PK = pharmacokinetic; RPV = rilpivirine; TPV/r = tipranavir/ritonavir

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

- 1. Dolutegravir [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2019. Available at: <a href="https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2019/204790s024lbl.pdf">https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2019/204790s024lbl.pdf</a>.
- 2. Pain JB, Le MP, Caseris M, et al. Pharmacokinetics of dolutegravir in a premature neonate after HIV treatment intensification during pregnancy. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2015;59(6):3660-3662. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25845873">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25845873</a>.
- 3. Pinnetti C, Tintoni M, Ammassari A, et al. Successful prevention of HIV mother-to-child transmission with dolutegravir-based combination antiretroviral therapy in a vertically infected pregnant woman with multiclass highly drug-resistant HIV-1. *AIDS*. 2015;29(18):2534-2537. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26372490.
- 4. Lewis JM, Railton E, Riordan A, Khoo S, Chaponda M. Early experience of dolutegravir pharmacokinetics in pregnancy: high maternal levels and significant foetal exposure with twice-daily dosing. *AIDS*. 2016;30(8):1313-1315. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27128333">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27128333</a>.
- 5. Schalkwijk S, Feiterna-Sperling C, Weizsacker K, et al. Substantially lowered dolutegravir exposure in a treatment-experienced perinatally HIV-1-infected pregnant woman. *AIDS*. 2016;30(12):1999-2001. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27428578">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27428578</a>.
- 6. Bollen P, Colbers A, Schalkwijk S, et al. A comparison of the pharmacokinetics of dolutegravir during pregnancy and postpartum. Presented at: 18th International Workshop on Clinical Pharmacology of Antiviral Therapy. 2017. Chicago, IL.
- 7. Mulligan N, Best BM, Wang J, et al. Dolutegravir pharmacokinetics in pregnant and postpartum women living with HIV. *AIDS*. 2018;32(6):729-737. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29369162.
- 8. Waitt C, Orrell C, Walimbwa S, et al. Safety and pharmacokinetics of dolutegravir in pregnant mothers with HIV infection and their neonates: A randomised trial (DolPHIN-1 study). *PLoS Med.* 2019;16(9):e1002895. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31539371">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31539371</a>.
- 9. Schalkwijk S, Greupink R, Colbers AP, et al. Placental transfer of the HIV integrase inhibitor dolutegravir in an *ex vivo* human cotyledon perfusion model. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2016;71(2):480-483. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26538508">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26538508</a>.
- Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry international interim report for 1 January 1989–31 January 2019. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2019. Available at: <a href="http://www.apregistry.com">http://www.apregistry.com</a>.
- 11. Thorne C, Favarato G, Peters H, et al. Pregnancy and neonatal outcomes following prenatal exposure to dolutegravir. Presented at: International AIDS Society Conference. 2017. Paris, France.
- 12. Zash R, Jacobson DL, Diseko M, et al. Comparative safety of dolutegravir-based or efavirenz-based antiretroviral treatment started during pregnancy in Botswana: an observational study. *Lancet Glob Health*. 2018;6(7):e804-e810. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29880310">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29880310</a>.
- 13. Grayhack C, Sheth A, Kirby O, et al. Evaluating outcomes of mother-infant pairs using dolutegravir for HIV treatment during pregnancy. *AIDS*. 2018;32(14):2017-2021. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29944472">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29944472</a>.
- 14. Zash R, Holmes L, Diseko M, et al. Neural-tube defects and antiretroviral treatment regimens in Botswana. *N Engl J Med*. 2019;381(9):827-840. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31329379">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31329379</a>.

# Elvitegravir (EVG)

## (Last updated December 24, 2019; last reviewed December 24, 2019)

#### **Animal Studies**

Carcinogenicity

In long-term studies, no carcinogenicity was detected at exposures that were 14-fold higher (in mice and rats) and 27-fold higher (in rats) than those achieved in humans during systemic exposure to the recommended dose.<sup>1</sup>

## Reproduction/Fertility

Elvitegravir (EVG) did not affect fertility in male and female rats at approximately 16-fold and 30-fold higher exposures than those seen in humans who received standard doses. Fertility was normal in the offspring of these rats <sup>1</sup>

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

Studies have shown no evidence of teratogenicity and no effect on reproductive function in rats and rabbits receiving EVG.<sup>1</sup>

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

No data are available on the placental transfer of EVG in nonhuman primates. Studies in rats have demonstrated that EVG is secreted in breast milk.<sup>1</sup>

### **Human Studies in Pregnancy**

#### Pharmacokinetics

Pharmacokinetic (PK) and safety data from 30 pregnant women with HIV who received a fixed-dose combination (FDC) of EVG, cobicistat (COBI), emtricitabine, and tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) have been published. EVG exposure (based on area under the curve [AUC]) was 24% lower during the second trimester and 44% lower during the third trimester than during the postpartum period. EVG trough concentration (C<sub>24h</sub>) was 81% lower during the second trimester and 89% lower during the third trimester than during the postpartum period. COBI AUC was 54% to 57% lower and C<sub>24h</sub> was 72% to 76% lower during the second and third trimesters than during the postpartum period. EVG AUC failed to reach the exposure target of 23 mcg·hr/mL (the 10th percentile for nonpregnant adults) in 50% of women during the second trimester and 55% of women during the third trimester; 12% of women reached the exposure target during the postpartum period. Plasma HIV RNA at delivery was <50 copies/mL in 19 of 25 women (76%) for whom data were available.² In a smaller study that evaluated the PK of EVG administered with COBI in seven pregnant women, EVG AUC was reduced by 33% and C<sub>trough</sub> was reduced by 65% during the third trimester compared with postpartum. One of the seven women had detectable plasma HIV RNA at delivery.³

Two case reports of EVG and COBI PKs, safety, and efficacy in individual pregnant women found similar reductions in EVG and COBI exposure during pregnancy, although viral loads in both women remained undetectable throughout pregnancy.<sup>4,5</sup> One case report described unbound EVG concentrations and found that the unbound fraction was 0.3% during pregnancy and 0.5% at 6 months postpartum. Reductions in both total EVG concentration and unbound EVG concentration increase the risk of suboptimal exposure.<sup>5</sup>

Because studies have reported reduced EVG exposure when pregnant women receive FDC tablets that contain EVG and COBI, the prescribing information for these products has been changed to say that these formulations are not recommended for use in pregnancy and should not be initiated in pregnancy; an alternative regimen is recommended for individuals who become pregnant while receiving these formulations. <sup>1,6</sup> If these formulations are used in pregnancy, in order to maximize absorption, they should be administered with a meal and should not be administered within 2 hours of intake of preparations containing minerals such as iron or calcium, including prenatal vitamins.<sup>6</sup>

### Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Placental passage of EVG has been evaluated in three studies. The largest study of EVG PKs and safety observed that EVG crossed the placenta well, with a median cord-to-maternal-plasma ratio of 0.91. Median EVG elimination half-life in neonates was 7.6 hours, similar to that in nonpregnant adults. COBI concentrations were low in cord blood and were not detected in the plasma of any neonates.<sup>2</sup> Similar results were seen in the two smaller studies of women from the United States and Europe and in several case reports.<sup>4,5</sup> No data are available on the human breast milk transfer of EVG.

## Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

In the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to EVG in humans have been monitored to be able to detect a two-fold increased risk of overall birth defects. No such increase in the risk of birth defects has been observed in infants who were exposed to EVG. Among cases of first-trimester EVG exposure, the prevalence of birth defects was 2.50% (six of 240 births; 95% confidence interval, 0.92% to 5.36%), compared with a 2.72% total prevalence in the U.S. population, based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance. In the largest PK and safety study of EVG in pregnancy, which included data on 26 live-born infants, congenital anomalies were reported in two infants: one infant with amniotic band syndrome, microcephaly, and intrauterine growth restriction and one infant with ulnar postaxial polydactyly (supernumerary digit). In a retrospective report of 137 infants in the United States who were born to mothers who received EVG during pregnancy, there were two birth defects noted: one case of hydronephrosis and one case of encephalocele. There were also two cases of intrauterine fetal demise among the 134 pregnancies inclded in this report.

### **Excerpt from Table 8**

**Note:** When using FDC tablets, refer to other sections in Appendix B and Table 8 for information about the dosing and safety of individual drug components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations <sup>a</sup>	Use in Pregnancy
Elvitegravir (EVG)  Note: As of October 2017, the single- drug formulation of EVG (Vitekta) is no longer available. (EVG/c/FTC/TAF) Genvoya (EVG/c/FTC/TDF) Stribild	EVG/c/FTC/TAF (Genvoya): • EVG 150 mg/COBI 150 mg/FTC 200 mg/ TAF 10 mg tablet  EVG/c/FTC/TDF (Stribild): • EVG 150 mg/COBI 150 mg/FTC 200 mg/ TDF 300 mg tablet	Standard Adult Dose  Genvoya and Stribild:  One tablet once daily with food  Pregnancy  PKs in Pregnancy:  PK studies in women who received EVG/c demonstrated significant reduction in EVG plasma exposure during pregnancy.  Dosing in Pregnancy:  EVG plasma concentrations are reduced with use of standard adult doses during pregnancy; however, higher-than-standard doses of EVG have not been studied. Insufficient data are available to recommend a dose for use in pregnancy.  For guidance about use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., COBI, FTC, TAF).	Evidence of high placental transfer of EVG and low transfer of COBI. <sup>b</sup> Insufficient data to assess for teratogenicity in humans. No evidence of teratogenicity in rats or rabbits.  EVG/c is not recommended for use in pregnancy. For women who become pregnant while taking EVG/c, consider switching to a more effective, recommended regimen. If a woman continues taking a regimen that contains EVG/c, doses should be administered with a meal and should not be administered within 2 hours of ingesting any preparation that contains minerals such as iron or calcium, including prenatal vitamins.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the <u>Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 10</u>).

High: >0.6 Moderate: 0.3–0.6 Low: <0.3

**Key:** ARV = antiretroviral; COBI = cobicistat; EVG = elvitegravir; EVG/c = elvitegravir/cobicistat; FDC = fixed-dose combination; FTC = emtricitabine; PK = pharmacokinetic; TAF = tenofovir alafenamide; TDF = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate

Recommendations for the Use of Antiretroviral Drugs in Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Interventions to Reduce Perinatal HIV Transmission in the United States

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

- 1. Elvitegravir/cobicistat/emtricitabine/tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (Stribild) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2019. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2019/203100s034lbl.pdf.
- 2. Momper J, Best BM, Wang J, et al. Elvitegravir/cobicistat pharmacokinetics in pregnant and postpartum women with HIV. *AIDS*. 2018;32(17):2507-2516. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30134297">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30134297</a>.
- 3. Colbers A, Schalkwijk S, Konopnicki D, Rockstroh J, Burger D. Elvitegravir pharmacokinetics during pregnancy and postpartum. Abstract 17. Presented at:19th International Workshop on Clinical Pharmacology of Antiviral Therapy. 2018. Baltimore, MD. Available at: <a href="http://www.natap.org/2018/Pharm/Pharm">http://www.natap.org/2018/Pharm/Pharm</a> 11.htm.
- 4. Schalkwijk S, Colbers A, Konopnicki D, et al. First reported use of elvitegravir and cobicistat during pregnancy. *AIDS*. 2016;30(5):807-808. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26913711">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26913711</a>.
- 5. Marzolini C, Decosterd L, Winterfeld U, et al. Free and total plasma concentrations of elvitegravir/cobicistat during pregnancy and postpartum: a case report. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2017;83(12):2835-2838. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28512794">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28512794</a>.
- 6. Elvitegravir/cobicistat/emtricitabine/tenofovir alafenamide (Genvoya) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2019. Available at: <a href="https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2019/207561s023lbl.pdf">https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2019/207561s023lbl.pdf</a>.
- 7. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry international interim report for 1 January 1989–31 January 2019. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2019. Available at: <a href="http://www.apregistry.com">http://www.apregistry.com</a>.
- 8. Badell ML, Sheth AN, Momplaisir F, et al. A multicenter analysis of elvitegravir use during pregnancy on HIV viral suppression and perinatal outcomes. *Open Forum Infect Dis*. 2019;6(4):ofz129. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31037241">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31037241</a>.

# Raltegravir (Isentress, RAL)

### (Last updated January 17, 2020; last reviewed January 17, 2020)

#### **Animal Studies**

## Carcinogenicity

Raltegravir (RAL) was neither mutagenic nor clastogenic in a series of *in vitro* and animal *in vivo* screening tests. Long-term carcinogenicity studies of RAL in mice did not show any carcinogenic potential at systemic exposures that were 1.8-fold (in females) or 1.2-fold (in males) greater than human exposure at the recommended dose. Treatment-related squamous cell carcinoma of the nose/nasopharynx was observed in female rats dosed with RAL 600 mg/kg per day for 104 weeks. This dose produced exposures that were three-fold higher than exposures seen in humans who received the recommended adult dose. These tumors were possibly the result of local irritation and inflammation due to local deposition and/or aspiration of drug in the mucosa of the nose/nasopharynx during dosing. No tumors of the nose/nasopharynx were observed in rats with systemic exposures that were 1.7-fold (in males) or 1.4-fold (in females) greater than the exposure observed in humans who received the recommended dose of RAL.<sup>1</sup>

### Reproduction/Fertility

RAL had no adverse effects on the fertility of male or female rats at doses up to 600 mg/kg per day, which produced exposures that were up to three-fold higher than the exposures seen in humans who received the recommended adult dose.

## Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

No treatment-related effects on embryonic/fetal survival or fetal weights were observed in studies where RAL was administered to rats and rabbits at doses that produced systemic exposures approximately three-fold to four-fold higher than the exposures seen in humans who received the recommended daily dose. In rabbits, no treatment-related external, visceral, or skeletal changes were observed. However, treatment-related increases in the incidence of supernumerary ribs were seen in rats given RAL 600 mg/kg per day (which produced exposures that were three-fold higher than the exposure seen in humans who received the recommended daily dose).<sup>1</sup>

#### Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Placental transfer of RAL was demonstrated in both rats and rabbits. In pregnant rats given a dose of RAL 600 mg/kg per day, mean fetal blood concentrations were approximately 1.5-fold to 2.5-fold higher than the concentrations in maternal plasma at 1 hour and 24 hours post-dose, respectively. However, in rabbits, the mean drug concentration in fetal plasma was approximately 2% of the mean maternal plasma concentration at both 1 hour and 24 hours after a maternal dose of 1,000 mg/kg per day.<sup>1</sup>

RAL is secreted in the milk of lactating rats. At a maternal dose of RAL 600 mg/kg per day, the mean drug concentration in milk was about three-fold higher than the mean drug concentration in maternal plasma. No effects in rat offspring were attributable to RAL exposure through breast milk.<sup>1</sup>

### **Human Studies in Pregnancy**

#### **Pharmacokinetics**

RAL pharmacokinetics (PKs) were evaluated in 42 pregnant women in the IMPAACT P1026s study. RAL PKs in pregnant women showed extensive variability that was similar to the variability seen in nonpregnant women. Median RAL area under the curve (AUC) was reduced by approximately 50% during pregnancy. No significant difference was seen between third-trimester trough concentrations and postpartum trough concentrations. Plasma HIV RNA levels were <400 copies/mL in 92% of women at delivery. Given the high rates of virologic suppression and the lack of a clear relationship between RAL concentration and virologic effect in nonpregnant adults, no change in dosing was recommended during pregnancy.<sup>2</sup> In a study of 22 women with paired third-trimester and postpartum data from the PANNA Network, the geometric mean ratios of third trimester/ postpartum values were 0.71 for AUC<sub>0-12h</sub> (range 0.53–0.96), 0.82 for C<sub>max</sub> (range 0.55–1.253), and 0.64 for C<sub>12h</sub> (range 0.34–1.22). One patient was below the target C<sub>12h</sub> in the third trimester, and no patients were below the threshold postpartum. No change in dosing during pregnancy was recommended based on these data.<sup>3</sup>

In a single-center, observational study of pregnant women who were started on RAL as part of intensification of an antiretroviral (ARV) regimen or as part of a triple-ARV regimen, the RAL C<sub>12h</sub> in the second and third trimester were similar to historical data in a nonpregnant population, and the cord blood-to-maternal-plasma RAL concentration ratio was 1.03.<sup>4</sup>

In the P1097 study of washout PKs in 21 neonates born to women who received RAL during pregnancy, RAL elimination was highly variable and extremely prolonged in some infants (median t<sub>1/2</sub> 26.6 hours; range 9.3–184 hours).<sup>3</sup> In a case report of an infant born at 30 weeks gestation after the mother had received three doses of RAL, the cord blood level of RAL was 145 ng/mL; the level at 2 days of age was 106 ng/mL, and at 1 month of age the level was 29 ng/mL, still above the IC<sub>95</sub> of 15 ng/mL.<sup>5</sup> In a report on 14 infants who were exposed to RAL *in utero*, the infants experienced no adverse effects and RAL levels were within therapeutic range.<sup>6</sup>

Caution is advised when RAL is coadministered with atazanavir, a uridine diphosphate glucuronosyltransferase 1A1 inhibitor, because this combination results in elevated levels of RAL according to the results of a study in nonpregnant adult women with no medical conditions.<sup>7</sup>

### Placental and Breast Milk Passage

An *ex vivo* study of term placentas from normal pregnancies reported high bidirectional transfer of RAL across the placenta.<sup>8</sup>

*In vivo* human studies have confirmed that RAL readily crosses the placenta. In the IMPAACT P1026s study, the ratio of cord blood to maternal plasma RAL concentrations was 1.5.<sup>2</sup> In the P1097 study, the median ratio of cord blood to maternal delivery plasma RAL concentrations was 1.48 (with a range of 0.32–4.33), and in the PANNA study it was 1.21.<sup>3,9</sup> Other case reports have shown cord blood-to-maternal-blood drug level ratios of 1.00 to 1.06.<sup>10-12</sup> In three cases of preterm delivery at 29 to 33 weeks gestation (in two of these cases, RAL was added to the maternal ARV regimen shortly before anticipated preterm delivery), cord blood-to-maternal-plasma ratios ranged from 0.44 to 1.88.<sup>13</sup>

Whether RAL is secreted in human breast milk is unknown.

## Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

As of January 31, 2019, nine cases of birth defects have been reported among the 327 infants with first-trimester exposure to RAL that are included in the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry. The prevalence of birth defects among infants who were exposed to RAL was 2.75% (95% confidence interval [CI], 1.27–5.16), compared with a 2.8% total prevalence in the U.S. population, based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance.<sup>14,15</sup>

In a retrospective study of 497 women in the French Perinatal Cohort who received RAL during pregnancy, there were similar rates of birth defects among infants born to women who were on RAL during the first trimester and those born to women who initiated RAL in the second or third trimester (5.7% vs. 3.5%, P = 0.29). No specific pattern of birth defects emerged during the study. Merck reviewed data on 456 periconception exposures to RAL and found no instances of neural tube defects; this review included data from the Merck company database, the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, and the U.K./Ireland and French pregnancy cohorts. In

#### Safety

IMPAACT P1081 randomized 408 antiretroviral therapy-naive women in South America, Africa, Thailand, and the United States who presented late in pregnancy to receive RAL plus two nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs) or efavirenz plus two NRTIs. Both regimens were well tolerated, with similar rates of stillbirth and preterm birth among women and similar rates of serious adverse events among women and infants.<sup>17</sup>

In the P1026s study and the PANNA study, RAL was well tolerated, with no treatment-related serious adverse events observed in pregnant women. All infants had reached a gestational age of ≥36 weeks at delivery. In multiple case reports and case series that involved four, five, and 14 pregnant women who were treated with RAL in combination with two or three other ARV drugs due to persistent viremia or late presentation, RAL was well tolerated and led to rapid reduction in HIV RNA levels. 18-24

However, in one case report, 10-fold to 23-fold increases in maternal liver transaminase levels were reported after initiation of RAL. Resolution occurred when RAL was discontinued.<sup>25</sup> Drug levels were not measured.

One case of drug reaction has been reported in a postpartum woman with eosinophilia and systemic symptoms syndrome with extensive pulmonary involvement. The drug reaction resolved with discontinuation of RAL. Such reactions have been reported in nonpregnant adults who were receiving RAL, and these reactions should be taken into consideration when making a differential diagnosis of fever in women on RAL during pregnancy or the postpartum period.<sup>26</sup> In a study of 155 nonpregnant adults with HIV (mean age 49.2 years) who initiated RAL-containing therapy, skeletal muscle toxicity occurred in 23.9% of participants and isolated creatine kinase (CK) elevation was reported in 21.3% of participants. These instances of CK elevation were Grade 1 or 2 and self-limiting. Fewer than 3% of patients complained of myalgia or muscle weakness. Skeletal muscle toxicity and CK elevation were significantly associated with prior use of zidovudine, higher baseline CK levels, and a higher body mass index.<sup>27</sup>

Because RAL is highly protein bound to albumin, there is concern about displacement of bilirubin from albumin in the neonate, which could potentially increase the risk of neonatal hyperbilirubinemia. In an *in vitro* study, RAL had minimal effect on bilirubin-albumin binding at concentrations of 5  $\mu$ M and 10  $\mu$ M, caused a small but statistically significant increase in unbound bilirubin at 100  $\mu$ M, and caused potentially harmful increases at 500  $\mu$ M and 1,000  $\mu$ M. These data suggest that the effect of RAL on neonatal bilirubin binding is unlikely to be clinically significant at the typical peak concentrations that are reached in adults who receive the recommended dose (adult concentrations with standard RAL doses had a geometric mean  $C_{max}$  of 4.5  $\mu$ M, a median  $C_{max}$  of 6.5  $\mu$ M, and a maximum observed  $C_{max}$  of 10.2  $\mu$ M). In the P1097 study, one of 19 infants (5.3%) received phototherapy for treatment of hyperbilirubinemia, but this was judged to be unrelated to maternal RAL use. In a retrospective study of 31 pregnant women who received a standard dose of RAL as part of a standard ARV regimen or as part of an intensification regimen late in pregnancy (at a median gestational age of 34 weeks), mild elevation of transaminase levels was reported in 35% of neonates. On the property of the results of the property of the p

# Excerpt from Table 8

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations <sup>a</sup>	Use in Pregnancy
,	RAL (Isentress) Film-Coated Tablets: • 400 mg Chewable Tablets: • 25 mg • 100 mg RAL (Isentress HD) Film-Coated Tablets: • 600 mg	Standard Adult Doses In Patients Who Are Not Receiving Rifampin:  RAL 400-mg, film-coated tablets twice daily without regard to food  Two RAL 600-mg, film-coated tablets (1,200 mg) once daily without regard to food for ARV-naive patients or patients who are already virologically suppressed on an initial regimen of RAL 400 mg twice daily  Chewable tablets and oral suspension doses are not interchangeable with either film-coated tablets or each other.  In Patients Who Are Receiving Rifampin:  Two RAL 400-mg, film-coated tablets (800 mg) twice daily without regard to food  Pregnancy  PKs in Pregnancy:  Decreased drug concentrations in third trimester are not of sufficient magnitude to warrant a change in dosing.  Dosing in Pregnancy:  No change in dose is indicated.	High placental transfer to fetus. <sup>b</sup> No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects).  There is a case report of markedly elevated liver transaminases with RAL use in late pregnancy. Severe, potentially life-threatening, and fatal skin and HSRs have been reported in nonpregnant adults.  RAL chewable tablets contain phenylalanine.  To maximize RAL absorption, doses should not be administered within 2 hours of ingestion of any preparation
		Once-daily dosing (i.e., two RAL 600-mg, film-coated tablets)     should not be used in pregnant women until more information is available.	containing minerals such as iron or calcium, including prenatal vitamins.

### **Excerpt from Table 8**

**High:** >0.6 **Moderate:** 0.3–0.6 **Low:** <0.3

**Key:** ARV = antiretroviral; HD = high dose; HSR = hypersensitivity reaction; PK = pharmacokinetic; RAL = raltegravir

- 1. Raltegravir insert [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2018. Available at: <a href="https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda">https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda</a> docs/label/2018/022145s038,205786s007,0203045s015lbl.pdf.
- 2. Watts DH, Stek A, Best BM, et al. Raltegravir pharmacokinetics during pregnancy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2014;67(4):375-381. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25162818">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25162818</a>.
- 3. Blonk M, Colbers A, Hidalgo-Tenorio C, et al. Raltegravir in HIV-1 infected pregnant women: pharmacokinetics, safety and efficacy. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2015. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25944344">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25944344</a>.
- 4. Belissa E, Benchikh A, Charpentier C, et al. Raltegravir plasma concentrations on HIV-1 infected pregnant women. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2015. Seattle, WA.
- 5. Clavel-Osorio C, Cazassus F, Stegmann S, Huc-Anais P, Lecam D, Peytavin G. One-month transplacental pharmacokinetics of raltegravir in a premature newborn after short-course treatment of the HIV-1-infected mother. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2013;57(12):6393-6394. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24080650">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24080650</a>.
- 6. Trahan MJ, Lamarre V, Metras ME, Lapointe N, Kakkar F. Raltegravir for the prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV. Presented at: International AIDS Society Conference. 2015. Vancouver, CA.
- 7. Krishna R, East L, Larson P, et al. Atazanavir increases the plasma concentrations of 1200 mg raltegravir dose. *Biopharm Drug Dispos.* 2016;37(9):533-541. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27696440">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27696440</a>.
- 8. Vinot C, Treluyer JM, Giraud C, Gavard L, Peytavin G, Mandelbrot L. Bidirectional transfer of raltegravir in an ex vivo human cotyledon perfusion model. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2016;60(5):3112-3114. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26833154">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26833154</a>.
- 9. Clarke DF, Acosta EP, Rizk ML, et al. Raltegravir pharmacokinetics in neonates following maternal dosing. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2014;67(3):310-315. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25162819.
- 10. Pinnetti C, Baroncelli S, Villani P, et al. Rapid HIV-RNA decline following addition of raltegravir and tenofovir to ongoing highly active antiretroviral therapy in a woman presenting with high-level HIV viraemia at week 38 of pregnancy. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2010;65(9):2050-2052. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20630894">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20630894</a>.
- 11. Croci L, Trezzi M, Allegri MP, et al. Pharmacokinetic and safety of raltegravir in pregnancy. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol*. 2012. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22382989">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22382989</a>.
- 12. McKeown DA, Rosenvinge M, Donaghy S, et al. High neonatal concentrations of raltegravir following transplacental transfer in HIV-1 positive pregnant women. *AIDS*. 2010;24(15):2416-2418. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20827058">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20827058</a>.
- 13. Hegazi A, Mc Keown D, Doerholt K, Donaghy S, Sadiq ST, Hay P. Raltegravir in the prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1: effective transplacental transfer and delayed plasma clearance observed in preterm neonates. *AIDS*. 2012;26(18):2421-2423. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23151500">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23151500</a>.
- 14. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry international interim report for 1 January 1989–31 January 2019. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2019. Available at: <a href="http://www.apregistry.com">http://www.apregistry.com</a>.
- 15. Sibiude J, Warszawski J, Blanchard S, et al. Evaluation of the risk of birth defects among children exposed to raltegravir in utero in the ANRS-French perinatal cohort EPF. Presented at: International AIDS Society. 2017. Paris, France.
- 16. Shamsuddin H, Raudenbush CL, Sciba BL, et al. Evaluation of neural tube defects (NTDs) after exposure to raltegravir during pregnancy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2019;81(3):247-250. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30908331">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30908331</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the <u>Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 10</u>).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

- 17. Mirochnick M, Shapiro D, Morrison L, et al. Randomized trial of raltegravir-art vs efavirenz-art when initiated during pregnancy. Abstract 39. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2019. Seattle, Washington Available at: <a href="http://www.croiconference.org/sessions/randomized-trial-raltegravir-art-vs-efavirenz-art-when-initiated-during-pregnancy">http://www.croiconference.org/sessions/randomized-trial-raltegravir-art-vs-efavirenz-art-when-initiated-during-pregnancy</a>.
- 18. Taylor N, Touzeau V, Geit M, et al. Raltegravir in pregnancy: a case series presentation. *Int J STD AIDS*. 2011;22(6):358-360. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21680678">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21680678</a>.
- 19. Cha A, Shaikh R, Williams S, Berkowitz LL. Rapid reduction in HIV viral load in late pregnancy with raltegravir: a case report. *J Int Assoc Provid AIDS Care*. 2013;12(5):312-314. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23695227">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23695227</a>.
- 20. De Hoffer L, Di Biagio A, Bruzzone B, et al. Use of raltegravir in a late presenter HIV-1 woman in advanced gestational age: case report and literature review. *J Chemother*. 2013;25(3):181-183. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23783144">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23783144</a>.
- 21. Westling K, Pettersson K, Kaldma A, Naver L. Rapid decline in HIV viral load when introducing raltegravir-containing antiretroviral treatment late in pregnancy. *AIDS Patient Care STDS*. 2012;26(12):714-717. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23101466">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23101466</a>.
- 22. Nobrega I, Travassos AG, Haguihara T, Amorim F, Brites C. Short communication: use of raltegravir in late-presenting HIV-infected pregnant women. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses*. 2013;29(11):1451-1454. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23731224">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23731224</a>.
- 23. Adeyemo A, Wood C, Govind A. Achieving rapid reduction of HIV-1 viral load in HIV-positive pregnant women close to term an obstetric/medical emergency: a review of three cases. *Int J STD AIDS*. 2013;24(7):591-592. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23970779">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23970779</a>.
- 24. Maliakkal A, Walmsley S, Tseng A. Critical review: review of the efficacy, safety, and pharmacokinetics of raltegravir in pregnancy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2016;72(2):153-161. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27183177">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27183177</a>.
- 25. Renet S, Closon A, Brochet MS, Bussieres JF, Boucher M. Increase in transaminase levels following the use of raltegravir in a woman with a high HIV viral load at 35 weeks of pregnancy. *J Obstet Gynaecol Can.* 2013;35(1):68-72. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23343800.
- 26. Yee BE, Nguyen NH, Lee D. Extensive pulmonary involvement with raltegravir-induced DRESS syndrome in a postpartum woman with HIV. *BMJ Case Rep.* 2014;2014. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24798353">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24798353</a>.
- 27. Calza L, Danese I, Colangeli V, et al. Skeletal muscle toxicity in HIV-1-infected patients treated with a raltegravir-containing antiretroviral therapy: a cohort study. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses*. 2014;30(12):1162-1169. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25369244">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25369244</a>.
- 28. Clarke DF, Wong RJ, Wenning L, Stephenson DK, Mirochnick M. Raltegravir *in vitro* effect on bilirubin binding. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2013. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23470680">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23470680</a>.
- 29. Cecchini DM, Martinez MG, Morganti LM, Rodriguez CG. Antiretroviral therapy containing raltegravir to prevent mother-to-child transmission of HIV in infected pregnant women. *Infect Dis Rep.* 2017;9(2):7017. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28663779">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28663779</a>.

# Cobicistat (Tybost, COBI)

## (Last updated December 24, 2019, last reviewed December 24, 2019)

#### **Animal Studies**

Carcinogenicity

No increases in tumor incidence were seen in male and female mice at cobicistat (COBI) exposures that were seven and 16 times the exposure observed in humans who received the recommended dose. In rats, an increased incidence of follicular cell adenomas and/or carcinomas in the thyroid gland was observed at doses up to twice the typical human exposure. The follicular cell findings are considered rat-specific and not relevant to humans.<sup>1</sup>

Reproduction/Fertility

COBI did not affect fertility in male or female rats.<sup>1</sup>

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

Studies in pregnant rats and rabbits have shown no evidence of teratogenicity, even with rat COBI exposures that were 1.4 times higher than the recommended human exposure and rabbit COBI exposures that were 3.3 times higher than the recommended human exposure.<sup>1</sup>

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

No information is available on placental passage of COBI. Studies in rats have shown that COBI is secreted in breast milk.<sup>2</sup>

# **Human Studies in Pregnancy**

**Pharmacokinetics** 

COBI pharmacokinetics (PKs) have been described in pregnant and postpartum women who were taking concomitant elvitegravir (EVG), atazanavir (ATV), and darunavir (DRV). In a study of 30 pregnant women who were receiving elvitegravir/cobicistat (EVG/c), the area under the curve (AUC) for COBI was 44% lower in the second trimester and 59% lower in the third trimester than during the postpartum period. Trough COBI concentrations (24 hours post-dose) were 60% lower in the second trimester and 76% lower in the third trimester than during the postpartum period. Trough COBI concentrations were below the assay quantitation limit (<10 ng/mL) in 65% of women during the second trimester, 73% of women during the third trimester, and 24% of postpartum women. Two other studies have described decreases of similar magnitudes in COBI exposures when COBI is coadministered with DRV in pregnant women.<sup>3,4</sup> In one of these abstracts, COBI AUC was decreased by 63% in the second trimester and 49% in the third trimester compared to AUC postpartum. Trough COBI concentrations decreased by 83% in both the second and third trimesters.

The pharmaco-enhancing effect of COBI on EVG was impacted during pregnancy; EVG AUC was reduced by 44% and trough concentrations were reduced by 89% in the third trimester when compared to postpartum AUC and trough concentrations. EVG apparent oral clearance during pregnancy and postpartum was negatively associated with COBI AUC.<sup>5</sup>

The pharmaco-enhancing effect of COBI on ATV and DRV was also impacted during pregnancy. For DRV, AUC based on total DRV concentrations was 56% (in the second trimester) and 50% (in the third trimester) lower than AUC postpartum, and AUC based on unbound concentrations was 45% and 40% lower, respectively. The effect on DRV trough concentrations was more pronounced, with both total and unbound concentrations showing essentially identical decreases of 92% (in the second trimester) and 88% to 89% (in the third trimester) compared to postpartum. One of six women in this study experienced virologic failure during the third trimester, and virologic failure continued through the postpartum period. For ATV, trough ATV concentrations were 80% and 85% lower in the second and third trimesters compared to historical ATV trough concentrations in nonpregnant adults with HIV. Because of these substantial reductions in drug

exposures during pregnancy, use of COBI-boosted EVG, ATV, or DRV <u>is not recommended</u> for patients starting or changing regimens during pregnancy.<sup>7-9</sup>

A study reported in a conference abstract evaluated tenofovir alafenamide (TAF) exposure in pregnant women when TAF was administered as a daily 10-mg dose with COBI 150 mg. There were no differences between TAF exposure during pregnancy and TAF exposure in the same women postpartum. The authors concluded that no dose adjustment is needed during pregnancy for TAF when it is coadministered with COBI. However, TAF 10 mg with COBI is only available in fixed-dose combination products that also include either DRV or EVG, which are not recommended for use during pregnancy.

## Placental and Breast Milk Passage

A study in 10 pregnant women who received EVG/c found a median ratio of cord blood to maternal plasma COBI concentrations of 0.09. This study also found measurable concentrations of COBI in placental tissue and cord blood peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC), with a cord-blood-to-maternal-PBMC ratio of 0.49. In another study, seven pregnant women who received EVG/c had quantifiable plasma COBI concentrations at delivery. The median cord blood-to-maternal-plasma ratio for COBI concentration was 0.09. In 27 neonates born to mothers who were receiving EVG/c, COBI was below the assay quantitation limit of 10 ng/mL in all washout PK samples taken between 2 hours and 9 days post-delivery. No data are available on breast milk passage of COBI in humans.

# Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

Among cases of first-trimester exposure to COBI that have been reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, the prevalence of birth defects was 2.98% (nine of 302 births; 95% confidence interval, 1.37% to 5.58%). The total prevalence rate for the U.S. population is 2.7%, based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance.<sup>2</sup>

## **Excerpt from Table 8**

**Note:** When using FDC tablets, refer to other sections in Appendix B and Table 8 for information about the dosing and safety of individual drug components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations <sup>a</sup>	Use in Pregnancy
(Abbreviation)	COBI (Tybost) Tablet: COBI 150 mg  ATV/c (Evotaz): ATV 300 mg/COBI 50 mg tablet  EVG/c/FTC/TAF (Genvoya): EVG 150 mg/COBI 150 mg/FTC 200 mg/TAF 10 mg tablet  DRV/c (Prezcobix): DRV 800 mg/COBI 150 mg tablet  EVG/c/FTC/TDF (Stribild): EVG 150 mg/COBI 150 mg/FTC 200 mg/TDF 300 mg tablet	Standard Adult Doses  COBI (Tybost):  • When used as an alternative PK booster with ATV or DRV, the dose is one tablet once daily with food  ATV/c (Evotaz):  • One tablet once daily with food  EVG/c/FTC/TAF (Genvoya):  • One tablet once daily with food  DRV/c (Prezcobix):  • One tablet once daily with food  EVG/c/FTC/TDF (Stribild):  • One tablet once daily with food  PRV/c/FTC/TAF (Symtuza):  • One tablet once daily with food  Pregnancy  PKs in Pregnancy:	Low placental transfer to fetus. <sup>b</sup> No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out two-fold increase in overall birth defects).  Use of COBI-boosted ATV, DRV, or EVG is not recommended in pregnancy.
	DRV/c/FTC/TAF (Symtuza):  • DRV 800 mg/COBI 150 mg/FTC 200 mg/TAF 10 mg tablet	<ul> <li>Based on limited data, COBI exposure and its pharmaco-enhancing effect on ATV, DRV, and EVG are markedly reduced in pregnancy.</li> <li>When coadministered with COBI, TAF exposure is not significantly different between pregnancy and the postpartum period.</li> <li>Dosing in Pregnancy:</li> <li>While COBI exposure is markedly reduced during pregnancy, higher-than-standard doses have not been studied. The Panel recommends RTV as the preferred pharmaco-enhancer for PIs and INSTIs during pregnancy until more data are available on COBI activity during pregnancy.</li> <li>For guidance about the use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific sections on other components (i.e., FTC, TAF, TDF, ATV, DRV, EVG).</li> </ul>	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the <u>Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 10</u>).

High: >0.6 Moderate: 0.3–0.6 Low: <0.3

**Key:** ARV = antiretroviral; ATV/c = atazanavir/cobicistat; COBI = cobicistat; DRV/c = darunavir/cobicistat; EVG/c = elvitegravir/cobicistat; FDC = fixed-dose combination; FTC = emtricitabine; INSTIs = integrase strand transfer inhibitors; PIs = protease inhibitors; PK = pharmacokinetic; RTV = ritonavir; TAF = tenofovir alafenamide; TDF = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

- 1. Cobicistat [package insert].Food and Drug Administration. 2018. Available at: <a href="https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2018/203094s011lbl.pdf">https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2018/203094s011lbl.pdf</a>.
- Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry international interim report for 1 January 1989–31 January 2019. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2019. Available at: <a href="http://www.apregistry.com">http://www.apregistry.com</a>.
- 3. Momper J, Best B, Wang J, et al. Pharmacokinetics of darunavir boosted with cobicistat during pregnancy and postpartum. Presented at: International AIDS Conference. 2018. Amsterdam, Netherlands. Available at: <a href="https://impaactnetwork.org/DocFiles/AIDS2018/P1026s-DRV\_Momper\_AIDS2018\_poster.pdf">https://impaactnetwork.org/DocFiles/AIDS2018/P1026s-DRV\_Momper\_AIDS2018\_poster.pdf</a>.
- 4. Crauwels HM, Osiyemi O, Zorrilla C, Bicer C, Brown K. Reduced exposure to darunavir and cobicistat in HIV-1-infected pregnant women receiving a darunavir/cobicistat-based regimen. *HIV Med.* 2019;20(5):337-343. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30873741">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30873741</a>.
- 5. Momper J, Best BM, Wang J, et al. Elvitegravir/cobicistat pharmacokinetics in pregnant and postpartum women with HIV. *AIDS*. 2018;32(17):2507-2516. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30134297">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30134297</a>.
- 6. Momper J, Stek A, Wang J, et al. Pharmacokinetics of atazanavir boosted with cobicistat during pregnancy and postpartum. Presented at: Workshop on Clinical Pharmacology of HIV, Hepatitis, and other Antiviral Drugs. 2019. Noordwijk, Netherlands.
- 7. Darunavir/cobicistat (Prezcobix) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2018. Available at: <a href="https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2018/205395s009lbl.pdf">https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2018/205395s009lbl.pdf</a>.
- 8. Elvitegravir/cobicistat/emtricitabine/tenofovir alafenamide (Genvoya) [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2019. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2019/207561s023lbl.pdf.
- 9. Boyd SD, Sampson MR, Viswanathan P, Struble KA, Arya V, Sherwat AI. Cobicistat-containing antiretroviral regimens are not recommended during pregnancy: viewpoint. *AIDS*. 2019;33(6):1089-1093. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30946163">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30946163</a>.
- 10. Momper J, Best B, Wang J, et al. Tenofovir alafenamide pharmacokinetics with and without cobicistat in pregnancy. Presented at: 22nd International AIDS Conference. 2018. Amsterdam, Netherlands.
- 11. Rimawi BH, Johnson E, Rajakumar A, et al. Pharmacokinetics and placental transfer of elvitegravir and dolutegravir, and other antiretrovirals during pregnancy. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2017;61(6): e02213-16. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28348149">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28348149</a>.

# Ritonavir (Norvir, RTV)

# (Last updated December 24, 2019; last reviewed December 24, 2019)

#### **Animal Studies**

#### Carcinogenicity

Ritonavir (RTV) was neither mutagenic nor clastogenic in a series of *in vitro* and animal *in vivo* screening tests. In male mice, a dose-dependent increase in adenomas of the liver and combined adenomas and carcinomas of the liver was observed at RTV doses of 50 mg/kg per day, 100 mg/kg per day, or 200 mg/kg per day; exposure (based on area under the curve) in male mice at the highest dose was approximately 0.3-fold the exposure observed in male humans who received the recommended therapeutic dose. No carcinogenic effects were observed in female mice at exposures that were 0.6-fold the exposures observed in women who received the recommended therapeutic dose. No carcinogenic effects were observed in rats at exposures up to 6% of the recommended therapeutic human exposure.<sup>1</sup>

## Reproduction/Fertility

RTV has had no observed effect on reproductive performance or fertility in rats at drug exposures that were 40% (in males) and 60% (in females) of the exposures achieved with human therapeutic dosing; higher doses were not feasible because of hepatic toxicity in the rodents.<sup>1</sup>

### Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

No RTV-related teratogenicity has been observed in rats or rabbits. Developmental toxicity, including early resorptions, decreased body weight, ossification delays, and developmental variations (e.g., wavy ribs, enlarged fontanelles) was observed in rats; however, these effects occurred only at maternally toxic dosages (with exposures equivalent to 30% of human therapeutic exposures). In addition, a slight increase in cryptorchidism was noted in rats at exposures equivalent to 22% of human therapeutic exposures. In rabbits, developmental toxicity (i.e., resorptions, decreased litter size, and decreased fetal weight) was also observed only at maternally toxic doses (1.8 times human therapeutic exposure based on body surface area).<sup>1</sup>

### Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Transplacental passage of RTV has been observed in rats with fetal tissue-to-maternal-serum ratios >1.0 at 24 hours post-dose in mid-gestation and late-gestation fetuses.

#### **Human Studies in Pregnancy**

#### Pharmacokinetics

RTV concentrations were lower during pregnancy than during the postpartum period when RTV was administered to pregnant women with HIV at doses sufficient for HIV suppression (500 mg or 600 mg twice daily) in combination with zidovudine and lamivudine.<sup>2</sup> RTV concentrations are also reduced during pregnancy compared to postpartum when the drug is used at a low dose (100 mg) to boost the concentrations of other protease inhibitors.<sup>3,4</sup>

## Placental and Breast Milk Passage

In a human placental perfusion model, the clearance index of RTV was very low, with little accumulation in the fetal compartment and no accumulation in placental tissue.<sup>5</sup> In a Phase 1 study of pregnant women and their infants (PACTG 354), transplacental passage of RTV was minimal, with an average cord blood to maternal plasma concentration ratio of 5.3%.<sup>2</sup> In a study of cord blood samples from six women who were treated with RTV during pregnancy, the cord blood concentration was less than the assay limit of detection in five of the women and was only  $0.38~\mu g/mL$  in the remaining woman.<sup>6</sup> In contrast, in a study of hair and plasma RTV concentrations in 51 mother-infant pairs after lopinavir/ritonavir was administered to the mothers during pregnancy and postpartum, hair and plasma concentrations over time suggested moderate *in utero* transfer of lopinavir but negligible transfer via breastfeeding.<sup>7</sup>

#### Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

In the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to RTV have been Recommendations for the Use of Antiretroviral Drugs in Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Interventions to Reduce Perinatal HIV Transmission in the United States

G-107

monitored to be able to detect at least a 1.5-fold increase in the risk of overall birth defects and a two-fold increase in the risk of cardiovascular and genitourinary defects (the most common classes of birth defects in the general population). No such increase in birth defects has been observed with RTV. Among the cases of first-trimester RTV exposure that have been reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, the prevalence of birth defects was 2.2% (73 of 3,245 births; 95% confidence interval, 1.8% to 2.8%) compared with a total prevalence of 2.7% in the U.S. population, based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance.

## **Excerpt from Table 8**

**Note:** When using FDC tablets, refer to other sections in Appendix B and Table 8 for information about the dosing and safety of individual drug components of the FDC tablet during pregnancy.

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations <sup>a</sup>	Use in Pregnancy
Ritonavir (RTV) Norvir (LPV/r) Kaletra	RTV (Norvir) Capsules: RTV 100 mg Tablets: RTV 100 mg Oral Solution: RTV 80 mg/mL Powder: RTV 100 mg/sachet LPV/r (Kaletra) Tablets: LPV/r 200 mg/50 mg LPV/r 100 mg/25 mg Oral Solution: Each 5 mL contains LPV/r 400 mg/100 mg	Standard Adult Dose of RTV (Norvir) When Used as PK Booster for Other PIs:  RTV 100–400 mg per day in one or two divided doses (refer to other PI sections for specific dosing recommendations)  Tablet:  Take with food  Capsule or Oral Solution:  To improve tolerability, take with food if possible  Standard Adult Doses of LPV/r (Kaletra):  LPV/r 400 mg/100 mg twice daily, or  LPV/r 800 mg/200 mg once daily  Tablets:  Take without regard to food.  Oral Solution:  Take with food.  With EFV or NVP in PI-Naive or PI-Experienced Patients:  LPV/r 500 mg/125 mg tablets twice daily without regard to meals (use a combination of two LPV/r 500 mg/50 mg tablets and one LPV/r 100 mg/25 mg tablet), or  LPV/r 520 mg/130 mg oral solution (6.5 mL) twice daily with food  Pregnancy  PKs in Pregnancy:  Lower RTV levels are seen during pregnancy than during postpartum, which may reduce the pharmaco-enhancing effect of RTV in pregnancy.  RTV Dosing in Pregnancy:  No dose adjustment necessary when RTV is used as booster.  LPV/r Dosing in Pregnancy:  Once-daily dosing is not recommended during pregnancy.  Some experts recommend that an increased dose (i.e., LPV/r 600 mg/150 mg twice daily without regard to meals or LPV/r 500 mg/125 mg twice daily without regard to meals) should be used in the second and third trimesters, especially in PI-experienced pregnant women and women who start treatment during pregnancy with a baseline viral load >50 copies/mL.  When standard dosing is used, monitor virologic response and, if possible, LPV drug levels. For guidance about use of combination products in pregnancy, please see the specific	Low placental transfer to fetus. b  No evidence of increased risk of human teratogenicity (can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects).  RTV should only be used as low-dose booster for other Pls.  RTV oral solution contains 43% alcohol and therefore is not recommended for use during pregnancy, because there is no known safe level of alcohol exposure during pregnancy. LPV/r oral solution contains 42% alcohol and 15% propylene glycol and is not recommended for use in pregnancy.  Once-daily LPV/r dosing is not recommended during pregnancy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Individual ARV drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the <u>Adult and Adolescent Antiretroviral Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 10</u>).

High: >0.6 Moderate: 0.3–0.6 Low: <0.3

Key: ARV = antiretroviral; EFV = efavirenz; LPV/r = lopinavir/ritonavir; NVP = nevirapine; PI = protease inhibitor; PK = pharmacokinetic; RTV = ritonavir

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

- 1. Ritonavir [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2019. Available at: <a href="https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda">https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda</a> docs/label/2019/020659s070,022417s022,209512s005lbl.pdf.
- 2. Scott GB, Rodman JH, Scott WA, et al. Pharmacokinetic and virologic response to ritonavir (RTV) in combination with zidovudine (ZDV) and lamivudine (3TC) in HIV-10-infected pregnant women and their infants. Presented at: 9th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2002. Seattle, WA.
- 3. Best BM, Stek AM, Mirochnick M, et al. Lopinavir tablet pharmacokinetics with an increased dose during pregnancy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2010;54(4):381-388. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20632458">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20632458</a>.
- 4. Mirochnick M, Best BM, Stek AM, et al. Atazanavir pharmacokinetics with and without tenofovir during pregnancy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2011;56(5):412-419. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21283017">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21283017</a>.
- 5. Casey BM, Bawdon RE. Placental transfer of ritonavir with zidovudine in the ex vivo placental perfusion model. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 1998;179(3 Pt 1):758-761. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9757985">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9757985</a>.
- 6. Mirochnick M, Dorenbaum A, Holland D, et al. Concentrations of protease inhibitors in cord blood after *in utero* exposure. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2002;21(9):835-838. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12352805">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12352805</a>.
- 7. Gandhi M, Mwesigwa J, Aweeka F, et al. Hair and plasma data show that lopinavir, ritonavir, and efavirenz all transfer from mother to infant in utero, but only efavirenz transfers via breastfeeding. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2013;63(5):578-584. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24135775">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24135775</a>.
- 8. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry international interim report for 1 January 1989–31 January 2019. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2019. Available at: <a href="http://www.apregistry.com">http://www.apregistry.com</a>.

# **Archived Drugs**

## **Overview**

The Archived Drugs section provides access to the last updated versions of drug sections that are no longer being reviewed by the Panel on Treatment of Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission (the Panel). Archived Drugs includes older antiretroviral drugs that are no longer available in the United States or that the Panel does not recommend for use in pregnant women. These drugs may have unacceptable toxicities, inferior virologic efficacy, or a high pill burden, or there may be pharmacologic concerns or a limited amount of data on the use of these drugs in pregnant women.

**Amprenavir** 

Delavirdine

**Didanosine** 

Enfuvirtide

**Fosamprenavir** 

**Indinavir** 

Nelfinavir

Saquinavir

Stavudine

**Tipranavir** 

Zalcitabine

# Amprenavir (Agenerase)

Last Updated: November 7, 2007; Last Reviewed: November 7, 2007

Amprenavir is classified as FDA pregnancy category C and is no longer available in the United States.

#### **Animal Studies**

Carcinogenicity

*In vitro* screening tests for carcinogenicity have been negative. An increase in benign hepatocellular adenomas and hepatocellular carcinomas was observed in male mice and rats at the highest doses evaluated, which produced systemic exposures in mice 2-fold and in rats 4-fold higher than systemic exposure in humans receiving therapeutic doses of amprenavir. Female mice and rats were not affected.

## Reproduction/Fertility

No effect has been seen on reproductive performance, fertility, or embryo survival in rats at exposures about twice those of human therapeutic exposure.

## Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

In pregnant rabbits, administration of amprenavir resulting in systemic exposures about one-twentieth of that observed with human therapeutic exposure was associated with abortions and an increased incidence of minor skeletal variations resulting from deficient ossification of the femur, humerus trochlea, and humerus. In rat fetuses, thymic elongation and incomplete ossification of bones were also attributed to amprenavir at systemic exposures about one-half that associated with the recommended human dose. Reduced body weights of approximately 10% - 20% were observed in offspring of rodents administered amprenavir from Day 7 of gestation to Day 22 of lactation (exposures approximately twice that observed with the human therapeutic dose). However, the subsequent development of the offspring, including fertility and reproductive performance, was not affected by maternal administration of amprenavir.

#### Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Whether amprenavir crosses the placenta is unknown. Amprenavir is excreted in the milk of lactating rats; it is not known if it is excreted in human milk.

#### **Human Studies in Pregnancy**

There have been limited studies of amprenavir in pregnant women and no studies in neonates. Amprenavir oral solution contains high levels of excipient propylene glycol in the oral solution vehicle; this is not true for the capsular formulation. Propylene glycol is metabolized by the alcohol and aldehyde dehydrogenase enzyme pathway. Some patients, including infants and children below the age of 4 years, pregnant women, patients with hepatic or renal failure, and patients treated with disulfiram or metronidazole, are not able to adequately metabolize and eliminate propylene glycol, thereby leading to its accumulation and potential adverse events. Thus, while the capsule formulation of amprenavir may be used in pregnancy, amprenavir oral solution is contraindicated in pregnant women and infants and in children under the age of 4 years.

# Delavirdine (Rescriptor)

Last Updated: November 7, 2007; Last Reviewed: November 7, 2007

Delayirdine is classified as FDA pregnancy category C and is no longer available in the United States.

#### **Animal Studies**

Carcinogenicity

*In vitro* screening tests for carcinogenicity have been negative. In rats, delavirdine was noncarcinogenic at all doses studied. In mice, delavirdine was associated with an increase in hepatocellular adenoma and carcinoma in both males and females and urinary bladder tumors in males at systemic exposures 0.5- to 3-fold higher than human exposure at therapeutic doses for female mice and at exposures 0.2- to 4-fold higher in male mice.

Reproduction/Fertility

Delavirdine does not impair fertility in rodents.

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

Delavirdine is teratogenic in rats; doses of 50 to 200 mg/kg/day during organogenesis caused ventricular septal defects.

Exposure of rats to doses approximately 5 times human therapeutic exposure resulted in marked maternal toxicity, embryotoxicity, fetal developmental delay, and reduced pup survival.

Abortions, embryotoxicity, and maternal toxicity were observed in rabbits at doses approximately 6 times human therapeutic exposure.

Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Whether delavirdine crosses the placenta is unknown. Delavirdine is excreted in the milk of lactating rats; however, it is unknown if the drug is excreted in human breast milk.

## **Human Studies in Pregnancy**

Delavirdine has not been evaluated in HIV-infected pregnant women. In premarketing clinical studies, the outcomes of seven unplanned pregnancies were reported: three resulted in ectopic pregnancies, three resulted in healthy live births, and one infant was born prematurely with a small muscular ventricular septal defect to a patient who received approximately 6 weeks of treatment with delavirdine and zidovudine early in the course of pregnancy.

# Didanosine (Videx, ddI)

### (Last updated December 7, 2018; last reviewed December 7, 2018)

Didanosine is classified as Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Pregnancy Category B.<sup>1</sup>

Didanosine is not recommended for use in pregnant women with HIV due to its toxicity.

#### **Animal Studies**

## Carcinogenicity

Didanosine is both mutagenic and clastogenic in several *in vitro* and *in vivo* assays. Long-term animal carcinogenicity screening studies of 0.7 times to 1.7 times human exposure in mice and 3 times human exposure in rats have been negative.<sup>1</sup>

## Reproduction/Fertility

At approximately 12 times the estimated human exposure, didanosine was slightly toxic to female rats and their pups during mid and late lactation. These rats showed reduced food intake and body weight gains; however, the physical and functional development of the offspring was not impaired and there were no major changes in the F2 generation.

# Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

No evidence of teratogenicity or toxicity was observed in pregnant rats and rabbits with exposures of didanosine that were 12 times and 14 times, respectively, the exposures seen in humans.

### Placental and Breast Milk Passage

A study in rats showed that didanosine and/or its metabolites are transferred to the fetus through the placenta.

## **Human Studies in Pregnancy**

## Pharmacokinetics

A Phase 1 study (PACTG 249) of didanosine was conducted in 14 pregnant women with HIV who were enrolled at gestational age 26 to 36 weeks and treated through 6 weeks postpartum.<sup>2</sup> The drug was well tolerated during pregnancy by the women and the fetuses. Pharmacokinetic (PK) parameters after oral administration were not significantly affected by pregnancy, and dose modification from the usual adult dosage is not needed.

### Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Placental transfer of didanosine was low-moderate in a Phase 1/2 safety and PK study.<sup>2</sup> This was confirmed in a study of 100 pregnant women with HIV who were receiving nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs), generally as part of a two- or three-drug combination antiretroviral (ARV) regimen. At the time of delivery, cord-to-maternal-blood ratio for didanosine (n = 10) was 0.38 (range 0.0–2.0). In 15 of 24 samples (62%), cord blood concentrations for didanosine were below the limits of detection.<sup>3</sup>

It is not known whether didanosine is excreted in human breast milk.

### Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

The French Perinatal Cohort reported that head and neck birth defects were associated with first-trimester exposure to didanosine (0.5%, adjusted odds ratio [aOR] 3.4, 95% CI, 1.1–10.4, P = 0.04).<sup>4</sup> Though the PHACS/SMARTT cohort found no association between any individual NRTIs and birth defects, after adjusting for birth cohort and other factors, didanosine administered in combination with stavudine was associated with an overall increase in congenital abnormalities;<sup>5</sup> it should be noted that the combination of didanosine and stavudine **should not be used** in pregnant women with HIV (or anyone with HIV) because of a higher risk of toxicity. Among 897 births to women with HIV in a Spanish cohort, there was no significant difference between the rate of birth defects after first-trimester exposure and the rate of birth defects after second- and third-trimester exposure (odds ratio [OR] 0.61, 95% CI, 0.16–2.27).<sup>6</sup> In the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, sufficient

numbers of first-trimester exposures to didanosine in humans have been monitored to be able to detect at least a 2-fold increase in the risk of overall birth defects.<sup>7</sup> Among cases of first-trimester didanosine exposure reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, prevalence of birth defects was 4.68% (20 of 427 births; 95% CI, 2.88% to 7.14%) compared with 2.72% in the U.S. population, based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance.<sup>7</sup> All defects were reviewed in detail by the Registry, and no pattern of defects was discovered. The rate and types of defects will continue to be closely monitored.

#### Safety

Lactic acidosis, fatal in some cases, has been described in pregnant women receiving the combination of didanosine and stavudine along with other ARV agents;<sup>8-10</sup> the FDA and Bristol-Myers Squibb have issued a warning to health care professionals that pregnant women may be at increased risk of fatal lactic acidosis when prescribed didanosine and stavudine in combination.

The PHACS/SMARTT cohort found that after adjusting for birth cohort and other factors, didanosine administered in combination with stavudine was associated with the occurrence of neurodevelopmental disability. However, there was no increase in the likelihood of adverse events in the following domains with didanosine alone: metabolic, growth and development, cardiac, neurological, neurodevelopmental, behavior, language, and hearing. As noted above, the combination of didanosine and stavudine should not be used in pregnant women with HIV (or anyone with HIV) because of a high risk of toxicity.

In a multivariate analysis of the association between *in utero* ARV exposure and risk of cancer in HIV-exposed, uninfected infants, the French Perinatal Study reported a 5.5-fold (95% CI, 2.1–14.4) increase in cancer incidence with first-trimester didanosine exposure.<sup>13</sup>

## Excerpt from Table 8<sup>a</sup>

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations	Use in Pregnancy
Didanosine (ddl) Videx Videx EC	ddl (Videx) Buffered Tablets (Non-EC): • No longer available Solution: • 10 mg/mL oral solution Videx EC (EC Beadlets) Capsules: • 125 mg • 200 mg • 250 mg • 400 mg Generic Delayed-Release Capsules: • 200 mg • 250 mg • 400 mg	Standard Adult Doses  Body Weight ≥60 kg:  • ddl 400 mg once daily  With TDF:  • ddl 250 mg once daily; take 1/2 hour before or 2 hours after a meal.  Body Weight <60 kg:  • ddl 250 mg once daily  With TDF:  • ddl 200 mg once daily; take 1/2 hour before or 2 hours after a meal.  Note: Preferred dosing with oral solution is twice daily (total daily dose divided into 2 doses). Take 1/2 hour before or 2 hours after a meal.  Dosing in Pregnancy:  • No change in dose indicated.  PK in Pregnancy:  • PK is not significantly altered in pregnancy.	ddl <u>is not</u> recommended for pregnant women. Low-moderate placental transfer to fetus. <sup>b</sup> ddl <u>should not be</u> <u>used</u> with d4T. Lactic acidosis, sometimes fatal, has been reported in pregnant women receiving ddl and d4T together.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Individual ARV drug dosages may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the <u>Adult and Adolescent Guidelines</u>. <u>Appendix B, Table 10</u>).

High: >0.6 Moderate: 0.3–0.6 Low: <0.3

**Key to Acronyms:** ARV = antiretroviral; d4T = stavudine; ddI = didanosine; EC = enteric coated; FDC = fixed-dose combination; PK = pharmacokinetic; TDF = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

- 1. Didanosine [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2018. Available at: <a href="https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda">https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda</a> docs/label/2018/021183s028lbl.pdf.
- 2. Wang Y, Livingston E, Patil S, et al. Pharmacokinetics of didanosine in antepartum and postpartum human immunodeficiency virus-infected pregnant women and their neonates: an AIDS clinical trials group study. *J Infect Dis.* 1999;180(5):1536-1541. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=pubmed&dopt=Abstract&list\_uids=10515813">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=pubmed&dopt=Abstract&list\_uids=10515813</a>.
- 3. Chappuy H, Treluyer JM, Jullien V, et al. Maternal-fetal transfer and amniotic fluid accumulation of nucleoside analogue reverse transcriptase inhibitors in human immunodeficiency virus-infected pregnant women. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2004;48(11):4332-4336. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15504861">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15504861</a>.
- 4. Sibiude J, Mandelbrot L, Blanche S, et al. Association between prenatal exposure to antiretroviral therapy and birth defects: an analysis of the French perinatal cohort study (ANRS CO1/CO11). *PLoS Med.* 2014;11(4):e1001635. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24781315.
- 5. Williams PL, Crain M, Yildirim C, et al. Congenital anomalies and *in utero* antiretroviral exposure in HIV-exposed uninfected infants. *JAMA*. 2015. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4286442/">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4286442/</a>.
- 6. Prieto LM, Gonzalez-Tome MI, Munoz E, et al. Birth defects in a cohort of infants born to HIV-infected women in Spain, 2000–2009. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2014;14:700. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25808698">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25808698</a>.
- 7. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry international interim report 1 January 1989–31 January 2018. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2018. Available at: <a href="http://www.apregistry.com/">http://www.apregistry.com/</a>.
- 8. Mandelbrot L, Kermarrec N, Marcollet A, et al. Case report: nucleoside analogue-induced lactic acidosis in the third trimester of pregnancy. *AIDS*. 2003;17(2):272-273. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12545093">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12545093</a>.
- 9. Sarner L, Fakoya A. Acute onset lactic acidosis and pancreatitis in the third trimester of pregnancy in HIV-1 positive women taking antiretroviral medication. *Sex Transm Infect*. 2002;78(1):58-59. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11872862">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11872862</a>.
- 10. Bristol-Myers Squibb Company. Healthcare provider important drug warning letter. 2001. Available at: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/appletter/2002/21183s1ltr.pdf
- 11. Williams PL, Hazra R, Van Dyke RB, et al. Antiretroviral exposure during pregnancy and adverse outcomes in HIV-exposed uninfected infants and children using a trigger-based design. *AIDS*. 2016;30(1):133-144. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26731758">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26731758</a>.
- 12. Van Dyke RB, Chadwick EG, Hazra R, Williams PL, Seage GR 3rd. The PHACS SMARTT study: assessment of the safety of in utero exposure to antiretroviral drugs. *Front Immunol*. 2016;7:199. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27242802">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27242802</a>.
- 13. Hleyhel M, Goujon S, Delteil C, et al. Risk of cancer in children exposed to didanosine *in utero*. *AIDS*. 2016;30(8):1245-1256. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26854809.

# Enfuvirtide (Fuzeon, T-20)

## (Last updated December 7, 2018; last reviewed December 7, 2018)

Enfuvirtide is classified as Food and Drug Administration Pregnancy Category B.

#### **Animal Studies**

### Carcinogenicity

Enfuvirtide was neither mutagenic nor clastogenic in a series of *in vitro* and animal *in vivo* screening tests. Long-term animal carcinogenicity studies of enfuvirtide have not been conducted.

## Reproduction/Fertility

Reproductive toxicity has been evaluated in rats and rabbits. Enfuvirtide produced no adverse effects on the fertility of male or female rats at doses up to 30 mg/kg/day administered SQ (a dose that is 1.6 times the maximum recommended adult human daily dose on a body surface area basis).

# Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

Studies in rats and rabbits have shown no evidence of teratogenicity and no effect on reproductive function with enfuvirtide.<sup>1</sup>

### Placental and Breast Milk Passage

A study in rats revealed no evidence of harm to the fetus when enfuvirtide was administered in doses up to 27 times the adult human daily dose on a body surface area basis. A separate study in rabbits likewise revealed no harm to the fetus from enfuvirtide doses that were up to 3.2 times the adult human daily dose. Studies of radiolabeled enfuvirtide administered to lactating rats indicated radioactivity in the milk; however, it is not known if this reflected radiolabeled enfuvirtide or metabolites (amino acid and peptide fragments) of enfuvirtide.<sup>1</sup>

## **Human Studies in Pregnancy**

#### **Pharmacokinetics**

Data on the use of enfuvirtide during human pregnancy are limited to case reports of a small number of women treated with the drug.<sup>2-9</sup>

## Placental and Breast Milk Passage

*In vitro* and *in vivo* studies suggest that enfuvirtide does not readily cross the human placenta. Minimal placental passage of enfuvirtide was reported in published studies that included a total of eight peripartum patients and their neonates. These findings were supported by data from an *ex vivo* human placental cotyledon perfusion model.<sup>2,5,10-12</sup>

#### Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

In the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry and in a national cohort of pregnant women with HIV infection in Italy, insufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to enfuvirtide in humans have been monitored to be able to make a risk determination. <sup>13,14</sup>

### Excerpt from Table 8<sup>a</sup>

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name.	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations	Use in Pregnancy
Enfuvirtide (T-20) Fuzeon	T-20 (Fuzeon) Injectible:  • Supplied as lyophilized powder. Each vial contains 108 mg of T-20; reconstitute with 1 mL of sterile water for injection for SQ delivery of approximately 90 mg/1 mL.	T-20 is indicated for advanced HIV disease and must be used in combination with other ARV drugs to which the patient's virus is susceptible, as determined by resistance testing.  Standard Adult Dose:  T-20 90 mg (1 mL) twice daily without regard to meals  PK in Pregnancy:  No PK data in human pregnancy.  Dosing in Pregnancy:  Insufficient data to make dosing recommendation.	Minimal to low placental transfer to fetus. <sup>b</sup> No data on human teratogenicity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Individual ARV drug dosages may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the <u>Adult and Adolescent Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 10</u>).

**High:** >0.6 **Moderate:** 0.3–0.6 **Low:** <0.3

Key to Acronyms: ARV = antiretroviral; PK = pharmacokinetic; SQ = subcutaneous; T-20 = enfuvirtide

- 1. Enfuvirtide [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2015. Available at: <a href="http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2015/021481s030lbl.pdf">http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2015/021481s030lbl.pdf</a>.
- 2. Brennan-Benson P, Pakianathan M, Rice P, et al. Enfurvitide prevents vertical transmission of multidrug-resistant HIV-1 in pregnancy but does not cross the placenta. *AIDS*. 2006;20(2):297-299. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16511429.
- 3. Cohan D, Feakins C, Wara D, et al. Perinatal transmission of multidrug-resistant HIV-1 despite viral suppression on an enfuvirtide-based treatment regimen. *AIDS*. 2005;19(9):989-990. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15905684">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15905684</a>.
- 4. Meyohas MC, Lacombe K, Carbonne B, Morand-Joubert L, Girard PM. Enfuvirtide prescription at the end of pregnancy to a multi-treated HIV-infected woman with virological breakthrough. *AIDS*. 2004;18(14):1966-1968. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15353987">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15353987</a>.
- 5. Weizsaecker K, Kurowski M, Hoffmeister B, Schurmann D, Feiterna-Sperling C. Pharmacokinetic profile in late pregnancy and cord blood concentration of tipranavir and enfuvirtide. *Int J STD AIDS*. 2011;22(5):294-295. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21571982">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21571982</a>.
- 6. Furco A, Gosrani B, Nicholas S, et al. Successful use of darunavir, etravirine, enfuvirtide and tenofovir/emtricitabine in pregnant woman with multiclass HIV resistance. *AIDS*. 2009;23(3):434-435. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19188762">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19188762</a>.
- 7. Sued O, Lattner J, Gun A, et al. Use of darunavir and enfuvirtide in a pregnant woman. *Int J STD AIDS*. 2008;19(12):866-867. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19050223">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19050223</a>.
- 8. Madeddu G, Calia GM, Campus ML, et al. Successful prevention of multidrug resistant HIV mother-to-child transmission with enfuvirtide use in late pregnancy. *Int J STD AIDS*. 2008;19(9):644-645. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18725561">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18725561</a>.
- 9. Shust GF, Jao J, Rodriguez-Caprio G, et al. Salvage regimens containing darunavir, etravirine, raltegravir, or enfuvirtide in highly treatment-experienced perinatally infected pregnant women. *J Pediatric Infect Dis Soc.* 2014;3(3):246-250. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25844164.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

- 10. Ceccaldi PF, Ferreira C, Gavard L, Gil S, Peytavin G, Mandelbrot L. Placental transfer of enfuvirtide in the *ex vivo* human placenta perfusion model. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2008;198(4):433 e431-432. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18241815">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18241815</a>.
- 11. Peters PJ, Polle N, Zeh C, et al. Nevirapine-associated hepatotoxicity and rash among HIV-infected pregnant women in Kenya. *J Int Assoc Physicians AIDS Care (Chic)*. 2012;11(2):142-149. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22020069">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22020069</a>.
- 12. Moisan A, Desmoyer A, Bourgeois-Moine A, et al. Placental transfer of antiretroviral drugs in HIV-infected women: a retrospective study from 2002 to 2009. Abstract 1. Presented at: 11th International Workshop on Clinical Pharmacology of HIV Therapy. 2010. Sorrento, Italy.
- 13. Floridia M, Mastroiacovo P, Tamburrini E, et al. Birth defects in a national cohort of pregnant women with HIV infection in Italy, 2001–2011. *BJOG*. 2013;120(12):1466-1475. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23721372">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23721372</a>.
- 14. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry international interim report for 1 January 1989–31 January 2018. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2018. Available at: <a href="http://www.apregistry.com/">http://www.apregistry.com/</a>.

# Fosamprenavir (Lexiva, FPV)

(Last updated December 7, 2018; last reviewed December 7, 2018)

Fosamprenavir is classified as Food and Drug Administration Pregnancy Category C. Fosamprenavir **should not** be used during pregnancy.

#### **Animal Studies**

#### Carcinogenicity

Fosamprenavir and amprenavir were neither mutagenic nor clastogenic in a series of *in vitro* and animal *in vivo* screening tests. Carcinogenicity studies of fosamprenavir showed an increase in the incidence of hepatocellular adenomas and hepatocellular carcinomas at all doses tested in male mice and at the highest dose tested in female mice. In rats, the incidence of hepatocellular adenomas and thyroid follicular cell adenomas increased in males at all doses and in females at the two highest doses. Repeat dose studies in rats produced effects consistent with enzyme activation, which predisposes rats, but not humans, to thyroid neoplasms. In rats there was an increase in the risk of interstitial cell hyperplasia at higher doses and an increase in the risk of uterine endometrial adenocarcinoma at the highest dose tested. The incidence of endometrial findings was slightly increased over concurrent controls but was within background range for female rats. Thus, the relevance of the incidence of uterine endometrial adenocarcinomas is uncertain. Exposures in the carcinogenicity studies were 0.3 to 0.7 times (in mice) and 0.7 to 1.4 times (in rats) those seen in humans given fosamprenavir 1400 mg once daily plus ritonavir 200 mg once daily or 0.1 to 0.3 times (in mice) and 0.3 to 0.6 times (in rats) those seen in humans given fosamprenavir 700 mg plus ritonavir 100 mg twice daily.

## Reproduction/Fertility

No impairment of fertility or mating was seen in rats given doses that produced exposures that were three to four times the exposure seen in humans who were given fosamprenavir alone, or exposures that were similar to those seen in humans who received both fosamprenavir and ritonavir. No effect was seen on the development or maturation of sperm in rats at these doses.

## Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

Administration of fosamprenavir to pregnant rats and rabbits produced no major effects on embryo-fetal development; however, the incidence of abortion was increased in rabbits that were administered fosamprenavir. Administration of amprenavir to pregnant rabbits was associated with abortions and an increased incidence of minor skeletal variations from deficient ossification of the femur, humerus, and trochlea. Administration of fosamprenavir to pregnant rats at doses that produced twice the exposure typically seen in humans was associated with a reduction in pup survival and body weights. Female offspring had an increased time to successful mating, an increased length of gestation, a reduced number of uterine implantation sites per litter, and reduced gestational body weights compared to controls.

## Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Amprenavir is excreted in the milk of lactating rats.

#### **Human Studies in Pregnancy**

#### **Pharmacokinetics**

Data on the use of fosamprenavir in pregnant women are limited. Fosamprenavir pharmacokinetic (PK) data have been reported in 26 women during pregnancy and postpartum. Following standard dosing with fosamprenavir 700 mg and ritonavir 100 mg twice daily, the fosamprenavir area under the curve and 12-hour trough concentration were somewhat lower during pregnancy and higher postpartum, compared to historical data. Fosamprenavir exposure during pregnancy appeared to be adequate for patients without protease inhibitor resistance mutations.<sup>2</sup> For the postpartum period, potential PK interactions with hormonal contraceptives should be taken into account (see <u>Table 3</u> in <u>Preconception Counseling and Care</u>).

### Placental and Breast Milk Passage

In a small study of women who received fosamprenavir during pregnancy, the median amprenavir concentration in cord blood was  $0.27~\mu g/mL$  (with a range of  $0.09-0.60~\mu g/mL$ ), and the median ratio of amprenavir concentration in cord blood to that in maternal plasma at the time of delivery was 0.24 (with a range of 0.06-0.93). A second small study in pregnancy yielded a similar mean ratio of amprenavir concentration in cord blood to that in maternal plasma at the time of delivery of 0.27 (95% confidence interval 0.24, 0.30). Whether amprenavir is excreted in human breast milk is unknown.

## Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

Two birth defects out of 109 live births with first-trimester exposure and two birth defects out of 36 live births with second- or third-trimester exposure have been reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry. These numbers are insufficient to draw conclusions regarding the risk of birth defects.<sup>4</sup>

### Excerpt from Table 8<sup>a</sup>

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations	Use in Pregnancy
Fosamprenavir (FPV) Lexiva (a prodrug of amprenavir)  Note: Must be combined with low-dose RTV boosting in pregnancy.	FPV (Lexiva) Tablets: • 700 mg Oral Suspension: • 50 mg/mL	Standard Adult Doses FPV (Lexiva)  ARV-Naive Patients: FPV 1400 mg twice daily without food, or FPV 1400 mg plus RTV 100 or 200 mg once daily without food, or FPV 700 mg plus RTV 100 mg twice daily without food  PI-Experienced Patients: Once-daily dosing is not recommended FPV 700 mg plus RTV 100 mg twice daily without food  Coadministered with EFV: FPV 700 mg plus RTV 100 mg twice daily without food; or FPV 1400 mg plus RTV 300 mg once daily without food  PK in Pregnancy: With RTV boosting, AUC is reduced during the third trimester. However, exposure is greater during the third trimester with boosting than in nonpregnant adults without boosting, and trough concentrations achieved during the third trimester were adequate for patients without PI resistance mutations.  Dosing in Pregnancy: Use of unboosted FPV or once-daily FPV with RTV boosting is not recommended during pregnancy. No change is indicated in standard boosted twice-daily dose (FPV 700 mg plus RTV 100 mg twice daily without food).	FPV should not be used during pregnancy.  Low placental transfer to fetus. <sup>b</sup> Insufficient data to assess for teratogenicity in humans. Increased fetal loss in rabbits, but no increase in defects in rats and rabbits.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Individual ARV drug dosages may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the <u>Adult and Adolescent Guidelines</u>, <u>Appendix B, Table 10</u>).

**High:** >0.6 **Moderate:** 0.3–0.6 **Low:** <0.3

**Key to Acronyms:** ARV = antiretroviral; AUC = area under the curve; EFV = efavirenz; FPV = fosamprenavir; PI = protease inhibitor; PK = pharmacokinetic: RTV = ritonavir

- 1. Fosamprenavir [package insert] Food and Drug Administration. 2017. Available at: <a href="https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda">https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda</a> docs/label/2017/021548s040lbledt.pdf.
- 2. Capparelli EV, Stek A, Best B, et al. Boosted fosamprenavir pharmacokinetics during pregnancy. Presented at: The 17th

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

- Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2010. San Francisco, CA.
- 3. Cespedes MS, Castor D, Ford SL, et al. Steady-state pharmacokinetics, cord blood concentrations, and safety of ritonavir-boosted fosamprenavir in pregnancy. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2013;62(5):550-554. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23314414">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23314414</a>.
- 4. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral pregnancy registry international interim report for 1 January 1989–31 January 2018. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2018. Available at: <a href="http://www.apregistry.com/">http://www.apregistry.com/</a>.

# Indinavir (Crixivan, IDV)

# (Last updated December 7, 2018; last reviewed December 7, 2018)

Indinavir is classified as Food and Drug Administration Pregnancy Category C. Given the availability of effective alternative antiretroviral (ARV) drugs, indinavir **is not recommended** for use in pregnant women.

#### **Animal Studies**

### Carcinogenicity

Indinavir is neither mutagenic nor clastogenic in both *in vitro* and *in vivo* assays. No increased incidence of any tumor types occurred during long-term studies in mice. At the highest dose studied in rats (640 mg/kg/day or 1.3-fold higher than systemic exposure at human therapeutic doses), thyroid adenomas were seen in male rats.<sup>1</sup>

## Reproduction/Fertility

No effect of indinavir has been seen on reproductive performance, fertility, or embryo survival in rats.<sup>1</sup>

## Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

There has been no evidence of teratogenicity or treatment-related effects of indinavir on embryonic/ fetal survival or fetal weights in rats, rabbits, or dogs at exposures comparable to, or slightly greater than, therapeutic human exposure. Developmental toxicity in rats, which manifested as an increase in supernumerary and cervical ribs, was observed at doses comparable to those administered to humans. No treatment-related external or visceral changes were observed in rats. No treatment-related external, visceral, or skeletal changes were seen in rabbits (fetal exposure was limited, approximately 3% of maternal levels) or dogs (fetal exposure approximately 50% of maternal levels). Indinavir was administered to rhesus monkeys during the third trimester (at doses up to 160 mg/kg twice daily) and to neonatal rhesus monkeys (at doses up to 160 mg/kg twice daily). When administered to neonates, indinavir caused an exacerbation of the transient physiologic hyperbilirubinemia seen in this species after birth; serum bilirubin values were approximately four-fold greater than those seen in controls receiving indinavir 160 mg/kg twice daily. A similar exacerbation did not occur in neonates after *in utero* exposure to indinavir during the third trimester. In rhesus monkeys, fetal plasma drug levels were approximately 1% to 2% of maternal plasma drug levels approximately 1 hour after maternal dosing with indinavir at 40, 80, or 160 mg/kg twice daily.

### Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Significant placental passage of indinavir occurs in rats and dogs, but only limited placental transfer occurs in rabbits. Indinavir is excreted in the milk of lactating rats at concentrations slightly greater than maternal levels.<sup>1</sup>

#### **Human Studies in Pregnancy**

#### **Pharmacokinetics**

The optimal dosing regimen for use of indinavir in pregnant patients has not been established. Two studies of the pharmacokinetics (PKs) of unboosted indinavir (800 mg taken 3 times/day) during pregnancy demonstrated significantly lower indinavir plasma concentrations during pregnancy than postpartum.<sup>2,3</sup> Use of unboosted indinavir is not recommended in pregnant patients with HIV because of the substantially lower antepartum concentrations and the limited experience in this patient population.

Several studies have investigated the use of indinavir/ritonavir (IDV/r) during pregnancy. In an intensive PK study of 26 pregnant Thai women receiving IDV/r 400/100 mg twice daily, indinavir plasma concentrations were significantly lower during pregnancy than postpartum. The median trough indinavir concentration was 0.13 µg/mL; 24% of subjects had trough concentrations below 0.10 µg/mL, the target trough concentration used in therapeutic drug monitoring programs; and 81% of subjects had RNA viral loads <50 copies/mL at delivery. In a study of pregnant French women receiving IDV/r 400 mg/100 mg twice a day, the median

indinavir trough concentration was  $0.16 \,\mu g/mL$ , 18% of subjects had trough concentrations below  $0.12 \,\mu g/mL$ , and 93% of subjects had HIV RNA levels <200 copies/mL at delivery.<sup>5</sup> In a small study of two patients who received IDV/r 800 mg/200 mg twice daily, third-trimester indinavir area under the curve exceeded that for historical non-pregnant controls.<sup>6</sup> The available data are insufficient to allow for definitive dosing recommendations for use of IDV/r during pregnancy.

## Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Transplacental passage of indinavir was minimal in studies of pregnant women who received unboosted indinavir. In a study of pregnant Thai women receiving IDV/r, median indinavir concentration in cord blood was  $0.12~\mu g/mL$ , median maternal plasma delivery concentration was  $0.96~\mu g/mL$ , and the median ratio between indinavir concentrations in cord blood and maternal plasma at delivery was  $0.12.^4$  In one woman taking IDV/r 600~mg/200~mg twice daily, indinavir concentrations in breast milk were 90% to 540% of plasma concentrations over the first 5 days after delivery.

### Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

Although the French Perinatal Cohort reported an association of head and neck birth defects with first trimester exposure to indinavir (3 defects in 350 first-trimester exposures, 0.9%), the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry has not observed an increase in birth defects with use of indinavir. 8,9 Among cases of first-trimester indinavir exposure reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, prevalence of birth defects was 2.4% (7 of 289 births; 95% CI, 1.0% to 4.9%) compared with a total prevalence of 2.76% in the U.S. population, according to Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance. 9

#### Excerpt from Table 8a

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations	Use in Pregnancy
Indinavir (IDV) Crixivan  Note: Must be combined with low-dose RTV boosting in pregnancy	IDV (Crixivan) Capsules: • 200 mg • 400 mg	<ul> <li>Standard Adult Dose Without RTV Boosting:</li> <li>IDV 800 mg every 8 hours, taken 1 hour before or 2 hours after meals; may be taken with skim milk or a low-fat meal.</li> <li>With RTV Boosting:</li> <li>IDV 800 mg plus RTV 100 mg twice daily without regard to meals</li> <li>PK in Pregnancy:</li> <li>IDV exposure markedly reduced when administered without RTV boosting during pregnancy. IDV exposure is low with IDV 400 mg/RTV 100 mg dosing during pregnancy; no PK data available on alternative boosted dosing regimens in pregnancy.</li> <li>Dosing in Pregnancy:</li> <li>Use of unboosted IDV is not recommended during pregnancy.</li> </ul>	Minimal placental transfer to fetus. <sup>b</sup> No evidence of human teratogenicity in cases reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry (can rule out 2-fold increase in overall birth defects).  Must be given as low-dose, RTV-boosted regimen in pregnancy.  Theoretical concern regarding increased indirect bilirubin levels, which may exacerbate physiologic hyperbilirubinemia in neonates. Minimal placental passage mitigates this concern.  Given the available alternative ARVs, IDV is not recommended for treatment of pregnant women in the United States.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Individual ARV drug dosages may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the <u>Adult and Adolescent</u> Guidelines, Appendix B. Table 10).

High: >0.6 Moderate: 0.3–0.6 Low: <0.3

Key to Acronyms: ARV = antiretroviral; IDV = indinavir; PK = pharmacokinetic; RTV = ritonavir

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Placental transfer categories are determined by the mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

- 1. Indinavir [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2016. Available at: <a href="https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda">https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda</a> docs/label/2016/020685s078lbl.pdf.
- 2. Unadkat JD, Wara DW, Hughes MD, et al. Pharmacokinetics and safety of indinavir in human immunodeficiency virus-infected pregnant women. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2007;51(2):783-786. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17158945">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17158945</a>.
- 3. Hayashi S, Beckerman K, Homma M, Kosel BW, Aweeka FT. Pharmacokinetics of indinavir in HIV-positive pregnant women. *AIDS*. 2000;14(8):1061-1062. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10853990.
- 4. Cressey TR, Best BM, Achalapong J, et al. Reduced indinavir exposure during pregnancy. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2013;76(3):475-483. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23305215.
- 5. Ghosn J, De Montgolfier I, Cornelie C, et al. Antiretroviral therapy with a twice-daily regimen containing 400 milligrams of indinavir and 100 milligrams of ritonavir in human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected women during pregnancy. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2008;52(4):1542-1544. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18250187">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18250187</a>.
- 6. Kosel BW, Beckerman KP, Hayashi S, Homma M, Aweeka FT. Pharmacokinetics of nelfinavir and indinavir in HIV-1-infected pregnant women. *AIDS*. 2003;17(8):1195-1199. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12819521">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12819521</a>.
- 7. Colebunders R, Hodossy B, Burger D, et al. The effect of highly active antiretroviral treatment on viral load and antiretroviral drug levels in breast milk. *AIDS*. 2005;19(16):1912-1915. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16227801">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16227801</a>.
- 8. Sibiude J, Mandelbrot L, Blanche S, et al. Association between prenatal exposure to antiretroviral therapy and birth defects: an analysis of the French perinatal cohort study (ANRS CO1/CO11). *PLoS Med*. 2014;11(4):e1001635. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24781315">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24781315</a>.
- 9. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral pregnancy registry international interim report 1 January 1989–31 January 2018. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2018. Available at: <a href="http://www.apregistry.com/">http://www.apregistry.com/</a>.

## Nelfinavir (Viracept, NFV)

### (Last updated December 7, 2018; last reviewed December 7, 2018)

Nelfinavir is classified as Food and Drug Administration Pregnancy Category B. Nelfinavir **should not** be used during pregnancy.

#### **Animal Studies**

#### Carcinogenicity

Nelfinavir was neither mutagenic nor clastogenic in a series of *in vitro* and animal *in vivo* screening tests. However, incidence of thyroid follicular cell adenomas and carcinomas was increased over baseline in male rats receiving nelfinavir doses of 300 mg/kg/day or higher (which produced exposures that were equal to a systemic exposure observed in humans who received therapeutic doses) and female rats receiving nelfinavir 1000 mg/kg/day (which produced a systemic exposure 3-fold higher than the exposure seen in humans who received therapeutic doses).<sup>1</sup>

## Reproduction/Fertility

Nelfinavir has had no observable effect on reproductive performance, fertility, or embryo survival in rats at exposures comparable to human therapeutic exposure. Additional studies in female rats indicated that exposure to nelfinavir from mid-pregnancy through lactation had no effect on the survival, growth, and development of the offspring to weaning. Maternal exposure to nelfinavir also did not affect subsequent reproductive performance of the offspring.

#### Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

No evidence of teratogenicity has been observed in pregnant rats at exposures that were comparable to human exposure and in rabbits with exposures that were significantly less than human exposure.<sup>1</sup>

## **Human Studies in Pregnancy**

#### **Pharmacokinetics**

A Phase 1/2 safety and pharmacokinetic (PK) study (PACTG 353) of nelfinavir administered in combination with zidovudine and lamivudine was conducted in pregnant women with HIV and their infants.<sup>2</sup> In the first nine pregnant women enrolled in the study, nelfinavir administered at a dose of 750 mg three times daily produced drug exposures that were variable and generally lower than those reported in nonpregnant adults with both twice-daily and three-times-daily dosing. Therefore, the study was modified to evaluate an increased dose of nelfinavir given twice daily (1250 mg twice daily), which resulted in adequate levels of the drug in pregnancy. However, in two other small studies of women given nelfinavir 1250 mg twice daily during the second and third trimesters, drug concentrations in both those trimesters were somewhat lower than those seen in nonpregnant women.<sup>3,4</sup>

A PK study evaluated 25 women at 30 to 36 weeks' gestation and 12 women at 6 to 12 weeks postpartum who received the nelfinavir 625-mg tablet formulation, given as 1250 mg twice daily. Peak nelfinavir levels and area under the curve were lower during the third trimester than postpartum.<sup>5</sup> Only 16% of women (4 of 25) during the third trimester and 8% of women (1 of 12) postpartum had trough values greater than the suggested minimum trough of 800 ng/mL; however, viral load was <400 copies/mL in 96% of women in the third trimester and 86% postpartum. In a follow up study, use of an increased dose of 1875 mg twice daily after 30 weeks gestation resulted in nelfinavir exposures during the third trimester that were equivalent to those seen with 1250 mg twice daily postpartum.<sup>6</sup>

## Placental and Breast Milk Passage

In PACTG 353, transplacental passage of nelfinavir was minimal. In addition, in a study of cord blood samples from 38 women who were treated with nelfinavir during pregnancy, the cord blood nelfinavir concentration was less than the assay limit of detection in 24 women (63%), and the cord blood concentration was low (with a median of  $0.35~\mu g/mL$ ) in the remaining 14 women. Among 20 mother-infant pairs in the

Netherlands, the cord blood-to-maternal-plasma ratio for nelfinavir was 0.14 compared to 0.67 for nevirapine and 0.24 for lopinavir.<sup>8</sup>

Nelfinavir also has low breast milk passage. In a PK study conducted in Kisumu, Kenya, concentrations of nelfinavir and its active metabolite, M8, were measured in maternal plasma and breast milk from 26 mothers who received nelfinavir as part of antiretroviral therapy and from plasma samples collected from their 27 infants at birth, 2, 6, 14, and 24 weeks. Peak nelfinavir concentrations were recorded in maternal plasma and breast milk at 2 weeks. Median breast milk-to-plasma ratio was 0.12 for nelfinavir and 0.03 for its active metabolite (i.e., M8). Nelfinavir and M8 concentrations were below the limit of detection in 20 of 28 (71%) infant plasma dried blood spots tested from nine infants over time points from delivery though 24 weeks. Overall transfer to breast milk was low and resulted in nonsignificant exposure to nelfinavir among breastfed infants through age 24 weeks.

#### Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

In the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to nelfinavir have been monitored to be able to detect at least a 1.5-fold increased risk of overall birth defects and a two-fold increased risk of birth defects in the cardiovascular and genitourinary systems. No such increase in birth defects has been observed with exposure to nelfinavir. Among cases of first-trimester nelfinavir exposure reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, prevalence of birth defects was 3.9% (47 of 1,212 births; 95% CI, 2.9% to 5.1%) compared with a 2.7% total prevalence in the U.S. population, based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance.<sup>10</sup>

In the U.S. PHACS/SMARTT cohort study, after adjusting for birth cohort and other factors, maternal use of nelfinavir led to no increase in the likelihood of adverse metabolic, growth/development, cardiac, neurological, or neurodevelopmental outcomes.<sup>11</sup>

#### Excerpt from Table 8a

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations	Use in Pregnancy
Nelfinavir (NFV)	NFV (Viracept): Tablets:	Standard Adult Dose:  • NFV 1250 mg twice daily, or	NFV <b>should not</b> be used during pregnancy.
Viracept	250 mg     625 mg (tablets can be dissolved in a small amount of water)  Powder for Oral Suspension:     50 mg/g	NFV 750 mg 3 times daily with food  PK in Pregnancy:  Lower NFV exposure was observed during the third trimester than postpartum in women receiving NFV 1250 mg twice daily; however, adequate drug levels are generally achieved during pregnancy, although levels are variable in late pregnancy.  Dosing in Pregnancy:  NFV 750 mg 3 times daily with food is not recommended during pregnancy. No change in standard dose (NFV 1250 mg twice daily with food) indicated.	Minimal to low placental transfer to fetus. <sup>b</sup> No evidence of human teratogenicity; can rule out 1.5-fold increase in overall birth defects and 2-fold increase in risk of cardiovascular and genitourinary birth defects.  Contains aspartame; should not be used in individuals with phenylketonuria.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Individual antiretroviral drug doses may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the <u>Adult and Adolescent Guidelines</u>, Appendix B, Table 10).

High: >0.6 Moderate: 0.3-0.6 Low: <0.3

**Key to Acronyms:** NFV = nelfinavir; PK = pharmacokinetic

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

- 1. Nelfinavir [package insert]. 2015.Food and Drug Administration. Available at: <a href="http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda">http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda</a> docs/label/2015/020778s040,020779s061,021503s023lbl.pdf.
- 2. Bryson YJ, Mirochnick M, Stek A, et al. Pharmacokinetics and safety of nelfinavir when used in combination with zidovudine and lamivudine in HIV-infected pregnant women: Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group (PACTG) protocol 353. *HIV Clin Trials*. 2008;9(2):115-125. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18474496">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18474496</a>.
- 3. Villani P, Floridia M, Pirillo MF, et al. Pharmacokinetics of nelfinavir in HIV-1-infected pregnant and nonpregnant women. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2006;62(3):309-315. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16934047">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16934047</a>.
- 4. Fang A, Valluri SR, O'Sullivan MJ, et al. Safety and pharmacokinetics of nelfinavir during the second and third trimesters of pregnancy and postpartum. *HIV Clin Trials*. 2012;13(1):46-59. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22306587">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22306587</a>.
- 5. Read JS, Best BM, Stek AM, et al. Pharmacokinetics of new 625 mg nelfinavir formulation during pregnancy and postpartum. *HIV Med.* 2008;9(10):875-882. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18795962">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18795962</a>.
- 6. Eke AC, McCormack SA, Best BM, et al. Pharmacokinetics of increased nelfinavir plasma concentrations in women during pregnancy and postpartum. *J Clin Pharmacol*. 2019;59(3):386-393. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30358179">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30358179</a>.
- 7. Mirochnick M, Dorenbaum A, Holland D, et al. Concentrations of protease inhibitors in cord blood after in utero exposure. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2002;21(9):835-838. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12352805">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12352805</a>.
- 8. van Hoog S, Boer K, Nellen J, Scherpbier H, Godfried MH. Transplacental passage of nevirapine, nelfinavir and lopinavir. *Neth J Med*. 2012;70(2):102-103. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22418759">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22418759</a>.
- 9. Weidle PJ, Zeh C, Martin A, et al. Nelfinavir and its active metabolite, hydroxy-t-butylamidenelfinavir (M8), are transferred in small quantities to breast milk and do not reach biologically significant concentrations in breast-feeding infants whose mothers are taking nelfinavir. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2011;55(11):5168-5171. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21876052">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21876052</a>.
- Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral pregnancy registry international interim report for 1 January 1989 –31 January 2019. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2019. Available at: <a href="http://www.apregistry.com/">http://www.apregistry.com/</a>.
- 11. Van Dyke RB, Chadwick EG, Hazra R, Williams PL, Seage GR, 3rd. The PHACS SMARTT study: assessment of the safety of In utero exposure to antiretroviral drugs. *Front Immunol*. 2016;7:199. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27242802">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27242802</a>.

## Saquinavir (Invirase, SQV)

## (Last updated December 7, 2018; last reviewed December 7, 2018)

Saquinavir is classified as Food and Drug Administration Pregnancy Category B. Saquinavir **should not** be used during pregnancy.

#### **Animal Studies**

#### Carcinogenicity

Saquinavir was neither mutagenic nor clastogenic in a series of *in vitro* and animal *in vivo* screening tests. Carcinogenicity studies found no indication of carcinogenic activity in rats and mice given saquinavir for approximately 2 years at doses that produced plasma exposures approximately 29% (in rats) and 65% (in mice) of those obtained in humans who received the recommended clinical dose boosted with ritonavir.<sup>1</sup>

#### Reproduction/Fertility

Saquinavir has had no observable effects on reproductive performance, fertility, or embryo survival in rats. Because of the limited bioavailability of saquinavir in animals, the maximum plasma exposures achieved in rats were approximately 26% of those obtained in humans who received the recommended clinical dose boosted with ritonavir.<sup>1</sup>

#### Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

No evidence of embryotoxicity or teratogenicity of saquinavir has been found in rabbits or rats. Because of the limited bioavailability of saquinavir in animals and/or dosing limitations, the plasma exposures (measured as area under the curve [AUC] values) were approximately 29% (in rats) and 21% (in rabbits) of those obtained in humans who received the recommended clinical dose boosted with ritonavir.<sup>1</sup>

## Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Placental transfer of saquinavir in rats and rabbits was minimal. Saquinavir is excreted in the milk of lactating rats.<sup>1</sup>

#### **Human Studies in Pregnancy**

#### Pharmacokinetics

Studies have investigated saquinavir pharmacokinetics (PK) during pregnancy using 800 mg to 1200 mg of the original hard-gel capsule formulation and ritonavir 100 mg. Saquinavir exposures were reduced in pregnant adults compared to nonpregnant adults, but the majority of subjects achieved adequate C<sub>min</sub>.<sup>2-4</sup> The PKs of saquinavir when using the current 500-mg tablets at a dose of saquinavir/ritonavir 1000 mg/100 mg twice daily have been studied in pregnant women in two studies.<sup>5,6</sup> One study performed intensive sampling on pregnant women with HIV at 20 weeks' gestation (n = 16), 33 weeks' gestation (n = 31), and 6 weeks postpartum (n = 9). PK parameters were comparable during pregnancy and postpartum.<sup>5</sup> The second study performed intensive sampling in 14 pregnant women at 24 and 34 weeks' gestation and 6 weeks postpartum. Saguinavir AUC was similar during the second trimester and postpartum. Although there was a 50% reduction in saquinavir AUC during the third trimester compared to postpartum, no participant experienced loss of virologic control and all but one maintained adequate third-trimester trough levels of saquinavir.<sup>7</sup> An observational study analyzed saquinavir concentrations in samples that were collected as part of clinical care between 11 and 13 hours after dosing with the tablet formulation (saguinavir/ritonavir 1000 mg/100 mg) in pregnant women with HIV during the third trimester (n = 20) and at delivery (n = 5). Saquinavir plasma concentrations averaged around 1.15 mg/L and exceeded 0.1 mg/L, the usual trough drug concentration target for saquinavir, in all but one subject.6

## Placental and Breast Milk Passage

In a Phase 1 study in pregnant women and their infants (PACTG 386), transplacental passage of saquinavir was minimal. In addition, in a study of eight women treated with saquinavir during pregnancy, the cord

blood concentration of saquinavir was less than the assay limit of detection in samples from all of the women in the study.<sup>9</sup> It is not known whether saquinavir is excreted in human milk.

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

Only 182 cases of first-trimester saquinavir exposure have been reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry. Without more data, the prevalence of birth defects among infants exposed to saquinavir cannot be accurately calculated.<sup>10</sup>

#### Other Safety Information

One study of 42 pregnant women who received antiretroviral therapy that included saquinavir/ritonavir reported abnormal transaminase levels in 13 women (31%) within 2 to 4 weeks of treatment initiation, although the abnormalities were mild (toxicity Grade 1–2 in most women, Grade 3 in one woman). In a study of 62 pregnant women on a regimen that included saquinavir/ritonavir, one severe adverse event occurred (maternal Grade 3 hepatotoxicity).

In the U.S. PHACS/SMARTT cohort study, after adjusting for birth cohort and other factors, maternal use of saquinavir led to no increase in the likelihood of adverse metabolic, growth/development, cardiac, or neurological outcomes. Late language emergence was more likely among saquinavir-exposed infants at 1 year (odds ratio 2.72; 95% CI, 1.09-6.91, P=0.03), but not at 2 years. No significant differences were observed for other neurodevelopmental outcomes.<sup>12</sup>

#### Excerpt from Table 8<sup>a</sup>

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations	Use in Pregnancy
Saquinavir (SQV) Invirase  Note: Must be combined with low-dose RTV for PK boosting	SQV (Invirase) Tablet: • 500 mg Capsule: • 200 mg	Standard Adult Dose: SQV 1000 mg plus RTV 100 mg twice a day with food or within 2 hours after a meal  K in Pregnancy: Based on limited data, SQV exposure may be reduced in pregnancy, but this effect is not sufficient to warrant a dose change.  Dosing in Pregnancy: No change in dose indicated.	SQV should not be used during pregnancy.  Contraindicated in patients with pre-existing cardiac conduction system disease. Baseline ECG recommended before starting, because PR and/or QT interval prolongations have been observed.  Low placental transfer to fetus. <sup>b</sup> Insufficient data to assess for teratogenicity in humans. No evidence of teratogenicity in rats or rabbits.  Must be boosted with low-dose RTV.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Individual ARV drug dosages may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the <u>Adult and Adolescent Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 10</u>).

High: >0.6 Moderate: 0.3–0.6 Low: <0.3

Key to Acronyms: ECG = electrocardiogram; PK = pharmacokinetic; RTV = ritonavir; SQV = saguinavir

- 1. Saquinavir [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2016. Available at: <a href="http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda">http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda</a> docs/label/2016/020628s041,021785s017lbl.pdf.
- 2. Khan W, Hawkins DA, Moyle G, et al. Pharmacokinetics (PK), safety, tolerability and efficacy of saquinavir hard-gel capsules/ritonavir (SQV/r) plus 2 nucleosides in HIV-infected pregnant women. Presented at: XV International AIDS Conference. 2004. Bangkok, Thailand.
- 3. Lopez-Cortes LF, Ruiz-Valderas R, Pascual R, Rodriguez M, Marin Niebla A. Once-daily saquinavir-hgc plus low-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

- dose ritonavir (1200/100 mg) in HIV-infected pregnant women: pharmacokinetics and efficacy. *HIV Clin Trials*. 2003;4(3):227-229. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12815561">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12815561</a>.
- 4. Lopez-Cortes LF, Ruiz-Valderas R, Rivero A, et al. Efficacy of low-dose boosted saquinavir once daily plus nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors in pregnant HIV-1-infected women with a therapeutic drug monitoring strategy. *Ther Drug Monit*. 2007;29(2):171-176. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17417070.
- 5. van der Lugt J, Colbers A, Molto J, et al. The pharmacokinetics, safety and efficacy of boosted saquinavir tablets in HIV type-1-infected pregnant women. *Antivir Ther*. 2009;14(3):443-450. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19474478">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19474478</a>.
- 6. Brunet C, Reliquet V, Jovelin T, et al. Effectiveness and safety of saquinavir/ritonavir in HIV-infected pregnant women: INEMA cohort. *Med Mal Infect*. 2012;42(9):421-428. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22938775.
- 7. Martinez-Rebollar M, Lonca M, Perez I, et al. Pharmacokinetic study of saquinavir 500 mg plus ritonavir (1000/100 mg twice a day) in HIV-positive pregnant women. *Ther Drug Monit*. 2011;33(6):772-777. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22105596">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22105596</a>.
- 8. Zorrilla CD, Van Dyke R, Bardeguez A, et al. Clinical response and tolerability to and safety of saquinavir with low-dose ritonavir in human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected mothers and their infants. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2007;51(6):2208-2210. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17420209">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17420209</a>.
- 9. Mirochnick M, Dorenbaum A, Holland D, et al. Concentrations of protease inhibitors in cord blood after *in utero* exposure. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2002;21(9):835-838. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12352805">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12352805</a>.
- 10. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral pregnancy registry international interim report for 1 January 1989–31 January 2018. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2018. Available at: <a href="http://www.apregistry.com/">http://www.apregistry.com/</a>.
- 11. Hanlon M, O'Dea S, Clarke S, al e. Maternal hepatotoxicity with boosted saquinavir as part of combination ART in pregnancy. Presented at: 14th Conference on Retoviruses and Opportunistic Infections. 2007. Los Angeles, CA.
- 12. Van Dyke RB, Chadwick EG, Hazra R, Williams PL, Seage GR, 3rd. The PHACS SMARTT study: assessment of the safety of In utero exposure to antiretroviral drugs. *Front Immunol*. 2016;7:199. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27242802">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27242802</a>.

## Stavudine (Zerit, d4T)

## (Last updated December 7, 2018; last reviewed December 7, 2018)

Stavudine is classified as Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Pregnancy Category C.

Stavudine is not recommended for use in pregnant women with HIV due to its toxicity.

#### **Animal Studies**

#### Carcinogenicity

Stavudine is clastogenic in *in vitro* and *in vivo* assays but not mutagenic in *in vitro* assays. In 2-year carcinogenicity studies in mice and rats, stavudine was noncarcinogenic at doses that produced exposures 39 times (in mice) and 168 times (in rats) the human exposure observed at the recommended therapeutic dose. At higher levels of exposure (250 times [in mice] and 732 times [in rats] the human exposure seen at therapeutic doses), benign and malignant liver tumors occurred in mice and rats, and urinary bladder tumors occurred in male rats.<sup>1</sup>

## Reproduction/Fertility

Stavudine has no demonstrated effect on reproduction or fertility in rodents. No evidence of impaired fertility was seen in rats with exposures (based on  $C_{max}$ ) up to 216 times the exposures observed following a clinical dosage of stavudine 1 mg/kg/day.<sup>1</sup> A dose-related cytotoxic effect has been observed on preimplantation mouse embryos, with inhibition of blastocyst formation occurring at a concentration of 100  $\mu$ M and inhibition of post-blastocyst development occurring at 10  $\mu$ M.<sup>2</sup>

#### Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

No evidence of teratogenicity was noted in rats or rabbits with stavudine exposures (based on  $C_{max}$ ) up to 399 times and 183 times, respectively, the exposures seen at a clinical dosage of stavudine 1 mg/kg/day. In rat fetuses, the incidence of a common skeletal variation—unossified or incomplete ossification of sternebra—increased at 399 times human exposure (i.e., the exposure in adult humans who received a standard dose), although no effect was observed at 216 times human exposure. A slight post-implantation loss was noted at 216 times human exposure, with no effect noted at approximately 135 times human exposure, although survival of neonates was unaffected at approximately 135 times human exposure.

#### Placental and Breast Milk Passage

A study in rats showed that stavudine is transferred to the fetus through the placenta. The concentration in fetal tissue was approximately one-half the concentration in maternal plasma. In primates (pig-tailed macaques), the ratio of fetal plasma concentrations/maternal plasma concentrations was approximately 0.80.<sup>3</sup>

Stavudine is excreted into the breast milk of lactating rats.<sup>1</sup>

#### **Human Studies in Pregnancy**

#### **Pharmacokinetics**

In a Phase 1/2 short-term safety and pharmacokinetic (PK) study of combination stavudine and lamivudine in pregnant women living with HIV and their infants (PACTG 332), both drugs were well tolerated, with maternal stavudine PK parameters similar to those seen in nonpregnant adults.<sup>4</sup>

### Placental and Breast Milk Passage

Stavudine crosses the human placenta, resulting in cord blood concentration/maternal blood concentration ratios of 1.0 to 1.3.5 Stavudine also crosses into human breast milk, resulting in breast milk concentration/maternal plasma concentration ratios of 1.0 to 1.76. Concentrations in nursing infants were negligible.<sup>6,7</sup>

#### Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

No association was found between first-trimester exposure to stavudine and birth defects in a large French cohort study that had 70% power to detect an increased adjusted odds ratio of 1.5.8 In the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, sufficient numbers of first-trimester exposures to stavudine in humans have been monitored to be able to detect at least a two-fold increased risk of overall birth defects. No such increase in birth defects has been observed with stavudine. Among cases of first-trimester stavudine exposure reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry, the prevalence of birth defects was 2.6% (21 of 811 births; 95% CI, 1.6% to 3.9%) compared with a total prevalence in the U.S. population of 2.7%, based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention surveillance.9

#### Other Safety Data

Cases of lactic acidosis, including some fatal cases, have been described in pregnant women receiving the combination of didanosine and stavudine along with other antiretroviral (ARV) agents. <sup>10-12</sup> The FDA and Bristol-Myers Squibb issued a warning to health care professionals that pregnant women may be at increased risk of fatal lactic acidosis when prescribed didanosine and stavudine in combination (see Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy and Long-Term Follow-Up of Infants Exposed to Antiretroviral Drugs). Didanosine and stavudine should not be prescribed together for pregnant women.

In a U.S. cohort study evaluation of the safety of ARV drugs used during pregnancy, children without HIV born to women with HIV who received didanosine plus stavudine during the pregnancy had an increased risk of both adverse neurodevelopmental (relative risk [RR] of 12.40; 95% CI, 5.29–29.08) and language (RR of 4.84, 95% CI, 1.14–20.51) outcomes compared to children whose mothers did not receive these drugs during pregnancy.<sup>13</sup>

Stavudine is not recommended for use in pregnant women with HIV due to its toxicity.

#### Excerpt from Table 8<sup>a</sup>

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations	Use in Pregnancy
Stavudine (d4T) Zerit  Note: Generic products are available for all formulations.	d4T (Zerit) Capsules: • 15 mg • 20 mg • 30 mg • 40 mg Oral Solution: • 1 mg/mL following reconstitution Note: Extended-release capsule formulation (Zerit XR) has been	Standard Adult Doses <sup>e</sup> Body Weight ≥60 kg:  • 40 mg twice daily without regard to meals  Body Weight <60 kg:  • 30 mg twice daily without regard to meals  Dosing in Pregnancy:  • No change in dose indicated.  PK in Pregnancy:	d4T is not recommended for pregnant women.  High placental transfer. <sup>b</sup> No evidence of human teratogenicity (can rule out 2-fold increase in overall birth defects).  Lactic acidosis, sometimes fatal, has been reported in pregn aant women receiving ddl and d4T together.
	discontinued by the manufacturer.	PK not significantly altered in pregnancy.	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Individual ARV drug dosages may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see <u>Adult and Adolescent Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 10</u>).

**High:** >0.6 **Moderate:** 0.3–0.6 **Low:** <0.3

**Key to Acronyms:** ARV = antiretroviral; d4T = stavudine; ddI = didanosine; PK = pharmacokinetic; WHO = World Health Organization

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Placental transfer categories—Mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup> WHO recommends maximum dose of 30 mg twice daily regardless of weight.

- 1. Stauvidine [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2017. Available at: <a href="http://packageinserts.bms.com/pi/pi zerit.pdf">http://packageinserts.bms.com/pi/pi zerit.pdf</a>.
- 2. Toltzis P, Mourton T, Magnuson T. Comparative embryonic cytotoxicity of antiretroviral nucleosides. *J Infect Dis*. 1994;169(5):1100-1102. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8169400">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8169400</a>.
- 3. Odinecs A, Nosbisch C, Keller RD, Baughman WL, Unadkat JD. In vivo maternal-fetal pharmacokinetics of stavudine (2',3'-didehydro-3'-deoxythymidine) in pigtailed macaques (Macaca nemestrina). *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 1996;40(1):196-202. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8787905">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8787905</a>.
- 4. Wade NA, Unadkat JD, Huang S, et al. Pharmacokinetics and safety of stavudine in HIV-infected pregnant women and their infants: pediatric AIDS clinical trials group protocol 332. *J Infect Dis.* 2004;190(12):2167-2174. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15551216">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15551216</a>.
- McCormack SA, Best BM. Protecting the fetus against HIV infection: a systematic review of placental transfer of antiretrovirals. *Clin Pharmacokinet*. 2014;53(11):989-1004. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25223699">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25223699</a>.
- 6. Fogel JM, Taha TE, Sun J, et al. Stavudine concentrations in women receiving postpartum antiretroviral treatment and their breastfeeding infants. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2012;60(5):462-465. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22614899">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22614899</a>.
- 7. Palombi L, Pirillo MF, Andreotti M, et al. Antiretroviral prophylaxis for breastfeeding transmission in Malawi: drug concentrations, virological efficacy and safety. *Antivir Ther*. 2012;17(8):1511-1519. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22910456">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22910456</a>.
- 8. Sibiude J, Mandelbrot L, Blanche S, et al. Association between prenatal exposure to antiretroviral therapy and birth defects: an analysis of the French perinatal cohort study (ANRS CO1/CO11). *PLoS Med*. 2014;11(4):e1001635. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24781315.
- 9. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral pregnancy registry international interim report for 1 January 1989–31 January 2018. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2018. Available at: <a href="http://www.apregistry.com/">http://www.apregistry.com/</a>.
- Bristol-Myers Squibb Company. Healthcare provider important drug warning letter. 2001. Available at: <a href="http://www.fda.gov/Safety/MedWatch/SafetyInformation/SafetyAlertsforHumanMedicalProducts/ucm173947.htm">http://www.fda.gov/Safety/MedWatch/SafetyInformation/SafetyAlertsforHumanMedicalProducts/ucm173947.htm</a>.
- 11. Sarner L, Fakoya A. Acute onset lactic acidosis and pancreatitis in the third trimester of pregnancy in HIV-1 positive women taking antiretroviral medication. *Sex Transm Infect*. 2002;78(1):58-59. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11872862">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11872862</a>.
- 12. Mandelbrot L, Kermarrec N, Marcollet A, et al. Case report: nucleoside analogue-induced lactic acidosis in the third trimester of pregnancy. *AIDS*. 2003;17(2):272-273. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12545093">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12545093</a>.
- 13. Williams PL, Hazra R, Van Dyke RB, et al. Antiretroviral exposure during pregnancy and adverse outcomes in HIV-exposed uninfected infants and children using a trigger-based design. *AIDS*. 2016;30(1):133-144. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26731758">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26731758</a>.

## Tipranavir (Aptivus, TPV)

## (Last reviewed December 7, 2018; last updated December 7, 2018)

Tipranavir is classified as Food and Drug Administration Pregnancy Category C. Tipranavir **should not** be used during pregnancy.

#### **Animal Studies**

#### Carcinogenicity

Tipranavir was neither mutagenic nor clastogenic in a battery of five screening tests, both *in vitro* and, in animals, *in vivo*. Long-term carcinogenicity studies of tipranavir have been conducted in mice and rats. Mice were administered tipranavir doses ranging from 30 to 300 mg/kg/day, with or without ritonavir 40 mg/kg/day; all doses resulted in systemic exposures below those seen in humans receiving the recommended dose. Incidence of benign hepatocellular adenomas, combined adenomas/carcinomas, and hepatocellular carcinoma was increased in both male and female mice receiving tipranavir/ritonavir (TPV/r). The clinical relevance of the carcinogenic findings in mice is unknown. Rats were administered doses ranging from 30 to 300 mg/kg/day tipranavir, with or without ritonavir. No drug-related findings were observed in male rats. At the highest dose of tipranavir (approximately equivalent to exposure in humans at the recommended therapeutic dose), an increased incidence of benign follicular cell adenomas of the thyroid gland was observed in female rats. This finding is probably not relevant to humans, because thyroid follicular cell adenomas are considered a rodent-specific effect secondary to enzyme induction.<sup>1</sup>

## Reproduction/Fertility

Tipranavir had no effect on fertility or early embryonic development in rats at exposure levels that are similar to human exposure levels at the recommended clinical dose (TPV/r 500 mg/200 mg administered twice daily).<sup>1</sup>

## Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

No teratogenicity was detected in studies of pregnant rats and rabbits with exposure levels that were approximately 1.1-fold and 0.1-fold human exposure levels. Fetal toxicity (decreased ossification and body weights) was observed in rats exposed to 400 mg/kg/day or more of tipranavir (~0.8-fold human exposure). Fetal toxicity was not seen in rats and rabbits at levels of 0.2-fold and 0.1-fold human exposures. In rats, no adverse effects on development occurred at exposure levels of 40 mg/kg/day (~0.2-fold human exposure), but growth inhibition in pups and maternal toxicity were observed at 400 mg/kg/day (~0.8-fold human exposure).

#### Placental and Breast Milk Passage

No animal studies of placental or breast milk passage of tipranavir have been reported.

#### **Human Studies in Pregnancy**

#### **Pharmacokinetics**

No studies of tipranavir have been completed in pregnant women or neonates.

#### Placental and Breast Milk Passage

It is unknown if tipranavir passes through the placenta or breast milk in humans. A single case report described relatively high levels of tipranavir in the third trimester and relatively high placental transfer (0.41), as measured by cord blood.<sup>2</sup>

#### Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

The five first-trimester exposures to tipranavir that have been monitored to date in the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry are insufficient to draw conclusions regarding the risk of birth defects.<sup>3</sup>

#### Excerpt from Table 8a

Generic Name (Abbreviation) Trade Name	Formulation	Dosing Recommendations	Use in Pregnancy
Tipranavir (TPV) Aptivus Note: Must be combined with RTV for PK boosting	TPV (Aptivus) Capsules: • 250 mg Oral Solution: • 100 mg/mL	<ul> <li>Standard Adult Dose:</li> <li>TPV/r 500 mg/200 mg twice daily</li> <li>With RTV Tablets:</li> <li>Take with food.</li> <li>With RTV Capsules or Solution:</li> <li>Take without regard to food; however, administering with food may help make the dose more tolerable.</li> <li>Dosing in Pregnancy:</li> <li>Insufficient data to make dosing recommendation</li> <li>PK in Pregnancy:</li> <li>Limited PK data in human pregnancy</li> </ul>	TPV <b>should not be used</b> during pregnancy.  Moderate placental transfer to fetus reported in 1 patient. <sup>b</sup> Insufficient data to assess teratogenicity in humans. No evidence of teratogenicity in rats or rabbits.  Must be given as low-dose, RTV-boosted regimen.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Individual ARV drug dosages may need to be adjusted in patients with renal or hepatic insufficiency (for details, see the <u>Adult and Adolescent</u> Guidelines, Appendix B, Table 10).

**High:** >0.6 **Moderate:** 0.3–0.6 **Low:** <0.3

**Key to Acronyms:** PK = pharmacokinetic; RTV = ritonavir; TPV = tipranavir; TPV/r = tipranavir/ritonavir

- 1. Tipranavir [package insert]. Food and Drug Administration. 2016. Available at: <a href="https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda">https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda</a> docs/label/2016/021814s016,022292s009lbl.pdf.
- 2. Weizsaecker K, Kurowski M, Hoffmeister B, Schurmann D, Feiterna-Sperling C. Pharmacokinetic profile in late pregnancy and cord blood concentration of tipranavir and enfuvirtide. *Int J STD AIDS*. 2011;22(5):294-295. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21571982.
- 3. Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Steering Committee. Antiretroviral pregnancy registry international interim report for 1 January 1989–31 January 2018. Wilmington, NC: Registry Coordinating Center. 2018. Available at: <a href="http://www.apregistry.com/">http://www.apregistry.com/</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Placental transfer categories are determined by mean or median cord blood/maternal delivery plasma drug ratio:

## Zalcitabine (HIVID, ddC)

Last Updated: November 7, 2007; Last Reviewed: November 7, 2007

Zalcitabine is classified as FDA pregnancy category C and is no longer available in the United States.

#### **Animal Studies**

Carcinogenicity

High doses of zalcitabine (more than 1,000 times that of human therapeutic exposure) have been associated with the development of thymic lymphomas in rodents.

#### Reproduction/Fertility

No effect of zalcitabine on reproduction or fertility in rodents has been seen. However, there is a dose-related cytotoxic effect on preimplantation mouse embryos, with inhibition at a zalcitabine concentration of  $100 \mu M$ ; no inhibition of postblastocyst development was observed.<sup>1</sup>

Teratogenicity/Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes

Teratogenicity (hydrocephalus) occurred in rats given very high doses (more than 1,000 times the maximally recommended human exposure) of zalcitabine.

Developmental toxicity, consisting of decreased fetal weight and skeletal defects, has been seen in rodents at moderate to high zalcitabine doses. Cytotoxic effects were observed on rat fetal thymocytes at zalcitabine concentrations as low as 10 µM (approximately 100 times human therapeutic exposure).

#### Placental and Breast Milk Passage

In primate and placental perfusion studies, zalcitabine crosses the placenta (fetal-to-maternal drug ratio approximately 0.50 to 0.60).<sup>2</sup> In rodents, zalcitabine concentrates in the fetal kidney and a relatively small proportion (approximately 20%) reaches the fetal brain. It is unknown if zalcitabine is excreted in breast milk.

#### **Human Studies in Pregnancy**

No studies of zalcitabine have been conducted in pregnant women or neonates.

- 1. Toltzis P, Mourton T and Magnuson T. Comparative embryonic cytotoxicity of antiretroviral nucleosides. *J Infect Dis*, 1994. 169(5):1100-2.
- 2. Sandberg JA, Binienda Z, Lipe G, et al. Placental transfer and fetal disposition of 2',3'-dideoxycytidine and 2',3'-dideoxyinosine in the rhesus monkey. *Drug Metab Dispos*, 1995. 23(8):881-4.

## **Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry** (Last updated March 28, 2014; last reviewed March 28, 2014)

The Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry (APR) is an epidemiologic project to collect observational, non-experimental data on antiretroviral (ARV) drug exposure during pregnancy for the purpose of assessing the potential teratogenicity of these drugs. Registry data will be used to supplement animal toxicology studies and assist clinicians in weighing the potential risks and benefits of treatment for individual patients. The registry is a collaborative project of the pharmaceutical manufacturers with an advisory committee of obstetric and pediatric practitioners.

It is strongly recommended that health care providers who are treating HIV-infected pregnant women and their newborns report cases of prenatal exposure to ARV drugs (either alone or in combination) to the APR. The registry does not use patient names and birth outcome follow-up is obtained from the reporting physician by registry staff.

#### Referrals should be directed to:

Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry Research Park 1011 Ashes Drive Wilmington, NC 28405 Telephone: 1–800–258–4263

Fax: 1-800-800-1052

http://www.APRegistry.com

# Appendix C. Clinical Trial Efficacy Data for Daily, Oral Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate/Emtricitabine as Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis

Study <sup>a</sup>	Population	Regimen	Efficacy (95% CI)	Percentage of Participants with Detectable Plasma Levels of TFV
Partners PrEP $(n = 4,758)^1$	Serodiscordant couples in Kenya and Uganda	TDF/FTC	75% (55% to 87%)	82% <sup>b</sup>
	n = 1,579 randomized to receive TDF/FTC			
CDC TDF2 $(n = 1,215)^2$	Heterosexual men and women in Botswana	TDF/FTC	63% (22% to 83%)	79%
Fem-PrEP (n = 2,056) <sup>3</sup>	Heterosexual women in South Africa, Kenya, and Tanzania	TDF/FTC	No effect	24%
<b>VOICE</b> (n = 5,029) <sup>4</sup>	Heterosexual women in South Africa, Uganda, and Zimbabwe	TDF/FTC	No effect	29%
	n = 1,003 randomized to receive TDF/FTC			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> The data in this table are from studies that included heterosexual women.

**Key:** CI = confidence interval; FTC = emtricitabine; PrEP = pre=exposure prophylaxis TDF = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate; TFV = tenofovir

- 1. Baeten JM, Donnell D, Ndase P, et al. Antiretroviral prophylaxis for HIV prevention in heterosexual men and women. *N Engl J Med.* 2012;367(5):399-410. Available at: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22784037">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22784037</a>.
- 2. Thigpen MC, Kebaabetswe PM, Paxton LA, et al. Antiretroviral preexposure prophylaxis for heterosexual HIV transmission in Botswana. *N Engl J Med*. 2012. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22784038.
- 3. Van Damme L, Corneli A, Ahmed K, et al. Preexposure prophylaxis for HIV infection among African women. *N Engl J Med*. 2012;367(5):411-22. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22784040.
- 4. Donnell D, Baeten JM, Bumpus NN, et al. HIV protective efficacy and correlates of tenofovir blood concentrations in a clinical trial of PrEP for HIV prevention. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2014;66(3):340-348. Available at: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24784763">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24784763</a>.

Among the patients who achieved detectable plasma levels of TFV, the efficacy estimate for daily TDF/FTC was 91% (95% CI, 47% to 98%).4

# Appendix D: Dolutegravir Counseling Guide for Health Care Providers (Last updated December 12, 2019; last reviewed December 12, 2019)

This counseling guide represents the most recent guidance by the Panel on Treatment of Pregnant Women with HIV Infection and Prevention of Perinatal Transmission (the Panel) based on all currently available data. It replaces all prior statements regarding the safety of dolutegravir (DTG) in pregnant women and women who are trying to conceive.

## Use of Dolutegravir in Pregnant Women and Women Who Are Trying to Conceive<sup>a</sup>

In 2018, preliminary data from a study in Botswana identified an increased risk of infant neural tube defects (NTDs) in women who were taking DTG when they became pregnant. This observation led numerous organizations, including the Panel, to advise avoiding the use DTG in women who are trying to conceive or who are already in the first trimester<sup>b</sup> of pregnancy. In July 2019, the results from an analysis of NTDs in a larger number of pregnancies were published. The updated data showed that the risk of infant NTDs is lower than previously reported in preliminary data, but there was still a small but significant increase in the risk of infant NTDs among women who were taking DTG when they became pregnant compared to women who conceived on a regimen that did not contain DTG. An increased risk of infant NTDs has not been found in women who initiate DTG during pregnancy.

Because updated data indicate that the increased risk of NTDs associated with the use of DTG is small, and because DTG has the advantages of once-daily dosing, being generally well tolerated, and producing rapid, durable viral load suppression, which is important for the prevention of perinatal HIV transmission, the Panel now recommends DTG as a Preferred antiretroviral (ARV) drug throughout pregnancy, and as an Alternative ARV drug in women who are trying to conceive. The Panel strongly recommends that use of DTG be accompanied by appropriate counseling to allow patients and their health care providers to make joint decisions about treatment. This counseling guide summarizes considerations that should be addressed when counseling pregnant women and women who are trying to conceive about the use of DTG. For more information, see Updated Guidance about the Use of Dolutegravir in Pregnancy in Recommendations for Use of Antiretroviral Drugs During Pregnancy, Table 4, Table 5, and Pregnant Women Living with HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy.

## General Counseling Considerations for Pregnant Women and Women Who Are Trying to Conceive

- In the United States, the background risk of NTDs in the general population is 0.07% (7 per 10,000 pregnancies). The <u>Centers for Disease Control and Prevention</u> (CDC) notes that 3,000 pregnancies are affected by infant NTDs every year in the United States.
- DTG exposure at the time of conception was associated with a small but significant increase in the risk of infant NTDs in a birth surveillance study in Botswana. The prevalence of infant NTDs was slightly higher in women who were taking DTG at the time of conception (0.30%, or 30 infants with NTDs per 10,000 deliveries) than in women without HIV infection (0.08%, 8 infants with NTDs per 10,000 deliveries) or in women who initiated DTG later in pregnancy (0.03%, 3 infants with NTDs per 10,000 deliveries). The risk of infant NTDs was higher in women who were taking DTG at the time of conception than in women who were receiving efavirenz (EFV)-based antiretroviral therapy (ART) at the time of conception (0.05%, or 5 infants with NTDs per 10,000 deliveries).
- Although data have not shown an increase in the risk of NTDs in infants born to women who initiated
  DTG during pregnancy, it is important to note that there is a background risk of NTDs regardless of
  the ART regimen used or a woman's HIV status. With the exception of EFV, there are not enough data
  to determine the risk of NTDs with periconception use of any of the other currently *Preferred* and

- Alternative ARV drugs in the United States. Using the data from Botswana, we can now rule out a three-fold or more increased risk of NTDs associated with periconception use of EFV.
- Before, during, and after pregnancy, clinicians and patients should discuss future childbearing desires and plans, the potential risks and benefits of conceiving while taking specific ARV medications, including DTG, and contraceptive options to prevent unintended pregnancy.
- Folic acid is known to lower the risk of NTDs in the general population. The United States Public Health Service recommends that all pregnant women and women who might conceive take at least 400 mcg of folic acid daily and continue to do so throughout pregnancy. Unlike food in Botswana, food in the United States is routinely fortified with folate. However, there is no established link between the use of DTG and impaired folate metabolism, nor is there any evidence that folate supplementation prevents NTDs that are associated with the use of DTG.
- It is important to help women weigh the available information about the risks of NTDs when using DTG against what is known (or not known) about risks of NTDs associated with other ARV drugs that are recommended for use in pregnancy. To date, systematic birth surveillance data in a sufficiently large number of women to rule out an association between periconception drug exposure and NTDs are available only for EFV, which the Panel recommends as an *Alternative* ARV drug for pregnant women and women who are trying to conceive (see <u>Table 4</u>, <u>Table 5</u>, and <u>Efavirenz</u>).
- It is important to help women consider the available information about other potential risks associated with the use of ARV drugs, such as other birth defects or other adverse pregnancy outcomes (e.g., preterm delivery); see <a href="Teratogenicity">Teratogenicity</a> and <a href="Combination Antiretroviral Drug Regimens and Maternal and Neonatal Outcomes for more information.
- Most NTDs occur before the neural tube closes at 4 weeks post-conception, approximately 6 weeks
  post-last menstrual period, often before a woman realizes she is pregnant. After 6 weeks gestation, the
  additional risk of NTDs developing is thought to be much less likely.
- Changes in antiretroviral therapy (ART) during pregnancy can lead to increases in viral load that increase
  the risk of perinatal HIV transmission; this viral rebound may affect choices for future ARV regimens
  due to the possible development of resistance.
- Pregnant women who are receiving DTG and present to care during the first trimester<sup>b</sup> and women
  who are trying to conceive should receive counseling about the risks and benefits of continuing DTG
  or switching to another ARV regimen, as described above. In most cases, the Panel recommends
  continuation of DTG for pregnant women, because:
  - The risk of NTDs is small; and
  - Rapid, durable viral load suppression in pregnancy is important to prevent perinatal HIV transmission, and changes in ART may result in loss of viral suppression.
- When assessing the the benefits and risks of switching a patient from DTG to another ARV drug, clinicians and patients should consider factors such as the feasibility of switching to another ARV drug, each drug's tolerability, the ability to maintain viral suppression, the risk of perinatal HIV transmission, and the risk of NTDs.
- Women who are trying to conceive should receive information about the use of specific ARV regimens, including those containing DTG; this will enable them to make informed decisions about ARV regimens before they become pregnant.
- All cases of ARV drug exposure during pregnancy should be reported to the <u>Antiretroviral Pregnancy</u> Registry.

## Other Antiretroviral Drugs That Are Recommended for Use in Pregnancy

- Other *Preferred* ARV drug options for women who are initiating ART while pregnant or while trying to conceive include raltegravir, atazanavir/ritonavir, and darunavir/ritonavir. We have a moderate amount of data about pregnancy outcomes and birth defects with each of these drugs and drug combinations. While these data are reassuring, it is important to note that a rigorous, systematic birth surveillance program that includes large numbers of women with periconception exposure like in the Botswana study does not exist for these drugs. Additionally, because of mandatory food folate fortification, the overall risk of NTDs in the United States is low in the general population, and there are currently insufficient DTG periconception exposures reported to the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry be able to determine whether there is an increase in the risk of NTDs in the United States.
- EFV, rilpivirine, and lopinavir/ritonavir are recommended as *Alternative* ARV drug options in pregnancy. *Alternative* drugs may have more limited data on use in pregnancy than *Preferred* drugs (e.g., rilpivirine) or may be associated with more pharmacokinetic (PK), dosing, tolerability, drug interaction, or resistance concerns than those in the *Preferred* category, but they are acceptable for use in pregnancy.
- When discussing ARV drug options, it is important to point out that some ARV drugs that are
  recommended for use in adults and nonpregnant women are not *Preferred* or *Alternative* options for
  women who are pregnant or who are trying to conceive for the following reasons:
  - Not enough is known about the safety of using some ARV drugs before or during pregnancy, because studies about their use in pregnancy are limited. It is important to emphasize that a lack of data does not indicate the absence or presence of risk. It only means that we do not have all the information about all the possible effects when using these drugs during pregnancy (e.g., bictegravir and tenofovir alafenamide).
  - For some ARV drugs (e.g., cobicistat-boosted regimens), there are PK changes in pregnancy that decrease blood levels of those agents, potentially leading to loss of virologic control and an increased risk of perinatal transmission or adverse effects on maternal HIV infection. With newer ARV drugs, PK and safety data may not be available to guide dosing in pregnancy.
- Regimens that contain atazanavir/cobicistat, darunavir/cobicistat, or elvitegravir/cobicistat <u>are not</u> <u>recommended</u> for use in pregnant women because of PK changes that may lead to increased viral loads later in pregnancy. Health care providers should discuss whether to continue the regimen or switch to one that is recommended for use in pregnant women with patients (see <u>Table 5</u> and <u>Pregnant Women Living with HIV Who Are Currently Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy</u>). If a regimen with PK concerns is continued, it is important that the patient follow the instructions for taking the regimen in order to optimize absorption (e.g., taking certain drugs with or without food, avoiding antacids or divalent cation-containing vitamins). Viral load should be monitored more frequently in these patients (i.e., every 1–2 months).
- If an ARV regimen is changed during pregnancy, drugs in the new regimen should be ARV drugs that are recommended for use in pregnancy (see <u>Table 4</u> and <u>Table 5</u>) and viral load should be checked 2 to 4 weeks after the switch.
- Recommendations regarding the use of specific ARV agents or ARV regimens often change as more information on the safety, tolerability and PK changes of these drugs in pregnancy becomes available.

#### **Footnotes**

<sup>a</sup> Guidance on the care of pregnant women and women who are trying to conceive is also applicable to transgender and nonbinary people of childbearing potential.

h	т1.	- C	. 4 4	4	·		1	1	1	/	. 4 -	1 2		7 1	\			1.	last menstrual			1
U	ın	A TITC	T Tr11	mecter	10	IACC TE	าวกเ	/	WAAVC	, ,,,,	$\mathbf{n}$	1 4	h/	INDAL	C 1	CACTATIONA	200	n <sub>1</sub>	lact menetriial	ner	100	
	1 11		וו וו א	HICSTOL	10	1000 ப	тан т	-	WULKS	u	() L()	1.7	()/	/ WULL	. 5 1	gestationa	$a \approx c$	L) V	iast inclistiuai	יוטעו	IUU	L

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Raltegravir requires twice-daily dosing during pregnancy and has a lower barrier to resistance than DTG.

## **Appendix E: Acronyms** (Last updated October 26, 2016; last reviewed October 26, 2016)

**Acronym/Abbreviation** Full Name 3TC lamivudine

ABC abacavir

ACOG American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists

ALT alanine aminotransferase

anti-HBc anti-hepatitis B core antibody
anti-HBS hepatitis B surface antibody

AOR adjusted odds ratio

AP antepartum

ART antiretroviral therapy

ARV antiretroviral

AST aspartate aminotransferase

ATV atazanavir

ATV/r atazanavir/ritonavir
AUC area under the curve

AZT zidovudine BID twice daily

BMI body mass index
CBC complete blood count
CD4 CD4 T lymphocyte

CDC Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

CI confidence interval

C<sub>max</sub> maximum plasma concentration
C<sub>min</sub> minimum plasma concentration

CNS central nervous system

COBI cobicistat

CVS chorionic villus sampling

CYP cytochrome P

CYP3A4 cytochrome P450 3A4

d4T stavudine ddI didanosine

DMPA depot medroxyprogesterone acetate

DRV darunavir

DRV/r darunavir/ritonavir

DSMB Data and Safety Monitoring Board

DTG dolutegravir
EC enteric coated
ECG electrocardiogram

EFV efavirenz

EMS ethyl methane sulfonate

ETR etravirine EVG elvitegravir

FDA Food and Drug Administration

FDC fixed drug combination

FPV fosamprenavir

FPV/r fosamprenavir/ritonavir

FTC emtricitabine
gp glycoprotein
HAV hepatitis A virus

HBIG hepatitis B immune globulin HBsAg hepatitis B surface antigen

HBV hepatitis B virus HCV hepatitis C virus

HELLP hemolysis, elevated liver enzymes, and low platelets

HGC hard gel capsule
HR hazard ratio

HRSA Health Resources and Services Administration

HSR hypersensitivity reaction

IC<sub>50</sub> inhibitory concentration 50%

IDV indinavir

IDV/r indinavir/ritonavir

IGF insulin-like growth factor

IgG Immunoglobulin G

IP intrapartum

IQR interquartile range

IRIS immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome

IUD intrauterine device

IV intravenous/intravenously

LPV lopinavir

LPV/r lopinavir/ritonavir

MAC Mycobacterium avium complex

mtDNA mitochondrial DNA

MVC maraviroc

NFV nelfinavir

NIH National Institutes of Health

NNRTI non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor/non-nucleoside analogue

reverse transcriptase inhibitor

NRTI nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor/nucleoside analogue reverse

transcriptase inhibitor

NtRTI nucleotide analogue reverse transcriptase inhibitor

NVP nevirapine

OC oral contraceptive
OI opportunistic infection

OR odds ratio

The Panel The Panel on Treatment of HIV-Infected Pregnant Women and Prevention

of Perinatal Transmission

PCP Pneumocystis jirovecii pneumonia

PCR polymerase chain reaction

PI protease inhibitor PK pharmacokinetic

PO orally

PP postpartum

PPI proton pump inhibitor
PrEP pre-exposure prophylaxis

PTD preterm delivery

RAL raltegravir

RDS respiratory distress syndrome

RPV rilpivirine
RR relative risk
RTV ritonavir
SD single dose
SQ subcutaneous
SQV saquinavir

SQV/r saquinavir/ritonavir

STD sexually transmitted disease

T20 enfuvirtide

TAF tenofovir alafenamide

TDF tenofovir disoproxil fumarate
TDM therapeutic drug monitoring

TID three times daily

TPV tipranavir

TPV/r tipranavir/ritonavir

UGT uridine diphosphate glucuronosyltransferase

WHO World Health Organization

ZDV zidovudine